

# ON THE STATIONARY NONLOCAL CAHN-HILLIARD-NAVIER-STOKES SYSTEM: EXISTENCE, UNIQUENESS AND EXPONENTIAL STABILITY

TANIA BISWAS<sup>1</sup>, SHEETAL DHARMATTI<sup>1\*</sup>, PERISETTI LAKSHMI NAGA MAHENDRANATH<sup>1</sup>  
AND MANIL T MOHAN<sup>2</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes system describes the evolution of two isothermal, incompressible, immiscible fluids in a bounded domain. In this work, we consider the stationary nonlocal Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes system in two and three dimensions with singular potential. We prove the existence of a weak solution for the system using pseudo-monotonicity arguments and Browder's theorem. Further, we establish the uniqueness and regularity results for the weak solution of the stationary nonlocal Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes system for constant mobility parameter and viscosity. Finally, in two dimensions, we establish that the stationary solution is exponentially stable (for convex singular potentials) under suitable conditions on mobility parameter and viscosity.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We consider a mathematical model of two isothermal, incompressible, immiscible fluids evolving in two or three dimensional bounded domains. This system of equations is well known as *Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes(CHNS) system* or is also known as H-Model. Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes model describes the chemical interactions between the two phases at the interface, which is achieved using a Cahn-Hilliard approach, and also the hydrodynamic properties of the mixture which is obtained by using Navier-Stokes equations with surface tension terms acting at the interface (see [22]). If the two fluids have the same constant density, then the temperature differences are negligible and the diffusive interface between the two phases has a small but non-zero thickness, and thus we have the well-known "H-Model" (see [23]). The equations for evolution of the Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes/H-model

---

*Keywords:* Steady state nonlocal Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes systems, maximal monotone operator, pseudo-monotonicity, exponential stability

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 49J20, 35Q35, 76D03.

<sup>1</sup>School of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Thiruvananthapuram (IISER-TVM), Maruthamala PO, Vithura, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, 695 551, INDIA.

*e-mail:* tania0003@gmail.com (Tania Biswas)

*e-mail:* sheetal@iisertvm.ac.in (Sheetal Dharmatti)

*e-mail:* plnmn915@iisertvm.ac.in (Periseti Lakshmi Naga Mahendranath)

\*Corresponding Author

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee-IIT Roorkee, Haridwar Highway, Roorkee, Uttarakhand 247667,

*e-mail:* maniltmohan@gmail.com, manilfma@iitr.ac.in (Manil T. Mohan)

are given by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varphi_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \varphi = \operatorname{div}(m(\varphi)\nabla\mu), \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \mu = a\varphi - J * \varphi + F'(\varphi), \\ \rho \mathbf{u}_t - 2\operatorname{div}(\nu(\varphi)\mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}) + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u} + \nabla\pi = \mu\nabla\varphi + \mathbf{h}, \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0, \quad \mathbf{u} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times [0, T], \\ \mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{u}_0, \quad \varphi(0) = \varphi_0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \end{array} \right. \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n = 2, 3$  and  $\mathbf{u}(x, t)$  and  $\varphi(x, t)$  denote the average velocity of the fluid and the relative concentration respectively. These equations are of the nonlocal type because of the presence of the term  $J$ , which is the *spatial-dependent internal kernel* and  $J * \varphi$  denotes the spatial convolution over  $\Omega$ . The mobility parameter is denoted by  $m$ ,  $\mu$  is the *chemical potential*,  $\pi$  is the *pressure*,  $a$  is defined by  $a(x) := \int_{\Omega} J(x-y)dy$ ,  $F$  is the configuration potential, which accounts for the presence of two phases,  $\nu$  is the *kinematic viscosity* and  $\mathbf{h}$  is the external forcing term acting in the mixture. The strain tensor  $\mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}$  is the symmetric part of the gradient of the flow velocity vector, i.e.,  $\mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}$  is  $\frac{1}{2}(\nabla\mathbf{u} + (\nabla\mathbf{u})^T)$ . The chemical potential  $\mu$  is the first variation of the free energy functional:

$$\mathcal{E}(\varphi) := \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} J(x-y)(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))^2 dx dy + \int_{\Omega} F(\varphi(x)) dx.$$

Various simplified models of this system are studied by several mathematicians and physicists. The local version of the system (see [8, 22]) is obtained by replacing  $\mu$  equation by  $\mu = -\Delta\varphi + F'(\varphi)$ , which is the first variation of the free energy functional

$$\mathcal{E}(\varphi) := \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} |\nabla\varphi(x)|^2 + F(\varphi(x)) \right) dx.$$

Another simplification appeared in the literature is to assume the constant mobility parameter and/or constant viscosity. The solvability, uniqueness and regularity of the system (1.1) and of other simplified Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes models is well studied in the literature though most of the works are recent ones. Typically two types of potentials are considered in the literature, regular potential as well as singular potential. In general, singular potentials are difficult to handle and in such cases,  $F(\cdot)$  is usually approximated by polynomials in order to make the mathematical analysis easier (see [3]).

The nonlocal Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes system with regular potential has been analysed by M. Grasselli et al. in [10, 14, 18], etc. Taking advantage of the results for the regular potential, they have also studied in [17], the existence of weak solution of the system with singular potential. Furthermore, they proved the existence of the global attractor in 2D and trajectory attractor in 3D. Strong solutions for the nonlocal Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes system was discussed in [15]. Uniqueness results for the same were established in [14]. In [21], authors considered the nonlocal Cahn-Hilliard equation with singular potential and constant mobility and studied well-posedness and regularity results. Moreover, they established the strict separation property in dimension 2. Regularity results in case of degenerate mobility were studied in [13]. The local Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes system with singular free energies has been studied in [1, 8]. Further, along the application side, the optimal control of nonlocal

Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes equations and robust control for local Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes equations have been addressed in the works [20, 19, 35, 33, 6, 7], etc.

Solvability results for the stationary nonlocal Cahn-Hilliard equations with singular potential were discussed in [24], whereas authors in [3] proved the convergence to the equilibrium solution of Cahn-Hilliard system with logarithmic free energy. The existence of the equilibrium solution for the steady state Navier-Stokes equation is well known in the literature and can be found in the book [37]. In [2], the authors discussed the existence of a weak solution to the stationary local Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes equations. The author in [34] studied a coupled Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes model with delays in a two-dimensional bounded domains and discussed the asymptotic behaviour of the weak solutions and the stability of the stationary solutions. In this work, our main aim is to study the well-posedness of nonlocal steady state system corresponding to the model described in (1.1) in dimensions 2 and 3 and to examine the stability properties of this solution in dimension 2 (for convex singular potentials).

Throughout this paper, we consider  $F$  to be a singular potential. A typical example is the logarithmic potential:

$$F(\varphi) = \frac{\theta}{2}((1 + \varphi) \ln(1 + \varphi) + (1 - \varphi) \ln(1 - \varphi)) - \frac{\theta_c}{2}\varphi^2, \quad \varphi \in (-1, 1), \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\theta, \theta_c > 0$ . The logarithmic terms are related to the entropy of the system and note that  $F$  is convex if and only if  $\theta \geq \theta_c$ . If  $\theta \geq \theta_c$  the mixed phase is stable and if  $0 < \theta < \theta_c$ , the mixed phase is unstable and phase separation occurs. To the best of our knowledge, the solvability results for stationary nonlocal Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes equations is not available in the literature. In the current work, using techniques similar to the one developed in [2], we resolve this issue. We prove the existence of a weak solution to the stationary nonlocal Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes system in dimensions 2 and 3 with variable mobility and viscosity. Further, we answer the questions of uniqueness and regularity of the solution for the equations with constant viscosity and mobility parameters. In dimensions 2 and 3, we show that the weak solution possesses higher regularity. The uniqueness of weak solutions is established under certain conditions on the viscosity and mobility parameters. Lastly, for constant viscosity and mobility parameters and convex singular potential, we establish that the strong solution of steady state equations in dimension 2, stabilizes exponentially.

The main difficulty to tackle while obtaining these results was to handle the nonlocal term. The nonlocal term in the equation needs careful estimation. Moreover, to the best of our knowledge, apart from existence and regularity results for steady state equation, which we have obtained here is the first work to discuss exponential stability of nonlocal Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes model. These results can be useful to study stabilisation properties of control problems associated with the system.

Rest of the paper is organised as follows: In the next section, we explain functional setting for the solvability of stationary nonlocal Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes equations (2.1) (given below). We define the weak formulation of our system in section 3. The existence of a weak solution to the nonlocal Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes equations (2.1) is proved using pseudo-monotonicity arguments and Browder's theorem in this section (see Theorem 3.19). In further studies, we assume the mobility parameter and viscosity to be constant. The section 4 is devoted to study the uniqueness of a weak solution for the system (2.1) and some

regularity results. We establish the uniqueness of weak solutions under certain assumptions on mobility parameter and viscosity (see Theorem 4.1). Further, we derive some regularity results for the solution. Finally, in section 5, we establish that the stationary solution in two dimensions is exponentially stable (see Theorem 5.5) under certain restrictions on mobility parameter and viscosity.

## 2. STATIONARY NONLOCAL CAHN-HILLIARD-NAVIER-STOKES SYSTEM

In this section, we consider the stationary nonlocal Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes system in two and three dimensional bounded domains. Here, we consider the case of the coefficient of kinematic viscosity and mobility parameter depending on  $\varphi$ . Let us consider the following steady state system associated with the equation (1.1):

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \varphi = \operatorname{div}(m(\varphi)\nabla\mu), \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \mu = a\varphi - J * \varphi + F'(\varphi), \text{ in } \Omega, \\ -2\operatorname{div}(\nu(\varphi)D\mathbf{u}) + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u} + \nabla\pi = \mu\nabla\varphi + \mathbf{h}, \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0, \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial\mu}{\partial\mathbf{n}} = 0, \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0} \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{array} \right. \quad (2.1)$$

with average of  $\varphi$  given by

$$\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x) dx = k \in (-1, 1),$$

where  $|\Omega|$  is the Lebesgue measure of  $\Omega$ . Our main aim in this work is to study the existence, uniqueness, regularity and stability of the system (2.1). For solvability, we formulate the problem in an abstract setup and use the well known Browder's theorem to establish the existence of a weak solution to the system (2.1). We further study regularity, uniqueness and exponential stability of the system with constant viscosity and mobility parameters by establishing a-priori estimates and under certain conditions on viscosity and mobility.

**2.1. Functional setting.** We first explain the functional spaces needed to obtain our main results. Let us define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{G}_{\operatorname{div}} &:= \left\{ \mathbf{u} \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n) : \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0, \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \right\}, \\ \mathbb{V}_{\operatorname{div}} &:= \left\{ \mathbf{u} \in H_0^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n) : \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0 \right\}, \\ \mathbb{H} &:= L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}), \quad \mathbb{V} := H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $n = 2, 3$ . Let us denote  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ , the norm and the scalar product, respectively, on both  $\mathbb{H}$  and  $\mathbb{G}_{\operatorname{div}}$ . The duality between any Hilbert space  $\mathbb{X}$  and its topological dual  $\mathbb{X}'$  is denoted by  ${}_{\mathbb{X}'}\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{X}}$ . We know that  $\mathbb{V}_{\operatorname{div}}$  is endowed with the scalar product

$$(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})_{\mathbb{V}_{\operatorname{div}}} = (\nabla\mathbf{u}, \nabla\mathbf{v}) = 2(D\mathbf{u}, D\mathbf{v}), \text{ for all } \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{V}_{\operatorname{div}}.$$

The norm on  $\mathbb{V}_{\operatorname{div}}$  is given by  $\|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{V}_{\operatorname{div}}}^2 := \int_{\Omega} |\nabla\mathbf{u}(x)|^2 dx = \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|^2$ . In the sequel, we use the notations  $\mathbb{H}^m(\Omega) := H^m(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n) = W^{m,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $H^m(\Omega) := H^m(\Omega; \mathbb{R}) = W^{m,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$  for Sobolev spaces of order  $m$ .

Let us also define

$$\begin{aligned} L^2_{(k)}(\Omega) &:= \left\{ f \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}) : \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} f(x) dx = k \right\}, \\ H^1_{(0)}(\Omega) &:= H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}) \cap L^2_{(0)}(\Omega) = \left\{ f \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}) : \int_{\Omega} f(x) dx = 0 \right\}, \\ H^{-1}_{(0)}(\Omega) &:= H^1_{(0)}(\Omega)'. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $L^2_{(0)}(\Omega)$  is a Hilbert space equipped with the usual inner product in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . Since  $\Omega$  is a bounded smooth domain and the average of  $f$  is zero in  $H^1_{(0)}(\Omega)$ , using the Poincaré-Wirtinger inequality (see Lemma 2.5 below), we have  $\|f\| \leq C_{\Omega} \|\nabla f\|$ , for all  $f \in H^1_{(0)}(\Omega)$ . Using this fact, one can also show that  $H^1_{(0)}(\Omega)$  is a Hilbert space equipped with the inner product

$$(\varphi, \psi)_{H^1_{(0)}} = (\nabla \varphi, \nabla \psi), \quad \text{for all } \varphi, \psi \in H^1_{(0)}(\Omega).$$

We can prove the following dense and continuous embedding:

$$H^1_{(0)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2_{(0)}(\Omega) \equiv L^2_{(0)}(\Omega)' \hookrightarrow H^{-1}_{(0)}(\Omega). \quad (2.2)$$

Note that the embedding is compact (see for example, Theorem 1, Chapter 5, [12]). The projection  $P_0 : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2_{(0)}(\Omega)$  onto  $L^2$ -space with mean value zero is defined by

$$P_0 f := f - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} f(x) dx \quad (2.3)$$

for every  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ .

For every  $f \in V'$ , we denote  $\bar{f}$  the average of  $f$  over  $\Omega$ , that is,  $\bar{f} := |\Omega|^{-1} {}_{V'}\langle f, 1 \rangle_V$ . Let us also introduce the spaces (see [14] for more details)

$$\begin{aligned} V_0 &= H^1_{(0)}(\Omega) = \{v \in V : \bar{v} = 0\}, \\ V'_0 &= H^{-1}_{(0)}(\Omega) = \{f \in V' : \bar{f} = 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

and the operator  $\mathcal{A} : V \rightarrow V'$  is defined by

$${}_{V'}\langle \mathcal{A}u, v \rangle_V := \int_{\Omega} \nabla u(x) \cdot \nabla v(x) dx, \quad \text{for all } u, v \in V.$$

Clearly  $\mathcal{A}$  is linear and it maps  $V$  into  $V'_0$  and its restriction  $\mathcal{B}$  to  $V_0$  onto  $V'_0$  is an isomorphism. We know that for every  $f \in V'_0$ ,  $\mathcal{B}^{-1}f$  is the unique solution with zero mean value of the *Neumann problem*:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

In addition, we have

$${}_{V'}\langle \mathcal{A}u, \mathcal{B}^{-1}f \rangle_V = {}_{V'}\langle f, u \rangle_V, \quad \text{for all } u \in V, f \in V'_0, \quad (2.4)$$

$${}_{V'}\langle f, \mathcal{B}^{-1}g \rangle_V = {}_{V'}\langle g, \mathcal{B}^{-1}f \rangle_V = \int_{\Omega} \nabla(\mathcal{B}^{-1}f) \cdot \nabla(\mathcal{B}^{-1}g) dx, \quad \text{for all } f, g \in V'_0. \quad (2.5)$$

Note that  $\mathcal{B}$  can be also viewed as an unbounded linear operator on  $H$  with domain  $D(\mathcal{B}) = \{v \in H^2(\Omega) \cap V_0 : \frac{\partial v}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}$ .

Below, we give some facts about the elliptic regularity theory of Laplace operator  $B_N = -\Delta + I$  with Neumann boundary conditions.

**Lemma 2.1** ( *$L^p$  regularity for Neumann Laplacian, [27], Theorem 9.26, [9]*). *Let us assume that  $u$  satisfies  $B_N u = f$  and  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0$  in weak sense. Then the following holds:*

- (i) *Let  $f \in (W^{1,p'}(\Omega))'$ , with  $1 < p' < \infty$ . Then  $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$  and there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \leq C \|f\|_{(W^{1,p'}(\Omega))'}.$$

- (ii) *Let  $f \in L^p(\Omega)$ , with  $1 < p < \infty$ . Then,  $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ ,  $-\Delta u + u = f$  for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$  in the sense of traces and there exists  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\|u\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}.$$

**2.2. Linear and non-linear operators.** Let us define the Stokes operator  $A : D(A) \cap \mathbb{G}_{\text{div}} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{\text{div}}$ . In the case of no slip boundary condition

$$A = -P\Delta, \quad D(A) = \mathbb{H}^2(\Omega) \cap \mathbb{V}_{\text{div}},$$

where  $P : \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{\text{div}}$  is the *Helmholtz-Hodge orthogonal projection*. Note also that, we have

$$\mathbb{V}'_{\text{div}} \langle A\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\mathbb{V}_{\text{div}}} = (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})_{\mathbb{V}_{\text{div}}} = (\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{v}), \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{V}_{\text{div}}.$$

It should also be noted that  $A^{-1} : \mathbb{G}_{\text{div}} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{\text{div}}$  is a self-adjoint compact operator on  $\mathbb{G}_{\text{div}}$  and by the classical *spectral theorem*, there exists a sequence  $\lambda_j$  with  $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_j \leq \dots \rightarrow +\infty$  and a family of  $\mathbf{e}_j \in D(A)$ , which is orthonormal in  $\mathbb{G}_{\text{div}}$  and such that  $A\mathbf{e}_j = \lambda_j \mathbf{e}_j$ . We know that  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{G}_{\text{div}}$  can be expressed as  $\mathbf{u} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{e}_j \rangle \mathbf{e}_j$ , so that  $A\mathbf{u} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{e}_j \rangle \mathbf{e}_j$ , for all  $\mathbf{u} \in D(A) \subset \mathbb{G}_{\text{div}}$ . Thus, it is immediate that

$$\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|^2 = \langle A\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j |\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{e}_j \rangle|^2 \geq \lambda_1 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{e}_j \rangle|^2 = \lambda_1 \|\mathbf{u}\|^2. \quad (2.6)$$

For  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{V}_{\text{div}}$ , we define the trilinear operator  $b(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  as

$$b(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{u}(x) \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v}(x) \cdot \mathbf{w}(x) dx = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} u_i(x) \frac{\partial v_j(x)}{\partial x_i} w_j(x) dx,$$

and the bilinear operator  $B$  from  $\mathbb{V}_{\text{div}} \times \mathbb{V}_{\text{div}}$  into  $\mathbb{V}'_{\text{div}}$  is defined by,

$$\mathbb{V}'_{\text{div}} \langle B(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}), \mathbf{w} \rangle_{\mathbb{V}_{\text{div}}} := b(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}), \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{V}_{\text{div}}.$$

An integration by parts yields,

$$\begin{cases} b(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) = 0, & \text{for all } \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{V}_{\text{div}}, \\ b(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = -b(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}), & \text{for all } \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{V}_{\text{div}}. \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

For more details about the linear and non-linear operators, we refer the readers to [36]. Let us now provide some important inequalities, which are used frequently in the paper.

