

Variational Calculations for Relativistic Two Dimensional Strongly Interacting Fermions: Application to 2D Liquid 3He

H. Salehi,¹ M. Mohammadi Sabet,² and S. Mizani¹

¹*Department of Physics, Faculty of Science,
Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz, Ahvaz, Iran.*

²*Department of physics, Faculty of science, Ilam University, Ilam, Iran.*

Abstract

Instead of solving Dirac (or Klein-Gordon) equation for a many body system, in this paper a variational method has been used to investigate the properties of two dimensional (2D) strongly interacting fermions and the results have been applied to 2D liquid 3He as the only real fermion system. Our results show that this variational method, known as lowest order constrained variational method, can be used to relativistic 2D fermion systems with a good accuracy. In the case of 2D liquid 3He , Our calculations showed that at higher temperatures relativistic effects are more significant and quantum mechanical effects play a minor role. Also, we have found that in this system, as expected, relativistic effects are not considerable at low temperatures.

Keywords: Relativistic Effects, Dirac Equation, Klein-Gordon Equation, Strongly Correlated Systems, Two Dimensional (2D) Liquid 3He

I. INTRODUCTION

Investigation the properties of relativistic interacting fermion systems is one of the most important issues in various fields of science such as relativistic electron gas [1], nuclear matter [2], chemical systems [3] and neutron stars [4]. Although relativistic effects are usually partial in known experimental systems and the Schrödinger equation can be used to

describe them with good accuracy, but these effects are significant in some systems such as electrons in heavy atoms (e.g. Au , Cs , where relativistic effects are considerable in molecule Bonds) [5], light atoms (such as Fe , and S) [6] and new-underlying 2D systems such as Graphene [7, 8]. In Graphene electrons can be considered as massless Dirac fermions obeying Dirac relativistic equation and their speed are two orders of magnitude smaller than the speed of light. Electrons in Graphene move in two spatial dimensions while relativistic quantum mechanics is configured for describing particles with three spatial degrees of freedom. Nowadays Dirac

fermions have been also found in other systems such as the surface of topological insulators [9], quasi-2D systems [10], optical lattices [11] and Bismuth-based materials [12]. On the other hand, relativistic effects become more important in many-body systems theoretically, since one needs to solve Dirac relativistic equation in these systems. This problem, in fact, is a quantum electrodynamics (QED) one, but many attempts have been made to describe relativistic strongly correlated systems and different many-body methods including Green-function, Monte-Carlo, Coupled-Cluster, Landau Fermi-liquid model and etc. [13] have been presented to investigate these systems. A relativistic strongly correlated system has its own difficulties in 2D as mentioned above and different works have been also done to describe these systems [7, 13, 14]. These issues motivated us to investigate a 2D relativistic system in a variational manner. In this paper we have used a variational method known as lowest order constrained variational (LOCV) method [15] to investigate the properties of a 2D relativistic interacting fermion system. This method has been used in different correlated non-relativistic fermion systems with good accuracy, and the obtained results have a good agreement with experimental data [16]. Here, we have used this variational method to describe a relativistic many-body 2D problem

considering the relativistic form of energy for particles instead of solving Dirac (and also Klein-Gordon) equation for this many body problem. The method has been applied in 2D liquid as the only real fermion many-body system and the results have been discussed and compared with theoretical and experimental data. The structure of this paper is as follows. In next section, the applied variational method will be presented. Then the results of the method will be applied in 2D liquid ${}^3\text{He}$ and finally we have the Summary and Conclusion section.

II. METHOD: LOWEST ORDER CONSTRAINED VARIATIONAL FORMALISM

We consider a two-dimensional system consists of N interacting fermions whose distribution function at finite temperature is as follows,

$$n(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{e^{\beta(\varepsilon(\mathbf{k})-\mu)} + 1}, \quad (1)$$

where $\beta = \frac{1}{K_B T}$ and μ is chemical potential obtained according to constrain of particle numbers, i.e.,

$$N = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} n(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{\nu A}{(2\pi)^2} \int d\mathbf{k} n(\mathbf{k}), \quad (2)$$

where ν is the single-particle level degeneracy ($\nu = 2$, for unpolarized fermions) and A is the surface. Using Eq. 1 we have,

