

# NORM-PARALLELISM AND THE DAVIS–WIELANDT RADIUS OF HILBERT SPACE OPERATORS

ALI ZAMANI<sup>1</sup>, MOHAMMAD SAL MOSLEHIAN<sup>2</sup>, MAO-TING CHIEN<sup>3</sup>, and HIROSHI  
NAKAZATO<sup>4</sup>

ABSTRACT. We present a necessary and sufficient condition for the norm-parallelism of bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space. We also give a characterization of the Birkhoff–James orthogonality for Hilbert space operators. Moreover, we discuss the connection between norm-parallelism to the identity operator and an equality condition for the Davis–Wielandt radius. Some other related results are also discussed.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  denote the  $C^*$ -algebra of all bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  with an inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and the corresponding norm  $\| \cdot \|$ . The symbol  $I$  stands for the identity operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ . For  $T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , let  $\|T\|$  and  $m(T)$  denote the usual operator norm and the minimum modulus of  $T$ , respectively. Here  $m(T)$  is defined to be the supremum of the set of all  $\alpha \geq 0$  such that  $\|Tx\| \geq \alpha\|x\|$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ . In addition, we denote by  $\mathbb{M}_T$  the set of all unit vectors at which  $T$  attains its norm, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{M}_T = \{x \in \mathcal{H} : \|x\| = 1, \|Tx\| = \|T\|\}.$$

The notion of orthogonality in  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  can be introduced in many ways; see [1, 11] and references therein. When  $T, S \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , we say that  $T$  is Birkhoff–James orthogonal to  $S$ , and we write  $T \perp_B S$ , if

$$\|T + \gamma S\| \geq \|T\| \quad (\gamma \in \mathbb{C}).$$

This notion of orthogonality plays a very important role in the geometry of Hilbert space operators. Recently, some other authors studied different aspects of orthogonality of bounded linear operators and elements of an arbitrary Hilbert  $C^*$ -module, for instance, see [1, 2, 6, 11, 12].

Furthermore, we say that  $T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is norm-parallel to  $S \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  (see [19]), in short  $T \parallel S$ , if there exists  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T} = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} : |\alpha| = 1\}$  such that

$$\|T + \lambda S\| = \|T\| + \|S\|.$$

In the context of bounded linear operators, the well-known Daugavet equation  $\|T + I\| = \|T\| + 1$  is a particular case of parallelism. Such equation is a useful property in solving a variety of problems in approximation theory; see [15, 19] and the references therein. Some characterizations of the norm-parallelism for

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46B20; Secondary 47L05, 47A12.

*Key words and phrases.* Birkhoff–James orthogonality, norm-parallelism, numerical radius, Davis–Wielandt radius.

Hilbert space operators and elements of an arbitrary Hilbert  $C^*$ -module were given in [6, 15, 17, 19, 20].

The numerical radius and the Crawford number of  $T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  are defined by

$$w(T) = \sup \{ |\langle Tx, x \rangle| : x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\| = 1 \}$$

and

$$c(T) = \inf \{ |\langle Tx, x \rangle| : x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\| = 1 \},$$

respectively. These concepts are useful in studying linear operators and have attracted the attention of many authors in the last few decades (e.g., see [7], and their references). It is well known that  $w(\cdot)$  defines a norm on  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  such that for all  $T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2}\|T\| \leq w(T) \leq \|T\|. \quad (1.1)$$

The inequalities in (1.1) are sharp. The first inequality becomes an equality if  $T^2 = 0$ . The second inequality becomes an equality if  $T$  is normal. Another basic fact about the numerical radius is the power inequality, which asserts that

$$w(T^n) \leq w^n(T) \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

for all  $T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . For more material about the numerical radius and other results on numerical radius inequality, see, e.g., [3, 5, 8, 16], and the references therein.

