Three-charge superstrata with internal excitations

Elaheh Bakhshaei^{a,b} and Alessandro Bombini^{b,c}

^a Departement of Physics, Isfahan University Of Technology, P.O.Box 84156-83111, Isfahan, Iran.

 b Dipartimento di Fisica ed Astronomia "Galileo Galilei", Università di Padova, Via Marzolo 8, 35131 Padova, Italy

c I.N.F.N. Sezione di Padova, Via Marzolo 8, 35131 Padova, Italy

Abstract

We construct a new family of three-charge $\frac{1}{8}$ -BPS smooth solutions that have the same charges as the supersymmetric D1D5P Black Hole and are non-invariant under rotations of the compact manifold. We work in type IIB string theory on T^4 and we show how the supergravity and BPS equations reduce to a linear system, arranged in two "layers" of partial differential equations. We then build two solutions of our system of equations: the first is a superdescendant threecharge solution, obtained by acting with rigid symmetries on a seed two-charge solution, on which we can perform a non-trivial check for the system of equations; the second is a new superstratum solution that has both internal and external excitations. We then describe the CFT heavy states dual to these new geometries.

e-mails: e.bakhshae@ph.iut.ac.ir, alessandro.bombini@pd.infn.it

Contents

1 Introduction

One of the most puzzling features of Black Hole physics is the Black Hole information paradox (see [\[1\]](#page-28-0) for a review of the subject). Within String Theory, one of the most promising proposal to solve the Information Paradox is the so-called Fuzzball proposal $[2-18]$ $[2-18]$ (see $[19, 20]$ $[19, 20]$ for a review); the core of this proposal is to represent the Black Hole as a true thermodynamical system whose microstates are String Theory quantum states described at low energy by smooth, horizonless solutions of supergravity which have a non-trivial horizon-scale structure.

One of the most studied frameworks for the Fuzzball proposal is the D1D5 system [\[21,](#page-29-3) [22\]](#page-29-4) that is a type IIB system made by n_1 D1 branes and n_5 D5 branes in a tendimensional geometry that is asymptotically $\mathbb{R}^{(1,4)} \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times T^4$; the D5 branes wrap the

 $\mathbb{S}^1 \times T^4$, while the D1 wrap the \mathbb{S}^1 . The three-charge BPS Black Hole solution has a so-called *decoupling region* where the geometry is asymptotically $AdS_3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ and, by virtue of the AdS/CFT description, it is dual to a superconformal field theory, often dubbed as D1D5 CFT. This CFT is a $\mathcal{N} = (4, 4)$ SCFT with supercharges $(\mathcal{G}_n^{\pm \pm}, \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_n^{\pm \pm})$ and with an affine $SO(4)_R \simeq SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ R-symmetry algebra (J_n^a, \tilde{J}_n^a) corresponding in the gravity side to the rotation of the non-compact \mathbb{S}^3 ; the D1D5 CFT has a special locus in its moduli space where it can be described as a two dimensional non-linear sigma model with target space $(T^4)^N/S_N$, where S_N is the permutation group of order $N = n_1 n_5$. We should recall that the states of an orbifold theory split into different twist sectors that can be described as a collection of effective strings (or "strands") of different winding number, plus the constraint that the total winding must be equal to N. One of the most successful achievements of String Theory was the computation of the number of string microstate of a D1D5 Black Hole and its matching with its Bekenstein-Hawking entropy [\[23\]](#page-29-5). Motivated by this, the Fuzzball program aim is to explicitly construct those microstates.

Up to now, many progress in the explicit construction of such smooth, horizonless geometries has been made. All the D1D5 two-charge states have a well defined dual geometry [\[5–](#page-28-2)[8\]](#page-28-3), and the holographic dictionary is complete. These are microstates of a Black Hole with singular, point-like horizon. To describe Black Hole solutions with a well-defined horizon we need solutions with at least three charges, i.e. we need to add a momentum charge P along the \mathbb{S}^1 to the D1D5 states; we call D1D5P the threecharge states and their dual geometries. Unfortunately, the dictionary of three-charge solutions is not completed yet; many attempts have been made and many geometries have been built, but not all of them. The construction of all these microstates is an ongoing effort. One family of D1D5P smooth horizonless solutions are the multi-centered solutions [\[24,](#page-29-6)[25\]](#page-29-7), but only a small subset of those has a well known CFT dual; there are suitably described as solutions of 5D $\mathcal{N}=2$ supergravity with vector multiplets, obtained by a compactification on the \mathbb{S}^1 of the D1D5 system described above. Technically this implies that, from a six-dimensional perspective, such solutions cannot depend on the coordinate of the \mathbb{S}^1 .

Another family consists in superdescendants of two-charge geometries [\[10–](#page-28-4)[12,](#page-28-5) [14\]](#page-28-6); these geometries are obtained by a solution-generating technique that implies to act with a non-trivial transformation on the supergravity coordinates that is dual to a rigid symmetry transformation on the CFT side, and of course have a well defined dual CFT states. A third family, dubbed superstrata, consists in three-charge solutions that are not superdescendants of two-charge states $[16-18]$ $[16-18]$ $[16-18]$, but are dual to heavy states¹ in the

¹A Heavy state is a CFT state whose conformal dimension Δ is of the same order of the central charge, $\Delta \sim O(c)$.

CFT that can be built at the free orbifold point by acting independently on each strand with an element of the global superalgebra generated by $L_0, L_{\pm 1}, J_0^a, G_{\pm \frac{1}{2}}^{\pm \pm}$. These last two families are truly six-dimensional since they can depend on the \mathbb{S}^1 , and are described only in the 6D supergravity theory described above. All the three-charge solutions known in the literature are invariant under transformation of the compact manifold, and then they can be thought as solutions of type IIB supergravity on a compact $T⁴$ or on a compact $K3²$ $K3²$ $K3²$.

We now notice that there exists a set of two-charge solutions that have both internal and external excitations, i.e. the ten-dimensional supergravity fields can be non-invariant under transformations of the compact manifold [\[8\]](#page-28-3). The goal of our paper is then to find three-charge solutions that have the same property and to furnish their holographic interpretation.

In order to do so, we need to generalize the results of [\[13\]](#page-28-8), in which the supergravity fields are invariant under transformations of the compact manifold. We will show that our general ansatz satisfies the type IIB supergravity equations once we impose a system of partial differential equations that arrange in two "layers" for the objects appearing in the geometry; we want to stress that this set of two layers, if solved in order, is a linear system, and it is a generalization of the system of equations for geometries with only internal excitation [\[13–](#page-28-8)[18\]](#page-29-0). We will work explicitly with $T⁴$ as our compact space.

After having established the system of equations, we find two asymptotically $AdS_3 \times$ \mathbb{S}^3 solutions for them; one is a three-charge superdescendant, obtained by the solution generating technique of [\[14\]](#page-28-6), starting from a known D1D5 solution [\[8\]](#page-28-3) as a seed; the second one is a superstratum solution with one Fourier mode for the internal excitation and one Fourier mode for the external excitation; this is the first non-trivial three-charge smooth horizonless solution with an internal excitation. We close with a brief discussion on the extension of our solutions to Asymptotically Flat geometries.

The plan of this paper is as follows: in sec. [2](#page-4-0) we introduce the ansatz of asymptotically AdS type IIB geometries that have both internal and external excitations, and we show how the ansatz solves the type IIB supergravity equations. This will end up in a system of linear partial differential equations for the objects defining the ansatz, divided in two "layers" [\(2.15,](#page-8-1) [2.16\)](#page-8-2). In sec. [3](#page-14-0) we will briefly describe the D1D5 CFT focusing on the definition of the Heavy states that are dual to the supergravity solutions we will construct in sec. [4.](#page-18-0) In sec. [4](#page-18-0) we will start building a superdescendant three-charge solution with internal excitations acting with the appropriate generator of the chiral algebra on a twocharge solution with internal excitations and show that this solution solves the "layers" [\(2.15,](#page-8-1) [2.16\)](#page-8-2), furnishing a non-trivial check of this system. We will then construct three-

²In this latter case the SCFT at the free orbifold point is a non-linear sigma model whose target space is $(K3)^N/S_N$.

charge $\frac{1}{8}$ -BPS solutions with both internal and external excitations. We close this section by discussing how to extend this formalism to asymptotically flat geometries.

2 Three Charge Superstrata with internal excitations: the ansatz

2.1 An example of a geometry with internal excitations

Over the years, a set of three-charge geometries in type IIB supergravity that are invariant under rotation of the compact manifold T^4 were built [\[13](#page-28-8)[–18\]](#page-29-0); an example is

$$
ds_{10}^{2} = \sqrt{\frac{Z_{1}Z_{2}}{\mathcal{P}}} ds_{6}^{2} + \sqrt{\frac{Z_{1}}{Z_{2}}} ds_{T^{4}}^{2},
$$

\n
$$
e^{2\phi} = \frac{Z_{1}^{2}}{\mathcal{P}}, \quad C_{0} = \frac{Z_{4}}{Z_{1}},
$$

\n
$$
B_{2} = \bar{B}_{2}, \quad C_{2} = \bar{C}_{2},
$$

\n
$$
C_{4} = \bar{C}_{4} + \frac{Z_{4}}{Z_{2}} dz^{1} \wedge dz^{2} \wedge dz^{3} \wedge dz^{4},
$$

\n
$$
C_{6} = C_{2} \wedge dz^{1} \wedge dz^{2} \wedge dz^{3} \wedge dz^{4}
$$

\n(2.1)

where we have written down the legs on the compact manifold. Those geometries are also $\frac{1}{8}$ -BPS and have a null Killing vector $\frac{\partial}{\partial u}$. The most general ansatz for the objects appearing there is

$$
ds_6^2 = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{P}} (dv + \beta) \left[du + \omega + \frac{\mathcal{F}}{2} (dv + \beta) \right] + \sqrt{P} ds_4^2,
$$

\n
$$
ds_4^2 = \sum \left(\frac{dr^2}{r^2 + a^2} + d\theta^2 \right) + (r^2 + a^2) \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2 + r^2 \cos^2 \theta d\psi^2,
$$

\n
$$
\Sigma = r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta, \quad \mathcal{P} = Z_1 Z_2 - Z_4^2, \quad u = \frac{t - y}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad v = \frac{t + y}{\sqrt{2}}
$$

\n
$$
\beta = \frac{Ra^2}{\sqrt{2} \Sigma} (\sin^2 \theta d\phi - \cos^2 \theta d\psi), \quad \omega = \frac{Ra^2}{\sqrt{2} \Sigma} (\sin^2 \theta d\phi + \cos^2 \theta d\psi),
$$

\n
$$
\bar{B}_2 = -\frac{Z_4}{\mathcal{P}} (du + \omega) \wedge (dv + \beta) + a_4 \wedge (dv + \beta) + \delta_2,
$$

\n
$$
\bar{C}_2 = -\frac{Z_2}{\mathcal{P}} (du + \omega) \wedge (dv + \beta) + a_1 \wedge (dv + \beta) + \gamma_2,
$$

\n
$$
C_2 = -\frac{Z_1}{\mathcal{P}} (du + \omega) \wedge (dv + \beta) + a_2 \wedge (dv + \beta) + \gamma_1,
$$

\n
$$
\bar{C}_4 = -\frac{Z_4}{\mathcal{P}} \gamma_2 \wedge (du + \omega) \wedge (dv + \beta) + x_3 \wedge (dv + \beta).
$$

we also define the useful gauge invariant objects:

$$
\Theta_1 \equiv \mathcal{D}a_1 + \dot{\gamma}_2, \quad \Theta_2 \equiv \mathcal{D}a_2 + \dot{\gamma}_1, \quad \Theta_4 \equiv \mathcal{D}a_4 + \dot{\delta}_2, \tag{2.3}
$$

where $\dot{f} = \partial_v f$ and where

$$
\mathcal{D} \equiv d_4 - \beta \wedge \partial_v \,. \tag{2.4}
$$

In order for this ansatz to be a $\frac{1}{8}$ -BPS solution of the type IIB equations of motion, we have to impose the following "layers" of equations, following the notation of [\[15–](#page-28-9)[18\]](#page-29-0): the first layer is

$$
\begin{aligned}\n& *_4 \mathcal{D} \dot{Z}_1 = \mathcal{D} \Theta_2, \quad \mathcal{D} *_4 \mathcal{D} Z_1 = -\Theta_2 \wedge d\beta, \quad \Theta_2 = *_4 \Theta_2, \\
& *_4 \mathcal{D} \dot{Z}_2 = \mathcal{D} \Theta_1, \quad \mathcal{D} *_4 \mathcal{D} Z_2 = -\Theta_1 \wedge d\beta, \quad \Theta_1 = *_4 \Theta_1, \\
& *_4 \mathcal{D} \dot{Z}_4 = \mathcal{D} \Theta_4, \quad \mathcal{D} *_4 \mathcal{D} Z_4 = -\Theta_4 \wedge d\beta, \quad \Theta_4 = *_4 \Theta_4,\n\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}
$$

plus the fact that $\dot{\beta} = 0$ and

$$
d\beta = + *_4 d\beta , \qquad (2.6)
$$

while the second layer is

$$
\mathcal{D}\omega + *_4 \mathcal{D}\omega_4 + \mathcal{F} d\beta = Z_1 \Theta_1 + Z_2 \Theta_2 - 2Z_4 \Theta_4, \n *_4 \mathcal{D} *_4 \left(\dot{\omega} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{F} \right) = \partial_v^2 (Z_1 Z_2 - Z_4^2) - [\dot{Z}_1 \dot{Z}_2 - (\dot{Z}_4)^2] - \frac{1}{2} *_4 (\Theta_1 \wedge \Theta_2 - \Theta_4 \wedge \Theta_4).
$$
\n(2.7)