$$\rho = \frac{\nu}{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{kdk}{\exp \left[\beta \left((\hbar^2 c^2 k^2 + m^2 c^4)^{1/2} - mc^2 - \mu \right) \right] + 1}, \quad (3)$$

where ρ is the number density of particles,

$$\rho = \frac{N}{A}. \quad (4)$$

In Eq. 3 we have used the relativistic form for the single-particle energy as follows,

$$\varepsilon(k) = (\hbar^2 c^2 k^2 + m^2 c^4)^{1/2} - mc^2. \quad (5)$$

In order to calculate thermodynamic properties of system, we use constrained variational method based on cluster expansion of energy functional. In this method the energy of system is written as follows,

$$E = \frac{\langle \Psi | \hat{H} | \Psi \rangle}{\langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle} = E_1 + E_2 + \dots \quad (6)$$

where \hat{H} and Ψ are the many-body Hamiltonian and wave function, respectively. We consider a many-particle trail wave function as

$$\Psi(1, 2, \dots, N) = F(1, 2, \dots, N) \Phi(1, 2, \dots, N), \quad (7)$$

where, $\Phi(1, 2, \dots, N)$ is the Slater determinant of N non-interacting particles and $F(1, 2, \dots, N)$ is the correlation operator containing the whole effects of interactions and has the cluster property, i.e., for each two subsets of N interacting particles far from each other, (i_1, \dots, i_p) and (i_{p+1}, \dots, i_N) , we

have

$$F(1, \dots, N) \rightarrow F(1, \dots, p) F(p+1, \dots, N) \quad (8)$$

In Eq. 6, E_1 and E_2 are one- and two-body energies, respectively, calculated as follows:

One-body energy is the same as kinetic energy of non-interacting fermions written as follows [15, 16]:

$$E_1 = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} n(\mathbf{k}) \varepsilon(\mathbf{k}). \quad (9)$$

Here, $\varepsilon(\mathbf{k})$ is energy of a single particle whose relativistic form is as Eq. 5. Therefore, for relativistic many body fermion systems, at finite temperature, we have the one-body energy (per particle) as follows,

$$\frac{E_1}{N} = \frac{\nu}{2\pi\rho} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{(\sqrt{\hbar^2 c^2 k^2 + m^2 c^4} - mc^2) kdk}{e^{\beta(\sqrt{\hbar^2 c^2 k^2 + m^2 c^4} - mc^2 - \mu)} + 1} \quad (10)$$

It must be mentioned that for non-relativistic particles the single particle energy has following form and in Eqs 5, 9 and also other equations with summation (integration) of $n(\mathbf{k})$, following equation must be substituted for single particle energy,

$$\varepsilon(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m}. \quad (11)$$

Two-body energy, in our variational

method, has the following form,

$$E_2 = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{ij} \langle ij | W(12) | ij \rangle_a \quad (12)$$

where the subscript "a" stands for anti-symmetric and $|i\rangle$ is the one-particle wave function considered as a plane wave,

$$\langle \mathbf{r}, \sigma | i \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Omega}} e^{i\mathbf{k}_i \cdot \mathbf{r}} \chi_i^\sigma, \quad (13)$$

such that χ_i^σ the spinor of particle in state i with spin σ . In Eq. 12, $W(12)(= W(r_{12}))$ where,

$$\begin{aligned} W_1(12) &= \frac{\hbar^2}{m} (\nabla f(r))^2 + f^2(r) V(r) \\ W_2(12) &= \frac{\hbar^4}{8m^3 c^2} \{8 \nabla f(r) \cdot \nabla^3 f(r) + 6 \nabla^2 f(r) \nabla^2 f(r)\} \\ W_3(12) &= \frac{\hbar^6}{16m^5 c^4} \{30 \nabla^2 f(r) \nabla^4 f(r) + 12 \nabla f(r) \cdot \nabla^5 f(r) + 20 \nabla^3 f(r) \cdot \nabla^3 f(r)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

In the case of non-relativistic particles, we have only the first term [15] and two last terms are due to the relativistic form of single particle energy.