Motivated by theoretical study and applications, there have been many generalizations of the numerical radius; see [7, 10]. One of these generalizations is the Davis–Wielandt radius of  $T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  defined by

$$dw(T) = \sup \{ \sqrt{|\langle Tx, x \rangle|^2 + \|Tx\|^4} : x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\| = 1 \};$$

see [4, 14]. For  $T, S \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  one has

$$(i) \quad dw(T) \geq 0 \text{ and } dw(T) = 0 \text{ if and only if } T = 0;$$

$$(ii) \quad dw(\alpha T) \begin{cases} \geq |\alpha|dw(T) & \text{if } |\alpha| > 1, \\ = |\alpha|dw(T) & \text{if } |\alpha| = 1, \\ \leq |\alpha|dw(T) & \text{if } |\alpha| < 1, \end{cases} \text{ for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{C};$$

$$(ii) \quad dw(T + S) \leq \sqrt{2(dw(T) + dw(S)) + 4(dw(T) + dw(S))^2},$$

and therefore  $dw(\cdot)$  cannot be a norm on  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . In spite of this, it has many interesting properties. The following property of  $dw(\cdot)$  is immediate:

$$\max \{ w(T), \|T\|^2 \} \leq dw(T) \leq \sqrt{w^2(T) + \|T\|^4}. \quad (1.2)$$

We remark that the upper bound and lower bound in (1.2) are both attainable.

In fact, if  $T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , then simple computations show that  $dw(T) = \sqrt{w^2(T) + \|T\|^4} = \sqrt{2}$  and  $\max \{ w(S), \|S\|^2 \} = dw(S) = 1$ .

This paper is organized as follows. In the second section, we present a necessary and sufficient condition for  $T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  to be norm-parallel to  $S \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . We also give a characterization of the Birkhoff–James orthogonality in  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  for Hilbert space operators. Moreover, we obtain some new refinements of numerical radius inequalities for Hilbert space operators. In Section 3, the relation of the norm-parallelism of operators and their Davis–Wielandt radii is discussed. In particular,

we show that  $T \parallel I$  if and only if  $dw(T) = \sqrt{w^2(T) + \|T\|^4}$ . Some other related results are also presented.

## 2. CHARACTERIZATION OF NORM-PARALLELISM AND BIRKHOFF–JAMES ORTHOGONALITY OF OPERATORS

We begin with a useful lemma which we will use frequently in the present paper.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space and  $a, b \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then*

$$\|a + \gamma b\|^2 \|b\|^2 - |\langle a + \gamma b, b \rangle|^2 = \|a\|^2 \|b\|^2 - |\langle a, b \rangle|^2 \quad (\gamma \in \mathbb{C}).$$

*Proof.* The proof is straightforward so we omit it. □

*Remark 2.2.* According to the above lemma, we have

$$\|b\|^2 \inf_{\gamma \in \mathbb{C}} \|a + \gamma b\|^2 = \|a\|^2 \|b\|^2 - |\langle a, b \rangle|^2 \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{H}) \quad (2.1)$$

which is a well-known identity. In particular,  $a$  and  $b$  are linearly dependent if and only if  $\inf_{\gamma \in \mathbb{C}} \|a + \gamma b\|^2 = 0$ , that is, if and only if  $|\langle a, b \rangle| = \|a\| \|b\|$ . Further, The equality (2.1) shows that two elements  $a$  and  $b$  of a Hilbert space are orthogonal in the sense of the inner product precisely when they are the Birkhoff–James orthogonal, that is,  $\inf_{\gamma \in \mathbb{C}} \|a + \gamma b\| = \|a\|$ .

For elements  $T, S \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , it was proved in [19, Theorem 3.3] that  $T \parallel S$  if and only if there exists a sequence of unit vectors  $\{x_n\}$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle Tx_n, Sx_n \rangle| = \|T\| \|S\|. \quad (2.2)$$

It follows then that if the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  is finite dimensional,  $T \parallel S$  if and only if there exists a unit vector  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $|\langle Tx, Sx \rangle| = \|T\| \|S\|$ . Notice that the condition of finite dimensionality is essential (see [20, Example 2.17]). In addition, for compact operators  $T, S$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  (not necessarily finite dimensional) it was proved in [17, Theorem 2.10] that  $T \parallel S$  if and only if there exists  $x \in \mathbb{M}_T \cap \mathbb{M}_S$  such that

$$|\langle Tx, Sx \rangle| = \|T\| \|S\|. \quad (2.3)$$

Next we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for  $T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  to be norm-parallel to  $S \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space and  $T, S \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be compact operators. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $T \parallel S$ .
- (ii) *There exists  $x \in \mathbb{M}_T \cap \mathbb{M}_S$  such that for every  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$  the vectors  $Tx + \gamma Sx$  and  $Sx$  are linearly dependent.*

