THE GEOMETRIC AVERAGE SIZE OF SELMER GROUPS OVER FUNCTION FIELDS

AARON LANDESMAN

ABSTRACT. We show, in the large q limit, that the average size of n-Selmer groups of elliptic curves of bounded height over $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ is the sum of the divisors of n. As a corollary, again in the large q limit, we deduce that 100% of elliptic curves of bounded height over $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ have rank 0 or 1.

1. INTRODUCTION

One recent goal in arithmetic statistics is to determine the average size of *n*-Selmer groups. The majority of results in this direction have concentrated on the regime $n \le 5$. The goal of this paper is to describe the average size of *n*-Selmer groups, in the large *q* limit, for arbitrary *n*, over function fields of the form $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$. To start, we recall the following conjecture on the average size of *n*-Selmer groups.

Conjecture 1.1 (Bhargava–Shankar [BS13a, Conjecture 4], Poonen–Rains [PR12, Conjecture 1.4(b)], and Bhargava–Kane–Lenstra–Poonen–Rains [BKL⁺15, §5.7]). When all elliptic curves are ordered by height, the average size of *n*-Selmer groups is the sum of the divisors of *n*.

So far, Conjecture 1.1 has been proven for 2, 3, 4, and 5-Selmer groups over \mathbb{Q} by Bhargava and Shankar [BS15a, BS15b, BS13a, BS13b], for 3-Selmer groups over $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ by de Jong [dJ02], and for 2-Selmer groups over function fields by Hồ, Lê Hùng, and Ngô [HLHN14]. These proofs all depend on specific geometric descriptions of *n*-Selmer elements for $n \leq 5$ and seem difficult to generalize to n > 5. There have also been predictions for the full distributions of *n*-Selmer groups in [PR12] and [BKL⁺15].

We now restrict our attention to global fields of the form $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ with $\operatorname{char}(\mathbb{F}_q) \neq 2$. For any elliptic curve *E* over such a field, there is a unique *d* so that *E* can be written in minimal Weierstrass form as $y^2z = x^3 + a_2(s,t)x^2z + a_4(s,t)xz^2 + a_6(s,t)z^3$, where $a_{2i}(s,t) \in \mathbb{F}_q[s,t]$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree 2*id*, for $i \in \{1,2,3\}$ (see §2.1). Define the *height* of *E*, notated h(E), to be this value of *d*.

We next define several notions of the average size of the *n*-Selmer group. Let *T* be a function sending isomorphism classes of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ to \mathbb{R} . Letting $\operatorname{Sel}_n(E)$ denote the *n*-Selmer group of *E*, one relevant such statistic is $\#\operatorname{Sel}_n$, given by $E \mapsto \#\operatorname{Sel}_n(E)$. Letting *E* range over isomorphism classes of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$, the *average size* of *T* over $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ for elliptic curves of height up to *d*, if it exists, is then

(1.1)
$$\operatorname{Average}^{\leq d}(T/\mathbb{F}_q(t)) := \frac{\sum\limits_{\substack{E/\mathbb{F}_q(t), h(E) \leq d}} T(E)}{\#\{E : E/\mathbb{F}_q(t), h(E) \leq d\}}$$

In Conjecture 1.1, "the average size of the *n*-Selmer groups" over $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ can be formulated as $\lim_{d\to\infty} \operatorname{Average}^{\leq d}(\#\operatorname{Sel}_n/\mathbb{F}_q(t))$. While this may be challenging to compute for general *n*, we can try to determine this by first taking the limit in *q*, and then taking the limit in *d*. For *q* ranging over prime powers, we deem $\lim_{\substack{q\to\infty\\ \gcd(q,2n)=1}} \operatorname{Average}^{\leq d}(\#\operatorname{Sel}_n/\mathbb{F}_q(t))$ the

geometric average size of the *n*-Selmer group of height up to *d*. Our main result is that this modified limit evaluates to the prediction of Conjecture 1.1 for $d \ge 2$.

Theorem 1.2. For $n \ge 1$ and $d \ge 2$,

(1.2)
$$\lim_{\substack{q \to \infty \\ \gcd(q,2n)=1}} \operatorname{Average}^{\leq d}(\#\operatorname{Sel}_n/\mathbb{F}_q(t)) = \sum_{m|n} m.$$

In Theorem 1.2, the limit is taken over all prime powers q relatively prime to 2n. In particular, the same statement holds when one restricts q to range over powers of a fixed prime p that does not divide 2n. See §1.1 for further related remarks.

We next state a corollary showing that, in the large q limit, 100% of elliptic curves of height up to d either have rank 0 or 1. In subsequent work [FLR20, Corollary 1.5], we were able to strengthen Corollary 1.3 to compute the distribution of ranks of elliptic curves in this large q limit. As we learned in private communication with Manjul Bhargava, the following line of reasoning is based upon an idea he had many years ago, and is explained in [BS13a, Proposition 5] and [PR12, p.246-247]. As we are taking a limit in q instead of d, the situation is slightly different from that in the above references, and we now spell out the details. To make a precise statement, define the statistic $\delta_{rk\geq 2}(E) = 0$ if rk(E) < 2. In this case, Average^{$\leq d$} ($\delta_{rk\geq 2}/\mathbb{F}_q(t)$) is the proportion of isomorphism classes of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ of height up to d with rank at least 2. The following corollary can alternatively be deduced from [Kat05, Theorem 13.3.3].

Corollary 1.3. For $d \ge 2$, $\lim_{\substack{q \to \infty \\ \gcd(q,2)=1}} \operatorname{Average}^{\le d}(\delta_{\mathrm{rk} \ge 2}/\mathbb{F}_q(t)) = 0.$

Proof. Suppose $\lim_{\substack{q \to \infty \\ \gcd(q,2)=1}} \operatorname{Average}^{\leq d}(\delta_{\mathrm{rk} \geq 2}/\mathbb{F}_q(t)) \neq 0.$ Then, there is some

 $\varepsilon > 0$ and some infinite sequence of powers of odd primes $\{q_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$ so that Average^{$\leq d$} ($\delta_{\mathrm{rk} \geq 2}/\mathbb{F}_{q_i}(t)$) > ε for all such q_i . Choose a sufficiently large prime number n so that $\frac{n+2}{n^2} < \varepsilon$ and n is relatively prime to infinitely many of the q_i . Replace the sequence $\{q_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$ by an infinite subsequence with all terms relatively prime to n. Since $n^2 \delta_{\mathrm{rk} \leq 2}(E) \leq n^{\mathrm{rk}(E)} \leq \# \mathrm{Sel}_n(E)$ for any elliptic curve E, we find that for any q_i in the above sequence,

$$n^{2}\varepsilon < n^{2}\operatorname{Average}^{\leq d}(\delta_{\mathrm{rk}\geq 2}/\mathbb{F}_{q_{i}}(t)) \leq \operatorname{Average}^{\leq d}(\#\operatorname{Sel}_{n}/\mathbb{F}_{q_{i}}(t)).$$

However, as *n* is prime and $d \ge 2$, by Theorem 1.2, $\lim_{\substack{q \to \infty \\ \gcd(q,2n)=1}} \operatorname{Average}^{\le d}(\#\operatorname{Sel}_n / \mathbb{F}_q(t)) =$

n+1. Therefore, there can only finitely many q_i which are relatively prime to 2n so that Average^{$\leq d$}(#Sel_{*n*}/ $\mathbb{F}_{q_i}(t)$) $\geq n$ +2. Hence, $\varepsilon n^2 < n$ +2, contradicting our choice of *n*.

1.1. **Remarks on Theorem 1.2.** We now make some remarks on various aspects of Theorem 1.2 including heuristics, homological stability, families of quadratic twists, and more.

Remark 1.4 (Four heuristics for the average size of Selmer groups). When computing the average size of Selmer groups, it is natural to ask if there is some deeper reason for why the average size of *n*-Selmer groups should be $\sum_{m|n} m$. Here are four heuristics which suggest this description.

- In [BS13a, Conjecture 4], the average size of *n*-Selmer groups is related to the fact that the Tamagawa number τ(PGL_m) is *m*, and their average size is the sum Σ_{m|n} τ(PGL_m) for *m* | *n*. The same heuristic is used in [BS15a, BS15b, BS13b], and [HLHN14].
- (2) In this paper, we present another heuristic: the average size of *n*-Selmer groups is the number of orbits of a certain orthogonal group O(Q^d_n) on a rank 12*d* − 4 free Z/nZ module. Such orbits are in bijection with irreducible components of a moduli space for Selmer elements, which we call the *n*-Selmer space in Definition 3.3.
- (3) A third heuristic appears in [dJ02] for 3-Selmer groups, in [Vak01] for 2-Selmer groups, and in [dJF11, Theorem 5.4] for *n*-Selmer groups. These works suggest that the average size of the *n*-Selmer group should equal the number of balanced (also called rigid) rank *m* projective bundles over \mathbb{P}^1 for $m \mid n$. Indeed, the balanced rank *m* projective bundles are all of the form $\operatorname{Proj}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \operatorname{Sym}^{\bullet} (\mathscr{O}^{\oplus a} \oplus \mathscr{O}(-1)^{\oplus m-a})$ for $1 \leq a \leq m$, and so there are *m* total such bundles. Altogether, there are $\sum_{m \mid n} m$ such bundles as *m* ranges over the divisors of *n*.
- (4) A fourth heuristic for the average size of Selmer groups, which comes from a heuristic distribution for the sizes of Selmer groups, is given in [PR12] in terms of maximal isotropic subgroups of quadratic spaces.

We next sketch why heuristics (1), (2), and (3) above all yield the same average size $\sum_{m|n} m$. First we connect (1) and (3). The balanced bundles appearing in (3) index the connected components of the moduli stack of PGL_m bundles on \mathbb{P}^1 . So, to identify (1) and (3) it suffices to show the number of connected components of the moduli stack of PGL_m bundles on \mathbb{P}^1 equals $\tau(\text{PGL}_m)$. This follows from [BD09, Corollary 3.4].

The equivalence between (2) and (3) follows from piecing together [dJF11, Lemma 4.8, p. 785 line 20, Theorem 5.4, and Theorem 4.9]. To explain this briefly, the quadratic form from (2), which [dJF11] notate as \wp , is induced by the Pontrjagin square map, and the value of this form on a Selmer element is related by [dJF11, Lemma 4.8 and p. 785 line 20] to the degree of a certain vector bundle. For general Selmer elements, the splitting type of this vector bundle is determined by its degree using that the bundle is balanced [dJF11, Theorem 5.4]. Conversely, every balanced splitting type of a vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^1 corresponds to a component of the Selmer space by [dJF11, Theorem 4.9] and so one can reverse the above process to determine the value of the quadratic form from the splitting type of the associated vector bundle.

It would be interesting to better understand how heuristics (1), (2), and (3) are related to (4) above.

Remark 1.5 (Heuristics for distributions of Selmer groups). In addition to predictions for average sizes of Selmer groups, there are also predictions for the higher moments and distributions of Selmer groups, such as in [PR12] and [BKL⁺15]. In collaboration with

Tony Feng and Eric Rains [FLR20], we built on Theorem 4.4 to prove these predictions over function fields, in the large *q* limit.

While this ultimately boils down to a version of the Chebotarev density theorem, significant work beyond the results of this paper is needed. For example, in order determine the full distribution, we require a more precise version of Theorem 4.4, which computes the relevant monodromy group exactly. Note that in Theorem 4.4, we only show the relevant monodromy group contains a suitably large subgroup, which is sufficient for the purposes of computing the average size of *n*-Selmer groups, but not for determining the distribution of *n*-Selmer groups. It is also nontrivial to show the resulting distribution agrees with that predicted in [BKL⁺15]. We accomplish this by showing the two distributions agree modulo primes, and then prove they can be described in terms of the same Markov process to show they agree modulo prime powers.

Remark 1.6 (Relation to homological stability). We now explain how Theorem 1.2 can be viewed as a step toward determining Average(Sel_{*n*} / $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$).

By the Lang-Weil estimate, the limit in Theorem 1.2 is really computing the number of irreducible components of a certain stack we call the "*n*-Selmer stack of height *d*," which we notate as $\underline{\operatorname{Sel}}_{n,\mathbb{F}_p}^d$. Essentially, the \mathbb{F}_q points of $\underline{\operatorname{Sel}}_{n,\mathbb{F}_p}^d$ parameterize *n*-Selmer elements on elliptic curves over $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ of height *d*. In this sense, Theorem 1.2 is demonstrating cohomological stability in *d* for $H^0(\underline{\operatorname{Sel}}^d_{n,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_n}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$, which counts the number of irreducible components of $\underline{\operatorname{Sel}}_{n,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}^d$.

If one could show the other cohomologies of $\underline{Sel}_{n,\overline{F}_n}^d$ also stabilize in *d*, this would go a long way toward showing Average(Sel_n / $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$) = $\sum_{m|n} m$. Indeed, one could then try to apply the Grothendieck Lefschetz trace formula to compute the average size of Selmer groups, similarly to how the average size of ℓ -parts of class groups are computed in [EVW16] (see also [CEF14] and [FW18a]). One may alternatively wish to demonstrate homological stability for the closely related stacks parameterizing points of $A_{n,d}$ and $B_{n,d}$, introduced in [d]02, §5.2 and §5.3].

Remark 1.7 (Average sizes, with a twist!). Let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field of characteristic more than 3. Recall that if *E* is an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{F}_{q}(t)$ defined by $y^{2}z = x^{3} + a_{4}(s,t)xz^{2} + a_{4}(s,t)xz^{2}$ $a_6(s,t)z^3$, one can define the quadratic twist family of degree d as those elliptic curves of the form $f(s,t)y^2z = x^3 + a_4(s,t)xz^2 + a_6(s,t)z^3$, for $f(s,t) \in k[s,t]$ varying over squarefree homogeneous polynomial of degree d. This is a family over an open subscheme of affine space parameterized by the coefficients of f(s, t).

As we were working on this problem, we learned of forthcoming work of Park and Wang [PW21]. They prove a result analogous to Theorem 1.2, at least in the case n is a prime more than 3, for particular quadratic twist families.

The method of [PW21] is similar to ours. The essential idea is to replace Theorem 4.4 by the result of Hall [Hal08, Theorem 6.3]. In order to apply [Hal08, Theorem 6.3], the authors work with a slightly different, but closely related to, the sheaf $Se\ell_{n,B}^d$ we consider in this paper.

One can extend the result of [PW21] for prime *n* more than 3 to composite *n* with gcd(n, 6) = 1, as we now sketch. For such *n*, one can calculate the monodromy of certain quadratic twist families by bootstrapping Hall's result for primes to composites using [Vas03, Theorem 1.3] and Goursat's lemma as in [Gre10, Proposition 2.5]. One can use this to deduce a variant of Theorem 1.2 for the quadratic twist families investigated in [PW21], which is applicable for composite *n* (and not just prime *n*) with gcd(n, 6) = 1.

Finally, we mention one significant difference between the universal family examined in this paper and quadratic twist families. For the universal family, the monodromy computation from [dJF11, Theorem 4.10] was only carried out over \mathbb{C} . Adapting this computation in characteristic $p \neq 2$ occupies the majority of §4. In contrast, Hall's result [Hal08, Theorem 6.3] already applies in positive characteristic. So, to compute the monodromy of quadratic twist families one does not have to grapple with the issue of relating the monodromy in characteristic p to that in characteristic 0.

Remark 1.8 (d = 1). The assumption $d \ge 2$ in Theorem 1.2 is necessary. When d = 1, the average size of 3-Selmer groups in the large q limit is 5, as follows from [dJ02, Remark 7.8]. It is an interesting open question to determine the average size for n-Selmer groups in the large q limit when d = 1. When n > 2, the associated monodromy map in Definition 4.2 has image isomorphic to $W(E_8)$, the Weyl group of type E_8 . In the case n = 2, the image is $W(E_8) / \{\pm 1\}$, as is explained in [Vak01, Proposition 4.2(a)]. The action of this group can be seen via its permutation of the 240 lines on a del Pezzo 1 surface, corresponding to the 240 roots of E_8 . The average size of these Selmer groups can be interpreted geometrically in terms of possible configurations of n lines on a del Pezzo 1 surface (see [FW18b, §4.1, §4.4, §4.5, §4.6, and §5.1] for some tangentially related classical constructions) and also in terms of splitting types of rank n projective bundles on \mathbb{P}^1 (similarly to the n = 3 case carried out in [dJ02, §7]).

Remark 1.9 (Generalizations). It would be interesting to generalize Theorem 1.2 to function fields of higher genus curves over finite fields. Many ideas for attempting this generalization may be found in [HLHN14]. Perhaps the most obvious obstruction to this generalization is that our result depends on [dJF11, Theorem 4.9], which is only stated for elliptic surfaces over $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$, and not over higher genus curves.

Another possible research direction is be to prove a variant of Theorem 1.2 for higher dimensional abelian varieties, instead of elliptic curves. As a related example, [Dao17] computes the average size of 2-Selmer groups of Jacobians of hyperelliptic curves over function fields.

Remark 1.10 ($q \nmid 2$). We explain why we assume q is relatively prime to 2 in the statement of Theorem 1.2. In order to prove Theorem 1.2, the key is to compute the image of the monodromy representation of Definition 4.2. To compare the monodromy representation over \mathbb{C} to the representation over a finite field, we verify tameness of the associated cover in the proof of Proposition 4.9. One can verify that the cover is not tamely ramified in characteristic 2, and so our proof does not immediately extend to characteristic 2 fields.

The general approach we take for proving Theorem 1.2 is to construct an appropriate covering space parameterizing Selmer elements. We then determine the monodromy group of this covering space well enough to compute the number of components of this space and hence its number of points over a large finite field. A similar strategy for the Cohen-Lenstra heuristics for torsion in class groups was originally taken up in unpublished notes of Jiu Kang Yu, further developed by Achter [Ach08, Ach06], and built upon by Ellenberg, Venkatesh, and Westerland [EVW16].

1.2. An outline of the proof of Theorem 1.2. For *k* a finite field, we construct an algebraic space $\operatorname{Sel}_{n,k}^d$ parameterizing pairs (E, X), where *E* is an elliptic curve over k(t) and *X* is approximately (but not exactly) an *n*-Selmer element of *E*. Letting \mathscr{W}_k^d denote a parameter space for Weierstrass equations of elliptic curves E/k(t) of height *d*, there is quasifinite étale map $\operatorname{Sel}_{n,k}^d \to \mathscr{W}_k^d$ sending $(E, X) \mapsto [E]$. The key property of $\operatorname{Sel}_{n,k}^d$ is that for almost all elliptic curves *E* over k(t), there is a bijection between $\operatorname{Sel}_n(E)$ and *k* points of the fiber $[E] \times_{\mathscr{W}_k^d} \operatorname{Sel}_{n,k}^d$.

Therefore, computing the average size of *n*-Selmer groups in the large *q* limit is reduced to computing the ratio $\frac{\#\operatorname{Sel}_{n,k}^d(k')}{\#\mathscr{W}_k^d(k')}$ for sufficiently large finite extensions k' of k. By the Lang-Weil estimate, since \mathscr{W}_k^d is geometrically irreducible, we can show this ratio is $\sum_{m|n} m$ by showing $\operatorname{Sel}_{n,k}^d$ has $\sum_{m|n} m$ irreducible components, all of which are geometrically irreducible.

