## REFLEXIVE POLYTOPES ARISING FROM BIPARTITE GRAPHS WITH γ-POSITIVITY ASSOCIATED TO INTERIOR POLYNOMIALS

HIDEFUMI OHSUGI AND AKIYOSHI TSUCHIYA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce polytopes  $\mathcal{B}_G$  arising from root systems  $B_n$  and finite graphs *G*, and study their combinatorial and algebraic properties. In particular, it is shown that  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is a reflexive polytope with a regular unimodular triangulation if and only if *G* is bipartite. This implies that the  $h^*$ -polynomial of  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is palindromic and unimodal when *G* is bipartite. Furthermore, we discuss stronger properties, the γ-positivity and the real-rootedness of the *h* ∗ -polynomials. In fact, if *G* is bipartite, then the *h* ∗ -polynomial of  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is  $\gamma$ -positive and its  $\gamma$ -polynomial is given by an interior polynomial (a version of Tutte polynomial of a hypergraph). The *h* ∗ -polynomial is real-rooted if and only if the corresponding interior polynomial is real-rooted. From a counterexample of Neggers– Stanley conjecture, we give a bipartite graph *G* whose *h* ∗ -polynomial is not real-rooted but γ-positive, and coincides with the *h*-polynomial of a flag triangulation of a sphere.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Ardila et al. [\[1\]](#page-15-0) constructed a unimodular triangulation of the convex hull of the roots of the classical root lattices of type  $A_n$ ,  $B_n$ ,  $C_n$  and  $D_n$ , and gave an alternative proof for the known growth series of these root lattices by using the triangulation. On the other hand, polytopes of the root system of type *A<sup>n</sup>* arising from finite graphs are called *symmetric edge polytopes* and their combinatorial properties are well-studied ([\[21,](#page-15-1) [22,](#page-15-2) [29\]](#page-16-0)). In this paper, we introduce polytopes arising from the root system of type  $B_n$  and finite graphs, and study their algebraic and combinatorial properties.

A *lattice polytope*  $\mathscr{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  is a convex polytope all of whose vertices have integer coordinates. Let *G* be a finite simple undirected graph on the vertex set  $[d] = \{1, ..., d\}$ with the edge set  $E(G)$ . Let  $\mathscr{B}_G \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  denote the convex hull of the set

$$
B(G) = \{0, \pm \mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \pm \mathbf{e}_d\} \cup \{\pm \mathbf{e}_i \pm \mathbf{e}_j : \{i, j\} \in E(G)\},\
$$

where  $e_i$  is *i*-th unit coordinate vector in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Then dim  $\mathcal{B}_G = d$  and  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is centrally symmetric, i.e., for any facet  $\mathscr F$  of  $\mathscr B_G$ ,  $-\mathscr F$  is also a facet of  $\mathscr B_G$ , and the origin 0 of  $\mathbb R^d$ is the unique interior lattice point of  $\mathcal{B}_G$ . Note that, if *G* is a complete graph, then  $\mathcal{B}_G$ coincides with the convex hull of the roots of the root lattices of type  $B_n$  studied in [\[1\]](#page-15-0). Several classes of lattice polytopes arising from graphs have been studied from viewpoints of combinatorics, graph theory, geometric and commutative algebra. In particular, *edge polytopes* give interesting examples in commutative algebra ([\[17,](#page-15-3) [32,](#page-16-1) [33,](#page-16-2) [34,](#page-16-3) [40\]](#page-16-4)). Note that edge polytopes of bipartite graphs are called *root polytopes* and play important roles in the study of generalized permutohedra ([\[38\]](#page-16-5)) and interior polynomials ([\[24\]](#page-16-6)).

<sup>2010</sup> *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05A15, 05C31, 13P10, 52B12, 52B20.

*Key words and phrases.* reflexive polytope, unimodular covering, regular unimodular triangulation, γpositive, real-rooted, interior polynomial, matching generating polynomial, *P*-Eulerian polynomial.

There is a good relation between  $\mathcal{B}_G$  and edge polytopes. In fact, one of the key properties of  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is that  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is divided into  $2^d$  edge polytopes of certain non-simple graphs  $\tilde{G}$  (Proposition [1.1\)](#page-2-0). This fact helps us to find and show interesting properties of  $\mathcal{B}_G$ . In Section [1,](#page-2-1) by using this fact, we will classify graphs *G* such that  $\mathcal{B}_G$  has a unimodular covering (Theorem [1.3\)](#page-4-0).

On the other hand, the fact that  $\mathscr{B}_G$  has a unique interior lattice point 0 leads us to consider when  $\mathscr{B}_G$  is reflexive. A lattice polytope  $\mathscr{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  of dimension *d* is called *reflexive* if the origin of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is a unique lattice point belonging to the interior of  $\mathscr P$  and its dual polytope

$$
\mathscr{P}^{\vee} := \{ \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \le 1 \text{ for all } \mathbf{x} \in \mathscr{P} \}
$$

is also a lattice polytope, where  $\langle x, y \rangle$  is the usual inner product of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . It is known that reflexive polytopes correspond to Gorenstein toric Fano varieties, and they are related to mirror symmetry (see, e.g., [\[2,](#page-15-4) [8\]](#page-15-5)). In each dimension there exist only finitely many reflexive polytopes up to unimodular equivalence ([\[27\]](#page-16-7)) and all of them are known up to dimension 4 ([\[26\]](#page-16-8)). In Section [2,](#page-4-1) we will classify graphs *G* such that  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is a reflexive polytope. In fact, we will show the following.

<span id="page-1-0"></span>Theorem 0.1. *Let G be a finite graph. Then the following conditions are equivalent*:

- (i)  $\mathcal{B}_G$  *is reflexive and has a regular unimodular triangulation*;
- (ii)  $\mathscr{B}_G$  *is reflexive*;
- (iii) *G is a bipartite graph.*

Moreover, by characterizing when the toric ideal of  $\mathcal{B}_G$  has a Gröbner basis consisting of quadratic binomials for a bipartite graph *G*, we can classify graphs *G* such that  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is a reflexive polytope with a flag regular unimodular triangulation. In fact,

<span id="page-1-1"></span>Theorem 0.2. *Let G be a bipartite graph. Then the following conditions are equivalent*:

- (i) The reflexive polytope  $\mathcal{B}_G$  has a flag regular unimodular triangulation;
- (ii) *Any cycle of G of length*  $\geq$  6 *has a chord* (*"chordal bipartite graph"*).

Now, we turn to the discussion of the *h*<sup>\*</sup>-polynomial  $h^*(\mathcal{B}_G, x)$  of  $\mathcal{B}_G$ . Thanks to the key property (Proposition [1.1\)](#page-2-0), we can compute the  $h^*$ -polynomial of  $\mathcal{B}_G$  in terms of that of edge polytopes of some graphs. On the other hand, since it is known that the *h* ∗ polynomial of a reflexive polytope with a regular unimodular triangulation is palindromic and unimodal ([\[6\]](#page-15-6)), Theorem [0.1](#page-1-0) implies that the  $h^*$ -polynomial of  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is palindromic and unimodal if *G* is bipartite. In Section [3,](#page-8-0) we will show a stronger result, which is for any bipartite graph *G*, the *h*<sup>\*</sup>-polynomial  $h^*(\mathcal{B}_G, x)$  is  $\gamma$ -positive. The theory of interior polynomials (a version of Tutte polynomials of hypergraphs) introduced by Kálmán [\[23\]](#page-16-9) and the theory of generalized permutohedra [\[30,](#page-16-10) [38\]](#page-16-5) play important roles.

<span id="page-1-2"></span>Theorem 0.3. *Let G be a bipartite graph on* [*d*]*. Then h*<sup>∗</sup> *-polynomial of the reflexive polytope*  $\mathscr{B}_G$  *is* 

$$
h^*(\mathscr{B}_G,x) = (x+1)^d I_{\widehat{G}}\left(\frac{4x}{(x+1)^2}\right),
$$

*where*  $\widehat{G}$  *is a connected bipartite graph defined in* ([1](#page-2-2)) *later and*  $I_{\widehat{G}}(x)$  *is the interior polynomial of*  $\widehat{G}$ . In particular,  $h^*(\mathscr{B}_G, x)$  is  $\gamma$ -positive. Moreover,  $h^*(\mathscr{B}_G, x)$  is real*rooted if and only if*  $I_{\hat{G}}(x)$  *is real-rooted.* 

In addition, we discuss the relations between interior polynomials and other important polynomials in combinatorics.

- If *G* is bipartite, then the interior polynomial of  $\hat{G}$  is described in terms of *k*matching of *G* (Proposition [3.3\)](#page-10-0);
- If *G* is a forest, then the interior polynomial of  $\hat{G}$  coincides with the matching generating polynomial of *G* (Proposition [3.4\)](#page-12-0);
- If *G* is a bipartite permutation graph associated with a poset *P*, then the interior polynomial of *G*b coincides with the *P*-Eulerian polynomial of *P* (Proposition [3.5\)](#page-12-1).

By using these results and a poset appearing in [\[44\]](#page-16-11) as a counterexample of Neggers– Stanley conjecture, we will give an example of a centrally symmetric reflexive polytope such that the  $h^*$ -polynomial is  $\gamma$ -positive and not real-rooted (Example [3.6\)](#page-13-0). This  $h^*$ polynomial coincides with the *h*-polynomial of a flag triangulation of a sphere (Proposition [3.7\)](#page-14-0). Hence this example is a counterexample of "Real Root Conjecture" that has been already disproved by Gal [\[10\]](#page-15-7). Finally, inspired by the simple description for the h<sup>\*</sup>-polynomials of symmetric edge polytopes of complete bipartite graphs [\[22\]](#page-15-2), we will compute the  $h^*$ -polynomial of  $\mathcal{B}_G$  when G is a complete bipartite graph (Example [3.8\)](#page-14-1).