**Lemma 2.2** (Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, Theorem 2.1, [11]). *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbf{u} \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $p \geq 1$ . Then for any fixed number  $p, q \geq 1$ , there exists a constant  $C > 0$  depending only on  $n, p, q$  such that*

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^r} \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^p}^\theta \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^q}^{1-\theta}, \quad \theta \in [0, 1], \quad (2.8)$$

where the numbers  $p, q, r, n$  and  $\theta$  satisfy the relation

$$\theta = \left( \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \right) \left( \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \right)^{-1}.$$

A particular case of Lemma 2.2 is the well known inequality due to Ladyzhenskaya (see Lemma 1 and 2, Chapter 1, [25]), which is given below:

**Lemma 2.3** (Ladyzhenskaya's inequality). *For  $\mathbf{u} \in C_0^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $n = 2, 3$ , there exists a constant  $C$  such that*

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \leq C^{1/4} \|\mathbf{u}\|^{1-\frac{n}{4}} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{\frac{n}{4}}, \quad \text{for } n = 2, 3, \quad (2.9)$$

where  $C = 2, 4$ , for  $n = 2, 3$  respectively.

Note that the above inequality is true even in unbounded domains. For  $n = 3$ ,  $r = 6$ ,  $p = q = 2$ , from (2.8), we find  $\theta = 1$  and

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\| = C \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{V}_{\text{div}}}.$$

For  $n = 2$ , the following estimate holds:

$$|b(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})| \leq \sqrt{2} \|\mathbf{u}\|^{1/2} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|^{1/2} \|\mathbf{v}\|^{1/2} \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|^{1/2} \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|,$$

for every  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{V}_{\text{div}}$ . Hence, for all  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{V}_{\text{div}}$ , we have

$$\|B(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u})\|_{\mathbb{V}'_{\text{div}}} \leq \sqrt{2} \|\mathbf{u}\| \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\lambda_1}} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{V}_{\text{div}}}^2, \quad (2.10)$$

by using the Poincaré inequality. Similarly, for  $n = 3$ , we have

$$|b(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})| \leq 2 \|\mathbf{u}\|^{1/4} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|^{3/4} \|\mathbf{v}\|^{1/4} \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|^{3/4} \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|,$$

for every  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{V}_{\text{div}}$ . Hence, for all  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{V}_{\text{div}}$ , using the Poincaré inequality, we have

$$\|B(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u})\|_{\mathbb{V}'_{\text{div}}} \leq 2 \|\mathbf{u}\|^{1/2} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|^{3/2} \leq \frac{2}{\lambda_1^{1/4}} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{V}_{\text{div}}}^2. \quad (2.11)$$

We also need the following general version of the Gagliardo-Nirenberg interpolation inequality to prove the regularity results. For functions  $\mathbf{u} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  defined on a bounded Lipschitz domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , the Gagliardo-Nirenberg interpolation inequality is given by:

**Lemma 2.4** (Gagliardo-Nirenberg interpolation inequality, Theorem on Page125, [29]). *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathbf{u} \in W^{m,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $p \geq 1$  and fix  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$  and a natural number  $m$ . Suppose also that a real number  $\theta$  and a natural number  $j$  are such that*

$$\theta = \left( \frac{j}{n} + \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \right) \left( \frac{m}{n} - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \right)^{-1} \quad (2.12)$$

and  $\frac{j}{m} \leq \theta \leq 1$ . Then for any  $\mathbf{u} \in W^{m,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ , we have

$$\|\nabla^j \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^r} \leq C \left( \|\nabla^m \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^p}^\theta \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^q}^{1-\theta} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^s} \right), \quad (2.13)$$

where  $s > 0$  is arbitrary and the constant  $C$  depends upon the domain  $\Omega, m, n$ .

If  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $m - j - \frac{n}{p}$  is a non-negative integer, then it is necessary to assume also that  $\theta \neq 1$ . Note that for  $\mathbf{u} \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ , Lemma 2.2 is a special case of the above inequality, since for  $j = 0, m = 1$  and  $\frac{1}{s} = \frac{\theta}{p} + \frac{1-\theta}{q}$  in (2.13), and application of the Poincaré inequality yields (2.8). It should also be noted that (2.13) can also be written as

$$\|\nabla^j \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^r} \leq C \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{m,p}}^\theta \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^q}^{1-\theta}, \quad (2.14)$$

By taking  $j = 1, r = 4, n = m = p = q = s = 2$  in (2.12), we get  $\theta = \frac{3}{4}$ , and from (2.13) we get

$$\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \leq C (\|\Delta \mathbf{u}\|^{3/4} \|\mathbf{u}\|^{1/4} + \|\mathbf{u}\|). \quad (2.15)$$

Also, taking  $j = 1, r = 4, n = 3, m = p = q = s = 2$  in (2.12), we get  $\theta = \frac{7}{8}$ , and

$$\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \leq C (\|\Delta \mathbf{u}\|^{7/8} \|\mathbf{u}\|^{1/8} + \|\mathbf{u}\|). \quad (2.16)$$

**Lemma 2.5** (Poincaré-Wirtinger inequality, Corollary 12.28, [26]). *Assume that  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and that  $\Omega$  is a bounded connected open subset of the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  whose boundary is of class  $C$ . Then there exists a constant  $C_{\Omega,p} > 0$ , such that for every function  $\phi \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ ,*

$$\|\phi - \bar{\phi}\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C_{\Omega,p} \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^p(\Omega)},$$

where  $\bar{\phi} = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \phi(y) dy$  is the average value of  $\phi$  over  $\Omega$ .

**2.3. Basic assumptions.** Let us now make the following assumptions on  $J$  and  $F$  in order to establish the solvability results of the system (2.1). We suppose that the potential  $F$  can be written in the following form

$$F = F_1 + F_2$$

where  $F_1 \in C^{(2+2q)}(-1, 1)$  with  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  fixed, and  $F_2 \in C^2(-1, 1)$ .

Now, we list the assumptions on  $\nu, J, F_1, F_2$  and mobility  $m$  (cf. [17]).

(A1)  $J \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R})$ ,  $J(x) = J(-x)$  and  $a(x) = \int_{\Omega} J(x-y) dy \geq 0$ , a.e., in  $\Omega$ .

(A2) The function  $\nu$  is locally Lipschitz on  $\mathbb{R}$  and there exists  $\nu_1, \nu_2 > 0$  such that

$$\nu_1 \leq \nu(s) \leq \nu_2, \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(A3) There exist  $C_1 > 0$  and  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  such that

$$F_1^{(2+2q)}(s) \geq C_1, \quad \text{for all } s \in (-1, 1 + \epsilon_0] \cup [1 - \epsilon_0, 1).$$

(A4) There exists  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  such that, for each  $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2 + 2q$  and each  $j = 0, 1, \dots, q$ ,

$$F_1^{(k)}(s) > 0, \quad \text{for all } s \in [1 - \epsilon_0, 1)$$

$$F_1^{(2j+2)}(s) \geq 0, \quad F_1^{(2j+1)}(s) \leq 0, \quad \text{for all } s \in (-1, 1 + \epsilon_0].$$

(A5) There exists  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  such that  $F_1^{(2+2q)}$  is non-decreasing in  $[1 - \epsilon_0, 1)$  and non-increasing in  $(-1, -1 + \epsilon_0]$ .

(A6) There exists  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $\alpha + \beta > -\min_{[-1,1]} F_2''$  such that

$$F_1''(s) \geq \alpha, \quad \text{for all } s \in (-1, 1), \quad a(x) \geq \beta, \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega.$$



$$(A7) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow -1} F'_1(s) = -\infty \text{ and } \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} F'_1(s) = \infty.$$

(A8) The function  $m$  is locally Lipschitz function on  $\mathbb{R}$  and there exists  $m_1, m_2 > 0$  such that

$$m_1 \leq m(s) \leq m_2, \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

**Remark 2.6.** [16] *We can represent the potential  $F$  as a quadratic perturbation of a convex function. That is*

$$F(s) = G(s) - \frac{\kappa}{2}s^2, \quad (2.17)$$

where  $G$  is strictly convex and  $\kappa > 0$ .

We further assume that

(A9) there exists  $C_0 > 0$  such that  $F''(s) + a(x) \geq C_0$ , for all  $s \in (-1, 1)$  a.e.  $x \in \Omega$  and  $\|J\|_{L^1} \leq C_0 + \kappa$ .

**Remark 2.7.** *Assumption  $J \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R})$  can be weakened. Indeed, it can be replaced by  $J \in W^{1,1}(B_\delta; \mathbb{R})$ , where  $B_\delta := \{z \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |z| < \delta\}$  with  $\delta := \text{diam}(\Omega) = \sup_{x,y \in \Omega} d(x,y)$ , where  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the Euclidean metric on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , or also by*

$$\sup_{x \in \Omega} \int_{\Omega} (|J(x-y)| + |\nabla J(x-y)|) dy < +\infty. \quad (2.18)$$

Note that (2.18) says that  $\sup_{x \in \Omega} \|J\|_{W^{1,1}}$  is finite and hence assumption (A1) is justified.

**Remark 2.8.** *Assumptions (A3)-(A6) are satisfied in the case of the physically relevant logarithmic double-well potential (1.2), for any fixed positive integer  $q$ . In particular setting*

$$F_1(s) = \frac{\theta}{2}((1+s) \ln(1+s) + (1-s) \ln(1-s)), \quad F_2(s) = -\frac{\theta_c s^2}{2}$$

then Assumption (A6) is satisfied iff  $\beta > \theta_c - \theta$ .

### 3. EXISTENCE OF WEAK SOLUTION

In this section, we establish the existence of a weak solution to the system (2.1) using pseudo-monotonicity arguments and Browder's theorem. Let us first give the definition of *weak solution* of the system (2.1).

**Definition 3.1.** *Let  $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{V}'_{\text{div}}$  and  $k \in (-1, 1)$  be fixed. A triple  $(\mathbf{u}, \mu, \varphi) \in \mathbb{V}_{\text{div}} \times V \times (V \cap L^2_{(k)}(\Omega))$  is called a weak solution of the problem (2.1) if*

$$\int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \varphi) \psi \, dx = - \int_{\Omega} m(\varphi) \nabla \mu \cdot \nabla \psi \, dx, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \mu \psi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} (a\varphi - J * \varphi) \psi \, dx + \int_{\Omega} F'(\varphi) \psi \, dx, \quad (3.2)$$

$$\int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} 2\nu(\varphi) D\mathbf{u} : D\mathbf{v} \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \mu \nabla \varphi \cdot \mathbf{v} \, dx + \langle \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{v} \rangle, \quad (3.3)$$

for every  $\psi \in V$  and  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{V}_{\text{div}}$ .

Our aim is to establish the existence of a weak solution of the system (2.1) in the sense of Definition 3.1. But, when working with the above definition, some difficulties arise in the analysis of our problem. The most important one is that  $L^2_{(k)}(\Omega)$  is not a vector space for  $k \neq 0$ . But, we can assume that  $k = 0$  with out loss of generality. Otherwise replace  $\varphi$  by  $\tilde{\varphi} := \varphi - k$  and  $F$  by  $F_k$  with  $F_k(x) := F(x + k)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus, in order to establish a weak solution of the system (2.1), we first reformulate the problem (3.1)-(3.3). We prove the existence of a solution to the reformulated problem (3.4)-(3.6) (see below) instead of (3.1)-(3.3). We establish the equivalence of these two problems in Lemma 3.2.

We reduce  $\mu$  to  $\mu_0$ , which has mean value 0, and it would help in proving coercivity of an operator in the later part of this section. Let us fix  $\mu_0 = \mu - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} F'(\varphi) dx$ . Then the reformulated problem of (3.1)-(3.3) is given by

$$\int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \varphi) \psi \, dx = - \int_{\Omega} m(\varphi) \nabla \mu_0 \cdot \nabla \psi \, dx, \quad (3.4)$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \mu_0 \psi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} (a\varphi - J * \varphi) \psi \, dx + \int_{\Omega} P_0(F'(\varphi)) \psi \, dx, \quad (3.5)$$

$$\int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} 2\nu(\varphi) D\mathbf{u} \cdot D\mathbf{v} \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \mu_0 \nabla \varphi \cdot \mathbf{v} \, dx + \langle \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{v} \rangle, \quad (3.6)$$

where  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{V}_{\text{div}}, \mu_0 \in V_0, \varphi \in V_0$ . Now we show that proving the existence of a solution to the equations (3.4)-(3.6) would also give a solution to (3.1)-(3.3).

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $(\mathbf{u}, \mu_0, \varphi) \in \mathbb{V}_{\text{div}} \times V_0 \times V_0$  be a solution to the system (3.4)-(3.6). Then  $(\mathbf{u}, \mu, \varphi)$  is a solution to the weak formulation (3.1)-(3.3), where  $\mu_0 = \mu - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} F'(\varphi) dx$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(\mathbf{u}, \mu_0, \varphi) \in \mathbb{V}_{\text{div}} \times V_0 \times V_0$  be a solution of the system (3.4)-(3.6). Let  $\bar{\mu} = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} F'(\varphi) dx$ . Since  $\bar{\mu}$  is a scalar, from (3.6), using integration by parts, one can easily deduce that  $\int_{\Omega} \bar{\mu} \nabla \varphi \cdot \mathbf{v} dx = 0$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} dx + \int_{\Omega} 2\nu(\varphi) D\mathbf{u} \cdot D\mathbf{v} dx &= \int_{\Omega} \mu_0 \nabla \varphi \cdot \mathbf{v} dx + \langle \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{v} \rangle + \int_{\Omega} \bar{\mu} \nabla \varphi \cdot \mathbf{v} dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \mu \nabla \varphi \cdot \mathbf{v} dx + \langle \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{v} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which gives the equation (3.3). Once again, since  $\bar{\mu}$  is a scalar quantity, we can clearly see (3.1) follows from (3.4). Now it is left to prove (3.2). From (3.5), we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \mu_0 \psi dx = \int_{\Omega} (a\varphi - J * \varphi) \psi dx + \int_{\Omega} P_0(F'(\varphi)) \psi dx. \quad (3.7)$$

Using (2.3) and substituting value of  $\mu_0$  in (3.7) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \mu \psi dx &= \int_{\Omega} (a\varphi - J * \varphi) \psi dx + \int_{\Omega} (P_0(F'(\varphi)) + \bar{\mu}) \psi dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (a\varphi - J * \varphi) \psi dx + \int_{\Omega} F'(\varphi) \psi dx, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

**3.1. Preliminaries.** In order to formulate the problem (3.4)-(3.6) in the framework of Browder's Theorem (see Theorem 3.10 below), we need some preliminaries, which we state below.

Let  $\mathbb{X}$  be a Banach space and  $\mathbb{X}'$  be its topological dual. Let  $T$  be a function from  $\mathbb{X}$  to  $\mathbb{X}'$  with domain  $D = D(T) \subseteq \mathbb{X}$ .

**Definition 3.3** (Definition 2.3, [31]). *The function  $T$  is said to be*

- (i) demicontinuous if for every sequence  $u_k \in D, u \in D$  and  $u_k \rightarrow u$  in  $\mathbb{X}$  implies that  $T(u_k) \rightarrow T(u)$  in  $\mathbb{X}'$ ,
- (ii) hemicontinuous if for every  $u \in D, v \in \mathbb{X}$  and for every sequence of positive real numbers  $t_k$  such that  $u + t_k v \in D$ , it holds that  $t_k \rightarrow 0$  implies  $T(u + t_k v) \rightarrow T(u)$  in  $\mathbb{X}'$ ,
- (iii) locally bounded if for every sequence  $u_k \in D, u \in D$  and  $u_k \rightarrow u$  in  $\mathbb{X}$  imply that  $T(u_k)$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{X}'$ .

From the above definition, it is clear that a demicontinuous function is hemicontinuous and locally bounded.

