Co-Toeplitz Quantization: A Simple Case

Stephen Bruce Sontz

Abstract. The author has introduced in a recent paper a new class of operators, called co-Toeplitz operators, with symbols in a co-algebra. This is the categorical dual to Toeplitz operators which have symbols in an algebra. The mapping from a symbol to its co-Toeplitz operator gives a quantization scheme, called co-Toeplitz quantization. A new, quite simple particular case of co-Toeplitz quantization is introduced in this note. Examples are given in order to show some of its properties.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). Primary 81S99; Secondary 47B99.

Keywords. Quantization, co-Toeplitz operators, co-algebras.

Contents

1. Introduction

In [\[2\]](#page-5-2) I have defined co-Toeplitz operators in a dual way in terms of category theory to Toeplitz operators. The structures needed for this definition are a co-algebra C (see [\[1\]](#page-5-3)) together with a sesqui-linear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ defined on it. We let $\Delta: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}$ denote the co-multiplication of \mathcal{C} . Also, we suppose there is another co-algebra P which injects into C by a map $j : \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{C}$ and that there is a projection $Q: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{P}$, that is, $Qj = id_{\mathcal{P}}$, the identity on \mathcal{P} .

Then we define the *co-Toeplitz operator* $C_q : \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{P}$ to be the linear operator defined by the composition

$$
\mathcal{P} \stackrel{j}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{C} \stackrel{\Delta}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{C} \stackrel{Q \otimes id}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{C} \stackrel{\pi_g}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{P}.
$$
 (1.1)

The linear map $\pi_g : \mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{P}$, where $g \in \mathcal{C}$ is called the *symbol* of the co-Toeplitz operator C_a , is defined in [\[2\]](#page-5-2) by

$$
\pi_g(\phi \otimes f) := \langle g, f \rangle \phi
$$

for $\phi \in \mathcal{P}$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}$. (The map π_g is dual to α_g to be defined below.) The anti-linear map $g \mapsto C_g$ is called the co-Toeplitz quantization of C. This in general does not involve measure theory. For more details see [\[2\]](#page-5-2).

The definition (1.1) is the dual diagram to that for a *Toeplitz operator* which is defined for a symbol $g \in A$, an algebra, as the composition (right to left)

$$
\mathcal{P} \stackrel{P}{\longleftarrow} \mathcal{A} \stackrel{\mu}{\longleftarrow} \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \stackrel{\iota \otimes id}{\longleftarrow} \mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{A} \stackrel{\alpha_g}{\longleftarrow} \mathcal{P}. \tag{1.2}
$$

Here P is a sub-algebra of A with ι : $\mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{A}$ being the inclusion map and $P: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{P}$ being a projection. Also, μ is the multiplication map of \mathcal{A} and $\alpha_q(\phi) := \phi \otimes q$ for $\phi \in \mathcal{P}$.

The definition [\(1.1\)](#page-1-1) has a particular case: $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{C}$ and $j = Q = id_{\mathcal{C}}$. Then

$$
C_g = \pi_g \,\Delta : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}.
$$

In this particular simple case, which is the new idea in this note, the only structures needed are a co-algebra and a sesqui-linear form on it. All the examples in this note fall within this case. This simple case does not have an interesting analogue for Toeplitz operators, since diagram [\(1.2\)](#page-1-2) reduces to the right regular representation of g acting on A if we put $P = A$.

If the sesqui-linear form is positive definite, then C_g acts in a pre-Hilbert space and so may be considered as a densely defined operator acting in the Hilbert space completion of $\mathcal C$. Thus we can construct models for quantum physics, including creation and annihilation co-Toeplitz operators. (See [\[2\]](#page-5-2).)

All objects in this paper are vector spaces over the complex numbers, and all arrows are linear maps, except as noted.

