

6D dual superconformal algebra

Klaus Bering, Michal Pazderka,

*Institute for Theoretical Physics, Masaryk University,
611 37 Brno, Czech Republic*

E-mail: bering@mail.muni.cz, michpa@mail.muni.cz

ABSTRACT: We construct and study the 6D dual superconformal algebra. Our construction is inspired by the dual superconformal symmetry of massless 4D $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM. We formulate constraints in 6D spinor helicity formalism and find all generators of the 6D dual superconformal algebra. Next we check that they agree with the dual superconformal generators of known 3D and 4D theories. We show that it is possible to significantly simplify the form of generators and compactly write the dual superconformal algebra using superindices.

KEYWORDS: Scattering Amplitudes; Supersymmetric Gauge Theory; Extended Supersymmetry;

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Spinor helicity formalism in 6D	2
3	Dual superconformal symmetry of massless $D = 4$ $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM	4
4	Construction of the 6D dual superconformal algebra	5
4.1	Bosonic generators	6
4.2	Fermionic generators and new y_i^{IJ} variables	7
5	Superization	9
6	Dimensional reduction	11
6.1	4D massless $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM	11
6.2	3D $\mathcal{N} = 6$ super Chern-Simons theory (ABJM theory)	14
7	6D analogue of 4D central charge?	15
8	Conclusion and discussion	16
A	Conventions	17
A.1	3D	18
A.2	4D	18
A.3	6D	18
B	Dirac Derivative	19

1 Introduction

Scattering amplitudes are one of the most important and most interesting outputs of quantum field theories. The standard procedure how to calculate scattering amplitudes is via Feynman diagrams, which is a perturbative approach. However, the complexity of calculations rapidly grows with the number of external legs and with the number of loops. Despite the complexity of calculations a huge number of intermediate terms often cancel and the final scattering amplitude can be extremely simple, e.g. tree-level gluon amplitudes, cf. Parke & Taylor [1]. This might suggest some deeper structure.

There has been great progress in exploring scattering amplitudes in recent years, see for example [2], [3], [4] and many more. One of the most interesting theories is the massless

$D = 4 \mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM, where the underlying structure is the Yangian symmetry. In detail this theory is conformally invariant not only in the bosonic sector but it enjoys full superconformal symmetry. Apart from the superconformal symmetry there is also a hidden symmetry called dual superconformal symmetry [5]. Taking commutators of generators of these algebras give rise to infinitely many new generators forming a Yangian algebra and therefore it leads to Yangian symmetry of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM [6].

It is an interesting question how much of this symmetry survives for massive amplitudes i.e. scattering amplitudes on the Coulomb branch. Although this theory is no longer conformally invariant it turns out to be still dual conformally invariant [7]. The questions of potential dual superconformal invariance and Yangian-like invariance are still open which motivates us to construct the 6D dual superconformal algebra. Apart from 4D massive amplitudes, the implications of the 6D dual superconformal algebra for scattering amplitudes might be interesting on its own. For example the 6D dual conformal symmetry fixes the 1-loop 4-point integrand [8].

In this paper we want to build a general framework for the dual superconformal algebra in various dimensions which can be immediately applied to massive scattering amplitudes in 4D via dimensional reduction. The paper is organized as follows: 1. We establish the necessary spinor helicity formalism in 6D. 2. We briefly review the dual superconformal symmetry of massless $D = 4 \mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM. 3. We construct the dual superconformal algebra in 6D in analogy with the 4D case. 4. A significant simplification of calculations is achieved by introducing superindices, and as a result, expressions for all generators become very compact. 5. Applying dimensional reduction to $D = 4$ and $D = 3$ we show that our results reduce to known generators of dual superconformal algebra (DSCA) in appropriate dimensions. 6. We briefly discuss how an analogue of the 4D central charge sits in our formalism.

2 Spinor helicity formalism in 6D

Spinor helicity formalism turns out to be a very powerful tool for describing scattering amplitudes effectively [9]. The main advantage of using spinor helicity formalism is to use spinor variables adopted for massless particles. Therefore we do not have to impose extra constraints (e.g. on polarization vectors) and as a consequence scattering amplitudes take a much simpler form. It can be done by solving the equation for massless particles

$$p^2 = 0 . \tag{2.1}$$

Let us first discuss the Lorentz group in 6D which is $SO^+(1, 5)$ and the corresponding spin group which is $Spin(1, 5)$. It is more convenient to use the complexification of $Spin(1, 5)$ which is $Spin(6, \mathbb{C}) \cong SU(4)_{\mathbb{C}} \cong SL(4, \mathbb{C})$ because the antisymmetric representation of $SU(4)_{\mathbb{C}}$ is six-dimensional. In other words vectors are antisymmetric in spinor indices.

This can be viewed in the following way. First let's replace the 6D spacetime index μ with spinor indices AB (with the help of the 6D Pauli matrices)

$$(\Sigma^\mu)^{AB} p_{i\mu} = p_i^{AB} \longleftarrow p_{i\mu} \longrightarrow \tilde{p}_{iAB} = \left(\tilde{\Sigma}^\mu \right)_{AB} p_{i\mu} , \tag{2.2}$$

where \tilde{p}_{AB} and p^{AB} are antisymmetric in A and B , cf. Table 1. We can then write the null momentum

$$p_i^{AB} = \lambda_i^{Aa} \lambda_{ia}^B, \quad \tilde{p}_{iAB} = \tilde{\lambda}_{iA\dot{a}} \tilde{\lambda}_{iB}^{\dot{a}}, \quad (2.3)$$

using spinors λ_i^{Aa} or $\tilde{\lambda}_{iA\dot{a}}$, where A is a $SU(4)$ spinor index and a and \dot{a} are little group indices corresponding to the little group $SO(4) \cong SU(2) \times SU(2)$. Representations of momentum p^{AB} and \tilde{p}_{AB} are not independent, but are related via the $SU(4)$ metric (= the totally antisymmetric Levi-Civita symbol with four indices)

$$p_i^{AB} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ABCD} \tilde{p}_{iCD} \Rightarrow \lambda_i^{Aa} \lambda_{ia}^B = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ABCD} \tilde{\lambda}_{iC\dot{a}} \tilde{\lambda}_{iD}^{\dot{a}}. \quad (2.4)$$

We can think about spinors also as solutions to the massless Dirac equations

$$\tilde{p}_{AB} \lambda^{Aa} = 0, \quad p^{AB} \tilde{\lambda}_{A\dot{a}} = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

The momentum p^{AB} has rank two for massless particles ($\det p^{AB} = (p^2)^2 = 0$) and therefore we have two independent solutions to each of Dirac equations $\tilde{p}_{AB} \lambda^{Aa} = 0$ and $p^{AB} \tilde{\lambda}_{A\dot{a}} = 0$ labeled by the little group indices. Sometimes it is useful to have an explicit expression of spinors in terms of momenta which can be achieved via solving Dirac equations (2.5). One possible representation is [7]

$$\lambda^{Aa} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{p_0 + p_3} \\ \frac{-p_5 + ip_4}{\sqrt{p_0 + p_3}} & \frac{p_1 + ip_2}{\sqrt{p_0 + p_3}} \\ \frac{-p_1 + ip_2}{\sqrt{p_0 + p_3}} & \frac{-p_5 - ip_4}{\sqrt{p_0 + p_3}} \\ \sqrt{p_0 + p_3} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\lambda}_{A\dot{a}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{p_0 - p_3} \\ \frac{p_5 + ip_4}{\sqrt{p_0 - p_3}} & \frac{-p_1 + ip_2}{\sqrt{p_0 - p_3}} \\ \frac{p_1 + ip_2}{\sqrt{p_0 - p_3}} & \frac{p_5 - ip_4}{\sqrt{p_0 - p_3}} \\ \sqrt{p_0 - p_3} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.6)$$

For more details, see for example [10]. For spinor helicity formalism in 4D, see for example [9].