If we want now a geometry that has only "internal" excitations, i.e. it is not invariant under rotation of the compact manifold, we can simply perform an $ST_{z}T_{z}T_{z}S$ duality; we land then to

$$
ds_{10}^{2} = ds_{6}^{2} + \frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}}{Z_{2}} ds_{T^{4}}^{2}, \quad e^{2\Phi} = \frac{\mathcal{P}}{Z_{2}^{2}},
$$

\n
$$
B_{2} = -\frac{Z_{5}}{Z_{2}} \omega_{5}, \quad \omega_{5} = dz^{1} \wedge dz^{2} - dz^{3} \wedge dz^{4},
$$

\n
$$
C_{0} = 0, \quad C_{2} = \bar{C}_{2},
$$

\n
$$
C_{4} = \left[\left(\delta_{5} - \frac{Z_{5}}{Z_{2}} \tilde{\gamma}_{2} \right) + \left(b_{4} - \frac{Z_{5}}{Z_{2}} b_{1} \right) \wedge (dv + \beta) \right] \wedge \omega_{5},
$$
\n(2.8)

where the two layers equations are inherited by duality; this means that the objects defining the ansatz here satisfy the previous layers equations; We have then changed the name of Z_4 to Z_5 (and similarly δ_4 with δ_5), in order to distinguish them later, since our goal is to construct geometries that have both internal and external excitations, i.e. both Z_4 and Z_5 turned on.

³One may study, as in [\[13,](#page-28-8)[15\]](#page-28-9), geometries whose base is possibly ^v−dependent, having then an almosthyperkähler structure. Here we will focus on geometries with $\hat{\beta} = 0$ and consequently a v−independent base.

2.2 The most general ansatz

We want now to find the most general solution that has both Z_4 and Z_5 turned on. This implies that the warp factor is now $\mathcal{P} \mapsto \mathbb{P} = Z_1 Z_2 - Z_4^2 - Z_5^2$. We will also assume $\dot{\beta} = 0$, in order to the ds_4^2 base to be v-independent.

Motivated from the discussion of the previous section, and from the 2-charges geometry of $[8]^4$ $[8]^4$, we formulate the following ansatz:

$$
ds_{10}^{2} = \sqrt{\alpha} ds_{6}^{2} + \frac{\sqrt{\tilde{P}}}{Z_{2}} ds_{T^{4}}^{2},
$$

\n
$$
ds_{6}^{2} = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{\mathbb{P}}}(dv + \beta)\left[du + \omega + \frac{\mathcal{F}}{2}(dv + \beta)\right] + \sqrt{\mathbb{P}} ds_{4}^{2},
$$

\n
$$
ds_{4}^{2} = \sum \left(\frac{dr^{2}}{r^{2} + a^{2}} + d\theta^{2}\right) + (r^{2} + a^{2})\sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2} + r^{2}\cos^{2}\theta d\psi^{2},
$$

\n
$$
\mathcal{P} = Z_{1}Z_{2} - Z_{4}^{2}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{P}} = Z_{1}Z_{2} - Z_{5}^{2}, \quad \mathbb{P} = Z_{1}Z_{2} - Z_{4}^{2} - Z_{5}^{2},
$$

\n
$$
d\hat{v} = dv + \beta, \quad d\hat{u} = du + \omega, \quad v = \frac{t + y}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad u = \frac{t - y}{\sqrt{2}},
$$

\n
$$
e^{2\phi} = \alpha \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}}{Z_{2}^{2}}, \quad \omega_{5} = -\ast_{T^{4}}\omega_{5}, \quad \alpha = \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}}{\mathbb{P}},
$$

\n
$$
B_{2} = -\frac{Z_{4}}{\mathbb{P}} d\hat{u} \wedge d\hat{v} + a_{4} \wedge d\hat{v} + \delta_{2} - \frac{Z_{5}}{Z_{2}} \omega_{5},
$$

\n
$$
C_{0} = \frac{Z_{2}Z_{4}}{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}},
$$

\n
$$
C_{2} = -\frac{Z_{2}}{\mathbb{P}} d\hat{u} \wedge d\hat{v} + a_{1} \wedge d\hat{v} + \gamma_{2},
$$

\n
$$
C_{4} = \frac{Z_{4}}{Z_{2}} \widehat{\text{vol}}_{4} - \frac{Z_{4}}{\mathbb{P}} \gamma_{2} \wedge d\hat{u} \wedge d\hat{v} + x_{3} \wedge d\hat{v}
$$

\n
$$
+ \left[\
$$

It is easy to see that if $Z_5 \rightarrow 0$ we recover [\(2.1\)](#page-12-0), while if $Z_4 \rightarrow 0$ we recover [\(2.8\)](#page-5-1). This ansatz is $\frac{1}{8}$ -BPS and this is evident in the geometry by the fact that our geometry has a Killing vector $\frac{\partial}{\partial u}$, so we will always assume that everything is u–independent.

$$
f_5 = H = Z_1, K = Z_1, \mathcal{A}^{\alpha-} = -Z_5, \mathcal{A} = -Z_4, \tilde{f}_1 = \frac{\mathbb{P}}{Z_2}, f_1 = \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}}{Z_2}.
$$
 (2.9)

⁴In the decoupling limit, the dictionary between our notation and the notation of [\[8\]](#page-28-3) is

2.3 The type IIB Equations of Motion

We want now to see what are the "layers" that our ansatz has to satisfy in order to be a IIB supergravity solution.

The bosonic content of type IIB supergravity consists in a graviton g_{MN} , a dilaton ϕ , an NSNS 2-form B_2 , and a set of RR forms C_0, C_2, C_4 . Their field strength are defined as

$$
H_3 = dB_2,
$$

\n
$$
F_1 = dC_0,
$$

\n
$$
F_3 = dC_2 - H_3 C_0
$$

\n
$$
F_5 = dC_4 - H_3 \wedge C_2,
$$
\n(2.11)

so that the following Bianchi identities are satisfied;

$$
dH_3 = 0,
$$

\n
$$
dF_1 = 0,
$$

\n
$$
dF_3 = H_3 \wedge F_1
$$

\n
$$
dF_5 = H_3 \wedge F_3.
$$
\n(2.12)

The EoM they have to satisfy are^{[5](#page-7-1)}

$$
4d * d\phi - 4d\phi \wedge *d\phi + *R - \frac{1}{2}H_3 \wedge *H_3 = 0, \qquad (2.13a)
$$

$$
d * (e^{-2\phi} H_3) - F_1 \wedge *F_3 - F_3 \wedge F_5 = 0, \qquad (2.13b)
$$

$$
d * F_1 + H_3 \wedge *F_3 = 0, \qquad (2.13c)
$$

$$
d * F_3 + H_3 \wedge F_5 = 0, \qquad (2.13d)
$$

$$
F_5 - *F_5 = 0, \t\t(2.13e)
$$

$$
e^{-2\phi} \left(R_{MN} + 2\nabla_M \nabla_N \phi - \frac{1}{4} H_{MPQ} H_N^{PQ} \right) + \frac{1}{4} g_{MN} \left(F_P F^P + \frac{1}{3!} F_{PQR} F^{PQR} \right)
$$

$$
\frac{1}{2} F_{NLR} F_{NLR} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} F_{NLR} F_N^{PQ} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} F_{NLR} F_N^{PQRS} = 0
$$
 (2.13f)

$$
-\frac{1}{2}F_{M}F_{N} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2!}F_{MPQ}F_{N}^{PQ} - \frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4!}F_{MPQRS}F_{N}^{PQRS} = 0,
$$
\n(2.13f)

where the last one are the Einstein equations.

In [\[13\]](#page-28-8), it was shown that the minimal set of equations that one has to solve are BPS constraints dubbed with the existence of a null Killing vector whose integral flow generates the u coordinate, the self-duality of RR fields and the vv component of the Einstein Equations. Since their results can be applied here, we do not have to solve both equations of motion and BPS equations, since it was shown there that one implies the

⁵For the notation of type IIB equations and duality rules, we refer to [\[26\]](#page-29-8).

other. So that we will have to solve one of the two. Our discussion will then focus on solving the gauge equations of motion and the vv−component of the Einstein Equations, and that, plus the result of [\[13\]](#page-28-8), will assure that our ansatz is a BPS solution of type IIB supergravity equations.

We will show that to find the complete set of layers we have to study these equations

$$
F_5 - *F_5 = 0, \t\t(2.14a)
$$

$$
d * F_3 + H_3 \wedge F_5 = 0, \qquad (2.14b)
$$

$$
e^{-2\phi} \left(R_{vv} + 2\nabla_v \nabla_v \phi - \frac{1}{4} H_{vPQ} H_v^{PQ} \right) + \frac{1}{4} g_{vv} \left(F_P F^P + \frac{1}{3!} F_{PQR} F^{PQR} \right)
$$

$$
- \frac{1}{2} F_v F_v - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2!} F_{vPQ} F_v^{PQ} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4!} F_{vPQRS} F_v^{PQRS} = 0, \qquad (2.14c)
$$

and that the other are solved imposing the layers. We will briefly describe how the two layers

$$
*_4\mathcal{D}\dot{Z}_1 = \mathcal{D}\Theta_2, \quad \mathcal{D} *_4 \mathcal{D}Z_1 = -\Theta_2 \wedge \mathcal{D}\beta, \quad \Theta_1 = *_4\Theta_1,\tag{2.15a}
$$

$$
*_4\mathcal{D}\dot{Z}_2 = \mathcal{D}\Theta_1, \quad \mathcal{D} *_4 \mathcal{D}Z_2 = -\Theta_1 \wedge \mathcal{D}\beta, \quad \Theta_2 = *_4\Theta_2,\tag{2.15b}
$$

$$
*_4\mathcal{D}\dot{Z}_4 = \mathcal{D}\Theta_4, \quad \mathcal{D} *_4 \mathcal{D}Z_4 = -\Theta_4 \wedge \mathcal{D}\beta, \quad \Theta_4 = *_4\Theta_4,\tag{2.15c}
$$

$$
*_4\mathcal{D}\dot{Z}_5 = \mathcal{D}\Theta_5, \quad \mathcal{D} *_4 \mathcal{D}Z_5 = -\Theta_5 \wedge \mathcal{D}\beta, \quad \Theta_5 = *_4\Theta_5,\tag{2.15d}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{D}\omega + *_4 \mathcal{D}\omega + \mathcal{F} d\beta = Z_1 \Theta_1 + Z_2 \Theta_2 - 2Z_4 \Theta_4 - 2Z_5 \Theta_5, \qquad (2.16a)
$$

$$
*_4 \mathcal{D} *_4 \left(\dot{\omega} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{F} \right) = \partial_v^2 (Z_1 Z_2 - Z_4^2 - Z_5^2) - [\dot{Z}_1 \dot{Z}_2 - (\dot{Z}_4)^2 - (\dot{Z}_5)^2]
$$

$$
-\frac{1}{2} *_4 (\Theta_1 \wedge \Theta_2 - \Theta_4 \wedge \Theta_4 - \Theta_5 \wedge \Theta_5), \qquad (2.16b)
$$

emerge from the system [\(2.14\)](#page-8-3).

