# THE COMPLEMENT OF A NIL GRAPH WITH THIRTEEN VERTICES IS IL.

ANDREI PAVELESCU AND ELENA PAVELESCU

ABSTRACT. We show that for any simple non-oriented graph G with at least thirteen vertices either G or its complement is intrinsically linked.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Conway and Gordon [2], and Sachs [9] spearheaded the theory of spatial graphs by showing that every embedding of the complete graph on six vertices  $K_6$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  contains a non-trivial link. Such graphs are called intrinsically linked (IL), since the property is intrinsic to the graph and does not depend on the particular embedding. If not intrinsically linked, G is said to be *linklessly embeddable* (nIL). Conway and Gordon [2] also showed that  $K_7$  is an *intrinsically knotted* graph, a graph whose every embedding in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  contains a cycle which is a non-trivial knot. These results have generated a significant amount of work, including the classification of intrinsically linked graphs by Robertson, Seymour and Thomas [8]: a graph is intrinsically linked if and only if it contains one of the graphs in the Petersen family of graphs as a minor. For a graph G, a minor of G is any graph that can be obtained from G by a sequence of vertex deletions, edge deletions and edge contractions. An edge contraction means identifying its endpoints, deleting that edge, and deleting any double edges thus created. In this article, all graphs are non-oriented, without loops and without multiple edges.

The work in this article was motivated in part by the result of Battle et al. [1] saying that for a graph with nine vertices, either the graph or its complement is nonplanar, and nine is minimal with this property. In particular, there exists a graph G with 8 vertices such that both G and its complement cG are planar. An example is given by the graph induced on vertices  $v_1, \ldots, v_8$  in Figure 1 (a). Here we look at the similar question for intrinsic linkness.

We note that there are graphs with ten vertices such that both the graph and its complement are linklessly embeddable. We give an example in Figure 1. In this figure, vertex  $v_9$  of G is adjacent to all vertices  $v_1, \ldots, v_9$  of cG (left) and vertex  $v_{10}$  of cG is adjacent to all vertices  $v_1, \ldots, v_9$  of cG (right). In both G and cG, the subgraph induced by  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_7$  and  $v_8$  is planar. To see why this is an

Date: May 24, 2021.

example, we remember the result of Sachs that a graph is planar if and only if the cone over it is linklessly embeddable [9].



FIGURE 1. The graphs G (left) and cG (right) are both linklessly embeddable. Vertex  $v_9$  of G is adjacent to all vertices  $v_1, \ldots, v_8$ , and vertex  $v_{10}$  of cG is adjacent to all vertices  $v_1, \ldots, v_9$ .

Campbell et al. [3] showed that a graph on  $n \ge 6$  vertices and at least 4n - 9 edges is intrinsically linked because it contains a  $K_6$  minor. Since the complete graph on n vertices has  $\binom{n}{2}$  edges and  $\binom{n}{2} \ge 2(4n - 9)$  for  $n \ge 15$ , for a graph G with  $n \ge 15$  vertices, either G or its complement is intrinsically linked. We improve this result by proving the following:

**Theorem 1.** If G is a graph with at least thirteen vertices, either G or its complement is intrinsically linked.

## 2. NOTATION AND BACKGROUND

We first introduce notation. The complete graph with n vertices is denoted by  $K_n$ . For a graph G with n vertices, cG represents the complement of G in  $K_n$ . If  $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k\}$  are vertices of G, then  $\langle v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k \rangle_G$  denotes the subgraph of G induced on these vertices. If v is a vertex of G, N(v) is the subgraph of G induced by v and the vertices adjacent to v in G. If v is a vertex of G, G - v is the subgraph of G obtained by deleting vertex v and all edges of G that v is incident to. The minimum degree (maximum degree) of a vertex over all vertices of G is denoted by mindeg(G)(maxdeg(G)). For a graph G, the cone over G is the graph obtained from G by adding one extra vertex and all edges between this vertex and vertices of G.

Colin de Verdière introduced the new graph parameter  $\mu$  based on spectral properties of matrices associated to a graph [4]. He showed that  $\mu$  is monotone under taking minors and that planarity of G is equivalent to  $\mu(G) \leq 3$ . Lovász and Schrijver [7] showed that linkless embeddability of G is equivalent to  $\mu(G) \leq 4$ . Kotlov, Lovász and Vempala [6] showed that for a graph G with n vertices

- (1) If G is outerplanar, then  $\mu(cG) \ge n-4$ , and
- (2) If G is planar, then  $\mu(cG) \ge n-5$ .

