

# $\eta' \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \gamma$ decay as a probe of New Physics

Yaroslav Balytskyi<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Physics and Energy Science,  
University of Colorado at Colorado Springs,  
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80933, USA*

BES-III Collaboration reported the observation of the rare decay  $\eta' \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \gamma$ .

This decay provides an excellent opportunity to probe new weakly coupled forces of low-energy QCD.

We systematically calculate the decay width taking into account the uncertainty of the mixing angle, OZI-suppressed contributions, ChPT,  $L\sigma M$  and show that there is a tension between the experimentally observed and theoretically determined value which cannot be attributed to these uncertainties.

This tension could indicate that a more refined theoretical or experimental analysis is required.

In the same time, it can be attributed to the hypothetical dark photon or a new gauge boson which are motivated from several other directions.

Keywords: New Physics, dark photon, rare decays,  $\eta' \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \gamma$  decay, VMD, ChPT,  $L\sigma M$ , unitarity conditions.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The branching ratio of  $\eta' \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \gamma$  decay reported by BES-III collaboration is Ref.[1].:

$$Br(\eta' \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \pi^0)_{Incl.} = (3.20 \pm 0.07(stat) \pm 0.23(sys)) \times 10^{-3} \quad (1)$$

Taking the value of the total width of  $\eta'$  from PDG Ref.[2] we find the decay width:

$$\Gamma(\eta' \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \gamma) = 0.199 MeV \times 3.2 \times 10^{-3} \approx 0.64 keV \quad (2)$$

---

\*Electronic address: ybalytsk@uccs.edu

From the theory side, the preliminary *estimations* in the works Refs.[3–5] were done using the combination of VMD (Vector Meson Dominance model), ChPT (Chiral Perturbation Theory) or  $L\sigma M$  (Linear Sigma Model).

These estimations show that the decay is dominated by the intermediate vector mesons  $\omega$  and  $\rho$  subsequently decaying into  $\pi^0\gamma$ , 1.

Escibano in Ref.[5] estimates the decay width to be  $\Gamma_{\eta' \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma\gamma} = 1.29\text{keV}$ . Contributions both of the chiral loops and linear  $\sigma$ -terms are suppressed with respect to VMD on the level  $\sim 10^{-2}$ .




FIG. 1: Leading order diagrams of  $\eta' \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma\gamma$  decay

Consequently, the decay  $\eta' \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma\gamma$  is a unique among similar decays  $\eta \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma\gamma$  and  $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\gamma\gamma$ , in which the Vector Dominance is manifested in an explicit and dominant way.

For instance, in a similar decay  $\eta \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma\gamma$  ChPT plays a crucial role, Ref. [3]. Nevertheless, the ChPT coefficients are not solidly fixed which makes the predictions less reliable.

On the contrary, the  $\eta' \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma\gamma$  decay is driven mainly by VMD and VMD is much more unambiguously defined which makes the predictions more solid.

A clear discrepancy between the measured and estimated decay width indicates that the calculation has to be done in a more systematic way to determine whether is it possible to explain the BES-III measurement by VMD in combination with ChPT and  $L\sigma M$ .

In our paper we *systematically* calculate  $\eta' \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma\gamma$  decay width taking into account the uncertainty of the VMD coupling constants, ChPT,  $L\sigma M$ .

We use a new parametrization of  $\rho$  meson decay widths on the energy Ref. [9] instead of the previously used Ref. [10], OZI - suppressed  $\phi(1020)$  - meson contribution. Also we consider other possible contributions to this decay such as higher mass vector mesons and

other possible non-vector intermediate states such as  $(1^{+-})$  and  $(2^{+-})$ .

We find that the decay width lies in the range:

$$\Gamma(\eta' \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \gamma) = 1.6 - 2.8 \text{ keV} \quad (3)$$

which is in a direct contradiction to the observed value 2.

Consequently, the discrepancy can be attributed to New Physics, presumably "dark photon" or  $\mathcal{B}$  gauge boson and this decay may serve as a sensitive probe of the New Physics.

## II. THEORETICAL PREDICTIONS

In the general case, the VMD amplitude of the decay 1 is given by

$$A = \left( \frac{c_\omega}{D_\omega(t)} + \frac{c_\rho}{D_\rho(t)} + \frac{c_\phi}{D_\phi(t)} \right) B(q_2) + \left( \frac{c_\omega}{D_\omega(u)} + \frac{c_\rho}{D_\rho(u)} + \frac{c_\phi}{D_\phi(u)} \right) B(q_1) \quad (4)$$

, where  $t = (P_{\eta'} - q_1)^2$ ,  $u = (P_{\eta'} - q_2)^2$ ,  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  - 4-momenta of outgoing photons,  $P_{\eta'}$  - 4-momentum of  $\eta'$  - meson,  $D_{\omega,\rho}(t, u) = (t, u) - im_{\omega,\rho}\Gamma_{\omega,\rho}$  - propagator of vector meson (Breit - Wigner function).  $B(q_{1,2})$  are kinematic coefficients representing the spin structure of the particles Ref. [5].

