A brief note about the Scott entanglement measure

Saeed Haddadi*

Department of Physics, Payame Noor University, P.O. Box 19395-3697, Tehran, Iran.

Abstract

Scott studied various interesting aspects of multipartite entanglement measures. He has defined a class of related multipartite entanglement measures in the obvious manner. Recently, Jafarpour et al. (Int. J. Quantum Inform. 13, 1550047 2015) have calculated the entanglement quantity of twodimensional 5-site spin system by means of Scott measure (or generalized Meyer-Wallach measure). As it was presented in their calculation $Q_2 > Q_3$. In this paper we would like to point out that in 5-qudit system, Q_2 does not provide a stronger entanglement than Q_3 , while there is a simple relationship between them.

PACS numbers: 03.67.Mn, 03.67.-a

Keywords: Scott measure; Meyer-Wallach measure; 5-site spin system.

^{*}Electronic address: haddadi@physicist.net

In 2004, Scott [1] has investigated the average bipartite entanglement, over all possible divisions of a multipartite system, as a useful multipartite entanglement measure [2]. The Scott measure for N-qudit system (d-dimensional) is defined as follows [1, 3]

$$Q_m(|\psi\rangle) \equiv \frac{d^m}{d^m - 1} \left(1 - \frac{m!(N-m)!}{N!} \sum_{|S|=m} \text{Tr}[\rho_S^2] \right), \quad m = 1, \dots, \lfloor N/2 \rfloor$$
 (1)

where $S \subset \{1, \dots, N\}$ and $\rho_S = \text{Tr}_{\dot{S}}(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)$ is the reduced density matrix for qudits S after tracing out the rest (d=2 for two-dimensional spin system). The Q_m quantities correspond to the average entanglement between subsystems which consists m qudits and the remaining N-m qudits [4].

Recently, Jafarpour et al. [5] based on Scott measure have calculated the entanglement quantity in two-dimensional 5-site spin system. The authors of the original paper [5] have calculated Q_2 and Q_3 in Table 1 and Table 2, whereas there is a relation between them fixed by the Schmidt decomposition [6]; namely the eigenvalues of the reduced density matrix of qudits 12, will be the same as the eigenvalues of the reduced density matrix of qudits 345. In other words, $\text{Tr}[\rho_{ij}^2] = \text{Tr}[\rho_{klm}^2]$ via $i, j, k, l, m \in \{1, \dots, 5\}$ for all 5-qudit pure states [7], which this implies a simple relationship between Q_2 and Q_3 . We emphasize that in a 5-qudit system, Q_2 and Q_3 both capture entanglement in partition 2 qudits vs 3 qudits. We have also shown in Ref [8] that for 5-qudit system, Q_2 and Q_3 both refer to 3-2 partitions of the same state. Therefore, Jafarpour et al. [5] could not say that $Q_2 > Q_3$ for all states in a two-dimensional 5-site spin system.

In conclusion, the above analysis shows that the authors [5] did not use the Scott measure properly. Since Q_2 and Q_3 are proportional to each other by a numerical factor (as seen from (1)). In fact for 5-qudit system Q_1 refers to 1-4 partitions and Q_2 or Q_3 both refer to 3-2 partitions of the same state. Hence, we conclude that Q_2 does not provide a stronger entanglement than Q_3 in 5-qudit system.

References

- [1] A. J. Scott, Phys. Rev. A 69 (2004) 052330.
- [2] S. Haddadi and M. Bohloul, Int. J. Theor. Phys. 57 (2018) 3912.
- [3] P. J. Love et al., Quantum. Inf. Process. 6 (2007) 187.
- [4] A. Borras et al., J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 40 (2007) 13407.
- [5] M. Jafarpour, S. Ghanavati and D. Afshar, Int. J. Quantum Inform. 13 (2015) 1550047.
- [6] A. Ekert and P. L. Knight, Am. J. Phys. 63 (1995) 415.
- [7] XN. Zhu and SM. Fei, Quantum. Inf. Process. 13 (2014) 815.
- [8] S. Haddadi, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **56** (2017) 2811.