Let's end this section with a table of letters and symbols that we will use in the text for different types of indices

Table 1. Index conventions			
Index	6D	4D	3D
Lorentz	μ, ν	μ, ν	μ, ν
Spinor	A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H	$\alpha, \dot{\alpha}, \beta, \dot{\beta}, \gamma, \dot{\gamma}$ $\delta, \dot{\delta}, \epsilon, \dot{\epsilon}$	α, β, γ
Little group	$a, \dot{a}, b, \dot{b}, c, \dot{c}$	-	-
Particle	i, j, k	i, j, k	i, j, k
Grassmann	I, J, K, L, M, N	I, J, K, L, M	I, J, K, L, M

3 Dual superconformal symmetry of massless $D = 4$ $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM

Scattering amplitudes of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM take a very simple form. An example of such superamplitudes is the well known tree-level MHV scattering amplitude

$$\mathcal{A}_n^{MHV} = \frac{\delta^4(p^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}) \delta^8(q^{I\alpha})}{\langle 12 \rangle \dots \langle n1 \rangle} . \quad (3.1)$$

Before reviewing the dual superconformal algebra it is useful to recall that tree-level scattering amplitudes enjoy superconformal symmetry generated by the following set of generators¹

$$g_{4D} = \{p^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, m_{\alpha\beta}, \bar{m}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}, d, k_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, q^{I\alpha}, \bar{q}_I^{\dot{\alpha}}, s_{I\alpha}, \bar{s}_{I\dot{\alpha}}, r^I_J, c\} , \quad (3.2)$$

which form a $psu(2, 2|4)$ algebra. The explicit form of superconformal generators can be found for example in [6].

Moreover scattering amplitudes enjoy a hidden dual superconformal symmetry. This symmetry was discovered for the first time in [5] and puts a nontrivial constraints on scattering amplitudes. It is convenient to express generators of the dual superconformal symmetry (DSCS) with help of the dual coordinates x and θ satisfying the following constraints²

$$x_i^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} - x_{i+1}^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} - \lambda_i^\alpha \tilde{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \approx 0 , \quad \theta_i^{I\alpha} - \theta_{i+1}^{I\alpha} - \eta_i^I \lambda_i^\alpha \approx 0 , \quad (3.3)$$

i.e. eqs. (3.3) define a subspace in the so-called *full space* $\{\lambda_i^\alpha, \tilde{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\alpha}}, \eta_i^I, x_i^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \theta_i^{I\alpha}\}$. The *on-shell space* is spanned by coordinates $\{\lambda_i^\alpha, \tilde{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\alpha}}, \eta_i^I\}$ and the *dual space* is spanned by $\{\lambda_i^\alpha, x_i^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \theta_i^{I\alpha}\}$ [5]. All generators of any algebra defined on the full space must (super)commute with (3.3) in order to preserve this subspace. The generators of the dual superconformal algebra can be found to be [6]:

$$G_{4D} = \{P_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, M_{\alpha\beta}, \bar{M}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}, D, K^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, Q_{I\alpha}, \bar{Q}_{I\dot{\alpha}}, S_\alpha^A, \bar{S}_{I\dot{\alpha}}, R^I_J, C\} . \quad (3.4)$$

The most interesting are non-local generators

$$K^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \sum_i \{x_i^{\dot{\alpha}\epsilon} \theta_i^{I\alpha} \partial_{iI\epsilon} + x_i^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} x_i^{\dot{\gamma}\alpha} \partial_{i\dot{\gamma}\dot{\beta}} + x_i^{\alpha\dot{\epsilon}} \tilde{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \partial_{i\dot{\epsilon}} + x_{i+1}^{\epsilon\dot{\alpha}} \lambda_i^\alpha \partial_{i\epsilon} + \tilde{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \theta_{i+1}^{I\alpha} \partial_{iI}\} , \quad (3.5)$$

$$S^{I\alpha} = \sum_i \{-\theta_i^{J\alpha} \theta_i^{I\beta} \partial_{iJ\beta} + x_i^{\alpha\dot{\gamma}} \theta_i^{I\beta} \partial_{i\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}} + \theta_i^{I\beta} \lambda_i^\alpha \partial_{i\beta} + x_{i+1}^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \eta_i^I \partial_{i\dot{\beta}} + \eta_i^I \theta_{i+1}^{N\alpha} \partial_{iN}\} . \quad (3.6)$$

(For conventions see appendix A.) We can solve the constraints (3.3) by

$$x_i^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = x_1^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \lambda_j^\alpha \tilde{\lambda}_j^{\dot{\alpha}} , \quad \theta_i^{I\alpha} = \theta_1^{I\alpha} - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \eta_j^I \lambda_j^\alpha , \quad (3.7)$$

¹Generators of the superconformal algebra are labeled by small letters and generators of dual algebra are labeled by capital letters.

² The symbol \approx means weakly equal, i.e. the left and right hand sides of this symbol are equal up to constraints.

plug back into the all generators in (3.4) and compare with superconformal generators (3.2). For more details of this procedure, see ref. [6]. It turns out that most of the dual superconformal generators G_{4D} coincide with some of the superconformal generators g_{4D} . However (3.5) and (3.6) produce new non-local expressions of so-called **level 1** generators. (We say that generators of superconformal algebra g_{4D} are **level 0** generators.)

Following the standard notation let's denote $J^{(0)}$ as generators of the standard superconformal algebra and $J^{(1)}$ as generators of the dual superconformal algebra. It is possible to show that they satisfy [6], [12]³

$$\left[J_a^{(0)}, J_b^{(0)} \right] = f_{ab}{}^c J_c^{(0)}, \quad \left[J_a^{(1)}, J_b^{(0)} \right] = f_{ab}{}^c J_c^{(1)}. \quad (3.8)$$

Moreover these generators satisfy Serre relations [11]. For review see ref. [12]. It is also possible to write generators $J^{(1)}$ as a bi-local expression of $J^{(0)}$

$$J_a^{(1)} = f_a{}^{bc} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} J_{ib}^{(0)} J_{jc}^{(0)}. \quad (3.9)$$

The fact that the generators of the superconformal and the dual superconformal algebra satisfy (3.8) together with Serre relations give rise to an infinite tower of generators that span the so-called Yangian algebra.

4 Construction of the 6D dual superconformal algebra

In analogy with 4D we start with the constraints. The constraints (3.3) can be viewed as a solution to the constraints imposed by momentum and supermomentum conservation encoded in products of delta functions $\delta(p)\delta(q)$. Momentum conservation in the dual language is simply the identification $x_1 \equiv x_{n+1}$ (analogously $\theta_1 \equiv \theta_{n+1}$) which can be achieved by replacing the product of momentum and supermomentum delta functions by the product $\delta(x_1 - x_{n+1})\delta(\theta_1 - \theta_{n+1})$. Therefore we call the scattering amplitude on the dual space the following distribution

$$\mathcal{A} = \delta(x_1 - x_{n+1}) \delta(\theta_1 - \theta_{n+1}) f_n(x_{ij}, \theta_{kl}, \lambda), \quad (4.1)$$

where $x_{ij} = x_i - x_j$, $\theta_{ij} = \theta_i - \theta_j$, and λ represent spinor dependence. We can straightforwardly see that the scattering amplitudes are annihilated by following generators⁴

$$P = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \quad Q = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i}, \quad (4.2)$$

because the amplitude (4.1) depends only on the differences of x_i or θ_i .

The discussion was independent of the spacetime dimension so far. Let's now specify

³The symbol $[\cdot, \cdot]$ means supercommutator $[A, B] := AB - (-1)^{|A||B|}BA$. The Grassmann parity $|A|$ is 0 if A is bosonic and 1 if A is fermionic.

⁴Sums in generators goes from 1 to $n+1$ because we can treat x_1 and x_{n+1} as independent. The identification $x_1 \equiv x_{n+1}$ is imposed by $\delta(x_1 - x_{n+1})$ in an amplitude.

the dimension of the spacetime to be $D = 6$. Before constructing the algebra we will discuss the chirality. 6D vectors have two representations p_i^{AB} and \tilde{p}_{iAB} . Each representation of momenta can be expressed in terms of spinors λ_i^{Aa} and $\tilde{\lambda}_{iA\dot{a}}$. We have two little group indices a and \dot{a} that precisely match the little group $SO(4) \cong SU(2) \times SU(2)$ and therefore we have both chiralities. From lower-dimensional perspective all kinematical data are already present in λ_i^{Aa} (see chapter 6). Moreover the usage of the λ_i^{Aa} only allows us to construct the chiral superconformal algebra like $\mathcal{N} = (2, 0)$ whose dimensional reduction to 4D leads to $psu(2, 2|4)$ [13]. Therefore we use the spinors λ_i^{Aa} only.