2.3.1 The Field Strengths

The first thing to notice is that everything is torus-independent, i.e. $\partial_{z_i} = 0$, $\forall i$. By the fact that the solution should be BPS, it is also u–independent and then $\partial_u = 0$. Then the ten dimensional differential operator $d = dx^M \wedge \partial_M$ can be split as^{[6](#page-8-4)}

$$
d = d_4 + dv \wedge \partial_v = \mathcal{D} + (dv + \beta) \wedge \partial_v \equiv \mathcal{D} + d\hat{v} \wedge \partial_v.
$$
 (2.18)

$$
\mathcal{D}^2 f_p = -\mathcal{D}\beta \wedge \dot{f}_p \,. \tag{2.17}
$$

⁶Notice that, on a generic form f_p ,

It will be useful to introduce the following gauge invariant objects, in analogy with [\[27\]](#page-29-9),

$$
\Theta_1 \equiv \mathcal{D}a_1 + \dot{\gamma}_2, \qquad \Theta_4 \equiv \mathcal{D}a_4 + \dot{\delta}_2, \qquad \Theta_5 \equiv \mathcal{D}a_5 + \dot{\delta}_5, \n\Xi_1 = \mathcal{D}\gamma_2 - a_1 \wedge \mathcal{D}\beta, \quad \Xi_4 = \mathcal{D}\delta_2 - a_4 \wedge \mathcal{D}\beta, \quad \Xi_5 = \mathcal{D}\delta_5 - a_5 \wedge \mathcal{D}\beta,
$$
\n(2.19)

so that we can compute the field strengths via the split^{[7](#page-9-0)}

$$
H_3 = \mathcal{H}_3^{(3)} + \mathcal{H}_3^{(1)} \wedge \omega_5, \qquad (2.21a)
$$

$$
F_1 = \mathfrak{F}_1^{(1)},\tag{2.21b}
$$

$$
F_3 = \mathfrak{F}_3^{(3)} + \mathfrak{F}_3^{(1)} \wedge \omega_5, \qquad (2.21c)
$$

$$
F_5 = \mathfrak{F}_5^{(5)} + \mathfrak{F}_5^{(3)} \wedge \omega_5 + \mathfrak{F}_5^{(1)} \wedge \widehat{\mathrm{vol}}_4, \qquad (2.21d)
$$

where $\widehat{\mathrm{vol}}_4$ is the volume form of the compact torus. The Field Strengths are then

$$
\mathcal{H}_3^{(1)} = -\mathcal{D}\left(\frac{Z_5}{Z_2}\right) - \partial_v \left(\frac{Z_5}{Z_2}\right) d\hat{v},\tag{2.22a}
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{H}_3^{(3)} = -\mathcal{D}\left(\frac{Z_4}{\mathbb{P}}\right) \wedge d\hat{u} \wedge d\hat{v} + \frac{Z_4}{\mathbb{P}} \mathcal{D}\beta \wedge d\hat{u}
$$

$$
+\left[\Theta_4 - \frac{Z_4}{\mathbb{P}}\mathcal{D}\omega\right] \wedge d\hat{v} + \Xi_4, \qquad (2.22b)
$$

and

$$
\mathfrak{F}_1^{(1)} = \mathcal{D}\left(\frac{Z_2 Z_4}{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}}\right) + \partial_v \left(\frac{Z_2 Z_4}{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}}\right) d\hat{v},\tag{2.23}
$$

and

$$
\mathfrak{F}_{3}^{(1)} = \frac{Z_{2}Z_{4}}{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}} \mathcal{D}\left(\frac{Z_{5}}{Z_{2}}\right) + \frac{Z_{2}Z_{4}}{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}} \partial_{v}\left(\frac{Z_{5}}{Z_{2}}\right) d\hat{v}
$$
\n
$$
\mathfrak{F}_{3}^{(3)} = -\left[\mathcal{D}\left(\frac{Z_{2}}{\mathbb{P}}\right) - \frac{Z_{2}Z_{4}}{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}}\mathcal{D}\left(\frac{Z_{4}}{\mathbb{P}}\right)\right] \wedge d\hat{u} \wedge d\hat{v} + \frac{Z_{2}}{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}}\mathcal{D}\beta \wedge d\hat{u}
$$
\n
$$
+\left[\left(\Theta_{1} - \frac{Z_{2}Z_{4}}{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}}\Theta_{4}\right) - \frac{Z_{2}}{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}}\mathcal{D}\omega\right] \wedge d\hat{v}
$$
\n
$$
+\left[\Xi_{1} - \frac{Z_{2}Z_{4}}{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}}\Xi_{4}\right],
$$
\n(2.24b)

where we have used that

$$
\frac{Z_2}{\mathbb{P}} - \frac{Z_2 Z_4}{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}} \frac{Z_4}{\mathbb{P}} = \frac{Z_2}{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}}.
$$
\n(2.25)

⁷Please notice that, since $d(d\hat{u}) = \mathcal{D}\omega + d\hat{v} \wedge \dot{\omega}$ and $d(d\hat{v}) = \mathcal{D}\beta + d\hat{v} \wedge \dot{\beta}$,

$$
d(d\hat{u}\wedge d\hat{v}) = \mathcal{D}\omega\wedge d\hat{v} - \mathcal{D}\beta\wedge d\hat{u} - \dot{\beta}\wedge d\hat{u}\wedge d\hat{v} = \mathcal{D}\omega\wedge d\hat{v} - \mathcal{D}\beta\wedge d\hat{u}, \qquad (2.20)
$$

since we assume $\dot{\beta} = 0$. Also, since $\dot{\beta} = 0$, $\mathcal{D}\beta = d\beta$.

Finally we have

$$
\mathfrak{F}_{5}^{(1)} = \mathcal{D}\left(\frac{Z_{4}}{Z_{2}}\right) + \partial_{v}\left(\frac{Z_{4}}{Z_{2}}\right)d\hat{v}
$$
\n
$$
\mathfrak{F}_{5}^{(3)} = -\frac{Z_{2}}{\mathbb{P}}\mathcal{D}\left(\frac{Z_{5}}{Z_{2}}\right) \wedge d\hat{u} \wedge d\hat{v} + \left[\Theta_{5} - \frac{Z_{5}}{Z_{2}}\Theta_{1}\right] \wedge d\hat{v}
$$
\n
$$
+ \left[\Xi_{5} - \frac{Z_{5}}{Z_{2}}\Xi_{1}\right]
$$
\n
$$
\mathfrak{F}_{5}^{(5)} = -\left[\frac{Z_{4}}{\mathbb{P}}\Xi_{1} - \frac{Z_{2}}{\mathbb{P}}\Xi_{4}\right] \wedge d\hat{u} \wedge d\hat{v}
$$
\n
$$
+ \left[\mathcal{D}x_{3} - \Theta_{4} \wedge \gamma_{2} + a_{1} \wedge \Xi_{4}\right] \wedge d\hat{v}
$$
\n
$$
+ \left[x_{3} \wedge \mathcal{D}\beta + \Xi_{4} \wedge \gamma_{2}\right].
$$
\n(2.26c)

Now notice that $x_3 \wedge \mathcal{D}\beta + \Xi_4 \wedge \gamma_2 = 0$ since it is a 3 form wedge a 2 form in a 4 dimensional space. We recall that in eq. (2.17) of [\[16\]](#page-28-7) they define

$$
\Omega_4 \equiv \mathcal{D}x_3 - \Theta_4 \wedge \gamma_2 + a_1 \wedge \Xi_4, \qquad (2.27)
$$

so we recover this combination as expected:

$$
\mathfrak{F}_5^{(5)} = +\frac{Z_2}{\mathbb{P}} \left[\Xi_4 - \frac{Z_4}{Z_2} \Xi_1 \right] \wedge d\hat{u} \wedge d\hat{v} + \Omega_4 \wedge d\hat{v} \,. \tag{2.28}
$$

We can also use that $\alpha = \frac{\tilde{p}}{\mathbb{P}}$ so that

$$
\mathfrak{F}_5^{(5)} = \alpha \frac{Z_2}{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}} \left[\Xi_4 - \frac{Z_4}{Z_2} \Xi_1 \right] \wedge d\hat{u} \wedge d\hat{v} + \Omega_4 \wedge d\hat{v} \,. \tag{2.29}
$$

2.3.2 The eq. [\(2.14a\)](#page-12-0)

We now study eq. [\(2.14a\)](#page-12-0). We employ our split [\(2.20\)](#page-9-1) and see that

$$
*F_5 = \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}}{Z_2^2} *_6 \mathfrak{F}_5^{(5)} \wedge \widehat{\text{vol}}_4 - *_6 \mathfrak{F}_5^{(3)} \wedge \omega_5 + \alpha \frac{Z_2^2}{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}} *_6 \mathfrak{F}_5^{(1)}.
$$
 (2.30)

So the type IIB supergravity equation [\(2.14a\)](#page-12-0) becomes the set

$$
I^{(5)} \equiv \mathfrak{F}_5^{(5)} - \alpha \frac{Z_2^2}{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}} \ast_6 \mathfrak{F}_5^{(1)} = 0, \qquad (2.31a)
$$

$$
I^{(3)} \equiv \mathfrak{F}_5^{(3)} + *_{6} \mathfrak{F}_5^{(3)} = 0, \qquad (2.31b)
$$

$$
I^{(1)} \equiv \mathfrak{F}_5^{(1)} - \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{\mathcal{P}}{Z_2^2} *_{6} \mathfrak{F}_5^{(5)} = 0.
$$
 (2.31c)

So that we get 8

$$
I^{(1)} = \left[\mathcal{D} \left(\frac{Z_4}{Z_2} \right) + \frac{1}{Z_2} \left(*_4 \Xi_4 + \frac{Z_4}{Z_2} *_4 \Xi_1 \right) \right] + \left[\partial_v \left(\frac{Z_4}{Z_2} \right) - \frac{1}{Z_2^2} *_4 \Omega_4 \right] d\hat{v}, \tag{2.33}
$$

that gives two equations

$$
\mathcal{D}\left(\frac{Z_4}{Z_2}\right) = -\frac{1}{Z_2} \left(*_4 \Xi_4 - \frac{Z_4}{Z_2} *_4 \Xi_1 \right),\tag{2.34a}
$$

$$
Z_2^2 \partial_v \left(\frac{Z_4}{Z_2}\right) = *_4 \Omega_4. \tag{2.34b}
$$

These two equations resemble eqs. (3.45) and (3.47) of [\[13\]](#page-28-8). Notice also that $I^{(1)}$ is the dual of $I^{(5)}$, so the only new EoM is $I^{(3)}$ that is, broadly speaking, "self-dual":

$$
I^{(3)} = \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}} \left[Z_2 \mathcal{D} \left(\frac{Z_5}{Z_2} \right) + *_4 \Xi_5 - \frac{Z_5}{Z_2} *_4 \Xi_1 \right] \wedge d\hat{u} \wedge d\hat{v}
$$

+
$$
\left[\left(\Theta_5 - \frac{Z_5}{Z_2} \Theta_1 \right) - *_4 \left(\Theta_5 - \frac{Z_5}{Z_2} \Theta_1 \right) \right] \wedge d\hat{v}
$$

-
$$
\left[Z_2 *_4 \mathcal{D} \left(\frac{Z_5}{Z_2} \right) - \left(\Xi_5 - \frac{Z_5}{Z_2} \Xi_1 \right) \right].
$$
 (2.35)

This gives

$$
\Theta_5 = *_4 \Theta_5, \quad \Theta_1 = *_4 \Theta_1, \tag{2.36}
$$

and

$$
Z_2 \mathcal{D}\left(\frac{Z_5}{Z_2}\right) = -*_4 \Xi_5 + \frac{Z_5}{Z_2} *_4 \Xi_1.
$$
 (2.37)

Notice that, since $\mathcal{D}\left(\frac{Z_5}{Z_2}\right)$ \mathbb{Z}_2 $= \frac{1}{z}$ $\frac{1}{Z_2} \mathcal{D}Z_5 - \frac{1}{Z_2^2} \mathcal{D}Z_2$, we can recast the equations as^{[9](#page-11-2)}

$$
*_4\mathcal{D}Z_2 = \Xi_1, *_4\mathcal{D}Z_4 = \Xi_4, *_4\mathcal{D}Z_5 = \Xi_5.
$$
 (2.38)

So, to recap, we have

$$
*_4\mathcal{D}Z_2 = \Xi_1, \quad *_4\mathcal{D}Z_4 = \Xi_4, \quad *_4\mathcal{D}Z_5 = \Xi_5,
$$
\n(2.39a)

$$
\Theta_1 = *_4 \Theta_1, \quad \Theta_4 = *_4 \Theta_4, \quad \Theta_5 = *_4 \Theta_5, \tag{2.39b}
$$

$$
\Omega_4 = Z_2^2 *_4 \partial_v \left(\frac{Z_4}{Z_2}\right) , \qquad *_4 \mathcal{D}\beta = \mathcal{D}\beta . \tag{2.39c}
$$

Now, using that $\mathcal{D}^2 f_p = -\mathcal{D}\beta \wedge \dot{f}_p$, we can rewrite [\(2.39a\)](#page-12-0) as [\(2.15\)](#page-8-1).

⁸We have used that

$$
\alpha \frac{Z_2^2}{\widetilde{p}} \mathbb{P} = Z_2^2. \tag{2.32}
$$

⁹Where we have used that *_d *_d $\alpha_p = (-1)^{p(d-p)} s *_{d} \alpha_p$, where s is the signature value.

