# NEWTON POLYGON STRATIFICATION OF THE TORELLI LOCUS IN PEL-TYPE SHIMURA VARIETIES

WANLIN LI, ELENA MANTOVAN, RACHEL PRIES, AND YUNQING TANG

ABSTRACT. We study the intersection of the Torelli locus with the Newton polygon stratification of the modulo p reduction of certain PEL-type Shimura varieties. We develop a clutching method to show that the intersection of the Torelli locus with some Newton polygon strata is non-empty and has the expected codimension. This yields results about the Newton polygon stratification of Hurwitz spaces of cyclic covers of the projective line. The clutching method allows us to guarantee the existence of a smooth curve whose Newton polygon is the amalgamate sum of two other Newton polygons, under certain compatibility conditions. As an application, we produce infinitely many new examples of Newton polygons that occur for Jacobians of smooth curves in characteristic p. Most of these arise in inductive systems which demonstrate unlikely intersections of the Torelli locus with the Newton polygon stratification. As another application, for the PEL-type Shimura varieties associated to the twenty special families of cyclic covers of the projective line found by Moonen, we prove that all Newton polygon strata intersect the open Torelli locus (assuming p sufficiently large for certain supersingular cases).

Keywords: curve, cyclic cover, Jacobian, abelian variety, moduli space, Shimura variety, PEL-type, reduction, Frobenius, supersingular, Newton polygon, *p*-rank, Dieudonné module, *p*-divisible group,

MSC10 classifications: primary 11G18, 11G20, 11M38, 14G10, 14G35; secondary 11G10, 14H10, 14H30, 14H40, 14K10

### 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Overview.** Consider the moduli space  $A_g$  of principally polarized abelian varieties of dimension g in characteristic p > 0. It can be stratified by Newton polygon or Ekedahl–Oort type. In general, it is unknown how these strata intersect the open Torelli locus, which is the image of the moduli space  $M_g$  of smooth curves of genus g under the Torelli morphism. For a symmetric Newton polygon v of height 2g, in most cases it is not known whether the stratum  $A_g[v]$  intersects the open Torelli locus  $\mathcal{T}_g^{\circ}$  or, equivalently, whether there exists a smooth curve of genus g in characteristic p whose Jacobian has Newton polygon v. This question is answered only when v is close to ordinary, meaning that the codimension of  $\mathcal{A}_g[v]$  in  $\mathcal{A}_g$  is small.

At a workshop about "Automorphism of Curves" at the Lorentz Center in Leiden in 2004, Oort proposed the following conjecture.

**Conjecture.** ([26, Conjecture 8.5.7]) Let  $g', g'' \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ; let  $\xi'$ , respectively  $\xi''$ , be a symmetric Newton polygon appearing on  $\mathcal{T}_{g'}^{\circ}$ , respectively on  $\mathcal{T}_{g''}^{\circ}$ ; write g = g' + g''. Let  $\xi$  be the Newton polygon obtained by taking all slopes with their multiplicities appearing in  $\xi'$  and in  $\xi''$ . We conjecture that in this case  $\xi$  appears on  $\mathcal{T}_{g}^{\circ}$ .

It is not clear whether Oort's conjecture is true in complete generality. In this paper, we prove Oort's conjecture, and variations of it, under certain restrictions.

To do this, we develop a framework to study Newton polygons of Jacobians of cyclic covers of the projective line  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . In other words, we study the question of which Newton polygons occur, replacing  $\mathcal{A}_g$  with a Shimura variety Sh of PEL-type, and  $\mathcal{M}_g$  with a Hurwitz space of cyclic covers of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , and the ordinary Newton polygon with the  $\mu$ -ordinary one determined by Kottwitz. We analyze the intersection of the Torelli locus with the Newton polygon stratification of PEL-type Shimura varieties. We develop a method to prove that Sh[ $\nu$ ] intersects the open Torelli locus, when  $\nu$  is close to  $\mu$ -ordinary, under certain conditions.

As an application, we find numerous sequences of infinitely many unusual Newton polygons which occur for Jacobians of smooth curves. All but finitely many of these arise in an *unlikely intersection* of the open Torelli locus with the Newton polygon strata of  $A_g$ . In particular, for every odd  $p \equiv 2 \mod 3$  and every  $g \in \mathbb{N}$ , we prove that there exists a smooth curve of genus g for which the multiplicity of the slope 1/2 in the Newton polygon is at least 2|g/3|; this is the first result of this kind for odd p.

As another application, in Section 6 combining with [20, Theorem 5.11], we prove that for all the special families of cyclic covers of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  in [24], all the Newton polygon strata (determined by Kottwitz) have non-empty intersection with the open Torelli locus (for certain supersingular strata, we need to assume that *p* is sufficiently large).

1.2. **Main results.** Section 4 is about the intersection of the Torelli locus with the  $\mu$ ordinary Newton polygon stratum inside the appropriate Shimura variety. Proposition 4.4, Theorem 4.9 (ramified version) and Theorem 4.11 (unramified version) provide a method
to show that this intersection is non-trivial. In particular, Proposition 4.4 allows one to increase the genus g and the multiplicity of the slopes 0 and 1 in the Newton polygon by the
same amount. To prove these results, we study the admissible clutching of two  $\mu_m$ -covers
of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , see Sections 3.2 and 3.3. A point in the image of the clutching map represents a
singular curve whose Newton polygon is the amalgamate sum of the Newton polygons
of the two covers<sup>1</sup>. If the signatures of the two covers satisfy a balanced condition (see
Definition 4.7), we prove that the amalgamate sum is  $\mu$ -ordinary and thus occurs also for
the Newton polygon of a *smooth* curve which is still a  $\mu_m$ -cover of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ .

Section 5 is about the intersection of the Torelli locus with the non  $\mu$ -ordinary Newton polygon strata inside the appropriate Shimura variety. Theorems 5.4 (ramified version) and 5.11 (unramified version) use a clutching strategy similar to that in Section 4 but require more complicated arithmetic conditions. If an additional compatibility condition on the signatures of the two covers is satisfied, see Definition 5.2, we prove that this intersection is non-empty and has the expected codimension inside the Shimura variety. The compatibility condition on the signatures enables us to control the geometry, namely the codimension, of the Newton polygon strata as the Shimura variety varies in the clutching system (Lemma 5.8). More concretely, we prove that the singular curve produced by the clutching map deforms to a smooth curve *with the same Newton polygon*, which is still a  $\mu_m$ -cover of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ .

1.3. **Infinite clutching systems.** The other main results of the paper are in Sections 4.4 and 5.4. The goal of these sections is to find situations where the results mentioned in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>along with some extra slopes of 0 and 1, arising from the toric part of the Jacobian, if the singular curve has non-compact type.

Section 1.2 can be implemented recursively, infinitely many times, hence constructing smooth curves with arbitrarily large genus and prescribed (unusual) Newton polygon. We do this in Corollaries 4.12, 4.13, 4.14, 5.12 and 5.14, by constructing suitable inductive systems of PEL-type Shimura varieties which satisfy the admissible, balanced, (and compatible) conditions at every level. For example, we prove:

**Theorem 1.1** (Special case of Corollary 4.13). Let (m, N, a) be a monodromy datum as in Definition 2.3, let p be a prime such that  $p \nmid m$ , and let u be the associated  $\mu$ -ordinary Newton polygon as in Definition 2.10. Suppose N = 3 or (m, N, a) is one of the twenty special data in [24, Table 1] and t is a positive divisor of m. Then, for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ , there exists a smooth curve over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  with Newton polygon  $u^n \oplus \text{ord}^{mn-n-t+1}$ .<sup>2</sup>

1.4. **Applications.** Using the main theorems, we produce infinitely many new examples of unusual Newton polygons that occur for Jacobians of smooth curves for each odd prime *p*. For example, the result obtained from Theorem 1.1 for the monodromy datum (m, 3, (1, 1, m - 2)) yields the following application.

**Application 1.2.** Let *p* be an odd prime. Let *m* be an odd prime such that  $p \nmid m$  and *p* has even order modulo *m*. Let h = (m - 1)/2. Then, for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ , there exists a smooth curve defined over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  having genus g = h(3n - 2) whose Newton polygon is  $ss^{hn} \oplus \operatorname{ord}^{2h(n-1)}$ .<sup>3</sup>

For a symmetric Newton polygon  $\nu$  of height 2*g*, we say that the Torelli locus has an *unlikely intersection* with the Newton polygon stratum  $\mathcal{A}_g[\nu]$  in  $\mathcal{A}_g$  if there exists a smooth curve of genus *g* with Newton polygon  $\nu$  and if dim $(\mathcal{M}_g) < \operatorname{codim}(\mathcal{A}_g[\nu], \mathcal{A}_g)$ , see Definition 8.2. In Section 8, we study the asymptotic of  $\operatorname{codim}(\mathcal{A}_g[\nu], \mathcal{A}_g)$  for the Newton polygons  $\nu$  appearing in Sections 4.4 and 5.4, and verify that each inductive system in Corollaries 4.12 to 4.14 and 5.12 and Corollary 5.14 produces unlikely intersections once *g* is sufficiently large.

Applying this observation to the family produced in Application 1.2 yields:

**Application 1.3.** If  $n \ge 34/h$ , then the curve produced in Application 1.2 demonstrates an unlikely intersection of its Newton polygon stratum and the Torelli locus in  $A_g$ .

For the Newton polygons produced in Application 1.2, we note that the ratio of the multiplicity of the slope 1/2 to 2*g* is approximately 1/3 for large *n*. In Corollary 9.3, we specialize (and slightly generalize) Application 1.2 in the case when m = 3 and  $p \equiv 2 \mod 3$ . From this, we verify for every  $g \ge 1$  that there exists a smooth curve of genus *g* such that the ratio of the multiplicity of the slope 1/2 to 2*g* in the Newton polygon is approximately 1/3. To our knowledge, this is the first time for any odd prime *p* that a sequence of smooth curves has been produced for every  $g \ge 1$  such that the multiplicity of the slope 1/2 in the Newton polygon grows linearly in *g*.

In Section 9.2, we use the special families of  $\mu_m$ -covers from [24] as base cases for our inductive method to produce smooth curves with other unusual Newton polygons. In particular, we prove the existence of sequences of smooth curves such that the multiplicity

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The slopes of this Newton polygon are the slopes of *u* (with multiplicity scaled by *n*) together with the slopes 0 and 1 each with multiplicity mn - n - t + 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>This Newton polygon has slopes 1/2 with multiplicity 2*hn* and slopes 0 and 1 each with multiplicity 2h(n-1). It is sometimes denoted by  $G_{1,1}^{hn} \oplus (G_{0,1} \oplus G_{1,0})^{2h(n-1)}$ .

of the slopes (1/3, 2/3) (resp. (1/4, 3/4), (1/3, 2/3) and *ss*, or (1/4, 3/4) and *ss*) grows linearly in *g*, with complete control over the other slopes, which are all 0 and 1.

**Theorem 1.4** (Corollary 9.11). *Let* p *be a prime,*  $p \equiv 2, 3 \mod 5$ .

- (1) For any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ , there exists a smooth curve defined over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  with Newton polygon equal to  $(1/4, 3/4)^n \oplus \text{ord}^{4n-4}$ .
- (2) Assume furthermore that p is sufficiently large. For any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ , there exists a smooth curve defined over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  with Newton polygon  $(1/4, 3/4)^n \oplus ss^4 \oplus ord^{4n}$ .

**Remark 1.5.** The Ekedahl–Oort type is also determined for the smooth curves produced in our results. This observation is valid for Theorem 1.1, Part 1 of Theorem 1.4 and all results in Section 4: Theorems 4.9 and 4.11, Corollaries 4.12 to 4.14. The reason is that the Newton polygons of the curves are  $\mu$ -ordinary in certain PEL-type Shimura varieties. The  $\mu$ -ordinary Newton polygon stratum coincides with the unique open Ekedahl–Oort stratum and hence one may compute the Ekedahl–Oort type of these smooth curves using [23, Section 1.2.3].

1.5. **Comparison with previous work.** The strategy of using clutching morphisms to study the intersection of the Torelli locus with the *p*-rank stratification was introduced by Faber and van der Geer. For any prime *p*, genus *g* and *f* such that  $0 \le f \le g$ , they prove that the *p*-rank *f* stratum  $\mathcal{M}_g^f$  of  $\mathcal{M}_g$  is non-empty and has the appropriate codimension g - f in  $\mathcal{M}_g$ , [10, Theorem 2.3], see also [2, Section 3].

Using clutching morphisms, one can produce a singular curve of any given genus and p-rank. However, it is a subtle point to prove that this singular curve can be deformed to a smooth one, without increasing the p-rank. To do this, Faber and van der Geer use purity results to give a lower bound on dim $(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g^f)$ . Working inductively, they prove that the p-rank f stratum of the boundary  $\delta \mathcal{M}_g$  is too small to reach this lower bound; thus the generic point of this component of the p-rank f stratum is contained within  $\mathcal{M}_g$ . Similar results for hyperelliptic curves can be found in [12], [3]. In most cases, these results do not determine the Newton polygon of the curves.

The main motivation for our work is [27, Theorem 6.4] where the author proves that if a Newton polygon  $\nu$  occurs on  $\mathcal{M}_g$  with the expected codimension, then the Newton polygon  $\nu \oplus ord^e$  occurs on  $\mathcal{M}_{g+e}$  with the expected codimension. By this result, some questions about the geometry of the Newton polygon strata on  $\mathcal{M}_g$  can be reduced to the case of *p*-rank 0. However, the property of having the expected codimension is difficult to verify for almost all interesting Newton polygons.<sup>4</sup>

Thus, for the most part, the earlier results cannot be used to produce smooth curves whose Newton polygon  $\nu$  is unusual, meaning that  $\mathcal{A}_g[\nu]$  has high codimension in  $\mathcal{A}_g$ .

In essence, our approach is to generalize the earlier techniques for studying the intersection of the Torelli locus with the Newton polygon stratification from the context of the system of moduli spaces  $A_g$  to the context of appropriate inductive systems of PEL-type Shimura varieties. In this paper, we focus on Hurwitz spaces which represent families of cyclic covers of the projective line  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , of degree *m*. The Hurwitz spaces determine unitary Shimura varieties, associated with the group algebra of  $\mu_m$ , as constructed by

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>If  $g \ge 4$ , the only case in which the expected codimension condition can be verified easily from the existence of a curve with Newton polygon  $\nu$  is when  $\nu$  has slopes 1/g and (g-1)/g.

Deligne–Mostow [8]. By the work of Kottwitz [16, 17], Viehmann–Wedhorn [29], and Hamacher [13], the Newton polygon stratification of the modulo p reduction of these unitary Shimura varieties is well understood in terms of their signature types and the congruence class of p modulo m.

We work within a system of Hurwitz spaces by considering the clutching morphism  $\kappa$  on a pair of  $\mu_m$ -Galois covers of the projective line. The image of  $\kappa$  on the pair is a singular curve X. It is represented by a point in the boundary of a Hurwitz space only if the pair is *admissible*, as defined in Definition 3.2.<sup>5</sup> We prove that X can be deformed to a smooth curve which is a cyclic cover of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  without changing its Newton polygon under a balanced condition Definition 4.7, or a further compatible condition Definition 5.2.

We then find systems of Hurwitz spaces for which the admissible, balanced, and compatible conditions, together with the expected codimension condition on the Newton polygon strata, can be verified inductively. The base cases we use involve cyclic covers of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  branched at 3 points or the special families of cyclic covers from [24].

# Acknowledgements.

This project began at the *Women in Numbers 4* workshop at the Banff International Research Station. Pries was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-15-02227. Tang is partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1801237.

## 2. NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

2.1. The group algebra of *m*-th roots of unity. For any integer  $m \ge 2$ , let  $\mu_m := \mu_m(\mathbb{C})$  denote the group of *m*-th roots of unity in  $\mathbb{C}$ . For each positive integer *d*, let  $K_d$  be the *d*-th cyclotomic field over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $\mathbb{Q}[\mu_m]$  denote the group algebra of  $\mu_m$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then  $\mathbb{Q}[\mu_m] = \prod_{d|m} K_d$ . We endow  $\mathbb{Q}[\mu_m]$  with the involution \* induced by the inverse map on  $\mu_m$ , i.e.,  $\zeta^* := \zeta^{-1}$  for all  $\zeta \in \mu_m$ .

Set  $\mathcal{T} := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q}[\mu_m], \mathbb{C})$ . For each  $\mathbb{Q}[\mu_m] \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$ -module W, we write  $W = \bigoplus_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} W_{\tau}$ , where  $W_{\tau}$  denotes the subspace of W on which  $a \otimes 1 \in \mathbb{Q}[\mu_m] \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$  acts as  $\tau(a)$ . We fix an identification  $\mathcal{T} = \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$  by defining, for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\tau_n(\zeta) := \zeta^n$$
, for all  $\zeta \in \mu_m$ .

For each rational prime p, we fix an algebraic closure  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^{\text{alg}}$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , and an identification of  $\mathbb{C}$  with  $\mathbb{C}_p$ , the *p*-adic completion of  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^{\text{alg}}$ . We denote by  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^{\text{un}}$  the maximal unramified extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  in  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^{\text{alg}}$ , and by  $\sigma$  the Frobenius of  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^{\text{un}}$ .

Assume  $p \nmid m$ , via  $\mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{C}_p$ , we identify  $\mathcal{T} = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q}[\mu_m], \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^{\text{un}})$ . There is a natural action of  $\sigma$  on the set  $\mathcal{T}$ , defined by  $\tau \mapsto \tau^{\sigma} := \sigma \circ \tau$ . Let  $\mathfrak{O}$  be the set of all  $\sigma$ -orbits  $\mathfrak{o}$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ .

We write  $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{o}}$  for the prime above p associated with an orbit  $\mathfrak{o}$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ . For each  $\sigma$ -orbit  $\mathfrak{o}$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ , the order e of  $\tau$  is constant for  $\tau \in \mathfrak{o}$ . Let  $\mathbb{Q}[\mu_m]_{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{o}}}$  denote the local field which is the completion of  $K_e$  along the prime  $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{o}}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> To provide greater flexibility, we include cases when one cover is not connected, or when the two covers are clutched together at several points. As a result, *X* may not have compact type, which yields some extra slopes of 0 and 1 in its Newton polygon.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $d_1, d_2$  be two positive divisors of *m*, with  $d_1|d_2|m$ .

For any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ , we define  $\delta_{d_1,d_2}(n) := 1$  if  $d_2n \equiv 0 \mod m$  and  $d_1n \not\equiv 0 \mod m$ , and  $\delta_{d_1,d_2}(n) := 0$  otherwise.

Equivalently, for any  $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$ , we have  $\delta_{d_1,d_2}(\tau) = 1$  if  $\tau$  has order a divisor of  $d_2$  but not a divisor of  $d_1$ , and  $\delta_{d_1,d_2}(\tau) = 0$  otherwise. Since  $\delta_{d_1,d_2}(\tau)$  only depends on the orbit  $\mathfrak{o}$  of  $\tau$ , we also write  $\delta_{d_1,d_2}(\mathfrak{o}) := \delta_{d_1,d_2}(\tau)$ , for any/all  $\tau \in \mathfrak{o}$ .

2.2. Newton polygons. Let X denote a g-dimensional abelian scheme over an algebraic closure  $\mathbb{F}$  of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Then there exists a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_0$  such that X is isomorphic to the base change to  $\mathbb{F}$  of an abelian scheme  $X_0$  over  $\mathbb{F}_0$ . Let  $W(\mathbb{F}_0)$  denote the Witt vector ring of  $\mathbb{F}_0$ . Consider the action of Frobenius  $\varphi$  on the crystalline cohomology group  $H^1_{cris}(X_0/W(\mathbb{F}_0))$ . There exists an integer *n* such that  $\varphi^n$ , the composite of *n* Frobenius actions, is a linear map on  $H^1_{cris}(X_0/W(\mathbb{F}_0))$ . The Newton polygon  $\nu(X)$  of X is defined as the multi-set of rational numbers  $\lambda$  such that  $n\lambda$  are the valuations at p of the eigenvalues of Frobenius for this action. The Newton polygon is independent of the choice of  $X_0$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_0$ , and *n*.

If  $\mathcal{A}$  is an abelian variety or *p*-divisible group defined over a local field of mixed characteristic (0, p), we write  $\nu(A)$  for the Newton polygon of its special fiber.

The Newton polygon is typically drawn as a lower convex polygon, with slopes equal to the values of  $\lambda$  occurring with multiplicity  $m_{\lambda}$ , where  $m_{\lambda}$  denotes the multiplicity of  $\lambda$ in the multi-set, and  $c, d \in \mathbb{N}$  are relatively prime integers such that  $\lambda = c/(c+d)$ . Note that  $(c+d) \mid m_{\lambda}$ . The Newton polygon of a *g*-dimensional abelian variety X is symmetric, with endpoints (0,0) and (2g,g), integral break points, and with slopes in  $\mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1]$ .

The *p*-rank of *X* is the multiplicity of the slope 0 in  $\nu(X)$ ; it equals dim<sub>**F**<sub>p</sub></sub> Hom( $\mu_p$ , *X*).

Let *ord* denote the Newton polygon  $\{0, 1\}$  and *ss* denote the Newton polygon  $\{1/2, 1/2\}$ . For  $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$ , with s < t and gcd(s, t) = 1, let (s/t, (t - s)/t) denote the Newton polygon with slopes s/t and (t - s)/t, each with multiplicity t.

For convex polygons, we write  $v_1 \ge v_2$  if  $v_1, v_2$  share the same endpoints and  $v_1$  lies below  $\nu_2$ . Under this convention, the ordinary Newton polygon is maximal and the supersingular Newton polygon is minimal.

**Definition 2.2.** We define the *amalgamate sum* of  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ , denoted by  $v_1 \oplus v_2$ , as the convex polygon with slopes equal to the slopes of  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  combined, each occurring with multiplicity equal to the sum of the multiplicities. We use  $v^d$  to denote the amalgamate sum of *d* copies of  $\nu$ .

2.3. Cyclic covers of the projective line. For details, see [24, §§2,3] and [20, Section 2.2].

**Definition 2.3.** Fix integers  $m \ge 2, N \ge 3$  and an N-tuple of positive integers a = $(a(1), \ldots, a(N))$ . Then *a* is an *inertia type* for *m* and (m, N, a) is a *monodromy datum* if

(1) 
$$a(i) \not\equiv 0 \mod m$$
, for all  $i = 1, \dots, N$ ,

(2) 
$$gcd(m, a(1), \ldots, a(N)) = 1$$
,

(3)  $\sum_{i} a(i) \equiv 0 \mod m$ .

Two monodromy data (m, N, a) and (m', N', a') are *equivalent* if m = m', N = N', and the images of a, a' in  $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^N$  are in the same orbit under  $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^* \times \text{Sym}_N$ . Given a monodromy datum (m, N, a), we work over an irreducible scheme over  $\mathbb{Z}[1/m, \zeta_m]$ .

Let  $U \subset (\mathbb{A}^1)^N$  be the complement of the weak diagonal. Consider the smooth projective

curve *C* over *U* whose fiber at each point  $t = (t(1), ..., t(N)) \in U$  has affine model

(2.1) 
$$y^m = \prod_1^N (x - t(i))^{a(i)}.$$

The function *x* on *C* yields a cover  $\phi : C \to \mathbb{P}^1_U$  and there is a well-defined  $\mu_m$ -action  $\iota : \mu_m \to \operatorname{Aut}(C)$  given by  $\iota(\zeta) \cdot (x, y) = (x, \zeta \cdot y)$  for all  $\zeta \in \mu_m$ . Thus  $\phi : C \to \mathbb{P}^1_U$  is a  $\mu_m$ -Galois cover.

For a closed point  $t \in U$ , the cover  $\phi_t : C_t \to \mathbb{P}^1$  is a  $\mu_m$ -Galois cover, branched at N points  $t(1), \ldots, t(N)$  in  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , and with local monodromy a(i) at t(i). By the hypotheses on the monodromy datum,  $C_t$  is a geometrically irreducible curve of genus g, where

(2.2) 
$$g = g(m, N, a) = 1 + \frac{(N-2)m - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \gcd(a(i), m)}{2}$$

The signature type of the  $\mu_m$ -cover  $C_t \to \mathbb{P}^1$  is determined by the inertia type. Take  $W = H^0(C_t, \Omega^1)$  and, under the identification  $\mathcal{T} = \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ , let  $\mathfrak{f}(\tau_n) = \dim(W_{\tau_n})$ . By [24, Lemma 2.7, §3.2],

(2.3) 
$$f(\tau_n) = \begin{cases} -1 + \sum_{i=1}^N \langle \frac{-na(i)}{m} \rangle & \text{if } n \neq 0 \mod m \\ 0 & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \mod m. \end{cases}$$

where, for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\langle x \rangle$  denotes the fractional part of x. The *signature type* of  $\phi$  is  $\mathfrak{f} = \{\mathfrak{f}(\tau) = \dim(W_{\tau})\}_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}}$ .

2.4. **Moduli spaces of cyclic covers of curves.** Consider the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_g$  whose points represent smooth curves of genus g in characteristic p. We denote the (Deligne–Mumford) compactification with an overbar, e.g.,  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  and add a marked point with a subscript, e.g.,  $\mathcal{M}_{g;1}$ . For a Newton polygon  $\nu$ , we use a bracket to denote the subspace whose points represent objects with Newton polygon  $\nu$ , e.g.,  $\mathcal{M}_g[\nu]$ . We use the same notation for other moduli spaces.

We refer to [1, Sections 2.1-2.2] for a more complete description of the following material. Consider the moduli functor  $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu_m}$  (resp.  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu_m}$ ) on the category of schemes over  $\mathbb{Z}[1/m, \zeta_m]$  whose points represent admissible stable  $\mu_m$ -covers  $(C/U, \iota)$  of a genus 0 curve (resp. together with a labeling of the smooth ramification locus). We use a superscript  $\circ$  to denote the subspace of points for which *C* is smooth. By [1, Lemma 2.2],  $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu_m}$  (resp.  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu_m}$ ) is a smooth proper Deligne–Mumford stack and  $\bar{\mathcal{M}}^{\circ}_{\mu_m}$  (resp.  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\circ}_{\mu_m}$ ) is open and dense within it.

For any irreducible component of  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu_m}$ , the monodromy datum  $\gamma = (m, N, a)$  of the  $\mu$ -cover  $(C/U, \iota)$  is constant. Conversely, the substack  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu_m}^{\gamma}$  of points representing covers with monodromy datum  $\gamma$  is irreducible, [11, Corollary 7.5], [32, Corollary 4.2.3], see [1, Lemma 2.3].

On  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu_m}$ , only the unordered multi-set  $\overline{a} = \{a(1), \ldots, a(N)\}$  is well-defined and thus its components are indexed by  $\overline{\gamma} = (m, N, \overline{a})$ . By [1, Lemma 2.4], the forgetful morphism  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu_m}^{\gamma} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu_m}^{\overline{\gamma}}$  is étale and Galois.

**Definition 2.4.** <sup>6</sup> If  $\gamma = (m, N, a)$  is a monodromy datum, let  $\tilde{Z}(m, N, a) = \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu_m}^{\gamma}$  and let  $\overline{Z}(\gamma) = \overline{Z}(m, N, a)$  be the reduced image of  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu_m}^{\gamma}$  in the moduli space  $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_g$  of stable curves of genus  $g^7$ . Let  $Z^{\circ}(\gamma) \subset \overline{Z}(\gamma), \tilde{Z}^{\circ}(\gamma) \subset \tilde{Z}(\gamma)$  (resp.  $Z(\gamma) \subset \overline{Z}(\gamma), \tilde{Z}^{\circ}(\gamma) \subset \tilde{Z}(\gamma)$ ) be the subspace representing objects where C/U is smooth (resp. of compact type). By definition,  $\overline{Z}(\gamma)$  is a reduced irreducible proper substack of  $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_g$ . It depends uniquely on the equivalence class  $\bar{\gamma}$  of the monodromy datum  $\gamma = (m, N, a)$ .

Note that the forgetful morphism  $\tilde{Z}(\gamma) \to \overline{Z}(\gamma)$  is finite and hence the dimension of any substack is preserved by this morphism.

2.5. **Signature type.** Let  $V := \mathbb{Q}^{2g}$  endowed with  $\Psi : V \times V \to \mathbb{Q}$  the standard symplectic form and  $G := \operatorname{GSp}(V, \Psi)$  the group of symplectic similitudes. Let  $(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathfrak{h})$  be the Siegel Shimura datum.

Fix  $x \in Z(\mathbb{C})$ , and let  $(\mathcal{J}_x, \theta)$  denote the corresponding Jacobian with its principal polarization. Choose a symplectic similitude

$$\alpha: (H_1(\mathcal{J}_x,\mathbb{Z}),\psi_\theta) \to (V,\Psi)$$

where  $\psi_{\theta}$  denotes the Riemannian form on  $H_1(\mathcal{J}_x, \mathbb{Q})$  corresponding to the polarization  $\theta$ . Via  $\alpha$ , the  $\mathbb{Q}[\mu_m]$ -action on  $\mathcal{J}_x$  induces a  $\mathbb{Q}[\mu_m]$ -module structure on V, and the Hodge decomposition of  $H_1(\mathcal{J}_x, \mathbb{C})$  induces a  $\mathbb{Q}[\mu_m] \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$ -linear decomposition on  $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ ,  $V_{\mathbb{C}} = V^+ \oplus V^-$ .

We recall the PEL-type Shimura stack  $Sh(\mu_m, \mathfrak{f})$  given in [8]. The Shimura datum of  $Sh(\mu_m, \mathfrak{f})$  given by  $(H, \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{f}})$  is defined as

$$H := GL_{\mathbb{Q}[\mu_m]}(V) \cap GSp(V, \Psi),$$

and  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{f}}$  the *H*-orbit in { $h \in \mathfrak{h} \mid h$  factors through *H*} determined by the isomorphism class of the Q[ $\mu_m$ ]  $\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$ -module  $V^+$ , i.e., by the integers  $\mathfrak{f}(\tau) := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_{\tau}^+$ , for all  $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$ . Under the identification  $\mathcal{T} = \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ , by [8], we find again the formula for  $\mathfrak{f}(\tau_n)$  in (2.3). In the following, with some abuse of notation, we replace  $\mathcal{T}$  by  $\mathcal{T} - {\tau_0}$  and  $\mathfrak{D}$  by  $\mathfrak{D} - {\{\tau_0\}}$ .

**Remark 2.5.** For all  $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$ , dim<sub>C</sub>  $V_{\tau^*}^+ = \dim_C V_{\tau}^-$ , and thus  $\mathfrak{f}(\tau) + \mathfrak{f}(\tau^*) = g(\tau) := \dim_C V_{\tau}$ . As the integer  $g(\tau)$  depends only on the order of  $\tau$ , and thus only on the orbit  $\mathfrak{o}_{\tau}$ , we sometime write  $g(\mathfrak{o}) = g(\tau)$ , for any  $\tau \in \mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{o} \in \mathfrak{O}$ .

### 2.6. Shimura varieties associated to monodromy data.

**Definition 2.6.** For each monodromy datum (m, N, a), we define S := S(m, N, a) to be the largest closed, reduced and irreducible substack of  $A_g$  containing  $T(Z^{\circ}(m, N, a))$  where T denotes the Torelli morphism such that the action of  $\mathbb{Z}[\mu_m]$  on the Jacobian  $\mathcal{J}$  of the universal family of curves over Z extends to the universal abelian scheme over S.

By construction, *S* is an irreducible component of the PEL-type Shimura stack Sh( $\mu_m$ , f) given in [8] (see [20, Section 3.3] for details). We say that Z(m, N, a) and S(m, N, a) are *special* if T(Z(m, N, a)) = S(m, N, a).

**Remark 2.7.** If N = 3, then  $T(Z(m, 3, a)) \subset A_g$  is a point representing an abelian variety with complex multiplication and is thus special ([21, Lemma 3.1]).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>This definition is slightly different from the one in our previous papers [21], [20].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>If g = 1, we replace  $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_g$  with  $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g;1}$  throughout.

**Theorem 2.8.** ([24, Theorem 3.6]) If  $N \ge 4$ , then Z(m, N, a) is special if and only if (m, N, a) is equivalent to one of twenty examples in [24, Table 1].

For a Shimura variety Sh of PEL type, we use  $Sh^*$  to denote the Baily-Borel (i.e. minimal) compactification and  $\overline{Sh}$  to denote a toroidal compactification (see [18]).

2.7. The Newton polygons of *p*-divisible  $\mathbb{Q}[\mu_m]$ -modules. Let  $p \nmid m$  be a rational prime. Then the Shimura datum  $(H, \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{f}})$  is unramified at *p*, we write  $H_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  for the fiber of *H* at *p*, and  $\mu_{\mathfrak{h}}$  for the conjugacy class of *p*-adic cocharacters  $\mu_h$  associated with  $h \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{f}}$ .

Following [16]-[17], we denote by  $B(H_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \mu_{\mathfrak{h}})$  the partially ordered set of  $\mu$ -admissible  $H_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -isocrystal structures on  $V_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ . By [29, Theorem 1.6] (see also [31]), the set  $B(H_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \mu_{\mathfrak{h}})$  can be canonically identified with the set of Newton polygons arising from points of  $Sh(H, \mathfrak{h})$ , and its partial order  $\geq$ , where  $\nu_1 \geq \nu_2$  if and only if  $\nu_1$  is lying below  $\nu_2$  with same end points. We refer to the elements of  $B(H_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \mu_{\mathfrak{h}})$  as Newton polygons.<sup>8</sup>

2.8. Geometry of the Newton polygon strata on Sh. In the following, we sometimes write  $B = B(Sh(H, \mathfrak{h})) := B(H_{\mathbb{Q}_{v}}, \mu_{\mathfrak{h}})$ . For each  $b \in B$ , we define

(2.4) 
$$B(b) := \{t \in B | t \le b\}.$$

We denote by  $\text{Sh}[b] := \text{Sh}(H, \mathfrak{h})[b]$  the corresponding Newton polygon stratum in  $\text{Sh} := \text{Sh}(H, \mathfrak{h})$ . In other words, Sh[b] is the locally closed substack of Sh parametrizing abelian schemes with Newton polygon *b*. By Hamacher [13, Theorem 1.1, Corollary 3.12], based on the work of Chai [6], Mantovan [22], and Viehmann [28], the substack Sh[b] is equidimensional and

(2.5) 
$$\operatorname{codim}(\operatorname{Sh}[b],\operatorname{Sh}) = \operatorname{length}(b),$$

where length(*b*) is the maximum of all integers *n* such that there exists a chain  $b = v_0 \le v_1 \le \cdots \le v_n = u$  in *B*.

The Newton stratification extends to toroidal and minimal compactifications  $\overline{Sh}$ ,  $Sh^*$ . See [19, §3.3] for Newton stratification on compactifications of PEL-type Shimura varieties at good primes. They proved that in this case, all the Newton strata are so called *well-positioned* subschemes [19, Prop. 3.3.9]. By the properties of well-positioned subschemes [19, Def. 2.2.1, Thm. 2.3.2], in particular, we have that the set of Newton polygons on  $\overline{Sh}$  is the same as that on Sh and for any *b*,

(2.6) 
$$\operatorname{codim}(\overline{\operatorname{Sh}}[b], \overline{\operatorname{Sh}}) = \operatorname{codim}(\operatorname{Sh}[b], \operatorname{Sh}) = \operatorname{length}(b).$$

Also, for any  $b \in B(Sh)$ , and  $Z, \overline{Z} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  (resp.  $\tilde{Z} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu_m}$ ) such that  $T(Z) \subset Sh^*$  (resp.  $T(\tilde{Z}) \subset Sh^*$ ), we write  $Z[b] := Z \cap T^{-1}(Sh^*[b])$  (resp.  $\tilde{Z}[b] := \tilde{Z} \cap T^{-1}(Sh^*[b])$ ).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>This is a slight abuse of language as the term Newton polygon usually refers to the image of an element in *B* via the Newton map. Here, since we work with PEL-type Shimura varieties of type A and C, the Newton map is injective and hence we do not distinguish between the elements in *B* and the corresponding Newton polygons.

**Remark 2.9.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  denote the universal abelian scheme over  $Sh(H, \mathfrak{h})^9$ , and  $\mathcal{X}[p^{\infty}]$  the associated *p*-divisible group scheme. The  $\mathbb{Q}[\mu_m]$ -action of  $\mathcal{X}$  induces a  $\mathbb{Q}[\mu_m] \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p$ -action on  $\mathcal{X}[p^{\infty}]$ , and thus a canonical decomposition

$$\mathcal{X}[p^{\infty}] = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{o} \in \mathfrak{O}} \mathcal{X}[\mathfrak{p}^{\infty}],$$

where, for each  $\sigma$ -orbit  $\mathfrak{o} \in \mathfrak{O}$ , the group scheme  $\mathcal{X}[\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{o}}^{\infty}]$  is a *p*-divisible  $\mathbb{Q}[\mu_m]_{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{o}}}$ -module.

Let  $x \in \text{Sh}(H, \mathfrak{h})(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ , and write  $X := \mathcal{X}_x$ . Then, the above decomposition induces a canonical decomposition of the Newton polygon  $\nu = \nu(X)$  of the abelian variety X as the amalgamate sum of the Newton polygons  $\nu(\mathfrak{o}) := \nu(X[\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{o}}^{\infty}])$  of the *p*-divisible  $\mathbb{Q}[\mu_m]_{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{o}}}$ -modules  $X[\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{o}}^{\infty}]$ , for all  $\mathfrak{o} \in \mathcal{D}$ . We write  $\nu = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{o} \in \mathcal{D}} \nu(\mathfrak{o})$ . (The definition of amalgamate sum of Newton polygons is recalled in Definition 2.2.)

In the following, for each  $b \in B$ , we also write  $b(\mathfrak{o})$  for its  $\mathfrak{o}$ -component, and  $b = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{o} \in \mathfrak{O}} b(\mathfrak{o})$ . Note that, for all  $b, b' \in B$ , b = b' if and only if  $b(\mathfrak{o}) = b'(\mathfrak{o})$ , for all  $\mathfrak{o} \in \mathfrak{O}$ .

# 2.9. Open question: the $\mu$ -ordinary Newton polygon stratum.

**Definition 2.10.** Let  $u := u_{\mu-ord}$  denote the unique maximal element (lowest Newton polygon) of  $B(H_{O_n}, \mu_{\mathfrak{h}})$ , called *the*  $\mu$ -ordinary Newton polygon.

An explicit formula for *u* is given Section 4.5 (see also [20, Section 4.1-4.2]).

It is unknown which of the elements of  $B(H_{Q_p}, \mu_{\mathfrak{h}})$  occur for the points of  $Z^{\circ}(m, N, a)$ . In other words, it is unknown which of these Newton polygons occur for the Jacobians of (smooth) curves which are  $\mu_m$ -Galois covers of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  with inertia type a. In general, it is not even known whether the  $\mu$ -ordinary Newton polygon u occurs for the Jacobian of a smooth curve in the family. A priori, the image of Z(m, N, a) could be contained in the union of non  $\mu$ -ordinary strata.

By [5, Theorem 6.1] (below), for p sufficiently large, the maximum p-rank is always achieved by the Jacobians of smooth curves in the family.

For any monodromy datum (m, N, a) define

(2.7) 
$$B(m, N, a) := \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \min_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \{\mathfrak{f}(\tau^{\sigma^{j}})\}.$$

By [5, Equation (1)], it is an upperbound for the *p*-rank of Jacobians of curves in Z(m, N, a).

**Theorem 2.11.** ([5, Theorem 6.1]) For any monodromy datum (m, N, a), and prime  $p, p \ge m(N-3)$ , there exists a m-cyclic cover of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  of type a, which has p-rank equal to B(m, N, a).

**Lemma 2.12.** For a monodromy datum (m, N, a), the *p*-rank of the *µ*-ordinary Newton polygon u = u(m, N, a) is equal to B(m, N, a)

*Proof.* By the symmetry of Newton polygons, the *p*-rank of *u* is equal to the multiplicity of 1 as slope of *u*. Then the statement follows easily from the formulas (4.5) and (4.6) for the slopes and multiplicities of u = u(m, N, a).

**Lemma 2.13.** For a monodromy datum (m, N, a) and the  $\mu$ -ordinary Newton polygon u = u(m, N, a), the following are equivalent:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> or more generally the universal semi-abelian variety over its toroidal compactification,

- (1) There exists a  $\mu_m$ -Galois cover  $\phi : C \to \mathbb{P}^1$  of smooth curves with monodromy datum (m, N, a) such that the Newton polygon of C is u;
- (2)  $Z^{\circ}(m, N, a)[u]$  is non-empty;
- (3)  $Z^{\circ}(m, N, a)[u]$  is open and dense in Z(m, N, a);
- (4) Z(m, N, a)[u] is non-empty.

*Proof.* Items (1) and (2) are equivalent by the definition of  $Z^{\circ}(m, N, a)[u]$ . Items (2) and (3) are equivalent because the Newton polygon is upper semi-continuous and Z(m, N, a) is irreducible. Items (3) and (4) are equivalent because  $Z^{\circ}(m, N, a)$  is open and dense in Z(m, N, a)[u].

**Proposition 2.14.** For a monodromy datum (m, N, a), the  $\mu$ -ordinary Newton polygon u = u(m, N, a) occurs as the Newton polygon of the Jacobian of a (smooth) curve in Z(m, N, a) in each of the cases:

- (1) for N = 3;
- (2) for  $N \ge 4$  and (m, N, a) equivalent to one of the twenty examples in [24, Table 1];
- (3) for  $p \ge m(N-3)$ , and u the only Newton polygon in  $B(H_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \mu_{\mathfrak{h}})$  of maximum p-rank. (For example,  $p \ge m(N-3)$  and  $p \equiv -1 \mod m$ ).

*Proof.* (1) By Remark 2.7, the family Z = Z(m, 3, a) is 0-dimensional, and special . (2) This is [20, Proposition 5.1].

(3) By Lemma 2.12, the statement follows from Theorem 2.11.

If  $p \equiv -1 \mod m$ , then all Newton polygons in  $B(H_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \mu_{\mathfrak{h}})$  have slopes in  $\{0, 1/2, 1\}$ . Hence, they are uniquely determined by their *p*-rank.<sup>10</sup>

## 3. CLUTCHING MORPHISMS

3.1. **Background.** Let  $1 \le i \le g - 1$ . Consider the clutching morphism:

$$\kappa_{i,g-i}:\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{i;1}\times\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g-i;1}\to\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g},$$

defined as follows: if  $\eta_1$  (resp.  $\eta_2$ ) is a point representing a curve  $X_1$  (resp.  $X_2$ ) of genus *i* (resp. g - i) with marked point  $x_1$  (resp.  $x_2$ ), then  $\kappa_{i,g-i}(\eta_1, \eta_2)$  represents the stable curve *X* of genus *g*, with components  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ , formed by identifying  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  in an ordinary double point. The clutching morphism is a closed immersion [15, 3.9]. The image of  $\kappa_{i,g-i}$  is the component  $\Delta_i$  of the boundary of  $\mathcal{M}_g$ .

By [4, 9.2.8], Jac(X)  $\simeq$  Jac( $X_1$ )  $\oplus$  Jac( $X_2$ ). So the *p*-rank of *X* is  $f(X) = f(X_1) + f(X_2)$ and the Newton polygon of *X* is  $\nu(X) = \nu(X_1) \oplus \nu(X_2)$ , see Definition 2.2.

There is another clutching morphism

$$\lambda:\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g-1;2}\to\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g},$$

defined as follows: if  $\eta_1$  is a point representing a curve  $X_1$  of genus g - 1 with marked points  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , then  $\lambda(\eta_1)$  represents the stable curve X of genus g, with component  $X_1$ , formed by identifying  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  in an ordinary double point. The clutching morphism is a closed immersion [15, 3.9]. The image of  $\lambda$  is the component  $\Delta_0$  of the boundary of  $\mathcal{M}_g$ , whose points represent stable curves that do not have compact type.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>For any integer f,  $0 \le f \le g$ , the polygon  $\operatorname{ord}^f \oplus \operatorname{ss}^{g-f}$  is unique symmetric Newton polygon with slopes in  $\{0, 1/2, 1\}$ , of height g and p-rank f.

#### 3.2. Numerical data.

**Notation 3.1.** Fix integers  $m_1, m_2 \ge 2$ ,  $N_1, N_2 \ge 3$  such that  $m_1 \mid m_2$ . For each i = 1, 2, let  $a_i = (a_i(1), \dots, a_i(N_i))$  be such that the triple  $(m_i, N_i, a_i)$  is a monodromy datum. We write  $g_i = g(m_i, N_i, a_i)$  as in Section 2.3. Set *d* to be the ratio  $m_2/m_1$ .

**Definition 3.2.** A pair of monodromy data  $\gamma_1 = (m_1, N_1, a_1), \gamma_2 = (m_2, N_2, a_2)$  as in Notation 3.1 is called *admissible* if it satisfies

hypothesis(A) : 
$$da_1(N_1) + a_2(1) \equiv 0 \mod m_2$$
.

**Definition 3.3.** Assume hypothesis (A) for the pair  $(m_1, N_1, a_1), (m_2, N_2, a_2)$ . Set  $r = gcd(m_1, a_1(N_1))$ . Let  $g_3 = dg_1 + g_2 + d(r-1)$ . We define a monodromy datum  $\gamma_3 = (m_3, N_3, a_3)$  by  $m_3 := m_2, N_3 := N_1 + N_2 - 2$  and the  $N_3$ -tuple  $a_3$  as

$$a_3(i) := \begin{cases} da_1(i) \text{ for } 1 \le i \le N_1 - 1, \\ a_2(i - N_1 + 2) \text{ for } N_1 \le i \le N_1 + N_2 - 2. \end{cases}$$

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $g'_3 = dg_1 + g_2 + d(m_1 - 1)$ . We define a monodromy datum  $\gamma'_3 = (m_3, N'_3, a'_3)$  by  $m_3 := m_2, N'_3 := N_1 + N_2$ , and the  $N'_3$ -tuple  $a'_3$  as

$$a'_{3}(i) := \begin{cases} da_{1}(i) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq N_{1}, \\ a_{2}(i - N_{1}) \text{ for } N_{1} + 1 \leq i \leq N_{1} + N_{2}. \end{cases}$$

(For later flexibility, we allow  $N_1 = 2$  in this definition, even though that is not officially a monodromy datum).

The genus of the curves with monodromy datum  $\gamma_3$  (resp.  $\gamma'_3$ ) is  $g_3$  (resp.  $g'_3$ ) by (2.2). The monodromy data  $\gamma_3$  and  $\gamma'_3$  are very similar but covers for  $\gamma'_3$  have 2 more branch points and slightly larger genus than covers for  $\gamma_3$ .

The signature type  $f_3$  (resp.  $f'_3$ ) of the curves with monodromy datum  $\gamma_3$  (resp.  $\gamma'_3$ ) is given in (4.1) (resp. (4.2)).

3.3. Clutching morphisms for cyclic covers. Let  $\gamma_1 = (m_1, N_1, a_1)$ ,  $\gamma_2 = (m_2, N_2, a_2)$  be a pair of monodromy data as in Section 3.2. Let  $\gamma_3 = (m_3, N_3, a_3)$  be the monodromy datum from Definition 3.3. Let  $\gamma_{3'} = (m_3, N'_3, a'_3)$  be the monodromy datum from Definition 3.4. For i = 1, 2, 3, 3', let  $\tilde{Z}_i = \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{u_m}^{\gamma_i}$ .

# **Proposition 3.5.** (1) If hypothesis (A) (the admissible condition) is satisfied, there is a clutching morphism $\kappa : \tilde{Z}_1 \times \tilde{Z}_2 \to \tilde{Z}_3$ , and the image of $\kappa$ is in the boundary of $\tilde{Z}_3^{\circ}$ .

(2) There is a clutching morphism  $\lambda : \tilde{Z}_{1;1} \times \tilde{Z}_{2;1} \to \tilde{Z}_{3'}$ , and the image of  $\lambda$  is in the boundary of  $\tilde{Z}_{3'}^{\circ}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\phi_1 : C_1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ , (resp.  $\phi_2 : C_2 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ ) be the labeled cover represented by a point of  $\tilde{Z}_1$  (resp.  $\tilde{Z}_2$ ). The cover  $\phi_1 : C_1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$  is a  $\mu_{m_1}$ -Galois cover. We identify  $\mathbb{Z}/m_1\mathbb{Z}$  as a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}/m_2\mathbb{Z}$  via the multiplication-by-*d* map. Let  $C_1^{\dagger} = \operatorname{Ind}_{m_1}^{m_2}C_1$  be the induced curve, which is the disconnected curve having *d* components, each isomorphic to  $C_1$ , indexed by the cosets of  $\mathbb{Z}/m_1\mathbb{Z}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/m_2\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $\phi_1^{\dagger} : C_1^{\dagger} \to \mathbb{P}^1$  be the induced cover, with the  $\mathbb{Z}/m_2\mathbb{Z}$ -action on  $C_1^{\dagger}$  induced from the  $\mathbb{Z}/m_1\mathbb{Z}$ -action on  $C_1$  via permutation of the components. It has inertia type  $a_1^{\dagger} = da_1 = (da_1(1), \dots, da_1(N_1))$ .

(1) We define the morphism  $\kappa$  on the pair  $(\phi_1, \phi_2)$ . Hypothesis (A) implies that there are  $d^{\dagger} = \text{gcd}(a_2(1), m)$  points of  $C_1^{\dagger}$  above  $t_1(N_1)$  and of  $C_2$  above  $t_2(1)$ . Let  $C_3$  be the curve whose components are the *d* components of  $C_1^{\dagger}$  and the component  $C_2$ , formed by identifying the points above  $t_1(N_1)$  and  $t_2(1)$  in ordinary double points, in a way compatible with the Galois action.

Then  $C_3$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}/m_2\mathbb{Z}$ -Galois cover of a tree *P* of two projective lines. The admissible condition in Definition 3.2 is exactly the (local) admissible condition on the covers  $\phi_1^{\dagger}$  and  $\phi_2$  at the point(s) above  $t_1(N_1)$  and  $t_2(1)$ . By [9, 2.2], the  $\mu_m$ -Galois cover  $C_3 \rightarrow P$  is in the boundary of  $\tilde{Z}_3^{\circ}$  if and only if hypothesis (A) is satisfied.

(2) For a point of Z<sub>1;1</sub> (resp. Z<sub>2;1</sub>), we add the data of a marked point x<sub>1</sub> on C<sub>1</sub> (resp. x<sub>2</sub> on C<sub>2</sub>). Without loss of generality, we can suppose the marked point is not a branch point of φ<sub>i</sub>. We define the morphism λ on the pair (φ<sub>1</sub>, φ<sub>2</sub>) (together with the marked points) as follows: Let C'<sub>3</sub> be the curve whose components are the *d* components of C<sup>†</sup><sub>1</sub> and the component C<sub>2</sub>, formed by identifying the points in the μ<sub>m</sub>-orbits of x<sub>1</sub> and x<sub>2</sub>, in a Galois equivariant way, in ordinary double points. Then C'<sub>3</sub> is a Z/m<sub>2</sub>Z-Galois cover of a tree *P* of two projective lines. It is trivially admissible. By [9, 2.2], (or a chain of ideas starting with Grothendieck's work on the étale fundamental group and culminating in the theory of formal patching for Galois covers as developed by Harbater) the μ<sub>m</sub>-Galois cover C'<sub>3</sub> → *P* is in the boundary of Z<sup>o</sup><sub>3'</sub>.

**Proposition 3.6.** *The curve*  $C_3$  (resp.  $C'_3$ ) constructed in the proof of Proposition 3.5 has Newton polygon

(3.1) 
$$\nu(C_3) = \nu(C_1)^d \oplus \nu(C_2) \oplus ord^{\epsilon} (resp. \ \nu(C'_3) = \nu(C_1)^d \oplus \nu(C_2) \oplus ord^{\epsilon'}),$$
  
where  $\epsilon = d(r-1)$  in part (1) and  $\epsilon' = d(m_1-1)$  in part (2).

Note that Proposition 3.6 implies that  $C_3$  (resp.  $C'_3$ ) has arithmetic genus  $g_3$  (resp.  $g'_3$ ), which is compatible with the computation using the Riemann–Hurwitz formula for a  $\mu_m$ -Galois cover of type  $\gamma_3$  (resp.  $\gamma'_3$ ).

*Proof.* By construction, the dual graph of  $C_3$  (resp.  $C'_3$ ) has d + 1 vertices, in bijection with the *d* components of  $C_1^+$  and the component  $C_2$ ; and each of the components of  $C_1^+$  intersects  $C_2$  in *r* (resp.  $m_1$ ) points. The Euler characteristic of the dual graph of  $C_3$  (resp.  $C'_3$ ) is thus  $\epsilon = d(r-1)$  (resp.  $\epsilon' = d(m_1 - 1)$ ). So Jac( $C_3$ ) (resp. Jac( $C'_3$ )) is a semi-abelian variety. By [4, 9.2.8], for some torus *T* of rank  $\epsilon$  (resp.  $\epsilon'$ ), there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \to T \to \operatorname{Jac}(C_3) \text{ (resp. Jac}(C'_3)) \to \operatorname{Jac}(C_1)^d \oplus \operatorname{Jac}(C_2) \to 0.$$

Note that  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mu_p, T) = \epsilon$  (resp.  $\epsilon'$ ), thus the Newton polygon of the semi-abelian variety  $\operatorname{Jac}(C_3)$  (resp.  $\operatorname{Jac}(C'_3)$ ) is the amalgamate sum (Definition 2.2) of the Newton polygon of  $\operatorname{Jac}(C_1)^d \oplus \operatorname{Jac}(C_2)$  and  $\operatorname{ord}^{\epsilon}$  (resp.  $\operatorname{ord}^{\epsilon'}$ ), which yields (3.1).

3.4. **Compatibility with Newton polygon strata.** Via the Torelli map, the clutching morphism  $\kappa : \tilde{Z}_1^c \times \tilde{Z}_2^c \to \tilde{Z}_3$  (resp.  $\lambda : \tilde{Z}_{1;1} \times \tilde{Z}_{2;1} \to \tilde{Z}_{3'}$ ) is compatible with a morphism of compactified Shimura varieties

$$\iota: \operatorname{Sh}_1 \times \operatorname{Sh}_2 \to \operatorname{Sh}_3^* (\operatorname{resp.} \iota': \operatorname{Sh}_1 \times \operatorname{Sh}_2 \to \operatorname{Sh}_{3'}^*)$$

defined by  $\iota(\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2)$  (resp.  $\iota'(\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2)$ ) =  $\mathcal{X}_1^d \oplus \mathcal{X}_2$ .<sup>11</sup> (This is easy to check since the Torelli map to the minimal compactification just remembers the irreducible components of the curves and forgets the dual graph structure.) For  $\kappa$ , if r = 1, then the image of  $\iota$  lies in Sh<sub>3</sub> and the reader may stick with this case for the main ideas of the proofs; if r > 1, then the image of  $\iota$  is contained in the boundary of the minimal compactification Sh<sub>3</sub><sup>\*</sup> of Sh<sub>3</sub>. For  $\lambda$ , the image of  $\iota'$  is always contained in the boundary of Sh<sub>3</sub><sup>\*</sup>.

**Remark 3.7.** If  $\nu_i \in B_i$ , then  $\nu_1^d \oplus \nu_2 \oplus \operatorname{ord}^{\epsilon} \in B_3$  (resp.  $\nu_1^d \oplus \nu_2 \oplus \operatorname{ord}^{\epsilon'} \in B'_3$ ). Indeed, by (3.1),

 $\iota(\operatorname{Sh}_1[\nu_1], \operatorname{Sh}_2[\nu_2]) \subseteq \operatorname{Sh}_3^*(\nu_1^d \oplus \nu_2 \oplus \operatorname{ord}^{\epsilon}) \text{ (resp. } \iota'(\operatorname{Sh}_1[\nu_1], \operatorname{Sh}_2[\nu_2]) \subseteq \operatorname{Sh}_{3'}^*(\nu_1^d \oplus \nu_2 \oplus \operatorname{ord}^{\epsilon'})) .$ In particular,  $u_1^d \oplus u_2 \oplus \operatorname{ord}^{\epsilon} \leq u_3$  (resp.  $u_1^d \oplus u_2 \oplus \operatorname{ord}^{\epsilon'} \leq u_3'$ ). The same is true for each  $\mathfrak{o}$ -component.

In Proposition 4.15, we give a necessary and sufficient condition on the pair of signature types  $(\mathfrak{f}_1, \mathfrak{f}_2)$  for the equality  $u_1^d \oplus u_2 \oplus \operatorname{ord}^{\epsilon} = u_3$  (resp.  $u_1^d \oplus u_2 \oplus \operatorname{ord}^{\epsilon'} = u'_3$ ) to hold.

# 4. The Torelli locus and the $\mu$ -ordinary locus of Shimura varieties

In this section, we prove theorems about the intersection of the Torelli locus with the  $\mu$ -ordinary Newton polygon stratum in PEL-type Shimura varieties. The main results provide a method to leverage information from smaller dimension to larger dimension.

**Notation 4.1.** We fix an integer  $m \ge 2$  and a prime p such that  $p \nmid m$ . Let (m, N, a) be a monodromy datum. We work over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ . Denote by  $u = u(m, N, a) \in B(\mu_m, \mathfrak{f})$  the  $\mu$ -ordinary Newton polygon of the PEL-type Shimura variety Sh containing Z = Z(m, N, a) defined in Section 2.6.

In this section, we provide an inductive method to prove, for certain types of families, that the Torelli locus intersects the  $\mu$ -ordinary stratum, see Theorem 4.9 and Theorem 4.11. In Corollary 4.5 and Section 4.4, we use the main theorems to establish the existence of smooth curves of arbitrarily large genus with prescribed Newton polygon, see Corollary 4.12, Corollary 4.13, and Corollary 4.14. For the base cases of the inductive method, we can use any instances when the  $\mu$ -ordinary Newton polygon is known to occur, as in Proposition 2.14.

**Remark 4.2.** The method in this section is not sufficient to show that the  $\mu$ -ordinary Newton polygon occurs on the Torelli locus for every monodromy datum. One example for which our method does not apply is the monodromy datum (7, 4, (1, 1, 2, 3)) when  $p \equiv 3,5 \mod 7$ .

# 4.1. Adding slopes of 0 and 1.

In this section, we give a method to increase the dimension and the multiplicity of the slopes 0 and 1 in the Newton polygon by the same amount. Because of this result, we will aim to minimize the multiplicity of *ord* in the Newton polygon through the rest of the section.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>Here an abelian variety of dimension less than  $g_3$  (resp.  $g'_3$ ) with  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ -action can be viewed as a point on the boundary of Sh<sub>3</sub><sup>\*</sup> (resp. Sh<sub>3'</sub><sup>\*</sup>) as it comes from the pure part of some semi-abelian variety of dimension  $g_3$  (resp.  $g'_3$ ) with  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ -action, which is a point on  $\overline{Sh}_3$  (resp.  $\overline{Sh}_{3'}$ ).

**Notation 4.3.** Let  $\gamma_2 = (m, N_2, a_2)$  be a monodromy datum. Write  $a_2 = (a(1), \ldots, a(N_2))$ . For an integer *c* with  $1 \le c \le m-1$ , let t = gcd(m, c) and let  $a'_3 = (c, m-c, a(1), \ldots, a(N_2))$ . By Definition 2.3,  $\gamma'_3 = (m, N_2 + 2, a'_3)$  is a monodromy datum. It is the monodromy datum defined in Definition 3.4 when  $\gamma_1 = (m/t, 2, (c/t, (m_2 - c)/t))$ .

The genus of the associated curves is  $g_3 = g_2 + m - t$  by (2.2).

**Proposition 4.4.** With notations as in 4.3, set  $u_2 = u(m, N_2, a_2)$ , and  $u'_3 = u(m, N_2 + 2, a'_3)$ . Then  $u'_3 = u_2 \oplus \text{ord}^{m-t}$ . Furthermore, if  $Z^{\circ}(m, N_2, a_2)[u_2]$  is non-empty, then  $Z^{\circ}(m, N_2 + 2, a'_3)[u'_3]$  is non-empty.

*Proof.* By (2.3), the values of the signature for  $a'_3$  satisfy

$$\mathfrak{f}_3'(\tau_n) = \mathfrak{f}(\tau_n) + 1 - \delta_{1,t}(n).$$

From this, results in [20, Section 4.2] (see the proof of Proposition 4.15) imply that  $u'_3 = u_2 \oplus ord^{m-t}$ .

Let  $Z := Z(m, N_2 + 2, a_3)$ . Consider the clutching morphism  $\lambda$  from Proposition 3.5(2), whose image is in the boundary of  $\tilde{Z}^\circ$ . The curve  $C_3$  constructed in the image has Newton polygon  $u_2 \oplus ord^{m-t} = u'_3$ . Hence,  $Z[u'_3]$  is nonempty. By Lemma 2.13, we deduce that  $Z^\circ[u'_3]$  is also nonempty.

By applying Proposition 4.4 multiple times, we have

**Corollary 4.5.** Assume that  $Z(m, N, a)[u] \neq \emptyset$ . Then for any *n* in the semi-group generated by  $\{m - t : t \neq m, t \mid m\}$ , there exists a smooth curve (with a  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ -action) whose Jacobian has Newton polygon  $u \oplus \text{ord}^n$ .

4.2. Clutching at ramified points. Fix an admissible pair  $(m_1, N_1, a_1)$ ,  $(m_2, N_2, a_2)$  of monodromy data as in Notation 3.1 and Definition 3.2. Throughout this subsection, we assume that the pair  $(m_1, N_1, a_1)$ ,  $(m_2, N_2, a_2)$  satisfies hypotheses (A) and (B). Recall that  $d = m_2/m_1$  and  $r = \gcd(m_1, a_1(N_1))$ .

For each i = 1, 2, 3, we write  $Z_i := Z(m_i, N_i, a_i), Z_i^{\circ} := Z^{\circ}(m_i, N_i, a_i) \subset Z_i \subset \overline{Z}_i := \overline{Z}(m_i, N_i, a_i)$ , and similarly  $\tilde{Z}_i^{\circ} \subset \tilde{Z}_i^{c} \subset \tilde{Z}_i$  as in Definition 2.4. We write  $Sh_i := Sh_i(\mu_m, \mathfrak{f}_i)$  for the Shimura substack of  $\mathcal{A}_g$  containing  $Z_i$  (as introduced in Section 2.6),  $\mathcal{X}_i$  for the universal abelian scheme over  $Sh_i$ ,  $B_i := B(Sh_i)$  for the set of Newton polygons of  $Sh_i$ , and  $u_i$  for the  $\mu$ -ordinary polygon in  $B_i$ .

**Definition 4.6.** We define the induced signature type  $\mathfrak{f}_1^{\dagger}$  (on  $\mathbb{Z}/m_2\mathbb{Z}$ ) as  $\mathfrak{f}_1^{\dagger}(\tau^{\dagger}) := \mathfrak{f}_1(\tau^{\dagger} \circ \pi)$ , where  $\pi : \mathbb{Q}[\mu_{m_2}] \to \mathbb{Q}[\mu_{m_1}]$  is the natural map that sends  $\zeta$  to  $\zeta^d$ , for all  $\zeta \in \mu_{m_2}$ . Note that  $\mathfrak{f}_1^{\dagger} = (\mathfrak{f}_1^{\dagger}(\tau_1^{\dagger}), \ldots, \mathfrak{f}_1^{\dagger}(\tau_{m_2-1}^{\dagger}))$  where

$$\mathfrak{f}_1^{\dagger}(\tau_i^{\dagger}) = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{f}_1(\tau_{i \mod m_1}) & \text{if } i \neq 0 \mod m_1 \\ 0 & \text{if } i \equiv 0 \mod m_1 \end{cases}$$

**Definition 4.7.** A pair of monodromy data  $(m_1, N_1, a_1), (m_2, N_2, a_2)$  is called *balanced* if, for each orbit  $\mathfrak{o}$  in  $\mathcal{T} = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q}[\mu_{m_2}], \mathbb{C})$  and all  $\omega, \tau \in \mathfrak{o}$ , the values of the signature types satisfy:

hypothesis(B) : if  $\mathfrak{f}_1^{\dagger}(\omega) > \mathfrak{f}_1^{\dagger}(\tau)$  then  $\mathfrak{f}_2(\omega) \ge \mathfrak{f}_2(\tau)$ ; if  $\mathfrak{f}_2(\omega) > \mathfrak{f}_2(\tau)$  then  $\mathfrak{f}_1^{\dagger}(\omega) \ge \mathfrak{f}_1^{\dagger}(\tau)$ .

- **Remark 4.8.** (1) Hypothesis (B) depends (only) on the congruence of p modulo m. When  $p \equiv 1 \mod m$  then each orbit  $\mathfrak{o}$  in  $\mathcal{T}$  has size one and hypothesis (B) is vacuously satisfied.
  - (2) If  $(m_1, N_1, a_1) = (m_2, N_2, a_2)$ , then Hypothesis (B) is automatically satisfied.
  - (3) If  $(m_1, N_1, a_1)$ ,  $(m_2, N_2, a_2)$  satisfy Hypothesis (B), then  $(m_i, N_i, a_i)$ ,  $(m_3, N_3, a_3)$  satisfy Hypothesis (B) for i = 1 or 2.

A geometric interpretation of hypothesis (B) is given in Proposition 4.15. Our first clutching theorem is the following.

**Theorem 4.9.** Let  $(m_1, N_1, a_1)$ ,  $(m_2, N_2, a_2)$  be a pair of monodromy data with  $m_1 \mid m_2$ . Let  $d = m_2/m_1$  and  $r = \gcd(m_1, a_1(N_1))$ . Consider the monodromy datum  $(m_3, N_3, a_3)$  from Definition 3.3. Suppose the pair satisfies hypotheses (A) and (B). Then  $u_3 = u_1^d \oplus u_2 \oplus \operatorname{ord}^{d(r-1)}$  and if  $Z_1^{\circ}[u_1]$  and  $Z_2^{\circ}[u_2]$  are both non-empty, then  $Z_3^{\circ}[u_3]$  is non-empty.

*Proof of Theorem 4.9.* By hypothesis (A),  $gcd(m_2, a_2(1)) = dr$ , and for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}/m_2\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n \neq 0 \mod m_2$ ,

(4.1) 
$$\mathfrak{f}_3(\tau_n) = \mathfrak{f}_1^{\dagger}(\tau_n) + \mathfrak{f}_2(\tau_n) + \delta_{d,dr}(n)$$

and  $g_3(\tau_n) = dg_1(\tau_n) + g_2(\tau_n) + 2\delta_{d,dr}(n)$ . One may deduce this formula geometrically since the extra term  $\delta$  records the  $\mathbb{Z}/m_2\mathbb{Z}$  action on the dual graph of  $C_3$ . On the other hand, using the monodromy datum of  $Z_3$ , the signature formula is a direct consequence of (2.3) by noticing that

$$(\mathfrak{f}_1^{\dagger}(\tau_n) + 1) + (\mathfrak{f}_2(\tau_n) + 1) - (\mathfrak{f}_3(\tau_n) + 1) = \langle \frac{-nda_1(N_1)}{m_2} \rangle + \langle \frac{-na_2(1)}{m_2} \rangle$$

and the righthand side is 0 or 1 and it is 0 if and only if  $na_2(1) \equiv 0 \mod m_2$ .

By Part (1) of Proposition 4.15 (below), the equality  $u_3 = u_1^d \oplus u_2 \oplus \text{ord}^{d(r-1)}$  holds if and only if the pair  $(m_1, N_1, a_1)$ ,  $(m_2, N_2, a_2)$  satisfies hypothesis (B). By Remark 3.7, we deduce that  $Z_3[u_3]$  is non-empty. Since  $\text{Sh}_3^*[u_3]$  is open and dense in  $\text{Sh}_3^*$ , hence  $Z_3^\circ[u_3]$  is non-empty.

Note that if the clutching happens at a totally ramified point, then the Newton polygons of the resulting curves are of the form  $u_1^d \oplus u_2$ . Otherwise, the resulting Newton polygons include the slopes 0 and 1 with increased multiplicity. We will refer to this extraneous part of the Newton polygon with slopes 0 and 1 as the *defect*.

**Example 4.10.** In the following two cases, under the given congruence conditions on p, there exists a smooth curve in Z(m, N, a) over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  having the prescribed ( $\mu$ -ordinary) Newton polygon:

	(m,N,a)	genus	congruence	Newton Polygon
(1)	(9,5,(5,5,5,5,7))	12	4,7 mod 9	$(1/3, 2/3)^3 \oplus ord^3$
(2)	(10, 6, (3, 3, 6, 6, 6, 6))	16	3,7 mod 10	$(1/4,3/4)^2 \oplus ss^4 \oplus ord^4$

We remark that these examples do not follow from [5] because there are at least two Newton polygons in  $B(\mu_m, \mathfrak{f})$  having the maximal *p*-rank.

*Proof.* Both cases are a direct application of Theorem 4.9.

For (1), we take  $Z_1 = Z(9,3,(5,7,6))$  and  $Z_2 = Z(9,4,(3,5,5,5)) = M[19]$ . Note r = 3. If  $p \equiv 4,7 \mod 9$ , there are four orbits  $\mathfrak{o}_1 = (1,4,7)$ ,  $\mathfrak{o}_2 = (2,5,8)$ ,  $\mathfrak{o}_3 = (3)$ , and  $\mathfrak{o}_4 = (6)$ . From Section 10 and [21], we have  $f_1 = (0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1)$  and  $f_2 = (1, 2, 0, 2, 0, 1, 0, 1)$ ; and  $u_1 = (1/3, 2/3)$  and  $u_2 = ord \oplus (1/3, 2/3)^2$ .

For (2), we take  $Z_1 = Z(10, 4, (3, 6, 6, 5)) = M[18], Z_2 = Z(10, 4, (5, 3, 6, 6)) = M[18].$ Note r = 5. If  $p \equiv 3,7 \mod 10$ , then  $u_1 = u_2 = (1/4, 3/4) \oplus ss^2$ .

4.3. **Clutching at unramified points.** We state a result similar to Theorem 4.9, but where the clutching occurs at unramified points of the covers. This result does not require hypothesis (A) but the cover it produces has 2 more branch points and the defect is larger.

Let  $u'_3$  be the  $\mu$ -ordinary Newton polygon for family  $Z(m_3, N'_3, a'_3)$  constructed in Definition 3.4.

**Theorem 4.11.** Let  $(m_1, N_1, a_1)$ ,  $(m_2, N_2, a_2)$  be a pair of monodromy data with  $m_1 \mid m_2$ . Let  $d = m_2/m_1$ . Consider the monodromy datum  $(m_3, N'_3, a'_3)$  from Definition 3.4. Suppose that the pair satisfies hypothesis (B). Then  $u'_3 = u_1^d \oplus u_2 \oplus \operatorname{ord}^{d(m_1-1)}$  and if  $Z_1^{\circ}[u_1]$  and  $Z_2^{\circ}[u_2]$  are both non-empty, then  $(Z'_3)^{\circ}[u'_3]$  is non-empty.

*Proof.* The proof is almost the same as for Theorem 4.9, using the clutching morphism  $\lambda$ . Following the notation in Definition 4.6 and using formula 2.3, we obtain

(4.2) 
$$f_3(\tau_n) = f_1^{\dagger}(\tau_n) + f_2(\tau_n) + \delta_{d,m_2}(n)$$

for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}/m_2\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n \not\equiv 0 \mod m_2$ .

By Part (2) of Proposition 4.15, we deduce the equality  $u'_3 = u_1^d \oplus u_2 \oplus \text{ord}^{d(m_1-1)}$ .

Let  $C_3$  be a curve lying in the image of  $\lambda$  which is in  $\tilde{Z}_{3'}$ . Note that by Proposition 3.6, the Newton polygon of  $C_3$  is given by  $\nu(C_3) = \nu(C_1) \oplus \nu(C_2) \oplus \text{ord}^{d(m_1-1)}$ . Since  $Z_1^{\circ}[u_1]$  and  $Z_2^{\circ}[u_2]$  are both non-empty, by Lemma 2.13, we conclude the proof by taking  $C_1 \in \tilde{Z}_1^{\circ}[u_1]$  and  $C_2 \in \tilde{Z}_2^{\circ}[u_2]$ .

# 4.4. Infinite clutching for *μ*-ordinary.

In this section, we find situations in which Theorem 4.9 and Theorem 4.11 can be implemented recursively, infinitely many times, to verify the existence of smooth curves of arbitrarily large genus with prescribed Newton polygon.

The required input is a family (or a compatible pair of families) of cyclic covers of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  for which the  $\mu$ -ordinary Newton polygon at a prime p is known to occur. In particular, these corollaries apply to any 0-dimensional family (N = 3) or any of the 20 special families from [24, Table 1].

Concrete implementations of these results can be found in Section 9. We refer to [21] for the computation of the  $\mu$ -ordinary polygon u for a 0-dimensional family, and to Section 10 for the special families of [24] (see [20]).

4.4.1. *Simple Induction.* We first consider inductive systems constructed from one monodromy datum (m, N, a) such that  $Z^{\circ}[u] \neq \emptyset$ .

Corollary 4.12 follows from the simple observation that if the pair ((m, N, a), (m, N, a)) satisfies hypothesis (A), and Z[u] is non empty, then all hypotheses of Theorem 4.9 are satisfied, and continue to be after iterations.

**Corollary 4.12.** Assume there exist  $1 \le i < j \le N$  such that  $a(i) + a(j) \equiv 0 \mod m$ . Let  $r = \gcd(a(i), m)$ . If  $Z^{\circ}(m, N, a)[u]$  is nonempty, then there exists a smooth curve over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  with Newton polygon  $u^n \oplus ord^{(n-1)(r-1)}$ , for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$ .

*Proof.* After reordering the branch points, we can suppose that i = 1 and j = N. We define a sequence of families  $Z^{\times n}$  as follows: let  $Z^{\times 1} = Z$ ; for  $n \ge 2$ , by applying Definition 3.3 to the monodromy data of  $Z^{\times (n-1)}$  and Z, we define  $Z^{\times n}$  to be the family constructed from the new monodromy datum. For every n, the monodromy data of  $Z^{\times n}$  and Z satisfy hypothesis (A) and (B). Then  $u_n := u^n \oplus ord^{(n-1)(r-1)}$  is the  $\mu$ -ordinary Newton polygon for  $Z^{\times n}$ . Hence the statement follows from applying Theorem 4.9 repeatedly.

The hypotheses of Corollary 4.12 seem very restrictive. However, from any monodromy datum (m, N, a) with  $Z^{\circ}[u] \neq \emptyset$ , we can produce a new monodromy datum which satisfies these hypotheses by clutching with  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . Thus Corollary 4.13 applies to any monodromy datum (m, N, a) with  $Z^{\circ}[u] \neq \emptyset$ . The reader will note that the defect in Corollary 4.13 is usually larger than the defect in Corollary 4.12 (note that if clutching at a totally ramified point, then there is no defeat).

**Corollary 4.13.** Assume that  $Z^{\circ}(m, N, a)[u] \neq \emptyset$  and let t be a positive divisor of m. Then there exists a smooth curve over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  with Newton polygon  $u^n \oplus \operatorname{ord}^{mn-n-t+1}$ , for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$ .

*Proof.* For t = m, similar to the proof of Corollary 4.12, we construct  $Z^{\times n}$  inductively from *Z* by Definition 3.4. Hypothesis (B) is always satisfied for the monodromy data of  $Z^{\times n}$  and *Z* and hence the statement follows from applying Theorem 4.11 repeatedly.

For t < m, by clutching with  $\mathbb{P}^1$  as in the proof of Proposition 4.4, we produce a family with monodromy datum (m, N + 2, a'), where a'(N + 1) = t, a'(N + 1) + a'(N + 2) = m. Denote this family by  $Z^{\times 1}$  and the  $\mu$ -ordinary polygon u' of (the Shimura variety associated to)  $Z^{\times 1}$  is  $u \oplus \operatorname{ord}^{m-t}$ . By Proposition 4.4,  $Z^{\times 1}[u'] \neq \emptyset$  and by Corollary 4.12, we construct a family  $Z^{\times n}$  and there exists a smooth curve in  $Z^{\times n}$  with Newton polygon  $(u')^n \oplus \operatorname{ord}^{(n-1)(t-1)}$ .

4.4.2. *Double Induction.* We next consider inductive systems constructed from a pair of monodromy data satisfying hypotheses (A) and (B). For the sake of clarity, we choose to state Corollary 4.14 under the simplifying assumption  $m_1 = m_2$ ; the general case can be easily inferred. In the proof of Corollary 7.1, we give 8 pairs of monodromy data which can each be used as input for Corollary 4.14.

Let  $(m, N_1, a_1)$  and  $(m, N_2, a_2)$  be two monodromy data. Write  $a_1 = (a_1(1), \ldots, a_1(N_1))$ and  $a_2 = (a_2(1), \ldots, a_2(N_2))$ . Let  $r = \text{gcd}(m, a_1(N_1))$ . For i = 1, 2, let  $Z_i = Z(m, N_i, a_i)$ . Denote by Sh<sub>i</sub> the smallest Shimura variety containing  $Z_i$ , and by  $u_i \in B(\mu_m, \mathfrak{f}_i)$  its  $\mu$ ordinary Newton polygon.

**Corollary 4.14.** Notation as above. Assume hypotheses (A) and (B) hold for the pair  $(m, N_1, a_1)$  and  $(m, N_2, a_2)$ . Assume that  $Z_1^{\circ}[u_1]$  and  $Z_2^{\circ}[u_2]$  are both nonempty. Then there exists a smooth curve over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  with Newton polygon  $u_1^{n_1} \oplus u_2^{n_2} \oplus ord^{(n_1+n_2-2)(m-1)+(r-1)}$  for any  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ .

*Proof.* We apply Corollary 4.13 to the family  $Z_1$  (resp. the family  $Z_2$ ) with t = m. The result is a family  $Z_1^{\times n_1}$  (resp.  $Z_2^{\times n_2}$ ) of smooth curves with Newton polygon  $u_1^{n_1} \oplus ord^{(n_1-1)(m-1)}$  (resp.  $u_2^{n_2} \oplus ord^{(n_2-1)(m-1)}$ ).

Since  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  satisfy hypothesis (A), this implies that the families  $Z_1^{\times n_1}$  and  $Z_2^{\times n_2}$  satisfy hypothesis (A) as well. Since  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  satisfy hypothesis (B), due to the construction in the proof of Corollary 4.13,  $Z_1^{\times n_1}$  and  $Z_2^{\times n_2}$  also satisfy hypothesis (B).

Thus, by Theorem 4.9, there exists a family  $Z_3$  of smooth curves having Newton polygon  $u_1^{n_1} \oplus u_2^{n_2} \oplus ord^{(n_1+n_2-2)(m-1)+(r-1)}$ ; this completes the theorem.

4.5. Hypothesis (B) and the  $\mu$ -ordinary Newton polygon. We conclude this section with a technical result which provides a key step in the proofs of Theorem 4.9 and Theorem 4.11.

We prove that hypothesis (B) for a pair of signature  $(f_1, f_2)$  is a necessary and sufficient condition for the associated Shimura variety  $Sh_1 \times Sh_2$  to intersect the  $\mu$ -ordinary Newton polygon stratum of  $Sh_3^*$  (resp.  $Sh_{3'}^*$ ).

**Proposition 4.15.** *Suppose*  $(m_1, N_1, a_1), (m_2, N_2, a_2)$  *is a pair of monodromy data with*  $m_1 | m_2$ . *Let*  $d = m_2/m_1$  *and*  $r = \text{gcd}(m_1, a_1(N_1))$ .

- (1) Suppose the pair satisfies hypothesis (A). The equality  $u_3 = u_1^d \oplus u_2 \oplus \operatorname{ord}^{d(r-1)}$  holds if and only if the pair satisfies hypothesis (B).
- (2) The equality  $u'_3 = u_1^d \oplus u_2 \oplus \text{ord}^{d(m_1-1)}$  holds if and only if the pair satisfies hypothesis (B).

The following lemma says that to prove Proposition 4.15, it suffices to consider each  $\sigma$ -orbit  $\mathfrak{o}$  in  $\mathcal{T} = \mathbb{Z}/m_2\mathbb{Z}$  separately.

Lemma 4.16. With the same notations as Proposition 4.15.

(1) Assume the pair satisfies hypothesis (A). The equality  $u_3 = u_1^d \oplus u_2 \oplus \operatorname{ord}^{d(r-1)}$  is equivalent to the system of equalities, for every orbit  $\mathfrak{o}$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ ,

(4.3) 
$$u_3(\mathfrak{o}) = u_1^d(\mathfrak{o}) \oplus u_2(\mathfrak{o}) \oplus \operatorname{ord}^{r_\mathfrak{o}},$$

where, for any  $o \in T$ ,  $r_o := |o|$  if the order of the elements in o is a divisor of dr but not a divisor of d, and  $r_o := 0$  otherwise.

(2) The equality  $u'_3 = u^d_1 \oplus u_2 \oplus \text{ord}^{d(m_1-1)}$  is equivalent to the system of equalities, for every orbit  $\mathfrak{o}$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ ,

(4.4) 
$$u_3(\mathfrak{o}) = u_1^d(\mathfrak{o}) \oplus u_2(\mathfrak{o}) \oplus \operatorname{ord}^{r'_{\mathfrak{o}}},$$

where  $r'_{\mathfrak{o}} := 0$  if the order of the elements in  $\mathfrak{o}$  is a divisor of d, and  $r'_{\mathfrak{o}} := |\mathfrak{o}|$  otherwise.

*Proof.* For part (1), it suffices to observe that the integer d(r-1) is equal to the number of  $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$  whose order is a divisor of dr but not a divisor of d. Thus, in particular,  $\sum_{\mathfrak{o}\in\mathcal{D}} r_{\mathfrak{o}} = d(r-1)$ , and the statement follows from Remark 2.9.

Similarly, for part (2), it suffices to observe that  $d(m_1 - 1) = m_2 - d$  is equal to the number of  $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$  whose order is not a divisor of *d*. Thus,  $\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}} r'_{\sigma} = d(m_1 - 1)$ .

*Proof of Proposition 4.15.* By definition, the Newton polygon  $u_1^d = u_1^{\dagger}$ , the  $\mu$ -ordinary Newton polygon of Sh $(\mu_{m_2}, \mathfrak{f}_1^{\dagger})$ , and for any orbit  $\mathfrak{o} \subset \mathcal{T}$ ,  $u_1^d(\mathfrak{o}) = u_1^{\dagger}(\mathfrak{o})$ . In particular, the polygons  $u_1^{\dagger}(\mathfrak{o})$  and  $u_1(\mathfrak{o})$  have the same slopes, each slope occurring in  $u_1^{\dagger}(\mathfrak{o})$  with multiplicity d-times its multiplicity in  $u_1$ .

We start by recalling the formulas for the slopes, and the multiplicities, of the  $\mathfrak{o}$ -component of  $\mu$ -ordinary Newton polygon in terms of the signature type.

Formula for slopes and multiplicities. We follow the notation in [20, Section 4.2]. Let f be a signature type, and  $\mathfrak{o}$  an orbit in  $\mathcal{T}$ . Set  $g = g(\mathfrak{o})$  as in Remark 2.5, and let  $s = s(\mathfrak{o})$  be the number of distinct values of  $\{\mathfrak{f}(\tau) \mid \tau \in \mathfrak{o}\}$  in [1, g-1]. We write these distinct values as

$$g > E(1) > E(2) > \cdots E(s) > 0.$$

For convenience, we also write E(0) := g and E(s + 1) := 0.

Then the Newton polygon  $u(\mathfrak{o})$  has exactly s + 1 distinct slopes. We write them as  $0 \le \lambda(0) < \lambda(1) < \cdots \land \lambda(s) \le 1$ . For  $0 \le t \le s_i$ , the formula for the t + 1-th slope is

(4.5) 
$$\lambda(t) := \frac{1}{|\mathfrak{o}|} \#\{\tau \mid \mathfrak{f}_i(\tau) \ge E(t)\}$$

The slope  $\lambda(t)$  occurs in  $u(\mathfrak{o})$  with multiplicity

(4.6) 
$$m(\lambda(t)) := |\mathfrak{o}|(E(t) - E(t+1))|$$

Note that the formulas for slopes, and multiplicities, view the signature type only as a N-valued function on  $\mathcal{T}$ , and do not require the input to be a signature coming from a Shimura variety. In this proof, we regard all signature types as N-valued functions, and denote respectively by  $u_i(\mathfrak{o})$ ,  $u_i^{\dagger}(\mathfrak{o})$ ,  $\bar{u}_i(\mathfrak{o})$ ,  $\bar{u}_i(\mathfrak{o})$  the polygons associated with N-value functions  $\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{f}_i, \mathfrak{f}_i, \mathfrak{f}_i, \mathfrak{f}_i$  for i = 1, 2, 3, defined as  $u(\mathfrak{o})$  above. Similar notations are also used for other invariants associated with f.

Fix an orbit  $\mathfrak{o}$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ , and write  $e_{\mathfrak{o}}$  for the order of the elements in  $\mathfrak{o}$ . By definition,  $e_{\mathfrak{o}}$  is a divisor of  $m_2$ . We will prove the following statement.

**Claim**. Let *R* be a positive integer, which divides  $m_1$ . Define  $f_3 := f_1^{\dagger} + f_2 + \delta_{d,dR}$ , where  $\delta_{d,dR}$  is defined as in Definition 2.1. Set  $\epsilon_0 := |o|$  if  $e_0$  divides dR but not d, and  $\epsilon_0 := 0$  otherwise.

*Then, the equality* 

(4.7) 
$$u_3(\mathfrak{o}) = u_1^{\dagger}(\mathfrak{o}) \oplus u_2(\mathfrak{o}) \oplus \operatorname{ord}^{\epsilon_0}$$

holds if and only if the pair  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  satisfies hypothesis (B).

Then, by Lemma 4.16, Part (1) of the Proposition 4.15 follows from the above claim in the case R = r; Part (2) in the case  $R = m_1$ . Our first step is a reduction argument to the case of d = R = 1.

**Assume**  $\mathfrak{f}_2 = 0$ . Then  $\mathfrak{f}_3 = \mathfrak{f}_1^{\dagger} + \delta_{d,dR}$ . We shall prove that both equality (4.7) and hypothesis (B) hold in this case. Indeend, hypothesis (B) for the pair  $(\mathfrak{f}_1^{\dagger}, 0)$  holds trivially, and equality (4.7) for  $\mathfrak{f}_3 = \mathfrak{f}_1^{\dagger} + \delta_{d,dR}$  specializes to

(4.8) 
$$u_3(\mathfrak{o}) = u_1^{\dagger}(\mathfrak{o}) \oplus \operatorname{ord}^{\varepsilon_{\mathfrak{o}}}.$$

Note that the function  $\delta = \delta_{d,dR}$  is constant on  $\mathfrak{o}$ , equal to 1 if  $e_{\mathfrak{o}}$  divides dR but not d, and 0 otherwise. Hence, in particular,  $\epsilon_{\mathfrak{o}} = \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{o}} \delta$ , and by Remark 2.5 we have  $g_3 = g_1^{\dagger} + 2\delta$ . If  $\delta = 0$ , then  $g_3 = g_1^{\dagger}$ , and  $\mathfrak{f}_3(\tau) = \mathfrak{f}_1^{\dagger}(\tau)$  for all  $\tau \in \mathfrak{o}$ . Hence,  $u_3(\mathfrak{o}) = u_1^{\dagger}(\mathfrak{o})$  which agrees with equality (4.8) for  $\epsilon_0 = 0$ . If  $\delta = 1$ , then  $g_3 = g_1^{\dagger} + 2$ , and  $\mathfrak{f}_3(\tau) = \mathfrak{f}_1^{\dagger}(\tau) + 1$ for all  $\tau \in \mathfrak{o}$ . In particular,  $g_3 > \mathfrak{f}_3(\tau) > 1$ , for all  $\tau \in \mathfrak{o}$ . By the formulas (4.5) and (4.6), we deduce that both 0 and 1 always occurs as slopes of  $u_3(\mathfrak{o})$ , with multiplicity respectively  $m_3(0) = m_1(0) + |\mathfrak{o}|$ , and  $m_3(1) = m_1(1) + |\mathfrak{o}|$ . The remaining slopes  $\lambda$  of  $u_1^{\dagger}(\mathfrak{o})$ , i.e.  $\lambda \neq 0, 1$ , also occur for  $u_3(\mathfrak{o})$ , with multiplicities  $m_3(\lambda) = m_1(\lambda)$ . We deduce that  $u_3(\mathfrak{o}) = u_1^{\dagger}(\mathfrak{o}) \oplus \operatorname{ord}^{|\mathfrak{o}|}$ , which agrees with equality (4.8) for  $\epsilon_{\mathfrak{o}} = |\mathfrak{o}|$ .

Note that hypothesis (B) holds for the pair  $(f_1^{\dagger}, f_2)$  if and only if it holds for  $(f_1^{\dagger} + \delta_{d,dR}, f_2)$ . Thus, without loss of generality, we may assume dR = 1, and  $f_3 = f_1 + f_2$ .

**Assume**  $f_3 = f_1 + f_2$ . By Remark 2.5, in this case, we have  $g_3 = g_1 + g_2$ , and the equality 4.7 specializes to

(4.9) 
$$u_3(\mathfrak{o}) = u_1(\mathfrak{o}) \oplus u_2(\mathfrak{o}).$$

**Assume hypothesis (B)**. We shall prove that the equality (4.9) holds, by induction on integer  $s_3 + 1$ , the number of distinct slopes of  $u_3(\mathfrak{o})$ . More precisely, we shall proceed as follows. First, we shall establish the basic case of induction, for  $s_3 = 0$ . Next, we shall prove the equality of multiplicities

(4.10) 
$$m_3(\lambda) = m_1(\lambda) + m_2(\lambda)$$

for  $\lambda = \lambda_3(0)$  the first (smallest) slope of  $u_3(\mathfrak{o})$ . Finally, we shall assume  $s_3 \ge 1$  and use the inductive hypothesis to reduce the proof of the equality (4.9) to equality (4.10), hence concluding the argument.

**Assume**  $s_3 = 0$ . Then, for all  $\tau \in \mathfrak{o}$ , either  $\mathfrak{f}_3(\tau) = g_3$  or  $\mathfrak{f}_3(\tau) = 0$ . The equalities  $\mathfrak{f}_3 = \mathfrak{f}_1 + \mathfrak{f}_2$ , and  $g_3 = g_1 + g_2$ , imply that  $\mathfrak{f}_3(\tau) = g_3$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{f}_3(\tau) = 0$ ) if and only if  $\mathfrak{f}_i(\tau) = g_i$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{f}_i(\tau) = 0$ ) for both i = 1, 2. We deduce that both hypothesis (B) and equation (4.9) hold in this situation.

**Equality (4.10)**. For i = 1, 2, 3, let  $E_i(\max)$  denote the maximal value of  $\mathfrak{f}_i$  on  $\mathfrak{o}$ . By definition,  $E_i(\max)$  is equal to either  $E_i(0)$  or  $E_i(1)$ . In the first case,  $\lambda_i(0) > 0$ ; in the second case,  $\lambda_i(0) = 0$ .

We claim that hypothesis(B) implies  $E_3(\max) = E_1(\max) + E_2(\max)$ . First, note that hypothesis (B) implies that, for  $\omega, \tau \in \mathfrak{o}$ :

(4.11) 
$$f_3(\omega) = f_3(\tau)$$
 if and only if  $f_i(\omega) = f_i(\tau)$  for both  $i = 1, 2$ .

For i = 1, 2, 3, set  $S_i := \{\tau \in \mathfrak{o} \mid \mathfrak{f}_i(\tau) = E_i(\max)\}$ . Then, property (4.11) implies that  $S_3 = S_1 \cap S_2$ , which in turns implies  $E_3(\max) = E_1(\max) + E_2(\max)$ .

We claim that hypothesis (B) implies that  $S_3 = S_i$  for some  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ .

Without loss of generality, assume that  $S_2$  properly contains  $S_3$ , and let  $\tau_0 \in S_2 - S_3$ . Then  $\tau_0 \notin S_1$ . For any  $\omega \in S_1$ :  $\mathfrak{f}_1(\omega) = E_1(\max) > \mathfrak{f}_1(\tau_0)$ . Thus, by hypothesis (B),  $\mathfrak{f}_2(\omega) \ge \mathfrak{f}_2(\tau_0) = E_2(\max)$ . We deduce that  $\mathfrak{f}_2(\omega) = E_2(\max)$ , hence  $S_1 \subseteq S_2$ .

By the formulas for slopes (4.5), the equality  $S_1 = S_3$  implies that  $\lambda_1(0) = \lambda_3(0)$ , and the inclusion  $S_1 \subseteq S_2$  implies  $\lambda_1(0) \le \lambda_2(0)$  (and the equality holds if and only if  $S_2 = S_3$ ).

For i = 1, 2, 3, let  $E_i(\text{next})$  denote the maximal value of  $f_i$  on  $\mathfrak{o} - S_3$ . For i = 1, 3,  $E_i(\text{next}) < E_i(\text{max})$ ; for i = 2,  $E_2(\text{next}) \le E_2(\text{max})$  and the equality holds if and only if  $S_2$  properly contains  $S_3$ .

We claim that hypothesis (B) implies  $E_3(\text{next}) = E_1(\text{next}) + E_2(\text{next})$ .

We deduce the equality from property (4.11) as before. By the formulas for multiplicities (4.6), the two identities,  $E_3(\max) = E_1(\max) + E_2(\max)$  and  $E_3(\operatorname{next}) = E_1(\operatorname{next}) + E_2(\operatorname{next})$ , implies the desired equality (4.10).

**Assume**  $s_3 \ge 1$ . Then the polygon  $u_3(\mathfrak{o})$  has at least two distinct slopes. Our plan is to introduce auxiliary functions  $\tilde{\mathfrak{f}}_1, \tilde{\mathfrak{f}}_2$  such that polygon  $\tilde{u}_3(\mathfrak{o})$  associated with the function  $\tilde{\mathfrak{f}}_3 := \tilde{\mathfrak{f}}_1 + \tilde{\mathfrak{f}}_2$  has  $s_3$  distinct slopes.

For i = 1, 2, define  $\tilde{\mathfrak{f}}_i(\tau) := \mathfrak{f}_i(\tau)$  for all  $\tau \notin S_3$  and  $\tilde{\mathfrak{f}}_i(\tau) := E_i(\operatorname{nex} t)$  for  $\tau \in S_3$ . Note that  $\tilde{\mathfrak{f}}_2 = \mathfrak{f}_2$  unless  $S_2 = S_3$ . By definition, for i = 1, 2, 3  $\tilde{E}_i(\operatorname{max}) = E_i(\operatorname{nex} t)$ .

For i = 1, 3, and for i = 2 if  $S_2 = S_3$ , the polygon  $\tilde{u}_i(\mathfrak{o})$  shares the same slopes as  $u_i(\mathfrak{o})$  except  $\lambda_i(0)$  which no longer occurs. For each  $t = 2, ..., s_i$  the slope  $\lambda_i(t)$  occurs in  $\tilde{u}_i(\mathfrak{o})$  with multiplicity equal to  $m_i(\lambda_i(t))$ ; while the slope  $\lambda_i(1)$  occurs in  $\tilde{u}_i(\mathfrak{o})$  with multiplicity  $m_i(\lambda_i(0)) + m_i(\lambda_i(1))$ . For i = 2, if  $S_2 \neq S_3$ , we have  $\tilde{u}_2(\mathfrak{o}) = u_2(\mathfrak{o})$ .

Note that  $\tilde{u}_3$  has exactly  $s_3$  slopes. Hence, by inductive hypothesis, we deduce that  $\tilde{u}_3(\mathfrak{o}) = \tilde{u}_1(\mathfrak{o}) \oplus \tilde{u}_2(\mathfrak{o})$ . This identity, together with equation (4.10) and the above computation of  $\tilde{m}_i(\lambda_i(1))$ , implies  $u_3(\mathfrak{o}) = u_1(\mathfrak{o}) \oplus u_2(\mathfrak{o})$ .

**Assume (4.7).** We shall prove that the pair  $(f_1, f_2)$  satisfy hypothesis (B), arguing by contradiction. Supposing hypothesis (B) does not hold, we shall define auxiliary functions  $\bar{f}_1, \bar{f}_2$  obtained by precomposing  $f_1, f_2$  with a permutation of  $\mathfrak{o}$ , such that the polygon  $\bar{u}_3(\mathfrak{o})$  associated with the function  $\bar{f}_3 := \bar{f}_1 + \bar{f}_2$  is strictly above  $u_3(\mathfrak{o})$ , i.e.  $\bar{u}_3(\mathfrak{o}) < u_3(\mathfrak{o})$ . By the formulas for slopes and multiplicities (4.5) and (4.6), we see that precomposing a function  $\mathfrak{f}$  with a permutation of  $\mathfrak{o}$  does not change the associated polygon  $u(\mathfrak{o})$ . Hence, for i = 1, 2 we deduce  $\bar{u}_i(\mathfrak{o}) = u_i(\mathfrak{o})$ . On the other hand, by repeating the permutation process, we eventually end up with  $\bar{f}_1$  and  $\bar{f}_2$  satisfies hypothesis (B) and by the above argument, hypothesis (B) implies that  $\bar{u}_1(\mathfrak{o}) \oplus \bar{u}_2(\mathfrak{o}) = \bar{u}_3(\mathfrak{o})$ . Hence we have  $\bar{u}_3(\mathfrak{o}) = u_1(\mathfrak{o}) \oplus u_2(\mathfrak{o})$ , which equals  $u_3(\mathfrak{o})$  by (4.7) and contradicts that  $\bar{u}_3(\mathfrak{o}) < u_3(\mathfrak{o})$ .

**Contradict hypothesis (B)**. Then, there exist  $\omega_0, \eta_0 \in \mathfrak{o}$  such that

(4.12) 
$$\mathfrak{f}_2(\omega_0) > \mathfrak{f}_2(\eta_0) \text{ and } \mathfrak{f}_1(\omega_0) < \mathfrak{f}_1(\eta_0).$$

Let  $\gamma$  denote the permutation  $\mathfrak{o}$  which switches  $\omega_0$  and  $\eta_0$ , and define  $\overline{\mathfrak{f}}_1 := \mathfrak{f}_1 \circ \gamma$  and  $\overline{\mathfrak{f}}_2 := \mathfrak{f}_2$ . Set  $\overline{\mathfrak{f}}_3 := \overline{\mathfrak{f}}_1 + \overline{\mathfrak{f}}_2$ . Then,  $\overline{\mathfrak{f}}_3(\tau) = \mathfrak{f}_3(\tau)$  except for  $\tau = \omega_0, \eta_0$ . Note that  $\overline{\mathfrak{f}}_3(\omega_0) > \mathfrak{f}_3(\omega_0), \overline{\mathfrak{f}}_3(\eta_0) < \mathfrak{f}_3(\eta_0)$ , and  $\overline{\mathfrak{f}}_3(\omega_0) > \mathfrak{f}_3(\eta_0)$  (also,  $\overline{\mathfrak{f}}_3(\eta_0) < \mathfrak{f}_3(\omega_0)$ ).

We claim that  $\bar{u}_3(\mathfrak{o}) < u_3(\mathfrak{o})$ , meaning that  $\bar{u}_3(\mathfrak{o})$  and  $u_3(\mathfrak{o})$  share the same endpoints (this follows from the equality  $\bar{g}_3 = g_3$ ), and that  $\bar{u}_3(\mathfrak{o})$  lies strictly above  $u_3(\mathfrak{o})$ .

Let us consider the value  $A = \overline{\mathfrak{f}}_3(\omega_0)$ . If  $A = \mathfrak{f}_3(\tau)$  for some  $\tau \in \mathfrak{o}$ , say  $A = E_3(t)$  for some  $t \in \{0, \ldots, s_3\}$ . Then by the formulas for slopes and multiplicities (4.5) and (4.6), we deduce that the first *t*-slopes, and their multiplicities, of  $\overline{u}_3(\mathfrak{o})$  and  $u_3(\mathfrak{o})$  agree, but the t + 1-th slope of  $\overline{u}_3(\mathfrak{o})$  is strictly larger that the t + 1-th slope of  $u_3(\mathfrak{o})$ . Thus,  $\overline{u}_3(\mathfrak{o})$ raises above  $u_3(\mathfrak{o})$ . If  $\mathfrak{f}_3(\tau) \neq A$  for all  $\tau \in \mathfrak{o}$ , say  $E_3(t) \leq A < E_3(t+1)$  for some  $t \in \{0, \ldots, s_3\}$  (here, if the equality holds then t = 0). If  $A \neq E_3(0)$ , then we deduce that the first *t*-slopes of  $\overline{u}_3(\mathfrak{o})$  and  $u_3(\mathfrak{o})$  agree, and so do the multiplicities of the first t - 1slopes, but the multiplicity of the *t*-th slope of  $\overline{u}_3$  is strictly smaller than that of  $u_3(\mathfrak{o})$ . Thus,  $\overline{u}_3(\mathfrak{o})$  raises above  $u_3$ . If  $A = E_3(0)$ , then the first slope of  $\overline{u}_3$  is positive, while the first slope of  $u_3(\mathfrak{o})$  is equal to 0. Thus,  $\overline{u}_3(\mathfrak{o})$  raises above  $u_3(\mathfrak{o})$ . By similar arguments for the subsequent slopes and multiplicities, we conclude that  $\overline{u}_3(\mathfrak{o})$  never drops strictly below  $u_3(\mathfrak{o})$ , although it might (and generally does) agree with  $u_3(\mathfrak{o})$  for large slopes.  $\Box$ 

### 5. The Torelli locus and the non $\mu$ -ordinary locus of Shimura varieties

In this section, we study the intersection of the Torelli locus with Newton polygon strata which are not  $\mu$ -ordinary in PEL-type Shimura varieties. The main results, Theorems 5.4 and 5.11, provide a method to leverage information from smaller dimension to larger dimension. In certain situations, Corollary 5.7 gives a method to increase the dimension and the multiplicity of the slopes 0 and 1 by the same amount. In Corollaries 5.12 and 5.14, we find situations in which these theorems can be applied infinitely many times;

from this, we produce systems of infinitely many PEL-type Shimura varieties for which we can verify that the smooth Torelli locus intersects the non  $\mu$ -ordinary locus.

5.1. **Clutching at ramified points.** To study the Newton polygons beyond the  $\mu$ -ordinary case, we introduce an extra hypothesis.

**Definition 5.1.** Given a symmetric Newton polygon  $\nu$  with n distinct slopes, we define the *middle slope*  $\lambda_{mid}(\nu)$  to be the  $\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor$ -st slope of  $\nu$ , where for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  is the smallest integer less than or equal to x.

We also denote by  $\lambda_{1st}(v)$  the *first slope* (i.e., the smallest slope) of v.

**Definition 5.2.** A pair of monodromy data  $(m_1, N_1, a_1), (m_2, N_2, a_2)$  is called *compatible* if the slopes of the  $\mu$ -ordinary Newton polygons  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  satisfy:

hypothesis(C) :  $\lambda_{mid}(u_1(\mathfrak{o}) \oplus u_1(\mathfrak{o}^*)) \leq \lambda_{1st}(u_2(\mathfrak{o}) \oplus u_2(\mathfrak{o}^*))$  for each orbit  $\mathfrak{o} \in \mathfrak{O}$ . By convention, the inequality in the previous line holds if  $u_i(\mathfrak{o}) \oplus u_i(\mathfrak{o}^*)$  is empty for

either i = 1, 2. If a pair of monodromy data is compatible, then we write  $u_1 \ll_{(C)} u_2$ .

The role of hypothesis (C) is made clear in Lemma 5.8.

- **Remark 5.3.** (1) The inequality in Hypothesis (C) holds if either  $u_1(\mathfrak{o}) \oplus u_1(\mathfrak{o}^*)$  is ordinary or  $u_2(\mathfrak{o}) \oplus u_2(\mathfrak{o}^*)$  is supersingular.
  - (2)  $u \ll_{(\mathbb{C})} u$  if and only if  $u(\mathfrak{o}) \oplus u(\mathfrak{o}^*)$  has at most 2 distinct slopes for each  $\mathfrak{o} \in \mathfrak{O}$ . In particular, if *u* is ordinary or supersingular, then  $u \ll_{(\mathbb{C})} u$ .

**Theorem 5.4.** Let  $(m_1, N_1, a_1), (m_2, N_2, a_2)$  be a pair of monodromy data with  $m_1|m_2$ . Let  $d = m_2/m_1$  and  $r = \text{gcd}(m_1, a_1(N_1))$ . Consider the monodromy datum  $(m_3, N_3, a_3)$  from Definition 3.3. Suppose that the pair satisfies hypotheses (A), (B), and (C). Let  $v_2 \in B_2$ . If  $Z_1^{\circ}[u_1]$  and  $Z_2^{\circ}[v_2]$  are non-empty, and there exists an irreducible component  $\Gamma_2$  of  $Z_2^{\circ}[v_2]$  such that

$$\operatorname{codim}(\Gamma_2, Z_2) = \operatorname{codim}(\operatorname{Sh}_2[\nu_2], \operatorname{Sh}_2),$$

then  $Z_3^{\circ}[u_1^d \oplus v_2 \oplus \text{ord}^{d(r-1)}]$  is non-empty and contains an irreducible component  $\Gamma_3$  such that

$$\operatorname{codim}(\Gamma_3, Z_3) = \operatorname{codim}(\operatorname{Sh}_3[u_1^d \oplus v_2 \oplus \operatorname{ord}^{d(r-1)}], \operatorname{Sh}_3).$$

**Remark 5.5.** If  $Z_2$  is one of Moonen's special families from [24] and  $Z_2^{\circ}[\nu_2] \neq \emptyset$ , then every irreducible component of  $Z_2^{\circ}[\nu_2]$  satisfies the codimension condition because the Torelli map is injective on  $\mathcal{M}_g$ .<sup>12</sup>

**Remark 5.6.** In general,  $Z_3$  is not special, even when  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  are special and hypotheses (A), (B), and (C) are satisfied.

The proof of Theorem 5.4 is given in Section 5.2.

**Corollary 5.7.** Assume  $p \equiv 1 \mod m$  and  $gcd(m, a_2(i)) = 1$  for some  $i \in \{1, ..., N_2\}$ . If  $Z_2^{\circ}[v_2]$  is non-empty and contains an irreducible component  $\Gamma_2$  such that  $codim(\Gamma_2, Z_2) = codim(Sh_2[v_2], Sh_2)$ , then there exists an integer  $1 \leq g_1 \leq \frac{m-1}{2}$  and a family  $Z_3$  of  $\mu_m$ -covers of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  such that  $Z_3^{\circ}[ord^{g_1} \oplus v_2]$  is non-empty and

 $\operatorname{codim}(Z_3[\operatorname{ord}^{g_1} \oplus \nu_2], Z_3) = \operatorname{codim}(\operatorname{Sh}_3[\operatorname{ord}^{g_1} \oplus \nu_2], \operatorname{Sh}_3).$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>Actually, by an inductive argument, one can show that for special families of  $\mu_m$ -covers of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , every irreducible component of  $Z_2[\nu_2]$  satisfies the codimension condition; however, we do not need this fact in the proof.

*Proof.* By relabeling the ramification points, we may assume i = 1. Since  $gcd(m, a_2(1)) = 1$ , there exist  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  such that  $m \nmid \alpha, m \nmid \beta, \alpha + \beta \equiv a_2(1) \mod m$ . Consider the family  $Z_1$  with monodromy datum  $(m, 3, (\alpha, \beta, m - a_2(1)))$ . By (2.2),

$$1 \le g_1 = (m + 1 - \gcd(m, \alpha) - \gcd(m, \beta))/2 \le (m - 1)/2.$$

Then  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  satisfy hypothesis (A). When  $p \equiv 1 \mod m$ , then  $u_1 = ord^{g_1}$  and hypotheses (B) and (C) trivially hold for the admissible pair of monodromy data for  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$ . The result then follows from Theorem 5.4.

5.2. **Proof of Theorem 5.4.** We first treat the key case when  $r := \text{gcd}(m_1, a_1(N_1)) = 1$ . Recall the clutching morphism  $\kappa : \tilde{Z}_1 \times \tilde{Z}_2 \to \tilde{Z}_3$  from Proposition 3.5. Note that

(5.1)  $\dim(Z_3) = N_3 - 3 = (N_1 - 3) + (N_2 - 3) + 1$ 

(5.2) 
$$= \dim(Z_1) + \dim(Z_2) + 1 = \dim(\tilde{Z}_1) + \dim(\tilde{Z}_2) + 1.$$

By Definition 3.3  $g_3 = dg_1 + g_2$  and the formula for  $\mathfrak{f}_3$  is in (4.1). Via the Torelli map, the clutching morphism  $\kappa$  is compatible with the morphism of Shimura varieties  $\iota : \operatorname{Sh}_1 \times \operatorname{Sh}_2 \to \operatorname{Sh}_3$  given by  $\iota(\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2) = \mathcal{X}_1 \oplus \mathcal{X}_2$ .

Also, since the map  $\tilde{Z}_i \to \overline{Z}_i$  is finite, then  $\dim(\tilde{Z}_i[\nu_i]) = \dim(\overline{Z}_i[\nu_i])$  for any  $\nu_i \in B_i$ .

**Lemma 5.8.** *Assume hypotheses (B) and (C). Let*  $v_2 \in B_2$ *.* 

If 
$$r = 1$$
, then  $\operatorname{codim}(\operatorname{Sh}_2[\nu_2], \operatorname{Sh}_2) = \operatorname{codim}(\operatorname{Sh}_3[u_1^d \oplus \nu_2], \operatorname{Sh}_3)$ .

If  $r \neq 1$ , then  $\operatorname{codim}(\operatorname{Sh}_2[\nu_2], \operatorname{Sh}_2) = \operatorname{codim}(\overline{\operatorname{Sh}}_3[u_1^d \oplus \nu_2 \oplus \operatorname{ord}^{d(r-1)}], \overline{\operatorname{Sh}}_3)$ .

*Proof.* Recall from (2.4) that  $B_2(v_2) = \{t \in B_2 \mid t \leq v_2\}$ . If r = 1, then hypothesis (C) implies that if  $t \leq t'$  in  $B_2$  then  $u_1^d \oplus t \leq u_1^d \oplus t'$  in  $B_3$ . Consider the set injection  $B_2(v_2) \to B_3(u_1^d \oplus v_2)$  given by  $t \mapsto u_1^d \oplus t$ . Hypotheses (B) and (C) imply that it is surjective. In particular, by Proposition 4.15, hypothesis (B) implies that when  $v_2 = u_2$  then both codimensions above equal 0. Then the assertion follows from (2.5).

If  $r \neq 1$ , the same argument applies using (2.6), because

$$\operatorname{codim}(\overline{\operatorname{Sh}}_{3}[u_{1}^{d} \oplus v_{2} \oplus \operatorname{ord}^{d(r-1)}], \overline{\operatorname{Sh}}_{3}) = \operatorname{codim}(\operatorname{Sh}_{3}[u_{1}^{d} \oplus v_{2} \oplus \operatorname{ord}^{d(r-1)}], \operatorname{Sh}_{3})$$
$$= \operatorname{length}(u_{1}^{d} \oplus v_{2} \oplus \operatorname{ord}^{d(r-1)}).$$

**Remark 5.9.** By Proposition 4.15, hypothesis (B) is equivalent to the above equality of codimensions for  $v_2 = u_2$ .

We now give the proof of Theorem 5.4 assuming r = 1.

Proof of Theorem 5.4 when r = 1. We write  $l := \operatorname{codim}(\operatorname{Sh}_2[\nu_2], \operatorname{Sh}_2)$ . By Lemma 5.8, then  $l = \operatorname{codim}(\operatorname{Sh}_3[u_1^d \oplus \nu_2], \operatorname{Sh}_3)$ . Let  $\tilde{\Gamma}_2$  denote the Zariski closure of the preimage of  $\Gamma_2$  in  $\tilde{Z}_2[\nu_2]$ . Apriori,  $\tilde{\Gamma}_2$  may not be irreducible, in which case we replace it by one of its irreducible components. Then  $\dim(\tilde{\Gamma}_2) = \dim(\Gamma_2)$ .

Let  $W := \kappa(\tilde{Z}_1[u_1], \tilde{Z}_2[v_2])$ . Since  $W \subseteq \tilde{Z}_3[u_1^d \oplus v_2]$ , then  $\tilde{Z}_3[u_1^d \oplus v_2]$  is non-empty. By (5.1),  $\kappa(\tilde{Z}_1, \tilde{Z}_2)$  has codimension 1 in  $\tilde{Z}_3$ . In addition, W is an open and closed substack of the intersection of  $\kappa(\tilde{Z}_1, \tilde{Z}_2)$  and  $\tilde{Z}_3[u_1^d \oplus v_2]$ . By [30, page 614], every irreducible component of W has codimension at most 1 in the irreducible component of  $\tilde{Z}_3[u_1^d \oplus v_2]$  which contains it. Note that  $\kappa(\tilde{Z}_1[u_1], \tilde{\Gamma}_2)$  is an irreducible component of W. Let  $\tilde{\Gamma}_3$  be the irreducible component of  $\tilde{Z}_3[u_1^d \oplus v_2]$  which contains  $\kappa(\tilde{Z}_1[u_1], \tilde{\Gamma}_2)$ . It follows that  $\operatorname{codim}(\kappa(\tilde{Z}_1[u_1], \tilde{\Gamma}_2), \tilde{\Gamma}_3) \leq 1$ . So

$$\dim(\tilde{\Gamma}_3) = \begin{cases} \dim(Z_1) + \dim(Z_2) - l & \text{if } \tilde{\Gamma}_3 = \kappa(\tilde{Z}_1[u_1], \tilde{\Gamma}_2), \\ \dim(Z_1) + \dim(Z_2) - l + 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, for all  $b \in B_3$ , by (2.5) and the de Jong–Oort purity theorem [7, Theorem 4.1], the codimension of any irreducible component of  $\tilde{Z}_3[b]$  in  $\tilde{Z}_3$  is no greater than length(b) = codim(Sh<sub>3</sub>[b], Sh<sub>3</sub>). For  $b = u_1^d \oplus v_2$ , this yields

$$\dim(\tilde{\Gamma}_3) \ge \dim(Z_3) - l = \dim(Z_1) + \dim(Z_2) + 1 - l.$$

We deduce that  $\operatorname{codim}(\tilde{\Gamma}_3, \tilde{Z}_3) = l$  and that  $\tilde{\Gamma}_3$  strictly contains  $\kappa(\tilde{Z}_1[u_1], \tilde{\Gamma}_2)$ .

Let  $\overline{\Gamma}_3$  denote the image of  $\overline{\Gamma}_3$  via the forgetful map  $\overline{Z}_3 \to \overline{Z}_3$ . Define  $\Gamma_3 = \overline{\Gamma}_3 \cap Z_3^\circ$ . To finish the proof, we only need to show that  $\Gamma_3$  is non-empty. Therefore, it suffices to show that  $\overline{\Gamma}_3$  is not contained in the image of any other clutching map from Proposition 3.5. Since r = 1, the points in W represent curves of compact type, thus  $\overline{\Gamma}_3$  is not contained in the image denoted  $\lambda$  in Proposition 3.5.

To finish, we argue by contradiction; suppose  $\tilde{\Gamma}_3$  is contained in the image of any of the other clutching maps denoted  $\kappa$  in Proposition 3.5. This would imply that all points of  $\kappa(\tilde{Z}_1[u_1], \tilde{\Gamma}_2)$  represent  $\mu_m$ -covers of a curve of genus 0 comprised of at least 3 projective lines. This is only possible if all points of either  $\tilde{Z}_1[u_1]$  or  $\tilde{\Gamma}_2$  represent  $\mu_m$ -covers of a curve of genus 0 comprised of at least 2 projective lines. This would imply that either  $Z_1^\circ[u_1]$  or  $\Gamma_2 \subset Z_2^\circ[\nu_2]$  is empty, which contradicts the hypotheses of the theorem.

Now we treat the general case.

Proof of Theorem 5.4. By the same argument as when r = 1, there exists an irreducible component  $\tilde{\Gamma}_3$  of  $\tilde{Z}_3[u_1^d \oplus v_2 \oplus \operatorname{ord}^{d(r-1)}]$  of codimension  $l = \operatorname{codim}(\operatorname{Sh}_2[v_2], \operatorname{Sh}_2)$  such that  $\tilde{\Gamma}_3$  strictly contains  $\kappa(\tilde{Z}_1[u_1], \tilde{\Gamma}_2)$ . To finish the proof, we only need to show that  $\tilde{\Gamma}_3$ is not contained in the boundary of  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu_m}$ . As before,  $\tilde{\Gamma}_3$  is not contained in the image of any of the clutching maps labeled  $\kappa$  in Proposition 3.5. Suppose that  $\tilde{\Gamma}_3$  is contained in the image of any of the clutching maps labeled  $\lambda$  in Proposition 3.5. By keeping careful track of the toric rank, one can check that this implies that either  $\tilde{Z}_1[u_1]$  or  $\tilde{\Gamma}_2$  is contained in the image of a different clutching map of type  $\lambda$ , meaning that the points of either  $\tilde{Z}_1[u_1]$  or  $\tilde{\Gamma}_2$ represent  $\mu_m$ -covers of curves that are not of compact type. This would imply that either  $Z_1^{\circ}[u_1]$  or  $\Gamma_2 \subset Z_2^{\circ}[v_2]$  is empty, which contradicts the hypotheses of the theorem.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 5.10.** In the proof of Theorem 5.4, hypothesis (C) is exclusively used to deduce Lemma 5.8. In particular, Theorem 5.4 still holds with (C) replaced by the weaker assumption on  $\nu_2 \in B_2$ : codim $(Sh_2[\nu_2], Sh_2) = codim<math>(Sh_3[u_1^d \oplus \nu_2 \oplus ord^{d(r-1)}], Sh_3)$ . A similar remark holds also in the setting of Theorem 5.11 below.

5.3. Clutching at unramified points. We state the non  $\mu$ -ordinary version of Theorem 4.11, where we clutch at unramified points. The proof is a slight variation of Theorem 5.4.

**Theorem 5.11.** Let  $(m_1, N_1, a_1)$ ,  $(m_2, N_2, a_2)$  be a pair of monodromy data with  $m_1 \mid m_2$ . Let  $d = m_2/m_1$ . Consider the monodromy datum  $(m_3, N'_3, a'_3)$  from Definition 3.4. Suppose that the

*pair satisfies hypotheses (B) and (C). If*  $Z_1^{\circ}[u_1]$  *and*  $Z_2^{\circ}[v_2]$  *are both non-empty, and there exists an irreducible component*  $\Gamma_2$  *of*  $Z_2^{\circ}[\nu_2]$  *such that* 

$$\operatorname{codim}(\Gamma_2, Z_2) = \operatorname{codim}(\operatorname{Sh}_2[\nu_2], \operatorname{Sh}_2),$$

then  $(Z'_3)^{\circ}[u_1^d \oplus v_2 \oplus \operatorname{ord}^{d(m_1-1)}]$  is non-empty and contains an irreducible component  $\Gamma'_3$  such that

$$\operatorname{codim}(\Gamma'_3, Z'_3) = \operatorname{codim}(\operatorname{Sh}'_3[u_1^d \oplus v_2 \oplus \operatorname{ord}^{d(m_1-1)}], \operatorname{Sh}'_3).$$

*Proof.* For i = 1, 2, the fibers of the forgetful map  $f_i : \tilde{Z}_{i,1}^{\circ} \to \bar{Z}_i^{\circ}$  are smooth projective curves. Let  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{2;1}$  (resp.  $\tilde{Z}_{1;1}[u_1]$ ) be the preimage of  $\Gamma_2$  (resp.  $Z_1[u_1]$ ) via  $f_2$  (resp.  $f_1$ ). Then  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{2,1}$  is irreducible and dim $(\tilde{\Gamma}_{2,1}) = \dim(\Gamma_2) + 1$ . Similarly,  $\tilde{Z}_{1,1}[u_1]$  is irreducible and  $\dim(\tilde{Z}_{1;1}[u_1]) = \dim(Z_1[u_1]) + 1.$ 

Let  $\tilde{\Gamma}'_3$  be the irreducible component of  $\tilde{Z}'_3[u_1^d \oplus v_2 \oplus \operatorname{ord}^{d(m_1-1)}]$  containing  $\lambda(\tilde{Z}_{1;1}[u_1], \tilde{\Gamma}_{2;1})$ . As before, dim $(\tilde{\Gamma}'_3) \ge \dim(\lambda(\tilde{Z}_{1;1}[u_1], \tilde{\Gamma}_{2;1})) + 1$ . The rest of the proof follows in the same way as for Theorem 5.4, by taking  $\Gamma'_3 = \overline{\Gamma}'_3 \cap Z_3^\circ$ , where  $\overline{\Gamma}'_3$  is the image of  $\tilde{\Gamma}'_3$  via the forgetful map. To obtain the dimension inequality, note that

$$\dim(\lambda(\tilde{Z}_{1;1}[u_1], \tilde{\Gamma}_{2;1})) = 1 + \dim(Z_1[u_1]) + 1 + \dim(\Gamma_2) = 2 + \dim(Z_1) + \dim(Z_2) - l,$$

where  $l = \text{codim}(\text{Sh}_2[\nu_2], \text{Sh}_2)$ . On the other hand, by the de Jong–Oort purity theorem [7, Theorem 4.1],

$$\dim(\tilde{\Gamma}'_{3}) \ge \dim(Z_{3}) - l = \dim(Z_{1}) + \dim(Z_{2}) + 3 - l = \dim(\lambda(\tilde{Z}_{1;1}[u_{1}], \tilde{\Gamma}_{2;1})) + 1.$$

5.4. Infinite clutching for non  $\mu$ -ordinary. This section is similar to Section 4.4, in that we find situations in which Theorem 5.4 and Theorem 5.11 can be implemented recursively, infinitely many times, except now we focus on the non  $\mu$ -ordinary strata.

## Corollary 5.12. Assume that

- (1)  $Z^{\circ}(m, N, a)[\nu] \neq \emptyset;$
- (2) There exists an irreducible component  $\Gamma$  of  $Z^{\circ}(m, N, a)[\nu]$  such that  $\operatorname{codim}(\Gamma, Z(m, N, a)) =$  $\operatorname{codim}(\operatorname{Sh}[\nu],\operatorname{Sh});$  and
- (3) For each  $\mathfrak{o} \in \mathfrak{O}$ , the Newton polygon  $u(\mathfrak{o}) \oplus u(\mathfrak{o}^*)$  has at most two distinct slopes.

Then for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , there exists a smooth curve with Newton polygon  $u^n \oplus v \oplus \text{ord}^{mn-n}$ .

Before proving the corollary, we explain the role of the auxiliary assumption on the number of slopes.

Remark 5.13. Unlike hypothesis (B), hypothesis (C) does not behave nicely under induction in general. Here, we identify a few instances when it does.

- (1) If u<sub>1</sub> ≪<sub>(C)</sub> u<sub>2</sub> then u<sub>1</sub> ⊕ ord<sup>l</sup> ≪<sub>(C)</sub> u<sub>2</sub>, for any integer l ≥ 0.
  (2) Assume that u<sub>2</sub>(o) ⊕ u<sub>2</sub>(o\*) has at most 2 distinct slopes for each o ∈ 𝔅. If u<sub>1</sub> ≪<sub>(C)</sub>  $u_2$  then  $u_1 \oplus u_2 \oplus \text{ord}^l \ll_{(C)} u_2$ , for any integer  $l \ge 0$ .
- (3) Assume that  $u_1(\mathfrak{o}) \oplus u_1(\mathfrak{o}^*)$  has at most 2 distinct slopes for each  $\mathfrak{o} \in \mathfrak{O}$ . If  $u_1 \ll_{(\mathbb{C})}$  $u_2$  then  $u_1 \ll_{(\mathbb{C})} u_1 \oplus u_2$ .

*Proof of Corollary 5.12.* Notation as in the proof of Corollary 4.13 with t = m, we construct family  $Z^{\times n}$  with Newton polygon  $u^n \oplus \operatorname{ord}^{mn-n-m+1}$  for each n. The monodromy data of families  $Z^{\times n}$  and Z satisfy hypothesis (B) and by Remark 5.3 and Remark 5.13, hypothesis (C) is satisfied. Hence we conclude by Theorem 5.11.

In the proof of Corollary 7.1, we give 8 pairs of monodromy data and all of them can be used as input for Corollary 5.14 except for L.3 and L.5.

**Corollary 5.14.** With notation and hypotheses as in Corollary 4.14, Assume furthermore that for some  $v_2 \in B_2$ ,

- $u_2(\mathfrak{o}) \oplus u_2(\mathfrak{o}^*)$  has at most two slopes for each  $\mathfrak{o} \in \mathfrak{O}$ ;
- $Z_2^{\circ}[\nu_2]$  is non empty;
- There exists an irreducible component  $\Gamma$  of  $Z_2^{\circ}[\nu_2]$  such that

 $\operatorname{codim}(\Gamma, Z_2) = \operatorname{codim}(\operatorname{Sh}_2[\nu_2], \operatorname{Sh}_2).$ 

Then there exists a smooth curve with Newton polygon  $u_1^{n_1} \oplus u_2^{n_2} \oplus v_2 \oplus ord^{(n_1+n_2-1)(m-1)+(r-1)}$ .

*Proof.* Continuing the proof of Corollary 4.14, note that  $Z_3$  and  $Z_2$  satisfy hypothesis (B). Moreover, by assumption,  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  satisfy hypothesis (C) and  $u_2(\mathfrak{o}) \oplus u_2(\mathfrak{o}^*)$  has at most two slopes for each orbits  $\mathfrak{o}$ . By Remark 5.13 (2),  $Z_3$  and  $Z_2$  also satisfy hypothesis (C). Applying Theorem 5.11 to  $Z_3$  and  $Z_2$  completes the proof.

# 6. Supersingular cases in Moonen's Table

In [24, Theorem 3.6], Moonen proved there are exactly 20 positive dimensional special families arising from cyclic covers of the projective line. In [20, Section 6], we computed all of the Newton polygons  $\nu$  that occur on the corresponding Shimura varieties using the Kottwitz method, see Section 10. Moreover, in [20, Theorem 1.1], we proved that the open Torelli locus intersects each non-supersingular (resp. supersingular) Newton polygon stratum (resp. as long as the family has dimension 1 and p is sufficiently large).

In this section, we extend [20, Theorem 1.1] to include the supersingular Newton polygon strata in the five remaining cases when the dimension of the family is greater than 1, using results from Section 5. Case (5) is note-worthy since it was not previously known that there exists a smooth supersingular curve of genus 6 when  $p \equiv 2, 3, 4 \mod 5$ , see [21, Theorem 1.1] and [20, Theorem 1.1] for related results.

**Theorem 6.1.** There exists a smooth supersingular curve of genus g defined over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  for all sufficiently large primes satisfying the given congruence condition in the following families:

- (1) g = 3,  $p \equiv 2 \mod 3$ , in family *M*[6];
- (2)  $g = 3, p \equiv 3 \mod 4$ , in family M[8];
- (3) g = 4,  $p \equiv 2 \mod 3$ , in family M[10];
- (4)  $g = 4, p \equiv 5 \mod 6$ , in family M[14]; and
- (5) g = 6,  $p \equiv 2, 3, 4 \mod 5$ , in family M[16].

**Corollary 6.2.** Let (m, N, a) denote the monodromy datum for one of Moonen's special families from [24, Table 1]. Assume  $p \nmid m$ . Let  $v \in v(B(\mu_m, \mathfrak{f}))$  be a Newton polygon occurring on the closure in  $\mathcal{A}_g$  of the image of the family under the Torelli morphism. Then v occurs as the Newton polygon of the Jacobian of a smooth curve in the family unless v is supersingular and p is not sufficiently large.

## *Proof.* The proof is immediate from [20, Theorem 1.1] and Theorem 6.1.

*Proof of Theorem 6.1.* In any of the five cases, let (m, N, a) denote the monodromy datum, let *Z* denote the special family of curves and let Sh denote the corresponding Shimura

variety and suppose that  $p \not\equiv 1 \mod m$ . In cases (1), (2), (4), and (5), dim  $Z = \dim Sh = 2$ , and the basic locus  $Sh[\nu]$  is supersingular with codimension 1 in Sh. In case (3), dim  $Z = \dim Sh = 3$  and the basic locus is supersingular with codimension 2 in Sh.

Following [20, Section 5.2], a point of Sh[v] which is not in the image of  $Z^{\circ}$  is the Jacobian of a singular curve of compact type.<sup>13</sup> This point arises from an admissible clutching of points from two families  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$ . This yields an admissible degeneration of the inertia type, see [20, Definition 5.4]. A complete list of admissible degenerations of the inertia type for Moonen's families can be found in [20, Lemma 6.4]. In these five cases, there exists an admissible degeneration such that dim  $Z_1 = 0$  and the  $\mu$ -ordinary Newton polygon  $u_1$  for  $Z_1$  is supersingular, and  $m_1 = m_2$  (so d = 1).

In cases (1), (2), (4), and (5), in the degenerations mentioned above, one checks that  $Z_2$  is a special family with dim  $Z_2 = 1$  and that  $Z_2$  has exactly two Newton polygons, the  $\mu$ -ordinary one  $u_2$  and the basic one  $v_2$  which is supersingular. By [20, Theorem 1.1], for p sufficiently large,  $Z_2^{\circ}[v_2]$  is non-empty. Since there are exactly two Newton polygons on Z, we conclude that these are  $u = u_1 \oplus u_2$  and  $v = u_1 \oplus v_2$ . By Proposition 4.15, the pair of monodromy data for  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  satisfies hypothesis (B). The codimension hypothesis in Remark 5.10 is satisfied since the basic locus has codimension 1 in both Z and  $Z_2$ . By Remark 5.10 and Theorem 5.4, there exists a 1-dimensional family of smooth curves in Z with the basic Newton polygon v, which is supersingular.

In case (3), the only admissible degeneration comes from the pair of monodromy data (3,3,(1,1,1)),(3,5,(2,1,1,1,1)).<sup>14</sup> Note that (3,5,(2,1,1,1,1)) is the monodromy datum for the special family M[6]. The basic locus Sh[v] of Sh has dimension 1. For sufficiently large *p*, we claim that the number of irreducible components of Sh[v] exceeds the number that arise from the boundary of Z. Let W be a 1-dimensional family of supersingular singular curves in Z. The only way to construct such a family W is to clutch together the genus 1 curve with  $\mu_3$ -action with a 1-dimensional family of supersingular curves in M[6]. More precisely, W is the image under  $\kappa_{1,3}$  of  $T_1 \times T_2$ , for some component  $T_1$  of Sh(3, 3, (1, 1, 1)) and some component  $T_2$  of the supersingular locus of M[6]. The number of choices for  $T_1$ , for the  $\mu_3$ -actions, and for the labelings of the ramification points is a fixed constant that does not depend on p. The signature type for M[6] is (1,2) and the signature type for M[10] is (1,3). By [20, Theorem 8.1, Remark 8.2], if  $p \equiv 2 \mod 3$ , the number of irreducible components for the supersingular locus of M[10] grows with respect to *p* while for M[6] it stays constant. Hence we conclude that there exist irreducible components of  $Sh[\nu]$  which contain the Jacobian of a smooth curve for sufficiently large p.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>The Jacobian of a curve of non-compact type is a semi-abelian variety, thus not represented by a point of Sh; also, this semi-abelian variety contains a torus which contributes to the p-rank (so not supersingular).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>The codimension condition in Remark 5.10 is not satisfied in this situation:  $\operatorname{codim}(\operatorname{Sh}_2[\nu_2], \operatorname{Sh}_2) = 1$ , while  $\operatorname{codim}(\operatorname{Sh}[\nu], \operatorname{Sh}) = 2$ .

## 7. APPLICATIONS FOR SMALL GENERA

In this section, we apply Theorem 5.4 directly to suitable pairs of families of cyclic covers of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . Our starting points are the examples computed by the authors in [21] and [20]. For convenience, the relevant results from [20] are reported in Section 10. As an application, we verify that several unusual Newton polygons of small *p*-rank occur for Jacobian of smooth curves. The examples in this section cannot be deduced directly from Theorem 2.11 since the Newton polygons are not  $\mu$ -ordinary in the corresponding Shimura varieties.

7.1. Newton polygons occurring for  $g \le 20$ . The following examples are directly consequence of Theorem 5.4.

	(,,,) P	0	1 56	
	( <i>m</i> , <i>N</i> , <i>a</i> )	genus	congruence	Newton Polygon v
L.1	(6, 6, (1, 1, 4, 4, 4, 4))	8	2 mod 3, p large	$ss^4\oplus ord^4$
L.2	(6, 6, (1, 1, 1, 1, 4, 4))	9	2 mod 3, p large	$ss^5\oplus ord^4$
L.3	(8,5,(1,1,4,5,5))	9	3 mod 8	$(1/4,3/4) \oplus ss^2 \oplus ord^3$
L.4	(9,5,(5,5,5,5,7))	12	4,7 mod 9	$(1/3,2/3) \oplus ss^6 \oplus ord^3$
L.5	(12, 5, (1, 3, 6, 7, 7))	13	5 mod 12	$(1/4,3/4) \oplus ss^3 \oplus ord^6$
L.6	(10, 6, (3, 3, 6, 6, 6, 6))	16	3,7 mod 10	$(1/4, 3/4) \oplus ss^8 \oplus ord^4$
L.7	(10, 6, (3, 3, 6, 6, 6, 6))	16	9 mod 10, p large	$ss^{10}\oplus ord^6$
L.8	(12, 6, (4, 4, 7, 7, 7, 7))	19	11 mod 12, <i>p</i> large	$ss^{12}\oplus ord^7$

11 mod 12*, p large* 

Corollary 7.1. In each case below, under the congruence condition on p, there exists a smooth *curve in* Z = Z(m, N, a) *over*  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_n$  *having the Newton polygon* v *and*  $\operatorname{codim}(Z[v], Z) = 1$ .

*Proof.* We prove the result for each monodromy datum (m, N, a) below. First, we record the two special families  $Z_1 + Z_2$  and the Newton polygon  $v_2$  used as input to Theorem 5.4. One can check hypothesis (A) directly from the inertia types. Note that special families (including 0-dimensional ones) are valid input for Theorem 5.4 by Remark 5.5. Second, we include information about the orbits  $\mathfrak{o}$  of Frobenius on  $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}) - \{0\}$ , which depends on the congruence class of p modulo m, and the  $\mathfrak{o}$ -components of the  $\mu$ -ordinary Newton polygons  $u_1(\mathfrak{o}), u_2(\mathfrak{o})$ . These are needed to verify hypotheses (B) and (C), respectively. We refer to [21] (resp. Section 10) for the computations of signatures and the relevant Newton polygons when the family has dimension 0 (resp. > 0). Hypothesis (B) can be directly verified from the prime orbits and signature types. By Theorem 5.4 and Lemma 5.8,  $\operatorname{codim}(Z[\nu], Z) = \operatorname{codim}(Z_2[\nu_2], Z_2) = 1.$ 

L.1 We use M[9] + M[9], and  $\nu_2 = ss^3$ .

L.8 (12, 6, (4, 4, 7, 7, 7, 7)) 19

Note  $r = \gcd(3, 6) = 3$ . If  $p \equiv 2 \mod 3$ , there are three orbits  $\mathfrak{o}_1 = (1, 5)$ ,  $\mathfrak{o}_2 = \mathfrak{o}_1 = \mathfrak{o}_2$ (2, 4), and  $o_3 = (3)$  (all self-dual). Since self-clutching always satisfies hypothesis (B), we only verify (C). This follows from Remark 5.3, part (2), since  $u(\mathfrak{o}_1) = ord^2$ ,  $u(\mathfrak{o}_2) = ss$  and  $u(\mathfrak{o}_3) = \emptyset$ .

L.2 We use M[9] + M[12], and  $v_2 = ss^4$ .

Again, r = 3. If  $p \equiv 2 \mod 3$ , the orbits  $\mathfrak{o}_i$  and the polygons  $u_1(\mathfrak{o}_i)$  for M[9] are listed above.

For M[12],  $u_2(\mathfrak{o}_1) = ss^2$ ,  $u_2(\mathfrak{o}_2) = ss$ ,  $u_2(\mathfrak{o}_3) = ord$ . Hence  $u_1 \ll_C u_2$ . L.3 We use Z(8, 3, (1, 1, 6)) + M[15], and  $\nu_2 = (1/4, 3/4) \oplus ss$ .

Note r = gcd(2,8) = 2. If  $p \equiv 3 \mod 8$ , there are four orbits  $\mathfrak{o}_1 = (1,3)$ ,  $\mathfrak{o}_2 = (5,7)$ ,  $\mathfrak{o}_3 = (2,6)$ , and  $\mathfrak{o}_4 = (4)$ . Note that  $\mathfrak{o}_1^* = \mathfrak{o}_2$ . For Z(8,3,(1,1,6)),  $u_1(\mathfrak{o}_1) \oplus u_1(\mathfrak{o}_2) = ord^2$ ,  $u_1(\mathfrak{o}_3) = ss$ , and  $u_1(\mathfrak{o}_4) = \emptyset$ ; for M[15],  $u_2(\mathfrak{o}_1) \oplus u_2(\mathfrak{o}_2) = ss^2 \oplus ord^2$ ,  $u_2(\mathfrak{o}_3) = ss$ , and  $u_2(\mathfrak{o}_4) = \emptyset$ .

- L.4 We use Z(9,3,(5,6,7)) + M[19], and  $v_2 = ss^6 \oplus ord$ . Note r = gcd(6,9) = 3. If  $p \equiv 4,7 \mod 9$ , there are four orbits  $\mathfrak{o}_1 = (1,4,7)$ ,  $\mathfrak{o}_2 = (2,5,8)$ ,  $\mathfrak{o}_3 = (3)$ ,and  $\mathfrak{o}_4 = (6)$ . Note that  $\mathfrak{o}_1^* = \mathfrak{o}_2$ . For Z(9,3,(5,6,7)),  $u_1(\mathfrak{o}_1) \oplus u_1(\mathfrak{o}_2) = (1/3,2/3)$ ,  $u_1(\mathfrak{o}_3) = \emptyset$ , and  $u_1(\mathfrak{o}_4) = \emptyset$ ; for M[19],  $u_2(\mathfrak{o}_1) \oplus u_2(\mathfrak{o}_2) = (1/3,2/3)^2$ ,  $u_2(\mathfrak{o}_3) = \emptyset$ , and  $u_2(\mathfrak{o}_4) = ord$ .
- L.5 We use Z(12, 3, (1, 3, 8)) + M[20], and  $v_2 = (1/4, 3/4) \oplus ss^2 \oplus ord$ . Note r = 4. If  $p \equiv 5 \mod 12$ , there is only one orbit. For Z(12, 3, (1, 3, 8)),  $u_1 = ord^2$ ; for M[20],  $u_2 = ss^4 \oplus ord^3$ .
- L.6 We use M[18] + M[18], and  $v_2 = ss^6$ . Note r = 5. If  $p \equiv 3,7 \mod 10$ , each orbit is self-dual. Also,  $u = (1/4, 3/4) \oplus ss^2$ , and each  $\mathfrak{o}$ -component of u has at most two slopes.

# L.7 We use M[18] + M[18], and $v_2 = ss^6$ .

Again, r = 5. If  $p \equiv 9 \mod 10$ ,  $u = ss^4 \oplus ord^2$  and each  $\mathfrak{o}$ -component of u has at most two slopes;

L.8 We use M[20] + M[20], and  $v_2 = ss^7$ . Note r = 6. If  $p \equiv 11 \mod 12$ ,  $u = ss^5 \oplus ord^2$  and each  $\mathfrak{o}$ -component of u has at most two slopes.

### 7.2. An exceptional example.

In Remark 5.10, we observed that Theorem 5.4 still holds with hypothesis (C) replaced by a weaker assumption on the codimension of the strata of the Newton polygon  $\nu_2$  (in Sh<sub>2</sub>) and  $u_1^d \oplus \nu_2 \oplus \text{ord}^{d(r-1)}$  (in Sh<sub>3</sub>). Proposition 7.2 below is an example of such an application. The two families used as input to Theorem 5.4 satisfy hypotheses (A), (B) but not (C). In the proof, we verify the appropriate codimension assumption directly.

**Proposition 7.2.** If  $p \equiv 7 \mod 8$  sufficiently large, then there exists a smooth curve over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  of genus 9 with Newton polygon  $ss^7 \oplus ord^2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $Z_1 = Z(4, 3, (1, 1, 2))$ . At any prime  $p \equiv 7 \mod 8$ , the ( $\mu$ -ordinary) Newton polygon  $u_1$  is *ss* [21, Section 4, m = 4].

Let  $Z_2 = Z(8, 4, (4, 2, 5, 5))$ . Then  $Z_2$  is the special family M[15] in [24, Table 1], and the associated Shimura variety Sh<sub>2</sub> has signature type (1, 1, 0, 0, 2, 0, 1). At any prime  $p \equiv 7 \mod 8$ , the  $\mu$ -ordinary Newton polygon is  $u_2 = ss^3 \oplus ord^2$  and the basic Newton polygon is  $v_2 = ss^5$  [20, Section 6.2].

Thus if  $p \equiv 7 \mod 8$ , then the pair of monodromy data for  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  satisfies hypotheses (A), and (B), but not (C).

The image of  $Z_1 \times Z_2$  under the clutching morphism lies in the family  $\overline{Z}_3$  of curves with monodromy datum (8, 5, (2, 2, 2, 5, 5)).

The Shimura variety Sh<sub>3</sub> has signature type (2, 2, 0, 0, 3, 1, 1) and its  $\mu$ -ordinary Newton polygon is  $u_3 = u_1^2 \oplus u_2 \oplus ss^2 = ss^5 \oplus ord^4$  (via direct computation or as a consequence

of hypothesis (B)). By the Kottwitz method ([20, Section 4.3]), we compute that the set  $B(Sh_3)$  consists of two elements  $u_3$  and  $ss^7 \oplus ord^2 = u_1^2 \oplus v \oplus \text{ord}^2$ . By (2.5), the Newton polygon stratum  $Sh_3[u_1 \oplus v_2 \oplus ord^2]$  has codimension 1.

Thus, by Remark 5.10, the proof of Theorem 5.4 still applies and we conclude.

# 8. Unlikely intersections

In this section, we investigate the likelihood of the intersection of the Torelli locus with the Newton polygon strata found in Corollary 4.12, Corollary 4.13, Corollary 5.12, and Corollary 4.14.

Let  $\nu$  be a symmetric Newton polygon of height 2*g*, and denote by  $\mathcal{A}_g[\nu]$  the corresponding Newton polygon stratum in the Siegel variety  $\mathcal{A}_g$ .

**Definition 8.1.** We say that  $\nu$  satisfies condition (U) if

$$\dim(\mathcal{M}_g) < \operatorname{codim}(\mathcal{A}_g[\nu], \mathcal{A}_g).$$

**Definition 8.2.** We say that the Torelli locus has an *unlikely intersection* with the Newton polygon stratum  $A_g[\nu]$  in  $A_g$  if there exists a smooth curve of genus g with Newton polygon  $\nu$ , and  $\nu$  satisfies condition (U).

# 8.1. The codimension of Newton polygon strata in Siegel varieties.

We study the codimension of the Newton strata in  $A_g$ . Define

$$\Omega(v) := \{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \mid 0 \le x, y \le g, (x, y) \text{ strictly below } v \}.$$

By [25, Theorem 4.1], see also Equation (2.5),

(8.1) 
$$\operatorname{codim}(\mathcal{A}_g[v], \mathcal{A}_g) = \#\Omega(v).$$

**Remark 8.3.** By Equation (8.1), for any non-ordinary symmetric Newton polygon v of height 2g, codim( $\mathcal{A}_{ng}[v^n]$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_{ng}$ ) grows quadratically in n. In particular, if v = ss, then codim( $\mathcal{A}_n[ss^n]$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_n$ ) =  $n(n+1)/2 - \lfloor n^2/4 \rfloor > n^2/4$ .

We deduce the following result.

**Proposition 8.4.** Let  $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of symmetric Newton polygons, of height  $2g_n$ . Assume there exists  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0, 1)$  such that the multiplicity of  $\lambda$  as a slope of  $u_n$  is at least n for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\operatorname{codim}(\mathcal{A}_{g_n}[u_n], \mathcal{A}_{g_n})$  grows at least quadratically in n.

*Proof.* Let *v* be the Newton polygon  $(\lambda, 1 - \lambda)$  and denote by *h* the height of *v*. By Remark 8.3, it suffices to prove that, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\operatorname{codim}(\mathcal{A}_{nh}[v^n], \mathcal{A}_{nh}) \leq \operatorname{codim}(\mathcal{A}_{g_n}[u_n], \mathcal{A}_{g_n}).$$

By assumption,  $u_n = v^n \oplus v_n$  for some symmetric Newton polygon  $v_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Note that  $v_n$  lies on or above  $ord^{g_n-nh}$  (in particular,  $g_n \ge nh$ ). We deduce that the Newton polygon  $u_n = v^n \oplus v_n$  lies on or above  $v^n \oplus ord^{g_n-nh}$ , hence the inequality

$$\operatorname{codim}(\mathcal{A}_{g_n}[v^n \oplus ord^{g_n-nh}], \mathcal{A}_{g_n}) \leq \operatorname{codim}(\mathcal{A}_{g_n}[u_n], \mathcal{A}_{g_n})$$

To conclude, we observe that Equation (8.1), or alternatively Lemma 5.8, implies

$$\operatorname{codim}(\mathcal{A}_{nh}[v^n],\mathcal{A}_{nh})=\operatorname{codim}(\mathcal{A}_{g_n}[v^n\oplus ord^{g_n-nh}],\mathcal{A}_{g_n})$$

### 8.2. Verifying condition (U).

Given a sequence of symmetric Newton polygons, of growing genus, we state simple criteria to ensure that for sufficiently large genera the polygons satisfy condition (U).

**Proposition 8.5.** Let  $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of symmetric Newton polygons, of height  $2g_n$ . Assume that

- there exists  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0, 1)$  such that the multiplicity of  $\lambda$  as a slope of  $u_n$  grows linearly in n, for all sufficiently large  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- the height  $g_n$  grows linearly in n, for all sufficiently large  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Then, for all sufficiently large n, the Newton polygons  $u_n$  satisfy condition (U).

*Proof.* By Proposition 8.4,  $\operatorname{codim}(\mathcal{A}_{g_n}[u_n], \mathcal{A}_{g_n})$  grows quadratically in *n* which is faster than the growth of dim  $\mathcal{M}_{g_n} = 3g_n - 3$ , which is linear in *n* by hypothesis. Thus, for sufficiently large *n*, we have the desired inequality dim  $\mathcal{M}_{g_n} < \operatorname{codim}(\mathcal{A}_{g_n}[u_n], \mathcal{A}_{g_n})$ .  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 8.6.** Let  $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of symmetric Newton polygons, of height  $2g_n$ . Assume that there exists a constant t > 0 such that the multiplicity of 1/2 as a slope of  $u_n$  is at least  $2tg_n$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Then, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $g_n \ge \frac{12}{t^2}$ , the Newton polygons  $u_n$  satisfy condition (U).

Proof. From the proof of Proposition 8.4, and Remark 8.3, we have the inequality

$$\operatorname{codim}(\mathcal{A}_{g_n}[u_n], \mathcal{A}_{g_n}) \geq \operatorname{codim}(\mathcal{A}_{\lceil tg_n \rceil}[ss^{\lceil tg_n \rceil}], \mathcal{A}_{\lceil tg_n \rceil}) > \frac{(tg_n)^2}{4}.$$

Hence, condition (U) for  $u_n$  is implied by  $(tg_n)^2/4 - (3g_n - 3) \ge 0$  which is guaranteed by the condition  $g_n \ge 12/t^2$ .

**Theorem 8.7.** Let  $v_1$ ,  $v_2$  be two symmetric Newton polygons, respectively of height  $2g \ge 2$ , and  $2h \ge 0$ . Assume  $v_1$  is not ordinary.

- (1) For all sufficiently large  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the Newton polygons  $v_1^n \oplus v_2$  satisfy condition (U).
- (2) If 1/2 occurs as a slope of  $v_1$  with multiplicity  $2\delta > 0$ , then the Newton polygons  $v_1^n \oplus v_2$  satisfy condition (U), for all integers  $n \ge \max\{15g/\delta^2, 9\sqrt{h}/\delta\}$ .<sup>15</sup>
- *Proof.* (1) Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0, 1)$  be a slope of u, occurring with multiplicity  $m \ge 1$ . Then, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the Newton polygons  $u_n = v_1^n \oplus v_2$  has height  $2g_n = 2(ng + h)$  and slope  $\lambda$  occurring with multiplicity mn. We deduce that the sequence  $u_n = v_1^n \oplus v_2$  satisfies the hypotheses of Proposition 8.5. Hence, part (1) holds.
  - (2) As in the proof of Proposition 8.4, we have  $\operatorname{codim}(\mathcal{A}_{ng}[\nu_1^n], \mathcal{A}_{ng}) \leq \operatorname{codim}(\mathcal{A}_{ng+h}[\nu_1^n \oplus \nu_2], \mathcal{A}_{ng+h})$ . Therefore, condition (U) for  $\nu_1^n \oplus \nu_2$  is implied by the inequality

(8.2) 
$$\dim(\mathcal{M}_{ng+h}) < \operatorname{codim}(\mathcal{A}_{ng}[\nu_1^n], \mathcal{A}_{ng}).$$

Following the proof of Proposition 8.6, we observe that, if the slope 1/2 occurs in  $\nu_1$  with multiplicity  $2\delta$ , the inequality (8.2) is implied by the inequality

(8.3) 
$$3(ng+h-1) \le \frac{(n\delta)^2}{4}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup>This bound is not sharp, but it is written so that the asymptotic dependency on g,  $\delta$ , h is more clear.

which holds for  $n \ge 6\frac{g}{\delta^2} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{\delta^2(h-1)}{3g^2}}\right)$ .

Then we obtain the bound in the assertion by noticing that

$$6\frac{g}{\delta^{2}}\left(1+\sqrt{1+\frac{\delta^{2}(h-1)}{3g^{2}}}\right) < 6\frac{g}{\delta^{2}}\left(1+\sqrt{1+\frac{\delta^{2}h}{3g^{2}}}\right) < 6\frac{g}{\delta^{2}}\max\{1,\frac{\delta h^{1/2}}{\sqrt{3}g}\}(1+\sqrt{2}) = \max\{6(1+\sqrt{2})g/\delta^{2}, 2\sqrt{3}(1+\sqrt{2})h^{1/2}/\delta\}.$$

**Remark 8.8.** Theorem 8.7 implies that, for sufficiently large genera, the intersections of the Torelli locus with the Newton polygon strata of Siegel varieties established in Corollary 4.12, Corollary 4.13, and Corollary 5.12 (resp. Corollary 4.14) are unlikely if the  $\mu$ ordinary Newton polygon u (resp. either of the  $\mu$ -ordinary Newton polygons  $u_1$  or  $u_2$ ) is not ordinary. It also yields explicit lower bounds on the genus in the cases when 1/2occurs as a slope of u (resp. either  $u_1$  or  $u_2$ ).

More precisely, with notations and assumptions as in Corollary 4.12, Corollary 4.13, and Corollary 5.12 (resp. Corollary 4.14), if u (resp.  $u_2$ ) is not ordinary, then Theorem 8.7 applies with Newton polygons

- *u* ⊕ ord<sup>*r*-1</sup>, *u* ⊕ ord<sup>(*m*-1)</sup>, and *u* ⊕ ord<sup>(*m*-1)</sup> (resp. *u*<sub>2</sub> ⊕ ord<sup>(*m*-1)</sup>) in place of *v*<sub>1</sub>,
  0, 0, and *v* (resp. *u*<sub>1</sub><sup>*n*<sub>1</sub></sup> ⊕ ord<sup>max{0,(*n*<sub>1</sub>-2)}(*m*-1)+*r*-1</sup> and *v* ⊕ *u*<sub>1</sub><sup>*n*<sub>1</sub></sup> ⊕ ord<sup>(*n*<sub>1</sub>-1)(*m*-1)+*r*-1</sup>) in place of  $\nu_2$ , for any  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$ .

In particular, the height of the Newton polygon  $v_2$  depends on the integer  $n_1$ . Hence, by Theorem 8.7, the intersections established in Corollary 4.14 are unlikely for  $n_2$  sufficiently large with respect to  $n_1$ . In the cases when 1/2 occurs as a slope of u (resp. either  $u_1$  or  $u_2$ ), Part (2) of Theorem 8.7 yields explicit lower bounds on  $n_2$  which depends on  $n_1$ . (See Corollary 9.14 for some concrete examples.)

**Remark 8.9.** Consider the following refinement of Definition 8.2. For any symmetric Newton polygon  $\nu$ , of height 2*g*, we say that a non-empty substack *U* of  $\mathcal{M}_g \cap \mathcal{A}_g[\nu]$ is an unlikely intersection if  $\operatorname{codim}(U, \mathcal{M}_g) < \operatorname{codim}(\mathcal{A}_g[\nu], \mathcal{A}_g)$ .

Corollary 4.12, Corollary 4.13, Corollary 5.12, and Corollary 4.14 establish more than the non-emptiness of the intersection of the Torelli locus with certain Newton polygon strata of Siegel varieties. It yields families Z of cyclic covers of the projective lines such that, for the prescribed Newton polygons v, the strata  $Z^{\circ}[v]$  are non-empty and of the expected codimensions in Z. By arguing as in Remark 8.8, we deduce that these families are unlikely intersections for sufficiently large genera. Furthermore, in the cases when 1/2 occurs as a slope of u (resp. either  $u_1$  or  $u_2$ ) we may compute explicit lower bounds on the genus, which are in general smaller than those obtained using Definition 8.2 (See Remark 9.10 for a concrete example.)

### 9. APPLICATIONS FOR FIXED SLOPES

We apply Corollaries 4.13, 4.14, 5.12, and 5.14 to construct smooth curves with prescribed Newton polygons and arbitrarily large genera. By Theorem 8.7, when the genus g is sufficiently large, these curves lie in the unlikely intersection formed by their Newton polygon strata and the Torelli locus in  $A_g$ . In many instances, by part (2) of Theorem 8.7, we obtain explicit lower bounds above which these curves lie in unlikely intersections.

9.1. **Single Induction for CM points.** In this section, we apply Corollary 4.13 to 0-dimensional families. Fix a monodromy datum (m, 3, a), and a prime p with  $p \nmid m$ . We distinguish two cases by the parity of the order of congruence class of p modulo m.

9.1.1. *Newton polygons with slopes* 0, 1/2, 1. Assume that *m* is prime and the order of *p* modulo *m* is even. By [21, Corollary 3.3], in this case, the Jacobian of a  $\mu_m$ -cover of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  with inertia type *a* over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  is a supersingular CM abelian variety. By applying Corollary 4.13 in these cases, we obtain examples of smooth curves of arbitrarily large genera whose Newton polygons only have slopes 0, 1/2, 1. Here, we focus on examples where the multiplicity of 1/2 is large relative to the genus.

**Remark 9.1.** The Newton polygons in this section occur as  $\mu$ -ordinary Newton polygons of slopes 0,1/2,1. While they do not appear in the literature, by part (3) of Proposition 2.14, they could also be produced using Theorem 2.11. More precisely, the existence of a  $\mu_m$ -cover of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  with  $\mu$ -ordinary Newton polygon for any of the monodromy data in this section can be deduced from Theorem 2.11, under the further assumption that p is sufficiently large with respect to the (increasingly large) number of ramification points.

**Corollary 9.2.** Let p be an odd prime. Let m be an odd prime such that  $p \nmid m$  and the order of p modulo m is even. Let h = (m - 1)/2. Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ . Let  $\epsilon = 0, 1, 2$ .

- (1) Then there exists a  $\mu_m$ -cover  $C \to \mathbb{P}^1$  defined over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  such that C is a smooth curve of genus  $g = h(3n 2 + 2\epsilon)$  with Newton polygon  $\operatorname{ss}^{hn} \oplus \operatorname{ord}^{2h(n-1+\epsilon)}$ .
- (2) Let  $n \ge 34/h$  when  $\epsilon = 0, 1$  (resp.  $n \ge \max\{45/h, 9\sqrt{2h}/h\}$  when  $\epsilon = 2$ ). Then Jac(C) lies in the unlikely intersection formed by its Newton polygon stratum and the Torelli locus in  $A_g$ .

In Corollary 9.2, we remark that every  $g \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  which is a multiple of *h* other than g = 2h occurs and the ratio of the multiplicity of the slope 1/2 to 2*g* in the Newton polygon is approximately 1/m.

*Proof.* Consider a smooth curve *C* which is a  $\mu_m$ -cyclic cover of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  with monodromy datum (m, 3, (1, 1, m - 2)). By [14, Theorem 1], the Newton polygon of *C* is ss<sup>*h*</sup>. Part (1) for  $\epsilon = 0$  follows from applying Corollary 4.13 to Z(m, 3, (1, 1, m - 2)) with t = m.

For  $\epsilon = 1$  (resp.  $\epsilon = 2$ ), we apply Corollary 4.5 with t = 1 (resp. twice) to the family produced when  $\epsilon = 0$  and obtain a smooth curve with Newton polygon  $ss^n \oplus ord^{2n-2+2\epsilon}$ . The case  $\epsilon = 0, 1$  for Part (2) follows from direct computation simplifying inequality:

codim $(A_{nh}[ss^{nh}], A_{nh}) \ge (nh)^2/4 + (nh)/2 > \dim \mathcal{M}_{3ng} = 9ng - 3$ . The case  $\epsilon = 2$  follows from Part (2) of Theorem 8.7 with  $\nu_1 = ss^h \oplus ord^{2h}$  and  $\nu_2 = ord^{2h}$ .

We highlight the case m = 3 below. To our knowledge, for an odd prime p, this is the first time that a sequence of smooth curves has been produced for every  $g \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  such that the multiplicity of the slope 1/2 in the Newton polygon grows linearly in g.

**Corollary 9.3.** Let  $p \equiv 2 \mod 3$  be an odd prime. Let  $g \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ . There exists a smooth curve  $C_g$  of genus g defined over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ , whose Newton polygon v only has slopes 0, 1/2, 1 and such that

the ratio of the multiplicity of the slope 1/2 to 2g is at least  $\lfloor g/3 \rfloor$ . If  $g \ge 107^{16}$ , the curve  $C_g$  demonstrates an unlikely intersection of the Torelli locus with the Newton polygon stratum  $\mathcal{A}_g[v]$ in  $\mathcal{A}_g$ .

Proof. Immediate from Corollary 9.2.

9.1.2. Newton polygons with other slopes. Recall that (m, 3, a) is a monodromy datum. Assume that *m* is prime and the order of *p* modulo *m* is odd. By [21, Theorem 3.2], in this case, 1/2 does not occur as a slope of the Newton polygon of the Jacobian of a  $\mu_m$ -cover of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  with inertia type *a*. By applying Corollary 4.13 in these cases, we obtain examples of smooth curves of arbitrarily large genera whose Newton polygons only have a few slopes. Again, we focus on examples where the *p*-rank is small relative to the genus. In each of the examples below, by part (1) of Theorem 8.7, when the genus is sufficiently large, the curves lie in the unlikely intersection of their Newton polygon stratum and the Torelli locus.

A prime number *h* is a *Sophie Germain prime* if  $2\ell + 1$  is also prime.

Corollary 9.4. Suppose h is an odd Sophie Germain prime. Let p be a prime of order h modulo m = 2h + 1 and let  $\alpha$  denote the number of quadratic residues modulo m in the set  $\{1, 2, ..., h\}$ . Then, for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ , there exists a smooth curve defined over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  with Newton polygon  $(\alpha/h, (h-\alpha)/h)^n \oplus \operatorname{ord}^{2h(n-1)}$ .

Proof. By [21, Corollary 3.10], the Newton polygon of the Jacobian of the smooth cyclic cover of the projective line with monodromy datum (m, 3, (1, 1, m - 2)) has Newton polygon  $(\alpha/h, (h - \alpha)/h)$ . Hence, the statement follows from Corollary 4.13 applied to Z =Z(m, 3, (1, 1, m - 2)).

In the following example, we also consider other inertia types  $(a_1, a_2, a_3)$ , for which there is a generalization of Corollary 9.4 with  $\{1, 2, ..., h\}$  replaced by the set  $S_1$  defined in [21, Corollary 3.10].

**Example 9.5.** Under the following congruence condition on *p*, there exists a smooth curve defined over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  with the given Newton polygon  $\nu$ , for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ :

- (1) If p ≡ 2,4 mod 7, and v = (1/3,2/3)<sup>n</sup> ⊕ ord<sup>6n-6</sup>.
  (2) If p ≡ 3,4,5,9 mod 11, and v = (1/5,4/5)<sup>n</sup> ⊕ ord<sup>10n-10</sup>, (resp. v = (2/5,3/5)<sup>n</sup> ⊕ ord<sup>10n-10</sup>).
  (3) If p modulo 23 is a non-trivial quadratic residue in (Z/23Z)\* and v = (4/11,7/11)<sup>n</sup> ⊕ ord<sup>22n-22</sup> (resp. v = (1/11,10/11)<sup>n</sup> ⊕ ord<sup>22n-22</sup>).
  (4) If p modulo 2027 is a nontrivial quadratic residue in (Z/2027Z)\*, and v = (523/1013,490/1013)<sup>n</sup> ⊕ ord<sup>206n-2026</sup>.

Proof. Each statement is a special case of Corollary 9.4

- (1) Corollary 9.4 for h = 3;
- (2) Corollary 9.4 for h = 5 (resp. Corollary 4.13 applied to Z = Z(11, 3, (1, 2, 8)), see [21, Example 3.11]);
- (3) Corollary 9.4 for h = 11 (resp. Corollary 4.13 applied to Z = Z(23, 3, (1, 4, 18)), see [21, Example 3.12]);

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup>This lower bound is sharp.

(4) Corollary 9.4 for h = 1013 (see [21, Example 3.13]).

In the following example, there are more than two fractional slopes and in such situation, we cannot use Theorem 2.11 to prove the existence of smooth curves of the given Newton polygon. More precisely, the Shimura variety which admits the desired Newton polygon as the  $\mu$ -ordinary Newton polygon may have other Newton polygons with the same *p*-rank. Hence, Theorem 2.11 does not apply here.

**Corollary 9.6.** If  $p \equiv 7, 16, 20, 23, 24, 25 \mod 29$ , there exists a smooth curve defined over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  with Newton polygon  $(2/7, 5/7)^n \oplus (3/7, 4/7)^n \oplus \operatorname{ord}^{28n-28}$ , for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ .

*Proof.* We apply Corollary 4.13 to the 0-dimensional family Z = Z(29, 3, (1, 1, 27)). By [21, Example 3.9], when  $p \equiv 7, 16, 20, 23, 24, 25 \mod 29$ , the Newton polygon of the Jacobian of the curve in *Z* is  $(2/7, 5/7) \oplus (3/7, 4/7)$ .

9.2. **Single Induction for special families.** We now apply Corollary 4.13 and Corollary 5.12 to positive dimensional special families from [24, Table 1].

**Remark 9.7.** Other than Corollary 9.9, the  $\mu$ -ordinary Newton polygons in this section are PEL-indecomposable ([20, Definition 5.5]). For a special family M[r], and a prime p,  $p \nmid m$ , if the  $\mu$ -ordinary Newton polygon of M[r] is PEL-decomposable, then the Newton polygons obtained by applying Corollary 4.13 to M[r] could also be produced by applying Corollary 4.14 to a pair of lower dimensional special families, appearing in the boundary of M[r] (see Remark 9.15 for an example).

**Remark 9.8.** If the order of  $p \mod m$  is even, 1/2 might occur as slope of the  $\mu$ -ordinary polygon, but in most cases 0, 1/2, 1 are not the only slopes of the  $\mu$ -ordinary Newton polygon. If the order of  $p \mod m$  is odd, 1/2 can not occur as slope of the  $\mu$ -ordinary Newton polygon.

**Corollary 9.9.** *Let* p *be a prime,*  $p \equiv 4 \mod 5$ *.* 

(1) For any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , there exists a smooth curve of genus 10n defined over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  with Newton polygon equal to  $\mathrm{ss}^{4n} \oplus \mathrm{ord}^{6n}$ . For  $n \ge 7$ , these curves lie in the unlikely intersection formed by their Newton polygon stratum and the Torelli locus in  $\mathcal{A}_{10n}$ .

*Proof.* The statement follows from Corollary 4.13 applied to the family M[16].<sup>17</sup>

**Remark 9.10.** Let a = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1), and consider the family Z = Z(5, 7, a). Note that Z is not special. For a prime  $p \equiv 4 \mod 5$ , the  $\mu$ -ordinary Newton polygon u = u(5, 7, a) is equal to ss<sup>4</sup>  $\oplus$  ord<sup>6</sup>. For p > 5, part (3) of Proposition 2.14 implies that  $Z^{\circ}[u]$  is nonempty. By Corollary 4.12 applied to Z, we deduce that, for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ , the Newton polygon stratum  $Z_n[ss^{4n} \oplus ord^{6n}]$  of  $Z_n := Z^{\times n}$  is non-empty of dimension 5n - 1. By Remark 8.9, we deduce that  $Z_n[ss^{4n} \oplus ord^{6n}]$  is an unlikely intersection if  $n \geq 6$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup>Actually, we can even obtain ss<sup>4n</sup>  $\oplus$  ord<sup>6n-4</sup>. Also, by applying Corollary 5.12 and Remark 5.10 to M[16], we can obtain ss<sup>4n+6</sup>  $\oplus$  ord<sup>6n-4</sup> for p sufficiently large. More precisely, although the  $\mu$ -ordinary Newton polygon of M[16] has more than two slopes on some orbit, we may still verified the codimension condition in Remark 5.10 direct by [20, Section 4.3] so that the proof of Corollary 5.12 still applies.

# **Corollary 9.11.** *Let* p *be a prime,* $p \equiv 2, 3 \mod 5$ *.*

- (1) For any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ , there exists a smooth curve defined over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  with Newton polygon equal to  $(1/4, 3/4)^n \oplus \text{ord}^{4n-4}$ .
- (2) Assume furthermore that p is sufficiently large. For any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ , there exists a smooth curve defined over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  with Newton polygon  $(1/4, 3/4)^n \oplus ss^4 \oplus ord^{4n}$ .

*Proof.* Let Z = Z(5, 4, (1, 3, 3, 3)). This is the special family M[11]. By [20, Section 6.2.], when  $p \equiv 2, 3 \mod 5$ , the  $\mu$ -ordinary Newton polygon is (1/4, 3/4) and the  $\mu$ -basic Newton polygon is  $ss^4$ . By [20, Remark 7.2], there are smooth curves in this family with Newton polygon  $ss^4$  for p sufficiently large. Hence, part (1) (resp. part (2)) of statement follows from Corollary 4.13 (resp. Corollary 5.12) applied to Z.

**Example 9.12.** In the following cases, under the given congruence conditions on p (for non- $\mu$ -ordinary Newton polygons, we further assume p sufficiently large), there exists a smooth curve  $\mathbb{F}_p$  having the prescribed Newton polygon for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$ :

	congruence	Newton Polygon (µ-ordinary)	Newton Polygon (non- <i>µ</i> -ordinary)
M[17]	3,5 mod 7	$(1/3,2/3)^{2n} \oplus \mathrm{ord}^{6(n-1)}$	$(1/3,2/3)^{2n} \oplus \mathrm{ss}^6 \oplus \mathrm{ord}^{6n}$
M[18]	3,7 mod 10	$(1/4,3/4)^n \oplus \mathrm{ss}^{2n} \oplus \mathrm{ord}^{9(n-1)}$	$(1/4,3/4)^n \oplus \mathrm{ss}^{2n+6} \oplus \mathrm{ord}^{9n}$
M[19]	2,5 mod 9	$(1/3,2/3)^{2n} \oplus ss^n \oplus ord^{8(n-1)}$	$(1/3,2/3)^{2n} \oplus \operatorname{ss}^{n+7} \oplus \operatorname{ord}^{8n}$

*Proof.* Apply Corollary 4.13 and Corollary 5.12 to families M[17], M[18] and M[19] for the corresponding congruence classes.<sup>18</sup>

9.3. **Double Induction.** We conclude with two sample applications of Corollary 4.14 and Corollary 5.14.

**Corollary 9.13.** For any prime  $p \equiv 4,7 \mod 9$ , there exists a smooth curve over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  with Newton polygon  $(1/3,2/3)^{n_1+2n_2} \oplus ord^{8n_1+9n_2-14}$  (resp.  $(1/3,2/3)^{n_1+2n_2} \oplus ss^6 \oplus ord^{8n_1+9n_2-5}$ ), for any  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $Z_1 = Z(9, 3, (5, 7, 6))$  and  $Z_2 = Z(9, 4, (3, 5, 5, 5))$ , which is M[19].

Then, by [21, Section 4, m = 9] and [20, Section 6.2], if  $p \equiv 4,7 \mod 9$ , then  $u_1 = (1/3, 2/3)$ ,  $u_2 = (1/3, 2/3)^2 \oplus \text{ord}$ , and  $Z_2^{\circ}[ss^6 \oplus \text{ord}]$  is non empty. Moreover, for each orbit  $\mathfrak{o} \in \mathfrak{O}$ ,  $\mu_2(\mathfrak{o})$  has at most 2 slopes.

Thus, by applying Corollary 4.14 and Corollary 5.14, we conclude that, for any integers  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ , there exist smooth curves whose Jacobians have Newton polygons

$$(1/3, 2/3)^{n_1} \oplus (ord \oplus (1/3, 2/3)^2)^{n_2} \oplus ord^{(n_1+n_2-2)(8)+2}$$

and

$$(1/3,2/3)^{n_1} \oplus (ord \oplus (1/3,2/3)^2)^{n_2} \oplus (ord \oplus ss^6) \oplus ord^{(n_1+n_2-1)(8)+2}.$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup>For a prime  $p \equiv 4,7 \mod 9$ , the  $\mu$ -ordinary Newton polygon of M[19] is  $u = (1/3, 2/3)^2 \oplus \text{ ord}$ , and is PEL-decomposable. Corollary 4.5 applied to M[19] yields Newton polygons  $(1/3, 2/3)^{2n} \oplus \text{ ord}^{9n-8}$  (cfr. Example 9.5).

**Corollary 9.14.** *Let* p *be a prime,*  $p \equiv 2, 3 \mod 5$ *.* 

For any  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ , there exists a smooth curve of genus  $G = 6n_1 + 8n_2 - 8$  (resp.  $G = 6n_1 + 8n_2$ ) defined over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  with Newton polygon equal to  $(1/4, 3/4)^{n_2} \oplus ss^{2n_1} \oplus ord^{4(n_1+n_2-2)}$  (resp.  $(1/4, 3/4)^{n_2} \oplus ss^{2n_1+4} \oplus ord^{4(n_1+n_2-1)}$  for p sufficiently large).

For any  $n_2 \ge 1$ , if  $n_1 \ge \max\{23, 9\sqrt{2n_2 - 2}\}$  (resp.  $n_1 \ge \max\{23, 9\sqrt{2n_2}\}$ ) these curves lie in the unlikely intersection formed by their Newton polygon stratum and the Torelli locus in  $\mathcal{A}_G$ .

*Proof.* The existence of the first Newton polygon follows from Corollary 4.14 applied to the pair  $Z_1 = Z(5, 3, (2, 2, 1))$  and  $Z_2 = Z(5, 4, (4, 2, 2, 2))$  which is M[11]. By [20, Section 6.2] and [21, Section 4, m = 5], if  $p \equiv 2, 3 \mod 5$ , then  $u_1 = ss^2$  and  $u_2 = (1/4, 3/4)$ . The existence of the second Newton polygon follows from Corollary 5.14 and Remark 5.10 applied to the same family with  $v_2 = ss^4$ . Note that also  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  do not satisfy hypothesis (C), the codimension condition in Remark 5.10 is satisfied (see the proof of Theorem 6.1).

We compute the explicit lower bounds following part (2) of Theorem 8.7 with  $\nu_1 = ss^2 \oplus ord^4$ , g = 6,  $\delta = 2$  and  $h = G - n_1g$ .

 $\square$ 

**Remark 9.15.** The pair of special families  $(Z_1, Z_2)$  defined in the proof of Corollary 9.14 maps to the boundary of M[16] under the clutching morphism. For  $p \equiv 2, 3 \mod 5$ , the  $\mu$ -ordinary Newton polygon of M[16] is  $u = (1/4, 3/4) \oplus ss^2$ , and is PEL-decomposable. For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , Corollary 4.13 applied to M[16] produces Newton polygons  $(1/4, 3/4)^n \oplus ss^{2n} \oplus ord^{4n-4}$ .

### 10. Appendix: Moonen's Table

The label M[r] refers to the original label in [24, Table 1].

For convenience, we provide a full list of Newton polygons to occur for smooth cyclic covers of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  in special families (see [20]). Note that in the case of the Newton polygons **denoted by** <sup>+</sup> **we further assume** *p* **sufficiently large** ([20, Proposition 5.6], [20, Theorem 7.4]).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> For  $p \equiv 4 \mod 5$ , the  $\mu$ -ordinary Newton polygon of M[16] is  $u = \operatorname{ord}^2 \oplus \operatorname{ss}^4$ , and is also PELdecomposable. By applying Corollary 4.14 to the pair  $(Z_1, Z_2)$  from the proof of Corollary 9.14 we produce Newton polygons  $\operatorname{ss}^{2n_1+2n_2} \oplus \operatorname{ord}^{6n_1+4n_2-8}$  (cfr. Corollary 9.9).

Label	m	а	Newton Polygon [congruence on <i>p</i> ]
M[1]	2	(1,1,1,1), f = (1)	<i>ord, ss</i> [1 mod 2]
<i>M</i> [2]	2	$(1,1,1,1,1,1),\mathfrak{f} = (2)$	$ord^2$ , $ord \oplus ss$ , $ss^2$ [1 mod 2]
M[3]	3	(1,1,2,2), f = (1,1)	$ord^2$ [1, 2 mod 3], $ss^2$ [1 mod 3], $ss^2$ [2 mod 3] <sup>†</sup>
M[4]	4	(1,2,2,3)	<i>ord</i> <sup>2</sup> [1, 3 mod 4]
		$\mathfrak{f} = (1, 0, 1)$	$ss^2 [1 \mod 4], ss^2 [3 \mod 4]^{\dagger}$
M[5]	6	(2,3,3,4)	<i>ord</i> <sup>2</sup> [1,5 mod 6]
		f = (1, 0, 0, 0, 1)	$ss^2 \ [1 \mod 6], ss^2 \ [5 \mod 6]^+$
M[6]	3	(1,1,1,1,2)	$ord^3 \ [1 \mod 3], ord^2 \oplus ss \ [2 \mod 3]$
		$\mathfrak{f}=(2,1)$	$ord \oplus ss^2$ , $(1/3, 2/3)$ [1 mod 3], $ss^3$ [2 mod 3] <sup>†</sup>
M[7]	4	(1,1,1,1)	$\mathit{ord}^3 \ [1 \bmod 4], \mathit{ord} \oplus ss^2 \ [3 \bmod 4]$
		$\mathfrak{f}=(2,1,0)$	$ord^2 \oplus ss \ [1 \mod 4], ss^3 \ [3 \mod 4]^{\dagger}$
M[8]	4	(1,1,2,2,2)	$\mathit{ord}^3 \ [1 mod 4], \mathit{ord}^2 \oplus ss \ [3 mod 4]$
		$\mathfrak{f}=(2,0,1)$	$ord \oplus ss^2$ , $(1/3, 2/3)$ [1 mod 4], $ss^3$ [3 mod 4] <sup>†</sup>
M[9]	6	(1,3,4,4)	$ord^3 \ [1 \mod 6]$ , $ord^2 \oplus ss \ [5 \mod 6]$
		f = (1, 1, 0, 0, 1)	$ord \oplus ss^2 \ [1 \mod 6], ss^3 \ [5 \mod 6]^+$
M[10]	3	(1,1,1,1,1,1)	$\mathit{ord}^4 \; [1 \ mod \; 3]$ , $\mathit{ord}^2 \oplus ss^2 \; [2 \ mod \; 3]$
		$\mathfrak{f}=(3,1)$	$ord^2 \oplus ss^2 \ [1 \mod 3], \ (1/4, 3/4) \ [2 \mod 3]$
			$ord \oplus (1/3, 2/3) \ [1 \mod 3], ss^4 \ [2 \mod 3]^+$
			$(1/4, 3/4) [1 \mod 3]$
M[11]	5	(1,3,3,3)	$ord^4 \ [1 \mod 5], (1/4, 3/4) \ [2, 3 \mod 5], ord^2 \oplus ss^2 \ [4 \mod 5]$
		$\mathfrak{f} = (1, 2, 0, 1)$	$\mathit{ord}^2 \oplus ss^2 \ [1 \bmod 5], ss^4 \ [2,3,4 \bmod 5]^\dagger$
M[12]	6	(1,1,1,3)	$\mathit{ord}^4 \; [1 \; \mathrm{mod} \; 6], \mathit{ord} \oplus ss^3 \; [5 \; \mathrm{mod} \; 6]$
		f = (2, 1, 1, 0, 0)	$\mathit{ord}^3 \oplus ss \; [1 \bmod 6], ss^4 \; [5 \bmod 6]^+$
<i>M</i> [13]	6	(1,1,2,2)	$\mathit{ord}^4 \ [1 \bmod 6], \mathit{ord}^2 \oplus ss^2 \ [5 \bmod 6]$
		f = (2, 1, 0, 1, 0)	$\mathit{ord}^2 \oplus ss^2 \ [1 \bmod 6], ss^4 \ [5 \bmod 6]^\dagger$
M[14]	6	(2,2,2,3,3)	$ord^4 \ [1 \mod 6], ord^2 \oplus ss^2 \ [5 \mod 6]$
		f = (2, 0, 0, 1, 1)	$\mathit{ord}^2 \oplus ss^2 \ [1 \bmod 6],  ss^4 \ [5 \bmod 6]^\dagger$
			$ord \oplus (1/3, 2/3) \ [1 \mod 6]$
M[15]	8	(2,4,5,5), f =	$ord^5 \ [1 \mod 8], ord^2 \oplus ss^3 \ [3,7 \mod 8], ord^3 \oplus ss^2 \ [5 \mod 8]$
		(1,1,0,0,2,0,1)	$ord^3 \oplus ss^2 \ [1 \mod 8], \ (1/4, 3/4) \oplus ss \ [3 \mod 8]$
			$ord \oplus (1/4, 3/4) \ [5 \mod 8], ss^5 \ [7 \mod 8]^{\dagger}$
M[16]	5	(2,2,2,2,2)	$ord^{6} [1 \mod 5], (1/4, 3/4) \oplus ss^{2} [2, 3 \mod 5], ord^{2} \oplus ss^{4} [4 \mod 5]$
		$\mathfrak{f}=(2,0,3,1)$	$ord^4 \oplus ss^2 \ [1 \mod 5], ss^6 \ [2, 3, 4 \mod 5]^\dagger$
			$ord^3 \oplus (1/3, 2/3) \ [1 \bmod 5]$
M[17]	7	(2,4,4,4), f =	$ord^{6} \ [1 \mod 7], ord^{3} \oplus (1/3, 2/3) \ [2, 4 \mod 7]$
		(1, 2, 0, 2, 0, 1)	$(1/3,2/3)^2$ [3,5 mod 7], ord <sup>2</sup> $\oplus$ ss <sup>4</sup> [6 mod 7]
			$ord^4 \oplus ss^2 \ [1 \mod 7], \ (1/6, 5/6) \ [2, 4 \mod 7], \ ss^6 \ [3, 5, 6 \mod 7]^{\dagger}$
M[18]	10	(3,5,6,6), f =	$ord^{6} [1 \mod 10], (1/4, 3/4) \oplus ss^{2} [3, 7 \mod 10], ord^{2} \oplus ss^{4} [9 \mod 10]$
		(1,1,0,1,0,0,2,0,1)	$ord^4 \oplus ss^2 \ [1 \mod 10], ss^6 \ [3,7,9 \mod 10]^\dagger$
M[19]	9	(3,5,5,5), f =	ord' $[1 \mod 9], (1/3, 2/3)^2 \oplus ss [2, 5 \mod 9]$
		(1,2,0,2,0,1,0,1)	$ord \oplus (1/3, 2/3)^2$ [4,7 mod 9], $ord^2 \oplus ss^5$ [8 mod 9]
			$ord^{5} \oplus ss^{2} \ [1 \mod 9], ss^{7} \ [2, 5, 8 \mod 9]^{\dagger}, ord \oplus ss^{6} \ [4, 7 \mod 9]$
M[20]	12	(4,6,7,7), f =	$ord^7 \ [1 \mod 12], ord^3 \oplus ss^4 \ [5 \mod 12]$
		(1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0,	$ord^4 \oplus ss^3$ [7 mod 12], $ord^2 \oplus ss^5$ [11 mod 12]
		2,0,1,0,1)	$ord^5 \oplus ss^2 \ [1 \mod 12], ord \oplus (1/4, 3/4) \oplus ss^2 \ [5 \mod 12]$
			$ord^2 \oplus ss^5 \ [7 \mod 12], ss^7 \ [11 \mod 12]^+$

#### REFERENCES

- Jeffrey D. Achter and Rachel Pries, *The integral monodromy of hyperelliptic and trielliptic curves*, Math. Ann. 338 (2007), no. 1, 187–206. MR 2295509
- 2. \_\_\_\_, *Monodromy of the p-rank strata of the moduli space of curves*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2008), no. 15, Art. ID rnn053, 25. MR 2438069
- 3. \_\_\_\_, *The p-rank strata of the moduli space of hyperelliptic curves*, Adv. Math. **227** (2011), no. 5, 1846–1872. MR 2803789
- Siegfried Bosch, Werner Lütkebohmert, and Michel Raynaud, Néron models, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], vol. 21, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990. MR 1045822 (91i:14034)
- 5. Irene I. Bouw, *The p-rank of ramified covers of curves*, Compositio Math. **126** (2001), no. 3, 295–322. MR 1834740
- 6. Ching-Li Chai, Newton polygons as lattice points, Amer. J. Math. 122 (2000), no. 5, 967–990. MR 1781927
- 7. A. J. de Jong and F. Oort, *Purity of the stratification by Newton polygons*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (2000), no. 1, 209–241. MR 1703336
- 8. P. Deligne and G. D. Mostow, *Monodromy of hypergeometric functions and nonlattice integral monodromy*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1986), no. 63, 5–89. MR 849651
- 9. Torsten Ekedahl, *Boundary behaviour of Hurwitz schemes*, The moduli space of curves (Texel Island, 1994), Progr. Math., vol. 129, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1995, pp. 173–198. MR MR1363057 (96m:14030)
- 10. Carel Faber and Gerard van der Geer, *Complete subvarieties of moduli spaces and the Prym map*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **573** (2004), 117–137. MR 2084584
- 11. William Fulton, *Hurwitz schemes and irreducibility of moduli of algebraic curves*, Ann. of Math. (2) **90** (1969), 542–575. MR 0260752
- 12. Darren Glass and Rachel Pries, *Hyperelliptic curves with prescribed p-torsion*, Manuscripta Math. **117** (2005), no. 3, 299–317. MR 2154252
- 13. Paul Hamacher, *The almost product structure of Newton strata in the deformation space of a Barsotti-Tate group with crystalline Tate tensors*, Math. Z. **287** (2017), no. 3-4, 1255–1277. MR 3719535
- 14. Taira Honda, On the Jacobian variety of the algebraic curve  $y^2 = 1 x^l$  over a field of characteristic p > 0, Osaka J. Math. **3** (1966), 189–194. MR 0225777
- 15. F. Knudsen, *The projectivity of the moduli space of stable curves*. *II. The stacks M*<sub>g,n</sub>, Math. Scand. **52** (1983), no. 2, 161–199. MR MR702953 (85d:14038a)
- 16. Robert E. Kottwitz, *Isocrystals with additional structure*, Compositio Math. **56** (1985), no. 2, 201–220. MR 809866
- 17. \_\_\_\_\_, Isocrystals with additional structure. II, Compositio Math. 109 (1997), no. 3, 255–339. MR 1485921
- Kai-Wen Lan, Arithmetic compactifications of PEL-type Shimura varieties, London Mathematical Society Monographs Series, vol. 36, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2013. MR 3186092
- 19. Kai-Wen Lan and Benoî t Stroh, Stroh, *Compactifications of subschemes of integral models of shimura varieties*, preprint, available at http://www-users.math.umn.edu/ kwlan/articles/cpt-sub.pdf.
- 20. Wanlin Li, Elena Mantovan, Rachel Pries, and Yunqing Tang, *Newton polygons arising for special families of cyclic covers of the projective line*, preprint, available on arXiv:1805.06914.
- 21. \_\_\_\_, Newton polygons of cyclic covers of the projective line branched at three points, preprint, available on arXiv:1805.04598.
- 22. Elena Mantovan, On the cohomology of certain PEL-type Shimura varieties, Duke Math. J. **129** (2005), no. 3, 573–610. MR 2169874
- 23. Ben Moonen, Serre-Tate theory for moduli spaces of PEL type, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 37 (2004), no. 2, 223–269. MR 2061781
- 24. \_\_\_\_\_, Special subvarieties arising from families of cyclic covers of the projective line, Doc. Math. 15 (2010), 793–819. MR 2735989
- 25. Frans Oort, *Newton polygon strata in the moduli space of abelian varieties*, Moduli of abelian varieties (Texel Island, 1999), Progr. Math., vol. 195, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001, pp. 417–440. MR 1827028
- 26. \_\_\_\_\_, Abelian varieties isogenous to a Jacobian in problems from the Workshop on Automorphisms of Curves, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova 113 (2005), 129–177. MR 2168985 (2006d:14027)

- 27. Rachel Pries, *Current results on Newton polygons of curves*, to appear as Chapter 6, Questions in Arithmetic Algebraic Geometry, Advanced Lectures in Mathematics Book Series.
- 28. Eva Viehmann, Newton strata in the loop group of a reductive group, Amer. J. Math. **135** (2013), no. 2, 499–518. MR 3038719
- 29. Eva Viehmann and Torsten Wedhorn, *Ekedahl-Oort and Newton strata for Shimura varieties of PEL type*, Math. Ann. **356** (2013), no. 4, 1493–1550. MR 3072810
- 30. Angelo Vistoli, *Intersection theory on algebraic stacks and on their moduli spaces*, Invent. Math. **97** (1989), no. 3, 613–670. MR MR1005008 (90k:14004)
- Torsten Wedhorn, Ordinariness in good reductions of Shimura varieties of PEL-type, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 32 (1999), no. 5, 575–618. MR 1710754
- 32. Stefan Wewers, Construction of Hurwitz spaces, Dissertation, 1998.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN, MADISON, WI 53706, USA *E-mail address*: wanlin@math.wisc.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, PASADENA, CA 91125, USA

*E-mail address*: mantovan@caltech.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLORADO STATE UNIVERSITY, FORT COLLINS, CO 80523, USA *E-mail address*: pries@math.colostate.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, PRINCETON, NJ 08540, USA *E-mail address*: yunqingt@math.princeton.edu