We construct dual superconformal algebra based on three guiding principles:

- The generators must commute with constraints

$$C_1^{EF} := x_i^{EF} - x_{i+1}^{EF} - \lambda_i^{Ea} \lambda_{ia}^F \approx 0, \quad C_2^{KF} := \theta_i^{KF} - \theta_{i+1}^{KF} - \eta_i^{Ka} \lambda_{ia}^F \approx 0. \quad (4.3)$$

- The generators form a superconformal algebra.
- Ansatz for dual generators (in analogy with 4D and discussion above) is

$$P_{AB} = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i^{AB}}, \quad Q_{IA} = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i^{IA}}. \quad (4.4)$$

4.1 Bosonic generators

Let's start with the dilaton which measures dimension of momentum operator according to $[D, P^{AB}] = P^{AB}$. Therefore we can start with the ansatz⁵

$$D = - \sum_i x_i^{AB} \partial_{iAB} + \text{t.b.m.} . \quad (4.5)$$

Requiring D to commute with the constraints C_1 and C_2 leads to

$$D = - \sum_i \left\{ x_i^{AB} \partial_{iAB} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_i^{Aa} \partial_{iAa} + \frac{1}{2} \theta_i^{IA} \partial_{iIA} \right\}. \quad (4.6)$$

We can deduce the form of the Lorentz generators from it to be

$$M^A{}_B = \sum_i \left\{ x_i^{AC} \partial_{iBC} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_i^{Aa} \partial_{iBa} + \frac{1}{2} \theta_i^{IA} \partial_{iIB} \right\} + \text{t.b.m.} . \quad (4.7)$$

The dilaton helps us to make the Lorentz generators traceless simply by adding $\frac{1}{4}D$

$$M^A{}_B = \sum_i \left\{ x_i^{AC} \partial_{iBC} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_i^{Aa} \partial_{iBa} + \frac{1}{2} \theta_i^{IA} \partial_{iIB} + \frac{1}{4} \delta_B^A D \right\}. \quad (4.8)$$

⁵The word "t.b.m." is meant as a warning to the reader that the formula needs to be modified for various reasons explained in the text. t.b.m. = to be modified.

We have the following algebra

$$[D, P_{AB}] = P_{AB} , \quad [D, M^A{}_B] = 0 , \quad [P_{AB}, M^E{}_F] = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{[A}^E P_{FB]} - \frac{1}{4}\delta_F^E P_{AB} , \quad (4.9)$$

$$[M^A{}_B, M^E{}_F] = \frac{1}{2}\delta_B^E M^A{}_F - \frac{1}{2}\delta_F^A M^E{}_B .$$

We can now continue with the special conformal generator. It is possible to start with rules for conformal inversion given for example in [7]. Here we will instead use an ansatz inspired by 3D [14] and 4D [5]

$$K^{AD} = - \sum_i x_i^{[AB} x_i^{CD]} \partial_{iBC} + \text{t.b.m.} . \quad (4.10)$$

Requiring commutation of (4.10) with constraints (4.3) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} K^{AD} = \sum_i \left\{ x_i^{[AE} \theta_i^{MD]} \partial_{iME} - x_i^{[AB} x_i^{CD]} \partial_{iBC} + \frac{1}{2} \left(x_i^{[AE} + x_{i+1}^{[AE} \right) \lambda_i^{D]a} \partial_{iEa} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_i^{[Aa} \left(\theta_i^{MD]} + \theta_{i+1}^{MD]} \right) \partial_{iMa} \right\} + \text{t.b.m.} . \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

This completes the bosonic generators and we can start with fermionic generators.

4.2 Fermionic generators and new y_i^{IJ} variables

We want to deal with the algebra involving supersymmetry whose crucial commutation relation is⁶

$$[Q_{IA}, \bar{Q}_B^J] = \delta_I^J P_{BA} . \quad (4.12)$$

Every Grassmann index I, J, \dots runs from 0 to \mathcal{N} , where \mathcal{N} is the number of supersymmetries which we keep general. Looking at equation (4.12) it is clear that \bar{Q}_B^J must be of the form

$$\bar{Q}_B^J = \sum_i \theta_i^{JC} \partial_{iBC} + \text{t.b.m.} . \quad (4.13)$$

According to (4.4) $Q \sim \partial_{IA}$ and therefore \bar{Q} must contain θ contracted with an object with two spinor indices down. Requiring (4.13) to commute with C_1 from (4.3) leads to

$$\bar{Q}_B^J = \sum_i \left\{ \theta_i^{JC} \partial_{iBC} + \frac{1}{2} \eta_i^{Ja} \partial_{iBa} \right\} + \text{t.b.m.} . \quad (4.14)$$

⁶We decided to have a formulation as similar to 4D as possible. It is possible to find another, more compact, formulation (for example in [15]) of supercharges where we can use antisymmetric metric Ω_{IJ} of $USp(4)$ to lower the Grassmann index on \bar{Q}_A^J . Let's define $\mathcal{Q}_{IA} = sQ_{IA} + \frac{\Omega_{IJ}}{2s} \bar{Q}_A^J$ where s is a free parameter. It is easy then to see that we have $[\mathcal{Q}_{IA}, \mathcal{Q}_{JB}] = \Omega_{IJ} P_{AB}$. Similarly we can define $\mathcal{S}_I^A = S_I^A + \Omega_{IJ} \bar{S}^{JA}$.

We can compare the structure of (4.14) with the 4D form of the corresponding generator for example in ref. [6] and it agrees. However (4.14) does not commute with constraint C_2 in (4.3), which can be straightforwardly seen from

$$[\bar{Q}_B^J, C_2^{KF}] \propto \delta_B^F \eta_i^{Ja} \eta_{ia}^K . \quad (4.15)$$

This spoils the construction of \bar{Q} because we cannot cancel this term by any combination of full-space coordinates. This problem is known and appeared also in the construction of the dual superconformal symmetry in 3D for example in [14]. The solution is to introduce new coordinates y_i^{IJ} related with η_i^{Ia} via

$$C_3^{KL} := y_i^{KL} - y_{i+1}^{KL} - \eta_i^{Ka} \eta_{ia}^L \approx 0 , \quad (4.16)$$

and with a bit of work, we find that

$$\bar{Q}_B^J = \sum_i \left\{ \theta_i^{JC} \partial_{iBC} + \frac{1}{2} \eta_i^{Ja} \partial_{iBa} - \frac{1}{2} y_i^{JK} \partial_{iKB} \right\} . \quad (4.17)$$

It is easy now to verify that the commutators of \bar{Q} with all three constraints vanish modulo constraints. We add a new constraint so we must check and potentially modify all generators, for example K must be modified to the form

$$K^{AD} = \sum_i \left\{ x_i^{[AE} \theta_i^{MD]} \partial_{iME} - x_i^{[AB} x_i^{CD]} \partial_{iBC} + \frac{1}{2} \left(x_i^{[AE} + x_{i+1}^{[AE} \right) \lambda_i^{D]a} \partial_{iEa} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_i^{[Aa} \left(\theta_i^{MD]} + \theta_{i+1}^{MD]} \right) \partial_{iMa} + \theta_i^{M[A} \theta_i^{ND]} \partial_{iMN} \right\} . \quad (4.18)$$

We can now use the commutator of Q resp. \bar{Q} with K to obtain S resp. \bar{S} . Explicitly

$$S^A{}_I = \sum_i \left\{ x_i^{AB} \partial_{iIB} + \lambda_i^{Aa} \partial_{iIa} - 2\theta_i^{JA} \partial_{iIJ} \right\} , \quad (4.19)$$

$$\bar{S}^{IA} = \sum_i \left\{ \theta_i^{IB} \theta_i^{NA} \partial_{iNB} - 2\theta_i^{IB} x_i^{CA} \partial_{iBC} - 2\theta_i^{UA} y_i^{IN} \partial_{iUN} + x_i^{AB} y_i^{IN} \partial_{iNB} \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{2} \eta_i^{Ia} \left(x_i^{AB} + x_{i+1}^{AB} \right) \partial_{iBa} + \frac{1}{2} \eta^{Ia} \left(\theta_i^{NA} + \theta_{i+1}^{NA} \right) \partial_{iNa} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2} \lambda^{Aa} \left(y_i^{IN} + y_{i+1}^{IN} \right) \partial_{iNa} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_i^{Aa} \left(\theta_i^{IB} + \theta_{i+1}^{IB} \right) \partial_{iBa} \right\} . \quad (4.20)$$

So far we found several generators of the dual superconformal algebra, namely P_{AB} , $M^A{}_B$, D , K^{AB} , Q_{IA} , \bar{Q}_A^J , $S^A{}_I$ and \bar{S}^{IA} . Despite new terms containing y the structure of most of them is as same as in $D = 4$ $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM. However the structure of K^{AB} and \bar{S}^{IA} is different. This will be explained in section 6.