2.3.3 The eq. [\(2.14b\)](#page-12-1)

We now see how the IIB sugra equation

$$
d * F_3 + H_3 \wedge F_5 = 0, \qquad (2.40)
$$

translates in our notation. First, we employ again the splitting [\(2.20\)](#page-9-1) to have

$$
*F_3 = -\alpha *_{6} \mathfrak{F}_{3}^{(1)} \wedge \omega_5 + \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}}{Z_2^{2}} *_{6} \mathfrak{F}_{3}^{(3)} \wedge \widehat{\mathrm{vol}}_4, \qquad (2.41)
$$

and get the set of equations

$$
d\left[\frac{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}}{Z_2^2} *_{6} \mathfrak{F}_3^{(3)}\right] + \mathcal{H}_3^{(3)} \wedge \mathfrak{F}_5^{(1)} - 2\mathcal{H}_3^{(1)} \wedge \mathfrak{F}_5^{(3)} = 0, \qquad (2.42a)
$$

$$
d\left[-\alpha *_{6} \mathfrak{F}_{3}^{(1)}\right] + \mathcal{H}_{3}^{(3)} \wedge \mathfrak{F}_{5}^{(3)} + \mathcal{H}_{3}^{(1)} \wedge \mathfrak{F}_{5}^{(5)} = 0, \qquad (2.42b)
$$

where we have used the fact that $\omega_5 \wedge \omega_5 = -2\widehat{\text{vol}}_4$. We will now focus on the first one, and notice that

$$
\mathcal{H}_3^{(1)} \wedge \mathfrak{F}_5^{(3)} = \mathcal{H}_3^{(1)} \wedge \left[dC_4^{(2)} - \mathcal{H}_3^{(1)} \wedge C_2^{(2)} \right] = \mathcal{H}_3^{(1)} \wedge dC_4^{(2)}
$$

= $d \left[B_2^{(0)} dC_4^{(2)} \right],$

$$
\mathcal{H}_3^{(3)} \wedge \mathfrak{F}_5^{(1)} = \mathcal{H}_3^{(3)} \wedge dC_4^{(0)}
$$

= $-d \left[\mathcal{H}_3^{(3)} C_4^{(0)} \right],$ (2.43)

so that the equation becomes

$$
d\left[\frac{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}}{Z_2^2} *_{6} \mathfrak{F}_3^{(3)} - \mathcal{H}_3^{(3)} C_4^{(0)} - 2B_2^{(0)} dC_4^{(2)}\right] = 0.
$$
 (2.44)

To extract the solution we write it as

$$
\frac{\mathcal{P}}{Z_2^2} *_6 \mathfrak{F}_3^{(3)} - \mathcal{H}_3^{(3)} C_4^{(0)} - 2B_2^{(0)} dC_4^{(2)} = -d\widetilde{C}_2^{(2)} - d\widetilde{B}_2^{(2)}, \qquad (2.45)
$$

where

$$
\widetilde{C}_2^{(2)} = -\frac{Z_1}{\mathbb{P}} d\hat{u} \wedge d\hat{v} + a_2 \wedge d\hat{v} + \gamma_1, \quad \widetilde{B}_2^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{Z_2} \frac{Z_5^2}{\mathbb{P}} d\hat{u} \wedge d\hat{v}, \tag{2.46}
$$

we obtain, from the $d\hat{u}$ component of the equation, that

$$
*_4\mathcal{D}\beta = \mathcal{D}\beta\,,\tag{2.47}
$$

and, from the $d\hat{v}$ component of the equation, that

$$
\mathcal{D}\omega + *_4 \mathcal{D}\omega + \mathcal{F} \, d\beta = Z_1 \Theta_1 + Z_2 \Theta_2 - 2Z_4 \Theta_4 - 2Z_5 \Theta_5 \,, \tag{2.48}
$$

where we have used $\Theta^I = *_4 \Theta^I$.

2.3.4 The eq. [\(2.14c\)](#page-11-0)

To solve eq. [\(2.14c\)](#page-11-0) we need the split $x^M = (x^{\mu}, z^i) = (x^{u_i}, x^a, z^i)$ where $x^{u_i} = (u, v)$ and

$$
g_{MN}dx^M dx^N = \sqrt{\alpha} g_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} + X \delta_{ij} dz^i dz^j , \qquad (2.49)
$$

where $X =$ $\frac{\sqrt{\tilde{p}}}{Z_2}$ and $\alpha = \frac{\tilde{p}}{P}$, and

$$
g_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} = G_{u_iu_j}(dx^{u_i} + A^{u_i})(dx^{u_j} + A^{u_j}) + \sqrt{\mathbb{P}}q_{ab}dx^a dx^b, \qquad (2.50)
$$

and where

$$
A^{u_i} = A^{u_i}_a dx^a, \quad A^u = \omega, \quad A^v = \beta, \quad G_{u_i u_j} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathbb{P}}}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathbb{P}}} & -\frac{\mathcal{F}}{\sqrt{\mathbb{P}}} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.51}
$$

so that 10 10 10

$$
g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} G_{u_i u_j} & G_{u_i u_j} A_a^{u_i} \\ A_a^{u_i} G_{u_i u_j} & \sqrt{\mathbb{P}} q_{ab} + G_{u_i u_j} A_a^{u_i} A_b^{u_j} \end{pmatrix}, g^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} G^{u_i u_j} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathbb{P}}} q^{ab} A_a^{u_i} A_b^{u_j} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathbb{P}}} q^{ab} A_b^{u_i} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathbb{P}}} A_a^{u_i} q^{ab} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathbb{P}}} q^{ab} \end{pmatrix}.
$$
\n(2.53)

Notice that we can inherit the results of [\[28\]](#page-29-10); in particular, their eq. (3.30). We can define the sechsbein as

$$
e^{+} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathbb{P}}}(dv + \beta), \quad e^{-} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathbb{P}}}\left[du + \omega + \frac{\mathcal{F}}{2}(dv + \beta)\right], \quad e^{a} = \mathbb{P}^{1/4}\tilde{e}^{a}, \quad (2.54)
$$

so that

$$
\eta_{ab}e^{a}e^{b} = 2\eta_{+-}e^{+}e^{-} + \sqrt{\mathbb{P}}\,\delta_{ab}\tilde{e}^{a}\tilde{e}^{b} = ds_{6}^{2}.
$$
 (2.55)

With this kind of metric we can use eq. (3.30) of $[28]^{11}$ $[28]^{11}$ $[28]^{11}$

$$
R_{vv} = *_4 \mathcal{D} *_4 L + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}} \left(\mathcal{D}\omega + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F} d\beta \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\mathbb{P}} q^{ab} \partial_v^2 \left(\sqrt{\mathbb{P}} q_{ab} \right) - \frac{1}{4} \partial_v \left(\sqrt{\mathbb{P}} q^{ab} \right) \partial_v \left(\sqrt{\mathbb{P}} q_{ab} \right).
$$
 (2.56)

where $H = \sqrt{\mathbb{P}}$ and

$$
L \equiv \dot{\omega} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{F}.
$$
 (2.57)

 $\rm ^{10}Notice$ that

$$
G_{u_i u_j} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathbb{P}}}\\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathbb{P}}} & -\frac{\mathcal{F}}{\sqrt{\mathbb{P}}}\end{pmatrix}, \quad G^{u_i u_j} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\mathbb{P}} \mathcal{F} & -\sqrt{\mathbb{P}}\\ -\sqrt{\mathbb{P}} & 0\end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (2.52)

¹¹Notice that in [\[28\]](#page-29-10) $u \leftrightarrow v$ and also they use the mostly plus signature of the metric.

With the right amount of time and, by using eqs. [\(2.15\)](#page-8-1) and [\(2.48\)](#page-12-3) intensively, one can extract the last equation

$$
*_4\mathcal{D} *_4 \left(\dot{\omega} - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}\mathcal{F}\right) = \partial_v^2 (Z_1 Z_2 - Z_4^2 - Z_5^2) - [\dot{Z}_1 \dot{Z}_2 - (\dot{Z}_4)^2 - (\dot{Z}_5)^2] - \frac{1}{2} *_4 (\Theta_1 \wedge \Theta_2 - \Theta_4 \wedge \Theta_4 - \Theta_5 \wedge \Theta_5).
$$

3 The dual CFT description

We now stop for a moment our discussion on the Supergravity side to introduce the holographic CFT dual language that turns out to be of great utility and importance to understand the Supergravity solutions we will construct.

The geometries we have discussed are asymptotically $AdS_3 \times \mathbb{S}^3 \times T^4$ and, according to the AdS/CFT paradigm, they correspond to semiclassical states in some dual CFT at large central charge. The dual holographic theory is often dubbed D1D5 CFT [\[21,](#page-29-3)[22,](#page-29-4)[29–](#page-29-11) [31\]](#page-29-12) and it is a two dimensional superconformal field theory with $\mathcal{N} = (4, 4)$ supercharges and a $SO(4)_R \simeq SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ R-symmetry group which is holographically identified with the rotations of the \mathbb{S}^3 ; there is also a $SO(4)_I \simeq SU(2)_1 \times SU(2)_2$ symmetry group associated to the rotation of the compact $T⁴$ and its spinorial representations are also used to label the fields in the theory. The D1D5 CFT at a special point of its moduli space, called free orbifold point, can be described as a non-linear sigma model with target space $(T^4)^N/S_N$, where S_N is the permutation group with N element and where $N = n_1 n_5$, with n_1 is the number of D1 branes and n_5 is the number of D5 brane in the supergravity construction; its central charge is

$$
c = 6n_1n_5 = 6N. \t\t(3.1)
$$

It is then useful to visualize the CFT states by representing the N copies, labelled by an integer index (r) , as N strings, on which four bosons and four fermions live; labelling with $\alpha, \dot{\alpha} = \pm$ the spinorial indexes of the R-symmetry group, with $A, \dot{A} = 1, 2$ the spinorial indexes of the $SO(4)_I$ group, they are

$$
\left(X_{(r)}^{A\dot{A}}(z,\bar{z}),\,\psi_{(r)}^{\alpha\dot{A}}(z),\,\widetilde{\psi}_{(r)}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{A}}(\bar{z})\right).
$$
\n(3.2)

The D1D5 CFT contains also twist operators that glue together k copies of the free field into a single strand on length k. This implies that a generic state in the CFT consists in a product of N_{k_i} strands with length k_i , such that the total winding is N, i.e.

$$
\sum_{i} \sum_{k_i} k_i N_{k_i} = N \,. \tag{3.3}
$$

The supergravity solutions we are interested in are dual to $\frac{1}{8}$ –BPS three-charge state and we can label them with their holographic dual CFT state since supersymmetry protects their conformal dimensions and their 3−point functions. We will show how these three-charge states can be obtained starting from $\frac{1}{4}$ -BPS two-charge states by acting suitably with the generators of the compact subgroup of the Symmetry Algebra, and in order to do so, we first need to introduce the full set of two-charge states.

3.1 Two-Charge States

The Black Hole microstates are dual to heavy states in the Ramond sector of the CFT. A typical heavy state will be a product of N_i strands with length k_i , and we will describe them strand by strand. In the Ramond sector, on each strand, we can act on the vacuum with the fermion zero modes $\psi_0^{-\dot{A}}$, $\tilde{\psi}_0^{-\dot{B}}$ to build 2^4 states and, for concreteness, we pick from the Ramond vacuum states the one with $j_L = j_R = +\frac{1}{2}$, i.e. $|++\rangle_k$. Half of these states are fermionic, and do not have a clear holographic dual geometry; the other half of them are bosonic, and we will focus on those. Out of these 8 states, we have the subset of those with zero angular momentum $|00\rangle_k^{(\dot{A}\dot{B})}$ $\binom{AB}{k}$. We can extract a combination of those that is invariant under transformation of the Torus, i.e. $|00\rangle_k = \varepsilon_{\dot{A}\dot{B}}|00\rangle_k^{(\dot{A}\dot{B})}$ $\binom{(AD)}{k}$, while the others are non-invariant under transformations of the Torus; to build our heavy states, we will pick the state $|00\rangle_k^{\text{1i}}$ without loss of generality. Here we use the notation commonly used in the literature; we want to stress the fact that all the states written above have zero angular momentum.