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Let  $T \parallel S$ . From (2.3), there exists  $x \in \mathbb{M}_T \cap \mathbb{M}_S$  such that  $|\langle Tx, Sx \rangle| = \|T\| \|S\|$ . Choose  $a = Tx$  and  $b = Sx$  in Lemma 2.1 to get

$$\|Tx + \gamma Sx\|^2 \|Sx\|^2 - |\langle Tx + \gamma Sx, Sx \rangle|^2 = \|Tx\|^2 \|Sx\|^2 - |\langle Tx, Sx \rangle|^2, \quad (2.4)$$

for all  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ . It follows from (2.4) that

$$\|Tx + \gamma Sx\|^2 \|Sx\|^2 - |\langle Tx + \gamma Sx, Sx \rangle|^2 = 0,$$

or equivalently,

$$|\langle Tx + \gamma Sx, Sx \rangle| = \|Tx + \gamma Sx\| \|Sx\| \quad (\gamma \in \mathbb{C}).$$

By the condition for equality in the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality we conclude that the vectors  $Tx + \gamma Sx$  and  $Sx$  are linearly dependent.

The implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) follows also by the same argument.  $\square$

For  $T, S \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , Bhatia and Šemrl [1, Theorem 1.1 and Remark 3.1] proved that  $T \perp_B S$  if and only if there exists a sequence of unit vectors  $\{x_n\}$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Tx_n\| = \|T\| \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle Tx_n, Sx_n \rangle = 0.$$

For a compact operator  $T$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $S \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  it was shown in [13, Corollary 2.6] that  $T \perp_B S$  if and only if there exists  $x \in \mathbb{M}_T$  such that

$$\langle Tx, Sx \rangle = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

In the following, we give a characterization of the Birkhoff–James orthogonality for operators in  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $T, S \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and suppose that  $T$  is compact. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $T \perp_B S$ .
- (ii) *There exists  $x \in \mathbb{M}_T$  such that for every  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$*

$$\|Tx + \gamma Sx\|^2 = \|Tx\|^2 + |\gamma|^2 \|Sx\|^2.$$

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Let  $T \perp_B S$ . By (2.5), there exists  $x \in \mathbb{M}_T$  such that  $\langle Tx, Sx \rangle = 0$ . As in the proof of Theorem 2.3, equality (2.4) gives

$$\|Tx + \gamma Sx\|^2 \|Sx\|^2 - |\gamma|^2 \|Sx\|^4 = \|Tx\|^2 \|Sx\|^2,$$

and hence

$$\|Tx + \gamma Sx\|^2 = \|Tx\|^2 + |\gamma|^2 \|Sx\|^2 \quad (\gamma \in \mathbb{C}).$$

The same proof works for the implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i).  $\square$

Recently, Turnšek [13] introduced a weaker notions of operator Birkhoff–James orthogonality. It is said that  $T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is  $r$ -orthogonal to  $S \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , denoted by  $T \perp_B^r S$  if  $\|T + \gamma S\| \geq \|T\|$  for all  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ . Some applications of the  $r$ -orthogonality in the geometry of Hilbert space operators can be found in [13]. In [13, Corollary 2.6] (see also [2, Theorem 2.6]) the author proved that for compact operator  $T$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $S \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , we have  $T \perp_B^r S$  if and only if there exists  $x \in \mathbb{M}_T$  such that

$$\operatorname{Re}\langle Tx, Sx \rangle = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

We next give a characterization of  $r$ -orthogonality of operators in  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $T, S \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and suppose that  $T$  is compact. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $T \perp_B^r S$ .  
 (ii) There exists  $x \in \mathbb{M}_T$  such that for every  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\|Tx + \gamma Sx\|^2 = \|Tx\|^2 + \gamma^2 \|Sx\|^2.$$

*Proof.* Let  $a, b \in \mathcal{H}$ . A direct calculation shows that

$$\|a + \gamma b\|^2 \|b\|^2 - (\operatorname{Re}\langle a + \gamma b, b \rangle)^2 = \|a\|^2 \|b\|^2 - (\operatorname{Re}\langle a, b \rangle)^2 \quad (\gamma \in \mathbb{R}). \quad (2.7)$$

As in the proof of Theorem 2.4, the equivalence (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii) follows from (2.6) and (2.7). The details are left to the reader.  $\square$

We finish this section with some new refinements of numerical radius inequalities for Hilbert space operators. The following auxiliary results are needed.

