

HODGE-TYPE DECOMPOSITION FOR DE RHAM COHOMOLOGY OF p -ADICALLY UNIFORMIZED VARIETIES

YUFAN LUO

ABSTRACT. We prove a Hodge-type decomposition for the de-Rham cohomology of p -adically uniformized varieties by the product of Drinfeld's symmetric spaces. It is based on work of Schneider, Stuhler, Iovita and Spiess on the cohomology of Drinfeld's symmetric space.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let K be a p -adic field, i.e. a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p and let d be a natural number. The d -dimension *Drinfeld's symmetric space* over K , denoted by \mathfrak{X}_K^d , is the complement in \mathbb{P}_K^d of all K -rational hyperplanes. It is a rigid analytic Stein space. We have a natural action of $G := PGL_{d+1}(K)$ on \mathfrak{X}_K^d .

Let Γ be a discrete torsion-free, cocompact subgroup of G . We have a natural action of Γ on \mathfrak{X}_K^d . By a theorem of Mustafin [Mu], the quotient $X_\Gamma := \Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{X}_K^d$ is the rigid analytic space associated to a unique smooth projective variety defined over K . For every $0 \leq n \leq d$, the de Rham cohomology $H_{\text{dR}}^n(X_\Gamma)$ of X_Γ admits the usual Hodge filtration $F_{\text{dR}}^\bullet H_{\text{dR}}^n(X_\Gamma)$ and covering filtration $F_\Gamma^\bullet H_{\text{dR}}^n(X_\Gamma)$ induced by the covering spectral sequence. It is known that the filtrations $F_{\text{dR}}^\bullet H_{\text{dR}}^n(X_\Gamma)$ and $F_\Gamma^\bullet H_{\text{dR}}^n(X_\Gamma)$ are opposite to each other, i.e.

$$H_{\text{dR}}^n(X_\Gamma) = F_\Gamma^p \oplus F_{\text{dR}}^{n+1-p}$$

for any $0 \leq p \leq n+1$. As consequence one gets a Hodge-type decomposition

$$H_{\text{dR}}^n(X_\Gamma) = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} F_{\text{dR}}^p H_{\text{dR}}^n \cap F_\Gamma^q H_{\text{dR}}^n.$$

This Hodge-type decomposition has been conjectured by Schneider [Sch] and proved by Iovita and Spiess [IS]. Other proofs were given later in [AdS] and [Gro].

In this paper, we will generalize the Hodge-type decomposition: we will consider a variety X which is uniformized by the product of the Drinfeld's symmetric spaces. Interesting examples of such varieties are the unitary Shimura varieties studied by Rapoport and Zink (See [RZ], Chapter 6).

Our setting is as follows. Let K, K' be p -adic fields and K'' a p -adic field containing K and K' . Let $\mathcal{O}_K, \mathcal{O}_{K'}, \mathcal{O}_{K''}$ denote their valuation ring respectively. Fix integers $d, d' \geq 1$ and put $G = PGL_{d+1}(K)$, $G' = PGL_{d'+1}(K')$ respectively. Let $\mathfrak{X}_K = \mathfrak{X}_K^d$ (resp. $\mathfrak{X}_{K'} = \mathfrak{X}_{K'}^{d'}$) denote the d -dimensional (resp. d' -dimensional) Drinfeld's symmetric space. To simplify the notation, we write $\mathfrak{X}_K \times_{K''} \mathfrak{X}_{K'} := (\mathfrak{X}_K \otimes_K K'') \times_{K''} (\mathfrak{X}_{K'} \otimes_{K'} K'')$.

The main theorem of this paper is the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let Γ be a discrete torsion-free cocompact subgroup of $G \times G'$, the quotient $X_\Gamma := \Gamma \backslash (\mathfrak{X}_K \times_{K''} \mathfrak{X}_{K'})$ becomes a smooth rigid variety over K'' . For $0 \leq n \leq d+d'$, there exists a covering spectral sequence for $H_{\text{dR}}^n(X_\Gamma)$ and it degenerates at E_2 . Moreover, the associated covering filtration F_Γ^\bullet is opposite to the usual Hodge filtration F_{dR}^\bullet on $H_{\text{dR}}^n(X_\Gamma)$, i.e. $H_{\text{dR}}^n(X_\Gamma) = F_\Gamma^i \oplus F_{\text{dR}}^{n+1-i}$ for any $0 \leq i \leq n+1$. Hence we have a Hodge-type decomposition for $H_{\text{dR}}^n(X_\Gamma)$*

$$H_{\text{dR}}^n(X_\Gamma) = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} F_{\text{dR}}^p \cap F_\Gamma^q.$$

Our strategy is the same as that in [SS],[Sch] and [IS]. First we compute the de Rham cohomology of $\mathfrak{X}_K \times_{K''} \mathfrak{X}_{K'}$ by Kunneth formula and the results of Schneider and Stuhler [SS]. Second we prove the existence of covering spectral sequence for de Rham cohomology of the quotient $\Gamma \backslash X$, where X is any separated smooth rigid space over K and Γ is a group of automorphisms acting discontinuously, freely on X . In particular, the existence of covering spectral sequence for de Rham cohomology of quotient space $\Gamma \backslash (\mathfrak{X}_K \times_{K''} \mathfrak{X}_{K'})$ is established, where Γ is a discrete torsion-free subgroup of $G \times G'$. The E_2 -terms of this covering spectral sequence can be transformed into Ext-groups in the category of smooth $G \times G'$ -representation and we compute these Ext-groups as same as in [SS]. Then we can also compute the cohomology of quotient space X_Γ . Using this result, finally we can prove a Hodge-type decomposition for the de Rham cohomology of $\Gamma \backslash (\mathfrak{X}_K \times_{K''} \mathfrak{X}_{K'})$ as same as in [IS].

Although Theorem 1.1 is stated for the product of two Drinfeld's symmetric spaces, our argument also works for the product of more than two Drinfeld's symmetric spaces.

2. THE DE RHAM COHOMOLOGY OF $\mathfrak{X}_K \times_{K''} \mathfrak{X}_{K'}$

In this section we want to compute the de Rham cohomology of $\mathfrak{X}_K \times_{K''} \mathfrak{X}_{K'}$.

First we recall a result of Schneider and Stuhler, which is crucial for our work. In [SS], they studied the cohomology of Drinfeld's symmetric space for any cohomology theory satisfying certain natural axioms. Examples are de Rham cohomology and ℓ -adic ($\ell \neq p$) cohomology. We need some more notations. For any subset $I \subset \Delta := \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$ let $P_I \subset G = PGL_{d+1}(K)$ be that parabolic subgroup which stabilizes the flag

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{i_0} Ke_i \subsetneq \sum_{i=1}^{i_1} Ke_i \subsetneq \dots \subseteq \sum_{i=1}^{i_r} Ke_i \right)$$

in K^{d+1} where $\{i_0 < i_1 < \dots < i_r\} = \Delta \setminus I$ and e_1, \dots, e_{d+1} is the standard basis. Furthermore if A is any abelian group let $C^\infty(G/P_I, A)$ be the group of all A -valued locally constant functions on the space G/P_I . We put

$$V_I(A) := C^\infty(G/P_I, A) / \sum_{i \in \Delta \setminus I} C^\infty(G/P_{I \cup \{i\}}, A) = V_I(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A$$

which is an irreducible smooth representation of G (see [SS]). For $0 \leq s \leq d$ we use the simpler notation

$$V_s(A) := V_{\{1, 2, \dots, d-s\}}(A).$$

For $s > d$, we set $V_s(A) = 0$. Similarly, for any subset $J \subset \Delta' := \{1, 2, \dots, d'\}$ we consider an irreducible smooth representation $V'_J(A)$ of G' .

Lemma 2.1. ([SS], Theorem 1 and Lemma 1 in Section 4) For $0 \leq s \leq d$ there is a natural G -equivariant isomorphism

$$H_{\text{dR}}^s(\mathfrak{X}_K) = \text{Hom}_K(V_s(K), K)$$

and for $s > d$ we have $H_{\text{dR}}^s(\mathfrak{X}_K) = 0$. Similarly, for $0 \leq s' \leq d'$ there is a natural G' -equivariant isomorphism

$$H_{\text{dR}}^{s'}(\mathfrak{X}_{K'}) = \text{Hom}_{K'}(V_{s'}(K'), K')$$

and for $s' > d'$ we have $H_{\text{dR}}^{s'}(\mathfrak{X}_{K'}) = 0$.

Proposition 2.2. The Kunneth formula holds for the de Rham cohomology of the product of Drinfeld's symmetric spaces $\mathfrak{X}_K \times_{K''} \mathfrak{X}_{K'}$. That is, for $0 \leq n \leq d + d'$ we have a natural $G \times G'$ -isomorphism

$$H_{\text{dR}}^n(\mathfrak{X}_K \times_{K''} \mathfrak{X}_{K'}) \simeq \bigoplus_{i+j=n} H_{\text{dR}}^i(\mathfrak{X}_K \otimes_K K'') \otimes_{K''} H_{\text{dR}}^j(\mathfrak{X}_{K'} \otimes_{K'} K'')$$

and for $n > d + d'$ we have $H_{\text{dR}}^n(\mathfrak{X}_K \times_{K''} \mathfrak{X}_{K'}) = 0$.

Proof. Recall that \mathfrak{X}_K (resp. $\mathfrak{X}_{K'}$) is Stein-space ([SS], Proposition 4 in Section 1) and Theorem B ([Ki]) says that Stein spaces have trivial coherent sheaf cohomology, so its de Rham cohomology is the cohomology of the complex of global rigid analytic forms $\Omega^\bullet(\mathfrak{X}_K)$ (resp. $\Omega^\bullet(\mathfrak{X}_{K'})$). That is,

$$H_{\text{dR}}^n(\mathfrak{X}_K \otimes_K K'') = h^n(\Omega^\bullet(\mathfrak{X}_K \otimes_K K''))$$

resp.

$$H_{\text{dR}}^n(\mathfrak{X}_{K'} \otimes_{K'} K'') = h^n(\Omega^\bullet(\mathfrak{X}_{K'} \otimes_{K'} K''))$$

Let $p_1 : \mathfrak{X}_K \times_{K''} \mathfrak{X}_{K'} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_K \otimes_K K''$, $p_2 : \mathfrak{X}_K \times_{K''} \mathfrak{X}_{K'} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{K'} \otimes_{K'} K''$ be the canonical projective morphisms, then we have a canonical isomorphism ([BLR], Proposition 1.4)

$$p_1^* \Omega_{(\mathfrak{X}_K \otimes_K K'')/K''}^\bullet \otimes_{K''} p_2^* \Omega_{(\mathfrak{X}_{K'} \otimes_{K'} K'')/K''}^\bullet \simeq \Omega_{(\mathfrak{X}_K \times_{K''} \mathfrak{X}_{K'})/K''}^\bullet$$

Note that we have an isomorphism of $K''[G \times G']$ -modules

$$\begin{aligned} & \Gamma \left(\mathfrak{X}_K \times_{K''} \mathfrak{X}_{K'}, p_1^* \Omega_{(\mathfrak{X}_K \otimes_K K'')/K''}^\bullet \otimes_{K''} p_2^* \Omega_{(\mathfrak{X}_{K'} \otimes_{K'} K'')/K''}^\bullet \right) \\ & \simeq \Gamma \left(\mathfrak{X}_K \otimes_K K'', \Omega_{(\mathfrak{X}_K \otimes_K K'')/K''}^\bullet \right) \otimes_{K''} \Gamma \left(\mathfrak{X}_{K'} \otimes_{K'} K'', \Omega_{(\mathfrak{X}_{K'} \otimes_{K'} K'')/K''}^\bullet \right) \end{aligned}$$

then by the Kunneth formula for complexes ([Wel], Theorem 3.6.3) we get the first assertion. The second assertion follows from the Lemma 2.1. □

Corollary 2.3. *For $0 \leq n \leq d + d'$, there is a $G \times G'$ -isomorphism*

$$H_{\text{dR}}^n(\mathfrak{X}_K \times_{K''} \mathfrak{X}_{K'}) \simeq \bigoplus_{i+j=n} \text{Hom}_{K''}(V_i(K''), K'') \otimes_{K''} \text{Hom}_{K''}(V_j'(K''), K'').$$

Proof. It follows from Proposition 2.2 and Lemma 2.1. □

3. THE QUOTIENT VARIETIES $\Gamma \backslash (\mathfrak{X}_K \times_{K''} \mathfrak{X}_{K'})$ AND COVERING SPECTRAL SEQUENCE

Let X be a separated smooth rigid space over K and Γ be a group of automorphisms acting discontinuously, freely on X . We will prove the existence of covering spectral sequence for de Rham cohomology of quotient $\Gamma \backslash X$. Recall that the existence of covering spectral sequence for $H_{\text{dR}}^*(\Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{X}_K)$ was established in ([SS], Proposition 2 in Section 5), where Γ is a discrete torsion-free cocompact subgroup of G . In loc. cit, they only considered a special case, but their argument is valued for our general case.

We recall that the action of a group Γ on a rigid space X over K is said to *discontinuous* if X has an admissible affinoid covering $\{X_i\}$ such that the set $\{\gamma \in \Gamma ; \gamma X_i \cap X_i \neq \emptyset\}$ is finite for each i . Furthermore, the above action is said to be *free* if $\Gamma_x = \{1\}$ for all $x \in X$.

Definition 3.1. ([FM], Section 6.4) *Let a group Γ act on a rigid space X over K . The quotient $p : X \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash X$ is defined by the following:*

- (1) $\Gamma \backslash X$ is a rigid space and p is a Γ -invariant morphism of rigid spaces, i.e. $p \circ \gamma = p$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.
- (2) Let $U \subset X$ be an admissible, Γ -invariant set, and let $f : U \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism which is Γ -invariant, i.e. $f \circ \gamma = f$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then $p(U) \subset \Gamma \backslash X$ is admissible and the morphism factors uniquely over $p(U)$, i.e. $f = g \circ p : U \longrightarrow p(U) \xrightarrow{g} Y$.

We denote the quotient $\Gamma \backslash X$ by X_Γ .

Lemma 3.2. ([BGR], Proposition 3 in Section 6.3.3) *If G is a finite group of automorphisms of the K -affinoid algebra A , then A^G is also a K -affinoid algebra and A is finite over A^G . Furthermore, assume that the action of G is free, then the canonical morphism $p : \text{Sp}(A) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(A^G)$ is an étale covering.*

Theorem 3.3. *Let X be a separated rigid space over K . Let Γ acts discontinuously and freely on X . Then*

- (1) *The quotient X_Γ exists and it is separated.*

(2) The canonical projective morphism $p : X \rightarrow X_\Gamma$ is an étale covering. In particular, if X is a smooth rigid space, so does X_Γ .

(3) Moreover, we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma \times X &= \coprod_{g \in \Gamma} X \implies X \times_{X_\Gamma} X \\ (g, z) &\longmapsto (gz, z). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We briefly sketch the construction of the quotient. First of all X_Γ is the set theoretical quotient and $p : X \rightarrow X_\Gamma$ is the canonical surjective map. A subset U of X_Γ is called admissible if $p^{-1}(U)$ is admissible. A collection of admissible sets $\{U_i\}$, with union the admissible U , is an admissible covering if $\{p^{-1}(U_i)\}$ is an admissible covering of $p^{-1}(U)$. The structure sheaf \mathcal{O} on X_Γ is defined by

$$\mathcal{O}(U) := \mathcal{O}_X(p^{-1}(U))^\Gamma.$$

Obviously, $p : X \rightarrow X_\Gamma$ then is a morphism of ringed spaces over K .

Since Γ acts discontinuously on X , there exists an admissible affinoid covering $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ such that for every $i \in I$, $\{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma X_i \cap X_i \neq \emptyset\}$ is a finite set. It follows from the assumptions that $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ satisfies the following two conditions:

- For all i , $\Gamma_i := \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma X_i = X_i\}$ is a finite group.
- For any $i, j \in I$, $X_j \cap \gamma(X_i) = \emptyset$ for all but finitely many $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Put $Y_i := p(X_i)$. The set Y_i is admissible since $p^{-1}(Y_i) = \cup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma X_i$ is admissible in X : for any $j \in I$, one has

$$X_j \cap \left(\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma X_i \right) = \bigcup_{k=1}^r (\gamma_k X_i \cap X_j)$$

is admissible open subset. Moreover, we see that p induces an isomorphism of G -ringed space

$$\Gamma_i \backslash X_i \simeq Y_i.$$

Then by Lemma 3.2 we see that $\{Y_i\}_{i \in I}$ form an admissible covering of X_Γ by affinoid varieties over K ; the intersection $Y_i \cap Y_j$ are affinoid. Therefore X_Γ is a separated analytic variety over K and the canonical projective morphism $p : X \rightarrow X_\Gamma$ is an étale covering by Lemma 3.2 again. Thus we get the first and second assertion.

The proof of the last assertion is the same as [SS] Theorem 2 in Section 5. (compare [Mum] Proposition 2 on p.70).

□

Let \mathcal{V} be the category of smooth separated rigid analytic varieties over K equipped with a fixed Grothendieck topology which we assume to be finer than the analytic topology. (See [SS])

Lemma 3.4. *Let X be a separated smooth rigid space and group Γ acts discontinuously, freely on X , then*

(1) *For any sheaf \mathcal{F} on \mathcal{V} , we have*

$$\mathcal{F}(X_\Gamma) = \mathcal{F}(X)^\Gamma.$$

(2) *For any injective sheaf \mathcal{F} on \mathcal{V} the Γ -module $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is injective.*

Proof. The proof is the same as in [SS], Lemma 1 in Section 5.

□

Proposition 3.5. *Let X be a separated smooth rigid space and group Γ acts discontinuously, freely on X , then we have the covering spectral sequence*

$$E_2^{r,s} = H^r(\Gamma, H_{\text{dR}}^s(X)) \implies H_{\text{dR}}^{r+s}(X_\Gamma).$$

Proof. It follows from the above lemmas and Grothendieck spectral sequence. (See [SS], Proposition 2 in Section 5) \square

In particular, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.6. *Let Γ be a discrete torsion-free subgroup of $G \times G'$. Then the quotient $X_\Gamma := \Gamma \backslash (\mathfrak{X}_K \times_{K''} \mathfrak{X}_{K'})$ becomes a smooth rigid variety over K'' and there exists a covering spectral sequence for $H_{dR}^n(X_\Gamma)$*

$$(1) \quad E_2^{r,s} = H^r(\Gamma, H_{dR}^s(\mathfrak{X}_K \times_{K''} \mathfrak{X}_{K'})) \implies H_{dR}^{r+s}(X_\Gamma).$$

Proof. Let Γ be a torsion free and discrete subgroup in $G \times G'$, then the action of Γ on $\mathfrak{X}_K \times_{K''} \mathfrak{X}_{K'}$ is discontinuous and free. Now the assertion follow from Theorem 3.3 and Proposition 3.5. \square

Let us compute the E_2 -terms in our covering spectral sequence (1). First by corollary 2.3 we get

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} H^r(\Gamma, H_{dR}^s(\mathfrak{X}_K \times_{K''} \mathfrak{X}_{K'})) &= H^r \left(\Gamma, \bigoplus_{i+j=s} \text{Hom}_{K''}(V_i(K''), K'') \otimes_{K''} \text{Hom}_{K''}(V'_j(K''), K'') \right) \\ &= \bigoplus_{i+j=s} H^r(\Gamma, \text{Hom}_{K''}(V_i(K''), K'') \otimes_{K''} \text{Hom}_{K''}(V'_j(K''), K'')) \end{aligned}$$

In order to compute (2) we need some important theorems of Schneider and Stuhler. ([SS], Section 6). Let \mathcal{BT} (resp. \mathcal{BT}') be the Bruhat-Tits building of the group G (resp. G'). ([SS], Section 1) Let σ (resp. σ') be a simplex in \mathcal{BT} (resp. \mathcal{BT}'). The stabilizer B_σ of σ (resp. $B_{\sigma'}$ of σ') has a unique maximal normal pro- p -subgroup U_σ (resp. $U_{\sigma'}$) which itself is compact open in G (resp. G').

Lemma 3.7. ([SS], Section 6) *If A is any abelian group and $I \subset \{1, \dots, d\}$, then*

(1) *The G -module $V_I(A)$ has a projective resolution P^\bullet of finitely generated $A[G]$ -modules*

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\tau \in \mathcal{BT}_d} V_I(A)^{U_\tau} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathcal{BT}_0} V_I(A)^{U_\sigma} \rightarrow V_I(A) \rightarrow 0.$$

(2) *Furthermore, if $\Gamma \subset G = PGL_{d+1}(K)$ is a cocompact discrete subgroup. Then the above resolution of $V_I(A)$ is a projective resolution of finitely generated free $A[\Gamma]$ -modules.*

The following proposition is the key fact to compute those Ext-groups at the E_2 -terms in covering spectral sequence. (Compare [SS], Proposition 4 in Section 5)

Proposition 3.8. *Let Γ be a discrete torsion-free cocompact subgroup of $G \times G'$. Let $I \subset \{1, \dots, d\}, J \subset \{1, \dots, d'\}$ and A be any commutative ring.*

(1) *$V_I(A) \otimes_A V'_J(A)$ has a projective resolution by finitely generated free $A[\Gamma]$ -modules.*

(2) *We have*

$$H^*(\Gamma, \text{Hom}_A(V_I(A), A) \otimes_A \text{Hom}_A(V'_J(A), A)) = H^*(\Gamma, \text{Hom}_A(V_I(A) \otimes_A V'_J(A), A)).$$

(3) *There is a natural exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]}^r(V_I(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} V'_J(\mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{A[\Gamma]}^r(V_I(A) \otimes_A V'_J(A), A) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]}^{r+1}(V_I(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} V'_J(\mathbb{Z}), A) \rightarrow 0$$

for any $r \geq 0$.

Proof. By the Lemma 3.7, the G -module $V_I(A)$ (resp. G' -module $V'_J(A)$) has a projective resolution of finitely generated $A[G]$ -modules (resp. $A[G']$ -modules)

$$P_1^\bullet \rightarrow V_I(A) : 0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\tau \in \mathcal{BT}_d} V_I(A)^{U_\tau} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathcal{BT}_0} V_I(A)^{U_\sigma} \rightarrow V_I(A) \rightarrow 0.$$

$$P_2^\bullet \rightarrow V'_J(A) : 0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\tau' \in \mathcal{BT}'_{d'}} V'_J(A)^{U_{\tau'}} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\sigma' \in \mathcal{BT}'_0} V'_J(A)^{U_{\sigma'}} \rightarrow V'_J(A) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $V_I(A)$ (resp. $V'_J(A)$) is a free A -module ([SS], Corollary 5 in Section 4) we get $\text{Hom}_A(P_1^\bullet, A)$ (resp. $\text{Hom}_A(P_2^\bullet, A)$) is a resolution of $\text{Hom}_A(V_I(A), A)$ (resp. $\text{Hom}_A(V'_J(A), A)$). Then by the Kunnetth formula for

complexes ([Wel], Theorem 3.6.3) we see that $\text{Hom}_A(P_1^\bullet, A) \otimes_A \text{Hom}_A(P_2^\bullet, A)$ is a resolution of $\text{Hom}_A(V_I(A), A) \otimes_A \text{Hom}_A(V'_j(A), A)$. Similarly, $\text{Hom}_A(P_1^\bullet \otimes_A P_2^\bullet, A)$ is a resolution of $\text{Hom}_A(V_I(A) \otimes_A V'_j(A), A)$ since $V_I(A) \otimes_A V'_j(A)$ is also a free A -module.

Since $V_I(A)$ and $V'_j(A)$ is a free A -module, the A -modules $V_I(A)^{U_\sigma}$ and $V'_j(A)^{U_{\sigma'}}$ are finitely generated and free. It follows that both P_1^\bullet and P_2^\bullet are finitely generated free A -modules. Then we have ([Sp], Corollary 7 in Section 5, Chapter 5)

$$(3) \quad \text{Hom}_A(P_1^\bullet, A) \otimes_A \text{Hom}_A(P_2^\bullet, A) \simeq \text{Hom}_A(P_1^\bullet \otimes_A P_2^\bullet, A).$$

The group $G \times G'$ acts on the polysimplicial complexes $\mathcal{BT} \times \mathcal{BT}'$. If (v, v') is a vertex of $\mathcal{BT} \times \mathcal{BT}'$, then the stabilizer $B_{(v, v')}$ of (v, v') is just $B_v \times B_{v'}$. Hence if $\Gamma \subset G \times G'$ be a discrete torsion-free cocompact subgroup, then Γ acts freely on $\mathcal{BT} \times \mathcal{BT}'$ so that $P_1^\bullet \otimes_A P_2^\bullet$ are finitely generated free $A[\Gamma]$ -modules. It follows that $\text{Hom}_A(P_1^\bullet \otimes_A P_2^\bullet, A)$ are Γ -injective resolutions. This implies the first asseccion. And the second assertion follows from (3).

For the third assertion, let $P_1^\bullet \rightarrow V_I(\mathbb{Z})$ (resp. $P_2^\bullet \rightarrow V'_j(\mathbb{Z})$) be a projective resolution by finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ (resp. $\mathbb{Z}[G']$)-modules. We have seen that $P_1^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} P_2^\bullet \rightarrow V_I(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} V'_j(\mathbb{Z})$ is a projective resolution by finitely generated free $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$ -modules. Then $P_1^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} P_2^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A \rightarrow V_I(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} V'_j(A)$ is a projective resolution by finitely generated free $A[\Gamma]$ -modules since $V_I(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} V'_j(\mathbb{Z})$ is \mathbb{Z} -free.

Therefore $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]}^*(V_I(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} V'_j(\mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z})$, resp. $\text{Ext}_{A[\Gamma]}^*(V_I(A) \otimes_A V'_j(A), A)$, can be computed from the complex $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]}(P_1^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} P_2^\bullet, \mathbb{Z})$, resp. $\text{Hom}_{A[\Gamma]}(P_1^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} P_2^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A, A)$. The third assertion follows from the universal coefficient theorem applied to the complex

$$\text{Hom}_{A[\Gamma]}(P_1^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} P_2^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A, A) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]}(P_1^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} P_2^\bullet, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A.$$

□

Lemma 3.9. ([IS], Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.2) *Let R be a commutative ring and Γ be any group. Let V, M be $R[\Gamma]$ -modules, and assume that V is free as an R -module. Then*

(1) *We have*

$$H^\bullet(\Gamma, \text{Hom}_R(V, M)) \simeq \text{Ext}_{R[\Gamma]}^\bullet(V, M).$$

(2) *Moreover assume that V has a resolution $F^\bullet \rightarrow V$ where for every $n \geq 0$, F_n is a finitely generated free $R[\Gamma]$ -module. Let S be a flat R -algebra. Then the canonical map*

$$\text{Ext}_{R[\Gamma]}^\bullet(V, M) \otimes_R S \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{S[\Gamma]}^\bullet(V \otimes_R S, M \otimes_R S)$$

is an isomorphism.

Going back to the E_2 -terms (2) of our covering spectral sequence . Because of Proposition 3.8 and Lemma 3.9 we can rewrite it in the form

$$\begin{aligned} H^r(\Gamma, H_{\text{dR}}^s(\mathfrak{X}_K \times_{K''} \mathfrak{X}_{K''})) &= \bigoplus_{i+j=s} H^r(\Gamma, \text{Hom}_{K''}(V_i(K''), K'') \otimes_{K''} \text{Hom}_{K''}(V'_j(K''), K'')) \\ &= \bigoplus_{i+j=s} H^r(\Gamma, \text{Hom}_{K''}(V_i(K'') \otimes_{K''} V'_j(K''), K'')) \\ &= \bigoplus_{i+j=s} \text{Ext}_{K''[\Gamma]}^r(V_i(K'') \otimes_{K''} V'_j(K''), K'') \end{aligned}$$

so that for $0 \leq s \leq d + d'$ the covering spectral sequence becomes

$$(4) \quad E_2^{r,s} = \bigoplus_{i+j=s} \text{Ext}_{K''[\Gamma]}^r(V_i(K'') \otimes_{K''} V'_j(K''), K'') \implies H^{r+s}(X_\Gamma).$$

We want to study the groups $\text{Ext}_{K''[\Gamma]}^r(V_i(K'') \otimes_{K''} V'_j(K''), K'')$ in (4).

Because of the Proposition 3.8 this amounts to the computation of

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]}^*(V_i(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V'_j(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C}).$$

Since Γ is cocompact the $G \times G'$ -representation

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{\Gamma} := C^{\infty}(G \times G' / \Gamma, \mathbb{C})$$

is admissible. By Shapiro's lemma we have

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]}^*(V_i(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V'_j(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C}) = \mathrm{Ext}_{G \times G'}^*(V_i(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V'_j(\mathbb{C}), \mathrm{Ind}_{\Gamma})$$

The representation Ind_{Γ} is a unitary representations, and decomposes into the direct sum of irreducible smooth unitary representations of $G \times G'$ with finite multiplicities (see [SS], Section 5).

Proposition 3.10. ([Mie], Lemma 2.1)

(1) For $I_1, I_2 \subset \{1, \dots, d\}$ and $J_1, J_2 \subset \{1, \dots, d'\}$, we have

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{G \times G'}^i(V_{I_1}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes V'_{J_1}(\mathbb{C}), V_{I_2}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes V'_{J_2}(\mathbb{C})) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & \text{if } i = \Delta(I_1, I_2) + \Delta(J_1, J_2) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $\Delta(I_1, I_2) = |(I_1 \cup I_2)| - |(I_1 \cap I_2)|$.

(2) Let $V \otimes V'$ be an irreducible smooth representation of $G \times G'$. If it is not of the form $V_{I_0}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes V'_{J_0}(\mathbb{C})$ with $I_0 \subset \{1, \dots, d\}$ and $J_0 \subset \{1, \dots, d'\}$, then we have

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{G \times G'}^i(V_I(\mathbb{C}) \otimes V'_J(\mathbb{C}), V \otimes V') = 0$$

for every $I \subset \{1, \dots, d\}, J \subset \{1, \dots, d'\}$ and i .

Theorem 3.11. Let Γ be a discrete torsion-free cocompact subgroup of $G \times G'$. Let $m_{1,0}$ (resp. $m_{0,1}$, resp. $m_{1,1}$) be the multiplicity of the representation $V_{\emptyset} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ (resp. $\mathbb{C} \otimes V'_{\emptyset}$, resp. $V_{\emptyset} \otimes V'_{\emptyset}$) in the representation Ind_{Γ} of $G \times G'$. If d, d' are all even numbers and $d \leq d'$, we have

$$E_2^{r,s} = \bigoplus_{i+j=s} \mathrm{Ext}_{K''[\Gamma]}^r(V_i(K'') \otimes_{K''} V'_j(K''), K'') = \begin{cases} K'' & r = s < \frac{d}{2} \\ K''^{m_{1,0}+1} & r = s, \frac{d}{2} \leq r < \frac{d'}{2} \\ K''^{m_{1,0}+m_{0,1}+1} & r = s \neq \frac{d+d'}{2}, r \geq \frac{d'}{2} \\ K''^{m_{1,1}+m_{1,0}+m_{0,1}} & r + s = d + d', r \neq \frac{d+d'}{2} \\ K''^{m_{1,1}+m_{1,0}+m_{0,1}+1} & r = s = \frac{d+d'}{2} \\ K''^{m_{1,0}} & r + s \in 2\mathbb{Z}, d \leq r + s < d', r \neq s, r + s \neq d + d' \\ K''^{m_{1,0}+m_{0,1}} & r + s \in 2\mathbb{Z}, r + s \geq d', r \neq s, r + s \neq d + d' \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof. The proof is the same as [SS], Theorem 3 in Section 5.

Assume that $V_I(\mathbb{C}) \otimes V'_J(\mathbb{C})$ appears in Ind_{Γ} , then it is unitary and thus $V_I(\mathbb{C})$ and $V'_J(\mathbb{C})$ are unitary. Hence we conclude that I (resp. J) is either \emptyset or $\{1, \dots, d\}$ (resp. $\{1, \dots, d'\}$). Note that the multiplicity of the trivial representation $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ in Ind_{Γ} equals 1. As in the proof in ([SS], Theorem 3), by Proposition 3.10 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]}^*(V_i(\mathbb{C}) \otimes V'_j(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C}) \\ &= \mathrm{Ext}_{G \times G'}^*(V_i(\mathbb{C}) \otimes V'_j(\mathbb{C}), \mathrm{Ind}_{\Gamma}) \\ &= \mathrm{Ext}_{G \times G'}^*(V_i(\mathbb{C}) \otimes V'_j(\mathbb{C}), (\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{C}) \oplus (V_{\emptyset} \otimes \mathbb{C})^{m_{1,0}} \oplus (\mathbb{C} \otimes V'_{\emptyset})^{m_{0,1}} \oplus (V_{\emptyset} \otimes V'_{\emptyset})^{m_{1,1}}) \\ &= \mathrm{Ext}_{G \times G'}^*(V_i(\mathbb{C}) \otimes V'_j(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathrm{Ext}_{G \times G'}^*(V_i(\mathbb{C}) \otimes V'_j(\mathbb{C}), V_{\emptyset}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C})^{m_{1,0}} \\ & \quad \oplus \mathrm{Ext}_{G \times G'}^*(V_i(\mathbb{C}) \otimes V'_j(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C} \otimes V'_{\emptyset}(\mathbb{C}))^{m_{0,1}} \oplus \mathrm{Ext}_{G \times G'}^*(V_i(\mathbb{C}) \otimes V'_j(\mathbb{C}), V_{\emptyset}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes V'_{\emptyset}(\mathbb{C}))^{m_{1,1}}. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_{G \times G'}^r (V_i(\mathbb{C}) \otimes V_j'(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{C}) &= \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & r = i + j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \text{Ext}_{G \times G'}^r (V_i(\mathbb{C}) \otimes V_j'(\mathbb{C}), V_\emptyset(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}) &= \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & r = d - i + j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \text{Ext}_{G \times G'}^r (V_i(\mathbb{C}) \otimes V_j'(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C} \otimes V_\emptyset'(\mathbb{C})) &= \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & r = i + d' - j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \text{Ext}_{G \times G'}^r (V_i(\mathbb{C}) \otimes V_j'(\mathbb{C}), V_\emptyset(\mathbb{C}) \otimes V_\emptyset'(\mathbb{C})) &= \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & r = d + d' - i - j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

As a result, if d, d' are all even numbers we see that in our spectral sequence nonvanishing E_2 -terms only occur on the lines $r = s$, $r + s = d + d'$ and those pairs (r, s) satisfying $r + s \in 2\mathbb{Z}, r + s \geq d$ or $r + s \in 2\mathbb{Z}, r + s \geq d'$. Altogether we obtain the assertion. \square

Corollary 3.12. *If d, d' are all even numbers and $d \leq d'$, the covering spectral sequence for $H_{\text{dR}}^n(X_\Gamma)$ degenerates at E_2 . Thus we have*

$$H_{\text{dR}}^n(X_\Gamma) = \begin{cases} K'' & 0 \leq n < d, \text{ } n \text{ even} \\ K''^{(n+1)m_{1,0}+1} & d \leq n < d', \text{ } n \text{ even} \\ K''^{(n+1)(m_{1,0}+m_{0,1})+1} & d' \leq n < d + d', \text{ } n \text{ even} \\ K''^{(d+d'+1)(m_{1,1}+m_{1,0}+m_{0,1})+1} & n = d + d' \\ K''^{(2d+2d'+1-n)(m_{1,0}+m_{0,1})+1} & d + d' < n \leq 2(d + d'), \text{ } n \text{ even} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof. In the proof of Theorem 3.11 we have seen that in our spectral sequence nonvanishing E_2 -terms only occur on the lines $r = s$, $r + s = d + d'$ and those pairs (r, s) satisfying $r + s \in 2\mathbb{Z}, r + s \geq d$ or $r + s \in 2\mathbb{Z}, r + s \geq d'$. Then all differentials in the spectral sequence must be zero. Thus our covering spectral sequence degenerates at E_2 . \square

Corollary 3.13. *If the above covering spectral sequence degenerates at E_2 , then we have*

$$(5) \quad \dim(F_\Gamma^i) + \dim(F_\Gamma^{n+1-i}) = \dim(H_{\text{dR}}^n(X_\Gamma))$$

for any $0 \leq i \leq n + 1$.

We will prove our covering spectral sequence always degenerates at E_2 even if d, d' are not even in next section.

4. HODGE-TYPE DECOMPOSITION FOR $H_{\text{dR}}^n(X_\Gamma)$

Let Γ be a discrete torsion-free cocompact subgroup of $G \times G'$, we have seen that the quotient $X_\Gamma := \Gamma \backslash (\mathfrak{X}_K \times_{K''} \mathfrak{X}_{K'})$ becomes a smooth rigid variety over K'' and we have also proved the existence of covering spectral sequence for $H_{\text{dR}}^n(X_\Gamma)$. The associated filtration is denoted by F_Γ^\bullet .

There is a second natural filtration on $H_{\text{dR}}^n(X_\Gamma)$, the Hodge filtration F_{dR}^\bullet . It is induced by the Hodge-de Rham spectral sequence

$$E_1^{r,s} = H^s(X_\Gamma, \Omega_{X_\Gamma/K''}^r) \implies H_{\text{dR}}^n(X_\Gamma).$$

By ([Mu], Appendix), the analytic variety X_Γ is algebraizable to a projective variety over K'' . The GAGA-principle then implies the de Rham cohomology of X_Γ are equal to the corresponding algebraic cohomology groups. Since K has characteristic 0 we see that the Hodge de Rham spectral sequence for X_Γ degenerates.

One says that the filtrations F_{dR}^\bullet and F_Γ^\bullet are *opposite* if for every $0 \leq p \leq n+1$,

$$H^n = F_{\text{dR}}^p H^n \oplus F_\Gamma^{n+1-p} H^n.$$

Setting, for $p+q=n$,

$$H^{p,q} := F_{\text{dR}}^p H^n \cap F_\Gamma^q H^n.$$

If the two filtrations are opposite, then we have a Hodge-type decomposition for X_Γ

$$H_{\text{dR}}^n(X_\Gamma) = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} H^{p,q}.$$

Let $(K^{r,s}, d', d'')$ be a double complexes of K -vector spaces vanishing for $r < 0$ or $s < 0$ and let $'F^\bullet H^n$, resp. $''F^\bullet H^n$, denote the first filtration induced by the first spectral sequence, resp. the second filtration induced by the second spectral sequence, of H^n . Let us give a general criterion for the two filtrations $'F^\bullet H^n, ''F^\bullet H^n$ to be opposite. (See [AdS], Section 3)

Lemma 4.1. *If $\varphi : \tilde{K}^{r,s} \rightarrow K^{r,s}$ be a map of double complexes and assume that for $\tilde{K}^{r,s}$, we have $\tilde{d}' = 0$ throughout. Assume that the maps $''E_2\varphi : ''\tilde{E}_2^{r,s} \rightarrow ''E_2^{r,s}$ are isomorphisms.*

- (1) ([AdS], Lemma 3.2) *Let \tilde{H}^n, H^n be the homologies of the total complexes. Then the induced map $H\varphi : \tilde{H}^n \rightarrow H^n$ is an isomorphism for every n , under with $''\tilde{F}^p \tilde{H}^n$ and $''F^p H^n$ agree, and the spectral sequence $''E_2^{r,s} \implies H^n$ degenerates at $''E_2$.*
- (2) *We have $H^n = 'F^i + ''F^{n+1-i}$ for any $0 \leq i \leq n+1$.*
- (3) *Furthermore, if for any $0 \leq i \leq n+1$ one has $\dim('F^i) + \dim('F^{n+1-i}) = \dim(H^n)$ and $\dim('F^i) + \dim('F^{n+1-i}) = \dim(H^n)$. Then the filtrations $'F^\bullet$ and $''F^\bullet$ on H^n are opposite to each other.*

Proof. It suffices to show the third assertion. Since

$$\dim('F^i) \geq \dim(H^n) - \dim('F^{n+1-i}) = \dim('F^i)$$

and

$$\dim('F^i) \geq \dim(H^n) - \dim('F^{n+1-i}) = \dim('F^i)$$

we get $\dim('F^i) = \dim('F^i)$ for any $0 \leq i \leq n+1$. Therefore we have $H^n = 'F^i \oplus ''F^{n+1-i}$. \square

We want to prove that the covering filtration F_Γ^\bullet is opposite to the Hodge filtration F_{dR}^\bullet on $H_{\text{dR}}^n(X_\Gamma)$.

First we recall the results of Iovita and Spiess [IS]. We denote the space of *bounded logarithmic* differential p -forms on \mathfrak{X}_K by $\Omega_{\log,b}^p(\mathfrak{X}_K)$ and such forms are closed forms. The main theorem in [IS] states that the composition map

$$\Omega_{\log,b}^p(\mathfrak{X}_K) \hookrightarrow \Omega^p(\mathfrak{X}_K) \rightarrow H^p(\mathfrak{X}_K), \quad w \mapsto [w]$$

is injective and its image corresponds to the inclusion

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(V_p(\mathbb{Z}), \mathcal{O}_K) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(V_p(\mathbb{Z}), K)$$

The following proposition is the key ingredient in our proof for Hodge-type decomposition (compare Proposition 5.3 in [IS]).

Proposition 4.2. *Let p_1, p_2 be positive integers. Then the canonical map*

$$(\Omega_{\log,b}^{p_1}(\mathfrak{X}_K) \otimes_K K'') \otimes_{K''} (\Omega_{\log,b}^{p_2}(\mathfrak{X}_{K'}) \otimes_{K'} K'') \rightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^{p_1}(\mathfrak{X}_K \otimes_K K'') \otimes_{K''} H_{\text{dR}}^{p_2}(\mathfrak{X}_{K'} \otimes_{K'} K'')$$

induce an isomorphism on cohomology groups

$$H^*(\Gamma, (\Omega_{\log,b}^{p_1}(\mathfrak{X}_K) \otimes_K K'') \otimes_{K''} (\Omega_{\log,b}^{p_2}(\mathfrak{X}_{K'}) \otimes_{K'} K'')) \rightarrow H^*(\Gamma, H_{\text{dR}}^{p_1}(\mathfrak{X}_K \otimes_K K'') \otimes_{K''} H_{\text{dR}}^{p_2}(\mathfrak{X}_{K'} \otimes_{K'} K'')).$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.8 and Lemma 3.9 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& H^*(\Gamma, (\Omega_{log,b}^{p_1}(\mathfrak{X}_K) \otimes_K K'') \otimes_{K''} (\Omega_{log,b}^{p_2}(\mathfrak{X}_{K'}) \otimes_{K'} K'')) \\
&= Ext_{K''[\Gamma]}^*(K'', (Hom_{\mathcal{O}_K}(V_{p_1}(\mathcal{O}_K), \mathcal{O}_K) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K'') \otimes_{K''} (Hom_{\mathcal{O}_{K'}}(V'_{p_2}(\mathcal{O}_{K'}), \mathcal{O}_{K'}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K'}} K'')) \\
&= Ext_{K''[\Gamma]}^*(K'', (Hom_{\mathcal{O}_{K''}}(V_{p_1}(\mathcal{O}_{K''}), \mathcal{O}_{K''}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K''}} K'') \otimes_{K''} (Hom_{\mathcal{O}_{K'}}(V'_{p_2}(\mathcal{O}_{K''}), \mathcal{O}_{K''}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K''}} K'')) \\
&= Ext_{K''[\Gamma]}^*(K'', Hom_{\mathcal{O}_{K''}}(V_{p_1}(\mathcal{O}_{K''}) \otimes V'_{p_2}(\mathcal{O}_{K''}), \mathcal{O}_{K''}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K''}} K'') \\
&= Ext_{\mathcal{O}_{K''}[\Gamma]}^*(\mathcal{O}_{K''}, Hom_{\mathcal{O}_{K''}}(V_{p_1}(\mathcal{O}_{K''}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K''}} V'_{p_2}(\mathcal{O}_{K''}), \mathcal{O}_{K''}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K''}} K'') \\
&= Ext_{\mathcal{O}_{K''}[\Gamma]}^*(V_{p_1}(\mathcal{O}_{K''}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K''}} V'_{p_2}(\mathcal{O}_{K''}), \mathcal{O}_{K''}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K''}} K'' \\
&= Ext_{K''[\Gamma]}^*(V_{p_1}(K'') \otimes_{K''} V'_{p_2}(K''), \mathcal{O}_{K''} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K''}} K'') \\
&= H^*(\Gamma, H_{dR}^{p_1}(\mathfrak{X}_K \otimes_K K'') \otimes_{K''} H_{dR}^{p_2}(\mathfrak{X}_{K'} \otimes_{K'} K''))
\end{aligned}$$

since $\mathcal{O}_{K''}$ is a free \mathcal{O}_K -module (resp. $\mathcal{O}_{K'}$ -module) of finite rank. This completes the proof. \square

Now, we shall prove the main theorem (Theorem 1.1) of this paper.

Proof of the Theorem 1.1. Since $X := \mathfrak{X}_K \times_{K''} \mathfrak{X}_{K'}$ is a Stein-space, its de Rham cohomology can be computed from the complex $\Omega^\bullet(X)$ of global holomorphic differential forms on X . Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
H^*(\Gamma, H_{dR}^s(X)) &= H^*(\Gamma, h^s(\Omega^\bullet(X))), \\
H^*(X_\Gamma, \Omega_{X_\Gamma/K''}^r) &= H^*(\Gamma, \Omega^r(X)), \\
H_{dR}^*(X_\Gamma) &= H^*(\Gamma, \Omega^\bullet(X)).
\end{aligned}$$

Consider the hypercohomology of complex $\Omega^\bullet(X)$ with respect to the functor $F := (-)^\Gamma$ from the category of Γ -modules to the category of K'' -vector spaces. As usual, first we choose a Cartan-Eilenberg resolution $I^{\bullet,\bullet}$ of complex $\Omega^\bullet(X)$. This is a double complex $(I^{r,s}, d', d'')$ of injective Γ -modules and we denote the double complex $F(I^{r,s}) = (I^{r,s})^\Gamma$ by $(K^{r,s}, d', d'')$. Now the homology of total complex $\text{Tot}(K^{r,s})$ is just $H_{dR}^n(X_\Gamma)$. Then there are two spectral sequences abutting to $H_{dR}^n(X_\Gamma)$.

The first is

$${}'E_1^{p,q} = R^q F(\Omega^p(X)) = H^q(\Gamma, \Omega^p(X)) = H^q(X_\Gamma, \Omega^p(X))$$

and the associated filtration is Hodge filtration F_{dR}^\bullet . The second is

$${}''E_2^{p,q} = R^p F(h^q(\Omega^\bullet(X))) = H^p(\Gamma, H_{dR}^q(X))$$

and the associated filtration is covering filtration F_Γ^\bullet .

Similarly, consider the hypercohomology of complex

$$(\Omega_{log,b}^\bullet(\mathfrak{X}_K) \otimes_K K'') \otimes_{K''} (\Omega_{log,b}^\bullet(\mathfrak{X}_{K'}) \otimes_{K'} K'')$$

with respect to the functor F and the corresponding double complex is denoted by $(\tilde{K}^{r,s}, \tilde{d}', \tilde{d}'')$. Since all differentials in $(\Omega_{log,b}^\bullet(\mathfrak{X}_K) \otimes_K K'') \otimes_{K''} (\Omega_{log,b}^\bullet(\mathfrak{X}_{K'}) \otimes_{K'} K'')$ are zero, we see that $\tilde{d}' = 0$. There are also two spectral sequence abutting to $H^n(\Gamma, (\Omega_{log,b}^\bullet(\mathfrak{X}_K) \otimes_K K'') \otimes_{K''} (\Omega_{log,b}^\bullet(\mathfrak{X}_{K'}) \otimes_{K'} K''))$. The second is

$${}''\tilde{E}_2^{p,q} = H^p\left(\Gamma, \oplus_{p_1+p_2=q} (\Omega_{log,b}^{p_1}(\mathfrak{X}_K) \otimes_K K'') \otimes_{K''} (\Omega_{log,b}^{p_2}(\mathfrak{X}_{K'}) \otimes_{K'} K'')\right)$$

The inclusion of complexes

$$(\Omega_{log,b}^\bullet(\mathfrak{X}_K) \otimes_K K'') \otimes_{K''} (\Omega_{log,b}^\bullet(\mathfrak{X}_{K'}) \otimes_{K'} K'') \hookrightarrow \Omega^\bullet(\mathfrak{X}_K \times_{K''} \mathfrak{X}_{K'}) = \Omega^\bullet(\mathfrak{X}_K \otimes_K K'') \otimes_{K''} \Omega^\bullet(\mathfrak{X}_{K'} \otimes_{K'} K'')$$

induces a map of double complexes $\varphi : \tilde{K}^{r,s} \rightarrow K^{r,s}$. By Proposition 2.2 and Proposition 4.2 we see that the maps

$${}''E_2\varphi : {}''\tilde{E}_2^{p,q} = H^p\left(\Gamma, \oplus_{p_1+p_2=q} (\Omega_{log,b}^{p_1}(\mathfrak{X}_K) \otimes_K K'') \otimes_{K''} (\Omega_{log,b}^{p_2}(\mathfrak{X}_{K'}) \otimes_{K'} K'')\right) \rightarrow H^p(\Gamma, H_{dR}^q(X)) = {}''E_2^{p,q}$$

are isomorphisms. By Lemma 4.1 our covering spectral sequence for $H_{\text{dR}}^n(X_\Gamma)$ degenerates at E_2 and we have

$$(6) \quad H_{\text{dR}}^n(X_\Gamma) = F_\Gamma^i + F_{\text{dR}}^{n+1-i}$$

for any $0 \leq i \leq n + 1$.

Finally, by Corollary 3.13 we get

$$(7) \quad \dim(F_\Gamma^i) + \dim(F_\Gamma^{n+1-i}) = \dim(H_{\text{dR}}^n(X_\Gamma)).$$

and by Serre duality ([Ha], Corollary 7.13) we get

$$(8) \quad \dim(F_{\text{dR}}^i) + \dim(F_{\text{dR}}^{n+1-i}) = \dim(H_{\text{dR}}^n(X_\Gamma)).$$

Now the asseccion follows from (6), (7), (8) and Lemma 4.1. □

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The content of this paper is a part of my master's thesis at East China Normal University. I would like to thank my advisor Bingyong Xie who introduced me to the subjects treated here and who offered useful suggestions. Without his encouragement, this paper would never have been written.

REFERENCES

- [AdS] G. Alon and E. de Shalit, *On the cohomology of Drinfelds p -adic symmetric domain*, Israel J. Math. 129 (2002), 120.
- [BGR] S. Bosch, U. G untzer and R. Remmert, *Non-archimedean analysis* Springer, Berlin, (1984).
- [BLR] S. Bosch, W. Lutkebohmert, M. Raynaud: *Formal and rigid geometry, III. The relative maximum principle*. Math. Ann. (1995).
- [FM] J. Fresnel and Marius van der put : *Rigid Analytic Geometry and Its Applications* Springer,(2003).
- [Gro] E. Grosse-Kl onne, *Frobenius and monodromy operators in rigid analysis, and Drinfeld symmetric space*, (2005).
- [Ha] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Grad. Texts in Math. 52, Springer, New York, (1977).
- [IS] A. Iovita and M. Spiess, *Logarithmic differential forms on p -adic symmetric spaces*, Duke Math. J. 110 (2000), 253278.
- [Ki] R. Kiehl, *Theorem A und Theorem B in der nichtarchimedischen Funktionentheorie*, Invent. Math. 2 (1967), 256273.
- [Mie] Yoichi Mieda: *Note on weight-monodromy conjecture for p -adically uniformized varieties*, (2010).
- [Mu] G. A. Mustafin, *Non-Archimedean uniformization.* , Math. USSR-Sb. 34 (1978), 187214.
- [Mum] David Mumford, *Abelian varieties*, Oxford University Press, (1974).
- [RZ] M. Rapoport and Th. Zink, *Period spaces for p -divisible groups*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 141, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1996.
- [Sp] Spanier, E.H: *Algebraic Topology*. New York:McGraw-Hill, (1966).
- [Sch] P. Schneider, *The cohomology of local systems on p -adically uniformized varieties* , Math. Ann. (1992).
- [SS] P. Schneider, U. Stuhler, *The cohomology of p -adic symmetric spaces*. Inv. Math. 105, 47-122, (1991).
- [Wel] A. Welbel: *An introduction to Homological Algebra*. Cambridge University Press, (1994).

YUFAN LUO
 SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES,
 EAST CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY
 200241, SHANGHAI,
 P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: yufanluo@hotmail.com