<span id="page-2-1"></span>Acknowledgment. The authors were partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI 18H01134 and 16J01549.

## 1. A KEY PROPERTY OF  $\mathscr{B}_G$  and unimodular coverings

In this section, we see a relation between  $\mathcal{B}_G$  and edge polytopes. First, we recall what edge polytopes are. Let *G* be a graph on [*d*] (only here we do not assume that *G* has no loops) with the edge (including loop) set *E*(*G*). Then the *edge polytope P<sup>G</sup>* of *G* is the convex hull of  $\{e_i + e_j : \{i, j\} \in E(G)\}\)$ . Note that  $P_G$  is a  $(0, 1)$ -polytope if and only if *G* has no loops. Given a graph *G* on [*d*], let *G* be a graph on [ $d+1$ ] whose edge set is

$$
E(G) \cup \{ \{1,d+1\}, \{2,d+1\}, \ldots, \{d+1,d+1\} \}.
$$

Here,  $\{d+1, d+1\}$  is a loop (a cycle of length 1) at  $d+1$ . If *G* is a bipartite graph with a bipartition  $V_1 \cup V_2 = [d]$ , let  $\widehat{G}$  be a connected bipartite graph on  $[d+2]$  whose edge set is

<span id="page-2-2"></span>(1) 
$$
E(\widehat{G}) = E(G) \cup \{ \{i, d+1\} : i \in V_1 \} \cup \{ \{j, d+2\} : j \in V_2 \cup \{d+1\} \}.
$$

Now, we show the key proposition of this paper. Given  $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_d) \in \{-1, 1\}^d$ , let  $\mathscr{O}_{\varepsilon}$  denote the closed orthant  $\{(x_1, \ldots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_i \varepsilon_i \geq 0 \text{ for all } i \in [d]\}.$ 

<span id="page-2-0"></span>Proposition 1.1. *Work with the same notation as above. Then we have the following*:

- (a) *Each*  $\mathscr{B}_G \cap \mathscr{O}_{\varepsilon}$  *is the convex hull of the set*  $B(G) \cap \mathscr{O}_{\varepsilon}$  *and unimodularly equivalent to the edge polytope*  $P_{\widetilde{G}}$  *of*  $\widetilde{G}$ *. Moreover, if G is bipartite, then*  $\mathscr{B}_G \cap \mathscr{O}_{\varepsilon}$ *is unimodularly equivalent to the edge polytope*  $P_{\widehat{G}}$  *of*  $\widehat{G}$ *. In particular, one has*  $Vol(\mathcal{B}_G) = 2^d Vol(P_{\widetilde{G}}).$ <br>The edge vehicles of G
- (b) *The edge polytope of G is a face of*  $\mathcal{B}_G$ *.*

*Proof.* (a) Let  $\mathscr P$  be the convex hull of the set  $B(G) \cap \mathscr O_{\varepsilon}$ . The inclusion  $\mathscr B_G \cap \mathscr O_{\varepsilon} \supset \mathscr P$ is trivial. Let  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathscr{B}_G \cap \mathscr{O}_{\varepsilon}$ . Then  $\mathbf{x} = \sum_i^s$  $\lambda_i = 1 \lambda_i$ **a**<sub>*i*</sub>, where  $\lambda_i > 0$ ,  $\sum_i^s$  $\lambda_i=1$ ,  $\lambda_i=1$ , and each  $a_i$  belongs to  $B(G)$ . Suppose that *k*-th component of  $a_i$  is positive and *k*-th component of  $\mathbf{a}_j$  is negative. Then  $\mathbf{a}_i$  and  $\mathbf{a}_j$  satisfy

$$
\mathbf{a}_i + \mathbf{a}_j = (\mathbf{a}_i - \mathbf{e}_k) + (\mathbf{a}_j + \mathbf{e}_k),
$$

where  $\mathbf{a}_i - \mathbf{e}_k$ ,  $\mathbf{a}_j + \mathbf{e}_k \in B(G)$ . By using the above relations for  $\mathbf{a}_i + \mathbf{a}_j$  finitely many times, we may assume that  $k$ -th component of each vector  $a_i$  is nonnegative (resp. nonpositive) if  $x_k \geq 0$  (resp.  $x_k \leq 0$ ). Then each  $\mathbf{a}_i$  belongs to  $B(G) \cap \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon}$  and hence  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{P}$ .

Next, we show that each  $\mathscr{B}_G \cap \mathscr{O}_\varepsilon$  is unimodularly equivalent to the edge polytope  $P_{\widetilde{G}}$ . Set  $\mathscr{Q} = \mathscr{B}_G \cap \mathscr{O}_{(1,\dots,1)}$ . It is easy to see that each  $\mathscr{B}_G \cap \mathscr{O}_\varepsilon$  is unimodularly equivalent to  $\mathscr{Q}$  for all ε. Moreover, one has

$$
B(G) \cap \mathscr{O}_{(1,\ldots,1)} = \{0, e_1, \ldots, e_d\} \cup \{e_i + e_j : \{i, j\} \in E(G)\}.
$$

Hence  $P_{\tilde{G}}$  is unimodularly equivalent to  $\mathcal{Q} \times \{2\}$ . Similarly, if *G* is bipartite, it follows that  $P_{\hat{G}}$  is unimodularly equivalent to  $\mathscr{Q} \times \{1\}^2$ .

(b) The edge polytope  $P_G$  of G is a face of  $\mathcal{B}_G$  with a supporting hyperplane  $\mathcal{H} =$  $\{(x_1, \ldots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_1 + \cdots + x_d = 2\}.$ 

Let  $\mathscr{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a lattice polytope of dimension *d*. We now focus on the following properties.

- (VA) We say that  $\mathscr P$  is *very ample* if for all sufficiently large  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  and for all  $\mathbf x \in$  $k\mathscr{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ , there exist  $\mathbf{x}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{x}_k \in \mathscr{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$  with  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{x}_k$ .
- (IDP) We say that  $P$  possesses the *integer decomposition property* (or is *IDP* for short) if for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  and for all  $\mathbf{x} \in k\mathscr{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ , there exist  $\mathbf{x}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{x}_k \in \mathscr{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$  with  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{x}_k.$
- (UC) We say that  $\mathscr P$  has a unimodular covering if there exist unimodular lattice simplices  $\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_n$  such that  $\mathscr{P} = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq n} \Delta_i$ .
- (UT) We say that  $\mathscr P$  has a unimodular triangulation if  $\mathscr P$  admits a lattice triangulation consisting of unimodular lattice simplices.

These properties satisfy the implications

$$
(UT) \Rightarrow (UC) \Rightarrow (IDP) \Rightarrow (VA).
$$

On the other hand, it is known that the opposite implications are false. However, for edge polytopes, the first three properties are equivalent. We say that a graph *G* satisfies the *odd cycle condition* if, for any two cycles  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  that belong to the same connected component of *G* and have no common vertices, there exists an edge  $\{i, j\}$  of *G* such that *i* is a vertex of  $C_1$  and *j* is a vertex of  $C_2$ . The following fact is known ([\[7,](#page-15-8) [32,](#page-16-1) [40\]](#page-16-4)).

<span id="page-3-0"></span>Proposition 1.2. Let G be a finite (not necessarily simple) graph. Suppose that there exists *an edge*  $\{i, j\}$  *of G if G has loops at i and j with i*  $\neq$  *j. Then the following conditions are equivalent*:

- (i) *P<sup>G</sup> has a unimodular covering*;
- (ii)  $P_G$  *is IDP*;
- (iii) *P<sup>G</sup> is very ample*;
- (iv) *G satisfies the odd cycle condition.*

We now show that the same assertion holds for  $\mathcal{B}_G$ . Namely, we prove the following.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>Theorem 1.3. *Let G be a finite simple graph. Then the following conditions are equivalent*:

- (i)  $\mathcal{B}_G$  has a unimodular covering;
- (ii)  $\mathscr{B}_G$  *is IDP*;
- (iii)  $\mathscr{B}_G$  *is very ample*;
- (iv) *G satisfies the odd cycle condition.*

*Proof.* First, implications (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) hold in general.

 $(iii) \Rightarrow (iv)$ : Suppose that *G* does not satisfy the odd cycle condition. By Proposi-tion [1.2,](#page-3-0) the edge polytope  $P_G$  of G is not very ample. Since  $P_G$  is a face of  $\mathcal{B}_G$  by Proposition [1.1](#page-2-0) (b),  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is not very ample.

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Suppose that *G* satisfies the odd cycle condition. Then so does *G*. Hence Proposition [1.2](#page-3-0) guarantees that  $P_{\tilde{G}}$  has a unimodular covering. By Proposition [1.1](#page-2-0) (a),  $\mathcal{B}_G$  has a unimodular covering. has a unimodular covering.

Example 1.4. Let *G* be a graph in Figure [1.](#page-4-2) Since *G* satisfies the odd cycle condition,



<span id="page-4-2"></span>FIGURE 1. A graph in [\[33\]](#page-16-2)

 $\mathscr{B}_G$  has a unimodular covering. However, since the edge polytope  $P_G$  has no regular unimodular triangulations ([\[33\]](#page-16-2)), so does  $\mathcal{B}_G$  by Proposition [1.1](#page-2-0) (b). We do not know whether  $\mathcal{B}_G$  has a (nonregular) unimodular triangulation or not.

2. REFLEXIVE POLYTOPES AND FLAG TRIANGULATIONS OF  $\mathscr{B}_G$ 

<span id="page-4-1"></span>In the present section, we classify graphs *G* such that

- $\mathcal{B}_G$  is a reflexive polytope.
- $\mathcal{B}_G$  is a reflexive polytope with a flag regular unimodular triangulation.

Namely, we prove Theorems [0.1](#page-1-0) and [0.2.](#page-1-1) First, we see some examples that  $\mathscr{B}_G$  is reflexive.