5 Superization

It is possible to significantly simplify relatively long expressions for all the dual superconformal generators but mainly the K generator (4.18) and the S generator (4.20). This simplification can be achieved with help of superindices $\mathcal{A} = (A, I)$ where the first entry corresponds to the spinor index and the second entry corresponds to the Grassmann index. For instance imagine an object with two external indices which is composed out of the sum of terms with the structure of a product of two variables and one derivative (all internal indices contracted). If external indices are chosen to be spinor indices we obtain similar expression to the (4.18).

Motivated by the method described in the previous paragraph we can introduce new variables

$$\Lambda_i^{\mathcal{A}a} := \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_i^{Aa} \\ \eta_i^{Ia} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.1)$$

It is easy then to rewrite all constraints C_1^{EF} , C_2^{KF} and C_3^{KL} from (4.3) and (4.16) into the form

$$X_i^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} - X_{i+1}^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} - \Lambda_i^{\mathcal{A}a} \Lambda_{ia}^{\mathcal{B}} \approx 0. \quad (5.2)$$

Then $X_i^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}}$ is of the form

$$X_i^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} x_i^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} & \theta_i^{\mathcal{A}J} \\ \theta_i^{IB} & y_i^{IJ} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.3)$$

It is now easy to see the symmetry of $X_i^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}}$ to be⁷

$$X_i^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} = (-1)^{|\mathcal{A}||\mathcal{B}|+1} X_i^{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{A}}. \quad (5.4)$$

Therefore we propose the following form of generators in superized form

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} = \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial X_i^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}}}, \quad (5.5)$$

$$\mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{B}} = \sum_i \left\{ X_i^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{C}} \frac{\partial}{\partial X_i^{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C}}} + \frac{1}{2} \Lambda_i^{\mathcal{A}a} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Lambda_i^{\mathcal{B}a}} \right\}, \quad (5.6)$$

$$\mathbb{D} = - \sum_i \left\{ X_i^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} \frac{\partial}{\partial X_i^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}}} + \frac{1}{2} \Lambda_i^{\mathcal{A}a} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Lambda_i^{\mathcal{A}a}} \right\}, \quad (5.7)$$

⁷This implies antisymmetry of θ^{IA} in I and A , which superficially may seem strange because of different types of indices. However we can understand this from constraint $\theta_i^IA - \theta_{i+1}^IA \approx \eta_i^{Ia} \lambda_{ia}^A$ where the antisymmetry comes from ϵ_{ab} in little group contraction. If we replace I and A we have to also change the order of η and λ and raise and lower little group index which costs a sign.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{K}^{AB} = \sum_i \left\{ \alpha_S (-1)^{|\mathcal{B}|(|\mathcal{C}|+|\mathcal{D}|)} X_i^{AC} X_i^{DB} \frac{\partial}{\partial X_i^{CD}} \right. \\ \left. + \beta_S (-1)^{|\mathcal{B}||\mathcal{C}|+1} (X_i^{AC} + X_{i+1}^{AC}) \Lambda_i^{\mathcal{B}a} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Lambda_i^{Ca}} \right\} + (-1)^{|\mathcal{A}||\mathcal{B}|+1} (\mathcal{A} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}) , \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

where α_S and β_S are two new free constants for now. They are determined by requiring commutation of (5.8) with (5.2). It is easy to see that (5.5), (5.6) and (5.7) commute with (5.2). The remaining commutation of \mathbb{K} with constraint imposes the constraint on coefficients

$$\alpha_S - 2\beta_S = 0 . \quad (5.9)$$

All generators now commute with the constraint (modulo this constraint). Once we know the form of generators it is straightforward to find the algebra they satisfy. Only non-vanishing commutators are

$$[\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{P}_{AB}] = \mathbb{P}_{AB} , \quad [\mathbb{P}_{CD}, \mathbb{M}^A_{\mathcal{B}}] = \delta_C^A \mathbb{P}_{BD} + (-1)^{|\mathcal{D}||\mathcal{C}|+1} \delta_D^A \mathbb{P}_{BC} , \quad (5.10)$$

$$[\mathbb{K}^{AB}, \mathbb{D}] = \mathbb{K}^{AB} , \quad [\mathbb{M}^A_{\mathcal{B}}, \mathbb{K}^{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{F}}] = \delta_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{E}} \mathbb{K}^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{F}} + (-1)^{|\mathcal{E}||\mathcal{F}|+1} \delta_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbb{K}^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{E}} , \quad (5.11)$$

$$[\mathbb{M}^A_{\mathcal{B}}, \mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{F}}] = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{E}} \mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{F}} + (-1)^{(|\mathcal{A}|+|\mathcal{B}|)(|\mathcal{E}|+|\mathcal{F}|)+1} \delta_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{A}} \mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{B}} , \quad (5.12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbb{K}^{AB}, \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{F}}] = \alpha_S \left[(-1)^{|\mathcal{B}||\mathcal{F}|+1} \delta_{\mathcal{E}}^A \mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathcal{F}} + (-1)^{|\mathcal{E}||\mathcal{F}|+1} (\mathcal{E} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{F}) \right] \\ + (-1)^{|\mathcal{A}||\mathcal{B}|+1} (\mathcal{A} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}) . \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

The only nontrivial calculation is commutator $[\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{K}] = 0$. When calculating this commutator in non-superized form we have to use the Schouten identity twice. Similar argument must be used in calculating the superized version also, however it is necessary to use superized version of Schouten identity

$$\sum_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} \text{ cycl.}} (-1)^{|\mathcal{A}||\mathcal{C}|} \Lambda_i^{\mathcal{A}a} \Lambda_{ia}^{\mathcal{B}} \Lambda_i^{\mathcal{C}b} = 0 . \quad (5.14)$$

It is easy to see that it holds simply by writing out all terms explicitly.

Extracting all possible combination of indices leads to all possible generators. There is however one subtlety. The superized version of the dilaton is not what is conventionally called the dilaton in 6D. To distinguish we call it the *dilatation* generator. An explicit formula for \mathbb{D} is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{D} = - \sum_i \left\{ X_i^{AB} \frac{\partial}{\partial X_i^{AB}} + \frac{1}{2} \Lambda^{Aa} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Lambda_i^{Aa}} \right\} \\ = - \sum_i \left\{ x_i^{AB} \partial_{iAB} + \theta_i^{IA} \partial_{iIA} + y_i^{IJ} \partial_{iIJ} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_i^{Aa} \partial_{iAa} + \frac{1}{2} \eta_i^{Ia} \partial_{iIa} \right\} . \end{aligned} \quad (5.15)$$

It is clear that (5.15) contains what is usually called the dilaton in 6D. Therefore we can rewrite it as

$$\mathbb{D} = D - \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \{ \eta_i^{Ia} \partial_{iIa} + \theta_i^{IA} \partial_{iIA} + 2y_i^{IJ} \partial_{iIJ} \} . \quad (5.16)$$

The remaining expression can be associated with the so-called hypercharge B . Similar formulas for the hypercharge can be found for example in [7] or in [5]. The sum of dilaton and hypercharge was also mentioned in [16].

6 Dimensional reduction

Once we have found the generators of the dual superconformal algebra it is now straightforward to compare with known examples. Such examples are massless $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory in 4D and massless $\mathcal{N} = 6$ ABJM theory in 3D. For this reason we want to perform dimensional reduction of the generators. To obtain 4D massless theories we choose $p_4 = p_5 = 0$. Plugging into (2.6) we find how 4D spinors (vectors) sit inside 6D spinors (vectors):

$$\lambda_i^{Aa} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda_{i\alpha} \\ \tilde{\lambda}_i^{\dot{a}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \quad p_i^{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -p_{i\alpha}^{\dot{\beta}} \\ p_{i\dot{\beta}}^{\alpha} & 0 \end{pmatrix} . \quad (6.1)$$

Similarly we can relate 6D and 3D spinors where the convention is to choose $p_5 = p_4 = p_2 = 0$. So we find

$$\lambda_i^{Aa} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda_{i\alpha} \\ \lambda_i^a & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \quad p_i^{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -p_{i\alpha}^{\beta} \\ p_{i\beta}^{\alpha} & 0 \end{pmatrix} . \quad (6.2)$$

To dimensionally reduce all generators we have to specify the form of η^{Ia} also. However this is different for $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM and $\mathcal{N} = 6$ ABJM for starters because they have different number of supersymmetries.