To be explicit, we will study in the next section the geometry dual to the two-charge Heavy state

$$
|H\rangle = \prod_{k_1} [|++\rangle_1]^{N^{(++)}} \left[|00\rangle_{k_1} \right]^{N_{k_1}^b} \left[|00\rangle_{k_2}^{(11)} \right]^{N_{k_2}^c} ,
$$

\n
$$
N = N_1^{(++)} + \sum_{k_1} k_1 N_{k_1}^b + \sum_{k_2} k_2 N_{k_2}^c .
$$
\n(3.4)

3.1.1 The profile functions and their holographic interpretation

We now want to briefly describe the map between the two-charge states and their dual geometry. As explained in detail in $[8, 16, 18, 30]$ $[8, 16, 18, 30]$ $[8, 16, 18, 30]$ $[8, 16, 18, 30]$, we can construct two-charge solutions of type IIB supergravity in the D1D5 frame by assigning a F1P profile and the acting with the proper chain of dualities; this will led to a definitions of the Z_I , β and ω in terms of those profiles:

$$
Z_{1} = \frac{Q_{5}}{L} \int_{0}^{L} \frac{|\dot{g}_{i}(v')|^{2} + |\dot{g}_{5}(v')|^{2} + |\dot{g}^{\alpha}-(v')|^{2}}{|x_{i} - g_{i}(v')|^{2}} dv', \quad Z_{2} = \frac{Q_{5}}{L} \int_{0}^{L} \frac{dv'}{|x_{i} - g_{i}(v')|^{2}},
$$

\n
$$
Z_{4} = -\frac{Q_{5}}{L} \int_{0}^{L} \frac{\dot{g}_{5}(v')}{|x_{i} - g_{i}(v')|^{2}} dv', \quad Z_{5} = -\frac{Q_{5}}{L} \int_{0}^{L} \frac{\dot{g}^{\alpha}-(v')\omega_{\alpha}}{|x_{i} - g_{i}(v')|^{2}} dv',
$$

\n
$$
d\gamma_{2} = *_{4} dZ_{2}, \quad d\gamma_{1} = *_{4} dZ_{1}, \quad d\delta_{4} = *_{4} dZ_{4}, \quad d\delta_{5} = *_{4} dZ_{5},
$$

\n
$$
A = -\frac{Q_{5}}{L} \int_{0}^{L} \frac{\dot{g}_{j}(v') dx^{j}}{|x_{i} - g_{i}(v')|^{2}} dv', \quad dB = - *_{4} dA, \quad ds_{4}^{2} = \delta_{ij} dx^{i} dx^{j},
$$

\n
$$
\beta = \frac{-A + B}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \omega = \frac{-A - B}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \mathcal{F} = 0, \quad a_{I} = 0, \quad x_{3} = 0,
$$
\n(3.5)

where $L = 2\pi Q_5/R$ and where ω_{α_-} is a basis of anti-self dual two forms on the compact T^4 :

$$
\omega^{\alpha_{-}} = (\omega^{1_{-}}, \omega^{2_{-}}, \omega^{3_{-}}), \n\omega^{1_{-}} = (dz^{1} \wedge dz^{2} - dz^{3} \wedge dz^{4}), \n\omega^{2_{-}} = (dz^{1} \wedge dz^{3} + dz^{2} \wedge dz^{4}), \n\omega^{3_{-}} = (dz^{1} \wedge dz^{4} - dz^{2} \wedge dz^{3}).
$$
\n(3.6)

The profile we need to construct the geometry dual to the heavy state [\(3.4\)](#page-15-2) is the following

$$
g_1 + ig_2 = a e^{\frac{2\pi i v'}{L}},
$$

\n
$$
g_3 + ig_4 = 0,
$$

\n
$$
g_5 = -\frac{b}{k_1} \sin\left(\frac{2\pi k_1 v'}{L}\right),
$$

\n
$$
g^{\alpha_-} = -\frac{c}{k_2} \sin\left(\frac{2\pi k_2 v'}{L}\right).
$$
\n(3.7)

The holographic dictionary then relates [\[8,](#page-28-3) [16,](#page-28-7) [18,](#page-29-0) [30\]](#page-29-13)

$$
N^{(++)} = \frac{a^2}{a_0^2}, \quad k_1 N_{k_1}^b = \frac{b^2}{2a_0^2}, \quad k_2 N_{k_2}^c = \frac{c^2}{2a_0^2}, \quad a^2 + \frac{b^2}{2} + \frac{c^2}{2} = a_0^2 \equiv \frac{Q_1 Q_5}{R^2}.
$$
 (3.8)

Here R is the \mathbb{S}^1 radius and Q_1 and Q_5 are the D1 and D5 supergravity charges; they are related to the number n_1 and n_5 via

$$
Q_1 = \frac{(2\pi)^4 g_s(\alpha')^4}{V_{T^4}} n_1, \quad Q_5 = g_s \alpha' n_5,
$$
\n(3.9)

where g_s is the string coupling constant and where V_{T^4} is the volume of the T^4 .

3.2 Three charge states

Starting from the D1D5 geometry dual to the state in [\(3.4\)](#page-15-2) we can build a D1D5P geometry that is dual to a superdescendant of the heavy state [\(3.4\)](#page-15-2); as explained in [\[14,](#page-28-6) [16,](#page-28-7) [18\]](#page-29-0) we can act with the symmetries of the CFT on each strand to generate new solutions. Since

$$
(J_{-1}^{+})^{m_1}|00\rangle_{k_1} = 0\,, \quad \forall m_1 > k_1\,,\tag{3.10}
$$

we can act with a global transformation $e^{\chi(J_{-1}^+ - J_{+1}^-)}$ on the two-charge state [\[14\]](#page-28-6); picking a certain choice for χ , i.e. $\chi = \pi/2$, the resulting state is obtained as a product of states on which we have acted with the maximum number of J^+_{-1} :

$$
|\widetilde{H}\rangle = \prod | |++\rangle_1|^{N^{(++)}} \left[(J^+_{-1})^{k_1} |00\rangle_{k_1} \right]^{N^b_{k_1}} \left[(J^+_{-1})^{k_2} |00\rangle_{k_2}^{(11)} \right]^{N^c_{k_2}}.
$$
 (3.11)

To construct its dual geometry we can start from the dual geometry of [\(3.4\)](#page-15-2) and act, on the supergravity side already in the Ramond sector, with the transformation

$$
\theta \to \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta \,, \quad \phi \to -\psi + \frac{\sqrt{2}v}{R} \,, \quad \psi \to -\phi + \frac{\sqrt{2}v}{R} \,. \tag{3.12}
$$

We will see in the next section what are the dual geometries of these heavy states $|H\rangle$, $|H\rangle$ and we will check that those geometries satisfies our layer equations [\(2.15,](#page-8-1) [2.16\)](#page-8-2), furnishing a non-trivial check for those equations.

We will also build new non-superdescendant geometries that are dual to more complicated Heavy states by means of the action of the generators of the algebra on the strands of the two-charge states; in particular we can act on them with $(L_{-1} - J_{-1}^3)^n$ and with $(J_{-1}^+)^m$, as in [\[18\]](#page-29-0), giving

$$
\left| H_{(k_1,m_1,n_1),(k_2,m_2,n_2)} \right\rangle = \prod \left[|++\rangle_1 \right]^{N^{(++)}} \left[(L_{-1} - J_{-1}^3)^{n_1} (J_{-1}^+)^{m_1} |00\rangle_{k_1} \right]^{N^b_{k_1,m_1,n_1}} \cdot \left[(L_{-1} - J_{-1}^3)^{n_2} (J_{-1}^+)^{m_2} |00\rangle_{k_2}^{(1)} \right]^{N^c_{k_2,m_2,n_2}} . \tag{3.13}
$$

They are called superstrata, in order to distinguish them from the rigidly generated superdescendants [\[16,](#page-28-7) [18\]](#page-29-0).

We now want to remark the fact that those states will have a dual geometry that will not be invariant under rotation of the compact space $T⁴$; this is easy to see from the CFT point of view because these states have explicit indexes of the torus symmetry group $SO(4)_I$, so are not invariant under $SO(4)_I$ -transformations.

4 Three-Charge Superstrata with internal excitations: solutions

4.1 The Superdescendant check

We can start from the two-charge geometry with both Z_4 and Z_5 excitations; as described in the previous section, the geometry we want to consider is holographically dual to the Heavy state [\(3.4\)](#page-15-2). The dual Geometry is a two-charge D1D5 geometry [\[8\]](#page-28-3) described by the general ansatz [\(2.10\)](#page-6-2) with

$$
Z_{1} = \frac{R^{2}}{Q_{5}} \frac{a^{2} + \frac{b^{2}}{2} + \frac{c^{2}}{2}}{\Sigma} + \frac{R^{2}a^{2k_{1}}}{2Q_{5}} \frac{b^{2} \sin^{2k_{1}} \theta \cos 2k_{1} \phi}{\Sigma (r^{2} + a^{2})^{k_{1}}} + \frac{R^{2}a^{2k_{2}}}{2Q_{5}} \frac{c^{2} \sin^{2k_{2}} \theta \cos 2k_{2} \phi}{\Sigma (r^{2} + a^{2})^{k_{2}}},
$$

\n
$$
Z_{2} = \frac{Q_{5}}{\Sigma}, \quad Z_{4} = R b a^{k_{1}} \frac{\sin^{k_{1}} \theta \cos k_{1} \phi}{\Sigma (r^{2} + a^{2})^{\frac{k_{1}}{2}}}, \quad Z_{5} = R c a^{k_{1}} \frac{\sin^{k_{2}} \theta \cos k_{2} \phi}{\Sigma (r^{2} + a^{2})^{\frac{k_{2}}{2}}},
$$

\n
$$
a_{1} = 0, \quad a_{4} = 0, \quad a_{5} = 0, \quad x_{3} = 0,
$$

\n
$$
\gamma_{2} = -Q_{5} \frac{r^{2} + a^{2}}{\Sigma} \cos^{2} \theta d\phi \wedge d\psi,
$$

\n
$$
\delta_{4} = -R b a^{k_{1}} \frac{\sin^{k_{1}} \theta}{(r^{2} + a^{2})^{\frac{k_{1}}{2}}} \left(\frac{r^{2} + a^{2}}{\Sigma} \cos^{2} \theta \cos k_{1} \phi d\phi \wedge d\psi + \sin k_{1} \phi \cot \theta d\theta \wedge d\psi \right),
$$

\n
$$
\delta_{5} = -R c a^{k_{2}} \frac{\sin^{k_{2}} \theta}{(r^{2} + a^{2})^{\frac{k_{2}}{2}}} \left(\frac{r^{2} + a^{2}}{\Sigma} \cos^{2} \theta \cos k_{2} \phi d\phi \wedge d\psi + \sin k_{2} \phi \cot \theta d\theta \wedge d\psi \right).
$$

\n(4.1)

We will now to generate a three-charge solutions that is one of its superdescendants; to do so we will use the generating solution technique of $[14]$; on the supergravity side the transformation we have to employ is, already in the Ramond Sector,

$$
\theta \to \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta \,, \quad \phi \to -\psi + \frac{\sqrt{2}v}{R} \,, \quad \psi \to -\phi + \frac{\sqrt{2}v}{R} \,. \tag{4.2}
$$

This rigidly generated solution is a three-charge, $\frac{1}{8}$ -BPS geometry that solves the two layers [\(2.15,](#page-8-1) [2.16\)](#page-8-2). We remark that this is a highly non-trivial check for those equations. The explicit solution is defined in terms of

$$
Z_{1} = \frac{R^{2}}{2Q_{5}} \frac{1}{\Sigma} \left[\left(2a^{2} + b^{2} + c^{2} \right) + \frac{a^{2k_{1}} \cos^{2k_{1}} \theta}{(r^{2} + a^{2})^{k_{1}}} b^{2} \cos \left(2k_{1} \hat{v} \right) \right. \\
\left. + \frac{a^{2k_{2}} \cos^{2k_{2}} \theta}{(r^{2} + a^{2})^{k_{2}}} c^{2} \cos \left(2k_{2} \hat{v} \right) \right],
$$
\n
$$
Z_{2} = \frac{Q_{5}}{\Sigma},
$$
\n
$$
Z_{4} = \frac{R}{\Sigma} b \frac{a^{k_{1}} \cos^{k_{1}} \theta}{(r^{2} + a^{2})^{\frac{k_{1}}{2}}} \cos \left(k_{1} \hat{v} \right), \quad Z_{5} = \frac{R}{\Sigma} c \frac{a^{k_{2}} \cos^{k_{2}} \theta}{(r^{2} + a^{2})^{\frac{k_{2}}{2}}} \cos \left(k_{2} \hat{v} \right),
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{F} = -\frac{1}{r^{2} + a^{2} \sin^{2} \theta} \left[b^{2} \left(1 - \frac{a^{2k_{1}} \cos^{2k_{1}} \theta}{(r^{2} + a^{2})^{k_{1}}} \right) + c^{2} \left(1 - \frac{a^{2k_{2}} \cos^{2k_{2}} \theta}{(r^{2} + a^{2})^{k_{2}}} \right) \right],
$$
\n(4.3)

where we have defined for convenience

$$
\hat{v} = \frac{\sqrt{2}v}{R} - \psi.
$$
\n(4.4)

Other details on this superdescendant solution can be found in app. [A.](#page-27-0) We want to stress that the presence of a non-vanishing $\mathcal F$ with the proper large r asymptotic signals the presence of a non vanishing P charge [\[30\]](#page-29-13):

$$
\mathcal{F} = -\frac{2(b^2 + c^2)}{2r^2} + O(r^{-3}) \equiv -\frac{2Q_P}{r^2} + O(r^{-3}).\tag{4.5}
$$

4.2 The superstratum ansatz: $(k, m, n) = (1, 0, n_1), (1, 0, n_2)$

We want now to build a superstratum solution that is not a superdescendant of a twocharge state. In order to do so we follow the recipe outlined in sec. 2.4 of [\[16\]](#page-28-7): we will start with a good ansatz for the Z_I functions that satisfy the first layer [\(2.15\)](#page-8-1); then we use this ansatz to derive the sources of the second layer [\(2.16\)](#page-8-2) in order to solve them for ω and F. This gives a set of *linear* partial differential equations for ω and F.