**Definition 3.4** (Definition 2.1 (iv), [31]). *We say that  $T$  is pseudo-monotone if, for every sequence  $u_k$  in  $\mathbb{X}$  such that  $u_k \rightarrow u$  in  $\mathbb{X}$  and*

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{X}' \langle T(u_k), u_k - u \rangle_{\mathbb{X}} \leq 0$$

*implies*

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{X}' \langle T(u_k), u_k - v \rangle_{\mathbb{X}} \geq \mathbb{X}' \langle T(u), u - v \rangle_{\mathbb{X}}$$

*for every  $v \in \mathbb{X}$ . Moreover  $T$  is said to be monotone if*

$$\mathbb{X}' \langle T(u) - T(v), u - v \rangle_{\mathbb{X}} \geq 0, \quad \text{for every } u, v \in D.$$

**Definition 3.5.** *A mapping  $T : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}'$  is said to be maximal monotone if it is monotone and its graph*

$$G(T) = \{(u, w) : w \in T(u)\} \subset \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}'$$

*is not properly contained in graph of any other monotone operator. In other words, for  $u \in \mathbb{X}$  and  $w \in \mathbb{X}'$ , the inequality  $\mathbb{X}' \langle w - T(v), u - v \rangle_{\mathbb{X}} \geq 0$ , for all  $v \in \mathbb{X}$  implies  $w = T(u)$ .*

**Definition 3.6** (Definition 2.3, [31]). *Let  $\mathbb{X}$  and  $\mathbb{Y}$  be Banach spaces. A bounded linear operator  $T : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$  is said to be a completely continuous operator if for every sequence  $u_k \rightarrow u$  in  $\mathbb{X}$  implies  $T(u_k) \rightarrow T(u)$  in  $\mathbb{Y}$ .*

We can see that complete continuity implies pseudo-monotonicity (Corollary 2.12, [31]).

**Lemma 3.7** (Lemma 5.1, [2]). *Let  $\mathbb{X}$  be a real, reflexive Banach space and  $\tilde{T} : \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}'$  be such that for all  $u \in \mathbb{X}$ :*

- (1)  $\tilde{T}(u, \cdot) : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}'$  is monotone and hemicontinuous.
- (2)  $\tilde{T}(\cdot, u) : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}'$  is completely continuous.

*Then the operator  $T : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}'$  defined by  $T(u) := \tilde{T}(u, u)$  is pseudo-monotone.*

**Definition 3.8.** Let  $\mathbb{X}$  be a real Banach space and  $f : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$  be a functional on  $\mathbb{X}$ . A linear functional  $g \in \mathbb{X}'$  is called subgradient of  $f$  at  $u$  if  $f(u) \neq \infty$  and

$$f(v) \geq f(u) + {}_{\mathbb{X}'}\langle g, v - u \rangle_{\mathbb{X}},$$

holds for all  $v \in \mathbb{X}$ .

We know that subgradient of a functional need not be unique. The set of all subgradients of  $f$  at  $u$  is called *subdifferential* of  $f$  at  $u$  and is denoted by  $\partial f(u)$ . We say that  $f$  is *Gateaux differentiable* at  $u$  in  $\mathbb{X}$  if  $\partial f(u)$  consists of exactly one element (see [4]).

**Lemma 3.9** (Theorem A, [30]). *If  $f$  is a lower semicontinuous, proper convex function on  $\mathbb{X}$  (that is,  $f$  is a convex function and  $f$  takes values in the extended real number line such that  $f(u) < +\infty$  for at least one  $u \in \mathbb{X}$  and  $f(u) > -\infty$  for every  $u \in \mathbb{X}$ ), then  $\partial f$  is a maximal monotone operator from  $\mathbb{X}$  to  $\mathbb{X}'$ .*

Now we state Browder's theorem, which we use to prove the existence of solution to the problem (3.4)-(3.6).

**Theorem 3.10** (Theorem 32.A. in [38], Browder).

- (1) Let  $\mathbb{Y}$  be a non-empty, closed and convex subset of a real and reflexive Banach space  $\mathbb{X}$ .
- (2) Let  $T : \mathbb{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{X}')$  be a maximal monotone operator, where  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{X}')$  denotes the power set of  $\mathbb{X}'$ .
- (3) Let  $S : \mathbb{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}'$  be a pseudo-monotone, bounded and demicontinuous mapping.
- (4) If the set  $\mathbb{Y}$  is unbounded, then the operator  $S$  is  $T$ -coercive with respect to the fixed element  $b \in \mathbb{X}'$ , that is, there exists an element  $u_0 \in \mathbb{Y} \cap D(T)$  and  $R > 0$  such that

$${}_{\mathbb{X}'}\langle S(u), u - u_0 \rangle_{\mathbb{X}} > {}_{\mathbb{X}'}\langle b, u - u_0 \rangle_{\mathbb{X}},$$

for all  $u \in \mathbb{Y}$  with  $\|u\|_{\mathbb{X}} > R$ .

Then the problem

$$b \in T(u) + S(u) \tag{3.8}$$

has a solution  $u \in \mathbb{Y} \cap D(T)$ .

**3.2. The functional  $f$ .** We mainly follow the work of [2] (local Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes equations) to establish the solvability results of the system 2.1. Before we proceed to prove our main result, we first consider the following functional and study its properties. Let us define

$$f(\varphi) := \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} J(x-y)(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))^2 dx dy + \int_{\Omega} G(\varphi(x)) dx, \tag{3.9}$$

where  $\varphi \in L^2_{(0)}(\Omega)$  and  $G$  is given in (2.17). Using assumption 2.17, we define  $G(x) = \infty$  for  $x \notin [-1, 1]$ . The domain of  $f$  is given by

$$D(f) = \{\varphi \in L^2_{(0)}(\Omega) : G(\varphi) \in L^1(\Omega)\}. \tag{3.10}$$

For  $\varphi \notin D(f)$ , we set  $f(\varphi) = +\infty$ . Note that  $D(f) \neq \emptyset$ .

Given a functional  $f : L^2_{(0)}(\Omega) \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ , its subgradient maps from  $L^2_{(0)}(\Omega)$  to  $\mathcal{P}(L^2_{(0)}(\Omega)')$ . We write  $\partial_{L^2_{(0)}} f$  as the subgradient of the functional  $f : L^2_{(0)}(\Omega) \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ . Since

$V_0 \hookrightarrow L^2_{(0)}(\Omega)$ , we can also consider  $f$  as a functional from  $V_0$  to  $(-\infty, \infty]$ , and hence we have to distinguish between its different subgradients. If we consider  $f : V_0 \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ , then the subgradient of  $f$  is denoted by  $\partial_{V_0} f$ .

**Proposition 3.11.** *Let  $f$  be defined as in (3.9). Then  $f$  is Gateaux differentiable on  $L^2_{(0)}(\Omega)$  and we have*

$$\partial_{L^2_{(0)}} f(\varphi) = a\varphi - J * \varphi + P_0 G'(\varphi). \quad (3.11)$$

and

$$D(\partial_{L^2_{(0)}} f) = \{\varphi \in L^2_{(0)}(\Omega) : G'(\varphi) \in H\}.$$

Furthermore, it holds that

$$\|\partial_{L^2_{(0)}} f(\varphi)\| \leq (\|a\|_{L^\infty} + \|J\|_{L^1})\|\varphi\| + \|G'(\varphi)\| \leq 2a^*\|\varphi\| + \|G'(\varphi)\|. \quad (3.12)$$

*Proof.* Let  $h \in L^2_{(0)}(\Omega)$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{f(\varphi + \epsilon h) - f(\varphi)}{\epsilon} \\ &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left[ \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} J(x-y) ((\varphi + \epsilon h)(x) - (\varphi + \epsilon h)(y))^2 dx dy + \int_{\Omega} G((\varphi + \epsilon h)(x)) dx \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \left( \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} J(x-y) (\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))^2 dx dy + \int_{\Omega} G(\varphi(x)) dx \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} J(x-y) (2(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))(h(x) - h(y)) + \epsilon(h(x) - h(y))^2) \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{\Omega} (G((\varphi + \epsilon h)(x)) - G(\varphi(x))) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\varphi + \epsilon h) - f(\varphi)}{\epsilon} = (a\varphi - J * \varphi + G'(\varphi), h). \quad (3.13)$$

Since  $P_0$  is the orthogonal projection onto  $L^2_{(0)}(\Omega)$ , we get (3.11). Note that (3.12) is an immediate consequence of (3.11).  $\square$

**Lemma 3.12.** *The functional  $f : L^2_{(0)}(\Omega) \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$  defined by (3.9) is proper convex and lower semicontinuous with  $D(f) \neq \emptyset$ .*

*Proof. Claim (1).*  $f$  is proper convex with  $D(f) \neq \emptyset$ : In order to prove convexity, we use the fact that  $f$  is convex if and only if

$$(\partial_{L^2_{(0)}} f(\varphi) - \partial_{L^2_{(0)}} f(\psi), \varphi - \psi) \geq 0,$$

for all  $\varphi, \psi \in L^2_{(0)}(\Omega)$ . Observe that for  $0 < \theta < 1$ , using Taylor's series expansion and the definition of  $P_0$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & (a\varphi - J * \varphi + P_0 G'(\varphi) - (a\psi - J * \psi + P_0 G'(\psi)), \varphi - \psi) \\ &= (a\varphi - J * \varphi + F'(\varphi) + \kappa\varphi - (a\psi - J * \psi + F'(\psi) + \kappa\psi), \varphi - \psi) \\ &= (a(\varphi - \psi) - J * (\varphi - \psi) + F'(\varphi) - F'(\psi) + \kappa(\varphi - \psi), \varphi - \psi) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (a(\varphi - \psi) - J * (\varphi - \psi) + F''(\varphi + \theta\psi)(\varphi - \psi) + \kappa(\varphi - \psi), \varphi - \psi) \\
&\geq C_0 \|\varphi - \psi\|^2 - \|J\|_{L^1} \|\varphi - \psi\|^2 + \kappa \|\varphi - \psi\|^2 \\
&\geq (C_0 + \kappa - \|J\|_{L^1}) \|\varphi - \psi\|^2 \\
&\geq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

using (A9). Hence  $f$  is a convex functional on  $L^2_{(0)}(\Omega)$ . Since the domain of  $f$  is  $D(f) = \{\varphi \in L^2_{(0)}(\Omega) : G(\varphi) \in L^1(\Omega)\} \neq \emptyset$ , it is immediate that  $f$  is proper convex.

**Claim (2).**  $f$  is lower semicontinuous: Let  $\varphi_k \in L^2_{(0)}(\Omega)$  and  $\varphi_k \rightarrow \varphi$  in  $L^2_{(0)}(\Omega)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Our aim is to establish that  $f(\varphi) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(\varphi_k)$ . It is enough to consider the case  $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(\varphi_k) < +\infty$ . Thus, for this sequence, we can assume that  $f(\varphi_k) \leq M$ , for some  $M$ . This implies that  $\varphi_k \in D(f)$ , for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $G : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function, by adding a suitable constant, we can assume without loss of generality that  $G \geq 0$  and we have

$$G(\varphi) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} G(\varphi_k),$$

which gives from Fatou's lemma that

$$\int_{\Omega} G(\varphi(x)) dx \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} G(\varphi_k(x)) dx. \quad (3.14)$$

Now consider the functional  $I(\cdot)$  defined by

$$I(\varphi) := \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} J(x-y)(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))^2 dx dy = (a\varphi, \varphi) - (J * \varphi, \varphi).$$

We show that the function  $I(\cdot)$  is continuous. We consider

$$\begin{aligned}
|I(\varphi_k) - I(\varphi)| &= |(a\varphi_k, \varphi_k) - (J * \varphi_k, \varphi_k) - (a\varphi, \varphi) - (J * \varphi, \varphi)| \\
&\leq |(a(\varphi_k - \varphi), \varphi_k)| + |(a\varphi, \varphi_k - \varphi)| + |(J * (\varphi_k - \varphi), \varphi_k)| + |(J * \varphi, \varphi_k - \varphi)| \\
&\leq \|a\|_{L^\infty} (\|\varphi_k\| + \|\varphi\|) \|\varphi_k - \varphi\| + \|J\|_{L^1} (\|\varphi_k\| + \|\varphi\|) \|\varphi_k - \varphi\|,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used Young's inequality, Young's inequality for convolution and Hölder's inequality. Then, we have  $|I(\varphi_k) - I(\varphi)| \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , since  $\varphi_k \rightarrow \varphi$  in  $L^2_{(0)}(\Omega)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Since continuity implies lower semicontinuity, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} J(x-y)(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))^2 dx dy = \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} J(x-y)(\varphi_k(x) - \varphi_k(y))^2 dx dy. \quad (3.15)$$

Combining (3.14) and (3.15), we get

$$f(\varphi) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(\varphi_k).$$

This proves  $f$  is lower semicontinuous.  $\square$

**Remark 3.13.** The proper convexity of  $f : V_0 \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$  is immediate, since  $V_0 \hookrightarrow L^2_{(0)}(\Omega)$ . Let  $(\varphi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{R}} \in V_0$  be such that  $\varphi_k \rightarrow \varphi$  in  $V_0$ . Then from Lemma 2.5, we can easily see that  $\varphi_k \rightarrow \varphi$  in  $L^2_{(0)}(\Omega)$ . Therefore using Lemma 3.12, we get  $f : V_0 \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$  is lower semicontinuous.

**Proposition 3.14.** The subgradients  $\partial_{L^2_{(0)}} f$  and  $\partial_{V_0} f$  are maximal monotone operators.

*Proof.* In Lemma 3.12, we have proved that  $f : L^2_{(0)}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is proper convex and lower semicontinuous. By using Lemma 3.9, we obtain that the operator  $\partial_{L^2_{(0)}} f : L^2_{(0)}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}((L^2_{(0)}\Omega)')$  is maximal monotone. Now for the operator  $\partial_{V_0} f$ , Remark 3.13 gives that  $f : V_0 \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$  is proper convex and lower semicontinuous. Hence, once again using Lemma 3.9, we get that  $\partial_{V_0} f$  is also maximal monotone.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.15** (Lemma 3.7, [2]). *Consider the functional  $f$  as in (3.9). Then for every  $\varphi \in D(\partial_{L^2_{(0)}} f) \cap V_0$  we have that  $\partial_{L^2_{(0)}} f(\varphi) \subseteq \partial_{V_0} f(\varphi)$ .*

**Lemma 3.16** (Lemma 3.8, [2]). *Let  $\varphi \in D(\partial_{V_0} f)$  and  $w \in \partial_{V_0} f(\varphi)$ . Suppose  $w \in L^2_{(0)}(\Omega)$ , then  $\varphi \in D(\partial_{L^2_{(0)}} f)$  and*

$$w = \partial_{L^2_{(0)}} f(\varphi) = a\varphi - J * \varphi + P_0 G'(\varphi).$$

**3.3. Abstract Formulation.** In this subsection, we define the following spaces in order to set up the problem in Browder's theorem (see Theorem 3.10).

$$\mathbb{X} := \mathbb{V}_{\text{div}} \times V_0 \times V_0.$$

Let us define,

$$Z := \{\varphi \in V_0 : \varphi(x) \in [-1, 1], \text{ a.e.}\}, \quad (3.16)$$

and

$$\mathbb{Y} := \mathbb{V}_{\text{div}} \times V_0 \times Z.$$

Clearly  $\mathbb{Y}$  is a closed subspace of  $\mathbb{X}$ . Let  $D(T) := \mathbb{V}_{\text{div}} \times V_0 \times D(\partial_{V_0} f)$  and we define a mapping  $T : \mathbb{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{X}')$  by

$$T(\mathbf{u}, \mu_0, \varphi) := \begin{cases} \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ \partial_{V_0} f(\varphi) \end{array} \right) \right\}, & \text{if } (\mathbf{u}, \mu_0, \varphi) \in D(T), \\ \emptyset, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (3.17)$$

We define the operator  $S : \mathbb{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}'$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{X}' \langle S\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle_{\mathbb{X}} &:= \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} dx + \int_{\Omega} 2\nu(\varphi) D\mathbf{u} \cdot D\mathbf{v} dx - \int_{\Omega} \mu_0 \nabla \varphi \cdot \mathbf{v} dx + \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \varphi) \cdot \eta dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} m(\varphi) \nabla \mu_0 \cdot \nabla \eta dx - \int_{\Omega} \mu_0 \psi dx - \int_{\Omega} P_0(\kappa \varphi) \psi dx, \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

for all  $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{u}, \mu_0, \varphi) \in \mathbb{Y}$ ,  $\mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{v}, \eta, \psi) \in \mathbb{X}$  and  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{X}'$  is defined by

$$\mathbb{X}' \langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{y} \rangle_{\mathbb{X}} := \langle \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{v} \rangle,$$

for all  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{X}$ . From the relations (3.17) and (3.18), the problem  $b \in T(\mathbf{u}, \mu_0, \varphi) + S(\mathbf{u}, \mu_0, \varphi)$  with  $(\mathbf{u}, \mu_0, \varphi) \in \mathbb{Y} \cap D(T)$  can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \partial_{V_0} f(\varphi) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} - \text{div}(2\nu(\varphi) D\mathbf{u}) - \mu_0 \nabla \varphi \\ -\text{div}(m(\varphi) \nabla \mu_0) + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \varphi \\ -\mu_0 - P_0(\kappa \varphi) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{h} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.19)$$

in  $\mathbb{X}'$ .

If we can prove that (3.19) has a solution, then this solution solves the reformulated problem (3.4)-(3.6). This is the content of the next lemma. Later, we discuss the existence of solution for (3.19).

