

PLANE CURVES WITH THREE SYZYGIES, PLUS-ONE GENERATED CURVES, AND NEARLY CUSPIDAL CURVES

ALEXANDRU DIMCA¹ AND GABRIEL STICLARU

ABSTRACT. We start the study of reduced complex projective plane curves, whose Jacobian syzygy module has 3 generators. Among these curves one finds the nearly free curves introduced by the authors, and the plus-one generated line arrangements introduced recently by Takuro Abe, as well as all the Thom-Sebastiani type plane curves. Rational plane curves which are nearly cuspidal, i.e. which have only cusps except one singularity with two branches, are also related to these classes of curves.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $S = \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$ be the polynomial ring in three variables x, y, z with complex coefficients, and let $C : f = 0$ be a reduced curve of degree d in the complex projective plane \mathbb{P}^2 . We denote by J_f the Jacobian ideal of f , i.e. the homogeneous ideal in S spanned by the partial derivatives f_x, f_y, f_z of f , and by $M(f) = S/J_f$ the corresponding graded quotient ring, called the Jacobian (or Milnor) algebra of f . Consider the graded S -module of Jacobian syzygies of f , namely

$$AR(f) = \{(a, b, c) \in S^3 : af_x + bf_y + cf_z = 0\},$$

According to Hilbert Syzygy Theorem, the graded Jacobian algebra $M(f)$ has a minimal resolution of the form

$$(1.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow F_3 \rightarrow F_2 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0,$$

where clearly $F_0 = S$, $F_1 = S^3(1-d)$ and the morphism $F_1 \rightarrow F_0$ is given by

$$(a, b, c) \mapsto af_x + bf_y + cf_z.$$

With this notation, the graded S -module of Jacobian syzygies $AR(f)$ has the following minimal resolution

$$0 \rightarrow F_3(d-1) \rightarrow F_2(d-1).$$

We say that $C : f = 0$ is an m -syzygy curve if the module F_2 has rank m . Then the module $AR(f)$ is generated by m homogeneous syzygies, say r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m , of degrees

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14H50; Secondary 14B05, 13D02, 32S22.

Key words and phrases. Jacobian ideal, free curve, nearly free curve, 3-syzygy curve, plus-one generated curve.

¹ This work has been partially supported by the French government, through the UCA^{JEDI} Investments in the Future project managed by the National Research Agency (ANR) with the reference number ANR-15-IDEX-01 and by the Romanian Ministry of Research and Innovation, CNCS - UEFISCDI, grant PN-III-P4-ID-PCE-2016-0030, within PNCDI III.

$d_j = \deg r_j$ ordered such that

$$1 \leq d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \dots \leq d_m.$$

We call these degrees the *exponents* of the curve C and r_1, \dots, r_m a *minimal set of generators* for the module $AR(f)$. Note that $d_1 = mdr(f)$ is the minimal degree of a non trivial Jacobian relation in $AR(f)$.

The curve C is free when $m = 2$, since then $AR(f)$ is a free module of rank 2, see for such curves [4, 8, 12, 26, 27, 28]. In this note we consider the next simplest possibility for this resolution, namely we start the study of the 3-syzygy curves.

Example 1.1. Here are two classes of 3-syzygy curves which are already intensely studied.

(i) The *nearly free curves*, introduced in [13] and studied in [3, 4, 8, 9, 22] correspond to the 3-syzygy curves satisfying $d_3 = d_2$ and $d_1 + d_2 = d$.

(ii) The *plus-one generated line arrangements* of level d_3 , introduced by Takuro Abe in [2], corresponds to 3-syzygy line arrangements satisfying $d_1 + d_2 = d$. A 3-syzygy curve will be called a *plus-one generated curve* if it satisfies $d_1 + d_2 = d$. In fact, in Theorem 2.3 we show that the condition $d_1 + d_2 = d$ characterizes the plus-one generated curves among all the reduced plane curves. Both nearly free curves and the plus-one generated curves satisfy

$$mdr(f) = d_1 \leq \frac{d}{2}.$$

Note that the line arrangement

$$C : f = xyz(x + y - 2z)(x - 3y + z)(-5x + y + z)(x + y + z) = 0$$

is a 3-syzygy curve with exponents $d_1 = d_2 = d_3 = 4$ and $\tau(C) = 24$, but it is not a plus-one generated arrangement since $d_1 + d_2 > d = 7$.

Note that if $C : f = 0$ be a *Thom-Sebastiani plane curve*, i.e. a curve such that $f(x, y, z) = g(x, y) + z^d$, where g is a homogeneous polynomial of degree d in $R = \mathbb{C}[x, y]$, then C is always a 3-syzygy curve. Moreover, these curves realize the equality in the *du Plessis-Wall inequality* for the global Tjurina number $\tau(C)$ in 4.1, see Example 4.5 below.

Our interest in the free and nearly free curves comes mainly from the following.

Conjecture 1.2. A reduced plane curve $C : f = 0$ which is rational cuspidal is either free, or nearly free.

This conjecture is known to hold when the degree of C is even, as well as in many other cases, in particular for all odd degrees $d \leq 33$, see [9, 13, 14]. We call an irreducible plane curve, having only cusps (i.e. unibranch singularities), except for one singular point which has two branches, a *nearly cuspidal curve*. The simplest example of such a curve is a nodal cubic, for instance $C : f = xyz + x^3 + y^3 = 0$, for which the module $AR(f)$ is minimally generated by four syzygies, all of degree 2. Other examples of rational, nearly cuspidal curves of odd degree which are 4-syzygy curves are given below in Remark 3.11.

The following is one of our main results, obtained by combining Theorem 3.10 and Theorem 5.4 below.

Theorem 1.3. *Let C be a plane, rational, nearly cuspidal curve of even degree $d \geq 2$. Then C is a free curve, or a nearly free curve or a plus-one generated curve.*

Examples of plus-one generated curves of this type can be found in Example 4.1 (i), (ii) and (iii), and in Example 4.4. On the other hand, examples of rational nearly cuspidal curves which are free can be found in [23].

In the second section we start with some basic facts on 3-syzygy curves, namely the formulas for the main invariants of f expressed in terms of the exponents (d_1, d_2, d_3) given in Proposition 2.1. This leads to a characterization of plus-one generated curves among all plane curves in Theorem 2.3. At the end of the second section we show that any m -syzygy curve which is not free satisfies

$$d_1 \leq d_2 \leq d_3 \leq d - 1,$$

see Theorem 2.4. In the third section we prove that C is a 3-syzygy curve if and only if the associated Bourbaki ideal $B(C, r_1)$, which is always a locally complete intersection, is in fact a global complete intersection, see Proposition 3.1. This leads to a characterizations of plus-one generated curves among the 3-syzygy curves, see Proposition 3.3, as well as a characterization of 3-syzygy curves, see Corollary 3.4, both of them in terms of the total Tjurina number $\tau(C)$, which is the sum of the Tjurina numbers of the singular points of C .

Let I_f denote the saturation of the ideal J_f with respect to the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} = (x, y, z)$ in S and consider the following local cohomology group, usually called the Jacobian module of f ,

$$N(f) = I_f/J_f = H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(M(f)).$$

We set $n(f)_k = \dim N(f)_k$ for any integer k and also $\nu(C) = \max_j \{n(f)_j\}$, as in [3, 9]. Note that C is free if and only if $\nu(C) = 0$, and C is nearly free if and only if $\nu(C) = 1$. In this note we show that $\nu(C) = 2$ implies that C is a plus-one generated curve when d is even. When $d = 2d' - 1$ is odd, then C is either a plus-one generated curve, or C is a 4-syzygy curve with exponents $d_1 = d_2 = d_3 = d_4 = d'$, see Theorem 3.10. In Remark 3.11 we give several examples of such 4-syzygy curves, and we show that for a line arrangement \mathcal{A} with $\nu(\mathcal{A}) = 2$, the numbers of k -multiple points for all k do not determine whether \mathcal{A} is a plus-one generated arrangement or not.

Our interest in curves with $\nu(C) = 2$ is motivated by the following.

Conjecture 1.4. A plane, rational, nearly cuspidal curve $C : f = 0$ satisfies

$$\nu(C) \leq 2.$$

In Theorem 3.8 and Corollary 3.9 we describe the resolution of the Jacobian module $N(f)$ for a 3-syzygy curve, and respectively the Hilbert function of $N(f)$ for a plus-one generated curve, in particular we show that $\nu(C) = d_3 - d_2 + 1$, which may be arbitrarily large. Theorem 3.10 says that this type of Hilbert function occurs exactly for plus-one generated curves. Hassanzadeh and Simis result in [20, Proposition 1.3] plays a key role in these proofs.

In the fourth section we give various examples of plus-one generated curves and 3-syzygy curves: irreducible curves of low degree in Example 4.1, curves obtained from a smooth curve plus a secant line in Example 4.3, and an infinite series of plus-one generated irreducible curves in Example 4.4. Example 4.2 shows that the invariant $\nu(C)$ can be arbitrarily large for a plus-one generated curve.

In the final section we give some upper bounds for the degrees of a minimal set of generators for the module $AR(f)$, in terms of genera of the irreducible components of $C : f = 0$. We also prove Conjecture 1.4 in Theorem 5.4 when the degree d of C is even, or $d = p^k$ with p prime, or when d is odd and some extra topological condition is satisfied by the singularities of C . Since this topological condition is hard to check in practice, we describe other situations where Conjecture 1.4 holds in Theorem 5.5, Theorem 5.7 and Corollary 5.8, covering in particular all the odd degrees $d \leq 33$.

We would like to thank Philippe Ellia for allowing us to use his idea for the proof of Theorem 2.4, thus answering an open question in a preliminary version of this paper.

2. RESULTS INVOLVING THE EXPONENTS

With the above notation, for $C : f = 0$ a 3-syzygy curve, the resolution (1.1) becomes

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow S(-e) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^3 S(1-d-d_j) \rightarrow S^3(1-d) \rightarrow S.$$

Using [20, Lemma 1.3], we know that $e \geq d + d_3$. Moreover, for $k \geq e - 2$, one has the obvious formula

$$(2.2) \quad m(f)_k = \dim M(f)_k = \binom{k+2}{2} - 3 \binom{k-d+3}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^3 \binom{k-d+3+d_j}{2} - \binom{e+2}{2}.$$

Since one has $m(f)_k = \tau(C)$, the total Tjurina number of the curve C , for all large k , it follows that the polynomial in k given by the alternated sum in (2.2) must be a constant. This implies our first result.

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose $C : f = 0$ is a 3-syzygy curve of degree $d \geq 3$ with exponents (d_1, d_2, d_3) . Then the following hold.*

- (1) $e = d_1 + d_2 + d_3$. In particular $d_1 + d_2 \geq d$.
- (2) $ct(f) = d - 2 + d_1$.
- (3) $st(f) = \text{reg}(f) + 1 = d_1 + d_2 + d_3 - 2$.
- (4) $\tau(C) = (d-1)(d_1 + d_2 + d_3) - (d_1d_2 + d_1d_3 + d_2d_3)$.

Here $ct(f)$ is the *coincidence threshold* of f , $st(f)$ is the *stability threshold* of f , $\text{reg}(f)$ is the *Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity* of the Milnor algebra $M(f)$, and $\tau(C)$ is the *total Tjurina number* of C , see for instance [8, 10, 12, 13] for the corresponding definitions. Note that $d_1 + d_2 \leq d - 1$ implies that C is a free curve, see [28].

Corollary 2.2. *Suppose $C : f = 0$ is a 3-syzygy curve of degree $d \geq 3$ with a minimal set of generators r_1, r_2, r_3 for $AR(f)$ and exponents (d_1, d_2, d_3) . Then the syzygies among r_1, r_2, r_3 are generated by a unique relation*

$$R : h_1 r_1 + h_2 r_2 + h_3 r_3 = 0,$$

where h_i are homogeneous polynomials in S , with $\deg h_i = d_j + d_k - d + 1 \geq 1$ for any permutation (i, j, k) of $(1, 2, 3)$. Moreover, one has $\text{g.c.d.}(h_i, h_j) = 1$ for any $i \neq j$. In particular $h_i \neq 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, 3$.

The last claim is related to the notion of strictly plus-one generated line arrangements, see [2, Definition 1.1 and Proposition 5.1]. See also Remark 3.2 below for more information on h_2 and h_3 .

Proof. The resolution (2.1) and the formula for e in Proposition 2.1 give the first claim. To prove the remaining ones, suppose $i = 1, j = 2$ and that the irreducible homogeneous polynomial c is a common factor of h_1 and h_2 , with $\deg c > 0$. Then there are two possibilities. The first one is that c divides h_3 as well. Then we can simplify in R by c and get a new relation

$$R' : h'_1 r_1 + h'_2 r_2 + h'_3 r_3 = 0,$$

of strictly lower degree than R , a contradiction. If c does not divide h_3 , then it must divide all the components (a_3, b_3, c_3) of r_3 . Dividing by c these components, we get a new relation $r'_3 = (a'_3, b'_3, c'_3) \in AR(f)$, of degree $< d_3$. This shows that r_3 is already in the submodule spanned by r_1 and r_2 , a contradiction. The other cases can be treated similarly. \square

We give next a characterization of plus-one generated curves among all the reduced plane curves. Consider the general form of the minimal resolution for the Milnor algebra $M(f)$ of a curve $C : f = 0$ that is assumed to be not free, namely

$$(2.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m-2} S(-e_i) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^m S(1-d-d_j) \rightarrow S^3(1-d) \rightarrow S,$$

with $e_1 \leq \dots \leq e_{m-2}$ and $d_1 \leq \dots \leq d_m$. It follows from [20, Lemma 1.1] that one has

$$(2.4) \quad e_j = d + d_{j+2} - 1 + \epsilon_j,$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m-2$ and some integers $\epsilon_j \geq 1$. Using the same approach as for the proof of Proposition 2.1, namely that one must have $m(f)_k = \tau(C)$ for all large k , or even better using [20, Formula (13)], it follows that one has

$$(2.5) \quad d_1 + d_2 = d - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \epsilon_i.$$

This formula implies the following.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $C : f = 0$ be a reduced plane curve of degree d and let d_1 and d_2 be the minimal degrees of a minimal system of generators for the module of Jacobian syzygies $AR(f)$ as above. Then the following hold.*

- (1) *The curve C is free if and only if $d_1 + d_2 = d - 1$.*
- (2) *The curve C is plus-one generated if and only if $d_1 + d_2 = d$.*

Proof. The claim (1) is well known, see for instance [28], and it is included only to point out the perfect analogy of the class of free curves and the class of plus-one generated curves. The second claim follows from the formula (2.5) and the inequalities $\epsilon_j \geq 1$. □

We end this section with the following result.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $C : f = 0$ be an m -syzygy curve of degree d with exponents $1 \leq d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \dots \leq d_m$ and $m \geq 3$. Then $d_1 + d_2 \geq d$ and*

$$d_1 \leq d_2 \leq d_3 \leq d - 1.$$

Proof. Note that the condition $m \geq 3$ is equivalent to the fact that C is not a free curve. Then the claim $d_1 + d_2 \geq d$ follows from [28, Lemma 1.4].

The proof of the claim $d_3 \leq d - 1$, which is the main claim above, is based on an *idea of Philippe Ellia*. First note that the claim does not depend on the choice of linear coordinates on \mathbb{P}^2 . So we choose these coordinates such that the line $L : x = 0$ is transversal to C , i.e. such that the binary form $f(0, y, z)$ has d distinct linear factors. This implies that the ideal (f_y, f_z) defines a 0-dimensional subscheme in \mathbb{P}^2 .

Let $r_1 \in AR(f)$ be a non-zero syzygy of minimal degree d_1 , and consider the graded quotient module $\overline{AR(f)} = AR(f)/Sr_1$. The exact sequence (3.3) below implies that the S -module $\overline{AR(f)}$ is torsion free.

Assume that $d_3 \geq d$ and let $r_2 \in AR(f)$ be a syzygy of degree d_2 , part of a minimal system of generators for $AR(f)$ starting with r_1 . Consider now the Koszul syzygies in $AR(f)_{d-1}$ given by

$$k^x = (0, f_z, -f_y) \quad k^y = (-f_z, 0, f_x) \quad \text{and} \quad k^z = (f_y, -f_x, 0).$$

Then one can write

$$k^x = a^x r_1 + b^x r_2, \quad k^y = a^y r_1 + b^y r_2 \quad \text{and} \quad k^z = a^z r_1 + b^z r_2,$$

for some polynomials $a^x, a^y, a^z \in S_{d-1-d_1}$ and $b^x, b^y, b^z \in S_{d-1-d_2}$. The obvious relation

$$f_x k^x + f_y k^y + f_z k^z = 0,$$

yields the relation

$$(2.6) \quad Ar_1 + Br_2 = 0,$$

where $A = a^x f_x + a^y f_y + a^z f_z$ and $B = b^x f_x + b^y f_y + b^z f_z$. There are two cases to discuss.

Case 1. $B \neq 0$. In this case we reach a contradiction, since $B \neq 0$ and equation (2.6) implies that the class of r_2 in $\overline{AR(f)}$ is a non-zero torsion element.

Case 2. $B = 0$. Then the inequality $d_1 + d_2 \geq d$ implies that

$$d - 1 - d_2 \leq d_1 - 1,$$

and hence $b^x = b^y = b^z = 0$, since d_1 is the minimal degree of a non trivial Jacobian syzygy. This vanishing in turn implies that

$$f_y = a^z a_1 \text{ and } f_z = -a^y a_1,$$

where $r_1 = (a_1, b_1, c_1)$. This is a contradiction with the fact that the ideal (f_y, f_z) defines a 0-dimensional subscheme in \mathbb{P}^2 .

Remark 2.5. It is known that, for any singular curve $C : f = 0$, one has $st(f) \leq T = 3d - 6$, see [6]. It follows that, for a 3-syzygy curve $C : f = 0$, one has

$$d_1 + d_2 + d_3 \leq 3d - 4.$$

Note that, for a uninodal plane curve of degree d , the module $AR(f)$ has 4 minimal generators, of degrees $d - 1, d - 1, d - 1, 2d - 4$, hence in a sense our result above is best possible. In the case of a line arrangement, the degrees of the generators of $AR(f)$ are bounded by $d - 2$, see [24, Corollary 3.5]. Related results for rational curve arrangements are stated below in Corollary 5.2 and 5.3.

3. RESULTS INVOLVING THE BOURBAKI IDEAL AND THE JACOBIAN MODULE $N(f)$

We recall now the construction of the Bourbaki ideal $B(C, r_1)$ associated to a degree d reduced curve $C : f = 0$ and to a minimal degree non-zero syzygy $r_1 \in AR(f)$, see [16]. For any choice of the syzygy r_1 with minimal degree d_1 , we have a morphism of graded S -modules

$$(3.1) \quad S(-d_1) \xrightarrow{u} AR(f), \quad u(h) = h \cdot r_1.$$

For any homogeneous syzygy $r = (a, b, c) \in AR(f)_m$, consider the determinant $\Delta(r) = \det M(r)$ of the 3×3 matrix $M(r)$ which has as first row x, y, z , as second row a_1, b_1, c_1 and as third row a, b, c . Then it turns out that $\Delta(r)$ is divisible by f , see [8], and we define thus a new morphism of graded S -modules

$$(3.2) \quad AR(f) \xrightarrow{v} S(d_1 - d + 1), \quad v(r) = \Delta(r)/f,$$

and a homogeneous ideal $B(C, r_1) \subset S$ such that $\text{im } v = B(C, r_1)(d_1 - d + 1)$. It is known that the ideal $B(C, r_1)$, when C is not a free curve, defines a 0-dimensional subscheme in \mathbb{P}^2 , which is locally a complete intersection, see [16, Theorem 5.1]. Moreover, one has the following obvious exact sequence

$$(3.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow S(-d_1) \xrightarrow{u} AR(f) \xrightarrow{v} B(C, r_1)(d_1 - d + 1) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proposition 3.1. *With the above notation, $C : f = 0$ is a 3-syzygy curve if and only if the corresponding Bourbaki ideal $B(C, r_1)$, for some minimal degree syzygy r_1 , is a complete intersection. More precisely, $r_1, r_2, r_3 \in AR(f)$ form a minimal system of generators for $AR(f)$ if and only if $g_2 = v(r_2)$ and $g_3 = v(r_3)$ generate the ideal $B(C, r_1)$.*

Proof. The proof follows from the exact sequence (3.3). □

Remark 3.2. Let $C : f = 0$ be a 3-syzygy curve as above. Consider the determinant $\Delta(R) = \det M(R)$ of the 3×3 matrix $M(R)$ which has as first row x, y, z , as second row the components of r_1 , namely a_1, b_1, c_1 , and as third row the components of R , the combination of r_1, r_2 and r_3 considered in Corollary 2.2. Then $R = 0$ implies that $\Delta(R) = 0$. On the other hand, expanding this determinant with respect to the third row, we get

$$h_2 g_2 + h_3 g_3 = 0.$$

Note that $\deg h_2 = d_1 + d_3 - d + 1 = \deg g_3$ and $\deg h_3 = d_1 + d_2 - d + 1 = \deg g_2$. Since the ideal (g_2, g_3) defines a 0-dimensional complete intersection in \mathbb{P}^2 , it follows that g_2 and g_3 have no common factor. We conclude that

$$h_2 = \alpha g_3 \text{ and } h_3 = -\alpha g_2,$$

for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

Proposition 3.3. *Suppose $C : f = 0$ is a 3-syzygy curve of degree $d \geq 3$ with exponents (d_1, d_2, d_3) . Then the total Tjurina number $\tau(C)$ is given by the formula*

$$\tau(C) = (d-1)^2 - d_1(d-d_1-1) - (d_3-d_2+1) - (d_1+d_2-d)(d_1+d_3-d+2).$$

In particular, a 3-syzygy curve C of degree $d \geq 3$ with exponents (d_1, d_2, d_3) satisfies

$$\tau(C) \leq (d-1)^2 - d_1(d-d_1-1) - (d_3-d_2+1)$$

and equality holds if and only if C is a plus one generated curve.

Proof. A direct computation using the formula for $\tau(C)$ given in Proposition 2.1 or the equality

$$(3.4) \quad \deg B(C, r_1) = (d-1)^2 - d_1(d-d_1-1) - \tau(C),$$

given in [16, Theorem 5.1]. Indeed, one clearly has $\deg B(C, r_1) = \deg g_2 \deg g_3 = (d_1 + d_3 - d + 1)(d_1 + d_2 - d + 1) = (d_3 - d_2 + 1) + (d_1 + d_2 - d)(d_1 + d_3 - d + 2)$.

□

Corollary 3.4. *Let $C : f = 0$ be a reduced curve of degree $d \geq 3$ and let r_j for $j = 1, \dots, m$ be a minimal system of homogeneous generators for $AR(f)$ such that $d_1 \leq \dots \leq d_m$, where $d_j = \deg r_j$. Assume that $m \geq 3$, i.e. the curve C is not free, and that $g_k = v(r_k)$ for $k = 2, 3$ define a complete intersection ideal $B'(C, r_1)$ in S . Then*

$$\tau(C) \geq (d-1)^2 - d_1(d-d_1-1) - (d_3-d_2+1) - (d_1+d_2-d)(d_1+d_3-d+2),$$

and equality holds if and only if C is a 3-syzygy curve.

Proof. The inclusion $B'(C, r_1) \subset B(C, r_1)$ implies that $\deg B'(C, r_1) \geq \deg B(C, r_1)$, and this yields the claimed inequality. On the other hand, the equality $\deg B'(C, r_1) = \deg B(C, r_1)$ implies the equality $B'(C, r_1) = B(C, r_1)$. Indeed, the equality of degrees implies that $\dim B'(C, r_1)_s = \dim B(C, r_1)_s$ for all large s . Hence, for any homogeneous $h \in B(C, r_1)$, there is a large t such that $(x, y, z)^t h \in B(C, r_1)_s = B'(C, r_1)_s$, i.e. the polynomial h belongs to the saturation $B'(C, r_1)^{sat}$ of $B'(C, r_1)$ with respect

to the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} = (x, y, z)$. Since $B'(C, r_1)$ is a complete intersection, we have $B'(C, r_1)^{sat} = B'(C, r_1)$, and hence $B'(C, r_1) = B(C, r_1)$. \square

Remark 3.5. With the above notation, the ideal $B'(C, r_1) = (g_2, g_3)$ is a complete intersection for many curves, see Example 4.3. However, there are curves for which the ideal $B'(C, r_1)$ is not a complete intersection. For instance, the curve $C : f = 0$, with

$$f = (x^2 + y^2)^3 - 4x^2y^2z^2 = 0,$$

has 4 syzygies of degrees 3,4,4,4 as a minimal set of generators for $AR(f)$, namely

$$\begin{aligned} r_1 &= (-xy^2, x^2y, -x^2z + y^2z), \quad r_2 = (-3y^4, 3xy^3 - 4xyz^2, -3x^3z - 9xy^2z + 4xz^3), \\ r_3 &= (-3x^3y + 4xyz^2, 3x^4, 9x^2yz + 3y^3z - 4yz^3) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$r_4 = (4xy^2z, 0, 3x^4 + 6x^2y^2 + 3y^4 - 4y^2z^2).$$

It follows that $g_2 = v(r_2) = -3yz$, $g_3 = v(r_3) = 3xz$ and $g_4 = 3xy$. Hence in this case the polynomials g_2 and g_3 are divisible by z . However, if one replaces r_3 by $r'_3 = ar_3 + br_4$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{C}^*$, then $g'_3 = v(r'_3) = 3x(az + by)$, and hence g_2 and g'_3 have no common factor. Note that for this curve C one has $\tau(C) = 16$ and $\nu(C) = 3$, and the minimal resolution for $M(f)$ has the form

$$0 \rightarrow S(-10)^2 \rightarrow S(-8) \oplus S(-9)^3 \rightarrow S(-5)^3 \rightarrow S.$$

The curve C has 3 singularities: two simple cusps A_2 located at $(1 : \pm i : 0)$, and a singularity with Milnor number 13 and two branches located at $(0 : 0 : 1)$. It follows that C has genus 1.