We start superimposing two Fourier modes, one for Z_4 and one for Z_5 , described by the triplet^{[12](#page-19-1)} $(k, m, n) = (1, 0, n_1), (1, 0, n_2)$, respectively. We define

$$
\Delta_{k,m,n} = \left(\frac{a}{\sqrt{r^2 + a^2}}\right)^k \left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{r^2 + a^2}}\right)^n \sin^{k-m} \theta \cos^m \theta,
$$
\n
$$
\hat{v}_{k,m,n} = (m+n) \frac{\sqrt{2} v}{R} + (k-m)\phi - m\psi,
$$
\n
$$
\vartheta_{k,m,n} = -\sqrt{2} \Delta_{k,m,n} \left[\left((m+n)r \sin \theta + n \left(\frac{m}{k} - 1\right) \frac{\Sigma}{r \sin \theta}\right) \Omega^{(1)} \sin \hat{v}_{k,m,n} + \left(m \left(\frac{n}{k} + 1\right) \Omega^{(2)} + n \left(\frac{m}{k} - 1\right) \Omega^{(3)}\right) \cos \hat{v}_{k,m,n} \right],
$$
\n(4.6)

¹²Here we used the notation introduced in [\[18\]](#page-29-0).

and the basis of self-dual two forms on the base space ds_4^2

$$
\Omega^{(1)} = \frac{dr \wedge d\theta}{(r^2 + a^2) \cos \theta} + \frac{r \sin \theta}{\Sigma} d\phi \wedge d\psi,
$$

\n
$$
\Omega^{(2)} = \frac{r}{r^2 + a^2} dr \wedge d\psi + \tan \theta d\theta \wedge d\phi,
$$

\n
$$
\Omega^{(3)} = \frac{dr \wedge d\phi}{r} - \cot \theta d\theta \wedge d\phi.
$$
\n(4.7)

We will then construct a geometry whose holographic dual is the heavy state (3.13) with $(k, m, n) = (1, 0, n_1), (1, 0, n_2)$, respectively.

The supergravity ansatz is then^{[13](#page-20-0)}

$$
Z_1 = \frac{Q_1}{\Sigma} + \frac{R^2}{2Q_5} b^2 \frac{\Delta_{2,0,2n_1}}{\Sigma} \cos \hat{v}_{2,0,2n_1} + \frac{R^2}{2Q_5} c^2 \frac{\Delta_{2,0,2n_2}}{\Sigma} \cos \hat{v}_{2,0,2n_2}, \quad Z_2 = \frac{Q_5}{\Sigma},
$$

\n
$$
Z_4 = R b \frac{\Delta_{1,0,n_1}}{\Sigma} \cos \hat{v}_{1,0,n_1}, \quad Z_5 = R c \frac{\Delta_{1,0,n_2}}{\Sigma} \cos \hat{v}_{1,0,n_2}.
$$
\n(4.9)

where the definition of Z_1 is inspired by the superdescendant case and is defined in a way that assures the "coiffuring" [\[32–](#page-29-14)[34\]](#page-30-0). This "coiffuring" is a procedure that consists in adjusting the Fourier coefficient of (Z_1, Θ_2) in terms of those of (Z_4, Θ_4) and (Z_5, Θ_5) in order to have a smooth geometry at the end. In fact, since the first layer of equations consists in a set of decoupled second-order PDE for the couples (Z_I, Θ^I) , a priori we have no relation whatsoever among Z_1, Z_2, Z_4, Z_5 . But only an appropriate choice of the Z_1 in terms of the Z_4, Z_5 will lead to a well-defined, smooth solution (ω, \mathcal{F}) for the second layer of equations.

Based on previous result for geometries with a single internal mode for Z_4 [\[32](#page-29-14)[–34\]](#page-30-0), where the correct Z_1 is chosen such that $Z_1Z_2 - Z_4^2$ was v-independent, we have coiffured our geometry as the following: after having chosen the Fourier modes for Z_4 and Z_5 , i.e. having chosen the dual CFT state [\(3.13\)](#page-17-1) described by $|H_{(1,0,n_1),(1,0,n_2)}\rangle$, we choose Z_1 such that $\mathbb P$ is v−independent. This will also imply that in the second layer we will not have any v-dependent sources, allowing us to construct a v-independent ω and F that we will denote as $\omega^{\rm RMS}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{\rm RMS}$.

Please notice that in this paper we will work with only one Fourier mode for Z_4 and Z_5 ; one may think to generalize it allowing many different Fourier modes for both of them and then have a more involved "coiffuring"; for sake of simplicity we will restrain to do that here.

$$
\frac{Q_1 Q_5}{R^2} = a^2 + \frac{b^2}{2} + \frac{c^2}{2}.
$$
\n(4.8)

Later on we will explain in detail how this condition emerges.

¹³We will use the regularity condition for this case, that is

Then we define

$$
\Theta_1 = 0, \quad \Theta_2 = \frac{R}{2Q_5} b \vartheta_{2,0,2n_1} + \frac{R}{2Q_5} c \vartheta_{2,0,2n_2},
$$

\n
$$
\Theta_4 = b \vartheta_{1,0,n_1}, \quad \Theta_5 = c \vartheta_{1,0,n_2}.
$$
\n(4.10)

By construction this set solves the first Layer [\(2.15\)](#page-8-1). We are then left to solve the second Layer [\(2.16\)](#page-8-2); in order to do that we need a good ansatz for ω and \mathcal{F} . We are searching now for asymptotically AdS solutions, then we define

$$
\omega^{\text{AdS}} = \omega_0 + \omega^{\text{RMS}}(r,\theta), \quad \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}^{\text{RMS}}(r,\theta), \tag{4.11}
$$

where ω_0 is the one of the original two-charge solution^{[14](#page-21-0)}, and where RMS stands for the non-oscillating part. The oscillating part in asymptotically AdS geometry turns out to decouple from the RMS part, so we do not need to include them, and we avoid their analysis.

The Second Layer gives EoM of the form

$$
d\omega^{\rm RMS} + *_4 d\omega^{\rm RMS} + \mathcal{F}^{\rm RMS} d\beta_0 = J_{n_1, n_2}^{(1)},
$$

$$
\hat{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{F}^{\rm RMS} = J_{n_1, n_2}^{(2)},
$$
 (4.13)

where β_0 is the one of the two-charge state, and where

$$
\widehat{\mathcal{L}}F \equiv \frac{1}{r\,\Sigma}\partial_r\left(r(r^2+a^2)\partial_r F\right) + \frac{1}{\Sigma\,\sin\theta\,\cos\theta}\partial_\theta\left(\sin\theta\,\cos\theta\,\partial_\theta F\right)\,,\tag{4.14}
$$

is the scalar Laplacian on the base space, i.e. $\hat{\mathcal{L}}F = -*_4 \mathcal{D} *_4 \mathcal{D}F$. We can easily see that the sources have a linear form in the b, c parameters and also that, in the first equation, there is no $\Omega^{(1)}$ direction, and then $\omega_r = 0 = \omega_\theta$; in particular they are

$$
J_{n_1,n_2}^{(1)} = \sqrt{2} R \left(b^2 \frac{\Delta_{2,0,2n_1}}{\Sigma} n_1 + c^2 \frac{\Delta_{2,0,2n_2}}{\Sigma} n_2 \right) \Omega^{(3)},
$$

\n
$$
J_{n_1,n_2}^{(2)} = \frac{4}{r^2 + a^2} \frac{1}{\Sigma \cos \theta} \left(b^2 \Delta_{2,2,2n_1-2} n_1^2 + c^2 \Delta_{2,2,2n_2-2} n_2^2 \right).
$$
\n(4.15)

We have then to solve the system

$$
d\omega^{\rm RMS} + *_4 d\omega^{\rm RMS} + \mathcal{F}^{\rm RMS} d\beta_0 = \sqrt{2} R \left(b^2 \frac{\Delta_{2,0,2n_1}}{\Sigma} n_1 + c^2 \frac{\Delta_{2,0,2n_2}}{\Sigma} n_2 \right) \Omega^{(3)},
$$

$$
\widehat{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{F}^{\rm RMS} = \frac{4}{r^2 + a^2} \frac{1}{\Sigma \cos \theta} \left(b^2 \Delta_{2,2,2n_1-2} n_1^2 + c^2 \Delta_{2,2,2n_2-2} n_2^2 \right).
$$
 (4.16)

 14 In detail, we have

$$
\beta_0 = \frac{R a^2}{\sqrt{2} \Sigma} \left(\sin^2 \theta \, d\phi - \cos^2 \theta \, d\psi \right) , \quad \omega_0 = \frac{R a^2}{\sqrt{2} \Sigma} \left(\sin^2 \theta \, d\phi + \cos^2 \theta \, d\psi \right). \tag{4.12}
$$

Those equations are linear, and are of the same form of the eqs. (4.7, 4.8) of [\[18\]](#page-29-0); then superimposing a linear combination of the form

$$
\omega^{\text{AdS}} = \omega_{1,0,n_1}^{\text{AdS}} + \omega_{1,0,n_2}^{\text{AdS}}, \quad \mathcal{F}^{\text{AdS}} = \mathcal{F}_{1,0,n_1}^{\text{AdS}} + \mathcal{F}_{1,0,n_2}^{\text{AdS}}, \tag{4.17}
$$

we have two identical set of equations that are *exactly* the one appearing in [\[18\]](#page-29-0)

$$
d\omega_{1,0,n_i}^{\text{RMS}} + *_{4} d\omega_{1,0,n_i}^{\text{RMS}} + \mathcal{F}_{1,0,n_i}^{\text{RMS}} d\beta_0 = \sqrt{2} R b_i^2 \frac{\Delta_{2,0,2n_i}}{\Sigma} n_i \Omega^{(3)},
$$

$$
\widehat{L} \mathcal{F}_{1,0,n_i}^{\text{RMS}} = \frac{4 n_i^2}{r^2 + a^2} b_i^2 \frac{\Delta_{2,2,2n_i-2}}{\Sigma \cos \theta}, \quad b_i = (b, c),
$$
\n(4.18)

where they are also solved.

This behaviour is quite obvious: having imposed only one mode for Z_4 and one for Z_5 , after the right linear "coiffuring" of Z_1 , the linearity of the layers equations - and the fact that $\Theta_1 = 0$ - imposes that we can solve separately the equations; to be more clear let us define

$$
Z_1 = Z_1^{(0)} + Z_1^{(b)} + Z_1^{(c)}, \quad Z_2 = Z_2^{(0)}, \quad \Theta_2 = \Theta_2^{(b)} + \Theta_2^{(b)}, \tag{4.19}
$$

such that the first layer is separately solved by the couples $(Z_1^{(b)}$ $\theta_1^{(b)}, \Theta_2^{(b)}$ 2) and $\left(Z_{1}^{(c)} \right)$ $\theta_1^{(c)}, \Theta_2^{(c)}$ 2 $\overline{ }$ as is our case. Then, defining also

$$
\omega^{\text{AdS}} = \omega_{(b)}^{\text{AdS}} + \omega_{(c)}^{\text{AdS}}, \quad \mathcal{F}^{\text{AdS}} = \mathcal{F}_{(b)}^{\text{AdS}} + \mathcal{F}_{(c)}^{\text{AdS}}, \tag{4.20}
$$

and using the layer equations for the ω_0 , the second layer decouples into

$$
\mathcal{D}\omega_{(i)} + *_4 \mathcal{D}\omega_{(i)} + \mathcal{F}_{(i)} d\beta = Z_1^{(i)} \Theta_1^{(0)} + Z_2^{(0)} \Theta_2^{(i)} - 2Z_{(i)} \Theta_{(i)} , \qquad (4.21a)
$$

$$
*_4 \mathcal{D} *_4 \left(\dot{\omega}_{(i)} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{F}_{(i)} \right) = \partial_v^2 (Z_1^{(i)} Z_2^{(0)} - Z_{(i)}) - [\dot{Z}_1^{(i)} \dot{Z}_2^{(0)} - (\dot{Z}_{(i)})^2] - \frac{1}{2} *_4 \left(\Theta_1^{(0)} \wedge \Theta_2^{(i)} - \Theta_{(i)} \wedge \Theta_{(i)} \right), \tag{4.21b}
$$

$$
Z_1^{(i)} = \left(Z_1^{(b)}, Z_1^{(c)} \right), \quad Z_{(i)} = \left(Z_4, Z_5 \right), \tag{4.21c}
$$

$$
\Theta_2^{(i)} = \left(\Theta_2^{(b)}, \Theta_2^{(c)}\right), \quad \Theta_{(i)} = \left(\Theta_4, \Theta_5\right). \tag{4.21d}
$$

We can then read the solution directly from [\[18\]](#page-29-0); for the $(k, m, n) = (1, 0, n_1), (1, 0, n_2)$ case we have

$$
\mathcal{F}^{\rm RMS} = -\frac{b^2}{a^2} \left(1 - \frac{r^{2n_1}}{(r^2 + a^2)^{n_1}} \right) - \frac{c^2}{a^2} \left(1 - \frac{r^{2n_2}}{(r^2 + a^2)^{n_2}} \right),\tag{4.22a}
$$

$$
\omega^{\rm RMS} = \frac{R}{\sqrt{2}\,\Sigma} \left[b^2 \left(1 - \frac{r^{2n_1}}{(r^2 + a^2)^{n_1}} \right) + c^2 \left(1 - \frac{r^{2n_2}}{(r^2 + a^2)^{n_2}} \right) \right] \sin^2\theta \, d\phi \,. \tag{4.22b}
$$

