# **Double perovskites for room temperature magnetocaloric tasks**

N. Brahiti<sup>1</sup>, M. Abbasi Eskandari<sup>1</sup>, M. Balli<sup>1</sup>, Ch. Gauvin-Ndiaye<sup>1</sup>, R. Nourafkan<sup>1</sup>, A.-M.S. Tremblay<sup>1,2</sup>, P. Fournier<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institut quantique, Regroupement québécois sur les matériaux de pointe et Département de physique, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, J1K 2R1, Québec, Canada.
<sup>2</sup> Canadian Institute for Advanced Research, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5G 1Z8

# ABSTRACT

In previous studies, we have reported that double perovskite  $La_2NiMnO_6$  (LNMO) presents a nonnegligible potential for room temperature magnetocaloric tasks. With the aim of improving even further the cooling performance and the working temperature range of double perovskites, we report preliminary results on the magnetic and magnetocaloric properties of  $La_2MnFeO_6$  and related compounds. The substitution onto the lanthanum site enables us to cover a wide operating temperature range extending beyond 300 K. For some optimal growth conditions, the entropy change varies slowly over an unusually large temperature range, which is of clear interest from a practical point of view.

Keywords: Magnetocaloric effect, double perovskites, oxides, chemical substitution.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, great interest in the research and development of magnetocaloric materials and magnetic cooling devices has been devoted specifically to the exploration of promising new ferromagnetic oxide insulators with magnetic coupling driven mostly by the superexchange mechanism with a goal to improve the general performances of actual prototypes (Balli et al., 2017). Double perovskite oxides have recently attracted much attention owing to their potential as active refrigerants in magnetic cooling. In addition to their good magnetocaloric properties, these materials unveil some key assets when compared to intermetallics such as high electrical resistance, chemical stability and low production cost (Balli et al., 2017; Balli et al., 2014; Rogada et al., 2005; Balli et al., 2014b). In fact, the double perovskites of formula  $A_2BB'O_6(A=rare earth and B, B'= transition metal ions)$  attracted much interest in the last decade not only for their magnetocaloric effect (Luo et al., 2009), but also for their multiferroic nature [see for example Singh et al. (2007)].Recently, it was shown that the ordered phase of the ferromagnetic La<sub>2</sub>NiMnO<sub>6</sub> compound presents a second order magnetic transition at T<sub>C</sub> ~ 280 K, leading to interesting MCE levels in the room-temperature range (Luo et al., 2009; Balli et al., 2014b).On the other hand, it was also shown that the La<sub>2</sub>NiMnO<sub>6</sub> Curie temperature strongly depends on the Ni and Mn cations ordering in its crystallographic structure (Truong et al., 2009; Matte et al., 2018).

In the present study, two kinds of materials were synthesized by the conventional solid- state reaction technique: 1) a total substitution of Ni in LNMO by Fe to form  $La_2MnFeO_6$  (LMFO) double perovskite which we expected to exhibit strong ferromagnetic exchange interactions; 2)a partial substitution of Lain LMFO by divalent cations to form the compound ALaMnFeO<sub>6</sub> (A=Sr, Ba and Ca). Their magnetic and magnetocaloric properties are presented.

#### 2. METHODS

Polycrystalline samples of La<sub>2</sub>MnFeO<sub>6</sub> and ALaMnFeO<sub>6</sub>(A= Sr, Ba, Ca) compounds were synthesized by the standard solid-state reaction method. High-purity oxides or carbonates of La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, MnO<sub>2</sub>,