6.1 4D massless $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM

To dimensionally reduce to 4D we use (6.1). What is left is the form of η_i^{Ia} . The supersymmetry of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM can be parametrized by (apart from the bosonic variables) the Grassmann-odd variables η_i^I where the index I runs from 1 to 4. According to [6] the helicity generator for $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM takes the form

$$h_i = -\frac{1}{2} \lambda_i^{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i^{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\alpha}}} + \frac{1}{2} \eta_i^I \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_i^I} . \quad (6.3)$$

In other words the 4D variables scale like $\lambda \rightarrow t\lambda$, $\tilde{\lambda} \rightarrow t^{-1}\tilde{\lambda}$ and $\eta \rightarrow t^{-1}\eta$ under little group scaling. We can see that η and $\tilde{\lambda}$ scales in the same way and therefore we propose 6D

η_i^{Ia} to be of the form⁸

$$\eta_i^{Ia} = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_i^1 & 0 \\ \eta_i^2 & 0 \\ \eta_i^3 & 0 \\ \eta_i^4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6.4)$$

The first non-trivial check is 6D supertranslation generator q^{IA}

$$q_i^{IA} = \eta_i^{Ia} \lambda_{ia}^A = \left(\eta_i^I \lambda_{i\alpha}^A \ 0 \right) \quad (6.5)$$

which indeed reduces to the known form of the 4D generator. A much more interesting question is the presence of the y_i^{IJ} variables which are related to the $\eta_i^{Ia} \eta_{ia}^J$ little group contraction via (4.16). Plugging the (6.4) into C_3 we find that this contraction is 0 and therefore all y_i 's are identified. At this point we can get rid of all terms containing y_i^{IJ} . A good example is the generator \bar{Q} :

$$\bar{Q}_B^J = \sum_i \left\{ \theta_i^{JC} \partial_{iBC} + \frac{1}{2} \eta_i^{Ja} \partial_{iBa} - \frac{1}{2} y_i^{JK} \partial_{iKB} \right\}, \quad (6.6)$$

where identifying all y_i 's leaves the last term to be⁹

$$\bar{Q}_B^J \rightarrow \dots - \frac{1}{2} y_1^{JK} \sum_i \partial_{iKB} = \dots - \frac{1}{2} y_1^{JK} Q_{KB}, \quad (6.7)$$

where ellipsis "... " denote the untouched terms in (6.6). The last term in (6.7) annihilates the scattering amplitudes. Therefore we restrict (in this subsection) the space of objects, that our generators act on, to the scattering amplitudes (i.e. the generators annihilate them). As a result we can ignore the last term. Eq. (6.6) effectively remains the form of the \bar{Q} generator

$$\bar{Q}_B^J \rightarrow \sum_i \left\{ \theta_i^{JC} \partial_{iBC} + \frac{1}{2} \eta_i^{Ja} \partial_{iBa} \right\} \quad (6.8)$$

whose dimensional reduction gives the right form of 4D generator.

The remaining dependence of generators on y_i 's is through derivatives. In appendix B we show that terms involving derivatives with respect to the y_i 's can be neglected because on the subspace of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM all the y_i -derivatives vanish. This can be demonstrated on the S generator

$$S^A{}_I = \sum_i \left\{ x_i^{AB} \partial_{iIB} + \lambda_i^{Aa} \partial_{iIa} - 2\theta_i^{JA} \partial_{iIJ} \right\} \rightarrow \sum_i \left\{ x_i^{AB} \partial_{iIB} + \lambda_i^{Aa} \partial_{iIa} \right\}, \quad (6.9)$$

⁸This is only one representant of the class of equivalent η 's related via little group transformation.

⁹We choose y_1 to be the 'surviving' variable. However, since all y_i 's are identified, i can be arbitrary (from 1 to n).

where the first two terms agree with the known form of the $psu(2, 2|4)$ generators and the last term vanishes because all the y_i -derivatives vanish.

We can now dimensionally reduce the K generator:

$$K^{AD} = \sum_i \left\{ x_i^{[AE} \theta_i^{MD]} \partial_{iME} - x_i^{[AB} x^{CD]}_i \partial_{iBC} + \frac{1}{2} x_i^{[AE} \lambda_i^{D]a} \partial_{iEa} + \frac{1}{2} x_{i+1}^{[AE} \lambda_i^{D]a} \partial_{iEa} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_i^{[Aa} \theta_i^{MD]} \partial_{iMa} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_i^{[Aa} \theta_{i+1}^{MD]} \partial_{iMa} + \theta_i^{M[A} \theta_i^{ND]} \partial_{iMN} \right\} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -K^\delta_\alpha \\ K^{\dot{\alpha}}_\delta & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$K^\delta_\alpha = \sum_i \left\{ x_i^{\dot{\delta}\epsilon} \theta_{i\alpha}^I \partial_{iI\epsilon} + x_{i\alpha}^{\dot{\beta}} x_i^{\gamma\dot{\delta}} \partial_{i\gamma\dot{\beta}} + \frac{1}{2} (x_i + x_{i+1})^\epsilon \tilde{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\delta}} \partial_{i\epsilon} + \frac{1}{2} (x_i + x_{i+1})^{\epsilon\dot{\delta}} \lambda_{i\alpha} \partial_{i\epsilon} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\delta}} (\theta_i + \theta_{i+1})^\alpha \partial_{iI} \right\}. \quad (6.10)$$

This is not of the form that we would expect. To get the correct expression we need to get rid of half of the terms in last three parentheses. This can be achieved by adding an expression

$$\sum_i \left\{ -x_{i\alpha}^\epsilon \tilde{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\delta}} \partial_{i\epsilon} - x_{i+1}^{\epsilon\dot{\delta}} \lambda_{i\alpha} \partial_{i\epsilon} + \theta_{i+1\alpha}^I \tilde{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\delta}} \partial_I + x_{i+1\alpha}^\epsilon \tilde{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\delta}} \partial_{i\epsilon} + x_i^{\epsilon\dot{\delta}} \lambda_{i\alpha} \partial_{i\epsilon} - \theta_{i\alpha}^I \tilde{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\delta}} \partial_{iI} \right\} \approx \\ \approx \sum_i \left\{ \lambda_{i\alpha} \tilde{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\delta}} \left(-\tilde{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\delta}} \partial_{i\epsilon} + \lambda_i^\epsilon \partial_{i\epsilon} - \eta_i^I \partial_{iI} \right) \right\} = \sum_i \left\{ 2p_{i\alpha}^{\dot{\delta}} c_i - 2p_{i\alpha}^{\dot{\delta}} \right\} = - \sum_i 2p_{i\alpha}^{\dot{\delta}} C_i.$$

We are adding only terms that annihilate the scattering amplitudes of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM. The central charge density commutes with all generators of the algebra and annihilates the amplitudes by itself. Next we add momentum, which is an obvious symmetry of scattering amplitudes. It is easy to see that commutators involving K almost does not change (by K we mean the expression (6.10)) and it leads to change of \bar{S} :

- $[K_{i\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}} + (\text{c.e.}) \cdot p_{i\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}}, K_{i\beta}^{\dot{\beta}} + (\text{c.e.}) \cdot p_{i\beta}^{\dot{\beta}}] = [K_{i\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}}, K_{i\beta}^{\dot{\beta}}],$
- $[K_{i\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}} + (\text{c.e.}) \cdot p_{i\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}}, P_{i\beta}^{\dot{\beta}}] = [K_{i\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}}, P_{i\beta}^{\dot{\beta}}],$
- $[K_{i\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}} + (\text{c.e.}) \cdot p_{i\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}}, Q_{iI\beta}] = [K_{i\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}}, Q_{iI\beta}],$
- $[K_{i\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}} + (\text{c.e.}) \cdot p_{i\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{Q}_{i\dot{\beta}}^I] = [K_{i\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{Q}_{i\dot{\beta}}^I] - (\text{c.e.}) \cdot \delta_{\dot{\beta}}^{\dot{\alpha}} q_{i\alpha}^I.$

Where (c.e.) means a central element (such as numbers, central charges, ...).