4.3 The superstratum ansatz: (k, m, n) generic

In the light of the discussion of the previous section, it is now immediate to generalize the solution to generic (k_1, m_1, n_1) and (k_2, m_2, n_2) ; with the right ansatz for the Z_I

$$
Z_1 = \frac{Q_1}{\Sigma} + \frac{R^2}{2Q_5} b^2 \frac{\Delta_{2k_1, 2m_1, 2n_1}}{\Sigma} \cos \hat{v}_{2k_1, 2m_1, 2n_1} + \frac{R^2}{2Q_5} c^2 \frac{\Delta_{2k_2, 2m_2, 2n_2}}{\Sigma} \cos \hat{v}_{2k_2, 2m_2, 2n_2},
$$

\n
$$
Z_2 = \frac{Q_5}{\Sigma}, \quad Z_4 = R b \frac{\Delta_{k_1, m_1, n_1}}{\Sigma} \cos \hat{v}_{k_1, m_1, n_1}, \quad Z_5 = R c \frac{\Delta_{k_2, m_2, n_2}}{\Sigma} \cos \hat{v}_{k_2, m_2, n_2},
$$
\n(4.23)

and for the Θ^I

$$
\Theta_1 = 0, \quad \Theta_2 = \frac{R}{2Q_5} b \,\vartheta_{2k_1, 2m_1, 2n_1} + \frac{R}{2Q_5} c \,\vartheta_{2k_2, 2m_2, 2n_2},
$$
\n
$$
\Theta_4 = b \,\vartheta_{k_1, m_1, n_1}, \quad \Theta_5 = c \,\vartheta_{k_2, m_2, n_2},
$$
\n(4.24)

splitting the ω and the $\mathcal F$ as

$$
\omega^{\text{AdS}} = \omega_0 + \omega^{\text{RMS}}(r, \theta), \qquad \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}^{\text{RMS}}(r, \theta),
$$

$$
\omega^{\text{RMS}} = \omega^{\text{RMS}}_{k_1, m_1, n_1} + \omega^{\text{RMS}}_{k_2, m_2, n_2}, \qquad \mathcal{F}^{\text{RMS}} = \mathcal{F}^{\text{RMS}}_{k_1, m_1, n_1} + \mathcal{F}^{\text{RMS}}_{k_2, m_2, n_2},
$$

(4.25)

we get two identical systems

$$
d\omega_{k,m,n}^{\text{RMS}} + *_{4} d\omega_{k,m,n}^{\text{RMS}} + \mathcal{F}_{k,m,n}^{\text{RMS}} d\beta_{0} = \sqrt{2} R b_{i}^{2} \frac{\Delta_{2k,2m,2n}}{\Sigma} \left(\frac{m(k+n)}{k} \Omega^{(2)} - \frac{n(k-m)}{k} \Omega^{(3)} \right),
$$
\n
$$
\hat{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{F}_{k,m,n}^{\text{RMS}} = \frac{4b_{i}^{2}}{r^{2} + a^{2}} \frac{1}{\Sigma \cos^{2} \theta} \left[\left(\frac{m(k+n)}{k} \right)^{2} \Delta_{2k,2m,2n} + \left(\frac{n(k-m)}{k} \right)^{2} \Delta_{2k,2m+2,2n-2} \right],
$$
\n(4.26b)

where $b_i = (b, c)$. This system coincides exactly with eqs. $(4.7, 4.8)$ of [\[18\]](#page-29-0). So we can inherit from there the solution and, in the following, we will briefly review how those solutions are found: we split

$$
\omega_{k,m,n}^{\text{RMS}} = \mu_{k,m,n}(d\psi + d\phi) + \zeta_{k,m,n}(d\psi - d\phi),\tag{4.27}
$$

and then, defining

$$
\hat{\mu}_{k,m,n} = \mu_{k,m,n} + \frac{R}{4\sqrt{2}} \frac{r^2 + a^2 \sin^2 \theta}{\Sigma} \mathcal{F}_{k,m,n} + \frac{R}{4\sqrt{2}} b_i^2 \frac{\Delta_{2k,2m,2n}}{\Sigma}, \quad (4.28)
$$

we recast^{[15](#page-24-0)} the system [\(4.26\)](#page-23-1) in a system regarding only the two scalar functions $\mathcal{F}_{k,m,n}$ and $\hat{\mu}_{k,m,n}$:

$$
\hat{\mathcal{L}}\hat{\mu}_{k,m,n} = \frac{R b_i^2}{4\sqrt{2} (r^2 + a^2)} \frac{1}{\Sigma \cos^2 \theta} \left(\frac{(k-m)^2 (k+n)^2}{k^2} \Delta_{2k,2m+2,2n} + \frac{n^2 m^2}{k^2} \Delta_{2k,2m,2n-2} \right),
$$
\n
$$
\hat{\mathcal{L}}\mathcal{F}_{k,m,n} = \frac{4b_i^2}{r^2 + a^2} \frac{1}{\Sigma \cos^2 \theta} \left[\left(\frac{m(k+n)}{k} \right)^2 \Delta_{2k,2m,2n} + \left(\frac{n(k-m)}{k} \right)^2 \Delta_{2k,2m+2,2n-2} \right],
$$
\n(4.29a)

while $\zeta_{k,m,n}$ is determined after having determined $\hat{\mu}_{k,m,n}$ putting [\(4.27\)](#page-23-2) into eq. [\(4.26b\)](#page-24-1).

To solve eqs. [\(4.29\)](#page-24-2) we need a function $F_{2k,2m,2n}$ such that

$$
\hat{\mathcal{L}}F_{2k,2m,2n} = \frac{\Delta_{2k,2m,2n}}{(r^2 + a^2)\Sigma \cos^2 \theta},
$$
\n(4.30)

that is

$$
F_{2k,2m,2n} = -\sum_{j_1,j_2,j_3=0}^{j_1+j_2+j_3\le k+n-1} \binom{j_1+j_2+j_3}{j_1,j_2,j_3} \frac{\binom{k+n-1-(j_1+j_2+j_3)}{k-m-j_1,m-j_2-1,n-j_3}}{\binom{k+n-1}{k-m,m-1,n}} \times \frac{\Delta_{2(k-1-j_1-j_2),2(m-j_2-1),2(n-j_3)}}{4(k+n)^2(r^2+a^2)},
$$
\n(4.31)

where

$$
\begin{pmatrix} j_1 + j_2 + j_3 \ j_1, j_2, j_3 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \frac{(j_1 + j_2 + j_3)!}{j_1! j_2! j_3!}.
$$
 (4.32)

Having that the solution is

$$
\mathcal{F}_{k,m,n} = 4b_i^2 \left[\frac{m^2(k+n)^2}{k^2} F_{2k,2m,2n} + \frac{n^2(k-m)^2}{k^2} F_{2k,2m+2,2n-2} \right],
$$
\n(4.33a)
\n
$$
\mu_{k,m,n} = \frac{R b_i^2}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\frac{(k-m)^2(k+n)^2}{k^2} F_{2k,2m+2,2n} + \frac{m^2 n^2}{k^2} F_{2k,2m,2n-2} - \frac{r^2 + a^2 \sin^2 \theta}{4\Sigma} \frac{1}{b_i^2} \mathcal{F}_{k,m,n} - \frac{\Delta_{2k,2m,2n}}{4\Sigma} + \frac{x_{k,m,n}^{(i)}}{4\Sigma} \right],
$$
\n(4.33b)

¹⁵The equation for ω is a first-order PDE system for the components; we can rearrange it eliminating the unwanted components. This procedure recasts the equation for the wanted d.o.f. as a second-order PDE, the one we show.

where $x_{k,m,n}^{(i)}$ are a set of numbers. Since $\hat{\mu}$ satisfies a generalized poisson equation $\hat{\mathcal{L}}\hat{\mu} = J$, we always have the freedom to add a solution of $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}G = 0$; this is the role of the piece multiplied by the constant $x_{k,m,n}^{(i)}$; they will be fixed by requiring the regularity of the solution at $r = 0, \theta = 0$ and $r = 0, \theta = \pi/2$. Notice that, by linearity, we have to impose the regularity on the two separate solutions $(\mathcal{F}_{k,m,n}^{(b)}, \mu_{k,m,n}^{(b)})$ and $(\mathcal{F}_{k,m,n}^{(c)}, \mu_{k,m,n}^{(c)})$, and this will separately fix the two constants $x_{k,m,n}^{(i)}$. This means that we can read the regularity condition from [\[18\]](#page-29-0)

$$
x_{k,m,n}^{(i)} = \left[\binom{k}{m} \binom{k+n-1}{n} \right]^{-1},\tag{4.34}
$$

following from requiring regularity at $r = 0, \theta = 0$, and

$$
\frac{Q_1 Q_5}{R^2} = a^2 + x_{k,m,n}^{(b)} \frac{b^2}{2} + x_{k,m,n}^{(c)} \frac{c^2}{2},\tag{4.35}
$$

following from requiring regularity at $r = 0, \theta = \pi/2$. In the case $(k, m, n) = (1, 0, n_1)$, $(1, 0, n_2)$ the two $x_{k,m,n}^{(i)}$ are equals to one, i.e.

$$
x_{1,0,n}^{(b)} = 1, \quad x_{1,0,n}^{(c)} = 1, \tag{4.36}
$$

so the regularity there reads $\frac{Q_1 Q_5}{R^2} = a^2 + \frac{b^2}{2} + \frac{c^2}{2}$ $\frac{2}{2}$.

4.4 A detour: Asymptotically Flat geometries

We may now ask if it is possible to extend this construction to Asymptotically Flat geometries, rather then Asymptotically AdS ones. In order to do that we need to "add back" the 1; this means that we need to perform the shift [\[13,](#page-28-8) [14,](#page-28-6) [16](#page-28-7)[–18\]](#page-29-0)

$$
Z_1 \to 1 + Z_1, \quad Z_2 \to 1 + Z_2, \quad Z_4 \to Z_4, \quad Z_5 \to Z_5. \tag{4.37}
$$

This will give a more involved problem, as pointed out - and then solved - in [\[18\]](#page-29-0). But we can easily see that having both Z_4 and Z_5 with a single mode adds no other difficulties with the analysis discussed there; in fact we can easily see that, since $\Theta_1 = 0$ and $\partial_{v}Z_{2} = 0$, the only difference w.r.t the asymptotically AdS case is that the sources in the second layer equations [\(2.16\)](#page-8-2) acquires a new term; in fact, defined

$$
J_1^{\text{AdS}} = Z_1 \Theta_1 + Z_2 \Theta_2 - 2Z_4 \Theta_4 - 2Z_5 \Theta_5 ,
$$

\n
$$
J_2^{\text{AdS}} = \partial_v^2 (Z_1 Z_2 - Z_4^2 - Z_5^2) - [\dot{Z}_1 \dot{Z}_2 - (\dot{Z}_4)^2 - (\dot{Z}_5)^2]
$$

\n
$$
-\frac{1}{2} *_{4} (\Theta_1 \wedge \Theta_2 - \Theta_4 \wedge \Theta_4 - \Theta_5 \wedge \Theta_5),
$$

we simply have, after the shift [\(4.37\)](#page-25-1) that

$$
J_1^{\text{AF}} = J_1^{\text{AdS}} + \Theta_2 \,, \quad J_2^{\text{AF}} = J_2^{\text{AdS}} + \partial_v^2 Z_1 \,. \tag{4.38}
$$

Now we cannot decouple the v-dependent modes, as it was in [\[18\]](#page-29-0); but, by linearity of the equation and of the sources, our problem simplifies dramatically, leaving us, again, with (twice) the same problem of [\[18\]](#page-29-0). We can then again follow their steps and build an Asymptotically Flat superstratum solution. Since this analysis will not add anything to our discussion, and since it is very cumbersome, we will avoid performing that in detail here.

5 Conclusions

Working in the framework of type IIB string theory on a compact $T⁴$, we have defined the ansatz [\(2.10\)](#page-6-2) for the most general D1D5P BPS geometry, allowing excitations also in the internal T^4 . We have then shown under which conditions this ansatz is a $\frac{1}{8}$ -BPS solution of type IIB supergravity. We have then built a superdescendant D1D5P geometry from a D1D5 geometry with the generating solution technique of [\[14\]](#page-28-6) and, proving that this geometry solves our system [\(2.15,](#page-8-1) [2.16\)](#page-8-2), we furnished a non-trivial check for those equations.

We have shown how it is possible to construct new asymptotically AdS D1D5P superstratum solutions with internal excitations, inheriting known results in literature and extending them; we have built superstrata adding only one mode for the external excitation Z_4 and one mode for the internal excitation Z_5 ; we have explicitly written down the solution [\(4.22\)](#page-22-0) for the $(k, m, n) = (1, 0, n_1), (1, 0, n_2)$ case, but we have also shown implicitly the generic (k_1, m_1, n_1) , (k_2, m_2, n_2) case. We have also discussed how it is possible to extend these results to the Asymptotically Flat case, that could be useful for the Fuzzball proposal.

