

Monochromatic k -edge-connection colorings of graphs¹

Ping Li¹, Xueliang Li^{1,2}

¹Center for Combinatorics and LPMC, Nankai University
Tianjin 300071, China

²School of Mathematics and Statistics, Qinghai Normal University
Xining, Qinghai 810008, China
qdli_ping@163.com, lxl@nankai.edu.cn

Abstract

A path in an edge-colored graph G is called monochromatic if any two edges on the path have the same color. For $k \geq 2$, an edge-colored graph G is said to be monochromatic k -edge-connected if every two distinct vertices of G are connected by at least k edge-disjoint monochromatic paths, and G is said to be uniformly monochromatic k -edge-connected if every two distinct vertices are connected by at least k edge-disjoint monochromatic paths such that all edges of these k paths colored with a same color. We use $mc_k(G)$ and $umc_k(G)$ to denote the maximum number of colors that ensures G to be monochromatic k -edge-connected and, respectively, G to be uniformly monochromatic k -edge-connected. In this paper, we first conjecture that for any k -edge-connected graph G , $mc_k(G) = e(G) - e(H) + \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$, where H is a minimum k -edge-connected spanning subgraph of G . We verify the conjecture for $k = 2$. We also prove the conjecture for $G = K_{k+1}$ when $k \geq 4$ is even, and for $G = K_{k,n}$ when $k \geq 4$ is even, or when $k = 3$ and $n \geq k$. When G is a minimal k -edge-connected graph, we give an upper bound of $mc_k(G)$, i.e., $mc_k(G) \leq k - 1$, and $mc_k(G) \leq \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$ when $G = K_{k,n}$. For the uniformly monochromatic k -edge-connectivity, we prove that for all k , $umc_k(G) = e(G) - e(H) + 1$, where H is a minimum k -edge-connected spanning subgraph of G .

Keywords: edge-coloring, monochromatic path, edge-connectivity, monochromatic k -edge connection number.

AMS subject classification (2010): 05C15, 05C40.

¹Supported by NSFC No.11871034, 11531011 and NSFQH No.2017-ZJ-790.

1 Introduction

All graphs in this paper are simple and undirected. For a graph G , we use $V(G)$, $E(G)$ to denote the vertex set and edge set of G , respectively, and $e(G)$ the number of edges of G . For all other terminology and notation not defined here we follow Bondy and Murty [1].

For a natural number r , we use $[r]$ to denote the set $\{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ of integers. Let $\Gamma : E(G) \rightarrow [r]$ be an edge-coloring of G that allows a same color to be assigned to adjacent edges. For two vertices u and v of G , a *monochromatic uv -path* is a uv -path of G whose edges are colored with a same color, and G is *monochromatic connected* if any two distinct vertices of G are connected by a monochromatic path. An edge-coloring Γ of G is a *monochromatic connection coloring (MC-coloring)* if it makes G monochromatic connected. The *monochromatic connection number* of a connected graph G , denoted by $mc(G)$, is the maximum number of colors that are needed in order to make G monochromatic connected. An *extremal MC-coloring* of G is an MC-coloring that uses $mc(G)$ colors.

The notion monochromatic connection coloring was introduced by Caro and Yuster in [4]. Many results have been obtained; see [3, 6, 10, 14]. For more knowledge on the monochromatic connections of graphs we refer to a survey paper [12]. Gonzalez-Moreno, Guevara, and Montellano-Ballesteros in [5] generalized the above concept to digraphs. Now we introduce the concept of *monochromatic k -edge-connectivity* of graphs. An edge-colored graph G is *monochromatic k -edge-connected* if every two distinct vertices are connected by at least k edge-disjoint monochromatic paths (allow some of the paths to have different colors). An edge-coloring Γ of G is a *monochromatic k -edge-connection coloring (MC_k -coloring)* if it makes G monochromatic k -edge-connected. The *monochromatic k -edge-connection number*, denoted by $mc_k(G)$, of a connected graph G is the maximum number of colors that are needed in order to make G monochromatic k -edge-connected. Since we can color all the edges of a k -edge-connected graph by distinct colors, $mc_k(G)$ is well-defined. An *extremal MC_k -coloring* of G is an MC_k -coloring that uses $mc_k(G)$ colors.

In an edge-colored graph G , we say that a subgraph H of G is induced by color i if H is induced by all the edges with a same color i of G . If a color i only color one edge of $E(G)$, then we call the color i is a *trivial color*, and the edge is a *trivial edge*; otherwise, we call the colors (edges) *non-trivial*. We call an extremal MC_k -coloring a *good MC_k -coloring* of G if the coloring has the maximum number of trivial edges.

Suppose that X is a proper vertex subset of G . We use $E(X)$ to denote the set of edges with both ends in X . For a graph G and $X \subset V(G)$, to shrink X is to delete all edges in

$E(X)$ and then merge the vertices of X into a single vertex. A partition of a vertex set V is to divide V into some mutual disjoint nonempty sets. Suppose $\mathcal{P} = \{V_1, \dots, V_s\}$ is a partition of $V(G)$. Then G/\mathcal{P} is a graph obtained from G by shrinking every V_i into a single vertex.

An edge e of a k -edge-connected graph G is *deletable* if $G \setminus e$ is also a k -edge-connected graph. A k -edge-connected graph G is *minimally k -edge-connected* if none of its edges is deletable. A *minimal k -edge-connected spanning subgraph* of G is a k -edge-connected spanning graph of G that does not have any deletable edges. A *minimum k -edge-connected spanning subgraph* of G is a minimal k -edge-connected spanning subgraph of G that has minimum number of edges. The next result was obtained by Mader.

