

# REFLEXIVE POLYTOPES ARISING FROM BIPARTITE GRAPHS WITH $\gamma$ -POSITIVITY ASSOCIATED TO INTERIOR POLYNOMIALS

HIDEFUMI OHSUGI AND AKIYOSHI TSUCHIYA

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we introduce polytopes  $\mathcal{B}_G$  arising from root systems  $B_n$  and finite graphs  $G$ , and study their combinatorial and algebraic properties. In particular, it is shown that  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is reflexive if and only if  $G$  is bipartite. Moreover, in the case,  $\mathcal{B}_G$  has a regular unimodular triangulation. This implies that the  $h^*$ -polynomial of  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is palindromic and unimodal when  $G$  is bipartite. Furthermore, we discuss stronger properties, namely the  $\gamma$ -positivity and the real-rootedness of the  $h^*$ -polynomials. In fact, if  $G$  is bipartite, then the  $h^*$ -polynomial of  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is  $\gamma$ -positive and its  $\gamma$ -polynomial is given by an interior polynomial (a version of the Tutte polynomial for a hypergraph). The  $h^*$ -polynomial is real-rooted if and only if the corresponding interior polynomial is real-rooted. From a counterexample to Neggers–Stanley conjecture, we construct a bipartite graph  $G$  whose  $h^*$ -polynomial is not real-rooted but  $\gamma$ -positive, and coincides with the  $h$ -polynomial of a flag triangulation of a sphere.

## INTRODUCTION

A *lattice polytope*  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  is a convex polytope all of whose vertices have integer coordinates. Ardila et al. [1] constructed a unimodular triangulation of the convex hull of the roots of the classical root lattices of type  $A_n$ ,  $B_n$ ,  $C_n$  and  $D_n$ , and gave an alternative proof for the known growth series of these root lattices by using the triangulation.

In [23], lattice polytopes arising from the root system of type  $A_n$  and finite graphs were introduced. Let  $G$  be a finite simple undirected graph on the vertex set  $[d] = \{1, \dots, d\}$  with the edge set  $E(G)$ . We denote  $\mathcal{A}_G \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  the convex hull of the set

$$A(G) = \{\mathbf{0}\} \cup \{\pm(\mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_j) : \{i, j\} \in E(G)\},$$

where  $\mathbf{e}_i$  is the  $i$ -th unit coordinate vector in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\mathbf{0}$  is the origin of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}_G$  is centrally symmetric, i.e., for any facet  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $\mathcal{A}_G$ ,  $-\mathcal{F}$  is also a facet of  $\mathcal{A}_G$ , and  $\mathbf{0}$  is the unique (relative) interior lattice point of  $\mathcal{A}_G$ . Note that, if  $G$  is a complete graph, then  $\mathcal{A}_G$  coincides with the convex hull of the roots of the root lattices of type  $A_n$  studied in [1]. This polytope  $\mathcal{A}_G$  is called the *symmetric edge polytope* of  $G$  and several combinatorial properties of  $\mathcal{A}_G$  are well-studied ([22, 23, 30]).

In this paper, we introduce lattice polytopes arising from the root system of type  $B_n$  and finite graphs, and study their algebraic and combinatorial properties. Let  $\mathcal{B}_G \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  denote the convex hull of the set

$$B(G) = \{\mathbf{0}, \pm\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \pm\mathbf{e}_d\} \cup \{\pm\mathbf{e}_i \pm \mathbf{e}_j : \{i, j\} \in E(G)\}.$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05A15, 05C31, 13P10, 52B12, 52B20.

*Key words and phrases.* reflexive polytope, unimodular covering, regular unimodular triangulation,  $\gamma$ -positive, real-rooted, interior polynomial, matching generating polynomial,  $P$ -Eulerian polynomial.

Then  $\dim \mathcal{B}_G = d$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is centrally symmetric and  $\mathbf{0}$  is the unique interior lattice point of  $\mathcal{B}_G$ . Note that, if  $G$  is a complete graph, then  $\mathcal{B}_G$  coincides with the convex hull of the roots of the root lattices of type  $B_n$  studied in [1]. Several classes of lattice polytopes arising from graphs have been studied from viewpoints of combinatorics, graph theory, geometric and commutative algebra. In particular, *edge polytopes* give interesting examples in commutative algebra ([18, 33, 34, 35, 43]). Note that edge polytopes of bipartite graphs are called *root polytopes* and play important roles in the study of generalized permutohedra ([41]) and interior polynomials ([25]).

There is a strong relation between  $\mathcal{B}_G$  and edge polytopes. In fact, one of the key properties of  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is that  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is divided into  $2^d$  edge polytopes of certain non-simple graphs  $\tilde{G}$  (Proposition 1.1). This fact helps us to find and show interesting properties of  $\mathcal{B}_G$ . In Section 1, by using this fact, we will classify graphs  $G$  such that  $\mathcal{B}_G$  has a unimodular covering (Theorem 1.3). We remark that  $\mathcal{A}_G$  always has a unimodular covering.

On the other hand, the fact that  $\mathcal{B}_G$  has a unique interior lattice point  $\mathbf{0}$  leads us to consider when  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is reflexive. A lattice polytope  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  of dimension  $d$  is called *reflexive* if the origin of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is a unique lattice point belonging to the interior of  $\mathcal{P}$  and its dual polytope

$$\mathcal{P}^\vee := \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \leq 1 \text{ for all } \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{P}\}$$

is also a lattice polytope, where  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$  is the usual inner product of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . It is known that reflexive polytopes correspond to Gorenstein toric Fano varieties, and they are related to mirror symmetry (see, e.g., [2, 9]). In each dimension there exist only finitely many reflexive polytopes up to unimodular equivalence ([28]) and all of them are known up to dimension 4 ([27]). Here two lattice polytopes  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\mathcal{P}' \subset \mathbb{R}^{d'}$  are said to be *unimodularly equivalent* if there exists an affine map from the affine span  $\text{aff}(\mathcal{P})$  of  $\mathcal{P}$  to the affine span  $\text{aff}(\mathcal{P}')$  of  $\mathcal{P}'$  that maps  $\mathbb{Z}^d \cap \text{aff}(\mathcal{P})$  bijectively onto  $\mathbb{Z}^{d'} \cap \text{aff}(\mathcal{P}')$  and that maps  $\mathcal{P}$  to  $\mathcal{P}'$ . Every lattice polytope is unimodularly equivalent to a full-dimensional one. In Section 2, we will classify graphs  $G$  such that  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is a reflexive polytope. In fact, we will show the following.

**Theorem 0.1.** *Let  $G$  be a finite graph. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is reflexive and has a regular unimodular triangulation;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is reflexive;
- (iii)  $G$  is a bipartite graph.

We remark that not all unimodular equivalence classes of reflexive polytopes are represented by a polytope of the form  $\mathcal{B}_G$ . Indeed,  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is always centrally symmetric but not all reflexive polytopes are centrally symmetric. On the other hand,  $\mathcal{A}_G$  is always (unimodularly equivalent to) a centrally symmetric reflexive polytope. However, the class of reflexive polytopes  $\mathcal{A}_G$  is different from that of  $\mathcal{B}_G$ . For example, if  $G$  is a complete bipartite graph, then  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is not unimodularly equivalent to  $\mathcal{A}_{G'}$  for any graph  $G'$ .

Next, by characterizing when the toric ideal of  $\mathcal{B}_G$  has a Gröbner basis consisting of squarefree quadratic binomials for a bipartite graph  $G$ , we can classify graphs  $G$  such that  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is a reflexive polytope with a flag regular unimodular triangulation. In fact,

**Theorem 0.2.** *Let  $G$  be a bipartite graph. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *The reflexive polytope  $\mathcal{B}_G$  has a flag regular unimodular triangulation;*
- (ii) *Any cycle of  $G$  of length  $\geq 6$  has a chord (“chordal bipartite graph”).*

Now, we turn to the discussion of the  $h^*$ -polynomial  $h^*(\mathcal{B}_G, x)$  of  $\mathcal{B}_G$ . Thanks to the key property (Proposition 1.1), we can compute the  $h^*$ -polynomial of  $\mathcal{B}_G$  in terms of that of edge polytopes of some graphs. On the other hand, since it is known that the  $h^*$ -polynomial of a reflexive polytope with a regular unimodular triangulation is palindromic and unimodal ([7]), Theorem 0.1 implies that the  $h^*$ -polynomial of  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is palindromic and unimodal if  $G$  is bipartite. In Section 3, we will show a stronger result, namely for any bipartite graph  $G$ , the  $h^*$ -polynomial  $h^*(\mathcal{B}_G, x)$  is  $\gamma$ -positive. The theory of interior polynomials (a version of the Tutte polynomials for hypergraphs) introduced by Kálmán [24] and the theory of generalized permutohedra [31, 41] play important roles.

**Theorem 0.3.** *Let  $G$  be a bipartite graph on  $[d]$ . Then  $h^*$ -polynomial of the reflexive polytope  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is*

$$h^*(\mathcal{B}_G, x) = (x+1)^d I_{\widehat{G}} \left( \frac{4x}{(x+1)^2} \right),$$

where  $\widehat{G}$  is a connected bipartite graph defined in (1) later and  $I_{\widehat{G}}(x)$  is the interior polynomial of  $\widehat{G}$ . In particular,  $h^*(\mathcal{B}_G, x)$  is  $\gamma$ -positive. Moreover,  $h^*(\mathcal{B}_G, x)$  is real-rooted if and only if  $I_{\widehat{G}}(x)$  is real-rooted.

