

ANALYTIC VARIABLE EXPONENT HARDY SPACES

GERARDO R. CHACÓN, Washington D.C., GERARDO A. CHACÓN, Bogotá

Abstract. We introduce a variable exponent version of the Hardy space of analytic functions on the unit disk, we show some properties of the space, and give an example of a variable exponent $p(\cdot)$ that satisfies the log-Hölder condition such that $H^{p(\cdot)} \neq H^q$ for any constant exponent $1 < q < \infty$. We also consider the variable exponent version of the Hardy space on the upper-half plane.

Keywords: Variable Exponent Spaces; Hardy Spaces

MSC 2010: 30H10, 42B30

1. INTRODUCTION

Variable Exponent Lebesgue spaces are a generalization of classical Lebesgue spaces L^p in which the exponent p is a measurable function. Such spaces were introduced by Orlicz [19] and developed by Kováčik and Rákosník [14]. Although such spaces have received a considerable amount of attention, little is known about their analytic version.

Recently, the research subject has received increasing interest and some progress has been made. For example, in [9] a version of BMO spaces with variable exponents is considered. Bergman spaces with variable exponents have been studied in [1, 2, 3] and a different approach has been taken in [10] and [11], much of the research done in the area assume the log-Hölder condition on the exponent, which is a growth condition that usually guarantees the boundedness of a Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator in a related space. One case of function spaces on unbounded domains have been studied in [18]. The theory of Orlicz spaces of analytic functions has also received recent interest, see for example [15] and [16]. Little is known about a variable exponent version of Hardy spaces on the unit disk. A first approach was taken in [12]

The research has been partially supported by research project 2015004: “Contribuciones a la teoría de operadores en espacios de funciones analíticas”. Universidad Antonio Nariño

and [13]. In this article, we introduce a version of variable exponent Hardy spaces on the unit disk and on the upper half-plane, we prove a Poisson-type representation in both cases, and show an estimate for the norm of the reproducing kernels. We also show an example of a variable exponent $p(\cdot)$ such that $H^{p(\cdot)} \neq H^q$ for any constant exponent $1 < q < \infty$. Most of the result presented in this article are analogous to the classical case, we include detailed proofs for the sake of completeness.

The article is distributed as follows. In the next section, we consider the case of the unit disk by first introducing the harmonic version of the spaces, and showing a representation in terms of the Poisson kernel and the boundary values of the functions. We also prove an estimate for the norm of the reproducing kernels on the variable exponent Hardy spaces. In Section 3 we show through an example that variable exponent Hardy spaces do not coincide with the classical counterparts, even under the usual regularity conditions of the exponent (the Log-Hölder condition). Finally, section 4 is devoted to study variable exponent Hardy spaces on the upper half-plane.

While preparing this article, the authors found the preprint [6] in which similar questions are studied. However, the techniques and results obtained here are different and have little overlapping.

2. VARIABLE EXPONENT HARDY SPACES IN THE UNIT DISK

We begin this section by introducing the preliminary concepts of variable exponent spaces.

Definition 2.1. Let $p : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ be a measurable, essentially bounded function such that $p(0) = p(2\pi)$. The space $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{T})$ is defined as the space of all functions $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\rho_{p(\cdot)}(f) = \int_0^{2\pi} |f(e^{i\theta})|^{p(\theta)} d\theta < \infty.$$

Such space is a Banach space with respect to the norm:

$$\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{T})} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \rho_{p(\cdot)} \left(\frac{f}{\lambda} \right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

We will use a regularity condition on the exponent $p(\cdot)$ which is common in the study of variable exponent spaces:

Definition 2.2. A function $p : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ is said to be *log-Hölder continuous* or to satisfy the *Dini-Lipschitz condition* on $[0, 2\pi]$ if there exists a positive constant

C_{\log} such that

$$|p(x) - p(y)| \leq \frac{C_{\log}}{\log(1/|x-y|)},$$

for all $x, y \in [0, 2\pi]$. We will denote as $p^+ = \text{ess sup}_{x \in [0, 2\pi]} p(x)$ and $p^- = \text{ess inf}_{x \in [0, 2\pi]} p(x)$.