Theorem 1.1 (Mader [13]). *Let G be a minimally k -edge-connected graph of order n . Then*

1. $e(G) \leq k(n - 1)$.
2. every edge e of G is contained in a k -edge cut of G .
3. G has a vertex of degree k .

The following theorem was proved by Nash-Williams and Tutte independently.

Theorem 1.2 ([15] [16]). *A graph G has at least k edge-disjoint spanning trees if and only if $e(G/\mathcal{P}) \geq k(|G/\mathcal{P}| - 1)$ for any vertex partition \mathcal{P} of $V(G)$.*

We denote $\psi(G) = \min_{|\mathcal{P}| \geq 2} \frac{e(G/\mathcal{P})}{|G/\mathcal{P}| - 1}$, and $\Psi(G) = \lfloor \psi(G) \rfloor$. Then the Nash-Williams-Tutte theorem can be restated as follows.

Theorem 1.3. *A graph G has exactly k edge-disjoint spanning trees if and only if $\Psi(G) = k$.*

If Γ is an extremal MC_k -coloring of G , then each color-induced subgraph is connected; otherwise we can recolor the edges of one of its components by a fresh color, and then the new coloring is also an MC_k -coloring of G , but then the number of colors is increased by one, which contradicts that Γ is extremal.

For the monochromatic k -edge-connection number of graphs, we conjecture that the following statement is true.

Conjecture 1.4. *For a k -edge-connected graph G with $k \geq 2$, $mc_k(G) = e(G) - e(H) + \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$, where H is a minimum k -edge-connected spanning subgraph of G .*

In Section 2, we will prove that the conjecture is true for $k = 2$, and that it is also true for some special graph classes. We also give a lower bound of $mc_k(G)$ for $2 \leq k \leq \Psi(G)$, and an upper bound of $mc_k(G)$ for minimally k -edge-connected graphs with $k \geq 2$.

The following lemma seems easy, but it is useful for some proofs in Section 2.

Lemma 1.5. *Suppose that G is a 2-edge-connected graph and H is a 2-edge-connected subgraph of G . Let S be subset of $E(G)$ whose ends are contained in $V(H)$ such that $S \cap E(H) = \emptyset$. Then $G \setminus S$ is also a 2-edge-connected graph.*

Proof. We need to show that for any u, v in $G \setminus S$ there are at least two edge-disjoint paths connecting them. From the condition, there are two edge-disjoint uv -path P_1, P_2 in G . Suppose a_1 is the first vertex of $V(P_1)$ from u to v contained in $V(H)$, and a_2 is the first vertex of $V(P_2)$ from u to v contained in $V(H)$ (if $u \in V(H)$, then $u = a_1 = a_2$); suppose b_1 is the last vertex from u to v contained in $V(H)$, and b_2 is the last vertex of $V(P_2)$ from u to v contained in $V(H)$ (if $v \in V(H)$, then $v = b_1 = b_2$). Let $L_i = uP_i a_i$ and $L_{i+2} = b_i P_i v$, $i = 1, 2$. Because each of L_i does not contain any edge of S and H is a 2-edge-connected graph, we have that $H \cup \bigcup_{i \in [4]} L_i$ is also a 2-edge-connected graph of $G \setminus S$. Therefore, there are two edge-disjoint uv -paths in $G \setminus S$. ■

In Section 3, we introduce other version of monochromatic k -edge-connection of graphs, i.e., uniformly monochromatic k -edge-connection of graphs, and get some results. For details we will state them there.

2 Results on the monochromatic k -edge-connection number

Theorem 2.1. *Conjecture 1.4 is true when G and k satisfy one of the following conditions:*

1. $k = 2$, i.e., G is a 2-edge-connected graph.
2. $G = K_{k+1}$ where $k \geq 4$ is even.
3. $G = K_{k,n}$ where $k \geq 4$ is even, and $k = 3$ and $n \geq k$.

We restate the first result of Theorem 2.1 as follows.

Theorem 2.2. *Let G be a 2-edge-connected graph. Then $mc_2(G) = e(G) - e(H) + 1$, where H is a minimum 2-edge-connected spanning subgraph of G .*

The following is the proof of Theorem 2.2. For convenience, we abbreviate the term “monochromatic path” as “path” in the proof.

Let Γ be a good MC_2 -coloring of G . Then we denote the set of non-trivial colors of Γ by $[r]$, and denote G_i as a subgraph induced by the color i ; subject to above, let $p(\Gamma) = \max_{i \in [r]} p(G_i)$ be maximum, where $p(G_i)$ is the number of non-cut edges of G_i . It is obvious that each of these edges is contained in some cycles of G_i .

Claim 2.3. *Each G_i is either a 2-edge-connected graph or a tree.*

Proof. Suppose that G_i is neither a 2-edge-connected graph nor a tree, i.e., G_i contains both non-trivial blocks and cut edges. Therefore we can choose a cut edge $e = uv \in E(G_i)$ such that v belongs to a maximal 2-edge-connected subgraph B of G_i (actually, B is the union of some non-trivial blocks). Because B is a 2-edge-connected subgraph of G_i , each of its vertices belongs to a cycle. Let v be contained in a cycle C of B and $e' = vw$ be an edge of C . Because e is a cut edge of G_i , there is just one uw -path in G_i (the uw -path is P). Therefore, there exists another uw -path P' , which is colored differently from i .