**Examples 2.1.** (a) If *G* is an empty graph, then  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is a *cross polytope*.

(b) Let *G* be a complete graph with 2 vertices. Then  $\mathscr{B}_G \cap \mathbb{Z}^2$  is the column vectors of the matrix

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},
$$

and  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is a reflexive polytope having a regular unimodular triangulation. Since the matrix

$$
\begin{pmatrix}1&0&1&1\\0&1&1&-1\end{pmatrix}
$$

is not unimodular, we cannot apply [\[35,](#page-16-12) Lemma 2.11] to show this fact.

In order to show that a lattice polytope is reflexive, we can use an algebraic technique on Gröbner bases. We recall basic materials and notation on toric ideals. Let  $K[t^{\pm 1}, s] =$  $K[t_1^{\pm 1}]$  $\frac{\pm 1}{1}, \ldots, t_d^{\pm 1}$  $\frac{d}{d}$ , *s*] be the Laurent polynomial ring in  $d + 1$  variables over a field *K*. If  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , then  $\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{a}}$ *s* is the Laurent monomial  $t_1^{a_1}$  $\frac{a_1}{1} \cdots \frac{a_d}{d}$  $d^{a_d}_d s$  ∈ *K*[**t**<sup> $±1$ </sup>,*s*]. Let  $\mathscr{P}$  ⊂  $\mathbb{R}^d$  be a lattice polytope and  $\mathscr{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d = {\mathbf{a}_1, ..., \mathbf{a}_n}$ . Then, the *toric ring* of  $\mathscr{P}$  is the subalgebra  $K[\mathscr{P}]$  of  $K[\mathbf{t}^{\pm 1}, s]$  generated by  $\{\mathbf{t}^{a_1}s, \ldots, \mathbf{t}^{a_n}s\}$  over *K*. We regard  $K[\mathscr{P}]$  as a homogeneous algebra by setting each deg  $\mathbf{t}^{a_i} s = 1$ . Let  $K[\mathbf{x}] = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  denote the polynomial ring in *n* variables over *K*. The *toric ideal*  $I_{\mathscr{P}}$  of  $\mathscr{P}$  is the kernel of the surjective homomorphism  $\pi : K[\mathbf{x}] \to K[\mathcal{P}]$  defined by  $\pi(x_i) = \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{a}_i} s$  for  $1 \le i \le n$ . It is known that  $I_{\mathscr{P}}$  is generated by homogeneous binomials. See, e.g., [\[12,](#page-15-9) [45\]](#page-16-13). Let  $\lt$  be a monomial order on  $K[x]$  and  $\text{in}_{<}(I_{\mathscr{P}})$  the initial ideal of  $I_{\mathscr{P}}$  with respect to  $<$ . The initial ideal in<sub><</sub>( $I_{\mathcal{P}}$ ) is called *squarefree* (resp. *quadratic*) if in<sub><</sub>( $I_{\mathcal{P}}$ ) is generated by squarefree (resp. quadratic) monomials. Now, we introduce an algebraic technique to show that a lattice polytope is reflexive.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Lemma 2.2** ([\[15,](#page-15-10) Lemma 1.1]). Let  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a lattice polytope of dimension d such that the origin of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is contained in its interior and  $\mathscr{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ . Suppose *that*  $\mathbb{Z}^d = \{ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \}$  $\{z_{i=1}^n z_i \mathbf{a}_i : z_i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  and there exists an ordering of the variables  $x_{i_1} < \cdots < x_{i_n}$ *for which*  $\mathbf{a}_{i_1} = \mathbf{0}$  *such that the initial ideal*  $\text{in}_{<} (I_{\mathcal{P}})$  *of*  $I_{\mathcal{P}}$  *with respect to the reverse lexicographic order*  $\lt$  *on*  $K[x]$  *induced by the ordering is squarefree. Then*  $\mathcal P$  *is reflexive and has a regular unimodular triangulation.*

By using this technique, several families of reflexive polytopes with regular unimodular triangulations are given in [\[14,](#page-15-11) [15,](#page-15-10) [16,](#page-15-12) [18,](#page-15-13) [19,](#page-15-14) [20,](#page-15-15) [36\]](#page-16-14). In order to apply Lemma [2.2](#page-5-0) to show Theorem [0.1,](#page-1-0) we see a relation between the toric ideal of  $\mathcal{B}_G$  and that of  $P_{\widetilde{G}}$ . Let *G* be a simple graph on [*d*] with edge set *E*(*G*) and let *R<sup>G</sup>* denote the polynomial ring in  $2d+1+4|E(G)|$  variables

$$
z, x_{i+}, x_{i-} \ (1 \le i < j \le d), y_{i,j+}, y_{i,j-}, y_{i,j+}, y_{i,j-}, ( \{i,j\} \in E(G))
$$

over a field *K*. Then the toric ideal  $I_{\mathscr{B}_G}$  of  $\mathscr{B}_G$  is the kernel of a ring homomorphism  $\pi: R_G \rightarrow K[t_1^{\pm}$  $\frac{1}{1}$ , ...,  $t_d^{\pm}$  $\frac{d}{dt}$ , *s*] defined by  $\pi(z) = s$ ,  $\pi(x_{i+}) = t_i s$ ,  $\pi(x_{i-}) = t_i^{-1}$  $\overline{\pi}^{-1}$ *s*,  $\pi(y_{ij++}) =$  $t_i t_j s, \pi(y_{ij} - ) = t_i^{-1}$  $\frac{1}{i}$ <sup>-1</sup> $t_j^{-1}$  $j^{-1}s$ ,  $\pi(y_{ij+-}) = t_it_j^{-1}$  $j^{-1}s$ , and  $\pi(y_{ij-+}) = t_i^{-1}$  $i \int_i^{-1} t_j s$ . Let *S<sub>G</sub>* denote the polynomial ring in  $d+1+|E(G)|$  variables

$$
z, x_{i+} \ (1 \leq i < j \leq d), \ y_{ij++} \ ( \{i, j\} \in E(G))
$$

over *K*. Then the toric ideal  $I_{P_{\widetilde{G}}}$  of  $P_{\widetilde{G}}$  is the kernel of  $\pi|_{S_G}$ . For each  $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_d) \in$  $\{-1,1\}^d$ , we define a ring homomorphism  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}: S_G \to R_G$  by  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}(x_{i+}) = x_{i\alpha}$  and  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}(y_{i,j++}) =$ *y*<sub>*i*jαβ</sub> where  $\alpha$  is the sign of  $\varepsilon_i$  and  $\beta$  is the sign of  $\varepsilon_j$ . In particular,  $\varphi_{(1,...,1)}$ :  $S_G \to R_G$  is an inclusion map.

<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $\mathscr G$  be a Gröbner basis of  $I_{P_{\widetilde{G}}}$  with respect to a reverse lexicographic or*der*  $\leq_S$  *on*  $S_G$  *such that*  $z \leq \{x_{i+}\} \leq \{y_{i,j+1}\}$ . Let  $\leq_R$  be a reverse lexicographic order such that  $z < \{x_{i+},x_{i-}\} < \{y_{ij++},y_{ij--},y_{ij+-},y_{ij-+}\}$  and that (i)  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}(x_{i+}) <_{R} \varphi_{\varepsilon}(x_{j+})$  if  $x_{i+} <_{S}$ 

$$
x_{j+}
$$
 and (ii)  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}(y_{ij++}) <_{R} \varphi_{\varepsilon}(y_{k\ell++})$  if  $y_{ij++} <_{S} y_{k\ell++}$  for all  $\varepsilon \in \{-1,1\}^d$ . Then

$$
\mathcal{G}' = \left( \bigcup_{\varepsilon \in \{-1,1\}^d} \varphi_{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{G}) \right) \cup \{ \underline{x_{i\alpha} y_{ij\beta\gamma}} - x_{j\gamma} z : \{i,j\} \in E(G), \alpha \neq \beta \}
$$
  

$$
\cup \quad \{ \underline{y_{ij\alpha\gamma} y_{ik\beta\delta}} - x_{j\gamma} x_{k\delta} : \{i,j\}, \{i,k\} \in E(G), \alpha \neq \beta \} \cup \{ \underline{x_{i+} x_{i-}} - z^2 : 1 \leq i \leq d \}
$$

*is a Gröbner basis of*  $I_{\mathscr{B}_G}$  *with respect to*  $\lt_R$ *, where the underlined monomial is the initial monomial of each binomial. (Here we identify*  $y_{ij\alpha\beta}$  *with*  $y_{ji\beta\alpha}$ *.) In particular, if*  $\text{in}_{\leq S}(I_{P_{\widetilde{G}}})$ is squarefree (resp. quadratic), then so is  $\text{in}_{\leq R}(I_{\mathscr{B}_G}).$ 