**Lemma 3.17.** *Let  $(\mathbf{u}, \mu_0, \varphi) \in \mathbb{Y} \cap D(T)$  satisfies  $\mathbf{b} \in T(\mathbf{u}, \mu_0, \varphi) + S(\mathbf{u}, \mu_0, \varphi)$ . Then  $(\mathbf{u}, \mu_0, \varphi)$  is a solution of the reformulated problem (3.4)-(3.6).*

*Proof.* Let  $(\mathbf{u}, \mu_0, \varphi)$  be such that  $\mathbf{b} \in T(\mathbf{u}, \mu_0, \varphi) + S(\mathbf{u}, \mu_0, \varphi)$ . From first and second equations of (3.19), it clearly follows that (3.4) and (3.6) are satisfied for all  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{V}_{\text{div}}$  and  $\psi \in V_0$ . Now from the third equation of (3.19), there exists  $w \in \partial_{V_0} f(\varphi)$  such that

$$w = \mu_0 + P_0(\kappa\varphi) \quad \text{in } V'_0.$$

Since  $\mu_0 + P_0(\kappa\varphi) \in L^2_{(0)}(\Omega)$  and  $\varphi \in D(\partial_{V_0} f)$ , we can see from Lemma 3.16 that  $w = a\varphi - J * \varphi + P_0(G'(\varphi))$  in  $V'_0$ . This gives for every  $\psi \in V_0$

$$\int_{\Omega} (\mu_0 + P_0(\kappa\varphi))\psi dx = \int_{\Omega} (a\varphi - J * \varphi)\psi dx + \int_{\Omega} P_0(G'(\varphi))dx.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \mu_0\psi dx &= \int_{\Omega} (a\varphi - J * \varphi)dx + \int_{\Omega} P_0(G'(\varphi) - \kappa\varphi)dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (a\varphi - J * \varphi)\psi dx + \int_{\Omega} P_0(F'(\varphi))dx. \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\psi \in V_0$ . □

In Lemma 3.17, we showed that the existence of  $(\mathbf{u}, \mu_0, \varphi)$  such that  $\mathbf{b} \in T(\mathbf{u}, \mu_0, \varphi) + S(\mathbf{u}, \mu_0, \varphi)$  implies  $(\mathbf{u}, \mu_0, \varphi)$  satisfies the reformulation (3.4)-(3.6). Now we show that there exists a  $(\mathbf{u}, \mu_0, \varphi) \in \mathbb{Y} \cap D(T)$  which satisfies  $\mathbf{b} \in T(\mathbf{u}, \mu_0, \varphi) + S(\mathbf{u}, \mu_0, \varphi)$ . To this purpose, we use Browder's theorem (see Theorem 3.10).

**Lemma 3.18.** *Let  $T, S$  be as defined in (3.17) and (3.18). Given  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{X}'$ , there exists a triple  $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{u}, \mu_0, \varphi) \in \mathbb{Y} \cap D(T)$  such that  $\mathbf{b} \in T(\mathbf{x}) + S(\mathbf{x})$ .*

*Proof.* Let us prove that the operators  $T$  and  $S$ , and the spaces  $\mathbb{X}$  and  $\mathbb{Y}$  satisfy the hypothesis of Browder's theorem (see Theorem 3.10) in the following steps.

(1) We can see that the set  $\mathbb{Y}$  is non-empty, closed, convex subset of  $\mathbb{X}$ . Also  $\mathbb{X}$  is a reflexive real Banach space, since  $\mathbb{V}_{\text{div}}$  and  $V_0$  are reflexive.

(2) Now we show that the operator  $T : \mathbb{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{X}')$  is maximal monotone. Let us first show that  $D(\partial_{V_0} f) \subseteq Z$ . In order to get this result, let us take  $\varphi \in D(\partial_{V_0} f)$ . Then we know that  $f(\varphi) \neq \infty$ , since  $D(\partial_{V_0} f) \subseteq D(f)$ . This gives that  $\varphi(x) \in [-1, 1]$ , since  $G(x) = +\infty$  for  $x \notin [-1, 1]$ . Hence  $\varphi \in Z$  and  $D(\partial_{V_0} f) \subseteq Z$ . From Proposition 3.14, the operator  $\partial_{V_0} f : V_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(V'_0)$  is maximal monotone. This implies that the operator  $T : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{X}')$  is maximal monotone. Observe that,

$$D(T) = \mathbb{V}_{\text{div}} \times V_0 \times D(\partial_{V_0} f) \subseteq \mathbb{V}_{\text{div}} \times V_0 \times Z = \mathbb{Y} \subset \mathbb{X}.$$

Moreover by the definition of  $T$ , for  $(\mathbf{u}, \mu_0, \varphi) \notin D(T)$ ,  $T(\mathbf{u}, \mu_0, \varphi) = \emptyset$ . Hence it follows that  $T : \mathbb{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{X}')$  is a maximal monotone operator.



(3) We write  $S = S_1 + \dots + S_7$  and show that each  $S_i$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, 7$ , is pseudo-monotone. Let us define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{X}' \langle S_1(\mathbf{u}, \mu_0, \varphi), (\mathbf{v}, \eta, \psi) \rangle_{\mathbb{X}} &:= \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} dx, \\ \mathbb{X}' \langle S_2(\mathbf{u}, \mu_0, \varphi), (\mathbf{v}, \eta, \psi) \rangle_{\mathbb{X}} &:= \int_{\Omega} 2\nu(\varphi) D\mathbf{u} \cdot D\mathbf{v} dx, \\ \mathbb{X}' \langle S_3(\mathbf{u}, \mu_0, \varphi), (\mathbf{v}, \eta, \psi) \rangle_{\mathbb{X}} &:= \int_{\Omega} \mu_0 \nabla \varphi \cdot \mathbf{v} dx, \\ \mathbb{X}' \langle S_4(\mathbf{u}, \mu_0, \varphi), (\mathbf{v}, \eta, \psi) \rangle_{\mathbb{X}} &:= \int_{\Omega} m(\varphi) \nabla \mu_0 \cdot \nabla \eta dx, \\ \mathbb{X}' \langle S_5(\mathbf{u}, \mu_0, \varphi), (\mathbf{v}, \eta, \psi) \rangle_{\mathbb{X}} &:= \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \varphi) \eta dx, \\ \mathbb{X}' \langle S_6(\mathbf{u}, \mu_0, \varphi), (\mathbf{v}, \eta, \psi) \rangle_{\mathbb{X}} &:= \int_{\Omega} \mu_0 \psi dx, \\ \mathbb{X}' \langle S_7(\mathbf{u}, \mu_0, \varphi), (\mathbf{v}, \eta, \psi) \rangle_{\mathbb{X}} &:= \int_{\Omega} P_0(\kappa \varphi) \psi dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since completely continuous implies pseudo-monotone, we show that  $S_1, S_3, S_5, S_6$  and  $S_7$  are completely continuous operators. Let us denote  $\mathbf{x}_n = (\mathbf{u}_n, \mu_{0n}, \varphi_n)$ ,  $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{u}, \mu_0, \varphi)$  and  $\mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{v}, \eta, \psi)$ . Assume that  $\mathbf{x}_n \rightharpoonup \mathbf{x}$  in  $\mathbb{Y}$ . This means  $\mathbf{u}_n \rightharpoonup \mathbf{u}$  in  $\mathbb{V}_{\text{div}}$ ,  $\mu_{0n} \rightharpoonup \mu_0$  in  $V_0$  and  $\varphi_n \rightharpoonup \varphi$  in  $V_0$ , which in turn gives  $\mathbf{u}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{u}$  in  $\mathbb{G}_{\text{div}}$ ,  $\mu_{0n} \rightarrow \mu_0$  in  $L^2_{(0)}(\Omega)$  and  $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$  in  $L^2_{(0)}(\Omega)$ , using the compact embeddings  $\mathbb{V}_{\text{div}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{G}_{\text{div}}$  and  $V_0 \hookrightarrow L^2_{(0)}(\Omega)$ . We have to show that  $S_1 \mathbf{x}_n$  converges strongly to  $S_1 \mathbf{x}$  in  $\mathbb{X}'$ -norm. Using (2.7), Hölder's and Ladyzhenskaya's inequalities, for  $n = 2$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{X}' \langle S_1 \mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{y} \rangle_{\mathbb{X}} - \mathbb{X}' \langle S_1 \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle_{\mathbb{X}}| &= |b(\mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{v}) - b(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})| \\ &= | -b(\mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}_n - \mathbf{u}) - b(\mathbf{u}_n - \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}) | \\ &\leq \|\mathbf{u}_n\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\| \|\mathbf{u}_n - \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\| \|\mathbf{u}_n - \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \\ &\leq 2^{1/4} (\|\mathbf{u}_n\|^{1/2} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_n\|^{1/2} + \|\mathbf{u}\|^{1/2} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|^{1/2}) \|\mathbf{u}_n - \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{V}_{\text{div}}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

For  $n = 3$ , using Hölder's and Ladyzhenskaya's inequalities, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{X}' \langle S_1 \mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{y} \rangle_{\mathbb{X}} - \mathbb{X}' \langle S_1 \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle_{\mathbb{X}}| &\leq \|\mathbf{u}_n\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\| \|\mathbf{u}_n - \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} + \|\mathbf{u}_n - \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\| \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \\ &\leq 2^{1/2} (\|\mathbf{u}_n\|^{1/4} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_n\|^{3/4} + \|\mathbf{u}\|^{1/4} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|^{3/4}) \|\mathbf{u}_n - \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{V}_{\text{div}}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

Let us now estimate  $|\mathbb{X}' \langle S_3 \mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{y} \rangle_{\mathbb{X}} - \langle S_3 \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle_{\mathbb{X}}|$  and  $|\mathbb{X}' \langle S_5 \mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{y} \rangle_{\mathbb{X}} - \mathbb{X}' \langle S_5 \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle_{\mathbb{X}}|$ . We perform an integration by parts, use Hölder's inequality and the embedding  $\mathbb{H}_0^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L}^4(\Omega)$  to estimate  $|\mathbb{X}' \langle S_3 \mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{y} \rangle_{\mathbb{X}} - \langle S_3 \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle_{\mathbb{X}}|$  as

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{X}' \langle S_3 \mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{y} \rangle_{\mathbb{X}} - \langle S_3 \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle_{\mathbb{X}}| &= \left| - \int_{\Omega} \mu_{0n} \nabla \varphi_n \cdot \mathbf{v} dx + \int_{\Omega} \mu_0 \nabla \varphi \cdot \mathbf{v} dx \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\Omega} \mu_{0n} \nabla (\varphi - \varphi_n) \cdot \mathbf{v} dx + \int_{\Omega} (\mu_0 - \mu_{0n}) \nabla \varphi \cdot \mathbf{v} dx \right| \\ &\leq \|\nabla \mu_{0n}\| \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \|\varphi - \varphi_n\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} + \|\mu_0 - \mu_{0n}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \|\nabla \varphi\| \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C(\|\nabla\mu_{0n}\|\|\varphi - \varphi_n\|_{L^4} + \|\mu_0 - \mu_{0n}\|_{L^4}\|\nabla\varphi\|)\|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{V}_{\text{div}}}. \quad (3.22)$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{X}'\langle S_5\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{y} \rangle_{\mathbb{X}} - \mathbb{X}'\langle S_5\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle_{\mathbb{X}}| &= \left| \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{u}_n \cdot \nabla\varphi_n)\eta dx - \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\varphi)\eta dx \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{u}_n - \mathbf{u}) \cdot \nabla\varphi_n \eta dx + \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla(\varphi_n - \varphi)\eta dx \right| \\ &\leq (\|\mathbf{u}_n - \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}\|\varphi_n\|_{L^4} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}\|\varphi_n - \varphi\|_{L^4})\|\nabla\eta\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

Using Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$|\mathbb{X}'\langle S_6\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{y} \rangle_{\mathbb{X}} - \mathbb{X}'\langle S_6\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle_{\mathbb{X}}| \leq \int_{\Omega} |\mu_{0n} - \mu_0| |\psi| dx \leq \|\mu_{0n} - \mu_0\| \|\psi\| \quad (3.24)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{X}'\langle S_7\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{y} \rangle_{\mathbb{X}} - \mathbb{X}'\langle S_7\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle_{\mathbb{X}}| &= \left| \int_{\Omega} P_0(\kappa\varphi_n)\psi dx - \int_{\Omega} P_0(\kappa\varphi)\psi dx \right| \leq \kappa \int_{\Omega} |\varphi_n - \varphi| |\psi| dx \\ &\leq \kappa \|\varphi_n - \varphi\| \|\psi\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

From (3.20)-(3.21), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\|S_1\mathbf{x}_n - S_1\mathbf{x}\|_{\mathbb{X}'} \\ &\leq 2^{1/2}(\|\mathbf{u}_n\|^{1/2}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_n\|^{1/2} + \|\mathbf{u}\|^{1/2}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|^{1/2})(\|\mathbf{u}_n\|^{1/2} + \|\mathbf{u}\|^{1/2})\|\mathbf{u}_n - \mathbf{u}\|^{1/2} \quad (n = 2), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\|S_1\mathbf{x}_n - S_1\mathbf{x}\|_{\mathbb{X}'} \\ &\leq 2(\|\mathbf{u}_n\|^{1/4}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_n\|^{3/4} + \|\mathbf{u}\|^{1/4}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|^{3/4})(\|\mathbf{u}_n\|^{3/4} + \|\mathbf{u}\|^{3/4})\|\mathbf{u}_n - \mathbf{u}\|^{1/4} \quad (n = 3), \end{aligned}$$

and both converges to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  using the compact embedding of  $\mathbb{V}_{\text{div}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{G}_{\text{div}}$ . Using Ladyzhenskaya's inequality and the compact embedding  $V_0 \hookrightarrow L^2_{(0)}(\Omega)$ , from (3.22)-(3.25), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_3\mathbf{x}_n - S_3\mathbf{x}\|_{\mathbb{X}'} &\leq \|\nabla\mu_{0n}\|\|\varphi - \varphi_n\|_{L^4} + \|\mu_0 - \mu_{0n}\|_{L^4}\|\nabla\varphi\| \rightarrow 0, \\ \|S_5\mathbf{x}_n - S_5\mathbf{x}\|_{\mathbb{X}'} &\leq \|\mathbf{u}_n - \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}\|\varphi_n\|_{L^4} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}\|\varphi_n - \varphi\|_{L^4} \rightarrow 0, \\ \|S_6\mathbf{x}_n - S_6\mathbf{x}\|_{\mathbb{X}'} &\leq \|\mu_{0n} - \mu_0\| \rightarrow 0, \\ \|S_7\mathbf{x}_n - S_7\mathbf{x}\|_{\mathbb{X}'} &\leq \kappa\|\varphi_n - \varphi\| \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This proves that  $S_1, S_3, S_5, S_6$  and  $S_7$  are completely continuous and hence pseudo-monotone. In order to prove the pseudo-monotonicity of  $S_4$ , we use Lemma 3.7. Let us define the operator  $\tilde{S}_4 : \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}'$  by

$$\mathbb{X}'\langle \tilde{S}_4(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2), \mathbf{y} \rangle_{\mathbb{X}} := \int_{\Omega} m(\varphi_1)\nabla\mu_{0_2} \cdot \nabla\eta dx,$$

where  $\mathbf{x}_i = (\mathbf{u}_i, \mu_{0_i}, \varphi_i) \in \mathbb{X}, i = 1, 2$ , respectively and  $\mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{v}, \eta, \psi) \in \mathbb{X}$ . If  $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{u}, \mu_0, \varphi) \in \mathbb{X}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{X}'\langle \tilde{S}_4(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2), \mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{X}} &= \int_{\Omega} m(\varphi_1)\nabla(\mu_{0_1} - \mu_{0_2}) \cdot \nabla(\mu_{0_1} - \mu_{0_2}) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} m(\varphi_1)|\nabla(\mu_{0_1} - \mu_{0_2})|^2 dx \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

since  $m(\cdot) \geq 0$ . This implies  $\tilde{S}_4(\mathbf{x}, \cdot)$  is monotone. Now for each fixed  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{X}$ , it can be easily seen that  ${}_{\mathbb{X}'} \langle \tilde{S}_4(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_1 + t_k \mathbf{x}_2), \mathbf{y} \rangle_{\mathbb{X}} \rightarrow {}_{\mathbb{X}'} \langle \tilde{S}_4(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_1), \mathbf{y} \rangle_{\mathbb{X}}$ , as  $t_k \rightarrow 0$ . Hence, the operator  $\tilde{S}_4(\mathbf{x}, \cdot)$  is hemicontinuous for each fixed  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{X}$ . In order to prove complete continuity of  $\tilde{S}_4(\cdot, \mathbf{x})$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{X}$ , we consider a sequence  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_k \subseteq \mathbb{X}$  such that  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_k \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{x}}$  in  $\mathbb{X}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = (\tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\mu}_0, \tilde{\varphi}) \in \mathbb{X}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{\mathbb{X}'} \langle \tilde{S}_4(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_k, \mathbf{x}) - \tilde{S}_4(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}, \mathbf{x}), \mathbf{y} \rangle_{\mathbb{X}} &= \int_{\Omega} (m(\tilde{\varphi}_k) \nabla \mu_0 - m(\tilde{\varphi}) \nabla \mu_0) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} dx \\ &\leq \|m(\tilde{\varphi}_k) \nabla \mu_0 - m(\tilde{\varphi}) \nabla \mu_0\| \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{V}_{\text{div}}}. \end{aligned}$$

We know that  $\tilde{\varphi}_k \rightarrow \tilde{\varphi}$  in  $L^2_{(0)}(\Omega)$  and  $m$  is a continuous function, we have to show that  $\|m(\tilde{\varphi}_k) \nabla \mu_0 - m(\tilde{\varphi}) \nabla \mu_0\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Let us define

$$H(\tilde{\varphi})(x) := g(x, \tilde{\varphi}(x)) = m(\tilde{\varphi}(x)) \nabla \mu_0(x).$$

Then Lemma 1.19, [32] yields that  $H : L^2_{(0)}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$  is continuous and bounded. Since  $\tilde{\varphi}_k \rightarrow \tilde{\varphi}$  in  $L^2_{(0)}(\Omega)$ , this implies that  $\|m(\tilde{\varphi}_k) \nabla \mu_0 - m(\tilde{\varphi}) \nabla \mu_0\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and hence  $\tilde{S}_4(\cdot, \mathbf{x})$  is completely continuous for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{X}$ . Using Lemma 3.7, we get that the operator  $S_4(\mathbf{x}) = \tilde{S}_4(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x})$  is pseudo-monotone. A similar proof follows for  $S_2$ , since it is of the same form as  $S_4$  and  $\nu(\cdot)$  is a continuously differentiable function.

Since each of the operators  $S_i$ 's are bounded, the operator  $S$  is also bounded. Since pseudo-monotonicity and locally boundedness implies demicontinuity (see Lemma 2.4, [31] or Proposition 27.7, [38]),  $S$  is demicontinuous.

(4) Observe that  $\mathbb{Y}$  is unbounded. Choose  $\mathbf{x}_0 = (\mathbf{0}, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{Y} \cap D(T)$ . Then we have to show that there is  $R > 0$  such that

$${}_{\mathbb{X}'} \langle S(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x} \rangle_{\mathbb{X}} > 0, \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Y} \text{ with } \|\mathbf{x}\|_{\mathbb{X}} > R.$$

For  $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{u}, \mu_0, \varphi) \in \mathbb{Y}$ , let us consider

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{\mathbb{X}'} \langle S(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x} \rangle_{\mathbb{X}} &= \int_{\Omega} 2\nu(\varphi) D\mathbf{u} \cdot D\mathbf{u} dx + \int_{\Omega} m(\varphi) \nabla \mu_0 \cdot \nabla \mu_0 dx - \int_{\Omega} \mu_0 \varphi dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} P_0(\kappa \varphi) \varphi dx - \langle \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{u} \rangle \\ &=: I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5. \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

Since  $|\varphi(x)| \leq 1$ , and  $\nu(\cdot)$  is a continuously differentiable function, we have

$$I_1 = \int_{\Omega} 2\nu(\varphi) D\mathbf{u} \cdot D\mathbf{u} dx \geq 2 \int_{\Omega} \nu(\varphi) |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 dx \geq \tilde{C}_1 \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{V}_{\text{div}}}^2.$$

Now, since mean value of  $\mu_0$  is 0 and  $\mu(\cdot)$  is a continuous function, we also obtain

$$I_2 = \int_{\Omega} m(\varphi) \nabla \mu_0 \cdot \nabla \mu_0 dx = \int_{\Omega} m(\varphi) |\nabla \mu_0|^2 dx \geq \tilde{C}_2 \|\mu_0\|_{\mathbb{V}_0}^2.$$

Using Hölder's, Poincaré's and Young's inequalities, we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &= \int_{\Omega} \mu_0 \varphi dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |\mu_0| dx \leq |\Omega|^{1/2} \|\mu_0\|_{L^2} \leq \tilde{C}_3 \|\mu_0\|_{\mathbb{V}_0}, \\ I_4 &= \int_{\Omega} P_0(\kappa \varphi) \varphi dx = \kappa \|\varphi\|^2 \leq \tilde{C}_4, \end{aligned}$$

$$I_5 = \langle \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{u} \rangle \leq \|\mathbf{h}\|_{\mathbb{V}'_{\text{div}}} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{V}_{\text{div}}} \leq \frac{1}{2\tilde{C}_1} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{\mathbb{V}'_{\text{div}}}^2 + \frac{\tilde{C}_1}{2} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{V}_{\text{div}}}^2,$$

for some constants  $\tilde{C}_1, \tilde{C}_2, \tilde{C}_3, \tilde{C}_4 > 0$ . Combining the above inequalities and substituting in (3.26) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{X}' \langle \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x} \rangle_{\mathbb{X}} &\geq \frac{\tilde{C}_1}{2} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{V}_{\text{div}}}^2 + \underbrace{\|\mu_0\|_{V_0} \left( \tilde{C}_2 \|\mu_0\|_{V_0} - \tilde{C}_3 \right)}_{:=g(\|\mu_0\|_{V_0})} - \tilde{C}_4 - \frac{1}{2\tilde{C}_1} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{\mathbb{V}'_{\text{div}}}^2 \end{aligned}$$

for  $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{u}, \mu_0, \varphi) \in \mathbb{Y}$ . Since  $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{G}$  is fixed, we can choose a constant  $K > 0$  large enough such that

$$\tilde{C}_4 + \frac{1}{2\tilde{C}_1} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{\mathbb{V}'_{\text{div}}}^2 \leq K.$$

Furthermore, it can be easily seen that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} g(x) = +\infty.$$

Since  $K$  is chosen and  $g(\cdot)$  grows to infinity, we can choose  $R > 0$  such that

$$\mathbb{X}' \langle \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x} \rangle_{\mathbb{X}} > 0 \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{u}, \mu_0, \varphi) \in \mathbb{Y} \text{ such that } \|\mathbf{x}\|_{\mathbb{X}} > R.$$

Hence using Theorem 3.10, there exists  $(\mathbf{u}, \mu_0, \varphi) \in \mathbb{Y} \cap D(\mathbf{T})$  such that  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{u}, \mu_0, \varphi) + \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{u}, \mu_0, \varphi)$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.19.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n = 2, 3$  be a bounded subset. Let  $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{V}'_{\text{div}}$  and  $k \in (-1, 1)$ , then under the Assumptions (A1)-(A9), there exists a weak solution  $(\mathbf{u}, \mu, \varphi)$  to the system (2.1) such that*

$$\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{V}_{\text{div}}, \quad \varphi \in V \cap L^2_{(k)}(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu \in V.$$

and satisfies the weak formulation (3.1)-(3.3).

*Proof.* Lemma 3.18 gives the existence of  $(\mathbf{u}, \mu_0, \varphi) \in \mathbb{Y} \cap D(\mathbf{T})$  such that  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{u}, \mu_0, \varphi) + \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{u}, \mu_0, \varphi)$ . From Lemma 3.17 we get that  $(\mathbf{u}, \mu_0, \varphi)$  satisfies the reformulated problem (3.4)-(3.6). Hence from Lemma 3.2, we know that  $(\mathbf{u}, \mu, \varphi)$  satisfies the weak formulation (3.1)-(3.3), which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 3.20.** *Note that, since  $\mu \in V$  and  $\varphi \in V \cap L^2_{(k)}(\Omega)$  and  $\|a\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|J\|_{L^1}$ , from (3.2), we have*

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} F'(\varphi) \psi dx \right| = \left| \int_{\Omega} \mu \psi dx - \int_{\Omega} (a\varphi - J * \varphi) \psi dx \right| \leq (\|\mu\| + \|a\|_{L^\infty} \|\varphi\| + \|J\|_{L^1} \|\varphi\|) \|\psi\|,$$

for all  $\psi \in H$ , so that  $\|F'(\varphi)\| \leq \|\mu\| + 2\|J\|_{L^1} \|\varphi\| < \infty$ .

#### 4. UNIQUENESS OF WEAK SOLUTIONS AND REGULARITY

In this section, we prove that the weak solution to the system (2.1) obtained in Theorem 3.19 is unique. In this section, we assume that the viscosity coefficient  $\nu$  and the mobility parameter  $m$  are positive constants. We also prove some regularity results for the solution.

4.1. **Uniqueness.** Recall that our existence result ensures that  $\varphi(\cdot) \in [-1, 1]$ , a.e. (see (3.16)). Then, we have the following uniqueness theorem.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $(\mathbf{u}_i, \mu_i, \varphi_i) \in \mathbb{V}_{\text{div}} \times V \times (V \cap L^2_{(k)}(\Omega))$  for  $i = 1, 2$  be two weak solutions of the following system (satisfied in the weak sense).*

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \varphi = m \Delta \mu, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \mu = a\varphi - \mathbf{J} * \varphi + \mathbf{F}'(\varphi) \\ -\nu \Delta \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} + \nabla \pi = \mu \nabla \varphi + \mathbf{h}, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0, \mathbf{u} = 0, & \text{on } \partial \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

where  $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{V}'_{\text{div}}$ . For  $n = 2$ , we have  $\mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{u}_2$  and  $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2$ , provided

$$(i) \nu^2 > \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{\mathbb{V}'_{\text{div}}} + \frac{12\nu}{\lambda_1 m C_0} \|\nabla \mathbf{J}\|_{\mathbb{L}^1}^2,$$

$$(ii) (\nu m)^2 \left( \frac{C_0}{4} - \frac{C}{C_0} \|\nabla \mathbf{J}\|_{\mathbb{L}^1}^2 \right) > \nu m \left( \frac{C}{\lambda_1} \right) + \frac{2C}{C_0} \left( \frac{2}{\lambda_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{\mathbb{V}'_{\text{div}}}^2 \quad \text{with } \|\nabla \mathbf{J}\|_{\mathbb{L}^1}^2 < \frac{C_0^2}{4C},$$

Similarly, for  $n = 3$ , we have  $\mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{u}_2$  and  $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2$ , provided

$$(i) \nu^2 > \left( \frac{16}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{\mathbb{V}'_{\text{div}}} + \frac{12\nu}{\lambda_1 m C_0} \|\nabla \mathbf{J}\|_{\mathbb{L}^1}^2,$$

$$(ii) (\nu m)^2 \left( \frac{C_0}{4} - \frac{C}{C_0} \|\nabla \mathbf{J}\|_{\mathbb{L}^1}^2 \right) > \nu m \left( \frac{C}{\lambda_1} \right) + \frac{2C}{C_0} \left( \frac{4}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{\mathbb{V}'_{\text{div}}}^2, \quad \text{with } \|\nabla \mathbf{J}\|_{\mathbb{L}^1}^2 < \frac{C_0^2}{4C},$$

where  $C$  is a generic constant depends on the embeddings.

*Proof.* Let us first find a simple bound for the velocity field. We take inner product of the first equation in (4.1) with  $\mu$  and third equation with  $\mathbf{u}$  to obtain

$$(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \varphi, \mu) = -m \|\nabla \mu\|^2 \quad (4.2)$$

and

$$\nu \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|^2 = (\mu \nabla \varphi, \mathbf{u}) + \langle \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{u} \rangle. \quad (4.3)$$

Adding (4.2) and (4.3), we obtain

$$m \|\nabla \mu\|^2 + \nu \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|^2 = \langle \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{u} \rangle, \quad (4.4)$$

where we used the fact that  $(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \varphi, \mu) = (\mu \nabla \varphi, \mathbf{u})$ . From (4.4), we infer that

$$\nu \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|^2 \leq m \|\nabla \mu\|^2 + \nu \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|^2 = \langle \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{u} \rangle \leq \|\mathbf{h}\|_{\mathbb{V}'_{\text{div}}} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{V}_{\text{div}}}.$$

Finally, we have

$$\nu \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\| \leq \|\mathbf{h}\|_{\mathbb{V}'_{\text{div}}}. \quad (4.5)$$

Let  $(\mathbf{u}_1, \mu_1, \varphi_1)$  and  $(\mathbf{u}_2, \mu_2, \varphi_2)$  be two weak solutions of the system (4.1). Note that the averages of  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  are same and are equal to  $k$ , which gives  $\bar{\varphi}_1 - \bar{\varphi}_2 = 0$ . We can rewrite

the third equation in (4.1) as

$$-\nu \Delta \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} + \nabla \tilde{\pi}_{\mathbf{u}} = -\nabla a \frac{\varphi^2}{2} - (\mathbf{J} * \varphi) \nabla \varphi + \mathbf{h} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{V}'_{\text{div}}, \quad (4.6)$$

where  $\tilde{\pi}_{\mathbf{u}} := \tilde{\pi} = \pi - \left( \mathbf{F}(\varphi) + a \frac{\varphi^2}{2} \right)$ . Let us define  $\mathbf{u}^e := \mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2$ ,  $\varphi^e := \varphi_1 - \varphi_2$  and  $\tilde{\pi}^e := \tilde{\pi}_{\mathbf{u}_1} - \tilde{\pi}_{\mathbf{u}_2}$ . Then for every  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{V}_{\text{div}}$  and  $\psi \in V$ ,  $(\mathbf{u}^e, \varphi^e)$  satisfies the following:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{u}^e \cdot \nabla \varphi_1, \psi) + (\mathbf{u}_2 \cdot \nabla \varphi^e, \psi) &= -m(\nabla \mu^e, \nabla \psi), \\ \nu(\nabla \mathbf{u}^e, \nabla \mathbf{v}) + b(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}^e, \mathbf{v}) + b(\mathbf{u}^e, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{v}) &= -\frac{1}{2}(\varphi^e(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2) \nabla a, \mathbf{v}) - ((\mathbf{J} * \varphi^e) \nabla \varphi_2, \mathbf{v}) \\ &\quad - ((\mathbf{J} * \varphi_1) \nabla \varphi^e, \mathbf{v}), \end{aligned}$$

Let us choose  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u}^e$  and  $\psi = \mathcal{B}^{-1} \varphi^e$  to get

$$(\mathbf{u}^e \cdot \nabla \varphi_1, \mathcal{B}^{-1} \varphi^e) + (\mathbf{u}_2 \cdot \nabla \varphi^e, \mathcal{B}^{-1} \varphi^e) = m \langle \Delta \mu^e, \mathcal{B}^{-1} \varphi^e \rangle, \quad (4.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nu \|\nabla \mathbf{u}^e\|^2 &= -b(\mathbf{u}^e, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}^e) - \frac{1}{2}(\nabla a \varphi^e(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2), \mathbf{u}^e) \\ &\quad - ((\mathbf{J} * \varphi^e) \nabla \varphi_2, \mathbf{u}^e) - ((\mathbf{J} * \varphi_1) \nabla \varphi^e, \mathbf{u}^e), \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

where we used the fact that  $b(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}^e, \mathbf{u}^e) = 0$ . Using (2.4), Taylor's series expansion and (A9), we estimate the term  $(\nabla \mu^e, \nabla \mathcal{B}^{-1} \varphi^e)$  from (4.7) as

$$\begin{aligned} -\langle -\Delta \mu^e, \mathcal{B}^{-1} \varphi^e \rangle &= -(\mu^e, \varphi^e) = -(a \varphi^e - \mathbf{J} * \varphi^e + \mathbf{F}'(\varphi_1) - \mathbf{F}'(\varphi_2), \varphi^e) \\ &= -((a + \mathbf{F}''(\varphi_1 + \theta \varphi_2)) \varphi^e, \varphi^e) + (\mathbf{J} * \varphi^e, \varphi^e) \\ &\leq -C_0 \|\varphi^e\|^2 + (\mathbf{J} * \varphi^e, \varphi^e). \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

Using (4.9) in (4.7), we obtain

$$mC_0 \|\varphi^e\|^2 \leq -(\mathbf{u}^e \cdot \nabla \varphi_1, \mathcal{B}^{-1} \varphi^e) - (\mathbf{u}_2 \cdot \nabla \varphi^e, \mathcal{B}^{-1} \varphi^e) + m(\mathbf{J} * \varphi^e, \varphi^e). \quad (4.10)$$

Let us now estimate the terms in the right hand side of (4.8) and (4.10) one by one. We use the Hölder's, Ladyzhenskaya's, Young's and Poincaré's inequalities to estimate  $|b(\mathbf{u}^e, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}^e)|$ . For  $n = 2$ , we get

$$|b(\mathbf{u}^e, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}^e)| \leq \|\mathbf{u}^e\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_2\| \leq \sqrt{2} \|\mathbf{u}^e\| \|\nabla \mathbf{u}^e\| \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_2\| \leq \left( \frac{2}{\lambda_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}^e\|^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_2\|, \quad (4.11)$$

and for  $n = 3$ , we have

$$|b(\mathbf{u}^e, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}^e)| \leq \|\mathbf{u}^e\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_2\| \leq 2 \|\mathbf{u}^e\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}^e\|^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_2\| \leq \left( \frac{4}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}^e\|^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_2\|. \quad (4.12)$$

Since  $(\mathbf{u}_i, \varphi_i)$  are weak solutions of (4.1) for  $i = 1, 2$ , such that  $\varphi_i \in [-1, 1]$ , a.e., we have,  $|\varphi_i(x)| \leq 1$ . Using Hölder's, Ladyzhenskaya's and Young's inequalities and boundedness of  $\varphi$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{2}(\nabla a \varphi^e(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2), \mathbf{u}^e) \right| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla a\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \|\varphi^e\| \|\varphi_1 + \varphi_2\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \|\mathbf{u}^e\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla a\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \|\varphi^e\| (\|\varphi_1\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} + \|\varphi_2\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}) \|\mathbf{u}^e\| \\ &\leq \frac{mC_0}{8} \|\varphi^e\|^2 + \frac{2}{mC_0} \|\nabla a\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 \|\mathbf{u}^e\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

In order to estimate  $((\mathbf{J} * \varphi^e) \nabla \varphi_2, \mathbf{u}^e)$  and  $((\mathbf{J} * \varphi_1) \nabla \varphi^e, \mathbf{u}^e)$ , we write these terms using an integration by parts and the divergence free condition as

$$\begin{aligned} ((\mathbf{J} * \varphi^e) \nabla \varphi_2, \mathbf{u}^e) &= -((\nabla \mathbf{J} * \varphi^e) \varphi_2, \mathbf{u}^e), \\ ((\mathbf{J} * \varphi_1) \nabla \varphi^e, \mathbf{u}^e) &= -((\nabla \mathbf{J} * \varphi_1) \varphi^e, \mathbf{u}^e). \end{aligned}$$

Using Hölder's and Ladyzhenskaya's inequalities, and Young's inequality for convolution, we estimate  $|((\nabla \mathbf{J} * \varphi^e) \varphi_2, \mathbf{u}^e)|$  as

$$\begin{aligned} |((\nabla \mathbf{J} * \varphi^e) \varphi_2, \mathbf{u}^e)| &\leq \|\nabla \mathbf{J} * \varphi^e\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\varphi_2\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \|\mathbf{u}^e\| \leq \|\nabla \mathbf{J}\|_{\mathbb{L}^1} \|\varphi^e\| \|\mathbf{u}^e\| \\ &\leq \frac{mC_0}{8} \|\varphi^e\|^2 + \frac{2}{mC_0} \|\nabla \mathbf{J}\|_{\mathbb{L}^1}^2 \|\mathbf{u}^e\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$|((\nabla \mathbf{J} * \varphi_1) \varphi^e, \mathbf{u}^e)| \leq \frac{mC_0}{8} \|\varphi^e\|^2 + \frac{2}{mC_0} \|\nabla \mathbf{J}\|_{\mathbb{L}^1}^2 \|\mathbf{u}^e\|^2. \quad (4.15)$$

Substituting (4.11), (4.13)-(4.15) in (4.8), then using (4.5) and the fact that  $\|\nabla a\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \leq \|\nabla \mathbf{J}\|_{\mathbb{L}^1}$ , for  $n = 2$ , we obtain

$$\nu \|\nabla \mathbf{u}^e\|^2 \leq \frac{3mC_0}{8} \|\varphi^e\|^2 + \frac{1}{\nu} \left( \frac{2}{\lambda_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{\mathbb{V}_{\text{div}}} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}^e\|^2 + \frac{6}{mC_0} \|\nabla \mathbf{J}\|_{\mathbb{L}^1}^2 \|\mathbf{u}^e\|^2. \quad (4.16a)$$

Combining (4.12), (4.13)-(4.15) and substituting it in (4.8), for  $n = 3$ , we get

$$\nu \|\nabla \mathbf{u}^e\|^2 \leq \frac{3mC_0}{8} \|\varphi^e\|^2 + \frac{1}{\nu} \left( \frac{4}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{\mathbb{V}_{\text{div}}} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}^e\|^2 + \frac{6}{mC_0} \|\nabla \mathbf{J}\|_{\mathbb{L}^1}^2 \|\mathbf{u}^e\|^2. \quad (4.16b)$$

Now we estimate the terms in the right hand side of (4.10). To estimate  $(\mathbf{u}^e \cdot \nabla \varphi_1, \mathcal{B}^{-1} \varphi^e)$ , we use an integration by parts,  $\mathbf{u}^e|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$  and the divergence free condition of  $\mathbf{u}^e$  to obtain

$$(\mathbf{u}^e \cdot \nabla \varphi_1, \mathcal{B}^{-1} \varphi^e) = -(\mathbf{u}^e \cdot \nabla \mathcal{B}^{-1} \varphi^e, \varphi_1).$$

Using Hölder's, Ladyzhenskaya's, Poincaré's and Young's inequalities, we estimate the above term as

$$\begin{aligned} |(\mathbf{u}^e \cdot \nabla \mathcal{B}^{-1} \varphi^e, \varphi_1)| &\leq \|\mathbf{u}^e\| \|\nabla \mathcal{B}^{-1} \varphi^e\| \|\varphi_1\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \\ &\leq \|\mathbf{u}^e\| \|\nabla \mathcal{B}^{-1} \varphi^e\| \\ &\leq \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} \right) \|\nabla \mathbf{u}^e\| \|\nabla \mathcal{B}^{-1} \varphi^e\| \\ &\leq \frac{\nu}{2} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}^e\|^2 + \frac{1}{2\nu\lambda_1} \|\mathcal{B}^{-1/2} \varphi^e\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

where  $\lambda_1$  is the first eigenvalue of the Stokes operator  $A$ . Next we estimate  $(\mathbf{u}_2 \cdot \nabla \varphi^e, \mathcal{B}^{-1} \varphi^e)$  in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} |(\mathbf{u}_2 \cdot \nabla \varphi^e, \mathcal{B}^{-1} \varphi^e)| &\leq |(\mathbf{u}_2 \cdot \nabla \mathcal{B}^{-1} \varphi^e, \varphi^e)| \\ &\leq \|\mathbf{u}_2\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \|\nabla \mathcal{B}^{-1} \varphi^e\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \|\varphi^e\| \\ &\leq \frac{mC_0}{8} \|\varphi^e\|^2 + \frac{2}{mC_0} \|\nabla \mathcal{B}^{-1} \varphi^e\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^2 \|\mathbf{u}_2\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{mC_0}{8} \|\varphi^e\|^2 + \frac{2C}{mC_0} \|\nabla \mathcal{B}^{-1} \varphi^e\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 \|\mathbf{u}_2\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

where we used the embedding  $\mathbb{H}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L}^4$ . One can see that the  $H^2$ -norm of  $\zeta$  in  $D(\mathcal{B})$  is equivalent to the  $L^2$ -norm of  $(\mathcal{B} + \mathbf{I})\zeta$ , that is,

$$\|\zeta\|_{H^2} \cong \|(\mathcal{B} + \mathbf{I})\zeta\|.$$

Now since  $\mathcal{B}^{-1}\varphi^e \in D(\mathcal{B})$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is a linear isomorphism, we have

$$\|\nabla \mathcal{B}^{-1}\varphi^e\|_{\mathbb{H}^1} \leq C\|\mathcal{B}^{-1}\varphi^e\|_{H^2} \leq C\|(\mathcal{B} + \mathbf{I})\mathcal{B}^{-1}\varphi^e\| \leq C\|\varphi^e\|.$$

Substituting in (4.18), using Ladyzhenskaya's inequality and (4.5), for  $n = 2$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |(\mathbf{u}_2 \cdot \nabla \varphi^e, \mathcal{B}^{-1}\varphi^e)| &\leq \frac{mC_0}{8}\|\varphi^e\|^2 + \frac{2C}{mC_0}\|\varphi^e\|^2\|\mathbf{u}_2\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{mC_0}{8}\|\varphi^e\|^2 + \frac{2C}{mC_0}\|\varphi^e\|^2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\nu^2\sqrt{\lambda_1}} \right) \|\mathbf{h}\|_{\mathbb{V}'_{\text{div}}}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (4.19a)$$

and for  $n = 3$ , we get

$$|(\mathbf{u}_2 \cdot \nabla \varphi^e, \mathcal{B}^{-1}\varphi^e)| \leq \frac{mC_0}{8}\|\varphi^e\|^2 + \frac{2C}{mC_0}\|\varphi^e\|^2 \left( \frac{4}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{\nu^2} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{\mathbb{V}'_{\text{div}}}^2. \quad (4.19b)$$

It is only left to estimate  $(J*\varphi^e, \varphi^e)$ . Since  $\bar{\varphi} = 0$  we can write using the fact that  $\|B_N^{1/2}\varphi\|^2 = (B_N\varphi, \varphi) = \|\nabla\varphi\|^2$  for all  $\varphi \in D(B_N)$

$$\begin{aligned} |(J*\varphi, \varphi)| &= |(B_N^{1/2}(J*\varphi - \overline{J*\varphi}), B_N^{-1/2}\varphi)| \\ &\leq \|B_N^{1/2}(J*\varphi - \overline{J*\varphi})\| \|B_N^{-1/2}\varphi\| \\ &\leq \|\nabla J*\varphi\| \|B_N^{-1/2}\varphi\| \leq \|\nabla J\|_{L^1} \|\varphi\| \|B_N^{-1/2}\varphi\| \\ &\leq \frac{C_0}{4}\|\varphi\|^2 + \frac{1}{C_0}\|\nabla J\|_{L^1}^2 \|B_N^{-1/2}\varphi\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$m|(J*\varphi, \varphi)| \leq \frac{mC_0}{4}\|\varphi\|^2 + \frac{m}{C_0}\|\nabla J\|_{L^1}^2 \|B_N^{-1/2}\varphi\|^2 \quad (4.20)$$

Combining (4.17), (4.19a) and (4.20) and substituting it in (4.10), for  $n = 2$ , we infer

$$\frac{5mC_0}{8}\|\varphi^e\|^2 \leq \frac{\nu}{2}\|\nabla \mathbf{u}^e\|^2 + \left( \frac{1}{2\nu\lambda_1} + \frac{m}{C_0}\|\nabla J\|_{L^1}^2 \right) \|\mathcal{B}^{-1/2}\varphi^e\|^2 + \frac{2C}{\nu^2 mC_0} \left( \frac{2}{\lambda_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{\mathbb{V}'_{\text{div}}}^2 \|\varphi^e\|^2. \quad (4.21a)$$

Combining (4.17), (4.19b) and (4.20) and substituting in (4.10), for  $n = 3$ , we find

$$\frac{5mC_0}{8}\|\varphi^e\|^2 \leq \frac{\nu}{2}\|\nabla \mathbf{u}^e\|^2 + \left( \frac{1}{2\nu\lambda_1} + \frac{m}{C_0}\|\nabla J\|_{L^1}^2 \right) \|\mathcal{B}^{-1/2}\varphi^e\|^2 + \frac{2C}{\nu^2 mC_0} \left( \frac{4}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{\mathbb{V}'_{\text{div}}}^2 \|\varphi^e\|^2. \quad (4.21b)$$

Adding (4.16a) and (4.21a), for  $n = 2$ , we obtain

$$\frac{\nu}{2}\|\nabla \mathbf{u}^e\|^2 + \frac{mC_0}{4}\|\varphi^e\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\nu} \left( \frac{2}{\lambda_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{\mathbb{V}'_{\text{div}}}^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}^e\|^2 + \frac{6}{mC_0}\|\nabla J\|_{L^1}^2 \|\mathbf{u}^e\|^2$$



$$+ \left( \frac{1}{2\nu\lambda_1} + \frac{m}{C_0} \|\nabla J\|_{\mathbb{L}^1}^2 \right) \|\mathcal{B}^{-1/2}\varphi^e\|^2 + \frac{2C}{\nu^2 m C_0} \left( \frac{2}{\lambda_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{\mathbb{V}'_{\text{div}}}^2 \|\varphi^e\|^2. \quad (4.22a)$$

Combining (4.16b) and (4.21b), for  $n = 3$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\nu}{2} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}^e\|^2 + \frac{mC_0}{4} \|\varphi^e\|^2 &\leq \frac{1}{\nu} \left( \frac{4}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{\mathbb{V}'_{\text{div}}} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}^e\|^2 + \frac{6}{mC_0} \|\nabla J\|_{\mathbb{L}^1}^2 \|\mathbf{u}^e\|^2 \\ &+ \left( \frac{1}{2\nu\lambda_1} + \frac{m}{C_0} \|\nabla J\|_{\mathbb{L}^1}^2 \right) \|\mathcal{B}^{-1/2}\varphi^e\|^2 + \frac{2C}{\nu^2 m C_0} \left( \frac{4}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{\mathbb{V}'_{\text{div}}}^2 \|\varphi^e\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22b)$$

Now using the continuous embedding of  $\mathbb{L}^2_{(0)} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{V}'_0$ , that is,  $\|\mathcal{B}^{-1/2}\varphi^e\|^2 \leq C\|\varphi^e\|^2$  and Poincaré's inequality, we further obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[ \lambda_1 \left( \frac{\nu}{2} - \frac{1}{\nu} \left( \frac{2}{\lambda_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{\mathbb{V}'_{\text{div}}} \right) - \frac{6}{mC_0} \|\nabla J\|_{\mathbb{L}^1}^2 \right] \|\mathbf{u}^e\|^2 \\ &+ \left[ \frac{mC_0}{4} - \frac{mC}{C_0} \|\nabla J\|_{\mathbb{L}^1}^2 - \frac{C}{2\nu\lambda_1} - \frac{2C}{\nu^2 m C_0} \left( \frac{2}{\lambda_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{\mathbb{V}'_{\text{div}}}^2 \right] \|\varphi^e\|^2 \leq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

From (4.23), uniqueness for  $n = 2$  follows provided quantities in both brackets in above inequality are strictly positive. Thus we conclude that for

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad \nu^2 &> \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{\mathbb{V}'_{\text{div}}} + \frac{12\nu}{\lambda_1 m C_0} \|\nabla J\|_{\mathbb{L}^1}^2, \\ (ii) \quad (\nu m)^2 &\left( \frac{C_0}{4} - \frac{C}{C_0} \|\nabla J\|_{\mathbb{L}^1}^2 \right) > \nu m \left( \frac{C}{\lambda_1} \right) + \frac{2C}{C_0} \left( \frac{2}{\lambda_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{\mathbb{V}'_{\text{div}}}^2 \quad \text{with} \quad \|\nabla J\|_{\mathbb{L}^1}^2 < \frac{C_0^2}{4C}, \end{aligned}$$

uniqueness follows in two dimensions. Similarly for  $n = 3$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[ \lambda_1 \left( \frac{\nu}{2} - \frac{1}{\nu} \left( \frac{4}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{\mathbb{V}'_{\text{div}}} \right) - \frac{6}{mC_0} \|\nabla J\|_{\mathbb{L}^1}^2 \right] \|\mathbf{u}^e\|^2 \\ &+ \left[ \frac{mC_0}{4} - \frac{mC}{C_0} \|\nabla J\|_{\mathbb{L}^1}^2 - \frac{C}{2\nu\lambda_1} - \frac{2C}{\nu^2 m C_0} \left( \frac{4}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{\mathbb{V}'_{\text{div}}}^2 \right] \|\varphi^e\|^2 \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the uniqueness follows provided

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad \nu^2 &> \left( \frac{16}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{\mathbb{V}'_{\text{div}}} + \frac{12\nu}{\lambda_1 m C_0} \|\nabla J\|_{\mathbb{L}^1}^2, \\ (ii) \quad (\nu m)^2 &\left( \frac{C_0}{4} - \frac{C}{C_0} \|\nabla J\|_{\mathbb{L}^1}^2 \right) > \nu m \left( \frac{C}{\lambda_1} \right) + \frac{2C}{C_0} \left( \frac{4}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{\mathbb{V}'_{\text{div}}}^2, \quad \text{with} \quad \|\nabla J\|_{\mathbb{L}^1}^2 < \frac{C_0^2}{4C}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

**4.2. Regularity of the weak solution.** In this subsection, we establish the regularity results for the weak solution to the system (4.1). Let  $(\mathbf{u}, \mu, \varphi) \in \mathbb{V}_{\text{div}} \times V \times (V \cap L^2_{(k)}(\Omega))$  and  $\varphi(x) \in (-1, 1)$  a.e. be the unique weak solution of the system (4.1). In the next theorem, we prove the higher order regularity results for the system (4.1).

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{G}_{\text{div}}$  and the assumptions of Theorem 4.1 are satisfied. Then the weak solution  $(\mathbf{u}, \mu, \varphi)$  of the system (4.1) satisfies:*

$$\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{H}^2(\Omega), \quad \mu \in H^2(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi \in W^{1,p}(\Omega), \quad (4.24)$$

where  $2 \leq p < \infty$  if  $n = 2$  and  $2 \leq p \leq 6$  if  $n = 3$ .

*Proof. Step 1:* Let  $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{G}_{\text{div}}$ . Then from Theorem 3.19 and Theorem 4.1, we know that there exists a unique weak solution  $(\mathbf{u}, \varphi, \mu)$  satisfying

$$\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{V}_{\text{div}}, \quad \varphi \in V \cap L^2_{(k)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu \in V. \quad (4.25)$$

Now, we recall the form (4.6) that is

$$\nu(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{v}) + b(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = - \left\langle \nabla a \frac{\varphi^2}{2} - (\mathbf{J} * \varphi) \nabla \varphi, \mathbf{v} \right\rangle + \langle \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{v} \rangle, \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{V}_{\text{div}}, \quad (4.26)$$

where  $\nabla a(x) = \int_{\Omega} \nabla J(x-y) dy$ . Using the weak regularity (4.25),  $|\varphi(x)| \leq 1$  and Young's inequality for convolution, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\nabla a)\varphi^2\| &\leq \|\nabla a\|_{L^\infty} \|\varphi\|_{L^4}^2 \leq C, \\ \|\mathbf{J} * \varphi \nabla \varphi\| &\leq \|\mathbf{J}\|_{L^1} \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla \varphi\| \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the right hand side of (4.26) belongs to  $L^2(\Omega)$ . Then from the regularity of the steady state Navier-Stokes equations (see Chapter II, [36]) we have that

$$\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{H}^2(\Omega). \quad (4.27)$$

**Step 2:** From the first equation of (4.1) we have

$$m(\nabla \mu, \nabla \psi) = (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \varphi, \psi), \quad \text{for all } \psi \in V. \quad (4.28)$$

Using the Sobolev inequality, (4.27) and (4.25), we observe that

$$\|\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \varphi\| \leq C \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla \varphi\| \leq C \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{H}^2} \|\nabla \varphi\| \leq C. \quad (4.29)$$

Since we have that  $\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0$ , from the  $L^p$  regularity of the Neumann Laplacian (see Lemma 2.1) for  $p = 2$ , we conclude that

$$\mu \in H^2(\Omega). \quad (4.30)$$

**Step 3:** An application of the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality together with (4.30) gives

$$\|\nabla \mu\|_{L^p} \leq C \|\mu\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \|\mu\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty, \quad (4.31)$$

for  $2 \leq p < \infty$  in case of  $n = 2$  and

$$\|\nabla \mu\|_{L^p} \leq C \|\mu\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^{\frac{5p-6}{4p}} \|\mu\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^{\frac{6-p}{4p}} < \infty, \quad (4.32)$$

for  $2 \leq p \leq 6$  and  $n = 3$ . Let us take the gradient of  $\mu = a\varphi - \mathbf{J} * \varphi + \mathbf{F}'(\varphi)$ , multiply it by  $\nabla\varphi|\nabla\varphi|^{p-2}$ , integrate the resulting identity over  $\Omega$ , and use (A9), Hölder's and Young's inequalities and Young's inequality for convolution to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\Omega} \nabla\varphi|\nabla\varphi|^{p-2} \cdot \nabla\mu dx &= \int_{\Omega} \nabla\varphi|\nabla\varphi|^{p-2} \cdot (a\nabla\varphi + \nabla a\varphi - \nabla\mathbf{J} * \varphi + \mathbf{F}''(\varphi)\nabla\varphi) dx \\
 &= \int_{\Omega} (a + \mathbf{F}''(\varphi))|\nabla\varphi|^p dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla\varphi|\nabla\varphi|^{p-2} \cdot (\nabla a\varphi - \nabla\mathbf{J} * \varphi) dx \\
 &\geq C_0 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla\varphi|^p dx - (\|\nabla a\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} + \|\nabla\mathbf{J}\|_{\mathbb{L}^1}) \|\varphi\|_{\mathbb{L}^p} \|\nabla\varphi\|_{\mathbb{L}^p}^{p-1} \\
 &\geq \frac{C_0}{2} \|\nabla\varphi\|_{\mathbb{L}^p}^p - \frac{1}{p} \left( \frac{2(p-1)}{C_0 p} \right)^{p-1} (\|\nabla a\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} + \|\nabla\mathbf{J}\|_{\mathbb{L}^1})^p \|\varphi\|_{\mathbb{L}^p}^p. \quad (4.33)
 \end{aligned}$$

Using Young's inequality, we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \int_{\Omega} \nabla\varphi|\nabla\varphi|^{p-2} \cdot \nabla\mu dx \right| &\leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla\varphi|^{p-1} |\nabla\mu| dx \\
 &\leq \frac{C_0}{4} \|\nabla\varphi\|_{\mathbb{L}^p}^p + \frac{1}{p} \left( \frac{4(p-1)}{C_0 p} \right)^{p-1} \|\nabla\mu\|_{\mathbb{L}^p}^p. \quad (4.34)
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining (4.33) and (4.34), we get

$$\frac{C_0}{4} \|\nabla\varphi\|_{\mathbb{L}^p}^p \leq \frac{1}{p} \left( \frac{2(p-1)}{C_0 p} \right)^{p-1} [2^{p-1} \|\nabla\mu\|_{\mathbb{L}^p}^p + (\|\nabla a\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} + \|\nabla\mathbf{J}\|_{\mathbb{L}^1})^p \|\varphi\|_{\mathbb{L}^p}^p]. \quad (4.35)$$

Using (4.31) and (4.32) we get

$$\|\nabla\varphi\|_{\mathbb{L}^p} < \infty$$

for  $2 \leq p < \infty$  if  $n = 2$ , and  $2 \leq p \leq 6$  if  $n = 3$ .  $\square$

## 5. EXPONENTIAL STABILITY

The stability analysis of non-linear dynamical systems has a long history starting from the works of Lyapunov. For the solutions of ordinary or partial differential equations describing dynamical systems, different kinds of stability may be described. One of the most important types of stability is that concerning the stability of solutions near to a point of equilibrium (stationary solutions). In the qualitative theory of ordinary and partial differential equations, and control theory, Lyapunov's notion of (global) asymptotic stability of an equilibrium is a key concept. It is important to note that the asymptotic stability do not quantify the rate of convergence. In fact, there is a strong form of stability which demands an exponential rate of convergence. The notion of exponential stability is far stronger and it assures a minimum rate of decay, that is, an estimate of how fast the solutions converge to its equilibrium. In particular, exponential stability implies uniform asymptotic stability. Stability analysis of fluid dynamic models has been one of the essential areas of applied mathematics with a good number of applications in engineering and physics (cf. [36, 5], etc).

In this section, we consider the singular potential  $\mathbf{F}$  in  $(-1, 1)$  of the form:

$$\mathbf{F}(\varphi) = \frac{\theta}{2} ((1 + \varphi) \log(1 + \varphi) + (1 - \varphi) \log(1 - \varphi)), \quad \varphi \in (-1, 1).$$

Observe that in this case, the potential  $F$  is convex and  $\kappa = 0$  in (2.17). For such potentials, we prove that the stationary solution  $(\mathbf{u}^e, \varphi^e)$  of the system (2.1) with constant mobility parameter  $m$  and constant coefficient of kinematic viscosity  $\nu$  is exponentially stable in 2-D. That is, our aim is to establish that:

- there exists constants  $M > 0$  and  $\alpha > 0$  such that

$$\|\mathbf{u}(t) - \mathbf{u}^e\|^2 + \|\varphi(t) - \varphi^e\|^2 \leq Me^{-\alpha t},$$

for all  $t \geq 0$ .

**5.1. Global solvability of two dimensional CHNS system.** We consider the following initial-boundary value problem:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varphi_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \varphi = m \Delta \mu, \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \mu = a\varphi - J * \varphi + F'(\varphi) \\ \mathbf{u}_t - \nu \Delta \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} + \nabla \pi = \mu \nabla \varphi + \mathbf{h}, \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0, \quad \mathbf{u} = 0, \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega \times [0, T], \\ \mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{u}_0, \quad \varphi(0) = \varphi_0, \quad \text{in } \Omega. \end{array} \right. \quad (5.1)$$

Let us now give the global solvability results available in the literature for the system (5.1). We first give the definition of a weak solution for the system (5.1)

**Definition 5.1** (weak solution, [17]). *Let  $\mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathbb{G}_{\operatorname{div}}$ ,  $\varphi_0 \in H$  with  $F(\varphi_0) \in L^1(\Omega)$  and  $0 < T < \infty$  be given. A couple  $(\mathbf{u}, \varphi)$  is a weak solution to the system (5.1) on  $[0, T]$  corresponding to  $[\mathbf{u}_0, \varphi_0]$  if*

- (i)  $\mathbf{u}, \varphi$  and  $\mu$  satisfy

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{u} \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{G}_{\operatorname{div}}) \cap L^2(0, T; \mathbb{V}_{\operatorname{div}}), \\ \mathbf{u}_t \in L^{4/3}(0, T; \mathbb{V}'_{\operatorname{div}}), \quad \text{if } d = 3, \\ \mathbf{u}_t \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{V}'_{\operatorname{div}}), \quad \text{if } d = 2, \\ \varphi \in L^\infty(0, T; H) \cap L^2(0, T; V), \\ \varphi_t \in L^2(0, T; V'), \\ \mu = a\varphi - J * \varphi + F'(\varphi) \in L^2(0, T; V), \end{array} \right. \quad (5.2)$$

and

$$\varphi \in L^\infty(Q), \quad |\varphi(x, t)| < 1 \text{ a.e. } (x, t) \in Q := \Omega \times (0, T);$$

- (ii) For every  $\psi \in V$ , every  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{V}_{\operatorname{div}}$  and for almost any  $t \in (0, T)$ , we have

$$\langle \varphi_t, \psi \rangle + m(\nabla \mu, \nabla \psi) = \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \psi) \varphi dx, \quad (5.3)$$

$$\langle \mathbf{u}_t, \mathbf{v} \rangle + \nu(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{v}) + b(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = - \int_{\Omega} (\varphi \cdot \nabla \mu) \mathbf{v} dx + \langle \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{v} \rangle. \quad (5.4)$$

- (iii) The initial conditions  $\mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{u}_0$ ,  $\varphi(0) = \varphi_0$  hold in the weak sense.

Next, we discuss the existence and uniqueness of weak solution results available in the literature for the system (5.1).

**Theorem 5.2** (Existence, Theorem 1 [17]). *Assume that (A1)-(A7) are satisfied for some fixed positive integer  $q$ . Let  $\mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathbb{G}_{\text{div}}$ ,  $\varphi_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  such that  $F(\varphi_0) \in L^1(\Omega)$  and  $\mathbf{h} \in L^2_{\text{loc}}([0, \infty), \mathbb{V}'_{\text{div}})$ . In addition, assume that  $|\overline{\varphi_0}| < 1$ . Then, for every given  $T > 0$ , there exists a weak solution  $(\mathbf{u}, \varphi)$  to the equation (5.1) on  $[0, T]$  such that  $\overline{\varphi}(t) = \overline{\varphi_0}$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$  and*

$$\varphi \in L^\infty(0, T; L^{2+2q}(\Omega)).$$

Furthermore, setting

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{u}(t), \varphi(t)) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} J(x-y)(\varphi(x, t) - \varphi(y, t))^2 dx dy + \int_{\Omega} F(\varphi(t)) dx, \quad (5.5)$$

the following energy estimate holds

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{u}(t), \varphi(t)) + \int_s^t \left( 2 \|\sqrt{\nu(\varphi)} D\mathbf{u}(s)\|^2 + m \|\nabla \mu(s)\|^2 \right) ds \leq \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{u}(s), \varphi(s)) + \int_s^t \langle \mathbf{h}(s), \mathbf{u}(s) \rangle ds, \quad (5.6)$$

for all  $t \geq s$  and for a.a.  $s \in (0, \infty)$ . If  $d = 2$ , the weak solution  $(\mathbf{u}, \varphi)$  satisfies the following energy identity,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{u}(t), \varphi(t)) + 2 \|\sqrt{\nu(\varphi)} D\mathbf{u}(t)\|^2 + m \|\nabla \mu(t)\|^2 = \langle \mathbf{h}(t), \mathbf{u}(t) \rangle,$$

that is, the equality in (5.6) holds for every  $t \geq 0$ .

**Theorem 5.3** (Uniqueness, Theorem 3, [14]). *Let  $d = 2$  and assume that (A1)-(A7) are satisfied for some fixed positive integer  $q$ . Let  $\mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathbb{G}_{\text{div}}$ ,  $\varphi_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  with  $F(\varphi_0) \in L^1(\Omega)$ ,  $|\overline{\varphi_0}| < 1$  and  $\mathbf{h} \in L^2_{\text{loc}}([0, \infty); \mathbb{V}'_{\text{div}})$ . Then, the weak solution  $(\mathbf{u}, \varphi)$  corresponding to  $(\mathbf{u}_0, \varphi_0)$  given by Theorem 5.2 is unique. Furthermore, for  $i = 1, 2$ , let  $\mathbf{z}_i := (\mathbf{u}_i, \varphi_i)$  be two weak solutions corresponding to two initial data  $\mathbf{z}_{0i} := (\mathbf{u}_{0i}, \varphi_{0i})$  and external forces  $\mathbf{h}_i$ , with  $\mathbf{u}_{0i} \in \mathbb{G}_{\text{div}}$ ,  $\varphi_{0i} \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  such that  $F(\varphi_{0i}) \in L^1(\Omega)$ ,  $|\overline{\varphi_{0i}}| < \eta$ , for some constant  $\eta \in [0, 1)$  and  $\mathbf{h}_i \in L^2_{\text{loc}}([0, \infty); \mathbb{V}'_{\text{div}})$ . Then the following continuous dependence estimate holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathbf{u}_2(t) - \mathbf{u}_1(t)\|^2 + \|\varphi_2(t) - \varphi_1(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}'}^2 \\ & + \int_0^t \left( \frac{C_0}{2} \|\varphi_2(\tau) - \varphi_1(\tau)\|^2 + \frac{\nu}{4} \|\nabla(\mathbf{u}_2(\tau) - \mathbf{u}_1(\tau))\|^2 \right) d\tau \\ & \leq \left( \|\mathbf{u}_2(0) - \mathbf{u}_1(0)\|^2 + \|\varphi_2(0) - \varphi_1(0)\|_{\mathbb{V}'}^2 \right) \Lambda_0(t) \\ & + \|\overline{\varphi}_2(0) - \overline{\varphi}_1(0)\| \mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{E}(z_{01}), \mathcal{E}(z_{02}), \|\mathbf{h}_1\|_{L^2(0,t; \mathbb{V}'_{\text{div}})}, \|\mathbf{h}_2\|_{L^2(0,t; \mathbb{V}'_{\text{div}})}, \eta) \Lambda_1(t) \\ & + \|\mathbf{h}_2 - \mathbf{h}_1\|_{L^2(0,T; \mathbb{V}'_{\text{div}})}^2 \Lambda_2(t), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$ , where  $\Lambda_0(t)$ ,  $\Lambda_1(t)$  and  $\Lambda_2(t)$  are continuous functions, which depend on the norms of the two solutions. The functions  $\Lambda_i(t)$  also depend on  $F$ ,  $J$  and  $\Omega$ , and  $\mathbb{Q}$  depends on  $F$ ,  $J$ ,  $\Omega$  and  $\eta$ .

**Remark 5.4** (Remark 3, [17]). *The above theorems also imply  $\mathbf{u} \in C([0, T]; \mathbb{G}_{\text{div}})$  and  $\varphi \in C([0, T]; \mathbb{H})$ , for all  $T > 0$ .*



Now consider third equation of (5.8) and take inner product with  $\mathbf{y}(\cdot)$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{y}(t)\|^2 + \nu \|\nabla \mathbf{y}(t)\|^2 + b(\mathbf{y}(t), \mathbf{u}^e, \mathbf{y}(t)) \\ & = (\tilde{\mu}(t) \nabla \psi(t), \mathbf{y}(t)) + (\tilde{\mu}(t) \nabla \varphi^e, \mathbf{y}(t)) + (\mu^e \nabla \psi(t), \mathbf{y}(t)), \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

where we used the fact that  $b(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}) = b(\mathbf{u}^e, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}) = 0$  and  $(\nabla \tilde{\pi}, \mathbf{y}) = (\tilde{\pi}, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{y}) = 0$ . An integration by parts yields

$$(\tilde{\mu} \nabla \psi, \mathbf{y}) = -(\psi \nabla \tilde{\mu}, \mathbf{y}) - (\psi \tilde{\mu}, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{y}) = -(\psi \nabla \tilde{\mu}, \mathbf{y}),$$

where we used the boundary data and divergence free condition of  $\mathbf{y}$ . Similarly, we have  $(\tilde{\mu} \nabla \varphi^e, \mathbf{y}) = -(\varphi^e \nabla \tilde{\mu}, \mathbf{y})$  and  $(\mu^e \nabla \psi, \mathbf{y}) = -(\psi \nabla \mu^e, \mathbf{y})$ . Thus from (5.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{y}(t)\|^2 + \nu \|\nabla \mathbf{y}(t)\|^2 + b(\mathbf{y}(t), \mathbf{u}^e, \mathbf{y}(t)) \\ & = -(\psi(t) \nabla \tilde{\mu}(t), \mathbf{y}(t)) - (\varphi^e \nabla \tilde{\mu}(t), \mathbf{y}(t)) - (\psi(t) \nabla \mu^e, \mathbf{y}(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

Taking inner product of the third equation in (5.8) with  $\tilde{\mu}(\cdot)$ , we obtain

$$(\psi_t(t), \tilde{\mu}(t)) + m \|\nabla \tilde{\mu}(t)\|^2 = -(\mathbf{y}(t) \cdot \nabla \psi(t), \tilde{\mu}(t)) - (\mathbf{y}(t) \cdot \nabla \varphi^e, \tilde{\mu}(t)) - (\mathbf{u}^e \cdot \nabla \psi(t), \tilde{\mu}(t)). \quad (5.11)$$

Using an integration by parts, divergence free condition and boundary value of  $\mathbf{y}$ , we get

$$(\mathbf{y} \cdot \nabla \psi, \tilde{\mu}) = -(\psi \nabla \cdot \mathbf{y}, \tilde{\mu}) - (\psi \nabla \tilde{\mu}, \mathbf{y}) = -(\psi \nabla \tilde{\mu}, \mathbf{y}).$$

Similarly, we have  $(\mathbf{y} \cdot \nabla \varphi^e, \tilde{\mu}) = -(\varphi^e \nabla \tilde{\mu}, \mathbf{y})$  and  $(\mathbf{u}^e \cdot \nabla \psi, \tilde{\mu}) = -(\psi \nabla \tilde{\mu}, \mathbf{u}^e)$ . Thus, from (5.11), it is immediate that

$$(\psi_t(t), \tilde{\mu}(t)) + m \|\nabla \tilde{\mu}(t)\|^2 = (\psi(t) \nabla \tilde{\mu}(t), \mathbf{y}(t)) + (\varphi^e \nabla \tilde{\mu}(t), \mathbf{y}(t)) + (\psi(t) \nabla \tilde{\mu}(t), \mathbf{u}^e). \quad (5.12)$$

Adding (5.10) and (5.12), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{y}(t)\|^2 + \nu \|\nabla \mathbf{y}(t)\|^2 + (\psi_t(t), \tilde{\mu}(t)) + m \|\nabla \tilde{\mu}(t)\|^2 \\ & = -b(\mathbf{y}(t), \mathbf{u}^e, \mathbf{y}(t)) - (\psi(t) \nabla \mu^e, \mathbf{y}(t)) + (\psi(t) \nabla \tilde{\mu}(t), \mathbf{u}^e). \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

We estimate the term  $(\psi_t, \tilde{\mu})$  from (5.13) as

$$\begin{aligned} & (\psi_t, \tilde{\mu}) = (\psi_t, a\psi - \mathbf{J} * \psi + \mathbf{F}'(\psi + \varphi^e) - \mathbf{F}'(\varphi^e)) \\ & = \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|\sqrt{a}\psi\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{J} * \psi, \psi) + \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{F}(\psi + \varphi^e) dx - (\mathbf{F}'(\varphi^e), \psi) \right\} \\ & = \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|\sqrt{a}\psi\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{J} * \psi, \psi) + \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{F}(\psi + \varphi^e) dx - \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{F}(\varphi^e) dx - \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{F}'(\varphi^e) \psi dx \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

since  $\frac{d}{dt} (\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{F}(\varphi^e) dx) = 0$ . Using Hölder's, Ladyzhenskaya's and Young's inequalities, we estimate  $b(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{u}^e, \mathbf{y})$  as

$$|b(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{u}^e, \mathbf{y})| \leq \|\nabla \mathbf{u}^e\| \|\mathbf{y}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^2 \leq \sqrt{2} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}^e\| \|\mathbf{y}\| \|\nabla \mathbf{y}\| \leq \frac{\nu}{4} \|\nabla \mathbf{y}\|^2 + \frac{2}{\nu} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}^e\|^2 \|\mathbf{y}\|^2. \quad (5.16)$$

We estimate the term  $(\psi \nabla \mu^e, \mathbf{y})$  from (5.13) using Hölder's, Ladyzhenskaya's and Young's inequalities as

$$|(\psi \nabla \mu^e, \mathbf{y})| \leq \|\psi\| \|\nabla \mu^e\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \|\mathbf{y}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \leq \sqrt{2} \|\psi\| \|\nabla \mu^e\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \|\mathbf{y}\|^{1/2} \|\nabla \mathbf{y}\|^{1/2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sqrt{2}(\lambda_1)^{1/4} \|\psi\| \|\nabla \mu^e\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \|\nabla \mathbf{y}\| \\
&\leq \frac{\nu}{4} \|\nabla \mathbf{y}\|^2 + \frac{2}{\nu \sqrt{\lambda_1}} \|\nabla \mu^e\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^2 \|\psi\|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.17}$$

Similarly, we estimate the term  $(\psi \nabla \tilde{\mu}, \mathbf{u}^e)$  from (5.13) as

$$|(\psi \nabla \tilde{\mu}, \mathbf{u}^e)| \leq \|\psi\| \|\nabla \tilde{\mu}\| \|\mathbf{u}^e\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \leq \frac{m}{2} \|\nabla \tilde{\mu}\|^2 + \frac{1}{2m} \|\mathbf{u}^e\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 \|\psi\|^2. \tag{5.18}$$

Combining (5.15)-(5.18) and substituting it in (5.13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{y}\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\sqrt{a}\psi\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{J} * \psi, \psi) + \int_{\Omega} F(\psi + \varphi^e) dx - \int_{\Omega} F(\varphi^e) dx - \int_{\Omega} F'(\varphi^e) \psi dx \right\} \\
&+ \frac{\nu}{2} \|\nabla \mathbf{y}\|^2 + \frac{m}{2} \|\nabla \tilde{\mu}\|^2 \leq \left( \frac{1}{2m} \|\mathbf{u}^e\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 + \frac{2}{\nu \sqrt{\lambda_1}} \|\nabla \mu^e\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^2 \right) \|\psi\|^2 + \frac{2}{\nu} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}^e\|^2 \|\mathbf{y}\|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.19}$$

Using Taylor's series expansion and Assumption (A9), we also obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(\tilde{\mu}, \psi) &= (a\psi - \mathbf{J} * \psi + F'(\psi + \varphi^e) - F'(\varphi^e), \psi) \\
&= (a\psi + F''(\varphi^e + \theta\psi)\psi, \psi) - (\mathbf{J} * \psi, \psi) \\
&\geq (C_0 - \|\mathbf{J}\|_{\mathbb{L}^1}) \|\psi\|^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

for some  $0 < \theta < 1$ . Observe that  $(\tilde{\mu}, \psi) = \tilde{\mu}(1, \psi) = 0$ , since  $\bar{\varphi}_0 = \bar{\varphi} = \bar{\varphi}^e$ . Thus an application of Poincaré inequality yields

$$(\tilde{\mu}, \psi) = (\tilde{\mu} - \bar{\mu}, \psi) \leq \|\tilde{\mu} - \bar{\mu}\| \|\psi\| \leq C_{\Omega} \|\psi\| \|\nabla \tilde{\mu}\|. \tag{5.21}$$

Hence, from (5.20) and (5.21), we get

$$\|\psi\| \leq \frac{C_{\Omega}}{C_0 - \|\mathbf{J}\|_{\mathbb{L}^1}} \|\nabla \tilde{\mu}\|, \tag{5.22}$$

which implies from (5.21) that

$$(\tilde{\mu}, \psi) \leq \frac{C_{\Omega}^2}{C_0 - \|\mathbf{J}\|_{\mathbb{L}^1}} \|\nabla \tilde{\mu}\|^2 \tag{5.23}$$

Using Poincaré's inequality and (5.22) in (5.19), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{y}\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\sqrt{a}\psi\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{J} * \psi, \psi) + \int_{\Omega} F(\psi + \varphi^e) dx - \int_{\Omega} F(\varphi^e) dx - \int_{\Omega} F'(\varphi^e) \psi dx \right\} \\
&+ \left( \frac{\nu \lambda_1}{2} - \frac{2}{\nu} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}^e\|^2 \right) \|\mathbf{y}\|^2 + \left( \frac{m}{2} - \left( \frac{1}{2m} \|\mathbf{u}^e\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 - \frac{2}{\nu \sqrt{\lambda_1}} \|\nabla \mu^e\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^2 \right) \frac{C_{\Omega}^2}{(C_0 - \|\mathbf{J}\|_{\mathbb{L}^1})^2} \right) \|\nabla \tilde{\mu}\|^2 \leq 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.24}$$

Using Taylor's formula, we have the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} \|\sqrt{a}\psi\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{J} * \psi, \psi) + \int_{\Omega} [F(\psi + \varphi^e) - F(\varphi^e) - F'(\varphi^e) \psi] dx \\
&= (a\psi - \mathbf{J} * \psi, \psi) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} F''(\varphi^e + \theta\psi) \psi^2 dx, \\
&= (a\psi - \mathbf{J} * \psi + F'(\psi + \varphi^e) - F'(\varphi^e), \psi) = (\tilde{\mu}, \psi)
\end{aligned} \tag{5.25}$$



for some  $0 < \theta < 1$ . Using (A9) and Young's inequality for convolutions, we know that

$$\int_{\Omega} (a + F''(\varphi^e + \theta\psi))\psi^2 dx \geq C_0\|\psi\|^2 \geq \|J\|_{L^1}\|\psi\|^2 \geq (J * \psi, \psi).$$

Thus, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} (a + F''(\varphi^e + \theta\psi))\psi^2 dx - (J * \psi, \psi) \geq 0.$$

Hence from (5.23), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} [\|\mathbf{y}\|^2 + (\tilde{\mu}, \psi)] + \left( \nu\lambda_1 - \frac{4}{\nu}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}^e\|^2 \right) \|\mathbf{y}\|^2 \\ & + \left[ \frac{(C_0 - \|J\|_{L^1})}{2C_{\Omega}^2} - \frac{1}{(C_0 - \|J\|_{L^1})} \left( \frac{1}{2m}\|\mathbf{u}^e\|_{L^{\infty}}^2 + \frac{4}{\nu\sqrt{\lambda_1}}\|\nabla\mu^e\|_{L^4}^2 \right) \right] (\tilde{\mu}, \psi) \leq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.26)$$

Now for  $C_0 > \|J\|_{L^1}$  and

$$\nu^2 > \frac{4}{\lambda_1}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}^e\|^2, \text{ and } (C_0 - \|J\|_{L^1})^2 > 2C_{\Omega}^2 \left( \frac{1}{2m}\|\mathbf{u}^e\|_{L^{\infty}}^2 + \frac{4}{\nu\sqrt{\lambda_1}}\|\nabla\mu^e\|_{L^4}^2 \right), \quad (5.27)$$

we use the variation of constants formula (see Lemma A.1) to find

$$\|\mathbf{y}(t)\|^2 + (\tilde{\mu}(t), \psi(t)) \leq (\|\mathbf{y}(0)\|^2 + (\tilde{\mu}(0), \psi(0)))e^{-\varrho t}, \quad (5.28)$$

for all  $t \geq 0$ , where

$$\varrho = \min \left\{ \left( \lambda_1\nu - \frac{4}{\nu}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}^e\|^2 \right), \left[ \frac{(C_0 - \|J\|_{L^1})}{2C_{\Omega}^2} - \frac{1}{(C_0 - \|J\|_{L^1})} \left( \frac{1}{2m}\|\mathbf{u}^e\|_{L^{\infty}}^2 + \frac{4}{\nu\sqrt{\lambda_1}}\|\nabla\mu^e\|_{L^4}^2 \right) \right] \right\} > 0. \quad (5.29)$$

From (5.20), we also have  $(C_0 - \|J\|_{L^1})\|\psi\|^2 \leq (\tilde{\mu}, \psi)$ , so that from (5.28), we infer that

$$\|\mathbf{y}(t)\|^2 + (C_0 - \|J\|_{L^1})\|\psi\|^2 \leq (\|\mathbf{y}(0)\|^2 + (\tilde{\mu}(0), \psi(0)))e^{-\varrho t}. \quad (5.30)$$

Using Hölder's inequality, Young's inequality for convolutions and assumption (A1) in the identity (5.25), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\mu}(0), \psi(0)) &= (a\psi_0, \psi_0) - (J * \psi_0, \psi_0) + \int_{\Omega} F(\varphi_0)dx + \int_{\Omega} F(\varphi^e)dx - \int_{\Omega} F'(\varphi^e)\psi_0dx \\ &\leq 2\|J\|_{L^1}\|\psi_0\|^2 + \|F(\varphi_0)\|_{L^1} + \|F(\varphi^e)\|_{L^1} + \|F'(\varphi^e)\|_{L^1}\|\psi_0\|_{L^{\infty}}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.31)$$

where we have used the boundedness of  $\psi_0$  and  $\|a\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq \|J\|_{L^1}$ . Hence from (5.30), we finally have

$$\|\mathbf{y}(t)\|^2 + \|\psi(t)\|^2 \leq \left( \frac{\|\mathbf{y}_0\|^2 + 2\|J\|_{L^1}\|\psi_0\|^2 + \|F(\varphi_0)\|_{L^1} + \|F(\varphi^e)\|_{L^1} + \|F'(\varphi^e)\|_{L^1}\|\psi_0\|_{L^{\infty}}}{\min\{(C_0 - \|J\|_{L^1}), 1\}} \right) e^{-\varrho t}, \quad (5.32)$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

## APPENDIX A. VARIATION OF CONSTANTS FORMULA

In this appendix, we give a variant of variation of constants formula, which is useful when we have two or more differentiable functions with different constant coefficients.

**Lemma A.1** (Lemma C.3, [28]). *Assume that the differentiable functions  $y(\cdot), z(\cdot) : [0, T] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and the constants  $a_1, a_2, k_1, k_2, k_3 > 0$  satisfy:*

$$\frac{d}{dt}(a_1y(t) + a_2z(t)) + k_1y(t) + k_2z(t) \leq 0, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$ . Then, we have

$$y(t) + z(t) \leq C(y(0) + z(0))e^{-\rho t}, \quad \text{where } C = \frac{\max\{a_1, a_2\}}{\min\{a_1, a_2\}} \quad \text{and } \rho = \min\left\{\frac{k_1}{a_1}, \frac{k_2}{a_2}\right\}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

*Proof.* Since  $a_1 > 0$ , from (A.1), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(y(t) + \frac{a_2}{a_1}z(t)\right) + \frac{k_1}{a_1}\left(y(t) + \frac{k_2}{k_1}z(t)\right) \leq 0.$$

Now, for  $\frac{a_2}{a_1} \leq \frac{k_2}{k_1}$ , from the above inequality, we also have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(y(t) + \frac{a_2}{a_1}z(t)\right) + \frac{k_1}{a_1}\left(y(t) + \frac{a_2}{a_1}z(t)\right) \leq 0.$$

From the above relation, it is immediate that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left[e^{\frac{k_1}{a_1}t}\left(y(t) + \frac{a_2}{a_1}z(t)\right)\right] \leq 0,$$

which easily implies

$$a_1y(t) + a_2z(t) \leq (a_1y(0) + a_2z(0))e^{-\frac{k_1}{a_1}t}. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

We can do a similar calculation by a division with  $a_2 > 0$  and for  $\frac{a_1}{a_2} \leq \frac{k_1}{k_2}$ , we arrive at

$$a_1y(t) + a_2z(t) \leq (a_1y(0) + a_2z(0))e^{-\frac{k_2}{a_2}t}. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Combining (A.3) and (A.4), we finally obtain (A.2).  $\square$

**Acknowledgements.** The authors thank the anonymous referee for her/his careful reading of the manuscript and for the valuable comments and suggestions, which helped us to improve the manuscript significantly. The authors would like to thank Dr. Dhanya Rajendran from IISER Thiruvananthapuram, INDIA, for valuable discussions and suggestions by her. M. T. Mohan would like to thank the Department of Science and Technology (DST), Govt of India for Innovation in Science Pursuit for Inspired Research (INSPIRE) Faculty Award (IFA17-MA110).

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. Abels. On a diffuse interface model for two-phase flows of viscous, incompressible fluids with matched densities. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 194(2):463–506, 2009.
- [2] H. Abels and J. Weber. Stationary solutions for a Navier-Stokes/Cahn-Hilliard system with singular free energies. In *Recent developments of mathematical fluid mechanics*, Adv. Math. Fluid Mech., pages 25–41. Birkhäuser/Springer, Basel, 2016.
- [3] H. Abels and M. Wilke. Convergence to equilibrium for the Cahn-Hilliard equation with a logarithmic free energy. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 67(11):3176–3193, 2007.
- [4] V. Barbu. *Analysis and control of nonlinear infinite-dimensional systems*, volume 190 of *Mathematics in Science and Engineering*. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1993.
- [5] V. Barbu. *Stabilization of Navier-Stokes flows*. Communications and Control Engineering Series. Springer, London, 2011.
- [6] T. Biswas, S. Dharmatti, and M. T. Mohan. Maximum principle for some optimal control problems governed by 2D nonlocal Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes equations. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.*, 22(3):Art. 34, 42, 2020.
- [7] T. Biswas, S. Dharmatti, and M. T. Mohan. Pontryagin maximum principle and second order optimality conditions for optimal control problems governed by 2d nonlocal cahn-hilliard-navierstokes equations. *Analysis (Berlin)*, 40(3):127–150, 2020.
- [8] F. Boyer. Mathematical study of multi-phase flow under shear through order parameter formulation. *Asymptot. Anal.*, 20(2):175–212, 1999.
- [9] H. Brezis. *Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2010.
- [10] P. Colli, S. Frigeri, and M. Grasselli. Global existence of weak solutions to a nonlocal Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes system. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 386(1):428–444, 2012.
- [11] E. DiBenedetto. *Degenerate parabolic equations*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.
- [12] L. C. Evans. *Partial differential equations*, volume 19 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, second edition, 2010.
- [13] S. Frigeri, C. G. Gal, and M. Grasselli. Regularity results for the nonlocal cahn-hilliard equation with singular potential and degenerate mobility.
- [14] S. Frigeri, C. G. Gal, and M. Grasselli. On nonlocal Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes systems in two dimensions. *J. Nonlinear Sci.*, 26(4):847–893, 2016.
- [15] S. Frigeri, C. G. Gal, M. Grasselli, and J. Sprekels. Two-dimensional nonlocal Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes systems with variable viscosity, degenerate mobility and singular potential. *Nonlinearity*, 32(2):678–727, 2019.
- [16] S. Frigeri and M. Grasselli. Global and trajectory attractors for a nonlocal Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes system. *J. Dynam. Differential Equations*, 24(4):827–856, 2012.
- [17] S. Frigeri and M. Grasselli. Nonlocal Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes systems with singular potentials. *Dyn. Partial Differ. Equ.*, 9(4):273–304, 2012.
- [18] S. Frigeri, M. Grasselli, and P. Krejčí. Strong solutions for two-dimensional nonlocal Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes systems. *J. Differential Equations*, 255(9):2587–2614, 2013.
- [19] S. Frigeri, M. Grasselli, and J. Sprekels. Optimal distributed control of two-dimensional nonlocal Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes systems with degenerate mobility and singular potential. *Appl. Math. Optim.*, 81(3):899–931, 2020.
- [20] S. Frigeri, E. Rocca, and J. Sprekels. Optimal distributed control of a nonlocal Cahn-Hilliard/Navier-Stokes system in two dimensions. *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 54(1):221–250, 2016.
- [21] C. G. Gal, A. Giorgini, and M. Grasselli. The nonlocal Cahn-Hilliard equation with singular potential: well-posedness, regularity and strict separation property. *J. Differential Equations*, 263(9):5253–5297, 2017.
- [22] C. G. Gal and M. Grasselli. Asymptotic behavior of a Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes system in 2D. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 27(1):401–436, 2010.
- [23] M. E. Gurtin, D. Polignone, and J. Viñals. Two-phase binary fluids and immiscible fluids described by an order parameter. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, 6(6):815–831, 1996.

- [24] J. Han. The Cauchy problem and steady state solutions for a nonlocal Cahn-Hilliard equation. *Electron. J. Differential Equations*, pages No. 113, 9, 2004.
- [25] O. A. Ladyzhenskaya. *The mathematical theory of viscous incompressible flow*. Second English edition, revised and enlarged. Translated from the Russian by Richard A. Silverman and John Chu. Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 2. Gordon and Breach, Science Publishers, New York-London-Paris, 1969.
- [26] G. Leoni. *A first course in Sobolev spaces*, volume 181 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, second edition, 2017.
- [27] J. L. Lions. Quelques remarques sur les problèmes de dirichlet et de neumann. *Séminaire Jean Leray*, 1961-1962. talk:6.
- [28] M. T. Mohan. On the two-dimensional tidal dynamics system: stationary solution and stability. *Appl. Anal.*, 99(10):1795–1826, 2020.
- [29] L. Nirenberg. On elliptic partial differential equations. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (3)*, 13:115–162, 1959.
- [30] R. T. Rockafellar. On the maximal monotonicity of subdifferential mappings. *Pacific J. Math.*, 33:209–216, 1970.
- [31] T. Roubíček. *Nonlinear partial differential equations with applications*, volume 153 of *International Series of Numerical Mathematics*. Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, second edition, 2013.
- [32] M. Ruzicka. *Nichtlineare Funktionalanalysis: Eine Einführung*. Springer-Verlag, 2006.
- [33] T. Tachim Medjo. Optimal control of a Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes model with state constraints. *J. Convex Anal.*, 22(4):1135–1172, 2015.
- [34] T. Tachim Medjo. A Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes model with delays. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B*, 21(8):2663–2685, 2016.
- [35] T. Tachim Medjo. Robust control of a Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes model. *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.*, 15(6):2075–2101, 2016.
- [36] R. Temam. *Navier-Stokes equations. Theory and numerical analysis*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York-Oxford, 1977. Studies in Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 2.
- [37] R. Temam. *Navier-Stokes equations and nonlinear functional analysis*, volume 66 of *CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, second edition, 1995.
- [38] E. Zeidler. *Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications. II/B*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990. Nonlinear monotone operators, Translated from the German by the author and Leo F. Boron.