

DIFFERENCE AND (Δ) PROPERTIES FOR SOME NEW CLASSES

BOLIS BASIT

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study difference and (Δ) properties for the classes of the form $C_0(J, X)$, $\mathfrak{g}\mathcal{U}$, $\mathcal{U} + \mathfrak{g}\mathcal{V}$, where $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} \in \{BUC(J, X), UC(J, X)\}$ and $\mathfrak{g}(t) = e^{it^2}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. For functions whose differences belong to $\mathcal{F} \in \{C_0(J, X), \mathfrak{g}\mathcal{U}\}$, we prove a new stronger (Δ) property (S Δ P):

If $f : J \rightarrow X$ and $\Delta_h f \in \mathcal{F}$, $h > 0$, then $f \in C(J, X)$ and $(f - M_h f) \in \mathcal{F}$, $h > 0$.

See (Lemma 2.5, Theorems 3.1, 3.2, Lemma 3.4, Theorem 3.7). These results enabled us to prove (Δ) for $\mathcal{U} + \mathfrak{g}\mathcal{V}$ even when $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} \in UC(J, X)$ (Theorem 4.2). We give a new proof of a theorem of De Bruijn [10] stating: if $J \in \{\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}\}$, $\phi \in \mathbb{C}^J$ and $\Delta_s \phi \in C(J, \mathbb{C})$ for each $s > 0$, then $\phi = G + H$, where $G \in C(J, \mathbb{C})$ and $H(t + s) = H(t) + H(s)$, $t, s \in J$, for functions $\phi : X^J$.

31-10-18

0. Introduction.

In this paper we revisit the study of functions $f : J \rightarrow X$ whose differences belong to a certain class $\mathcal{F} \subset C(J, X)$. Here $J \in \{\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}\}$, X is a Banach space. In [10], De Bruijn proved that if $\phi \in \mathbb{C}^J$ and $\Delta_s \phi \in C(J, \mathbb{C})$ for each $s \in J$, then $\phi = G + H$, where $G \in C(J, \mathbb{C})$ and $H(t + s) = H(t) + H(s)$, $t, s \in J$. G nzler extended this result to functions $f \in X^J$ ([8, Proposition 7.3]). Our attempts to prove (Δ) property for the sum $\mathcal{U} + \mathfrak{g}\mathcal{V}$, where $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} \in \{BUC(J, X), UC(J, X)\}$ and $\mathfrak{g}(t) = e^{it^2}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, led us to show that $\mathcal{F} \in \{C_0(J, X), \mathfrak{g}BUC(J, X), \mathfrak{g}UC(J, X)\}$ has a stronger (Δ) property:

If $f : J \rightarrow X$ and $\Delta_h f \in \mathcal{F}$, $h > 0$, then $f \in C(J, X)$ and $(f - M_h f) \in \mathcal{F}$, $h > 0$ (S Δ P).

In Theorem 2.4, we give a new proof of De Bruijn result [10, Theorem 1.3]. In Lemmas 2.5, 3.6 (i), we show that if $\mathcal{F} \in \{C_0(J, X), \mathfrak{g}UC(J, X)\}$, then \mathcal{F} has (S Δ P). These results seem new even in the case $X = \mathbb{C}$. In Theorem 3.5, we prove that if $f : J \rightarrow X$ and $\Delta_s f \in \mathfrak{g}UC(J, X)$, $s > 0$, then $f = \mathfrak{g}u + v$, where $u \in BUC(J, X)$ and $v \in \Delta C_0(J, X)$. In Theorem 4.2, we show that if $f \in L_{loc}^1(J, X)$ and $\Delta_s f \in (\mathcal{U} + \mathfrak{g}\mathcal{V})$ for each $s > 0$, then $f \in \Delta\mathcal{U} + \mathfrak{g}BUC(J, X)$. Here $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} \in \{BUC(J, X), UC(J, X)\}$.

Lemma 2.1, Proposition 2.2 and Lemma 2.3 of Section 2 are unpublished results by the author and H. G nzler [6]. In [8], (Δ) property for classes from $\{\mathfrak{g}BUC(J, X), \mathfrak{g}UC(J, X)\}$ is proved using complicated constructions. Our proofs of (S Δ P) for $\mathcal{F} \in \{\mathfrak{g}BUC(J, X), \mathfrak{g}UC(J, X)\}$ are simple and this new property allowed us to prove (Δ) property even for $UC(J, X) + \mathfrak{g}UC(J, X)$ (see [8, 9. Open questions, no 8, p. 30]). I would like to thank Hans G nzler for his numerous corrections to this paper.

1. Notation, definitions.

In the following $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$, $J \in \{\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}\}$, $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a real or a complex Banach space. We denote by

$$X_c, C(J, X), UC(J, X), BC(J, X), BUC(J, X), C_0(J, X), \Delta\mathcal{A}(J, X), \quad J \in \{\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}\} \quad (1)$$

the spaces of constant, continuous, uniformly continuous, bounded continuous, bounded uniformly continuous, continuous vanishing at infinity functions and

$$\Delta\mathcal{A}(J, X) := \{\phi \in L_{loc}^1(J, X) : \Delta_h \phi \in \mathcal{A}(J, X), h > 0\}. \quad (2)$$

Endow $C(J, X)$ with the infinite sequence of semi-norms

$$\|f\|_n = \|f\|_{[-n, n] \cap J} \quad \text{with } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } f \in C(J, X). \quad (3)$$

Then $C(J, X)$ is a metrizable complete locally convex linear topological space [13, p. 31].

If $\mathcal{F} \in \{BUC(J, X), BC(J, X), e^{it^2}BUC(J, X), UC(J, X), e^{it^2}UC(J, X)\}$, then \mathcal{F} is a linear subspace of $C(J, X)$ but \mathcal{F} is not closed in $C(J, X)$ endowed with topology of uniform convergence on compact intervals defined by semi-norms $\|f\|_n$. But \mathcal{F} is a complete locally convex linear topological space endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on J defined by the neighbourhood system with base

$$\{V_{1/n}(f) : f \in \mathcal{F}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}, \text{ where } V_{1/n}(f) = \{h \in \mathcal{F} : \|h - f\|_\infty \leq 1/n\}. \quad (4)$$

Moreover, $BUC(J, X), BC(J, X), e^{it^2}BUC(J, X)$ are Banach subspaces and $C_0(J, X)$ is a Banach subspace of $BUC(J, X)$.

Set

$$\mathfrak{g}(t) = e^{it^2} ([9, p.202, \text{problem 8.18}]), \quad \gamma_{2s}(t) = e^{2ist}, \quad E(\mathfrak{g}) = \frac{X}{\mathfrak{g}}, t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (5)$$

Obviously $E(\mathfrak{g})$ is a closed subspace of $BC(\mathbb{R}, X)$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} (T\phi)(s, t) &= \mathfrak{g}(s)\gamma_{2s}(t)\phi(s+t) - \phi(t) = (1/\mathfrak{g}(t))\Delta_s(\mathfrak{g}(t)\phi(t)), \quad \phi \in X^J \text{ and } s, t \in J, \\ (\underline{T}\phi)(s, t) &= \overline{\mathfrak{g}(s)\gamma_{2s}(t)\phi(s+t)} - \phi(t) = \mathfrak{g}(t)\Delta_s(\phi(t)/\mathfrak{g}(t)), \quad \phi \in X^J \text{ and } s, t \in J. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Then $T : C(\mathbb{R}, X) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R}^2, X)$ is a linear continuous operator, $TE(\mathfrak{g}) = \{0\}$ and $TC(\mathbb{R}, X) \subset Z_0$, where

$$Z_0 =: \{\psi \in C(\mathbb{R}^2, X) : \psi(0, t) = 0 \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R}\}, \text{ so } Z_0 \text{ is a closed subspace of } C(\mathbb{R}^2, X).$$

If $\mathcal{A}, U \subset X^J$, we say that \mathcal{A} *satisfies* (L_U) (see [3, p. 677]) if $F \in U$ with all differences $\Delta_h F \in \mathcal{A}$ for $h > 0$ imply $F \in \mathcal{A}$. $\mathcal{A} \subset L_{loc}^1(J, X)$ (see [1, p. 13]) *satisfies* (P_U) if $f \in \mathcal{A}$ with indefinite integral $Pf \in U$ implies $Pf \in \mathcal{A}$. (P_b) means (P_{C_b}) , similarly for (L_b) .