In addition, we discuss the following relations between interior polynomials and other important polynomials in combinatorics:

- If  $G$  is bipartite, then the interior polynomial of  $\widehat{G}$  is described in terms of  $k$ -matchings of  $G$  (Proposition 3.4);
- If  $G$  is a forest, then the interior polynomial of  $\widehat{G}$  coincides with the matching generating polynomial of  $G$  (Proposition 3.5);
- If  $G$  is a bipartite permutation graph associated with a poset  $P$ , then the interior polynomial of  $\widehat{G}$  coincides with the  $P$ -Eulerian polynomial of  $P$  (Proposition 3.6).

By using these results and a poset appearing in [47] as a counterexample to Neggers–Stanley conjecture, we will construct an example of a centrally symmetric reflexive polytope such that the  $h^*$ -polynomial is  $\gamma$ -positive and not real-rooted (Example 3.7). This  $h^*$ -polynomial coincides with the  $h$ -polynomial of a flag triangulation of a sphere (Proposition 3.8). Hence this example is a counterexample to “Real Root Conjecture” that has been already disproved by Gal [11]. Finally, inspired by a simple description for the  $h^*$ -polynomials of symmetric edge polytopes of complete bipartite graphs [23], we will compute the  $h^*$ -polynomial of  $\mathcal{B}_G$  when  $G$  is a complete bipartite graph (Example 3.9).

**Acknowledgment.** The authors are grateful to the anonymous referee for his/her careful reading and helpful comments. The authors were partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI 18H01134 and 16J01549.

## 1. A KEY PROPERTY OF $\mathcal{B}_G$ AND UNIMODULAR COVERINGS

In this section, we see a relation between  $\mathcal{B}_G$  and edge polytopes. First, we recall what edge polytopes are. Let  $G$  be a graph on  $[d]$  (only here we do not assume that  $G$  has no

loops) with the edge (including loop) set  $E(G)$ . Then the *edge polytope*  $P_G$  of  $G$  is the convex hull of  $\{\mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_j : \{i, j\} \in E(G)\}$ . Note that  $P_G$  is a  $(0, 1)$ -polytope if and only if  $G$  has no loops. Given a graph  $G$  on  $[d]$ , let  $\tilde{G}$  be a graph on  $[d + 1]$  whose edge set is

$$E(G) \cup \{\{1, d + 1\}, \{2, d + 1\}, \dots, \{d + 1, d + 1\}\}.$$

Here,  $\{d + 1, d + 1\}$  is a loop (a cycle of length 1) at  $d + 1$ . See Figure 1. If  $G$  is a




FIGURE 1. Example of  $\tilde{G}$

bipartite graph with a bipartition  $V_1 \cup V_2 = [d]$ , let  $\hat{G}$  be a connected bipartite graph on  $[d + 2]$  whose edge set is

$$(1) \quad E(\hat{G}) = E(G) \cup \{\{i, d + 1\} : i \in V_1\} \cup \{\{j, d + 2\} : j \in V_2 \cup \{d + 1\}\}.$$

See Figure 2.




FIGURE 2. Example of  $\hat{G}$

Now, we show the key proposition of this paper. Given  $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_d) \in \{-1, 1\}^d$ , let  $\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$  denote the closed orthant  $\{(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_i \varepsilon_i \geq 0 \text{ for all } i \in [d]\}$ . For a lattice polytope  $\mathcal{P}$ , let  $\text{Vol}(\mathcal{P})$  denote the normalized volume of  $\mathcal{P}$ .

**Proposition 1.1.** *Work with the same notation as above. Then we have the following:*

- (a) *Each  $\mathcal{B}_G \cap \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$  is the convex hull of the set  $B(G) \cap \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$  and unimodularly equivalent to the edge polytope  $P_{\tilde{G}}$  of  $\tilde{G}$ . Moreover, if  $G$  is bipartite, then  $\mathcal{B}_G \cap \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$  is unimodularly equivalent to the edge polytope  $P_{\hat{G}}$  of  $\hat{G}$ . In particular, one has  $\text{Vol}(\mathcal{B}_G) = 2^d \text{Vol}(P_{\tilde{G}})$ .*
- (b) *The edge polytope of  $G$  is a face of  $\mathcal{B}_G$ .*

*Proof.* (a) Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the convex hull of the set  $B(G) \cap \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$ . The inclusion  $\mathcal{B}_G \cap \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon \supset \mathcal{P}$  is trivial. Let  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathcal{B}_G \cap \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$ . Then  $\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^s \lambda_i \mathbf{a}_i$ , where  $\lambda_i > 0$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^s \lambda_i = 1$ , and each  $\mathbf{a}_i$  belongs to  $B(G)$ . Suppose that the  $k$ -th component of  $\mathbf{a}_i$  is positive and the  $k$ -th component of  $\mathbf{a}_j$  is negative. Then  $\mathbf{a}_i$  and  $\mathbf{a}_j$  satisfy

$$\mathbf{a}_i + \mathbf{a}_j = (\mathbf{a}_i - \mathbf{e}_k) + (\mathbf{a}_j + \mathbf{e}_k),$$

where  $\mathbf{a}_i - \mathbf{e}_k, \mathbf{a}_j + \mathbf{e}_k \in B(G)$ . By using the above relations for  $\mathbf{a}_i + \mathbf{a}_j$  finitely many times, we may assume that the  $k$ -th component of each vector  $\mathbf{a}_i$  is nonnegative (resp. nonpositive) if  $x_k \geq 0$  (resp.  $x_k \leq 0$ ). Then each  $\mathbf{a}_i$  belongs to  $B(G) \cap \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$  and hence  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{P}$ .

Next, we show that each  $\mathcal{B}_G \cap \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$  is unimodularly equivalent to the edge polytope  $P_{\tilde{G}}$ . Set  $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{B}_G \cap \mathcal{O}_{(1, \dots, 1)}$ . It is easy to see that each  $\mathcal{B}_G \cap \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$  is unimodularly equivalent to  $\mathcal{Q}$  for all  $\varepsilon$ . Moreover, one has

$$B(G) \cap \mathcal{O}_{(1, \dots, 1)} = \{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_d\} \cup \{\mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_j : \{i, j\} \in E(G)\}.$$

Hence  $P_{\tilde{G}}$  is unimodularly equivalent to  $\mathcal{Q} \times \{2\}$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{B}_G$  consists of  $2^d$  copies of the edge polytope  $P_{\tilde{G}}$ . This implies  $\text{Vol}(\mathcal{B}_G) = 2^d \text{Vol}(P_{\tilde{G}})$ . Similarly, if  $G$  is bipartite, it follows that  $P_{\tilde{G}}$  is unimodularly equivalent to  $\mathcal{Q} \times \{1\}^2$ .

(b) The edge polytope  $P_G$  of  $G$  is the face of  $\mathcal{B}_G$  with the supporting hyperplane  $\mathcal{H} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_1 + \dots + x_d = 2\}$ .  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a lattice polytope. A (lattice) *covering* of  $\mathcal{P}$  is a finite collection  $\Delta$  of lattice simplices such that the union of the simplices in  $\Delta$  is  $\mathcal{P}$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup_{\delta \in \Delta} \delta$ . A (lattice) *triangulation* of  $\mathcal{P}$  is a covering  $\Delta$  of  $\mathcal{P}$  such that

- (i) every face of a member of  $\Delta$  is in  $\Delta$ , and
- (ii) any two elements of  $\Delta$  intersect in a common (possibly empty) face.

Note that their interiors may overlap in coverings but not in triangulations. A *unimodular simplex* is a lattice simplex whose normalized volume equals 1. We now focus on the following properties.

- (VA) We say that  $\mathcal{P}$  is *very ample* if for all sufficiently large  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  and for all  $\mathbf{x} \in k\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ , there exist  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$  with  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{x}_k$ .
- (IDP) We say that  $\mathcal{P}$  possesses the *integer decomposition property* (or is *IDP* for short) if for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  and for all  $\mathbf{x} \in k\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ , there exist  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$  with  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{x}_k$ .
- (UC) We say that  $\mathcal{P}$  has a unimodular covering if  $\mathcal{P}$  admits a lattice covering consisting of unimodular simplices.
- (UT) We say that  $\mathcal{P}$  has a unimodular triangulation if  $\mathcal{P}$  admits a lattice triangulation consisting of unimodular simplices.

These properties satisfy the implications

$$(UT) \Rightarrow (UC) \Rightarrow (IDP) \Rightarrow (VA),$$

see, e.g., [13, Section 4.2]. On the other hand, it is known that the opposite implications are false. However, for edge polytopes, the first three properties are equivalent ([8, 33, 43]). We say that a graph  $G$  satisfies the *odd cycle condition* if, for any two odd cycles  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  that belong to the same connected component of  $G$  and have no common vertices, there exists an edge  $\{i, j\}$  of  $G$  such that  $i$  is a vertex of  $C_1$  and  $j$  is a vertex of  $C_2$ .

**Proposition 1.2** ([8, 33, 43]). *Let  $G$  be a finite (not necessarily simple) graph. Suppose that there exists an edge  $\{i, j\}$  of  $G$  whenever  $G$  has loops at  $i$  and  $j$  with  $i \neq j$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $P_G$  has a unimodular covering;
- (ii)  $P_G$  is IDP;

- (iii)  $P_G$  is very ample;
- (iv)  $G$  satisfies the odd cycle condition.

We now show that the same assertion holds for  $\mathcal{B}_G$ . Namely, we prove the following.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $G$  be a finite simple graph. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\mathcal{B}_G$  has a unimodular covering;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is IDP;
- (iii)  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is very ample;
- (iv)  $G$  satisfies the odd cycle condition.

Before proving Theorem 1.3, we recall a well-known result.