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $\mathscr{G}'$  is a subset of  $I_{\mathscr{B}_G}$ . Assume that  $\mathscr{G}'$  is not a Gröbner basis of  $I_{\mathscr{B}_G}$  with respect to  $\lt_R$ . Let  $\text{in}(\mathscr{G}') = \langle \text{in}_{\lt_R}(g) : g \in \mathscr{G}' \rangle$ . By [\[12,](#page-15-9) Theorem 3.11], there exists a non-zero irreducible homogeneous binomial  $f = u - v \in I_{\mathcal{B}_G}$  such that neither *u* nor *v* belongs to in( $\mathscr{G}'$ ). Since both *u* and *v* are divided by none of  $x_i + x_i -$ ,  $x_i \alpha y_i \beta \gamma$ *yi j*αγ*y*<sup>*ik*βδ</sub> ( $\alpha \neq \beta$ ), they are of the form</sup>

$$
u=\prod_{i\in I}(x_{i\alpha_i})^{u_i}\prod_{\{j,k\}\in E_1}(y_{jk\alpha_j\alpha_k})^{u_{jk}},\quad v=\prod_{i\in I'}(x_{i\alpha_i'})^{v_i}\prod_{\{j,k\}\in E_2}(y_{jk\alpha_j'\alpha_k'})^{v_{jk}},
$$

where  $I, I' \subset [d], E_1, E_2 \subset E(G)$  and  $0 \lt u_i, u_{jk}, v_i, v_{jk} \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since f belongs to  $I_{\mathscr{B}_G}$ , the exponent of  $t_\ell$  in  $\pi(u)$  and  $\pi(v)$  are the same. Hence, one of  $x_{\ell \alpha_\ell}$  and  $y_{\ell m \alpha_\ell \alpha_m}$  appears in *u* if and only if one of  $x_{\ell \alpha'_{\ell}}$  and  $y_{\ell n \alpha'_{\ell} \alpha'_{n}}$  appears in *v* with  $\alpha_{\ell} = \alpha'_{\ell}$  $\ell$ . Let  $\varepsilon$  be a vector in  $\{-1,1\}^d$  such that the sign of the *i*th component of  $\varepsilon$  is  $\alpha_i$  if one of  $x_{i\alpha_i}$  and  $y_{ij\alpha_i\alpha_j}$ appears in *u*. Then *f* belongs to the ideal  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}(I_{P_{\widetilde{G}}})$ . Let  $f' \in I_{P_{\widetilde{G}}}$  be a binomial such that  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}(f') = f$ . Since  $\mathscr G$  is a Gröbner basis of  $I_{P_{\widetilde G}}$ , there exists a binomial  $g \in \mathscr G$  whose initial monomial in<sub> $\lt k$ </sub>(g) divides the one of the monomials in  $f'$ . By the definition of  $\lt k$ , we have  $\text{in}_{\leq R}(\varphi_{\varepsilon}(g)) = \varphi_{\varepsilon}(\text{in}_{\leq R}(g))$ . Hence  $\text{in}_{\leq R}(\varphi_{\varepsilon}(g))$  divides one of the monomials in  $f = \varphi_{\varepsilon}(f')$ . This is a contradiction.

Using this Gröbner basis with respect to a reverse lexicographic order, we verify which  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is a reflexive polytope. Namely, we prove Theorem [0.1.](#page-1-0)

*Proof of Theorem [0.1.](#page-1-0)* The implication (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) is trivial.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): Suppose that *G* is not bipartite. Let  $G_1, \ldots, G_s$  be connected components of *G* and let  $d_i$  be the number of vertices of  $G_i$ . In particular, we have  $d = \sum_i^s$  $\int_{i=1}^{s} d_i$ . Since *G* is not bipartite, we may assume that  $G_1$  is not bipartite. Let  $\mathbf{w} = \sum_{i=1}^s \mathbf{w}_i$ , where  $\mathbf{w}_i = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \mathbf{e}_{p_k} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  if  $G_i$  is a non-bipartite graph on the vertex set  $\{p_1, \ldots, p_\ell\}$ , and  $\mathbf{w}_i =$  $\Sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle k}^{\ell}$  $\mathcal{L}_{k=1}^{\ell}$  2 $\mathbf{e}_{p_k} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  if  $G_i$  is a bipartite graph whose vertices are divided into two independent sets  $\{p_1, \ldots, p_\ell\}$  and  $\{q_1, \ldots, q_m\}$ . It then follows that  $\mathscr{H} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} = 2\}$  is a supporting hyperplane of  $\mathscr{B}_G$  and the corresponding face  $\mathscr{F}_w = \mathscr{B}_G \cap \mathscr{H}$  is the convex hull of  $H = \bigcup_{i=1}^s H_i$ , where  $H_i = \{e_u + e_v : \{u, v\} \in E(G_i)\}$  if  $G_i$  is not bipartite, and  $H_i = \{e_u + e_v : \{u, v\} \in E(G_i)\} \cup \{e_{p_1}, \ldots, e_{p_\ell}\} \cup \{e_{p_k} - e_v : \{p_k, v\} \in E(G_i)\}\$ if  $G_i$  is a bipartite graph with  $w_i = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} 2e_{p_k} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . We will show that  $\mathcal{F}_w$  is a facet of  $\mathcal{B}_G$ . The convex hull of  $\{e_u + e_v : \{u, v\} \in E(G_i)\}\$ is the edge polytope  $P_{G_i}$  of  $G_i$  and it is known

[\[32,](#page-16-1) Proposition 1.3] that

$$
\dim P_{G_i} = \begin{cases} d_i - 1 & \text{if } G_i \text{ is not bipartite,} \\ d_i - 2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

If  $G_i$  is not bipartite, then the dimension of conv $(H_i) = P_{G_i}$  is  $d_i - 1$ . If  $G_i$  is bipartite, then  $d_i - 2 = \dim P_{G_i} < \dim \text{conv}(H_i)$  since  $P_{G_i} \subset \text{conv}(H_i)$  and a hyperplane  $\mathcal{H} =$  $\{(x_1, \ldots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_1 + \cdots + x_d = 2\}$  satisfies  $e_{p_1} \notin \mathcal{H} \supset P_{G_i}$ . Hence the dimension of the face  $\mathcal{F}_{w}$  is at least  $s-1+\sum_{i=1}^{s}(d_{i}-1) = d-1$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{F}_{w}$  is a facet of  $\mathcal{B}_{G}$ . Since  $G_{1}$  is not bipartite, we have  $\frac{1}{2} \cdot \mathbf{w} \notin \mathbb{Z}^d$ . Thus  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is not reflexive.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Suppose that *G* is bipartite. Let  $\lt_S$  and  $\lt_R$  be any reverse lexicographic orders satisfying the condition in Lemma [2.3.](#page-5-1) It is known [\[12,](#page-15-9) Theorem 5.24] that any triangulation of the edge polytope of a bipartite graph is unimodular. By [\[45,](#page-16-13) Corollary 8.9], the initial ideal of the toric ideal of  $P_{\hat{G}}$  with respect to  $\lt_S$  is squarefree. Thanks to Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, we have a desired conclusion. to Lemmas [2.2](#page-5-0) and [2.3,](#page-5-1) we have a desired conclusion.

We now give a theorem on quadratic Gröbner bases of  $I_{\mathcal{B}_G}$  when *G* is bipartite. This theorem implies that Theorem [0.2.](#page-1-1) The same result is known for edge polytopes ([\[34\]](#page-16-3)).

<span id="page-7-0"></span>Theorem 2.4. *Let G be a bipartite graph. Then the following conditions are equivalent*:

- (i) The toric ideal  $I_{\mathscr{B}_G}$  of  $\mathscr{B}_G$  has a squarefree quadratic initial ideal  $(i.e.,  $\mathcal{B}_G$  has a flag regular unimodular triangular)$ ;
- (ii) *The toric ring*  $K[\mathcal{B}_G]$  *of*  $\mathcal{B}_G$  *is a Koszul algebra*;
- (iii) The toric ideal  $I_{\mathscr{B}_G}$  of  $\mathscr{B}_G$  is generated by quadratic binomials;
- (iv) *Any cycle of G of length*  $\geq$  6 *has a chord* (*"chordal bipartite graph"*).

*Proof.* Implications (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) hold in general.

 $(iii) \Rightarrow (iv)$ : Suppose that G has a cycle of length  $\geq 6$  without chords. By the theorem in [\[34\]](#page-16-3), the toric ideal of  $P_G$  is not generated by quadratic binomials. Since the edge polytope  $P_G$  is a face of  $\mathcal{B}_G$ , the toric ring  $K[P_G]$  is a combinatorial pure subring [\[31\]](#page-16-15) of  $K[\mathcal{B}_G]$ . Hence  $I_{\mathcal{B}_G}$  is not generated by quadratic binomials.

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Suppose that any cycle of *G* of length  $\geq$  6 has a chord. By Lemma [2.3,](#page-5-1) it is enough to show that the initial ideal of  $I_{P_{\hat{G}}}$  is squarefree and quadratic with respect to a reverse lexicographic order  $\lt_S$  such that  $z \lt \{x_{i+}\} \lt \{y_{k\ell++}\}\$ . Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  be the incidence matrix of  $G$  whose rows are indexed by  $V_1$  and whose columns are indexed by  $V_2$ . Then the incidence matrix of  $\tilde{G}$  is

$$
A' = \left(\begin{array}{cc} & & 1 \\ & A & & \vdots \\ & & & 1 \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \end{array}\right)
$$

By the same argument as in the proof of  $[34]$ , we may assume that  $A'$  contains no submatrices  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  if we permute the rows and columns of *A* in *A'*. Each quadratic binomial in  $I_{P_{\hat{G}}}$  corresponds to a submatrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  of *A'*. The proof of the theorem in [\[34\]](#page-16-3) guarantees that the initial ideal is squarefree and quadratic if the initial monomial of each

quadratic binomial corresponds to  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 1  $\overline{ }$ . It is easy to see that there exists a such reverse lexicographic order which satisfies  $z < \{x_{i+}\} < \{y_{k\ell++}\}\$ .