We say that $\mathcal{A} \subset L_{loc}^1(J, X)$ *satisfies* (Δ) (see [3, p. 677]) if for any $f \in L_{loc}^1(J, X)$ for which all differences $\Delta_s f \in \mathcal{A}$ for $0 < s \in \mathbb{R}$ one has $f - M_h f \in \mathcal{A}$ for all $h > 0$, where

$$M_h f(t) = (1/h) \int_0^h f(t+s) ds. \quad (7)$$

2. Preliminaries. In this section we prove some needed results which might be of independent interest. We study the linear subspaces $UC(J, X), \mathfrak{g} \cdot UC(J, X) \subset C(J, X)$. We endow $UC(J, X), \mathfrak{g} \cdot UC(J, X)$ with the topology of uniform convergence on J given by the base neighbourhood system (4). Then $UC(J, X), \mathfrak{g} \cdot UC(J, X)$ are complete locally convex linear spaces.

Let \mathcal{X}_1 and \mathcal{X}_2 be two topological linear spaces over the same field of scalars. Let $\mathcal{X}_1 \oplus \mathcal{X}_2$ be the direct sum of the linear spaces \mathcal{X}_1 and \mathcal{X}_2 in the sense of [11, Section I.11, p. 37] with the product topology of [11, Section I.8, p. 31]. Then $\mathcal{X}_1 \oplus \mathcal{X}_2$ is readily seen to be a topological linear space. If \mathcal{X}_1 and \mathcal{X}_2 are Banach- (or F-) spaces, then $\mathcal{X}_1 \oplus \mathcal{X}_2$ is a Banach- (or an F-) space under either of the norms

$$\begin{aligned} \|x + y\| &= \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}, \quad \|x + y\| = (\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)^{1/p}, \quad x \in \mathcal{X}_1, y \in \mathcal{X}_2, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty, \\ &\text{and these norms are equivalent to the product topology.} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Lemma 2.1. (i) If $u, v \in UC(J, X)$, then

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup\{\|u(t)\| : |t| \geq T\}, \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup\{\|v(t)\| : |t| \geq T\} \leq \|u + \mathfrak{g}^k v\|_\infty, \quad k = 1, 2.$$

(ii) If $u, v \in UC(J, X)$ with $\|u + \mathfrak{g}^k v\|_\infty < \infty, k = 1, 2$, then u, v are bounded. So,

$$((UC(J, X) + \mathfrak{g}^k UC(J, X)) \cap BC(J, X)) = BUC(J, X) + \mathfrak{g}^k BUC(J, X), \quad k = 1, 2.$$

(iii) If $u, v \in UC(J, X)$, there exists $y \in C_0(J, X)$ such that $u + \mathfrak{g}v = u - y + \mathfrak{g}(v + y/\mathfrak{g})$ satisfies $\|u - y\|_\infty \leq \|u + \mathfrak{g}v\|_\infty$.

(iv) $(UC(J, X) \cap \mathfrak{g}^k UC(J, X)) = BUC(J, X) \cap \mathfrak{g}^r BUC(J, X) = C_0(J, X), \quad k, r = 1, 2.$

(v) Let $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} \in \{BUC(J, X), UC(J, X)\}$ and $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{U} + \mathfrak{g}\mathcal{V}$. Then \mathcal{W} is a complete locally convex linear space endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on J .

Proof. (i) It is enough to prove the case $X = \mathbb{C}$. We have $\|u + \mathfrak{g}^k v\|_\infty \geq |u(t) + \mathfrak{g}^k(t)v(t)|$ for all $t \in J$, $k = 1, 2$. Since $\mathfrak{g}^k(t)$ assumes all complex values $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$, $k = 1, 2$, it follows $|u(t') + \mathfrak{g}^k(t')v(t')| = |u(t')| + |v(t')|$ for some $t' \in [t, t + \delta(t)]$ for $0 < t \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\delta(t) = \sqrt{t^2 + 2\pi} - t$. Since $u, v \in UC(J, X)$, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that $|u(s') - u(s'')| \leq \varepsilon/2$, $|v(s') - v(s'')| \leq \varepsilon/2$ if $|s' - s''| \leq \delta(\varepsilon)$. Choose $T > 0$ such that $\delta(t) < \delta(\varepsilon)$ if $|t| \geq T$. It follows $\|u + \mathfrak{g}^k v\|_\infty \geq |u(t)| + |v(t)| - \varepsilon$ for all $|t| \geq T$. Part (i) follows.

(ii) By part (i), for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $T > 0$ such that $\|u(t)\| + \|v(t)\| - \varepsilon \leq \|u + \mathfrak{g}^k v\|_\infty < \infty$ for all $|t| \geq T$, $k = 1, 2$. Since u, v are bounded if $|t| \leq T$, part (ii) follows.

(iii) Choose $y \in C_0(J, X)$ such that $\|u - y\|_\infty = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup\{\|u(t)\| : |t| \geq T\}$. By part (i), we get $\|u - y\|_\infty \leq \|u - y + \mathfrak{g}(v + y/\mathfrak{g})\|_\infty = \|u + \mathfrak{g}v\|_\infty$.

(iv) By part (ii) it is enough to prove $BUC(J, X) \cap \mathfrak{g}BUC(J, X) = C_0(J, X)$. Let $\psi = \mathfrak{g}\phi \in \mathfrak{g} \cdot BUC(J, X) \cap BUC(J, X)$. Assuming $\psi \notin C_0(J, X)$, there is a sequence $(t_n) \subset J$ such that $|t_n| \rightarrow \infty$, and $\|\psi(t_n)\| > \varepsilon_0 > 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By triangular inequality, one gets $\|\frac{\psi(t_n+h)}{\mathfrak{g}(t_n+h)} - \frac{\psi(t_n)}{\mathfrak{g}(t_n)}\| \geq \|\frac{\psi(t_n+h)}{\mathfrak{g}(t_n+h)} - \frac{\psi(t_n+h)}{\mathfrak{g}(t_n)}\| - \|\frac{\psi(t_n+h) - \psi(t_n)}{\mathfrak{g}(t_n)}\|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $h \in J$. Using uniform continuity of ψ and rapid oscillation of \mathfrak{g} , there is a sequence (h_n) such that $h_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\|\frac{\psi(t_n+h_n)}{\mathfrak{g}(t_n+h_n)} - \frac{\psi(t_n)}{\mathfrak{g}(t_n)}\| \geq \varepsilon_0/4$ for all $n \geq m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies $\phi \notin BUC(J, X)$, a contradiction which proves part (iii).

(v) By part (iv), $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathfrak{g}\mathcal{V} = C_0(J, X)$. By part (i), if $u, v \in UC(J, X)$ and $\|u + \mathfrak{g}v\|_\infty < \infty$, then $u, v \in BUC(J, X)$. By part (iii), if $(u_n + \mathfrak{g}v_n)$ is a Cauchy sequence, then (u_n) can be replaced by $(u_n - y_n)$ with $(y_n) \subset C_0(J, X)$ so that $u'_n = u_n - y_n$, $v'_n = v_n + y_n/\mathfrak{g}$ satisfy $\|u'_n - u'_m\|_\infty \leq \|(u'_n - u'_m) + \mathfrak{g}(v'_n - v'_m)\|_\infty = \|(u_n - u_m) + \mathfrak{g}(v_n - v_m)\|_\infty$ for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows (u'_n) , $(\mathfrak{g}v'_n)$ are Cauchy sequences. Since $\mathcal{U}, \mathfrak{g}\mathcal{V}$ are locally convex complete linear spaces, $(u_n + \mathfrak{g}v_n)$ converges to an element from $\mathcal{U} + \mathfrak{g}\mathcal{V}$. \square

Proposition 2.2. Let $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} \in \{BUC(J, X), UC(J, X)\}$ and $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{U} + \mathfrak{g}\mathcal{V}$. Then $\mathcal{W}/C_0(J, X) = \mathcal{U}/C_0(J, X) \oplus (\mathfrak{g} \cdot \mathcal{V})/C_0(J, X)$ is a locally convex complete linear space.