To compute the number of irreducible components of $\operatorname{Sel}_{n,k}^d$, we show that, over a dense open $\mathscr{W}_k^{\circ d} \subset \mathscr{W}_k^d$, $\operatorname{Sel}_{n,k}^d$ is a finite étale cover. The geometric fibers of the resulting restriction $\operatorname{Sel}_{n,k}^{\circ d} \to \mathscr{W}_k^{\circ d}$ are isomorphic to a free $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ module V_n^d . Hence, we obtain a monodromy representation (or Galois representation) $\rho_{n,k}^d$: $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\mathscr{W}_k^{\circ d}) \to \operatorname{GL}(V_n^d)$. In fact, there is a certain quadratic form Q_n^d on V_n^d coming from Poincaré duality which is preserved under the monodromy action, and so the map $\rho_{n,k}^d$ factors though $O(Q_n^d)$. In fact, (V_n^d, Q_n^d) is naturally the reduction mod n of a quadratic space $(V_{\mathbb{Z}}^d, Q_{\mathbb{Z}}^d)$ over \mathbb{Z} . Let $r_n : O(Q_{\mathbb{Z}}^d) \to O(Q_n^d)$ denote the reduction mod n map. Over $k = \mathbb{C}$, it is shown in [d]F11] that $\operatorname{im} \rho_{n,\mathbb{C}}^d$ is contained in $O(Q_n^d)$ and contains the subgroup $r_n(O_{-1}^*(Q_{\mathbb{Z}}^d)) \subset O(Q_n^d)$ given by the kernel of the -1-spinor norm. If we knew $\operatorname{im} \rho_{n,\mathbb{F}_p}^d = \operatorname{im} \rho_{n,\mathbb{C}}^d$, the number of geometrically irreducible components would simply be the number of orbits of this group on its underlying free $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ module, which is $\sum_{m|n} m$.

Hence, the only task remaining is to show the monodromy over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ agrees with that over \mathbb{C} . This will follow once we show that $\rho_{n,k}^d$ factors through the tame fundamental group, meaning its ramification orders over boundary divisors in a compactification of $\mathscr{W}_k^{\circ d}$ are prime to char(k). To set up this transfer of monodromy, we work relatively over Spec $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$ and use a relative version of Abhyankar's lemma. Abhyankar's lemma allows us to reduce to checking that the relative boundary divisors over $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$ are smooth over an open set meeting all fibers over Spec $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$. The most difficult boundary divisor to deal with is that parameterizing singular elliptic surfaces, which can be written down explicitly in terms of equations. Hence, verifying the above mentioned smoothness properties boils down to a concrete calculation using the Jacobian criterion for smoothness.

1.3. **Outline of the Paper.** The rest of the paper is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.2. Along the way, we introduce the *n*-Selmer space, notated $Sel_{n,B}^{d}$ (depending on a height

FIGURE 1. A schematic diagram depicting the structure of the proof of Theorem 1.2.

d and a base scheme *B*), which may be of independent interest. In §2 we collect various notation used throughout the paper; Figure 2 may be useful. In §3 we define the *n*-Selmer space, develop its basic properties, and explain the relation between points of the *n*-Selmer space and *n*-Selmer groups of elliptic curves. In §4 we compute the monodromy of the *n*-Selmer space over the space of minimal Weierstrass models and use this to show the *n*-Selmer space has $\sum_{m|n} m$ irreducible components, all of which are geometrically irreducible. We combine our above computations to prove Theorem 1.2 in §5. See Figure 1 for a schematic depiction of how the proof of Theorem 1.2 fits together.

1.4. Acknowledgements. I thank Ravi Vakil for guiding me down a fascinating path that eventually led to this problem. I thank Anand Deopurkar for pointing out the key reference [dJF11]. Thanks to Tony Feng and Eric Rains for suggesting numerous improvements and for many illuminating conversations involving extensions of the results of this paper. I also thank an anonymous referee for many helpful comments. Thanks to Arul Shankar for pointing out Corollary 1.3. I thank Brian Conrad, Jordan Ellenberg, David Benjamin Lim, Anand Patel, and Bogdan Zavyalov for some extremely valuable discussions. I would also like to thank Manjul Bhargava, Kestutis Česnavičius, Aise Johan de Jong, François Greer, Nikolas Kuhn, Bao Lê Hùng, Sun Woo Park, Eric Rains, Arpon Raksit, Zev Rosengarten, Will Sawin, Doug Ulmer, Niudun Wang, Melanie Wood, and David Zywina for helpful interactions. This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship Program under Grant No. DGE-1656518.

2. NOTATION

In this section, we collect various notation used throughout the paper.

2.1. Notation for height. We define a notion of height for elliptic curves over function fields, following [dJ02, §4.2-§4.8]. Let *k* be a field with char(*k*) \neq 2 and let *E* be an elliptic curve over *k*(*t*). In this case, for *i* \in {1,2,3} there is some *d* \in \mathbb{Z} and homogeneous polynomials $a_{2i}(s,t) \in k[s,t]$ of degree 2*id* so that *E* can be expressed in Weierstrass form as

(2.1)
$$y^2 z = x^3 + a_2(s,t)x^2 z + a_4(s,t)xz^2 + a_6(s,t)z^3.$$

By a change of coordinates, we can write *E* in minimal Weierstrass form, meaning there is no non-constant polynomial $f \in k[s,t]$ with $f^{2i} | a_{2i}(s,t)$ for all $i \in \{1,2,3\}$. Up to transformations of the form $x \mapsto x + r(s,t)$ for $r(s,t) \in k[s,t]$ of degree 2*d* and $(a_2(s,t), a_4(s,t), a_6(s,t)) \mapsto (u^2a_2(s,t), u^4a_4(s,t), u^6a_6(s,t))$ for $u \in k^{\times}$, elliptic curves over k(t) have a unique such expression in minimal Weierstrass form. This follows from the standard procedure for simplifying Weierstrass equations, as described in [Sil09a, III.3.1]. For *E* written in minimal Weierstrass form, the *discriminant* of *E* is disc(*E*) := $-16(4a_2(s,t)^3a_6(s,t) - a_2(s,t)^2a_4(s,t)^2 + 4a_4(s,t)^3 + 27a_6(s,t)^2 - 18a_2(s,t)a_4(s,t)a_6(s,t))$. We define the *height* of *E* as $h(E) := d = \deg \operatorname{disc}(E)/12$. Note that disc(*E*) depends on the choice of Weierstrass form for *E*, but two different choices of minimal Weierstrass form will yield two discriminants with the same degree, so h(E) is an intrinsic invariant of *E*.

2.2. **Group theory notation.** Let *V* be a rank *s* free module over a commutative ring *R* with unit. We let GL(V) or $GL_s(R)$ denote the group of invertible *R*-homomorphisms $V \rightarrow V$. If *V* is a finite rank free module over *R* with a quadratic form *q*, let $O(q) \subset GL(V)$ denote the associated orthogonal group.

Suppose that $R = \mathbb{Z}$, $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$, and (q, V) is a unimodular lattice, meaning B_q , viewed as a linear transformation $V \to V^{\vee}$ is invertible. Following [Ebe87, §5.1], define $O_{\varepsilon}^*(q) \subset O(q)$ to be the subset of those elements $g \in O(q)$ so that for any expression $g = r_{v_1} \cdots r_{v_i}$ there is an even number of indices *i* with $\varepsilon q(v) < 0$. The group $O_{\varepsilon}^*(q)$ is also known as the kernel of the ε -spinor norm.

2.3. **General notation throughout the paper.** We collect some notation we shall use throughout the paper. We will use *n* as the integer indexing the Selmer group Sel_n, i.e., we work with the *n*-Selmer group. For defining parameter spaces of elliptic curves we will work over a base scheme *B* on which 2 is invertible. For defining parameter spaces of *n*-Selmer elements, we will further assume *n* is invertible on *B*. We often take *B* to be Spec *k* for *k* a field, in which case we typically assume char(*k*) $\nmid 2n$ unless otherwise specified. We use *d* to denote the height of various elliptic surfaces, so that a minimal Weierstrass equation is of the form $y^2z = x^3 + a_2(s,t)x^2z + a_4(s,t)xz^2 + a_6(s,t)z^3$ for an elliptic curve *E* over *k*(*t*) as in (2.1). Here, for $i \in \{1,2,3\}$, deg $a_{2i}(s,t) = 2id$ as homogeneous polynomials in k[s, t].

For $X \to Y$ and $Z \to Y$ two maps, we notate $X_Z := X \times_Y Z$. When Z = Spec R for R a ring, we also notate $X_R := X_{\text{Spec } R}$. Similarly, while many objects throughout the paper are indexed by a base scheme B (see those in Figure 2), if B = Spec R, we index them by R instead. So, for example, we use \mathcal{W}_R^d (defined in Definition 3.1) to mean $\mathcal{W}_{\text{Spec } R}^d$, and similarly for the other constructions in Figure 2.

Throughout we use H^i to denote étale cohomology, unless otherwise specified. On certain occasions we will need both étale and group cohomology, which we will then notate via subscripts ét and grp,. Similarly, by π_1 we mean the étale fundamental group. On occasion we will need the topological fundamental group, which we then notate as π_1^{top} , and the tame fundamental group, which we notate as π_1^{tame} (see §2.6). On these occasions, we will notate the étale fundamental group as $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}$.

For *K* a global field, we let Σ_K denote the places of *K*. We let K_v denote the completion of *K* at $v \in \Sigma_K$. By *global function field*, we mean the fraction field of a smooth geometrically

integral curve over \mathbb{F}_q . Recall that when *K* is a global function field, the elements of Σ_K are in bijection with the closed points of the smooth proper curve *C* whose function field is *K*. If *X* is an integral ring or scheme, we let K(X) denote its fraction field. For *R* a local ring, we let R^{sh} denote its strict henselization.

2.4. Elliptic curves. Let *B* be a scheme with 2*n* invertible on *B* and let $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. In Definition 3.1 and Definition 3.3 we define a scheme \mathscr{W}_B^d and an algebraic space $\operatorname{Sel}_{n,B}^d$. These are parameter spaces for minimal Weierstrass models and elements of Selmer groups respectively. There is a natural map $\pi : \operatorname{Sel}_{n,B}^d \to \mathscr{W}_B^d$. Given a point (or geometric point) $x \in \mathscr{W}_B^d$ we let E_x denote the elliptic curve corresponding to the point x, \mathscr{E}_x denote the Néron model of E_x over $\mathbb{P}_x^1, \mathscr{E}_x^0$ denote its identity component, and $f_x : W_x \to \mathbb{P}_x^1$ denote the minimal Weierstrass model. See the proof of Lemma 3.7 for various relations between these objects.

Let $g_x : \mathbb{P}^1_x \to x$ denote the structure map. For x a point, we use \overline{x} to denote a corresponding geometric point. So, if $x = \operatorname{Spec} k$, we let $\overline{x} = \operatorname{Spec} \overline{k}$. Then, $E_{\overline{x}}$ denotes the corresponding elliptic curve over \overline{x} and so on.

We use Kodaira's notation for types of singular fibers in minimal regular proper models of elliptic curves, see [Sil94, IV.9, Table 4.1].

2.5. **Selmer groups.** Let *E* be an elliptic curve over a global function field *K*. We let $\operatorname{Sel}_n(E) := \ker (H^1(K, E[n]) \to \prod_{v \in \Sigma_K} H^1(K_v, E))$ denote the *n*-Selmer group of *E*.

2.6. **Tame fundamental group.** We recall the definition of the tame fundamental group of a relative curve over a discrete valuation ring or field, following [OV00, p. 9] (see also [R71, Exposé XIII, 2.1.3]). For *S* a discrete valuation ring or field, let $X \to S$ be a regular relative curve, $E \subset X$ a divisor étale over *S*, and V := X - E. Let $F \to V$ be a finite étale cover and let \overline{F} denote the normalization of *X* along $F \to V$. We say $F \to V$ is *tame* if the ramification orders \overline{F} over *E* are invertible on *S*. We then define $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(V)$ as the profinite group whose finite quotients *G* correspond to tame finite étale Galois-*G* covers of *V*. In particular, $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(V)$ is a quotient of $\pi_1^{\text{eft}}(V)$.

2.7. **Summary of notation introduced in the paper.** For the reader's convenience, in Figure 2 we collect notation introduced throughout the paper, roughly in order of appearance.

3. The *n*-Selmer space

We define the *n*-Selmer space and *n*-Selmer stack in §3.1 and prove various properties of the *n*-Selmer space in §3.2. In §3.3, we relate points of the *n*-Selmer space to elements of Selmer groups of elliptic curves.

3.1. **Defining the** *n***-Selmer space.** Our next goal is to define the *n*-Selmer space and *n*-Selmer stack, which is accomplished in Definition 3.3 and Definition 3.4. We will realize the *n*-Selmer space as a cover of a parameter space for minimal Weierstrass equations of elliptic curves.

Notation	Description	Location defined
\mathbb{A}^{12d+3}_B	The affine space parameterizing the coefficients of a_2 , a_4 , and a_6 in the Weierstrass equation	Definition 3.1
\mathcal{W}_B^d	A parameter space of Weierstrass equations over \mathbb{P}^1 of height <i>d</i>	Definition 3.1
$\mathcal{W}^{\circ d}_{B}$	The open set of \mathscr{W}_B^d of smooth minimal Weierstrass models	Definition 3.9
\mathcal{W}_B^d	The universal family of Weierstrass models over \mathscr{W}_{B}^{d}	Definition 3.1
UW ^{od} B	The universal family of Weierstrass models over $\mathscr{W}_{B}^{\circ d}$	Definition 3.9
$\operatorname{Sel}_{n,B}^d$	The <i>n</i> -Selmer space of height <i>d</i>	Definition 3.3
$\operatorname{Sel}^{\circ d}_{n,B}$	The open subspace of $\operatorname{Sel}_{n,B}^d$ given by restricting to $\mathscr{W}_B^{\circ d}$	Definition 3.3
$Se\ell^d_{n,B}$	The sheaf on \mathscr{W}_B^d represented by $\operatorname{Sel}_{n,B}^d$	Lemma 3.2
$Se\ell^{\circ d}_{n,B}$	The sheaf on $\mathscr{W}_{B}^{\circ d}$ represented by Sel ^{$\circ d$} _{<i>n</i>,<i>B</i>}	Definition 3.9
$\frac{\mathcal{W}_{B}^{d}}{\mathcal{W}_{B}}$	The moduli stack of minimal Weierstrass models, a quotient of \mathscr{W}^d_B by an algebraic group	Definition 3.4
$\frac{\mathscr{W}^{\circ d}}{B}$	The open substack of $\underline{\mathscr{W}}_B^d$ parameterizing smooth Weierstrass models	Definition 3.4
$\underline{\operatorname{Sel}}_{n,B}^d$	The <i>n</i> -Selmer stack of height <i>d</i> , a quotient of $\operatorname{Sel}_{n,B}^d$ by an algebraic group	Definition 3.4
<u>Sel</u> $^{\circ d}_{n,B}$	The open substack of <u>Sel^d_{n,B}</u> given by restricting to $\underline{\mathscr{W}}_{B}^{\circ d}$	Definition 3.4
$\Phi_{E,v}$	The group of rationally defined components of the Néron model of <i>E</i> at a closed point <i>v</i>	Definition 3.19
Φ_E	The product of $\Phi_{E,v}$ over all closed points v	Definition 3.19
$\rho_{n,B}^d$	The monodromy representation associated to $\operatorname{Sel}_{n,B}^{\circ d} \to \mathscr{W}_{B}^{\circ d}$	Definition 4.2
V_n^d	The free $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ module corresponding to the geometric generic fiber of $\operatorname{Sel}_{n,B}^d \to \mathscr{W}_B^d$	Definition 4.1
Q_n^d	The quadratic form on V_n^d respected by the geometric monodromy	Theorem 4.4
$\mathcal{D}^{d}_{\mathrm{sing},B}$	The divisor in \mathbb{A}_{B}^{12d+3} parameterizing singular elliptic surfaces	Definition 3.11

FIGURE 2. Notation introduced in the paper.

3.1.1. *Motivation for the definition of the n-Selmer space.* The motivation for our definition of the Selmer space is as follows. Let *E* be an elliptic curve over k(t) with Néron model \mathscr{E} . Then, the *n*-Selmer group of *E* is closely connected to $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathscr{E}^0[n])$ via results discussed in §3.3 below. So, we will cook up a sheaf over the parameter space of height *d* elliptic curves whose stalk over a point corresponding to *E* is $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1_k, \mathscr{E}^0_k[n])$.

In order to define the *n*-Selmer space, we now define the relevant parameter space of Weierstrass equations of height *d*.

Definition 3.1. Throughout this definition we work relatively over a base scheme *B* on which 2 is invertible. Define $\mathbb{P}^1_B := \operatorname{Proj}_B \mathscr{O}_B[s, t]$. Form the affine space,

$$\mathbb{A}_{B}^{12d+3} := \operatorname{Spec}_{B} \mathscr{O}_{B}[a_{2,0}, a_{2,1} \dots, a_{2,2d}, a_{4,0}, \dots, a_{4,4d}, a_{6,0} \dots, a_{6,6d}].$$

For $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, define $a_{2i}(s, t) := \sum_{j=0}^{2id} a_{2i,j} t^{j} s^{2id-j}$. Let $\mathcal{W}_B^d \subset \mathbb{A}_B^{12d+3}$ denote the open subscheme parameterizing those points so that the Weierstrass equation $y^2 z = x^3 + a_2(s, t)x^2z + a_4(s, t)xz^2 + a_6(s, t)z^3$ is a minimal Weierstrass equation. This is open as it corresponds to those points $(a_{2,0}, \ldots, a_{2,2d}, a_{4,0}, \ldots, a_{4,4d}, a_{6,0}, \ldots, a_{6,6d})$ so that there is no point $p \in \mathbb{P}_B^1$ with $\operatorname{val}_p(a_{2i}(s, t)) \ge 2id$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

We next construct a family of minimal Weierstrass models over \mathcal{W}_B^d . Consider the projective bundle $\operatorname{Proj}_{\mathbb{P}_D^1 \times_B \mathcal{W}_B^d} \operatorname{Sym}^{\bullet} \mathcal{K}$ with

(3.1)
$$\mathscr{K} := \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_B \times_B \mathscr{W}^d_B} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_B \times_B \mathscr{W}^d_B}(-2d) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_B \times_B \mathscr{W}^d_B}(-3d).$$

Let *z*, *x*, and *y* denote the generators of the first, second, and third summands of \mathscr{K} . Let \mathscr{W}_B^d denote the subscheme cut out of $\operatorname{Proj}_{\mathbb{P}_B^1 \times_B \mathscr{W}_B^d} \operatorname{Sym}^{\bullet} \mathscr{K}$ by the ideal sheaf generated by the equation $y^2 z = x^3 + a_2(s, t)x^2 z + a_4(s, t)xz^2 + a_6(s, t)z^3$ in $\operatorname{Sym}^3(\mathscr{K})$. Then, \mathscr{W}_B^d is the family of height *d* Weierstrass models over \mathscr{W}_B^d .

We can summarize the setup of Definition 3.1 by the diagram



Suitably motivated to define the *n*-Selmer space over \mathcal{W}_B^d by §3.1.1, we next construct a sheaf $Se\ell_{n,B}^d$ which is represented by the *n*-Selmer space.