 $Sr_2CO_3$ , BaCO<sub>3</sub> and CaCO<sub>3</sub> were used as starting materials and mixed in proper stoichiometric proportions. The mixtures were ground in a mortar until homogeneous powders were obtained, then calcined in air for 24 h at different temperatures. The LMFO powder was heated from 900°C to 1150°C by steps of 50°C with intermediate regrinding while ALMFO powders were instead sintered up to 1050°C. All of them were then finally pressed into pellets of 12 mm diameter and 2 mm thickness and sintered for 24h at 1070°C for ALMFO and 1150°C for LMFO. The crystal structure was confirmed from powder x-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements using a Bruker- AXS D8-Discover diffractometer in the  $\theta - 2\theta$  configuration with CuK $\alpha$  source over the 2 $\theta$  range of 10°- 80° and an increment of 0.02°. The magnetic measurements were performed with a superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) magnetometer from Quantum Design. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations were performed within the full potential all-electron basis set as implemented in the WIEN2k code. The calculations were used to gain insight on the crystal-field splitting of the various compounds in an energy window of 14 eV around the Fermi energy. Total-energy calculations allowed to determine the magnetic ground state of each compound and to estimate the transition temperature. Details of these calculations will be presented in a separate paper (Gauvin-Ndiaye et al., 2018). This approach helped us to understand the origin of some magnetic properties that cannot be understood with naïve toy model for superexchange in these materials, especially for LMFO, and to make predictions on the way to alter the magnetic properties and improve magnetocaloric performances.

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The room temperature powder x-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of La<sub>2</sub>MnFeO<sub>6</sub> (LMFO), SrLaMnFeO<sub>6</sub> (SLMFO), BaLaMnFeO<sub>6</sub> (BLMFO) and CaLaMnFeO<sub>6</sub> (CLMFO) compounds are presented in Fig.1 (left). The observed reflections in the XRD patterns correspond to single phase double perovskite oxides for all the samples. On the other hand, the broad reflections unveiled for the doped LMFO indicate smaller size crystallites. Rietveld refinements of the XRD data (not shown here) reveal that LMFO, BLMFO and CLMFO adopt an orthorhombic (*Pnma*) structure while a rhombohedral structure (*R*-3*c*) is obtained for the SLMFO compound in partial accordance with early reports. Shaheen et al. (2006) reported an orthorhombic perovskite structure, but with space group *Pbnm* for BLMFO and CLMFO, while a rhombohedral structure (space group *R*-3*c*) for SLMFO is observed. More recently, Kumaret al. (2018) reported the structural analysis and optical properties of BLMFO and SLMFO samples prepared by auto-combustion method. They found that BLMFO may be indexed to cubic crystal structure with space group *Pm*-3*m* while SLMFO crystallizes into the same rhombohedral symmetry within the space group *R*-3*c*. In our case, we notice that the lattice parameters, *a*, *b* and *c*, are correlated to the size of the ionic radius of the dopant (Ca, Sr, Ba) and should, in turn, influence the bond angles controlling the strength of the superexchange interaction.

Figure 1 (right) shows the zero-field cooled (ZFC) magnetization as a function of temperature measured under an applied magnetic field of 0.1T for undoped and doped LMFO. The measured magnetization curve of LMFO is similar to that reported by Barrozo et al. (2014). A broad ferromagnetic (FM) to paramagnetic (PM) transition centered around 80 K is observed for LMFO. In addition, the observed magnetization value at low temperature (roughly 1.9  $\mu_B$ /f.u. at 7T) is smaller than the expected value of 9 $\mu_B$ /f.u. for Mn<sup>+3</sup>/Fe<sup>+3</sup> or Mn<sup>+4</sup>/Fe<sup>+2</sup> configurations if one assumes a ferromagnetic coupling between the Mn and Fe moments.



Figure 1. (left) Powder XRD patterns of (a) LMFO, (b) BLMFO, (c) CLMFO and (d) SLMFO. (right) Magnetization as a function of temperature for LMFO and substituted LMFO samples under an applied magnetic field of 0.1T.

The low magnetization value can be attributed to a possible B/B'-site disorder leading to the formation of Mn-rich or Fe-rich clusters (Ueda et al., 2001). In this case, the ferromagnetic bonds Fe<sup>+3</sup>-O-Mn<sup>+3</sup> or Fe<sup>+2</sup>-O-Mn<sup>+4</sup> driven by superexchange interaction are diluted in favor of a large number of Mn<sup>+3</sup>-O-Mn<sup>+3</sup> or Fe<sup>+3</sup>-O-Fe<sup>+3</sup> antiferromagnetic bonds. The end result is a low temperature transition. Another possible scenario is that the magnetic phase is antiferromagnetic. In fact, recent DFT calculations without B/B'-site disorder indicate that the Mn and Fe moments are coupled antiferromagnetically (Gauvin-Ndiaye et al., 2018). This ongoing theoretical study leads also to the prediction that A and B-site ordered CLMFO, SLMFO and BLMFO are ferromagnetic (Gauvin-Ndiaye et al., 2018).

Substituting La by Ba or Sr in LMFO leads to a clear increase of the Curie temperature to 250 K and up to 350 K, respectively. However, Ca substitution leads to a behavior similar to LMFO. A partial substitution of the trivalent  $La^{3+}$  by a divalent cation  $(Ca^{2+}, Sr^{2+}, Ba^{2+})$  must change the oxidation state of either Mn or Fe. This change appears to have a very deep impact on the stable magnetic phase probably through a (partial) change in the nature of the exchange interaction. Nevertheless, since these divalent cations should provide the same number of holes to the structure, the differences observed between CLMFO, SLMFO and BLMFO signal the likely impact of lattice distortions as another way to control the strength of the superexchange interaction. Further studies and characterizations are needed to understand these behaviors.

The magnetization as a function of the applied magnetic field for LMFO, CLMFO and SLMFO samples is shown in Fig. 2. Weak hysteresis with low coercive field (H<sub>C</sub>) values of about 250 Oe is observed for all the samples at 5 K (Fig.2 (left)). It is worth mentioning that the magnetization does not reach saturation and increases continuously at high magnetic field for all the samples. In addition, a low magnetization value is observed for all the samples even at our highest magnetic field of 7T. At best, it reaches  $3.5\mu_B/f.u.$  for SLMFO,  $1.9\mu_B/f.u.$  for LMFO and 1  $\mu_B/f.u.$  for CLMFO. The shape of the hysteresis curves is similar to that of superparamagnetic systems. In this present study, the sintering temperatures of 1070°C for ALMFO and 1150°C for LMFO samples are smaller than those usually used for the synthesis of double perovskite oxides. Consequently, small size crystallites can be present in our samples. Thus, we expect the high-field magnetization to continuously grow with increasing sintering temperatures. Such results were reported by Bhame et al. (2005) for polycrystalline LaMn<sub>0.5</sub>Fe<sub>0.5</sub>O<sub>3</sub> synthesized by a low-temperature method. They explained these magnetic hysteresis curves by the presence of ferromagnetic and superparamagnetic phases in their samples whose contributions depend on the processing conditions. It emphasizes a very interesting characteristic of double perovskites: it is possible to tune their magnetic properties by varying the growth conditions similar to what was shown recently with LNMO thin films (Matte et al., 2018).



Figure 2. Hysteresis loops of (left) CLMFO, SLMFO and LMFOat 5 K, and (right) SLMFO at 300 K.

Fig.2 (right) shows the magnetic field dependence of the magnetization at room temperature for SLMFO material. A ferromagnetic like behavior can still be observed. Its magnetization value under a magnetic field of 0.5 T is about  $0.3\mu_B/f.u$ .

The main scope of this work is the MCE in LMFO and ALMFO (A= Sr, Ba and Ca). Using isotherm measurements analyzed with Maxwell relation, one can extract, as is shown for example by Balli et al. (2014b), the change in entropy for a specific field sweep from zero to H. A comparison between the estimated entropy changes as a function of temperature with magnetic field changing from 0 to 5 T for LMFO, LBMFO and LSMFO is shown in Fig. 3.



Figure 3. Temperature dependence of the isothermal entropy change of LMFO, BLMFO and SLMFO for a field sweep from zero to 5 T.

Interestingly, while LMFO and BLMFO show well-defined but broad maxima, SLMFO shows an entropy change slowly varying over a temperature range as large as 300 K. Of course, approaching a flat so-called *table-top* temperature dependence is of great practical interest as it should lead to the best refrigeration performances based on different thermomagnetic cycles, such as the Ericsson and Brayton

(active magnetic regenerative refrigeration) cycles (Aprea et al., 2011; Balli et al., 2012). However, the maximum entropy change for this material is about 0.3 J/kg K which is lower than that presented by gadolinium (Gd) metal 9.8 J/kg K(Balli et al., 2017) and oxides such as La<sub>2</sub>NiMnO<sub>6</sub> (LNMO) and La<sub>2</sub>CoMnO<sub>6</sub> (LCMO) single crystals with MCE value of 2 and 3.5 J/K-kg, respectively, for magnetic field changing from 0 to 5T(Balli et al., 2014b;Balli et al., 2014). A comparable MCE maximum value was also achieved for BLMFO (0.3 J/kg K) and LMFO (0.5 J/kg K).

The lower MCE in these doped LMFO is attributed in part to the low magnetization values. SLMFO could become a good candidate for room temperature magnetic refrigeration if one can enhance the magnetization in these materials. Their magnetocaloric properties could be presumably enhanced by increasing the grain size of their polycrystalline forms using optimum annealing conditions. This point is currently under investigation in our group. On the other hand, the MCE shown by these compounds is usually much lower if compared to its theoretical limit assuming a fully polarized ferromagnetic phase (Balli et al., 2014b), unveiling that high magnetocaloric levels could be achieved in this family with further manipulations. For this purpose, an understanding of the electronic structure and its interplay with other crystallographic and magnetic order parameters would open the way for the design of more advanced double-perovskite materials able to operate close to their MCE theoretical limit. Finally, these ceramic materials exhibit other advantages such as high chemical stability, high resistance to corrosion and oxidation, low cost and easy fabrication process and a high electrical resistance (absence of eddy currents). Such physical properties are of great interest from a practical point of view.

# 4. CONCLUSION

In the present study, La<sub>2</sub>FeMnO<sub>6</sub> and ALaFeMnO<sub>6</sub> (A= Sr, Ba, Ca) double perovskites have been successfully synthesized by a standard solid-state reaction method. The structural, magnetic and magnetocaloric properties of these samples have been studied. The temperature dependent magnetization shows ferromagnetic behavior for all prepared materials, but with low magnetization value. The hysteresis loops reveal likely a superparamagnetic behavior for all samples. On the other hand, the magnetocaloric effect in terms of entropy change was estimated from measurements of magnetic isotherms for LMFO, BLMFO and SLMFO. Its maximum value at 5T was found to be about 0.5 J/kg K for LMFO and 0.3 J/kg K for both BLMFO and SLMFO. The low MCE on these materials is attributed mainly to the low magnetization values caused by the anti-site disorder in the B and B sites of the double perovskites. Due to a very broad magnetic transition extending over 300K, the magnetocaloric effect of SLMFO covers a wide operating temperature range of roughly 300 K with a change of entropy varying slowly with temperature. Further structural studies and additional exploration of the growth conditions of these doped LMFO samples are required to fully understand the origin of their magnetic properties and learn how to control them to suit the needs of specific magnetocaloric tasks.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank M. Castonguay, S. Pelletier and B. Rivard for technical support. We thank M. Côté (U. de Montréal) for fruitful discussions. This work has been supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) under grants RGPIN-2014-04584, and RGPIN-238476, the Canada First Research Excellence Fund, by the Research Chair in the Theory of Quantum Materials, by FRQNT (Québec)and the Université de Sherbrooke. Simulations were performed on computers provided by the Canadian Foundation for Innovation, the Ministère de l'Éducation des Loisirs et du Sport (Québec), Calcul Québec, and Compute Canada.

# REFERENCES

[1] Aprea, C., Greco, A., Maiorino, A., 2011, A numerical analysis of an active magnetic regenerative refrigerant system with a multilayer regenerator. Energy Convers. Manage. 52, 97.

[2] Balli, M., Sari, O., Zamni, L., Mahmed, C., Forchelet, J., 2012, Implementation of La(Fe; Co)<sub>13-x</sub>Si<sub>x</sub> materials in magneticrefrigerators: Practical aspects. Mater.Sci. Eng. B 177, 629.

[3] Balli, M., Fournier, P., Jandl, S., Truong, K.D., Gospodinov, M.M., 2014, Analysis of the phase transition and magneto-thermal properties in La<sub>2</sub>CoMnO<sub>6</sub> single crystals. J. Appl. Phys.116, 073907.

[4] Balli, M., Fournier, P., Jandl, S., Gospodinov, M.M., 2014b, A study of the phase transition and magnetocaloric effect in multiferroic La<sub>2</sub>MnNiO<sub>6</sub> single crystals. J. Appl. Phys. 115, 173904.

[5] Balli, M., Jandl, S., Fournier, P., Kedous-Lebouc, A., 2017, Advanced materials for magnetic cooling: Fundamentals and practical aspects. Appl. Phys. Rev. 4, 021305.

[6] Barrozo, P., Moreno, N.O., Albino Aguiar, J., 2014, Ferromagnetic Cluster on La<sub>2</sub>FeMnO<sub>6</sub> .Adv. Mater. Research 975, 122-127.

[7] Bhame, Sh.D., Joseph Joly, V.L., Joy, P.A., 2005, Effect of disorder on the magnetic properties of LaMn<sub>0.5</sub>Fe<sub>0.5</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Phys.Rev. B 72, 054426.

[8] Gauvin-Ndiaye, C., Nourafkan, R., Karan, P., Massé, É., Baker, T.E., Côté, M., Tremblay, A.-M.S., 2018, private communication.

[9] Kumar, D., Sudarshan, V., Singh, A.K., 2018, Synthesis, structural and optical properties of  $(ALa)(FeMn)O_6$  (A = Ba and Sr) double perovskites. AIP Conference Proceedings 1953, 080010.

[10] Luo, X., Sun, Y., Wang, B., Zhu, X., Song, W., Yang, Z., Dai, J., 2009, The magnetic entropy change in the double perovskite La<sub>2</sub>NiMnO<sub>6</sub> with strong spin–phonon coupling.Solid State Comm., 149, 810.

[11] Matte, D., de Lafontaine, M., Ouellet, A., Balli, M., Fournier, P., 2018, Tailoring the Magnetocaloric Effect in La<sub>2</sub>NiMnO<sub>6</sub> Thin Films. Phys. Rev. Applied 9, 054042.

[12] Rogada, N.S., Li, J., Sleight, A.W., Subramanian, M. A., 2005, Magnetocapacitance and magnetoresistance near room temperature in a ferromagnetic semiconductor:La<sub>2</sub>NiMnO<sub>6</sub>. Adv. Mater.17, 2225.

[13] Shaheen, R., Bashir, J., Seddique, M., Rundlöf, H., Rennie, A., 2006, Crystal Structure of ALaMnFeO<sub>6</sub> (A=Ca, Sr, Ba).Physica B 385–386, 103–105

[14] Singh, M. P., Truong, K. D., Fournier, P.,2007. Magnetodielectric effect in double perovskite La<sub>2</sub>CoMnO<sub>6</sub> thin films. Appl. Phys. Lett.91, 042504.

[15] Truong, K. D., Singh, M. P., Jandl, S., Fournier, P., 2009, Influence of Ni/Mn cation order on the spin-phonon coupling in multifunctional La<sub>2</sub>NiMnO<sub>6</sub> epitaxial films by polarized Raman spectroscopy.Phys.Rev. B 80, 134424.

[16] Ueda, K., Muraoka, Y., Tabata, H., Kawai, T., 2001, Atomic ordering in the LaFe<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>0.5</sub>O<sub>3</sub> solid solution film.Appl. Phys. Lett. 78, 512-514.