The constants of electromagnetic decays are  $c_\omega = g_{\eta' \rightarrow \omega \gamma} \cdot g_{\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma}$ ,  $c_\rho = g_{\eta' \rightarrow \rho \gamma} \cdot g_{\rho \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma}$ .

In our work they are determined in 2 ways:

- From the known decay widths  $\eta' \rightarrow \omega \gamma$ ,  $\eta' \rightarrow \rho \gamma$ ,  $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$ ,  $\rho \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$ ;
- Using the values of the pseudoscalar mixing angle from our previous work Ref.[6] and the work Ref.[7]

So, we consider different values of the coupling constants. First, extracted from the known decays, not assuming the exact OZI.

Second, assuming OZI rule is strictly fulfilled and taking the coupling constant from the known mixing angles. The decay width of vector mesons are taken from Ref.[2].

The decay widths calculation of  $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$ ,  $\rho \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$ ,  $\eta' \rightarrow \omega \gamma$ ,  $\eta' \rightarrow \rho \gamma$ ,  $\phi \rightarrow \eta' \gamma$ ,  $\phi \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$ , are provided in Appendix A V.

$$\Gamma(\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma) = \frac{1}{3} \cdot G_{\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma}^2 \cdot \frac{(m_\omega^2 - m_{\pi^0}^2)^3}{32\pi \cdot m_\omega^3} \quad (5)$$

$$\Gamma(\eta' \rightarrow \omega\gamma) = G_{\eta' \rightarrow \omega\gamma}^2 \cdot \frac{(m_{\eta'}^2 - m_{\omega}^2)^3}{32\pi \cdot m_{\eta'}^3} \quad (6)$$

So  $\omega$  coupling constant equals  $g_{\omega \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma} = G_{\omega \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma} \cdot G_{\omega \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma} = 0.0906817 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ .

Analogously, for a  $\rho$  coupling constant:

$$\Gamma(\rho \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma) = \frac{1}{3} \cdot G_{\rho \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma}^2 \cdot \frac{(m_{\rho}^2 - m_{\pi^0}^2)^3}{32\pi \cdot m_{\rho}^3} \quad (7)$$

$$\Gamma(\eta' \rightarrow \rho\gamma) = G_{\eta' \rightarrow \rho\gamma}^2 \cdot \frac{(m_{\eta'}^2 - m_{\rho}^2)^3}{32\pi \cdot m_{\eta'}^3} \quad (8)$$

The corresponding decay constant is  $g_{\rho} = G_{\rho \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma} \cdot G_{\eta' \rightarrow \rho\gamma} = 0.101054 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ .

Finally, for  $\phi$  meson:

$$\Gamma(\phi \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma) = \frac{1}{3} \cdot G_{\phi \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma}^2 \cdot \frac{(m_{\phi}^2 - m_{\pi^0}^2)^3}{32\pi \cdot m_{\phi}^3} \quad (9)$$

$$\Gamma(\phi \rightarrow \rho\gamma) = \frac{1}{3} \cdot G_{\phi \rightarrow \rho\gamma}^2 \cdot \frac{(m_{\phi}^2 - m_{\rho}^2)^3}{32\pi \cdot m_{\phi}^3} \quad (10)$$

So,  $c_{\phi} = G_{\phi \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma} \cdot G_{\phi \rightarrow \rho\gamma} = 0.008740 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$

The coupling constants  $c_{\omega}$  and  $c_{\rho}$  in this approach are only approximately the same, and the discrepancy between them is of order  $\sim 10\%$ ,  $c_{\phi}$  is small but nonzero.

In the limit of an exact OZI  $c_{\omega} = c_{\rho}$  and determined by the pseudoscalar mixing angle  $\phi_P$ , and  $c_{\phi} = 0$ .

$c_{\omega}^{OZI} = c_{\rho}^{OZI} = g_{\rho\eta'\gamma} \cdot g_{\rho\pi^0\gamma} = g_{\omega\eta'\gamma} \cdot g_{\omega\pi^0\gamma} = \left(\frac{Ge}{\sqrt{2}g}\right)^2 \cdot \frac{1}{3} \cdot \text{Sin}[\phi_P]$ , where  $G = \frac{3g^2}{4\pi^2 f_{\pi}}$ ,  $g \approx 4.2$ ,  $f_{\pi} \approx 93 \text{ MeV} = 0.093 \text{ GeV}$ .

$$\left(\frac{Ge}{\sqrt{2}g}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{e}{\sqrt{2}g} \frac{3g^2}{4\pi^2 f_{\pi}}\right)^2 = \frac{9g^2}{8\pi^3 \cdot f_{\pi}^2} \cdot \alpha = 0.540012 \text{ GeV}^{-2} \quad (11)$$

Nevertheless, the mixing angle is not uniquely defined. We derived the mixing angle in our previous work Ref.[6] to be  $\phi_P = 37.4^{\circ} \pm 0.4^{\circ}$ . In Ref.[7] the previous results on determination of the mixing angle in different ways are summarized. They provide several values extracted in different ways:

$\phi_P = 44.2^{\circ} \pm 1.4^{\circ}; 43.2^{\circ} \pm 2.8^{\circ}; 40.7^{\circ} \pm 3.7^{\circ}; 42.7^{\circ} \pm 5.4^{\circ}; 41.0^{\circ} \pm 3.5^{\circ}; 41.2^{\circ} \pm 3.7^{\circ}; 50^{\circ} \pm 26^{\circ}; 36.5^{\circ} \pm 1.4^{\circ}; 42.4^{\circ} \pm 2.0^{\circ}; 40.2^{\circ} \pm 2.8^{\circ}$

TABLE I: Coupling constants  $c_{\omega, \eta' \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \gamma}$ ,  $c_{\rho, \eta' \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \gamma}$  and the corresponding decay widths.

Decay widths	$c_{\omega}, GeV^{-2}$	$c_{\rho}, GeV^{-2}$	$c_{\phi}, GeV^{-2}$	$\Gamma_{VMD} (keV)$	$\Gamma_{VMD+ChPT(L\sigma M)} (keV)$
From the known decays.	0.090682	0.101054	0.008740	1.68	1.63
$\phi_P = 37.4^\circ$ (Ref.[6])	0.109331	0.109331	0	2.38	2.33
$\phi_P = 44.2^\circ$	0.125493	0.125493	0	3.13	3.06
$\phi_P = 43.2^\circ$	0.123221	0.123221	0	3.02	2.95
$\phi_P = 40.7^\circ$	0.117380	0.117380	0	2.74	2.68
$\phi_P = 42.7^\circ$	0.122072	0.122072	0	2.96	2.89
$\phi_P = 41.0^\circ$	0.118093	0.118093	0	2.77	2.71
$\phi_P = 41.2^\circ$	0.118567	0.118567	0	2.80	2.73
$\phi_P = 36.5^\circ$	0.107071	0.107071	0	2.28	2.23
$\phi_P = 42.4^\circ$	0.121377	0.121377	0	2.93	2.86
$\phi_P = 40.2^\circ$	0.116185	0.116185	0	2.69	2.62

In II the values of the coupling constants extracted in different ways are summarized. We disregard the measurement  $\phi_P = 50^\circ$  since its uncertainty is quite large.

We see, the coupling constants can vary up to  $\sim 30\%$  which can lead to a variation in the predicted decay width up to  $\sim 50\%$ .

The  $\omega$  - meson is quite narrow and its peaks are clearly seen on a Dalitz plot, but  $\rho$  - meson is much wider, so we have to estimate the corrections due to the dependence of the width of  $\rho$  - meson on energy.

The dependence of the width of  $\rho$  meson is dictated by the unitarity conditions Ref.[8].

We use a new parametrization of the  $\rho$ -meson width [9] instead of the one used previously [10]:

$$\Gamma_{\rho}(s) = \Gamma_{\rho} \cdot \frac{m_{\rho}}{\sqrt{s}} \cdot \left( \frac{s - 4 \cdot m_{\pi^+}^2}{m_{\rho}^2 - 4 \cdot m_{\pi^+}^2} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \cdot \theta(s - 4 \cdot m_{\pi^+}^2) \quad (12)$$




FIG. 2: The ratio of absolute values of Breit-Wigner function with a constant width of  $\rho$ -meson to Breit-Wigner function with a dynamical width of  $\rho$ -meson as a function of  $\rho$ -meson energy squared.

The constraints on the boundaries of the Dalitz - plot are the following:

$$\begin{cases} (m_{23}^2)_{max} = \left(\frac{M_{\eta'}^2 + m_{\pi^0}^2}{2m_{13}}\right)^2 - \left(\sqrt{\left(\frac{m_{13}^2 + m_{\pi^0}^2}{2m_{13}}\right)^2 - m_{\pi^0}^2} - \frac{M_{\eta'}^2 - m_{13}^2}{2m_{13}}\right)^2 \\ (m_{23}^2)_{min} = \left(\frac{M_{\eta'}^2 + m_{\pi^0}^2}{2m_{13}}\right)^2 - \left(\sqrt{\left(\frac{m_{13}^2 + m_{\pi^0}^2}{2m_{13}}\right)^2 - m_{\pi^0}^2} + \frac{M_{\eta'}^2 - m_{13}^2}{2m_{13}}\right)^2 \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

The decay width and the expression for 3-particle phase space are the following, from Ref.[11]:

$$d\Gamma = \frac{(2\pi)^4}{2M_{\eta'}} |A|^2 dR_3,$$

$$dR_3 = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{(2\pi)^9} \frac{\pi^2}{4s} dm_{13}^2 dm_{23}^2$$

, where the additional factor  $\frac{1}{2}$  comes from the fact that we have 2 identical  $\gamma$ -quants in the final state.

Assuming the initial  $\eta'$  to be at rest, we obtain:

$$d\Gamma = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{256\pi^3 M_{\eta'}^2} |A|^2 dm_{13}^2 dm_{23}^2$$

After that, the integral is taken numerically. The points are randomly dropped on the **squares**,  $[m_{13}^2, m_{23}^2]$ , since the integral is taken over the squares of Dalitz variables. The points which don't hit the Dalitz - plot are truncated.

After that the integral is replaced by a finite sum.




FIG. 3: Theoretical Dalitz plot.




FIG. 4: Theoretical differential decay width.

Consequently, we see, the prediction can significantly vary depending on the coupling constant used:  $\Gamma(\eta' \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \gamma) = 1.6 - 2.8 \text{ keV}$ , where  $\Gamma(\eta' \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \gamma) = 1.6 \text{ keV}$  corresponds to the coupling constants extracted from the known decays.

The theoretical Dalitz plot and  $\frac{d\Gamma_{\eta' \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \gamma}}{dm_{13}^2}$  are shown on the pictures 3, 4.

In order to understand our results better, we plot separately only the contribution of  $\omega$ -meson, so only the terms  $\sim c_\omega^2$  5, the contribution of  $\rho$ -meson only, so the terms  $\sim c_\rho^2$  6, and finally, the contribution of  $\omega - \rho$  interference which is  $\sim c_\omega \cdot c_\rho$  7.

So the decay width is split in the following way:  $\Gamma_{total} = \Gamma_\omega + \Gamma_\rho + \underbrace{\Gamma_{\omega-\rho}}_{\text{Interference } \omega-\rho}$ .




FIG. 5: Contribution of  $\omega$ -meson only.




FIG. 6: Contribution of  $\rho$ -meson only.




FIG. 7: Contribution of  $\omega - \rho$  interference.



Their relative contributions are the following:  $\frac{\Gamma_\omega}{\Gamma_{total}} \approx 75\%$ ,  $\frac{\Gamma_\rho}{\Gamma_{total}} \approx 5\%$ ,  $\frac{\Gamma_{\omega-\rho}}{\Gamma_{total}} \approx 20\%$ .

As we see, the interference term is crucial in the area of a Dalitz plot outside the range of  $\omega$  meson.

### III. OTHER POSSIBLE CONTRIBUTIONS

Other possible intermediate states which can give the contribution to this decay can be found by constructing the invariant amplitudes [12].

There are such options:  $0^{-+} \rightarrow \gamma^{--} + 1^{--}$ ,  $0^{-+} \rightarrow \gamma^{--} + 1^{+-}$ ,  $0^{-+} \rightarrow \gamma^{--} + 2^{+-}$ .

Additional intermediate vector states could be:  $\omega(1420)$ ,  $\rho(1450)$ ,  $\rho(1570)$ ,  $\omega(1650)$ ,  $\phi(1680)$ ,  $\rho(1700)$ ,  $\rho(1900)$ ,  $\rho(2150)$ ,  $\phi(2170)$ .

Possible axial intermediate states are:  $h_1(1150)$ ,  $b_1(1235)$ ,  $h_1(1380)$ .

We assume, all these possible intermediate states give a negligible contribution to this decay since they are quite heavy and thus far from the boundaries of Dalitz-plot.

Another opportunity is a new particle.

Dark photon is a hypothetical particle which is motivated from several directions. For instance, beryllium anomaly Ref.[14], dark matter effects and astrophysics Ref.[15, 16], and possibly muon  $g - 2$  Ref.[17–19]. Also, dark photon is a DM candidate itself Ref.[20].

In Ref.[13] was suggested a search for "dark photon", a new gauge boson  $\mathcal{B}$  which can manifest itself as a  $\pi^0\gamma$  resonance in rare decays of  $\eta$ ,  $\eta'$ ,  $\pi$ ,  $\omega$  mesons, including  $\eta' \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma\gamma$ .

$\mathcal{B}$  mass was estimated in a range 140 – 620 MeV and the quantum were assigned to be the same as quantum numbers of  $\omega$  meson  $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$ .

In Ref. [14] a particle interpretation of the Beryllium anomaly was suggested. The result of Atomki experiment can be explained by a new particle interpretation which may be either pseudoscalar, pseudovector or vector with a mass 17 MeV.

Clearly, this hypothetical particle could be an intermediate state in this decay.

### IV. CONCLUSIONS

To sum up, our theoretical predictions II are the following:

- Although the coupling constants of  $\omega$  and  $\rho$  mesons are approximately the same, since  $\omega$  has a much smaller width, it dominates and gives  $\approx 75\%$  of the contribution to the




FIG. 8: The vector-vector-pseudoscalar vertex.

integral over Dalitz-plot.

- The corresponding contribution of  $\rho$  - meson is  $\approx 5\%$ .
- Nevertheless, the contribution of  $\omega - \rho$  interference is big  $\approx 20\%$ .

The decay width can significantly vary depending on the coupling constant used:

$$\Gamma(\eta' \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \gamma) = 1.6 - 2.8 \text{ keV} \quad (14)$$

We expect  $\Gamma(\eta' \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \gamma) = 1.6 \text{ keV}$  to be the closest to the observed value since it's calculated with a constants derived from a the known decays without assumption of an exact OZI.

Nevertheless, for any choice of the coupling constant we see a clear discrepancy with the observed result by BES-III which can be attributed to the New Physics.

Moreover, this decay may be used as a probe of BSM Physics since unlike the similar decays,  $\eta' \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \gamma$  is dominated by VMD and is less influences by uncertainties inherent for ChPT.

## V. APPENDIX A

In this Appendix we make an explicit calculation of the decay rate. We use a well-known vector-vector-pseudoscalar vertex  $V$ .

The amplitude squared takes the form:

$$|A|^2 = G^2 \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \epsilon_\omega^\mu p_\nu^\nu \epsilon_f^\alpha k^\beta \cdot \epsilon^{\rho\sigma\tau\delta} \epsilon_{\omega\rho} p_\sigma \epsilon_{f\tau} k_\delta$$

taking into account that:

$$\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \cdot \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} = -2(\delta_\mu^\gamma \delta_\nu^\delta - \delta_\nu^\gamma \delta_\mu^\delta)$$

and assuming that  $\omega$ -meson is at rest, we obtain:

$$|A|^2 = 2G^2(p \cdot q)^2 = 2G^2 m_\omega^2 q^2$$

Using Ref.[11] and taking into account that  $\omega$  and  $\rho$  have spin 1, the decay width is:

$$d\Gamma = \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{2m_\omega} |A|^2 \frac{d^3 p_\pi}{(2\pi)^3 2E_\pi} \frac{d^3 q}{(2\pi)^3 2q} (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(P - \sum p)$$

Calculate  $\delta$ -function:

$$m_\omega^2 - 2q \cdot m_\omega + q^2 = q^2 + m_{\pi^0}^2 \Rightarrow q_0 = \frac{m_\omega^2 - m_{\pi^0}^2}{2m_\omega}$$

Derivative in this point is:

$$1 + \frac{q_0}{\sqrt{q_0^2 + m_{\pi^0}^2}} = \frac{2m_\omega^2}{m_\omega^2 + m_\pi^2}$$

$$\text{Finally, } \delta(m_\omega - q - \sqrt{q^2 + m_{\pi^0}^2}) = \frac{m_\omega^2 + m_{\pi^0}^2}{2m_\omega^2} \delta(q - \frac{m_\omega^2 - m_{\pi^0}^2}{2m_\omega}).$$

$$\Gamma(\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma) = \frac{1}{3} \cdot G_{\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma}^2 \frac{4\pi \cdot 2m_\omega^2}{2m_\omega (2\pi)^2} \cdot \int \frac{q^2 \cdot q^2 dq}{2q \cdot 2\sqrt{q^2 + m_{\pi^0}^2}} \delta(m_\omega - q - \sqrt{q^2 + m_{\pi^0}^2}) = \frac{1}{3} \cdot G_{|\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma}^2 \left(\frac{m_\omega}{4\pi}\right) J$$

The integral equals:

$$J = \int \frac{q^3 dq}{\sqrt{q^2 + m_{\pi^0}^2}} \delta(m_\omega - q - \sqrt{q^2 + m_{\pi^0}^2}) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{(m_\omega^2 - m_{\pi^0}^2)^3}{m_\omega^2 (m_\omega^2 + m_{\pi^0}^2)} \cdot \frac{m_\omega^2 + m_{\pi^0}^2}{2m_\omega^2} = \frac{(m_\omega^2 - m_{\pi^0}^2)^3}{8m_\omega^4}$$

Finally, we obtain the result for the decay  $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$

$$\Gamma(\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma) = \frac{1}{3} \cdot G_{\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma}^2 \frac{(m_\omega^2 - m_{\pi^0}^2)^3}{32\pi \cdot m_\omega^3} \quad (15)$$

For the decays  $(\rho, \phi) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$  we just have to replace  $\omega \rightarrow \rho, \phi$ . For  $\phi \rightarrow \eta' \gamma$  we have to replace  $\omega \rightarrow \phi$  and  $\pi^0 \rightarrow \eta'$ .

For the decays  $\eta' \rightarrow \omega \gamma$  and  $\eta' \rightarrow \rho \gamma$  we have to replace  $\omega \rightarrow \eta'$ ,  $\pi^0 \rightarrow \omega$  and  $\pi^0 \rightarrow \rho$  for each of decay respectively. Also there is no  $\frac{1}{3}$  factor since  $\eta'$  is a pseudoscalar particle.

## VI. APPENDIX B

With the use of the vertex V we have the VMD amplitude Ref.[5]:

$$M = \sum_{V=\rho,\omega,\phi} c_V \cdot \left[ \frac{(P \cdot q_2 - M_{\eta'}^2)\{a\} - \{b\}}{D_V(t)} + \frac{(P \cdot q_1 - M_{\eta'}^2)\{a\} - \{b\}}{D_V(u)} \right] \quad (16)$$

, where  $\{a\} = (\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2) \cdot (q_1 \cdot q_2) - (\epsilon_1 \cdot q_2) \cdot (q_1 \cdot \epsilon_2)$ ,  $\{b\} = (\epsilon_1 \cdot q_2) \cdot (\epsilon_2 \cdot P) \cdot (P \cdot q_1) + (\epsilon_2 \cdot q_1) \cdot (\epsilon_1 \cdot P) \cdot (P \cdot q_2) - (\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2) \cdot (P \cdot q_1) \cdot (P \cdot q_2) - (\epsilon_1 \cdot P) \cdot (\epsilon_2 \cdot P) \cdot (q_1 \cdot q_2)$ . Here  $P$  - the momentum of  $\eta'$  meson,  $q_{1,2}$  - momenta of 2 out-coming photons,  $\epsilon_{1,2}$  - their polarization vectors.

Both  $\{a\}$  and  $\{b\}$  are invariant under the simultaneous replacement  $\{\epsilon_1, q_1\} \leftrightarrow \{\epsilon_2, q_2\}$ .

The sum over the the polarizations of the 2 final photons of contractions  $\{a\}$  and  $\{b\}$  is:

$$\sum_{polarizations} \{a\}^2 = 2 \cdot (q_1 \cdot q_2)^2, \quad \sum_{polarizations} \{a\}\{b\} = -M_{\eta'}^2 \cdot (q_1 \cdot q_2)^2 \quad (17)$$

$$\sum_{polarizations} \{b\}^2 = M_{\eta'}^4 \cdot (q_1 \cdot q_2)^2 + 2 \cdot (P \cdot q_1)^2 \cdot (P \cdot q_2)^2 - 2 \cdot M_{\eta'}^2 \cdot (P \cdot q_1) \cdot (P \cdot q_2) \cdot (q_1 \cdot q_2) \quad (18)$$

After the sum over polarization the amplitude squared of matrix element takes the form

$$M_{fin} = B(q_2)B(q_2)BW(t) + 2B(q_2)B(q_1)BW_{int} + B(q_1)B(q_1)BW(u) \quad (19)$$

, where  $BW(t, u)$  - amplitude squared of the Breit-Wigner function,  $BW_{int}$  - interference term constructed from Breit-Wigner functions, and  $B(q_2)B(q_2)$ ,  $B(q_2)B(q_1)$  and  $B(q_1)B(q_1)$  - kinematic coefficients obtained after taking a sum over polarization of  $\gamma$ .

In terms of 4-momenta the kinematic coefficients are given by

$$B(q_2)B(q_2) = (q_1 \cdot q_2)^2 [(P_{\eta'} \cdot q_2)^2 + ((P_{\eta'} \cdot q_2) - M_{\eta'}^2)^2] + 2(P_{\eta'} \cdot q_1)(P_{\eta'} \cdot q_2) [(P_{\eta'} \cdot q_1)(P_{\eta'} \cdot q_2) - M_{\eta'}^2(q_1 \cdot q_2)];$$

$$B(q_2)B(q_1) = (q_1 \cdot q_2)^2 [2(P_{\eta'} \cdot q_1)(P_{\eta'} \cdot q_2) - M_{\eta'}^2((P_{\eta'} \cdot q_1) + (P_{\eta'} \cdot q_2) - M_{\eta'}^2)] + 2(P_{\eta'} \cdot q_1)(P_{\eta'} \cdot q_2) [(P_{\eta'} \cdot q_1)(P \cdot q_2) - M_{\eta'}^2 \cdot (q_1 \cdot q_2)];$$

$$B(q_1)B(q_1) = (q_1, q_2)^2 [(P_{\eta'} \cdot q_1)^2 + ((P_{\eta'} \cdot q_1) - M_{\eta'}^2)^2] + 2(P_{\eta'} \cdot q_1)(P_{\eta'} \cdot q_2) [(P_{\eta'} \cdot q_1)(P_{\eta'} \cdot q_2) - M_{\eta'}^2(q_1 \cdot q_2)];$$

, where  $P_{\eta'}$  and  $p_{\pi^0}$  - momenta of  $\eta'$  and  $\pi^0$  respectively, and  $q_{1,2}$  - momenta of outcoming photons.

Now we switch to Dalitz variables which are defined by:  $m_{13} = (q_1 + p_{\pi^0})^2$  and  $m_{23} = (q_2 + p_{\pi^0})^2$ .

Taking into account that  $P_{\eta'} = p_{\pi^0} + q_1 + q_2$ , we find the products of 4-vectors in terms of Dalitz variables:

$$\begin{aligned} (p_{\pi^0} \cdot q_1) &= \frac{m_{13}^2 - m_{\pi^0}^2}{2}, (p_{\pi^0} \cdot q_2) = \frac{m_{23}^2 - m_{\pi^0}^2}{2}, (q_1 \cdot q_2) = \frac{M_{\eta'}^2 + m_{\pi^0}^2 - m_{13}^2 - m_{23}^2}{2}, (P_{\eta'} \cdot q_1) = \\ &= \frac{M_{\eta'}^2 - m_{23}^2}{2}, (P_{\eta'} \cdot q_2) = \frac{M_{\eta'}^2 - m_{13}^2}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Now the kinematic coefficients take the form:

$$B(q_2)B(q_2) = \frac{1}{8} \left[ (M_{\eta'}^2 + m_{\pi^0}^2 - m_{13}^2 - m_{23}^2)^2 (M_{\eta'}^4 + m_{13}^4) + (M_{\eta'}^2 - m_{13}^2)(M_{\eta'}^2 - m_{23}^2)(m_{13}^2 m_{23}^2 + M_{\eta'}^2(m_{13}^2 + m_{23}^2 - 2m_{\pi^0}^2 - M_{\eta'}^2)) \right];$$

$$B(q_2)B(q_1) = \frac{1}{8} \left[ (M_{\eta'}^2 + m_{\pi^0}^2 - m_{13}^2 - m_{23}^2)^2 (M_{\eta'}^4 + m_{13}^2 m_{23}^2) + (M_{\eta'}^2 - m_{13}^2)(M_{\eta'}^2 - m_{23}^2)(m_{13}^2 m_{23}^2 + M_{\eta'}^2(m_{13}^2 + m_{23}^2 - 2m_{\pi^0}^2 - M_{\eta'}^2)) \right];$$

$$B(q_1)B(q_1) = \frac{1}{8} \left[ (M_{\eta'}^2 + m_{\pi^0}^2 - m_{13}^2 - m_{23}^2)^2 (M_{\eta'}^4 + m_{23}^4) + (M_{\eta'}^2 - m_{13}^2)(M_{\eta'}^2 - m_{23}^2)(m_{13}^2 m_{23}^2 + M_{\eta'}^2(m_{13}^2 + m_{23}^2 - 2m_{\pi^0}^2 - M_{\eta'}^2)) \right];$$

Breit-Wigner functions are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} BW(t, u) &= \left| \frac{c_\omega}{(m_\omega^2 - m_{13,23}^2) - i m_\omega \Gamma_\omega} + \frac{c_\rho}{(m_\rho^2 - m_{13,23}^2) - i m_\rho \Gamma_\rho} + \frac{c_\phi}{(m_\phi^2 - m_{13,23}^2) - i m_\phi \Gamma_\phi} \right|^2 \\ BW_{int} &= \text{Re} \left[ \left( \frac{c_\omega}{(m_\omega^2 - m_{13,23}^2) - i m_\omega \Gamma_\omega} + \frac{c_\rho}{(m_\rho^2 - m_{13,23}^2) - i m_\rho \Gamma_\rho} + \frac{c_\phi}{(m_\phi^2 - m_{13,23}^2) - i m_\phi \Gamma_\phi} \right) \cdot \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left( \frac{c_\omega}{(m_\omega^2 - m_{23,13}^2) + i m_\omega \Gamma_\omega} + \frac{c_\rho}{(m_\rho^2 - m_{23,13}^2) + i m_\rho \Gamma_\rho} + \frac{c_\phi}{(m_\phi^2 - m_{23,13}^2) + i m_\phi \Gamma_\phi} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

, where  $t$  corresponds to  $m_{13}$  and  $u$  to  $m_{23}$  respectively.  $\omega$  and  $\phi$  mesons are taken with the constant decay width and  $\rho$  meson has decay width dependent on the energy.

## VII. APPENDIX C

In this Appendix we consider the ChPT in combination with  $L\sigma M$ , Refs. [3–5], and use a different values of the mixing angle. For the case of the constants extracted from the known decays, we use the mixing angle from our previous work Ref. [6].

The full  $L\sigma M$  amplitude is given by:

$$A_{K^+ K^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta'}^{L\sigma M} = \frac{1}{2 \cdot f_\pi^2} \cdot \frac{(s - M_{\eta'}^2) \cdot (m_{K^+}^2 - m_{a_0}^2)}{D_{a_0}(s)} \cdot \text{Sin}[\phi_P] + A_{\eta' \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \gamma}^X - \frac{s - M_{\eta'}^2}{2 \cdot f_\pi^2} \cdot \text{Sin}[\phi_P] \quad (20)$$

$$A_{\eta' \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \gamma}^X = \frac{2 \cdot \alpha}{\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{m_{K^+}^2} \cdot L\left(\frac{s}{m_{K^+}^2}\right) \cdot \{a\} \times A_{K^+ K^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma}^X \quad (21)$$

, where  $s = 2(q_1 \cdot q_2)$  and  $A_{\eta' \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \gamma}^X$  - four-pseudoscalar amplitude is:

$$A_{\eta' \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \gamma}^X = \frac{1}{4 \cdot f_\pi^2} \cdot \left[ \left( s - \frac{M_{\eta'}^2}{3} - \frac{8 \cdot M_{K^+}}{9} - \frac{m_{\pi^+}^2}{9} \right) \cdot (\text{Sin}[\phi_P] - \sqrt{2} \cdot \text{Cos}[\phi_P]) + \frac{4}{9} \cdot (2 \cdot m_{K^+}^2 + m_{\pi^+}^2) \cdot (\text{Sin}[\phi_P] - \frac{\text{Cos}[\phi_P]}{\sqrt{2}}) \right]$$

$f_\pi = 92.42 \text{ MeV}$  - pion decay constant,  $\alpha$  - fine structure constant. The loop integral is:

$$L(z) = -\frac{1}{2z} - \frac{2}{z^2} \cdot f\left(\frac{1}{z}\right), \quad f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4} \cdot (\log(\frac{1+\sqrt{1-4z}}{1-\sqrt{1-4z}}) - i \cdot \pi)^2, & \text{if } z < \frac{1}{4}; \\ -(\text{ArcSin}[\frac{1}{2\sqrt{z}}])^2, & \text{if } z > \frac{1}{4}; \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

The only sizable contribution is the interference of this term with the VMD amplitude.

In terms of Dalitz variables it's given by:

$$\begin{aligned} Int = & -\frac{\alpha}{\pi \cdot m_{K^+}^2} \cdot (M_{\eta'}^2 + m_{\pi^0}^2 - m_{13}^2 - m_{23}^2)^2 \cdot (m_{13}^2 \cdot \text{Re}[(\sum_{V=\omega,\rho,\phi} \frac{1}{D_V(t)})^+] \cdot L(\frac{s}{m_{K^+}^2}) \cdot \\ & A_{K^+K^- \rightarrow \pi^0\eta'}^{L\sigma M}] + m_{23}^2 \cdot \text{Re}[(\sum_{V=\omega,\rho,\phi} \frac{1}{D_V(u)})^+] \cdot L(\frac{s}{m_{K^+}^2}) \cdot A_{K^+K^- \rightarrow \pi^0\eta'}^{L\sigma M}]) \end{aligned}$$

- 
- [1] "Observation of the doubly radiative decay  $\eta' \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\pi^0$ ", arXiv:1612.05721v5
- [2] K.A. Olive et al. (Particle Data Group), *Chin. Phys. C*, 38, 090001 (2014).
- [3] L. Ametller, J. Bijnens, A. Bramon and F. Cornet, *Phys. Lett.B276*, 185 (1992).
- [4] R. Jora, *Nucl. Phys. B, Proc. Suppl.* 207208, 224 (2010).
- [5] R.Escribano, arXiv:1207.5400
- [6]  $\eta' - \eta$  mixing and decays of mesons with heavy quarks, Ja. V. Balitsky, V. V. Kiselev and A.K. Likhoded, V. D. Samoylenko
- [7] "The  $\eta - \eta'$  mixing angle revisited", A. Bramon, R. Escribano, M. D. Scadron
- [8] Unitarity, Analyticity and Crossing Symmetry in Two- and Three-hadron Final State Interactions, Ian J. R. Aitchison, arXiv:1507.02697
- [9] An Alternative Parametrization of the Pion Form Factor and the Mass and Width of ( $\eta(770)$ ), arXiv:hep-ph/0611163v1
- [10] Matts ROOS, Jan PISUT ,PION FORM FACTOR AND RHO MESON WIDTH, 8.B.6 Nuclear Physics B10 (1969) 563-577. North-Holland Publ. Comp., Amsterdam
- [11] COmpendium of RElations, Borodulin, Rogalyov, Slabospitsky, hep-ph/9507456
- [12] Nelipa, "Introduction to the theory of strongly interacting particles", 1970.
- [13] "New weakly-coupled forces hidden in low-energy QCD", Sean Tulin, arXiv:1404.4370
- [14] J. Feng et al., Protophobic Fifth Force Interpretation of the Observed Anomaly in  $8\text{Be}$  Nuclear Transitions, 1604.07411 [hep-ph], PRL 117, 071803 (2016)
- [15] Pospelov, Maxim; Ritz, Adam (January 2009). "Astrophysical Signatures of Secluded Dark Matter". *Physics Letters B.* 671 (3): 391397. arXiv:0810.1502
- [16] Arkani-Hamed, Nima; Finkbeiner, Douglas P.; Slatyer, Tracy R.; Weiner, Neal (2009-01-27). "A Theory of Dark Matter". *Physical Review D.* 79 (1). arXiv:0810.0713
- [17] Pospelov, Maxim (2009-11-02). "Secluded U(1) below the weak scale". *Physical Review D.* 80 (9). arXiv:0811.1030
- [18] Endo, Motoi; Hamaguchi, Koichi; Mishima, Go (2012-11-27). "Constraints on Hidden Photon Models from Electron  $g-2$  and Hydrogen Spectroscopy". *Physical Review D.* 86 (9). arXiv:1209.2558
- [19] Giusti, D.; Lubicz, V.; Martinelli, G.; Sanfilippo, F.; Simula, S. (October 2017). "Strange and

charm HVP contributions to the muon  $(g - 2)$  including QED corrections with twisted-mass fermions". *Journal of High Energy Physics*. 2017 (10). arXiv:1707.03019

- [20] Arias, Paola; Cadamuro, Davide; Goodsell, Mark; Jaeckel, Joerg; Redondo, Javier; Ringwald, Andreas (2012-06-08). "WISPy Cold Dark Matter". *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics*. 2012 (6): 013013. arXiv:1201.5902.