**Lemma 1.4.** *Every face of a very ample polytope is very ample.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a lattice polytope of dimension  $d$ . Assume that there exists a facet  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $\mathcal{P}$  such that  $\mathcal{F}$  is not very ample. Then we should show that  $\mathcal{P}$  is not very ample. Let  $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be the hyperplane in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  defined as  $\mathcal{H} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{a} \rangle = b\}$ , with some lattice point  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  and some integer  $b$  such that  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{H}^{(+)}$ , where  $\mathcal{H}^{(+)} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{a} \rangle \leq b\}$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is not very ample, there exist a positive integer  $k$  and a lattice point  $\mathbf{x} \in k\mathcal{F} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$  such that there are no lattice points  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  with  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{x}_k$ . Suppose that there are lattice points  $\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_k$  in  $\mathcal{P}$  with  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{y}_k$ . Then for each  $\mathbf{y}_i$ , one has  $\langle \mathbf{y}_i, \mathbf{a} \rangle \leq b$ . Since  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{a} \rangle = kb$ , it follows that for each  $i$ ,  $\langle \mathbf{y}_i, \mathbf{a} \rangle = b$ , hence,  $\mathbf{y}_i \in \mathcal{F}$ . This is a contradiction. Therefore,  $\mathcal{P}$  is not very ample.  $\square$

**Remark 1.5.** Similarly, we can prove that every face of an IDP polytope is IDP. Moreover, it is clear that if a lattice polytope has a unimodular triangulation (resp. a unimodular covering), then so does any face.

Now, we prove Theorem 1.3.

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* First, implications (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) hold in general.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv): Suppose that  $G$  does not satisfy the odd cycle condition. By Proposition 1.2, the edge polytope  $P_G$  of  $G$  is not very ample. Since  $P_G$  is a face of  $\mathcal{B}_G$  by Proposition 1.1 (b) and Lemma 1.4,  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is not very ample.

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Suppose that  $G$  satisfies the odd cycle condition. Then so does  $\tilde{G}$ . Hence Proposition 1.2 guarantees that  $P_{\tilde{G}}$  has a unimodular covering. By Proposition 1.1 (a),  $\mathcal{B}_G$  has a unimodular covering.  $\square$

**Example 1.6.** Let  $G$  be a graph in Figure 3. Since  $G$  satisfies the odd cycle condition,  $\mathcal{B}_G$  has a unimodular covering. However, since the edge polytope  $P_G$  has no regular unimodular triangulations ([34]), so does  $\mathcal{B}_G$  by Proposition 1.1 (b). We do not know whether  $\mathcal{B}_G$  has a (nonregular) unimodular triangulation or not.

## 2. REFLEXIVE POLYTOPES AND FLAG TRIANGULATIONS OF $\mathcal{B}_G$

In the present section, we classify graphs  $G$  such that

- $\mathcal{B}_G$  is a reflexive polytope.




FIGURE 3. A graph in [34]




FIGURE 4. Regular unimodular triangulation of  $\mathcal{B}_G$  in Examples 2.1 (b)

- $\mathcal{B}_G$  is a reflexive polytope with a flag regular unimodular triangulation.

In other words, we prove Theorems 0.1 and 0.2. First, we see some examples that  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is reflexive.

**Examples 2.1.** (a) If  $G$  is an empty graph, then  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is a *cross polytope*.

(b) Let  $G$  be a complete graph with 2 vertices. Then  $\mathcal{B}_G \cap \mathbb{Z}^2$  is the column vectors of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is a reflexive polytope having a regular unimodular triangulation. See Figure 4. Since the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is not unimodular, we cannot apply [36, Lemma 2.11] to show this fact.

In order to show that a lattice polytope is reflexive, we can use an algebraic technique on Gröbner bases. We recall basic materials and notation on toric ideals. Let  $K[\mathbf{t}^{\pm 1}, s] = K[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_d^{\pm 1}, s]$  be the Laurent polynomial ring in  $d + 1$  variables over a field  $K$ . If  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , then  $\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{a}}$  is the Laurent monomial  $t_1^{a_1} \cdots t_d^{a_d} s \in K[\mathbf{t}^{\pm 1}, s]$ . Let  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a lattice polytope and  $\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n\}$ . Then, the *toric ring* of  $\mathcal{P}$  is the subring  $K[\mathcal{P}]$  of  $K[\mathbf{t}^{\pm 1}, s]$  generated by  $\{\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{a}_1} s, \dots, \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{a}_n} s\}$  over  $K$ . We regard  $K[\mathcal{P}]$  as a graded ring by setting each  $\deg t_i = 0$  and  $\deg s = 1$ . Note that, if two lattice polytopes are unimodularly equivalent, then their toric rings are isomorphic as graded rings. Let  $K[\mathbf{x}] = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  denote the polynomial ring in  $n$  variables over  $K$ . The *toric ideal*  $I_{\mathcal{P}}$  of  $\mathcal{P}$  is the kernel of the surjective homomorphism  $\pi : K[\mathbf{x}] \rightarrow K[\mathcal{P}]$  defined by  $\pi(x_i) = \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{a}_i} s$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . It is known that  $I_{\mathcal{P}}$  is generated by homogeneous binomials. See, e.g.,

[13, Chapter 3] and [48, Chapter 4]. Let  $<$  be a monomial order on  $K[\mathbf{x}]$  and  $\text{in}_<(I_{\mathcal{P}})$  the initial ideal of  $I_{\mathcal{P}}$  with respect to  $<$ . The initial ideal  $\text{in}_<(I_{\mathcal{P}})$  is called *squarefree* (resp. *quadratic*) if  $\text{in}_<(I_{\mathcal{P}})$  is generated by squarefree (resp. quadratic) monomials. We recall a relation between initial ideal  $\text{in}_<(I_{\mathcal{P}})$  and a triangulation of  $\mathcal{P}$ . Set

$$\Delta(\mathcal{P}, <) = \left\{ \text{conv}(S) : S \subset \mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d, \prod_{\mathbf{a}_i \in S} x_i \notin \sqrt{\text{in}_<(I_{\mathcal{P}})} \right\}.$$

Then we have

**Proposition 2.2** ([48, Theorem 8.3]). *Let  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a lattice polytope and  $<$  a monomial order on  $K[\mathbf{x}]$ . Then  $\Delta(\mathcal{P}, <)$  is a regular triangulation of  $\mathcal{P}$ .*

Here we say that a lattice triangulation  $\Delta$  of a lattice polytope  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  is *regular* if it arises as the projection of the lower hull of a lifting of the lattice points of  $\mathcal{P}$  into  $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ . A simplicial complex is called *flag* if all minimal non-faces contain only two elements. We say that a lattice triangulation is *flag* if it is flag as a simplicial complex. Then we have

**Proposition 2.3** ([48, Corollary 8.9]). *Let  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a lattice polytope and  $<$  a monomial order on  $K[\mathbf{x}]$ . Suppose that any lattice point in  $\mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$  is a linear integer combination of the lattice points in  $\mathcal{P} \times \{1\}$ . Then  $\Delta(\mathcal{P}, <)$  is unimodular (resp. flag unimodular) if and only if  $\text{in}_<(I_{\mathcal{P}})$  is squarefree (resp. squarefree quadratic).*

By definition, a lattice polytope  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  is reflexive if and only if the lattice distance between the origin  $\mathbf{0}$  and all affine hyperplanes generated by facets of  $\mathcal{P}$  equals 1. Hence if  $\mathbf{0}$  is contained in the interior of  $\mathcal{P}$ , and  $\mathcal{P}$  has a unimodular triangulation such that  $\mathbf{0}$  is a vertex of any maximal simplex of the triangulation, then  $\mathcal{P}$  is reflexive. Now, we introduce an algebraic technique to show that a lattice polytope is reflexive.

**Lemma 2.4** ([16, Lemma 1.1]). *Let  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a lattice polytope of dimension  $d$  such that the origin of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is contained in its interior and  $\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n\}$ . Suppose that  $\mathbb{Z}^d = \{\sum_{i=1}^n z_i \mathbf{a}_i : z_i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  and there exists an ordering of the variables  $x_{i_1} < \dots < x_{i_n}$  for which  $\mathbf{a}_{i_1} = \mathbf{0}$  such that the initial ideal  $\text{in}_<(I_{\mathcal{P}})$  of  $I_{\mathcal{P}}$  with respect to the reverse lexicographic order  $<$  on  $K[\mathbf{x}]$  induced by the ordering is squarefree. Then  $\mathcal{P}$  is reflexive and has a regular unimodular triangulation.*

*Proof.* The assertion follows since  $\mathbf{0}$  is contained in the interior of  $\mathcal{P}$  and since the triangulation  $\Delta(\mathcal{P}, <)$  is unimodular and  $\mathbf{0}$  is a vertex of any maximal simplex of the triangulation.  $\square$

By using this technique, several families of reflexive polytopes with regular unimodular triangulations are constructed in [15, 16, 17, 19, 20, 21, 37]. In order to apply Lemma 2.4 to show Theorem 0.1, we see a relation between the toric ideal of  $\mathcal{B}_G$  and that of  $P_{\tilde{G}}$ . Let  $G$  be a simple graph on  $[d]$  with edge set  $E(G)$  and let  $R_G$  denote the polynomial ring in  $2d + 1 + 4|E(G)|$  variables

$$z, x_{i+}, x_{i-} \quad (1 \leq i \leq d), \quad y_{ij++}, y_{ij--}, y_{ij+-}, y_{ij-+} \quad (\{i, j\} \in E(G))$$

over a field  $K$ . Then the toric ideal  $I_{\mathcal{B}_G}$  of  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is the kernel of a ring homomorphism  $\pi : R_G \rightarrow K[t_1^{\pm}, \dots, t_d^{\pm}, s]$  defined by  $\pi(z) = s$ ,  $\pi(x_{i+}) = t_i s$ ,  $\pi(x_{i-}) = t_i^{-1} s$ ,  $\pi(y_{ij++}) = t_i t_j s$ ,