Lemma 3.2. Let *B* be a scheme with 2*n* invertible on *B*, and $d \ge 0$. Let $\mathscr{W}_B^d \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{P}_B^1 \times_B$ $\mathscr{W}_B^d \xrightarrow{g} \mathscr{W}_B^d$ denote the projection maps, and define $\mathcal{Sel}_{n,B}^d := R^1g_*(R^1f_*\mu_n)$. Then $\mathcal{Sel}_{n,B}^d$ is a constructible sheaf of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ modules whose formation commutes with arbitrary base change on \mathscr{W}_B^d . Further, $\mathcal{Sel}_{n,B}^d$ is represented by an algebraic space which is étale, quasi-separated, and of finite type over \mathscr{W}_B^d .

Proof. This holds by proper base change together with standard facts about the algebraic space representing an étale sheaf (see [Mil80, V, Theorem 1.5], [Mil80, p. 157, point (b)] for quasi-separatedness, and [Mil80, V, Proposition 1.8]).

Using Lemma 3.2, we can now define the *n*-Selmer space.

Definition 3.3 (The Selmer space). For *B* a scheme with 2*n* invertible on *B*, define the *n*-Selmer space over *B* of height *d*, denoted $\text{Sel}_{n,B}^d$, to be the algebraic space representing the sheaf $Se\ell_{n,B}^d$, as defined in Lemma 3.2.

Points of the Selmer space do not quite correspond bijectively to Selmer elements. The main source of this discrepancy is due to the fact that isomorphism classes of elliptic curves appear multiple times in \mathcal{W}_B^d , as a given isomorphism class of elliptic curve has many Weierstrass equations. In order to fix this discrepancy, we now introduce the Selmer stack. Although it would be possible to prove our main result, Theorem 1.2, only using the Selmer space, we find it cleaner to introduce the Selmer stack, whose points correspond more closely to Selmer elements. The Selmer space is a smooth cover of the Selmer stack of relative dimension 2d + 2. For the remainder of the paper we will work almost exclusively with the Selmer space, only interacting with the Selmer stack at the very end of the proof in §5. The reader interested in understanding the proof of Theorem 1.2 but unfamiliar with stacks can safely ignore the Selmer stack without detracting from their understanding of the proof.

Definition 3.4 (The Selmer Stack). Retain notation from Definition 3.1. Let $d \ge 0$ and B be a scheme with 2n invertible on B. We next construct a $\mathbb{G}_a^{2d+1} \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$ action on \mathscr{W}_B^d . This action is given on Weierstrass equations as follows. Viewing an element $r \in \mathbb{G}_a^{2d+1}$ as a homogeneous degree 2d polynomial in s and t whose coefficients are parameterized by

 \mathbb{G}_a^{2d+1} , *r* sends

 $y^2z = x^3 + a_2(s,t)x^2z + a_4(s,t)xz^2 + a_6(s,t)z^3 \mapsto y^2z = (x+r)^3 + a_2(s,t)(x+r)^2z + a_4(s,t)(x+r)z^2 + a_6(s,t)z^3$, where one then simplifies the right hand side to determine the coefficients $a_{2i,j}$. The action of $\lambda \in \mathbb{G}_m$ is given by

$$y^{2}z = x^{3} + a_{2}(s,t)x^{2}z + a_{4}(s,t)xz^{2} + a_{6}(s,t)z^{3} \mapsto y^{2}z = x^{3} + \lambda^{2}a_{2}(s,t)x^{2}z + \lambda^{4}a_{4}(s,t)xz^{2} + \lambda^{6}a_{6}(s,t)z^{3}.$$
This $\mathbb{G}_{a}^{2d+1} \rtimes \mathbb{G}_{m}$ action on \mathscr{W}_{B}^{d} induces actions on $\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1} \times_{B} \mathscr{W}_{B}^{d}$ and \mathscr{W}_{B}^{d} respecting the projection maps $\mathscr{W}_{B}^{d} \stackrel{f}{\to} \mathbb{P}_{B}^{1} \times_{B} \mathscr{W}_{B}^{d} \stackrel{g}{\to} \mathscr{W}_{B}^{d}$. It therefore induces an action on $\mathscr{Sel}_{n,B}^{d} = R^{1}f_{*}(R^{1}g_{*}\mu_{n})$ and hence an action on $\mathrm{Sel}_{n,B}^{d}$. We define the *moduli stack of height d minimal Weierstrass models over B* as the quotient stack $\mathscr{\underline{W}}_{B}^{d} := [\mathscr{W}_{B}^{d}/\mathbb{G}_{a}^{2d+1} \rtimes \mathbb{G}_{m}]$. We define the *n-Selmer stack over B of height d* as the quotient stack $\underline{\mathrm{Sel}}_{n,B}^{d} := [\mathrm{Sel}_{n,B}^{d}/\mathbb{G}_{a}^{2d+1} \rtimes \mathbb{G}_{m}]$. Since the action of $\mathbb{G}_{a}^{2d+1} \rtimes \mathbb{G}_{m}$ restricts to an action on $\mathscr{W}_{B}^{\circ d}$, (as defined later in Definition 3.9,) we similarly define $\mathscr{\underline{W}}_{B}^{\circ d} := [\mathscr{W}_{B}^{\circ d}/\mathbb{G}_{a}^{2d+1} \rtimes \mathbb{G}_{m}]$ and $\underline{\mathrm{Sel}}_{n,B}^{\circ d} := [\mathrm{Sel}_{n,B}^{\circ d}/\mathbb{G}_{a}^{2d+1} \rtimes \mathbb{G}_{m}]$.

Remark 3.5. The \mathbb{F}_q points of the *n*-Selmer stack of height *d* can be given a modular interpretation as certain pairs $(f : Y \to \mathbb{P}^1, D)$ where $f : Y \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is a proper flat map with smooth generic fiber and geometrically irreducible fibers, and $D \subset Y$ a Cartier divisor of relative degree *n*, satisfying additional conditions with various equivalences. We will not need this, so we do not precisely formulate the interpretation, but one can deduce it from the proof of Proposition 3.23 and [ASD73, Proposition 1.7] (see also the somewhat more precise formulation in [dJF11, Lemma 4.2]).

Remark 3.6. The Selmer stack is always a smooth algebraic stack by [Ols16, Example 8.1.12], though it will fail to be Deligne-Mumford in characteristic 3. For example, in characteristic 3, the G_m action will have μ_3 stabilizers on points corresponding to curves of the form $y^2z = x^3 + a_6(s, t)z^3$. Further, the automorphism group schemes of any point of the Selmer stack are always finite, as one can check via explicit computations in terms of Weierstrass equations. For example, the degree of the étale part of the stabilizer is computed in [Sil09b, Proposition 1.2] and one can use similar computations to check the connected part is finite.

3.2. **Properties of the Selmer space.** In this subsection, we prove various properties of the Selmer space. In §3.2.1 we describe the geometric fibers of $\text{Sel}_{n,B}^d$ over \mathscr{W}_B^d . In §3.2.2, we define an open subset $\mathscr{W}_B^{\circ d} \subset \mathscr{W}_B^d$, fiberwise dense over *B*, over which the Selmer space will be a finite étale cover of degree n^{12d-4} . In §3.2.3, we show the Selmer space is a separated scheme over $\mathscr{W}_k^{\circ d}$. In §3.2.4 we show the Selmer space is finite over $\mathscr{W}_k^{\circ d}$.

3.2.1. *Geometric fibers of the Selmer space.* We next describe the geometric fibers of $\operatorname{Sel}_{n,B}^d \to \mathscr{W}_B^d$ in terms of various cohomology groups in Lemma 3.7.

Lemma 3.7. Let k be a field and E an elliptic curve over k(t). Let $h : X \to \mathbb{P}^1_k$ be the associated minimal regular proper model, $f : W \to \mathbb{P}^1_k$ be the associated minimal Weierstrass model, and \mathscr{E} be the associated Néron model over \mathbb{P}^1_k . Let W^{sm} denote the smooth locus in W of the map f.

(1) We have isomorphisms

$$R^1 f_* \mu_n \simeq W^{\mathrm{sm}}[n] \simeq \operatorname{Pic}^0_{W/\mathbb{P}^1_k}[n] \simeq \mathscr{E}^0[n] \simeq \operatorname{Pic}^0_{X/\mathbb{P}^1_k}[n].$$

If the total space of W *is smooth, the above are all isomorphic to* $\mathscr{E}[n]$ *.*

(2) If *E* corresponds to a point $x \in \mathcal{W}_k^d$, letting \overline{x} denote a geometric point over *x*, the geometric fiber of $\operatorname{Sel}_{n,B}^d$ at \overline{x} is isomorphic to

$$H^{1}(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{\overline{x}}, R^{1}(f_{\overline{x}})_{*}\mu_{n}) \simeq H^{1}(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{\overline{x}}, W^{\mathrm{sm}}_{\overline{x}}[n]) \simeq H^{1}(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{\overline{x}}, \operatorname{Pic}^{0}_{W_{\overline{x}}/\mathbb{P}^{1}_{\overline{x}}}[n])$$
$$\simeq H^{1}(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{\overline{x}}, \mathscr{E}^{0}_{\overline{x}}[n]) \simeq H^{1}(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{\overline{x}}, \operatorname{Pic}^{0}_{X_{\overline{x}}/\mathbb{P}^{1}_{\overline{x}}}[n]).$$

If the total space of W is smooth, the above are all isomorphic to $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1_{\overline{x}}, \mathscr{E}_{\overline{x}}[n])$.

Proof. We first prove (1). The isomorphism $R^1 f_* \mu_n \simeq W^{\mathrm{sm}}[n]$ is given in [ASD73, (1.3)]. Next, we claim that $\operatorname{Pic}_{W/\mathbb{P}_k^1}^0 \simeq W^{\mathrm{sm}} \simeq \mathscr{E}^0 \simeq \operatorname{Pic}_{X/\mathbb{P}_k^1}^0$, which will imply the corresponding isomorphisms on *n*-torsion. The isomorphism $\operatorname{Pic}_{W/\mathbb{P}_k^1}^0 \simeq W^{\mathrm{sm}}$ is shown in [ASD73, (1.1) and (1.2)], $W^{\mathrm{sm}} \simeq \mathscr{E}^0$ is shown in [Sil94, Corollary 9.3], and $\mathscr{E}^0 \simeq \operatorname{Pic}_{X/\mathbb{P}_k^1}^0$ is shown in [BLR90, §9.5, Theorem 4(b)]. In the case that the total space of *W* is smooth, $W \simeq X$ since *W* is regular with integral fibers, and we have $\operatorname{Pic}_{W/\mathbb{P}_k^1}^0 \simeq \mathscr{E}$ by [BLR90, §9.5, Theorem 1].

Therefore, in this case, $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}_{W/\mathbb{P}^{1}_{k}}[n] \simeq \mathscr{E}[n].$

We next prove (2). Let $g: \mathbb{P}_x^1 \to x$ denote the structure morphism, let $g_{\overline{x}}: \mathbb{P}_{\overline{x}}^1 \to \overline{x}$ its base change to \overline{x} , and let $f_{\overline{x}}$ denote the base change of f to \overline{x} . By Lemma 3.2 (or really just proper base change), the geometric fiber of $\operatorname{Sel}_{n,B}^d$ over \overline{x} is identified with the stalk of $\operatorname{Sel}_{n,B}^d$ at \overline{x} . By construction, this fiber is $R^1(g_{\overline{x}})_*(R^1(f_{\overline{x}})_*\mu_n) \simeq H^1(\mathbb{P}_{\overline{x}}^1, R^1(f_{\overline{x}})_*\mu_n)$. The various isomorphisms are consequences of the first part.

Remark 3.8. In the setting of Lemma 3.7, one can also verify $\operatorname{Pic}_{X/\mathbb{P}^1}[n] = \operatorname{Pic}_{X/\mathbb{P}^1}^0[n]$. (In fact, this even holds more generally for minimal regular proper models of elliptic curves over Dedekind bases.) Hence, the stalk of the Selmer space at *x* is also identified with $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1_{\overline{x}}, \operatorname{Pic}_{X_{\overline{x}}/\mathbb{P}^1_x}[n])$. This remark will not be needed in what follows.

3.2.2. An open subset of the Selmer space. In this section, we define the open set $\mathscr{W}_{B}^{\circ d} \subset \mathscr{W}_{B}^{d}$, which parameterizes smooth minimal Weierstrass models.

Definition 3.9. For *B* a scheme with 2 invertible, let $\mathscr{W}_{B}^{\circ d} \subset \mathscr{W}_{B}^{d}$ denote the open subscheme over which $\pi : \mathscr{W}_{B}^{d} \to \mathscr{W}_{B}^{d}$ is smooth. More formally, if $Z \subset \mathscr{W}_{B}^{d}$ denotes the singular locus of the map π , then let $\mathscr{W}_{B}^{\circ d} := \mathscr{W}_{B}^{d} - \pi(Z)$. Let $\mathscr{W}_{B}^{\circ d} := \mathscr{W}_{B}^{d} \times_{\mathscr{W}_{B}^{d}} \mathscr{W}_{B}^{\circ d}$. Let $\operatorname{Sel}_{n,B}^{\circ d} := \operatorname{Sel}_{n,B}^{d} \times_{\mathscr{W}_{B}^{d}} \mathscr{W}_{B}^{\circ d}$. Let $\mathscr{Sel}_{n,B}^{\circ d} := \mathscr{Sel}_{n,B}^{d}|_{\mathscr{W}_{B}^{\circ d}}$.

Remark 3.10. Note that $\mathscr{W}_{B}^{\circ d} \subset \mathscr{W}_{B}^{d}$ is precisely the set of points where the Weierstrass model is already smooth, and hence isomorphic to the minimal regular proper model. By [Liu02, §9.4, Theorem 4.35(a)] the minimal Weierstrass model is the minimal regular proper model if and only if all fibers of the minimal regular proper model are geometrically integral. Hence, all fibers of a smooth Weierstrass model over \mathbb{P}^{1} either have good reduction, type I₁ reduction, or type II reduction.

Our next goal is to verify non-emptiness of $\mathcal{W}_{B}^{\circ d}$, for any *B* with 2 invertible on *B*, which we do later in Lemma 3.14. We now formally introduce the divisors which parameterize singular elliptic surfaces and elliptic surfaces with a cuspidal fiber.

Definition 3.11. Let *B* be a scheme with 2 invertible on *B*, let d > 0, and let \mathbb{A}_B^{12d+3} denote the affine space of Definition 3.1 containing \mathscr{W}_B^d . Let $X_B := \operatorname{Proj}_{\mathbb{P}_B^1} \operatorname{Sym}^{\bullet} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_B^1} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_B^1}(-2d) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_B^1}(-3d)$. Recall that every Weierstrass model *W* of the form $y^2z = x^3 + a_2(s,t)x^2z + a_4(s,t)xz^2 + a_6(s,t)z^3$ comes with an embedding $W \hookrightarrow X_B$. Define (3.2)



Define C_B^d as the subscheme of \mathbb{A}_B^{12d+3} given as the scheme theoretic image of Ψ_B^d under π_1 . Now, define $\mathcal{D}_{\operatorname{sing},\mathbb{Z}[1/2]}^d := \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2]}^d$ and for a general $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$ scheme *B*, define $\mathcal{D}_{\operatorname{sing},B}^d := \mathcal{D}_{\operatorname{sing},\mathbb{Z}[1/2]}^d \times_{\operatorname{Spec}} \mathbb{Z}[1/2] B$.

Definition 3.12. Let *B* be a scheme with 2 invertible. Consider the incidence correspondence

(3.3)



Define $\mathcal{D}^d_{\text{cusp},B}$ as the image of σ_1 .

Our next goal is to show that in each fiber over $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$, a general Weierstrass surface has squarefree discriminant. The following lemma will be useful in computing the dimension of $\mathcal{D}^d_{\text{sing},B}$ over *B*, and will also be used later in §4.2 where we need a more detailed analysis of $\mathcal{D}^d_{\text{sing},B}$.

Lemma 3.13. For *B* a scheme with 2 invertible on *B* and X_B the projective bundle over *B* with coordinates *x*, *y*, *z* as defined in (3.2), let $Y_B \subset X_B$ denote the subscheme of X_B given by (y = 0) and $(z \neq 0)$. Then, the map π_2 factors through the subscheme $Y_B \subset X_B$, and the resulting map $\Psi_B^d \to Y_B$ is smooth of relative dimension 12d with geometrically integral fibers.

Proof. Let *R* be a ring and $p \in X_B(\operatorname{Spec} R)$ be an *R* valued point, which we may write in the form p = [[x(p), y(p), z(p)], [s(p), t(p)]]. In order to show π_2 factors through Y_B , we claim that if *W* is singular at *p* then y(p) = 0. To see this, if z(p) = 0, we then obtain x(p) = 0, which contradicts the fact that every point of the identity section of a Weierstrass model is smooth. Hence, we must have $z(p) \neq 0$, in which case we can view *p* as a point on the open of the Weierstrass model defined by $f := -y^2 + x^3 + a_2(s, t)x^2 + y^3$ $a_4(s,t)x + a_6(s,t)$. Since we must have $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(p) = 0$, we find 2y(p) = 0 and so y(p) = 0 as 2 is invertible on *B*. Ergo, the map π_2 factors through the locally closed subscheme $Y_B \subset X_B$ defined by (y = 0) and $(z \neq 0)$.

To conclude the proof, we claim Ψ_B^d of Definition 3.11 is smooth over Y_B with fibers that are affine spaces of dimension 12*d*. Let *R* be a ring and $p \in Y_B(\text{Spec } R)$ and *R*valued point. By changing coordinates on $\mathbb{P}_{[s,t]}^1$, it suffices to check the above claim in a neighborhood of $\pi_2(p)$ for $p \in Y_B(\text{Spec } R)$ an *R*-valued point of Y_B with t(p) = 0. Write $a_{2i}(s,t) = \sum_{j=0}^{2id} a_{2i,j}t^j s^{2id-j}$ for $i \in \{1,2,3\}$ as in Definition 3.1. Using t(p) = 0, the condition that *W* is singular at *p* can be written in terms of the Jacobian criterion as those $(a_{2,0}, a_{2,1}, \ldots, a_{2,2d}, a_{4,0}, \ldots, a_{4,4d}, a_{6,0}, \ldots, a_{6,6d})$ satisfying f(p) = 0, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(p) = 0$, and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(p) = 0$ (in addition to the condition that y(p) = 0 coming from $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(p) = 0$). Expanding these three equations yields

(3.4)
$$y^{2} = x^{3} + a_{2,0}x^{2} + a_{4,0}x + a_{6,0}$$
$$0 = 3x^{2} + a_{2,0}x + a_{4,0}$$
$$0 = x^{3} + a_{2,1}x^{2} + a_{4,1}x + a_{6,1}$$

at *p*. That is, when one replaces replace *x* and *y* in the above equations with x(p) and y(p), the vanishing locus of the resulting three equations defines those *W* singular at *p*. These equations define three independent linear equations on the $a_{2i,j}$ coordinates of \mathbb{A}^{12d+3}_B . Therefore, π_2 is in fact an affine space bundle over Y_B of relative dimension 12d, and hence smooth of relative dimension 12d with geometrically integral fibers.

We are now ready to show that a general Weierstrass surface has squarefree discriminant. In particular, this will show that $\mathcal{W}_{B}^{\circ d}$ is fiberwise dense in \mathcal{W}_{B}^{d} .