If we consider the rational curve $C' : f' = (x^2 + y^2)^6 - 3(x^{11} + y^{11})z = 0$, then a computation by SINGULAR shows that $AR(f')$ has a minimal set of generators consisting of 4 syzygies, of degrees 6,7,8 and 10 respectively and too complicated to write down, and the corresponding polynomials g_2 and g_3 are divisible by z again. These two curves C and C' show that curves with $d_1 + d_2 = d + 1$ can be rather complicated, unlike the plus-one curves corresponding to $d_1 + d_2 = d$.

It was shown in [11, Corollary 4.3] that the graded S -module $N(f)$ satisfies a Lefschetz type property with respect to multiplication by generic linear forms. This implies in particular the inequalities

$$(3.5) \quad 0 \leq n(f)_0 \leq n(f)_1 \leq \dots \leq n(f)_{\lfloor T/2 \rfloor} \geq n(f)_{\lfloor T/2 \rfloor + 1} \geq \dots \geq n(f)_T \geq 0,$$

where $T = 3d - 6 = \text{end}(M(f))$ in the notation from [20]. We have the following result, which generalizes the fact that $\nu(C) = 1$ and $ct(f) + st(f) = T + 2$ for a nearly free curve $C : f = 0$.

Proposition 3.6. *Suppose $C : f = 0$ is a 3-syzygy curve of degree $d \geq 3$ with exponents (d_1, d_2, d_3) such that $d_1 \leq d/2$. Then*

$$\nu(C) = d_3 - d_2 + 1 + (d_1 + d_2 - d)(d_1 + d_3 - d + 2).$$

In particular, when $C : f = 0$ is a plus-one generated curve of degree $d \geq 3$ with exponents (d_1, d_2, d_3) , then

$$\nu(C) = d_3 - d_2 + 1 \leq d_1 \text{ and } ct(f) + st(f) = T + \nu(C) + 1.$$

Proof. Use [9, Theorem 1.2], with the remark that the equality in (1) there holds for $r = d_1 = mdr(f) \leq d/2$, as well as Proposition 2.1, Proposition 3.3 and Theorem 2.4 above. \square

Example 3.7. For a Thom-Sebastiani curve $C : g(x, y) + z^d = 0$, where g has distinct m factors as in Example 4.5 below, we get $ct(f) = d + m - 3$, $st(f) = 2d + m - 5$ and hence $ct(f) + st(f) = T + 2m - 2$. On the other hand one has $\nu(C) = m(m - 2) + 1$, and hence a linear relation involving $ct(f)$, $st(f)$ and $\nu(C)$ does not seem to exist for 3-syzygy curves.

We also consider the invariant

$$\sigma(C) = \min\{j : n(f)_j \neq 0\} = \text{indeg}(N(f)),$$

in the notation from [20]. The self duality of the graded S -module $N(f)$, see [20, 25, 29], implies that

$$(3.6) \quad n(f)_k = n(f)_{T-k},$$

for any integer k , hence $n(f)_s \neq 0$ exactly for $s = \sigma(C), \dots, T - \sigma(C)$. Hassanzadeh and Simis result in [20, Proposition 1.3] with our slight improvement in [15, Remark 4.2], implies the following.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $C : f = 0$ be a 3-syzygy curve with exponents (d_1, d_2, d_3) and set $e = d_1 + d_2 + d_3$ as above. Then the following hold.*

(i) *The minimal free resolution of $N(f)$ as a graded S -module has the form*

$$0 \rightarrow S(-e) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^3 S(1 - d - d_j) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^3 S(d_j + 2 - 2d) \rightarrow S(e + 3 - 3d),$$

where the leftmost map is the same as in the resolution (2.1). In particular,

$$\sigma(C) = \text{indeg}(N(f)) = 3(d - 1) - (d_1 + d_2 + d_3).$$

(ii) *If $d_1 \geq 2$, then the resolution of S/I_f is given by*

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^3 S(d_j + 2 - 2d) \rightarrow S^3(1 - d) \oplus S(e + 3 - 3d) \rightarrow S.$$

Corollary 3.9. *Suppose $C : f = 0$ is a plus-one generated curve of degree $d \geq 3$ with exponents (d_1, d_2, d_3) , which is not nearly free, i.e. $d_2 < d_3$. Set $k_j = 2d - d_j - 3$ for $j = 1, \dots, 3$. Then one has the following minimal free resolution of $N(f)$ as a graded S -module.*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow S(-d - d_3) \rightarrow S(-d - d_3 + 1) \oplus S(-k_1 - 2) \oplus S(-k_2 - 2) \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow S(-k_1 - 1) \oplus S(-k_2 - 1) \oplus S(-k_3 - 1) \rightarrow S(-k_3). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\sigma(C) = k_3$ and the Hilbert function of $N(f)$ is given by the following formulas.

- (1) $n(f)_k = 0$ for $k < k_3$, and $k_3 < T/2$.
- (2) $n(f)_k = k - k_3 + 1$ for $k_3 \leq k \leq k_2$, and $k_2 = d + d_1 - 3 \leq T/2$.
- (3) $n(f)_k = d_3 - d_2 + 1 = \nu(C)$ for $k_2 \leq k \leq T/2$.

Note that the dimensions $n(f)_k$ for $k > T/2$ can be determined from this result using the equality (3.6). When $C : f = 0$ is a nearly free curve, the numbers $n(f)_k$ can be given by the above formulas as well, but we have to take $k_3 = k_2 \leq T/2$, in other words we have only the cases (1) and (3).

Theorem 3.10. *Let $C : f = 0$ be a reduced, non-free plane curve of degree d such that the Hilbert function of the Jacobian module $N(f)$ has the form described in Corollary 3.9, namely suppose that there are integers $0 < k_3 = \sigma(C) \leq k_2 \leq T/2$ such that*

- (1) $n(f)_k = 0$ for $k < k_3$.
- (2) $n(f)_k = k - k_3 + 1$ for $k_3 \leq k \leq k_2$.
- (3) $n(f)_k = k_2 - k_3 + 1 = \nu(C)$ for $k_2 \leq k \leq T/2$.

Then C is a plus-one generated curve. Moreover, a reduced plane curve C of degree d such that

$$\nu(C) = 2$$

is one of the following.

- (i) *a plus-one generated curve with exponents $(d_1, d - d_1, d - d_1 + 1)$ and total Tjurina number*

$$\tau(C) = (d - 1)^2 - d_1(d - d_1 - 1) - 2;$$

- (ii) *a 4-syzygy curve with exponents $d_1 = d_2 = d_3 = d_4$, degree $d = 2d_1 - 1$ and total Tjurina number*

$$\tau(C) = 3d_1^2 - 6d_1 + 1 = (d - 1)^2 - d_1(d - d_1 - 1) - 3.$$

When $d = 3$, then C is a nodal cubic.

Proof. When C is a plus-one generated curve, then the formulas for the exponents and for the total Tjurina number follow from Proposition 3.6 and Proposition 2.1 (4). We assume now that C is not a plus-one generated curve, which in view of Theorem 2.3 means exactly that $d_1 + d_2 > d$. We prove that this inequality implies that the Hilbert function of the Jacobian module $N(f)$ has not the form described in Corollary 3.9 and that $\nu(C) \geq 3$, unless C is as in (ii) above. Using the notation from the resolution (2.3), we assume first that $m = 3$, i.e. C is a 3-syzygy curve. Consider the resolution of $N(f)$ given in Theorem 3.8 (i) and take the graded pieces of degree $s = \sigma(C) + 1 = 3(d - 1) - (d_1 + d_2 + d_3) + 1$. Note that the inequality $d_1 + d_2 > d$ implies that

$$s + d_j + 2 - 2d \leq s + d_3 + 2 - 2d = d - d_1 - d_2 < 0.$$

It follows that $n(f)_s = 3$, and hence our claims are proved in this case.

Assume now that $m > 3$ and consider the resolution of $N(f)$ obtained from the resolution (2.3) by applying [20, Proposition 1.3], namely the resolution

$$(3.7) \quad 0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m-2} S(-e_i) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^m S(1-d-d_j) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^m S(d_j-2(d-1)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m-2} S(e_i-3(d-1)).$$

Using this resolution, denoted shortly by $0 \rightarrow F_3 \rightarrow F_2 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0$, it follows that

$$(3.8) \quad \sigma(C) = \text{indeg}(N(f)) = 3(d - 1) - e_{m-2} = 2(d - 1) - d_m - \epsilon_{m-2},$$

with the notation from (2.4). Note that $\min(2(d-1)-d_j) = 2(d-1)-d_m > \sigma(C)$, and hence using the component of degree $\sigma(C)$ of the above resolution, we conclude that $F_{1,\sigma(C)} = 0$ and $n(f)_{\sigma(C)} = t$, where t is the cardinal of the set $\mathcal{I} = \{i : e_i = e_{m-2}\}$. If $t > 1$, then there are two cases to discuss.

Case 1. Suppose that one has $1 < t < m - 2$. Then consider the homogeneous component of degree $s = \sigma(C) + 1$ of this resolution. It follows that $\dim F_{0,s} \geq 3t$, with equality when there is no $i \notin \mathcal{I}$ with $e_i = e_{m-2} - 1$, and $\dim F_{1,s} \leq t$, with equality when $\epsilon_i = 1$ for all $i \in \mathcal{I}$. It follows that $n(f)_s \geq 2t \geq 4$ for $t \geq 2$.

Case 2. Suppose that one has $1 < t = m - 2$. Note that in this case $\dim F_{1,s} \leq m$, with equality when $d_1 = \dots = d_m$ and $\epsilon_i = 1$ for all i , and hence $n(f)_s \geq 3(m-2) - m = 2m - 6 \geq 2$. Therefore, one has $n(f)_s > 2$ except the case when $d_1 = \dots = d_m$, $\epsilon_i = 1$ for all i , and $m = 4$. In this case the equality (2.5) implies that

$$(3.9) \quad 2d_1 = d + 1.$$

Since $d \geq 3$ it follows that $d_1 \geq 2$. For $d_1 = 2$ we get $d = 3$, and the classification of the cubic plane curves shows that C must be a nodal cubic. We consider now the case $d_1 \geq 3$. To do this we use [20, Proposition 1.3] completed by our [15, Remark 4.2], and get the following resolution for S/I_f

$$(3.10) \quad 0 \rightarrow S^m(2(1-d) + d_1) \rightarrow S^3(1-d) \oplus S^{m-2}(d_1 + 3 - 2d) \rightarrow S.$$

Using the formula for $\tau(C)$ in terms of such a resolution, see for instance [15, Lemma 2.2 (4)], we get the following

$$(3.11) \quad 2\tau(C) = 4(2(d-1) - d_1)^2 - 3(d-1)^2 - 2(2d-3-d_1)^2.$$

Using the formula (3.9), this gives

$$\tau(C) = 3d_1^2 - 6d_1 + 1.$$

We suppose from now on that $t = 1$ and hence $e_{m-3} < e_{m-2}$. To fix the ideas, suppose that $e_{m-3} = e_{m-2} - a$, for an integer $a > 0$. It follows that, for $s = \sigma(C) + 1$ as above, we have $\dim F_{0,s} \geq 3$, with equality when $a > 1$. Hence, in order to get $n(f)_s \leq 2$, we need $F_{1,s} \neq 0$, which implies $\epsilon_{m-2} = 1$. Then the equality $e_{m-3} = e_{m-2} - a$ implies $d_{m-1} \leq d_m - a$. The homogeneous component of degree $s' = \sigma(C) + a$ of the resolution is

$$0 \rightarrow F_{3,s'} \rightarrow F_{2,s'} \rightarrow F_{1,s'} \rightarrow F_{0,s'}$$

such that the following hold.

- (i) $\dim F_{0,s'} \geq \dim S_a + \dim S_0$, where S_a corresponds to $S(e_{m-2} - 3(d-1))_{s'}$ and S_0 to $S(e_{m-3} - 3(d-1))_{s'}$.
- (ii) $\dim F_{1,s'} = \dim S_{a-1}$, where S_{a-1} corresponds to $S(d_m - 2(d-1))_{s'}$, and using the inequality $d_{m-1} - 2(d-1) + s' < 0$.

This implies that

$$n(f)_{\sigma(C)+a} \geq \dim S_a + \dim S_0 - \dim S_{a-1} = a + 2 \geq 3,$$

and hence the Hilbert function of the Jacobian module $N(f)$ has not the form described in Corollary 3.9 and also $\nu(C) \geq 3$. \square

Remark 3.11. The two types of curves having $\nu(C) = 2$ in Theorem 3.10 (ii) correspond to the two cases in [18, Corollary 23 (iii)]. To relate the two results, one has to use the rank two vector bundle E_C which corresponds to the graded S -module $AR(f)$, which is the main object of study in [3, 16].

Here are some examples of 4-syzygy curves C with $\nu(C) = 2$ as described in Theorem 3.10 (ii). The generic line arrangement

$$\mathcal{A}_5 : f_5 = xyz(x - 2y - 3z)(x + y + z) = 0,$$

the line arrangement

$$\mathcal{A}_7 : f_7 = xyz(x - z)(y - z)(x + y)(x + y + z) = 0$$

and the line arrangement

$$\mathcal{A}_9 : f_9 = xyz(x - z)(y - z)(x + y)(x + y + z)(x - y)(x - y - z) = 0$$

are all of this type. It is interesting to note that the line arrangement

$$\mathcal{B}_7 : g_7 = xyz(x + y + z)(x + z)(y + z)(x - y + 2z) = 0$$

is a plus-one generated line arrangement with exponents $(d_1, d_2, d_3) = (3, 4, 5)$, and the arrangements \mathcal{A}_7 and \mathcal{B}_7 have both 9 double points and 4 triple points as multiple points. In particular they have $\tau(\mathcal{A}_7) = \tau(\mathcal{B}_7) = 25$ and $\nu(\mathcal{A}_7) = \nu(\mathcal{B}_7) = 2$. However, the line arrangements \mathcal{A}_7 and \mathcal{B}_7 are combinatorially distinct, since any line in \mathcal{A}_7 contains at least a triple point, but the line $x - y + 2z = 0$ in \mathcal{B}_7 contains no triple point.

Beyond the case of line arrangements, note that the reducible curve

$$C'_5 : f'_5 = (x + y)(x^4 - x^3y + x^2y^2 - xy^3 + y^4 + x^3z - x^2yz + xy^2z) = 0$$

and the irreducible curve

$$C''_5 : f'' = z(x^2 + y^2 + xy)^2 + x^5 + y^5 = 0$$

are both 4-syzygy curves as in Theorem 3.10 (ii) with $d_1 = 3$. A curve similar to C''_5 is studied in [16, Example 6.3]. The irreducible curve

$$C'''_9 : f''' = z(x^2 + y^2 + xy)^4 + x^9 + y^9 = 0$$

is a 4-syzygy curve as in Theorem 3.10 (ii) with $d_1 = 5$. The curves C''_5 and C'''_9 are both rational, nearly cuspidal curves: the only singularity is located at $(0 : 0 : 1)$ and has two branches. Note also that there are curves C of even degree with $\nu(C) = 3$ which are 4-syzygy curves, as shown by the first curve in Remark 3.5.

Corollary 3.12. *Let $C : f = 0$ be a reduced plane curve of degree $d \geq 3$. Then the fact that C is a plus-one generated curve, and in the affirmative case, the corresponding exponents (d_1, d_2, d_3) , are determined by the degree d and the Hilbert function of the Jacobian module $N(f)$.*

4. EXAMPLES OF 3-SYZYGY CURVES AND PLUS ONE GENERATED CURVES

First we look at some low degree, irreducible 3-syzygy curves and plus one generated curves.

Example 4.1. Here are some classical plane curves.

(i) The curve $C : f = (y^2 - xz)^2 + y^2z^2 + z^4 = 0$ has a unique singularity of type A_5 located at $p = (1 : 0 : 0)$, and it is a plus one generated curve with exponents $(d_1, d_2, d_3) = (2, 2, 3)$. One has $\delta(C, p) = 3$, hence C is a rational curve with a point p having $r(C, p) = 2$ branches.

(ii) The curve $C : f = (x^2 + y^2)^2 - 4xy^2z = 0$, called a *double folium*, has a unique singularity of type D_5 located at $p = (0 : 0 : 1)$, and C is a plus-one generated curve with exponents $(d_1, d_2, d_3) = (2, 2, 3)$. One has again $\delta(C, p) = 3$, hence C is again a rational curve with a point p having $r(C, p) = 2$ branches.

(iii) The curve $C : f = (x^2 + y^2 - 2xz)^2 - (x^2 + y^2)z^2 = 0$, called a *limaçon*, has 3 singularities, one of type A_1 located at $p_1 = (0 : 0 : 1)$, and two of type A_2 , located at $(1 : \pm i : 0)$. The curve C is a plus one generated curve with exponents $(d_1, d_2, d_3) = (2, 2, 3)$. One has again $\delta(C, p) = 3$, hence C is again a rational curve with a point p_1 having $r(C, p_1) = 2$ branches.

(iv) The curve $C : f = x^5 - y^2z^3 - xz^4 = 0$, called a *Bolza curve*, has a unique singularity of type E_8 located at $p = (0 : 1 : 0)$, and C is a 3-syzygy curve with exponents $(d_1, d_2, d_3) = (2, 4, 4)$. One has $\delta(C, p) = 4$, hence C is cuspidal curve of genus $g = 2$.

(v) The curve $C : f = x^6 + y^6 - x^2z^4 = 0$, called a *Butterfly curve*, has a unique singularity of type A_5 located at $p = (0 : 0 : 1)$, and C is a 3-syzygy curve with exponents $(d_1, d_2, d_3) = (4, 5, 5)$. One has $\delta(C, p) = 3$, hence C is a curve of genus $g = 7$, with a point p having $r(C, p) = 2$ branches.

Example 4.2. Consider the rational curve

$$f = x^{2d'+1} + (x^{d'} + y^{d'})^2z = 0.$$

It can be shown that C has the exponents $(d', d' + 1, 2d')$ for any $d' \geq 3$, hence we have a plus-one generated curve with $\nu(C) = d_3 - d_2 + 1 = d'$ arbitrarily large. Indeed, the corresponding generators for $AR(f)$ are the following.

$$r_1 = (0, x^{d'} + y^{d'}, -2d'y^{d'-1}z),$$

$$r_2 = (-2d'(x^{d'} + y^{d'})z, dy^{d'+1}, 2d'dx^{d'}z - 2d'dy^{d'}z + 4(d')^2x^{d'-1}z^2),$$

and $r_3 = (f_z, 0, -f_x)$. Proposition 3.6 says that for a plus-one generated curve C we have $\nu(C) \leq d_1$, and here we have a sequence of examples of plus-one generated curves for which this inequality is in fact an equality.

Next we present two infinite series of 3-syzygy curves, each having 2 irreducible components: a line and a smooth curve.

Example 4.3. Let $C' : f' = 0$ be a smooth curve of degree $d - 1 \geq 2$ and consider the curve $C : f(x, y, z) = xf'(x, y, z) = 0$, namely C is the union of the smooth curve C' with the line $L : x = 0$.

(i) Consider first the case $f' = x^{d-1} + y^{d-1} + z^{d-1}$. Then L is transverse to C' , C has $d - 1$ simple nodes as singularities and hence $\tau(C) = d - 1$. The module is generated by 3 syzygies, namely

$$r_1 = (0, f'_z, -f'_y), \quad r_2 = (f_y, -f_x, 0) \quad \text{and} \quad r_3 = (f_z, 0, -f_x)$$

of degrees $d_1 = d - 2$ and $d_2 = d_3 = d - 1$. Hence the inequality in Remark 2.5 is an equality for this curve. The ideal $B'(C, r_1)$ coincides with the ideal (f'_y, f'_z) and hence it is a complete intersection. In conclusion C is a 3-syzygy curve for any $d \geq 3$, and a plus one generated curve for $d = 3$.

(ii) Consider now the case $f' = x^{d-1} + xy^{d-2} + z^{d-1}$. Then L meets C' only at the point $p = (0 : 1 : 0)$, where C has an A_{2d-3} singularity, and hence $\tau(C) = 2d - 3$. The module is generated by 3 syzygies, namely

$$r_1 = (0, f'_z, -f'_y), \quad r_2 = (-xy^{d-3}, \frac{dx^{d-2} + 2y^{d-2}}{d-2}, \frac{y^{d-3}z}{d-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad r_3 = (f_z, 0, -f_x)$$

of degrees $d_1 = d_2 = d - 2$ and $d_3 = d - 1$. In fact, for $d = 3$, the last syzygy is a consequence of the first two, and we get a free curve. For $d = 4$ we get a plus one generated curve, and for any $d > 4$ we get a 3-syzygy curve.

(iii) Finally we consider the case $f' = x^4 + xy^3 + xz^3 + y^2z^2$. Then L is a simple bitangent, and hence C has two singularities A_3 , which give $\tau(C) = 6$. A computation using Singular shows that $AR(f)$ has 4 minimal generators of degrees $d_1 = 3$, $d_2 = d_3 = d_4 = 4$, namely

$$\begin{aligned} r_1 &= (0, -2y^2z - 3xz^2, 3xy^2 + 2yz^2), \\ r_2 &= (-6xy^3 + 6xz^3, 10x^3y + 4y^4 + yz^3, -10x^3z - y^3z - 4z^4), \\ r_3 &= (-3x^2y^2 - 2xyz^2, 5x^4 + 2xy^3 + y^2z^2 + 2xz^3, 0), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$r_4 = (-6xy^2z - 9x^2z^2, 4y^3z + 6xy^2z, 15x^4 - y^2z^2 + 6xz^3).$$

Hence this curve C is not a 3-syzygy curve. The corresponding ideal $B'(C, r_1)$ is the complete intersection $(g_2, g_3) = (y^3 - z^3, 3xy + 2z^2)$ and the inequality in Corollary 3.4 is in this case $\tau(C) = 6 > 4$.

We present next an infinite series of plus one generated irreducible curves.

Example 4.4. Consider the irreducible curve $C : f = 0$ with $d = 2k + 1$ odd, $k \geq 2$, given by

$$f = x^d + (x^2 + y^2)^k z = 0.$$

Then the exponents are $(2, d - 2, d - 1)$, hence we have a plus-one generated curve. The generating syzygies can be described as follows. Note that

$$f_x = (2k + 1)x^{2k} + 2k(x^2 + y^2)^{k-1}xz, \quad f_y = 2k(x^2 + y^2)^{k-1}yz \quad \text{and} \quad f_z = (x^2 + y^2)^k.$$

The first syzygy r_1 of degree $d_1 = 2$ is easy to find, namely

$$(x^2 + y^2)f_y - 2kyzf_z = 0.$$

The last syzygy r_3 of degree $d - 1$ can be taken to be the Koszul syzygy

$$(x^2 + y^2)^k f_x - ((2k + 1)x^{2k} + 2k(x^2 + y^2)^{k-1}xz)f_z = 0.$$

The second syzygy r_2 of degree $d - 2 = 2k - 1$ is more complicated to describe. We consider first the case $k \geq 3$ odd, and set

$$A = af_x + bf_y$$

where $a = 2kz(x^2 + y^2)^{k-1}$ and $b = (2k + 1)y^{2k-1}$. Then we have

$$A = 2k(2k + 1)z(x^{2k} + y^{2k})(x^2 + y^2)^{k-1} + 4k^2xz^2(x^2 + y^2)^{2k-2}.$$

Since k is odd, we have

$$x^{2k} + y^{2k} = (x^2 + y^2)(x^{2k-2} - x^{2k-4}y^2 + \dots - x^2y^{2k-4} + y^{2k-2}),$$

and hence one can write $A = Bf_z$, where

$$B = 2k(2k + 1)z(x^{2k-2} - x^{2k-4}y^2 + \dots - x^2y^{2k-4} + y^{2k-2}) + 4k^2xz^2(x^2 + y^2)^{k-2}.$$

With this notation, the syzygy r_2 is given by

$$af_x + bf_y - Bf_z = 0.$$

When k is even, one can do essentially the same trick, starting with $A = af_x - bf_y$. To prove that these 3 syzygies generate $AR(f)$, one can use Proposition 3.1 above. The formula in Proposition 2.1 implies

$$\tau(C) = (d - 1)(2d - 1) - 2(d - 2) - 2(d - 1) - (d - 1)(d - 2) = d^2 - 4d + 5.$$

This curve is clearly rational, and it has only one singular point, at $p = (0 : 0 : 1)$, with Milnor number $\mu(C, p) = d^2 - 3d + 1$ and delta invariant $\delta(C, p) = (d - 1)(d - 2)/2$. It follows that the germ (C, p) has

$$r(C, p) = 2\delta(C, p) - \mu(C, p) + 1 = 2$$

branches, each of multiplicity k . Using [9, Theorem 1.2], it follows that

$$\nu(C) = (d - 1)^2 - 2(d - 3) - \tau(C) = 2.$$

More precisely, one has $\sigma(C) = 3(d - 1) - (2d - 1) = d - 2$ and $n(f)_{d-1} = 2$ using the resolution in Theorem 3.8 (i). It follows that $n(f)_k = 0$ for $k \notin [d - 2, 4d - 4]$, $n(f)_{d-2} = n(f)_{2d-4} = 1$ and $n(f)_k = 2$ for $d - 1 \leq k \leq 2d - 5$.

Example 4.5. Let $C : f = 0$ be a Thom-Sebastiani plane curve, i.e. a curve such that $f(x, y, z) = g(x, y) + z^d$, where g is a homogeneous polynomial of degree d in $R = \mathbb{C}[x, y]$. Assume that

$$g = \ell_1^{k_1} \dots \ell_m^{k_m},$$

where the linear forms $\ell_j \in R$ are distinct. Then it is easy to see that the minimal resolution of the Milnor algebra $M(g) = R/(g_x, g_y)$ is given by

$$0 \rightarrow R(2 - m - d) \rightarrow R^2(1 - d) \rightarrow R.$$

Similarly, $M(z^d) = \mathbb{C}[z]/(z^{d-1})$ has a resolution

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[z](1-d) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[z].$$

Since $M(f) = M(g) \otimes M(z^d)$, we get the following minimal resolution for the Milnor algebra $M(f)$:

$$0 \rightarrow S(3-m-2d) \rightarrow S(2-m-d) \oplus S^2(2-2d) \rightarrow S^3(1-d) \rightarrow S.$$

It follows that C is a 3-syzygy curve with exponents $d_1 = m-1$ and $d_2 = d_3 = d-1$. Using Corollary 3.4 it follows that

$$\tau(C) = (d-1)^2 - d_1(d-d_1-1) - (d_1-1)(d_1+1) = (d-1)(d-d_1-1).$$

It was shown by A. du Plessis and C.T.C. Wall that, for any plane curve $C : f = 0$ of degree d , one has the inequality

$$(4.1) \quad \tau(C) \geq (d-1)(d-d_1-1),$$

where $r = d_1 = mdr(f)$, see [17, Theorem 3.2], and also [19, Theorem 20] for a new approach. Hence the Thom Sebastiani plane curves C discuss in this example realize the minimal value for the global Tjurina number for any pair of given integers (d, d_1) . When $d_1 = d-2$, this minimal value is also attained when C is the union of a smooth curve of degree $d-1$ and a generic secant line, see [19, Lemma 21], which was a motivation for this example. A particular case of such curves occurs in our Example 4.3 (i) above.

5. VARIOUS BOUNDS ON THE EXPONENTS AND THE RELATION TO NEARLY CUSPIDAL RATIONAL CURVES

Let $U = \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus C$ be the complement of C and assume that the curve C has k irreducible components C_i , for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Let $g(C_i)$ denote the genus of the normalization of C_i . Then a key result of U. Walther in [30, Theorem 4.3], combined with [1, Theorem 2.7] yields the following inequality.

Theorem 5.1. *For any reduced plane curve $C : f = 0$, one has*

$$\dim N(f)_{2d-2-j} \leq \dim Gr_F^1 H^2(F_f, \mathbb{C})_\lambda,$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, d$ and $\lambda = \exp(2\pi i(d+1-j)/d) = \exp(-2\pi i(j-1)/d)$. In particular, this inequality gives for $j = 1$, the following

$$n(f)_{d-3} = n(f)_{2d-3} \leq \dim Gr_F^1 H^2(F_f, \mathbb{C})_1 = \dim Gr_F^1 H^2(U, \mathbb{C}) = \sum_{k=1}^r g(C_i).$$

Here F_f is the Milnor fiber of f , that is the smooth affine surface in \mathbb{C}^3 given by $f(x, y, z) = 1$, F denotes the Hodge filtration on the cohomology group $H^2(F_f, \mathbb{C})$, and $H^2(F_f, \mathbb{C})_\lambda$ is the Milnor monodromy eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue λ . It is known that one has $H^k(F_f, \mathbb{C})_1 = H^k(U, \mathbb{C})$ for any k , see for instance [7, Chapter 7] for more details on all these objects.

Corollary 5.2. *Let $C : f = 0$ be a reduced plane curve of degree $d \geq 3$ and let $d_1 \leq \dots \leq d_m$ be the degrees of a minimal set of generators for $AR(f)$. If all the irreducible components C_i of C are rational, then $d_m \leq d - 1$.*

Note that this inequality is sharp even in the class of plus-one irreducible rational curves, as shown by Example 4.4.

Proof. We have seen in the proof of Theorem 3.10 that one has the formula (3.8), namely

$$\sigma(C) = 2(d - 1) - d_m - \epsilon_{m-2}.$$

Theorem 5.1 implies that $d - 3 < \sigma(C)$, which yields our claim since $\epsilon_{m-2} \geq 1$. \square

Corollary 5.3. *Let $C : f = 0$ be a 3-syzygy curve of degree $d \geq 3$ with exponents (d_1, d_2, d_3) . If all the irreducible components C_i of C are rational and C is not a plus-one generated curve, then $d_3 \leq d - 2$.*

Proof. For a 3-syzygy curve we have two formulas for $\sigma(C)$, namely the one in Theorem 3.8 and the one in formula (3.8). The equality

$$3(d - 1) - d_1 - d_2 - d_3 = 2(d - 1) - d_3 - \epsilon_1,$$

implies $\epsilon_1 = d_1 + d_2 - (d - 1)$. If C is not a plus-one curve, then $d_1 + d_2 > d$ and hence $\epsilon_1 \geq 2$. This yields the claim as in the proof above. \square

Theorem 5.4. *Let $C : f = 0$ be a rational, nearly cuspidal curve of degree d in \mathbb{P}^2 . Assume that one of the following holds.*

- (1) d is even;
- (2) d is odd and for any singularity x of C , the order of any eigenvalue λ_x of the local monodromy operator h_x is not d .
- (3) $d = p^k$ is a power of a prime number p .

Then $\nu(C) \leq 2$. In particular, C is a free curve when $\nu(C) = 0$, a nearly free curve when $\nu(C) = 1$, and a plus-one generated curve when $\nu(C) = 2$ and d is even.

Proof. Note that a rational nearly cuspidal curve C is homotopically equivalent to a sphere S^2 with an interval $I = [0, 1]$ attached at its extremities. It follows that the Euler characteristic of C is given by

$$E(C) = E(S^2) + E(I) - E(2 \text{ points}) = 2 + 1 - 2 = 1.$$

Then $E(U) = E(\mathbb{P}^2) - E(C) = 3 - 1 = 2$ and exactly the same proof as in [13, Theorem 3.1] shows that $\nu(C) \leq 2$ by using Theorem 5.1 above. Then we conclude using our Theorem 3.10. \square

The fact that C is rational is essential for Theorem 5.4, as the curve in Remark 3.5 shows. Finally we consider in more details the case of rational, nearly cuspidal curve of degree d odd.

Theorem 5.5. *Let $C : f = 0$ be a rational, nearly cuspidal curve of odd degree $d = 2d' + 1$. Then $\text{mdr}(f) = d_1 \leq d' + 1$ and the following hold.*

- (1) If $mdr(f) = d_1 = d'$, then C is a free curve, a nearly free curve, or a plus-one generated curve with $\nu(C) = 2$;
- (2) If $mdr(f) = d_1 = d' + 1$, then C is a 4-syzygy curve as described in Theorem 3.10 (ii).

In particular, when $mdr(f) = d_1 \in [d', d' + 1]$, then $\nu(C) \leq 2$.

Proof. The proof given for [14, Theorem 1.1] applies with no modification. □

Using this result, we can construct the following infinite series of nearly cuspidal rational curves which are 4-syzygy curves as in (2) above.

Example 5.6. Let $d = 2r - 1 \geq 5$ be an odd integer and set

$$C_d : f_d = x^{r-1}y^{r-1}z + x^d + y^d = 0.$$

A minimal degree syzygy for f_d is given by

$$\rho_1 = (-xy^{r-1}, 0, (r-1)y^{r-1}z + (2r-1)x^r),$$

and hence $d_1 = mdr(f_d) = r$. The curve C_d is clearly rational, since we can express z as a rational function of x and y . The Milnor number $\mu(C_d, p)$ can be easily computed, since the singularity (C_d, p) is Newton nondegenerate and comode, see [21]. It follows that

$$\mu(C_d, p) = 4r^2 - 10r + 5.$$

Since C_d is rational, we have for the δ -invariant the following equality

$$\delta(C_d, p) = \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2} = (r-1)(2r-3).$$

It follows that the number of branches of the singularity (C_d, p) is

$$2\delta(C_d, p) - \mu(C_d, p) + 1 = 2,$$

and hence C_d is a nearly cuspidal rational curve. Apply now Theorem 5.5 with $d' = r - 1$, and conclude that C is a 4-syzygy curve with exponents $d_1 = d_2 = d_3 = d_4 = r$.

Consider now the prime decomposition of d , namely

$$(5.1) \quad d = p_1^{k_1} \cdot p_2^{k_2} \cdots p_m^{k_m},$$

where $p_i \neq p_j$ for any $i \neq j$. We assume also that $m \geq 2$, the case $m = 1$ being settled in Theorem 5.4 (3). By changing the order of the p_j 's if necessary, we can and do assume that $p_1^{k_1} > p_j^{k_j}$, for any $2 \leq j \leq m$. Set $e_1 = d/p_1^{k_1}$.

Theorem 5.7. *Let $C : f = 0$ be a rational, nearly cuspidal plane curve of degree $d = 2d' + 1$, an odd number as in (5.1). Then, if*

$$mdr(f) = d_1 \leq r_0 := \frac{d - e_1}{2},$$

then $\nu(C) \leq 2$. In particular, if $d = 3p^k$, with $p > 3$ a prime number, then C is a free curve, a nearly free curve or a plus-one generated curve with $\nu(C) = 2$.

Proof. Exactly the same proof as for [14, Proposition 3.3] implies that $n(f)_j \leq 2$ for $j \geq 2d - 3 - r_0$. Our assumption implies $r = mdr(f) < d/2$ and hence case (ii) in Theorem 3.10 is excluded. Moreover one has $2d - r - 3 \geq 2d - r_0 - 3$ and one can use [16, Theorem 3.2 (1)] to get

$$\nu(C) = n(f)_{2d-r-3} \leq 2.$$

When $d = 3p^k$ and $p > 3$, we set $p^k = 2p' + 1$. With this notation, we have $e_1 = d/p^k = 3$, $d = 3(2p' + 1) = 2(3p' + 1) + 1$, and hence $d' = 3p' + 1$. Due to Theorem 5.5, we can assume $r = mdr(f) < d'$. Since

$$r_0 = (d - 3)/2 = 3p' = d' - 1$$

the final claim in Theorem 5.7 is proved. \square

Corollary 5.8. *Let $C : f = 0$ be a rational, nearly cuspidal plane curve of degree d . Then Conjecture 1.4 holds in any of the following cases.*

- (1) *The degree d is even;*
- (2) *The degree d is at most 33.*

The last claim follows since all the odd numbers $d \leq 33$ are either powers of primes, or of the form $3p^k$, with $p > 3$ prime.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Abdallah, On Hodge theory of singular plane curves. *Canad. Math. Bull.* 59 (2016), 449–460. 5
- [2] T. Abe, Plus-one generated and next to free arrangements of hyperplanes, arXiv:1808.04697. 1.1, 2
- [3] T. Abe, A. Dimca, On the splitting types of bundles of logarithmic vector fields along plane curves, *Internat. J. Math.* 29 (2018), no. 8, 1850055, 20 pp. 1.1, 1, 3.11
- [4] E. Artal Bartolo, L. Gorrochategui, I. Luengo, A. Melle-Hernández, On some conjectures about free and nearly free divisors, in: *Singularities and Computer Algebra, Festschrift for Gert-Martin Greuel on the Occasion of his 70th Birthday*, pp. 1–19, Springer (2017) 1, 1.1
- [5] W. Decker, G.-M. Greuel, G. Pfister and H. Schönemann. SINGULAR 4-0-1 — A computer algebra system for polynomial computations, available at <http://www.singular.uni-kl.de> (2014).
- [6] A. Dimca, Syzygies of Jacobian ideals and defects of linear systems, *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie Tome 56(104) No. 2*, 2013, 191–203. 2.5
- [7] A. Dimca, *Hyperplane Arrangements: An Introduction*, Universitext, Springer, 2017 5
- [8] A. Dimca, Freeness versus maximal global Tjurina number for plane curves, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* 163 (2017), 161–172. 1, 1.1, 2, 3
- [9] A. Dimca, On rational cuspidal plane curves, and the local cohomology of Jacobian rings, arXiv:1707.05258. to appear in *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici*. 1.1, 1, 1, 3, 4.4
- [10] A. Dimca, D. Ibadula, A. Măcinic, Numerical invariants and moduli spaces for line arrangements, arXiv:1609.06551. 2
- [11] A. Dimca, D. Popescu, Hilbert series and Lefschetz properties of dimension one almost complete intersections, *Comm. Algebra* 44 (2016), 4467–4482. 3
- [12] A. Dimca, G. Sticlaru, Free divisors and rational cuspidal plane curves, *Math. Res. Lett.* 24(2017), 1023–1042. 1, 2
- [13] A. Dimca, G. Sticlaru, Free and nearly free curves vs. rational cuspidal plane curves, *Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ.* 54 (2018), 163–179. 1.1, 1, 2, 5
- [14] A. Dimca, G. Sticlaru, On the freeness of rational cuspidal plane curves, *Moscow Math. J.* 659–666. 1, 5, 5

- [15] A. Dimca, G. Sticlaru, Saturation of Jacobian ideals: some applications to nearly free curves, line arrangements and rational cuspidal plane curves, arXiv: 1711.02595v2. 3, 3, 3
- [16] A. Dimca, G. Sticlaru, On the jumping lines of bundles of logarithmic vector fields along plane curves, arXiv: 1804.06349. 3, 3, 3, 3.11, 5
- [17] A.A. du Plessis, C.T.C. Wall, Application of the theory of the discriminant to highly singular plane curves, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc., 126(1999), 259-266. 4.5
- [18] Ph. Ellia, On the cohomology of rank two vector bundles on \mathbb{P}^2 and a theorem of Chiantini and Valabrega, arXiv:1901.00807. 3.11
- [19] Ph. Ellia, Quasi complete intersections and global Tjurina number of plane curves, arXiv:1901.00809. 4.5
- [20] S. H. Hassanzadeh, A. Simis, Plane Cremona maps: Saturation and regularity of the base ideal, J. Algebra 371 (2012), 620–652. 1, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3
- [21] A.G. Kouchnirenko, Polyèdres de Newton et nombres de Milnor, Invent. Math. 32 (1976), 1–31. 5.6
- [22] S. Marchesi, J. Vallès, Nearly free curves and arrangements: a vector bundle point of view, arXiv:1712.04867. 1.1
- [23] R. Nanduri, A family of irreducible free divisors in \mathbb{P}^2 , J. Algebra Appl., 14, 1550105 (2015) DOI: 10.1142/S0219498815501054. 1
- [24] H. Schenck, Elementary modifications and line configurations in P^2 , Comm. Math. Helv. 78 (2003), 447–462. 2.5
- [25] E. Sernesi, The local cohomology of the jacobian ring, Documenta Mathematica, 19 (2014), 541-565. 3
- [26] A. Simis, Differential idealizers and algebraic free divisors, in: *Commutative Algebra: Geometric, Homological, Combinatorial and Computational Aspects*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics (Eds. A. Corso, P. Gimenez, M. V. Pinto and S. Zarzuela), Chapman & Hall, Volume 244 (2005), 211–226. 1
- [27] A. Simis, The depth of the Jacobian ring of a homogeneous polynomial in three variables, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 134 (2006), 1591–1598. 1
- [28] A. Simis, S.O. Tohăneanu, Homology of homogeneous divisors, Israel J. Math. 200 (2014), 449-487. 1, 2, 2, 2
- [29] D. van Straten, T. Warmt, Gorenstein duality for one-dimensional almost complete intersections—with an application to non-isolated real singularities, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 158(2015), 249–268. 3
- [30] U. Walther, The Jacobian module, the Milnor fiber, and the D -module generated by f^s , Invent. Math. 207 (2017), 1239–1287. 5

UNIVERSITÉ CÔTE D’AZUR, CNRS, LJAD, FRANCE AND SIMION STOILow INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, P.O. BOX 1-764, RO-014700 BUCHAREST, ROMANIA

E-mail address: `dimca@unice.fr`

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, OVIDIUS UNIVERSITY BD. MAMAIA 124, 900527 CONSTANTA, ROMANIA

E-mail address: `gabrielsticlaru@yahoo.com`