Proof. As mentioned above $\mathcal{U}, \mathfrak{g}\mathcal{V}$ are locally convex complete linear spaces and by Lemma 2.1 (iv), \mathcal{W} is a locally convex complete linear space. Since $C_0(J, X)$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{U}, \mathfrak{g}\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}$, the quotient spaces $\mathcal{W}/C_0(J, X)$, $\mathcal{U}/C_0(J, X)$, $(\mathfrak{g} \cdot \mathcal{V})/C_0(J, X)$ are locally convex complete linear spaces ([12, (2), p. 207]). By Lemma 2.1 (iii), $\mathcal{U}/C_0(J, X) \cap (\mathfrak{g} \cdot \mathcal{V})/C_0(J, X) = \{0\}$. Since $\mathcal{U}/C_0(J, X), (\mathfrak{g} \cdot \mathcal{V})/C_0(J, X) \subset \mathcal{W}/C_0(J, X)$, we conclude $\mathcal{W}/C_0(J, X) = \mathcal{U}/C_0(J, X) \oplus (\mathfrak{g} \cdot \mathcal{V})/C_0(J, X)$. \square

Lemma 2.3. (i) $\mathfrak{g} \cdot BUC(J, X) \subset \mathcal{M}C_0(J, X) \subset \mathcal{E}_0(J, X)$.

(ii) $M_h(\mathfrak{g}\gamma_\lambda \phi) \in \mathfrak{g}BUC(J, X)$ for each $\gamma_\lambda \in \widehat{\mathbb{R}}, \phi \in UC(J, X)$.

(iii) $\mathfrak{g}UC(J, X) \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{g}UC(J, X))$.

Proof. (i) Let $\psi = \mathfrak{g}\phi \in \mathfrak{g} \cdot BUC(J, X)$. Then $M_h\psi \in BUC(J, X)$ for each $h > 0$. We have $hM_h\psi(t) = \mathfrak{g} \int_0^h \mathfrak{g}(s)\gamma_{2s}(t)\phi(t+s) ds = \mathfrak{g}F$ with $F \in BUC(J, X)$. It follows $hM_h\psi \in BUC(J, X) \cap \mathfrak{g} \cdot BUC(J, X) = C_0(J, X)$ by Lemma 2.1 (iv). This proves $\mathfrak{g} \cdot BUC \subset \mathcal{M}C_0$.

Now, let $f \in \mathcal{M}C_0(J, X)$. Then $M_1 f \in C_0(J, X)$, $M_n f = (1/n) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (M_1 f)_k$. Direct calculations show that $M_n f \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This implies $f \in \mathcal{E}_0(J, X)$.

(ii) Let $\phi \in UC(J, X)$. We prove the case $\gamma_\lambda = 1$ for the other cases are similar. We have

$M_h(\mathfrak{g}\phi)(t) = I_1 + I_2$, where

$$I_1 = (1/h)\mathfrak{g}(t) \int_0^h \mathfrak{g}(s)\gamma_{2s}(t)(\phi(t+s) - \phi(t)) ds, \quad I_2 = (1/h)\mathfrak{g}(t)\phi(t) \int_0^h \mathfrak{g}(s)\gamma_{2s}(t) ds.$$

Since $\phi \in UC$, $(\phi_s - \phi) \in BUC$ uniformly on $[0, h]$. It follows $I_1 \in \mathfrak{g}BUC$. Integrating $\int_0^h \mathfrak{g}(s)\gamma_{2s}(t) ds$ by parts if $|t| \geq 1$,

$$(h/\mathfrak{g}(t))I_2 = (\phi(t)/2it)[(\mathfrak{g}(s)\gamma_{2h}(t) - 1) - 2i \int_0^h s \mathfrak{g}(s)\gamma_{2s}(t) ds].$$

Direct calculations show that $\|\phi(t)\| \leq \|\phi(0)\| + c|t|$, $t \in J$ for some constant $c > 0$. It follows $\phi(t)/2it$, $(\mathfrak{g}(s)\gamma_{2h}(t) - 1)$, $-2i \int_0^h s \mathfrak{g}(s)\gamma_{2s}(t)ds$ are uniformly continuous bounded functions if $t \in J$, $|t| \geq 1$. Since I_2 is uniformly continuous bounded on $[-1, 1] \cap J$, we conclude $I_2 \in \mathfrak{g}BUC(J, X)$.

(iii) Since $\mathfrak{g}BUC(J, X) \subset \mathfrak{g}UC(J, X)$, part (iii) follows by (ii). \square

Theorem 2.4 (DeBruijn [10, Theorem 1.3]). If $\phi \in \mathbb{C}^J$ and $\Delta_s \phi \in C(J, \mathbb{C})$ for each $s > 0$, then $\phi(t) = G(t) + H(t)$, where $G \in C(J, \mathbb{C})$ and $H(t+s) = H(t) + H(s)$ for each $t, s \in J$.

Proof. Assuming ϕ is bounded on a neighbourhood of some point $t_0 \in J$, then by [2, Theorem 4.1], ϕ is continuous at t_0 . It follows ϕ is continuous on J . It means that either ϕ is continuous or ϕ is unbounded on each neighbourhood of every point $t \in J$. Write $\mathbb{C}^J/C(J, \mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{A}$, where $\mathcal{A} = \{H \in \mathbb{C}^J/C(J, \mathbb{C}) : H(t+s) = H(t) + H(s), t, s \in J\}$. We note that if $\phi + C(J, \mathbb{C}) = \psi \notin \mathcal{A}$ and ψ is unbounded on each neighbourhood of every point $t \in J$, then ψ is not additive, so $\psi_s - \psi \neq \psi(s)$ for some $0 \neq s \in J$. Hence $\psi_s - \psi$ is not continuous. This contradicts the assumptions. Hence $\psi \in \mathcal{A}$. It follows that $\phi \in C(J, \mathbb{C}) + H$ for some H with $H(t+s) = H(t) + H(s)$ for each $t, s \in J$. \square

For the proof of DeBruijn see [10, Theorem 1.3] and also the remarks in the proof of [8, Proposition 7.2, p. 26].

Since the spaces $\mathbb{C}, E_{\mathfrak{g}} = (1/\mathfrak{g})\mathbb{C}$ and the space of linear continuous functions $L_c = \{l \in C(J, \mathbb{C}) : l = ct \text{ for some } c \in \mathbb{C}\}$ are one dimensional subspaces, there are subspaces $C_{\mathbb{C}}(J, \mathbb{C}), C_{\mathfrak{g}}(J, \mathbb{C}), C_{L_c}(J, \mathbb{C}) \subset C(J, \mathbb{C})$ of codimension 1 such that

$$C(J, \mathbb{C}) = C_{\mathbb{C}}(J, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{C} = C_{\mathfrak{g}}(J, \mathbb{C}) \oplus E_{\mathfrak{g}} = C_{L_c}(J, \mathbb{C}) \oplus L_c. \quad (9)$$

We note that $UC(J, \mathbb{C}) \cap E_{\mathfrak{g}} = \{0\}$ and $\mathfrak{g}UC(J, \mathbb{C}) \cap \mathbb{C} = \{0\}$, so

$$UC(J, \mathbb{C}) \subset C_{\mathfrak{g}}(J, \mathbb{C}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{g}UC(J, \mathbb{C}) \subset C_{\mathbb{C}}(J, \mathbb{C}).$$

Lemma 2.5. (i) If $\phi \in X^J$ and $\Delta_h \phi \in C_0(J, X)$ for each $h > 0$, then $\phi \in UC(J, X)$.

(ii) If $\phi \in X^J$ and $\Delta_h(\mathfrak{g}\phi) \in \mathfrak{g}UC(J, X)$ for each $h > 0$, then $\phi \in C(J, X)$.

Proof. (i) First, we prove the case $X = \mathbb{C}$. By Theorem 2.4 (see also [8, p. 26, after (7.2)]), we have $\phi(t) = G(t) + H(t)$, where $G \in C(J, \mathbb{C})$ and $H(t+s) = H(t) + H(s)$ for each $t, s \in J$. It follows $\Delta_h \phi(t) = \Delta_h G(t) + H(h)$. This implies $\Delta_h G \in BUC(J, \mathbb{C})$ for each $h \in J$. By [2, Corollary 5.5], $G \in UC(J, \mathbb{C})$. Hence $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \Delta_h G = 0$ uniformly and so $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} H(h) = -\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} (\lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_h G(t)) = 0$ (see [11, p. 28, 6. Lemma]). It follows $H \in C(J, \mathbb{C})$ and hence $H \in UC(J, \mathbb{C})$. This gives $\phi \in UC(J, \mathbb{C})$.

The case X is a Banach space. By the above $x^* \phi \in UC(J, \mathbb{C})$ for each $x^* \in X^*$. It follows ϕ is weakly continuous and hence it is weakly locally bounded on J and so locally bounded on J by [11, p. 66, 20. Theorem]. Again, by [2, Corollary 5.5], $\phi \in UC(J, X)$.

(ii) First, we prove the case $X = \mathbb{C}$. As in part (i), $(\mathfrak{g}\phi)(t) = G(t) + H(t)$, where $G \in C(J, \mathbb{C})$ and $H(t+s) = H(t) + H(s)$ for each $t, s \in J$. We can assume that $G \in C_{L_c}(J, \mathbb{C})$ defined by (9?). We have $\Delta_h(\mathfrak{g}\phi) = (\Delta_h G + H(h)) \in \mathfrak{g}UC(J, X)$ for each $h > 0$. Hence $\Delta_h G = \mathfrak{g}u(h, \cdot) - H(h)$, where $u(h, \cdot) \in UC(J, X)$ for each $h > 0$. If $H(h) \neq 0$ for some $h > 0$, then $\Delta_h G \notin C_{\mathbb{C}}(J, \mathbb{C})$ by the note below (9?). It follows $G \notin C_{L_c}(J, \mathbb{C})$. This is a contradiction which proves $H(h) = 0$ for each $h > 0$. It follows $H = 0$ and $\phi = (1/\mathfrak{g})G \in C(J, \mathbb{C})$.

The case X is a Banach space. By the above $x^* \phi \in C(J, \mathbb{C})$ for each $x^* \in X^*$. It follows ϕ is weakly continuous. So as in part (i), ϕ is locally bounded on J . By [4, Proposition 1.3, p. 1009], $\phi \in C(J, X)$. \square

Remark 2.6. The condition $\Delta_h(\mathfrak{g}\phi) \in \mathfrak{g}UC(J, X)$ for each $h > 0$ in Lemma 2.5 (ii) can not be replaced by $\Delta_h(\mathfrak{g}\phi) \in BUC(J, X)$ for each $h > 0$. Indeed, $\phi = (1/\mathfrak{g})H$, where H is nonmeasurable additive function on J , satisfies the condition $\Delta_s\mathfrak{g}\phi = \Delta_sH = H(s) \in BUC(J, X)$ for each $s > 0$, but ϕ is not continuous.

Remark 2.7. H. Günzler gave the following proof of Lemma 2.5 (i):

By [8, Proposition 7.3], there are $G \in C(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and additive $H : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ with $\phi = G + H$ on \mathbb{R} ; $\Delta_h f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}, X)$ implies $\Delta_h G \in Lim := Lim(\mathbb{R}, X) := \{F \in C(\mathbb{R}, X) : \lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} F(t) \text{ exists } \in X\}$ (so $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} F(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} F(t)$).

Lim is a linear invariant closed subspace of BUC , Lim satisfies (Δ) ([8, Proposition 4.1]); [3, Proposition 3.3] gives with $X \subset Lim$: $G(t) = p(t) + \int_0^t q(s) ds$ with some $p, q \in Lim$.

Then $\Delta_h \phi = \Delta_h G + H(h) = \Delta_h p + hM_h q + H(h)$, $t \rightarrow \infty$ gives $H(h) = h \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} q(t)$ on \mathbb{R}^+ , so H is continuous on \mathbb{R}^+ and then on \mathbb{R} .

So $f \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}, X)$, $f \in \Delta C_o = C_o + X + PC_o$ by [8, (4.19)].

3. Difference and (Δ) properties for $\mathfrak{g}U$, where $U \in \{BUC(J, X), UC(J, X)\}$.

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ be a complex Banach space and that X_r is the same space considered as a real linear space (see [12, p. 273]). Consider the complex linear space $E = X_r \oplus iX_r$ with multiplication of an element $(y_1 + iy_2) \in E$ by a complex number $a + ib$ defined by

$$(a + ib)(y_1 + iy_2) = (ay_1 - by_2) + i(by_1 + ay_2) \text{ (see [12, p. 208], [7, p. 134]).}$$

Then E is the direct sum $X_r \oplus iX_r$ which is isomorphic to the product $X_r \times X_r$ identifying X_r with $(X_r, 0)$ and iX_r with $(0, X_r)$.

Endow E with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ defined by (8?) with $X_r = \mathcal{X}_1$ and $iX_r = \mathcal{X}_2$.

Set $\|x_1 + ix_2\|_r = \sup_{f \in X_r^*, \|f\| \leq 1} |f(x_1) + if(x_2)|$, $(x_1 + ix_2) \in E$.

Note that each element $(x_1 + ix_2) \in E$ is also an element of $X = X_r$. By [12, (8), p. 208], [11, 5 Theorem, p. 58] (see also [7, Lemma 7, (72), p. 134]): With $p = 1$ of (8?) and $x_1 \in \mathcal{X}_1, ix_1 \in \mathcal{X}_2$,

$$\|x_1 + ix_2\|_r = \|x_1 + ix_2\|_X \leq \|x_1\|_X + \|ix_1\|_X = \|x_1 + ix_2\|,$$

$(E, \|\cdot\|_r)$ is equivalent to the Banach space $(E, \|\cdot\|)$.

To avoid repetitions, in the following

$$X \text{ is a real Banach space, } E = X \oplus iX \text{ is the complex space as above.} \quad (10)$$

Denote by $BE^J = B(J, E)$ the set of all bounded functions $f : J \rightarrow E$.

Using (8?) and (10) with $X = \mathcal{X}_1$, $iX = \mathcal{X}_2$, it is easy to show

- (i) $B(J, E) = B(J, X) \oplus iB(J, X)$, $E^J = X^J \oplus iX^J$,
- (ii) if $f, k \in X^J$, $\phi = (f + ik) \in \mathcal{Y} \in \{BUC(J, E), UC(J, E), C(J, E)\}$, then $f, k \in \mathcal{Y}(J, X)$.
- (iii) $BUC(J, E) = BUC(J, X) \oplus iBUC(J, X)$, $UC(J, E) = UC(J, X) \oplus iUC(J, X)$,
- (iv) $C(J, E) = C(J, X) \oplus iC(J, X)$. (11)

Indeed, case $\mathcal{Y} = UC(J, E)$: if $\|\phi(t+h) - \phi(t)\| = \|(f(t+h) - f(t)) + i(k(t+h) - k(t))\| \rightarrow 0$ as $|h| \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in $t \in J$, then by (8?), $\|(f(t+h) - f(t))\| \rightarrow 0$, $\|(k(t+h) - k(t))\| \rightarrow 0$ as $|h| \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in $t \in J$.

Cases $\mathcal{Y} = BUC(J, E)$ and $\mathcal{Y} = C(J, E)$ are similar.

Lemma 3.1. (i) If $\phi \in \mathbb{R}^J$ and $(T\phi)(s, \cdot) \in UC(J, \mathbb{C})$ for each $s > 0$, then $\phi \in BUC(J, \mathbb{R})$.

(ii) Let X, E be defined by (10). If $\phi \in X^J$ and $(T\phi)(s, \cdot) \in UC(J, E)$, $s > 0$, then $\phi \in BUC(J, X)$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.5(ii), $\phi \in C(J, X)$.