2. Manin Quantum Plane

We define $\mathcal C$ to be the algebra generated by two elements a, c with the relation $ac = qca$ for some non-zero $q \in \mathbb{C}$, the complex numbers. This is called the Manin quantum plane. The notation follows that used in [\[2\]](#page-5-2). We define the co-multiplication Δ to be the algebra morphism determined by

$$
\Delta(a) = a \otimes a \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta(c) = c \otimes a.
$$

This is well defined on C, since $\Delta(ac-qca)=0$ as the reader can verify. We note that $\mathcal C$ does not have a co-unit, though this has no great importance for our purposes. Clearly, $\mathcal{B} := \{a^i c^j \mid i, j \in \mathbb{N}\},\$ is a Hamel basis of \mathcal{C} , where N denotes the non-negative integers. Since C_q is anti-linear in the symbol $g \in \mathcal{C}$, it suffices to calculate C_g for the basis elements $a^i c^j$. And since C_g

is linear, it suffices to evaluate it on these basis elements. We proceed to do this. First, we see that

$$
\Delta(a^k c^l) = (\Delta(a))^k (\Delta(c))^l = (a \otimes a)^k (c \otimes a)^l = a^k c^l \otimes a^{k+l}.
$$

Then

$$
C_{a^ic^j}(a^kc^l) = \pi_{a^ic^j} \Delta(a^kc^l) = \pi_{a^ic^j}(a^kc^l \otimes a^{k+l}) = \langle a^ic^j, a^{k+l} \rangle a^kc^l.
$$

So $C_{a^ic^j}$ is diagonalized by the basis $\mathcal B$ with its eigenvalues determined by the sesqui-linear form, and therefore it is neither a creation nor an annihilation operator. Rather $C_{a^i c^j}$ is what is known as a *preservation operator*.

At this point in the calculation it becomes clear that the definition of the sesqui-linear form enters in a fundamental way, namely, different choices for it give different co-Toeplitz quantizations. One simple choice is to choose it so that the basis β is orthogonal. So we put

$$
\langle a^i c^j, a^k c^l \rangle := \delta_{i,k} \, \delta_{j,l} \, w(i,j).
$$

Here $\delta_{r,s}$ denotes the Kronecker delta. We also take $w(i, j) > 0$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ so that this is a positive definite inner product and $\mathcal C$ is a pre-Hilbert space. With this inner product we see that

$$
C_{a^ic^j}(a^kc^l) = \langle a^ic^j, a^{k+l} \rangle a^kc^l = \delta_{i,k+l} \delta_{j,0} w(i,j) a^kc^l.
$$

So $C_{a^i c^j} = 0$ if $j > 0$. Continuing with the case $j = 0$ we see that

$$
C_{a^i}(a^k c^l) = \delta_{i,k+l} w(i,0) a^k c^l.
$$

So C_{a^i} is diagonalized by the basis $\mathcal B$ with 0 and $w(i, 0)$ being its eigenvalues. We define the degree of the monomial $a^k c^l$ by deg $a^k c^l := k + l$. Then C_{a^i} is zero on monomials with degree $\neq i$ and is a non-zero multiple of the identity on the finite dimensional vector space spanned by the monomials of degree i .

Another choice for the sesqui-linear form is

$$
\langle a^i c^j, a^k c^l \rangle := \delta_{i-j,k-l} \, \mu(i,j,k,l),
$$

where $\mu : \mathbb{N}^4 \to (0, \infty)$ is a positive weight function. With this choice of sesqui-linear form we have

$$
C_{a^ic^j}(a^kc^l) = \langle a^ic^j, a^{k+l} \rangle a^kc^l = \delta_{i-j,k+l} \mu(i,j,k+l,0) a^kc^l.
$$

Thus the eigenvalues of $C_{a^ic^j}$ are 0 and $\mu(i, j, i - j, 0)$. Moreover, $C_{a^ic^j}$ is zero except on the set of monomials of degree $i - j$. So $i < j$ implies that $C_{a^ic^j} = 0.$

3. Divided Power Co-algebra

This is based on Example 2.4.8 in [\[1\]](#page-5-3). We let $\mathcal C$ be the vector space with basis { $x_i | i \in \mathbb{N}$ }. The co-multiplication Δ is the linear map determined by

$$
\Delta(x_n) := \sum_{i+j=n} x_i \otimes x_j.
$$
\n(3.1)

The degree of each basis element is defined by deg $x_n := n$. We also define a sesqui-linear form by

$$
\langle x_i, x_j \rangle := w(i) \, \delta_{i,j},\tag{3.2}
$$

where $w : \mathbb{N} \to (0, \infty)$ is a strictly positive weight function. So this is an inner product making $\mathcal C$ into a pre-Hilbert space. Again, it suffices to compute the co-Toeplitz operators T_q for g in the basis. So we compute as follows:

$$
C_{x_k}(x_n) = \pi_{x_k} \Delta(x_n) = \pi_{x_k} \left(\sum_{i+j=n} x_i \otimes x_j \right)
$$

$$
= \sum_{i+j=n} \langle x_k, x_j \rangle x_i = \sum_{i+j=n} \delta_{k,j} w(k) x_i.
$$

Now if $k > n$ we have $\delta_{k,j} = 0$ for all the terms in the last sum, since $j \leq n$. So $C_{x_k}(x_n) = 0$ if $k > n$. For the opposite case $0 \leq k \leq n$ we have

$$
C_{x_k}(x_n) = \sum_{i+j=n} \delta_{k,j} w(k) \, x_i = w(k) \, x_{n-k}.
$$

If we define $x_i := 0$ and $w(i) := 0$ for all integers $i < 0$, then we can write this result as one formula for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$
C_{x_k}(x_n) = w(k) x_{n-k}.
$$

So for $k > 0$ we have that C_{x_k} decreases degree by k and so is an annihilation operator. On the other hand C_{x_0} is a preservation operator.

4. Negative Degrees

Here we give a modification of the previous example that includes negative degrees. We let $M \geq 1$ be an integer and define C to be the complex vector space with basis $\{x_i\}$ for integers $i \in [-M, M]$. So dim $\mathcal{C} = 2M + 1$.

We define $\deg x_i := i$ for $i \in [-M, M]$. For convenience we also define $x_i := 0$ for all integers i with $|i| > M$. We use the same formulas as in the previous example, but with new interpretations. So, the co-multiplication Δ is defined by [\(3.1\)](#page-2-1), but now for integers $|n| \leq M$. With our definitions only finitely many terms in the (now) infinite sum [\(3.1\)](#page-2-1) are non-zero. We also define a sesqui-linear form by (3.2) but now for integers $i, j \in [-M, M]$. Again, for convenience we put $w(i) := 0$ for $|i| > M$. The same calculation as in the previous example gives

$$
C_{x_k}(x_n) = w(k) x_{n-k}
$$

but now for all integers $k, n \in [-M, M]$. There are three cases:

- 1. C_{x_k} increases degree by |k| if $k < 0$ and is a creation operator.
- 2. C_{x_k} decreases degree by k if $k > 0$ and is an annihilation operator.
- 3. C_{x_k} preserves degree if $k = 0$ and is a preservation operator.

So we get the three types of operators relevant to physics by using the basis elements with positive, negative and zero degrees. We also can define a ***-operation (\equiv conjugation) on C by putting $x_i^* := x_{-i}$. This definition is

5. Matrix Coalgebra

This example comes from Example 2.4.1 in [\[1\]](#page-5-3). Let $\mathcal C$ be the vector space with basis $\{E_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i,j \leq n\}$, where $n \geq 1$ is an integer. So, dim $\mathcal{C} = n^2$. Of course, the motivation is that $E_{i,j}$ is analogous to the $n \times n$ matrix with all entries 0, except for row i and column j which has the entry 1. Let the co-multiplication be determined by

$$
\Delta(E_{i,j}) := \sum_{k=1}^n E_{i,k} \otimes E_{k,j}.
$$

(As a curious parenthetical remark, let us note that this vector space has a natural algebra structure motivated by matrix multiplication. However, this does not combine with this co-multiplication to give us a bi-algebra. See [\[1\]](#page-5-3).) We define an inner product on C by making the basis ${E_{i,j}}$ orthonormal. Then we calculate

$$
C_{E_{r,s}}(E_{i,j}) = \pi_{E_{r,s}} \Delta(E_{i,j}) = \pi_{E_{r,s}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n E_{i,k} \otimes E_{k,j} \right)
$$

=
$$
\sum_{k=1}^n \langle E_{r,s}, E_{k,j} \rangle E_{i,k} = \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{r,k} \delta_{s,j} E_{i,k} = \delta_{s,j} E_{i,r}.
$$

So, $C_{E_{r,s}}(E_{i,j})$ is either zero or another basis element.

Another sesqui-linear form is given by $\langle E_{i,j} , E_{r,s} \rangle := w(i + s) \, \delta_{i-j,r-s}$ with a weight function $w : \mathbb{N} \to (0, \infty)$. Then

$$
C_{E_{r,s}}(E_{i,j}) = \pi_{E_{r,s}} \Delta(E_{i,j}) = \pi_{E_{r,s}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n E_{i,k} \otimes E_{k,j} \right)
$$

=
$$
\sum_{k=1}^n \langle E_{r,s}, E_{k,j} \rangle E_{i,k} = \sum_{k=1}^n w(r+j) \delta_{r-s,k-j} E_{i,k} = w(r+j) E_{i,j+r-s},
$$

where we put $E_{i,j} = 0$ if $j \leq 0$ or $j > n$. If we define $\deg E_{i,j} := i + j$, then we see that $C_{E_{r,s}}$ changes degree by $r-s$. So, $C_{E_{r,s}}$ is a creation operator if $r > s$, it is an annihilation operator if $r < s$ and finally it is a preservation operator if $r = s$.

Using the adjoint operation of matrices as motivation, we also define a ∗-operation by $E_{i,j}^* := E_{j,i}$. We also say that $E_{i,j}$ is *holomorphic* if $i < j$ ('upper triangular') and is *anti-holomorphic* if $i > j$ ('lower triangular'). As previously, the anti-holomorphic $E_{i,j}$ are the symbols of (degree increasing)

creation operators and, on the other hand, the holomorphic $E_{i,j}$ are the symbols of (degree decreasing) annihilation operators. Also the 'diagonal' elements $E_{i,i}$, which are self-adjoint (or real) with respect to the $*$ -operation, are symbols of (degree preserving) preservation operators.

6. Concluding Remarks

The quantization of co-algebras is a new field of research with co-Toeplitz quantization being the first theory that achieves this. It is remarkable that any sesqui-linear form defined on a co-algebra $\mathcal C$ is sufficient extra structure to give us a co-Toeplitz quantization of \mathcal{C} . It is noteworthy that in some of these examples a ∗-operation can be defined thereby giving holomorphic and anti-holomorphic elements, which are symbols whose co-Toeplitz operators are annihilation and creation operators, respectively.

Acknowldgement

I thank the organizers of the XXXVII Workshop on Geometric Methods in Physics held in July, 2018 in Białowieża, Poland for the opportunity to present a talk based on my paper [\[2\]](#page-5-2) and for inviting me to write this note which furthers that continuing research program.

References

- [1] M. Hazewinkel et al., Algebras, Rings and Modules, Math. Surv. Mono., Vol. 168, Am. Math. Soc., 2010.
- [2] S.B. Sontz, Co-Toeplitz Operators and their Associated Quantization, eprint: [arXiv:1708.01979v](http://arxiv.org/abs/1708.01979)3

Stephen Bruce Sontz Centro de Investigación en Matemáticas, A.C. (CIMAT) Jalisco s/n Valenciana Guanajuato 36023 Mexico e-mail: sontz@cimat.mx