**Lemma 2.6.** [5] *If  $a, b, e \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $\|e\| = 1$ , then*

$$2|\langle a, e \rangle \langle e, b \rangle| \leq \|a\| \|b\| + |\langle a, b \rangle|.$$

**Lemma 2.7.** [18, Corollary 2.3] *Let  $T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then*

$$\|T\|^2 + c^2(T) \leq \|Tx\|^2 + |\langle Tx, x \rangle|^2 \leq 4w^2(T) \quad (x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\| = 1).$$

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $\xi \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ . Then*

- (i)  $\|T\|^2 - w^2(T) \leq \inf_{\gamma \in \mathbb{C}} \left\{ \|T - \gamma I\|^2 - c^2(T - \gamma I) \right\}$ .  
 (ii)  $\left(1 - \frac{\|T - \xi I\|^2}{|\xi|^2}\right) \|T\|^2 \leq w^2(T) - \frac{c^2(T^*T - \xi T^*)}{|\xi|^2}$ .  
 (iii)  $w^2(T) - w(T^2) \leq \inf_{\gamma \in \mathbb{C}} \left\{ \frac{\|T - \gamma T^*\|^2 \|T\|^2 - c^2(T^2 - \gamma T T^*)}{\|T\|^2 + c^2(T)} \right\}$ .

*Proof.* (i) Suppose that  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $\|x\| = 1$ . Choose  $a = Tx$  and  $b = -x$  in Lemma 2.1 to give

$$\|Tx\|^2 - |\langle Tx, x \rangle|^2 = \|Tx - \gamma x\|^2 - |\langle Tx - \gamma x, x \rangle|^2 \quad (\gamma \in \mathbb{C}),$$

whence

$$\|Tx\|^2 - |\langle Tx, x \rangle|^2 \leq \|T - \gamma I\|^2 - c^2(T - \gamma I) \quad (\gamma \in \mathbb{C}).$$

Thus

$$\|Tx\|^2 - |\langle Tx, x \rangle|^2 \leq \inf_{\gamma \in \mathbb{C}} \left\{ \|T - \gamma I\|^2 - c^2(T - \gamma I) \right\}. \quad (2.8)$$

Now, if we take the supremum over all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $\|x\| = 1$  in (2.8), then we get

$$\|T\|^2 - w^2(T) \leq \inf_{\gamma \in \mathbb{C}} \left\{ \|T - \gamma I\|^2 - c^2(T - \gamma I) \right\}.$$

(ii) Put  $a = -\xi x$ ,  $b = Tx$  and  $\gamma = 1$ , where  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $\|x\| = 1$ , in Lemma 2.1. We get

$$|\xi|^2 \|Tx\|^2 - |\xi|^2 |\langle x, Tx \rangle|^2 = \|-\xi x + Tx\|^2 \|Tx\|^2 - |\langle -\xi x + Tx, Tx \rangle|^2.$$

Thus

$$\|Tx\|^2 - |\langle Tx, x \rangle|^2 = \|Tx\|^2 \frac{\|Tx - \xi x\|^2}{|\xi|^2} - \frac{|\langle T^*Tx - \xi T^*x, x \rangle|^2}{|\xi|^2},$$

which implies

$$\|Tx\|^2 - |\langle Tx, x \rangle|^2 \leq \|T\|^2 \frac{\|T - \xi I\|^2}{|\xi|^2} - \frac{c^2(T^*T - \xi T^*)}{|\xi|^2}.$$

Taking the supremum over all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $\|x\| = 1$ , we deduce

$$\|T\|^2 - w^2(T) \leq \|T\|^2 \frac{\|T - \xi I\|^2}{|\xi|^2} - \frac{c^2(T^*T - \xi T^*)}{|\xi|^2},$$

and then also  $\left(1 - \frac{\|T - \xi I\|^2}{|\xi|^2}\right) \|T\|^2 \leq w^2(T) - \frac{c^2(T^*T - \xi T^*)}{|\xi|^2}$ .

