# QUANTIZATION DIMENSION FOR INFINITE CONFORMAL ITERATED FUNCTION SYSTEMS

JASON ATNIP, MRINAL KANTI ROYCHOWDHURY, AND MARIUSZ URBAŃSKI

ABSTRACT. The quantization dimension function for an F-conformal measure  $m_F$  generated by an infinite conformal iterated function system satisfying the strong open set condition and by a summable Hölder family of functions is expressed by a simple formula involving the temperature function of the system. The temperature function is commonly used to perform the multifractal analysis, in our context of the measure  $m_F$ . The result in this paper extends a similar result of Lindsay and Mauldin established for finite conformal iterated function systems [Nonlinearity 15 (2002)].

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Various types of dimensions such as Hausdorff and packing dimensions or the lower and the upper box-counting dimensions are important to characterize the complexity of highly irregular sets. In the past decades, a lot of research has been done aiming at the calculation of these dimensions for various special cases or establishing some significant properties. In recent years, paralleling methods have been adopted to study the corresponding dimensions for measures (see [F]). In this paper, we study the quantization dimension for probability measure. The quantization problem consists in studying the quantization error induced by the approximation of a given probability measure with discrete probability measures of finite supports. This problem originated in information theory and some engineering technology. A detailed account of this theory can be found in [GL1]. Given a Borel probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , a number  $r \in (0, +\infty)$  and a natural number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the  $n^{th}$  quantization error of order r for  $\mu$  is defined by

$$V_{n,r}(\mu) := \inf \left\{ \int d(x,\alpha)^r d\mu(x) : \alpha \subset \mathbb{R}^d, \operatorname{Card}(\alpha) \le n \right\},\$$

where  $d(x, \alpha)$  denotes the distance from the point x to the set  $\alpha$  with respect to a given norm on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Letting |x| denote the Euclidean norm for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we note that if  $\int |x|^r d\mu(x) < \infty$ , then there is some set  $\alpha$  for which the infimum is achieved (see [GL1]). Such a set  $\alpha$  for which the infimum occurs and contains no more than n points is called an *optimal set of n-means* of order r for  $0 < r < +\infty$ . To see some work in the direction of optimal sets of n-means, one is referred to [DR, GL4, R2, R3, R4, RR]. The upper and the lower quantization dimensions of order r of  $\mu$  are defined to be

$$\overline{D}_r(\mu) := \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{r \log n}{-\log V_{n,r}(\mu)}; \ \underline{D}_r(\mu) := \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{r \log n}{-\log V_{n,r}(\mu)}$$

If  $\overline{D}_r(\mu)$  and  $\underline{D}_r(\mu)$  coincide, we call the common value the quantization dimension of order r of the probability measure  $\mu$ , and is denoted by  $D_r := D_r(\mu)$ . One sees that the quantization

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 60Exx; Secondary 37A50, 94A34, 28A80.

Key words and phrases. Quantization dimension; F-conformal measure; topological pressure; temperature function.

The research of the second author was supported by U.S. National Security Agency (NSA) Grant H98230-14-1-0320. The research of the third author was supported in part by the NSF Grant DMS 0700831.

dimension is actually a function  $r \mapsto D_r$  which measures the asymptotic rate at which  $V_{n,r}$  goes to zero. If  $D_r$  exists, then one can write

$$\log V_{n,r} \sim \log \left[ \left( 1/n \right)^{r/D_r} \right].$$

For s > 0, we define the s-dimensional upper and lower quantization coefficients for  $\mu$  of order r by  $\limsup_{n\to\infty} nV_{n,r}^{s/r}(\mu)$  and  $\liminf_{n\to\infty} nV_{n,r}^{s/r}(\mu)$ , respectively. Compared to the lower and the upper quantization dimensions, the lower and the upper quantization coefficients provide us with more accurate information on the asymptotic of the quantization error. Graf and Luschy first determined the quantization dimension of order r of a probability measure generated by a finite system of self-similar mappings associated with a probability vector (see [GL1, GL2]). Lindsay and Mauldin extended the above result to the F-conformal measure m associated with a conformal iterated function system determined by finitely many conformal mappings (see [LM]). Both the above results also show that quantization dimension function has a relationship with the temperature function of the thermodynamic formalism that arises in multifractal analysis of the measure. In [MR], Mihailescu and Roychowdhury determined the quantization dimension of probability measures generated by infinite system of self-similar mappings satisfying an strong open set condition associated with probability vectors, which is an infinite extension of the result of Graf-Luschgy (see [GL1, GL2]). In this paper, we give an extension of Lindsay and Mauldin's (see [LM]) result to the realm of iterated function systems with a countably infinite alphabet. The probability measure  $m_F$  considered here is the F-conformal measure associated with a summable Hölder family of functions

$$F := \left\{ f^{(i)} : X \to \mathbb{R}, i \in I \right\}$$

and a conformal iterated function system

$$\Phi = \{\varphi_i : X \to X, i \in I\}$$

where I is a countable set, called alphabet, with finitely many, or what we want to emphasize, infinitely many, elements. We show that for this measure  $m_F$ , the quantization dimension function  $D_r$ ,  $0 < r < +\infty$ , exists and is uniquely determined by the following formula:

(1) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{\omega \in I^n} \left( \|\exp(S_\omega(F))\| \|\varphi'_\omega\|^r \right)^{\frac{D_r}{r+D_r}} = 0,$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the supremum norm on X. The multifractal formalism for a probability measure corresponding to a two parameter family of Hölder continuous functions

$$G_{q,t} := \left\{ g_{q,t}^{(i)} := qf^{(i)} + t \log |\varphi_i'| : i \in I \right\}$$

does indeed hold if I is a countable set (see [HMU, MU]). In particular, the singularity exponent  $\beta(q)$  (also known as the temperature function) satisfies the usual equation

(2) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{\omega \in I^n} \|\exp(S_{\omega}(F))\|^q \|\varphi'_{\omega}\|^{\beta(q)} = 0,$$

and that the spectrum  $f(\alpha)$  is the Legendre transform of  $\beta(q)$ . Comparing (1) and (2), we see that if  $q_r = \frac{D_r}{r+D_r}$ , then  $\beta(q_r) = rq_r$ , that is, the quantization dimension function of order r of an infinite F-conformal measure has a relationship with the temperature function of the thermodynamic formalism arising in multifractal analysis. For thermodynamic formalism, multifractal analysis and the Legendre transform one can see [F, HMU].

### 2. Basic definitions and Lemmas

In this paper,  $\mathbb{R}^d$  denotes the *d*-dimensional Euclidean space equipped with a metric *d* compatible with the Euclidean topology. Let us write,

$$V_{n,r} = V_{n,r}(\mu) := \inf\left\{\int d(x,\alpha)^r d\mu(x) : \alpha \subset \mathbb{R}^d, \ Card(\alpha) \le n\right\}, \text{ and then } e_{n,r}(\mu) := V_{n,r}^{\frac{1}{r}}(\mu).$$

Let us set

$$u_{n,r}(\mu) = \inf\left\{\int d(x, \alpha \cup U^c)^r d\mu(x) : \alpha \subset \mathbb{R}^d, \operatorname{Card}(\alpha) \le n\right\},\$$

where U is the set which comes from the strong open set condition (definition follows) and  $U^c$  denotes the complement of U. We see that

$$u_{n,r}^{\frac{1}{r}} \le V_{n,r}^{\frac{1}{r}} = e_{n,r}.$$

We call sets  $\alpha_n \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , for which the above infimum are achieved, *n*-optimal sets for  $e_{n,r}$ ,  $V_{n,r}$  or  $u_{n,r}$ , respectively. As stated before, *n*-optimal sets exist when  $\int |x|^r d\mu(x) < \infty$ .

