

A simple analysis of the mixed-state information metric in $\text{AdS}_3/\text{CFT}_2$

Shan-Quan Lan^{a1}, Gu-Qiang Li^{a2}, Jie-Xiong Mo^{a3}, Xiao-Bao Xu^{a4}

^a *Institute of Theoretical Physics,*

Lingnan Normal University, Zhanjiang, 524048 Guangdong, China

Abstract

We compute the quantum information metrics of a thermal CFT on $\mathbb{R}^{1,1}$ perturbed by the scalar primary operators of conformal dimension $\Delta = 3, 4, 5, 6$. In particular, we assume that the Hamiltonian of the mixed state commutes with each other and the temperature is fixed. Under these conditions, the evaluation is analogous to the pure state case. We also apply the method of [arXiv:1607.06519] to calculate the mixed state information metric for the scalar primary operator with conformal dimension $\Delta = 4$ holographically. We find an exact agreement between the two results in our approach.

¹shanquanlan@mail.bnu.edu.cn

²zsgqli@hotmail.com

³mojiexiong@gmail.com

⁴xuxb@lingnan.edu.cn

1 Introduction

Quantum information [1] has recently driven intense activity in understanding the AdS/CFT correspondence [2–4]. This relation between quantum information and AdS/CFT can be traced back to the remarkable proposal for a holographic expression of entanglement entropy in the boundary theory [5], also known as the Ryu-Takayanagi formula. An important application of this formula is that the evolution of time-dependent entanglement entropy in the CFT can be described by the holographic setups, Hartman and Maldacena [6] found that the linear growth of the entanglement entropy is the same as the linear growth of the nice slices along the spacelike t -direction in the black hole interior. Another considerable progress in connecting quantum information to gravitational dynamics has been made by Susskind, who point out that the length of an Einstein-Rosen bridge corresponds to the computational complexity of a state in the CFT [7].

More recently, quantum information metric and its gravity dual was studied in [8], which reveals that a quantum information metric in the CFT is closely related to the volume of a maximal time slice in the AdS bulk. This correspondence and holographic complexity [9] have a certain feature in common, specifically it is shown that a quantum information quantity in the boundary field theory can be dual to the volume of a maximal co-dimension *one* hypersurface in the AdS background. Therefore this new correspondence should be useful for the programme of bulk reconstruction [10], for example [11].

The quantum information metric [12,13], or fidelity susceptibility, measures the distance between two quantum states. It is clear that this quantity is vanishing if and only if the two states are identical. More precisely, let us consider a generic one-parameter family of states, σ is an arbitrary density matrix for this family of states, which has a tunable parameter λ . Now we perturb σ by the parameter λ , the fluctuation $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda + \delta\lambda$ for infinitesimal $\delta\lambda$ will lead to a new density matrix ρ . Then quantum information metric $G_{\lambda\lambda}$ is defined as

$$F(\sigma, \rho) = 1 - G_{\lambda\lambda}\delta\lambda^2 + \mathcal{O}(\delta\lambda^3) \quad (1)$$

where $F(\sigma, \rho)$ is the fidelity of the states σ and ρ , defined as

$$F(\sigma, \rho) = \text{tr}\sqrt{\sqrt{\sigma}\rho\sqrt{\sigma}} \quad (2)$$

For the pure states, the fidelity(2) reduce to the inner product $|\langle\sigma|\rho\rangle|$. Moreover, the overlap $|\langle\sigma|\rho\rangle|$ in a Euclidean CFT on R^d can be described by the path integral when the CFT is deformed by a scalar primary operator \mathcal{O} whose coupling jump across the interface $\tau = 0$, where τ is the Euclidean

time coordinate [8]. And if the perturbation \mathcal{O} is a marginal operator, the bulk geometry dual to this interface field theory is termed *Janus solution* [14], which is obtained from the AdS Einstein-scalar theory. Evaluating the on-shell action of this theory, we can find a precise correspondence between the both sides [15]. However this method is not feasible for the deformations induced by non-marginal primary operators, because the bulk geometries dual to these perturbations are not simple as the Janus solution. The difficulty was circumvented by Trivella [16] based on an ingenious perturbative approach in the AdS bulk. Inspired by this work, quantum information metrics in other cases have been studied [17, 18].

In this paper we investigate the mixed quantum information metric in two dimensional thermal CFT and its gravity dual for various non-marginal primary operators. To simplify our calculation, we only consider the deformation that the density matrices σ and ρ are commutative, meanwhile the temperature of the thermal CFT is fixed. Under these conditions, the fidelity (2) also reduce to a path integral [15]. Thus we can compute the mixed quantum information metric in this case, we will focus on the deformed CFT by the scalar primary operators with conformal dimension $\Delta = 3, 4, 5, 6$. Actually this is almost the problem in section 5 of [16], where the author obtained the quantum information metric with the marginal deformation for a thermofield-double state in $d = 2$. We will see that the mixed quantum information metric with the marginal deformation in our case can also be given by the formula (60) in [16]. However the mixed quantum information metrics with the deformations induced by the scalar primary operators of conformal dimension $\Delta = 3, 5$ have some elusive results. To our satisfaction, the mixed quantum information metrics with the deformations induced by the scalar primary operators of conformal dimension $\Delta = 4, 6$ have some regular results. Due to the complete agreement between the quantum information metric with the marginal deformation for a thermofield-double state in $d = 2$ and its gravity dual [16], we think that there is still a precise correspondence for the mixed quantum information metric with the non-marginal deformation. With this motivation, we compute the mixed information metric for the scalar primary operator with conformal dimension $\Delta = 4$ holographically by adopting the method of [16], and find that the result in gravity is the same as the one from the CFT.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section we briefly review the quantum information metric, especially on the mixed information metric. In section 3, we calculate the mixed information metrics for the scalar primary operators with conformal dimension $\Delta = 3, 4, 5, 6$ in the CFT. In section 4, we try to get the mixed information metric for the scalar primary operator with conformal dimension $\Delta = 4$ in gravity following the method of [16]. Finally, in section 5 we discuss the possible

generalization of our consideration.

2 Mixed-state information metric

In this section, we briefly review some results concerning the mixed-state information metric. The quantum fidelity between two mixed states (ρ_1, ρ_2) defined by

$$F(\rho_1, \rho_2) = \text{tr} \sqrt{\rho_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho_2 \rho_1^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad (3)$$

where ρ_i is the density matrix of a thermal state given as

$$\rho = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_n e^{-\beta E_n} |\Psi_n\rangle \langle \Psi_n| = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\beta H} \quad (4)$$

In the present discussion, we consider that the thermal states ρ_1, ρ_2 belong to a family of the quantum states labeled by the parameter λ , in particular

$$H_i = H(\lambda_i), \quad \lambda_2 = \lambda_1 + \delta\lambda, \quad \beta_2 = \beta_1 = \beta \quad (5)$$

where we have restricted that the thermal states ρ_1, ρ_2 are in the same temperature $1/\beta$.

Furthermore, we assume that the Hamiltonians H_1, H_2 are commutative, then

$$F(\rho_1, \rho_2) = (Z_1 Z_2)^{-1/2} \text{tr} \exp[-\beta (H_1 + H_2) / 2] \quad (6)$$

Before obtaining the path integral representation of the fidelity (6) in a thermal CFT, we first recall the quantum information metric for the ground state of a CFT on \mathbb{R}^d .

Let us start by considering the CFT with a Euclidean Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_1 on \mathbb{R}^d , whose Euclidean time and space coordinates are denoted by τ and x . Next we deform the theory by a primary operator \mathcal{O} of conformal dimension Δ at $\tau = 0$. The new Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = \mathcal{L}_1 + \delta\lambda \mathcal{O} \quad (7)$$

where $\delta\lambda$ is a coupling constant. The overlap between the ground state of the undeformed theory $|\Psi_1\rangle$ and the ground state of the deformed theory $|\Psi_2\rangle$ is

$$\langle \Psi_2 | \Psi_1 \rangle = \frac{1}{(Z_1 Z_2)^{1/2}} \int \mathcal{D}\phi \exp\left[-\int d^{d-1}x \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 d\tau \mathcal{L}_1 + \int_0^{\infty} d\tau \mathcal{L}_2\right)\right] \quad (8)$$

Due to the sudden change in the action at $\tau = 0$, this path integral is UV divergent, we need regularization and renormalization. We consider the regularization as follows

$$|\Psi_2^\epsilon\rangle = \frac{e^{-\epsilon H_1} |\Psi_2\rangle}{(\langle \Psi_2 | e^{-2\epsilon H_1} | \Psi_2 \rangle)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad (9)$$

The regularized overlap can be written as

$$\langle \Psi_2^\epsilon | \Psi_1 \rangle = \frac{\langle \exp(-\int_\epsilon^\infty d\tau \int d^{d-1}x \delta\lambda \mathcal{O}) \rangle}{\langle \exp(-(\int_{-\infty}^{-\epsilon} + \int_\epsilon^\infty) d\tau \int d^{d-1}x \delta\lambda \mathcal{O}) \rangle^{1/2}} \quad (10)$$

Expanding the regularized overlap (10) in small $\delta\lambda$, we can find that

$$G_{\lambda\lambda} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^{d-1}x_1 \int d^{d-1}x_2 \int_{-\infty}^{-\epsilon} d\tau_1 \int_\epsilon^\infty d\tau_2 \langle \mathcal{O}(\tau_1, x_1) \mathcal{O}(\tau_2, x_2) \rangle \quad (11)$$

For the thermal CFT on $\mathbb{R}^{1,d-1}$, it can be formulated on $S_\beta^1 \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ by using the Imaginary-Time Formalism, where β is the inverse temperature of the system. Similar to the setup in the Euclidean CFT on \mathbb{R}^d , we deform the original theory with the Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_1 at $\tau = 0$ by the term $\delta\lambda \mathcal{O}$, and the original theory associated to the density matrix ρ_1 is defined on the interval $\mathcal{I}_1 = [-\frac{\beta}{2}, 0]$, the deformed theory associated to the density matrix ρ_2 is defined on the interval $\mathcal{I}_2 = [0, \frac{\beta}{2}]$.

Thus, the fidelity (6) can be rewritten by the path integral as

$$F(\rho_1, \rho_2) = \frac{1}{(Z_1 Z_2)^{1/2}} \int \mathcal{D}\phi \exp[-\int d^{d-1}x (\int_{-\frac{\beta}{2}}^0 d\tau \mathcal{L}_1 + \int_0^{\frac{\beta}{2}} d\tau \mathcal{L}_2)] \quad (12)$$

Because of the periodicity of the thermal circle S_β^1 , we need introducing the cut-off at both ends of the interval \mathcal{I}_2 , i.e. $\mathcal{I}_2(\epsilon) = [\epsilon, \frac{\beta}{2} - \epsilon]$. Then the regularized density matrix of the deformed theory is described as

$$\rho_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon) = \frac{e^{-\epsilon H_1} e^{-(\frac{\beta}{2}-2\epsilon)H_2} e^{-\epsilon H_1}}{\sqrt{Z_2(\epsilon)}} \quad (13)$$

where $Z_2(\epsilon) = \text{tr}(e^{-\epsilon H_1} e^{-(\frac{\beta}{2}-2\epsilon)H_2} e^{-\epsilon H_1})^2$ [15].

Along the approach to driving the quantum information metric for the pure state (11), the mixed-state information metric is given by

$$G_{\lambda\lambda} = \frac{1}{2} \int_\epsilon^{\frac{\beta}{2}-\epsilon} d\tau_2 \int_{-\frac{\beta}{2}+\epsilon}^{-\epsilon} d\tau_1 \int dx_2^{d-1} \int dx_1^{d-1} \langle \hat{\mathcal{O}}(\tau_2, x_2) \hat{\mathcal{O}}(\tau_1, x_1) \rangle \quad (14)$$

with $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(\tau, x) \equiv \mathcal{O}(\tau, x) - \langle \mathcal{O}(\tau, x) \rangle$. For a closer look at the mixed-state information metric (14), we will focus on the two-dimensional CFT. If the primary operator \mathcal{O} is not in the Virasoro vacuum module $\{1, T, \partial T, :TT:, \dots\}$, we have [19]

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_{S_\beta^1 \times \mathbb{R}} = 0 \quad (15)$$

In the following discussion, we will be interesting in those operators, therefore we get

$$G_{\lambda\lambda} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\epsilon}^{\frac{\beta}{2}-\epsilon} d\tau_2 \int_{-\frac{\beta}{2}+\epsilon}^{-\epsilon} d\tau_1 \int dx_2^{d-1} \int dx_1^{d-1} \langle \mathcal{O}(\tau_2, x_2) \mathcal{O}(\tau_1, x_1) \rangle \quad (16)$$

In order to compare the CFT_2 results with the gravitational one in AdS_3 , we choose the two-point function as

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(\tau_1, x_1) \mathcal{O}(\tau_2, x_2) \rangle = \mathcal{C} \frac{(\frac{\pi}{\beta})^{2\Delta}}{[\sinh^2(\frac{\pi(x_1-x_2)}{\beta}) + \sin^2(\frac{\pi(\tau_1-\tau_2)}{\beta})]^\Delta} \quad (17)$$

where $\mathcal{C} = \frac{4\eta l(\Delta-1)}{\pi}$, with $\eta = \frac{1}{16\pi G}$ denoting the $d+1$ dimensional Newton's constant G , and l is the AdS radius, please see the eq.(3.2) in [17].

3 Mixed-state information metric in CFT_2

In this section we will perform the calculation of the mixed-state information metrics for the scalar primary operators \mathcal{O} with conformal dimension $\Delta = 3, 4, 5, 6$ according to Eq. (16). The mixed-state information metric for the scalar primary operator \mathcal{O} with conformal dimension $\Delta = 2$ has been derived by Trivella, who also find it through AdS/CFT [16].

- $\Delta = 3$

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\lambda\lambda} &= \frac{V_{\mathbb{R}}}{2} \mathcal{C} \left(\frac{\pi}{\beta}\right)^3 \int_{-\frac{\pi}{\beta}\tilde{\tau}+\epsilon'}^{\frac{\pi}{2}+\frac{\pi}{\beta}\tilde{\tau}-\epsilon'} d\tau_1' \int_{\frac{\pi}{2}+\frac{\pi}{\beta}\tilde{\tau}+\epsilon'}^{\pi-\frac{\pi}{\beta}\tilde{\tau}-\epsilon'} d\tau_2' \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx_1' \frac{1}{(\sinh^2(x_1' - x_2') + \sin^2(\tau_1' - \tau_2'))^3} \\ &= \frac{V_{\mathbb{R}}}{2} \mathcal{C} \left(\frac{\pi}{\beta}\right)^3 \left\{ (-4) \int_{2\epsilon'}^{\frac{\pi}{2}-\frac{2\pi}{\beta}\tilde{\tau}} du (2u - 4\epsilon') \left[\frac{3(2u - \pi)}{\sin^5(2u)} - \frac{2(2u - \pi)}{\sin^3(2u)} - \frac{3 \cos(2u)}{\sin^4(2u)} \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2\left(\pi - \frac{4\pi}{\beta}\tilde{\tau} - 4\epsilon'\right) \int_{\frac{\pi}{2}-\frac{2\pi}{\beta}\tilde{\tau}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}+\frac{2\pi}{\beta}\tilde{\tau}} du \left[\frac{3(2u - \pi)}{\sin^5(2u)} - \frac{2(2u - \pi)}{\sin^3(2u)} - \frac{3 \cos(2u)}{\sin^4(2u)} \right] \right\} \quad (18) \end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon' = \frac{\pi}{\beta}\epsilon$. Finally, we find

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\lambda\lambda} &= \frac{V_{\mathbb{R}}}{2} \mathcal{C} \left\{ \frac{\pi}{128\epsilon^3} + \left(\frac{\pi}{\beta}\right)^2 \frac{\pi}{8\epsilon} + \frac{1}{48} \left(\frac{\pi}{\beta}\right)^3 \tan(a) \left(-24a - 28 \cot(a) + 6i\pi^2 a \cot(a) - 24a \cot^2(a) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + 6 \cot(a) \csc^2(a) - 6a \cot^2(a) \csc^2(a) - 6 \csc(a) \sec(a) - 6a \sec^2(a) + 42 \cot(a) \zeta(3) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + 48i \cot(a) (a \chi_2(e^{-2ia}) - i\chi_3(e^{-2ia})) \right) \right\} \quad (19) \end{aligned}$$

where $a = \frac{2\pi}{\beta}\tilde{\tau}$, $\zeta(x)$ is the Riemann ζ -function, and χ_s is the Legendre χ -function, which is defined as

$$\chi_s(z) = \frac{1}{2} [\text{Li}_s(z) - \text{Li}_s(-z)] \quad (20)$$

Here $\text{Li}_s(z)$ is the polylogarithm function defined by [20]

$$\text{Li}_s(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k^s} \quad (21)$$

- $\Delta = 5$

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\lambda\lambda} &= \frac{V_{\mathbb{R}}}{2} \mathcal{C} \left(\frac{\pi}{\beta} \right)^7 \int_{-\frac{\pi}{\beta}\bar{\tau}+\epsilon'}^{\frac{\pi}{2}+\frac{\pi}{\beta}\bar{\tau}-\epsilon'} d\tau'_1 \int_{\frac{\pi}{2}+\frac{\pi}{\beta}\bar{\tau}+\epsilon'}^{\pi-\frac{\pi}{\beta}\bar{\tau}-\epsilon'} d\tau'_2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx'_1 \frac{1}{(\sinh^2(x'_1 - x'_2) + \sin^2(\tau'_1 - \tau'_2))^5} \\ &= \frac{V_{\mathbb{R}}}{2} \mathcal{C} \left\{ \frac{5\pi}{65536\epsilon^7} + \left(\frac{\pi}{\beta} \right)^2 \frac{5\pi}{6144\epsilon^5} + \left(\frac{\pi}{\beta} \right)^4 \frac{59\pi}{9216\epsilon^3} + \left(\frac{\pi}{\beta} \right)^6 \frac{5\pi}{64\epsilon} \right. \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\pi}{\beta} \right)^7 \frac{1}{688128} \left(387072(ia\chi_2(e^{-2ia}) + \chi_3(e^{-2ia})) + 768(63i\pi^2a + 441\zeta(3) - 358) \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{7}{4} \csc^7(a) \sec^7(a)(-6102a + 2215 \sin(4a) - 188 \sin(8a) + 27 \sin(12a) \\ &\quad \left. \left. + 3a(-473 \cos(4a) - 62 \cos(8a) + 9 \cos(12a))) \right) \right\} \quad (22) \end{aligned}$$

- $\Delta = 4$

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\lambda\lambda} &= \frac{V_{\mathbb{R}}}{2} \mathcal{C} \left(\frac{\pi}{\beta} \right)^5 \int_{-\frac{\pi}{\beta}\bar{\tau}+\epsilon'}^{\frac{\pi}{2}+\frac{\pi}{\beta}\bar{\tau}-\epsilon'} d\tau'_1 \int_{\frac{\pi}{2}+\frac{\pi}{\beta}\bar{\tau}+\epsilon'}^{\pi-\frac{\pi}{\beta}\bar{\tau}-\epsilon'} d\tau'_2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx'_1 \frac{1}{(\sinh^2(x'_1 - x'_2) + \sin^2(\tau'_1 - \tau'_2))^4} \\ &= \frac{V_{\mathbb{R}}}{2} \mathcal{C} \left\{ \frac{\pi}{1536\epsilon^5} + \left(\frac{\pi}{\beta} \right)^2 \frac{\pi}{144\epsilon^3} + \left(\frac{\pi}{\beta} \right)^4 \frac{\pi}{9\epsilon} + \left(\frac{\pi}{\beta} \right)^5 \frac{1}{1080} \csc^5(2a) \left(-910 \sin(2a) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + 95 \sin(6a) - 19 \sin(10a) + 60a(28 \cos(2a) - 5 \cos(6a) + \cos(10a)) \right) \right\} \quad (23) \end{aligned}$$

- $\Delta = 6$

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\lambda\lambda} &= \frac{V_{\mathbb{R}}}{2} \mathcal{C} \left(\frac{\pi}{\beta} \right)^9 \int_{-\frac{\pi}{\beta}\bar{\tau}+\epsilon'}^{\frac{\pi}{2}+\frac{\pi}{\beta}\bar{\tau}-\epsilon'} d\tau'_1 \int_{\frac{\pi}{2}+\frac{\pi}{\beta}\bar{\tau}+\epsilon'}^{\pi-\frac{\pi}{\beta}\bar{\tau}-\epsilon'} d\tau'_2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx'_1 \frac{1}{(\sinh^2(x'_1 - x'_2) + \sin^2(\tau'_1 - \tau'_2))^6} \\ &= \frac{V_{\mathbb{R}}}{2} \mathcal{C} \left\{ \frac{7\pi}{655360\epsilon^9} + \left(\frac{\pi}{\beta} \right)^2 \frac{\pi}{8192\epsilon^7} + \left(\frac{\pi}{\beta} \right)^4 \frac{11\pi}{12800\epsilon^5} + \left(\frac{\pi}{\beta} \right)^6 \frac{\pi}{180\epsilon^3} + \left(\frac{\pi}{\beta} \right)^8 \frac{16\pi}{225\epsilon} \right. \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\pi}{\beta} \right)^9 \frac{1}{378000} \csc^9(2a) \left(-593334 \sin(2a) - 146244 \sin(6a) \right. \\ &\quad + 840a(2376 \cos(2a) + 116 \cos(6a) + 36 \cos(10a) - 9 \cos(14a) + \cos(18a)) \\ &\quad \left. \left. - 209(36 \sin(10a) - 9 \sin(14a) + \sin(18a)) \right) \right\} \quad (24) \end{aligned}$$

The form of the mixed-state information metric for the scalar primary operator of conformal dimension $\Delta = 4$ is simpler than other ones. In the next section, we attempt to find the mixed-state information metric for the scalar primary operator of conformal dimension $\Delta = 4$ in AdS_3 gravity and compare it with the formula (23).

4 Mixed-state information metric in AdS₃

In this section we compute the mixed-state information metric for the scalar primary operator of conformal dimension $\Delta = 4$ holographically. Because of the irrelevant deformation, we will consider a massive field probing a fixed bulk geometry. The gravity dual to a thermal state in CFT_2 is the BTZ black string,

$$ds^2 = -\left(\frac{r^2 - r_+^2}{l^2}\right)dt^2 + \left(\frac{l^2}{r^2 - r_+^2}\right)dr^2 + r^2d\phi^2 \quad (25)$$

where l is the AdS radius and r_+ is the horizon radius. The range of the coordinates are $-\infty < t, \phi < \infty$, $r_+ < r < \infty$, the Hawking temperature is $\frac{1}{\beta} = \frac{r_+}{2\pi l^2}$, demanding the Euclidean BTZ black string is smooth at the horizon we make the identification $\tau \sim \tau + \beta$. For simplicity, we will set $l = r_+ = 1$, the metric (25) can be transformed to

$$ds^2 = \frac{dZ^2}{(1 - Z^2)Z^2} + \frac{1 - Z^2}{Z^2}d\tau^2 + \frac{d\phi^2}{Z^2} \quad (26)$$

The metric (26) has appeared in [16], this means that our holographic computation can be based on the method used in [16].

It is clear that the fidelity can be interpreted as a combination of partition functions

$$F(\rho_1, \rho_2) = \frac{Z_2}{\sqrt{Z_0 Z_1}} \quad (27)$$

where Z_0 is the partition function of a pure CFT, Z_1 is the partition function of the deformed CFT and Z_2 is the partition function of the pure CFT deformed only on the interval $[\pi + \tilde{\tau}, 2\pi - \tilde{\tau}]$. In the semi-classical gravity limit, we have $Z_k = \exp(-I_k)$ where I_k is the on-shell action of the bulk geometry dual to the corresponding field theory configuration.

To obtain the information metric $G_{\lambda\lambda}$ in (1), we need performing a perturbative expansion in infinitesimal $\delta\lambda$. The detailed analysis has been done in [16], which finds

$$-\log Z_k = I_{AdS} + \delta I_k + \mathcal{O}(\delta\lambda^4) \quad (28)$$

where I_{AdS} is the on shell action of pure Einstein theory with the cosmological constant $\Lambda < 0$ and δI_k is the on shell action of the scalar fields probing a fixed AdS background. Specifically,

$$\delta I_k = \eta \int_{\partial\mathcal{M}_\epsilon} \sqrt{\gamma_0} n_\mu g^{\mu\nu} \Phi_k \partial_\nu \Phi_k \quad (29)$$

where \mathcal{M}_ϵ is the regularized AdS, n_μ is the unit normal vector at the cut-off surface $\partial\mathcal{M}_\epsilon$ and γ_0 is the determinant of the induced metric on $\partial\mathcal{M}_\epsilon$.

We can write the fidelity as

$$F = \exp(-\delta I_2 + \frac{1}{2}\delta I_1) \quad (30)$$

Next, we need to find the scalar field Φ_k obeying the equation of motion, it can be obtained using the bulk to boundary propagator. Firstly we note that the scalar field dual to an primary operator \mathcal{O} in CFT_2 satisfies the following boundary condition

$$\lim_{Z \rightarrow 0} Z^{\Delta-2} \Phi_k(Z, \tau, \phi) \equiv \tilde{\Phi}_k(\tau, \phi) = \delta\lambda s_k(\tau) \quad (31)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} s_0(\tau) &= 0 \\ s_1(\tau) &= 1 \\ s_2(\tau) &= \begin{cases} 0 & -\tilde{\tau} \leq \tau \leq \pi + \tilde{\tau} \\ 1 & \pi + \tilde{\tau} \leq \tau \leq 2\pi - \tilde{\tau} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Secondly, the metric (26) can be written as pure AdS under the following coordinate transformation

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \sqrt{1 - Z^2} \cos \tau e^\phi \\ y &= \sqrt{1 - Z^2} \sin \tau e^\phi \\ z &= Z e^\phi \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

The pure AdS in Poincaré coordinates is

$$ds^2 = \frac{dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2}{z^2} \quad (34)$$

Then the scalar field Φ_k is constructed by the following integral

$$\Phi_k(z, x, y) = \delta\lambda c_\Delta \int dx' dy' \left[\frac{z}{z^2 + (x - x')^2 + (y - y')^2} \right]^\Delta s_k(x', y') (x'^2 + y'^2)^{\frac{\Delta-2}{2}} \quad (35)$$

with the normalization constant $c_\Delta = \frac{\Delta-1}{\pi}$, where we used the fact that the source function $s(x)$ is generally not invariant under the conformal transformation. So, the scalar field Φ_1 dual to the primary operator \mathcal{O} with conformal dimension $\Delta = 4$ is

$$\Phi_1(z, x, y) = \delta\lambda \frac{2(x^2 + y^2) + z^2}{2z^2} \quad (36)$$

The scalar field Φ_2 is

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_2(z, x, y) &= \delta\lambda c_4 \int_{-\infty}^0 dy' \int_{y'/\tilde{t}}^{-y'/\tilde{t}} dx' \frac{z^4}{(z^2 + (x-x')^2 + (y-y')^2)^4} (x'^2 + y'^2) \\ &= \delta\lambda c_4 \int_0^{+\infty} dy' \int_{-y'/\tilde{t}}^{y'/\tilde{t}} dx' \frac{z^4}{(z^2 + (x-x')^2 + (y+y')^2)^4} (x'^2 + y'^2)\end{aligned}\quad (37)$$

The region of the integral (37) can be understood by the Fig.6 in [16], and $\tilde{t} = \tan \tau$. With some efforts, we find that the final form of the scalar field Φ_2 is

$$\Phi_2(z, x, y) = \frac{\delta\lambda}{\pi} [h(x, y, z) + h(-x, y, z) + \frac{2(x^2 + y^2) + z^2}{2z^2} (\frac{\pi}{2} - \tilde{\tau})] \quad (38)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}h(x, y, z) &= \frac{1}{16} \left(\frac{3(\tilde{t}x + y)^3(x - \tilde{t}y)}{((y + \tilde{t}x)^2 + (1 + \tilde{t}^2)z^2)^2} + \frac{7(y + \tilde{t}x)(-x + \tilde{t}y)}{(y + \tilde{t}x)^2 + (1 + \tilde{t}^2)z^2} \right) \\ &\quad + (y + \tilde{t}x) \left(8(x^2 + y^2)(y + \tilde{t}x)^4 + 4(y + \tilde{t}x)^2(2xy\tilde{t} + y^2(6 + 5\tilde{t}^2) + x^2(5 + 6\tilde{t}^2))z^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 5(1 + \tilde{t}^2)(4xy\tilde{t} + y^2(5 + 3\tilde{t}^2) + x^2(3 + 5\tilde{t}^2))z^4 + 9(1 + \tilde{t}^2)^2z^6 \right) \\ &\quad \frac{(-\pi + 2 \arctan \frac{\tilde{t}y - x}{\sqrt{(y + \tilde{t}x)^2 + (1 + \tilde{t}^2)z^2}})}{32z^2((y + \tilde{t}x)^2 + (1 + \tilde{t}^2)z^2)^{5/2}}\end{aligned}\quad (39)$$

To compute the on-shell action (29) we need putting a cut off at $z = \epsilon$ as a regularization for AdS space, we then have

$$\delta I_k = -\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int dx dy \frac{1}{\epsilon} \Phi_k \partial_z \Phi_k \quad (40)$$

According to the coordinate transformation (33), the above integral become to

$$\delta I_k = -\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d\phi d\tau e^{2\phi} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \Phi_k \partial_z \Phi_k |_{z=\epsilon} \quad (41)$$

For the action δI_1 , we note that

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon} \Phi_1 \partial_z \Phi_1 = -(\delta\lambda)^2 \left[\frac{2e^{4\phi}}{\epsilon^6} - \frac{3e^{2\phi}}{\epsilon^4} + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \right] \quad (42)$$

So the integrand in δI_1 is divergent under the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. By using the counterterms, we simply subtract the power divergences in (42) [16]. With this argument, the contribution of the bulk configuration Φ_1 to the information metric is absent in our case.

Next, we calculate the on-shell action δI_2 , the first piece is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}(\tau) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \Phi_2 \partial_z \Phi_2 = -\frac{(\delta\lambda)^2}{\pi^2} \frac{e^{-2\phi}}{49152} \csc^6(\tau - \tilde{\tau}) \csc^6(\tau + \tilde{\tau}) \\
&\quad (\arctan(\cot(\tau - \tilde{\tau})) - \arctan(\cot(\tau + \tilde{\tau})) - 2\tilde{\tau} + 2\pi) \\
&\quad \left(-6 \cos(8\tau)(19 \sin(4\tilde{\tau}) + 6\pi \cos(4\tilde{\tau})) \right. \\
&\quad - 4 \cos(6\tau)(-171 \sin(2\tilde{\tau}) + 5 \sin(6\tilde{\tau}) + 42\pi \cos(6\tilde{\tau})) \\
&\quad + 12 \cos(4\tau)(10 \sin(4\tilde{\tau}) + 12 \sin(8\tilde{\tau}) + 84\pi \cos(4\tilde{\tau}) - 3\pi \cos(8\tilde{\tau}) - 45\pi) \\
&\quad + 12 \cos(2\tau)(6\pi \cos(2\tilde{\tau})(6 \cos(4\tau) + 6 \cos(4\tilde{\tau}) - 31) - 215 \sin(2\tilde{\tau}) - 72 \sin(6\tilde{\tau}) - \sin(10\tilde{\tau})) \\
&\quad - 768 \sin^6(\tau - \tilde{\tau})(3 \cos(2(\tau + \tilde{\tau})) + 7) \arctan(\cot(\tau + \tilde{\tau})) \\
&\quad + 768 \sin^6(\tau + \tilde{\tau})(3 \cos(2\tau - 2\tilde{\tau}) + 7) \arctan(\cot(\tau - \tilde{\tau})) \\
&\quad \left. + 1935 \sin(4\tilde{\tau}) + 36 \sin(8\tilde{\tau}) + \sin(12\tilde{\tau}) - 540\pi \cos(4\tilde{\tau}) + 1680\pi \right) \tag{43}
\end{aligned}$$

Because the τ integral ranges over $\tau \in [-\pi + \tilde{\tau}, -\tilde{\tau}]$ and the integrand (43) is even, we restrict to $\tau \in [-\pi/2, -\tilde{\tau}]$. Due to the divergence arising from the interface at $\tau = -\tilde{\tau}$ and $\tau = -\pi + \tilde{\tau}$, we shift the variable $\tau' = \tau - \epsilon$. We find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta I_2 &= -\frac{(\delta\lambda)^2 V_{\mathbb{R}}}{\pi^2 \kappa^2} \int_{-\pi/2-\epsilon}^{-\tilde{\tau}-\epsilon} d\tau \mathcal{F}(\tau) \\
&= \frac{(\delta\lambda)^2 V_{\mathbb{R}}}{\pi^2 \kappa^2} \left[\frac{\pi^2}{16\epsilon^5} + \frac{\pi^2}{24\epsilon^3} + \frac{\pi^2}{24\epsilon} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\pi}{11520} \csc^5(2\tilde{\tau}) \left(-910 \sin(2\tilde{\tau}) - 19 \sin(10\tilde{\tau}) + 95 \sin(6\tilde{\tau}) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + 60\tilde{\tau}(28 \cos(2\tilde{\tau}) - 5 \cos(6\tilde{\tau}) + \cos(10\tilde{\tau})) \right) \right] \tag{44}
\end{aligned}$$

Putting the ingredients (1), (30), (42) and (44) together, we read off the mixed-state information metric for the primary operator with conformal dimension $\Delta = 4$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{\lambda\lambda} &= \frac{V_{\mathbb{R}}}{16\kappa^2\epsilon^5} + \frac{V_{\mathbb{R}}}{24\kappa^2\epsilon^3} + \frac{V_{\mathbb{R}}}{24\kappa^2\epsilon} \\
&\quad + \frac{V_{\mathbb{R}}}{\pi\kappa^2} \frac{1}{11520} \csc^5(2\tilde{\tau}) \left(-910 \sin(2\tilde{\tau}) - 19 \sin(10\tilde{\tau}) + 95 \sin(6\tilde{\tau}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 60\tilde{\tau}(28 \cos(2\tilde{\tau}) - 5 \cos(6\tilde{\tau}) + \cos(10\tilde{\tau})) \right) \tag{45}
\end{aligned}$$

We can see that there is a precise matching between the CFT₂ result (23) and the result (45) in the holographic set-up.

5 Conclusion and Discussion

In this note we compute the mixed-state information metric for a thermal CFT on $\mathbb{R}^{1,1}$ deformed by the scalar primary operators of conformal dimension $\Delta = 3, 4, 5, 6$. To make the problem tractable, we consider the Hamiltonians of the two mixed states are commutative and the temperatures of both states are the same. With these assumptions, we find that the problem is actually similar to the evaluation of the pure-state information metric in CFT. Interestingly we find that the mixed-state information metrics for the primary operators with conformal dimension $\Delta = 3, 5$ contain the polylogarithm functions $\text{Li}_2(z)$ and $\text{Li}_3(z)$. While the mixed-state information metrics for the primary operators with conformal dimension $\Delta = 4, 6$ are just composed of elementary functions. Making use of the method developed in [16], we also compute the mixed-state information metric for the primary operator with conformal dimension $\Delta = 4$ in the bulk AdS_3 . We find that there is an exact duality between the results in CFT_2 and AdS_3 .

Despite our example supporting the $\text{AdS}_3/\text{CFT}_2$ correspondence is established in the particular temperature $\beta = 2\pi$, it is straightforward to verify the $\text{AdS}_3/\text{CFT}_2$ correspondence for an arbitrary temperature. Moreover, it would be interesting to check the $\text{AdS}_3/\text{CFT}_2$ correspondence by the mixed-state information metric for the primary operators with conformal dimension $\Delta = 3, 5$. From the study of [17], we think that the mixed-state information metric for a thermal CFT on $\mathbb{R} \times \text{S}$ can be reproduced by the holographic theory in BTZ black hole [21]. Furthermore, the method of [16] should allow us to compute the quantum information metric for a 2d CFT deformed by the fermionic primary operators holographically.

Another possible extension is to investigate the mixed-state information metric for a thermal CFT on $\mathbb{R}^{1,d}$, however the main difficulty is that the two point function of the thermal CFT on $\mathbb{R}^{1,d}$ is not available [19]. So we need more feasible ideas to solve this problem. Besides the higher dimensional generalization, it is also natural to study the quantum information metric in 1d CFT, for example conformal quantum mechanics [22], of which the two-point function has been found in [23]. More importantly, it would be nice to understand the exotic $\text{AdS}_2/\text{CFT}_1$ correspondence [24] by quantum information metric.

Acknowledgements

The research of X. B. Xu is supported by NSFC grants No. 11747017. The work of S. Q. Lan is supported by Department of Education of Guangdong Province, China (Grant Nos. 2017KQNCX124). The work of G. Q. Li is supported by Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province, China, under Grant No. 2016A030307051 and Department of Education of Guangdong Province, China (Grant Nos. 2017KZDXM056). The work of J. X. Mo is supported by NSFC grants No. 11605082 and Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province, China, under Grant No. 2016A030310363.

References

- [1] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*. Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [2] J. M. Maldacena, *The Large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity*, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **38** (1999) 1113–1133, [[hep-th/9711200](#)]. [*Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*2,231(1998)].
- [3] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov, and A. M. Polyakov, *Gauge theory correlators from noncritical string theory*, *Phys. Lett.* **B428** (1998) 105–114, [[hep-th/9802109](#)].
- [4] E. Witten, *Anti-de Sitter space and holography*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 253–291, [[hep-th/9802150](#)].
- [5] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, *Holographic derivation of entanglement entropy from AdS/CFT*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96** (2006) 181602, [[hep-th/0603001](#)].
- [6] T. Hartman and J. Maldacena, *Time Evolution of Entanglement Entropy from Black Hole Interiors*, *JHEP* **05** (2013) 014, [[arXiv:1303.1080](#)].
- [7] L. Susskind, *Computational Complexity and Black Hole Horizons*, *Fortsch. Phys.* **64** (2016) 44–48, [[arXiv:1403.5695](#)].
- [8] M. Miyaji, T. Numasawa, N. Shiba, T. Takayanagi, and K. Watanabe, *Distance between Quantum States and Gauge-Gravity Duality*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115** (2015), no. 26 261602, [[arXiv:1507.07555](#)].
- [9] D. Stanford and L. Susskind, *Complexity and Shock Wave Geometries*, *Phys. Rev.* **D90** (2014), no. 12 126007, [[arXiv:1406.2678](#)].
- [10] D. Harlow, *TASI Lectures on the Emergence of the Bulk in AdS/CFT*, [arXiv:1802.01040](#).

- [11] P. Caputa, N. Kundu, M. Miyaji, T. Takayanagi, and K. Watanabe, *Liouville Action as Path-Integral Complexity: From Continuous Tensor Networks to AdS/CFT*, *JHEP* **11** (2017) 097, [[arXiv:1706.07056](#)].
- [12] S. L. Braunstein and C. M. Caves, *Statistical distance and the geometry of quantum states*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72** (1994) 3439–3443.
- [13] D. Spehner, *Quantum correlations and distinguishability of quantum states*, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **55** (2014), no. 7 075211, [[arXiv:1407.3739](#)].
- [14] D. Bak, M. Gutperle, and S. Hirano, *A Dilatonic deformation of AdS(5) and its field theory dual*, *JHEP* **05** (2003) 072, [[hep-th/0304129](#)].
- [15] D. Bak, *Information metric and Euclidean Janus correspondence*, *Phys. Lett.* **B756** (2016) 200–204, [[arXiv:1512.04735](#)].
- [16] A. Trivella, *Holographic Computations of the Quantum Information Metric*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **34** (2017), no. 10 105003, [[arXiv:1607.06519](#)].
- [17] D. Bak and A. Trivella, *Quantum Information Metric on $\mathbb{R} \times S^{d-1}$* , *JHEP* **09** (2017) 086, [[arXiv:1707.05366](#)].
- [18] C.-B. Chen, W.-C. Gan, F.-W. Shu, and B. Xiong, *Quantum information metric of conical defect*, *Phys. Rev.* **D98** (2018), no. 4 046008, [[arXiv:1804.08358](#)].
- [19] L. Iliesiu, M. Koloğlu, R. Mahajan, E. Perlmutter, and D. Simmons-Duffin, *The Conformal Bootstrap at Finite Temperature*, [arXiv:1802.10266](#).
- [20] L. Lewin, *Polylogarithms and Associated Functions*. North Holland, New York, 1981.
- [21] M. Banados, C. Teitelboim, and J. Zanelli, *The Black hole in three-dimensional space-time*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69** (1992) 1849–1851, [[hep-th/9204099](#)].
- [22] V. de Alfaro, S. Fubini, and G. Furlan, *Conformal Invariance in Quantum Mechanics*, *Nuovo Cim.* **A34** (1976) 569.
- [23] C. Chamon, R. Jackiw, S.-Y. Pi, and L. Santos, *Conformal quantum mechanics as the CFT₁ dual to AdS₂*, *Phys. Lett.* **B701** (2011) 503–507, [[arXiv:1106.0726](#)].
- [24] A. Almheiri and J. Polchinski, *Models of AdS₂ backreaction and holography*, *JHEP* **11** (2015) 014, [[arXiv:1402.6334](#)].