

A localization method in Hamiltonian graph theory

Armen S. Asratian*, Jonas B. Granholm†, Nikolay K. Khachatryan‡

Abstract

The classical global criteria for the existence of Hamilton cycles only apply to graphs with large edge density and small diameter. In a series of papers Asratian and Khachatryan developed local criteria for the existence of Hamilton cycles in finite connected graphs, which are analogues of the classical global criteria due to Dirac (1952), Ore (1960), Jung (1978), and Nash-Williams (1971). The idea was to show that the global concept of Hamiltonicity can, under rather general conditions, be captured by local phenomena, using the structure of balls of small radii. (The ball of radius r centered at a vertex u is a subgraph of G induced by the set of vertices whose distances from u do not exceed r .) Such results are called *localization theorems* and present a possibility to extend known classes of finite Hamiltonian graphs.

In this paper we formulate a general approach for finding localization theorems and use this approach to formulate local analogues of well-known results of Bauer et al. (1989), Bondy (1980), Häggkvist and Nicoghossian (1981), and Moon and Moser (1963). Finally we extend two of our results to infinite locally finite graphs and show that they guarantee the existence of Hamiltonian curves, introduced by Kündgen, Li and Thomassen (2017).

Keywords: Hamilton cycle, local conditions, infinite graphs, Hamilton curve

1 Introduction

We use [11] for terminology and notation not defined here, and consider graphs without loops and multiple edges only. A graph G is called *locally finite* if every vertex of G has finite degree. A graph G is finite or infinite according to the number of vertices in G .

Let $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ denote, respectively, the vertex set and edge set of a graph G , and let $d_G(u)$ or simply $d(u)$ denote the degree of a vertex u . The distance between vertices u and v in G is denoted by $d_G(u, v)$ or simply $d(u, v)$. The greatest distance between any two vertices in G is the *diameter* of G . For each vertex $u \in V(G)$ we denote by $N_r(u)$ and $M_r(u)$ the set of all vertices $v \in V(G)$ with $d(u, v) = r$ and $d(u, v) \leq r$, respectively. The set $N_1(u)$ is usually denoted by $N(u)$. The *ball of radius r centered at u* , denoted by $G_r(u)$, is the subgraph of G induced by the set $M_r(u)$.

*Department of Mathematics, Linköping University, email: armen.asratian@liu.se

†Department of Mathematics, Linköping University, email: jonas.granholm@liu.se

‡Synopsys Armenia CJSC, email: nikolay@synopsys.com

A *Hamilton cycle* of a finite graph G is a cycle containing every vertex of G . A graph that has a Hamilton cycle is called *Hamiltonian*. There is a vast literature in graph theory devoted to obtaining sufficient conditions for Hamiltonicity (see, for example, the surveys [17, 18]). Almost all of the existing sufficient conditions for a finite graph G to be Hamiltonian contain some global parameters of G (such as the number of vertices) and only apply to graphs with large edge density ($|E(G)| \geq \text{constant} \cdot |V(G)|^2$) and/or small diameter ($o(|V(G)|)$).

The following two classical theorems are examples of such results:

Theorem 1.1 (Dirac [14]). A finite graph G on at least three vertices is Hamiltonian if $d(u) \geq |V(G)|/2$ for every vertex $u \in V(G)$.

Theorem 1.2 (Ore [29]). A finite graph G on at least three vertices is Hamiltonian if $d(u) + d(v) \geq |V(G)|$ for every pair of nonadjacent vertices $u, v \in V(G)$.

Graphs satisfying these conditions are called *Dirac graphs* and *Ore graphs*, respectively.

Another type of sufficient conditions for Hamiltonicity of a finite graph G , which contains no global parameter of G , was found by Oberly and Sumner [28]. A graph is called *claw-free* if it has no induced subgraph isomorphic to $K_{1,3}$, and *locally connected* if the subgraph induced by the set $N(u)$ of neighbors of u is connected for each vertex u .

Theorem 1.3 (Oberly and Sumner [28]). Every finite, connected, locally connected, claw-free graph on at least three vertices is Hamiltonian.

Note that this result can be formulated in terms of balls as follows: A finite connected graph G on at least three vertices is Hamiltonian if for every vertex $u \in V(G)$ the ball $G_1(u)$ satisfies the condition $\kappa(G_1(u)) \geq 2 \geq \alpha(G_1(u))$, where $\kappa(G_1(u))$ and $\alpha(G_1(u))$ denotes the vertex connectivity and independence number of the ball $G_1(u)$, respectively.

Asratian and Khachatryan showed in [1, 3–5] that also some classical sufficient conditions for Hamiltonicity of graphs that contain global parameters (e.g. Theorems 1.1 and 1.2), can be reformulated in such a way that every global parameter in those conditions is replaced by a parameter of a ball with small radius. Such results are called *localization theorems* and present a possibility to extend known classes of Hamiltonian graphs. For example, the following generalization of Dirac’s theorem and Ore’s theorem was obtained in [4] (see also [11, Thm. 10.1.3]):

Theorem 1.4 (Asratian and Khachatryan [4]). Let G be a connected finite graph on at least three vertices such that

$$d(u) + d(v) \geq |N(u) \cup N(v) \cup N(x)|$$

for every path uxv with $uv \notin E(G)$. Then G is Hamiltonian.

Note that in contrast with Dirac’s and Ore’s theorems, Theorem 1.4 applies to infinite classes of graphs G with large diameter ($\geq \text{constant} \cdot |V(G)|$) and small edge density ($|E(G)| \leq \text{constant} \cdot |V(G)|$).

In 2004, Diestel and Kühn [13] suggested a new concept for infinite locally finite graphs called *Hamilton circles*, which are analogues of Hamilton cycles in finite graphs. Diestel [12] launched the ambitious project of extending results on

finite Hamilton cycles to Hamiltonian circles. Georgakopoulos [16] showed that the square of a 2-connected, infinite, locally finite graph G has a Hamilton circle, extending Fleishner’s theorem [15] for finite graphs. Heuer [21] and Hamann et al. [20] showed that every connected, locally connected, infinite, locally finite, claw-free graph has a Hamilton circle, extending Theorem 1.3. Bruhn and Yu [8] obtained some other results concerning an extension of Tutte’s theorem on 4-connected planar graphs.

In each of these results the condition for an infinite graph is the same as the condition in the corresponding result for finite graphs. The situation is different for classical theorems on Hamilton cycles with conditions involving the number of vertices of a graph. In order to extend those theorems to infinite graphs we need some local analogues. For example, Diestel [12] conjectured that every infinite locally finite graph satisfying the condition of Theorem 1.4 (a local analogue of Ore’s theorem) has a Hamilton circle, and Heuer [22] proved this conjecture for a class of graphs.

In the present paper we formulate a general method for finding local analogues of classical theorems with global parameters, and apply this method to formulate local analogues of well-known results of Bauer et al. [6], Bondy [7], Moon and Moser [26], and Häggkvist and Nicoghossian [23]. Furthermore we extend two of our results to infinite locally finite graphs and show that they guarantee the existence of Hamiltonian curves, introduced by Kündgen, Li and Thomassen [25]. We believe that our localization method can be useful for extending many other criteria for Hamiltonicity of finite graphs to infinite graphs.

2 Definitions and preliminary results

Let G be a connected graph and v a vertex in a ball $G_r(u)$, $r \geq 1$. We call v an *interior vertex* of $G_r(u)$ if the ball $G_1(v)$ is a subgraph of $G_r(u)$. Clearly, every vertex in $G_{r-1}(u)$ is interior for $G_r(u)$ and if $G_r(u) = G$ then all vertices in G are interior vertices.

The *connectivity* $\kappa(G)$ of a graph G is the smallest number of vertices whose removal turns G into a disconnected or trivial graph.

Let C be a cycle of a graph G . We denote by $G - C$ the graph induced by the set $V(G) \setminus V(C)$. Furthermore, we denote by \vec{C} the cycle C with a given orientation, and by \overleftarrow{C} the cycle C with the reverse orientation. If $u, v \in V(C)$ then $u\vec{C}v$ denote the consecutive vertices of C from u to v in the direction specified by \vec{C} . The same vertices in reverse order are given by $v\overleftarrow{C}u$. We use u^+ to denote the successor of u on \vec{C} and u^- to denote its predecessor. This notation is extended to sets: if $S \subseteq V(C)$ then $S^+ = \{x^+ : x \in S\}$. Analogous notation is used with respect to paths instead of cycles.

Let G be an infinite locally finite graph. A one-way infinite path in G is called a *ray*. We define an equivalence relation on the set of rays in G by saying that two rays are equivalent if no finite set of vertices separate them¹ in G . The equivalence classes of this relation are called *ends* of G . Every end can be viewed as a particular “point of infinity”. The *Freudenthal compactification* $|G|$ of G is a topological space obtained by taking G , seen as a 1-complex, and adding the ends of G as additional points. For the precise definition of $|G|$ see [11]. It should

¹This means that for every finite vertex set $S \subset V(G)$ both rays have a tail (subray) in the same component of $G - S$.

be pointed out that, inside $|G|$, every ray of G converges to the end of G it is contained in. A *closed curve* in $|G|$ is the image of a continuous map from the unit circle $S^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ to $|G|$.