Let X be a nonempty compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with cl(int(X)) = X and I be a countable set with infinitely many elements. Without any loss of generality we can take  $I = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ , i.e., the set of natural numbers. Let  $\Phi = \{\varphi_i : i \in I\}$  be a collection of injective contractions from X into X for which there exists 0 < s < 1 such that

(3) 
$$d(\varphi_i(x), \varphi_i(y)) \le sd(x, y)$$

for every  $i \in I$  and every pair of points  $x, y \in X$ . Thus, the system  $\Phi$  is uniformly contractive. Any such collection  $\Phi$  of contractions is called an iterated function system. By  $\omega := \omega_1 \omega_2 \cdots \omega_n \in I^n$ , it is meant that  $\omega$  is a word of length n over the symbols in  $I, n \geq 1$ , the length of an empty word is zero. Sometimes, we denote the length of a word  $\omega \in I^*$ by  $|\omega|$ , and by  $\omega^-$  we denote the word obtained from  $\omega$  by deleting the last letter of  $\omega$ , i.e.,  $\omega^- = \omega_1 \omega_2 \cdots \omega_{n-1}$  if  $\omega = \omega_1 \omega_2 \cdots \omega_n$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Write  $I^* := \bigcup_{n\geq 1} I^n$  to denote the set of all finite words in I. For  $\omega = \omega_1 \omega_2 \cdots \omega_n \in I^n$ , set  $\varphi_{\omega} = \varphi_{\omega_1} \circ \varphi_{\omega_2} \circ \cdots \circ \varphi_{\omega_n}$ . We have made the convention that the empty word  $\emptyset$  is the only word of length 0 and  $\varphi_{\emptyset} = \mathrm{Id}_X$ . If  $\omega \in I^* \cup I^\infty$  and  $n \geq 1$  does not exceed the length of  $\omega$ , we denote by  $\omega|_n$  the word  $\omega_1 \omega_2 \cdots \omega_n$ . Observe that given  $\omega \in I^\infty$ , the compact sets  $\varphi_{\omega|_n}(X)$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , are decreasing and their diameters converge to zero. In fact by (3), we have

(4) 
$$\operatorname{diam}(\varphi_{\omega|_n}(X)) \le s^n \operatorname{diam}(X),$$

which implies that the set

$$\pi(\omega) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_{\omega|_n}(X)$$

is a singleton, and therefore, this formula defines a map  $\pi : I^{\infty} \to X$  which, in view of (4) is continuous. The main object of our interest will be the limit set

$$J := \pi(I^{\infty}) = \bigcup_{\omega \in I^{\infty}} \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_{\omega|_n}(X).$$

The limit set J is not necessarily compact. Let  $\sigma : I^{\infty} \to I^{\infty}$  denote the left shift map (cutting out the first coordinate) on  $I^{\infty}$ , i.e.,  $\sigma(\omega) = \omega_2 \omega_3 \cdots$  where  $\omega = \omega_1 \omega_2 \cdots$ . Note that  $\pi \circ \sigma(\omega) = \varphi_{\omega_1}^{-1} \circ \pi(\omega)$ , and hence, rewriting  $\pi(\omega) = \varphi_{\omega_1}(\pi(\sigma(\omega)))$ , we see that

$$J = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \varphi_j(J)$$

In the sequel, for  $\omega \in I^*$  we write  $J_{\omega} := \varphi_{\omega}(J)$ . We say that the iterated function system satisfies the open set condition (OSC) if there exists a bounded nonempty open set  $U \subset X$ (in the topology of X) such that  $\varphi_i(U) \subset U$  for every  $i \in I$  and  $\varphi_i(U) \cap \varphi_j(U) = \emptyset$  for every pair  $i, j \in I, i \neq j$ , and the strong open set condition (SOSC) if U can be chosen such that  $U \cap J \neq \emptyset$ . We assume that the infinite iterated function system considered in this paper satisfies the strong open set condition. An iterated function system satisfying the open set condition is said to be conformal if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $U = \operatorname{Int}_{\mathbb{R}^d}(X)$ .
- (ii) There exists an open connected set V with  $X \subset V \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  such that all maps  $\varphi_i$ ,  $i \in I$ , extend to  $\mathcal{C}^1$ -conformal diffeomorphisms of V into V.
- (iii) There exist  $\gamma, \ell > 0$  such that for every  $x \in \partial X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  there exists an open cone  $\operatorname{Con}(x, \gamma, \ell) \subset \operatorname{Int}(X)$  with vertex x, central angle of Lebesgue measure  $\gamma$ , and altitude  $\ell$ .
- (iv) Bounded Distortion Property (BDP): There exists a constant  $K \ge 1$  such that

$$|\varphi'_{\omega}(y)| \le K |\varphi'_{\omega}(x)|$$

for every  $\omega \in I^*$  and every pair of points  $x, y \in V$ , where  $|\varphi'_{\omega}(x)|$  means the norm of the derivative.

For the conformal iterated function system let us now state the following well-known lemma (for details of the proof see [P]).

**Lemma 2.1.** There exists a constant  $\tilde{K} \geq K$  such that

$$\tilde{K}^{-1} \| \varphi'_{\omega} \| d(x, y) \le d(\varphi_{\omega}(x), \varphi_{\omega}(y)) \le \tilde{K} \| \varphi'_{\omega} \| d(x, y)$$

for every  $\omega \in I^*$  and every pair of points  $x, y \in V$ , where d is the metric on X.

Inequality (3) implies that for every  $i \in I$ ,

$$\|\varphi_i'\| = \sup_{x \in X} |\varphi_i'(x)| = \sup_{x \in X} \lim_{y \to x} \frac{d(\varphi_i(y), \varphi_i(x))}{d(y, x)} \le \sup_{x \in X} \lim_{y \to x} \frac{sd(x, y)}{d(x, y)} = s,$$

and hence,  $\|\varphi'_{\omega}\| \leq s^n$  for every  $\omega \in I^n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . For  $t \geq 0$ , the topological pressure function of the conformal iterated function system  $\Phi = \{\varphi_i : i \in I\}$  is given by

$$P(t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{\omega \in I^n} \|\varphi'_{\omega}\|^t,$$

provided the limit exists. Define

$$\theta_{\Phi} := \inf \left\{ t \ge 0 : P(t) < \infty \right\}.$$

the following Proposition of [MU1] describes the good behavior of the topological pressure function.

**Proposition 2.2.** (see [MU1] Proposition 3.3) P(t) is non-increasing on  $[0, \infty)$  and strictly decreasing, convex, and continuous on  $(\theta_{\Phi}, \infty)$  with  $P(t) \to -\infty$  as  $t \to \infty$ .