$\pi(y_{ij--}) = t_i^{-1}t_j^{-1}s$ ,  $\pi(y_{ij+-}) = t_it_j^{-1}s$ , and  $\pi(y_{ij-+}) = t_i^{-1}t_js$ . Let  $S_G$  denote the subring of  $R_G$  generated by the  $d+1+|E(G)|$  variables

$$z, x_{i+} \ (1 \leq i \leq d), y_{ij++} \ (\{i, j\} \in E(G)).$$

Then the toric ideal  $I_{P_{\tilde{G}}}$  of  $P_{\tilde{G}}$  is the kernel of  $\pi|_{S_G}$ . For each  $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_d) \in \{-1, 1\}^d$ , we define a ring homomorphism  $\varphi_\varepsilon : S_G \rightarrow R_G$  by  $\varphi_\varepsilon(x_{i+}) = x_{i\alpha}$  and  $\varphi_\varepsilon(y_{ij++}) = y_{ij\alpha\beta}$  where  $\alpha$  is the sign of  $\varepsilon_i$  and  $\beta$  is the sign of  $\varepsilon_j$ . In particular,  $\varphi_{(1, \dots, 1)} : S_G \rightarrow R_G$  is an inclusion map.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a Gröbner basis of  $I_{P_{\tilde{G}}}$  with respect to a reverse lexicographic order  $<_S$  on  $S_G$  such that  $z < \{x_{i+}\} < \{y_{ij++}\}$ . Let  $<_R$  be a reverse lexicographic order such that  $z < \{x_{i+}, x_{i-}\} < \{y_{ij++}, y_{ij--}, y_{ij+-}, y_{ij-+}\}$  and that (i)  $\varphi_\varepsilon(x_{i+}) <_R \varphi_\varepsilon(x_{j+})$  if  $x_{i+} <_S x_{j+}$  and (ii)  $\varphi_\varepsilon(y_{ij++}) <_R \varphi_\varepsilon(y_{kl++})$  if  $y_{ij++} <_S y_{kl++}$  for all  $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}^d$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}' &= \left( \bigcup_{\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}^d} \varphi_\varepsilon(\mathcal{G}) \right) \cup \{ \underline{x_{i\alpha}y_{ij\beta\gamma}} - x_{j\gamma}z : \{i, j\} \in E(G), \alpha \neq \beta \} \\ &\cup \{ \underline{y_{ij\alpha\gamma}y_{ik\beta\delta}} - x_{j\gamma}x_{k\delta} : \{i, j\}, \{i, k\} \in E(G), \alpha \neq \beta \} \cup \{ \underline{x_{i+}x_{i-}} - z^2 : 1 \leq i \leq d \} \end{aligned}$$

is a Gröbner basis of  $I_{\mathcal{B}_G}$  with respect to  $<_R$ , where the underlined monomial is the initial monomial of each binomial. (Here we identify  $y_{ij\alpha\beta}$  with  $y_{ji\beta\alpha}$ .) In particular, if  $\text{in}_{<_S}(I_{P_{\tilde{G}}})$  is squarefree (resp. quadratic), then so is  $\text{in}_{<_R}(I_{\mathcal{B}_G})$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{G}'$  is a subset of  $I_{\mathcal{B}_G}$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{G}'$  is not a Gröbner basis of  $I_{\mathcal{B}_G}$  with respect to  $<_R$ . Let  $\text{in}(\mathcal{G}') = \langle \text{in}_{<_R}(g) : g \in \mathcal{G}' \rangle$ . By [13, Theorem 3.11], there exists a non-zero irreducible homogeneous binomial  $f = u - v \in I_{\mathcal{B}_G}$  such that neither  $u$  nor  $v$  belongs to  $\text{in}(\mathcal{G}')$ . Since both  $u$  and  $v$  are divided by none of  $x_{i+}x_{i-}$ ,  $x_{i\alpha}y_{ij\beta\gamma}$ ,  $y_{ij\alpha\gamma}y_{ik\beta\delta}$  ( $\alpha \neq \beta$ ), they are of the form

$$u = \prod_{i \in I} (x_{i\alpha_i})^{u_i} \prod_{\{j, k\} \in E_1} (y_{jk\alpha_j\alpha_k})^{u_{jk}}, \quad v = \prod_{i \in I'} (x_{i\alpha'_i})^{v_i} \prod_{\{j, k\} \in E_2} (y_{jk\alpha'_j\alpha'_k})^{v_{jk}},$$

where  $I, I' \subset [d]$ ,  $E_1, E_2 \subset E(G)$  and  $0 < u_i, u_{jk}, v_i, v_{jk} \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $f$  belongs to  $I_{\mathcal{B}_G}$ , the exponent of  $t_\ell$  in  $\pi(u)$  and  $\pi(v)$  are the same. Hence, one of  $x_{\ell\alpha_\ell}$  and  $y_{\ell m\alpha_\ell\alpha_m}$  appears in  $u$  if and only if one of  $x_{\ell\alpha'_\ell}$  and  $y_{\ell n\alpha'_\ell\alpha'_n}$  appears in  $v$  with  $\alpha_\ell = \alpha'_\ell$ . Let  $\varepsilon$  be a vector in  $\{-1, 1\}^d$  such that the sign of the  $i$ -th component of  $\varepsilon$  is  $\alpha_i$  if one of  $x_{i\alpha_i}$  and  $y_{ij\alpha_i\alpha_j}$  appears in  $u$ . Then  $f$  belongs to the ideal  $\varphi_\varepsilon(I_{P_{\tilde{G}}})$ . Let  $f' \in I_{P_{\tilde{G}}}$  be a binomial such that  $\varphi_\varepsilon(f') = f$ . Since  $\mathcal{G}$  is a Gröbner basis of  $I_{P_{\tilde{G}}}$ , there exists a binomial  $g \in \mathcal{G}$  whose initial monomial  $\text{in}_{<_R}(g)$  divides the one of the monomials in  $f'$ . By the definition of  $<_R$ , we have  $\text{in}_{<_R}(\varphi_\varepsilon(g)) = \varphi_\varepsilon(\text{in}_{<_R}(g))$ . Hence  $\text{in}_{<_R}(\varphi_\varepsilon(g))$  divides one of the monomials in  $f = \varphi_\varepsilon(f')$ . This is a contradiction.  $\square$

Using this Gröbner basis with respect to a reverse lexicographic order, we verify which  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is a reflexive polytope. Namely, we prove Theorem 0.1.

*Proof of Theorem 0.1.* The implication (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) is trivial.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): Suppose that  $G$  is not bipartite. Let  $G_1, \dots, G_s$  be the connected components of  $G$  and let  $d_i$  be the number of vertices of  $G_i$ . In particular, we have  $d = \sum_{i=1}^s d_i$ .

Since  $G$  is not bipartite, we may assume that  $G_1$  is not bipartite. Let  $\mathbf{w} = \sum_{i=1}^s \mathbf{w}_i$ , where  $\mathbf{w}_i = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \mathbf{e}_{p_k} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  if  $G_i$  is a non-bipartite graph on the vertex set  $\{p_1, \dots, p_\ell\}$ , and  $\mathbf{w}_i = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} 2\mathbf{e}_{p_k} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  if  $G_i$  is a bipartite graph whose vertices are divided into two independent sets  $\{p_1, \dots, p_\ell\}$  and  $\{q_1, \dots, q_m\}$ . It then follows that  $\mathcal{H} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} = 2\}$  is a supporting hyperplane of  $\mathcal{B}_G$  and the corresponding face  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{w}} = \mathcal{B}_G \cap \mathcal{H}$  is the convex hull of  $H = \bigcup_{i=1}^s H_i$ , where  $H_i = \{\mathbf{e}_u + \mathbf{e}_v : \{u, v\} \in E(G_i)\}$  if  $G_i$  is not bipartite, and  $H_i = \{\mathbf{e}_u + \mathbf{e}_v : \{u, v\} \in E(G_i)\} \cup \{\mathbf{e}_{p_1}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{p_\ell}\} \cup \{\mathbf{e}_{p_k} - \mathbf{e}_v : \{p_k, v\} \in E(G_i)\}$  if  $G_i$  is a bipartite graph with  $\mathbf{w}_i = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} 2\mathbf{e}_{p_k} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . We will show that  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{w}}$  is a facet of  $\mathcal{B}_G$ . The convex hull of  $\{\mathbf{e}_u + \mathbf{e}_v : \{u, v\} \in E(G_i)\}$  is the edge polytope  $P_{G_i}$  of  $G_i$  and it is known [33, Proposition 1.3] that

$$\dim P_{G_i} = \begin{cases} d_i - 1 & \text{if } G_i \text{ is not bipartite,} \\ d_i - 2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If  $G_i$  is not bipartite, then the dimension of  $\text{conv}(H_i) = P_{G_i}$  is  $d_i - 1$ . If  $G_i$  is bipartite, then  $d_i - 2 = \dim P_{G_i} < \dim \text{conv}(H_i)$  since  $P_{G_i} \subset \text{conv}(H_i)$  and a hyperplane  $\mathcal{H} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_1 + \dots + x_d = 2\}$  satisfies  $\mathbf{e}_{p_1} \notin \mathcal{H} \supset P_{G_i}$ . Hence the dimension of the face  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{w}}$  is at least  $s - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^s (d_i - 1) = d - 1$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{w}}$  is a facet of  $\mathcal{B}_G$ . Since  $G_1$  is not bipartite, we have  $\frac{1}{2} \cdot \mathbf{w} \notin \mathbb{Z}^d$ . Thus  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is not reflexive.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Suppose that  $G$  is bipartite. Let  $<_S$  and  $<_R$  be any reverse lexicographic orders satisfying the condition in Lemma 2.5. It is known [13, Theorem 5.24] that any triangulation of the edge polytope of a bipartite graph is unimodular. By [48, Corollary 8.9], the initial ideal of the toric ideal of  $P_{\widehat{G}}$  with respect to  $<_S$  is squarefree. Thanks to Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5, we have the desired conclusion.  $\square$

We now give a theorem on quadratic Gröbner bases of  $I_{\mathcal{B}_G}$  when  $G$  is bipartite. This theorem implies that Theorem 0.2. The same result is known for edge polytopes ([35]).