In these equations $f(r)$ is two-body correlation function and $V(12) \equiv V(r)$ is the inter-particle potential energy which in the case of 2D liquid ${}^3\text{He}$ is the Lenard-Jones potential,

$$V(r) = 4\varepsilon \left[\left(\frac{\sigma}{r} \right)^{12} - \left(\frac{\sigma}{r} \right)^6 \right] \quad (18)$$

where, $\varepsilon = 10.22$ K and $\sigma = 2.556$ Å. In our

calculations we have used the Jastrow approximation in which the correlation functions are defined as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} W(12) &= \frac{1}{2} F^\dagger(12) [\hat{t}(1) + \hat{t}(2), F(12)] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} [F^\dagger(12), \hat{t}(1) + \hat{t}(2)] F(12) \\ &+ F^\dagger(12) V(12) F(12) \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where, the operator $\hat{t}(i)$ gives us the single particle energy,

$$\hat{t}(i) |i\rangle = \varepsilon_i |i\rangle \quad (15)$$

and ε_i obtained from Eq. 5 (Eq. 11) for relativistic (non-relativistic) particles. After some relatively complicated algebra, for relativistic particles, we have,

$$W(12) = W_1(12) + W_2(12) + W_3(12), \quad (16)$$

where,

calculations we have used the Jastrow approximation in which the correlation functions are defined as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} f(1, \dots, N) &= \prod_{i < j} f(r_{ij}) \\ f(1) &= 1 \\ f(12) &= f(r_{12}) \\ f(123) &= f(r_{12}) f(r_{23}) f(r_{31}) \\ &\dots \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

After some algebra we have the following

equation for two-body energy per particle

$$\frac{E_2}{N} = \frac{\rho}{2} \int_0^\infty L(r) d\mathbf{r} [W(r)], \quad (20)$$

where,

$$L(r) = 1 - \frac{\nu}{4\pi^2\rho^2}(\gamma(r))^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (21)$$

and

$$\gamma(r) = \int_0^\infty n(k)j_0(kr)kdk. \quad (22)$$

Here, $J_0(kr)$ is the spherical bessel function. Variation of Eq. 3 with respect to correlation function, $f(r)$, leads to the following equation,

$$f''(r) + 2f'(r)\frac{L'(r)}{L(r)} - \frac{m}{\hbar^2}(V(r) - 2\lambda)f(r) = 0, \quad (23)$$

where λ is the Lagrange multiplier due to the normalization condition [15, 16]. Two-body correlation function, $f(r)$, can be obtained by numerical methods and then we can calculate two-body energy using Eq. 20. In order to obtain thermodynamic properties of system, we must calculate free energy,

$$F = E - TS. \quad (24)$$

In this equation, E is the total energy obtained from Eq. 6, T is the temperature and S is the entropy obtained using the following equation [15, 16],

$$S = -k_B \sum_\varepsilon (1 - n(\varepsilon))Ln(1 - n(\varepsilon)) + n(\varepsilon)Ln n(\varepsilon). \quad (25)$$

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section, the method mentioned in previous section is applied for evaluation the properties of two-dimensional liquid 3He . Firstly, some theoretical aspects of this system will be discussed.

In Fig. 1, the kinetic energy of relativistic two-dimensional (2D) liquid 3He has been plotted as a function of density for different temperatures. As this figure shows, the kinetic energy per particle increases with in-

creasing both density and temperature and this increment is almost monotonic.

In Fig. 2, two-body or interaction energy of 2D liquid 3He has been plotted as a function of density for different temperatures. Our results show that in densities lower (greater) than $\rho \approx 0.03\text{\AA}^{-2}$, the two-body energy of 2D liquid 3He decreases (increases) by density. In other words, by increasing density of particles, interaction energy becomes more effective because of decreasing the distance between particles.

Fig. 3 shows the interaction energy of 2D liquid ${}^3\text{He}$ as a function of temperature, considering the relativistic form of energy for particles. From this figure we can see that at temperatures below $T \approx 1.5\text{ K}$ interaction energy of ${}^3\text{He}$ liquid decreases by increasing temperature, but in temperature greater than $T \approx 1.5\text{ K}$, the two-body energy increases by increasing temperature. Our results show that the slope of this increment is approximately monotonic.

Since the internal energy is the sum of kinetic and interaction energies, in Fig. 4 the isothermal diagrams of total energy (per particle) of relativistic 2D liquid ${}^3\text{He}$ has been plotted as a function of density. As this figure shows, at a given temperature, the energy of relativistic 2D liquid ${}^3\text{He}$ increases by density. The increasing is more considerable at densities greater than $\rho \approx 0.03\text{ \AA}^{-2}$. This means that two-body energy plays a significant role in the total energy of system. As we have seen, two-body energy in our 2D relativistic variational model, includes three terms two of which are due to the considering relativistic form of single particle energy, Eq. 16 as well as the effect of single-particle energy effects (Eq. 5) on the distribution function (Eq. 2). On the other hand, it is believed that juxtaposing lower dimensionality for increasing interaction and quantum statistic changes some physical proper-

ties. Therefore, this behavior may be a results of all these reasons.

In Table I, the ground state energy of relativistic 2D liquid ${}^3\text{He}$ has been presented for various densities. In order to have a better comparison, the results of some other works [18–21] are also presented in this table. As it can be seen, by increasing density, ground state energy of relativistic two-dimensional ${}^3\text{He}$ increases which this behavior has been observed at all temperatures. Our results show that there is no saturation point (minimum of energy as a function of density) for 2D liquid ${}^3\text{He}$ which is in agreement with all other theoretical works. It is be mentioned that the differences between our results and others may due to the influence of the substrate as well as three-body clusters not considered here. Generally, the overall behavior of our results has a good agreement with the results of others. On the other hand, since total energy is the sum of kinetic (one-body) and interaction (two-body) energies (see Eq. 6), results of internal energy show that the interaction energy plays a significant role in this behavior.

The results of free energy (as a function of density) have been plotted in Fig. 5, in which the energy of particles has been considered in the relativistic form. Results have been calculated using $F = E - TS$ where S and E are entropy (Eq. 24) and internal en-

ergy of system (Eq. 2), respectively. As it is clear from this figure, the free energy of relativistic 2D liquid 3He , at a given temperature, increases by increasing density. In this figure, again, there is no minimum point. It means that system has no bound state which is completely compatible with other theoretical [18, 19] and experimental works [22]. Of course, in newer experimental works [23, 24] there are observed evidences of bound states in the two-dimensional 3He , but there is no such a state in any theoretical works up to now. Our results show that in all temperatures such an increasing behavior of the free energy can be observed. From this figure, we can also see that, the free energy of system decreases by increasing temperature. It means that in Eq. 24, TS has a dominant part in free energy.

Also, in order to evaluate relativistic effects on this system, free energy of relativistic and non-relativistic at $T = 2 K$ has been presented in Table II. Comparing these values shows that consideration relativistic corrections has a relative considerable effect on free energy of system. The results also showed that these effects increase with density and temperature. As we know the free energy is defined as Eq. 24 and therefore these corrections are due to the total energy and entropy corrections which in turn is a result of the fact that effective potential is affected by

this energy (see Eq. 17). Therefore, in order to investigate the effects of relativistic energy on systems, in Table III the results of total energy of two-dimensional 3He in relativistic state have been compared with that of non-relativistic case for different densities at $T = 2 K$. In this table E_{NR} and E_R are the internal energy of non-relativistic and relativistic two-dimensional 3He particles, respectively. As we have seen in Eq. 16, in our variational method, considering the relativistic two dimensional strongly correlated systems (here, 2D liquid 3He) leads to three different parts in the effective potential. At a given temperature, the correction effects increase by increasing density.

In Table IV, the results of entropy of 2D liquid 3He at $T = 2 K$, considering the relativistic form for single-particles energy, is compared with that of non-relativistic case for different densities. $S_{NR}(S_R)$ is the entropy of non-relativistic (relativistic) 2D liquid 3He . As we can see, for different densities the relativistic corrections are relatively considerable at high temperatures. Therefore, according to the last two tables and Eq. 25, relativistic effects in entropy and total energy lead to final effects on the free energy of systems.

Results of entropy for relativistic 2D liquid 3He has been plotted in Fig. 6 at $\rho = 0.028 \text{ \AA}^{-2}$. In order to better investigations,

the experimental data [25] have also been plotted. As we see from this figure, the overall behavior of our results have a good agreement with experimental data. It is be mentioned that the observed differences can be due to the effects of substrate which have not considered in this work. The results would be better by considering the three-body energy effects [16].