As it was shown in [MU1], there are two disjoint classes of conformal iterated function systems, regular and irregular. A system is regular if there exists  $t \ge 0$  such that P(t) = 0. Otherwise, the system is irregular. Moreover, if  $\Phi$  is a conformal iterated function system, then Theorem 3.15 of [MU1] gives

$$\dim_{\mathrm{H}}(J) = \sup \left\{ \dim_{\mathrm{H}}(J_F) : F \subset I, F \text{ finite} \right\} = \inf \left\{ t \ge 0 : P(t) \le 0 \right\} \ge \theta_{\Phi},$$

where  $\dim_{\mathrm{H}}(J)$  represents the Hausdorff dimension of the limit set J, and  $J_F$  is the limit set associated to the index set F. If a system is regular and P(t) = 0, then  $t = \dim_{\mathrm{H}}(J)$ . Let us assume that the conformal iterated function system considered in this paper is regular. Let  $F = \{f^{(i)} : X \to \mathbb{R}\}_{i \in I}$  be a family of continuous functions such that for each  $n \ge 1$  if we define

$$v_n(F) = \sup_{\omega \in I^n} \sup_{x,y \in X} \left\{ \left| f^{(\omega_1)}(\varphi_{\sigma(\omega)}(x)) - f^{(\omega_1)}(\varphi_{\sigma(\omega)}(y)) \right| \right\} e^{\beta(n-1)}$$

for some  $\beta > 0$ , then the following is satisfied:

(5) 
$$v_{\beta}(F) = \sup_{n \ge 1} \{v_n(F)\} < \infty.$$

The collection F is called then a *Hölder family of functions* (of order  $\beta$ ). Denote by  $\|\cdot\|$  the supremum norm on the Banach space  $\mathcal{C}(X)$ , and by  $\mathbb{1}$  the function with constant value 1 on X. If in addition to (5) we have

$$\sum_{i \in I} \|e^{f^{(i)}}\| < \infty \text{ or equivalently } \mathcal{L}_F(\mathbb{1}) \in \mathcal{C}(X),$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_F(g)(x) = \sum_{i \in I} e^{f^{(i)}(x)} g(\varphi_i(x)), \quad g \in \mathcal{C}(X),$$

is the associated Perron-Frobenius or transfer operator, then F is called a *summable Hölder* family of functions (of order  $\beta$ ). It was originally in [HMU], and called a strongly Hölder family of functions. In our paper, we assume that F is a summable Hölder family of functions of order  $\beta$ . For  $n \ge 1$  and  $\omega \in I^n$ , set

$$S_{\omega}(F) := \sum_{j=1}^{n} f^{(\omega_j)} \circ \varphi_{\sigma^j(\omega)}.$$

Then, following the classical thermodynamic formalism, the *topological pressure* of F is defined by

$$P(F) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{\omega \in I^n} \| \exp(S_{\omega}(F)) \|.$$

The limit above exists by the standard theory of subadditive sequences. Subtracting from each of the functions  $f^{(i)}$  the topological pressure of F we may assume that P(F) = 0. By [HMU], there exists a unique Borel probability measure  $m_F$  on X with  $m_F(J) = 1$  such that for any continuous function  $g: X \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $n \ge 1$ ,

$$\int g \, dm_F = \sum_{\omega \in I^n} \int \exp(S_\omega(F)) \cdot (g \circ \varphi_\omega) \, dm_F.$$

In particular, for any Borel set  $A \subset J$  and  $\tau \in I^n$ ,  $n \ge 1$ , we have

$$m_F(\varphi_\tau(A)) = \sum_{\omega \in I^n} \int \exp(S_\omega(F)(x)) \cdot (I_{\varphi_\tau(A)} \circ \varphi_\omega(x)) \, dm_F(x)$$
$$= \int \exp(S_\tau(F)(x)) \cdot (I_{\varphi_\tau(A)} \circ \varphi_\tau(x)) \, dm_F(x)$$
$$= \int_A \exp(S_\tau(F)(x)) \, dm_F(x).$$

Moreover,  $m_F$  satisfies  $m_F(\varphi_{\omega}(X) \cap \varphi_{\tau}(X)) = 0$  for all incomparable words  $\omega, \tau \in I^*$ . The probability measure  $m_F$  is called the *F*-conformal measure of the infinite conformal iterated function system  $\Phi$  and the summable Hölder family of functions  $F = \{f^{(i)} : X \to \mathbb{R}, i \in I\}$ .

The following lemma is known.

**Lemma 2.3.** (see [LM], Lemma 2) There exists a constant  $C \ge 1$  such that for any  $x, y \in X$  and  $\omega \in I^*$ ,

$$\frac{\exp(S_{\omega}(F)(x))}{\exp(S_{\omega}(F)(y))} \le C.$$

In particular, for any  $x \in X$  and  $\omega \in I^*$ ,

$$\exp(S_{\omega}(F)(x)) \ge C^{-1} \|\exp(S_{\omega}(F))\|.$$

Using Lemma 2.3, we can deduce the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.4.** (see [R1, Lemma 3.3]) Let  $C \ge 1$  be as in Lemma 2.3. Then, for  $\omega, \tau \in I^*$ , and  $x, y \in X$ , we have

$$\exp(S_{\omega\tau}(F)(x)) \ge C^{-2} \|\exp(S_{\omega}(F))\| \|\exp(S_{\tau}(F))\|.$$

Let us now consider a two-parameter family of Hölder continuous functions

$$G_{q,t} = \left\{ g^{(i)}(q,t) := qf^{(i)} + t \log |\varphi'_i| \right\}_{i \in I}.$$

The topological pressure corresponding to  $G_{q,t}$  is given by

(6) 
$$P(q,t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{\omega \in I^n} \|\exp(S_{\omega}(F))\|^q \|\varphi'_{\omega}\|^t.$$

The limit above exists by the standard theory of subadditive sequences. For q = 0 this simply means that the system  $\Phi$  is strongly regular; see [MU] for a detailed discussion of this concept. Let

$$\operatorname{Fin}(q) = \left\{ t \in \mathbb{R} : \mathcal{L}_{G_{q,t}}(\mathbb{1}) < \infty \right\} = \left\{ t \in \mathbb{R} : P(q,t) < \infty \right\} \text{ and } \theta(q) = \inf \operatorname{Fin}(q).$$

Notice that either  $\operatorname{Fin}(q) = (\theta(q), +\infty)$ , or  $\operatorname{Fin}(q) = [\theta(q), +\infty)$ . We assume that for every  $q \in [0, 1]$  there exists  $u \in (\theta(q), +\infty)$  such that

(7) 
$$0 < P(q, u) < +\infty.$$

The following lemma is easy to prove (see [HMU, Lemma 7.1]).

**Lemma 2.5.** For every  $q \in \mathbb{R}$  the function  $(\theta(q), +\infty) \ni t \mapsto P(q, t)$  is strictly decreasing, convex and hence continuous, and  $P(q, t) \to -\infty$  as  $t \to \infty$ .

With the use of (7), the proof of [HMU, Lemma 7.2] gives the following.

**Lemma 2.6.** If  $q \in [0,1]$ , then there exists a unique  $t = \beta(q) \in (\theta(q), +\infty)$  such that  $P(q, \beta(q)) = 0$ .

By [HMU, Theorem 7.4], the function  $\beta$  is strictly decreasing, convex and hence continuous on [0, 1]. This function is commonly called the *temperature function* of the thermodynamic formalism under consideration.