As a step forward, one may think of adding more modes for both internal and external excitations; this procedure will require a careful "coiffuring" that could give a family of more general D1D5P smooth, horizonless solutions that have a non trivial v−dependence in the geometry, and that are dual to more complicated CFT states.

These geometries may be interesting in the context of AdS/CFT computations of correlation functions [\[30,](#page-29-13) [35–](#page-30-1)[38\]](#page-30-2) in the Heavy-Light (HHLL) limit; in particular it was shown in [\[38\]](#page-30-2) that two-charge geometries do not show information loss, i.e. they do not have Lorentzian time decay [\[39\]](#page-30-3). It would be interesting to use the heavy states dual to these new geometries - that are microstate of Black Holes with finite horizon radius - to compute HHLL correlators and see if they do or do not show Lorentzian time decay.

Acknowledgments

The authors are deeply grateful to S. Giusto for his valuable suggestions and for his feedback on the manuscript. AB wants to thank A. Galliani and S. Lanza for useful discussions and for their feedback on the manuscript. EB wants to thank the University of Padua for the hospitality while part of this work was completed.

A The superdescendant solution

Here we collect the missing objects defining the superdescendant solution of sec. [4.1;](#page-18-1)

$$
\omega = \omega_0 + \omega_1, \quad \beta = \beta_0,
$$

\n
$$
\beta_0 = \frac{Ra^2}{\sqrt{2}\Sigma} \left(\sin^2 \theta \, d\phi - \cos^2 \theta \, d\psi \right), \quad \omega_0 = \frac{Ra^2}{\sqrt{2}\Sigma} \left(\sin^2 \theta \, d\phi + \cos^2 \theta \, d\psi \right),
$$

\n
$$
\omega_1 = -\frac{R}{\sqrt{2}\Sigma} \frac{\mathcal{F}}{r^2 + a^2 \sin^2 \theta} \left[(r^2 + a^2) \sin^2 \theta \, d\phi + r^2 \cos^2 \theta \, d\psi \right],
$$

\n
$$
\gamma_2 = \frac{Q_5}{\Sigma} (r^2 + a^2) \sin^2 \theta, \quad a_1 = 0,
$$

\n
$$
a_4 = \sqrt{2} b \frac{a^{k_1} \cos^{k_1} \theta}{(r^2 + a^2)^{\frac{k_1}{2}}} \left[\tan \theta \sin(k_1 \hat{v}) d\psi + \cos(k_1 \hat{v}) d\psi \right],
$$

\n
$$
\delta_4 = \frac{R}{2\Sigma} b \frac{a^{k_1} \cos^{k_1} \theta}{(r^2 + a^2)^{\frac{k_1}{2}}} \tan \theta \left[-2(r^2 + a^2) \sin(k_1 \hat{v}) d\theta \wedge d\phi \right.
$$

\n
$$
+ 2a^2 \cos^2 \theta \sin(k_1 \hat{v}) d\theta \wedge d\psi + (r^2 + a^2) \sin 2\theta \cos(k_1 \hat{v}) d\phi \wedge d\psi \right],
$$

\n
$$
a_5 = \sqrt{2} c \frac{a^{k_2} \cos^{k_2} \theta}{(r^2 + a^2)^{\frac{k_2}{2}}} \left[\tan \theta \sin(k_2 \hat{v}) d\psi + \cos(k_2 \hat{v}) d\psi \right],
$$

\n
$$
\delta_5 = \frac{R}{2\Sigma} c \frac{a^{k_2} \cos^k \theta}{(r^2 + a^2)^{\frac{k_2}{2}}} \tan \theta \left[-2(r^2 + a^2) \sin(k_2 \hat{v}) d\theta \wedge d\phi \right.
$$

\n
$$
+ 2a^2
$$

References

- [1] S. D. Mathur, "What Exactly is the Information Paradox?", [Lect. Notes Phys. 769, 3 \(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-88460-6_1), [arXiv:0803.2030](http://arxiv.org/abs/0803.2030), in: "Proceedings, 4th Aegean Summer School: Black Holes: Mytilene, Island of Lesvos, Greece, September 17-22, 2007", 3-48p.
- [2] S. D. Mathur, A. Saxena and Y. K. Srivastava, "Constructing 'hair' for the three charge hole", [Nucl. Phys. B680, 415 \(2004\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2003.12.022), [hep-th/0311092](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0311092).
- [3] S. Giusto, S. D. Mathur and A. Saxena, "3-charge geometries and their CFT duals", [Nucl. Phys. B710, 425 \(2005\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2005.01.009), [hep-th/0406103](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0406103).
- [4] S. Giusto, S. D. Mathur and A. Saxena, "Dual geometries for a set of 3-charge $microstates$ ", [Nucl. Phys. B701, 357 \(2004\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2004.09.001), [hep-th/0405017](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0405017).
- [5] K. Skenderis and M. Taylor, "Fuzzball solutions and D1-D5 microstates", [Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 071601 \(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.071601), [hep-th/0609154](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0609154).
- [6] I. Kanitscheider, K. Skenderis and M. Taylor, "Holographic anatomy of fuzzballs", [JHEP 0704, 023 \(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/04/023), [hep-th/0611171](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0611171).
- [7] K. Skenderis and M. Taylor, "Anatomy of bubbling solutions", [JHEP 0709, 019 \(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/09/019), [arXiv:0706.0216](http://arxiv.org/abs/0706.0216).
- [8] I. Kanitscheider, K. Skenderis and M. Taylor, "Fuzzballs with internal excitations", [JHEP 0706, 056 \(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/06/056), [arXiv:0704.0690](http://arxiv.org/abs/0704.0690).
- [9] K. Skenderis and M. Taylor, "The fuzzball proposal for black holes", [Phys. Rept. 467, 117 \(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2008.08.001), [arXiv:0804.0552](http://arxiv.org/abs/0804.0552).
- [10] S. D. Mathur and D. Turton, "Microstates at the boundary of AdS", [JHEP 1205, 014 \(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2012)014), [arXiv:1112.6413](http://arxiv.org/abs/1112.6413).
- [11] S. D. Mathur and D. Turton, "Momentum-carrying waves on D1-D5 microstate geometries", [Nucl. Phys. B862, 764 \(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2012.05.014), [arXiv:1202.6421](http://arxiv.org/abs/1202.6421).
- [12] O. Lunin, S. D. Mathur and D. Turton, "Adding momentum to supersymmetric geometries", [Nucl. Phys. B868, 383 \(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2012.11.017), [arXiv:1208.1770](http://arxiv.org/abs/1208.1770).
- [13] S. Giusto, L. Martucci, M. Petrini and R. Russo, "6D microstate geometries from 10D structures", [Nucl. Phys. B876, 509 \(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2013.08.018), [arXiv:1306.1745](http://arxiv.org/abs/1306.1745).
- [14] S. Giusto and R. Russo, "Superdescendants of the D1D5 CFT and their dual 3-charge geometries", [JHEP 1403, 007 \(2014\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2014)007), [arXiv:1311.5536](http://arxiv.org/abs/1311.5536).
- [15] I. Bena, S. Giusto, M. Shigemori and N. P. Warner, "Supersymmetric Solutions in Six Dimensions: A Linear Structure", [JHEP 1203, 084 \(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2012)084), [arXiv:1110.2781](http://arxiv.org/abs/1110.2781).
- [16] I. Bena, S. Giusto, R. Russo, M. Shigemori and N. P. Warner, "Habemus Superstratum! A constructive proof of the existence of superstrata", [JHEP 1505, 110 \(2015\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2015)110), [arXiv:1503.01463](http://arxiv.org/abs/1503.01463).
- [17] I. Bena, S. Giusto, E. J. Martinec, R. Russo, M. Shigemori, D. Turton and N. P. Warner, "Smooth horizonless geometries deep inside the black-hole regime", [Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 201601 \(2016\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.201601), [arXiv:1607.03908](http://arxiv.org/abs/1607.03908).
- [18] I. Bena, S. Giusto, E. J. Martinec, R. Russo, M. Shigemori, D. Turton and N. P. Warner, "Asymptotically-flat supergravity solutions deep inside the black-hole regime", [JHEP 1802, 014 \(2018\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2018)014), [arXiv:1711.10474](http://arxiv.org/abs/1711.10474).
- [19] S. D. Mathur, "The Fuzzball proposal for black holes: An Elementary review", [Fortsch. Phys. 53, 793 \(2005\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/prop.200410203), [hep-th/0502050](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0502050), in: "The quantum structure of space-time and the geometric nature of fundamental interactions. Proceedings, 4th Meeting, RTN2004, Kolymbari, Crete, Greece, September 5-10, 2004", 793-827p.
- [20] S. D. Mathur, "Fuzzballs and the information paradox: A Summary and conjectures", [arXiv:0810.4525](http://arxiv.org/abs/0810.4525).
- [21] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, "The D1 / D5 system and singular CFT", [JHEP 9904, 017 \(1999\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/1999/04/017), [hep-th/9903224](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9903224).
- [22] J. R. David, G. Mandal and S. R. Wadia, "Microscopic formulation of black holes in string theory", [Phys. Rept. 369, 549 \(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-1573(02)00271-5), [hep-th/0203048](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0203048).
- [23] A. Strominger and C. Vafa, "Microscopic origin of the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy", [Phys. Lett. B379, 99 \(1996\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(96)00345-0), [hep-th/9601029](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9601029).
- [24] I. Bena, N. Bobev and N. P. Warner, "Spectral Flow, and the Spectrum of Multi-Center Solutions", [Phys. Rev. D77, 125025 \(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.125025), [arXiv:0803.1203](http://arxiv.org/abs/0803.1203).
- [25] I. Bena, S. Giusto, C. Ruef and N. P. Warner, "Multi-Center non-BPS Black Holes: the $Solution$ ", [JHEP 0911, 032 \(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2009/11/032), $arXiv:0908.2121$.
- [26] S. Giusto and R. Russo, "Adding new hair to the 3-charge black ring", [Class. Quant. Grav. 29, 085006 \(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/29/8/085006), [arXiv:1201.2585](http://arxiv.org/abs/1201.2585).
- [27] A. Bombini and S. Giusto, "Non-extremal superdescendants of the D1D5 CFT", [JHEP 1710, 023 \(2017\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2017)023), [arXiv:1706.09761](http://arxiv.org/abs/1706.09761).
- [28] J. B. Gutowski, D. Martelli and H. S. Reall, "All Supersymmetric solutions of minimal supergravity in six- dimensions", [Class. Quant. Grav. 20, 5049 \(2003\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/20/23/008), [hep-th/0306235](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0306235).
- [29] S. G. Avery, "Using the D1D5 CFT to Understand Black Holes", [arXiv:1012.0072](http://arxiv.org/abs/1012.0072).
- [30] S. Giusto, E. Moscato and R. Russo, "AdS₃ holography for $1/4$ and $1/8$ BPS geometries", [JHEP 1511, 004 \(2015\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2015)004), [arXiv:1507.00945](http://arxiv.org/abs/1507.00945).
- [31] E. Moscato, "Black Hole microstates and holography in the D1D5 CFT", <http://qmro.qmul.ac.uk/xmlui/handle/123456789/31856>.
- [32] S. D. Mathur and D. Turton, "Oscillating supertubes and neutral rotating black hole microstates", [JHEP 1404, 072 \(2014\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2014)072), [arXiv:1310.1354](http://arxiv.org/abs/1310.1354).
- [33] I. Bena, S. F. Ross and N. P. Warner, "On the Oscillation of Species", [JHEP 1409, 113 \(2014\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2014)113), [arXiv:1312.3635](http://arxiv.org/abs/1312.3635).
- [34] I. Bena, S. F. Ross and N. P. Warner, "Coiffured Black Rings", [Class. Quant. Grav. 31, 165015 \(2014\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/31/16/165015), [arXiv:1405.5217](http://arxiv.org/abs/1405.5217).
- [35] S. Giusto and R. Russo, "Entanglement Entropy and D1-D5 geometries", [Phys. Rev. D90, 066004 \(2014\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.066004), [arXiv:1405.6185](http://arxiv.org/abs/1405.6185).
- [36] A. Galliani, S. Giusto, E. Moscato and R. Russo, "Correlators at large c without information loss", [JHEP 1609, 065 \(2016\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2016)065), [arXiv:1606.01119](http://arxiv.org/abs/1606.01119).
- [37] A. Galliani, S. Giusto and R. Russo, "Holographic 4-point correlators with heavy states", [JHEP 1710, 040 \(2017\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2017)040), [arXiv:1705.09250](http://arxiv.org/abs/1705.09250).
- [38] A. Bombini, A. Galliani, S. Giusto, E. Moscato and R. Russo, "Unitary 4-point correlators from classical geometries", [Eur. Phys. J. C78, 8 \(2018\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-5492-3), [arXiv:1710.06820](http://arxiv.org/abs/1710.06820).
- [39] A. L. Fitzpatrick, J. Kaplan, D. Li and J. Wang, "On information loss in AdS_3/CFT_2 ", [JHEP 1605, 109 \(2016\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2016)109), [arXiv:1603.08925](http://arxiv.org/abs/1603.08925).