Lemma 3.14. Let k be a field of characteristic not equal to 2. There is a nonempty open subscheme of \mathcal{W}_k^d parameterizing Weierstrass models with squarefree discriminant. In particular, for any scheme B with 2 invertible on B, $\mathcal{W}_B^{\circ d}$ is fiberwise dense over B, as is the open subscheme parameterizing smooth elliptic surfaces with no cuspidal fibers.

Note that the discriminant being squarefree is another way to say that the vanishing locus of the discriminant polynomial is reduced.

Remark 3.15. The natural analog of Lemma 3.14 holds in characteristic 2 as well. Namely, it is true that a generic Weierstrass model has squarefree discriminant in characteristic 2, and essentially the same proof works. The only reason we did not state it above is that one has to first define the relevant moduli space, which uses long Weierstrass form to describe the elliptic surfaces in characteristic 2.

Proof. As a first step, we explain why a Weierstrass elliptic surface over a field k of height d has reduced discriminant if and only if it corresponds to a point in the complement of $\mathcal{D}^d_{\operatorname{sing},k} \cup \mathcal{D}^d_{\operatorname{cusp},k}$. Note that a smooth Weierstrass surface over k which has no cuspidal fibers only has smooth fibers or I₂ fibers, since being smooth implies the Weierstrass surface is the minimal regular proper model of its generic fiber. Such a surface has discriminant equal to the product of the places with I₂ fibers, and therefore has squarefree discriminant. Conversely, because cuspidal fibers and singular points contribute a square

factor to the discriminant, if the discriminant is squarefree, then the Weierstrass surface must be smooth and without cuspidal fibers.

Therefore, to conclude the proof, it is enough to show that the locus of Weierstrass surfaces of height *d* over a field *k* which are smooth and have no cuspidal fiber is a dense open subscheme of \mathcal{W}_k^d . The statement for general schemes *B* then follows from base change to every point of *B*.

Let \mathbb{A}_{k}^{12d+3} denote the affine space of Definition 3.1, and let $\mathcal{D}_{\operatorname{sing},k}^{d}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\operatorname{cusp},k}^{d} \subset \mathbb{A}_{k}^{12d+3}$ be the subschemes defined in Definition 3.11 and Definition 3.12. By construction of these subschemes, the complement $\mathbb{A}_{k}^{12d+3} - (\mathcal{D}_{\operatorname{sing},k}^{d} \cup \mathcal{D}_{\operatorname{cusp},k}^{d})$ is precisely the open subscheme whose points correspond to Weierstrass elliptic surfaces which are smooth and have no cuspidal fibers. Since dim $\mathbb{A}_{k}^{12d+3} = 12d + 3$, to complete the proof, it is enough to show dim $\mathcal{D}_{\operatorname{sing},k}^{d} \cup \mathcal{D}_{\operatorname{cusp},k}^{d} \leq 12d + 2$. (In fact, $\mathcal{D}_{\operatorname{sing},k}^{d}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\operatorname{cusp},k}^{d}$ are both irreducible of dimension 12d + 2, though we won't need to prove this.)

First, we show $\mathcal{D}_{cusp,k}^d$ has dimension at most 12d + 2. Let σ_1 and σ_2 denote the maps from Definition 3.12. We claim the fiber of σ_2 over any point $p \in \mathbb{P}_k^1$ has dimension dim $\mathbb{A}_k^{12d+3} - 2$. To see this, the condition of having a cuspidal fiber over p can be expressed explicitly in terms of a Weierstrass equation $zy^2 = f(x, z)$ as the condition that f(x, z) has a single root in the fiber over p. The reduced scheme parameterizing degree 3 polynomials with a single root has codimension 2 in the scheme of all degree 3 polynomials, which implies that the fibers of σ_2 have dimension dim $\mathbb{A}_k^{12d+3} - 2$. Since $\mathcal{D}_{cusp,k}^d$ is the image of σ_1 , we obtain $\mathcal{D}_{cusp,k}^d$ has dimension at most dim $\mathbb{A}_k^{12d+3} - 2 + 1 = 12d + 2$.

To conclude, we verify $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sing},k}^d$ has dimension at most 12d + 2. This computation can be done similarly to the case of $\mathcal{D}_{\text{cusp},k'}^d$ though we opt to instead apply Lemma 3.13. The dimension of $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sing},k}^d$ is at most the dimension of Ψ_k^d from (3.2). Further, defining Y_k as in Lemma 3.13, the dimension of Ψ_k^d over k is the sum of the dimension of Ψ_k^d over Y_k and the dimension of Y_k . The former is 12d by Lemma 3.13 and dim $Y_k = 2$. Therefore, the dimension of $\Psi_{k'}^d$ and hence $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sing},k}^d$ is at most 12d + 2.

For the statement of the next lemma, recall our notational conventions from §2.3.

Lemma 3.16. Let d > 0 and let k be a field. For any map $Spec k \to \mathcal{W}^{\circ d}_{B}$, $H^{0}(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{k}, \mathscr{E}[n]) = H^{0}(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{k}, \mathscr{E}^{0}[n]) = 0$.

Proof. It suffices to check this statement at a geometric point \overline{x} over Spec *k*. By Lemma 3.7, for $\overline{x} \in \mathscr{W}^{\circ d}_{B}$, we have $H^{0}(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{\overline{x}}, \mathscr{E}_{\overline{x}}[n]) \simeq H^{0}(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{\overline{x}}, \mathscr{E}_{\overline{x}}^{0}[n])$. The claim then follows from [dJ02, Lemma 5.15], which says that when d > 0, the only torsion section $\mathbb{P}^{1}_{\overline{x}} \to \mathscr{E}^{0}_{\overline{x}}[n]$ is the identity section.

3.2.3. The schematic locus of the Selmer space. We next show that the Selmer space is a separated scheme over $\mathscr{W}_{B}^{\circ d}$, which we accomplish in Proposition 3.18. Separatedness will be crucially used in §3.2.4 to show the Selmer space is finite over $\mathscr{W}_{B}^{\circ d}$. In order to verify separatedness, we will need the following lemma, which tells us that we can recover the relative *n*-torsion of a smooth elliptic surface over a complete discrete valuation ring as the étale locus in its relative normalization over \mathbb{P}^1 .

Lemma 3.17. Let *B* be a scheme with 2*n* invertible on *B* and let $\mathscr{W} \overset{od}{B} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{P}^{1}_{B} \times_{B} \mathscr{W} \overset{od}{B}^{d}$ denote the projection map. For a given map $T \to \mathscr{W} \overset{od}{B}$ with *T* the spectrum of a complete discrete valuation ring, or *T* a field of arbitrary characteristic, let f^{T} denote the base change of *f* to *T* and let U_{T} denote the algebraic space representing the sheaf $\mathbb{R}^{1}f_{*}^{T}\mu_{n}$ on \mathbb{P}^{1}_{T} . Then, U_{T} is represented by a scheme, quasi-finite and separated over \mathbb{P}^{1}_{T} . Further, letting $v_{T} : Z_{T} \to \mathbb{P}^{1}_{T}$ denote the relative normalization of \mathbb{P}^{1}_{T} along the map $U_{T} \to \mathbb{P}^{1}_{T}$, U_{T} is the étale locus of $v_{T} : Z_{T} \to \mathbb{P}^{1}_{T}$.

Proof. We first show U_T is a scheme, separated and quasi-finite over \mathbb{P}^1_T . This follows from the fact that it has a locally closed embedding into $\mathscr{W} \mathscr{M}^{\circ d}_B \times_{\mathscr{W}^{\circ d}_B} T$. Indeed, this is explained in [ASD73, p. 250-251], the point being that the smooth locus of $\alpha : \mathscr{W} \mathscr{M}^{\circ d}_B \times_{\mathscr{W}^d_B} T \to \mathbb{P}^1_T$ can be identified with $\operatorname{Pic}^0_{\alpha}$ and U_T is identified with $\operatorname{Pic}^0_{\alpha}[n]$.

Note that $U_T \to \mathbb{P}^1_T$ is étale, and so ν_T is an isomorphism over U_T . Hence, to show U_T is the étale locus of ν_T , it suffices to show that $Z_T - U_T$ is contained in the singular locus of ν_T .

Let *s* denote the closed point of *T* and η denote the generic point. Our ultimate goal will be to reduce to the case that *T* = Spec *k* for *k* a field, and as preparation, we will check that ν_T is finite flat and that U_s and U_η are dense in $Z_T \times_T s$ and $Z_T \times_T \eta$, respectively. Since normalization does not in general commute with base change, it is not automatically true that $Z_T \times_T s \simeq Z_s$, and so this is not a tautology.

We now check ν_T is finite. Since *T* is the spectrum of a complete local noetherian ring, it is Nagata. [Sta, Tag 032W] Therefore, \mathbb{P}_T^1 is also Nagata by [Sta, Tag 0334]. Hence \mathbb{P}_T^1 is universally Japanese again by [Sta, Tag 0334]. By the definition of Japanese schemes, ν_T is finite.

We next check ν_T is flat. Since Z_T is a normal 2-dimensional scheme it is Cohen-Macaulay. Since \mathbb{P}_T^1 is regular and ν_T is finite, it follows from miracle flatness [Sta, Tag 00R3] that ν_T is flat.

We next verify that $Z_T \times_T s$ and $Z_T \times_T \eta$ are proper curves birational to U_s and U_η . Properness is automatic from finiteness of v_T . Further, since U_T is dense in Z_T , we obtain that U_η is dense in $Z_T \times_T \eta$, and so these schemes are birational. Next, we check U_s is dense in $Z_T \times_T s$. We show this by checking the degree of $U_s \to \mathbb{P}^1_s$ agrees with the degree of $Z_T \times_T s \to \mathbb{P}^1_s$. Note that once we show the degrees are equal, it will follow that U_s is dense in $Z_T \times_T s$ because both are pure dimension 1 schemes due to v_T being finite flat. Since v_T is a finite flat map of schemes each fiber of v_T has the same degree. Further, by construction of U_T as $R^1 f_*^T \mu_n$, $n^2 = \deg(U_s \to \mathbb{P}^1_s) = \deg(U_\eta \to \mathbb{P}^1_\eta)$. Because $\deg(U_\eta \to \mathbb{P}^1_\eta) = \deg(Z_T \times_T \eta \to \mathbb{P}^1_\eta) = \deg(Z_T \times_T s \to \mathbb{P}^1_s)$, the degree of v_T is also equal to n^2 . Therefore, $\deg(U_s \to \mathbb{P}^1_s) = n^2 = \deg(Z_T \times_T s \to \mathbb{P}^1_s)$ implying U_s is dense in $Z_T \times_T s$.

We now use the above to reduce the case that *T* is the spectrum of a complete discrete valuations ring to the case that $T = \operatorname{Spec} k$, for *k* a field. For any map $\operatorname{Spec} k \to T$, for *k* a field, we have seen above that $Z_T \times_T \operatorname{Spec} k$ is a proper curve birational to $U_{\operatorname{Spec} k}$. Hence $Z_{\operatorname{Spec} k}$ is also the normalization of $Z_T \times_T \operatorname{Spec} k$. Therefore, we obtain a map $\phi_{\operatorname{Spec} k\to T}$: $Z_{\operatorname{Spec} k} \to Z_T \times_T \operatorname{Spec} k$. Observe that if $Z_T \times_T \operatorname{Spec} k \to \mathbb{P}^1_k$ were étale at a point *p* of $Z_{\operatorname{Spec} k} - U_{\operatorname{Spec} k}$, then $\phi_{\operatorname{Spec} k\to T}$ is an isomorphism in a neighborhood of *p*. Hence, it suffices to show $Z_{\text{Spec }k} - U_{\text{Spec }k}$ is contained in the singular locus of $\nu_{\text{Spec }k}$. This completes the reduction to the case T = Spec k.

Now, suppose p is a point of $Z_{\text{Spec}k} - U_{\text{Spec}k}$. We will show p lies in the singular locus of $v_{\text{Spec}k}$. Let $W := \mathscr{W} \mathscr{W}^{\circ d}_B \times_{\mathscr{W}^{\circ d}_B}$ Spec k denote the Weierstrass model of the elliptic curve associated to the map $\text{Spec}k \to \mathscr{W}^{\circ d}_B$. Then, the locally closed embedding $U_{\text{Spec}k} \to W$ given in Lemma 3.7(1) factors through a closed embedding into the Néron model of the generic fiber of $W \to \mathbb{P}^1$, which is W^{sm} , the smooth locus of $W \to \mathbb{P}^1_k$, by Lemma 3.7(1). If $Z_{\text{Spec}k} \to \mathbb{P}^1_k$ were étale at p, the Néron mapping property would guarantee that pfactored through W^{sm} , the Néron model. However, the map $U_{\text{Spec}k} \to W$ extends to a map $Z_{\text{Spec}k} \to W$ by normality of $Z_{\text{Spec}k}$ and properness of W. Yet, $U_{\text{Spec}k} \subset W^{\text{sm}}$ is closed in the Néron model. This implies p maps to a point of $W - W^{\text{sm}}$, and hence $Z_{\text{Spec}k} \to \mathbb{P}^1_k$ cannot be étale at p.

With the above lemma in hand, we are ready to prove $\operatorname{Sel}_{n,B}^{\circ d}$ is separated. The idea of the proof is to check separatedness by the valuative criterion. We check the valuative criterion by translating it to checking that a certain map of cohomology groups is injective. We verify this in turn using Lemma 3.17 to recover a torsor for the *n*-torsion of an elliptic curve as the étale locus in its relative normalization over \mathbb{P}^1 .

Proposition 3.18. Let *B* be a scheme with 2*n* invertible on *B* and d > 0. Then $\operatorname{Sel}^{\circ d}_{n,B} \to \mathscr{W}^{\circ d}_{B}$ is separated. In particular, $\operatorname{Sel}^{\circ d}_{n,B}$, which is a priori only an algebraic space, is a scheme.

Proof. By [Mil80, V, Theorem 1.5] in order to show $\operatorname{Sel}_{n,B}^{\circ d}$ is a scheme, it suffices to show $\operatorname{Sel}_{n,B}^{\circ d} \to \mathscr{W}_{B}^{\circ d}$ is separated. Further, since this map is the base change along $B \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[1/2n]$, it is enough to show $\psi : \operatorname{Sel}_{n,\mathbb{Z}[1/2n]}^{\circ d} \to \mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2n]}^{\circ d}$ is separated. By a variant of the valuative criterion for separatedness applied to only complete discrete valuation rings [Sta, Tag 0ARL], it is enough to check that for all complete discrete valuation rings R with $S = \operatorname{Spec} R$, generic point η , and closed point s, it is enough to show the natural map $H^0(S, \operatorname{Sel}_{n,\mathbb{Z}[1/2n]}^{\circ d}) \to H^0(\eta, \operatorname{Sel}_{n,\mathbb{Z}[1/2n]}^{\circ d})$ is injective.

For any scheme T with a map $T \to \mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2n]}^{d}$, we let f^{T} and g^{T} denote the base changes of the morphisms f and g defined in the statement of Lemma 3.2 to T. We next claim that for any integral scheme T with a map $T \to \mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2n]}^{\circ d}$, the Leray spectral sequence associated to the composition of functors Γ and g_{*}^{T} induces an isomorphism $H^{1}(\mathbb{P}_{T}^{1}, R^{1}f_{*}^{T}\mu_{n}) \simeq H^{0}(T, R^{1}g_{*}^{T}(R^{1}f_{*}^{T}\mu_{n}))$. To verify this, we only need verify that $H^{1}(T, g_{*}^{T}(R^{1}f_{*}^{T}\mu_{n})) = H^{2}(T, g_{*}^{T}(R^{1}f_{*}^{T}\mu_{n})) = 0$. In fact, we will show $g_{*}^{T}(R^{1}f_{*}^{T}\mu_{n}) = 0$. Since f^{T} and g^{T} are proper, by proper base change, we can compute the stalks of the above sheaf after base change to any field valued point of T, and so we may reduce to the case that $T = \operatorname{Spec} k$ for k a field. In this case, we have $g_{*}^{T}R^{1}f_{*}^{T}\mu_{n} = 0$ by Lemma 3.16.

The established claim of the previous paragraph applied to the cases T = S and $T = \eta$ (for *S* the spectrum of a complete discrete valuation ring) shows that in order to check $H^0(S, R^1g^S_*(R^1f^S_*\mu_n)) \rightarrow H^0(\eta, R^1g^{\eta}_*(R^1f^{\eta}_*\mu_n))$ is injective, it is equivalent to check

(3.5)
$$H^1(\mathbb{P}^1_S, \mathbb{R}^1 f^S_* \mu_n) \to H^1(\mathbb{P}^1_{\eta}, \mathbb{R}^1 f^{\eta}_* \mu_n)$$

is injective. Let *X* denote the algebraic space corresponding to an element of $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1_S, R^1 f^S_* \mu_n)$, thought of as an $R^1 f^S_* \mu_n$ torsor over \mathbb{P}^1_S . Let \widetilde{X} denote the relative normalization of \mathbb{P}^1_S in $X \times_S \eta$. We will show that *X* is the étale locus of $\widetilde{X} \to \mathbb{P}^1_S$. This will imply (3.5) is injective as *X* can be recovered from its image under (3.5).

As a first step, we check X is open in X. Indeed, we have that $R^1 f_*^S \mu_n$ is representable by a quasi-finite separated scheme over \mathbb{P}^1_S by Lemma 3.17. Therefore, X is also representable by a quasi-finite separated scheme over \mathbb{P}^1_S , as this can be checked on an étale cover of \mathbb{P}^1_S over which X acquires a section. By a variant of Zariski's main theorem [Sta, Tag 082J] it follows that X is open in \tilde{X} .

Using that *X* is open in \widetilde{X} , we now complete the proof by verifying *X* is precisely the étale locus of $\widetilde{X} \to \mathbb{P}^1_S$. Indeed, we can check this on an étale cover of \mathbb{P}^1_S , since the formation of normalization commutes with étale base change. Hence, we may check this on a cover of \mathbb{P}^1_S over which *X* becomes the trivial torsor, in which case the claim follows from Lemma 3.17.

3.2.4. Finiteness properties of the Selmer space. We next aim to prove Corollary 3.22, which states that $\operatorname{Sel}_{n,B}^{\circ d} \to \mathscr{W}_{B}^{\circ d}$ is finite and represents a sheaf of free $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ modules. Our strategy for doing so is to prove this statement for every point of \mathscr{W}_{B}^{d} , and use this to deduce the finiteness statement by flatness considerations and constancy of degree. In order to prove that it is a sheaf of *free* $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ modules, it will be useful to introduce the component group of the Néron model. This component group will also play a crucial role in §3.3 when relating elements of Selmer groups to points of the Selmer space.

Definition 3.19. Let *C* be an integral Dedekind scheme and let *E* be an elliptic curve over K(C) with Néron model \mathscr{E} . Let $v \in C$ be a closed point with residue field $\kappa(v)$. Let $\mathscr{E}_v / \mathscr{E}_v^0$ denote the group scheme of connected components of the special fiber. Define the group $\Phi_{E,v} := (\mathscr{E}_v / \mathscr{E}_v^0)(\kappa(v))$ and let $\Phi_E := \prod_{\text{closed points } v \in C} \Phi_{E,v}$.

Remark 3.20. The number $\#\Phi_{E,v}$ is the local Tamagawa number of *E* at *v* and $\#\Phi_E$ is the Tamagawa number of *E*.