Next is the fermionic generator \bar{S} . Let's focus on the y_i^{IJ} variables. Collecting everything that contain y_i 's we find

$$\bar{S}^{IA} = \dots + \sum_i \left\{ -2\theta_i^{UA} y_i^{IN} \partial_{iUN} + x_i^{AB} y_i^{IN} \partial_{iNB} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda^{Aa} (y_i^{IN} + y_{i+1}^{IN}) \partial_{iNa} \right\}. \quad (6.11)$$

Identifying all y_i 's we find an expression for the S generator which annihilates the amplitudes by assumption. Therefore we can neglect these terms. The rest is very similar to the K story. To obtain the right form of the \bar{S} generators we have to add $\sum_i \{2q_{i\alpha}^I c_i - 2q_{i\alpha}^I\}$. We can again check that all the (super)commutation relations remain the same

- $[S_{i\alpha}^I + (\text{c.e.}) \cdot q_{i\alpha}^I, S_{i\beta}^J + (\text{c.e.}) \cdot q_{i\beta}^J] = [S_{i\alpha}^I, S_{i\beta}^J]$,
- $[S_{i\alpha}^I + (\text{c.e.}) \cdot q_{i\alpha}^I, p_{i\beta}^{\dot{\beta}}] = [S_{i\alpha}^I, p_{i\beta}^{\dot{\beta}}]$,
- $[S_{i\alpha}^I + (\text{c.e.}) \cdot q_{i\alpha}^I, q_{i\beta}^J] = [S_{i\alpha}^I, q_{i\beta}^J]$,
- $[S_{i\alpha}^I + (\text{c.e.}) \cdot q_{i\alpha}^I, \bar{S}_{iJ\dot{\beta}}] = [S_{i\alpha}^I, \bar{S}_{iJ\dot{\beta}}] - (\text{c.e.}) \cdot \delta_J^I p_{i\alpha\dot{\beta}}$.

From (5.8) we can get generator with two Grassmann indices. This object can be identified with the dual R -symmetry generator

$$R^{IJ} = \sum_i \left\{ y_i^{IK} y_i^{JL} \partial_{iKL} + \frac{1}{2} y_i^{\{IK} \theta_i^{J\}D} \partial_{iKD} - \theta_i^{\{IC} \theta_i^{J\}D} \partial_{iCD} - \frac{1}{4} \left(\theta_i^{\{IC} + \theta_{i+1}^{\{IC} \right) \eta_i^{J\}a} \partial_{iCa} + \frac{1}{4} \left(y_i^{\{IK} + y_{i+1}^{\{IK} \right) \eta_i^{J\}a} \partial_{iKa} \right\} . \quad (6.12)$$

On the subspace corresponding to the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM all the y_i 's are identified and therefore the first term in (6.12) is proportional to the R_{IJ} generator that annihilates the amplitudes by assumption. The third and the fourth term vanish due to dimensional reduction. What remains is proportional to the 4D non-traceless dual R -symmetry generator

$$R^{IJ} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} y_1^{\{IK} \sum_i \left[\theta_i^{J\}\alpha} \partial_{iK\alpha} + \eta_i^{J\} \partial_{iK} \right] . \quad (6.13)$$

This finishes the dimensional reduction to massless $\mathcal{N} = 4$ $D = 4$ space. We found that most of the generators of the dual superconformal symmetry $psu(2, 2|4)$ can be obtained from 6D dual superconformal algebra. Similar relation was found for superconformal symmetries also, e.g. in [13] it was mentioned how the $psu(2, 2|4) \subset psl(4|4, \mathbb{C})$ sits in $osp(8|4, \mathbb{C})$. Exceptions are the Lorentz generators and the R -symmetry generators. Dimensional reduction of the dual Lorentz generator gives non-symmetric version of 4D dual Lorentz generator and dimensional reduction of the dual R -symmetry generator gives the non-traceless version of 4D dual R -symmetry generator.

6.2 3D $\mathcal{N} = 6$ super Chern-Simons theory (ABJM theory)

Another very interesting theory that has dual superconformal symmetry at tree-level [14],[17]¹⁰ (and Yangian symmetry) is $\mathcal{N} = 6$ ABJM theory in 3D. Looking at the structure of the algebra and structure of generators of this algebra (given for example in [14]) we can see that

¹⁰As proven in [17] the dual superconformal symmetry holds for tree-level amplitudes, however loop amplitudes are dual conformal covariant.

they have the same structure as the dual superconformal algebra in 6D. To compare it we have to specify the special form of Grassmann variable η_i^{Ia} to be

$$\eta_i^{Ia} = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_i^1 & 0 \\ \eta_i^2 & 0 \\ \eta_i^3 & 0 \\ 0 & \eta_i^1 \\ 0 & \eta_i^2 \\ 0 & \eta_i^3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_i^I & 0 \\ 0 & \eta_i^{I'} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6.14)$$

The 6D Grassmann index I is split into two indices (I, I') by dimensional reduction. Formally there is no difference between I and I' but it is useful to distinguish them. This is similar to having lower and upper spinor indices α in 3D. Little group contraction of two η_i 's gives us the correct form of y_i variables because in 3D $y_{i,3D}^{IJ'}$ is antisymmetric in I and J' (in contrast to 6D where y_i^{IJ} is symmetric in I and J). With our conventions we have

$$y_{i,6D}^{IJ} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y_{i,3D}^{IJ'} \\ -y_{i,3D}^{I'J} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6.15)$$

The most interesting is to dimensionally reduce the generator that we obtain if we choose \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} in (5.8) to be Grassmann (for example $\mathcal{A} = I$ and $\mathcal{B} = J$). The dimensional reduction of (6.12) to 3D leads to the expression¹¹

$$R^{IJ'} = \sum_i \left\{ y_i^{IK'} y_i^{J'L} \partial_{iK'L} + \frac{1}{2} y_i^{[IK'} \theta_i^{J']\delta} \partial_{iK'\delta} - \theta_i^{[I\gamma} \theta_i^{J']\delta} \partial_{i\gamma\delta} - \frac{1}{4} \left(\theta_i^{[I\gamma} + \theta_{i+1}^{[I\gamma} \right) \eta_i^{J']} \partial_{i\gamma} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{4} \left(y_i^{[IK'} + y_{i+1}^{[IK'} \right) \eta_i^{J']} \partial_{iK'} \right\}, \quad (6.16)$$

which agrees with the dual R -symmetry generator in the appendix of [14]. All remaining generators of $osp(6|4)$ can be derived in a similar way.

7 6D analogue of 4D central charge?

The main inspiration for 6D dual superconformal algebra was the 4D dual superconformal symmetry of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM which is $psu(2, 2|4)$. This algebra contains the central charge

$$C = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \{ -\lambda_i^\alpha \partial_{i\alpha} + \tilde{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \partial_{i\dot{\alpha}} + \eta_i^I \partial_{iI} \}. \quad (7.1)$$

We can enhance this generator to 6D. The central charge in massless 4D $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM is closely related to 4D little group which is $U(1)$. Therefore we can expect that the analogue

¹¹Generator with similar structure was found also in [18].

of this generator in 6D is related to $SU(2)$ little group. Inspired for example by [7] we can define¹²

$$h_{ab} = \Lambda_{(a}^{\mathcal{A}} \partial_{Ab)} , \quad (7.2)$$

and we can show that

- $[h_{ab}, \Lambda_i^{\mathcal{E}c} \Lambda_{ic}^{\mathcal{F}}] = 0$,
- $[h_{ab}, \frac{1}{2} \Lambda_i^{\mathcal{E}c} \partial_{i\mathcal{E}c} + \alpha] = 0$,
- $[h_{ab}, \Lambda_i^{\mathcal{E}c} \partial_{i\mathcal{F}c}] = 0$,
- $[h_{ab}, \partial_{i\mathcal{E}c} \partial_{i\mathcal{F}}^c] = 0$.

We can see that h_{ab} commutes with the superconformal algebra ($\Lambda_i^{\mathcal{E}a} \Lambda_{ia}^{\mathcal{F}}$ captures p_i^{AB} , q_i^{IA} and y_i^{IJ} , and similarly for the rest). The first commutator tells us that the helicity generator commutes also with the dual constraint (5.2) (and therefore it is a well-defined operator in the full space also). We can see that the generator (7.2) immediately commutes with $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{F}}$, \mathbb{D} , $\mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{F}}$. The only remaining nontrivial check is the commutator with $\mathbb{K}^{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{F}}$, and with a little bit of work, we find that

- $[h_{ab}, \mathbb{K}^{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{F}}] = 0$.

However h_{ab} is not central, because it does not commute with itself¹³

$$[h_{ab}, h_{ef}] = \epsilon_{(e(a} h_{b)f)} ,$$

but merely form an $su(2)$ algebra. In other words this algebra is a direct sum of the dual superconformal algebra and (the Lie algebra for) the little group:

$$\mathcal{A} = \text{DSCA} \oplus su(2) . \quad (7.3)$$

8 Conclusion and discussion

We constructed the 6D dual superconformal algebra which is an analogue of the 4D dual superconformal symmetry of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM. The requirement of preserving constraints (4.3) leads to new variables y_i^{IJ} and a new third constraint (4.16). The generators form a Lie algebra and they commute with the three commuting constraints modulo these constraints without imposing any new constraints.