Another property is the specific heat of system. In Fig. 7, the specific heat of 2D liquid 3He has been plotted as a function of temperature for $\rho = 0.028 \text{ \AA}^{-2}$ and the experimental data [25] have also been plotted for a better insight. As it is observed, the overall behavior of our results is in a good agreement with experimental data. From this figure one can also found that the relativistic results get closer to experimental data by increasing temperature, and relativistic corrections are more considerable at higher temperature. At high temperatures the kinetic energy is more important than the interaction energy and therefore, the relativistic effects have more considerable influence than quantum mechanical effects (which are mainly due to the interactions). Therefore, the results of relativistic corrections are more considerable by increasing temperature. At lower temperature the relativistic corrections are less considerable and mainly the quantum mechanical effects play more important roles.

In order to more evaluation, heat capacity of relativistic liquid 3He in two densities at $T = 4 \text{ K}$ are compared with experimental results. As we can see our results are in a good agreement with experimental results. Although in 2D liquid 3He influence of relativistic corrections is small, but as it is clear from this table the values of specific heat in this relativistic 2D fermion system are in more agreement with experimental data [25].

IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper a variational method has been used to investigate the properties of a 2D fermion system considering the relativistic form for the kinetic energy of single particles. The presented method has been applied to 2D liquid 3He as the only real fermion system. Our results showed that the presented method can be used straightforward to relativistic two dimensional many body systems instead of solving Dirac or Klein-Gordon equation. The results of calculations applied in 3He also showed that the relativistic corrections in this system are small, as expected. These corrections are more significant at higher temperature in which the kinetic energy of many body systems is more important than the interaction energy, and relativistic effects play a more important role

rather than the quantum mechanical effects. The methods of this paper can be used to other relativistic 2D fermion many body sys-

tems including relativistic electron gas.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We wish to thank Ilam University research council.

-
- [1] Y. Liu and J. Wu, Phys. Rev. E 90, 0121411 (2014).
- [2] L. Jiang, Phys. Rev. C 91, 0258021 (2015).
- [3] P. Pyykko, Ann. Rev. Phys. Chem. 63, 45 (2012).
- [4] Jinniu Hu and Hong Shen, Phys. Rev. C, 95, 025804 (2017).
- [5] E. Engel and R. M. Dreizler, Density Functional Theory: an advanced course, Springer, Hedelberg, Germany, New York, (2011).
- [6] C. Michauk and J. Gauss, J. Chem. Phys. 139 , 044106 (2007).
- [7] P. Kim, Graphene and Relativistic Quantum Physics, Dirac matter, , Editor: B. Duplantier , V. Rivasseau and J. N. Fuchs, Springer International Publishing, Cham. (2017), p.1-23.
- [8] S. Kivelson, D.-H. Lee, and S.-C. Zhang, Sci. Am. 274, 64 (1996).
- [9] D. P. DiVincenzo and E. J. Mele, Phys. Rev. B 29, 1685 (1984).
- [10] G.W. Semenoff, Phys. Rev. Lett. 53, 2449 (1984).
- [11] Haldane, F. D. M., Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 2015 (1988).
- [12] K. S. Novoselov, Nature, 438, 197 (2005).
- [13] I. Lindgren Relativistic Many-Body Theory: A New Field-Theoretical Approach, Springer, New York, Dordrecht, Heidelberg, London (2011).
- [14] H. Blas and B. M. Pimentel, Phys. Rev. E, 60, 6164 (1999), O. Vafek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 216401 (2007), Z. Y. Meng, T. C. Lang, S. Wessel, F. F. Assaad and A. Muramatsu, Nature 464, 847 (2010).
- [15] G. H. Bordbar, M. Modarres, J. of Phys. G: Nuclear and Particle Physics, 23, 1631 (2011).
- [16] G. H. Bordbar, S. Mohsenipour and M. J. Karimi, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 25, 2355 (2017).
- [17] G. H. Bordbar, F. Fatemi, J. Theo. and Comp. Chemistry, 12, 1350061 (2013).

- [18] A. D. Novaco and C. E. Campbell, Phys. Rev. B 11, 2525 (1975).
- [19] M. D. Miller and L. H. Nosanow, Low Temp. Phys. 32, 145 (1978).
- [20] C. Um, J. R. Kahng, and Y. S. Kim, J. Low Temp. Physics, 107, 283 (1997).
- [21] B. Brami, F. Joly, and C. Lhuillier, J. Low Temp. Physics, 94, 63 (1994).
- [22] D. S. Greywall, Phys. Rev. B 27, 2747 (1983).
- [23] D. Sato et al., Phys. Rev. 109, 235306 (2012).
- [24] D. sato et al., J. Low Temp. Phys. 158, 201 (2010).
- [25] M. Bretz et al., Phys. Rev. A, 8, 1589 (1973).




FIG. 1: Kinetic (one-body) energy per particle of relativistic two-dimensional 3He as a function of density for different temperatures.




FIG. 2: Two-body energy per particle of relativistic 2D liquid ${}^3\text{He}$ as a function of density at different temperatures.




FIG. 3: Two-body energy of relativistic 2D liquid ${}^3\text{He}$ as a function of temperature for different densities.




FIG. 4: Isothermals of total energy of relativistic 2D liquid ${}^3\text{He}$ as a function of density.




FIG. 5: Free energy of relativistic 2D liquid ${}^3\text{He}$ as a function of density at $T = 2k$




FIG. 6: Comparison the entropy of relativistic two-dimensional 3He at $\rho = 0.028 \text{ \AA}^{-2}$ (dashed line) with experimental results (solid line) [25]. The dotted line curve shows the results of entropy considering the non-relativistic form for single particle energy. The inner layout is drawn to note the differences between relativistic and non-relativistic entropies. .




FIG. 7: Comparison the specific heat of relativistic two-dimensional liquid ${}^3\text{He}$ at $\rho = 0.028 \text{ \AA}^{-2}$ (dashed line) with experimental results (solid line) [25]. The dotted line shows the non-relativistic results for this density.

TABLE I: Comparison the total energy of two-dimensional ${}^3\text{He}$ liquid in relativistic state with that of non-relativistic [18–21] case at $T = 5\text{ K}$.

$\rho(\text{\AA}^{-2})$	$E_{NR}(K)$				$E_R(K)$
	[21]	[20]	[19]	[18]	
0.005	0.175	0.075	0.10	0.22	0.06117
0.0075	0.25	0.12	0.14	0.35	0.1
0.01	0.31	0.135	0.19	0.45	0.1443
0.0125	0.45	0.15	0.23	0.58	0.1862
0.015	-	0.175	0.28	0.68	0.23
0.0175	0.525	0.21	0.34	0.84	0.28

TABLE II: Comparison the free energy of 2D liquid ${}^3\text{He}$ in relativistic state with the free energy of non-relativistic case at $T = 2\text{ K}$.

$\rho(\text{\AA}^{-2})$	$F_R(K)$	$F_{NR}(K)$
0.02	-0.93668	1.10937
0.03	-0.58832	1.25216
0.04	-0.09854	1.60084
0.05	1.9743	3.56691

TABLE III: Comparison the total energy of 2D liquid ${}^3\text{He}$ in relativistic state with that of the non-relativistic one.

$\rho(\text{\AA}^{-2})$	$E_R(K)$	$E_{NR}(K)$ [17]
0.02	2.0625	2.06476
0.03	2.1098	2.11156
0.04	2.3924	2.39435
0.05	4.3087	4.31054

TABLE IV: Comparison of entropy of two-dimensional ${}^3\text{He}$ liquid in relativistic state with that of non-relativistic case $T = 2\text{ K}$.

$\rho(\text{\AA}^{-2})$	S_R	S_{NR}
0.02	1.5027	1.50072
0.03	1.3517	1.34994
0.04	1.2481	1.24644
0.05	1.1696	1.16809

TABLE V: Comparison of heat capacity of two-dimension liquid ${}^3\text{He}$ in relativistic and non-relativistic state with experimental data [25] at $T = 4\text{ K}$.

$\rho(\text{\AA}^{-2})$	$C_v(\text{Non} - \text{Rel.})$	$C_v(\text{Rel.})$	$C_v(\text{Exp})$
0.0415	1.018	1.015	0.862
0.0154	1.004	1.006	1.03