If P' is a path colored by j , then we can obtain a new coloring Γ' of G from Γ by recoloring all edges of $G_i - e'$ with j . We first prove that Γ' is an MC_2 -coloring of G , i.e., we need to prove that for any two vertices a, b of $V(G)$, there are at least two ab -paths under Γ' . If at least one vertex of a, b does not belong to $V(G_i)$, then the two ab -paths are colored differently from i . Because we just change the color i , the two ab -paths are not affected; if both of a, b belong to $V(G_i)$ and at least one of them does not belong to $V(B)$, then we can choose a right ab -path such that it does not contain e' (under Γ), and so there are at least two ab -paths under Γ' ; if both $a, b \in V(B)$, then the two ab -paths under Γ (call them L_1, L_2) belong to B . If e' is not an edge of any L_1, L_2 , then the two ab -paths are not affected. Otherwise, let $e' \in E(L_1)$, and then $L = L_1 - e' + e + P'$ is a trial connecting a, b . Because $E(L) \cap E(L_2) = \emptyset$, there are two ab -paths under Γ' .

According to the above, Γ' is an MC_2 -coloring of G . If $j \in [r]$ is a non-trivial color, then the number of colors has not changed, but the number of trivial edges is increased by one, which contradicts that Γ is good; otherwise, if j is a trivial color, i.e., uw is a trivial edge, then the new coloring Γ' is a good MC_2 -coloring (the number of colors and non-trivial edges have not changed), but compared to $p(\Gamma)$, $p(\Gamma')$ is increased by one, which contradicts that $p(\Gamma)$ is maximum. Therefore, we have proved that G_i is either a 2-edge-connected graph or a tree. ■

By Claim 2.3, each G_i is either a 2-edge-connected graph or a tree. Suppose there are h trees and $s = k - h$ 2-edge-connected graphs. W.l.o.g., suppose that G_1, \dots, G_s are s

2-edge-connected graphs and $G_{s+1} = T_1, \dots, G_k = T_h$ are h trees. G_i colored by i and F_j colored by $s + j$. For convenience, we also call the color of F_j j when there is no confusion.

Claim 2.4. *For each G_i and T_j , let $e = uv \in E(G_i)$ and $e' = xy \in E(T_j)$. Then at most one of u, v belongs to $V(T_j)$, and at most one of x, y belongs to $V(G_i)$.*

Proof. We prove it by contradiction, i.e., suppose that there exist G_i and T_j , and there exist $e = uv \in E(G_i)$ and $e' = xy \in E(T_j)$, such that either $u, v \in V(T_j)$ or $x, y \in V(G_i)$.

Case 1: Suppose $u, v \in V(T_j)$. Then we recolor $E(G_i) - e$ by j and keep the color of e . We now prove that the new coloring (call it Γ') is an extremal MC_2 -coloring of G .

We denote the segment of uT_jv by L . For any pair of vertices a, b of $V(G)$, if at least one vertex does not belong to $V(G_i)$, then the two ab -paths colored differently from i under Γ . Because we just change the color i , the two ab -paths are not affected; if $a, b \in V(G_i)$, because $G_i + L - e$ is also 2-edge-connected, then there are two ab -paths (with the same color j) under Γ' . Therefore, Γ' is an MC_2 -coloring, and because the number of colors are not changed, Γ' is still an extremal MC_2 -coloring. However, the number of non-trivial edges is increased (e becomes a trivial edge), which contradicts that Γ is good.

Case 2: Suppose $x, y \in V(G_i)$. Then we recolor $E(T_j) - e'$ with i and keep the color of e' . We now prove that the new coloring (call it Γ') is an extremal MC_2 -coloring of G .

For any vertices pair a, b of $V(G)$, if at least one of a, b does not belong to $V(T_j)$, then the two ab -paths colored differently from j . Because we just change the color j , the two ab -paths are not affected; if $a, b \in V(T_j)$ and at least one of a, b does not belong to $V(G_i)$, then there is just one ab -path of T_j and the other ab -paths colored differently from i under Γ . Because $G_i \cup (T_j \setminus e')$ is connected and all of them colored by i under Γ' , there are two ab -paths under Γ' ; if both $a, b \in V(G_i)$, then there are two ab -paths (with the same color i) under Γ' . Above all, Γ' is an MC_2 -coloring of G . Because the number of colors are not changed, Γ' is an extremal MC_2 -coloring of G . However, the number of non-trivial edges is increased (e' becomes a trivial edge), which contradicts that Γ is good. ■

By Claim 2.4, for each edge $e' = xy$ of a T_j , the other xy -paths belong to some T_q ; for each edge $e = uv$ of a G_i , the other uv -paths belong to some G_l .

Claim 2.5. *$h = 0$, i.e., G_i is a 2-edge-connected graph for any $i \in [r]$.*

Proof. If $h \neq 0$, for an edge $e_1 = v_1u_1 \in E(T_1)$, because $P_1 = e_1 = v_1u_1$ is the only v_1u_1 -path of T_1 , there exists another v_1u_1 -path P_2 , then $|P_2| \geq 2$ (because G is simple),

and therefore the color of P_2 is non-trivial. By Claim 2.4, P_2 belongs to some T_j , w.l.o.g., suppose $j = 2$. Then $e_1 + T_2$ contains a unique cycle C_1 . Let $f_1 = v_1u_2$ is a pendent edge of P_2 , and $e_2 = v_2u_2$ is the edge adjacent to f_1 in P_2 . Then there exists a v_2u_2 -path P_3 in T_3 and $e_2 + T_3$ contains a unique cycle C_2 . Let $f_2 = v_2u_3$ is a pendent edge of P_3 , and $e_3 = v_3u_3$ is the edge adjacent to f_2 in P_3 . By repeating the process, we get a series of trees T_1, T_2, \dots , paths P_1, P_2, \dots and edges $f_1 = v_1u_2, f_2 = v_2u_3, \dots$, etc. Because there are at most $h < \infty$ trees, there is a T_d which is the first tree appearing before (w.l.o.g., suppose $T_d = T_1$), and the $v_{d-1}u_{d-1}$ -path P_d is contained in $T_d = T_1$. Because there are at least two trees in this sequence, we have $d - 1 \geq 2$. Then $f_1 \in T_2, f_2 \in T_3, \dots, f_{d-2} \in T_{d-1}; P_2 \in T_2, P_3 \in T_3, \dots, P_d \in T_d = T_1$, etc. T_1, \dots, T_{d-1} are different trees. Let $H = \bigcup_{i \in [d-1]} T_i$.