Definition 2.3. For each z in the unit disk \mathbb{D} , the Poisson kernel $P(z, \zeta)$ is defined as

$$P(z, \zeta) = \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|z - \zeta|^2}$$

and the Poisson transform of a function $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{T})$ is defined as

$$Pf(z) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} P(z, \zeta) f(\zeta) dm(\zeta).$$

We will use the following result from [21]:

Theorem 2.4. *Suppose that $p : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ is log-Hölder continuous. Given $0 < r < 1$, and $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{T})$, the linear operators $P_r : L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{T})$ defined as*

$$P_r f(\zeta) = Pf(r\zeta)$$

are uniformly bounded on $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{T})$, $\|P_r(f)\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{T})} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{T})}$, and for every $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{T})$,

$$\|f - P_r f\|_{p(\cdot)} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 1^-$$

We are now ready to define the harmonic Hardy spaces with variable exponents.

Definition 2.5. Let $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and for $0 < r < 1$, define $f_r : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as $f_r(\zeta) = f(r\zeta)$. Given $p : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow [1, \infty)$, the *variable exponent harmonic Hardy space* $h^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})$ is defined as the space of harmonic functions $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\|f\|_{h^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})} = \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \|f_r\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{T})} < \infty.$$

Notice that, since Pf is harmonic for $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{T})$, then Theorem 2.4 shows that the Poisson transform $P : L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow h^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})$ is bounded. Moreover, we have the following theorem analogous to the constant exponent context. We follow the ideas in [17].

Theorem 2.6. *Suppose that $p : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ is log-Hölder continuous. Then for every $U \in h^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})$, there exists $u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{T})$ such that $Pu = U$ and moreover, $\|U\|_{h^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})} \sim \|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{T})}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $U \in h^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})$ and for $n \geq 2$ define the dilations

$$U_n(z) = U\left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)z\right).$$

then U_n is harmonic in a neighborhood of $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and consequently

$$U_n(z) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} P(z, \zeta) U_n(\zeta) dm(\zeta).$$

Now let $q : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ be a measurable function such that $\frac{1}{p(t)} + \frac{1}{q(t)} = 1$ for every $t \in [0, 2\pi]$. Let's define the linear functionals $\Lambda_n : L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as

$$\Lambda_n(f) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(\zeta) U_n(\zeta) dm(\zeta).$$

By Hölder's inequality, we have that $|\Lambda_n(f)| \lesssim \|U_n\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{T})} \|f\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{T})}$, consequently Λ_n belongs to the dual space $(L^{q(\cdot)})^*$ and $\|\Lambda_n\| \lesssim \|U_n\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{T})} \leq \|U\|_{h^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})}$.

We now use Banach-Alaoglu's Theorem to find $\Lambda \in (L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{T}))^*$ and a subsequence $\{\Lambda_{n_k}\} \subset \{\Lambda_n\}$ such that

$$\Lambda_{n_k}(f) \rightarrow \Lambda(f), \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

for every $f \in L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{T})$ and $\|\Lambda\| \lesssim \|U\|_{h^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})}$.

The duality relation (see for example [4]) on $L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{T})$ allow us to find a function $u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{T})$ such that $\|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{T})} \sim \|\Lambda\|$ and for every $f \in L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{T})$, it holds that

$$\Lambda(f) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(\zeta) u(\zeta) dm(\zeta).$$

In particular, $U_{n_k}(z) = \Lambda_{n_k}(P(z, \cdot)) \rightarrow \Lambda(P(z, \cdot))$. Thus,

$$U(z) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} P(z, \zeta) u(\zeta) dm(\zeta).$$

Moreover, putting the previous estimates of $\|U\|_{h^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})}$ together with Theorem 2.4 we get that

$$\|U\|_{h^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})} \sim \|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{T})}.$$

□

Theorem 2.7. *Suppose that $p : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ is a 2π -periodic function. Then*

$$h^{p^+}(\mathbb{D}) \subset h^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D}) \subset h^{p^-}(\mathbb{D}),$$

and moreover, the inclusion is continuous.

Proof. Suppose $f \in h^{p^+}(\mathbb{D})$ and $\|f\|_{h^{p^+}(\mathbb{D})} \leq 1$, then by Hölder's inequality

$$\rho_{p(\cdot)}(f_r) = \int_0^{2\pi} |f(e^{i\theta})|^{p(\theta)} d\theta \lesssim \left\| |f_r|^{p(\cdot)} \right\|_{L^{p^+/p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{T})} \|1\|_{L^{p^+/(p^+-p(\cdot))}(\mathbb{T})}.$$

Now, since $\rho_{p^+/p(\cdot)}(|f_r|^{p(\cdot)}) = \rho_{p^+}(f_r) \leq \|f\|_{h^{p^+}(\mathbb{D})} \leq 1$, then there exists $C > 0$ such that $\left\| |f_r|^{p(\cdot)} \right\|_{L^{p^+/p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{T})} \leq C$ and therefore $\rho_{p(\cdot)}(f_r) \lesssim 1$, so $f \in h^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})$.

For a general $f \in h^{p^+}(\mathbb{D})$, take $g = f\|f\|_{h^{p^+}(\mathbb{D})}^{-1}$ and apply the previous result to conclude that

$$\|f\|_{h^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})} \leq C\|f\|_{h^{p^+}(\mathbb{D})}.$$

Now suppose $f \in h^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})$ and $\|f\|_{h^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})} \leq 1$, then for every $0 \leq r < 1$, it holds that $\rho_{p(\cdot)}(f_r) \leq 1$. Now, by Hölder's inequality,

$$\rho_{p^-}(f_r) \lesssim \left\| |f_r|^{p^-} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)/p^-}(\mathbb{T})} \|1\|_{L^{p(\cdot)/(p(\cdot)-p^-)}(\mathbb{T})}.$$

But $\rho_{p(\cdot)/p^-}(|f_r|^{p^-}) = \rho_{p(\cdot)}(f_r) \leq 1$ and consequently, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $\rho_{p^-}(f_r) \leq C$. Thus, $f \in h^{p^-}(\mathbb{D})$.

The result follows similarly as before for general $f \in h^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})$. \square

As a consequence of Theorems 2.6 and 2.7, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 2.8. *Suppose that $p : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ is a 2π -periodic function. Then if $U \in h^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})$ and $U = Pu$ for $u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{T})$, then for almost every $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$,*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} U(re^{i\theta}) = u(e^{i\theta}).$$

Proof. This follows since $h^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D}) \subset h^1(\mathbb{D})$. \square

Definition 2.9. Suppose that $p : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ is a 2π -periodic function. The variable exponent Hardy space $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})$ is defined as the space of analytic functions $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $f \in h^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})$.

In an analogous way to the classical setting (see for example [5]) it is shown that $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})$ can be identified with the subspace of functions in $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{T})$ whose negative Fourier coefficients are zero, and as such, $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})$ is a Banach space.

Recall that for functions in $f \in H^1(\mathbb{D})$, we have the reproducing formula:

$$(2.1) \quad f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{f(\zeta)}{1 - \bar{\zeta}z} dm(\zeta).$$

For each $z \in \mathbb{D}$, the functions $K_z : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined as

$$K_z(w) = \frac{1}{1 - \bar{z}w},$$

are called *reproducing kernels*. They are bounded on \mathbb{D} and consequently belong to every space $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})$. Moreover, as a consequence of the reproducing formula and Hahn-Banach theorem, the linear span of $\{K_z : z \in \mathbb{D}\}$ is dense in $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})$. Consequently, the set of polynomials is also dense in $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})$.

Theorem 2.10. *Suppose that $p : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ is a 2π -periodic function. For each $z \in \mathbb{D}$ consider the linear functional $\gamma_z : H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined as $\gamma_z(f) = f(z)$. Then γ_z is a bounded operator for every $z = |z|e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}$ and*

$$\|\gamma_z\| \lesssim \frac{1}{(1 - |z|)^{1/p(\theta)}}.$$

Consequently, the convergence in the $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})$ -norm implies the uniform convergence on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} .

Before proving the Theorem, we will need the following technical Lemma, which is a version of a Forelli-Rudin inequality, adapted to our context.

Lemma 2.11. *Suppose that $p : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ is a 2π -periodic, log-Hölder continuous function and let $q : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ be such that $\frac{1}{p(\theta)} + \frac{1}{q(\theta)} = 1$ for every $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$. Let $1/2 < r < 1$ and $z = |z|e^{i\theta}$. Define the function $\varphi : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ as*

$$\varphi(t) = \frac{(1 - |z|)^{1/q(\theta)}}{|1 - |z|re^{i(t-\theta)}|}.$$

Then if $\varphi(t) > 1$, it holds that

$$\varphi(t)^{p(t)} \lesssim \varphi(t)^{p(\theta)}.$$

Proof. We use the estimate

$$1 - |z|re^{i(t-\theta)} \sim |t - \theta| + 1 - r|z|$$

that holds for every $1/2 < r < 1$. With this in hand, we get that for $1/2 < r < 1$,

$$\varphi(t) \lesssim \frac{2\pi}{|t - \theta|}.$$

Now, notice that since $p(\cdot)$ is log-Hölder continuous, then there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(t)^{p(t)} &= e^{p(t) \log(\varphi(t))} \leq e^{|p(t)-p(\theta)| \log(\varphi(t))} e^{p(\theta) \log(\varphi(t))} \\ &\leq e^{C \log(2\pi|t-\theta|)^{-1}} \varphi(t)^{p(\theta)} \\ &\lesssim \varphi(t)^{p(\theta)}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2.10. Fix $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and let $f \in H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})$, then $f \in H^1(\mathbb{D})$ and we can use the reproducing formula (2.1), and Hölder's inequality to conclude that

$$|f(z)| \lesssim \|f\|_{H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})} \|K_z\|_{H^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})},$$

where $\frac{1}{p(\theta)} + \frac{1}{q(\theta)} = 1$ for every $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$.

We will estimate $\|K_z\|_{H^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})}$. Let $1/2 < r < 1$, and define $E_1 = \{t \in [0, 2\pi] : \varphi(t) \leq 1\}$ and $E_2 = [0, 2\pi] \setminus E_1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{2\pi} \left((1-|z|)^{1/p(\theta)} |K_z(re^{it})| \right)^{q(t)} dt &= \int_0^{2\pi} (\varphi(t))^{q(t)} dt \\ &= \int_{E_1} (\varphi(t))^{q(t)} dt + \int_{E_2} (\varphi(t))^{q(t)} dt \\ &\lesssim 1 + \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(t)^{q(\theta)} dt.\end{aligned}$$

We now use Forelli-Rudin estimates (See for example, [8], Theorem 1.7) to conclude that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(t)^{q(\theta)} dt \sim 1.$$

This shows that $\|K_z(r\cdot)\|_{H^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})} \lesssim \frac{1}{(1-|z|)^{1/p(\theta)}}$ for $1/2 < r < 1$.

Now, if $0 \leq r \leq 1/2$, then $\varphi(t) \lesssim 1$ for every $t \in [0, 2\pi]$ and consequently it also holds that $\|K_z(r\cdot)\|_{H^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})} \lesssim \frac{1}{(1-|z|)^{1/p(\theta)}}$. Thus,

$$\|K_z\|_{H^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})} \lesssim \frac{1}{(1-|z|)^{1/p(\theta)}}.$$

□

The Szegő transformation $\mathcal{K} : L^p(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow H^p(\mathbb{D})$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{K}f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{f(\zeta)}{1-\bar{\zeta}z} dm(\zeta).$$

It is known (see for example [7]) that \mathcal{K} is onto for $1 < p < \infty$. Moreover, a theorem of Hunt-Muckenhoupt and Wheeden affirms that \mathcal{K} is bounded on the weighted Lebesgue space $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{T}, w)$ if and only if w is on the Muckenhoupt class A_p . A direct consequence of Rubio de Francia extrapolation ([4], Theorem 5.28) shows that \mathcal{K} is bounded on $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{T})$.

3. AN EXAMPLE

In this section, we show an example of a variable log-Hölder continuous exponent $p(\cdot)$ for which $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})$ differs from all classical spaces $H^p(\mathbb{D})$. Define $p : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow [2, 3]$ as

$$p(\theta) = \begin{cases} 3, & \text{if } \theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{3}] \cup [\frac{5\pi}{3}, 2\pi] \\ \cos(\theta) + \frac{5}{2}, & \text{if } \theta \in (\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{2\pi}{3}) \cup (\frac{4\pi}{3}, \frac{5\pi}{3}) \\ 2, & \text{if } \theta \in [\frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{4\pi}{3}]. \end{cases}$$

Notice that as a consequence of the mean value theorem, if $y \in (\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{3} + \frac{1}{2})$, then $|\cos(y) - \frac{1}{2}| \leq |y - \frac{\pi}{3}|$. Consequently, if $|x - y| < \frac{1}{2}$ and $x \in [0, \frac{\pi}{3}]$ then

$$|p(x) - p(y)| = \left| \frac{1}{2} - \cos(y) \right| \leq |x - y| \leq \frac{1}{\log(1/|x - y|)}$$

and definition 2.2 holds for $x \in [0, \frac{\pi}{3}]$. The other cases follow similarly and show that $p(\cdot)$ is log-Hölder continuous.

Recall that for the functions $f(z) = (1 - z)^{-\lambda}$ belong to $H^q(\mathbb{D})$ if and only if $\lambda < \frac{1}{q}$. Let $2 < q \leq 3$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\frac{1}{q} < \frac{1}{q} + \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ and define $f_{q,\varepsilon} : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as

$$f_{q,\varepsilon} = (1 + z)^{-\frac{1}{q} - \varepsilon}.$$

Then $f_{q,\varepsilon} \notin H^q(\mathbb{D})$. However, if $0 < r < \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$\int_{[0, 2\pi]} |f_{q,\varepsilon}(re^{i\theta})|^{p(\theta)} d\theta \leq \int_{[0, 2\pi]} 2^{p(\theta)} d\theta < \infty,$$

and if $\frac{1}{2} < r < 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[0, 2\pi]} |f_{q,\varepsilon}(re^{i\theta})|^{p(\theta)} d\theta &= \int_{[0, 2\pi] \setminus [\frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{4\pi}{3}]} |f_{q,\varepsilon}(re^{i\theta})|^{p(\theta)} d\theta + \int_{[\frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{4\pi}{3}]} |f_{q,\varepsilon}(re^{i\theta})|^{p(\theta)} d\theta \\ &\leq \int_{[0, 2\pi]} r^{-p(\theta)} d\theta + \int_{[0, 2\pi]} (1 + re^{i\theta})^{-\frac{2}{q} - 2\varepsilon} d\theta < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $f_{q,\varepsilon} \in H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})$.

On the other hand, let $g_{q,\varepsilon} : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined as $g_{q,\varepsilon}(z) = (1 - z)^{-\frac{1}{q}-\varepsilon}$, then $g_{q,\varepsilon} \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$, however since $\frac{1}{q} + \varepsilon \geq \frac{1}{3}$, we have

$$\int_{[0,2\pi]} |g_{q,\varepsilon}(re^{i\theta})|^{p(\theta)} d\theta \geq \int_{[0,\frac{\pi}{3}]} (1 - re^{i\theta})^{-\frac{3}{q}-3\varepsilon} d\theta = \infty.$$

Therefore $g_\varepsilon \notin H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})$.

This example can be generalized to prove the following.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $1 < q_1 < q_2 < \infty$, then there exists a 2π -periodic log-Hölder continuous function p such that for every $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ we have that $q_1 \leq p(\theta) \leq q_2$ and $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D}) \neq H^q(\mathbb{D})$, for every $q_1 \leq q \leq q_2$.*

4. VARIABLE EXPONENT HARDY SPACES ON THE UPPER HALF-PLANE

In this section, we will consider variable exponents Hardy spaces defined on the upper half-plane $\mathbb{C}_+ = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}(z) > 0\}$. We say that a measurable function $p : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow (1, +\infty)$ is log-Hölder continuous if there exists a positive constant C_{\log} such that

$$|p(x) - p(y)| \leq \frac{C_{\log}}{\log(1/|x - y|)},$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $|x - y| < 1/2$. We will say that p belongs to the class LH if it is log-Hölder continuous and there exist constants $r_\infty \in \mathbb{R}$ and $C_\infty > 0$ such that for every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$|r(x) - r_\infty| \leq \frac{C_\infty}{\log(e + |x|)}.$$

In this section, we will assume that p belongs to the class LH . We denote as $p^+ = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+} p(x)$ and $p^- = \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+} p(x)$.

$h(\mathbb{C}_+)$ will denote the space of harmonic functions on \mathbb{C}_+ . Similarly, we will denote as $H(\mathbb{C}_+)$, the space of analytic functions in \mathbb{C}_+ . With this notation in hand, we are ready to define the main concept of this section.

Definition 4.1. The variable exponent harmonic Hardy space $h^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{C}_+)$ is defined as the space of functions $U \in h(\mathbb{C}_+)$ such that

$$(4.1) \quad \sup_{y>0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |U(x + iy)|^{p(x)} dx < \infty.$$

Similarly, the variable exponent Hardy space $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{C}_+)$ is defined as the space of functions $U \in H(\mathbb{C}_+)$ that satisfy equation (4.1).

For $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $y > 0$, the Poisson kernel of the upper half-plane is defined as

$$P_y(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{y}{x^2 + y}.$$

Notice that if we define $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{x^2 + 1}$$

and let $\Phi_y(x) = \frac{1}{y} \Phi(\frac{x}{y})$, then $P_y(x) = \Phi_y(x)$ with $\|\Phi_y\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} = 1$ for every $y > 0$. Thus, the family $\{\Phi_y\}_{y>0}$ is an approximate identity. We will use several results from [4] with this notation that we put together here.

Theorem 4.2 ([4], Theorems 5.8, 5.9, and 5.11). *Suppose $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R})$ and let $y > 0$, then*

$$P_y * f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_y(x-t)f(t) dt < \infty$$

and $P_y * f(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}$. If $p^+ < \infty$, then the convergence is in measure. Moreover, if additionally $p(\cdot)$ is in the class LH , then there exists $C > 0$ such that for every $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\sup_{y>0} \|P_y * f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R})}$$

and

$$\|P_y * f - f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R})} \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } y \rightarrow 0.$$

Theorem 4.3. *Let $p : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow (1, +\infty)$ be a measurable function in the class LH . For each $k > 0$ define $H_k = \{z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C} : y \geq k\}$. If $U \in h^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{C}_+)$, then U is bounded on H_k .*

Proof. Let $z_0 = a + ib$, with $b > 0$ and let $U \in h^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{C}_+)$. Since U is harmonic, for $r \leq R < b$, we have that

$$U(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} U(z_0 + re^{it}) dt,$$

consequently

$$\begin{aligned} |U(z_0)| \frac{R^2}{2} &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^R \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |U(z_0 + re^{it})| r dr dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{b-R}^{b+R} \int_{a-R}^{a+R} |U(x + iy)| dx dy \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{b-R}^{b+R} \|U_y\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R})} \|\chi_{(a-R, a+R)}\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R})} dy \end{aligned}$$

where $U_y : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denotes the function $U_y(x) = U(x + iy)$ and $\chi_{(a-R, a+R)}$ denotes the characteristic function on the interval $(a - R, a + R)$. It is shown in [20], Lemma 2.5 that

$$\|\chi_{(a-R, a+R)}\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R})} \sim (2R)^{1/q(a)}.$$

Thus,

$$|U(z_0)| \lesssim \sup_{y>0} \|U_y\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R})} (R)^{1/q(a)-2}$$

which proves the result since $U \in h^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{C}_+)$. \square

Now, let's denote as $h(\overline{\mathbb{C}_+})$ as the space of harmonic functions on an open half-plane containing $\overline{\mathbb{C}_+}$. Suppose $U \in h(\mathbb{C}_+)$ and for $\beta > 0$ define $U_\beta(z) = U(z + i\beta)$. Then $U_\beta \in h(\overline{\mathbb{C}_+})$ and it is bounded on $\overline{\mathbb{C}_+}$. The following representation for U_β is proven in [17], Theorem 11.2. For $y > 0$,

$$(4.2) \quad U_\beta(x + iy) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_y(x - t) U_\beta(t) dt.$$

We will use such representation to prove the following.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $p : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow (1, +\infty)$ be a measurable function in the class LH. Suppose $U \in h^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{C}_+)$. Then there exists a unique $u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R})$ such that for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $y > 0$,*

$$U(x + iy) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_y(x - t) u(t) dt.$$

Moreover,

$$\sup_{y>0} \|U_y\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R})} \sim \|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Proof. The uniqueness follows from Theorem 4.2 and the pointwise convergence

$$P_y * u \rightarrow u, \quad \text{as } y \rightarrow 0.$$

To prove the existence, suppose $U \in h^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{C}_+)$ and for $\beta > 0$ define $U_\beta(z) = U(z + i\beta)$ as before. By Theorem 4.3 U_β is bounded on $\overline{\mathbb{C}_+}$. Also, since $U_\beta \in h(\overline{\mathbb{C}_+})$ we have that by equation (4.2) that for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and $y > 0$

$$U(x + iy + i\beta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_y(x - t) U(t + i\beta) dt.$$

Let $q(\cdot)$ be the conjugate of $p(\cdot)$. For each positive integer n , let's define $\Lambda_n : L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$\Lambda_n(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) U_{1/n}(x) dx.$$

Notice that by Hölder's inequality,

$$|\Lambda_n(f)| \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R})} \|U_{1/n}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R})} \leq \|f\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R})} \sup_{y>0} \|U_y\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Hence,

$$\|\Lambda_n\| \lesssim \sup_{y>0} \|U_y\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R})}.$$

By Banach-Alaoglu's Theorem, there exists a subsequence $\{\Lambda_{n_k}\}$ that converges to a bounded linear functional $\Lambda \in (L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}))^*$ in the weak-* topology. Using the duality among $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ and $L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R})$, we can choose $u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R})$ such that for every $f \in L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\Lambda(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)u(t) dt$$

and $\|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R})} \sim \|\Lambda\|$.

Now define $f_y(t) = P_y(x-t)$ and notice that $f_y \in L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(f_y) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda_{n_k}(f_y) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_y(x-t)U\left(t + \frac{i}{n_k}\right) dt \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} U\left(x + iy + \frac{i}{n_k}\right) \\ &= U(x + iy). \end{aligned}$$

This shows equation 4.4. Finally, it follows from Theorem 4.2, that

$$\sup_{y>0} \|P_y * u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R})}.$$

□

REFERENCES

- [1] G.R. Chacón and H. Rafeiro. Variable exponent Bergman spaces. *Nonlinear Analysis*, 105:41–49, 2014.
- [2] G.R. Chacón and H. Rafeiro. Toeplitz operators on variable exponent Bergman spaces. *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics*, 13(5):3525–3536, 2016.
- [3] G.R. Chacón, H. Rafeiro, and J. C. Vallejo. Carleson measures on variable exponent Bergman spaces. *Complex Analysis and Operator Theory*, 2016. DOI: 10.1007/s11785-016-0573-0.
- [4] D. Cruz-Uribe and A. Fiorenza. *Variable Lebesgue Spaces: Foundations and Harmonic Analysis*. Birkhäuser, 2013.
- [5] P. L. Duren, “*Theory of Hp Spaces*”. Academic Press, New York, 1970.
- [6] T. Ferguson, *Equivalence among various variable exponent Hardy or Bergman spaces*. Preprint. arXiv:1809.03464
- [7] J. W. Garnett, *Bounded analytic functions*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2007.
- [8] H. Hedenmalm, B. Korenblum and K. Zhu, *Theory of Bergman Spaces*. Springer Verlag (2000).

- [9] A. Karapetyants and S. Samko. Spaces $BMO^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})$ of a variable exponent $p(z)$. *Georgian Mathematical Journal*, 17(3):529–542, 2010.
- [10] A. Karapetyants and S. Samko. Mixed norm Bergman - Morrey type spaces on the unit disc. *Math. Notes*, 100(1):33–48, 2016.
- [11] A. Karapetyants and S. Samko. Mixed norm variable exponent Bergman space on the unit disc. *Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations*, 2016.
- [12] V. Kokilashvili and V. Paatashvili. On Hardy classes of analytic functions with a variable exponent. *Proc. A. Razmadze Math. Inst.*, 142:134–137, 2006.
- [13] V. Kokilashvili and V. Paatashvili. On the convergence of sequences of functions in Hardy classes with a variable exponent. *Proc. A. Razmadze Math. Inst.*, 146:124–126, 2008.
- [14] O. Kováčik and J. Rákosník. On spaces $L^{p(x)}$ and $W^{k,p(x)}$. *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, 41(4):592–618, 1991.
- [15] P. Lefèvre, D. Li, H. Queffélec, and L. Rodríguez-Piazza. Composition operators on Hardy-Orlicz spaces, 2010.
- [16] P. Lefèvre, D. Li, H. Queffélec, and L. Rodríguez-Piazza. Compact composition operators on Bergman-Orlicz spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 365(8):3943–3970, 2013.
- [17] J. Mashregui. *Representation Theorems in Hardy spaces. London Mathematical Society student texts* 74, Cambridge University Press, 2009.
- [18] J. Motos, M. J. Planells, and C. F. Talavera. On variable exponent lebesgue spaces of entire analytic functions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 388:775–787, 2012.
- [19] W. Orlicz. über konjugierte exponentenfolgen. *Studia Math.*, 3:200–211, 1931.
- [20] H. Rafeiro, S. Samko, Variable exponent Campanato spaces. *J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.)* 172 (2011), no. 1, 143–164.
- [21] I.I. Sharapudinov. Uniform boundedness in L^p ($p = p(x)$) of some families of convolution operators. *Mathematical Notes.*, 59:205–212, 1996.