Note 2.7. Since the system  $\Phi$  is strongly regular  $\beta(0) = \dim_{\mathrm{H}}(J)$ , where  $\dim_{\mathrm{H}}(J)$  denotes the Hausdorff dimension of the limit set J (see [HMU]). Moreover, P(1,0) = 0, which gives  $\beta(1) = 0$ .

In the next sections we state and prove the main result of the paper. In addition, we also give some connections between the quantization processes of the infinite conformal iterated function systems and its truncated systems.



FIGURE 1. To determine  $D_r$  first find the point of intersection of  $y = \beta(q)$  and the line y = rq. Then,  $D_r$  is the y-intercept of the line through this point and the point (1, 0).

### 3. MAIN RESULT

Consider the function  $g: (0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$  defined, for an arbitrary  $r \in (0,\infty)$ , by the following formula:

$$g(x) = \frac{\beta(x)}{rx}$$

We know that  $\beta(1) = 0$  and  $\beta(0) = \dim_{\mathrm{H}}(J)$ , and so g(1) = 0 and  $\lim_{x\to 0+} g(x) = +\infty$ . Moreover, the function g is continuous, even real-analytic (see [HMU] for the function  $\beta$ ), and strictly decreasing (calculate its derivative which is negative since  $\beta' < 0$ ) on (0, 1]. Hence, there exists a unique  $q_r \in (0, 1)$  such that  $g(q_r) = 1$ , i.e.,

$$\beta(q_r) = rq_r.$$

The relationship between the quantization dimension function and the temperature function  $\beta(q)$  for the *F*-conformal measure  $m_F$ , where the temperature function is the Legendre transform of the  $f(\alpha)$  curve (for the definitions of  $f(\alpha)$  and the Legendre transform see [F, HMU]) is given by the following theorem which constitutes the main result of this paper. For a graphical description see Figure 1.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $m_F$  be the *F*-conformal measure associated with the infinite family of strongly Hölder functions  $F := \{f^{(i)} : X \to \mathbb{R}\}_{i \in I}$  and the infinite conformal iterated function system  $\Phi := \{\varphi_i : i \in I\}$  satisfying the strong open set condition. Let P(q,t) be the corresponding topological pressure such that for each  $q \in [0,1]$  there exists  $u \in (\theta(q), +\infty)$  with  $0 < P(q, u) < +\infty$ . Then, for each  $r \in (0, +\infty)$  the quantization dimension (of order r) of the probability measure  $m_F$  is given by

$$D_r(m_F) = \frac{\beta(q_r)}{1 - q_r}$$

where, we recall  $\beta$  is the temperature function.

To prove the theorem we need to prove some lemmas.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $0 < r < +\infty$  be given. Then, there exists exactly one number  $\kappa_r \in (0, +\infty)$  such that

$$P\left(\frac{\kappa_r}{r+\kappa_r},\frac{r\kappa_r}{r+\kappa_r}\right) = 0.$$

*Proof.* Just take  $\kappa_r := \frac{rq_r}{1-q_r}$  and apply the definition of  $q_r$ .

**Remark 3.3.** We postpone the proof of Theorem 3.1 for Section 4, and now give some approximation results for the temperature and quantization dimension in the infinite case by using *truncated finite systems*.

For arbitrary  $M \geq 2$  write  $I_M = \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$ , and consider the partial iterated function system

$$\Phi_M = \{\varphi_i : X \to X : i \in I_M\}$$

of the infinite system  $\Phi$ . Let  $J_M$  be its limit set. Consider also the partial Hölder family of functions

$$F_M = \left\{ f^{(i)} : X \to \mathbb{R} : i \in I_M \right\}$$

of the infinite family F. Let  $m_M$  be the corresponding  $F_M$ -conformal measure on  $J_M$ . Furthermore, let  $P_M(q,t)$  be the topological pressure given by

(8) 
$$P_M(q,t) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{\omega \in I_M^n} \|\exp(S_\omega(F))\|^q \|\varphi'_\omega\|^t$$

and  $\beta_M(q)$  be the temperature function associated with the system  $\Phi_M$ , that is,

$$P_M(q,\beta_M(q)) = 0.$$

Note that for each  $M \ge 2$ ,  $P_M(q, t)$  is strictly decreasing, convex and hence continuous in each variable  $q, t \in \mathbb{R}$  separately and  $P_M(q, t) \to -\infty$  as  $t \to \infty$  (see [F1, P]). Furthermore, we note that

$$P_M(q,t) \le P(q,t)$$

The following lemma is a special case of Lemma 3.2.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $0 < r < +\infty$  and  $M \ge 2$  be as before. Then, there exists exactly one number  $\kappa_{r,M} \in (0, +\infty)$  such that

$$P_M\left(\frac{\kappa_{r,M}}{r+\kappa_{r,M}},\frac{r\kappa_{r,M}}{r+\kappa_{r,M}}\right) = 0.$$

Lindsay and Mauldin showed that the above  $\kappa_{r,M}$  is the quantization dimension of order r of the probability measure  $m_M$  (see [LM]). We shall prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.5.** Let  $(q_M)_{M\geq 2}$  be a sequence of elements in [0,1] such that  $q_M \to q$  for some  $q \in [0,1]$ . Then,  $\beta_M(q_M) \to \beta(q)$  as  $M \to \infty$ .

*Proof.* First observe that the sequence of functions  $(\beta_M)_{M=2}^{\infty}$  is increasing on the compact space [0, 1] and that  $\beta_M(q) \leq \beta(q)$  for all  $q \in [0, 1]$ . Denote

$$\hat{\beta}(q) := \lim_{M \to \infty} \beta_M(q).$$

Then, we have  $\hat{\beta}(q) \leq \beta(q)$  for all  $q \in [0, 1]$ . But,

$$0 = P(q, \beta(q)) \le P(q, \hat{\beta}(q)) = \lim_{M \to \infty} P_M(q, \hat{\beta}(q)) \le \lim_{M \to \infty} P_M(q, \beta_M(q)) = 0.$$

Hence,  $P(q, \hat{\beta}(q)) = 0$ , and therefore  $\hat{\beta}(q) = \beta(q)$ . It now follows from Dini's Lemma that the sequence  $(\beta_M)_{M=2}^{\infty}$  converges to  $\beta$  uniformly on [0, 1]. Moreover, the temperature functions are continuous on [0, 1]. Therefore, it follows that if  $q_M \to q$ , then  $\beta(q) = \lim_{M \to \infty} \beta_M(q_M)$ .  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 3.6.** Let  $0 < r < +\infty$ , and let  $\kappa_r$  and  $\kappa_{r,M}$  be as in Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.4. Then,  $\kappa_{r,M} \to \kappa_r$  as  $M \to \infty$ .