<span id="page-8-0"></span>3.  $\gamma$ -POSITIVITY AND REAL-ROOTEDNESS OF THE  $h^*$ -POLYNOMIAL OF  $\mathscr{B}_G$ 

In this section, we study the  $h^*$ -polynomial of  $\mathcal{B}_G$  for a graph *G*. First, we recall what  $h^*$ -polynomials are. Let  $\mathscr{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a lattice polytope of dimension *d*. Given a positive integer *n*, we define

$$
L_{\mathscr{P}}(n) = |n\mathscr{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d|.
$$

The study on  $L_{\mathscr{P}}(n)$  originated in Ehrhart [\[9\]](#page-15-16) who proved that  $L_{\mathscr{P}}(n)$  is a polynomial in *n* of degree *d* with the constant term 1. We say that  $L_{\mathcal{P}}(n)$  is the *Ehrhart polynomial* of  $\mathscr P$ . The generating function of the lattice point enumerator, i.e., the formal power series

$$
\operatorname{Ehr}_{\mathscr{P}}(x) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} L_{\mathscr{P}}(k) x^{k}
$$

is called the *Ehrhart series* of  $\mathscr{P}$ . It is well known that it can be expressed as a rational function of the form

$$
\operatorname{Ehr}_{\mathscr{P}}(x) = \frac{h^*(\mathscr{P}, x)}{(1-x)^{d+1}}.
$$

The polynomial  $h^*(\mathscr{P},x)$  is a polynomial in *x* of degree at most *d* with nonnegative in-teger coefficients ([\[41\]](#page-16-16)) and it is called the *h*<sup>\*</sup>-polynomial (or the  $\delta$ -polynomial) of  $\mathscr{P}$ . Moreover, one has  $Vol(\mathcal{P}) = h^*(\mathcal{P}, 1)$ , where  $Vol(\mathcal{P})$  is the normalized volume of  $\mathcal{P}$ .

Thanks to Proposition [1.1](#page-2-0) (a), we give a formula for  $h^*$ -polynomial of  $\mathcal{B}_G$  in terms of that of edge polytopes of some graphs. By the following formula, we can calculate the  $h^*$ -polynomial of  $\mathcal{B}_G$  if we can calculate each  $h^*(P_{\tilde{H}},x)$ .

<span id="page-8-2"></span>**Proposition 3.1.** Let *G* be a graph on [d]. Then the h<sup>∗</sup>-polynomial of  $\mathcal{B}_G$  satisfies

<span id="page-8-1"></span>(2) 
$$
h^{*}(\mathscr{B}_{G},x) = \sum_{j=0}^{d} 2^{j}(x-1)^{d-j} \sum_{H \in S_{j}(G)} h^{*}(P_{\widetilde{H}},x),
$$

*where*  $S_i(G)$  *denote the set of all induced subgraph of*  $G$  *with j vertices.* 

*Proof.* By Proposition [1.1](#page-2-0) (a),  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is divided into  $2^d$  lattice polytopes of the form  $\mathcal{B}_G \cap$  $\mathscr{O}_{\varepsilon}$ . Each  $\mathscr{B}_{G} \cap \mathscr{O}_{\varepsilon}$  is unimodularly equivalent to  $P_{\tilde{G}}$ . In addition, the intersection of  $\mathscr{B}_G \cap \mathscr{O}_\varepsilon$  and  $\mathscr{B}_G \cap \mathscr{O}_{\varepsilon'}$  is of dimension  $d-1$  if and only if  $\varepsilon - \varepsilon' \in \{\pm 2e_1, \ldots, \pm 2e_d\}$ . If  $\varepsilon - \varepsilon' = 2\mathbf{e}_k$ , then  $(\mathscr{B}_G \cap \mathscr{O}_\varepsilon) \cap (\mathscr{B}_G \cap \mathscr{O}_{\varepsilon'}) = \mathscr{B}_G \cap \mathscr{O}_{\varepsilon} \cap \mathscr{O}_{\varepsilon'} \simeq \mathscr{B}_{G'} \cap \mathscr{O}_{\varepsilon''}$ , where *G'* is the induced subgraph of *G* obtained by deleting the vertex  $k$ , and  $\varepsilon''$  is obtained by deleting *k*-th component of  $\varepsilon$ . Hence the Ehrhart polynomial  $L_{\mathscr{B}_G}(n)$  satisfies the following:

$$
L_{\mathscr{B}_G}(n) = \sum_{j=0}^d 2^j (-1)^{d-j} \sum_{H \in S_j(G)} L_{P_{\widetilde{H}}}(n).
$$

Thus the Ehrhart series satisfies

$$
\frac{h^*(\mathcal{B}_G, x)}{(1-x)^{d+1}} = \sum_{j=0}^d 2^j (-1)^{d-j} \sum_{H \in S_j(G)} \frac{h^*(P_{\widetilde{H}}, x)}{(1-x)^{j+1}},
$$

as desired.  $\Box$ 

Let  $f = \sum_{i=1}^d$  $\int_{i=0}^{d} a_i x^i$  be a polynomial with real coefficients and  $a_d \neq 0$ . We now focus on the following properties.

- (RR) We say that *f* is *real-rooted* if all its roots are real.
- (LC) We say that *f* is *log-concave* if  $a_i^2 \ge a_{i-1}a_{i+1}$  for all *i*.

(UN) We say that *f* is *unimodal* if  $a_0 \le a_1 \le \cdots \le a_k \ge \cdots \ge a_d$  for some *k*.

If all its coefficients are nonnegative, then these properties satisfy the implications

$$
(RR) \Rightarrow (LC) \Rightarrow (UN).
$$

On the other hand, the polynomial *f* is said to be *palindromic* if  $f(x) = x^d f(x^{-1})$ . It is *γ*-*positive* if there are  $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_{|d/2|} \ge 0$  such that  $f(x) = \sum_{i \ge 0} \gamma_i x^i (1+x)^{d-2i}$ . The polynomial  $\sum_{i\geq 0} \gamma_i x^i$  is called γ-*polynomial of f*. We can see that a γ-positive polynomial is real-rooted if and only if its  $\gamma$ -polynomial had only real roots.

By the following proposition, we are interested in connected bipartite graphs.

Proposition 3.2. *Let G be a bipartite graph and G*1,...,*G<sup>s</sup> the connected components of G. Then the h*<sup>∗</sup> *-polynomial of* B*<sup>G</sup> is palindromic, unimodal and*

$$
h^*(\mathscr{B}_G,x)=h^*(\mathscr{B}_{G_1},x)\cdots h^*(\mathscr{B}_{G_s},x).
$$

*Proof.* It is known [\[13\]](#page-15-17) that the *h*<sup>\*</sup>-polynomial of a lattice polytope *P* with the interior lattice point 0 is palindromic if and only if *P* is reflexive. Moreover, if a reflexive polytope *P* has a unimodular triangulation, then the *h*<sup>∗</sup>-polynomial of *P* is unimodal (see [\[6\]](#page-15-6)). It is easy to see that,  $\mathscr{B}_G$  is the free sum of  $\mathscr{B}_{G_1}, \ldots, \mathscr{B}_{G_s}$ . Thus we have a desired conclusion by Theorem [0.1](#page-1-0) and [\[5,](#page-15-18) Theorem 1].  $\Box$ 

In the rest of the present paper, we discuss the  $\gamma$ -positivity and the real-rootedness on the *h*<sup>\*</sup>-polynomial of  $\mathcal{B}_G$  when *G* is a bipartite graph. The edge polytope  $P_G$  of a bipartite graph *G* is called a *root polytope* of *G*, and it is shown [\[24\]](#page-16-6) that the *h* ∗ -polynomial of  $P_G$  coincides with the interior polynomial  $I_G(x)$  of a hypergraph induced by *G*. First, we discuss interior polynomials introduced by Kálmán [\[23\]](#page-16-9) and developed in many papers.

A *hypergraph* is a pair  $\mathcal{H} = (V, E)$ , where  $E = \{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$  is a finite multiset of nonempty subsets of  $V = \{v_1, \ldots, v_m\}$ . Elements of *V* are called vertices and the elements of *E* are the hyperedges. Then we can associate  $\mathcal H$  to a bipartite graph Bip  $\mathcal H$  with a bipartition  $V \cup E$  such that  $\{v_i, e_j\}$  is an edge of Bip H if  $v_i \in e_j$ . Assume that Bip H is connected. A *hypertree* in H is a function  $f: E \to \{0,1,\ldots\}$  such that there exists a spanning tree  $\Gamma$  of Bip H whose vertices have degree  $\mathbf{f}(e) + 1$  at each  $e \in E$ . Then we say that  $\Gamma$  induce **f**. Let  $B_{\mathcal{H}}$  denote the set of all hypertrees in  $\mathcal{H}$ . A hyperedge  $e_j \in E$  is said to be *internally active* with respect to the hypertree f if it is not possible to decrease  $f(e_j)$  by 1 and increase  $f(e_{j'})$  ( $j' < j$ ) by 1 so that another hypertree results. We call a hyperedge *internally inactive* with respect to a hypertree if it is not internally active and denote the number of such hyperedges of **f** by  $\bar{\iota}(\mathbf{f})$ . Then the *interior polynomial* of  $\mathcal{H}$ is the generating function  $I_{\mathscr{H}}(x) = \sum_{\mathbf{f} \in B_{\mathscr{H}}} x^{\overline{\mathbf{f}}}(\mathbf{f})$ . It is known [\[23,](#page-16-9) Proposition 6.1] that  $deg I_{\mathcal{H}}(x) \leq min\{|V|, |E|\} - 1$ . If  $G = Bip\mathcal{H}$ , then we set  $I_G(x) = I_{\mathcal{H}}(x)$ . Kálmán and Postnikov [\[24\]](#page-16-6) proved that

$$
I_G(x) = h^*(P_G, x)
$$
  
10

for a connected bipartite graph *G*. Note that if *G* is a bipartite graph, then the bipartite graph  $\widehat{G}$  arising from  $G$  is connected. Hence we can use this formula to study equation [\(2\)](#page-8-1) in Proposition [3.1.](#page-8-2) (Interior polynomials of disconnected bipartite graphs are defined in [\[25\]](#page-16-17).) A *k-matching* of *G* is a set of *k* pairwise non-adjacent edges of *G*. Let

$$
M(G,k) = \left\{ \{v_{i_1}, \ldots, v_{i_k}, e_{j_1}, \ldots, e_{j_k}\} : \text{ whose vertex set is } \{v_{i_1}, \ldots, v_{i_k}, e_{j_1}, \ldots, e_{j_k}\} \right\}.
$$