(i) Since $(T\phi)(s, \cdot) \in UC(J, \mathbb{C})$ for each $s > 0$, $\Delta_\eta(T\phi)(s, \cdot) = ((T\phi)(s, \cdot + \eta) - (T\phi)(s, \cdot)) \in BUC(J, \mathbb{C})$ for each $s, \eta > 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\eta(T\phi)(s, t) &= V(s, t) + \gamma_{2s}(t)(\gamma_{2s}(\eta) - 1)\phi(t + s), \quad \text{where} \\ V(s, t) &= \mathfrak{g}(s)\gamma_{2s}(t + \eta)(\phi(t + s + \eta) - \phi(t + s)) - (\phi(t + \eta) - \phi(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Let $\eta > 0$. Choose $s > 0$ such that $\gamma_{2s}(\eta) = 1$. Then

$$\Delta_\eta(T\phi)(s, t) = \mathfrak{g}(s)\gamma_{2s}(t + \eta)(\phi(t + s + \eta) - \phi(t + s)) - (\phi(t + \eta) - \phi(t)) = I_1 + iI_2. \quad (13)$$

Since $\Delta_\eta(T\phi)(s, \cdot) \in BUC(J, \mathbb{C})$, by (13) and (11) (iii), $I_1, I_2 \in BUC(J, \mathbb{R})$, where

$$I_1 = (\cos(s^2 + 2s(\cdot + \eta))(\phi_{s+\eta} - \phi_s) - (\phi_\eta - \phi)), \quad I_2 = \sin(s^2 + 2s(\cdot + \eta))(\phi_{s+\eta} - \phi_s).$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $K(\varepsilon, s) = \{t \in J : |\sin(s^2 + 2s(t + \eta))| < \varepsilon\}$. Since $I_2 \in BUC(J, \mathbb{R})$, $(\phi_{s+\eta} - \phi_s)$ is bounded and uniformly continuous on $J \setminus K(\varepsilon, s)$ for each $\varepsilon > 0$. It follows $\cos(s^2 + 2s(\cdot + \eta))(\phi_{s+\eta} - \phi_s)$ is bounded and uniformly continuous on $J \setminus K(\varepsilon, s)$ for each $\varepsilon > 0$. This and $I_1 \in BUC(J, \mathbb{R})$ give

$$\phi_\eta - \phi \text{ is bounded and uniformly continuous on } J \setminus K(\varepsilon, s), \quad \varepsilon > 0. \quad (14)$$

Take $\eta > 0$ and $2s\eta = 2\pi$. Then $s = \pi/\eta$. Simple calculations show that one can choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $(K(\varepsilon, \pi/\eta) - \pi/\eta) \subset J \setminus K(\varepsilon, \pi/\eta)$. This implies

$$(\phi_\eta - \phi) \text{ is bounded and uniformly continuous on } K(\varepsilon). \quad (15)$$

Combining (14) and (15) together, $(\phi(\cdot + \eta) - \phi(\cdot))$ is bounded and uniformly continuous on J . Since $\eta > 0$ is arbitrary, it follows $V(s, t)$ is bounded and uniformly continuous on J for each $s > 0$. By (12), we conclude $\gamma_{2s}(\gamma_{2s}(\eta) - 1)(\phi(\cdot + s)) = \Delta_\eta(T\phi)(s, t) - V(s, t)$ is bounded and uniformly continuous on J for all $s, \eta > 0$. This implies ϕ_s is bounded and uniformly continuous on J for each $s > 0$ and hence $\phi \in BUC(J, \mathbb{R})$.

(ii) Follows with the same argument as in part (i). \square

Theorem 3.1 . (i) Let $\phi : J \rightarrow E$ with E defined by (10) and $(T\phi)(s, \cdot), (\underline{T}\phi)(s, \cdot) \in UC(J, E)$ for each $s > 0$. Then $\phi \in BUC(J, E)$.

(ii) Let $\phi : J \rightarrow E$ and $(T\phi)(s, \cdot) \in C_0(J, E)$ for each $s > 0$. Then $\phi \in (1/\mathfrak{g})\Delta C_0(J, E)$.

(iii) Let $\xi : J \rightarrow E$ and $(T(\xi/\mathfrak{g}))(s, \cdot), (\underline{T}(\mathfrak{g}\xi))(s, \cdot) \in UC(J, E)$ for each $s > 0$. Then $\xi \in \Delta C_0(J, E) = \Delta C_0(J, X) + i\Delta C_0(J, X)$.

Proof. (i) By Lemma 2.5 (ii), $\phi \in C(J, E)$. We have $(T\phi)(s, \cdot) - (\underline{T}\phi)(s, \cdot) = v(s, \cdot)\phi_s \in UC(J, E)$, where $v(s, \cdot) = (\mathfrak{g}(s)\gamma_{2s} - \overline{\mathfrak{g}(s)\gamma_{2s}}) = 2i\sin(s^2 + 2s\cdot) \in BUC(J, \mathbb{C})$, $s > 0$. It follows $\phi \sin(s^2 + 2s(\cdot - s)) \in UC(J, E)$, $s > 0$. Define $t_k(s)$ by $2st_k(s) - s^2 = k\pi$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Set $K(s, \varepsilon) = \{t \in J : |\sin(-s^2 + 2st)| < \varepsilon\} = \cup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, t_k(s) \in J} \{t \in J : |t - t_k(s)| < \delta(\varepsilon)\}$, where $|\sin(2s\delta)| = \varepsilon$. Since $v(s, \cdot - s)\phi \in UC(J, E)$ and $|v(s, \cdot - s)| \geq \varepsilon$ on $J \setminus K(s, \varepsilon)$, by simple calculations

$$\phi \in BUC(J \setminus K(s, \varepsilon), E), \quad s > 0. \quad (16)$$

Take $s_1 = 1$, $s_2 = 2$. One can choose $\varepsilon = \sin(4\delta)$ with $\delta > 0$ small enough such that $K(1, \varepsilon) \subset J \setminus K(2, \varepsilon)$. By (16) with $s = 1$ and $s = 2$, $\phi \in BUC(J \setminus K(1, \varepsilon), E)$ and $\phi \in BUC(J \setminus K(2, \varepsilon), E)$. Since $J \subset (J \setminus K(1, \varepsilon)) \cup (J \setminus K(2, \varepsilon))$, $\phi \in BUC(J, E)$.

(ii) If $(T\phi)(s, \cdot) \in C_0(J, E)$, $s > 0$, then $\xi = \mathfrak{g}\phi$ satisfies $\Delta_s \xi \in C_0(J, X)$ for each $s > 0$. By Lemma 2.5 (i) and (2), $\xi \in \Delta C_0(J, E)$ and $\phi \in (1/\mathfrak{g})\Delta C_0(J, E)$.

(iii) The assumptions imply $(1/\mathfrak{g})\Delta_s \xi, \mathfrak{g}\Delta_s \xi \in UC(J, E)$, $s > 0$ by (6). By Lemma 2.1 (iv), $\Delta_s \xi \in C_0(J, E)$, $s > 0$. By Lemma 2.5 (i) and (2), $\xi \in \Delta C_0(J, E)$. \square

Theorem 3.2. Let X, E be defined by (10).

(i) If $\phi \in \mathbb{R}^J$ and $(T\phi)(s, \cdot) \in UC(J, \mathbb{C})$ for each $s > 0$, then $\phi \in BUC(J, \mathbb{R})$.

(ii) If $\phi \in X^J$ and $(T\phi)(s, \cdot) \in UC(J, E)$ for each $s > 0$, then $\phi \in BUC(J, X)$.

(iii) If $f \in X^J$, $\phi = \frac{f}{\mathfrak{g}}$ with $(T\phi)(s, \cdot) \in UC(J, E)$ for each $s > 0$, then $f \in \Delta C_0(J, X)$.

Proof. (i) We note that if $\phi \in \mathbb{R}^J$, then $\overline{(T\phi)(s, t)} = (\underline{T}\phi)(s, t)$, $s, t \in J$. Since $(T\phi)(s, \cdot) \in UC(J, \mathbb{C})$ for each $s > 0$, we conclude $(\underline{T}\phi)(s, t) = \overline{(T\phi)(s, \cdot)} \in UC(J, \mathbb{C})$ for each $s > 0$. By Theorem 3.1 (i), $\phi \in BUC(J, \mathbb{R})$.

(ii) Since $(T\phi)(s, t) = \cos(s^2 + 2st)\phi(s+t) - \phi(t) + i \sin(s^2 + 2st)\phi(s+t)$, by (11)(iii) we conclude $\cos(s^2 + 2s\cdot)\phi_s - \phi$, $\sin(s^2 + 2s\cdot)\phi_s \in UC(J, X)$ for each $s > 0$. It follows $(\underline{T}\phi)(s, \cdot) = \cos(s^2 + 2s\cdot)\phi_s - \phi - i(\sin(s^2 + 2s\cdot)\phi_s) \in UC(J, E)$ for each $s > 0$. By Theorem 3.1 (i), $\phi \in BUC(J, X)$.

(iii) By (6), we have $(T\phi)(s, \cdot) = \frac{\Delta_s f}{\mathfrak{g}} = (\Delta_s f \cdot \cos \cdot^2 - i\Delta_s f \cdot \sin \cdot^2) \in UC(J, E)$ for each $s > 0$. By (11)(iii), $\Delta_s f \cdot \cos \cdot^2, \Delta_s f \cdot \sin \cdot^2 \in UC(J, X)$. This implies $\mathfrak{g}\Delta_s f \in UC(J, E)$, $s > 0$. By Theorem 3.1 (iii), $f \in \Delta C_0(J, X)$. \square

Let X, E be as defined by (10) and T be as defined by (6), $s > 0$. Denote by

$$G = G(J, E) = \{\phi \in E^J : (T\phi)(s, \cdot) \in UC(J, E), s > 0\}, \quad (17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} SC(J, X) &= C(J, X) + (1/\mathfrak{g})C(J, X), \quad (TSC(J, X))(s, \cdot) = \{(T\phi)(s, \cdot) : \phi \in SC(J, X)\}, \\ (TC(J, X))(s, \cdot) &= \{(T\phi)(s, \cdot) : \phi \in C(J, X)\}, \quad \Delta_s C(J, X) = \{\Delta_s \phi : \phi \in C(J, X)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Obviously G is a linear subspace of E^J and by Lemma 2.5 (ii), $G \subset C(J, E)$. Also, $SC(J, X)$ is a linear subspace of $C(J, E)$.

Lemma 3.3. Let $SC(J, X)$, $(TSC(J, X))(s, \cdot)$, $\Delta_s C(J, X)$ be defined by (18).

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad SC(J, X) &= C(J, X) \oplus (1/\mathfrak{g})C(J, X), \\ (ii) \quad SC(J, X) \cap UC(J, E) &= UC(J, X) \oplus (1/\mathfrak{g})C_0(J, X) \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

(iii) $(TSC(J, X))(s, \cdot) = (TC(J, X))(s, \cdot) \oplus (1/\mathfrak{g})\Delta_s C(J, X)$, $s > 0$.

(iv) If $\phi = (u + (1/\mathfrak{g})\xi) \in SC(J, X)$, then $(T\phi)(s, \cdot) \in UC(J, E)$, $s > 0$ if and only if $u \in BUC(J, X)$ and $\xi \in \Delta C_0(J, X)$.

Proof. (19)(i): Let $\phi \in SC(J, X)$, then $\phi = u + (1/\mathfrak{g})\xi$ for some $u, \xi \in C(J, X)$. We show that u, ξ are unique. Indeed, by (11) (iv), $\phi = f + ih$ for unique $f, h \in C(J, X)$. So $\phi(t) = u(t) + (1/\mathfrak{g}(t))\xi(t) = u(t) + \xi(t) \cos t^2 - i\xi(t) \sin t^2 = f(t) + ih(t)$. By (11)(i), (ii), $u(t) + \xi(t) \cos t^2 = f(t)$, $\xi(t) \sin t^2 = -h(t)$. It follows $\xi(t) = -h(t)/\sin t^2$ for all $t \in J \setminus (t_n)$, where $t_n = (|n|\pi)^{1/2}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\xi \in C(J, X)$, $\xi(t_n) = \lim_{t \rightarrow t_n} \xi(t) = -\lim_{t \rightarrow t_n} h(t)/\sin t^2$ for each $t_n \in J$. This implies that ξ is uniquely defined on J . Hence $u(t) = f(t) - \xi(t) \cos t^2$ is uniquely defined on J . This proves u, ξ are unique. Obviously, if $\phi = 0$, then $f = h = 0$. So, $\xi(t) \sin t^2 = -h(t) = 0$. Hence $\xi = 0$ and $u = f - \xi \cos \cdot^2 = 0$.

Now, let $\phi_n = (u_n + (1/\mathfrak{g})\xi_n)$, $\phi = (u + (1/\mathfrak{g})\xi) \in SC(J, X)$ and let $\phi_n \rightarrow \phi$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (in the topology defined by (3)). We show that $u_n \rightarrow u$ and $\xi_n \rightarrow \xi$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed, let $\phi_n = f_n + ih_n$, $\phi = f + ih$ with $f_n, h_n, f, h \in C(J, X)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By (11), $f_n \rightarrow f$, $h_n \rightarrow h$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $\xi_n(t) = -h_n(t)/\sin t^2 \rightarrow -h(t)/\sin t^2 = \xi(t)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for each $t \in J \setminus (t_n)$. This implies $\xi_n(t) \rightarrow \xi(t)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for each $t \in J$. So, $u_n(t) = -\xi_n(t) \cos t^2 + f_n(t) \rightarrow -\xi(t) \cos t^2 + f(t) = u(t)$. This proves (19)(i).

(19) (ii): Let $\psi = (v + (1/\mathfrak{g})\eta) \in SC(J, X) \cap UC(J, E)$. Then $\psi_s = (v_s + (1/\mathfrak{g}_s)\eta_s) \in SC(J, X) \cap UC(J, E)$, $s > 0$. By (11)(iii), $v(t+s) + \eta(t+s) \cos(t+s)^2$, $\eta(t+s) \sin(t+s)^2$ are uniformly continuous on J , $s > 0$. It follows $\eta \in C_0(J, X)$ and $v \in UC(J, X)$. This and (19)(i) prove (19)(ii).

(iii) Let $\phi = (u + (1/\mathfrak{g})\xi) \in SC(J, X)$. Since T is linear, $(T\phi)(s, \cdot) = (Tu)(s, \cdot) + (T(1/\mathfrak{g})\xi)(s, \cdot) = (Tu)(s, \cdot) + (1/\mathfrak{g})\Delta_s \xi$. If $(T\phi)(s, \cdot) = 0$, $s > 0$, then by (6), $\phi = x(1/\mathfrak{g})$ for some $x \in X$. This implies $u = 0$ and $\xi = x$. Hence $(Tu)(s, \cdot) = 0$, $(1/\mathfrak{g})\Delta_s \xi = 0$, $s > 0$.

Now, Let $\phi_n = (u_n + (1/\mathfrak{g})\xi_n)$, $\phi = (u + (1/\mathfrak{g})\xi) \in SC(J, X)$ and let $(T\phi_n)(s, \cdot) \rightarrow (T\phi)(s, \cdot)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (in the topology defined by (3)), $s > 0$. Noting that $T : C(J, X) \rightarrow (TC(J, X))(s, \cdot)$, $s \in J$ is bijective and $(T(1/\mathfrak{g})\eta)(s, \cdot) = (T(1/\mathfrak{g})\tilde{\eta})(s, \cdot)$ if and only if $\tilde{\eta} - \eta = x$ for some $x \in X$, we can replace $(\xi_n), \xi$ by $(\tilde{\xi}_n), \tilde{\xi}$ so that $\tilde{\phi}_n = (u_n + (1/\mathfrak{g})\tilde{\xi}_n)$, $\tilde{\phi} = (u + (1/\mathfrak{g})\tilde{\xi})$ satisfy $(T\tilde{\phi}_n)(s, \cdot) = (T\phi_n)(s, \cdot)$, $(T\tilde{\phi})(s, \cdot) = (T\phi)(s, \cdot)$ and $\tilde{\phi}_n \rightarrow \tilde{\phi}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By (19)(i), $u_n \rightarrow u$ and $\tilde{\xi}_n \rightarrow \tilde{\xi}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ implying $(Tu_n)(s, \cdot) \rightarrow (Tu)(s, \cdot)$ and $(1/\mathfrak{g})\Delta_s\xi_n = (T(1/\mathfrak{g})\xi_n)(s, \cdot) = (T(1/\mathfrak{g})\tilde{\xi}_n)(s, \cdot) \rightarrow (T(1/\mathfrak{g})\tilde{\xi})(s, \cdot) = (T(1/\mathfrak{g})\xi)(s, \cdot) = (1/\mathfrak{g})\Delta_s\xi$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This proves part (iii).

(iv) By part (iii), $(T\phi)(s, \cdot) = (Tu)(s, \cdot) + \frac{\Delta_s\xi}{\mathfrak{g}}$, $s > 0$ with unique $u, \Delta_s\xi \in C(J, X)$, $s > 0$. If $(T\phi)(s, \cdot) \in UC(J, X)$, $s > 0$ but $(Tu)(s, \cdot)$ or $\frac{\Delta_s\xi}{\mathfrak{g}} \notin UC(J, E)$ for some $s > 0$, then by part (iii) and (8?), we get a contradiction. This proves $(Tu)(s, \cdot)$ and $\frac{\Delta_s\xi}{\mathfrak{g}} \in UC(J, E)$, $s > 0$. By Lemma 2.1 (ii), $(1/\mathfrak{g})\Delta_s\xi \in UC(J, X)$, $s > 0$ if and only if $\Delta_s\xi \in C_0(J, X)$, $s > 0$. By (2), $\xi \in \Delta C_0(J, X)$ and by Theorem 3.2 (ii), $u \in BUC(J, X)$. \square

Lemma 3.4. Let X, E be defined by (10). If $\phi \in E^J$ and $\Delta_s(\mathfrak{g}\phi) \in \mathfrak{g}UC(J, E)$ for each $s > 0$, then $\phi = u + (1/\mathfrak{g})\xi$ with $u \in BUC(J, E)$ and $\xi \in \Delta C_0(J, E)$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.5 (ii), $\phi \in C(J, E)$. Assume first the case $\phi \in SC(J, X)$. By Lemma 3.3 (iv), $\phi = u + (1/\mathfrak{g})\xi$ with unique $u \in BUC(J, X)$, $\xi \in \Delta C_0(J, X)$.

In the general case, let $\phi \in C(J, E)$. Let ρ be a distance compatible with the topology defined by (3). We prove that $\phi = u + (1/\mathfrak{g})\xi$ for unique $u, \xi \in C(J, E)$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(u, (1/\mathfrak{g})C(J, X)) &= \inf_{y \in C(J, X)} \rho(u, (1/\mathfrak{g})y) = \rho(u, 0) = \rho(u, i(1/\mathfrak{g})C(J, X)), \\ \rho((1/\mathfrak{g})\xi, C(J, X)) &= \inf_{y \in C(J, X)} \rho((1/\mathfrak{g})\xi, y) = \rho((1/\mathfrak{g})\xi, 0) = \rho((1/\mathfrak{g})\xi, iC(J, X)). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Indeed, assuming $\phi = u + (1/\mathfrak{g})\xi = \tilde{u} + (1/\mathfrak{g})\tilde{\xi}$ with u, ξ and $\tilde{u}, \tilde{\xi}$ satisfying (20), then $u = \tilde{u} + (1/\mathfrak{g})(\tilde{\xi} - \xi)$. If $\tilde{\xi} - \xi \neq 0$, then u does not satisfy (20). This is a contradiction which proves the uniqueness of u, ξ . By (11), $u = u_1 + iu_2$ and $\xi = \xi_1 + i\xi_2$ with unique $u_1, u_2, \xi_1, \xi_2 \in C(J, X)$. It follows that the map

$\chi : C(J, E) \rightarrow (C(J, X), C(J, X)) + (1/\mathfrak{g})(C(J, X), C(J, X)) = SC(J, X \times X)$ defined by $\chi(\phi) = (u_1, u_2) + (1/\mathfrak{g})(\xi_1, \xi_2)$ is well defined. We have

$$(T\chi(\phi))(s, t) = ((Tu_1)(s, t), (Tu_2)(s, t)) + (1/\mathfrak{g})(\Delta_s\xi_1, \Delta_s\xi_2).$$

Since $(C(J, X), C(J, X))$ is real linear topological space, as in the proof of (19),

$$SC(J, X \times X) = (C(J, X), C(J, X)) \oplus (1/\mathfrak{g})(C(J, X), C(J, X)).$$

As in Lemma 3.3 (iv), if $\psi = (v_1, v_2) + (1/\mathfrak{g})(\eta_1, \eta_2) \in SC(J, X \times X)$, $(T\psi)(s, \cdot)$ is uniformly continuous, $s > 0$ if and only if $v_1, v_2 \in BUC(J, X)$ and $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \Delta C_0(J, X)$. Obviously, if v_1 or $v_2 \notin BUC(J, X)$ or η_1 or $\eta_2 \notin \Delta C_0(J, X)$, then $(T\psi)(s, \cdot)$ is not uniformly continuous. So, if $\psi = \chi(\phi)$, we get $(T\phi)(s, \cdot)$ is not uniformly continuous and hence $\phi \notin G$, where G is defined by (17). It follows $\chi(G) \subset (BUC(J, X), BUC(J, X)) + (1/\mathfrak{g})(\Delta C_0(J, X), \Delta C_0(J, X))$. Obviously, $(BUC(J, X), BUC(J, X)) + (1/\mathfrak{g})(\Delta C_0(J, X), \Delta C_0(J, X)) \subset \chi(G)$ and hence $\chi(G) = (BUC(J, X), BUC(J, X)) + (1/\mathfrak{g})(\Delta C_0(J, X), \Delta C_0(J, X))$. This proves that $\phi = (u + (1/\mathfrak{g})\xi) \in G$ implies $u = u_1 + iu_2 \in BUC(J, E)$, $\xi = \xi_1 + i\xi_2 \in \Delta C_0(J, E)$. \square

Theorem 3.5. Let $X, E = X \oplus iX$ be defined by (10) and let G be defined by (17).

$$G = BUC(J, E) + \frac{\Delta C_0(J, E)}{\mathfrak{g}} = \{\phi \in E^J : (T\phi)(s, \cdot) \in BUC(J, E), s > 0\}.$$

Proof. By (6), $\Delta_s(\mathfrak{g}\phi) \in \mathfrak{g}UC(J, E)$ for each $s > 0$. By Lemma 3.4, $\phi = u + (1/\mathfrak{g})\xi$ with $u \in BUC(J, E)$ and $\xi \in \Delta C_0(J, E)$. This proves the first equality for G . The second equality for G follows by the obvious inclusions

$$G = BUC(J, E) + \frac{\Delta C_0(J, E)}{\mathfrak{g}} \subset \{\phi \in E^J : (T\phi)(s, \cdot) \in BUC(J, E), s > 0\} \subset G. \quad \square$$

Lemma 3.6. Let X, E be defined by (10).

- (i) $C_0(J, E)$ has (S Δ P): If $u \in E^J$ and $\Delta_s u \in C_0(J, E)$ for each $s > 0$, then $u \in C(J, E)$ and $(u - M_h u) \in C_0(J, E)$ for $h > 0$.
- (ii) $\Delta C_0(J, E)$ has (Δ) .

Proof. (i) By Lemma 2.5 (i), $u \in UC(J, E)$. It follows $\psi : [0, h] \rightarrow C_0(J, E)$, where $\psi(s) = u_s - u$, $s \in [0, h]$ is a continuous function for each $h > 0$. Hence the Bochner-Riemann integral $u - M_h u = -(1/h) \int_0^h (u_s - u) ds = -(1/h) \int_0^h \psi(s) ds \in C_0(J, E)$, $h > 0$.

(ii) If $f \in L_{loc}^1(J, E)$ with $F = \Delta_s f \in \Delta C_0(J, E)$ for $s > 0$, then $\Delta_h F \in C_0(J, E)$ for $h > 0$. Since $C_0(J, E)$ has (Δ) , $\Delta_s(f - M_h f) = (F - M_h F) \in C_0(J, E)$, $s > 0, h > 0$. By (2), $(f - M_h f) \in \Delta C_0(J, E)$. This means $\Delta C_0(J, E)$ has (Δ) . \square

Theorem 3.7. Let X, E be defined by (10). Let $f \in E^J$ and $\Delta_s f \in \mathfrak{g}UC(J, E)$, $s > 0$.

- (i) $f \in \mathfrak{g}BUC(J, E) + \Delta C_0(J, E)$ and $\Delta_s f \in \mathfrak{g} \cdot BUC(J, E)$ for each $s > 0$.
- (ii) $M_h f \in \Delta C_0(J, E)$, $h > 0$.
- (iii) Each of the spaces $\mathfrak{g}BUC(J, E)$, $\mathfrak{g}UC(J, E)$ has (Δ) .

Proof. (i) By Lemma 3.4, $f = \mathfrak{g}u + v$, where $u \in BUC(J, E)$ and $v \in \Delta C_0(J, E)$. This gives (i).