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $G$  be a bipartite graph. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *The toric ideal  $I_{\mathcal{B}_G}$  of  $\mathcal{B}_G$  has a squarefree quadratic initial ideal (i.e.,  $\mathcal{B}_G$  has a flag regular unimodular triangulation);*
- (ii) *The toric ring  $K[\mathcal{B}_G]$  of  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is a Koszul algebra;*
- (iii) *The toric ideal  $I_{\mathcal{B}_G}$  of  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is generated by quadratic binomials;*
- (iv) *Any cycle of  $G$  of length  $\geq 6$  has a chord (“chordal bipartite graph”).*

*Proof.* Implications (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) hold in general.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv): Suppose that  $G$  has a cycle of length  $\geq 6$  without chords. By the theorem in [35], the toric ideal of  $P_G$  is not generated by quadratic binomials. Since the edge polytope  $P_G$  is a face of  $\mathcal{B}_G$ , the toric ring  $K[P_G]$  is a combinatorial pure subring [32] of  $K[\mathcal{B}_G]$ . Hence  $I_{\mathcal{B}_G}$  is not generated by quadratic binomials.

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Suppose that any cycle of  $G$  of length  $\geq 6$  has a chord. By Lemma 2.5, it is enough to show that the initial ideal of  $I_{P_{\widehat{G}}}$  is squarefree and quadratic with respect to a reverse lexicographic order  $<_S$  such that  $z < \{x_{i+}\} < \{y_{kl++}\}$ . Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  be the incidence matrix of  $G$  whose rows are indexed by  $V_1$  and whose columns are indexed by

$V_2$ . Then the incidence matrix of  $\widehat{G}$  is

$$A' = \begin{pmatrix} & & & 1 \\ & A & & \vdots \\ & & & 1 \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

By the same argument as in the proof of the theorem in [35], we may assume that  $A'$  contains no submatrices  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  if we permute the rows and columns of  $A$  in  $A'$ . Each quadratic binomial in  $I_{P_{\widehat{G}}}$  corresponds to a submatrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  of  $A'$ . The proof of the theorem in [35] guarantees that the initial ideal is squarefree and quadratic if the initial monomial of each quadratic binomial corresponds to  $\begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ 1 & \end{pmatrix}$ . It is easy to see that there exists a such reverse lexicographic order which satisfies  $z < \{x_{i+}\} < \{y_{kl++}\}$ .  $\square$

### 3. $\gamma$ -POSITIVITY AND REAL-ROOTEDNESS OF THE $h^*$ -POLYNOMIAL OF $\mathcal{B}_G$

In this section, we study the  $h^*$ -polynomial of  $\mathcal{B}_G$  for a graph  $G$ . First, we recall what  $h^*$ -polynomials are. Let  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a lattice polytope of dimension  $d$ . Given a positive integer  $n$ , we define

$$L_{\mathcal{P}}(n) = |n\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d|.$$

The study on  $L_{\mathcal{P}}(n)$  originated in Ehrhart [10] who proved that  $L_{\mathcal{P}}(n)$  is a polynomial in  $n$  of degree  $d$  with the constant term 1. We say that  $L_{\mathcal{P}}(n)$  is the *Ehrhart polynomial* of  $\mathcal{P}$ . The generating function of the lattice point enumerator, i.e., the formal power series

$$\text{Ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}(x) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} L_{\mathcal{P}}(k)x^k$$

is called the *Ehrhart series* of  $\mathcal{P}$ . It is well known that it can be expressed as a rational function of the form

$$\text{Ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}(x) = \frac{h^*(\mathcal{P}, x)}{(1-x)^{d+1}}.$$

The polynomial  $h^*(\mathcal{P}, x)$  is a polynomial in  $x$  of degree at most  $d$  with nonnegative integer coefficients ([44]) and it is called the  $h^*$ -polynomial (or the  $\delta$ -polynomial) of  $\mathcal{P}$ . Moreover, one has  $\text{Vol}(\mathcal{P}) = h^*(\mathcal{P}, 1)$ . Refer the reader to [3] for the detailed information about Ehrhart polynomials and  $h^*$ -polynomials.

Thanks to Proposition 1.1 (a), we give a formula for  $h^*$ -polynomial of  $\mathcal{B}_G$  in terms of that of edge polytopes of some graphs. By the following formula, we can calculate the  $h^*$ -polynomial of  $\mathcal{B}_G$  if we can calculate each  $h^*(P_{\widetilde{H}}, x)$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be a graph on  $[d]$ . Then the  $h^*$ -polynomial of  $\mathcal{B}_G$  satisfies*

$$(2) \quad h^*(\mathcal{B}_G, x) = \sum_{j=0}^d 2^j (x-1)^{d-j} \sum_{H \in \mathcal{S}_j(G)} h^*(P_{\widetilde{H}}, x),$$

where  $\mathcal{S}_j(G)$  denote the set of all induced subgraph of  $G$  with  $j$  vertices.

*Proof.* By Proposition 1.1 (a),  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is divided into  $2^d$  lattice polytopes of the form  $\mathcal{B}_G \cap \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$ . Each  $\mathcal{B}_G \cap \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$  is unimodularly equivalent to  $P_{\tilde{G}}$ . In addition, the intersection of  $\mathcal{B}_G \cap \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$  and  $\mathcal{B}_G \cap \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon'}$  is of dimension  $d-1$  if and only if  $\varepsilon - \varepsilon' \in \{\pm 2\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \pm 2\mathbf{e}_d\}$ . If  $\varepsilon - \varepsilon' = 2\mathbf{e}_k$ , then  $(\mathcal{B}_G \cap \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon) \cap (\mathcal{B}_G \cap \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon'}) = \mathcal{B}_G \cap \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon \cap \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon'} \simeq \mathcal{B}_{G'} \cap \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon''}$ , where  $G'$  is the induced subgraph of  $G$  obtained by deleting the vertex  $k$ , and  $\varepsilon''$  is obtained by deleting the  $k$ -th component of  $\varepsilon$ . Hence the Ehrhart polynomial  $L_{\mathcal{B}_G}(n)$  satisfies the following:

$$L_{\mathcal{B}_G}(n) = \sum_{j=0}^d 2^j (-1)^{d-j} \sum_{H \in \mathcal{S}_j(G)} L_{P_{\tilde{H}}}(n).$$

Thus the Ehrhart series satisfies

$$\frac{h^*(\mathcal{B}_G, x)}{(1-x)^{d+1}} = \sum_{j=0}^d 2^j (-1)^{d-j} \sum_{H \in \mathcal{S}_j(G)} \frac{h^*(P_{\tilde{H}}, x)}{(1-x)^{j+1}},$$

as desired.  $\square$

Let  $f = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i x^i$  be a polynomial with real coefficients and  $a_d \neq 0$ . We now focus on the following properties.

(RR) We say that  $f$  is *real-rooted* if all its roots are real.

(LC) We say that  $f$  is *log-concave* if  $a_i^2 \geq a_{i-1}a_{i+1}$  for all  $i$ .

(UN) We say that  $f$  is *unimodal* if  $a_0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_k \geq \dots \geq a_d$  for some  $k$ .

If all its coefficients are nonnegative, then these properties satisfy the implications

$$(RR) \Rightarrow (LC) \Rightarrow (UN).$$

On the other hand, the polynomial  $f$  is said to be *palindromic* if  $f(x) = x^d f(x^{-1})$ . It is  $\gamma$ -*positive* if there are  $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor} \geq 0$  such that  $f(x) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \gamma_i x^i (1+x)^{d-2i}$ . The polynomial  $\sum_{i \geq 0} \gamma_i x^i$  is called  $\gamma$ -*polynomial of  $f$* . We can see that a  $\gamma$ -positive polynomial is real-rooted if and only if its  $\gamma$ -polynomial had only real roots ([40, Observation 4.2]).

By the following proposition, we are interested in connected bipartite graphs.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $G$  be a bipartite graph and  $G_1, \dots, G_s$  the connected components of  $G$ . Then the  $h^*$ -polynomial of  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is palindromic, unimodal and*

$$h^*(\mathcal{B}_G, x) = h^*(\mathcal{B}_{G_1}, x) \cdots h^*(\mathcal{B}_{G_s}, x).$$

*Proof.* It is known [14] that the  $h^*$ -polynomial of a lattice polytope  $P$  with the interior lattice point  $\mathbf{0}$  is palindromic if and only if  $P$  is reflexive. Moreover, if a reflexive polytope  $P$  has a unimodular triangulation, then the  $h^*$ -polynomial of  $P$  is unimodal (see [7]). It is easy to see that,  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is the free sum of  $\mathcal{B}_{G_1}, \dots, \mathcal{B}_{G_s}$ . Thus we have a desired conclusion by Theorem 0.1 and [6, Theorem 1].  $\square$

In the rest of the present paper, we discuss the  $\gamma$ -positivity and the real-rootedness of the  $h^*$ -polynomial of  $\mathcal{B}_G$  when  $G$  is a bipartite graph. The edge polytope  $P_G$  of a bipartite graph  $G$  is called a *root polytope* of  $G$ , and it is shown [25] that the  $h^*$ -polynomial of  $P_G$  coincides with the interior polynomial  $I_G(x)$  of a hypergraph induced by  $G$ . First, we discuss interior polynomials introduced by Kálmán [24] and developed in many papers.