For  $k = 0$ , we set  $M(G, 0) = \{0\}$ . Using the theory of generalized permutohedra [\[30,](#page-16-10) [38\]](#page-16-5), we have the following important fact on interior polynomials:

<span id="page-10-0"></span>Proposition 3.3. *Let G be a bipartite graph. Then we have*

<span id="page-10-1"></span>(3) 
$$
I_{\hat{G}}(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} |M(G,k)| x^{k}.
$$

*Proof.* Let *V* ∪ *E* denote a bipartition of *G*, where  $V = \{v_2, \ldots, v_m\}$  and  $E = \{e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$ with  $d = m + n - 2$ . Then  $\widehat{G}$  is a connected bipartite graph with a bipartition  $V' \cup E'$  with *V*<sup> $′$ </sup> = {*v*<sub>1</sub>}∪*V* and *E*<sup> $′$ </sup> = {*e*<sub>1</sub>}∪*E*. Recall that {*v<sub>i</sub>*,*e<sub>j</sub>*} is an edge of  $\widehat{G}$  if and only if either  $(i-1)(j-1) = 0$  or  $\{v_i, e_j\}$  is an edge of *G*. Let HT(*G*) be the set of all hypertrees in the hypergraph associated with  $\widehat{G}$ . Given a hypertree  $f \in HT(\widehat{G})$ , let  $\Gamma$  be a spanning tree that induces **f**. We now repeat the following procedure for  $\Gamma$ :

• For each  $j = 1, 2, ..., n$ , since  $\Gamma$  is a spanning tree, there exists a unique path  $e_j v_i \cdots v_1$  from  $e_j$  to  $v_1$ . If  $i > 1$ , then remove  $\{v_i, e_j\}$  from  $\Gamma$  and add  $\{v_1, e_j\}$  to Γ. It then follows that the new Γ is a spanning tree that induces f.

Hence we may assume that  $\{v_1, e_j\}$  is an edge of  $\Gamma$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Note that the degree of each  $v_i$  ( $2 \le i \le m$ ) is 1.

By definition,  $e_1$  is always internally active. We show that,  $e_j$  ( $j \ge 2$ ) is internally active if and only if  $f(e_j) = 0$ . By definition, if  $f(e_j) = 0$ , then  $e_j$  is internally active. Suppose  $f(e_j) > 0$ . Then there exists  $i \ge 2$  such that  $\{v_i, e_j\}$  is an edge of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $f' \in HT(\widehat{G})$  be a hypertree induced by a spanning tree obtained by replacing  $\{v_i, e_j\}$  with  $\{v_i, e_1\}$  in  $\Gamma$ . Then we have  $f'(e_j) = f(e_j) - 1$ ,  $f'(e_1) = f(e_1) + 1$  and  $f'(e_k) = f(e_k)$  for all  $1 < k \neq j$ . Hence  $e_j$  is not internally active. Thus  $\overline{\iota}(\mathbf{f})$  is the number of  $e_j$  ( $j \ge 2$ ) such that there exists an edge  $\{v_i, e_j\}$  of  $\Gamma$  for some  $i \geq 2$ .

In order to prove the equation [\(3\)](#page-10-1), it is enough to show that, for fixed hyperedges  $e_{j_1}, \ldots, e_{j_k}$  with  $2 \le j_1 < \cdots < j_k \le n$ , the cardinality of

$$
\mathcal{S}_{j_1,\ldots,j_k} = \{ \mathbf{f} \in HT(\widehat{G}) : e_{j_1}, \ldots, e_{j_k} \text{ are not internally active and } \overline{\iota}(\mathbf{f}) = k \}
$$

is equal to the cardinality of

$$
\mathscr{M}_{j_1,\ldots,j_k} = \left\{ \{v_{i_1},\ldots,v_{i_k}\} : \text{ whose vertex set is } \{v_{i_1},\ldots,v_{i_k},e_{j_1},\ldots,e_{j_k}\} \right\}.
$$

Let  $G_{j_1,...,j_k}$  be the induced subgraph of *G* on the vertex set  $V \cup \{e_{j_1},...,e_{j_k}\}$ . If  $e_{j_\ell}$  is an isolated vertex in  $G_{j_1,...,j_k}$ , then both  $\mathscr{S}_{j_1,...,j_k}$  and  $\mathscr{M}_{j_1,...,j_k}$  are empty sets. If  $v_i$  is an isolated vertex in  $G_{j_1,...,j_k}$ , then there is no relations between  $v_i$  and two sets, and hence we can ignore  $v_i$ . Thus we may assume that  $G_{j_1,...,j_k}$  has no isolated vertices.

It is known that  $\mathcal{M}_{j_1,...,j_k}$  is the set of bases of a transversal matroid associated with  $G_{j_1,...,j_k}$ . See, e.g., [\[37\]](#page-16-18). For  $i = 2,...,m$ , let

$$
I_i = \{0\} \cup \{\ell : \{v_i, e_{j_\ell}\} \text{ is an edge of } G_{j_1, \dots, j_k}\} \subset \{0, 1, \dots, k\}.
$$

Oh [\[30\]](#page-16-10) define a lattice polytope  $P_{\mathcal{M}_{j_1,\dots,j_k}}$  to be the generalized permutohedron [\[38\]](#page-16-5) of the induced subgraph of *G* on the vertex set  $V \cup \{e_1, e_{j_1}, \ldots, e_{j_k}\}$ , i.e.,  $P_{\mathcal{M}_{j_1,\ldots,j_k}}$  is the Minkowski sum  $\Delta_{I_2} + \cdots + \Delta_{I_m}$ , where  $\Delta_I = \text{Conv}(\{\mathbf{e}_j : j \in I\}) \subset \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$  and  $\mathbf{e}_0, \mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_k$ are unit coordinate vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ . By [\[30,](#page-16-10) Lemma 22 and Proposition 26], the cardinality of  $\mathcal{M}_{j_1,...,j_k}$  is equal to the number of the lattice point  $(x_0, x_1,...,x_k) \in P_{\mathcal{M}_{j_1,...,j_k}} \cap$  $\mathbb{Z}^{k+1}$  with  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k \ge 1$ . In addition, by [\[38,](#page-16-5) Proposition 14.12], any lattice point  $(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in P_{\mathcal{M}_{j_1, \ldots, j_k}} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{k+1}$  is of the form  $\mathbf{e}_{i_2} + \cdots + \mathbf{e}_{i_m}$ , where  $i_\ell \in I_\ell$  for  $2 \leq \ell \leq k$ *m*. By a natural correspondence  $(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in P_{\mathcal{M}_{j_1, \ldots, j_k}} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{k+1}$  with  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k \ge 1$ and  $(f(e_1), f(e_{j_1}),..., f(e_{j_k}))$  with  $f \in \mathscr{S}_{j_1,...,j_k}$ , it follows that the number of the lattice point  $(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in P\mathcal{M}_{j_1, \ldots, j_k} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{k+1}$  with  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k \ge 1$  is equal to the cardinality of  $\mathscr{S}_{j_1,\dots,j_k}$ , as desired. , as desired.  $\square$ 

Now, we show that the  $h^*$ -polynomial of  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is  $\gamma$ -positive if *G* is a bipartite graph. In fact, we prove Theorem [0.3.](#page-1-2)

*Proof of Theorem [0.3.](#page-1-2)* By Propositions [3.1](#page-8-2) and [3.3,](#page-10-0) the  $h^*$ -polynomial of  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is

$$
h^*(\mathcal{B}_G, x) = \sum_{j=0}^d 2^j (x-1)^{d-j} \sum_{H \in S_j(G)} I_{\hat{H}}(x)
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{j=0}^d 2^j (x-1)^{d-j} \sum_{H \in S_j(G)} \sum_{k \ge 0} |M(H, k)| x^k.
$$

Note that, for each  $\{v_{i_1}, \ldots, v_{i_k}, e_{j_1}, \ldots, e_{j_k}\} \in M(G, k)$  there exist  $\binom{d-2k}{j-2k}$  $j-2k$ <sub>j</sub> induced subgraphs  $H \in S_j(G)$  such that  $\{v_{i_1}, \ldots, v_{i_k}, e_{j_1}, \ldots, e_{j_k}\} \in M(H, k)$  for  $j = 2k, 2k + 1, \ldots, d$ . Thus we have

$$
h^*(\mathcal{B}_G, x) = \sum_{k \ge 0} \sum_{j=2k}^d 2^j (x-1)^{d-j} |M(G,k)| \binom{d-2k}{j-2k} x^k
$$
  
\n
$$
= \sum_{k \ge 0} |M(G,k)| 2^{2k} x^k (2 + (x-1))^{d-2k}
$$
  
\n
$$
= (x+1)^d \sum_{k \ge 0} |M(G,k)| \left(\frac{4x}{(x+1)^2}\right)^k
$$
  
\n
$$
= (x+1)^d I_{\widehat{G}} \left(\frac{4x}{(x+1)^2}\right),
$$
  
\nas desired.

By Proposition [3.3,](#page-10-0) it follows that, if *G* is a forest, then  $I_{\hat{G}}(x)$  coincides with the *matching generating polynomial* of *G*.

<span id="page-12-0"></span>Proposition 3.4. *Let G be a forest. Then we have*

$$
I_{\widehat{G}}(x) = \sum_{k \ge 0} m_k(G) x^k,
$$

*where*  $m_k(G)$  *is the number of k-matching in G. In particular,*  $I_{\hat{G}}(x)$  *<i>is real-rooted.* 

*Proof.* Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be *k*-matchings of *G*. Suppose that  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  have the same vertex set  $\{v_{i_1}, \ldots, v_{i_k}, e_{j_1}, \ldots, e_{j_k}\}$ . If  $M = (M_1 \cup M_2) \setminus (M_1 \cap M_2)$  is not empty, then M corresponds to a subgraph of *G* such that the degree of each vertex is 2. Hence *M* has at least one cycle. This contradicts that *G* is a forest. Hence we have  $M_1 = M_2$ . Thus  $m_k(G)$ is the cardinality of  $M(G, k)$ . In general, it is known that  $\sum_{k \geq 0} m_k(G) x^k$  is real-rooted for any graph *G*. See, e.g., [\[11,](#page-15-19) [28\]](#page-16-19).