Using Definition 3.19, we can determine the module structure of the geometric fibers of $\operatorname{Sel}_{n,k}^d \to \mathscr{W}_k^d$ over a point of $\mathscr{W}_k^{\circ d}$.

Lemma 3.21. Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic prime to n (possibly of characteristic 2) and let E be an elliptic curve over k(t) with Néron model $\mathscr{E} \to \mathbb{P}^1_k$ and discriminant of degree 12d with d > 0.

(1) If $\Phi_E / n\Phi_E = \text{id}$ and $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1_k, \mathscr{E}[n]) = 0$, then $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1_k, \mathscr{E}[n])$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ module.

(2) If the minimal Weierstrass model of E is smooth, $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1_k, \mathscr{E}[n])$ has rank 12d - 4.

Proof. If $n = \prod_{i=1}^{m} p_i^{j_i}$, for p_i distinct primes, we can write $\mathscr{E}[n] \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \mathscr{E}[p_i^{j_i}]$ as a product of sheaves. Since cohomology commutes with direct sums, we can therefore reduce both parts to the case that $n = p^j$ for p a prime.

We now prove (1), assuming $n = p^j$. Let r denote the rank of $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1_k, \mathscr{E}[p])$. That is, say $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1_k, \mathscr{E}[p]) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^r$. We will show $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1_k, \mathscr{E}[p^j])$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}/p^j\mathbb{Z}$ module of rank r.

For $0 \le t \le j$, we claim there is an exact sequence of sheaves

$$(3.6) 0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{E}[p^t] \longrightarrow \mathscr{E}[p^j] \longrightarrow \mathscr{E}[p^{j-t}] \longrightarrow 0.$$

This is left exact as it is a pushforward of an analogous sequence associated to *E*. To see it is right exact, it suffices to show $\times p^t : \mathscr{E} \to \mathscr{E}$ is surjective. But the cokernel of this map is identified with $\Phi_E/p^t \Phi_E$ (see [CTSSD98, p. 629, line 12]), which we are assuming is trivial.

By assumption, for all $t \leq j$, $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1_k, \mathscr{E}[p^t]) = 0$. We claim that also $H^2(\mathbb{P}^1_k, \mathscr{E}[p^t]) = 0$. Indeed, for ι : Spec $k(t) \to \mathbb{P}^1_k$ the inclusion of the generic point, we have an isomorphism $\iota_* E[p^t] \simeq \mathscr{E}[p^t]$ on the small étale site of \mathbb{P}^1_k , as follows from the Néron mapping property for \mathscr{E} . Hence, we want to show $H^2(\mathbb{P}^1_k, \iota_* E[p^t]) = 0$. By Poincaré duality [Mil80, V Proposition 2.2(b)] we only need check $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1_k, \iota_*((E[p^t])^{\vee}(1))) = 0$. The Weil pairing on the smooth elliptic curve *E* gives an isomorphism $(E[p^t])^{\vee}(1) \simeq E[p^t]$. Therefore, we indeed have

$$H^{2}(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{k},\iota_{*}E[p^{t}]) \simeq H^{0}(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{k},\iota_{*}((E[p^{t}])^{\vee}(1)))^{\vee} \simeq H^{0}(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{k},\iota_{*}E[p^{t}])^{\vee} \simeq H^{0}(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{k},\mathscr{E}[p^{t}])^{\vee} = 0.$$

Therefore, (3.6) induces an exact sequence on cohomology

$$(3.7) \qquad 0 \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{P}^1_k, \mathscr{E}[p^t]) \xrightarrow{\mu^t} H^1(\mathbb{P}^1_k, \mathscr{E}[p^j]) \xrightarrow{v^t} H^1(\mathbb{P}^1_k, \mathscr{E}[p^{j-t}]) \longrightarrow 0.$$

By induction on *t*, we see $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1_k, \mathscr{E}[p^j])$ is a $\mathbb{Z}/p^j\mathbb{Z}$ module of size p^{jr} . We next show it is free of rank *r*.

Since finite $\mathbb{Z}/p^j\mathbb{Z}$ modules are all sums of $\mathbb{Z}/p^t\mathbb{Z}$ for $t \leq j$, to conclude the proof, we only need to show that the kernel of the multiplication by $p^{j-1} \max H^1(\mathbb{P}^1_k, \mathscr{E}[p^j]) \xrightarrow{\times p^{j-1}} H^1(\mathbb{P}^1_k, \mathscr{E}[p^j])$ has size $p^{(j-1)r}$. To this end, note that the multiplication map by p^{j-1} map on coefficients $\phi : \mathscr{E}[p^j] \to \mathscr{E}[p^j]$ induces the multiplication map $\times p^{j-1}$ on cohomology. Observe that $H^1(\phi)$ factors as $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1_k, \mathscr{E}[p^j]) \xrightarrow{v^{j-1}} H^1(\mathbb{P}^1_k, \mathscr{E}[p]) \xrightarrow{\mu^1} H^1(\mathbb{P}^1_k, \mathscr{E}[p^j])$, with μ^t, v^t defined in (3.7). Taking t = 1 in (3.7) shows μ^1 is injective. Therefore, $\ker(\times p^{j-1}) = \ker(v^{j-1} \circ \mu^1) = \ker v^{j-1}$. In turn, applying (3.7) again with t = j - 1, we see $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1_k, \mathscr{E}[p^{j-1}]) = \ker v^{j-1}$. By induction, this has order $p^{(j-1)r}$. Therefore, multiplication by p^{j-1} on $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1_k, \mathscr{E}[p^j])$ has kernel of order $p^{(j-1)r}$, implying $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1_k, \mathscr{E}[p^j])$ must be a free $\mathbb{Z}/p^j\mathbb{Z}$ module of rank r. This finishes (1).

We now prove (2). Since *E* has smooth minimal Weierstrass model, all fibers of the Néron model are integral. Since we are assuming d > 0, $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1_k, \mathscr{E}[n]) = 0$ by [dJ02, Lemma 5.15]. Hence, part (1) applies. So, it suffices to show (2) in the case *n* is prime. Let $f_v(\mathscr{E}[n])$ denote the *exponent of the conductor* of $\mathscr{E}[n]$ at *v*. By [Ray95, Théorème 1] (where f_v is notated as ε_v^R) the Euler characteristic of $\mathscr{E}[n]$ is $-\sum_{v \in \Sigma_{K(\mathbb{P}^1_v)}} f_v(\mathscr{E}[n]) + 2 \cdot 2$.

Since $H^i(\mathbb{P}^1_k, \mathscr{E}[n]) = 0$ for $i \neq 1$, as we showed in the proof of (1), the Euler characteristic of $\mathscr{E}[n]$ is negative the rank of $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1_k, \mathscr{E}[n])$. By [Ogg67, Theorem 2], since all fibers of the Néron model are integral as *E* has smooth minimal Weierstrass model, the exponent of

the conductor is equal to the degree of the discriminant. Hence,

$$\operatorname{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}H^{1}(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{k},\mathscr{E}[n]) = \sum_{v \in \Sigma_{K(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{k})}} f_{v}(\mathscr{E}[n]) - 2 \cdot 2 = \operatorname{deg\,disc}(E) - 4 = 12d - 4. \qquad \Box$$

The following corollary is essential for defining our monodromy representation later in Definition 4.2 to count the number of irreducible components of the Selmer space.

Corollary 3.22. Suppose *B* is a noetherian scheme with 2n invertible and d > 0. Then, π : Sel^{od}_{n,B} $\rightarrow \mathscr{W}^{\circ d}_{B}$ is finite étale, representing a locally constant constructible sheaf of rank 12d - 4 free $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ modules.

Proof. We first show π is finite. By [DR73, II, Lemma 1.19], a quasi-finite flat separated morphism over a noetherian base scheme with constant fiber rank is finite. Recalling our notational conventions from §2.4, the fiber of π over a geometric point $\overline{x} \in \mathcal{W}_{B}^{\circ d}$ is identified with $H^{1}(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{\overline{x}}, \mathscr{E}_{\overline{x}}[n])$ by Lemma 3.7. It follows that π has constant fiber rank n^{12d-4} by Lemma 3.21. Further, π is separated by Proposition 3.18. Therefore, π is finite.

Also, π is étale by Lemma 3.2. Since π is finite étale, it represents a locally constant constructible sheaf of rank 12d - 4 free $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ modules by Lemma 3.21.

3.3. **Points of the Selmer space.** The main point of introducing the *n*-Selmer space is that points of the Selmer space parameterize elements of Selmer groups of the corresponding elliptic curves, as we show in this subsection.

The two main results of this section are Corollary 3.24 and Corollary 3.27. These are the only two results of this section we will need for the proof of Theorem 1.2. The first shows that the size of the Selmer group agrees with the number of \mathbb{F}_q points of the fibers of $\operatorname{Sel}_{n,B}^{\circ d} \to \mathscr{W}_B^{\circ d}$. The second gives a uniform bound for the size of the Selmer group in terms of the number of \mathbb{F}_q points of the fibers of $\operatorname{Sel}_{n,B}^d \to \mathscr{W}_B^d$. To prove these results, we will relate the number of \mathbb{F}_q points of the fiber over $x \in \mathscr{W}_B^d(\mathbb{F}_q)$ to $H^1(\mathbb{P}_x^1, \mathscr{E}_x[n])$ in Proposition 3.23.

Proposition 3.23. With notation as in §2.4, suppose d > 0 and $x \in \mathcal{W}_{B}^{d}(\mathbb{F}_{q})$. Then,

(3.8)
$$\#H^{1}(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{x}, \mathscr{E}^{0}_{x}[n]) = \#\left(\pi^{-1}(x)\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right).$$

If d = 0, we have $#H^1(\mathbb{P}^1_x, \mathscr{E}^0_x[n]) = #H^0(\mathbb{P}^1_x, \mathscr{E}^0_x[n])$.

Proof. To start, we give a cohomological description of $\#\pi^{-1}(x)(\mathbb{F}_q)$. By Lemma 3.7, the geometric fiber of $\operatorname{Sel}_{n,B}^d$ over \overline{x} is $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1_{\overline{x}}, \mathscr{E}^0_{\overline{x}}[n])$. To distinguish between étale and group cohomology, we use H^i_{grp} denote group cohomology and $H^i_{\operatorname{\acute{e}t}}$ to denote étale cohomology. Let $G_x := \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1_{\overline{x}}/\mathbb{P}^1_x)$. The \mathbb{F}_q points of $\pi^{-1}(x)$ are the G_x invariants of $H^1_{\operatorname{\acute{e}t}}(\mathbb{P}^1_{\overline{x}}, \mathscr{E}^0_{\overline{x}}[n])$. That is, $\pi^{-1}(x)(\mathbb{F}_q) = H^0_{\operatorname{grp}}(G_x, H^1_{\operatorname{\acute{e}t}}(\mathbb{P}^1_{\overline{x}}, \mathscr{E}^0_{\overline{x}}[n]))$.

We relate this group to $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1_x, \mathscr{E}^0_x[n])$ using the Leray spectral sequence (3.9)

$$0 \rightarrow H^{1}_{\text{grp}}(G_{x}, H^{0}_{\text{\acute{e}t}}(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{\overline{x}}, \mathscr{E}^{0}_{\overline{x}}[n])) \rightarrow H^{1}_{\text{\acute{e}t}}(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{x}, \mathscr{E}^{0}[n]) \xrightarrow{\theta} H^{0}_{\text{grp}}(G_{x}, H^{1}_{\text{\acute{e}t}}(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{\overline{x}}, \mathscr{E}^{0}_{\overline{x}}[n])) \rightarrow H^{2}_{\text{grp}}(G_{x}, H^{0}_{\text{\acute{e}t}}(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{\overline{x}}, \mathscr{E}^{0}_{\overline{x}}[n])).$$

When d > 0, we want to show θ is an isomorphism, so it suffices to show $H^0_{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{P}^1_{\overline{x}}, \mathscr{E}^0_{\overline{x}}[n]) = 0$. This holds by [dJ02, Lemma 5.15], applicable as d > 0.

Finally, the statement for d = 0 holds because $\mathscr{E}^0_{\overline{x}}[n] \to \mathbb{P}^1_{\overline{x}}$ is finite so $H^1_{\text{\'et}}(\mathbb{P}^1_{\overline{x}}, \mathscr{E}^0_{\overline{x}}[n]) = 0$ and

$$\#H^{1}_{grp}(G_{x}, H^{0}_{\acute{e}t}(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{\overline{x}}, \mathscr{E}^{0}_{\overline{x}}[n])) = \#H^{0}_{grp}(G_{x}, H^{0}_{\acute{e}t}(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{\overline{x}}, \mathscr{E}^{0}_{\overline{x}}[n])) = \#H^{0}_{\acute{e}t}(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{x}, \mathscr{E}^{0}_{x}[n]).$$

Using Proposition 3.23 we obtain the following precise relation between \mathbb{F}_q points of the fiber of $\operatorname{Sel}_{n,B}^d \to \mathscr{W}_B^d$ over x when $x \in \mathscr{W}_B^{\circ d}$.

Corollary 3.24. With notation as in §2.4, suppose that d > 0. If $x \in \mathcal{W}^{\circ d}_{B}(\mathbb{F}_{q})$,

(3.10)
$$\#\operatorname{Sel}_n(E_x) = \#\left(\pi^{-1}(x)\left(\mathbb{F}_q\right)\right).$$

Proof. Recall $\#\Phi_{E_x} = 1$ for $x \in \mathscr{W}^{\circ d}_B(\mathbb{F}_q)$ by Remark 3.10. It follows from [Ces16, Proposition 5.4(c)] that $\operatorname{Sel}_n(E_x) \simeq H^1(\mathbb{P}^1_x, \mathscr{E}_x[n])$. Here we are using the identification between étale and fppf cohomology from [Gro68, Théorème 11.7 1°]. By Lemma 3.7(1), $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1_x, \mathscr{E}_x[n]) \simeq H^1(\mathbb{P}^1_x, \mathscr{E}_x^0[n])$ for $x \in \mathscr{W}^{\circ d}_B$, and so the claim then follows from Proposition 3.23.

3.3.1. An upper bound for sizes of Selmer groups. Our only remaining goal in this section is to prove Corollary 3.27 which gives a uniform bound on the size of the Selmer group in terms of the number of \mathbb{F}_q points of the fiber of $\operatorname{Sel}_{n,B}^d \to \mathscr{W}_B^d$ over x. This will be useful for counting Selmer elements associated to $x \notin \mathscr{W}_B^{\circ d}$. This will follow fairly immediately from Proposition 3.26 which bounds the size of the Selmer group in terms of the size of $H^1(C, \mathscr{E}^0[n])$ (which we relate to the Selmer space via Proposition 3.23).

To state Proposition 3.26 we introduce some notation.

Notation 3.25. Fix $n \ge 1$ and a finite field \mathbb{F}_q with (q, n) = 1. Let *C* be a smooth proper geometrically connected curve over \mathbb{F}_q . Let *E* be an elliptic curve over the function field K(C). Let \mathscr{E} denote the Néron model of *E* over *C* with identity component \mathscr{E}^0 . Let *X* denote the minimal regular proper model of *E* over *C*.

In this paper, we will only use the following Proposition 3.26 over $C = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1$. However, we state it for general curves C over \mathbb{F}_q as the proof is no harder. We note that there appears to be a gap in the proof of the closely related [HLHN14, Proposition 4.3.5] at the point where the proof of [HLHN14, Proposition 4.3.4] is referenced. We would like to thank Bao Lê Hùng for confirming that the n = 2 case of Proposition 3.26 provides an alternate proof of [HLHN14, Proposition 4.3.5].

Proposition 3.26. With notation as in Notation 3.25,

$$(3.11) \qquad \qquad \#\operatorname{Sel}_n(E) \le \#H^0(C,\mathscr{E}[n]) \cdot \#H^1(C,\mathscr{E}^0[n]).$$

Proof assuming Lemma 3.28 and Lemma 3.29. By plugging in Lemma 3.29 into Lemma 3.28, and using the trivial inequality $H^0(C, \mathscr{E}^0[n]) \ge 1$, we conclude $\frac{\#\operatorname{Sel}_n(E)}{\#H^1(C, \mathscr{E}^0[n])} \le \#H^0(C, \mathscr{E}[n])$ and hence (3.11) holds.

See Example 3.30 for an example where equality in Proposition 3.26 is achieved with $H^0(C, \mathscr{E}[n]) \neq 0$. Before proving Lemma 3.28 and Lemma 3.29, we deduce a corollary we will need to relate points of the Selmer space to elements of Selmer groups.

Corollary 3.27. Let d > 0. With notation as in §2.4, suppose $x \in \mathcal{W}_{B}^{d}(\mathbb{F}_{q})$. Then,

$$(3.12) \qquad \#\operatorname{Sel}_{n}(E_{x}) \leq \#H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{x}^{1}, \mathscr{E}_{x}[n]\right) \cdot \#\left(\pi^{-1}(x)\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right) \leq n^{2} \cdot \#\left(\pi^{-1}(x)\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right).$$

Proof. The first inequality follows by plugging in the result of Proposition 3.23 to Proposition 3.26. The second inequality holds as $\#H^0(\mathbb{P}^1_x, \mathscr{E}_x[n]) = \#E_x[n](\mathbb{F}_q(t)) \leq n^2$.

To finish the proof of Proposition 3.26, we now prove Lemma 3.28 and Lemma 3.29.

Lemma 3.28. With notation as in Notation 3.25,

(3.13)
$$\frac{\#\operatorname{Sel}_{n}(E)}{\#H^{1}(C,\mathscr{E}^{0}[n])} = \frac{\#H^{0}(C,\mathscr{E}[n])}{\#H^{0}(C,\mathscr{E}^{0}[n])} \cdot \frac{\#\operatorname{III}(E)[n]}{\#H^{1}(C,\mathscr{E}^{0})[n]}$$

Proof. The proof will be a sequence of diagram chases. To start, observe that both #Sel_n(E) and $\#H^1(C, \mathscr{E}^0[n])$ are finite, the former by [Poo17, Theorem 8.4.6] and the latter by Proposition 3.23 (being the number of \mathbb{F}_q points of the fiber of a quasi-finite map).

We claim there are exact sequences

(3.14)
$$0 \longrightarrow \frac{H^0(C,\mathscr{E})}{nH^0(C,\mathscr{E})} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Sel}_n(E) \longrightarrow \operatorname{III}(E)[n] \longrightarrow 0$$

(3.15)
$$0 \to \frac{H^0(C,\mathcal{E}^0)}{nH^0(C,\mathcal{E}^0)} \to H^1(C,\mathcal{E}^0[n]) \to H^1(C,\mathcal{E}^0)[n] \to 0.$$

Indeed, (3.14) follows from [Sil09b, Theorem 4.2(a)] (whose proof is exactly the same over global fields as over number fields) while (3.15) comes from taking cohomology associated to the multiplication by *n* sequence on \mathcal{E}^0 .

By applying both (3.14) and (3.15), we find

(3.16)
$$\frac{\#\operatorname{Sel}_{n}(E)}{\#H^{1}(C,\mathscr{E}^{0}[n])} = \frac{\#\frac{H^{0}(C,\mathscr{E})}{nH^{0}(C,\mathscr{E}^{0})}}{\#\frac{H^{0}(C,\mathscr{E}^{0})}{nH^{0}(C,\mathscr{E}^{0})}} \cdot \frac{\#\operatorname{III}(E)[n]}{\#H^{1}(C,\mathscr{E}^{0})[n]}.$$

To conclude, it suffices to check

(3.17)
$$\frac{\#\frac{H^{0}(C,\mathscr{E})}{nH^{0}(C,\mathscr{E}^{0})}}{\#\frac{H^{0}(C,\mathscr{E}^{0})}{nH^{0}(C,\mathscr{E}^{0})}} = \frac{\#H^{0}(C,\mathscr{E}[n])}{\#H^{0}(C,\mathscr{E}^{0}[n])}$$

For this, we now set up the commutative diagram with vertical maps α , β , and γ defined below, each given by multiplication by *n*:

$$(3.18) \begin{array}{cccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^0(C, \mathscr{E}^0) & \longrightarrow & H^0(C, \mathscr{E}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(C, \mathscr{E}^0) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & & & & \downarrow^{\beta} & & & \downarrow^{\gamma} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^0(C, \mathscr{E}^0) & \longrightarrow & H^0(C, \mathscr{E}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(C, \mathscr{E}) / H^0(C, \mathscr{E}^0) & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Note that $H^0(C, \mathscr{E})/H^0(C, \mathscr{E}^0)$ is a finite group, being a subgroup of the finite group $H^0(C, \mathscr{E}/\mathscr{E}^0)$. Hence $\# \ker \gamma = \# \operatorname{coker} \gamma$. Also, the kernels and cokernels of α and β are finite as $H^0(C, \mathscr{E}) = H^0(K(C), E)$ is a finitely generated abelian group. From the

snake lemma we have $\# \ker \alpha \cdot \# \ker \gamma \cdot \# \operatorname{coker} \beta = \# \ker \beta \cdot \# \operatorname{coker} \alpha \cdot \# \operatorname{coker} \gamma$ and hence $\# \ker \alpha \cdot \# \operatorname{coker} \beta = \# \ker \beta \cdot \# \operatorname{coker} \alpha$. Rearranging yields $\frac{\# \operatorname{coker} \beta}{\# \operatorname{coker} \alpha} = \frac{\# \ker \beta}{\# \ker \alpha}$. This is precisely (3.17) because $\ker \beta = H^0(C, \mathscr{E}[n])$ and $\ker \alpha = H^0(C, \mathscr{E}^0[n])$.

We now prove Lemma 3.29, whose proof completes the proof of Proposition 3.26.

Lemma 3.29. With notation as in Notation 3.25,

(3.19) $\# \operatorname{III}(E)[n] \le \# H^1(C, \mathscr{E}^0)[n],$

with equality holding if $\frac{H^0(C,\mathscr{E}/\mathscr{E}^0)}{H^0(C,\mathscr{E})}[n] = 0.$

Proof. Using [Ces16, Proposition 4.5(b), (c), and (d)], we have the equality $III(E) = im (H^1(C, \mathscr{E}^0) \to H^1(C, \mathscr{E}))$. Therefore, by taking cohomology associated to

$$(3.20) 0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{E}^0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{E} \longrightarrow \mathscr{E}/\mathscr{E}^0 \longrightarrow 0$$

we obtain an exact sequence

$$(3.21) 0 \longrightarrow \frac{H^0(C, \mathscr{E}/\mathscr{E}^0)}{H^0(C, \mathscr{E})} \longrightarrow H^1(C, \mathscr{E}^0) \longrightarrow \mathrm{III}(E) \longrightarrow 0.$$

To simplify notation, let $K := \frac{H^0(C, \mathscr{E}/\mathscr{E}^0)}{H^0(C, \mathscr{E})}$. Note that *K* is finite because $\mathscr{E}/\mathscr{E}^0$ is a finite group scheme. Sending (3.21) to itself via multiplication by *n* and applying the snake lemma, we obtain an exact sequence

$$(3.22) 0 \longrightarrow K[n] \longrightarrow H^1(C, \mathscr{E}^0)[n] \longrightarrow \operatorname{III}(E)[n] \longrightarrow K/nK.$$

Therefore, $\#K[n] \cdot \#III(E)[n] \leq \#H^1(C, \mathscr{E}^0)[n] \cdot \#K/nK$. However, since *K* is a finite group, #K[n] = #K/nK, and hence $\#III(E)[n] \leq \#H^1(C, \mathscr{E}^0)[n]$. Since #K/nK = #K[n], (3.22) yields equality in (3.19) if K[n] = 0.

To conclude, we give an example where equality in Proposition 3.26 is achieved, but $H^0(C, \mathscr{E}[n]) \neq 0$.

Example 3.30. With notation as in Notation 3.25, it is indeed possible that $\operatorname{Sel}_n(E) > H^1(C, \mathscr{E}^0[n])$ in the statement of Proposition 3.26 when *E* has nontrivial torsion points defined over K(C). We produce an example in the case $C = \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_7}$. Observe that by [CP80, Theorem 5.1], in order for $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1_k, \mathscr{E}[n]) \neq 0$, *n* must have a prime factor ≤ 7 . We claim $\operatorname{Sel}_3(E) > H^1(C, \mathscr{E}^0[3])$ for *E* the elliptic curve over $\mathbb{F}_7(t)$ defined by $y^2z + txyz + (t^3 + 3)yz^2 = x^3$. The Magma [BCP97] code

```
F<t> :=FunctionField(GF(7));
E := EllipticCurve([t,0,t^3+3,0,0]);
MordellWeilGroup(E);
LocalInformation(E);
```

verifies $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_7}, \mathscr{E}) = E(\mathbb{F}_7(t)) = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}, \mathscr{E}/\mathscr{E}^0 \simeq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$, and further *E* has two places of bad reduction: one of type I₁ and the other of type I₃. By [dJ02, Lemma 5.15], we have $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_7}, \mathscr{E}^0[3]) = 0$ and so the nontrivial 3 torsion points necessarily meet the two non-identity components of \mathscr{E} in the fiber of type I₃ reduction. Hence, the map $H^{0}(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{\mathbb{F}_{7}},\mathscr{E}) \to H^{0}(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{\mathbb{F}_{7}},\mathscr{E}/\mathscr{E}^{0})$ is surjective. Therefore, the inequality of Lemma 3.29 is an equality. Combining this with $\#H^{0}(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{\mathbb{F}_{7}},\mathscr{E}^{0}) = 1, \#H^{0}(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{\mathbb{F}_{7}},\mathscr{E}) = 3$, and Lemma 3.28, we find $\#\operatorname{Sel}_{3}(E) = \#H^{0}(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{\mathbb{F}_{7}},\mathscr{E}[3]) \cdot \#H^{1}(C,\mathscr{E}^{0}[3]) = 3 \cdot \#H^{1}(C,\mathscr{E}^{0}[3]).$

4. The monodromy of the Selmer space

In this section, for *k* a field with char(*k*) $\nmid 2n$, we constrain im $\rho_{n,\overline{k}'}^d$ as defined in Definition 4.2, in Theorem 4.4. The resulting corollary, Corollary 4.11, is the only result of this section which will be used to prove Theorem 1.2 in §5.

The outline of this section is as follows. In §4.1 we define the monodromy representation associated to the Selmer space and state the main result of this section, Theorem 4.4. In §4.2 we analyze the divisor $\mathcal{D}_{sing,\mathbb{Z}[1/2]}^d$ in a compactification of $\mathscr{W}^{\circ d}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2]}$ and prove this divisor is smooth over an open set meeting all fibers over Spec $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$. In §4.3 we compare the monodromy in characteristic 0 and characteristic *p*. Finally, in §4.4 we prove Theorem 4.4 and use this to compute the number of components of the *n*-Selmer space.

4.1. The monodromy representation. In Definition 3.3, we constructed an algebraic space $\operatorname{Sel}_{n,B}^d$ which is quasifinite over the parameter space for Weierstrass equations \mathscr{W}_B^d . By Corollary 3.22, we know that $\operatorname{Sel}_{n,B}^d$ represents a locally constant sheaf of rank 12d - 4 free $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ modules over the open $\mathscr{W}_B^\circ \subset \mathscr{W}_B^d$ defined in Definition 3.9. We now introduce notation for the free module on which $\pi_1(\mathscr{W}_B^\circ)$ acts and then define the associated monodromy representation.

Definition 4.1. Let *E* be an elliptic curve over k(t), for *k* a field with $\operatorname{char}(k) \nmid n$. Let $j : \operatorname{Spec} k(t) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_k$ denote the inclusion of the generic point. Let $V_{E,n} := H^1(\mathbb{P}^1_k, j_*E_{\overline{k}}[n])$. If *B* is an integral base scheme with 2n invertible on *B*, η denotes the generic point of $\mathbb{P}^1_B \times_B \mathscr{W}^d_B$, and $f : \mathscr{W}^d_B \to \mathbb{P}^1_B \times_B \mathscr{W}^d_k$ is the natural map, define $V^d_n := V_{f^{-1}(\eta),n}$.

Using this, we can define the monodromy representation associated to the Selmer space.

Definition 4.2. For d > 0, and *B* an integral noetherian scheme, the locally constant rank 12d - 4 sheaf of free $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ modules $Se\ell_{n,B}^{\circ d}$ induces the monodromy representation (or Galois representation)

(4.1)
$$\rho_{n,B}^d: \pi_1(\mathscr{W}_B^{\circ d}) \to \operatorname{GL}(V_n^d) \simeq \operatorname{GL}_{12d-4}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}).$$

For an integral noetherian scheme *B* with geometric generic point $\overline{\eta}$, we call $\operatorname{im} \rho_{n,B}^d \subset \operatorname{GL}_{12d-4}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ the *monodromy* of the *n*-Selmer space of height *d* over *B* and $\operatorname{im} \rho_{n,\overline{\eta}}^d \subset \operatorname{GL}_{12d-4}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ the *geometric monodromy* of the *n*-Selmer space of height *d* over *B*.

Remark 4.3. Technically speaking, we should keep track of base points in our fundamental groups. However, as we will ultimately be concerned with integral base schemes *B*, changing basepoint only changes the map $\rho_{n,k}^d$ by conjugation. Since we will only care about the image of $\rho_{n,k}^d$, we will omit the basepoint from our notation.

Recall from §2.2 that for (V, Q) a quadratic space over \mathbb{Z} , we have $O_{-1}^*(Q) \subset O(Q)$ defined as the kernel of the -1-spinor norm. The main result of this section is the following, which is proven in §4.4.

Theorem 4.4. Suppose that k is a field of characteristic prime to 2n. For $d \ge 2$, there is a non-degenerate quadratic space $(V_{\mathbb{Z}}^d, Q_{\mathbb{Z}}^d)$ over \mathbb{Z} whose reduction modn is $(V_n^d, Q_n^d) :=$ $(V_{\mathbb{Z}}^d \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, Q_{\mathbb{Z}}^d \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$, such that the following holds. Let $r_n : O(Q_{\mathbb{Z}}^d) \to O(Q_n^d)$ denote the induced reduction modn map. Then, the images of the monodromy representation $\rho_{n,k}^d$: $\pi_1(\mathscr{W}_k^{\circ d}) \to \operatorname{GL}(V_n^d)$ and geometric monodromy representation $\rho_{n,\overline{k}}^d : \pi_1(\mathscr{W}_{\overline{k}}^{\circ d}) \to \operatorname{GL}(V_n^d)$ of Definition 4.2 satisfy $r_n(O_{-1}^*(Q_{\mathbb{Z}}^d)) \subset \operatorname{im} \rho_{n,\overline{k}}^d \subset \operatorname{im} \rho_{n,k}^d \subset O(Q_n^d)$.

Remark 4.5. The quadratic form Q_n^d appearing in the statement of Theorem 4.4 is explicitly determined in [dJF11, p. 786]. That is, Q_n^d is the reduction mod *n* of the quadratic form $Q_{\mathbb{Z}}^d$ associated to $U^{\oplus(2d-2)} \oplus (-E_8)^{\oplus d}$, for *U* a hyperbolic plane and $-E_8$ the E_8 lattice with the negative of its usual pairing.

We next sketch the idea for proving Theorem 4.4, whose proof will occupy much of the remainder of the section.

Idea of proof of Theorem 4.4. First, in the case $k = \mathbb{C}$, Theorem 4.4 follows from [dJF11, Theorem 4.10]. Therefore, the content of Theorem 4.4 is to show it holds over fields k of positive characteristic not equal to 2. To transfer the monodromy to positive characteristic, the key issue is showing that $\rho_{n,\overline{k}}^d$ factors through the tame fundamental group, meaning that a compactification of the corresponding cover has no ramification orders dividing char(k). We have shown in Corollary 4.8 that there are two divisors in the boundary of $\mathcal{W}^{\circ d}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2]}$ whose smooth locus over $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$ is dense in all fibers over Spec $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$. By applying the Lefschetz hyperplane theorem, we can replace $\mathcal{W}^{\circ d}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2]}$ by an open subscheme $U \subset \mathbb{P}^1$, and use the above mentioned smoothness to conclude that the intersection of L with these boundary divisors is étale over Spec $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$. A version of Abhyankar's lemma then implies that $\rho_{n,\overline{k}}^d$ factors through the tame fundamental group, implying that im $\rho_{n,\overline{k}}^d$ agrees with im $\rho_{n,\overline{k}}^d$.

4.2. Analyzing the boundary divisors. In this section we introduce a compactification of $\mathscr{W}_{B}^{\circ d}$ and determine the divisors in the complement of $\mathscr{W}_{B}^{\circ d}$. By showing these divisors are generically smooth in fibers over *B*, we will be able to conclude that the generic ramification orders of the Selmer space over these divisors are tame, which will allow us to compare the monodromy associated to the Selmer space in characteristic 0 and characteristic *p*.

The main divisor of interest is $\mathcal{D}^{d}_{\text{sing},B'}$ introduced in Definition 3.11. We next show this is a relative effective Cartier divisor. To do so, we will want to understand its fibers over *B*. This will use the following lemma, concretely connecting it to \mathcal{C}^{d}_{B} of Definition 3.11 in certain cases.

Lemma 4.6. For Spec *k* a point of Spec $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$, we have $\mathcal{D}_{\operatorname{sing},k}^d = \mathcal{C}_k^d$.

Proof. By construction, $\mathcal{D}_{\operatorname{sing},k}^d$ is the base change of $\mathcal{D}_{\operatorname{sing},\mathbb{Z}[1/2]}^d$ so we only need to show \mathcal{C}_k^d is the base change of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2]}^d$. The map π_1 of Definition 3.11 is proper and \mathcal{C}_B^d is reduced whenever *B* is reduced by Lemma 3.13. This implies that \mathcal{C}_k^d , the scheme theoretic image of Ψ_k^d under π_1 , is simply the reduced subscheme of \mathbb{A}_k^{12d+3} whose underlying set is given by the set-theoretic image of the map π_1 from (3.2). So indeed, \mathcal{C}_k^d is the base change of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2]}^d$ to Spec *k*, and hence agrees with $\mathcal{D}_{\operatorname{sing},k}^d$.

We can now use the above lemmas to show $\mathcal{D}^d_{\text{sing},B}$ is a relative effective Cartier divisor.

Proposition 4.7. For *B* a scheme with 2 invertible on *B*, the subscheme $\mathcal{D}_{sing,B}^d \subset \mathbb{A}_B^{12d+3}$ as in Definition 3.11 is a relative effective Cartier divisor over *B*. In the case that B = Spec k for k a field, $\mathcal{D}_{sing,B}^d$ is geometrically integral.

Proof. Since the property of being a relative effective Cartier divisor is preserved under base change, to verify the first statement, it suffices to verify the universal case that $B = \text{Spec }\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$. By the equivalence of various notions of effective relative Cartier divisor [BLR90, §8.2, Lemma 6], it suffices to check $\mathcal{D}^d_{\text{sing},B}$ is Cartier and is Cartier in each fiber. Since $\mathbb{A}^{12d+3}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2]}$ is regular, the notions of Cartier divisor and Weil divisor coincide, and so it suffices to check that $\mathcal{D}^d_{\text{sing},\mathbb{Z}[1/2]}$ is a Weil divisor which is geometrically integral on each fiber over $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$.

Let *R* be either $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$ or any residue field of $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$ over a prime ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$. To check $\mathcal{D}^d_{\operatorname{sing},R}$ is a Weil divisor in \mathbb{A}^{12d+3}_R , we note that by Lemma 4.6 $\mathcal{D}^d_{\operatorname{sing},R} = \mathcal{C}^d_R$, and so we wish to check \mathcal{C}^d_R is a Weil divisor. Since the map π_1 is quasi-finite, dim $\mathcal{C}^d_R = \dim \Psi^d_R + \dim Y_R = 12d + 2 + \dim R$. Since schemes of finite type over Spec \mathbb{Z} are catenary, we obtain that $\mathcal{D}^d_{\operatorname{sing},R}$ is indeed a divisor in \mathbb{A}^{12d+3}_R . Finally, in the case *R* is one of the residue fields of $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$, $\mathcal{D}^d_{\operatorname{sing},R}$ is geometrically integral because it is the image of the scheme Ψ^d_R , which is geometrically integral by Lemma 3.13.

Using Proposition 4.7, we verify that $\mathcal{D}^d_{\operatorname{sing},\mathbb{Z}[1/2]}$ has smooth locus meeting all fibers over Spec $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$. For the statement of the next corollary, recall that $\mathcal{W}^{\circ d}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2]}$ was constructed as a fiberwise dense open subscheme of an affine space $\mathbb{A}^{12d+3}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2]}$, and so it embeds as a fiberwise dense open in $\mathbb{P}^{12d+3}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2]}$ via the composition $\mathcal{W}^{\circ d}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2]} \subset \mathbb{A}^{12d+3}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2]} \subset \mathbb{P}^{12d+3}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2]}$.

Corollary 4.8. The complement $\mathbb{P}^{12d+3}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2]} - \mathscr{W}^{\circ d}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2]}$ has two irreducible components, $\mathcal{D}^{d}_{\operatorname{sing},\mathbb{Z}[1/2]}$ and $\mathbb{P}^{12d+3}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2]} - \mathbb{A}^{12d+3}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2]}$, both of which are relative effective Cartier divisors and remain irreducible over each point of Spec $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$. Further, $\mathbb{P}^{12d+3}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2]} - \mathbb{A}^{12d+3}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2]}$ is smooth over Spec $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$ and there is a dense open subscheme $U \subset \mathcal{D}^{d}_{\operatorname{sing},\mathbb{Z}[1/2]}$ meeting each fiber over Spec $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$ nontrivially such that U is smooth over $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$.

Proof. First, $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2]}^{12d+3} - \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2]}^{12d+3}$ is simply a projective space of dimension 12d + 2 over Spec $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$, and hence certainly a smooth relative effective Cartier divisor.

Therefore, it suffices to show that $\mathbb{A}^{12d+3}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2]} - \mathscr{W}^{\circ d}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2]}$ has only one irreducible component which is a relative effective Cartier divisor, given by $\mathcal{D}^{d}_{\operatorname{sing},\mathbb{Z}[1/2]}$, and that $\mathcal{D}^{d}_{\operatorname{sing},\mathbb{Z}[1/2]}$ possesses a dense open U as in the statement of the corollary. The irreducibility and relative dimension statements follow from Proposition 4.7.

To conclude, we verify the existence of U. It suffices to check that $\mathcal{D}^d_{\operatorname{sing},\mathbb{Z}[1/2]}$ is smooth along an open subscheme of each fiber over B. Because $\mathcal{D}^d_{\operatorname{sing},\mathbb{Z}[1/2]}$ is a relative Cartier divisor by Proposition 4.7, it is flat over Spec $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$, and so we only need check it that $\mathcal{D}^d_{\operatorname{sing},\mathbb{Z}[1/2]}$ it is generically smooth in each fiber over Spec $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$. This generic smoothness follows from the fact that it is geometrically integral in each fiber over Spec $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$, as again was shown in Proposition 4.7.

4.3. **Monodromy comparison.** Using Corollary 4.8, we can establish tameness of the cover corresponding to $\operatorname{im} \rho_{n,\overline{k}}^d$ for *k* a field of positive characteristic, and deduce that this group does not depend on the characteristic of *k*, at least when char(*k*) $\nmid 2n$. The idea of the proof is to check that the $\operatorname{im} \rho_{n,\overline{k}}^d$ cover associated to $\operatorname{Sel}_{n,k}^d$ is tamely ramified over the boundary divisors of Corollary 4.8. This will allow us to employ the specialization map (which only exists for tame fundamental groups) relating $\operatorname{im} \rho_{n,\overline{k}}^d$ and $\operatorname{im} \rho_{n,\overline{O}}^d$.

Proposition 4.9. For n > 0, suppose char(k) $\nmid 2n$ and d > 0. Then, im $\rho_{n,\overline{k}}^d = \operatorname{im} \rho_{n,\overline{Q}}^d$.

Proof. Note that $\rho_{n,\overline{k}}^d$ corresponds to a connected im $\rho_{n,\overline{k}}^d$ finite étale cover of $\mathscr{W}^{\circ d}_{\overline{k}}$. Since geometric connectedness is preserved upon base extension between fields, the statement holds when char(k) = 0. Therefore, for the rest of the proof we assume char(k) =: p with $p \nmid 2n$.

Take $S := \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2n],p'}^{\operatorname{sh}}$ the strict henselization of the local ring at $(p) \subset \mathbb{Z}[1/2n]$. The surjection $\pi_1(\mathscr{W}_{\overline{Q}}^{\circ d}) \to \operatorname{im} \rho_{n,\overline{Q}}^d$ induced by the Selmer space corresponds to a Galois connected finite étale cover $T_{\overline{Q}} \to \mathscr{W}_{\overline{Q}}^{\circ d}$ with Galois group $\operatorname{im} \rho_{n,\overline{Q}}^d$. We next construct a finite extension S' of S such that $\operatorname{im} \rho_{n,S'}^d = \operatorname{im} \rho_{n,\overline{Q}}^d$. By writing \overline{Q} as the colimit over all finite extensions of Q, we may find a finite extension K of Q and a cover $T_K \to \mathscr{W}_K^{\circ d}$ so that the base change of this cover to \overline{Q} is $T_{\overline{Q}} \to \mathscr{W}_{\overline{Q}}^{\circ d}$. This yields a surjection $\pi_1(\mathscr{W}_K^{\circ d}) \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{im} \rho_{n,\overline{Q}}^d$. By replacing S by a finite ramified extension S', we may assume Spec K factors through S'. Now, relabel S' by S and let η denote the generic point of this newly constructed scheme S. We claim that $\operatorname{im} \rho_{n,\overline{Q}}^d = \operatorname{im} \rho_{n,S}^d$. Indeed, since the map $\pi_1(\mathscr{W}_{\eta}^{\circ d}) \to \pi_1(\mathscr{W}_S^{\circ d})$ is surjective due to normality of $\mathscr{W}_S^{\circ d}$, we obtain $\operatorname{im} \rho_{n,\eta}^d = \operatorname{im} \rho_{n,\overline{N}}^d$. Further, because $\operatorname{im} \rho_{n,K}^d = \operatorname{im} \rho_{n,\overline{Q}}^d$ by construction of K, the containments $\operatorname{im} \rho_{n,\overline{Q}}^d \subset \operatorname{im} \rho_{n,K}^d$ implies $\operatorname{im} \rho_{n,\eta}^d = \operatorname{im} \rho_{n,\overline{O}}^d$.

Since the residue field of *S* is $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, to conclude the proof, we claim it is enough to show that $T \times_S \operatorname{Spec} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ is connected. Indeed, this will imply that for any field *k* of characteristic *p*, $T \times_S \operatorname{Spec} \overline{k}$ remains connected. Since the connected scheme $T \times_S \operatorname{Spec} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ is then the Galois closure of the cover $\operatorname{Sel}_{n\overline{k}}^d \to \mathscr{W}_{\overline{k}}^d$, we obtain that the order of the monodromy group

im $\rho_{n,\overline{k}}^d$ is equal to the order of im $\rho_{n,\overline{Q}}^d = \operatorname{im} \rho_{n,S}^d$ because the corresponding covers *T* and $T \times_S \operatorname{Spec} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ have the same degree. Since the orders agree and we have a containment $\operatorname{im} \rho_{n,\overline{k}}^d \subset \operatorname{im} \rho_{n,S}^d$, this containment must in fact be an equality.

Hence, it remains to verify $T \times_S \operatorname{Spec} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ is connected. To do so, we will intersect \mathscr{W}_S^d with a suitable line. We next construct this line. Let $\mathscr{W}_S^d \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_S^{12d+3}$ denote the open embedding described in Corollary 4.8. We claim that for a general line $L \simeq \mathbb{P}_S^1$ with $L \subset \mathbb{P}_S^{12d+3}$, the map $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(L_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \cap \mathscr{W}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}^\circ) \to \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\mathscr{W}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}^\circ)$ is surjective. This follows from the Lefschetz hyperplane theorem applied over the complex numbers [GM88, Part II, Theorem 1.2] and invariance of the fundamental group of a quasi-projective variety upon base change between algebraically closed fields of characteristic 0 [Lan20] or [R71, Exposé XIII, Proposition 4.6].

Given any *L* as above, let $U := L \cap \mathscr{W}^{\circ d}_{S}$ and let D := L - U. From the connected finite étale cover $T \to \mathscr{W}^{\circ d}_{S}$, we obtain a finite étale cover $T_U := T \times_{\mathscr{W}^{\circ d}_{S}} U \to U$ which is connected because for any *L* as above, $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(L_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \cap \mathscr{W}^{\circ d}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}) \to \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\mathscr{W}^{\circ d}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$ is surjective. Then, T_U corresponds to a surjective map $\pi_1(U) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(\mathscr{W}^{\circ d}_S) \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{im} \rho^d_{n,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \subset \operatorname{GL}(V_n^d)$.

For any such *L*, suppose we knew that $T_U \times_S \operatorname{Spec} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ is connected. Then, we claim $T \times_S \operatorname{Spec} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ must also be connected. Indeed, if $T \times_S \operatorname{Spec} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ were disconnected, it is necessarily a disjoint union of two nonempty finite étale covers of $\mathscr{W}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}^d$, and so its restriction to *U* would also be a disjoint union of two nonempty finite étale covers, hence disconnected. Therefore, in order to verify $T \times_S \operatorname{Spec} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ is connected, and hence that $\operatorname{im} \rho_{n,\overline{k}}^d = \operatorname{im} \rho_{n,S}^d$ it is enough to find a line *L* as above so that $T_U \times_S \operatorname{Spec} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ is connected.

To prove $T_U \times_S \text{Spec } \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ is connected, we want to apply [R71, Exposé XIII, 2.10] in (4.2) below. For this we will need to verify that *D* is étale over *S* and $T_U \rightarrow U$ is tamely ramified. In fact, by relative Abhyankar's lemma [R71, Exposé XIII, Proposition 5.5] it suffices to verify that *D* is a relative effective Cartier divisor which is étale over *S*, as this then implies the cover $T_U \rightarrow U$ corresponding to the monodromy representation $\rho_{n,S}^d$ is tamely ramified.

Using Corollary 4.8, D is obtained as the intersection of L with the two divisors $\mathbb{P}_{S}^{12d+3} - \mathbb{A}_{S}^{12d+3}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{sing,S}^{d}$. Both of these divisors are smooth along a dense open meeting the special fiber over S by Corollary 4.8. This is the step we use that $2 \nmid \operatorname{char}(k)$, see Remark 1.10. Hence, since L was chosen generally, we may arrange by applications of Bertini's theorem that D is étale over S, as we now explain. First, by choosing L generically, we may assume by Bertini's theorem that its intersection with D has generic and special fiber which both have degree equal to the degree of $\mathcal{D}_{sing,S}^{d}$ plus the degree of $\mathbb{P}_{S}^{12d+3} - \mathbb{A}_{S}^{12d+3}$. Properness of $L \cap D$ implies $L \cap D$ is finite over S, with both its special and generic fiber having the same degree. Therefore, $L \cap D$ is flat over S. By Bertini's theorem, we may arrange that the special fiber $L \cap D$ is étale over S, as we wanted to show. Therefore, relative Abhyankar's lemma [R71, Exposé XIII, Proposition 5.5] implies $\rho_{n,S}^{d}$ is tamely ramified.

We now conclude the proof by showing how tameness of $T_U \to U$ implies $T_U \times_S$ Spec $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ is connected, and hence $\operatorname{im} \rho_{n,\overline{k}}^d = \operatorname{im} \rho_{n,\overline{Q}}^d$. Since $\pi_1^{\operatorname{\acute{e}t}}(U) \to \operatorname{im} \rho_{n,\overline{Q}}^d$ factors through $\pi_1^{\operatorname{tame}}(U)$ we obtain a commutative diagram

(4.2)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_{1}^{\operatorname{tame}}(U_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_{1}^{\operatorname{\acute{e}t}}(U) & \longrightarrow & \pi_{1}^{\operatorname{\acute{e}t}}(\mathscr{W}^{\circ d}_{S}) \\ & & \downarrow^{\operatorname{sp}} & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ & & & \\ \pi_{1}^{\operatorname{tame}}(U_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_{1}^{\operatorname{tame}}(U) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{GL}(V_{n}^{d}). \end{array}$$

The surjective map sp : $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(U_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}) \to \pi_1^{\text{tame}}(U_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p})$ is the *specialization map* (see [OV00, Théoréme 4.4 and Proposition 5.1], or alternatively [R71, Exposé XIII, 2.10]). By construction, the image of $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(U_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}) \simeq \pi_1^{\text{\'et}}(U_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$ in $\text{GL}(V_n^d)$ is $\text{im} \rho_{n,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}^d$. By commutativity of the diagram and surjectivity of sp, it follows $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(U_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p})$ also has image $\text{im} \rho_{n,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}^d$. Since the map $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(U_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}) \to \text{im} \rho_{n,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}^d$ corresponds to the finite étale cover $T_U \times_S \text{Spec} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ surjectivity of $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(U_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}) \to \text{im} \rho_{n,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}^d$ implies $T_U \times_S \text{Spec} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ is connected.

4.4. **Computing the Monodromy and number of components.** Using Proposition 4.9 and the computation of the monodromy over C from [dJF11, Theorem 4.10], we can now prove Theorem 4.4. Following this, we compute the number of components of the Selmer space.

Proof of Theorem 4.4. First, $\pi_1(\mathscr{W}^{\circ d}_{\overline{k}}) \hookrightarrow \pi_1(\mathscr{W}^{\circ d}_{k})$ induces an inclusion im $\rho_{n,\overline{k}}^d \subset \operatorname{im} \rho_{n,k}^d$. Observe that the quadratic form Q_n^d is preserved by the action of $\pi_1(\mathscr{W}^{\circ d}_k)$ as it is induced by a natural cup product and Poincaré duality, (as described in [dJF11, p. 784-785] and also [ASD73, p. 253-254],) functorial under the action of $\pi_1(\mathscr{W}^{\circ d}_k)$. It follows that $\operatorname{im} \rho_{n,k}^d \subset O(Q_n^d)$. It remains to show $r_n(O_{-1}^*(Q_Z^d)) \subset \operatorname{im} \rho_{n,\overline{k}}^d$.

By Proposition 4.9 it suffices to calculate $\operatorname{im} \rho_{n,\overline{k}}^d$ in the case $\overline{k} = \mathbb{C}$. The result then essentially follows from [dJF11, Theorem 4.10], as we now explain. In [dJF11, Theorem 4.10], it is implicitly assumed $d \ge 2$, as stated in the first line of the proof of [dJF11, Theorem 4.9]. This hypothesis is used in [dJF11, p. 787, lines 25-28] to ensure that a particular related Dynkin diagram "contains a certain subdiagram with 6 vertices."

At this point, it may be helpful for the reader to recall our constructions of the Selmer stack $\underline{Sel}_{n,B}^d$ and moduli stack of minimal Weierstrass models $\underline{\mathscr{W}}_B^d$ from Definition 3.4. Since $\underline{Sel}_{n,C}^d \to \underline{\mathscr{W}}_C^{\circ d}$ is a quotient of $\underline{Sel}_{n,C}^{\circ d} \to \mathscr{W}_C^{\circ d}$ by the action of the smooth connected algebraic group $G_a^{2d+1} \rtimes G_m$, the monodromy representation associated to the map on the coarse spaces of $\underline{Sel}_{n,C}^{\circ d} \to \underline{\mathscr{W}}_C^{\circ d}$ has the same image as the representation $\rho_{n,C}^d$ associated to $\underline{Sel}_{n,C}^{\circ d} \to \underline{\mathscr{W}}_C^{\circ d}$. The previous statement can be verified algebraically, though it is even easier to verify it topologically, which is viable as we are working over C. In [dJF11, Theorem 4.10], it is shown that for $d \ge 2$, the resulting monodromy map on coarse spaces has image containing $r_n(O_{-1}^*(Q_{\mathbb{Z}}^d))$. Hence the same is true of $\rho_{n,C}^d$. Note here that we are using $O_{-1}^*(Q_{\mathbb{Z}}^d)$ and not $O_{+1}^*(Q_{\mathbb{Z}}^d)$ (see §2.2) since in the proof of [dJF11, Theorem 4.10], [Ebe87, Theorem 5.4.3] is applied to the lattice $V_{\mathbb{Z}}^d$, which satisfies the hypotheses of the beginning of [Ebe87, §5.3] for $\varepsilon = -1$.

Finally, non-degeneracy of Q_n^d follows from the explicit description of Q_n^d , see Remark 4.5.

We next record a standard lemma on monodromy actions for completeness. This will enable us to relate the geometric monodromy of the Selmer space to the number of its irreducible components.

Lemma 4.10. Let U be a noetherian integral normal scheme and let $\rho : \pi_1(U) \to GL(V)$ denote the monodromy representation associated to a finite étale cover $\pi : X \to U$ representing a sheaf of free $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ modules. The irreducible components of X can be bijectively identified with orbits of ρ on V.

Proof. Let η denote the generic point of U and let X_{η} the generic fiber of π . Observe that X_{η} has deg π distinct geometric points. Two such geometric points lie in the same irreducible component if and only if there is some element of $\pi_1(\eta)$ taking one to the other. Therefore, the set of irreducible components of X is identified with orbits of the action of $\pi_1(\eta)$. These orbits are in turn identified with orbits of the action of ρ via the map $\pi_1(\eta) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(U)$, which is surjective because U is integral and normal [R71, Exposé V, Proposition 8.2]. Hence, the number irreducible components of X dominating U is the same as the number of orbits of ρ on V. Finally, because $X \to U$ is étale, all irreducible components of χ is the number of orbits of ρ on V.

We now determine the number of irreducible components of the Selmer space.

Corollary 4.11. For k a field of characteristic prime to 2n and $d \ge 2$, the cover $\operatorname{Sel}_{n,k}^d \to \mathscr{W}_k^d$ has $\sum_{m|n} m$ irreducible components, all of which are geometrically irreducible and dominate \mathscr{W}_k^d .

Proof. Because the formation of $\operatorname{Sel}_{n,k}^d$ is compatible with base change on the field k, it suffices to show that for any field k, $\operatorname{Sel}_{n,k}^d \to \mathscr{W}_k^d$ has $\sum_{m|n} m$ irreducible components, all of which dominate \mathscr{W}_k^d . Indeed, having $\sum_{m|n} m$ irreducible components over both k and \overline{k} implies all irreducible components must be *geometrically* irreducible. Because $\operatorname{Sel}_{n,k}^d \to \mathscr{W}_k^d$ is étale, all irreducible components dominate \mathscr{W}_k^d .

It remains to show $\operatorname{Sel}_{n,k}^d$ has $\sum_{m|n} m$ irreducible components. The irreducible components of $\operatorname{Sel}_{n,k}^d$ are the identified with the orbits of $\operatorname{im} \rho_{n,k}^d \subset \operatorname{GL}(V_n^d)$ on V_n^d by Lemma 4.10. Recall that a vector α in a free $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ module A is *primitive* if α cannot be written in the form $m\alpha'$ with m non-invertible in $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. It is shown in [dJF11, Lemma 4.12] and its proof that for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, the set of primitive vectors $v \in V_n^d$ with $Q_n^d(v) = i$ form a single orbit under the action of both $r_n(O_{-1}^*(Q_{\mathbb{Z}}^d))$ and $O(Q_n^d)$, for r_n as in the statement of Theorem 4.4. Therefore, by Theorem 4.4, the set of primitive vectors form a single orbit under the action of $\rho_{n,\overline{k}}^d$. Hence, there are n orbits of $\operatorname{im} \rho_{n,\overline{k}}^d$ corresponding to primitive vectors. For every non-primitive vector $v \in V_n^d$, there is a unique $t \mid n$ so that v = tv' for v' primitive. We now partition V_n^d by this value of t. For each $t \mid n$, we can identify the action of $\operatorname{im} \rho_{n,\overline{k}}^d$ on $t \cdot (V_n^d)$ with the action of $\operatorname{im} \rho_{n/t,\overline{k}}^d$ on $V_{n/t}^d$. As shown above this

action has n/t orbits whose union is the set of primitive vectors. We therefore have that the total number of orbits is $\sum_{t|n} \frac{n}{t} = \sum_{m|n} m$.

5. Completing the proof of Theorem 1.2

We are nearly ready to prove our main theorem, Theorem 1.2, and the proof is completed in §5.1, at the end of this section. To start, due to the fact that $\text{Sel}_{n,B}^d$ is only an algebraic space and not a scheme, we need the following slight generalization of the Lang-Weil estimate.

Lemma 5.1. Suppose X is a algebraic space of finite type over \mathbb{Z} . Let q be a prime power so that dim $X_{\mathbb{F}_q} = d$. Then, if $X_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ is geometrically integral, $\#X(\mathbb{F}_q) = q^d + O_X(q^{d-1/2})$.

Proof. Although *X* may not be a scheme, it has a dense open subspace which is a scheme by [Ols16, Theorem 6.4.1]. To apply [Ols16, Theorem 6.4.1], we are using that *X* is quasi-separated because it is finite type over \mathbb{Z} . Therefore, via noetherian induction, we can write *X* as a finite union of locally closed subspaces which are schemes, so that every \mathbb{F}_q point of *X* factors through one of these locally closed subspaces. Then, the claim follows from [Poo17, Theorem 7.7.1(ii)] applied to each of these locally closed subspaces.

We next clarify our convention on counting points of stacks, and state a lemma which will enable us to relate the point counts for the stack $\underline{\operatorname{Sel}}_{n,B}^d$ and the algebraic space $\operatorname{Sel}_{n,B}^d$.

Definition 5.2. Let \mathscr{X} an algebraic stack of finite type over \mathbb{Z} . For $x \in \mathscr{X}$, let $\operatorname{Aut}_x := x \times_{\mathscr{X}} x$ denote the automorphism group scheme of \mathscr{X} at x. Then, define $\#\mathscr{X}(\mathbb{F}_q) := \sum_{x \in \mathscr{X}(\mathbb{F}_q)} \frac{1}{\#\operatorname{Aut}_x(\mathbb{F}_q)}$.

Lemma 5.3. Let X be a smooth algebraic space of finite type over an open subscheme $S \subset \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ and let G be a smooth group scheme over S with geometrically connected nonempty fibers, with an action on X. Let $\mathscr{X} := [X/G]$. Then,

$$#X(\mathbb{F}_q) = #G(\mathbb{F}_q) \cdot #\mathscr{X}(\mathbb{F}_q).$$

Proof. After base changing along Spec $\mathbb{F}_q \to \text{Spec }\mathbb{Z}$, we can assume that *X*, *G*, and \mathscr{X} lie over Spec \mathbb{F}_q . The claim is then established in [Beh93, Lemma 2.5.1], whose proof essentially amounts to the orbit stabilizer theorem.

Using the above lemmas in conjunction with the relations between points of the Selmer space and sizes of Selmer groups from Corollary 3.24 and Corollary 3.27, we can relate the number of points of the Selmer space to the number of Selmer elements for elliptic curves.

Proposition 5.4. *Fix* d > 0.

(1) For a fixed prime power q with gcd(q, 2) = 1,

(5.1)
$$\# \underline{\mathscr{W}}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2]}^d(\mathbb{F}_q) = \sum_{E/\mathbb{F}_q(t), h(E)=d} \frac{1}{\# \operatorname{Aut}(E)}.$$

(2) Letting q range over prime powers with gcd(q, 2n) = 1,

(5.2)
$$\#\underline{\operatorname{Sel}}_{n,\mathbb{Z}[1/2n]}^{d}(\mathbb{F}_{q}) = \left(1 + O_{n,d}(q^{-1/2})\right) \sum_{E/\mathbb{F}_{q}(t), h(E)=d} \frac{\#\operatorname{Sel}_{n}(E)}{\#\operatorname{Aut}(E)}.$$

Proof. We first prove (5.1). For q prime to 2, we just need to check that elliptic curves over $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ of height d are in bijection with $\underline{\mathscr{W}}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2]}^d(\mathbb{F}_q)$, so that this bijection respects automorphisms group sizes. This follows because every elliptic curve over $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ can be expressed in terms of a minimal Weierstrass equation $y^2z = x^3 + a_2(s,t)x^2z + a_4(s,t)xz^2 + a_6(s,t)z^3$, and two are isomorphic precisely if they are related by the $\mathbb{G}_a^{2d+1} \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$ action of Definition 3.4, see [dJ02, 4.8 and Lemma 4.9].

We next prove (5.2). Using the identification discussed in the previous paragraph between $\underline{\mathscr{W}}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2]}^{d}(\mathbb{F}_{q})$ and elliptic curves over $\mathbb{F}_{q}(t)$ of height d, Lemma 5.3 applied to $\underline{\operatorname{Sel}}_{n,\mathbb{Z}[1/2n]}^{d} = \left[\operatorname{Sel}_{n,\mathbb{Z}[1/2n]}^{d}/\mathbb{G}_{a}^{2d+1} \rtimes \mathbb{G}_{m}\right]$ and $\underline{\mathscr{W}}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2n]}^{d} = \left[\mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2n]}^{d}/\mathbb{G}_{a}^{2d+1} \rtimes \mathbb{G}_{m}\right]$ reduces the issue to showing $\operatorname{\#Sel}_{n,\mathbb{Z}[1/2n]}^{d}(\mathbb{F}_{q}) = (1 + O_{n,d}(q^{-1/2})) \sum_{x \in \mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2n]}^{d}} \operatorname{\#Sel}_{n}(E_{x})$. Let

 $\pi : \operatorname{Sel}_{n,\mathbb{Z}[1/2n]}^d \to \mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2n]}^d$ denote the projection. Using Lemma 5.1 and Corollary 3.24, we have the lower bound

$$\begin{aligned} \# \mathrm{Sel}_{n,\mathbb{Z}[1/2n]}^{d}(\mathbb{F}_{q}) &= (1 + O_{n,d}(q^{-1/2})) \# \mathrm{Sel}_{n,\mathbb{Z}[1/2n]}^{\circ d}(\mathbb{F}_{q}) \\ &\leq (1 + O_{n,d}(q^{-1/2})) \sum_{x \in \mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2n]}^{d}(\mathbb{F}_{q})} \# \left(\pi^{-1}(x)(\mathbb{F}_{q})\right) \\ &= (1 + O_{n,d}(q^{-1/2})) \sum_{x \in \mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2n]}^{d}(\mathbb{F}_{q})} \# \mathrm{Sel}_{n}(E_{x}). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5.1, Corollary 3.24, and Corollary 3.27, we have the upper bound

$$\begin{split} \# \mathrm{Sel}_{n,\mathbb{Z}[1/2n]}^{d}(\mathbb{F}_{q}) &= \#\pi^{-1}(\mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2n]}^{\circ d})(\mathbb{F}_{q}) + \#\pi^{-1}(\mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2n]}^{\circ d} - \mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2n]}^{\circ d})(\mathbb{F}_{q}) \\ &= (1 + O_{n,d}(q^{-1/2})) \left(\#\pi^{-1}(\mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2n]}^{\circ d})(\mathbb{F}_{q}) + n^{2} \cdot \#\pi^{-1}(\mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2n]}^{d} - \mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2n]}^{\circ d})(\mathbb{F}_{q}) \right) \\ &= (1 + O_{n,d}(q^{-1/2})) \left(\sum_{x \in \mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2n]}^{\circ d}(\mathbb{F}_{q})} \#\pi^{-1}(x)(\mathbb{F}_{q}) + n^{2} \cdot \sum_{x \in (\mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2n]}^{\circ d} - \mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2n]}^{\circ d})(\mathbb{F}_{q})} \#\pi^{-1}(x)(\mathbb{F}_{q}) \right) \\ &\geq (1 + O_{n,d}(q^{-1/2})) \left(\sum_{x \in \mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2n]}^{\circ d}(\mathbb{F}_{q})} \#\mathrm{Sel}_{n}(E_{x}) + n^{2} \cdot \sum_{x \in (\mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2n]}^{\circ d} - \mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2n]}^{\circ d})(\mathbb{F}_{q})} \#\mathrm{Sel}_{n}(E_{x}) \right) \\ &\geq (1 + O_{n,d}(q^{-1/2})) \left(\sum_{x \in \mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2n]}^{\circ d}(\mathbb{F}_{q})} \#\mathrm{Sel}_{n}(E_{x}) + \sum_{x \in (\mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2n]}^{\circ d} - \mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2n]}^{\circ d})(\mathbb{F}_{q})} \#\mathrm{Sel}_{n}(E_{x}) \right) \\ &= (1 + O_{n,d}(q^{-1/2})) \sum_{x \in \mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2n]}^{\circ d}(\mathbb{F}_{q})} \#\mathrm{Sel}_{n}(E_{x}). \end{split}$$

Combining the upper and lower bounds above yields (5.2).

We are finally ready to prove our main theorem, Theorem 1.2. Our strategy is to combine the preceding results in this section to relate the left hand side of (1.2) to the number of geometric components of $\operatorname{Sel}_{n,\mathbb{F}_q}^d$, which is $\sum_{m|n} m$ by Corollary 4.11.

5.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.2.** We first argue one may ignore the contributions from elliptic curves of height 0. We have $\# \mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^0(\mathbb{F}_q) < q^3$ (corresponding to choices of $a_{2i}(s,t) \in \mathbb{F}_q$ for $i \in \{1,2,3\}$). Since height 0 elliptic curves *E* have $\Phi_E = 1$, we obtain $\mathscr{E}^0 \simeq \mathscr{E}$ and $\mathrm{Sel}_n(E) \simeq H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathscr{E}[l]) \simeq H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathscr{E}^0[l])$ by [Ces16, Proposition 5.4(c)]. Therefore, by Proposition 3.23, each elliptic curve has *n*-Selmer group of size at most $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_q}, \mathscr{E}^0[n]) = E[n](\mathbb{F}_q(t)) \leq n^2$. Hence, the contribution to the numerator and denominator in the definition of Average^{$\leq d$}(Sel_n / $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$) from (1.1) coming from height 0 curves is at most $n^2 \cdot q^3$. We can safely ignore this contribution in the large *q* limit for d > 0 because the number of isomorphism classes of elliptic curves of height *d* over $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ is on the order of $2 \cdot q^{10d+1}$ by [d]02, Proposition 4.16].

We next claim that the contribution to both the numerator and denominator in the definition of Average^{$\leq d$}(Sel_{*n*} /**F**_{*q*}(*t*)) from (1.1) coming from elliptic curves of height < *d* and the closed locus of elliptic curves with more than 2 automorphisms be ignored in the large *q* limit. Indeed, these contributions can be bounded by applying Proposition 5.4 to relate them to points of $\frac{\mathscr{M}^d}{\mathbb{Z}[1/2]}$ and $\underline{\operatorname{Sel}}^d_{n,\mathbb{Z}[1/2n]}$, applying Lemma 5.3 to relate them to points of $\mathscr{W}^d_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2]}$, and Lemma 5.1 to bound the resulting contribution. Therefore, we obtain that

By applying Proposition 5.4 and Lemma 5.3 to both the numerator and denominator of (5.4), we see

(5.5)
$$\lim_{\substack{q \to \infty \\ \gcd(q,2n)=1}} \frac{\sum\limits_{\substack{E/\mathbb{F}_q(t), h(E)=d}}^{\frac{H}{H} \underbrace{\operatorname{Sel}_n(E)}{H}} = \lim_{\substack{q \to \infty \\ \gcd(q,2n)=1}} \frac{\frac{H \underbrace{\operatorname{Sel}_n}_{n,\mathbb{Z}[1/2n]}(\mathbb{F}_q)}{H \underbrace{\mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2]}^d}(\mathbb{F}_q)} = \lim_{\substack{q \to \infty \\ \gcd(q,2n)=1}} \frac{\frac{H \underbrace{\operatorname{Sel}_n}_{n,\mathbb{Z}[1/2n]}(\mathbb{F}_q)}{H \underbrace{\mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2]}^d}(\mathbb{F}_q)}.$$

Note also that each irreducible component of $\operatorname{Sel}_{n,\mathbb{Z}[1/2n]}^d$ has geometrically irreducible fiber over $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{F}_p \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[1/2n]$: indeed, this follows from Corollary 4.11 and Proposition 4.9, the latter implying that the reduction modulo p of any component with geometrically irreducible generic fiber is again a geometrically irreducible. Hence, using

this, the fact that $\mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2]}^d$ has a single irreducible component which is geometrically irreducible, and Lemma 5.1, the ratio in (5.5) is simply the number of irreducible components of $\operatorname{Sel}_{n,\mathbb{Z}[1/2n]}^d$. This number of components is $\sum_{m|n} m$ for $d \ge 2$ by Corollary 4.11.

References

- [Ach06] Jeffrey D. Achter. The distribution of class groups of function fields. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 204(2):316–333,2006.
- [Ach08] Jeffrey D. Achter. Results of Cohen-Lenstra type for quadratic function fields. In *Computational arithmetic geometry*, volume 463 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 1–7. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.
- [ASD73] M. Artin and H. P. F. Swinnerton-Dyer. The Shafarevich-Tate conjecture for pencils of elliptic curves on K3 surfaces. *Invent. Math.*, 20:249–266, 1973.
- [BCP97] Wieb Bosma, John Cannon, and Catherine Playoust. The Magma algebra system. I. The user language. J. Symbolic Comput., 24(3-4):235–265, 1997. Computational algebra and number theory (London, 1993).
- [BD09] Kai Behrend and Ajneet Dhillon. Connected Components of Moduli Stacks of Torsors via Tamagawa Numbers. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, 61(01):3–28, February 2009.
- [Beh93] Kai A. Behrend. The Lefschetz trace formula for algebraic stacks. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 112(1):127–149, December 1993.
- [BKL⁺15] Manjul Bhargava, Daniel M. Kane, Hendrik W. Lenstra, Jr., Bjorn Poonen, and Eric Rains. Modeling the distribution of ranks, Selmer groups, and Shafarevich-Tate groups of elliptic curves. *Camb. J. Math.*, 3(3):275–321, 2015.
- [BLR90] Siegfried Bosch, Werner Lütkebohmert, and Michel Raynaud. Néron models, volume 21 of Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [BS13a] Manjul Bhargava and Arul Shankar. The average number of elements in the 4-selmer groups of elliptic curves is 7. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.7333v1*, 2013.
- [BS13b] Manjul Bhargava and Arul Shankar. The average size of the 5-selmer group of elliptic curves is 6, and the average rank is less than 1. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.7859v1*, 2013.
- [BS15a] Manjul Bhargava and Arul Shankar. Binary quartic forms having bounded invariants, and the boundedness of the average rank of elliptic curves. *Ann. of Math.* (2), 181(1):191–242, 2015.
- [BS15b] Manjul Bhargava and Arul Shankar. Ternary cubic forms having bounded invariants, and the existence of a positive proportion of elliptic curves having rank 0. Ann. of Math. (2), 181(2):587–621, 2015.
- [CEF14] Thomas Church, Jordan S. Ellenberg, and Benson Farb. Representation stability in cohomology and asymptotics for families of varieties over finite fields. In *Algebraic topology: applications and new directions*, volume 620 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 1–54. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2014.
- [Ces16] Kestutis Cesnavicius. Selmer groups as flat cohomology groups. J. Ramanujan Math. Soc., 31(1):31– 61, 2016.
- [CP80] David A. Cox and Walter R. Parry. Torsion in elliptic curves over *k*(*t*). *Compositio Math.*, 41(3):337–354, 1980.
- [CTSSD98] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, A. N. Skorobogatov, and Peter Swinnerton-Dyer. Hasse principle for pencils of curves of genus one whose Jacobians have rational 2-division points. *Invent. Math.*, 134(3):579– 650, 1998.
- [Dao17] Van Thinh Dao. Average size of 2-selmer groups of jacobians of hyperelliptic curves over function fields. *arXiv preprint arXiv:*1711.06147v1, 2017.
- [dJ02] A. J. de Jong. Counting elliptic surfaces over finite fields. *Mosc. Math. J.*, 2(2):281–311, 2002. Dedicated to Yuri I. Manin on the occasion of his 65th birthday.
- [dJF11] A. J. de Jong and Robert Friedman. On the geometry of principal homogeneous spaces. *Amer. J. Math.*, 133(3):753–796, 2011.
- [DR73] P. Deligne and M. Rapoport. Les schémas de modules de courbes elliptiques. pages 143–316. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 349, 1973.

- [Ebe87] Wolfgang Ebeling. *The Monodromy Groups of Isolated Singularities of Complete Intersections,* volume 1293 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics.* Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1987.
- [EVW16] Jordan S. Ellenberg, Akshay Venkatesh, and Craig Westerland. Homological stability for Hurwitz spaces and the Cohen-Lenstra conjecture over function fields. *Ann. of Math.* (2), 183(3):729–786, 2016.
- [FLR20] Tony Feng, Aaron Landesman, and Eric Rains. The geometric distribution of Selmer groups of elliptic curves over function fields. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.07517v1*, 2020.
- [FW18a] Benson Farb and Jesse Wolfson. Étale homological stability and arithmetic statistics. *Q. J. Math.*, 69(3):951–974, 2018.
- [FW18b] Benson Farb and Jesse Wolfson. Resolvent degree, hilbert's 13th problem and geometry. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:1803.04063v1, 2018.
- [GM88] Mark Goresky and Robert MacPherson. *Stratified Morse theory*, volume 14 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete* (3) [*Results in Mathematics and Related Areas* (3)]. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.
- [Gre10] Aaron Greicius. Elliptic curves with surjective adelic Galois representations. *Experiment. Math.*, 19(4):495–507, 2010.
- [Gro68] Alexander Grothendieck. Le groupe de Brauer. III. Exemples et compléments. In Dix exposés sur la cohomologie des schémas, volume 3 of Adv. Stud. Pure Math., pages 88–188. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968.
- [Hal08] Chris Hall. Big symplectic or orthogonal monodromy modulo *l. Duke Math. J.*, 141(1):179–203, 2008.
- [HLHN14] Q. P. Hồ, V. B. Lê Hùng, and B. C. Ngô. Average size of 2-Selmer groups of elliptic curves over function fields. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 21(6):1305–1339, 2014.
- [Kat05] Nicholas M. Katz. *Moments, monodromy, and perversity: a Diophantine perspective,* volume 159 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies.* Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2005.
- [Lan20] Aaron Landesman. Invariance of the fundamental group under base change between algebraically closed fields. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.09690v1*, 2020.
- [Liu02] Qing Liu. Algebraic geometry and arithmetic curves, volume 6 of Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002. Translated from the French by Reinie Erné, Oxford Science Publications.
- [Mil80] James S. Milne. *Étale cohomology*, volume 33 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1980.
- [Ogg67] A. P. Ogg. Elliptic curves and wild ramification. Amer. J. Math., 89:1–21, 1967.
- [Ols16] Martin Olsson. *Algebraic spaces and stacks*, volume 62 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2016.
- [OV00] F. Orgogozo and I. Vidal. Le théorème de spécialisation du groupe fondamental. In *Courbes semi*stables et groupe fondamental en géométrie algébrique (Luminy, 1998), volume 187 of Progr. Math., pages 169–184. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2000.
- [Poo17] Bjorn Poonen. *Rational points on varieties*, volume 186 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2017.
- [PR12] Bjorn Poonen and Eric Rains. Random maximal isotropic subspaces and Selmer groups. J. Amer. Math. Soc., 25(1):245–269, 2012.
- [PW21] Sun Woo Park and Niudun Wang. Average size of selmer group in large q limit. *arXiv preprint arXiv:*2102.00549v2, 2021.
- [Ray95] Michel Raynaud. Caractéristique d'Euler-Poincaré d'un faisceau et cohomologie des variétés abéliennes. In Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 9, pages Exp. No. 286, 129–147. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1995.
- [R71] A. Grothendieck and M. Raynaud. Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1971. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie 1960–1961 (SGA 1).
- [Sil94] Joseph H. Silverman. Advanced topics in the arithmetic of elliptic curves. 151:xiv+525, 1994.
- [Sil09a] J. H. Silverman. *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*, volume 106 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, Dordrecht, second edition, 2009.
- [Sil09b] Joseph H. Silverman. *The arithmetic of elliptic curves,* volume 106 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, Dordrecht, second edition, 2009.
- [Sta] The Stacks Project Authors. Stacks Project. http://stacks.math.columbia.edu.
- [Vak01] Ravi Vakil. Twelve points on the projective line, branched covers, and rational elliptic fibrations. *Math. Ann.*, 320(1):33–54, 2001.

[Vas03] A. Vasiu. Surjectivity criteria for *p*-adic representations. I. *Manuscripta Math.*, 112(3):325–355, 2003.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STANFORD UNIVERSITY, STANFORD, CA 94305 Email address: aaronlandesman@stanford.edu