Let \mathcal{P} be some property of a finite graph (for example, being Hamiltonian or having a dominating cycle). The following result gives a trivial way to reformulate sufficient conditions for \mathcal{P} in terms of balls.

Proposition 2.1. Let K be a sufficient condition for finite graphs to have the property \mathcal{P} , and let $A(K, p)$ denote the set of all graphs with at most p vertices that satisfy the condition K . If $f(K, p)$ is a function such that the diameter of any graph $G \in A(K, p)$ does not exceed $f(K, p)$, then the following two statements are equivalent:

- (i) A graph G on $p \geq 3$ vertices has property K ,
- (ii) In a connected graph G on $p \geq 3$ vertices the ball $G_f(u)$ of radius $f = f(K, p)$ satisfies the condition K for each vertex u .

Proof. Clearly, (i) implies (ii). Suppose now that (ii) holds for G . We will show that $G_f(u) = G$ for some vertex u , which implies that (i) holds. Suppose to the contrary that $G_f(u) \neq G$ for every $u \in V(G)$. Then there are vertices at distance $f + 1$ in G . Let $P = v_0 v_1 \cdots v_{f+1}$ be a path in G with $d_G(v_0, v_{f+1}) = f + 1$, and consider the ball $G_f(v_1)$. Then $d_{G_f(v_1)}(v_0, v_{f+1}) = f + 1$, since P is a shortest path between v_0 and v_{f+1} in G and P is in $G_f(v_1)$. On the other hand, $G_f(v_1)$ satisfies K and the number of vertices of $G_f(v_1)$ is less than p . Therefore $G_f(v_1) \in A(K, p)$ and thus $d_{G_f(v_1)}(v_0, v_{f+1}) \leq f$; a contradiction. Thus $G_f(u) = G$ for some u , which implies that (i) holds. \square

Consider three examples using Proposition 2.1:

Example 2.1. The diameter of a Dirac graph can not exceed 2. Therefore Dirac's theorem has the following equivalent formulation:

A finite connected graph G on at least three vertices is Hamiltonian if every ball of radius 2 in G is a Dirac graph, that is, for every vertex $u \in V(G)$ the condition $d_{G_2(u)}(v) \geq \frac{1}{2}|M_2(u)|$ holds for each vertex $v \in M_2(u)$.

Example 2.2. The diameter of an Ore graph can not exceed 2. Therefore Ore's theorem has the following equivalent formulation:

A finite connected graph G on at least three vertices is Hamiltonian if every ball of radius 2 in G is an Ore graph.

Example 2.3. The following result is well-known:

Theorem 2.2 (Chvátal and Erdős [10]). A finite graph G on at least three vertices is Hamiltonian if $\kappa(G) \geq \alpha(G)$.

We will show that the diameter of a graph G on $p \geq 3$ vertices satisfying the condition $\kappa(G) \geq \alpha(G)$ does not exceed $\lfloor \sqrt{2p-3} \rfloor$. Let d be the diameter of G and let x and y be two vertices in G with $d(x, y) = d$. We have $V(G) = \bigcup_{i=1}^d N_i(x) \cup \{x\}$. Clearly, $|N_i(x)| \geq \kappa(G)$ for $i = 1, \dots, d-1$. Therefore

$$|V(G)| - 2 \geq (d-1)\kappa(G) \geq (d-1)\alpha(G) \geq \frac{1}{2}(d-1)(d+1) = \frac{1}{2}(d^2 - 1),$$




Figure 1: A non-Hamiltonian graph G such that $d(u) \geq \frac{1}{2}|M_2(u)|$ for every vertex $u \in V(G)$.

because $\kappa(G) \geq \alpha(G)$ and $\alpha(G) \geq \frac{d+1}{2}$. Thus $p - 2 \geq \frac{1}{2}(d^2 - 1)$ which implies $2p - 3 \geq d^2$ and $d \leq \lfloor \sqrt{2p - 3} \rfloor$.

Thus for any graph G satisfying Theorem 2.2, the diameter of G does not exceed $\lfloor \sqrt{2p - 3} \rfloor$.² Then, by Proposition 2.1, an equivalent formulation of Theorem 2.2 in terms of balls is the following:

Proposition 2.3. A finite connected graph G on $p \geq 3$ vertices is Hamiltonian if $\kappa(G_r(u)) \geq \alpha(G_r(u))$ for every vertex $u \in V(G)$, where $r = \lfloor \sqrt{2p - 3} \rfloor$.

The following problem arises naturally: Is a finite connected graph G on at least three vertices Hamiltonian if for some integer $t \geq 1$ the condition $\kappa(G_t(u)) \geq \alpha(G_t(u))$ holds for every $u \in V(G)$? This problem is still open. Some results on this problem for $t = 1$ were obtained in [9].

Some criteria for Hamiltonicity can have different formulations in terms of balls. Consider, for example, Dirac's theorem again. In Example 2.1 we gave an equivalent formulation of it in terms of balls. Consider now another formulation.

Let G be a Dirac graph. Then the diameter of G does not exceed 2 and, therefore, $M_2(u) = V(G)$ for every vertex $u \in V(G)$. It seems, therefore, that Dirac's theorem should be equivalent to the following proposition: A finite connected graph G on at least three vertices is Hamiltonian if $d(u) \geq \frac{1}{2}|M_2(u)|$ for every vertex $u \in V(G)$. However the graph G in Fig. 1 satisfies this condition but is not Hamiltonian. Surprisingly, Dirac's theorem is equivalent to the following proposition:

Proposition 2.4 ([5]). A finite connected graph G on at least three vertices is Hamiltonian if $d(u) \geq \frac{1}{2}|M_4(u)|$ for every vertex $u \in V(G)$.

In Example 2.2 we gave a ball formulation of Ore's theorem. Another equivalent formulation of Ore's theorem was given in [5]:

Proposition 2.5 ([5]). Let G be a finite connected graph on at least three vertices such that $d(u) + d(v) \geq |M_3(x)|$ for every vertex $x \in V(G)$ and for all pairs of non-adjacent interior vertices u, v of the ball $G_3(x)$. Then G is Hamiltonian.

²In fact, for every integer $n \geq 3$ there is a graph with $p = 2n^2 - 1$ vertices that satisfies Chvátal–Erdős condition and has diameter $\lfloor \sqrt{2p - 3} \rfloor$. Consider, for example, the graph H with $V(H) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{2n} V_i$ where V_1, \dots, V_{2n} are pairwise disjoint sets, $|V_1| = \dots = |V_{2n-1}| = n$, $|V_{2n}| = n - 1$ and two vertices in H are adjacent if and only if they both belong to $V_i \cup V_{i+1}$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2n - 1\}$. Clearly, the diameter of H is $2n - 1$ and $\alpha(H) = \kappa(H) = n$. Furthermore, $2n > \sqrt{4n^2 - 5} > 2n - 1$. Therefore $\lfloor \sqrt{2p - 3} \rfloor = \lfloor \sqrt{4n^2 - 5} \rfloor = 2n - 1$.




Figure 2: A graph satisfying the condition of Proposition 3.1 but not Dirac's condition.

3 A general method of localization

Now we will describe a method that can be used to find local analogues of many criteria for Hamiltonicity and other properties of finite graphs.

Let K be a sufficient condition for a finite graph to have some property \mathcal{P} (for example, being Hamiltonian or having a dominating cycle), that contains a global parameter of the graph (for example, the number of vertices).

Step 1. Find an equivalent formulation (or a variation) K' of the criterion K and a function $r = r(K', p)$ such that a connected graph G on $p \geq 3$ vertices satisfies the criterion K' if and only if every ball of radius $r(K', p)$ in G satisfies K' .

Step 2. Replace the balls of radius $r(K', p)$ in the condition K' with balls of radius $r(K', p) - 1$ or less if this new condition still guarantees that finite graphs have the property \mathcal{P} .

Step 3. Try to relax the condition using the structure of balls.

In other words, we try to replace a global parameter of the graph by parameters of balls of smaller radii.

In Sections 4 to 6 we will use this method to find local analogues of four well-known results in Hamiltonian graph theory. But first we would like to demonstrate how it works by applying it to Dirac's and Ore's criteria and rediscovering some old theorems. Consider, for example, the equivalent formulation of Dirac's theorem using balls of radius 4, given in Proposition 2.4. By decreasing the radius of the balls to 3 we obtain the following result:

Proposition 3.1 ([4]). A finite connected graph G on at least three vertices is Hamiltonian if $d(u) \geq \frac{1}{2}|M_3(u)|$ for every vertex $u \in V(G)$.