Next we will show that, if a bipartite graph *G* is a "permutation graph" associated with a poset *P*, then the interior polynomial  $I_{\hat{G}}(x)$  coincides with *P*-Eulerian polynomial  $W(P)(x)$ . A *permutation graph* is a graph on [*d*] with edge set

 $\{\{i, j\} : L_i \text{ and } L_j \text{ intersect each other}\},\$ 

where there are *d* points 1,2,...,*d* on two parallel lines  $\mathcal{L}_1$  and  $\mathcal{L}_2$  in the plane, and the straight lines  $L_i$  connect *i* on  $\mathcal{L}_1$  and *i* on  $\mathcal{L}_2$ . If *G* is a bipartite graph with a bipartition  $V_1 \cup V_2$ , the following conditions are equivalent :

- (i) *G* is permutation;
- (ii) The complement of *G* is a comparability graph of a poset;
- (iii) There exist orderings  $\lt_1$  on  $V_1$  and  $\lt_2$  on  $V_2$  such that

$$
i, i' \in V_1, i <_1 i', j, j' \in V_2, j <_2 j', \{i, j\}, \{i', j'\} \in E(G) \Longrightarrow \{i, j'\}, \{i', j\} \in E(G);
$$

(iv) For any three vertices, there exists a pair of them such that there exists no path containing the two vertices that avoids the neighborhood of the remaining vertex.

See [\[4\]](#page-15-20) for details. On the other hand, let *P* be a naturally labeled poset *P* on [*d*]. Then *the order polynomial*  $\Omega(P,m)$  of *P* is defined for  $0 < m \in \mathbb{Z}$  to be the number of orderpreserving maps  $\sigma$  :  $P \rightarrow [m]$ . It is known that

$$
\sum_{m\geq 0} \Omega(P,m+1)x^m = \frac{\sum_{\pi\in\mathscr{L}(P)} x^{d(\pi)}}{(1-x)^{d+1}},
$$

where  $\mathscr{L}(P)$  is the set of linear extensions of *P* and  $d(\pi)$  is the number of descent of  $\pi$ . The *P-Eulerian polynomial*  $W(P)(x)$  is defied by

$$
W(P)(x) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{L}(P)} x^{d(\pi)}.
$$

See, e.g., [\[43\]](#page-16-20) for details. We now give a relation between the interior polynomial and the *P*-Eulerian polynomial of a finite poset.

<span id="page-12-1"></span>Proposition 3.5. *Let G be a bipartite permutation graph and let P be a poset whose comparability graph is the complement of a bipartite graph G. Then we have*

$$
I_{\widehat{G}}(x) = W(P)(x).
$$

*Proof.* In this case,  $\mathscr{B}_G \cap \mathscr{O}_{(1,\ldots,1)}$  is the chain polytope  $\mathscr{C}_P$  of *P*. It is known that the *h*<sup>\*</sup>polynomial of  $\mathcal{C}_P$  is the *P*-Eulerian polynomial  $W(P)(x)$ . See [\[42,](#page-16-21) [43\]](#page-16-20) for details. Thus we have  $I_{\hat{G}}(x) = h^*(P_{\hat{G}}, x) = W(P)(x)$ , as desired.

It was conjectured by Neggers–Stanley that  $W(P)(x)$  is real-rooted. However this is false in general. The first counterexample was given in [\[3\]](#page-15-21) (not naturally labeled posets). Counterexamples of naturally labeled posets were given in [\[44\]](#page-16-11). Counterexamples in these two papers are *narrow* posets, i.e., elements of posets are partitioned into two chains. It is easy to see that *P* is narrow poset if and only if the comparability graph of *P* is the complement of a bipartite graph. Since Stembridge found many counterexamples which are naturally labeled narrow posets, there are many bipartite permutation graphs *G* such that  $h^*(\mathcal{B}_G, x)$  are not real-rooted. We give one of them as follows.

<span id="page-13-0"></span>**Example 3.6.** Let *P* be a naturally labeled poset in Figure [2](#page-13-1) given in [\[44\]](#page-16-11). Then



<span id="page-13-1"></span>FIGURE 2. A counterexample of Neggers–Stanley conjecture [\[44\]](#page-16-11)

$$
W(P)(x) = 3x^8 + 86x^7 + 658x^6 + 1946x^5 + 2534x^4 + 1420x^3 + 336x^2 + 32x + 1
$$

has a conjugate pair of zeros near −1.85884±0.149768*i* as explained in [\[44\]](#page-16-11). Let *G* be the complement of the comparability graph of *P*. Then *G* is a bipartite graph with 17 vertices and 32 edges. The  $h^*$ -polynomial of  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is

$$
h^*(\mathcal{B}_G, x) = (x+1)^{17}W(P)\left(\frac{4x}{(x+1)^2}\right)
$$
  
=  $x^{17} + 145x^{16} + 7432x^{15} + 174888x^{14} + 2128332x^{13} + 14547884x^{12} + 59233240x^{11}$   
+  $148792184x^{10} + 234916470x^9 + 234916470x^8 + 148792184x^7 + 59233240x^6$   
+  $14547884x^5 + 2128332x^4 + 174888x^3 + 7432x^2 + 145x + 1$ 

and has conjugate pairs of zeros near −3.88091±0.18448*i* and −0.257091±0.0122209*i*. (We used Mathematica to compute approximate values.) On the other hand,  $h^*(\mathscr{B}_G, x)$ is log-concave.

By the following proposition, it turns out that this example is a counterexample of "Real Root Conjecture" that has been already disproved by Gal [\[10\]](#page-15-7).

<span id="page-14-0"></span>**Proposition 3.7.** Let G be a bipartite permutation graph. Then  $h^*(\mathcal{B}_G, x)$  coincides with *the h-polynomial of a flag complex that is a triangulation of a sphere.*

*Proof.* It is known [\[4,](#page-15-20) p.94] that any bipartite permutation graph satisfies the condition (iv) in Theorem [2.4.](#page-7-0) Hence there exists a squarefree quadratic initial ideal with respect to a reverse lexicographic order such that the smallest variable corresponds to the origin. By the theory of regular unimodular triangulations [\[45,](#page-16-13) Chapter 8], this means that there exists a flag regular unimodular triangulation  $\Delta$  such that the origin is a vertex of any maximal simplex in  $\Delta$ . Then  $h^*(\mathcal{B}_G, x)$  coincides with the *h*-polynomial of a flag unimodular triangulation of the boundary of a convex polytope  $\mathcal{B}_G$  arising from  $\Delta$ .

Let *G* be a finite simple graph on  $[d]$  with the edge set  $E(G)$  and let  $\mathscr{A}_G \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  denote the convex hull of the set

$$
A(G) = \{ \mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{e}_j - \mathbf{e}_i : \{i, j\} \in E(G) \}.
$$

The lattice polytope  $\mathcal{A}_G$  is called the *symmetric edge polytope* of *G*. In [\[22\]](#page-15-2), for a  $(p, q)$ complete bipartite graph  $K_{p,q}$ , the simple description for the *h*<sup>\*</sup>-polynomials of  $\mathscr{A}_{K_{p,q}}$  was given and it is γ-positive and real-rooted. In fact, one has

$$
h^*(\mathscr{A}_{K_{p+1,q+1}},x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\min\{p,q\}} \binom{2i}{i} \binom{p}{i} \binom{q}{i} x^i (x+1)^{p+q+1-2i}.
$$

Similarly, we can give the simple description for the *h*<sup>\*</sup>-polynomial of  $\mathscr{B}_{K_{p,q}}$  and show that it is  $γ$ -positive and real-rooted.

<span id="page-14-1"></span>**Example 3.8.** Let  $K_{p,q}$  be a  $(p,q)$ -complete bipartite graph. Then the comparability graph of a poset *P* consisting of two disjoint chains  $1 < 2 < \cdots < p$  and  $p+1 < p+2 < \cdots <$  $p + q$  is the complement of  $K_{p,q}$ . It is easy to see that

$$
W(P)(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\min\{p,q\}} \binom{p}{i} \binom{q}{i} x^i.
$$

Hence we have

$$
h^*(\mathscr{B}_{K_{p,q}},x)=(x+1)^{p+q}W(P)\left(\frac{4x}{(x+1)^2}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{\min\{p,q\}}4^i\binom{p}{i}\binom{q}{i}x^i(x+1)^{p+q-2i}.
$$

Simion [\[39\]](#page-16-22) proved that  $W(P)(x)$  is real-rooted if *P* is a naturally labeled and disjoint union of chains. Thus the *h*<sup>\*</sup>-polynomial  $h^*(\mathcal{B}_{K_{p,q}},x)$  is real-rooted.

In [\[22\]](#page-15-2), for the proof of the real-rootedness of  $h^*(\mathscr{A}_{K_{p,q}},x)$ , interlacing polynomials techniques were used. Let *f* and *g* be real-rooted polynomials with roots  $a_1 \ge a_2 \ge \cdots$ , respectively,  $b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \cdots$ . Then *g* is said to *interlace f* if

$$
a_1 \ge b_1 \ge a_2 \ge b_2 \ge \cdots.
$$

In this case, we write  $f \leq g$ . In [\[22\]](#page-15-2), it is shown that

$$
h^*(\mathscr{A}_{K_{p,q}},x) \preceq h^*(\mathscr{A}_{K_{p,q+1}},x).
$$

By a similar way of [\[22\]](#page-15-2), we can prove the following.