These results give the following:

**Lemma 2.** Consider a graph G with  $n \ge 10$  vertices. If G is planar, then its complement cG is IL.

*Proof.* By [6], if G is planar, then  $\mu(cG) \ge n-5 \ge 5$ . This means cG is intrinsically linked [7].

**Lemma 3.** For a graph G with n vertices,  $n \ge 11$ , if there exists a vertex of G whose degree is at least 10, either G or its complement is intrinsically linked.

Proof. Let v be a vertex of G with  $deg(v) = q \ge 10$ . Since  $q \ge 10$ , N(v) is a cone over a graph with minimum 10 vertices, N(v) - v. If N(v) - v is not planar, then N(v) is intrinsically linked [9] and thus G is intrinsically linked. If N(v) - v is planar, then the complement of N(v) - v in  $K_q$  is intrinsically linked by Lemma 2, and therefore cG is intrinsically linked.

**Observation 4.** If G' is a minor of G obtained through an edge contraction, then cG' is a subgraph of cG.

This observation and Lemma 3 imply the following:

**Lemma 5.** Assume G is a graph with at least 12 vertices. If an edge contraction in G creates a vertex of degree at least 10, then either G or cG is intrinsically linked.

**Lemma 6.** For  $n \ge 12$ , if  $maxdeg(G) \ge 9$  then G or cG is intrinsically linked.

*Proof.* If G contains a vertex of degree at least 10, Lemma 3 implies the conclusion. Assume that  $a \in V(G)$  has degree 9 and denote by  $v_1, v_2, ..., v_9$  its neighbors in G. If there exists a neighbor of a, say  $v_1$ , connecting to at least two vertices not in N(a) then, since these would not be neighbors of a, by contracting the edge  $av_1$ , we obtain a minor of G with at least 11 vertices and a newly created vertex  $a = v_1$  of degree at least 10. Lemma 3 provides the intrinsic linkness conclusion.

So we may assume that each vertex of N(a) has at most one neighbor not in N(a). Let  $N := \langle v_1, v_2, ..., v_9 \rangle_G$ . Since  $\langle a, N \rangle$  is a cone, unless G is intrinsically linked, N is planar. By [1], cN is nonplanar. In cG, a is adjacent to  $v_{10}, v_{11}, ..., v_{n-1}$ , and each vertex  $v_1, v_2, ..., v_9$  connects to at least one vertex among  $v_{10}, v_{11}, ..., v_{n-1}$ , since for  $n \geq 12$ ,  $\{v_{10}, v_{11}, ..., v_{n-1}\}$  has at least 2 elements. By contracting all the edges  $av_{10}, av_{11}, ..., av_{n-1}$  in cG, a cone over cN is obtained. Since cN is nonplanar, cG is intrinsically linked [9].

### 3. Graphs with thirteen vertices

**Theorem 7.** Let G denote a graph with 13 vertices. Then either G or cG is intrinsically linked.

*Proof.* Let k := maxdeg(G). By Lemma 6, we need only consider  $k \le 8$ . If  $k \le 5$ , then G has at most 32 edges, and cG has at least 46 edges and is intrinsically linked [3]. We look at  $k \in \{6, 7, 8\}$ . We show that in each case, a sequence of edge contractions in either G or cG creates a vertex of degree ten. Lemma 3 provides the intrinsic linkness conclusion. We note that, using symmetry, the assumption that maxdeg(G) = k adds that maxdeg(cG) = k.

**Case** k = 8. Assume  $deg(v_1) = 8$ . Let  $v_2, v_3, ..., v_9$  be the neighbors of  $v_1$  in G, let  $N := N(v_1)$  and  $H := \langle v_{10}, v_{11}, v_{12}, v_{13} \rangle_G$ . If any of the vertices of N has more than 2 neighbors in the set  $\{v_{10}, v_{11}, v_{12}, v_{13}\}$ , then an one edge contraction creates a vertex of degree at least 10.

Assume that each of the vertices of N neighbors at most 2 vertices of H in G. Assume  $v_2v_{10}$  and  $v_2v_{11}$  are edges in G. If any of  $v_i$ 's,  $3 \le i \le 9$ , neighbors both  $v_{12}$  and  $v_{13}$  in G, then contracting edges  $v_1v_2$  and  $v_1v_i$  creates a degree 10 vertex. So each of the vertices  $v_2, v_3, ..., v_9$  misses at least one element of  $\{v_{12}, v_{13}\}$  in G, which implies that contracting the edges  $v_1v_{12}$  and  $v_1v_{13}$  creates a degree 10 vertex neighboring  $v_2, v_3, ..., v_{11}$  in a minor of cG.

We may then assume each of the vertices  $v_2, v_3, ..., v_9$  neighbor at most one of the vertices of H in G, which means there are at most 8 edges between the vertices of  $N := N(v_1)$  and those of H in G. This implies there are at least 36 - 8 = 28 edges between cN and cH in cG. Since four of these edges connect  $v_1$  to the vertices of cH, there are at least 24 edges between  $\{v_2, ..., v_9\}$  and cH in cG. Unless this lower bound is attained, the pigeonhole principle implies that one vertex of cH, say  $v_{10}$ , neighbors at least 7 vertices among  $v_2, v_3, ..., v_8$  and  $v_9$ . Contracting  $v_1v_{10}$  creates a degree ten vertex in a minor of cG. This implies that in cG each vertex of cH is adjacent to at most six vertices among  $v_2, v_3, ..., v_8$  and  $v_9$ , thus giving a maximum of  $4 \cdot 6 = 24$  edges between cH and  $cN \setminus v_1$  in cG. It follows that in G each of  $v_2, v_3, ..., v_8$  and  $v_9$  has exactly one neighbor in H and each vertex of H neighbors exactly 2 of  $v_2, v_3, ..., v_8$  and  $v_9$ , thus partitioning this set into 4 blocks of 2 elements each. Contracting any two edges in the set  $\{v_1v_{10}, v_1v_{11}, v_1v_{12}, v_1v_{13}\}$  creates a degree 10 vertex in cG.

**Case** k = 7. Assume  $deg(v_1) = 7$ . Notice that this implies that the minimal degree in cG is 5. Let  $v_2, v_3, ..., v_8$  be the neighbors of  $v_1$  in G. If any of these vertices has more than 3 neighbors in the set  $\{v_9, v_{10}, v_{11}, v_{12}, v_{13}\}$  then a one edge contraction creates a vertex of degree at least 10. Without loss of generality, assume  $v_2$  neighbors  $v_9, v_{10}$ , and  $v_{11}$  in G. If another  $v_i$   $(3 \le i \le 8)$  neighbors both

 $v_{12}$  and  $v_{13}$  in G, contracting the edges  $v_1v_2$  and  $v_1v_i$  creates a vertex of degree 10 vertex in a 11-vertex minor of G. But this implies that contracting the edges  $v_1v_{12}$  and  $v_1v_{13}$  in cG creates a degree ten vertex.

It follows that there are at most 14 edges between  $N := N(v_1)$  and  $H := \langle v_9, v_{10}, v_{11}, v_{12}, v_{13} \rangle_G$  in G. This implies that there are 40 - 5 - 14 = 21 edges between cH and  $\{v_2, v_3, ..., v_8\}$  in cG. By the pigeon hole principle, there is at least one vertex in cH, say  $v_9$ , which connects with at least 5 vertices in  $\{v_2, v_3, ..., v_8\}$ . If it connects with 6 of them, then contracting edge  $v_1v_9$  creates a degree 10 vertex in a minor of cG. So we may assume  $v_9$  misses  $v_2$  and  $v_3$  in cG. Since k = 7 for both G and cG,  $v_9$  neighbors at most one other vertex of cH.

If  $deg_{cG}(v_9) = 6$ , contracting edges  $v_1v_2$  and  $v_2v_9$  in G creates a degree 10 vertex in a minor of G. If  $deg_{cG}(v_9) = 7$  and  $v_9$  neighbors  $v_{10}$  in cG, there is at least one element of  $\{v_2, ..., v_8\}$  which misses both  $v_9$  and  $v_{10}$  in cG, since otherwise one might contract  $v_1v_9$  and  $v_1v_{10}$  to create a degree 10 vertex in a minor of cG. This vertex must be one of the  $v_2$  or  $v_3$ , so we assume it is  $v_2$ . Contracting  $v_1v_2$ and  $v_2v_9$  creates a degree 10 vertex in a minor of G.

**Case** k = 6. Every vertex of G (and cG) has degree 6 and G has 39 edges. The graph G is said to be 6-regular. Denote by  $v_2, v_3, ..., v_7$  the neighbors of  $v_1$  in G. Let  $N := N(v_1) = \langle v_2, v_3, ..., v_7 \rangle_G$ . Let  $H := \langle v_8, v_9, ..., v_{13} \rangle_G$ . If any of the vertices of N neighbors more than 4 of the vertices of H, then a one edge contraction creates a degree 10 vertex in a minor of G.

Assume there exists a neighbor of  $v_1$  in G, say  $v_2$ , which neighbors 4 vertices of H, say  $v_8, v_9, v_{10}, v_{11}$ . If for any  $3 \le i \le 7$ ,  $v_i$  neighbors both  $v_{12}$  and  $v_{13}$ , contracting  $v_1v_2$  and  $v_1v_i$  produces a degree 10 vertex in a minor of G. It follows that each of  $v_2, v_3, ..., v_6$  and  $v_7$  misses at least one of the  $v_{12}$  and  $v_{13}$  in G, hence contracting  $v_1v_{12}$  and  $v_1v_{13}$  in cG produces a degree ten vertex.

Consequently, there are at most 18 edges joining N to H. Since the sum of the degrees in G of the vertices of H is 36, H has at least 9 edges. Similarly, it follows that N has at least (42 - 18)/2 = 12 edges. Looking in the complement, we notice that  $v_1 \cup cH$  has at most 6+6=12 edges and that it constitutes  $N(v_1)$ in cG. By symmetry, it follows that |N| = 12, |H| = 9 and |L| = 18, where L denotes the set of edges joining N and H. The only distribution that respects the 6-regularity of both G and its complement is one in which each element of either  $N - v_1$  or H is incident to exactly three edges of L. This implies the subgraph  $\langle v_2, ..., v_7 \rangle_G$  has six edges, and each vertex  $v_2, ..., v_7$  has degree 2 in  $\langle v_2, ..., v_7 \rangle_G$ is two disjoint cycles,  $\langle v_2, ..., v_7 \rangle_{cG}$  is a  $K_{3,3}$ . Then cG contains a  $K_{3,3,1}$  minor given by contracting all edges of cG which have  $v_1$  as their end point. So it can assumed that  $v_2v_3v_4v_5v_6v_7v_2$  is cycle in G. By symmetry,  $v_8, ..., v_{12}$  and  $v_{13}$  are joined by all edges in the  $K_6$  they determine except the cycle  $v_8v_9v_{10}v_{11}v_{12}v_{13}v_8$ . Notice that this fully describes the adjacency relations within the neighborhood of  $v_1$  and those of the set of non-neighbors of  $v_1$ , and the adjacency relations are the same for all vertices in G and cG: for a vertex v, every neighbor of v shares two common neighbors with v, and any non-neighbor of v has three common neighbors with v. It follows that G and cG are both strongly regular graphs with parameters (13,6,2,3), thus they are isomorphic to the Paley graph on 13 vertices. This graph contains a  $K_7$  minor and is thus intrinsically linked. The  $K_7$  minor can be obtained by contracting the following edges of G, highlighted in Figure 2:  $v_2v_9, v_3v_{10}, v_4v_{11}, v_5v_{12}, v_6v_{13}, v_7v_8$ .



FIGURE 2. Paley graph with thirteen vertices. Contracting the highlighted edges yields a  $K_7$  minor.

*Remark* 8. It is still an open question whether the complement of a linklessly embeddable graph with 11 or 12 vertices is necessarily intrinsically linked.

## References

- J. Battle, F. Harary, and Y. Kodama. Every planar graph with nine points has a non planar complement, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 68 (1962), 569–571
- J. Conway and C. Gordon. Knots and links in spatial graphs. J. Graph Theory 7 (1983), No. 4, 445–453
- J. Campbell, T. Mattman, R. Ottman, J. Pyzer, M. Rodrigues and S. Williams. Intrinsic knotting and linking of almost complete graphs. Kobe J. Math. 25 (2008), no. 1–2, 39–58.

- C. de Verdière. Sur un nouvel invariant des graphes et un critère de planarité, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B 50 (1) (1990), 11–21
- H. van der Holst, L. Lovász and A. Schrijver. The Colin de Verdière graph parameter, Graph Theory and Combinatorial Biology (Balatonlelle, 1996), Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud. 7, Budapest: János Bolyai Math. Soc., pp. 29–85.
- A. Kotlov, L. Lovász and S. Vempala. The Colin de Verdière number and sphere representations of a graph, Combinatorica 17(4) (1997), 483–521.
- L. Lovász and A. Schrijver. A Borsuk theorem for antipodal links and a spectral characterization of linklessly embeddable graphs, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 126, Number 2 (1998), 1275–1285
- N. Robertson, P. Seymour and R. Thomas. Linkless embeddings of graphs in 3-space. Bulletin of the Amer. Math. Soc. 28 (1993), No. 1, 84–89
- H. Sachs. On spatial representations of finite graphs A. Hajnal, L. Lovasz, V.T. Sós (Eds.), Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai, Vol. 37, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984, 649–662

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH ALABAMA, MOBILE, AL 36688, USA.