(iii) Put  $a = Tx$  and  $b = -T^*x$ , where  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $\|x\| = 1$ , in Lemma 2.1. For any  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ , we deduce that

$$\|Tx\|^2 \|T^*x\|^2 - |\langle Tx, T^*x \rangle|^2 = \|Tx - \gamma T^*x\|^2 \|T^*x\|^2 - |\langle Tx - \gamma T^*x, T^*x \rangle|^2,$$

that is

$$\|Tx\|^2 \|T^*x\|^2 = |\langle T^2x, x \rangle|^2 + \|Tx - \gamma T^*x\|^2 \|T^*x\|^2 - |\langle T^2x - \gamma TT^*x, x \rangle|^2.$$

This ensures

$$\|Tx\|^2 \|T^*x\|^2 \leq w^2(T^2) + \|T - \gamma T^*\|^2 \|T\|^2 - c^2(T^2 - \gamma TT^*). \quad (2.9)$$

Now, putting  $a = Tx$ ,  $b = T^*x$  and  $e = x$  in Lemma 2.6 gives

$$2|\langle Tx, x \rangle \langle x, T^*x \rangle| \leq \|Tx\| \|T^*x\| + |\langle Tx, T^*x \rangle|,$$

whence

$$2|\langle Tx, x \rangle|^2 \leq \|Tx\| \|T^*x\| + |\langle T^2x, x \rangle|.$$

Thus

$$2|\langle Tx, x \rangle|^2 \leq \|Tx\| \|T^*x\| + w(T^2). \quad (2.10)$$

By (2.9) and (2.10), we obtain

$$2|\langle Tx, x \rangle|^2 \leq \sqrt{w^2(T^2) + \|T - \gamma T^*\|^2 \|T\|^2 - c^2(T^2 - \gamma TT^*)} + w(T^2).$$

Taking the supremum in the above inequality over all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $\|x\| = 1$ , we get

$$2w^2(T) \leq \sqrt{w^2(T^2) + \|T - \gamma T^*\|^2 \|T\|^2 - c^2(T^2 - \gamma TT^*)} + w(T^2),$$

or equivalently,

$$(2w^2(T) - w(T^2))^2 \leq w^2(T^2) + \|T - \gamma T^*\|^2 \|T\|^2 - c^2(T^2 - \gamma TT^*).$$

This yields

$$w^2(T) - w(T^2) \leq \frac{\|T - \gamma T^*\|^2 \|T\|^2 - c^2(T^2 - \gamma TT^*)}{4w^2(T)}.$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 2.7 we have  $\|T\|^2 + c^2(T) \leq 4w^2(T)$ . So, from the above inequality we get

$$w^2(T) - w(T^2) \leq \frac{\|T - \gamma T^*\|^2 \|T\|^2 - c^2(T^2 - \gamma TT^*)}{\|T\|^2 + c^2(T)} \quad (\gamma \in \mathbb{C}).$$

Finally we conclude that

$$w^2(T) - w(T^2) \leq \inf_{\gamma \in \mathbb{C}} \left\{ \frac{\|T - \gamma T^*\|^2 \|T\|^2 - c^2(T^2 - \gamma T T^*)}{\|T\|^2 + c^2(T)} \right\}.$$

□

### 3. NORM-PARALLELISM OF OPERATORS AND AN EQUALITY CONDITION FOR THE DAVIS–WIELANDT RADIUS

To establish the following result we use some ideas of [9, Lemma 1].

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $T \parallel I$ .
- (ii)  $dw(T) = \sqrt{w^2(T) + \|T\|^4}$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Let  $T \parallel I$ . From (2.2), there exists a sequence of unit vectors  $\{x_n\}$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle T x_n, x_n \rangle| = \|T\|. \quad (3.1)$$

We have

$$|\langle T x_n, x_n \rangle| \leq \|T x_n\| \leq \|T\| \quad \text{and} \quad |\langle T x_n, x_n \rangle| \leq w(T) \leq \|T\|. \quad (3.2)$$

Hence, by (3.1) and (3.2), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T x_n\| = \|T\| \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle T x_n, x_n \rangle| = w(T). \quad (3.3)$$

Also, by the definition of  $dw(T)$  we have

$$\sqrt{|\langle T x_n, x_n \rangle|^2 + \|T x_n\|^4} \leq dw(T) \leq \sqrt{w^2(T) + \|T\|^4} \quad (3.4)$$