(ii) By part (i), $\Delta_s f = (\Delta_s \mathfrak{g}u + \Delta_s v) \in \mathfrak{g} \cdot BUC(J, E)$ for each $s > 0$. So, $\Delta_s M_h f = M_h \Delta_s f \in C_0(J, X)$ by Lemma 2.3 (i) for each $s > 0, h > 0$. By (2) we get $M_h f \in \Delta C_0(J, X)$, $h > 0$.

(iii) By (i), $f = \mathfrak{g}u + v$, where $u \in BUC(J, X)$ and $v \in \Delta C_0(J, X)$. By Lemma 2.3 (i), $M_h \mathfrak{g}u \in C_0(J, X)$ and by Lemma 3.6 (i), $(v - M_h v) \in C_0(J, X)$, $h > 0$. It follows $f - M_h f = (\mathfrak{g}u - M_h \mathfrak{g}u + v - M_h v) \in \mathfrak{g}BUC(J, X) + C_0(J, X) = \mathfrak{g}BUC(J, X) \subset \mathfrak{g}UC(J, X)$. This proves (Δ) for $\mathfrak{g}UC(J, X)$ and $\mathfrak{g}BUC(J, X)$. \square

4. Difference and (Δ) properties for sums.

In this section we study differences and (Δ) properties for sums of the form $\mathcal{U} + \mathfrak{g}\mathcal{V}$, where $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} \in \{BUC(J, X), UC(J, X)\}$.

Proposition 4.1. Let $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} \in \{BUC(J, X), UC(J, X)\}$ and $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{U} + \mathfrak{g}\mathcal{V}$. Let $f \in L_{loc}^1(J, X)$ and $\Delta_s f \in \mathcal{W}$ for each $s > 0$. If $0 \neq \Delta_s f \in \mathcal{W}/C_0$ for each $s > 0$, then

$$\Delta_s f = u(s, \cdot) + \mathfrak{g}v(s, \cdot), \text{ where } u(s, \cdot) \in \mathcal{U}/C_0, \mathfrak{g}v(s, \cdot) \in (\mathfrak{g}\mathcal{V})/C_0 \quad (21)$$

are uniquely defined for each $s > 0$. Moreover

$$\text{If } \phi(t) = u(t, 0) \text{ and } F = f - \phi - f(0) \text{ then } \Delta_s \phi = u(s, \cdot) \text{ and } \Delta_s F = \mathfrak{g}v(s, \cdot). \quad (22)$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.2, it follows $\Delta_s f = u(s, \cdot) + \mathfrak{g}(t)v(s, \cdot)$ where $u(s, \cdot) \in \mathcal{U}/C_0$, $\mathfrak{g}v(s, \cdot) \in (\mathfrak{g}\mathcal{V})/C_0$ are uniquely defined for each $s > 0$. Set $\phi(t) = u(t, 0)$ and $F = f - \phi - f(0)$. We show that $\Delta_s \phi = u(s, \cdot)$. Indeed,

$$\Delta_{s+k} f(t) = \Delta_k f(t+s) + \Delta_s f(t) = u(k, t+s) + u(s, t) + \mathfrak{g}(t+s)v(k, t+s) + \mathfrak{g}(t)v(s, t).$$

So, $u(s+k, t) = u(k, t+s) + u(s, t)$ and

$$\Delta_s \phi(t) = u(t+s, 0) - u(t, 0) = u(t, 0) + u(s, t) - u(t, 0) = u(s, t).$$

It follows $\Delta_s \phi \in \mathcal{U}/C_0$, $s > 0$ and $\Delta_s F = \Delta_s f - \Delta_s \phi = \mathfrak{g}v(s, \cdot) \in (\mathfrak{g}\mathcal{V})/C_0$. \square

Theorem 4.2. Let $f \in L_{loc}^1(J, X)$ and $\Delta_s f \in \mathcal{U} + \mathfrak{g} \cdot \mathcal{V}$ for each $s > 0$. Then

- (i) $f \in \Delta \mathcal{U} + \mathfrak{g} \cdot BUC(J, X)$, where $\Delta \mathcal{U}$ is defined by (2).
- (ii) $M_h f \in \Delta \mathcal{U}$
- (iii) $\mathcal{U} + \mathfrak{g} \cdot \mathcal{V}$ has (Δ) .

Proof. Assume first that $0 \neq \Delta_s f \in W/C_0$ for each $s > 0$. By (21), we have $\Delta_s f = \Delta_s \phi + \Delta_s F$ with $\Delta_s \phi \in \mathcal{U}/C_0(J, X)$ and $\Delta_s F \in (\mathfrak{g}\mathcal{V})/C_0(J, X)$. By Theorem 3.5 (i), $F = \mathfrak{g}u + v$ for some $u \in BUC(J, X)$, $v \in \Delta C_0(J, X)$. It follows $\phi = (f - F - f(0)) \in L^1_{loc}(J, X)$. Since $\Delta_s \phi \in \mathcal{U}/C_0(J, X)$, $s > 0$, one gets $\phi \in \Delta\mathcal{U}$ by (2). Hence $f \in \Delta\mathcal{U} + \mathfrak{g}BUC(J, X)$. The case $\Delta_s f \in C_0(J, X)$ for some $s > 0$ implies $f \in \Delta C_0(J, X)$ or $f = \psi + \xi$ with $\psi_s = \psi$ and $\xi \in \Delta C_0(J, X)$. Together, $f \in \Delta\mathcal{U} + \mathfrak{g} \cdot BUC(J, X)$. This gives (i).

(ii) We note that if $\psi \in \Delta\mathcal{U}$, then $M_h \psi \in \Delta\mathcal{U}$ for each $h > 0$. By part (i) and Theorem 3.7 (ii), $M_h f = M_h(\phi + F + f(0)) \in \Delta\mathcal{U} + \Delta C_0(J, X) = \Delta\mathcal{U}$.

(iii) By part (i), if $f \in L^1_{loc}(J, X)$ and $\Delta_s f \in \mathcal{U} + \mathfrak{g} \cdot \mathcal{V}$ for each $s > 0$, then $f = \phi + F + f(0)$ with ϕ, F satisfying (21), (22). Since $\phi, F \in L^1_{loc}(J, X)$ with $\Delta_s \phi \in \mathcal{U}$, $\Delta_s F \in \mathfrak{g}BUC$ and \mathcal{U} has (Δ) by [4, Example 3.3], $\mathfrak{g}BUC$ has (Δ) by Theorem 3.7 (iii), part (iii) follows. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Arendt, C.J.K. Batty, M. Hieber and F. Neubrander, Vector-valued Laplace Transforms and Cauchy problems, Monographs in Math., Vol. 96, Basel, Boston, Berlin: Birkhäuser, 2001.
- [2] B. Basit and H. Günzler, Abstract functions with continuous differences and Namioka spaces, TAMS V. 348, No. 11 (1996), 4489-4500.
- [3] B. Basit and H. Günzler, Generalized almost periodic and ergodic solutions of linear differential equations on the half line in Banach spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 282 (2003), 673-697.
- [4] B. Basit, and H. Günzler Generalized Esclangon-Landau results and applications to linear difference-differential systems in Banach spaces, J. Difference Equations and Applications, Vol. 10, No. 11 (2004), p. 1005-1023.
- [5] B. Basit and H. Günzler, A difference property for perturbations of vector valued Levitan almost periodic functions and analogues, Russ. J. of Math. Phys., 12 (4) (2005), p. 424-438.
- [6] B. Basit and H. Günzler, New classes having (Δ) property, (2009), 4 pages, unpublished.
- [7] H. Günzler, On the countability of the spectrum of weakly almost periodic functions, Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico e Fisico di Milano, Vol. LII (1982), 109-147.
- [8] H. Günzler, Spaces of rapidly oscillating functions, arxiv.org/pdf/1403.7430 (2014), 1-32.
- [9] J. F. Berglund, H. D. Junghenn and P. Milnes, Analysis on Semigroups, John Wiley, 1989
- [10] N.G. DeBruijn, Functions whose differences belong to a given class, Nieuw Arch. Wisk 23 (1951), 194-218.
- [11] N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, Linear Operators, Part I, Wiley, 1988.
- [12] G. Köthe, Topological Vector spaces I, Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- [13] W. Rudin, Functional Analysis, 2nd ed., McGraw-Hill, New York, 1991.

SCHOOL OF MATH. SCIENCES, P.O. BOX 28M, MONASH UNIVERSITY, VICTORIA 3800, AUSTRALIA
E-mail address: bolis.basit@monash.edu