A *hypergraph* is a pair  $\mathcal{H} = (V, E)$ , where  $E = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  is a finite multiset of non-empty subsets of  $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ . Elements of  $V$  are called vertices and the elements

of  $E$  are the hyperedges. Then we can associate  $\mathcal{H}$  to a bipartite graph  $\text{Bip}\mathcal{H}$  with a bipartition  $V \cup E$  such that  $\{v_i, e_j\}$  is an edge of  $\text{Bip}\mathcal{H}$  if  $v_i \in e_j$ . Assume that  $\text{Bip}\mathcal{H}$  is connected. A *hypertree* in  $\mathcal{H}$  is a function  $\mathbf{f} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  such that there exists a spanning tree  $\Gamma$  of  $\text{Bip}\mathcal{H}$  whose vertices have degree  $\mathbf{f}(e) + 1$  at each  $e \in E$ . Then we say that  $\Gamma$  induce  $\mathbf{f}$ . Let  $\text{HT}(\mathcal{H})$  denote the set of all hypertrees in  $\mathcal{H}$ . A hyperedge  $e_j \in E$  is said to be *internally active* with respect to the hypertree  $\mathbf{f}$  if it is not possible to decrease  $\mathbf{f}(e_j)$  by 1 and increase  $\mathbf{f}(e_{j'})$  ( $j' < j$ ) by 1 so that another hypertree results. We call a hyperedge *internally inactive* with respect to a hypertree if it is not internally active and denote the number of such hyperedges of  $\mathbf{f}$  by  $\bar{i}(\mathbf{f})$ . Then the *interior polynomial* of  $\mathcal{H}$  is the generating function  $I_{\mathcal{H}}(x) = \sum_{\mathbf{f} \in \text{HT}(\mathcal{H})} x^{\bar{i}(\mathbf{f})}$ . It is known [24, Proposition 6.1] that  $\deg I_{\mathcal{H}}(x) \leq \min\{|V|, |E|\} - 1$ . If  $G = \text{Bip}\mathcal{H}$ , then we set  $I_G(x) = I_{\mathcal{H}}(x)$ . In [25] Kálmán and Postnikov proved the following.

**Proposition 3.3** ([25, Theorems 1.1 and 3.10]). *Let  $G$  be a connected bipartite graph. Then we have*

$$I_G(x) = h^*(P_G, x).$$

Interior polynomials of disconnected bipartite graphs are defined by Kato [26] and the same assertion of Proposition 3.3 holds for all bipartite graphs. Note that if  $G$  is a bipartite graph, then the bipartite graph  $\widehat{G}$  arising from  $G$  is connected. Hence we can use this formula to study the equation (2) in Proposition 3.1.

A *k*-*matching* of  $G$  is a set of  $k$  pairwise non-adjacent edges of  $G$ . Let

$$M(G, k) = \left\{ \left\{ v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_k}, e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_k} \right\} : \begin{array}{l} \text{there exists a } k\text{-matching of } G \\ \text{whose vertex set is } \{v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_k}, e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_k}\} \end{array} \right\}.$$

For  $k = 0$ , we set  $M(G, 0) = \{\emptyset\}$ . Using the theory of generalized permutohedra [31, 41], we have the following important fact on interior polynomials:

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $G$  be a bipartite graph. Then we have*

$$(3) \quad I_{\widehat{G}}(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} |M(G, k)| x^k.$$

*Proof.* Let  $V \cup E$  denote a bipartition of  $G$ , where  $V = \{v_2, \dots, v_m\}$  and  $E = \{e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  with  $d = m + n - 2$ . Then  $\widehat{G}$  is a connected bipartite graph with a bipartition  $V' \cup E'$  with  $V' = \{v_1\} \cup V$  and  $E' = \{e_1\} \cup E$ . Recall that  $\{v_i, e_j\}$  is an edge of  $\widehat{G}$  if and only if either  $(i-1)(j-1) = 0$  or  $\{v_i, e_j\}$  is an edge of  $G$ . Let  $\text{HT}(\widehat{G})$  be the set of all hypertrees in the hypergraph associated with  $\widehat{G}$ . Given a hypertree  $\mathbf{f} \in \text{HT}(\widehat{G})$ , let  $\Gamma$  be a spanning tree that induces  $\mathbf{f}$ . From [24, Lemma 3.3], we may assume that  $\{v_1, e_j\}$  is an edge of  $\Gamma$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Note that the degree of each  $v_i$  ( $2 \leq i \leq m$ ) is 1.

By definition,  $e_1$  is always internally active. We show that,  $e_j$  ( $j \geq 2$ ) is internally active if and only if  $\mathbf{f}(e_j) = 0$ . By definition, if  $\mathbf{f}(e_j) = 0$ , then  $e_j$  is internally active. Suppose  $\mathbf{f}(e_j) > 0$ . Then there exists  $i \geq 2$  such that  $\{v_i, e_j\}$  is an edge of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\mathbf{f}' \in \text{HT}(\widehat{G})$  be a hypertree induced by a spanning tree obtained by replacing  $\{v_i, e_j\}$  with  $\{v_i, e_1\}$  in  $\Gamma$ . Then we have  $\mathbf{f}'(e_j) = \mathbf{f}(e_j) - 1$ ,  $\mathbf{f}'(e_1) = \mathbf{f}(e_1) + 1$  and  $\mathbf{f}'(e_k) = \mathbf{f}(e_k)$  for all  $1 < k \neq j$ . Hence  $e_j$  is not internally active. Thus  $\bar{i}(\mathbf{f})$  is the number of  $e_j$  ( $j \geq 2$ ) such that there exists an edge  $\{v_i, e_j\}$  of  $\Gamma$  for some  $i \geq 2$ .

In order to prove the equation (3), it is enough to show that, for fixed hyperedges  $e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_k}$  with  $2 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_k \leq n$ , the cardinality of

$$\mathcal{S}_{j_1, \dots, j_k} = \{\mathbf{f} \in \text{HT}(\widehat{G}) : e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_k} \text{ are not internally active and } \bar{t}(\mathbf{f}) = k\}$$

is equal to the cardinality of

$$\mathcal{M}_{j_1, \dots, j_k} = \left\{ \{v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_k}\} : \begin{array}{l} \text{there exists a } k\text{-matching of } G \\ \text{whose vertex set is } \{v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_k}, e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_k}\} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Let  $G_{j_1, \dots, j_k}$  be the induced subgraph of  $G$  on the vertex set  $V \cup \{e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_k}\}$ . If  $e_{j_\ell}$  is an isolated vertex in  $G_{j_1, \dots, j_k}$ , then both  $\mathcal{S}_{j_1, \dots, j_k}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{j_1, \dots, j_k}$  are empty sets. If  $v_i$  is an isolated vertex in  $G_{j_1, \dots, j_k}$ , then there is no relations between  $v_i$  and two sets, and hence we can ignore  $v_i$ . Thus we may assume that  $G_{j_1, \dots, j_k}$  has no isolated vertices.

It is known that  $\mathcal{M}_{j_1, \dots, j_k}$  is the set of bases of a transversal matroid associated with  $G_{j_1, \dots, j_k}$ . See, e.g., [39, Section 1.6]. For  $i = 2, \dots, m$ , let

$$I_i = \{0\} \cup \{\ell : \{v_i, e_{j_\ell}\} \text{ is an edge of } G_{j_1, \dots, j_k}\} \subset \{0, 1, \dots, k\}.$$

Oh [31] defines a lattice polytope  $P_{\mathcal{M}_{j_1, \dots, j_k}}$  to be the generalized permutohedron [41] of the induced subgraph of  $\widehat{G}$  on the vertex set  $V \cup \{e_1, e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_k}\}$ , i.e.,  $P_{\mathcal{M}_{j_1, \dots, j_k}}$  is the Minkowski sum  $\Delta_{I_2} + \dots + \Delta_{I_m}$ , where  $\Delta_I = \text{conv}(\{\mathbf{e}_j : j \in I\}) \subset \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$  and  $\mathbf{e}_0, \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_k$  are unit coordinate vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ . By [31, Lemma 22 and Proposition 26], the cardinality of  $\mathcal{M}_{j_1, \dots, j_k}$  is equal to the number of the lattice point  $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k) \in P_{\mathcal{M}_{j_1, \dots, j_k}} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{k+1}$  with  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \geq 1$ . In addition, by [41, Proposition 14.12], any lattice point  $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k) \in P_{\mathcal{M}_{j_1, \dots, j_k}} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{k+1}$  is of the form  $\mathbf{e}_{i_2} + \dots + \mathbf{e}_{i_m}$ , where  $i_\ell \in I_\ell$  for  $2 \leq \ell \leq m$ . By a natural correspondence  $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k) \in P_{\mathcal{M}_{j_1, \dots, j_k}} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{k+1}$  with  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \geq 1$  and  $(\mathbf{f}(e_1), \mathbf{f}(e_{j_1}), \dots, \mathbf{f}(e_{j_k}))$  with  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{S}_{j_1, \dots, j_k}$ , it follows that the number of the lattice point  $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k) \in P_{\mathcal{M}_{j_1, \dots, j_k}} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{k+1}$  with  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \geq 1$  is equal to the cardinality of  $\mathcal{S}_{j_1, \dots, j_k}$ , as desired.  $\square$

Now, we show that the  $h^*$ -polynomial of  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is  $\gamma$ -positive if  $G$  is a bipartite graph. In fact, we prove Theorem 0.3.

*Proof of Theorem 0.3.* By Propositions 3.1 and 3.4, the  $h^*$ -polynomial of  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is

$$\begin{aligned} h^*(\mathcal{B}_G, x) &= \sum_{j=0}^d 2^j (x-1)^{d-j} \sum_{H \in S_j(G)} I_{\widehat{H}}(x) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^d 2^j (x-1)^{d-j} \sum_{H \in S_j(G)} \sum_{k \geq 0} |M(H, k)| x^k. \end{aligned}$$

Note that, for each  $\{v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_k}, e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_k}\} \in M(G, k)$  there exist  $\binom{d-2k}{j-2k}$  induced subgraphs  $H \in S_j(G)$  such that  $\{v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_k}, e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_k}\} \in M(H, k)$  for  $j = 2k, 2k+1, \dots, d$ .