It follows from (3.3) and (3.4) that

$$dw(T) = \sqrt{w^2(T) + \|T\|^4}$$

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Let  $dw(T) = \sqrt{w^2(T) + \|T\|^4}$ . So, by the definition of  $dw(T)$ , there exists a sequence of unit vectors  $\{x_n\}$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{|\langle T x_n, x_n \rangle|^2 + \|T x_n\|^4} = \sqrt{w^2(T) + \|T\|^4}.$$

Then we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle T x_n, x_n \rangle| = w(T) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T x_n\| = \|T\|. \quad (3.5)$$

Our aim is to show that  $w(T) = \|T\|$ , and hence by (3.5) we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle T x_n, x_n \rangle| = \|T\|,$$

or equivalently  $T \parallel I$ . Write

$$T x_n = \alpha_n x_n + \beta_n y_n \quad (3.6)$$

with  $\langle x_n, y_n \rangle = 0$ ,  $\|y_n\| = 1$  and  $\alpha_n, \beta_n \in \mathbb{C}$ . It follows from (3.5) and (3.6) that  $\alpha_n = \langle T x_n, x_n \rangle$ ,  $\beta_n = \langle T x_n, y_n \rangle$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\alpha_n| = w(T)$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\alpha_n|^2 + |\beta_n|^2 = \|T\|^2.$$

Put  $\gamma_n = \langle Ty_n, x_n \rangle$ ,  $\delta_n = \langle Ty_n, y_n \rangle$  and  $T_n = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_n & \gamma_n \\ \beta_n & \delta_n \end{bmatrix}$ . Since

$$|\alpha_n| \leq w(T_n) \leq w(T)$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w(T_n) = w(T). \quad (3.7)$$

Furthermore, we have

$$|\alpha_n|^2 \leq w \left( \begin{bmatrix} |\alpha_n|^2 & \frac{\bar{\alpha}_n \gamma_n + \alpha_n \bar{\beta}_n}{2} \\ \frac{\bar{\alpha}_n \beta_n + \alpha_n \bar{\gamma}_n}{2} & \frac{\bar{\alpha}_n \delta_n + \alpha_n \bar{\delta}_n}{2} \end{bmatrix} \right) = w(\operatorname{Re}(\bar{\alpha}_n T_n)) \leq w(\bar{\alpha}_n T_n) \leq w^2(T).$$

Thus  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w(\operatorname{Re}(\bar{\alpha}_n T_n)) = w^2(T)$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{\alpha}_n \gamma_n + \alpha_n \bar{\beta}_n}{2} = 0$ . It follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\gamma_n| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\beta_n|. \quad (3.8)$$

On the other hands, we have

$$T_n^* T_n = \begin{bmatrix} |\alpha_n|^2 + |\beta_n|^2 & \bar{\alpha}_n \gamma_n + \bar{\beta}_n \delta_n \\ \alpha_n \bar{\gamma}_n + \beta_n \bar{\delta}_n & |\gamma_n|^2 + |\delta_n|^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$|\alpha_n|^2 + |\beta_n|^2 \leq \|T_n^* T_n\| = \|T_n\|^2 \leq \|T\|^2.$$

It follows from the above inequality that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_n^* T_n\| = \|T\|^2$  and hence we get  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\alpha}_n \gamma_n + \bar{\beta}_n \delta_n = 0$ . This yields

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\delta_n| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\alpha_n|. \quad (3.9)$$

By (3.8) and (3.9) we reach

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\gamma_n|^2 + |\delta_n|^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\alpha_n|^2 + |\beta_n|^2 = \|T\|^2,$$

from which we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n^* T_n = \begin{bmatrix} \|T\|^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \|T\|^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w(T_n) = \|T\|. \quad (3.10)$$

From (3.7) and (3.10) we conclude that  $w(T) = \|T\|$ .  $\square$

As a consequence of Theorem 3.1, we have the following result.

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $dw(T) = \sqrt{w^2(T) + \|T\|^4}$ .
- (ii)  $w(T) = \|T\|$ .
- (iii)  $dw(T) = \|T\| \sqrt{1 + \|T\|^2}$ .
- (iv)  $T^* T \leq w^2(T)I$ .



*Proof.* The equivalence (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) follows from the proof of Theorem 3.1.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) This implication follows from the equivalence (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii).

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Let (iii) holds. Since  $w(T) \leq \|T\|$ , we have

$$\|T\|\sqrt{1 + \|T\|^2} = dw(T) \leq \sqrt{w^2(T) + \|T\|^4} \leq \|T\|\sqrt{1 + \|T\|^2},$$

and so  $dw(T) = \sqrt{w^2(T) + \|T\|^4}$ .

(i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iv) By the equivalence (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii),  $dw(T) = \sqrt{w^2(T) + \|T\|^4}$  if and only if  $w(T) = \|T\|$ , that is,  $\|Tx\| \leq w(T)\|x\|$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ . This is equivalent to  $\|Tx\|^2 \leq w^2(T)\|x\|^2$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ , that is,  $\langle Tx, Tx \rangle \leq \langle w^2(T)x, x \rangle$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ , and finally  $\langle T^*Tx - w^2(T)x, x \rangle \leq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ , or equivalently,  $T^*T \leq w^2(T)I$ .  $\square$

Recall that if  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ , then  $\|x \otimes y\| = \|x\|\|y\|$  and  $w(x \otimes y) = \frac{1}{2}(\|\langle x, y \rangle\| + \|x\|\|y\|)$ , where  $x \otimes y$  is the rank one operator defined by  $(x \otimes y)(z) := \langle z, y \rangle x$  for all  $z \in \mathcal{H}$ .

**Corollary 3.3.** *For  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ , the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $dw(x \otimes y) = \sqrt{w^2(x \otimes y) + \|x \otimes y\|^4}$ .
- (ii) *The vectors  $x$  and  $y$  are linearly dependent.*

*Proof.* This follows from the equivalence (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) of Corollary 3.2.  $\square$

For  $T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  the following results were obtained in [19]:

$$T \| I \Leftrightarrow T \| T^* \quad \text{and} \quad T \| I \Leftrightarrow T^*T \| T^*. \quad (3.11)$$

As an immediate consequence of (2.2), (3.11) and Theorem 3.1, we have the following result.

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $dw(T) = \sqrt{w^2(T) + \|T\|^4}$ .
- (ii) *There exists a sequence of unit vectors  $\{x_n\}$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle T^2 x_n, x_n \rangle| = \|T\|^2.$$

- (iii) *There exists a sequence of unit vectors  $\{x_n\}$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle TT^*T x_n, x_n \rangle| = \|T\|^3.$$

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a finite dimensional Hilbert space and  $T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $dw(T) = \sqrt{w^2(T) + \|T\|^4}$ .
- (ii) *There exists a unit vector  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $|\langle Tx, x \rangle| = \|T\|$ .*
- (iii) *There exists  $x \in \mathbb{M}_T$  such that for every  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$  the vectors  $Tx + \gamma x$  and  $x$  are linearly dependent.*

*Proof.* This follows immediately from (2.3) and Theorems 3.1, 2.3.  $\square$

The following example shows that the condition of finite dimensionality in the implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) of Corollary 3.5 is essential.

**Example 3.6.** Consider the shift operator  $T : \ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2$  defined by

$$T(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \dots) = (0, \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \dots).$$

One can easily observe that  $dw(T) = \sqrt{w^2(T) + \|T\|^4} = \sqrt{2}$ , but there is no unit vector  $x \in \ell^2$  such that  $|\langle Tx, x \rangle| = \|T\|$ .

For a subspace  $\mathcal{H}_0$  of a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  let  $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{H}_0} = \{x \in \mathcal{H}_0 : \|x\| = 1\}$  and  $\|T\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^\perp} = \sup \{\|Tx\| : x \in \mathcal{H}_0^\perp, \|x\| = 1\}$ . Let us quote a result from [20].

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . If  $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{H}_0} = \mathbb{M}_T$ , where  $\mathcal{H}_0$  is a finite dimensional subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\|T\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^\perp} < \|T\|$ . Then for any  $S \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $T \parallel S$ .
- (ii) *There exists a unit vector  $x \in \mathcal{H}_0$  such that  $|\langle Tx, Sx \rangle| = \|T\|\|S\|$ .*

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.7, we have the following result.

**Corollary 3.8.** *Let  $T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . If  $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{H}_0} = \mathbb{M}_T$ , where  $\mathcal{H}_0$  is a finite dimensional subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\|T\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^\perp} < \|T\|$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $dw(T) = \sqrt{w^2(T) + \|T\|^4}$ .
- (ii) *There exists a unit vector  $x \in \mathcal{H}_0$  such that  $|\langle Tx, x \rangle| = \|T\|$ .*

**Acknowledgement.** This research is supported by a grant from the Iran National Science Foundation (INSF- No. 95013683).

## REFERENCES

1. R. Bhatia and P. Šemrl, *Orthogonality of matrices and some distance problems*, Linear Algebra Appl. **287**(1-3) (1999), 77–85.
2. T. Bhattacharyya and P. Grover, *Characterization of Birkhoff-James orthogonality*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **407** (2013), no. 2, 350–358.
3. M. T. Chien, H. L. Gau, C.-K. Li, M. C. Tsai, and K. Z. Wang, *Product of operators and numerical range*, Linear Multilinear Algebra, **64** (2016), no. 1, 58–67.
4. C. Davis, *The shell of a Hilbert-space operator*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **29** (1968), 69–86.
5. S. S. Dragomir, *A survey of some recent inequalities for the norm and numerical radius of operators in Hilbert spaces*, Banach J. Math. Anal. **1** (2007), no. 2, 154–175.
6. P. Grover, *Orthogonality of matrices in the Ky Fan  $k$ -norms*, Linear Multilinear Algebra, **65** (2017), no. 3, 496–509.
7. K. E. Gustafson and D. K. M. Rao, *Numerical range. The field of values of linear operators and matrices*, Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
8. F. Kittaneh, *Numerical radius inequalities for Hilbert space operators*, Studia Math. **168** (2005), no. 1, 73–80.
9. C.-K. Li, *Linear operators preserving the numerical radius of matrices*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **99** (1987), 601–608.
10. C.-K. Li, Y. T. Poon, and N. S. Sze, *Davis-Wielandt shells of operators*, Oper. Matrices. **2** (2008), 341–355.
11. M. S. Moslehian and A. Zamani, *Characterizations of operator Birkhoff-James orthogonality*, Canad. Math. Bull. **60** (2017), no. 4, 816–829.
12. K. Paul, D. Sain, and P. Ghosh, *Birkhoff-James orthogonality and smoothness of bounded linear operators*, Linear Algebra Appl. **506** (2016), 551–563.

13. A. Turnšek, *A remark on orthogonality and symmetry of operators in  $B(H)$* , Linear Algebra Appl. **535** (2017), 141–150.
14. H. Wielandt, *On eigenvalues of sums of normal matrices*, Pacific J. Math. **5** (1955), 633–638.
15. P. Wójcik, *Norm-parallelism in classical  $M$ -ideals*, Indag. Math. (N.S.) **28** (2017), no. 2, 287–293.
16. T. Yamazaki, *On upper and lower bounds of the numerical radius and an equality condition*, Studia Math. **178** (2007), no. 1, 83–89.
17. A. Zamani, *The operator-valued parallelism*, Linear Algebra Appl. **505** (2016), 282–295.
18. A. Zamani, *Some lower bounds for the numerical radius of Hilbert space operators*, Adv. Oper. Theory **2** (2017), no. 2, 98–107.
19. A. Zamani and M. S. Moslehian, *Exact and approximate operator parallelism*, Canad. Math. Bull. **58**(1) (2015), 207–224.
20. A. Zamani and M. S. Moslehian, *Norm-parallelism in the geometry of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules*, Indag. Math. (N.S.) **27** (2016), no. 1, 266–281.

<sup>1</sup> DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FARHANGIAN UNIVERSITY, IRAN

*E-mail address:* zamani.ali85@yahoo.com

<sup>2</sup> DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, CENTER OF EXCELLENCE IN ANALYSIS ON ALGEBRAIC STRUCTURES (CEAAS), FERDOWSI UNIVERSITY OF MASHHAD, P. O. BOX 1159, MASHHAD 91775, IRAN

*E-mail address:* moslehian@um.ac.ir

<sup>3</sup> DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOOCHOW UNIVERSITY, TAIPEI 11102, TAIWAN

*E-mail address:* mtchien@scu.edu.tw

<sup>4</sup> FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, HIROSAKI UNIVERSITY, 1-BUNKYOCHO HIROSAKI-SHI AOMORI-KEN 036-8561, JAPAN

*E-mail address:* nakahr@hirosaki-u.ac.jp