This is a generalization of Dirac's theorem. There is an infinite class of graphs of diameter 5 that satisfy the condition of Proposition 3.1 but does not satisfy Dirac's condition. Consider, for example, the graph G_n on $10n + 2$ vertices, $n \geq 2$, which is defined as follows: its vertex set is $\cup_{i=0}^5 V_i$, where V_0, V_1, \dots, V_5 are pairwise disjoint sets of cardinality $|V_0| = |V_5| = n$, $|V_1| = |V_4| = 3n$, $|V_2| = |V_3| = n + 1$ and two vertices of G_n are adjacent if and only if they both belong to $V_i \cup V_{i+1}$ for some $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$. It is not difficult to see that G_n satisfies the condition of Proposition 3.1. The graph G_2 is given in Fig. 2.

Thus in some cases the above method gives a larger class of Hamiltonian graphs than the original criterion gives. In other cases the method does not generalize the original criterion, but gives a local analogue which is a new sufficient

condition for Hamiltonicity. Consider, for example, the equivalent formulations of Ore's theorem given in Example 2.2 and Proposition 2.5. By decreasing the radius of balls in the former from 2 to 1 we obtain the following result:

Proposition 3.2 ([4]). A finite connected graph G on at least three vertices is Hamiltonian if every ball of radius 1 in G is a Ore graph.

Note that Proposition 3.2 does not generalize Ore's theorem. However, it describes a new class of Hamiltonian graphs. In contrast with this, by decreasing the radius of the balls in Proposition 2.5 from 3 to 2 we obtain the following result, which is a generalization of Ore's theorem: A finite connected graph G on at least three vertices is Hamiltonian if $d(u) + d(v) \geq |M_2(x)|$ for every vertex $x \in V(G)$ and for all pairs of non-adjacent interior vertices u, v of the ball $G_2(x)$.

The conditions in this result can be relaxed slightly, giving another generalization of Ore's theorem:

Proposition 3.3 ([3]). Let G be a finite connected graph on at least three vertices such that $d(u) + d(v) \geq |M_2(x)|$ for every path uxv with $uv \notin E(G)$. Then G is Hamiltonian.

Finally, using the structure of balls, we can relax the condition in Proposition 3.3 and obtain a stronger result, Theorem 1.4, where $|M_2(x)|$ is replaced by $|N(u) \cup N(v) \cup N(x)|$.

Note that for regular graphs Proposition 3.3 can be reformulated as follows:

Proposition 3.4 ([1]). A finite, connected, k -regular graph G , $k \geq 2$, is Hamiltonian if $2k \geq |M_2(x)|$ for every vertex $x \in V(G)$.

The condition $2k \geq |M_2(x)|$ in Proposition 3.4 can be rewritten as

$$2k \geq |M_2(x)| = 1 + k + |N_2(x)|,$$

which is equivalent to $|N_2(x)| < k$. Therefore Proposition 3.4 can be reformulated as follows:

Proposition 3.5. A finite, connected, k -regular graph G , $k \geq 2$, is Hamiltonian if the number of vertices at distance 2 from any vertex in G is less than k .

Remark 3.1. Note that for any integer $n \geq 3$ there is a graph G of diameter n that satisfies the conditions of Propositions 3.2 to 3.5 and Theorem 1.4, but is not an Ore-graph. Consider, for example, the graph $G(p, 2n)$, $n \geq 3$, which is defined as follows: its vertex set is $V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_{2n}$, where V_1, \dots, V_{2n} are pairwise disjoint sets of cardinality $p \geq 2$, and two vertices of $G(p, 2n)$ are adjacent if and only if they both belong to $V_1 \cup V_{2n}$ or to $V_i \cup V_{i+1}$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2n-1\}$. Clearly, $G(p, 2n)$ is a $(3p-1)$ -regular graph of diameter n . It is not difficult to verify that the graph $G(p, 2n)$ satisfies the conditions of Propositions 3.2 to 3.5 and Theorem 1.4.

4 Localization of Bondy's theorem

A subset of vertices in a graph G is called *independent* if no two of its elements are adjacent. A cycle C in a graph G is called *dominating* if $V(G) \setminus V(C)$ is an independent set. Bondy obtained the following result:

Theorem 4.1 (Bondy [7]). Let G be a 2-connected finite graph such that $d(x) + d(y) + d(z) \geq |V(G)| + 2$ for every triple of independent vertices x, y, z of G . Then every longest cycle of G is dominating.

We will find a local analogue of this theorem using our method of localization. First we will show that Bondy's theorem is equivalent to the following:

Proposition 4.2. Let G be a connected finite graph on at least three vertices such that every ball of radius 4 in G is 2-connected and $d(x) + d(y) + d(z) \geq |M_4(v)| + 2$ for every vertex $v \in V(G)$ and for all triples of independent interior vertices x, y, z of $G_4(v)$. Then every longest cycle of G is dominating.

Proof. Let G satisfy the conditions of Theorem 4.1 and let $v \in V(G)$. Then $d(x) + d(y) + d(z) \geq |M_4(v)| + 2$ for all triples of independent interior vertices x, y, z of the ball $G_4(v)$. Now we show that $G_4(v)$ is 2-connected. It is evident if $G_4(v) = G$. Assume that $G_4(v) \neq G$ and that x is a cut vertex of $G_4(v)$. Clearly $d(v, x) \leq 3$, and there is a neighbor u of x such that $d(v, u) = d(v, x) + 1$ and every (v, u) -path in $G_4(v)$ contains x . Let P be a shortest (v, u) -path in $G - x$ (such a path exists since G is 2-connected). Then P contains at least one vertex from the set $N_5(v)$. Let y be the first one and y' the last one of them. Then $vPy = vv_1v_2v_3v_4y$ where $d(v, v_i) = i$ and $v_i \neq x$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Furthermore, let z be the successor of y' on $y'Pu$. Clearly, $z \in N_4(v)$, and v_3 and z are in different components of $G_4(v) - x$. Then $d(v) + d(v_3) + d(z) \leq |V(G)| - 2$, a contradiction. Thus every ball of radius 4 in G is 2-connected, so the conditions of Proposition 4.2 hold.

Conversely, suppose that the conditions of Proposition 4.2 hold. Consider a vertex $v \in V(G)$ satisfying $|M_4(v)| = \max_{u \in V(G)} |M_4(u)|$, and suppose that $M_4(v) \neq V(G)$. Then $N_5(v) \neq \emptyset$. Let $vx_1x_2x_3x_4x_5$ be a path in G where $d(v, x_i) = i$. If there is a vertex $y \in N_3(v)$ with $d(x_3, y) \geq 3$ then

$$d(v) \leq |M_4(v)| - |M_1(x_3)| - |M_1(y)| - 1 = |M_4(v)| - 3 - d(x_3) - d(y).$$

Thus $d(x_3) + d(y) + d(v) < |M_4(v)|$ for a triple of independent interior vertices x_3, y, v of the ball $G_4(v)$, which contradicts the condition of Proposition 4.2. Therefore $d(x_3, y) \leq 2$ for every $y \in N_3(v)$. Then it is easy to see that $M_4(x_3)$ contains $\{v\} \cup N_1(v) \cup N_3(v) \cup N_4(v) \cup N_5(v)$. We will show that $M_4(x_3)$ also contains $N_2(v)$. Suppose to the contrary that there is $z \in N_2(v) \setminus M_4(x_3)$. Then $d(z, x_1) = 3$ since $z \notin M_4(x_3)$. Also clearly $d(x_1, x_4) = 3$, and $d(z, x_4) \geq 3$ since $N(z) \cap N_3(v) = \emptyset$. Then $d(z) + d(x_1) + d(x_4) \leq |M_4(x_1)| - 3$, a contradiction. Thus $N_2(v) \subset M_4(x_3)$ which implies $M_4(v) \cup N_5(v) \subseteq M_4(x_3)$. Since $N_5(v) \neq \emptyset$, we have $|M_4(x_3)| > |M_4(v)|$, which contradicts our assumption. This contradiction proves that $M_4(v) = V(G)$. This implies that the conditions of Theorem 4.1 hold. Thus Proposition 4.2 is equivalent to Theorem 4.1. \square

Now, according to step 2 of our method of localization, we should try to show that the following condition guarantees that every longest cycle of G is dominating: $d(x) + d(y) + d(z) \geq |M_3(v)| + 2$ for every vertex $v \in V(G)$ and for all triples of independent interior vertices x, y, z of $G_3(v)$. We will show that indeed a slightly stronger result holds:

Theorem 4.3. Let G be a connected finite graph on at least three vertices such that every ball of radius 3 in G is 2-connected and $d(x) + d(y) + d(z) \geq |M_3(v)| + 2$

for every vertex $v \in V(G)$ and for all triples of independent vertices x, y, z of $G_2(v)$. Then every longest cycle of G is dominating.

We need the two lemmas below, which we will also use for the proof of a result on infinite graphs in Section 7.

Remark 4.1. Note that the restrictions on the cycles C' in the lemmas are needed for the infinite case only; to prove Theorem 4.3 it is sufficient if C' is any cycle longer than C .

Lemma 4.4. Let G be a graph satisfying the conditions of Theorem 4.3, and let C be a cycle of G such that $G - C$ has some connected subgraph H containing at least two vertices. Choose a direction \vec{C} of C . If uy and vz are two non-adjacent edges in G such that $u, v \in V(H)$, $y, z \in V(C)$, $d(y^+, z^+) \leq 2$, and $N(u) \subseteq V(H) \cup V(C)$, then there is a longer cycle C' that differs from C only in $H \cup G_7(z)$, such that either $V(C) \subset V(C')$ or $|V(C) \setminus V(C')| = 1$ and the successor on C of the unique vertex in $V(C) \setminus V(C')$ is adjacent to z^+ .

We will call such a cycle C' a *desired cycle*. Note that unless stated otherwise, any desired cycle that will be encountered will satisfy $V(C) \subset V(C')$.

Proof. Suppose that there is no desired cycle. Let \vec{P} be a (u, v) -path in H directed from u to v . Clearly $d(y^+, z^+) \neq 1$, as otherwise there would be a desired cycle $yu\vec{P}vz\vec{C}y^+z^+\vec{C}y$.

Thus $d(y^+, z^+) = 2$. Let $W = N(u) \cap V(C)$ and $U = V(H)$. Clearly the set $W^+ \cup \{u, z^+\}$ is independent and $N(x^+) \cap U = \emptyset$ for all $x \in W$, because otherwise there would be a desired cycle C' (note that $W \cup W^+ \subset M_7(z)$ since $W \cup W^+ \subset M_4(y^+)$ and $d(y^+, z) \leq 3$). Thus $N(u) \cap N(x^+) \subseteq W$ for all $x \in W$. Similarly $N(z^+) \cap U = \emptyset$, so $N(u) \cap N(z^+) \subseteq W$. Furthermore

$$d(x_1^+, x_2^+) = 2 \text{ for all distinct } x_1, x_2 \in W, \quad (1)$$

because otherwise $d(x_1^+, x_2^+) \geq 3$ for some $x_1, x_2 \in W$, so $N(x_1^+) \cap N(x_2^+) = \emptyset$ and therefore $d(x_1^+) + d(x_2^+) + d(u) \leq |M_3(u)| + |W| - |W^+ \cup \{u\}| = |M_3(u)| - 1$.

Pick $x \in W$ such that $N(u) \cap V(x^+\vec{C}z^-) = \emptyset$. Then $x^+z^+ \notin E(G)$. If $d(x^+, z^+) \geq 3$, then $d(x^+) + d(z^+) + d(u) \leq |M_3(y^+)| + |W| - |W^+ \cup \{u\}| = |M_3(y^+)| - 1$. Thus $d(x^+, z^+) = 2$.

We will partition $M_3(x^+)$ into three sets. Let $V_1 = V(G - C) \cap M_3(x^+)$, $V_2 = V(x^+\vec{C}z) \cap M_3(x^+)$, $V_3 = V(z^+\vec{C}x) \cap M_3(x^+)$. For each vertex w , we denote by $d_i(w)$ the number $|N(w) \cap V_i|$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Note that $x^+, z^+, u \in M_2(x^+)$, so $N(x^+) \cup N(z^+) \cup N(u) \subseteq M_3(x^+) = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup V_3$. The lemma now follows from the three claims below, since they imply that

$$d(x^+) + d(z^+) + d(u) = \sum_{i=1}^3 (d_i(x^+) + d_i(z^+) + d_i(u)) \leq |M_3(x^+)| + 1,$$

a contradiction.

Claim 1. $d_1(x^+) + d_1(z^+) + d_1(u) \leq |V_1| - 1$.

This follows from the fact that no two vertices of x^+ , z^+ , and u can have any common neighbors outside C (otherwise there would be a desired cycle), and that $u \in V_1 \setminus (N(x^+) \cup N(z^+) \cup N(u))$.

Claim 2. $d_2(x^+) + d_2(z^+) + d_2(u) \leq |V_2| + 1$.

By the choice of x , $N(u) \cap V_2 \subseteq \{z\}$, that is, $d_2(u) \leq 1$. Furthermore, if a vertex b belongs to $N(x^+) \cap V_2$ then $b^- \notin N(z^+)$, because otherwise there would be a desired cycle $xu\vec{P}vz\vec{C}bx^+\vec{C}b^-z^+\vec{C}x$. Thus for any vertex $w \in N(x^+) \cap N(z^+) \cap V_2$ we can “step backwards” through w^- , w^{--} etc. to find a vertex $q \in V_2 \setminus (N(x^+) \cup N(z^+))$ such that $V(q^+\vec{C}w) \subset N(x^+)$ (possibly $q = x^+$). This implies that $|N(x^+) \cap N(z^+) \cap V_2| \leq |V_2 \setminus (N(x^+) \cup N(z^+))|$, so

$$\begin{aligned} d_2(x^+) + d_2(z^+) &= |(N(x^+) \cup N(z^+)) \cap V_2| + |N(x^+) \cap N(z^+) \cap V_2| \\ &\leq |(N(x^+) \cup N(z^+)) \cap V_2| + |V_2 \setminus (N(x^+) \cup N(z^+))| \quad (2) \\ &= |V_2|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $d_2(x^+) + d_2(z^+) + d_2(u) \leq |V_2| + 1$.

Claim 3. $d_3(x^+) + d_3(z^+) + d_3(u) \leq |V_3| + 1$.

If $z \in W$, then $d_3(u) = |W| - 1$, otherwise $d_3(u) = |W|$. We will show that $d_3(x^+) + d_3(z^+)$ is at most $|V_3| - |W| + 2$ and $|V_3| - |W| + 1$, respectively, in these cases.

For any vertex $b \in N(x^+) \cap V_3$ it holds that $b^+ \notin N(z^+)$ and $b^{++} \notin N(z^+)$, as otherwise there would be a desired cycle $xu\vec{P}vz\vec{C}x^+b\vec{C}z^+g\vec{C}x$, where $g \in \{b^+, b^{++}\}$. (Note that if $g = b^{++}$ then $V(C) \setminus V(C') = \{b^+\}$ and the vertex b^{++} , the successor of b^+ , is adjacent to z^+ . If $g = b^+$ then $V(C) \subset V(C')$.) Also at most one of b^+ and b^{++} are in W^+ . This means that there are two possibilities:

- both b^+ and b^{++} lie in $N(x^+) \cup W^+$, in which case at least one of them is adjacent to x^+ , or
- at least one of b^+ and b^{++} does not lie in $N(x^+) \cup W^+$.

Thus for any vertex $w \in N(x^+) \cap N(z^+) \cap V_3$ we can “step forwards” through w^+ , w^{++} etc. to find a vertex $q \in V_3 \setminus (N(x^+) \cup N(z^+) \cup W^+)$ such that $V(w\vec{C}q^-) \subset N(x^+) \cup W^+$, unless $V(w\vec{C}x) \subset N(x^+) \cup W^+$. That is, for each $w \in N(x^+) \cap N(z^+) \cap V_3$ except possibly “the last” one along \vec{C} , we can find a unique $q \in V_3 \setminus W^+$ that is not adjacent to x^+ or z^+ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |N(x^+) \cap N(z^+) \cap V_3| &\leq |V_3 \setminus (N(x^+) \cup N(z^+) \cup W^+)| + 1 \\ &= |V_3 \setminus (N(x^+) \cup N(z^+))| - |W^+ \cap V_3| + 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

If $z \notin W$, we furthermore get

$$|N(x^+) \cap N(z^+) \cap V_3| \leq |V_3 \setminus (N(x^+) \cup N(z^+))| - |(W^+ \cap V_3) \cup \{z^+\}| + 1. \quad (4)$$

Thus if $z \in W$, then with calculations similar to (2) we get, using $W^+ \cap V_3 = W^+ \setminus \{x^+\}$, that $d_3(x^+) + d_3(z^+) \leq |V_3| - |W^+| + 2$. If $z \notin W$, we get $d_3(x^+) + d_3(z^+) \leq |V_3| - |W^+| + 1$. In both cases $d_3(x^+) + d_3(z^+) + d_3(u) \leq |V_3| + 1$. \square

Lemma 4.5. Let G be a graph satisfying the conditions of Theorem 4.3. Let C be a cycle of G and u a vertex in $G - C$ with a neighbor on C . Then if $N(u) \not\subseteq V(C)$, there is a longer cycle C' that contains a neighbor of u ,³ and differs from C only in $G_{12}(u)$.

³Note that the vertex u itself can be on C' or outside of C'

We will call such a cycle C' a *feasible cycle*. Note that unless stated otherwise, any feasible cycle that will be encountered will satisfy $V(C) \subset V(C')$; this clearly implies that it contains a neighbor of u .

Proof. Suppose that $N(u) \not\subseteq V(C)$ and that there is no feasible cycle. Let H be the component of $G_7(u) - C$ containing u and let $U = V(H)$. Then $N(u) \subseteq U \cup V(C)$. Choose a direction \vec{C} of C . Let $W_u = N(u) \cap V(C)$. Clearly the set W_u^+ is independent and $N(w^+) \cap U = \emptyset$ for all $w \in W_u$, since otherwise there would be a feasible cycle.