The introduction of superindices \mathcal{A} leads to significant simplification of the form of the generators. There is however one subtlety. Using superized formalism one should be careful when using the generators without indices or traceless generators. An example is the dilatation operator \mathbb{D} that is not a physical dilaton D that annihilates the scattering amplitudes,

¹²() means symmetrization.

¹³By () and ⟨⟩ parentheses we mean symmetrization.

however it is a difference of dilaton and hypercharge: $\mathbb{D} = D - B$. The same holds for dilatation generator at the level of the superconformal algebra.

An interesting check is the dimensional reduction to massless 4D theory which leads to the generators of $psu(2, 2|4)$ when restricting the space of functions to scattering amplitudes. There are two exceptions. The first is the dual Lorentz generator. Comparing the known results (for example in [6]) and our result we find that our result is not symmetrized in spinor indices. The solution to this problem would be to introduce the "full" model containing both spinors λ_i^{Aa} and $\tilde{\lambda}_{iAa}$. The second exception is the dual R -symmetry generator. Its dimensional reduction gives the non-traceless part of the 4D generator only. The dimensional reduction to massless 3D and the special form of Grassmann variable $\eta_i^I a$ lead directly to the generators of the $osp(6|4)$ dual superconformal symmetry of $\mathcal{N} = 6$ ABJM.

It is possible to find an analogue of the 4D central charge in 6D formalism. This is however no longer central because it does not commute with itself.

Acknowledgments

The work of K.B. is supported by the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic (GACR) under the grant P201/12/G028. We would like to thank Y.-t. Huang, A. Lipstein and P. Novosad for helpful discussions.

A Conventions

The symmetrization and antisymmetrization are always meant without one half and are related to *two* closest indices surrounded by the parentheses. To avoid confusion we stress that we only symmetrize or antisymmetrize *two* indices in this paper, e.g.

$$o^{[AB} o^C]D} = o^{AB} o^{CD} - o^{CB} o^{AD}, \quad o^{\{AB} o^C\}D} = o^{AB} o^{CD} + o^{CB} o^{AD}, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

as opposed to (anti)symmetrization of three or more indices.

When defining derivatives, we use a shorthand for the Kronecker delta, e.g.

$$\delta_{AB}^{CD} = \delta_A^C \delta_B^D, \quad \delta_{[AB]}^{CD} = \delta_{AB}^{[CD]}, \quad \delta_{AB}^{[CD]} = \delta_A^C \delta_B^D - \delta_A^D \delta_B^C, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

in the case of 6D spinor indices. This notation can be used also for indices of different types, for example Grassmann and spinor

$$\delta_{JB}^{IA} = \delta_J^I \delta_B^A. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Our epsilon symbol convention is

$$\epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \epsilon_{\beta\gamma} = \delta_\gamma^\alpha, \quad \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

A.1 3D

The Lorentz group in 3D is $SO^+(1, 2) \cong SL(2, \mathbb{R})$. The vector is symmetric in spinor indices. The null momenta can be written

$$p_i^{\alpha\beta} = \lambda_i^\alpha \lambda_i^\beta . \quad (\text{A.5})$$

We can raise and lower spinor indices with help of the epsilon symbol

$$\lambda_i^\alpha = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \lambda_{i\beta} , \quad \lambda_{i\alpha} = \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \lambda_i^\beta . \quad (\text{A.6})$$

In this paper we use the following shorthands for 3D derivatives

$$\partial_{i\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i^\alpha}, \quad \partial_{iI} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_i^I}, \quad \partial_{i\alpha\beta} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i^{\alpha\beta}}, \quad \partial_{iI\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i^{I\alpha}}, \quad \partial_{iIJ} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i^{IJ}} . \quad (\text{A.7})$$

The definitions of 3D derivatives are

$$\partial_\alpha \lambda^\beta = \delta_\alpha^\beta , \quad \partial_I \eta^J = \delta_I^J , \quad \partial_{\alpha\beta} x^{\gamma\delta} = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\{\alpha\beta\}}^{\{\gamma\delta\}} , \quad \partial_{I\alpha} \theta^{J\beta} = \delta_I^J \delta_\alpha^\beta , \quad \partial_{IJ} y^{KL} = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{[IJ]}^{[KL]} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

A.2 4D

The Lorentz group in 4D is $SO^+(1, 3)$ and the corresponding complexified spin group $Spin(4, \mathbb{C})$ is isomorphic to $SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \times SL(2, \mathbb{C}) = SU(2)_\mathbb{C} \times SU(2)_\mathbb{C}$. Therefore the vector has two spinor indices α and $\dot{\alpha}$. Every null momenta can then be written

$$p_i^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} = \lambda_i^\alpha \tilde{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\beta}} . \quad (\text{A.9})$$

We can raise and lower spinor indices with help of the dotted and undotted epsilon symbols

$$\lambda_i^\alpha = \epsilon^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \lambda_{i\dot{\beta}} , \quad \lambda_{i\dot{\alpha}} = \epsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\beta} \lambda_i^\beta , \quad \tilde{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} = \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} \tilde{\lambda}_{i\beta} , \quad \tilde{\lambda}_{i\dot{\alpha}} = \epsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\beta} \tilde{\lambda}_i^\beta . \quad (\text{A.10})$$

In this paper we use the following shorthands for 4D derivatives

$$\partial_{i\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i^\alpha}, \quad \partial_{i\dot{\alpha}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\alpha}}}, \quad \partial_{iI} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_i^I}, \quad \partial_{i\alpha\dot{\beta}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}}, \quad \partial_{iI\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i^{I\alpha}} . \quad (\text{A.11})$$

The definitions of 4D derivatives are

$$\partial_\alpha \lambda^\beta = \delta_\alpha^\beta , \quad \partial_{\dot{\alpha}} \tilde{\lambda}^{\dot{\beta}} = \delta_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{\beta}} , \quad \partial_I \eta^J = \delta_I^J , \quad \partial_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} x^{\gamma\dot{\delta}} = \delta_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}^{\gamma\dot{\delta}} , \quad \partial_{I\alpha} \theta^{J\beta} = \delta_I^J \delta_\alpha^\beta . \quad (\text{A.12})$$

A.3 6D

The Lorentz group in 6D was already discussed in chapter 2. In chapter 4 we argued that we use only one chirality λ_i^{Aa} of spinors. Let us briefly recall that any null-vector can be written in terms of spinors

$$p_i^{AB} = \lambda_i^{Aa} \lambda_{ia}^B . \quad (\text{A.13})$$

There is no way how to raise or lower single spinor index in 6D therefore we can only raise or lower the single little group index with the epsilon symbol

$$\lambda_i^{Aa} = \epsilon^{ab} \lambda_{ib}^A, \quad \lambda_{ia}^A = \epsilon_{ab} \lambda_i^{Ab}. \quad (\text{A.14})$$

Used abbreviations for 6D derivatives are

$$\partial_{iAa} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i^{Aa}}, \quad \partial_{iIa} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_i^{Ia}}, \quad \partial_{iAB} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i^{AB}}, \quad \partial_{iIA} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i^{IA}}, \quad \partial_{iIJ} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i^{IJ}}. \quad (\text{A.15})$$

The definitions of 6D derivatives are

$$\partial_{Aa} \lambda^{Bb} = \delta_{Aa}^{Bb}, \quad \partial_{Ia} \eta^{Jb} = \delta_{Ia}^{Jb}, \quad \partial_{AB} x^{CD} = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{[AB]}^{CD}, \quad \partial_{IA} \theta^{JB} = \delta_{IA}^{JB}, \quad \partial_{IJ} y^{KL} = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\{IJ\}}^{KL}. \quad (\text{A.16})$$

B Dirac Derivative

In this appendix, we spell out in detail, various constrained differentiations performed in the main text, such as, e.g., differentiation wrt. symmetric or antisymmetric matrices.

Setup. Let there be given an m -dimensional manifold M with coordinates (x^1, \dots, x^m) . Let there be given an n -dimensional physical submanifold N with physical coordinates (y^1, \dots, y^n) . Let there be given $m - n$ independent constraints

$$\chi^1(x) \approx 0, \quad \dots, \quad \chi^{m-n}(x) \approx 0, \quad (\text{B.1})$$

which defines the physical submanifold N . [For the \approx symbol, see footnote 2.] Assume that

$$(y^1, \dots, y^n, \chi^1, \dots, \chi^{m-n}) \quad (\text{B.2})$$

constitutes a coordinate system for the whole manifold M .