In order to complete the proof, we need to construct a 2-edge-connected subgraph T of H , a connected graph H' , and an edge set B of H with $|B| = d - 2$ below.

Case 1: $e_1 \notin E(P_d)$.

We have already discussed above that $C_1 = P_2 + e_1, C_2 = P_3 + e_2, \dots, C_{d-1} = P_d + e_{d-1}$. So, $T = C_1 + C_2 - e_2 + C_3 - e_3 + \dots + C_{d-1} - e_{d-1} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{d-1} C_i - B$ is a closed trail, where $B = \bigcup_{i=2}^{d-1} e_i$, see Fig.1(1). Therefore, T is a 2-edge-connected graph. Because the ends of every edge in B belong to $V(T)$, we have that $H' = \bigcup_{i \in [d-1]} T_i \setminus B$ is a connected graph.

Case 2: $e_1 \in E(P_d)$.

Suppose F_1, F_2 are two small trees of $T_1 \setminus e_1$ and let $v_1 \in V(F_1), u_1 \in V(F_2)$. Then there is a $u_{d-1}v_1$ -path L_1 and a $v_{d-1}u_1$ -path L_2 (if u_{d-1} connects u_1 and v_{d-1} connects v_1 , the situation is similar). Let

$$T' = v_1e_1u_1P_2u_2P_3u_3 \cdots P_{d-2}u_{d-2}P_{d-1}u_{d-1}L_1v_1$$

and

$$T'' = u_1P_2u_2P_3u_3 \cdots P_{d-2}u_{d-2}P_{d-1}v_{d-1}L_2u_1.$$

It is obvious that both of T' and T'' are closed trails and

$$T' \cap T'' = u_1P_2u_2 \cdots P_{d-2}u_{d-2}P_{d-1}v_{d-1}$$

is a trail. Therefore, $T = T' \cup T'' = \bigcup_{i=1}^{d-1} C_i - B$ is a 2-edge-connected graph, where $B = \bigcup_{i=1}^{d-2} f_i$, see Fig.1(2). Because the ends of each edge in B belong to $V(T)$, $H' = \bigcup_{i \in [d-1]} T_i \setminus B$ is a connected graph.

In above two cases, T is a 2-edge-connected subgraph of H , and B is an edge set of H with $|B| = d - 2$. We recolor each edges of $H - B$ by 1 and recolor each edge of B by different new colors, denote the new coloring of G by Γ' . Then the total number of colors




Figure 1

is not changed, but the number of trivial colors is increased by $|B| = d - 2 \geq 1$. In order to complete the proof by contradiction, we need to prove that Γ' is an MC_2 -coloring, i.e., we need to prove that for two distinct vertices x, y of G , there are 2 edge-disjoint xy -paths under Γ' . There are three cases to discuss.

(I) At least one of x, y does not belong to $V(H)$. Then the two xy -paths do not belong to any T_1, \dots, T_{d-1} . Because we just change the colors of T_1, \dots, T_{d-1} , the two xy -paths are not affected from Γ to Γ' .

(II) Both of x, y belong to $V(H)$, but at least one of them does not belong to $V(T)$.

If there is just one xy -path in H under Γ , then another xy -path will not be affected. Because H' is connected, there are also two edge-disjoint xy -paths under Γ' .

If there are two xy -paths L_1, L_2 in H under Γ . Suppose a_i is the first vertex of L_i contained in $V(T)$ from x to y , and b_i is the last vertex of L_i contained in $V(T)$ from x to y , $i = 1, 2$. Let $Q_i = xL_ia_i$ and $Q_{i+2} = b_iL_iy$, $i = 1, 2$. Because T is a 2-edge-connected graph, $T \cup \bigcup_{i \in [4]} Q_i$ is also a 2-edge-connected graph, i.e., there are two edge-disjoint xy -paths under Γ' .

(III) Both of x, y belong to $V(T)$. Then because T is a 2-edge-connected graph, there are two edge-disjoint xy -path under Γ' . ■

Claim 2.6. $s = 1$, i.e., all the non-trivial edges belong to G_1 .

Proof. The proof is done by contradiction. If $s \geq 2$, by Claim 2.3, each G_i is a 2-

edge-connected graph. Thus, $V(G_1) \setminus V(G_2) \neq \emptyset$ and $V(G_2) \setminus V(G_1) \neq \emptyset$; for otherwise, w.l.o.g, suppose $V(G_1) \subseteq V(G_2)$. Recoloring all the edges of G_1 by different new colors, then the new coloring is an MC_2 -coloring of G but it has more colors than Γ , which contradicts that Γ is extremal.

Let $a \in V(G_1) \setminus V(G_2)$ and $b \in V(G_2) \setminus V(G_1)$. Suppose $G_a = \bigcup_{i \in c_a} G_i$ where $c_a = \{i : a \in V(G_i)\}$. Let t be the minimum integer such that $V(G_2) \subseteq V(\bigcup_{j \in [t]} G_{i_j})$ where $i_j \in c_a$. Then $t \leq |G_2|$. Recoloring the edges of each G_{i_j} by i_1 , and recoloring the edges of G_2 by different new colors. Then the new coloring is an MC_2 -coloring of G . Because $e(G_2) \geq |G_2| \geq t$, the number of colors is not decreased. However, the number of trivial colors is increased, which contradicts that Γ is good. ■

Claim 2.7. G_1 is a minimum 2-edge-connected spanning subgraph of G .

Proof. Because $s = 1$ and $h = 0$, there is just one non-trivial color (call it 1). Then G_1 is a 2-edge-connected spanning subgraph of G ; for otherwise, there is a vertex $w \notin V(G_1)$, and then there is just one uw -path (which is a trivial path) for any $u \in V(G_1)$, a contradiction.

If G_1 is not minimum, we can choose a minimum 2-edge-connected spanning subgraph H of G with $e(G_1) > e(H)$. Coloring each edge of H by a same color and coloring the other edges by trivial colors. Then the new coloring is an MC_2 -coloring of G , but there are more colors than Γ , which contradicts that Γ is extremal. ■

Proof of Theorem 2.2: Actually, the theorem can be proved directly by Claims 2.5, 2.6 and 2.7. Because Γ is an extremal MC_2 -coloring of G , and the non-trivial color-induced subgraph is just G_1 , which is a minimum 2-edge-connected spanning subgraph of G . So, $mc_2(G) = e(G) - e(H) + 1$ where H is a minimum 2-edge-connected spanning subgraph of G . ■

We have proved that if Γ is a coloring of G in Theorem 2.2, then there is just one non-trivial color 1 and $H = G_1$ is a minimum 2-edge-connected spanning subgraph of G . If G has t blocks, then H also has t blocks, and each block is a minimum 2-edge-connected spanning subgraph of the corresponding block of G . Furthermore, the number of edges of H is greater than or equal to $n + t - 1$ (equality holds if each block of H is a cycle). So, the following result is obvious.

Corollary 2.8. *If G is a 2-edge-connected graph with t blocks B_1, \dots, B_t , then $mc_2(G) = \sum_{i \in [t]} mc_2(B_i) - t + 1$, and $mc_2(G) \leq e(G) - n - t + 2$.*

A *cactus* is a connected graph where every edge lies in at most one cycle. If G is a cactus without cut edges, then every edge lies in exactly one cycle. It is obvious that

G will have cut edge when deleting any edge, and so G is a minimal 2-edge-connected graph. A minimal k -edge-connected graph is also the minimum k -edge-connected spanning subgraph of itself, and this fact will not be declared again later.

Corollary 2.9. *If G is a cactus without cut edge, then $mc_2(G) = 1$.*

We have proved the first result of Theorem 2.1. Next we will prove the remaining two results. Before this, we give an upper bound of $mc_k(G)$ for G being a minimal k -edge-connected graph. The following lemma is necessary for our later proof.

Lemma 2.10. *Let G be a minimal k -edge-connected graph and Γ be an extremal MC_k -coloring of G (suppose $mc_k(G) = t$), and let G_i be the subgraph induced by the edges of color i , $1 \leq i \leq t$. Then each G_i is a spanning subgraph of G .*

Proof. We prove it by contradiction. Suppose G_i is not a spanning subgraph of G . Let $v \notin V(G_i)$. Then for any $u \neq v$, none of the k edge-disjoint monochromatic uv -paths is colored by i . Let e be an edge colored by i . By Theorem 1.1, there exists an edge cut $C(G)$ such that $e \in C(G)$ and $|C(G)| = k$. Then $G \setminus C(G)$ has two components M_1, M_2 (in fact, $C(G)$ is a bond of G). Let $v \in V(M_1)$ and some $w \in V(M_2)$. Then the k edge-disjoint monochromatic vw -paths are retained in $G \setminus e$. However, $C(G) \setminus e$ is an edge cut of $G \setminus e$ that separates v and w , and $|C(G) \setminus e| = k - 1$, which contradicts that there are k edge-disjoint monochromatic vw -paths in $G \setminus e$. ■

Theorem 2.11. *If G is a minimal k -edge-connected graph with $k \geq 2$, then $mc_k(G) \leq k - 1$.*

Proof. We prove it by contradiction. Suppose $mc_k(H) \geq k$. Let Γ be an extremal MC_k -coloring of G . Then by Lemma 2.10, there are at least k edge-disjoint spanning subgraphs of G . Because there exists a vertex of G with degree k , there are exactly k edge-disjoint spanning subgraphs of G , denoted by G_1, \dots, G_k . Because G is a minimal k -edge-connected graph, by Theorem 1.1, $e(G) \leq k(n-1)$, which allows all of G_1, \dots, G_k to be spanning trees of G .

Because $k \geq 2$, there are at least two spanning trees G_1, G_2 , and so $G_1 \cup G_2$ is a 2-edge-connected spanning subgraph of G . Let $e = uv$ be an edge of G_1 and let P_1 be the uv -path of G_2 . Suppose $e_1 = uu_1$ and $e_2 = vv_1$ are two terminal edges of P_1 . Let P_2 be the uu_1 -path of G_1 and let P_3 be the vv_1 -path of G_1 .

Case 1: If one of P_2 and P_3 does not contain e , w.l.o.g., suppose P_2 does not contain e . Then $T = uP_2u_1P_1veu$ is a 2-edge-connected graph (in fact, T is a closed trail, see Fig.2(1)). Because $u, u_1 \in V(T)$, by Lemma 1.5, $(G_1 \cup G_2) \setminus e_1$ is a 2-edge-connected subgraph of G .

Case 2: If both P_2 and P_3 contain e , then $T = uevP_2u_1P_1v_1P_3u$ is a 2-edge-connected graph (in fact, T is a closed trail, see Fig.2(2)). Because $u, u_1 \in V(T)$, by Lemma 1.5, $(G_1 \cup G_2) \setminus e_1$ is a 2-edge-connected subgraph of G .




Figure 2

The coloring Γ' obtained from Γ by assigning 1 to the edges of $G_2 \setminus e_1$ and assigning a new color to e_1 . From above two cases, $(G_1 \cup G_2) \setminus e_1$ is a 2-edge-connected spanning subgraph of G and G_3, \dots, G_k are spanning subgraph of G . So, every two vertices are also connected by k monochromatic paths and the number of colors is not changed, i.e., Γ' is also an extremal MC_k -coloring of G . While e is a single edge, that would contradict that each induced subgraph is spanning by Lemma 2.10. ■

Before proving the second result of Theorem 2.1, we introduce a well-known result.

Fact 2.12. K_{2n+1} can be decomposed into n edge-disjoint Hamiltonian cycles; K_{2n+2} can be decomposed into n edge-disjoint Hamiltonian cycles and a perfect matching.

Theorem 2.13. $mc_{2n}(K_{2n+1}) = n$ for $n \geq 2$.

Proof. By Fact 2.12, K_{2n+1} can be decomposed into n edge-disjoint Hamiltonian cycles C_1, \dots, C_n . Color each C_i by $i \in [n]$, and then the coloring is an MC_{2n} -coloring of K_{2n+1} . So, $mc_{2n}(K_{2n+1}) \geq n$.

We need to prove that $mc_{2n}(K_{2n+1}) \leq n$ to complete our proof. The proof is done by contradiction. Suppose $mc_{2n}(K_{2n+1}) = t \geq n + 1$. Let Γ be an extremal MC_{2n} -coloring of K_{2n+1} and let G_i be the subgraph induced by all the edges with color i , $1 \leq i \leq t$.

Because K_{2n+1} is a minimal $2n$ -edge-connected graph, by Lemma 2.10 we have that each G_i is a spanning subgraph of G . If $t \geq 2n$, then

$$n(2n+1) = e(K_{2n+1}) = e\left(\bigcup_{i \in [t]} G_i\right) \geq 2tn \geq 4n^2,$$

which is a contradiction. Otherwise, if $t < 2n$, then not every G_i is a spanning tree (for otherwise, every two vertices are just connected by $t < 2n$ monochromatic paths). To ensure that every two vertices are connected by at least $2n$ monochromatic paths, there are at least $2n - t$ G_i that are 2-edge-connected. Therefore, the number of edges of $\bigcup_{i \in [t]} G_i$ satisfies

$$e\left(\bigcup_{i \in [t]} G_i\right) \geq (2n+1)(2n-t) + 2(t-n) \cdot 2n = t(2n-1) + 2n \geq 2n^2 + 3n - 1.$$

This contradicts that $\bigcup_{i \in [t]} G_i = K_{2n+1}$ and $e(K_{2n+1}) = n(2n+1)$. ■

Before prove the third result of Theorem 2.1, we introduce another well-known result.

Fact 2.14. $K_{2n,2n}$ can be decomposed into n Hamiltonian cycles and $K_{2n+1,2n+1}$ can be decomposed into n Hamiltonian cycles and a perfect matching.

Theorem 2.15. If $n \geq k \geq 3$, then $mc_k(K_{k,n}) \leq \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$.

Proof. Let Γ be an extremal MC_k -coloring with t colors and let G_i be the subgraph of G induced by the edges with color i . Because $K_{k,n}$ is a minimal k -edge-connected graph, by Lemma 2.10 each G_i is a spanning subgraph of G . Let A, B be the bipartition (independent sets) of G with $|A| = n$ and $|B| = k$. Then each vertex in A has degree k .

We prove that $mc_k(K_{k,n}) \leq \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$ by contradiction. Suppose $mc_k(K_{k,n}) = t \geq \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor + 1$. For a vertex u of A , let $d_{G_i}(u) = r_i$. Then $\sum_{i \in [t]} r_i = k$ and each $r_i \geq 1$. Because every two vertices of A are connected by k edge-disjoint monochromatic paths, and the degree of every vertex in A is k , we have that for each $u \in A$, $d_{G_i}(u) = r_i$. Because $t \geq \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor + 1$, there is a color i such that $d_{G_i}(u) = 1$, i.e., all vertices of A are leaves of G_i . Because $K_{k,n}$ is a bipartite graph with bipartition A and B , G_i is a perfect matching if $n = k$, and G_i is the union of k stars if $n > k$, both of which contradict that G_i is a connected spanning subgraph of G . Therefore, $mc_k(K_{k,n}) \leq \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$. ■

Corollary 2.16. Conjecture 1.4 is true for $G = K_{k,n}$, where k is even and $n \geq k \geq 4$; it is also true for $G = K_{3,n}$, where $k = 3 \leq n$.

Proof. If $k = 2l$ is even, then we prove that $mc_k(K_{k,n}) = \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor = l$. Actually, we only need to construct an MC_k -coloring of $K_{k,n}$ with l colors. Let A_1 be a subset of A with

k vertices and $A_2 = A - A_1$, and let H be the subgraph of $K_{k,n}$ whose vertex set is $A_1 \cup B$. Then $H = K_{k,k}$, and by Fact 2.14 H can be decomposed into l Hamiltonian cycles $\{C_1, \dots, C_l\}$. Because the degree of each vertex in A_2 is $k = 2l$, we mark each two edges incident with $v \in A_2$ with i , $1 \leq i \leq l$. Let E_i be the edge set with mark i , and let $G_i = C_i \cup E_i$. It is obvious that G_i is a 2-edge-connected spanning graph of $K_{k,n}$. We color every edge of G_i by i , and then we find an MC_k -coloring of $K_{k,n}$ with l colors.

Because $K_{3,n}$ is a minimal 3-edge-connected graph for $n \geq 3$, and an MC_3 -coloring of $K_{3,n}$ assigns color 1 to all its edges, we have $mc_3(K_{3,n}) \geq 1$. By Theorem 2.15, $mc_3(K_{3,n}) \leq 1$, and thus $mc_3(K_{3,n}) = 1$. \blacksquare

If $k \leq \Psi(G)$, then G is k -edge-connected. By Theorem 1.3, there are k edge-disjoint spanning trees T_1, \dots, T_k of G and we color $E(G)$ such that each T_i is colored by i . Then any two vertices u, v are connected by at least k monochromatic uv -paths with different colors. So, we have the following result.

Corollary 2.17. *For a graph G with $\Psi(G) \geq k \geq 2$, $mc_k(G) \geq e(G) - k(n - 2)$.*

3 Results for uniformly monochromatic k -edge-connection number

The monochromatic k -edge-connected graph allows k edge-disjoint monochromatic paths between any two vertices of the graph. In this section, we generalize the concept of monochromatic k -edge-connection to uniformly monochromatic k -edge-connection, and get some results.

An edge-colored k -edge-connected graph G is *uniformly monochromatic k -edge-connected* if every two distinct vertices are connected by at least k edge-disjoint monochromatic paths of G such that all these k paths have the same color. Note that for different pairs of vertices the paths may have different colors. An edge-coloring Γ of G is a *uniformly monochromatic k -edge-connection coloring* (UMC_k -coloring) if it makes G uniformly monochromatically k -edge-connected. The *uniformly monochromatic k -edge-connection number*, denoted by $umc_k(G)$, of a k -edge-connected graph G is the maximum number of colors that are needed in order to make G uniformly monochromatic k -edge-connected. An *extremal UMC_k -coloring* of G is an UMC_k -coloring that uses $umc_k(G)$ colors. We call an extremal UMC_k -coloring a *good UMC_k -coloring* of G if the coloring has the maximum number of trivial edges. A uniformly monochromatic k -edge-connected graph is also a monochromatic connected graph when $k = 1$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a k -edge-connected graph with $k \geq 2$. Then $umc_k(G) = e(G) - e(H) + 1$, where H is a minimum k -edge-connected spanning subgraph of G .*

We prove the theorem below. For convenience, we abbreviate “monochromatic uv -path” as “ uv -path”. Let Γ be a good UMC_k -coloring of G . Then, suppose that the number of non-trivial colors of Γ is t and denote the set of them by $[t]$. Let G_i be the subgraph of G induced by the edges with a non-trivial color i , $1 \leq i \leq t$. Let $G' = \bigcup_{i \in [t]} G_i$.

Claim 3.2. *Each G_i is k -edge-connected.*

Proof. Let π_i denote the set of pairs (u, v) such that there are at least k edge-disjoint uv -paths colored by $i \in [t]$. Therefore, any vertex pair (u, v) belongs to some π_i .

We first prove it by contradiction that each G_i is k -edge-connected.

Suppose that G_i is not a k -edge-connected graph. Then there exists a bond $C(G_i)$ with $|C(G_i)| \leq k - 1$, and $G_i \setminus C(G_i)$ has two components M_1 and M_2 . Let $e = uv$ be an edge of $C(G_i)$, $u \in V(M_1)$, $v \in V(M_2)$. Then there are at most $|C(G_i)| \leq k - 1$ edge-disjoint paths in G_i between u, v . Therefore there exists a $j \neq i$ of $[t]$ such that there are at least k edge-disjoint uv -paths of G_j .

Recolor edges of $G_i - e$ with j and keep the color of e , and denote the new coloring of G by Γ' .

Because any non-trivial color $r \neq i$ is not changed. So, under Γ' , any pair $(x, y) \in \pi_r$ also have at least k edge-disjoint xy -paths colored r . For any pair $(x, y) = \pi_i$, if any k edge-disjoint xy -paths (Note that P_1, \dots, P_k) of G_i under Γ do not contain e . Then these k edge-disjoint xy -paths are retained. Otherwise, there is a path (Note that P_1) contains e . We choose a path P of G_j whose terminals are u, v . Then $T = (P_1 \setminus e) \cup P$ is a trail between x, y and $E(T) \cap \bigcup_{l \neq 1} E(P_l) = \emptyset$. Let P' be a xy -path of T . Then P', P_2, \dots, P_k are k edge-disjoint xy -paths colored by j (under Γ'). Therefore, Γ' is still an extremal UMC_k -coloring of G , but then e becomes to a trivial edge, which contradicts that Γ is good. So, each G_i is k -edge-connected. ■

By Claim 3.2, because $k \geq 2$, we have $e(G_i) \geq |G_i| \geq 3$. Denote $G_x = \bigcup_{x \in V(G_i)} G_i$, $F_x = G' - G_x$.

Claim 3.3. *Each G_x is a k -edge-connected spanning subgraph of G . Furthermore, $F_x = \emptyset$.*

Proof. If there is an $x \in V(G)$ such that G_x is not a spanning subgraph of G , then there is a vertex $y \in V(G) \setminus V(G_x)$. Because G is a simple graph and $k \geq 2$, any two vertices

are connected by at least one non-trivial path. It is obvious that there are no non-trivial xy -path, a contradiction. Therefore, G_x is a spanning subgraph of G .

Because each G_i is k -edge-connected, G_x is also k -edge-connected. Therefore, each G_x is a k -edge-connected spanning subgraph of G .

Now we prove that $F_x = \emptyset$. Otherwise, if $F_x \neq \emptyset$, then there is a $G_j \subseteq F_x$ and $|G_j| \geq 3$. Suppose that s is the minimum number such that $V(G_j) \subseteq \bigcup_{r \in [s]} G_{i_r}$, where G_{i_1}, \dots, G_{i_s} are contained in G_x . Then, $s \leq |G_j|$. Because $k \geq 2$, we have $e(G_j) \geq |G_j| \geq s$. We have obtained a new coloring Γ' from Γ by recoloring each G_{i_1}, \dots, G_{i_s} by i_1 and recoloring each edge of G_j by different new colors. Because $G^* = \bigcup_{r \in [s]} G_{i_r}$ is k -edge-connected graph, each pair (a, b) with $(a, b) \in \{\pi_{i_1}, \dots, \pi_{i_s}, \pi_j\}$ has k -edge-disjoint ab -paths colored i_1 under Γ' . It is easy to check that Γ' is a UMC_k -coloring. Then, the number of colors is not decreased, but the number of trivial colors is increased by at least $e(G_j) \geq 3$, which contradicts that Γ is good. So, $F_x = \emptyset$. ■

Claim 3.4. $t = 1$ and G_1 is a minimum k -edge-connected spanning subgraph of G .

Proof. Suppose $t \geq 2$. Then $V(G_1) \setminus V(G_2) \neq \emptyset$. Otherwise, if $V(G_1) \subseteq V(G_2)$, then $(u, v) \in \pi_2$ when $(u, v) \in \pi_1$. We can recolor all edges of G_1 by fresh colors, and then the new coloring is also a UMC_k -coloring of G but the number of colors is increased, which contradicts that Γ is extremal. So, $V(G_1) \setminus V(G_2) \neq \emptyset$, and there is a vertex $a \in V(G_1) \setminus V(G_2)$, i.e., $G_2 \not\subseteq G_a$, $G_2 \subseteq F_a$. By Claim 3.3, we have $F_a = \emptyset$, a contradiction. Therefore, $t = 1$, and thus $G_1 = G_a$ is a spanning subgraph of G .

In fact, G_1 is a minimum k -edge-connected spanning subgraph of G ; otherwise, there exists a minimum k -edge-connected spanning subgraph H of G such that $e(H) < e(G_1)$. Coloring each edge of H by 1 and coloring the other edges by some different new colors. Then the coloring is a UMC_k -coloring of G with more colors, which contradicts that Γ is extremal. ■

Proof of Theorem 3.1: We can prove Theorem 3.1 directly by Claim 3.4. ■

Because any k -edge-connected graph G has the minimum degree $\delta(G) \geq k$, by Theorem 1.1 we have that $\frac{1}{2}kn \leq e(H) \leq k(n-1)$, where H is a minimum k -edge-connected spanning subgraph of G .

Corollary 3.5. For a k -edge-connected graph G with $k \geq 2$, $e(G) - k(n-1) + 1 \leq umc_k(G) \leq e(G) - \frac{1}{2}kn + 1$.

By definition, a k -edge-connected graph G satisfies that $umc_k(G) \leq mc_k(G)$. Therefore, $mc_k(G) \geq e(G) - e(H) + 1$, where H is a k -edge-connected spanning subgraph of G . By this theorem, we also get a result: A graph contains a Hamiltonian cycle if and only if $umc_2(G) = e(G) - n + 1$.

References

- [1] J.A. Bondy, U.S.R. Murty, Graph Theory, GTM 244, Springer, 2008.
- [2] Q. Cai, X. Li, D. Wu, Erdős-Gallai-type results for colorful monochromatic connectivity of a graph, *J. Comb. Optim.* 33(1)(2017), 123-131.
- [3] Q. Cai, X. Li, D. Wu, Some extremal results on the colorful monochromatic vertex-connectivity of a graph, *J. Comb. Optim.* 35(2018), 1300–1311.
- [4] Y. Caro, R. Yuster, Colorful monochromatic connectivity, *Discrete Math.* 311(2011), 1786-1792.
- [5] D. Gonzalez-Moreno, M. Guevara, J.J. Montellano-Ballesteros, Monochromatic connecting colorings in strongly connected oriented graphs, *Discrete Math.* 340(4)(2017), 578-584.
- [6] R. Gu, X. Li, Z. Qin, Y. Zhao, More on the colorful monochromatic connectivity, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* 40(4)(2017), 1769-1779.
- [7] H. Jiang, X. Li, Y. Zhang, Total monochromatic connection of graphs, *Discrete Math.* 340(2017), 175-180.
- [8] H. Jiang, X. Li, Y. Zhang, More on total monochromatic connection of graphs, *Ars Combin.* 136(2018), 263–275.
- [9] H. Jiang, X. Li, Y. Zhang, Erdős-Gallai-type results for total monochromatic connection of graphs, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory*, in press.
- [10] Z. Jin, X. Li, K. Wang, The monochromatic connectivity of some graphs, submitted, 2016.
- [11] X. Li, D. Wu, The (vertex-)monochromatic index of a graph, *J. Comb. Optim.* 33(2017), 1443-1453.
- [12] X. Li D. Wu, A survey on monochromatic connections of graphs, *Theory & Appl. Graphs* 0(1)(2018), Art.4.
- [13] W. Mader, A reduction method for edge-connectivity in graphs, *Adv. Graph Theory* 3(1978), 145-164.
- [14] Y. Mao, Z. Wang, F. Yanling, C. Ye, Monochromatic connectivity and graph products, *Discrete Math, Algorithm. Appl.* 8(01)(2016), 1650011.

- [15] C. St. J.A. Nash-Williams, Edge-disjoint spanning trees of finite graphs, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 36(1961), 445–450.
- [16] W. T. Tutte, On the problem of decomposing a graph into n connected factors, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 36(1961), 221–230.