*Proof.* Let  $q_{r,M} = \frac{\kappa_{r,M}}{r+\kappa_{r,M}}$  and  $q_r = \frac{\kappa_r}{r+\kappa_r}$ . It is enough to prove  $q_{r,M} \to q_r$  as  $M \to \infty$ . Let  $(q_{r,M_K})_{k\geq 1}$  be a subsequence of  $(q_{r,M})_{M\geq 2}$  such that  $q_{r,M_k} \to \hat{q}$  for some  $\hat{q} \in [0,1]$ . Then, by Lemma 3.5,

$$r\hat{q} = \lim_{k \to \infty} rq_{r,M_k} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \beta_{M_k}(q_{r,M_k}) = \beta(\hat{q}).$$

So, by uniqueness of  $q_r$ , this implies that  $\hat{q} = q_r$ . Hence,  $q_{r,M} \to q_r$  as  $M \to \infty$ , i.e.,  $\kappa_{r,M} \to \kappa_r$  as  $M \to \infty$ .

**Lemma 3.7.** Let  $m_M$  and  $m_F$  be as defined before. Then,  $m_M$  converges weakly to  $m_F$  as  $M \to \infty$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f: I^{\infty} \to I^{\infty}$  be such that

$$f(\omega) = f^{(\omega_1)}(\pi(\sigma(\omega))),$$

i.e., f is an amalgamated function corresponding to the infinite family F of strongly Hölder functions. Then, for each  $M \geq 2$ ,  $f_M := f|_{I_M^{\infty}}$  is an amalgamated function for the partial family  $F_M$  of strongly Hölder functions. Let  $\tilde{m}_M$  be the conformal measure of the function  $f_M$ with respect to the dynamical system generated by the shift map  $\sigma_M : I_M^{\infty} \to I_M^{\infty}$ . Then, (see Theorem 3.2.3 in [MU])

$$m_M := \tilde{m}_M \circ \pi_M^{-1}$$

is the unique  $F_M$ -conformal measure, where  $\pi_M := \pi|_{I_M^{\infty}}$  is the restriction of the coding map  $\pi$  on the space  $I_M^{\infty}$ . The proof of Theorem 2.7.3 along with Corollary 2.7.5 (especially its uniqueness part (a)) in [MU] gives that the sequence  $(\tilde{m}_M)_{M=2}^{\infty}$  converges weakly to  $\tilde{m}$ , the unique conformal measure for  $f: I^{\infty} \to \mathbb{R}$ . Since the projection map  $\pi: I^{\infty} \to X$  is continuous, we therefore have that the sequence  $(m_M)_{M=2}^{\infty}$  converges weakly to a measure m given by

$$m := \tilde{m} \circ \pi^{-1}.$$

But because of Theorem 3.2.3 in [MU] again, so defined measure m is the unique conformal measure  $m_F$  for the Hölder family F, i.e.,  $m = m_F$ . Thus, the proof of the lemma is complete.

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  denote the set of all Borel probability measures on X, and  $0 < r < +\infty$  be fixed. Since X is compact, for any Borel probability measure  $\nu$  on X we have  $\int |x|^r d\nu(x) < \infty$ . Then, we know  $L_r$ -minimal metric (also referred as  $L_r$ -Wasserstein metric or  $L_r$ -Kantorovich metric) is given by

$$\rho_r(P_1, P_2) = \inf_{\nu} \left( \int |x - y|^r \, d\nu(x, y) \right)^{\frac{1}{r}},$$

where the infimum is taken over all Borel probabilities  $\nu$  on  $X \times X$  with fixed marginals  $P_1$ and  $P_2$ . Again we know that in the weak topology on  $\mathcal{M}$ ,

$$m_M \to m_F \Leftrightarrow \int_X f \, dm_M - \int_X f \, dm_F \to 0 \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{C}(X),$$

where  $\mathcal{C}(X) := \{f : X \to \mathbb{R} : f \text{ is continuous }\}$ . Note that weak topology and the topology induced by  $L_r$ -minimal metric  $\rho_r$  coincide on  $\mathcal{M}$  (see [Ru]).

Let us now state the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.8.** (see [GL1, Lemma 3.4]) Let  $\mathcal{P}_n$  denote the set of all discrete probability measures Q on X with  $|\operatorname{supp}(Q)| \leq n$ . Then, given  $P \in \mathcal{M}$  we have

$$V_{n,r}(P) = \inf_{Q \in \mathcal{P}_n} \rho_r^r(P,Q).$$

Let us now prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.9.** Let  $0 < r < +\infty$ , and  $m_M \to m_F$  with respect to the weak topology. Then, for all  $n \ge 1$ ,

$$\lim_{M \to \infty} V_{n,r}(m_M) = V_{n,r}(m_F).$$

*Proof.* Since X is compact, for any Borel probability measure  $\nu$  on X we have  $\int |x|^r d\nu(x) < \infty$ . Hence, by Lemma 3.8, for any  $n \ge 1$ , it follows that

$$V_{n,r}^{1/r}(m_M) - V_{n,r}^{1/r}(m_F) \Big| \le \rho_r(m_M, m_F),$$

which yields the lemma.

The following lemma is useful.

**Lemma 3.10.** For any two Borel probability measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  and for all sufficiently large n, if  $V_{n,r}(\mu) \leq V_{n,r}(\nu) < 1$ , then

$$\underline{D}_r(\mu) \leq \underline{D}_r(\nu) \text{ and } V_{n,r}^{\underline{D}_r(\mu)}(\mu) \leq V_{n,r}^{\underline{D}_r(\nu)}(\nu).$$

where  $\underline{D}_r(\mu)$  and  $\underline{D}_r(\nu)$  are the lower quantization dimensions of order r of  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ , respectively.

*Proof.* Let  $V_{n,r}(\mu) \leq V_{n,r}(\nu) < 1$  for all sufficiently large *n*. Then, it follows that  $\log V_{n,r}(\mu) \leq \log V_{n,r}(\nu) < 0$ , which implies

$$\frac{1}{-\log V_{n,r}(\mu)} \le \frac{1}{-\log V_{n,r}(\nu)}, \text{ and so } \frac{r\log n}{-\log V_{n,r}(\mu)} \le \frac{r\log n}{-\log V_{n,r}(\nu)}$$

Now, taking limit on both sides, we have  $\underline{D}_r(\mu) \leq \underline{D}_r(\nu)$ . Note that

$$\log V_{n,r}^{\frac{\underline{D}_r(\mu)}{r}}(\mu) = \frac{\underline{D}_r(\mu)}{r} \log V_{n,r}(\mu) \le \frac{\underline{D}_r(\nu)}{r} \log V_{n,r}(\nu) = \log V_{n,r}^{\frac{\underline{D}_r(\nu)}{r}}(\nu),$$

and so  $V_{n,r^{r}}^{\underline{\underline{D}_{r}(\mu)}}(\mu) \leq V_{n,r^{r}}^{\underline{\underline{D}_{r}(\nu)}}(\nu)$ . Thus, the lemma is yielded.

**Remark 3.11.** Similarly, under the above condition in Lemma 3.10, if  $\overline{D}_r(\mu)$  and  $\overline{D}_r(\nu)$  are the upper quantization dimensions of order r of  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ , respectively, then it can be proved that

$$\overline{D}_r(\mu) \leq \overline{D}_r(\nu) \text{ and } V_{n,r}^{\overline{D}_r(\mu)}(\mu) \leq V_{n,r}^{\overline{D}_r(\nu)}(\nu).$$

We conclude this section with the following proposition which gives the desired upper bound for the quantization dimension.

**Proposition 3.12.** Let  $0 < r < +\infty$  be fixed and  $\kappa_r$  be as in Lemma 3.2. Then,

$$\underline{D}_r(m_F) \ge \kappa_r.$$

Proof. Let  $m_M$  be the  $F_M$  conformal measure for the truncated system as defined in Remark 3.3. Then, we know  $\kappa_{r,M}$ , given by Lemma 3.4, is the quantization dimension of the measure  $m_M$ . Since  $\operatorname{supp}(m_M) \subset \operatorname{supp}(m_F)$ , for all sufficiently large n, we have  $V_{n,r}(m_M) \leq V_{n,r}(m_F) < 1$ , and then Lemma 3.10 implies  $\underline{D}_r(m_F) \geq \underline{D}_r(m_M) = \kappa_{r,M}$ . Now, take  $M \to \infty$  and use Lemma 3.10, which yields  $\underline{D}_r(m_F) \geq \kappa_r$ .

## 4. Proof of Theorem 3.1

In this section we prove our main theorem, Theorem 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let  $\Phi = \{\varphi_i : X \to X\}_{i \in I}$  be an infinite conformal iterated function system satisfying the strong open set condition and let  $F = \{f^{(i)} : X \to \mathbb{R}\}_{i \in I}$  be an infinite family of strongly Hölder functions. Let  $\kappa_r$  be the unique number given by Lemma 3.2 with

$$P\left(\frac{\kappa_r}{r+\kappa_r},\frac{r\kappa_r}{r+\kappa_r}\right) = 0.$$

Since  $0 < r < +\infty$  is fixed, write  $q = \frac{\kappa_r}{r+\kappa_r}$ . Then, by [HMU], there exists a unique Borel probability measure  $m_q$  ( $G_{q,\beta(q)}$ -conformal measure) on J such that for any continuous function  $g: X \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $n \ge 1$ , we have

$$\int g \, dm_q = \sum_{\omega \in I^n} \int \left( \exp(S_\omega(F)) |\varphi'_\omega|^r \right)^q \cdot (g \circ \varphi_\omega) \, dm_q(x),$$

in particular, for any Borel  $A \subset J$ , and  $\omega \in I^*$ , we have

(9) 
$$m_q(\varphi_{\omega}(A)) = \int_A \left( \exp(S_{\omega}(F)) |\varphi'_{\omega}|^r \right)^q dm_q(x)$$

For  $N \geq 2$ , consider the family  $F_N \subseteq F$  given by  $F_N = \{f^{(i)} : X \to \mathbb{R}\}_{i=1}^N$  and the finite subsystem of  $\Phi$  consisting of the first N elements, that is,

$$\Phi_N = \{ \tilde{\varphi}_i : X \to X \}_{i=1}^N$$

where the collection of maps  $\{\tilde{\varphi}_1, \ldots, \tilde{\varphi}_N\}$  is a permutation of the maps  $\{\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_N\}$  so that  $\|\tilde{\varphi}'_i\|$  is decreasing for the relabeled maps, i.e.,

$$\|\tilde{\varphi}_i'\| \ge \left\|\tilde{\varphi}_{i+1}'\right\|$$

for  $1 \leq i < N$ . Let  $J_N$  be its associated limit set, and let  $I_N = \{1, \ldots, N\}$ . Further, let  $G_{N,q,t}$  be the subset of  $G_{q,t}$  defined based on the subfamily  $F_N$  of F, i.e.,

$$G_{N,q,t} = \left\{ g^{(i)}(q,t) := q f^{(i)} + t \log |\tilde{\varphi}'_i| \right\}_{i \in I_N}$$

We call  $\Gamma \subseteq I^*$  a *finite maximal antichain* if  $\Gamma$  is a finite set of words in  $I^*$ , such that every sequence in  $I^{\infty}$  is an extension of some word in  $\Gamma$ , but no word in  $\Gamma$  is an extension of another word in  $\Gamma$ . In particular, we have that

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{\omega}(J_N) \cap \tilde{\varphi}_{\tau}(J_N) = \emptyset$$

for all  $\omega \neq \tau \in \Gamma \subseteq I_N^*$ . If  $\omega = \omega_1 \omega_2 \cdots \omega_n \in I_N^n$ ,  $n \ge 1$ , set

$$S_{\omega}(F_N) := \sum_{j=1}^n f^{(\omega_j)} \circ \tilde{\varphi}_{\sigma^j(\omega)},$$

and we denote

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{\omega} := \tilde{\varphi}_{\omega_1} \circ \cdots \circ \tilde{\varphi}_{\omega_n}, \text{ and } J_{N,\omega} := \tilde{\varphi}_{\omega}(J_N).$$

Then, there exists a unique Borel probability measure  $m_N$  (unique  $F_N$ -conformal measure) supported on  $J_N$  such that for any continuous function  $g: X \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $n \ge 1$ ,

$$\int g \, dm_N = \sum_{\omega \in I_N^n} \int \exp(S_\omega(F_N)) \cdot (g \circ \tilde{\varphi}_\omega) \, dm_N.$$

Let  $P_N(q,t)$  be the topological pressure as in (8) and let  $\beta_N(q)$  be the temperature function in this case, i.e., for each  $q \in \mathbb{R}$  there exists a unique  $\beta_N(q) \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $P_N(q,\beta_N(q)) = 0$ . Then, for each  $q \in \mathbb{R}$ , there exists a probability measure  $m_{N,q}$  ( $G_{N,q,t}$ -conformal measure) on  $J_N$  such that for any continuous function  $g: X \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $n \ge 1$ ,

$$\int g \, dm_{N,q} = \sum_{\omega \in I_N^n} \int (\exp(S_\omega(F_N))^q |\tilde{\varphi}'_\omega|^{\beta_N(q)} \cdot (g \circ \tilde{\varphi}_\omega) \, dm_{N,q}.$$

In fact, for any Borel  $A \subset J_N$  and  $\omega \in I_N^*$ , we have

$$m_{N,q}(\tilde{\varphi}_{\omega}(A)) = \int_{A} (\exp(S_{\omega}(F_N))^q |\tilde{\varphi}_{\omega}'|^{\beta_N(q)} dm_{N,q})$$

Again, for any  $\omega \in I_N^*$ , using Bounded Distortion Property and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$1 \ge m_{N,q}(\tilde{\varphi}_{\omega}(J_N)) = \int_{J_{N,\omega}} (\exp(S_{\omega}(F_N))^q |\tilde{\varphi}_{\omega}'|^{\beta_N(q)} dm_{N,q}$$
$$\ge C^{-q} K^{-\beta_N(q)} \| \exp(S_{\omega}(F_N)) \|^q \| \tilde{\varphi}_{\omega}' \|^{\beta_N(q)},$$

which for each  $q \in \mathbb{R}$  implies

(10) 
$$\|\exp(S_{\omega}(F_N))\|^q \|\tilde{\varphi}'_{\omega}\|^{\beta_N(q)} \le C^q K^{\beta_N(q)} m_{N,q}(\tilde{\varphi}_{\omega}(J_N)).$$

Then, for any finite maximal antichain  $\Gamma \subset I_N^*$ , it follows that

$$\sum_{\omega \in \Gamma} m_{N,q}(J_{N,\omega}) \le 1$$

which together with (10) gives

(11) 
$$\sum_{\omega \in \Gamma} \|\exp(S_{\omega}(F_N))\|^q \|\tilde{\varphi}'_{\omega}\|^{\beta_N(q)} \le C^q K^{\beta_N(q)}$$

As in Lemma 3.2, there exists exactly one number  $\kappa_{r,N} \in (0, +\infty)$  such that

(12) 
$$P_N\left(\frac{\kappa_{r,N}}{r+\kappa_{r,N}},\frac{r\kappa_{r,N}}{r+\kappa_{r,N}}\right) = 0.$$

Thus, if we take  $q_N = \frac{\kappa_{r,N}}{r + \kappa_{r,N}}$ , then  $\beta_N(q_N) = rq_N$ . Then, (11) implies

(13) 
$$\sum_{\omega\in\Gamma} \left( \|\exp(S_{\omega}(F_N))\| \|\tilde{\varphi}'_{\omega}\|^r \right)^{\frac{\kappa_{r,N}}{r+\kappa_{r,N}}} \le (CK^r)^{\frac{\kappa_{r,N}}{r+\kappa_{r,N}}}.$$

Now, since  $P_N(q,t) \leq P(q,t)$ , and since the function  $x \mapsto \frac{x}{x+r}$  is increasing for  $0 < r < \infty$ , we have

(14) 
$$\frac{\kappa_{r,N}}{\kappa_{r,N}+r} \le \frac{\kappa_r}{\kappa_r+r}$$

In light of (13) and (14), we can write

$$\sum_{\omega \in \Gamma} \left( \| \exp(S_{\omega}(F_N)) \| \| \tilde{\varphi}'_{\omega} \|^r \right)^{\frac{\kappa_r}{r+\kappa_r}}$$
$$= \sum_{\omega \in \Gamma} \left( \| \exp(S_{\omega}(F_N)) \| \| \tilde{\varphi}'_{\omega} \|^r \right)^{\frac{\kappa_{r,N}}{r+\kappa_r,N}} \left( \| \exp(S_{\omega}(F_N)) \| \| \tilde{\varphi}'_{\omega} \|^r \right)^{\frac{\kappa_r}{r+\kappa_r} - \frac{\kappa_{r,N}}{r+\kappa_r,N}}$$
$$\leq (CK^r)^{\frac{\kappa_{r,N}}{r+\kappa_r,N}} (CK^r)^{\frac{\kappa_r}{r+\kappa_r} - \frac{\kappa_{r,N}}{r+\kappa_r,N}} = (CK^r)^{\frac{\kappa_r}{r+\kappa_r}}.$$

We now prove the following claim.

(

**Claim 4.1.** Let  $0 < r < +\infty$  be fixed, and let  $\kappa_r$  be as in Lemma 3.2. Then, we have

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} n V_{n,r}^{\kappa_r/r}(m_F) < +\infty$$

*Proof.* Write  $\eta = \frac{\kappa_r}{r+\kappa_r}$  and  $L = (CK^r)^{\frac{\kappa_r}{r+\kappa_r}}$ . As  $0 < r < +\infty$  is fixed, the number  $\eta$  is fixed. Consider an arbitrary positive integer  $n \ge 2$ , and write (16)

$$\Gamma_n = \left\{ \omega \in I_N^* : (m_N(J_{N,\omega}) \| \tilde{\varphi}'_{\omega} \|^r)^\eta \le \frac{L}{n} (\rho_N)^{-1} \text{ and } (m_N(J_{N,\omega^-}) \| \tilde{\varphi}'_{\omega^-} \|^r)^\eta \ge \frac{L}{n} (\rho_N)^{-1} \right\},$$

where

$$\rho_N := (C^{-3} K^{-r} m_N(J_{N,i}) \| \tilde{\varphi}'_N \|^r)^\eta$$

Then,  $\Gamma_n$  is a finite maximal antichain. Applying Lemma 2.4 for  $\omega \in I_N^*$ , we have

$$m_{N}(J_{N,\omega}) = m_{N}(\tilde{\varphi}_{\omega}(J_{N})) = \int \exp(S_{\omega}(F_{N})) \, dm_{N} \ge C^{-1} \| \exp(S_{\omega}(F_{N})) \|$$
$$\ge C^{-3} \| \exp(S_{\omega^{-}}(F_{N})) \| \| \exp(S_{\omega_{|\omega|}}(F_{N})) \|.$$

Again,

(17) 
$$m_N(J_{N,\omega}) = \int \exp(S_\omega(F_N)) \, dm_N \le \|\exp(S_\omega(F_N))\|.$$

Thus, for any  $\omega \in I_N^*$ , we have

(18) 
$$m_N(J_{N,\omega}) \ge C^{-3} m_N(J_{N,\omega^-}) m_N(J_{N,\omega_{|\omega|}}).$$

In addition, by the Distortion Property we have

(19) 
$$\|\tilde{\varphi}'_{\omega}\| \ge K^{-1} \|\tilde{\varphi}'_{\omega^{-}}\| \|\tilde{\varphi}'_{\omega_{|\omega|}}\|$$

Hence, for any  $\omega \in \Gamma_n$ , it follows from (16), (18), and (19) that

(20) 
$$(m_N(J_{N,\omega}) \| \tilde{\varphi}'_{\omega} \|^r)^\eta \ge (m_N(J_{N,\omega^-}) \| \tilde{\varphi}'_{\omega^-} \|^r)^\eta \rho_N \ge \frac{L}{n}.$$

Collecting together (15), (17), and (20), we have

(21) 
$$L \ge \sum_{\omega \in \Gamma_n} (m_N(J_{N,\omega}) \| \tilde{\varphi}'_{\omega} \|^r)^\eta \ge \frac{L}{n} \operatorname{Card}(\Gamma_n).$$

Thus,  $\operatorname{Card}(\Gamma_n) \leq n$ . Let *B* be a set of cardinality *n*, which has points in each of the sets  $\tilde{\varphi}_{\omega}(X)$  for  $\omega \in \Gamma_n$ ; this is possible since, we have seen  $\operatorname{Card}(\Gamma_n) \leq n$ . Then, in light of Lemma 2.1, (16), and (21), for some constant A > 0, we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_{n,r}(m_F) &\leq \int d(x,B)^r \, dm_F \leq \sum_{\omega \in \Gamma_n} \int \exp(S_\omega(F_N)) d(\tilde{\varphi}_\omega(x),B)^r \, dm_F \\ &\leq A \tilde{K} \sum_{\omega \in \Gamma_n} \|\exp(S_\omega(F_N))\| \|\tilde{\varphi}'_\omega\|^r \leq A C \tilde{K} \sum_{\omega \in \Gamma_n} m_N(J_{N,\omega}) \|\tilde{\varphi}'_\omega\|^r \\ &= A C \tilde{K} \sum_{\omega \in \Gamma_n} \left( m_N(J_{N,\omega}) \|\tilde{\varphi}'_\omega\|^r \right)^{1-\eta} \left( m_N(J_{N,\omega}) \|\tilde{\varphi}'_\omega\|^r \right)^{\eta} \\ &= A C \tilde{K} \sum_{\omega \in \Gamma_n} \left( (m_N(J_{N,\omega}) \|\tilde{\varphi}'_\omega\|^r)^{\eta} \right)^{\frac{1-\eta}{\eta}} \left( m_N(J_{N,\omega}) \|\tilde{\varphi}'_\omega\|^r \right)^{\eta} \\ &\leq A C \tilde{K} \left( \frac{L}{n} (\rho_N)^{-1} \right)^{\frac{1-\eta}{\eta}} \sum_{\omega \in \Gamma_n} \left( m_N(J_{N,\omega}) \|\tilde{\varphi}'_\omega\|^r \right)^{\eta} \\ &\leq A C \tilde{K} L \left( \frac{L}{n} (\rho_N)^{-1} \right)^{\frac{1-\eta}{\eta}}. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that

$$\frac{1-\eta}{\eta} \cdot \frac{\kappa_r}{r} = 1$$

we have

$$nV_{n,r}^{\frac{\kappa_r}{r}}(m_F) \le (AC\tilde{K}L)^{\frac{\kappa_r}{r}}\frac{L}{\rho_N}.$$

Now, recalling the fact that N depends on r, and r is fixed, we have

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} n V_{n,r}^{\frac{\kappa_r}{r}}(m_F) \le (AC\tilde{K}L)^{\frac{\kappa_r}{r}} \frac{L}{\rho_N} < +\infty,$$

which finishes the claim.

We now finish the proof of Theorem 3.1. Recall that

$$e_{n,r}(\mu) := V_{n,r}^{1/r}(\mu)$$

for any Borel probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . By Proposition 11.3 of [GL1], we know: (a) If  $0 \leq t < \underline{D}_r < s$ , then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n e_{n,r}^t = +\infty \text{ and } \liminf_{n \to \infty} n e_{n,r}^s = 0$$

(b) If  $0 \le t < \overline{D}_r < s$ , then

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} n e_{n,r}^t = +\infty \text{ and } \lim_{n \to \infty} n e_{n,r}^s = 0.$$

Claim 4.1 tells us that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} n V_{n,r}^{\frac{\kappa_r}{r}}(m_F) < +\infty,$$

which, by (b) above, implies  $\overline{D}_r(m_F) \leq \kappa_r$ . By Proposition 3.12, we have  $\underline{D}_r(m_F) \geq \kappa_r$ . Hence,

$$\kappa_r \leq \underline{D}_r(m_F) \leq \overline{D}_r(m_F) \leq \kappa_r,$$

i.e. the quantization dimension  $D_r(m_F)$  of the infinite *F*-conformal measure  $m_F$  exists and equals  $\kappa_r$ . Note that if  $q_r = \frac{\kappa_r}{r+\kappa_r}$ , then by Lemma 3.2,  $\beta(q_r) = rq_r$ . Thus, it follows that

$$D_r(m_F) = \frac{\beta(q_r)}{1 - q_r}$$

Hence, the proof of Theorem 3.1 is complete.

**Remark 4.2.** Note that our result shows that the  $\kappa_r$ -dimensional upper quantization coefficient of the infinite *F*-conformal measure  $m_F$  is finite, but whether the  $\kappa_r$ -dimensional lower quantization coefficient of  $m_F$  is positive still remains open.

We would like to close this section with a class of examples of summable Hölder families of functions which fulfill our assumptions.

**Example 4.3.** Assume that an infinite conformal iterated function system  $\Phi = {\varphi_i}_{i \in I}$  is cofinitely (or hereditarily) regular. Let  $g : X \to \mathbb{R}$  be an arbitrary Hölder continuous function. Fix  $s > \theta_{\Phi}$ . For every  $i \in I$  define

$$f^{(i)}(x) = g(x) + s \log |\varphi'_i(x)|$$

Then,  $F := \{f^{(i)}\}_{i \in I}$  is a summable Hölder family of functions for which (7) holds, and in consequence, Theorem 3.1 is true.

#### References

- [DR] C.P. Dettmann and M.K. Roychowdhury, Quantization for uniform distributions on equilateral triangles, Real Analysis Exchange, Vol. 42(1), 2017, pp. 149-166.
- [F] K.J. Falconer, *Techniques in fractal geometry*, Chichester: Wiley, 1997.
- [F1] K.J. Falconer, The multifractal spectrum of statistically self-similar measures, Journal of Theoretical Probability, Vol 7, No. 3, 681-701, 1994.
- [GL1] S. Graf and H. Luschgy, Foundations of quantization for probability distributions, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1730, Springer, Berlin, 2000.
- [GL2] S. Graf and H. Luschgy, The Quantization dimension of self-similar probabilities, Math. Nachr., 241 (2002), 103-109.
- [GL3] S. Graf and H. Luschgy, Asymptotics of the Quantization Errors for Self-Similar Probabilities, Real Anal. Exchange, Volume 26, Number 2 (2000), 795-810.
- [GL4] S. Graf and H. Luschgy, The Quantization of the Cantor Distribution, Math. Nachr., 183 (1997), pp. 113-133.
- [HMU] P. Hanus, R.D. Mauldin and M. Urbański, Thermodynamic formalism and multifractal analysis of conformal infinite iterated function systems, Acta Math. Hung., Volume 96 (2002), 27-98.
- [LM] L.J. Lindsay and R.D. Mauldin, Quantization dimension for conformal iterated function systems, Institute of Physics Publishing, Nonlinearity 15 (2002), 189-199.
- [MR] E. Mihailescu and M.K. Roychowdhury, Quantization coefficients in infinite systems, Kyoto Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 55, No. 4 (2015), 857-873.
- [MU] D. Mauldin and M. Urbański, Graph Directed Markov Systems: Geometry and Dynamics of Limit Sets, Cambridge University Press (2003).
- [MU1] D. Mauldin, M. Urbański, Dimensions and measures in infinite iterated function systms, Proc. London Math. Soc., 73 (1996), 105-154.
- [P] N. Patzschke, Self-conformal multifractal measures, Adv. Appli. Math. Volume 19, Issue 4 (1997), 486-513.
- [R1] M.K. Roychowdhury, Lower quantization coefficient and the F-conformal measure, Colloquium Mathematicum, 122 (2011), 255-263.
- [R2] M.K. Roychowdhury, Optimal quantizers for some absolutely continuous probability measures, Real Analysis Exchange, Vol. 43(1), 2017, pp. 105-136.
- [R3] M.K.Roychowdhury, Optimal quantization for the Cantor distribution generated by infinite similutudes, to appear, Israel Journal of Mathematics.
- [R4] M.K. Roychowdhury, Least upper bound of the exact formula for optimal quantization of some uniform Cantor distributions, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems- Series A, Volume 38, Number 9, September 2018, pp. 4555-4570.
- [RR] J. Rosenblatt and M.K. Roychowdhury, *Optimal quantization for piecewise uniform distributions*, to appear, Uniform Distribution Theory.
- [Ru] L. Rüschendorf, "Wasserstein metric", in Hazewinkel, Michiel, Encyclopedia of Mathematics, Springer (2001).

School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of New South Wales, Sydney NSW 2052, Australia

E-mail address: j.atnip@unsw.edu.au

School of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, University of Texas Rio Grande Valley, 1201 West University Drive, Edinburg, TX 78539-2999, USA.

*E-mail address*: mrinal.roychowdhury@utrgv.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NORTH TEXAS, DENTON, TX 76203-1430, USA. *E-mail address*: urbanski@unt.edu