We shall find non-adjacent edges uy and vz such that $u, v \in U$, $y, z \in V(C)$, and $z \in M_3(y^+)$ as follows:

- If $|W_u| = 1$, let y be the unique vertex in W_u . This gives us the edge uy . Since $G_3(y^+)$ is 2-connected, there is a (u, y^+) -path P in $G_3(y^+) - y$, and because U is the vertex set of a component of $G_7(u) - C$, there is a vertex $z \in V(P) \cap V(C)$ such that all other vertices of $u\vec{P}z$ are in U . Letting $v = z^-$ (with respect to \vec{P}), we obtain the required edge vz .
- If $|W_u| \geq 2$ but $N(w) \cap U = \{u\}$ for each vertex $w \in W_u$, then let y be any vertex in W_u , giving us the edge uy . Now we can, as above, find the edge vz on a path from any vertex in $U \setminus \{u\}$ to y^+ in $G_3(y^+) - u$.
- Finally, if $|W_u| \geq 2$ and W_u contains vertices with neighbors in $U \setminus \{u\}$, we get the edges uy and vz by picking y and z in W_u such that z has a neighbor v in $U \setminus \{u\}$.

Note that by the construction above,

$$\text{either } v \in M_3(y^+) \text{ or } u \text{ and } z \text{ are adjacent.} \quad (5)$$

Thus $v \in N_5(u)$, which means that $N(v) \subseteq U \cup V(C)$.

Clearly $z \notin W_u^+$. Also the set $W_u^+ \cup \{z^+\}$ is independent and $N(z^+) \cap U = \emptyset$, since otherwise there would be a feasible cycle. If $d(y^+, z^+) = 2$ then, by Lemma 4.4, there is a longer cycle C' where either $V(C) \subseteq V(C')$ or $V(C) \setminus V(C') = \{w\}$ for some w with $w^+z^+ \in E(G)$. In both cases the vertex u has a neighbor on C' (in the second case this holds because $y^+z^+ \notin E(G)$ so $y \neq w$, and hence $y \in V(C')$). Furthermore C' differs from C only in $H \cup G_7(z) \subseteq G_{12}(u)$, since $d(u, z) \leq 5$, so it is a feasible cycle. We can thus conclude that

$$d(y^+, z^+) \geq 3. \quad (6)$$

Define $W_v = N(v) \cap V(C)$. Clearly the set $W_u^+ \cup W_v^+$ is independent and $N(w^+) \cap U = \emptyset$ for all $w \in W_v$, since otherwise there would be a feasible cycle. It is also easy to see that $N(y^+) \cap N(v) \subseteq W_v$ and $N(v) \cap N(w^+) \subseteq W_v$ for all $w \in W_v$, as $N(v) \setminus V(C) \subseteq U$. Now, if u and z are adjacent, then $d(y^+) + d(z^+) + d(u) \leq |M_3(u)| + |W_u| - |W_u^+ \cup \{u\}| = |M_3(u)| - 1$, a contradiction. Thus $v \in M_3(y^+)$ by (5). Since, by (6), $N(y^+) \cap N(z^+) = \emptyset$, we obtain

$$d(y^+, v) = 3, \quad (7)$$

because if $y^+ \in M_2(v)$ then $d(y^+) + d(z^+) + d(v) \leq |M_3(v)| + |W_v| - |W_v^+ \cup \{v\}| = |M_3(v)| - 1$. Also, since $W_v^+ \subset M_3(z)$,

$$d(y^+, z) = 3, \quad (8)$$

as if $y^+ \in M_2(z)$ then $d(y^+) + d(z^+) + d(v) \leq |M_3(z)| + |W_v| - |W_v^+ \cup \{v\}| = |M_3(z)| - 1$.

By (7), there is a vertex

$$s \in N(v) \cap N_2(y^+). \quad (9)$$

Clearly $s \in U$ or $s \in V(C)$. We will show that $s \in U$. Assume that $s \in V(C)$, which means that $s \in W_v$ and $s^+ \in W_v^+$. Then $W_v^+ \subset M_3(s)$ and $s^+y^+ \notin E(G)$. Thus $d(y^+, s^+) = 2$, since if $d(y^+, s^+) \geq 3$ then $d(y^+) + d(s^+) + d(v) \leq |M_3(s)| + |W_v| - |W_v^+ \cup \{v\}| = |M_3(s)| - 1$. Also $d(s^+, z^+) = 2$, since if $d(s^+, z^+) \geq 3$ then $d(s^+) + d(z^+) + d(v) \leq |M_3(v)| + |W_v| - |W_v^+ \cup \{v\}| = |M_3(v)| - 1$. Now we have $z^+, y^+, v \in M_2(s^+)$, $d(y^+, v) = 3$ by (7), and $d(y^+, z^+) \geq 3$ by (6). Then $d(y^+) + d(z^+) + d(v) \leq |M_3(s^+)| + |W_v| - |W_v^+ \cup \{v\}| = |M_3(s^+)| - 1$, a contradiction. Therefore we can conclude that $s \in U$.

Define $W_s = N(s) \cap V(C)$. Clearly the set $W_u^+ \cup W_v^+ \cup W_s^+$ is independent and $N(w^+) \cap U = \emptyset$ for all $w \in W_s$, since otherwise there would be a feasible cycle. Also $N(s) \subseteq U \cup V(C)$, because $d(u, s) \leq 4$. Thus $N(w^+) \cap N(s) \subset W_s$ for all $w \in W_u \cup W_v \cup W_s$. Now if $z^+ \in M_2(s)$ then, since $y^+ \in M_2(s)$ by (9) and $d(y^+, z^+) \geq 3$ by (6), $d(y^+) + d(z^+) + d(s) \leq |M_3(s)| + |W_s| - |W_s^+ \cup \{s\}| = |M_3(s)| - 1$. Thus

$$d(z^+, s) = 3. \quad (10)$$

This and (9) imply that

$$d(z, s) = 2. \quad (11)$$

Furthermore,

$$d(y^+, w^+) = 2 \text{ for all } w \in W_s \setminus \{y\}, \quad (12)$$

since if $d(y^+, w^+) \geq 3$ for some $w \in W_s \setminus \{y\}$ then $d(y^+) + d(w^+) + d(s) \leq |M_3(s)| + |W_s| - |W_s^+ \cup \{s\}| = |M_3(s)| - 1$, a contradiction. Using the same argument, we get

$$d(w_1^+, w_2^+) = 2 \text{ for all distinct } w_1, w_2 \in W_s. \quad (13)$$

This means that

$$N(z) \cap W_s^+ = \emptyset, \quad (14)$$

since otherwise $zw^+ \in E(G)$ for some $w \in W_s$, so, by (12), $d(y^+) + d(z^+) + d(s) \leq |M_3(w^+)| + |W_s| - |W_s^+ \cup \{s\}| = |M_3(w^+)| - 1$.

We will now show that $|W_v| \geq 2$. Suppose that $|W_v| = 1$, that is, $W_v = \{z\}$. By (8) there is some $w \in N(z) \cap N_2(y^+)$. But then this, (6), and (7) imply

$$d(y^+) + d(z^+) + d(v) \leq |M_3(w)| + |W_v| - |\{y^+, z^+, v\}| = |M_3(w)| - 2.$$

Thus $|W_v| \geq 2$. Also, $|N(z) \cap U| \geq 2$, since otherwise $N(z) \cap U = \{v\}$ and $N(z) \cap N(s) \subseteq W_s \cup \{v\}$, so by (8), (9), (11), and (14) we would have $d(y^+) + d(z) + d(s) \leq |M_3(s)| + |W_s \cup \{v\}| - |W_s^+ \cup \{z, s\}| = |M_3(s)| - 1$, a contradiction.

Now $|W_v| \geq 2$ and $|N(z) \cap U| \geq 2$ imply that there exists vertices $y' \in W_v \setminus \{z\}$ and $v' \in (N(z) \cap U) \setminus \{v\}$. For simplicity we shall also use the alias $u' = v$. Then $d(y^+, z^+) \leq 2$, as otherwise $d(y^+) + d(z^+) + d(v) \leq |M_3(v)| + |W_v| - |W_v^+ \cup \{v\}| = |M_3(v)| - 1$, a contradiction. Finally, by applying Lemma 4.4 with $u'y'$ and $v'z$ instead of uy and vz , and with the same subgraph H and cycle C , we get, as earlier, a longer cycle C' where either $V(C) \subseteq V(C')$ or $V(C) \setminus V(C') = \{w\}$




Figure 3: A 2-connected graph G where the ball $G_3(v)$ is not 2-connected.

for some w with $w^+z^+ \in E(G)$. Again, in both cases the vertex u has a neighbor on C' (in the second case this holds because $y^+z^+ \notin E(G)$ so $y \neq w$, and hence $y \in V(C')$), and C' differs from C only in $H \cup G_7(z) \subseteq G_{12}(u)$, so it is a feasible cycle. The lemma follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.3. Let C be a longest cycle in a graph G satisfying the condition of Theorem 4.3. Then, by Lemma 4.5, $N(u) \subseteq V(C)$ for every vertex $u \in V(G) \setminus V(C)$. Therefore C is a dominating cycle. \square

Note that the diameter of a graph satisfying the conditions of Theorem 4.1 does not exceed 5. In contrast with this, for any integers $n \geq 4$ and $p \geq 3$ there is a $(3p-1)$ -regular graph of diameter n satisfying the conditions of Theorem 4.3 (see, for example, the graph $G(p, 2n)$ in Remark 3.1).

In the next section we will use Theorem 4.3 to find local analogues of two well-known results.

Note that Theorem 4.3 is not a generalization of Theorem 4.1, as there are 2-connected graphs satisfying the conditions of Theorem 4.1 where some balls of radius 3 are not 2-connected. An example of such a graph can be seen in Fig. 3. However, by relaxing the requirement that all balls of radius 3 are 2-connected, one can obtain the following theorem which is a generalization of Theorems 4.1 and 4.3:

Theorem 4.6 ([19]). Let G be a connected finite graph on at least three vertices such that $d(x) + d(y) + d(z) \geq |M_3(v)| + 2$ for every vertex $v \in V(G)$ and for all triples of independent vertices x, y, z of $G_2(v)$. Furthermore assume that for every ball $G_3(v)$ of radius 3 that is not 2-connected, and for every cut vertex u in $G_3(v)$, the following property holds: $u \in N_2(v)$ and for all $a, b \in N_3(v)$ where a and b are in different components of $G_3(v) - u$ there is a vertex $w \in N_4(v)$ such that $aw, bw \in E(G)$. Then every longest cycle of G is dominating.

5 Local analogues of two well-known theorems

Häggkvist and Nicoghossian proved the following theorem:

Theorem 5.1 (Häggkvist and Nicoghossian [23]). Let G be a 2-connected finite graph such that $d(u) \geq \frac{1}{3}(|V(G)| + \kappa(G))$ for every vertex $u \in V(G)$. Then G is Hamiltonian.

Later Bauer, Broersma, Veldman, and Rao generalized their result as follows:

Theorem 5.2 (Bauer et al. [6]). Let G be a 2-connected finite graph such that $d(x) + d(y) + d(z) \geq |V(G)| + \kappa(G)$ for every triple of independent vertices x, y, z of G . Then G is Hamiltonian.

A short proof of Theorem 5.2 was obtained by Wei [30]. We will provide local analogues of Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 5.2.

In section 4 we proved that Proposition 4.2 is equivalent to Theorem 4.1. Using a similar argument one can prove that Theorem 5.2 is equivalent to the following proposition: A connected finite graph G on at least three vertices is Hamiltonian if every ball of radius 4 in G is 2-connected and $d(x) + d(y) + d(z) \geq |M_4(v)| + \kappa(G_4(v))$ for every vertex $v \in V(G)$ and for all triples of independent interior vertices x, y, z of $G_4(v)$. (For more details, see [5, Prop. 3.3] where a similar result is proved.)

We will prove the following local analogue of Theorem 5.2, using an argument similar to the one in [30]:

Theorem 5.3. Let G be a connected finite graph on at least three vertices such that every ball of radius 3 in G is 2-connected and $d(x) + d(y) + d(z) \geq |M_3(v)| + \kappa(G_3(v))$ for every vertex $v \in V(G)$ and for all triples of independent interior vertices x, y, z of $G_3(v)$. Then G is Hamiltonian.

Proof. Let G be a graph satisfying the conditions of Theorem 5.3, and let C be a longest cycle of G . Assume that C is not a Hamilton cycle. By Theorem 4.3, C is dominating. Choose a direction \vec{C} of C . Pick $v \in V(G - C)$. Since C is dominating, $N(v) \subseteq V(C)$. Let $W = N(v)$ and let x_1, \dots, x_t be the vertices of W in order around C . Let $C_i = x_i^+ \vec{C} x_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, t-1$ and $C_t = x_t^+ \vec{C} x_1$. For easier notation, let $\kappa_3(w)$ denote $\kappa(G_3(w))$ for any vertex w . Also, we will let $M_3^\circ(w)$ denote the set of interior vertices of $G_3(w)$.

Since C is a longest cycle, the set $W^+ \cup \{v\}$ is independent and $N(y^+) \cap N(z^+) \subseteq V(C)$ for any vertices $y, z \in W$. Furthermore

$$d(y^+, z^+) = 2 \text{ for all distinct } y, z \in W, \quad (15)$$

since if $d(y^+, z^+) \geq 3$ for some $y, z \in W$ then $d(y^+) + d(z^+) + d(v) \leq |M_3(v)| + |W| - |W^+ \cup \{v\}| = |M_3(v)| - 1$. Also

$$x \in M_3^\circ(y^+) \text{ for all } x, y \in W. \quad (16)$$

since otherwise for some $x, y \in W$ we can pick $w \in N(x) \setminus M_3(y^+)$, and using (15) we obtain that $d(w, z^+) \geq 2$ for every $z \in W$ and therefore $d(y^+) + d(v) + d(w) \leq |M_3(x^+)| + |W| - |W^+ \cup \{v, w\}| = |M_3(x^+)| - 2$.

Let y and z be arbitrary vertices in W . Since y^+ and z^+ have no common neighbors outside of C and furthermore are not adjacent to v , we get

$$|N(y^+) \setminus V(C)| + |N(z^+) \setminus V(C)| \leq |M_3(y^+) \setminus V(C)| - 1. \quad (17)$$

We will show now that for each $i = 1, \dots, t$ and for every $s \in V(C_i)$ the following inequality holds:

$$|N(y^+) \cap N(z^+) \cap V(x_i^+ \vec{C}s)| \leq |M_3(y^+) \cap V(x_i^+ \vec{C}s) \setminus (N(y^+) \cup N(z^+))|, \quad (18)$$

by pairing each vertex w on $x_i^+ \vec{C}s$ that is adjacent to both y^+ and z^+ with a vertex $q \in M_3(y^+)$ that is adjacent to neither. We consider only the case when $N(y^+) \cap N(z^+) \cap V(x_i^+ \vec{C}s) \neq \emptyset$, because otherwise (18) is evident.

Clearly, $x_i^+ \vec{C}s$ is a part of either $y^+ \vec{C}z$ or $z^+ \vec{C}y$. Assume first that it is a part of $y^+ \vec{C}z$. Then for each $b \in N(y^+) \cap V(x_i^+ \vec{C}s)$ we have $b^- \notin N(z^+)$ since otherwise there would be a longer cycle. Now, for each $w \in N(y^+) \cap N(z^+)$ that lies on $x_i^+ \vec{C}s$ we “step backwards” through w^-, w^{--} etc. to find the first vertex $q \notin N(y^+)$ and pair it with w . Clearly, $V(q^+ \vec{C}w^-) \subset N(y^+) \setminus N(z^+)$, which means that $q \notin N(z^+)$ and that no other vertex $w' \in N(y^+) \cap N(z^+) \cap V(x_i^+ \vec{C}s)$ can be paired with q . Also, $q \in V(x_i^+ \vec{C}s)$, because $x_i^+ \notin N(y^+)$. Clearly this pairing defines an injection from the set $N(y^+) \cap N(z^+) \cap V(x_i^+ \vec{C}s)$ to the set $M_3(y^+) \cap V(x_i^+ \vec{C}s) \setminus (N(y^+) \cup N(z^+))$. Therefore (18) holds in this case.

Assume now that $x_i^+ \vec{C}s$ is a part of $z^+ \vec{C}y$. Clearly, for each $b \in N(y^+) \cap V(z^+ \vec{C}y)$ we have $b^+ \notin N(z^+)$, since otherwise there would be a longer cycle. Thus for any vertex $w \in N(y^+) \cap N(z^+)$ that lies on $x_i^+ \vec{C}s$ we can “step forwards” through w^+, w^{++} etc. to find the first vertex $q \notin N(y^+)$ and pair it with w . Let w_1, \dots, w_p be the vertices of $N(y^+) \cap N(z^+) \cap V(x_i^+ \vec{C}s)$ in order along \vec{C} , and let q_1, \dots, q_p be the vertices paired with w_1, \dots, w_p , respectively. If $p \geq 2$ then q_k lies on $w_k \vec{C}w_{k+1}$ and, therefore, on $x_i^+ \vec{C}s$, for $k = 1, \dots, p-1$. However, q_p can be outside of $x_i^+ \vec{C}s$. (This happens only if y^+ is adjacent to every vertex on $w_p \vec{C}s$.) Note that $x_i^+ \notin N(y^+) \cup N(z^+)$, but, since we are stepping forwards, x_i^+ will not be paired with any vertex w_k , $1 \leq k \leq p$. Therefore, if $q_p \notin V(x_i^+ \vec{C}s)$ we can pair w_p with x_i^+ , that is, put $q_p = x_i^+$. Now $q_1, \dots, q_p \in V(x_i^+ \vec{C}s)$ and our pairing process defines an injection from the set $N(y^+) \cap N(z^+) \cap V(x_i^+ \vec{C}s)$ to the set $M_3(y^+) \cap V(x_i^+ \vec{C}s) \setminus (N(y^+) \cup N(z^+))$, which means that (18) holds in this case as well.

By adding $|(N(y^+) \cup N(z^+)) \cap V(x_i^+ \vec{C}s)|$ to both sides of (18) we get the following inequality:

$$|N(y^+) \cap V(x_i^+ \vec{C}s)| + |N(z^+) \cap V(x_i^+ \vec{C}s)| \leq |M_3(y^+) \cap V(x_i^+ \vec{C}s)|. \quad (19)$$

Finally, by letting $s = x_{i+1}$ (or $s = x_1$ if $i = t$) and summing over i we obtain

$$|N(y^+) \cap V(C)| + |N(z^+) \cap V(C)| \leq |M_3(y^+) \cap V(C)|. \quad (20)$$

Pick $y_0 \in W$ such that

$$\kappa_3(y_0^+) \leq \kappa_3(z^+) \text{ for all } z \in W. \quad (21)$$

For brevity we will use the following notation:

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_3 &= \kappa_3(y_0^+), \quad G_3 = G_3(y_0^+), \\ M_3 &= M_3(y_0^+), \quad M_3^\circ = M_3^\circ(y_0^+). \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

We shall prove that $|W| \geq \kappa_3 + 1$. Clearly $|W| = |N(v)| \geq \kappa_3$ since $v \in M_3$. Assume that $|W| = \kappa_3$, that is, $d(v) = \kappa_3$. This means that $|W| \geq 2$, since

$G_3(y_0^+)$ is 2-connected, that is, $\kappa_3 \geq 2$. Then, choosing two vertices $y, z \in W$ we obtain, by (17) and (20), that $d(y^+) + d(z^+) + d(v) \leq |M_3(y^+)| - 1 + d(v) = |M_3(y^+)| + \kappa_3 - 1 \leq |M_3(y^+)| + \kappa_3(y^+) - 1$, a contradiction. Thus $|W| \geq \kappa_3 + 1$.

Let V_0 be a vertex cut set of G_3 with $|V_0| = \kappa_3$, and let V_1, \dots, V_p be the vertex sets of the components of $G_3 - V_0$. Set $U_i = V_i \cap M_3^\circ$ and $Y_i = V_i \cap W^+$, $i = 0, \dots, p$. By (15), $W^+ \subset M_3^\circ$. Therefore $Y_i \subseteq U_i \subseteq V_i$. It is clear that $U_0 \neq \emptyset$. Since $|V_0| = \kappa_3 < |W^+|$, we may assume that $Y_1 \neq \emptyset$, so $U_1 \neq \emptyset$. Furthermore assume that the sets V_i are ordered such that the nonempty U_i have the lowest indices, that is, if $U_i = \emptyset$ then $U_j = \emptyset$ for all $j > i$.

(i) Suppose that there are at least four nonempty sets U_i , that is, $U_i \neq \emptyset$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$. For each $i = 1, 2, 3$, pick $u_i \in U_i$ such that $u_i \in Y_i$ if $Y_i \neq \emptyset$. Then $N(u_i) \cap Y_i = \emptyset$ and furthermore $N(u_1) \cap Y_0 = \emptyset$ since $Y_1 \neq \emptyset$, so we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^3 d(u_i) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^3 |V_i \setminus Y_i| + 3|V_0| - |Y_0| \leq |M_3 \setminus W^+| + 2|V_0| \\ &= |M_3 \setminus W^+| + 2\kappa_3 \leq |M_3| + \kappa_3 - 1, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

a contradiction. Thus $U_3 = \emptyset$.

(ii) Suppose that there are three nonempty sets U_i , that is, U_0, U_1 , and U_2 are nonempty. We consider two cases.

Case 1. $U_2 \not\subseteq W \cup \{v\}$.

Pick $u_1 \in Y_1$, and pick $u_2 \in Y_2$ if $Y_2 \neq \emptyset$, otherwise pick $u_2 \in U_1 \setminus (W \cup \{v\})$. Again $N(u_i) \cap Y_i = \emptyset$ and $N(u_1) \cap Y_0 = \emptyset$, and furthermore $v \notin N(u_1) \cup N(u_2)$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(u_1) + d(u_2) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^2 |V_i \setminus Y_i| + 2|V_0| - |Y_0 \cup \{v\}| \leq |M_3 \setminus W^+| + |V_0| - 1 \\ &= |M_3 \setminus W^+| + \kappa_3 - 1 \leq |M_3| + \kappa_3 - |W^+| - 1. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Thus $d(u_1) + d(u_2) + d(v) \leq |M_3| + \kappa_3 - 1$, a contradiction.

Case 2. $U_2 \subseteq W \cup \{v\}$.

In this case $Y_2 = \emptyset$, so $v \notin V_2$ as otherwise $(W \setminus V_2) \cup \{x^+ : x \in W \cap V_2\} \subseteq V_0$, implying that $|V_0| \geq |W| \geq \kappa_3 + 1$, a contradiction (note that $W \cap W^+ = \emptyset$, so the union is disjoint). We check two subcases:

Case 2.1. $U_2 = V_2$.

In this case $V_2 \subseteq W = N(v)$ and $v \in V_0$, so $|V_0 \setminus \{v\}| = \kappa_3 - 1 \leq |W^+| - 2$ and thus $|Y_1| \geq 2$. Let $y^+, z^+ \in Y_1$. For each $x_i \in V_2$, using (19) with $s = x_i^-$ we obtain

$$|N(y^+) \cap V(x_{i-1}^+ \vec{C}x_i^-)| + |N(z^+) \cap V(x_{i-1}^+ \vec{C}x_i^-)| \leq |M_3(y^+) \cap V(C_{i-1})| - 1. \quad (25)$$

Similarly for $x_i \in W \setminus V_2$, using (19) with $s = x_i$ we obtain

$$|N(y^+) \cap V(x_{i-1}^+ \vec{C}x_i)| + |N(z^+) \cap V(x_{i-1}^+ \vec{C}x_i)| \leq |M_3(y^+) \cap V(C_{i-1})|. \quad (26)$$

Thus, by (17), (25), and (26), $d(y^+) + d(z^+) \leq |M_3(y^+)| - |V_2| - 1$. Furthermore $d(x) \leq |V_2| - 1 + |V_0|$ for any $x \in V_2$ since $x \in M_3^\circ$. Thus $d(y^+) + d(z^+) + d(x) \leq |M_3(y^+)| + \kappa_3 - 2 \leq |M_3(y^+)| + \kappa_3(y^+) - 2$, a contradiction.

Case 2.2. $V_2 \setminus U_2 \neq \emptyset$.

Pick $u_1 \in Y_1$, and furthermore pick two vertices $x \in U_2$ and $u_2 \in V_2 \setminus U_2$ such that $u_2 \in N(x)$. Clearly $x \in W$ by the assumption of Case 2 and the fact that $v \notin U_2$. Also, note that $W^+ \cup \{v\} \subset V_0 \cup V_1$. Now, since $u_2 \in N(x) \subset M_2(x^+)$, $u_1 \in Y_1 \subseteq W^+$, and, by (15), $W^+ \subset M_2(x^+)$,

$$\begin{aligned} d(u_1) + d(u_2) &\leq |((V_0 \cup V_1) \setminus (W^+ \cup \{v\})) \cap M_3(x^+)| + |M_3(x^+) \setminus V_1| \\ &= |M_3(x^+) \setminus (W^+ \cup \{v\})| + |V_0 \cap M_3(x^+)| \\ &\leq |M_3(x^+)| - |W^+| - 1 + \kappa_3. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Thus $d(u_1) + d(u_2) + d(v) \leq |M_3(x^+)| + \kappa_3 - 1 \leq |M_3(x^+)| + \kappa_3(x^+) - 1$, a contradiction. We can conclude that $U_2 = \emptyset$.