**Proposition 3.9.** *For all p*,  $q \geq 1$ *, one has* 

$$
h^*(\mathscr{B}_{K_{p,q}},x)\preceq h^*(\mathscr{B}_{K_{p,q+1}},x).
$$

*Proof.* Set  $\gamma(\mathcal{B}_{K_{p,q}},x) = \sum_{i\geq 0} 4^i {p_i \choose i}$  $\binom{p}{i}$  $\binom{q}{i}$  $x^{i}$ . Since  $\left\{ \binom{p}{i} \right\}$  $\binom{p}{i}$ <sub>*i*</sub> $\geq$ <sup>0</sup> is a multiplier sequence (see [\[22\]](#page-15-2)) and since  $(4x + 1)^q \leq (4x + 1)^{q+1}$ , one has  $\gamma(\mathcal{B}_{K_{p,q}}, x) \leq \gamma(\mathcal{B}_{K_{p,q+1}}, x)$ . By [\[22,](#page-15-2) Lemma 4.10], we obtain  $h^*(\mathcal{B}_{K_{p,q}},x) \preceq h^*(\mathcal{B}_{K_{p,q+1}})$  $(x)$ .

## **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-15-4"></span><span id="page-15-0"></span>[1] F. Ardila, M. Back, S. Hosten, J. Pfeifle and K. Seashore, Root Polytopes and Growth Series of Root Lattices, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* 25 (2011), 360–378.
- <span id="page-15-21"></span>[2] V. Batyrev, Dual polyhedra and mirror symmetry for Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces in toric varieties, *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 3 (1994), 493–535.
- <span id="page-15-20"></span>[3] P. Brändén, Counterexamples to the Neggers-Stanley conjecture, *Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc.* (2004), (electronic).
- [4] A. Brandstädt, V.B. Le, and J.P. Spinrad, "Graph Classes: A Survey," SIAM Monographs on Discrete Math. Appl., Vol. 3, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1999.
- <span id="page-15-18"></span><span id="page-15-6"></span>[5] B. Braun, An Ehrhart Series Formula For Reflexive Polytopes, *Electron. J. Combin.* 13 (2006), ♯N15.
- <span id="page-15-8"></span>[6] W. Bruns and T. Römer, *h*-Vectors of Gorenstein polytopes, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 114 (2007), 65–76.
- [7] D. A. Cox, C. Haase, T. Hibi and A. Higashitani, Integer decomposition property of dilated polytopes, *Electron. J. Combin.* 21 (4) (2014), # P4.28.
- <span id="page-15-16"></span><span id="page-15-5"></span>[8] D. Cox, J. Little and H. Schenck, "Toric varieties", Amer. Math. Soc., 2011.
- [9] E. Ehrhart, "Polynomês Arithmétiques et Méthode des Polyédres en Combinatorie", Birkhäuser, Boston/Basel/Stuttgart, 1977.
- <span id="page-15-7"></span>[10] S. R. Gal, Real Root Conjecture fails for five and higher dimensional spheres, *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 34 (2005), 269–284.
- <span id="page-15-19"></span><span id="page-15-9"></span>[11] O. J. Heilmann and E. H. Lieb, Theory of monomer-dimer systems, *Commun. Math. Physics* 25 (1972) 190–232.
- <span id="page-15-17"></span>[12] J. Herzog, T. Hibi and H. Ohsugi, "Binomial ideals", Graduate Texts in Math. 279, Springer, Cham, 2018.
- <span id="page-15-11"></span>[13] T. Hibi, Dual polytopes of rational convex polytopes, *Combinatorica* 12 (1992), 237–240.
- [14] T. Hibi and K. Matsuda, Quadratic Gröbner bases of twinned order polytopes, *European J. Combin.* 54 (2016), 187–192.
- <span id="page-15-10"></span>[15] T. Hibi, K. Matsuda, H. Ohsugi, and K. Shibata, Centrally symmetric configurations of order polytopes, *J. Algebra* 443 (2015), 469–478.
- <span id="page-15-12"></span>[16] T. Hibi, K. Matsuda and A. Tsuchiya, Quadratic Gröbner bases arising from partially ordered sets, *Math. Scand.* 121 (2017), 19–25.
- <span id="page-15-3"></span>[17] T. Hibi, K. Matsuda and A. Tsuchiya, Edge rings with 3-linear resolutions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, to appear.
- <span id="page-15-14"></span><span id="page-15-13"></span>[18] T. Hibi and A. Tsuchiya, Facets and volume of Gorenstein Fano polytopes, *Math. Nachr.* 290 (2017), 2619–2628.
- [19] T. Hibi and A. Tsuchiya, Reflexive polytopes arising from perfect graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 157 (2018), 233–246.
- <span id="page-15-15"></span>[20] T. Hibi and A. Tsuchiya, Reflexive polytopes arising from partially ordered sets and perfect graphs, *J. Algebraic Combin.* 49 (2019), 69–81.
- <span id="page-15-1"></span>[21] A. Higashitani, M. Kummer and M. Michałek, Interlacing Ehrhart polynomials of reflexive polytopes, *Selecta Math.* 23 (2017), 2977–2998.
- <span id="page-15-2"></span>[22] A. Higashitani, K. Jochemko and M. Michałek, Arithmetic aspects of symmetric edge polytopes, *Mathematika*, to appear.
- <span id="page-16-9"></span><span id="page-16-6"></span>[23] T. Kálmán, A version of Tutte's polynomial for hypergraphs, *Adv. Math.* **244** (2013), 823–873.
- <span id="page-16-17"></span>[24] T. Kálmán and A. Postnikov, Root polytopes, Tutte polynomials, and a duality theorem for bipartite graphs, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* 114 (2017), 561–588.
- <span id="page-16-8"></span>[25] K. Kato, Interior polynomial for signed bipartite graphs and the HOMFLY polynomial, arXiv: 1705.05063.
- <span id="page-16-7"></span>[26] M. Kreuzer and H. Skarke, Complete classification of reflexive polyhedra in four dimensions, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* 4 (2000), 1209–1230.
- <span id="page-16-19"></span>[27] J. C. Lagarias and G. M. Ziegler, Bounds for lattice polytopes containing a fixed number of interior points in a sublattice, *Canad. J. Math.* 43 (1991), 1022–1035.
- <span id="page-16-0"></span>[28] J. A. Makowsky, E. V. Ravve and Ni. K. Blanchard, On the location of roots of graph polynomials, *European J. Combin.* 41 (2014) 1–19.
- <span id="page-16-10"></span>[29] T. Matsui, A. Higashitani, Y. Nagazawa, H. Ohsugi and T. Hibi, Roots of Ehrhart polynomials arising from graphs, *J. Algebraic Combin.* 34 (2011), 721–749.
- <span id="page-16-15"></span>[30] S. Oh, Generalized permutohedra, *h*-vectors of cotransversal matroids and pure O-sequences, *Electron. J. Combin.* 20 (3) (2013) # P.14.
- <span id="page-16-1"></span>[31] H. Ohsugi J. Herzog and T. Hibi, Combinatorial pure subrings, *Osaka J. Math.* 37 (2000), 745–757.
- <span id="page-16-2"></span>[32] H. Ohsugi and T. Hibi, Normal polytopes arising from finite graphs, *J. Algebra* 207 (1998), 409–426.
- [33] H. Ohsugi and T. Hibi, A normal (0,1)-polytope none of whose regular triangulations is unimodular, *Disc. Comput. Geom.* 21 (1999), 201–204.
- <span id="page-16-12"></span><span id="page-16-3"></span>[34] H. Ohsugi and T. Hibi, Koszul bipartite graphs, *Adv. Applied Math.* 22 (1999), 25–28.
- [35] H. Ohsugi and T. Hibi, Centrally symmetric configurations of integer matrices, *Nagoya Math. J.* 216 (2014), 153–170.
- <span id="page-16-14"></span>[36] H. Ohsugi and T. Hibi, Reverse lexicographic squarefree initial ideals and Gorenstein Fano polytopes, *J. Commut. Alg.* 10 (2018), 171–186.
- <span id="page-16-18"></span><span id="page-16-5"></span>[37] J. G. Oxley, "Matroid theory," Oxford University Press, 2006.
- <span id="page-16-22"></span>[38] A. Postnikov, Permutohedra, associahedra, and beyond, *Int. Math. Res. Notices* 6 (2009), 1026–1106.
- [39] R. Simion, A multi-indexed Sturm sequence of polynomials and unimodality of certain combinatorial sequences, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 36 (1) (1984), 15-22.
- <span id="page-16-4"></span>[40] A. Simis, W. V. Vasconcelos and R. H. Villarreal, The integral closure of subrings associated to graphs, *J. Algebra* 199 (1998), 281–289.
- <span id="page-16-21"></span><span id="page-16-16"></span>[41] R. P. Stanley, Decompositions of rational convex polytopes, *Annals of Discrete Math.* 6 (1980), 333– 342.
- <span id="page-16-20"></span>[42] R. P. Stanley, Two poset polytopes, *Disc. Comput. Geom.* 1 (1986), 9–23.
- [43] R. P. Stanley, "Enumerative Combinatorics, volume I." Wadsworth & Brooks / Cole Advanced Books, Monterey, Calif., 1986.
- <span id="page-16-11"></span>[44] J. R. Stembridge, Counterexamples to the poset conjectures of Neggers, Stanley, and Stembridge, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 359 (2007), 1115–1128.
- <span id="page-16-13"></span>[45] B. Sturmfels, "Gröbner bases and convex polytopes," Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.

HIDEFUMI OHSUGI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND TECH-NOLOGY, KWANSEI GAKUIN UNIVERSITY, SANDA, HYOGO 669-1337, JAPAN

*E-mail address*: ohsugi@kwansei.ac.jp

AKIYOSHI TSUCHIYA, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, KOMABA, MEGURO-KU, TOKYO 153-8914, JAPAN

*E-mail address*: akiyoshi@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp