REFLEXIVE POLYTOPES ARISING FROM BIPARTITE GRAPHS WITH γ-POSITIVITY ASSOCIATED TO INTERIOR POLYNOMIALS

HIDEFUMI OHSUGI AND AKIYOSHI TSUCHIYA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce polytopes \mathscr{B}_G arising from root systems B_n and finite graphs G, and study their combinatorial and algebraic properties. In particular, it is shown that \mathscr{B}_G is a reflexive polytope with a regular unimodular triangulation if and only if G is bipartite. This implies that the h^* -polynomial of \mathscr{B}_G is palindromic and unimodal when G is bipartite. Furthermore, we discuss stronger properties, the γ -positivity and the real-rootedness of the h^* -polynomials. In fact, if G is bipartite, then the h^* -polynomial of \mathscr{B}_G is γ -positive and its γ -polynomial is given by an interior polynomial (a version of Tutte polynomial of a hypergraph). The h^* -polynomial is real-rooted if and only if the corresponding interior polynomial is real-rooted. From a counterexample of Neggers– Stanley conjecture, we give a bipartite graph G whose h^* -polynomial is not real-rooted but γ -positive, and coincides with the h-polynomial of a flag triangulation of a sphere.

INTRODUCTION

Ardila et al. [1] constructed a unimodular triangulation of the convex hull of the roots of the classical root lattices of type A_n , B_n , C_n and D_n , and gave an alternative proof for the known growth series of these root lattices by using the triangulation. On the other hand, polytopes of the root system of type A_n arising from finite graphs are called *symmetric edge polytopes* and their combinatorial properties are well-studied ([21, 22, 29]). In this paper, we introduce polytopes arising from the root system of type B_n and finite graphs, and study their algebraic and combinatorial properties.

A *lattice polytope* $\mathscr{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a convex polytope all of whose vertices have integer coordinates. Let *G* be a finite simple undirected graph on the vertex set $[d] = \{1, \ldots, d\}$ with the edge set E(G). Let $\mathscr{B}_G \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ denote the convex hull of the set

$$B(G) = \{\mathbf{0}, \pm \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \pm \mathbf{e}_d\} \cup \{\pm \mathbf{e}_i \pm \mathbf{e}_j : \{i, j\} \in E(G)\},\$$

where \mathbf{e}_i is *i*-th unit coordinate vector in \mathbb{R}^d . Then dim $\mathscr{B}_G = d$ and \mathscr{B}_G is centrally symmetric, i.e., for any facet \mathscr{F} of \mathscr{B}_G , $-\mathscr{F}$ is also a facet of \mathscr{B}_G , and the origin $\mathbf{0}$ of \mathbb{R}^d is the unique interior lattice point of \mathscr{B}_G . Note that, if *G* is a complete graph, then \mathscr{B}_G coincides with the convex hull of the roots of the root lattices of type B_n studied in [1]. Several classes of lattice polytopes arising from graphs have been studied from viewpoints of combinatorics, graph theory, geometric and commutative algebra. In particular, *edge polytopes* give interesting examples in commutative algebra ([17, 32, 33, 34, 40]). Note that edge polytopes of bipartite graphs are called *root polytopes* and play important roles in the study of generalized permutohedra ([38]) and interior polynomials ([24]).

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 05A15, 05C31, 13P10, 52B12, 52B20.

Key words and phrases. reflexive polytope, unimodular covering, regular unimodular triangulation, γ -positive, real-rooted, interior polynomial, matching generating polynomial, *P*-Eulerian polynomial.

There is a good relation between \mathscr{B}_G and edge polytopes. In fact, one of the key properties of \mathscr{B}_G is that \mathscr{B}_G is divided into 2^d edge polytopes of certain non-simple graphs \widetilde{G} (Proposition 1.1). This fact helps us to find and show interesting properties of \mathscr{B}_G . In Section 1, by using this fact, we will classify graphs G such that \mathscr{B}_G has a unimodular covering (Theorem 1.3).

On the other hand, the fact that \mathscr{B}_G has a unique interior lattice point **0** leads us to consider when \mathscr{B}_G is reflexive. A lattice polytope $\mathscr{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of dimension *d* is called *reflexive* if the origin of \mathbb{R}^d is a unique lattice point belonging to the interior of \mathscr{P} and its dual polytope

$$\mathscr{P}^{\vee} := \{ \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \le 1 \text{ for all } \mathbf{x} \in \mathscr{P} \}$$

is also a lattice polytope, where $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$ is the usual inner product of \mathbb{R}^d . It is known that reflexive polytopes correspond to Gorenstein toric Fano varieties, and they are related to mirror symmetry (see, e.g., [2, 8]). In each dimension there exist only finitely many reflexive polytopes up to unimodular equivalence ([27]) and all of them are known up to dimension 4 ([26]). In Section 2, we will classify graphs *G* such that \mathscr{B}_G is a reflexive polytope. In fact, we will show the following.

Theorem 0.1. Let G be a finite graph. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) \mathscr{B}_G is reflexive and has a regular unimodular triangulation;
- (ii) \mathscr{B}_G is reflexive;
- (iii) G is a bipartite graph.

Moreover, by characterizing when the toric ideal of \mathscr{B}_G has a Gröbner basis consisting of quadratic binomials for a bipartite graph G, we can classify graphs G such that \mathscr{B}_G is a reflexive polytope with a flag regular unimodular triangulation. In fact,

Theorem 0.2. Let G be a bipartite graph. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) The reflexive polytope \mathscr{B}_G has a flag regular unimodular triangulation;
- (ii) Any cycle of G of length ≥ 6 has a chord ("chordal bipartite graph").

Now, we turn to the discussion of the h^* -polynomial $h^*(\mathscr{B}_G, x)$ of \mathscr{B}_G . Thanks to the key property (Proposition 1.1), we can compute the h^* -polynomial of \mathscr{B}_G in terms of that of edge polytopes of some graphs. On the other hand, since it is known that the h^* -polynomial of a reflexive polytope with a regular unimodular triangulation is palindromic and unimodal ([6]), Theorem 0.1 implies that the h^* -polynomial of \mathscr{B}_G is palindromic and unimodal if G is bipartite. In Section 3, we will show a stronger result, which is for any bipartite graph G, the h^* -polynomial $h^*(\mathscr{B}_G, x)$ is γ -positive. The theory of interior polynomials (a version of Tutte polynomials of hypergraphs) introduced by Kálmán [23] and the theory of generalized permutohedra [30, 38] play important roles.

Theorem 0.3. Let G be a bipartite graph on [d]. Then h^* -polynomial of the reflexive polytope \mathscr{B}_G is

$$h^*(\mathscr{B}_G, x) = (x+1)^d I_{\widehat{G}}\left(\frac{4x}{(x+1)^2}\right),$$

where \widehat{G} is a connected bipartite graph defined in (1) later and $I_{\widehat{G}}(x)$ is the interior polynomial of \widehat{G} . In particular, $h^*(\mathscr{B}_G, x)$ is γ -positive. Moreover, $h^*(\mathscr{B}_G, x)$ is real-rooted if and only if $I_{\widehat{G}}(x)$ is real-rooted.

In addition, we discuss the relations between interior polynomials and other important polynomials in combinatorics.

- If G is bipartite, then the interior polynomial of \widehat{G} is described in terms of k-matching of G (Proposition 3.3);
- If G is a forest, then the interior polynomial of \widehat{G} coincides with the matching generating polynomial of G (Proposition 3.4);
- If G is a bipartite permutation graph associated with a poset P, then the interior polynomial of \hat{G} coincides with the P-Eulerian polynomial of P (Proposition 3.5).

By using these results and a poset appearing in [44] as a counterexample of Neggers– Stanley conjecture, we will give an example of a centrally symmetric reflexive polytope such that the h^* -polynomial is γ -positive and not real-rooted (Example 3.6). This h^* polynomial coincides with the h-polynomial of a flag triangulation of a sphere (Proposition 3.7). Hence this example is a counterexample of "Real Root Conjecture" that has been already disproved by Gal [10]. Finally, inspired by the simple description for the h^* -polynomials of symmetric edge polytopes of complete bipartite graphs [22], we will compute the h^* -polynomial of \mathscr{B}_G when G is a complete bipartite graph (Example 3.8).

Acknowledgment. The authors were partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI 18H01134 and 16J01549.

1. A KEY PROPERTY OF \mathscr{B}_G and unimodular coverings

In this section, we see a relation between \mathscr{B}_G and edge polytopes. First, we recall what edge polytopes are. Let *G* be a graph on [d] (only here we do not assume that *G* has no loops) with the edge (including loop) set E(G). Then the *edge polytope* P_G of *G* is the convex hull of $\{\mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_j : \{i, j\} \in E(G)\}$. Note that P_G is a (0, 1)-polytope if and only if *G* has no loops. Given a graph *G* on [d], let \widetilde{G} be a graph on [d+1] whose edge set is

$$E(G) \cup \{\{1, d+1\}, \{2, d+1\}, \dots, \{d+1, d+1\}\}.$$

Here, $\{d+1, d+1\}$ is a loop (a cycle of length 1) at d+1. If *G* is a bipartite graph with a bipartition $V_1 \cup V_2 = [d]$, let \widehat{G} be a connected bipartite graph on [d+2] whose edge set is

(1)
$$E(\widehat{G}) = E(G) \cup \{\{i, d+1\} : i \in V_1\} \cup \{\{j, d+2\} : j \in V_2 \cup \{d+1\}\}.$$

Now, we show the key proposition of this paper. Given $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_d) \in \{-1, 1\}^d$, let $\mathscr{O}_{\varepsilon}$ denote the closed orthant $\{(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_i \varepsilon_i \ge 0 \text{ for all } i \in [d]\}$.

Proposition 1.1. Work with the same notation as above. Then we have the following:

- (a) Each ℬ_G ∩ 𝔅_ε is the convex hull of the set B(G) ∩ 𝔅_ε and unimodularly equivalent to the edge polytope P_{G̃} of G̃. Moreover, if G is bipartite, then ℬ_G ∩ 𝔅_ε is unimodularly equivalent to the edge polytope P_{G̃} of G̃. In particular, one has Vol(ℬ_G) = 2^dVol(P_{G̃}).
- (b) The edge polytope of G is a face of \mathcal{B}_G .

Proof. (a) Let \mathscr{P} be the convex hull of the set $B(G) \cap \mathscr{O}_{\varepsilon}$. The inclusion $\mathscr{B}_G \cap \mathscr{O}_{\varepsilon} \supset \mathscr{P}$ is trivial. Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathscr{B}_G \cap \mathscr{O}_{\varepsilon}$. Then $\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^s \lambda_i \mathbf{a}_i$, where $\lambda_i > 0$, $\sum_{i=1}^s \lambda_i = 1$,

and each \mathbf{a}_i belongs to B(G). Suppose that k-th component of \mathbf{a}_i is positive and k-th component of \mathbf{a}_i is negative. Then \mathbf{a}_i and \mathbf{a}_i satisfy

$$\mathbf{a}_i + \mathbf{a}_j = (\mathbf{a}_i - \mathbf{e}_k) + (\mathbf{a}_j + \mathbf{e}_k),$$

where $\mathbf{a}_i - \mathbf{e}_k$, $\mathbf{a}_j + \mathbf{e}_k \in B(G)$. By using the above relations for $\mathbf{a}_i + \mathbf{a}_j$ finitely many times, we may assume that *k*-th component of each vector \mathbf{a}_i is nonnegative (resp. nonpositive) if $x_k \ge 0$ (resp. $x_k \le 0$). Then each \mathbf{a}_i belongs to $B(G) \cap \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon}$ and hence $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{P}$.

Next, we show that each $\mathscr{B}_G \cap \mathscr{O}_{\varepsilon}$ is unimodularly equivalent to the edge polytope $P_{\widetilde{G}}$. Set $\mathscr{Q} = \mathscr{B}_G \cap \mathscr{O}_{(1,...,1)}$. It is easy to see that each $\mathscr{B}_G \cap \mathscr{O}_{\varepsilon}$ is unimodularly equivalent to \mathscr{Q} for all ε . Moreover, one has

$$B(G) \cap \mathscr{O}_{(1,...,1)} = \{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_d\} \cup \{\mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_j : \{i, j\} \in E(G)\}.$$

Hence $P_{\tilde{G}}$ is unimodularly equivalent to $\mathscr{Q} \times \{2\}$. Similarly, if *G* is bipartite, it follows that $P_{\hat{G}}$ is unimodularly equivalent to $\mathscr{Q} \times \{1\}^2$.

(b) The edge polytope P_G of G is a face of \mathscr{B}_G with a supporting hyperplane $\mathscr{H} = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_1 + \cdots + x_d = 2\}.$

Let $\mathscr{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a lattice polytope of dimension *d*. We now focus on the following properties.

- (VA) We say that \mathscr{P} is *very ample* if for all sufficiently large $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathscr{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$, there exist $\mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_k \in \mathscr{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{x}_k$.
- (IDP) We say that \mathscr{P} possesses the *integer decomposition property* (or is *IDP* for short) if for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and for all $\mathbf{x} \in k \mathscr{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$, there exist $\mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_k \in \mathscr{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{x}_k$.
- (UC) We say that \mathscr{P} has a unimodular covering if there exist unimodular lattice simplices $\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_n$ such that $\mathscr{P} = \bigcup_{1 \le i \le n} \Delta_i$.
- (UT) We say that \mathscr{P} has a unimodular triangulation if \mathscr{P} admits a lattice triangulation consisting of unimodular lattice simplices.

These properties satisfy the implications

$$(UT) \Rightarrow (UC) \Rightarrow (IDP) \Rightarrow (VA).$$

On the other hand, it is known that the opposite implications are false. However, for edge polytopes, the first three properties are equivalent. We say that a graph G satisfies the *odd cycle condition* if, for any two cycles C_1 and C_2 that belong to the same connected component of G and have no common vertices, there exists an edge $\{i, j\}$ of G such that *i* is a vertex of C_1 and *j* is a vertex of C_2 . The following fact is known ([7, 32, 40]).

Proposition 1.2. Let G be a finite (not necessarily simple) graph. Suppose that there exists an edge $\{i, j\}$ of G if G has loops at i and j with $i \neq j$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) P_G has a unimodular covering;
- (ii) P_G is IDP;
- (iii) P_G is very ample;
- (iv) *G* satisfies the odd cycle condition.

We now show that the same assertion holds for \mathscr{B}_G . Namely, we prove the following.

Theorem 1.3. *Let G be a finite simple graph. Then the following conditions are equiva-lent:*

- (i) \mathscr{B}_G has a unimodular covering;
- (ii) \mathscr{B}_G is IDP;
- (iii) \mathscr{B}_G is very ample;
- (iv) G satisfies the odd cycle condition.

Proof. First, implications (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) hold in general.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv): Suppose that G does not satisfy the odd cycle condition. By Proposition 1.2, the edge polytope P_G of G is not very ample. Since P_G is a face of \mathscr{B}_G by Proposition 1.1 (b), \mathscr{B}_G is not very ample.

(iv) \Rightarrow (i): Suppose that *G* satisfies the odd cycle condition. Then so does \tilde{G} . Hence Proposition 1.2 guarantees that $P_{\tilde{G}}$ has a unimodular covering. By Proposition 1.1 (a), \mathscr{B}_G has a unimodular covering.

Example 1.4. Let G be a graph in Figure 1. Since G satisfies the odd cycle condition,



FIGURE 1. A graph in [33]

 \mathscr{B}_G has a unimodular covering. However, since the edge polytope P_G has no regular unimodular triangulations ([33]), so does \mathscr{B}_G by Proposition 1.1 (b). We do not know whether \mathscr{B}_G has a (nonregular) unimodular triangulation or not.

2. Reflexive polytopes and flag triangulations of \mathscr{B}_G

In the present section, we classify graphs G such that

- \mathscr{B}_G is a reflexive polytope.
- \mathscr{B}_G is a reflexive polytope with a flag regular unimodular triangulation.

Namely, we prove Theorems 0.1 and 0.2. First, we see some examples that \mathscr{B}_G is reflexive.

Examples 2.1. (a) If G is an empty graph, then \mathscr{B}_G is a *cross polytope*.

(b) Let *G* be a complete graph with 2 vertices. Then $\mathscr{B}_G \cap \mathbb{Z}^2$ is the column vectors of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and \mathscr{B}_G is a reflexive polytope having a regular unimodular triangulation. Since the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ & 5 & & 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

is not unimodular, we cannot apply [35, Lemma 2.11] to show this fact.

In order to show that a lattice polytope is reflexive, we can use an algebraic technique on Gröbner bases. We recall basic materials and notation on toric ideals. Let $K[t^{\pm 1},s] =$ $K[t_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, t_d^{\pm 1}, s]$ be the Laurent polynomial ring in d + 1 variables over a field K. If $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, then $\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{a}}s$ is the Laurent monomial $t_1^{a_1} \cdots t_d^{a_d}s \in K[\mathbf{t}^{\pm 1}, s]$. Let $\mathscr{P} \subset$ \mathbb{R}^d be a lattice polytope and $\mathscr{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n\}$. Then, the *toric ring* of \mathscr{P} is the subalgebra $K[\mathscr{P}]$ of $K[\mathbf{t}^{\pm 1}, s]$ generated by $\{\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{a}_1} s, \dots, \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{a}_n} s\}$ over K. We regard $K[\mathscr{P}]$ as a homogeneous algebra by setting each deg $\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{a}_i} s = 1$. Let $K[\mathbf{x}] = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ denote the polynomial ring in *n* variables over *K*. The *toric ideal* $I_{\mathscr{P}}$ of \mathscr{P} is the kernel of the surjective homomorphism $\pi: K[\mathbf{x}] \to K[\mathscr{P}]$ defined by $\pi(x_i) = \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{a}_i} s$ for $1 \le i \le n$. It is known that $I_{\mathscr{P}}$ is generated by homogeneous binomials. See, e.g., [12, 45]. Let < be a monomial order on $K[\mathbf{x}]$ and $in_{\leq}(I_{\mathscr{P}})$ the initial ideal of $I_{\mathscr{P}}$ with respect to <. The initial ideal in_<($I_{\mathscr{P}}$) is called *squarefree* (resp. *quadratic*) if in_<($I_{\mathscr{P}}$) is generated by squarefree (resp. quadratic) monomials. Now, we introduce an algebraic technique to show that a lattice polytope is reflexive.

Lemma 2.2 ([15, Lemma 1.1]). Let $\mathscr{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a lattice polytope of dimension d such that the origin of \mathbb{R}^d is contained in its interior and $\mathscr{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n\}$. Suppose that $\mathbb{Z}^d = \{\sum_{i=1}^n z_i \mathbf{a}_i : z_i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and there exists an ordering of the variables $x_{i_1} < \cdots < x_{i_n}$ for which $\mathbf{a}_{i_1} = \mathbf{0}$ such that the initial ideal $\operatorname{in}_{\leq}(I_{\mathscr{P}})$ of $I_{\mathscr{P}}$ with respect to the reverse lexicographic order < on $K[\mathbf{x}]$ induced by the ordering is squarefree. Then \mathscr{P} is reflexive and has a regular unimodular triangulation.

By using this technique, several families of reflexive polytopes with regular unimodular triangulations are given in [14, 15, 16, 18, 19, 20, 36]. In order to apply Lemma 2.2 to show Theorem 0.1, we see a relation between the toric ideal of \mathscr{B}_G and that of $P_{\widetilde{G}}$. Let G be a simple graph on [d] with edge set E(G) and let R_G denote the polynomial ring in 2d+1+4|E(G)| variables

$$z, x_{i+}, x_{i-} \ (1 \le i < j \le d), y_{ij++}, y_{ij--}, y_{ij+-}, y_{ij-+} \ (\{i, j\} \in E(G)))$$

over a field K. Then the toric ideal $I_{\mathscr{B}_G}$ of \mathscr{B}_G is the kernel of a ring homomorphism $\pi: R_G \to K[t_1^{\pm}, \dots, t_d^{\pm}, s]$ defined by $\pi(z) = s$, $\pi(x_{i+}) = t_i s$, $\pi(x_{i-}) = t_i^{-1} s$, $\pi(y_{ij++}) = t_i t_j s$, $\pi(y_{ij--}) = t_i^{-1} t_j^{-1} s$, $\pi(y_{ij+-}) = t_i t_j^{-1} s$, and $\pi(y_{ij-+}) = t_i^{-1} t_j s$. Let S_G denote the polynomial ring in d + 1 + |E(G)| variables

$$z, x_{i+} (1 \le i < j \le d), y_{ij++} (\{i, j\} \in E(G))$$

over K. Then the toric ideal $I_{P_{\widetilde{G}}}$ of $P_{\widetilde{G}}$ is the kernel of $\pi|_{S_G}$. For each $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_d) \in$ $\{-1,1\}^d$, we define a ring homomorphism $\varphi_{\varepsilon}: S_G \to R_G$ by $\varphi_{\varepsilon}(x_{i+}) = x_{i\alpha}$ and $\varphi_{\varepsilon}(y_{ij++}) = y_{i\alpha}$ $y_{ij\alpha\beta}$ where α is the sign of ε_i and β is the sign of ε_j . In particular, $\varphi_{(1,...,1)}: S_G \to R_G$ is an inclusion map.

Lemma 2.3. Let \mathscr{G} be a Gröbner basis of $I_{P_{\widetilde{G}}}$ with respect to a reverse lexicographic order $<_S$ on S_G such that $z < \{x_{i+}\} < \{y_{ij++}\}$. Let $<_R$ be a reverse lexicographic order such that $z < \{x_{i+}, x_{i-}\} < \{y_{ij++}, y_{ij--}, y_{ij+-}, y_{ij-+}\}$ and that (i) $\varphi_{\varepsilon}(x_{i+}) <_R \varphi_{\varepsilon}(x_{j+})$ if $x_{i+} <_S \varphi_{\varepsilon}(x_{j+})$

$$x_{j+}$$
 and (ii) $\varphi_{\varepsilon}(y_{ij++}) <_R \varphi_{\varepsilon}(y_{k\ell++})$ if $y_{ij++} <_S y_{k\ell++}$ for all $\varepsilon \in \{-1,1\}^d$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{G}' &= \left(\bigcup_{\varepsilon \in \{-1,1\}^d} \varphi_{\varepsilon}(\mathscr{G}) \right) \cup \{ \underline{x_{i\alpha} y_{ij\beta\gamma}} - x_{j\gamma} z : \{i,j\} \in E(G), \alpha \neq \beta \} \\ &\cup \{ \underline{y_{ij\alpha\gamma} y_{ik\beta\delta}} - x_{j\gamma} x_{k\delta} : \{i,j\}, \{i,k\} \in E(G), \alpha \neq \beta \} \cup \{ \underline{x_{i+x_{i-}}} - z^2 : 1 \le i \le d \} \end{aligned}$$

is a Gröbner basis of $I_{\mathscr{B}_G}$ with respect to $<_R$, where the underlined monomial is the initial monomial of each binomial. (Here we identify $y_{ij\alpha\beta}$ with $y_{ji\beta\alpha}$.) In particular, if $in_{<_S}(I_{P_{\widetilde{G}}})$ is squarefree (resp. quadratic), then so is $in_{<_R}(I_{\mathscr{B}_G})$.

Proof. It is easy to see that \mathscr{G}' is a subset of $I_{\mathscr{B}_G}$. Assume that \mathscr{G}' is not a Gröbner basis of $I_{\mathscr{B}_G}$ with respect to $<_R$. Let $in(\mathscr{G}') = \langle in_{<_R}(g) : g \in \mathscr{G}' \rangle$. By [12, Theorem 3.11], there exists a non-zero irreducible homogeneous binomial $f = u - v \in I_{\mathscr{B}_G}$ such that neither u nor v belongs to $in(\mathscr{G}')$. Since both u and v are divided by none of $x_{i+}x_{i-}$, $x_{i\alpha}y_{ij\beta\gamma}$, $y_{ij\alpha\gamma}y_{ik\beta\delta}$ ($\alpha \neq \beta$), they are of the form

$$u = \prod_{i \in I} (x_{i\alpha_i})^{u_i} \prod_{\{j,k\} \in E_1} (y_{jk\alpha_j\alpha_k})^{u_{jk}}, \quad v = \prod_{i \in I'} (x_{i\alpha_i'})^{v_i} \prod_{\{j,k\} \in E_2} (y_{jk\alpha_j'\alpha_k'})^{v_{jk}},$$

where $I, I' \subset [d], E_1, E_2 \subset E(G)$ and $0 < u_i, u_{jk}, v_i, v_{jk} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since f belongs to $I_{\mathscr{B}_G}$, the exponent of t_ℓ in $\pi(u)$ and $\pi(v)$ are the same. Hence, one of $x_{\ell\alpha_\ell}$ and $y_{\ell m\alpha_\ell\alpha_m}$ appears in u if and only if one of $x_{\ell\alpha'_\ell}$ and $y_{\ell n\alpha'_\ell\alpha'_n}$ appears in v with $\alpha_\ell = \alpha'_\ell$. Let ε be a vector in $\{-1,1\}^d$ such that the sign of the *i*th component of ε is α_i if one of $x_{i\alpha_i}$ and $y_{ij\alpha_i\alpha_j}$ appears in u. Then f belongs to the ideal $\varphi_{\varepsilon}(I_{P_{\widetilde{G}}})$. Let $f' \in I_{P_{\widetilde{G}}}$ be a binomial such that $\varphi_{\varepsilon}(f') = f$. Since \mathscr{G} is a Gröbner basis of $I_{P_{\widetilde{G}}}$, there exists a binomial $g \in \mathscr{G}$ whose initial monomial $in_{<_R}(g)$ divides the one of the monomials in f'. By the definition of $<_R$, we have $in_{<_R}(\varphi_{\varepsilon}(g)) = \varphi_{\varepsilon}(in_{<_R}(g))$. Hence $in_{<_R}(\varphi_{\varepsilon}(g))$ divides one of the monomials in $f = \varphi_{\varepsilon}(f')$. This is a contradiction.

Using this Gröbner basis with respect to a reverse lexicographic order, we verify which \mathscr{B}_G is a reflexive polytope. Namely, we prove Theorem 0.1.

Proof of Theorem 0.1. The implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is trivial.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): Suppose that *G* is not bipartite. Let G_1, \ldots, G_s be connected components of *G* and let d_i be the number of vertices of G_i . In particular, we have $d = \sum_{i=1}^s d_i$. Since *G* is not bipartite, we may assume that G_1 is not bipartite. Let $\mathbf{w} = \sum_{i=1}^s \mathbf{w}_i$, where $\mathbf{w}_i = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \mathbf{e}_{p_k} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ if G_i is a non-bipartite graph on the vertex set $\{p_1, \ldots, p_\ell\}$, and $\mathbf{w}_i = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} 2\mathbf{e}_{p_k} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ if G_i is a bipartite graph whose vertices are divided into two independent sets $\{p_1, \ldots, p_\ell\}$ and $\{q_1, \ldots, q_m\}$. It then follows that $\mathscr{H} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} = 2\}$ is a supporting hyperplane of \mathscr{B}_G and the corresponding face $\mathscr{F}_{\mathbf{w}} = \mathscr{B}_G \cap \mathscr{H}$ is the convex hull of $H = \bigcup_{i=1}^s H_i$, where $H_i = \{\mathbf{e}_u + \mathbf{e}_v : \{u, v\} \in E(G_i)\}$ if G_i is not bipartite, and $H_i = \{\mathbf{e}_u + \mathbf{e}_v : \{u, v\} \in E(G_i)\} \cup \{\mathbf{e}_{p_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{p_\ell}\} \cup \{\mathbf{e}_{p_k} - \mathbf{e}_v : \{p_k, v\} \in E(G_i)\}$ if G_i is a bipartite graph with $\mathbf{w}_i = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} 2\mathbf{e}_{p_k} \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We will show that $\mathscr{F}_{\mathbf{w}}$ is a facet of \mathscr{B}_G . The convex hull of $\{\mathbf{e}_u + \mathbf{e}_v : \{u, v\} \in E(G_i)\}$ is the edge polytope P_{G_i} of G_i and it is known [32, Proposition 1.3] that

$$\dim P_{G_i} = \begin{cases} d_i - 1 & \text{if } G_i \text{ is not bipartite,} \\ d_i - 2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If G_i is not bipartite, then the dimension of $\operatorname{conv}(H_i) = P_{G_i}$ is $d_i - 1$. If G_i is bipartite, then $d_i - 2 = \dim P_{G_i} < \dim \operatorname{conv}(H_i)$ since $P_{G_i} \subset \operatorname{conv}(H_i)$ and a hyperplane $\mathscr{H} = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_1 + \cdots + x_d = 2\}$ satisfies $\mathbf{e}_{p_1} \notin \mathscr{H} \supset P_{G_i}$. Hence the dimension of the face $\mathscr{F}_{\mathbf{w}}$ is at least $s - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{s} (d_i - 1) = d - 1$, i.e., $\mathscr{F}_{\mathbf{w}}$ is a facet of \mathscr{B}_G . Since G_1 is not bipartite, we have $\frac{1}{2} \cdot \mathbf{w} \notin \mathbb{Z}^d$. Thus \mathscr{B}_G is not reflexive.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i): Suppose that *G* is bipartite. Let \langle_S and \langle_R be any reverse lexicographic orders satisfying the condition in Lemma 2.3. It is known [12, Theorem 5.24] that any triangulation of the edge polytope of a bipartite graph is unimodular. By [45, Corollary 8.9], the initial ideal of the toric ideal of $P_{\widehat{G}}$ with respect to \langle_S is squarefree. Thanks to Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, we have a desired conclusion.

We now give a theorem on quadratic Gröbner bases of $I_{\mathscr{B}_G}$ when G is bipartite. This theorem implies that Theorem 0.2. The same result is known for edge polytopes ([34]).

Theorem 2.4. Let G be a bipartite graph. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) The toric ideal $I_{\mathscr{B}_G}$ of \mathscr{B}_G has a squarefree quadratic initial ideal (i.e., \mathscr{B}_G has a flag regular unimodular triangulation);
- (ii) The toric ring $K[\mathscr{B}_G]$ of \mathscr{B}_G is a Koszul algebra;
- (iii) The toric ideal $I_{\mathscr{B}_G}$ of \mathscr{B}_G is generated by quadratic binomials;
- (iv) Any cycle of G of length ≥ 6 has a chord ("chordal bipartite graph").

Proof. Implications (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) hold in general.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv): Suppose that *G* has a cycle of length ≥ 6 without chords. By the theorem in [34], the toric ideal of P_G is not generated by quadratic binomials. Since the edge polytope P_G is a face of \mathscr{B}_G , the toric ring $K[P_G]$ is a combinatorial pure subring [31] of $K[\mathscr{B}_G]$. Hence $I_{\mathscr{B}_G}$ is not generated by quadratic binomials.

(iv) \Rightarrow (i): Suppose that any cycle of *G* of length \geq 6 has a chord. By Lemma 2.3, it is enough to show that the initial ideal of $I_{P_{\hat{G}}}$ is squarefree and quadratic with respect to a reverse lexicographic order $\langle S \rangle$ such that $z \langle \{x_{i+}\} \rangle \langle \{y_{k\ell++}\} \rangle$. Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be the incidence matrix of *G* whose rows are indexed by V_1 and whose columns are indexed by V_2 . Then the incidence matrix of \hat{G} is

$$A' = \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 \\ & A & \vdots \\ & & 1 \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

By the same argument as in the proof of [34], we may assume that A' contains no submatrices $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ if we permute the rows and columns of A in A'. Each quadratic binomial in $I_{P_{\widehat{G}}}$ corresponds to a submatrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ of A'. The proof of the theorem in [34] guarantees that the initial ideal is squarefree and quadratic if the initial monomial of each

quadratic binomial corresponds to $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$. It is easy to see that there exists a such reverse lexicographic order which satisfies $z < \{x_{i+}\} < \{y_{k\ell++}\}$.

3. γ -positivity and real-rootedness of the h^* -polynomial of \mathscr{B}_G

In this section, we study the h^* -polynomial of \mathscr{B}_G for a graph G. First, we recall what h^* -polynomials are. Let $\mathscr{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a lattice polytope of dimension d. Given a positive integer n, we define

$$L_{\mathscr{P}}(n) = |n\mathscr{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d|.$$

The study on $L_{\mathscr{P}}(n)$ originated in Ehrhart [9] who proved that $L_{\mathscr{P}}(n)$ is a polynomial in n of degree d with the constant term 1. We say that $L_{\mathscr{P}}(n)$ is the *Ehrhart polynomial* of \mathscr{P} . The generating function of the lattice point enumerator, i.e., the formal power series

Ehr
$$\mathscr{P}(x) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} L_{\mathscr{P}}(k) x^k$$

is called the *Ehrhart series* of \mathcal{P} . It is well known that it can be expressed as a rational function of the form

$$\operatorname{Ehr}_{\mathscr{P}}(x) = \frac{h^*(\mathscr{P}, x)}{(1-x)^{d+1}}.$$

The polynomial $h^*(\mathscr{P}, x)$ is a polynomial in x of degree at most d with nonnegative integer coefficients ([41]) and it is called the h^* -polynomial (or the δ -polynomial) of \mathscr{P} . Moreover, one has $\operatorname{Vol}(\mathscr{P}) = h^*(\mathscr{P}, 1)$, where $\operatorname{Vol}(\mathscr{P})$ is the normalized volume of \mathscr{P} .

Thanks to Proposition 1.1 (a), we give a formula for h^* -polynomial of \mathscr{B}_G in terms of that of edge polytopes of some graphs. By the following formula, we can calculate the h^* -polynomial of \mathscr{B}_G if we can calculate each $h^*(P_{\widetilde{H}}, x)$.

Proposition 3.1. Let G be a graph on [d]. Then the h^* -polynomial of \mathscr{B}_G satisfies

(2)
$$h^*(\mathscr{B}_G, x) = \sum_{j=0}^d 2^j (x-1)^{d-j} \sum_{H \in S_j(G)} h^*(P_{\widetilde{H}}, x),$$

where $S_i(G)$ denote the set of all induced subgraph of G with j vertices.

Proof. By Proposition 1.1 (a), \mathscr{B}_G is divided into 2^d lattice polytopes of the form $\mathscr{B}_G \cap \mathscr{O}_{\varepsilon}$. Each $\mathscr{B}_G \cap \mathscr{O}_{\varepsilon}$ is unimodularly equivalent to $P_{\widetilde{G}}$. In addition, the intersection of $\mathscr{B}_G \cap \mathscr{O}_{\varepsilon}$ and $\mathscr{B}_G \cap \mathscr{O}_{\varepsilon'}$ is of dimension d-1 if and only if $\varepsilon - \varepsilon' \in \{\pm 2\mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \pm 2\mathbf{e}_d\}$. If $\varepsilon - \varepsilon' = 2\mathbf{e}_k$, then $(\mathscr{B}_G \cap \mathscr{O}_{\varepsilon}) \cap (\mathscr{B}_G \cap \mathscr{O}_{\varepsilon'}) = \mathscr{B}_G \cap \mathscr{O}_{\varepsilon} \cap \mathscr{O}_{\varepsilon'} \simeq \mathscr{B}_{G'} \cap \mathscr{O}_{\varepsilon''}$, where G' is the induced subgraph of G obtained by deleting the vertex k, and ε'' is obtained by deleting k-th component of ε . Hence the Ehrhart polynomial $L_{\mathscr{B}_G}(n)$ satisfies the following:

$$L_{\mathscr{B}_{G}}(n) = \sum_{j=0}^{d} 2^{j} (-1)^{d-j} \sum_{H \in S_{j}(G)} L_{P_{\widetilde{H}}}(n).$$

Thus the Ehrhart series satisfies

$$\frac{h^*(\mathscr{B}_G, x)}{(1-x)^{d+1}} = \sum_{j=0}^d 2^j (-1)^{d-j} \sum_{H \in S_j(G)} \frac{h^*(P_{\widetilde{H}}, x)}{(1-x)^{j+1}},$$

as desired.

Let $f = \sum_{i=0}^{d} a_i x^i$ be a polynomial with real coefficients and $a_d \neq 0$. We now focus on the following properties.

- (RR) We say that *f* is *real-rooted* if all its roots are real.
- (LC) We say that f is *log-concave* if $a_i^2 \ge a_{i-1}a_{i+1}$ for all i.

(UN) We say that f is unimodal if $a_0 \leq a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_k \geq \cdots \geq a_d$ for some k.

If all its coefficients are nonnegative, then these properties satisfy the implications

$$(\mathbf{RR}) \Rightarrow (\mathbf{LC}) \Rightarrow (\mathbf{UN}).$$

On the other hand, the polynomial f is said to be *palindromic* if $f(x) = x^d f(x^{-1})$. It is γ -positive if there are $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor} \ge 0$ such that $f(x) = \sum_{i \ge 0} \gamma_i x^i (1+x)^{d-2i}$. The polynomial $\sum_{i\ge 0} \gamma_i x^i$ is called γ -polynomial of f. We can see that a γ -positive polynomial is real-rooted if and only if its γ -polynomial had only real roots.

By the following proposition, we are interested in connected bipartite graphs.

Proposition 3.2. Let G be a bipartite graph and G_1, \ldots, G_s the connected components of G. Then the h^* -polynomial of \mathscr{B}_G is palindromic, unimodal and

$$h^*(\mathscr{B}_G, x) = h^*(\mathscr{B}_{G_1}, x) \cdots h^*(\mathscr{B}_{G_s}, x).$$

Proof. It is known [13] that the h^* -polynomial of a lattice polytope P with the interior lattice point **0** is palindromic if and only if P is reflexive. Moreover, if a reflexive polytope P has a unimodular triangulation, then the h^* -polynomial of P is unimodal (see [6]). It is easy to see that, \mathscr{B}_G is the free sum of $\mathscr{B}_{G_1}, \ldots, \mathscr{B}_{G_s}$. Thus we have a desired conclusion by Theorem 0.1 and [5, Theorem 1].

In the rest of the present paper, we discuss the γ -positivity and the real-rootedness on the h^* -polynomial of \mathscr{B}_G when G is a bipartite graph. The edge polytope P_G of a bipartite graph G is called a *root polytope* of G, and it is shown [24] that the h^* -polynomial of P_G coincides with the interior polynomial $I_G(x)$ of a hypergraph induced by G. First, we discuss interior polynomials introduced by Kálmán [23] and developed in many papers.

A hypergraph is a pair $\mathscr{H} = (V, E)$, where $E = \{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ is a finite multiset of nonempty subsets of $V = \{v_1, \ldots, v_m\}$. Elements of V are called vertices and the elements of E are the hyperedges. Then we can associate \mathscr{H} to a bipartite graph Bip \mathscr{H} with a bipartition $V \cup E$ such that $\{v_i, e_j\}$ is an edge of Bip \mathscr{H} if $v_i \in e_j$. Assume that Bip \mathscr{H} is connected. A hypertree in \mathscr{H} is a function $\mathbf{f} : E \to \{0, 1, \ldots\}$ such that there exists a spanning tree Γ of Bip \mathscr{H} whose vertices have degree $\mathbf{f}(e) + 1$ at each $e \in E$. Then we say that Γ induce \mathbf{f} . Let $B_{\mathscr{H}}$ denote the set of all hypertrees in \mathscr{H} . A hyperedge $e_j \in E$ is said to be *internally active* with respect to the hypertree \mathbf{f} if it is not possible to decrease $\mathbf{f}(e_j)$ by 1 and increase $\mathbf{f}(e_{j'})$ (j' < j) by 1 so that another hypertree results. We call a hyperedge *internally inactive* with respect to a hypertree if it is not internally active and denote the number of such hyperedges of \mathbf{f} by $\overline{\iota}(\mathbf{f})$. Then the *interior polynomial* of \mathscr{H} is the generating function $I_{\mathscr{H}}(x) = \sum_{\mathbf{f} \in B_{\mathscr{H}}} x^{\overline{\iota}(\mathbf{f})}$. It is known [23, Proposition 6.1] that deg $I_{\mathscr{H}}(x) \leq \min\{|V|, |E|\} - 1$. If $G = \operatorname{Bip}\mathscr{H}$, then we set $I_G(x) = I_{\mathscr{H}}(x)$. Kálmán and Postnikov [24] proved that

$$I_G(x) = h^*(P_G, x)$$

for a connected bipartite graph G. Note that if G is a bipartite graph, then the bipartite graph \hat{G} arising from G is connected. Hence we can use this formula to study equation (2) in Proposition 3.1. (Interior polynomials of disconnected bipartite graphs are defined in [25].) A *k*-matching of G is a set of k pairwise non-adjacent edges of G. Let

$$M(G,k) = \left\{ \{v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_k}, e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_k}\} : \text{ there exists a } k \text{-matching of } G \\ \text{whose vertex set is } \{v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_k}, e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_k}\} \right\}.$$

For k = 0, we set $M(G, 0) = \{\emptyset\}$. Using the theory of generalized permutohedra [30, 38], we have the following important fact on interior polynomials:

Proposition 3.3. Let G be a bipartite graph. Then we have

(3)
$$I_{\widehat{G}}(x) = \sum_{k \ge 0} |M(G,k)| \, x^k.$$

Proof. Let $V \cup E$ denote a bipartition of G, where $V = \{v_2, ..., v_m\}$ and $E = \{e_2, ..., e_n\}$ with d = m + n - 2. Then \widehat{G} is a connected bipartite graph with a bipartition $V' \cup E'$ with $V' = \{v_1\} \cup V$ and $E' = \{e_1\} \cup E$. Recall that $\{v_i, e_j\}$ is an edge of \widehat{G} if and only if either (i-1)(j-1) = 0 or $\{v_i, e_j\}$ is an edge of G. Let $HT(\widehat{G})$ be the set of all hypertrees in the hypergraph associated with \widehat{G} . Given a hypertree $\mathbf{f} \in HT(\widehat{G})$, let Γ be a spanning tree that induces \mathbf{f} . We now repeat the following procedure for Γ :

• For each j = 1, 2, ..., n, since Γ is a spanning tree, there exists a unique path $e_j v_i \cdots v_1$ from e_j to v_1 . If i > 1, then remove $\{v_i, e_j\}$ from Γ and add $\{v_1, e_j\}$ to Γ . It then follows that the new Γ is a spanning tree that induces **f**.

Hence we may assume that $\{v_1, e_j\}$ is an edge of Γ for all $1 \le j \le n$. Note that the degree of each v_i $(2 \le i \le m)$ is 1.

By definition, e_1 is always internally active. We show that, e_j $(j \ge 2)$ is internally active if and only if $\mathbf{f}(e_j) = 0$. By definition, if $\mathbf{f}(e_j) = 0$, then e_j is internally active. Suppose $\mathbf{f}(e_j) > 0$. Then there exists $i \ge 2$ such that $\{v_i, e_j\}$ is an edge of Γ . Let $\mathbf{f}' \in \mathrm{HT}(\widehat{G})$ be a hypertree induced by a spanning tree obtained by replacing $\{v_i, e_j\}$ with $\{v_i, e_1\}$ in Γ . Then we have $\mathbf{f}'(e_j) = \mathbf{f}(e_j) - 1$, $\mathbf{f}'(e_1) = \mathbf{f}(e_1) + 1$ and $\mathbf{f}'(e_k) = \mathbf{f}(e_k)$ for all $1 < k \neq j$. Hence e_j is not internally active. Thus $\overline{\iota}(\mathbf{f})$ is the number of e_j $(j \ge 2)$ such that there exists an edge $\{v_i, e_j\}$ of Γ for some $i \ge 2$.

In order to prove the equation (3), it is enough to show that, for fixed hyperedges e_{j_1}, \ldots, e_{j_k} with $2 \le j_1 < \cdots < j_k \le n$, the cardinality of

$$\mathscr{S}_{j_1,\dots,j_k} = \{\mathbf{f} \in \mathrm{HT}(\widehat{G}) : e_{j_1},\dots,e_{j_k} \text{ are not internally active and } \overline{\iota}(\mathbf{f}) = k\}$$

is equal to the cardinality of

$$\mathcal{M}_{j_1,\dots,j_k} = \left\{ \{v_{i_1},\dots,v_{i_k}\}: \text{ there exists a } k\text{-matching of } G \\ \text{whose vertex set is } \{v_{i_1},\dots,v_{i_k},e_{j_1},\dots,e_{j_k}\} \right\}.$$

Let $G_{j_1,...,j_k}$ be the induced subgraph of G on the vertex set $V \cup \{e_{j_1},...,e_{j_k}\}$. If e_{j_ℓ} is an isolated vertex in $G_{j_1,...,j_k}$, then both $\mathscr{S}_{j_1,...,j_k}$ and $\mathscr{M}_{j_1,...,j_k}$ are empty sets. If v_i is an isolated vertex in $G_{j_1,...,j_k}$, then there is no relations between v_i and two sets, and hence we can ignore v_i . Thus we may assume that $G_{j_1,...,j_k}$ has no isolated vertices. It is known that $\mathcal{M}_{j_1,\ldots,j_k}$ is the set of bases of a transversal matroid associated with G_{j_1,\ldots,j_k} . See, e.g., [37]. For $i = 2, \ldots, m$, let

$$I_i = \{0\} \cup \{\ell : \{v_i, e_{j_\ell}\} \text{ is an edge of } G_{j_1, \dots, j_k}\} \subset \{0, 1, \dots, k\}.$$

Oh [30] define a lattice polytope $P_{\mathcal{M}_{j_1,...,j_k}}$ to be the generalized permutohedron [38] of the induced subgraph of \widehat{G} on the vertex set $V \cup \{e_1, e_{j_1}, \ldots, e_{j_k}\}$, i.e., $P_{\mathcal{M}_{j_1,...,j_k}}$ is the Minkowski sum $\Delta_{I_2} + \cdots + \Delta_{I_m}$, where $\Delta_I = \text{Conv}(\{\mathbf{e}_j : j \in I\}) \subset \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ and $\mathbf{e}_0, \mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_k$ are unit coordinate vectors in \mathbb{R}^{k+1} . By [30, Lemma 22 and Proposition 26], the cardinality of $\mathcal{M}_{j_1,...,j_k}$ is equal to the number of the lattice point $(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in P_{\mathcal{M}_{j_1,...,j_k}} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{k+1}$ with $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k \geq 1$. In addition, by [38, Proposition 14.12], any lattice point $(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in P_{\mathcal{M}_{j_1,...,j_k}} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{k+1}$ is of the form $\mathbf{e}_{i_2} + \cdots + \mathbf{e}_{i_m}$, where $i_\ell \in I_\ell$ for $2 \leq \ell \leq$ m. By a natural correspondence $(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in P_{\mathcal{M}_{j_1,...,j_k}} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{k+1}$ with $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k \geq 1$ and $(\mathbf{f}(e_1), \mathbf{f}(e_{j_1}), \ldots, \mathbf{f}(e_{j_k}))$ with $\mathbf{f} \in \mathscr{S}_{j_1,...,j_k}$, it follows that the number of the lattice point $(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in P_{\mathcal{M}_{j_1,...,j_k}} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{k+1}$ with $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k \geq 1$ is equal to the cardinality of $\mathscr{S}_{j_1,...,j_k}$, as desired.

Now, we show that the h^* -polynomial of \mathscr{B}_G is γ -positive if G is a bipartite graph. In fact, we prove Theorem 0.3.

Proof of Theorem 0.3. By Propositions 3.1 and 3.3, the h^* -polynomial of \mathscr{B}_G is

$$h^{*}(\mathscr{B}_{G}, x) = \sum_{j=0}^{d} 2^{j} (x-1)^{d-j} \sum_{H \in S_{j}(G)} I_{\widehat{H}}(x)$$
$$= \sum_{j=0}^{d} 2^{j} (x-1)^{d-j} \sum_{H \in S_{j}(G)} \sum_{k \ge 0} |M(H,k)| x^{k}$$

Note that, for each $\{v_{i_1}, \ldots, v_{i_k}, e_{j_1}, \ldots, e_{j_k}\} \in M(G, k)$ there exist $\binom{d-2k}{j-2k}$ induced subgraphs $H \in S_j(G)$ such that $\{v_{i_1}, \ldots, v_{i_k}, e_{j_1}, \ldots, e_{j_k}\} \in M(H, k)$ for $j = 2k, 2k + 1, \ldots, d$. Thus we have

$$\begin{split} h^*(\mathscr{B}_G, x) &= \sum_{k \ge 0} \sum_{j=2k}^d 2^j (x-1)^{d-j} |M(G,k)| \binom{d-2k}{j-2k} x^k \\ &= \sum_{k \ge 0} |M(G,k)| \ 2^{2k} x^k (2+(x-1))^{d-2k} \\ &= (x+1)^d \sum_{k \ge 0} |M(G,k)| \ \left(\frac{4x}{(x+1)^2}\right)^k \\ &= (x+1)^d I_{\widehat{G}} \left(\frac{4x}{(x+1)^2}\right), \end{split}$$

as desired.

By Proposition 3.3, it follows that, if *G* is a forest, then $I_{\widehat{G}}(x)$ coincides with the *match*ing generating polynomial of *G*.

Proposition 3.4. Let G be a forest. Then we have

$$I_{\widehat{G}}(x) = \sum_{k \ge 0} m_k(G) \ x^k,$$

where $m_k(G)$ is the number of k-matching in G. In particular, $I_{\widehat{G}}(x)$ is real-rooted.

Proof. Let M_1 and M_2 be k-matchings of G. Suppose that M_1 and M_2 have the same vertex set $\{v_{i_1}, \ldots, v_{i_k}, e_{j_1}, \ldots, e_{j_k}\}$. If $M = (M_1 \cup M_2) \setminus (M_1 \cap M_2)$ is not empty, then M corresponds to a subgraph of G such that the degree of each vertex is 2. Hence M has at least one cycle. This contradicts that G is a forest. Hence we have $M_1 = M_2$. Thus $m_k(G)$ is the cardinality of M(G,k). In general, it is known that $\sum_{k\geq 0} m_k(G) x^k$ is real-rooted for any graph G. See, e.g., [11, 28].

Next we will show that, if a bipartite graph G is a "permutation graph" associated with a poset P, then the interior polynomial $I_{\widehat{G}}(x)$ coincides with P-Eulerian polynomial W(P)(x). A permutation graph is a graph on [d] with edge set

 $\{\{i, j\}: L_i \text{ and } L_j \text{ intersect each other}\},\$

where there are *d* points 1, 2, ..., d on two parallel lines \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 in the plane, and the straight lines L_i connect *i* on \mathcal{L}_1 and *i* on \mathcal{L}_2 . If *G* is a bipartite graph with a bipartition $V_1 \cup V_2$, the following conditions are equivalent :

- (i) *G* is permutation;
- (ii) The complement of G is a comparability graph of a poset;
- (iii) There exist orderings $<_1$ on V_1 and $<_2$ on V_2 such that

$$i, i' \in V_1, i <_1 i', \ j, j' \in V_2, j <_2 j', \ \{i, j\}, \{i', j'\} \in E(G) \Longrightarrow \{i, j'\}, \{i', j\} \in E(G);$$

(iv) For any three vertices, there exists a pair of them such that there exists no path containing the two vertices that avoids the neighborhood of the remaining vertex.

See [4] for details. On the other hand, let *P* be a naturally labeled poset *P* on [*d*]. Then *the order polynomial* $\Omega(P,m)$ of *P* is defined for $0 < m \in \mathbb{Z}$ to be the number of orderpreserving maps $\sigma : P \to [m]$. It is known that

$$\sum_{m \ge 0} \Omega(P, m+1) x^m = \frac{\sum_{\pi \in \mathscr{L}(P)} x^{d(\pi)}}{(1-x)^{d+1}},$$

where $\mathscr{L}(P)$ is the set of linear extensions of *P* and $d(\pi)$ is the number of descent of π . The *P*-Eulerian polynomial W(P)(x) is defied by

$$W(P)(x) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathscr{L}(P)} x^{d(\pi)}$$

See, e.g., [43] for details. We now give a relation between the interior polynomial and the *P*-Eulerian polynomial of a finite poset.

Proposition 3.5. Let G be a bipartite permutation graph and let P be a poset whose comparability graph is the complement of a bipartite graph G. Then we have

$$I_{\widehat{G}}(x) = W(P)(x).$$

Proof. In this case, $\mathscr{B}_G \cap \mathscr{O}_{(1,...,1)}$ is the chain polytope \mathscr{C}_P of P. It is known that the h^* -polynomial of \mathscr{C}_P is the P-Eulerian polynomial W(P)(x). See [42, 43] for details. Thus we have $I_{\widehat{G}}(x) = h^*(P_{\widehat{G}}, x) = W(P)(x)$, as desired.

It was conjectured by Neggers–Stanley that W(P)(x) is real-rooted. However this is false in general. The first counterexample was given in [3] (not naturally labeled posets). Counterexamples of naturally labeled posets were given in [44]. Counterexamples in these two papers are *narrow* posets, i.e., elements of posets are partitioned into two chains. It is easy to see that *P* is narrow poset if and only if the comparability graph of *P* is the complement of a bipartite graph. Since Stembridge found many counterexamples which are naturally labeled narrow posets, there are many bipartite permutation graphs *G* such that $h^*(\mathscr{B}_G, x)$ are not real-rooted. We give one of them as follows.

Example 3.6. Let *P* be a naturally labeled poset in Figure 2 given in [44]. Then



FIGURE 2. A counterexample of Neggers–Stanley conjecture [44]

$$W(P)(x) = 3x^8 + 86x^7 + 658x^6 + 1946x^5 + 2534x^4 + 1420x^3 + 336x^2 + 32x + 1$$

has a conjugate pair of zeros near $-1.85884 \pm 0.149768i$ as explained in [44]. Let *G* be the complement of the comparability graph of *P*. Then *G* is a bipartite graph with 17 vertices and 32 edges. The h^* -polynomial of \mathcal{B}_G is

$$h^{*}(\mathscr{B}_{G},x) = (x+1)^{17}W(P)\left(\frac{4x}{(x+1)^{2}}\right)$$

$$= x^{17} + 145x^{16} + 7432x^{15} + 174888x^{14} + 2128332x^{13} + 14547884x^{12} + 59233240x^{11} + 148792184x^{10} + 234916470x^{9} + 234916470x^{8} + 148792184x^{7} + 59233240x^{6} + 14547884x^{5} + 2128332x^{4} + 174888x^{3} + 7432x^{2} + 145x + 1$$

and has conjugate pairs of zeros near $-3.88091 \pm 0.18448i$ and $-0.257091 \pm 0.0122209i$. (We used Mathematica to compute approximate values.) On the other hand, $h^*(\mathscr{B}_G, x)$ is log-concave. By the following proposition, it turns out that this example is a counterexample of "Real Root Conjecture" that has been already disproved by Gal [10].

Proposition 3.7. Let G be a bipartite permutation graph. Then $h^*(\mathscr{B}_G, x)$ coincides with the h-polynomial of a flag complex that is a triangulation of a sphere.

Proof. It is known [4, p.94] that any bipartite permutation graph satisfies the condition (iv) in Theorem 2.4. Hence there exists a squarefree quadratic initial ideal with respect to a reverse lexicographic order such that the smallest variable corresponds to the origin. By the theory of regular unimodular triangulations [45, Chapter 8], this means that there exists a flag regular unimodular triangulation Δ such that the origin is a vertex of any maximal simplex in Δ . Then $h^*(\mathscr{B}_G, x)$ coincides with the *h*-polynomial of a flag unimodular triangulation of the boundary of a convex polytope \mathscr{B}_G arising from Δ .

Let G be a finite simple graph on [d] with the edge set E(G) and let $\mathscr{A}_G \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ denote the convex hull of the set

$$A(G) = \{\mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{e}_j - \mathbf{e}_i : \{i, j\} \in E(G)\}.$$

The lattice polytope \mathscr{A}_G is called the *symmetric edge polytope* of *G*. In [22], for a (p,q)complete bipartite graph $K_{p,q}$, the simple description for the h^* -polynomials of $\mathscr{A}_{K_{p,q}}$ was
given and it is γ -positive and real-rooted. In fact, one has

$$h^*(\mathscr{A}_{K_{p+1,q+1}}, x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\min\{p,q\}} \binom{2i}{i} \binom{p}{i} \binom{q}{i} x^i (x+1)^{p+q+1-2i}.$$

Similarly, we can give the simple description for the h^* -polynomial of $\mathscr{B}_{K_{p,q}}$ and show that it is γ -positive and real-rooted.

Example 3.8. Let $K_{p,q}$ be a (p,q)-complete bipartite graph. Then the comparability graph of a poset *P* consisting of two disjoint chains $1 < 2 < \cdots < p$ and $p+1 < p+2 < \cdots < p+q$ is the complement of $K_{p,q}$. It is easy to see that

$$W(P)(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\min\{p,q\}} {p \choose i} {q \choose i} x^i.$$

Hence we have

$$h^*(\mathscr{B}_{K_{p,q}}, x) = (x+1)^{p+q} W(P)\left(\frac{4x}{(x+1)^2}\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{\min\{p,q\}} 4^i \binom{p}{i} \binom{q}{i} x^i (x+1)^{p+q-2i}.$$

Simion [39] proved that W(P)(x) is real-rooted if P is a naturally labeled and disjoint union of chains. Thus the h^* -polynomial $h^*(\mathscr{B}_{K_{p,q}}, x)$ is real-rooted.

In [22], for the proof of the real-rootedness of $h^*(\mathscr{A}_{K_{p,q}}, x)$, interlacing polynomials techniques were used. Let f and g be real-rooted polynomials with roots $a_1 \ge a_2 \ge \cdots$, respectively, $b_1 \ge b_2 \ge \cdots$. Then g is said to *interlace* f if

$$a_1 \geq b_1 \geq a_2 \geq b_2 \geq \cdots.$$

In this case, we write $f \leq g$. In [22], it is shown that

$$h^*(\mathscr{A}_{K_{p,q}}, x) \preceq h^*(\mathscr{A}_{K_{p,q+1}}, x).$$

By a similar way of [22], we can prove the following.

Proposition 3.9. *For all* $p, q \ge 1$ *, one has*

$$h^*(\mathscr{B}_{K_{p,q}}, x) \preceq h^*(\mathscr{B}_{K_{p,q+1}}, x).$$

Proof. Set $\gamma(\mathscr{B}_{K_{p,q}}, x) = \sum_{i \ge 0} 4^i {p \choose i} x^i$. Since $\{{p \choose i}\}_{i \ge 0}$ is a multiplier sequence (see [22]) and since $(4x+1)^q \preceq (4x+1)^{q+1}$, one has $\gamma(\mathscr{B}_{K_{p,q}}, x) \preceq \gamma(\mathscr{B}_{K_{p,q+1}}, x)$. By [22, Lemma 4.10], we obtain $h^*(\mathscr{B}_{K_{p,q}}, x) \preceq h^*(\mathscr{B}_{K_{p,q+1}}, x)$.

REFERENCES

- F. Ardila, M. Back, S, Hoşten, J. Pfeifle and K. Seashore, Root Polytopes and Growth Series of Root Lattices, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 25 (2011), 360–378.
- [2] V. Batyrev, Dual polyhedra and mirror symmetry for Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces in toric varieties, J. Algebraic Geom., 3 (1994), 493–535.
- [3] P. Brändén, Counterexamples to the Neggers-Stanley conjecture, *Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc.* (2004), (electronic).
- [4] A. Brandstädt, V.B. Le, and J.P. Spinrad, "Graph Classes: A Survey," SIAM Monographs on Discrete Math. Appl., Vol. 3, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1999.
- [5] B. Braun, An Ehrhart Series Formula For Reflexive Polytopes, Electron. J. Combin. 13 (2006), #N15.
- [6] W. Bruns and T. Römer, *h*-Vectors of Gorenstein polytopes, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **114** (2007), 65–76.
- [7] D. A. Cox, C. Haase, T. Hibi and A. Higashitani, Integer decomposition property of dilated polytopes, *Electron. J. Combin.* 21 (4) (2014), # P4.28.
- [8] D. Cox, J. Little and H. Schenck, "Toric varieties", Amer. Math. Soc., 2011.
- [9] E. Ehrhart, "Polynomês Arithmétiques et Méthode des Polyédres en Combinatorie", Birkhäuser, Boston/Basel/Stuttgart, 1977.
- [10] S. R. Gal, Real Root Conjecture fails for five and higher dimensional spheres, *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 34 (2005), 269–284.
- [11] O. J. Heilmann and E. H. Lieb, Theory of monomer-dimer systems, *Commun. Math. Physics* 25 (1972) 190–232.
- [12] J. Herzog, T. Hibi and H. Ohsugi, "Binomial ideals", Graduate Texts in Math. 279, Springer, Cham, 2018.
- [13] T. Hibi, Dual polytopes of rational convex polytopes, Combinatorica 12 (1992), 237–240.
- [14] T. Hibi and K. Matsuda, Quadratic Gröbner bases of twinned order polytopes, *European J. Combin.* 54 (2016), 187–192.
- [15] T. Hibi, K. Matsuda, H. Ohsugi, and K. Shibata, Centrally symmetric configurations of order polytopes, J. Algebra 443 (2015), 469–478.
- [16] T. Hibi, K. Matsuda and A. Tsuchiya, Quadratic Gröbner bases arising from partially ordered sets, *Math. Scand.* 121 (2017), 19–25.
- [17] T. Hibi, K. Matsuda and A. Tsuchiya, Edge rings with 3-linear resolutions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
- [18] T. Hibi and A. Tsuchiya, Facets and volume of Gorenstein Fano polytopes, *Math. Nachr.* 290 (2017), 2619–2628.
- [19] T. Hibi and A. Tsuchiya, Reflexive polytopes arising from perfect graphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 157 (2018), 233–246.
- [20] T. Hibi and A. Tsuchiya, Reflexive polytopes arising from partially ordered sets and perfect graphs, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **49** (2019), 69–81.
- [21] A. Higashitani, M. Kummer and M. Michałek, Interlacing Ehrhart polynomials of reflexive polytopes, *Selecta Math.* **23** (2017), 2977–2998.
- [22] A. Higashitani, K. Jochemko and M. Michałek, Arithmetic aspects of symmetric edge polytopes, *Mathematika*, to appear.

- [23] T. Kálmán, A version of Tutte's polynomial for hypergraphs, Adv. Math. 244 (2013), 823-873.
- [24] T. Kálmán and A. Postnikov, Root polytopes, Tutte polynomials, and a duality theorem for bipartite graphs, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **114** (2017), 561–588.
- [25] K. Kato, Interior polynomial for signed bipartite graphs and the HOMFLY polynomial, arXiv: 1705.05063.
- [26] M. Kreuzer and H. Skarke, Complete classification of reflexive polyhedra in four dimensions, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **4** (2000), 1209–1230.
- [27] J. C. Lagarias and G. M. Ziegler, Bounds for lattice polytopes containing a fixed number of interior points in a sublattice, *Canad. J. Math.* **43** (1991), 1022–1035.
- [28] J. A. Makowsky, E. V. Ravve and Ni. K. Blanchard, On the location of roots of graph polynomials, *European J. Combin.* 41 (2014) 1–19.
- [29] T. Matsui, A. Higashitani, Y. Nagazawa, H. Ohsugi and T. Hibi, Roots of Ehrhart polynomials arising from graphs, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **34** (2011), 721–749.
- [30] S. Oh, Generalized permutohedra, *h*-vectors of cotransversal matroids and pure O-sequences, *Electron. J. Combin.* **20** (3) (2013) # P.14.
- [31] H. Ohsugi J. Herzog and T. Hibi, Combinatorial pure subrings, Osaka J. Math. 37 (2000), 745–757.
- [32] H. Ohsugi and T. Hibi, Normal polytopes arising from finite graphs, J. Algebra 207 (1998), 409–426.
- [33] H. Ohsugi and T. Hibi, A normal (0,1)-polytope none of whose regular triangulations is unimodular, *Disc. Comput. Geom.* **21** (1999), 201–204.
- [34] H. Ohsugi and T. Hibi, Koszul bipartite graphs, Adv. Applied Math. 22 (1999), 25–28.
- [35] H. Ohsugi and T. Hibi, Centrally symmetric configurations of integer matrices, *Nagoya Math. J.* 216 (2014), 153–170.
- [36] H. Ohsugi and T. Hibi, Reverse lexicographic squarefree initial ideals and Gorenstein Fano polytopes, *J. Commut. Alg.* **10** (2018), 171–186.
- [37] J. G. Oxley, "Matroid theory," Oxford University Press, 2006.
- [38] A. Postnikov, Permutohedra, associahedra, and beyond, Int. Math. Res. Notices 6 (2009), 1026–1106.
- [39] R. Simion, A multi-indexed Sturm sequence of polynomials and unimodality of certain combinatorial sequences, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **36** (1) (1984), 15-22.
- [40] A. Simis, W. V. Vasconcelos and R. H. Villarreal, The integral closure of subrings associated to graphs, J. Algebra 199 (1998), 281–289.
- [41] R. P. Stanley, Decompositions of rational convex polytopes, *Annals of Discrete Math.* 6 (1980), 333–342.
- [42] R. P. Stanley, Two poset polytopes, *Disc. Comput. Geom.* 1 (1986), 9–23.
- [43] R. P. Stanley, "Enumerative Combinatorics, volume I." Wadsworth & Brooks / Cole Advanced Books, Monterey, Calif., 1986.
- [44] J. R. Stembridge, Counterexamples to the poset conjectures of Neggers, Stanley, and Stembridge, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **359** (2007), 1115–1128.
- [45] B. Sturmfels, "Gröbner bases and convex polytopes," Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.

HIDEFUMI OHSUGI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND TECH-NOLOGY, KWANSEI GAKUIN UNIVERSITY, SANDA, HYOGO 669-1337, JAPAN

E-mail address: ohsugi@kwansei.ac.jp

AKIYOSHI TSUCHIYA, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, KOMABA, MEGURO-KU, TOKYO 153-8914, JAPAN

E-mail address: akiyoshi@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp