

Tension between the latest T2K and NO ν A data

Mohammad Nizam,^{1,2,*} Suman Bharti,^{3,†} Suprabh
Prakash,^{4,‡} Ushak Rahaman,^{3,§} and S. Uma Sankar^{3,¶}

¹*Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai 400005, India*

²*Homi Bhabha National Institute, Anushakti Nagar, Mumbai 400094, India*

³*Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Mumbai 400076, India*

⁴*Instituto de Física Gleb Wataghin - UNICAMP, 13083-859, Campinas, SP, Brazil*

(Dated: May 18, 2022)

Abstract

T2K and NO ν A collaborations have taken significant amount of data in both neutrino and anti-neutrino modes. For these two experiments, the best-fit values of Δ_{31} coincide and both prefer normal hierarchy over inverted hierarchy. However, NO ν A allows inverted hierarchy at 1σ whereas T2K barely allows it at 2σ . Regarding δ_{CP} , T2K rules out the upper half plane at 2σ for NH and 3σ for IH, whereas the best-fit value of NO ν A is in the upper half plane. The two experiments also disagree on the best-fit value of $\sin^2\theta_{23}$. T2K prefers $\sin^2\theta_{23}$ just above the maximal value of 0.5 while NO ν A prefers a significantly higher value. These disagreements are the result of the tension between the data of the two experiments. In addition, there is tension between the neutrino and anti-neutrino disappearance data of NO ν A and also between the neutrino appearance and disappearance data of T2K. In this report, we explain how these tensions lead to the strong discrepancy in the δ_{CP} best-fit values. We also do a simple combined fit of the disappearance and the appearance data from these two experiments to explore possible trends in the determination of neutrino parameters.

PACS numbers: 14.60.Pq,14.60.Lm,13.15.+g

Keywords: Neutrino Mass Hierarchy, Leptonic CP-violation

* Email Address: mohammad.nizam@tifr.res.in

† Email Address: sbharti@phy.iitb.ac.in

‡ Email Address: sprakash@ifi.unicamp.br

§ Email Address: ushak@phy.iitb.ac.in

¶ Email Address: uma@phy.iitb.ac.in

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently T2K [1] and NO ν A [2] collaborations have published their data on both neutrino and anti-neutrino runs. The best-fit values of various neutrino oscillations parameters determined by each of these experiments are listed in table I below. In the table, NH refers to normal hierarchy ($\Delta_{32} > 0$) and IH refers to inverted hierarchy ($\Delta_{32} < 0$).

Parameter	NO ν A	T2K
$\Delta_{32}/10^{-3}$ eV ² (NH)	2.51	2.463
$\Delta_{32}/10^{-3}$ eV ² (IH)	-2.56	-2.506
$\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ (NH)	0.58	0.526
$\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ (IH)	0.58	0.530
δ_{CP} (NH)	30.6°	-107.1°
δ_{CP} (IH)	-95.4°	-81.9°

TABLE I: Best-fit points of T2K [1] and NO ν A [2] data. The fit is based on the following numbers of protons on target (POT). For NO ν A POT are 8.85×10^{20} (6.9×10^{20}) in neutrino (anti-neutrino) modes.

For T2K they are 14.7×10^{20} (7.6×10^{20}) in neutrino (anti-neutrino) modes.

The best-fit values of Δ_{32} , both for NH and for IH, for the two experiments coincide. Both experiments prefer NH over IH. The best-fit IH solution of T2K is allowed only at 2σ while the corresponding solution for NO ν A is allowed at 1σ . It is interesting to note that the IH best-fit solutions of the two experiments are reasonably close to each other. On the other hand, the NH best-fit solutions are quite different from each other. The best-fit value of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ for T2K is just above the maximal value 0.5 but for NO ν A it is significantly higher at 0.58. The best-fit values of the CP-violating phase δ_{CP} are widely different for the two experiments. T2K prefers $\delta_{\text{CP}} \approx -90^\circ$ whereas the best-fit value of NO ν A is in the upper half plane at $\delta_{\text{CP}} \approx 30^\circ$.

In this article we study the neutrino and anti-neutrino disappearance and appearance data of these two experiments using the expression for $P(\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e)$ and $P(\bar{\nu}_\mu \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_e)$ in three flavour oscillations including matter effect. We find that not only is there tension between ν_e appearance data of the two experiments but there is also tension between the neutrino

and anti-neutrino disappearance data of NO ν A and between the neutrino appearance and disappearance data of T2K. These tensions lead to the widely different best-fit values of δ_{CP} and moderately different best-fit values of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ of the two experiments. We also do a fit of the current data of both experiments, which consists of the disappearance and the appearance spectra in both ν and $\bar{\nu}$ modes and obtain the regions in the unknown parameters, mass hierarchy, $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ and δ_{CP} , allowed by this data.

II. DEGENERACIES IN $P(\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e)$

For both T2K and NO ν A experiments, the expression for $P(\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e)$ is [3, 4]

$$P(\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e) = P_{\mu e} = \sin^2 2\theta_{13} \sin^2 \theta_{23} \frac{\sin^2 \hat{\Delta}(1 - \hat{A})}{(1 - \hat{A})^2} + \alpha \cos \theta_{13} \sin 2\theta_{12} \sin 2\theta_{13} \sin 2\theta_{23} \cos(\hat{\Delta} + \delta_{\text{CP}}) \frac{\sin \hat{\Delta} \hat{A} \sin \hat{\Delta}(1 - \hat{A})}{\hat{A} (1 - \hat{A})}, \quad (1)$$

where $\hat{\Delta} = 1.27\Delta_{31}L/E$, $\hat{A} = A/\Delta_{31}$ and $\alpha = \Delta_{21}/\Delta_{31}$. The Wolfenstein matter term A is [5]

$$A \text{ (in eV}^2\text{)} = 0.76 \times 10^{-4} \rho \text{ (in gm/cc)} E \text{ (in GeV)}, \quad (2)$$

where E is the energy of the neutrino and ρ is the density of the matter. For anti-neutrinos, $P(\bar{\nu}_\mu \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_e) = P_{\bar{\mu}\bar{e}}$ is given by a similar expression with $\delta_{\text{CP}} \rightarrow -\delta_{\text{CP}}$ and $A \rightarrow -A$. Since $\alpha \approx 0.03$, the term proportional to α^2 in $P_{\mu e}$ is neglected.

The best-fit points of T2K and NO ν A, for both NH and IH, can be understood by considering the changes induced in $P_{\mu e}$ and $P_{\bar{\mu}\bar{e}}$ by the change in each of the unknowns relative to a common reference set of parameter values. We take this reference set to be vacuum oscillations with $\theta_{23} = 45^\circ$ and $\delta_{\text{CP}} = 0$.

- Inclusion of matter effect with NH increases $P_{\mu e}$ and with IH decreases it. The effect is opposite for $P_{\bar{\mu}\bar{e}}$.
- Both $P_{\mu e}$ and $P_{\bar{\mu}\bar{e}}$ increase if θ_{23} is in higher octant (HO) and decrease if it is in lower octant (LO).
- If δ_{CP} is in lower half plane (LHP) $P_{\mu e}$ increases whereas it decreases for δ_{CP} in upper half plane (UHP). Here again the effect is opposite for $P_{\bar{\mu}\bar{e}}$.

From table-2 of reference [1] we can estimate that the ν_e appearance events for the reference point is about 60, for the given neutrino run of T2K. Inclusion of matter effects leads to a change of about 3.5 events. If δ_{CP} takes maximal values $\pm 90^\circ$, the change induced is about 11. If we assume the hierarchy to be NH and δ_{CP} to be -90° , the expected ν_e appearance events is 80, which is larger than the sum of individual changes induced by hierarchy and δ_{CP} , whereas T2K observes 89 such events [1]. This large excess of ν_e appearance events in T2K cannot simply be explained by the combined increase due to NH matter effects and $\delta_{\text{CP}} \approx -90^\circ$. Such a large excess requires a larger value of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$. However, T2K observes maximal neutrino disappearance which requires $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ closer to 0.5. Thus there is a tension between ν_μ disappearance and ν_e appearance data of T2K. The best-fit value $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.528$ is the compromise value between these two pieces of data. Because the change induced by matter effect is much smaller than the change induced by δ_{CP} , the IH best-fit point of T2K has essentially the same values of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ and δ_{CP} but occurs at barely 2σ confidence level because the number of observed ν_e appearance events is quite large. The number of $\bar{\nu}_e$ appearance events in T2K is too small to lead to any statistically significant constraints on unknown parameters.

For NO ν A experiment, the change induced in the appearance events, compared to the reference point, by each of the three unknowns is roughly of the same magnitude. These events in both neutrino and anti-neutrino channels increase or decrease the same way with $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$. But, the change induced by matter effects is in opposite directions for the two channels. This is also true for the change induced by δ_{CP} . In a given channel, a modest excess compared to the reference point occurs when two of the unknowns take values which increases the number of events and the third unknown takes value which decreases them. A thorough discussion of the constraints on the unknown parameters due to NO ν A neutrino data is given in reference [6].

The disappearance data of NO ν A shows a preference for non-maximal θ_{23} . Even though the ν_μ disappearance data is consistent with maximal mixing, the $\bar{\nu}_\mu$ disappearance data shows strong preference for non-maximal values. Hence, at present, there is a tension between the disappearance data in neutrino and anti-neutrino modes. Recently NO ν A observed 18 $\bar{\nu}_e$ appearance candidate events and has established $\bar{\nu}_e$ appearance signal at better than 4σ C.L. Overall, in NO ν A data, there is a modest excess of appearance events, compared to the reference point, in both neutrino and anti-neutrino channels. This is in tension

with the T2K ν_e appearance data where a very large excess is observed. The modest excess, observed by NO ν A in both neutrino and anti-neutrino channels, can occur only if θ_{23} is in higher octant and the changes induced by matter effects and δ_{CP} nearly cancel each other. Thus the combined fit of disappearance and appearance data of NO ν A leads to the two solutions, (NH, $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.58, \delta_{\text{CP}} = 30^\circ$) and (IH, $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.58, \delta_{\text{CP}} = -95^\circ$) at comparable confidence level. We note that the NH best-fit solution of NO ν A differs widely from that of T2K. This difference is due to (a) the preference of NO ν A disappearance data for non-maximal θ_{23} and (b) the tension between the appearance data of T2K and NO ν A. Whereas NO ν A sees a modest excess in both neutrino and anti-neutrino channels, relative to the reference point, T2K observes a very large excess. For the case of IH, the δ_{CP} values of the two experiments are rather close.

III. COMBINED FIT TO T2K AND NO ν A DATA

In this section we present our results of combined fit of the disappearance and the appearance data of T2K and NO ν A in both neutrino and anti-neutrino channels. The data of T2K is taken from ref. [1] and that of NO ν A from ref. [2]. The theoretical expectations for the two experiments are calculated using the software GLoBES [7, 8]. In these calculations, The GLoBES predictions for the expected bin-wise event numbers are matched with those given by the Monte-Carlo simulations of the experiments, quoted in refs. [1] and [2], for the same input parameters. In calculating the theoretical expectations, the values of $\Delta_{21} = 7.50 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2$ and $\sin^2 \theta_{12} = 0.307$ are held fixed. The other oscillation parameters are varied in the following ranges: $\Delta_{31} = (2.494 \pm 3 \times 0.065) \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$, $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = (0.4, 0.65)$, $\sin^2 2\theta_{13} = (0.084 \pm 3 \times 0.003)$ and $\delta_{\text{CP}} = (-180^\circ, 180^\circ)$. In the appearance mode, there are 24 energy bins each in neutrino and anti-neutrino channels for T2K and 6 each for NO ν A. The corresponding numbers for the disappearance mode are 42 for T2K and 19 for NO ν A. Thus the fit involves a total of 182 data points. In computing the χ^2 between the data and the theoretical expectations, prior is added for $\sin^2 2\theta_{13}$. The results of our fit are shown in figure 1. In generating these plots, we assumed a 10% overall systematic error for each channel of both experiments. The χ^2 for the best-fit point is 217.7, which occurs for NH. The best-fit point in $\delta_{\text{CP}} - \sin^2 \theta_{23}$ plane occurs at $(-120^\circ, 0.54)$.

There is no allowed region in $\delta_{\text{CP}} - \sin^2 \theta_{23}$ plane for IH at 1σ . There is a very small




FIG. 1: Expected allowed regions in $\delta_{\text{CP}} - \sin^2 \theta_{23}$ plane from the current neutrino and anti-neutrino data of T2K and $\text{NO}\nu\text{A}$. In the left panel, the hierarchy is assumed to be NH and in the right panel, the hierarchy is assumed to be IH. The IH best-fit point has $\Delta\chi^2 = 2.9$.

allowed region at 2σ with the best-fit point occurring at $(-80^\circ, 0.57)$ with a $\Delta\chi^2 = 2.9$. The best-fit point for IH in our fit is close to the IH best-fit points of T2K and $\text{NO}\nu\text{A}$. This is not surprising because those two points are close to each other. For IH, the whole region of δ_{CP} in upper half plane is ruled out at 3σ because it is disfavoured by both T2K and $\text{NO}\nu\text{A}$. For T2K, the change induced by δ_{CP} in ν_e appearance events is much larger than the change induced by hierarchy. Values of δ_{CP} in upper half plane reduces these events relative to reference point. The large excess seen by T2K relative to the reference point seems to rule out most of the upper half plane of δ_{CP} for NH also, even though this region contains $\text{NO}\nu\text{A}$ best-fit point. This same excess seems to place the best-fit value of δ_{CP} in the lower half plane, with the actual value being determined by the relative weights of T2K and $\text{NO}\nu\text{A}$ data. The best-fit value of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ is closer to the best-fit value of T2K. Thus it seems as if the T2K ν_μ disappearance data seems to play an important role in determining

this quantity.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

There seem to be three tensions in long baseline neutrino oscillation data.

- The tension between ν_e appearance events in T2K and NO ν A. T2K observes a very large excess relative to the reference point whereas NO ν A observes only a moderate excess.
- The tension between ν_e appearance and ν_μ disappearance data of T2K. The former prefers $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ in higher octant whereas the latter prefers it to be close to maximal mixing value of 0.5.
- The tension between the neutrino and anti-neutrino disappearance data of NO ν A [2].

The NO ν A experiment has observed $\bar{\nu}_e$ appearance at 4 σ C.L., whereas the $\bar{\nu}_e$ appearance data of T2K is not statistically significant. In the case of $\bar{\nu}_e$ appearance also the NO ν A experiment observes a modest excess relative to the reference point.

The modest excess observed by NO ν A in both neutrino and anti-neutrino channels can only be explained by assuming that θ_{23} is in higher octant ($\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.58$) and the changes induced by matter effects and δ_{CP} cancel each other. This leads to two nearly degenerate solutions: One in NH with $\delta_{\text{CP}} = 30^\circ$ and the other in IH with $\delta_{\text{CP}} = -90^\circ$. The large excess of ν_e appearance events in T2K very strongly favors δ_{CP} in the lower half plane and equally strongly disfavours it being in the upper half plane. It also favors NH over IH. This data essentially rules out δ_{CP} in the upper half plane, including the best-fit point of NO ν A [1]. On the other hand, NO ν A data disfavors T2K best-fit point at 1.5 σ [2]. This illustrates the tension between the data of the two experiments. The disappearance data of T2K prefers θ_{23} close to maximal mixing. The observed large excess of ν_e events in T2K appearance data pulls $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ to larger values but the possible deviation from maximality is limited by the disappearance data, leading to a compromise value of $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.53$.

The combined fit of both experiments leads to the following conclusions: the best-fit point is in NH and its values of $(\delta_{\text{CP}}, \sin^2 \theta_{23}) = (-120^\circ, 0.54)$ are determined by the relative statistical weights of T2K and NO ν A data. The best-fit point for IH occurs only at 2 σ

level (with $\Delta\chi^2 = 2.9$). This point, $(\delta_{\text{CP}}, \sin^2 \theta_{23}) = (-80^\circ, 0.57)$, is close to the IH best-fit solutions of T2K and NO ν A. For IH, δ_{CP} in upper half plane is ruled out at 3σ because this region is disfavoured by both the experiments. For the case of NH, most of the upper half plane, including the best-fit point of NO ν A data, is nearly ruled out at 3σ . This is a consequence of the large excess of ν_e appearance events observed by T2K.

Acknowledgements

SP thanks São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP) for the support through Funding Grants No. 2014/19164-6 and No. 2017/02361-1. UR thanks Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), Government of India and Industrial Research and Consultancy Center (IRCC), IIT Bombay for financial support.

-
- [1] K. Abe et al. (T2K) (2018), 1807.07891.
 - [2] M. Sanchez (NO ν A Collaboration) (2018), talk given at the Neutrino 2018 Conference, June 4-9, 2018, Heidelberg, Germany, <https://zenodo.org/record/1286758#.W40g9hx9jCI>.
 - [3] A. Cervera, A. Donini, M. Gavela, J. Gomez Cadenas, P. Hernandez, et al., Nucl.Phys. **B579**, 17 (2000), hep-ph/0002108.
 - [4] M. Freund, Phys.Rev. **D64**, 053003 (2001), hep-ph/0103300.
 - [5] L. Wolfenstein, Phys. Rev. **D17**, 2369 (1978).
 - [6] S. Bharti, S. Prakash, U. Rahaman, and S. Uma Sankar (2018), 1805.10182.
 - [7] P. Huber, M. Lindner, and W. Winter, Comput.Phys.Commun. **167**, 195 (2005), hep-ph/0407333.
 - [8] P. Huber, J. Kopp, M. Lindner, M. Rolinec, and W. Winter, Comput.Phys.Commun. **177**, 432 (2007), hep-ph/0701187.