Dirac derivative. In analogy with the Dirac bracket, let us introduce a *Dirac derivative*¹⁴

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)_D := \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - \sum_{a=1}^{m-n} \frac{\partial \chi^a}{\partial x^i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \chi^a} \right)_y = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \frac{\partial y^\alpha}{\partial x^i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^\alpha} \right)_\chi, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, m\}, \quad (\text{B.3})$$

that projects onto the physical submanifold N

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)_D y^\alpha = \frac{\partial y^\alpha}{\partial x^i}, \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)_D \chi^a = 0, \\ i \in \{1, \dots, m\}, \quad \alpha \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \quad a \in \{1, \dots, m-n\}. \quad (\text{B.4})$$

¹⁴The subscript D is a shorthand for Dirac, while subscripts y and χ mean that these variables are kept fixed during the differentiation.

Does Dirac derivatives commute? Does the commutator

$$\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)_D, \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right)_D \right] = \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^n \frac{\partial y^\alpha}{\partial x^i} \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^\alpha} \right)_\chi, \frac{\partial y^\beta}{\partial x^j} \right] \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^\beta} \right)_\chi - (-1)^{|i||j|} (i \leftrightarrow j) \stackrel{?}{\approx} 0 \quad (\text{B.5})$$

vanishes weakly? Not necessarily. But if the coordinate transformation $x^i \leftrightarrow (y^\alpha, \chi^a)$ is linear, then the Dirac derivatives indeed commute. Fortunately, this is the case for our various applications in the main text. It is notably *not* the case for the quadratic constraints (5.2), which instead are dealt with explicitly in the full space.

Generalizations. For starters, it is actually enough if (B.2) is a global coordinate system in a tubular neighborhood of N but not necessarily on the whole manifold M . Additional complications arise if the coordinates and/or constraints are not globally defined on a tubular neighborhood of N .

Reparametrizations of the constraints. Assume that there exists a second coordinate system

$$(\tilde{y}^1, \dots, \tilde{y}^n, \tilde{\chi}^1, \dots, \tilde{\chi}^{m-n}) \quad (\text{B.6})$$

(which we adorn with tildes), such that

$$\tilde{y}^\alpha = f^\alpha(y), \quad \tilde{\chi}^a = g^a(y, \chi) \approx 0. \quad (\text{B.7})$$

This implies that

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \chi^a} \right)_y = \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\chi}^b}{\partial \chi^a} \right)_y \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{\chi}^b} \right)_{\tilde{y}}, \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^\alpha} \right)_\chi \approx \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{y}^\beta}{\partial y^\alpha} \right)_\chi \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{y}^\beta} \right)_{\tilde{\chi}}, \quad (\text{B.8})$$

i.e.

$$\Delta_\chi := \text{span} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \chi^1} \right)_y, \dots, \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \chi^{n-m}} \right)_y \right\} \subseteq TM \quad (\text{B.9})$$

is an involutive distribution, while

$$\Delta_y := \text{span} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} \right)_\chi, \dots, \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^n} \right)_\chi \right\} \subseteq TM \quad (\text{B.10})$$

is a weak distribution.

One may show that the Dirac derivative and its commutators

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)_D \tilde{} \approx \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)_D, \quad \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)_D \tilde{}, \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right)_D \tilde{} \right] \approx \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)_D, \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right)_D \right], \quad (\text{B.11})$$

[wrt. the tilde and the untilde coordinate systems (B.6) and (B.2), respectively] agree weakly. This shows that the Dirac derivative (B.3) is a geometric construction.

Subsubmanifold. Given a p -dimensional physical subsubmanifold P with physical coordinates (z^1, \dots, z^p) . Let there be given $n - p$ independent constraints

$$\phi^1(y) \approx 0, \quad \dots, \quad \phi^{n-p}(y) \approx 0, \quad (\text{B.12})$$

which defines the physical submanifold P . Assume that

$$(z^1, \dots, z^p, \phi^1, \dots, \phi^{n-p}) \quad (\text{B.13})$$

constitutes a coordinate system for the submanifold N . One may show that

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)_D^{(P)} = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)_D^{(N)} - \sum_{a=1}^{n-p} \left(\frac{\partial \phi^a}{\partial x^i} \right)_D^{(N)} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi^a} \right)_z, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, m\}. \quad (\text{B.14})$$

This shows that the Dirac derivative construction behaves naturally wrt. further constraints.

Examples. A typical example of a subsubmanifold Dirac construction is when certain blocks of an (anti)symmetric matrix (which by itself can be viewed as a Dirac construction) are additionally put to zero. E.g. this is the situation of y_i^{IJ} variables in chapter 6. These variables are symmetric in indices I and J which can be viewed as a constraint that projects arbitrary y_i^{IJ} onto the submanifold of symmetric matrices. Further constraints arise in restricting to the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ subspace where all $y_i^{IJ} \approx y_{i+1}^{IJ}$ are identified wrt. particle index i , see section 6.1. This defines in this example the subsubmanifold.

References

- [1] S. Parke and T. Taylor, “An Amplitude For N Gluon Scattering,” Phys. Rev. Letters 2459 **56**, 1968.
- [2] R. Britto, F. Cachazo, B. Feng and E. Witten, “Direct Proof Of Tree-Level Recursion Relation In Yang-Mills Theory,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 181602 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0501052].
- [3] E. Witten, “Perturbative Gauge Theory As A String Theory In Twistor Space,” Commun. Math. Phys. **252**, 189-258 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0312171].
- [4] N. Arkani-Hamed, J. L. Bourjaily, F. Cachazo, A. B. Goncharov, A. Postnikov and J. Trnka, “Scattering Amplitudes and the Positive Grassmannian,” [arXiv:1212.5605].
- [5] J.M. Drummond, J. Henn, G.P Korchemsky and E. Sokatchev, “Dual Superconformal Symmetry of scattering amplitudes in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super-Yang-Mills theory,” Nucl. Phys. **B828**, 317-374 (2010) [arXiv:0807.1095].
- [6] J. M. Drummond, J. M. Henn and J. Plefka, “Yangian symmetry of scattering amplitudes in $\mathcal{N}=4$ super Yang-Mills theory,” Journal of High Energy Physics Vol 2009 **05**, (2009) [arXiv:0902.2987].
- [7] J. Plefka, T. Schuster and Verschinin, “From Six to Four and More: Massless and Massive Maximal Super Yang-Mills Amplitudes in 6d and 4d and their Hidden Symmetries,” Journal of High Energy Physics (2015) 2015:98 [arXiv:1405.7248].

- [8] J. Bhattacharya and A. E. Lipstein, “6d Dual Conformal Symmetry and Minimal Volumes in AdS,” *JHEP* **1612**, 105 (2016) [arXiv:1611.02179].
- [9] L. Dixon, “Calculating Scattering Amplitudes Efficiently,” TASI-95, Boulder, USA, June 4-30, pp. 539-582 (1996) [arXiv:9601359].
- [10] C. Cheung and D. O’Connell, “Amplitudes and Spinor-Helicity in Six Dimensions,” *JHEP* **07**, 075 (2009) [arXiv:0902.0981].
- [11] V. G. Drinfeld, “Hopf algebras and the quantum Yang-Baxter equation,” *Sov. Math. Dokl.* **32**, 254 (1985)) [*Dokl. Akad. Nauk Ser. Fiz.* 283, 1060 (1985)].
- [12] N. J. MacKay, “Introduction to Yangian symmetry in integrable field theory,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **20**, 7189 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0409183] .
- [13] T. Chern, “Superconformal Field Theory In Six Dimensions And Supertwistor,” [arXiv:0906.0657].
- [14] Y.-t. Huang and A. Lipstein, “Dual Superconformal Symmetry of $\mathcal{N} = 6$ Chern-Simons Theory,” *JHEP* **11**, 076 (2010) [arXiv:1008.0041].
- [15] Y.-t. Huang and A. Lipstein, “Amplitudes of 3D and 6D Maximal Superconformal Theories in Supertwistor Space,” *JHEP* **10**, 007 (2010) [arXiv:1004.4735].
- [16] T. Bargheer, N. Beisert, and F. Loebbert, “Exact Superconformal and Yangian Symmetry of Scattering Amplitudes,” *J. Phys. A* **44**, 454012 (2011) [arXiv:1104.0700].
- [17] A. E. Lipstein and L. Mason, “Amplitudes of 3d Yang Mills Theory,” *JHEP* **01**, 009 (2013) [arXiv:1207.6176].
- [18] Y.-t. Huang, “Non-chiral \mathcal{S} -matrix of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super Yang-Mills,” [arXiv:1104.2021].