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
h^*(\mathcal{B}_G, x) &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{j=2k}^d 2^j (x-1)^{d-j} |M(G, k)| \binom{d-2k}{j-2k} x^k \\
&= \sum_{k \geq 0} |M(G, k)| 2^{2k} x^k (2 + (x-1))^{d-2k} \\
&= (x+1)^d \sum_{k \geq 0} |M(G, k)| \left( \frac{4x}{(x+1)^2} \right)^k \\
&= (x+1)^d I_{\widehat{G}} \left( \frac{4x}{(x+1)^2} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

as desired.  $\square$

By Proposition 3.4, it follows that, if  $G$  is a forest, then  $I_{\widehat{G}}(x)$  coincides with the *matching generating polynomial* of  $G$ .

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $G$  be a forest. Then we have*

$$I_{\widehat{G}}(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} m_k(G) x^k,$$

where  $m_k(G)$  is the number of  $k$ -matchings in  $G$ . In particular,  $I_{\widehat{G}}(x)$  is real-rooted.

*Proof.* Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be  $k$ -matchings of  $G$ . Suppose that  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  have the same vertex set  $\{v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_k}, e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_k}\}$ . If  $M = (M_1 \cup M_2) \setminus (M_1 \cap M_2)$  is not empty, then  $M$  corresponds to a subgraph of  $G$  such that the degree of each vertex is 2. Hence  $M$  has at least one cycle. This contradicts that  $G$  is a forest. Hence we have  $M_1 = M_2$ . Thus  $m_k(G)$  is the cardinality of  $M(G, k)$ . In general, it is known that  $\sum_{k \geq 0} m_k(G) x^k$  is real-rooted for any graph  $G$ . See, e.g., [12, 29].  $\square$

Next we will show that, if a bipartite graph  $G$  is a ‘‘permutation graph’’ associated with a poset  $P$ , then the interior polynomial  $I_{\widehat{G}}(x)$  coincides with the  $P$ -Eulerian polynomial  $W(P)(x)$ . A *permutation graph* is a graph on  $[d]$  with edge set

$$\{\{i, j\} : L_i \text{ and } L_j \text{ intersect each other}\},$$

where there are  $d$  points  $1, 2, \dots, d$  on two parallel lines  $\mathcal{L}_1$  and  $\mathcal{L}_2$  in the plane, and the straight lines  $L_i$  connect  $i$  on  $\mathcal{L}_1$  and  $i$  on  $\mathcal{L}_2$ . If  $G$  is a bipartite graph with a bipartition  $V_1 \cup V_2$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $G$  is a permutation graph;
- (ii) The complement of  $G$  is a comparability graph of a poset;
- (iii) There exist orderings  $<_1$  on  $V_1$  and  $<_2$  on  $V_2$  such that
  - $i, i' \in V_1, i <_1 i', j, j' \in V_2, j <_2 j', \{i, j\}, \{i', j'\} \in E(G) \implies \{i, j'\}, \{i', j\} \in E(G)$ ;
- (iv) For any three vertices, there exists a pair of them such that there exists no path containing the two vertices that avoids the neighborhood of the remaining vertex.

See [5, p. 93] for details. On the other hand, let  $P$  be a naturally labeled poset  $P$  on  $[d]$ . Then the *order polynomial*  $\Omega(P, m)$  of  $P$  is defined for  $0 < m \in \mathbb{Z}$  to be the number of order-preserving maps  $\sigma : P \rightarrow [m]$ . It is known that

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} \Omega(P, m+1)x^m = \frac{\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{L}(P)} x^{d(\pi)}}{(1-x)^{d+1}},$$

where  $\mathcal{L}(P)$  is the set of linear extensions of  $P$  and  $d(\pi)$  is the number of descent of  $\pi$ . The *P-Eulerian polynomial*  $W(P)(x)$  is defined by

$$W(P)(x) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{L}(P)} x^{d(\pi)}.$$

See, e.g., [46] for details. We now see a relation between the interior polynomial of a bipartite permutation graph and the  $P$ -Eulerian polynomial of a finite poset.

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $G$  be a bipartite permutation graph and let  $P$  be a poset whose comparability graph is the complement of  $G$ . Then we have*

$$I_{\widehat{G}}(x) = W(P)(x).$$

*Proof.* In this case,  $\mathcal{B}_G \cap \mathcal{O}_{(1, \dots, 1)}$  is the chain polytope  $\mathcal{C}_P$  of  $P$ . It is known that the  $h^*$ -polynomial of  $\mathcal{C}_P$  is the  $P$ -Eulerian polynomial  $W(P)(x)$ . See [45, 46] for details. Thus we have  $I_{\widehat{G}}(x) = h^*(P_{\widehat{G}}, x) = W(P)(x)$ , as desired.  $\square$

It was conjectured by Neggers–Stanley that  $W(P)(x)$  is real-rooted. However this is false in general. The first counterexample was constructed in [4] (not naturally labeled posets). Counterexamples of naturally labeled posets were given in [47]. Counterexamples in these two papers are *narrow* posets, i.e., elements of posets are partitioned into two chains. It is easy to see that  $P$  is narrow poset if and only if the comparability graph of  $P$  is the complement of a bipartite graph. Since Stembridge found many counterexamples which are naturally labeled narrow posets, there are many bipartite permutation graphs  $G$  such that  $h^*(\mathcal{B}_G, x)$  are not real-rooted. We give one of them as follows.

**Example 3.7.** Let  $P$  be a naturally labeled poset in Figure 5 given in [47]. Then

$$W(P)(x) = 3x^8 + 86x^7 + 658x^6 + 1946x^5 + 2534x^4 + 1420x^3 + 336x^2 + 32x + 1$$

has a conjugate pair of zeros near  $-1.85884 \pm 0.149768i$  as explained in [47]. Let  $G$  be the complement of the comparability graph of  $P$ . Then  $G$  is a bipartite graph with 17 vertices and 32 edges. The  $h^*$ -polynomial of  $\mathcal{B}_G$  is

$$\begin{aligned} h^*(\mathcal{B}_G, x) &= (x+1)^{17} W(P) \left( \frac{4x}{(x+1)^2} \right) \\ &= x^{17} + 145x^{16} + 7432x^{15} + 174888x^{14} + 2128332x^{13} + 14547884x^{12} + 59233240x^{11} \\ &\quad + 148792184x^{10} + 234916470x^9 + 234916470x^8 + 148792184x^7 + 59233240x^6 \\ &\quad + 14547884x^5 + 2128332x^4 + 174888x^3 + 7432x^2 + 145x + 1 \end{aligned}$$

and has conjugate pairs of zeros near  $-3.88091 \pm 0.18448i$  and  $-0.257091 \pm 0.0122209i$ . (We used Mathematica to compute approximate values.) On the other hand,  $h^*(\mathcal{B}_G, x)$  is log-concave.






FIGURE 5. A counterexample to Neggers–Stanley conjecture [47]

By the following proposition, it turns out that this example is a counterexample to “Real Root Conjecture” that has been already disproved by Gal [11].

**Proposition 3.8.** *Let  $G$  be a bipartite permutation graph. Then  $h^*(\mathcal{B}_G, x)$  coincides with the  $h$ -polynomial of a flag complex that is a triangulation of a sphere.*

*Proof.* It is known [5, p.94] that any bipartite permutation graph satisfies the condition (iv) in Theorem 2.6. Hence there exists a squarefree quadratic initial ideal with respect to a reverse lexicographic order such that the smallest variable corresponds to the origin. This means that there exists a flag regular unimodular triangulation  $\Delta$  such that the origin is a vertex of any maximal simplex in  $\Delta$ . Then  $h^*(\mathcal{B}_G, x)$  coincides with the  $h$ -polynomial of a flag triangulation of the boundary of a convex polytope  $\mathcal{B}_G$  arising from  $\Delta$ .  $\square$

In [23], for a  $(p, q)$ -complete bipartite graph  $K_{p,q}$ , a simple description for the  $h^*$ -polynomial of  $\mathcal{A}_{K_{p,q}}$  was given. In fact, one has

$$(4) \quad h^*(\mathcal{A}_{K_{p+1,q+1}}, x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\min\{p,q\}} \binom{2i}{i} \binom{p}{i} \binom{q}{i} x^i (x+1)^{p+q+1-2i}.$$

Moreover, it was shown that  $h^*(\mathcal{A}_{K_{p+1,q+1}}, x)$  is  $\gamma$ -positive and real-rooted. Similarly, we can obtain a simple description for the  $h^*$ -polynomial of  $\mathcal{B}_{K_{p,q}}$  and show that  $h^*(\mathcal{B}_{K_{p,q}}, x)$  is  $\gamma$ -positive and real-rooted.

**Example 3.9.** Let  $K_{p,q}$  be a  $(p, q)$ -complete bipartite graph. Then the comparability graph of a poset  $P$  consisting of two disjoint chains  $1 < 2 < \dots < p$  and  $p+1 < p+2 < \dots < p+q$  is the complement of  $K_{p,q}$ . It is easy to see that

$$W(P)(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\min\{p,q\}} \binom{p}{i} \binom{q}{i} x^i.$$

Hence we have

$$(5) \quad h^*(\mathcal{B}_{K_{p,q}}, x) = (x+1)^{p+q} W(P) \left( \frac{4x}{(x+1)^2} \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{\min\{p,q\}} 4^i \binom{p}{i} \binom{q}{i} x^i (x+1)^{p+q-2i}.$$

Simion [42] proved that  $W(P)(x)$  is real-rooted if  $P$  is a naturally labeled and disjoint union of chains. Thus the  $h^*$ -polynomial  $h^*(\mathcal{B}_{K_{p,q}}, x)$  is real-rooted.

**Remark 3.10.** In a very recent work [38], it is shown that the  $h^*$ -polynomials of symmetric edge polytopes of certain graphs can be computed by that of  $\mathcal{B}_G$ . For example, the equation (4) can be obtained from the equation (5) ([38, Example. 4.10]).

In [23], for the proof of the real-rootedness of  $h^*(\mathcal{A}_{K_{p,q}}, x)$ , interlacing polynomials techniques were used. Let  $f$  and  $g$  be real-rooted polynomials with roots  $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots$ , respectively,  $b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \dots$ . Then  $g$  is said to *interlace*  $f$  if

$$a_1 \geq b_1 \geq a_2 \geq b_2 \geq \dots.$$

In this case, we write  $f \preceq g$ . In [23], it is shown that

$$h^*(\mathcal{A}_{K_{p,q}}, x) \preceq h^*(\mathcal{A}_{K_{p,q+1}}, x).$$

By a similar way of [23], we can prove the following.

**Proposition 3.11.** *For all  $p, q \geq 1$ , one has*

$$h^*(\mathcal{B}_{K_{p,q}}, x) \preceq h^*(\mathcal{B}_{K_{p,q+1}}, x).$$

*Proof.* Set  $\gamma(\mathcal{B}_{K_{p,q}}, x) = \sum_{i \geq 0} 4^i \binom{p}{i} \binom{q}{i} x^i$ . Since  $\{\binom{p}{i}\}_{i \geq 0}$  is a multiplier sequence (see [23]) and since  $(4x+1)^q \preceq (4x+1)^{q+1}$ , one has  $\gamma(\mathcal{B}_{K_{p,q}}, x) \preceq \gamma(\mathcal{B}_{K_{p,q+1}}, x)$ . By [23, Lemma 4.10], we obtain  $h^*(\mathcal{B}_{K_{p,q}}, x) \preceq h^*(\mathcal{B}_{K_{p,q+1}}, x)$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] F. Ardila, M. Back, S. Hoşten, J. Pfeifle and K. Seashore, Root Polytopes and Growth Series of Root Lattices, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **25** (2011), 360–378.
- [2] V. Batyrev, Dual polyhedra and mirror symmetry for Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces in toric varieties, *J. Algebraic Geom.*, **3** (1994), 493–535.
- [3] M. Beck and S. Robins, “Computing the continuous discretely”, Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, second edition, 2015.
- [4] P. Brändén, Counterexamples to the Neggers-Stanley conjecture, *Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc.* (2004), (electronic).
- [5] A. Brandstädt, V.B. Le, and J.P. Spinrad, “Graph Classes: A Survey,” SIAM Monographs on Discrete Math. Appl., Vol. 3, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1999.
- [6] B. Brauer, An Ehrhart Series Formula For Reflexive Polytopes, *Electron. J. Combin.* **13** (2006), #N15.
- [7] W. Bruns and T. Römer,  $h$ -Vectors of Gorenstein polytopes, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **114** (2007), 65–76.
- [8] D. A. Cox, C. Haase, T. Hibi and A. Higashitani, Integer decomposition property of dilated polytopes, *Electron. J. Combin.* **21** (4) (2014), #P4.28.
- [9] D. Cox, J. Little and H. Schenck, “Toric varieties”, Amer. Math. Soc., 2011.
- [10] E. Ehrhart, “Polynomês Arithmétiques et Méthode des Polyédres en Combinatoire”, Birkhäuser, Boston/Basel/Stuttgart, 1977.
- [11] S. R. Gal, Real Root Conjecture fails for five and higher dimensional spheres, *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, **34** (2005), 269–284.

- [12] O. J. Heilmann and E. H. Lieb, Theory of monomer-dimer systems, *Commun. Math. Physics* **25** (1972) 190–232.
- [13] J. Herzog, T. Hibi and H. Ohsugi, “Binomial ideals”, Graduate Texts in Math. **279**, Springer, Cham, 2018.
- [14] T. Hibi, Dual polytopes of rational convex polytopes, *Combinatorica* **12** (1992), 237–240.
- [15] T. Hibi and K. Matsuda, Quadratic Gröbner bases of twinned order polytopes, *European J. Combin.* **54** (2016), 187–192.
- [16] T. Hibi, K. Matsuda, H. Ohsugi, and K. Shibata, Centrally symmetric configurations of order polytopes, *J. Algebra* **443** (2015), 469–478.
- [17] T. Hibi, K. Matsuda and A. Tsuchiya, Quadratic Gröbner bases arising from partially ordered sets, *Math. Scand.* **121** (2017), 19–25.
- [18] T. Hibi, K. Matsuda and A. Tsuchiya, Edge rings with 3-linear resolutions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **147** (2019), 3225–3232.
- [19] T. Hibi and A. Tsuchiya, Facets and volume of Gorenstein Fano polytopes, *Math. Nachr.* **290** (2017), 2619–2628.
- [20] T. Hibi and A. Tsuchiya, Reflexive polytopes arising from perfect graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **157** (2018), 233–246.
- [21] T. Hibi and A. Tsuchiya, Reflexive polytopes arising from partially ordered sets and perfect graphs, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **49** (2019), 69–81.
- [22] A. Higashitani, M. Kummer and M. Michałek, Interlacing Ehrhart polynomials of reflexive polytopes, *Selecta Math.* **23** (2017), 2977–2998.
- [23] A. Higashitani, K. Jochemko and M. Michałek, Arithmetic aspects of symmetric edge polytopes, *Mathematika*, **65** (2019), 763–784.
- [24] T. Kálmán, A version of Tutte’s polynomial for hypergraphs, *Adv. Math.* **244** (2013), 823–873.
- [25] T. Kálmán and A. Postnikov, Root polytopes, Tutte polynomials, and a duality theorem for bipartite graphs, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **114** (2017), 561–588.
- [26] K. Kato, Interior polynomial for signed bipartite graphs and the HOMFLY polynomial, arXiv: 1705.05063.
- [27] M. Kreuzer and H. Skarke, Complete classification of reflexive polyhedra in four dimensions, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **4** (2000), 1209–1230.
- [28] J. C. Lagarias and G. M. Ziegler, Bounds for lattice polytopes containing a fixed number of interior points in a sublattice, *Canad. J. Math.* **43** (1991), 1022–1035.
- [29] J. A. Makowsky, E. V. Ravve and Ni. K. Blanchard, On the location of roots of graph polynomials, *European J. Combin.* **41** (2014) 1–19.
- [30] T. Matsui, A. Higashitani, Y. Nagazawa, H. Ohsugi and T. Hibi, Roots of Ehrhart polynomials arising from graphs, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **34** (2011), 721–749.
- [31] S. Oh, Generalized permutohedra,  $h$ -vectors of cotransversal matroids and pure O-sequences, *Electron. J. Combin.* **20** (3) (2013) # P.14.
- [32] H. Ohsugi J. Herzog and T. Hibi, Combinatorial pure subrings, *Osaka J. Math.* **37** (2000), 745–757.
- [33] H. Ohsugi and T. Hibi, Normal polytopes arising from finite graphs, *J. Algebra* **207** (1998), 409–426.
- [34] H. Ohsugi and T. Hibi, A normal  $(0, 1)$ -polytope none of whose regular triangulations is unimodular, *Disc. Comput. Geom.* **21** (1999), 201–204.
- [35] H. Ohsugi and T. Hibi, Koszul bipartite graphs, *Adv. Applied Math.* **22** (1999), 25–28.
- [36] H. Ohsugi and T. Hibi, Centrally symmetric configurations of integer matrices, *Nagoya Math. J.* **216** (2014), 153–170.
- [37] H. Ohsugi and T. Hibi, Reverse lexicographic squarefree initial ideals and Gorenstein Fano polytopes, *J. Commut. Alg.* **10** (2018), 171–186.
- [38] H. Ohsugi and A. Tsuchiya, The  $h^*$ -polynomials of locally anti-blocking lattice polytopes and their  $\gamma$ -positivity, arXiv:1906.04719.
- [39] J. G. Oxley, “Matroid theory,” 2nd ed, Oxford University Press, 2011.
- [40] T. K. Petersen, “Eulerian Numbers”, Birkhäuser, 2015.
- [41] A. Postnikov, Permutohedra, associahedra, and beyond, *Int. Math. Res. Notices* **6** (2009), 1026–1106.

- [42] R. Simion, A multi-indexed Sturm sequence of polynomials and unimodality of certain combinatorial sequences, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **36** (1) (1984), 15-22.
- [43] A. Simis, W. V. Vasconcelos and R. H. Villarreal, The integral closure of subrings associated to graphs, *J. Algebra* **199** (1998), 281–289.
- [44] R. P. Stanley, Decompositions of rational convex polytopes, *Annals of Discrete Math.* **6** (1980), 333–342.
- [45] R. P. Stanley, Two poset polytopes, *Disc. Comput. Geom.* **1** (1986), 9–23.
- [46] R. P. Stanley, “Enumerative Combinatorics, volume I.” Wadsworth & Brooks / Cole Advanced Books, Monterey, Calif., 1986.
- [47] J. R. Stembridge, Counterexamples to the poset conjectures of Neggers, Stanley, and Stembridge, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **359** (2007), 1115–1128.
- [48] B. Sturmfels, “Gröbner bases and convex polytopes,” Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.

HIDEFUMI OHSUGI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, KWANSEI GAKUIN UNIVERSITY, SANDA, HYOGO 669-1337, JAPAN  
*E-mail address:* ohsugi@kwansei.ac.jp

AKIYOSHI TSUCHIYA, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, KOMABA, MEGURO-KU, TOKYO 153-8914, JAPAN  
*E-mail address:* akiyoshi@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp