

OPTIMAL SMALL DATA SCATTERING FOR THE GENERALIZED DERIVATIVE NONLINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATIONS

RUOBING BAI, YIFEI WU, AND JUN XUE

ABSTRACT. In this work, we consider the following generalized derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equations

$$i\partial_t u + \partial_{xx} u + i|u|^{2\sigma} \partial_x u = 0, \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}.$$

We prove that when $\sigma \geq 2$, the solution is global and scattering when the initial data is small in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Moreover, we show that when $0 < \sigma < 2$, there exist a class of solitary wave solutions $\{\phi_c\}$ satisfy

$$\|\phi_c\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} \rightarrow 0,$$

when c tends to some endpoint, which is against the small data scattering statement. Therefore, the restriction $\sigma \geq 2$ is optimal for scattering.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider the small data scattering of the Cauchy problem for the following generalized derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation (gDNLS)

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \partial_x^2 u + i|u|^{2\sigma} \partial_x u = 0, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \\ u(x, 0) = \varphi(x). \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Here $\sigma > 0$, $u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an unknown function.

The generalized derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equations describe the physical phenomenon of Alfvén waves with small but finite amplitude propagating along the magnetic field in cold plasmas (see for example [56]).

After suitable gauge transformation, the equation in (1.1) can be regarded as a generalization of the following derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation (DNLS)

$$i\partial_t u + \partial_x^2 u + i\partial_x(|u|^2 u) = 0. \quad (1.2)$$

The well-posedness theory and the long time behavior of the solution for the equation (1.2) has been widely considered by many researchers. For a local well-posedness result, Hayashi and Ozawa [31, 32] proved that equation (1.2) is locally well-posed in the Sobolev space $H^1(\mathbb{R})$, see also the previous works [19, 73]. Very recently, Mosincat and Yoon [60] proved the unconditional well-posedness in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s > \frac{1}{2}$, see also Dan, Li and Ning [13] for the previous work in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s > \frac{2}{3}$. With regard to the theory of global well-posedness, Hayashi and Ozawa [31] proved that it is globally well-posed in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ under the condition that the

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35Q55; Secondary 35B40.

Key words and phrases. generalized derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation, scattering, small initial data.

The authors are partially supported by NSFC 11771325 and 11571118.

initial data satisfies $\|u_0\|_{L^2} < \sqrt{2\pi}$. Wu [75, 76] showed that it is globally well-posed in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ under the condition $\|u_0\|_{L^2} < 2\sqrt{\pi}$. Guo and Wu [21] later proved that it is globally well-posed in $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R})$ under the same condition of initial data, see also [9, 10, 61] for the previous results on the low regularity. The same results also hold in the periodic case, see Mosincat and Oh [59] in $H^1(\mathbb{T})$, and Mosincat [58] in $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T})$. More recently, Jenkins, Liu, Perry and Sulem [38] proved that the Cauchy problem (1.2) is globally well-posed in the weighted Sobolev space $H^{2,2}(\mathbb{R})$.

The equation in (1.1) in the case of $\sigma \neq 1$ also attracts a lot of researchers in recent years. Firstly, for the local well-posedness result, when $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$, Linares, Ponce and Santos [47], [48] proved the local well-posedness for a class of data of arbitrary size in an appropriate weighted Sobolev space. When $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma < 1$, Hayashi and Ozawa [34] proved that (gDNLS) is locally well-posed in $H^2(\mathbb{R})$, and Santos [67] showed the local well-posedness in a weighted space. When $\sigma > 1$, Hayashi and Ozawa [34] proved that (gDNLS) is locally well-posed in energy space $H^1(\mathbb{R})$. Hao [29] proved that it is locally well-posed in $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R})$, when $\sigma \geq \frac{5}{2}$. Santos [67] proved that it is locally well-posed in $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R})$ with small initial data when $\sigma > 1$. Secondly, compared with the local well-posedness, there are only a few results of global well-posedness. When $0 < \sigma < 1$, Hayashi and Ozawa [34] showed the global existence without uniqueness of (gDNLS) in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$. For $\sigma > 1$, Fukaya, Hayashi and Inui [15] gave a sufficient condition of initial data for global well-posedness in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$. Some other results related to the stability theory and inverse scattering theory can be found in [7, 8, 16, 18, 20, 37, 39, 44, 45, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 63, 64, 69] and the references therein.

This equation also has its independent interest, which obeys the form of

$$i\partial_t u + \Delta u = P(u, \bar{u}, \partial_x u, \partial_x \bar{u}). \quad (1.3)$$

The well-posedness theory of equation (1.3) has been studied by many researchers, which we only involve a few of them and readers can find more of them from their references, when P is a polynomial of the form $P(z) = \sum_{d \leq |\alpha| \leq l} C_\alpha z^\alpha$ and l, d are integers with $l \geq d$. For general cases with $d \geq 3$, Kenig, Ponce and Vega [42] showed that the equation (1.3) is locally well-posed with small initial data in $H^{\frac{7}{2}}(\mathbb{R})$. Some further results have been acquired when P is only composed of \bar{u} and $\partial_x \bar{u}$ under some suitable assumption. Grünrock [28] proved that the equation (1.3) is locally well-posed for $s > \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{d-1}$ when $P = \partial_x(\bar{u}^d)$ and $s > \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{d-1}$ when $P = (\partial_x \bar{u})^d$ respectively. Hirayama [36] later extended Grünrock's results to the small data global well-posedness for $s \geq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{d-1}$ when $P = \partial_x(\bar{u}^d)$. Recently, Pornnopparith [65] proved that when each term in P contains only one derivative, the equation (1.3) is locally well-posed in $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R})$, and when a term in P has more than one derivative, the equation (1.3) is locally well-posed in $H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, Pornnopparith also proved that when $d \geq 5$, (1.3) is almost globally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ when P has only one derivative and $s \geq \frac{1}{2}$, or when P has more than one derivative and $s > \frac{3}{2}$. Some more results on nonlinearity $\partial_x P(u, \bar{u})$ are also contained. For higher dimension and more related theories, see [1, 2, 11, 42, 74] and the references therein.

All of the results above are related to the theories of local and global well-posedness. To our knowledge, there is no scattering result yet to (gDNLS). The related result on modified scattering can be found in [23, 33] and the references therein.

One of the motivation to prove scattering is as a serve of our further study. In order to consider the long-time behavior of the solution to (gDNLS), the small data scattering theory

is initially needed in some situation, for example, long-time perturbation theory when we use the concentration-compactness argument.

Moreover, it was known that when $\sigma = 1$, there exist solitary wave solutions which can be arbitrarily close to zero. This implies that the small data scattering is not true when $\sigma = 1$. So one may wonder the optimal value of σ such that the scattering statement holds when the initial data is small enough in some Sobolev space. This is another motivation in the present paper. In particular, for semilinear Schrödinger equation, there are two important exponents named short range exponent and the Struass exponent. When the nonlinear power is larger than the short range exponent $3(1 + \frac{2}{d})$ for general dimensions, one has the global well-posedness and the existence of the wave operator for small data, see for examples [6, 17, 62]; when the nonlinear power is larger than the Struass exponent $\frac{\sqrt{17}+3}{2} \approx 3.56$ ($\frac{\sqrt{d^2+12d+4+d+2}}{2}$ for general dimensions), one has the scattering for small data, see [68]. According to these, especially because of the short range exponent, one may ask whether $\sigma = 1$ is the optimal exponent for scattering. However, there is no such general result for non-semilinear Schrödinger equation, related results see [12, 14, 25, 30] and the references therein. In fact, it is of much model dependence when the nonlinearity contains derivatives. In the present paper, as what we will shown in the following, the situation for the generalized derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation (1.1) is of much difference, compared with the semilinear Schrödinger equations, and the models mentioned in the references above.

For all $\sigma > 0$, the equation (1.1) has a two-parameter family of solitary waves,

$$u_{\omega,c}(t) = e^{i\omega t} \phi_{\omega,c}(x - ct),$$

where the parameters $c^2 < 4\omega$ or $0 < c = 2\sqrt{\omega}$, and $\phi_{\omega,c}$ is the solution of the form

$$\phi_{\omega,c}(x) = \varphi_{\omega,c}(x) \exp \left\{ \frac{c}{2}ix - \frac{i}{2\sigma + 2} \int_{-\infty}^x \varphi_{\omega,c}^{2\sigma}(y) dy \right\}, \quad (1.4)$$

with

$$\varphi_{\omega,c}(x) = \left\{ \frac{(\sigma + 1)(4\omega - c^2)}{2\sqrt{\omega} \cosh(\sigma\sqrt{4\omega - c^2}x) - c} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2\sigma}}.$$

Shown in Appendix, we prove that when $0 < \sigma < 2$,

$$\|\phi_{\omega,c}\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{when } c \rightarrow -2\sqrt{\omega}.$$

However, when $\sigma \geq 2$, there exists a positive constant c_0 , such that

$$\|\phi_{\omega,c}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \geq c_0, \quad \text{for any } (\omega, c) \in \{(\omega, c) : c^2 < 4\omega, \text{ or } 0 < c = 2\sqrt{\omega}\}.$$

Hence, the small data scattering is not true for all $0 < \sigma < 2$, but it is reasonable to claim that the small data scattering holds when $\sigma \geq 2$. Our paper aims to show this assertion.

Now we state our main result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\sigma \geq 2$, $s \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\varphi \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$. There exists a constant $\delta_0 > 0$, such that if $\|\varphi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})} \leq \delta_0$, then the corresponding solution u is global, and*

$$\|D^{s-\frac{1}{2}}\partial_x u\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})} + \|D^{s-\frac{1}{2}}u\|_{L_t^4 L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})} \lesssim \|\varphi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Moreover, there exists a unique u_\pm such that for any $s \geq \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\|u(t) - e^{it\Delta}u_\pm\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \pm\infty.$$

Remark 1.2. The same result is also true when we consider the nonlinearity $P(u, \bar{u}, \partial_x u, \partial_x \bar{u})$ and $d \geq 5$ in (1.3) with P has only one derivative. As a comparable result, Pornnopparith [65] proved that when $\sigma \geq 5$, and is an integer, the equation in (1.1) is almost globally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s > \frac{1}{2}$. Here “almost” is in the sense that given an arbitrary large $T > 0$, there exists a constant $C = C(T) > 0$, such that for any initial data $u_0 : \|u_0\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})} \leq C$, the corresponding solution is in $[0, T]$. Theorem 1.1 improves Pornnopparith’s result. On one hand, we do not restrict that σ is an integer. On the other hand, as a byproduct of scattering, we prove the global well-posedness in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s \geq \frac{1}{2}$, which contains the “endpoint” case $s = \frac{1}{2}$ and the global well-posedness in the general sense. We believe that the index $s = \frac{1}{2}$ is optimal for local well-posedness in the sense of uniform continuity of the solution flow. However, it is not proved in this paper and leaves us an interesting problem to pursue later.

We use the bootstrap argument to prove Theorem 1.1. More precisely, after suitably defining the working space X_T with any fixed time T , our purpose is to show the uniform-in-time estimate:

$$\|u\|_{X_T} \leq C_1 \|\varphi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})} + C_2 \|u\|_{X_T}^{2\sigma+1}.$$

Here C_1, C_2 are the constants independent of T . Hence, as another byproduct, we can prove the local well-posedness for large initial data in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s \geq \frac{1}{2}$, by using the standard fixed point argument. This improves the previous work of Hao [29]. The tools we use in the present paper are the smoothing effects and the maximal function estimates. Compared with the low power case $\sigma < 2$, the maximal function estimates in the case of $\sigma \geq 2$ provide many benefits. This enables us to handle the nonlinearity properly and establish the uniform-in-time estimate. However, since our desired result is stronger than the previous ones, the situation here has more obstacles, the key ingredients in our proofs are presented below.

(1) A suitable working space is constructed. In order to establish the uniform estimation on time T , a related complicated working space need to be constructed. We define the working space with its norm as

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{X_T} = & \|u\|_{L_t^\infty H_x^s([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})} + \|\partial_x u\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} + \sup_{q \in [2+\varepsilon, +\infty)} \|u\|_{L_x^q L_t^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} \\ & + \|u\|_{L_t^4 L_x^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})} + \sup_{r \in [2+\varepsilon, +\infty)} \|D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L_x^r L_t^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} \\ & + \|D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \partial_x u\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} + \|D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L_t^4 L_x^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned}$$

Here ε is a fixed small parameter. We shall prove that the estimation of each norm in X_T is closed. The selection of norms plays an important role in our paper.

(2) A key split on the terms involved the fractional derivatives is carried out. The endpoint Kato-Ponce inequality recently proved by Bourgain and Li [4] shall be used to deal with some L^∞ - L^∞ type Leibniz rule for fractional derivatives. Moreover, a regular process using Hölder’s inequality fails to control these terms by $\|u\|_{X_T}$, since most of the mixed norms like $\sup_{q \in [2+\varepsilon, +\infty)} \|u\|_{L_x^q L_t^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])}$ are the norm of time ahead. So the subtle split is established, thus we are able to change the order of the mixed norm in some applicable way. This idea has significant influence to obtain our whole estimation on the form of $\|u\|_{X_T}$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some basic notations and some preliminary estimates that will be used throughout in our paper. In Section 3, we prove scattering result for (gDNLS) in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ with small initial datum.

2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARY

2.1. Notation. We write $X \lesssim Y$ or $Y \gtrsim X$ to indicate $X \leq CY$ for some constant $C > 0$. The notation $a+$ denotes $a + \varepsilon$ for any small ε , and also $a - \varepsilon$ for $a -$. Denote $\langle \cdot \rangle = (1 + |\cdot|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $D^\alpha = (-\partial_x^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$. The Hilbert space $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ is a Banach space of elements such that $\langle \xi \rangle^s \hat{u} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, where \mathcal{F} denotes the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}u(\xi) = \hat{u}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} u(x) dx$, and equipped with the norm $\|u\|_{H^s} = \|\langle \xi \rangle^s \hat{u}(\xi)\|_{L^2}$. An usual property of the Fourier transform is the Plancherel equality, that is, $\|f\|_{L^2} = \|\hat{f}\|_{L^2}$. We also have an embedding theorem that $\|u\|_{H^{s_1}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s_2}}$ for any $s_1 \leq s_2$, $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Throughout the whole paper, the letter C will denote various positive constants which are of no importance in our analysis. We use the following norms to denote the mixed spaces $L_t^q L_x^r([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})$ and $L_x^r L_t^q(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])$, that is,

$$\|u\|_{L_t^q L_x^r([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})} = \left(\int_0^T \|u\|_{L_x^r(\mathbb{R})}^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

and

$$\|u\|_{L_x^r L_t^q(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|u\|_{L_t^q([0, T])}^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}.$$

2.2. Preliminary. In this section, we state some preliminary estimates of the linear Schrödinger operator $e^{it\Delta}$ which will be used in our later sections. Firstly, we recall the well-known Strichartz estimates.

Lemma 2.1. (Strichartz's estimates, see [5]). *Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval. For all admissible pair (q, r) satisfying*

$$2 \leq r \leq \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{2}{q} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{r},$$

the following estimates hold:

$$\|e^{it\Delta} f\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(I \times \mathbb{R})} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}; \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-t')\Delta} F(x, t') dt' \right\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(I \times \mathbb{R})} \lesssim \|F\|_{L_t^{q'} L_x^{r'}(I \times \mathbb{R})}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r'} = 1$.

The next lemma is the smoothing effects.

Lemma 2.2. (Smoothing effects, see [41, 49]). *Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval. Then*

1)

$$\|D^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{it\Delta} f\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times I)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \quad (2.3)$$

for all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$; and

2)

$$\left\| D^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^t e^{i(t-t')\Delta} F(x, t') dt' \right\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2(I \times \mathbb{R})} \lesssim \|F\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times I)}; \quad (2.4)$$

3)

$$\left\| \partial_x \int_0^t e^{i(t-t')\Delta} F(x, t') dt' \right\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times I)} \lesssim \|F\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times I)}; \quad (2.5)$$

for all $F \in L_x^1 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times I)$.

Next, we introduce the following maximal function estimates for the linear Schrödinger equation.

Lemma 2.3. (Maximal function estimates, see [40, 43, 57, 66, 67]). *Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval. Let $2 < p < \infty$ and $s \geq \max\{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p}\}$. Then we have*

$$\|e^{it\Delta} f\|_{L_x^p L_t^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times I)} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})}; \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$\left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-t')\Delta} F(x, t') dt' \right\|_{L_x^p L_t^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times I)} \lesssim \|F\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times I)} + \|F\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2(I \times \mathbb{R})}. \quad (2.7)$$

Next, we show the Leibniz and chain rule for fractional derivatives, see [4, 41, 46] and the references therein.

Lemma 2.4. (Leibniz and chain rule for fractional derivatives). *Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval. Then*

1) *Let $s \in (0, 1)$, $1 < p \leq \infty$, and $1 < p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 \leq \infty$ with $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$, $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_3} + \frac{1}{p_4}$, and let $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, then*

$$\|D^s(fg)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \|D^s f\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R})} \|g\|_{L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R})} + \|D^s g\|_{L^{p_3}(\mathbb{R})} \|f\|_{L^{p_4}(\mathbb{R})}. \quad (2.8)$$

2) *Let $s \in (0, 1)$ and $p, q, p_1, p_2, q_2 \in (1, \infty)$, $q_1 \in (1, \infty]$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2}.$$

Then

$$\|D^s F(f)\|_{L_x^p L_t^q(\mathbb{R} \times I)} \lesssim \|F'(f)\|_{L_x^{p_1} L_t^{q_1}(\mathbb{R} \times I)} \|D^s f\|_{L_x^{p_2} L_t^{q_2}(\mathbb{R} \times I)}. \quad (2.9)$$

3) *Let $s \in (0, 1)$, $s_1, s_2 \in [0, s]$ with $s = s_1 + s_2$. Let $p, p_1, p_2, q, q_1, q_2 \in (1, \infty)$ be such that*

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2}.$$

Then

$$\|D^s(fg) - fD^s g - gD^s f\|_{L_x^p L_t^q(\mathbb{R} \times I)} \lesssim \|D^{s_1} f\|_{L_x^{p_1} L_t^{q_1}(\mathbb{R} \times I)} \|D^{s_2} g\|_{L_x^{p_2} L_t^{q_2}(\mathbb{R} \times I)}. \quad (2.10)$$

Moreover, for $s_1 = 0$ the value $q_1 = \infty$ is allowed.

4) *Let $s \in (0, 1)$, $s_1, s_2 \in [0, s]$ with $s = s_1 + s_2$. Let $p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \in (1, \infty)$ with $1 = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$ and $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2}$. Then*

$$\|D^s(fg) - fD^s g - gD^s f\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times I)} \lesssim \|D^{s_1} f\|_{L_x^{p_1} L_t^{q_1}(\mathbb{R} \times I)} \|D^{s_2} g\|_{L_x^{p_2} L_t^{q_2}(\mathbb{R} \times I)}. \quad (2.11)$$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1. Given $s \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\varphi \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$. Fixing $T > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$, we define the working space with the norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{X_T} = & \|u\|_{L_t^\infty H_x^s([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})} + \|\partial_x u\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0,T])} + \sup_{q \in [2+\varepsilon, +\infty)} \|u\|_{L_x^q L_t^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times [0,T])} \\ & + \|u\|_{L_t^4 L_x^\infty([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})} + \sup_{r \in [2+\varepsilon, +\infty)} \|D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L_x^r L_t^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times [0,T])} \\ & + \|D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \partial_x u\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0,T])} + \|D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L_t^4 L_x^\infty([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

With the local well-posed result in Santos [67] in hand, we only need to show that for any fixed $T \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\|u\|_{X_T} \lesssim \|\varphi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})} + \|u\|_{X_T}^{2\sigma+1}, \quad (3.2)$$

where the implicit constant is independent of T . Then the bootstrap argument yields that there exists $\delta_0 > 0$, such that when $\|\varphi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})} \leq \delta_0$,

$$\|u\|_{X_T} \lesssim \|\varphi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})}$$

for any $T \in \mathbb{R}$. In the following, we only consider the positive time. Since the negative time direction can be obtained in the same way.

To show (3.2), according to the definition of $\|u\|_{X_T}$, we control the norms in the right-hand side of (3.1) one by one.

3.1. Estimates on $\|u\|_{L_t^\infty H_x^s([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})}$. In this section, we will show that

$$\|u\|_{L_t^\infty H_x^s([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})} \lesssim \|\varphi\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R})} + \|u\|_{X_T}^{2\sigma+1}. \quad (3.3)$$

We prove (3.3) by the following two steps.

$$\textit{Step 1,} \quad \|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})} \lesssim \|\varphi\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|u\|_{X_T}^{2\sigma+1}.$$

Using the Duhamel formula

$$u(t) = e^{it\Delta} \varphi - \int_0^t e^{i(t-t')\Delta} (|u|^{2\sigma} \partial_x u)(t') dt', \quad (3.4)$$

and the Strichartz estimates (2.1) and (2.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})} & \lesssim \|e^{it\Delta} \varphi\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})} + \left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-t')\Delta} (|u|^{2\sigma} \partial_x u)(t') dt' \right\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})} \\ & \lesssim \|\varphi\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R})} + \left\| |u|^{2\sigma} \partial_x u \right\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Next we consider the term $\left\| |u|^{2\sigma} \partial_x u \right\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})}$. We claim that

$$\left\| |u|^{2\sigma} \partial_x u \right\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_T}^{2\sigma+1}. \quad (3.6)$$

Now we write

$$\left\| |u|^{2\sigma} \partial_x u \right\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})} = \left\| |u|^2 \cdot |u|^{2\sigma-2} \partial_x u \right\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})}. \quad (3.7)$$

We consider the inner integration L_x^2 first. By Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\left\| |u|^2 \cdot |u|^{2\sigma-2} \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \|u\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^2 \cdot \left\| |u|^{2\sigma-2} \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| |u|^{2\sigma} \partial_x u \right\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})} &\lesssim \left\| \|u\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^2 \cdot \left\| |u|^{2\sigma-2} \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R})} \right\|_{L_t^1([0,T])} \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{L_t^4 L_x^\infty([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})}^2 \cdot \left\| |u|^{2\sigma-2} \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^2 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0,T])}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

For the term $\left\| |u|^{2\sigma-2} \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^2 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0,T])}$, noting that $2(2\sigma - 2) \geq 4$, by Hölder's inequality again we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| |u|^{2\sigma-2} \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^2 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0,T])} &\lesssim \|u\|_{L_x^{2(2\sigma-2)} L_t^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times [0,T])}^{2\sigma-2} \cdot \|\partial_x u\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0,T])} \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{X_T}^{2\sigma-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Putting this result into (3.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| |u|^{2\sigma} \partial_x u \right\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})} &\lesssim \|u\|_{L_t^4 L_x^\infty([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})}^2 \cdot \|u\|_{X_T}^{2\sigma-1} \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{X_T}^{2\sigma+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have proved claim (3.6). Then by (3.5), we have

$$\|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})} \lesssim \|\varphi\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R})} + \|u\|_{X_T}^{2\sigma+1}. \quad (3.10)$$

Thus we have finished the proof on Step 1.

$$\text{Step 2, } \|D^s u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})} \lesssim \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|u\|_{X_T}^{2\sigma+1}.$$

Using the Duhamel formula (3.4), the Strichartz estimate (2.1) and the smoothing effect (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|D^s u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})} &\lesssim \|e^{it\Delta} D^s \varphi\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})} + \left\| D^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^t e^{i(t-t')\Delta} D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} (|u|^{2\sigma} \partial_x u) dt' \right\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})} \\ &\lesssim \|D^s \varphi\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R})} + \left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} (|u|^{2\sigma} \partial_x u) \right\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0,T])} \\ &\lesssim \|\varphi\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R})} + \left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} (|u|^{2\sigma} \partial_x u) \right\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0,T])}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Next we claim that

$$\left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} (|u|^{2\sigma} \partial_x u) \right\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0,T])} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_T}^{2\sigma+1}. \quad (3.12)$$

To prove this claim, we split it into two cases: $s = \frac{1}{2}$ and $s > \frac{1}{2}$.

Case 1: $s = \frac{1}{2}$.

By Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| |u|^{2\sigma} \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0,T])} &\lesssim \left\| \|u\|_{L_t^\infty([0,T])}^{2\sigma} \cdot \|\partial_x u\|_{L_t^2([0,T])} \right\|_{L_x^1(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{L_x^{2\sigma} L_t^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times [0,T])}^{2\sigma} \cdot \|\partial_x u\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0,T])} \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{X_T}^{2\sigma+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Case 2: $s > \frac{1}{2}$.

By the Leibniz rule for fractional derivative (2.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}}(|u|^{2\sigma} \partial_x u) \right\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} \\ & \lesssim \left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}}(|u|^{2\sigma}) \cdot \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} + \left\| |u|^{2\sigma} \cdot D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} \\ & \quad + \left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}}(|u|^{2\sigma}) \right\|_{L_x^{1+} L_t^{\infty-}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} \cdot \left\| \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^{\infty-} L_t^{2+}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

We estimate on terms above one by one.

For the first term $\left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}}(|u|^{2\sigma}) \cdot \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])}$ in (3.14), by Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}}(|u|^{2\sigma}) \cdot \partial_x u \right\|_{L_t^2([0, T])} \lesssim \left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}}(|u|^{2\sigma}) \right\|_{L_t^{\infty-}([0, T])} \cdot \left\| \partial_x u \right\|_{L_t^{2+}([0, T])}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}}(|u|^{2\sigma}) \cdot \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} \\ & \lesssim \left\| \left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}}(|u|^{2\sigma}) \right\|_{L_t^{\infty-}([0, T])} \cdot \left\| \partial_x u \right\|_{L_t^{2+}([0, T])} \right\|_{L_x^1(\mathbb{R})} \\ & \lesssim \left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}}(|u|^{2\sigma}) \right\|_{L_x^{1+} L_t^{\infty-}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} \cdot \left\| \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^{\infty-} L_t^{2+}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

To the term $\left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}}(|u|^{2\sigma}) \right\|_{L_x^{1+} L_t^{\infty-}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])}$ in (3.15), using (2.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}}(|u|^{2\sigma}) \right\|_{L_x^{1+} L_t^{\infty-}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} & \lesssim \left\| |u|^{2\sigma-1} \right\|_{L_x^2 L_t^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} \cdot \left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} u \right\|_{L_x^{2+} L_t^{\infty-}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} \\ & \lesssim \|u\|_{X_T}^{2\sigma-1} \cdot \left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} u \right\|_{L_x^{2+} L_t^{\infty-}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])}. \end{aligned}$$

By interpolating between $\left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^{\infty} L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])}$ and $\|u\|_{L_x^{2+} L_t^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])}$, we have that for some $\theta_1 \in (0, 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} u \right\|_{L_x^{2+} L_t^{\infty-}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} & \lesssim \left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^{\infty} L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])}^{\theta_1} \cdot \|u\|_{L_x^{2+} L_t^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])}^{1-\theta_1} \\ & \lesssim \|u\|_{X_T}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Then

$$\left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}}(|u|^{2\sigma}) \right\|_{L_x^{1+} L_t^{\infty-}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_T}^{2\sigma}. \quad (3.17)$$

To the term $\left\| \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^{\infty-} L_t^{2+}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])}$ in (3.15), it follows from the interpolation between $\left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^{\infty} L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])}$ and $\left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} u \right\|_{L_x^{2+} L_t^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])}$, that is, there exists $\theta_2 \in (0, 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^{\infty-} L_t^{2+}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} & \lesssim \left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^{\infty} L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])}^{\theta_2} \cdot \left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} u \right\|_{L_x^{2+} L_t^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])}^{1-\theta_2} \\ & \lesssim \|u\|_{X_T}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Inserting (3.17) and (3.18) into (3.15), we have

$$\left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}}(|u|^{2\sigma}) \cdot \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_T}^{2\sigma+1}. \quad (3.19)$$

Thus we complete the estimate on the first term of (3.14).

For the second term $\left\| |u|^{2\sigma} \cdot D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])}$ in (3.14), by Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\left\| |u|^{2\sigma} \cdot D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \partial_x u \right\|_{L_t^2([0, T])} \lesssim \|u\|_{L_t^\infty([0, T])}^{2\sigma} \cdot \left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \partial_x u \right\|_{L_t^2([0, T])},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| |u|^{2\sigma} \cdot D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} &\lesssim \left\| \|u\|_{L_t^\infty([0, T])}^{2\sigma} \cdot \left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \partial_x u \right\|_{L_t^2([0, T])} \right\|_{L_x^1(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{L_x^{2\sigma} L_t^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])}^{2\sigma} \cdot \left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{X_T}^{2\sigma+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

Thus the estimate on the second term of (3.14) is also completed.

For the third term $\left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} (|u|^{2\sigma}) \right\|_{L_x^{1+} L_t^{\infty-}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} \cdot \left\| \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^{\infty-} L_t^{2+}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])}$ in (3.14), using (3.17) and (3.18), we have

$$\left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} (|u|^{2\sigma}) \right\|_{L_x^{1+} L_t^{\infty-}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} \cdot \left\| \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^{\infty-} L_t^{2+}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_T}^{2\sigma+1}. \quad (3.21)$$

Inserting (3.19), (3.20) and (3.21) into (3.14), we have

$$\left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} (|u|^{2\sigma} \partial_x u) \right\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_T}^{2\sigma+1}. \quad (3.22)$$

Owing to the above two cases, we finish the proof of claim (3.12). Putting (3.22) into (3.11), we finish the proof on Step 2.

3.2. Estimates on $\|\partial_x u\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])}$. Using the Duhamel formula (3.4) and the smoothing effects (2.3) and (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_x u\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} &\lesssim \left\| e^{it\Delta} \partial_x \varphi \right\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} + \left\| \partial_x \int_0^t e^{i(t-t')\Delta} (|u|^{2\sigma} \partial_x u)(t') dt' \right\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} \\ &\lesssim \|\varphi\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R})} + \left\| |u|^{2\sigma} \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} \\ &\lesssim \|\varphi\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R})} + \left\| |u|^{2\sigma} \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])}. \end{aligned}$$

Next we estimate on the term $\left\| |u|^{2\sigma} \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])}$. By Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| |u|^{2\sigma} \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} &\lesssim \left\| \|u\|_{L_t^\infty([0, T])}^{2\sigma} \cdot \left\| \partial_x u \right\|_{L_t^2([0, T])} \right\|_{L_x^1(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{L_x^{2\sigma} L_t^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])}^{2\sigma} \cdot \left\| \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{X_T}^{2\sigma+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

Finally, we get

$$\|\partial_x u\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} \lesssim \|\varphi\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R})} + \|u\|_{X_T}^{2\sigma+1}.$$

3.3. Estimates on $\sup_{q \in [2+\varepsilon, +\infty)} \|u\|_{L_x^q L_t^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])}$. By Duhamel's formula (3.4) and the maximal function estimates (2.6) and (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L_x^q L_t^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} &\lesssim \|e^{it\Delta} \varphi\|_{L_x^q L_t^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} + \left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-t')\Delta} (|u|^{2\sigma} \partial_x u)(t') dt' \right\|_{L_x^q L_t^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} \\ &\lesssim \|\varphi\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R})} + \left\| |u|^{2\sigma} \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} + \left\| |u|^{2\sigma} \partial_x u \right\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the condition $s \geq \frac{1}{2} > \max\{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}, \frac{1}{q}\}$ in Lemma 2.3. By (3.6) and (3.23), we obtain

$$\sup_{q \in [2+\varepsilon, +\infty)} \|u\|_{L_x^q L_t^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} \lesssim \|\varphi\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R})} + \|u\|_{X_T}^{2\sigma+1}.$$

3.4. Estimates on $\|u\|_{L_t^4 L_x^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})}$. By Duhamel's formula (3.4) and the Strichartz estimates (2.1) and (2.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L_t^4 L_x^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})} &\lesssim \|e^{it\Delta} \varphi\|_{L_t^4 L_x^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})} + \left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-t')\Delta} (|u|^{2\sigma} \partial_x u)(t') dt' \right\|_{L_t^4 L_x^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})} \\ &\lesssim \|\varphi\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R})} + \left\| |u|^{2\sigma} \partial_x u \right\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.6), we have

$$\|u\|_{L_t^4 L_x^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})} \lesssim \|\varphi\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|u\|_{X_T}^{2\sigma+1}.$$

3.5. Estimates on $\sup_{r \in [2+\varepsilon, +\infty)} \|D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L_x^r L_t^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])}$. Using Duhamel's formula (3.4) and the maximal function estimates (2.6) and (2.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L_x^r L_t^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} &\lesssim \|e^{it\Delta} D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \varphi\|_{L_x^r L_t^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} \\ &\quad + \left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-t')\Delta} D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} (|u|^{2\sigma} \partial_x u)(t') dt' \right\|_{L_x^r L_t^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} \\ &\lesssim \|D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \varphi\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R})} + \left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} (|u|^{2\sigma} \partial_x u) \right\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} \\ &\quad + \left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} (|u|^{2\sigma} \partial_x u) \right\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})}, \\ &\lesssim \|\varphi\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R})} + \left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} (|u|^{2\sigma} \partial_x u) \right\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} \\ &\quad + \left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} (|u|^{2\sigma} \partial_x u) \right\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

Recall that the term $\left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} (|u|^{2\sigma} \partial_x u) \right\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])}$ is already estimated in (3.12). So we only need to consider the term $\left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} (|u|^{2\sigma} \partial_x u) \right\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})}$. Now we claim that

$$\left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} (|u|^{2\sigma} \partial_x u) \right\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_T}^{2\sigma+1}. \tag{3.25}$$

Again, we split it into two cases: $s = \frac{1}{2}$ and $s > \frac{1}{2}$.

Case 1: $s = \frac{1}{2}$.

The term $\left\| |u|^{2\sigma} \partial_x u \right\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})}$ is already estimated in (3.6).

Case 2: $s > \frac{1}{2}$.

Using a similar treatment as (3.7), we have

$$\left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} (|u|^{2\sigma} \partial_x u) \right\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})} = \left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} (|u|^2 \cdot |u|^{2\sigma-2} \partial_x u) \right\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})}.$$

Further, using the Leibniz rule for fractional derivative (2.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} (|u|^2 \cdot |u|^{2\sigma-2} \partial_x u) \right\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R})} &\lesssim \left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} (|u|^2) \right\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \cdot \left\| |u|^{2\sigma-2} \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\quad + \|u\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^2 \cdot \left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} (|u|^{2\sigma-2} \partial_x u) \right\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R})}, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} (|u|^{2\sigma} \partial_x u) \right\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})} \\ &\lesssim \left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} (|u|^2) \right\|_{L_t^2 L_x^\infty([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})} \cdot \left\| |u|^{2\sigma-2} \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^2 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0,T])} \\ &\quad + \|u\|_{L_t^4 L_x^\infty([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})}^2 \cdot \left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} (|u|^{2\sigma-2} \partial_x u) \right\|_{L_x^2 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0,T])}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the term $\left\| |u|^{2\sigma-2} \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^2 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0,T])}$ has been considered in (3.9), so we only need to deal with the terms $\left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} (|u|^2) \right\|_{L_t^2 L_x^\infty([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})}$ and $\left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} (|u|^{2\sigma-2} \partial_x u) \right\|_{L_x^2 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0,T])}$ respectively.

For the term $\left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} (|u|^2) \right\|_{L_t^2 L_x^\infty([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})}$, by (2.8) and the Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} (|u|^2) \right\|_{L_t^2 L_x^\infty([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})} &\lesssim \|u\|_{L_t^4 L_x^\infty([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})} \cdot \left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} u \right\|_{L_t^4 L_x^\infty([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})} \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{X_T}^2. \end{aligned}$$

For the term $\left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} (|u|^{2\sigma-2} \partial_x u) \right\|_{L_x^2 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0,T])}$, we claim that

$$\left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} (|u|^{2\sigma-2} \partial_x u) \right\|_{L_x^2 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0,T])} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_T}^{2\sigma-1}. \quad (3.26)$$

Indeed, using the Leibniz rule for fractional derivative (2.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} (|u|^{2\sigma-2} \partial_x u) \right\|_{L_x^2 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0,T])} \\ &\lesssim \left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} (|u|^{2\sigma-2}) \cdot \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^2 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0,T])} + \left\| |u|^{2\sigma-2} \cdot D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^2 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0,T])} \\ &\quad + \left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} (|u|^{2\sigma-2}) \right\|_{L_x^{2+} L_t^{\infty-}(\mathbb{R} \times [0,T])} \cdot \|\partial_x u\|_{L_x^{\infty-} L_t^{2+}(\mathbb{R} \times [0,T])}. \end{aligned}$$

To the term $\left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}}(|u|^{2\sigma-2}) \cdot \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^2 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])}$, using the Hölder inequality, (2.9) and (3.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}}(|u|^{2\sigma-2}) \cdot \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^2 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} \\
& \lesssim \left\| \left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}}(|u|^{2\sigma-2}) \right\|_{L_t^{\infty-}([0, T])} \cdot \left\| \partial_x u \right\|_{L_t^{2+}([0, T])} \right\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R})} \\
& \lesssim \left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}}(|u|^{2\sigma-2}) \right\|_{L_x^{2+} L_t^{\infty-}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} \cdot \left\| \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^{\infty-} L_t^{2+}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} \\
& \lesssim \left\| |u|^{2\sigma-3} \right\|_{L_x^2 L_t^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} \cdot \left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} u \right\|_{L_x^{2+} L_t^{\infty-}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} \cdot \|u\|_{X_T} \\
& \lesssim \|u\|_{X_T}^{2\sigma-2} \cdot \left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} u \right\|_{L_x^{2+} L_t^{\infty-}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])}. \tag{3.27}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (3.16), we get

$$\left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}}(|u|^{2\sigma-2}) \cdot \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^2 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_T}^{2\sigma-1}.$$

To the term $\left\| |u|^{2\sigma-2} \cdot D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^2 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])}$, using Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| |u|^{2\sigma-2} \cdot D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^2 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} & \lesssim \|u\|_{L_x^{2(2\sigma-2)} L_t^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])}^{2\sigma-2} \cdot \left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^{\infty} L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} \\
& \lesssim \|u\|_{X_T}^{2\sigma-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

To the term $\left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}}(|u|^{2\sigma-2}) \right\|_{L_x^{2+} L_t^{\infty-}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} \cdot \left\| \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^{\infty-} L_t^{2+}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])}$, as the same estimation in (3.27), we have

$$\left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}}(|u|^{2\sigma-2}) \right\|_{L_x^{2+} L_t^{\infty-}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} \cdot \left\| \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^{\infty-} L_t^{2+}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_T}^{2\sigma-1}.$$

Thus we finish the proof of claim (3.26) and (3.25) and obtain

$$\sup_{r \in [2+\varepsilon, +\infty)} \left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} u \right\|_{L_x L_t^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} \lesssim \|\varphi\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R})} + \|u\|_{X_T}^{2\sigma+1}.$$

3.6. Estimates on $\left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial_x u) \right\|_{L_x^{\infty} L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])}$. Using Duhamel's formula (3.4) and the smoothing effects (2.3) and (2.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^{\infty} L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} & \lesssim \left\| e^{it\Delta} D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^{\infty} L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} \\
& \quad + \left\| \partial_x \int_0^t e^{i(t-t')\Delta} D^{s-\frac{1}{2}}(|u|^{2\sigma} \partial_x u)(t') dt' \right\|_{L_x^{\infty} L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} \\
& \lesssim \left\| D^s u \right\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R})} + \left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}}(|u|^{2\sigma} \partial_x u) \right\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} \\
& \lesssim \|u\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R})} + \left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}}(|u|^{2\sigma} \partial_x u) \right\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that we already have the estimate on $\left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}}(|u|^{2\sigma} \partial_x u) \right\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])}$ in (3.22). Then

$$\left\| D^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^{\infty} L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])} \lesssim \|u\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R})} + \|u\|_{X_T}^{2\sigma+1}.$$

3.7. Estimates on $\|D^{s-\frac{1}{2}}u\|_{L_t^4 L_x^\infty([0,T]\times\mathbb{R})}$. By Duhamel's formula (3.4) and the Strichartz estimates (2.1) and (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|D^{s-\frac{1}{2}}u\|_{L_t^4 L_x^\infty([0,T]\times\mathbb{R})} &\lesssim \|e^{it\Delta} D^{s-\frac{1}{2}}\varphi\|_{L_t^4 L_x^\infty([0,T]\times\mathbb{R})} \\ &\quad + \left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-t')\Delta} D^{s-\frac{1}{2}}(|u|^{2\sigma}\partial_x u)(t') dt' \right\|_{L_t^4 L_x^\infty([0,T]\times\mathbb{R})} \\ &\lesssim \|D^{s-\frac{1}{2}}\varphi\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|D^{s-\frac{1}{2}}(|u|^{2\sigma}\partial_x u)\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2([0,T]\times\mathbb{R})} \\ &\lesssim \|\varphi\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R})} + \|D^{s-\frac{1}{2}}(|u|^{2\sigma}\partial_x u)\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2([0,T]\times\mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the estimation on $\|D^{s-\frac{1}{2}}(|u|^{2\sigma}\partial_x u)\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2([0,T]\times\mathbb{R})}$ is obtained in (3.25). Then we have

$$\|D^{s-\frac{1}{2}}u\|_{L_t^4 L_x^\infty([0,T]\times\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \|\varphi\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R})} + \|u\|_{X_T}^{2\sigma+1}.$$

Finally, all the estimates on $\|u\|_{X_T}$ are obtained and we have

$$\|u\|_{X_T} \lesssim \|\varphi\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R})} + \|u\|_{X_T}^{2\sigma+1}$$

uniformly on T . Hence we get $\|u\|_{X_\infty} \lesssim \|\varphi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})}$. This proves Theorem 1.1.

APPENDIX

In this appendix, we consider the solitary wave solutions described in Introduction, and prove the following lemma. Let $\Omega = \{(\omega, c) : c^2 < 4\omega, \text{ or } 0 < c = 2\sqrt{\omega}\}$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\phi_{\omega,c}$ be defined in (1.4), and $(\omega, c) \in \Omega$, then*

(1) *when $\sigma \in (0, 2)$,*

$$\|\phi_{\omega,c}\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{when } c \rightarrow -2\sqrt{\omega};$$

(2) *when $\sigma \geq 2$, there exists a constant $c_0 > 0$, such that for any $(\omega, c) \in \Omega$,*

$$\|\phi_{\omega,c}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \geq c_0,$$

Proof. Note that $\phi_{\omega,c}$ is the solution of the following equation

$$-\partial_x^2 \phi + \omega \phi + ci\partial_x \phi - i|\phi|^{2\sigma}\partial_x \phi = 0.$$

Multiplying on both sides with $\overline{x\partial_x \phi_{\omega,c}}$, taking the real part and integrating over x , we obtain that for any $(\omega, c) \in \Omega$,

$$\|\partial_x \phi_{\omega,c}\|_{L^2}^2 = \omega \|\phi_{\omega,c}\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Hence, for the statement (1), we only need to consider $\|\phi_{\omega,c}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$.

Now we fix $\omega > 0$ and denote $\alpha = \sqrt{4\omega - c^2}$. From (1.4), we find that for some $C_{\omega,\sigma} > 0$ which may vary line to line,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi_{\omega,c}|^2 dx &= C_{\omega,\sigma} \alpha^{\frac{2}{\sigma}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{1}{\cosh(\sigma\alpha x) - \frac{c}{2\sqrt{\omega}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} dx \\ &= C_{\omega,\sigma} \alpha^{\frac{2}{\sigma}-1} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{\cosh x - \frac{c}{2\sqrt{\omega}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

For convenience, we denote

$$I(c) = \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{\cosh x - \frac{c}{2\sqrt{\omega}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} dx.$$

Moreover, we denote c_σ as

$$c_\sigma = I(-2\sqrt{\omega}) = \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{\cosh x + 1} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} dx,$$

which makes sense since the last integral above is finite. Note that $I(c)$ is a increasing function, thus we have that for any $c : -2\sqrt{\omega} < c \leq 0$,

$$c_\sigma \leq I(c) \leq I(0).$$

This combining with (3.28) yields that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi_{\omega,c}|^2 dx \leq C_{\omega,\sigma} I(0) \alpha^{\frac{2}{\sigma}-1} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{when } c \rightarrow -2\sqrt{\omega}.$$

This proves the statement (1).

For the statement (2), similarly as above, using the monotonicity of $I(c)$, we have

$$I(c) \geq c_\sigma.$$

Hence,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi_{\omega,c}|^2 dx = C_{\omega,\sigma} \alpha^{\frac{2}{\sigma}-1} I(c) \geq C_{\omega,\sigma} c_\sigma \alpha^{\frac{2}{\sigma}-1},$$

(when $0 < c = 2\sqrt{\omega}$ and $\sigma > 2$, $\phi_{\omega,c} \notin L^2(\mathbb{R})$). Since $\alpha \leq 2\sqrt{\omega}$, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi_{\omega,c}|^2 dx \geq C_{\omega,\sigma} c_\sigma (2\sqrt{\omega})^{\frac{2}{\sigma}-1}.$$

This proves the lemma. □

REFERENCES

- [1] Bejenaru, I., Quadratic nonlinear derivative Schrödinger equations, I. *Int. Math. Res. Pap.*, Art. ID 70630 (2006), 84 pp.
- [2] Bejenaru, I., Quadratic nonlinear derivative Schrödinger equations, II. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 360 (11) (2008), 5925–5957.
- [3] Biagioni, H., Linares, F., Ill-posedness for the derivative Schrödinger and generalized Benjamin-Ono equations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 353 (9) (2001), 3649–3659.
- [4] Bourgain, J., Li, D., On an endpoint Kato-Ponce inequality, *Differential Integral Equations*, 27 (11-12) (2014), 1037–1072.
- [5] Cazenave, T., *Semilinear Schrödinger equations*, American Mathematical Society, 2003.
- [6] Cazenave, T., Weissler, F., Rapidly decaying solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 147 (1992), 75–100.
- [7] Cher, Y., Simpson, G., Sulem, C., Local structure of singular profiles for a derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst.*, 16 (1) (2017), 514–545.
- [8] Colin, M., Ohta, M., Stability of solitary waves for derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 23 (5) (2006), 753–764.
- [9] Colliander, J., Keel, M., Staffilani, G., Takaoka, H., Tao, T., Global well-posedness for Schrödinger equations with derivative, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 33 (3) (2001), 649–669.
- [10] Colliander, J., Keel, M., Staffilani, G., Takaoka, H., Tao, T., A refined global well-posedness for Schrödinger equations with derivative, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 34 (1) (2002), 64–86.

- [11] Chihara, H., Gain of regularity for semilinear Schrödinger equations, *Math. Ann.*, 315 (4) (1999), 529–567.
- [12] Cohn, S., Resonance and long time existence for the quadratic semilinear Schrödinger equation, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 45 (8) (1992) 973–1001.
- [13] Dan, Y., Ning, L., Li, Y., Unconditional uniqueness for the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation in the real line, to appear in *Differential Integral Equations*.
- [14] Delort, J.-M., Global Solutions for Small Nonlinear Long Range Perturbations of Two Dimensional Schrödinger Equations, *Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.)*, vol. 91, 2002.
- [15] Fukaya, N., Hayashi, M., Inui, T., A sufficient condition for global existence of solutions to a generalized derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Anal. PDE*, 10 (5) (2017), 1149–1167.
- [16] Fukaya, N., Instability of solitary waves for a generalized derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation in a borderline case, *Kodai Math. J.*, 40 (3) (2017), 450–467.
- [17] Ginibre, J., Ozawa, T., Velo, G., On the existence of the wave operators for a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor.* 60 (1994), no. 2, 211–239.
- [18] Guo, B., Wu, Y., Orbital stability of solitary waves for the nonlinear derivative Schrödinger equation, *J. Differential Equations*, 123 (1) (1995), 35–55.
- [19] Guo, B., Tan, S., On smooth solutions to the initial value problem for the mixed nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, 119 (1-2) (1991), 31–45.
- [20] Guo, Q., Orbital stability of solitary waves for generalized derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equations in the endpoint case, *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 19 (9) (2018), 2701–2715.
- [21] Guo, Z., Wu, Y., Global well-posedness for the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation in $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R})$, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 37 (1) (2017), 257–264.
- [22] Guo, Z., Ning, C. and Wu, Y., Instability of the solitary wave solutions for the generalized derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation in the critical frequency case, arXiv:1803.07700
- [23] Guo, Z., Hayashi, N., Lin, Y., Naumkin, P., Modified scattering operator for the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 45 (6) (2013), 3854–3871.
- [24] Grafakos, L., Oh, S., The Kato-Ponce inequality, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 39 (6) (2014), 1128–1157.
- [25] Germain, P., Masmoudi, N., and Shatah, J., Global solutions for 2D quadratic Schrödinger equation, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 97 (2012) 505–543
- [26] Grünrock, A., Bi- and trilinear Schrödinger estimates in one space dimension with applications to cubic NLS and DNLS. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 41 (2005), 2525–2558 .
- [27] Grünrock, A., Herr, S., Low regularity local well-posedness of the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation with periodic initial data, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 39 (6) (2008), 1890–1920 .
- [28] Grünrock, A., On the Cauchy- and periodic boundary value problem for a certain class of derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equations, arXiv:math/0006195.
- [29] Hao, C., Well-posedness for one dimensional derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.*, 6 (4) (2007), 997–1021.
- [30] N. Hayashi, C. Miao, P. Naumkin, Global existence of small solutions to the generalized derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Asymptot. Anal.* 21 (2) (1999) 133–147.
- [31] Hayashi, N., Ozawa, T., On the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Phys. D.*, 55 (1-2) (1992), 14–36.
- [32] Hayashi, N., Ozawa, T., Finite energy solutions of nonlinear Schrödinger equations of derivative type, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 25 (6) (1994), 1488–1503.
- [33] Hayashi, N., Ozawa, T., Modified wave operators for the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Math. Ann.*, 298 (3) (1994), 557–576.
- [34] Hayashi, N., Ozawa, T., Well-posedness for a generalized derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *J. Differential Equations*, 261 (10) (2016), 5424–5445.
- [35] Herr, S., On the Cauchy problem for the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation with periodic boundary condition, *Int. Math. Res. Not. Art. ID 96763* (2006), 33 pp.
- [36] Hirayama, H., Well-posedness and scattering for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with a derivative nonlinearity at the scaling critical regularity, *Funkcial. Ekvac.*, 58 (3) (2015), 431–450.
- [37] Jenkins, R., Liu, J., Perry, P., and Sulem, C., Global well-posedness and soliton resolution for the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation, arXiv: 1706.06252.

- [38] Jenkins, R., Liu, J., Perry, P. and Sulem, C., Global existence for the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation with arbitrary spectral singularities. arXiv:1804.01506.
- [39] Kwon, S., Wu, Y., Orbital stability of solitary waves for derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *J. Anal. Math.* 135 (2) (2018), 473–486.
- [40] Kenig, C., Ponce, G., and Vega, L., Oscillatory integrals and regularity of dispersive equations, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 40 (1) (1991), 33–69.
- [41] Kenig, C., Ponce, G., and Vega, L., Well-posedness and scattering results for the generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation via contraction principle, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 46 (4) (1993), 527–620.
- [42] Kenig, C., Ponce, G., and Vega, L., Small solutions to nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 10 (3) (1993), 255–288.
- [43] Kenig, C., Ruiz, A., A strong type (2, 2) estimate for the maximal operator associated to the Schrödinger equation, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 280 (1) (1983), 239–246.
- [44] Le Coz, S., Wu, Y., Stability of multi-solitons for the derivative nonlinear schrödinger equation, Vol. 2018, No. 13, 4120–4170.
- [45] Li, B., Ning, C., Instability of the solitary wave solutions for the generalized derivative nonlinear schrödinger equation in the endpoint case, arXiv:1804.02738.
- [46] Li, D., On Kato-Ponce and fractional Leibniz, to appear in *Revista Matemática Iberoamericana*.
- [47] Linares, F., Ponce, G., and Santos, G. N., On a class of solutions to the generalized derivative Schrödinger equations, to appear in *Acta Math. Sinica English series*.
- [48] Linares, F., Ponce, G., and Santos, G. N., On a class of solutions to the generalized derivative Schrödinger equations II, arXiv:1810.03907.
- [49] Linares, F., Ponce, G., *Introduction to Nonlinear Dispersive Equations*, Springer, 2009.
- [50] Liu, X., Simpson, G., Sulem, C., Stability of solitary waves for a generalized derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *J. Nonlinear Sci.*, 23 (4) (2013), 557–583.
- [51] Liu, J., Perry, P., Sulem, C. Global existence for the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation by the method of inverse scattering, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 41 (11) (2016), 1692–1760.
- [52] Liu, J., Perry, P., Sulem, C., Long-time behavior of solutions to the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation by the method of inverse scattering, to appear in *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*.
- [53] Liu, J., Perry, P., Sulem, C., Long-time behavior of solutions to the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation for soliton-free initial data, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 35 (1) (2018), 217–265.
- [54] Miao, C., Tang, X., Xu, G., Stability of the traveling waves for the derivative Schrödinger equation in the energy space. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 56 (2) (2017), Art. 45, 48 pp.
- [55] Miao, C., Tang, X., Xu, G., Solitary waves for nonlinear Schrödinger equation with derivative, *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 20 (4) (2018), 1750049, 27 pp.
- [56] Mio, W., Ogino, T., Minami, K., and Le Takeda, S., Modified nonlinear Schrödinger for Alfvén waves propagating along the magnetic field in cold plasmas, *J. Phys. Soc. Japan*, 41 (1) (1976), 265–271.
- [57] Molinet, L., Ribaud, F., Well-posedness results for the generalized Benjamin-Ono equation with small initial data, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9), 83 (2) (2004), 277–311.
- [58] Mosincat, R., Global well-posedness of the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation with periodic boundary condition in $H^{\frac{1}{2}}$. *J. Differential Equations*, 263 (8) (2017), 4658–4722.
- [59] Mosincat, R., Oh, T., A remark on global well-posedness of the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation on the circle. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 353 (9) (2015), 837–841.
- [60] Mosincat R., Yoon H., Unconditional uniqueness for the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation on the real line, arXiv:1810.09806.
- [61] Miao, C., Wu, Y., Xu, G., Global well-posedness for Schrödinger equation with derivative in $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R})$, *J. Differential Equations*, 251 (8) (2011), 2164–2195.
- [62] Nakanishi, K, Asymptotically-free solutions for the short-range nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 32 (2001), 1265–1271.
- [63] Pelinovsky D., and Shimabukuro, Y., Existence of global solutions to the derivative NLS equation with the inverse scattering transform method, *Int. Math. Res. Not*, 18 (2018), 5663–5728.
- [64] Pelinovsky, D., Saalman, A., and Shimabukuro, Y., The derivative NLS equation: global existence with solitons. *Dyn. Partial Differ. Equ.*, 14 (3) (2017), 217–294.
- [65] Pornnopparath, D., Small data well-posedness for derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *J. Differential Equations*, 265 (8) (2018), 3792–3840.

- [66] Rogers, K., Villarroya, P., Global estimates for the Schrödinger maximal operator, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.*, 32 (2) (2007), 425–435.
- [67] Santos, G. N., Existence and uniqueness of solution for a generalized nonlinear derivative Schrödinger equation, *J. Differential Equations*, 259 (5) (2015), 2030–2060.
- [68] Strauss, W. A., Nonlinear scattering theory at low energy. *J. Funct. Anal.* 41 (1981), no. 1, 110–133.
- [69] Tang, X., and Xu, G., Stability of the sum of two solitary waves for (gDNLS) in the energy space, *J. Differential Equations*, 264 (6) (2018), 4094–4135.
- [70] Takaoka, H., Well-posedness for the one dimensional nonlinear Schrödinger equation with the derivative nonlinearity, *Adv. Differential Equations*, 4 (4) (1999), 561–580.
- [71] Takaoka, H., Global well-posedness for Schrödinger equations with derivative in a nonlinear term and data in low-order Sobolev spaces, *Electron. J. Differential Equations*, 42 (2001), 23 pp.
- [72] Takaoka, H., A priori estimates and weak solutions for the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation on torus below $H^{1/2}$, *J. Differential Equations*, 260 (1) (2016), 818–859.
- [73] Tsutsumi, M., Fukuda, I., On solutions of the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation. Existence and Uniqueness Theorem. *Funkcial. Ekvac.*, 23 (1980), 259–277.
- [74] Wang, Y., Global well-posedness and scattering for derivative Schrödinger equation, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 36 (10) (2011), 1694–1722.
- [75] Wu, Y. F., Global well-posedness for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with derivative in energy space, *Anal. PDE*, 6 (8) (2013), 1989–2002.
- [76] Wu, Y. F., Global well-posedness on the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Anal. PDE*, 8 (5) (2015), 1101–1112.

CENTER FOR APPLIED MATHEMATICS, TIANJIN UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN 300072, CHINA

E-mail address: baimaths@hotmail.com

CENTER FOR APPLIED MATHEMATICS, TIANJIN UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN 300072, P.R.CHINA

E-mail address: yerfmath@gmail.com

CENTER FOR APPLIED MATHEMATICS, TIANJIN UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN 300072, CHINA

E-mail address: jxuemath@hotmail.com