Thus there are only two nonempty sets U_i , that is $M_3^\circ = U_0 \cup U_1$ (recall that U_0 and U_1 must be nonempty). Since V_0 is a minimal cut set, every vertex of V_0 has a neighbor in each of the sets V_1, \dots, V_p . Also, clearly $p \geq 2$. Now $M_2(y_0^+) \subseteq M_3^\circ \subseteq V_0 \cup V_1$, so $y_0^+ \in V_1$ and $N(y_0^+) \subseteq V_1$. Also $v \in V_1$, since V_0 is minimal and $N(v) = W \subseteq M_3^\circ \subseteq V_0 \cup V_1$ by (16). If $z^+ \notin V_1$ for some $z \in W$, then $z^+ \in V_0$ because, by (15), $z^+ \in M_2(y_0^+)$. In that case, pick $u \in N(z^+) \cap V_2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_0^+) + d(u) &\leq |(V_1 \setminus (W^+ \cup \{v\})) \cap M_3(z^+)| + |M_3(z^+) \setminus V_1| \\ &= |M_3(z^+) \setminus (W^+ \cup \{v\})| + |V_0 \cap W^+| \\ &\leq |M_3(z^+)| - |W^+| - 1 + \kappa_3. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

so $d(y_0^+) + d(u) + d(v) \leq |M_3(z^+)| + \kappa_3 - 1 \leq |M_3(z^+)| + \kappa_3(z^+) - 1$, a contradiction. Thus $W^+ \subseteq V_1$. In the same way we can show that $N(z^+) \subset V_1$ for all $z \in W$: Assume there is an $a \in N(z^+) \setminus V_1$ for some $z \in W$. Then $a \in V_0$ since $N(z^+) \subset M_3$. Pick $u \in N(a) \cap V_2$. The same calculations as in (28) show again that $d(y_0^+) + d(u) + d(v) \leq |M_3(z^+)| + \kappa_3(z^+) - 1$, a contradiction. Thus $N(z^+) \subset V_1$ for all $z \in W$. In particular, $W \subset V_1$.

Pick $u \in V_2$. Then $d(y_0^+, u) = 3$ since $u \in M_3 \setminus M_3^\circ$. Pick $w \in N(y_0^+)$ and $a \in N(u) \cap V_0$ so that $wa \in E(G)$, that is, so that $y_0^+ w a u$ is a path in G . Clearly $d(a, z^+) \geq 2$ for all $z \in W$, since $a \notin V_1$. In fact, $d(a, z^+) = 2$ for all $z \in W$, since if $d(a, z^+) \geq 3$ for some $z \in W$ then $d(v) + d(z^+) + d(a) \leq |M_3(y_0^+)| + |W| - |W^+ \cup \{v, a\}| = |M_3| - 2$.

We shall prove that $d(w, x^+) \leq 2$ for all $x \in W$. Assume that $d(w, x^+) \geq 3$ for some $x \in W$. Since $u \notin M_3^\circ$, there is an $s \in N(u) \setminus M_3$. Clearly $d(s, w) \geq 3$ since $d(s, y_0^+) \geq 4$. Also $d(s, x^+) \geq 3$, since if $d(s, x^+) = 2$ then $d(y_0^+) + d(v) + d(s) \leq |M_3(x^+)| + |W| - |W^+ \cup \{v, s\}| = |M_3(x^+)| - 2$, a contradiction. But this means that $d(x^+) + d(w) + d(s) \leq |M_3(a)| - |\{x^+, w, s\}| = |M_3(a)| - 3$, a contradiction. Thus $d(w, x^+) \leq 2$ for all $x \in W$, which means that $v \in M_3^\circ(w)$. Thus $d(y_0^+) + d(u) + d(v) \leq |M_3(w)| + |W| - |W^+ \cup \{u, v\}| = |M_3(w)| - 2$, our final contradiction. We can conclude that C is a Hamilton cycle. The proof of Theorem 5.3 is complete. \square

Remark 5.1. Theorem 5.3 is not a generalization of Theorem 5.2 because there are 2-connected graphs satisfying the conditions of Theorem 5.2 where some balls of radius 3 are not 2-connected. An example of such a graph can be seen in Fig. 3 on page 13.

Theorem 5.3 implies the following local analogue of Theorem 5.1:

Theorem 5.4. Let G be a connected finite graph on at least three vertices such that every ball of radius 3 in G is 2-connected and $d(u) \geq \frac{1}{3}(|M_3(v)| + \kappa(G_3(v)))$ for every vertex $v \in V(G)$ and for each interior vertex u of $G_3(v)$. Then G is Hamiltonian.

Note that the diameter of a graph satisfying the conditions of Theorem 5.1 or Theorem 5.2 does not exceed 5 (see [5]). In contrast with this, for any integers $n \geq 4$ and $p \geq 3$ there is a $(3p - 1)$ -regular graph of diameter n satisfying the conditions of Theorems 5.3 and 5.4 (for example, the graph $G(p, 2n)$ in Remark 3.1).

6 A local analogue of Moon–Moser’s theorem

In this section we will use our localization method to find a local analogue of the following result:

Theorem 6.1 (Moon and Moser [26]). Let G be a balanced bipartite graph with $2n$ vertices, $n \geq 2$, such that $d(u) + d(v) > n$ for every pair of non-adjacent vertices u and v at odd distance in G . Then G is Hamiltonian.

We will first prove that the result of Moon and Moser is equivalent to the following proposition:

Proposition 6.2. Let G be a connected finite balanced bipartite graph on at least four vertices such that

$$d(u) + d(v) > 1 + |N_2(u)| + |N_4(u)| + |N_6(u)|$$

for every vertex $u \in V(G)$ and for each vertex v of the ball $G_6(u)$ at odd distance $d(u, v) > 1$ from u . Then G is Hamiltonian.

Proof. If G satisfies the conditions of Moon–Moser’s theorem then G is connected and $n \geq 1 + |N_2(u)| + |N_4(u)| + |N_6(u)|$ for each vertex $u \in V(G)$. Therefore the conditions of Proposition 6.2 hold.

Conversely, suppose that the conditions of Proposition 6.2 hold in a balanced bipartite graph G with $2n$ vertices. We will show that the diameter of G does not exceed 4. Suppose to the contrary that the diameter of G is bigger than 4. Then there exists a pair of vertices, u_0 and v_0 , with $d(u_0, v_0) = 5$. By the conditions of the proposition,

$$d(u_0) + d(v_0) > 1 + |N_2(u_0)| + |N_4(u_0)| + |N_6(u_0)|. \quad (29)$$

Consider a (u_0, v_0) -path $u_0 u_1 u_2 v_2 v_1 v_0$. Since $d(u_0, v_0) = 5$, the distance between u_1 and v_1 is three. Therefore, by the condition of the proposition,

$$d(u_1) + d(v_1) > 1 + |N_2(u_1)| + |N_4(u_1)| + |N_6(u_1)|. \quad (30)$$

Furthermore, $d(u_0, v_0) = 5$ implies that the vertices u_1 and v_0 have no common neighbors, and similarly for v_1 and u_0 . This implies

$$d(u_1) \leq 1 + |N_2(u_0)| + |N_4(u_0)| + |N_6(u_0)| - d(v_0) \quad (31)$$

and

$$d(v_1) \leq 1 + |N_2(u_1)| + |N_4(u_1)| + |N_6(u_1)| - d(u_0). \quad (32)$$

Therefore

$$d(u_1) + d(v_0) \leq 1 + |N_2(u_0)| + |N_4(u_0)| + |N_6(u_0)| \quad (33)$$

and

$$d(v_1) + d(u_0) \leq 1 + |N_2(u_1)| + |N_4(u_1)| + |N_6(u_1)|. \quad (34)$$

Then (33) and (34) imply

$$\begin{aligned} d(u_0) + d(v_0) + d(u_1) + d(v_1) &\leq 1 + |N_2(u_0)| + |N_4(u_0)| + |N_6(u_0)| \\ &\quad + 1 + |N_2(u_1)| + |N_4(u_1)| + |N_6(u_1)|. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

This and (29) imply

$$d(u_1) + d(v_1) < 1 + |N_2(u_1)| + |N_4(u_1)| + |N_6(u_1)| \quad (36)$$

which contradicts (30). Thus the diameter of G does not exceed 4. This means that $G_4(u) = G$ and $N_6(u) = \emptyset$ for each vertex $u \in V(G)$. Therefore the conditions of Proposition 6.2 are equivalent to the conditions of Moon–Moser’s theorem. \square

One can show that if, in accordance with step 2 of our localization method, we replace the condition on the ball $G_6(u)$ in Proposition 6.2 with a similar condition on the ball $G_4(u)$, that is, with the condition

$$d(u) + d(v) > 1 + |N_2(u)| + |N_4(u)| \text{ for each vertex } v \text{ with } d(u, v) = 3,$$

we obtain a result that generalizes Moon–Moser’s theorem. Moving on to step 3, we will prove the following stronger result using the structure of balls:

Theorem 6.3. A connected finite balanced bipartite graph G on at least four vertices is Hamiltonian if

$$d(u) + d(v) > 1 + |N_2(u) \cup N(v)|$$

for every pair of vertices $u, v \in V(G)$ with $d(u, v) = 3$.

We begin with a simple lemma.

Lemma 6.4. The condition $d(u) + d(v) > 1 + |N_2(u) \cup N(v)|$ is equivalent to

$$d(u) \geq 2 + |N_2(u) \setminus N(v)|.$$

Definition. Let $P = v_1 v_2 \cdots v_n$ be a maximal path with a given direction (from v_1 to v_n) in a graph G where $d(v_1) \geq 2$. We define a parameter $f(P)$ as follows: If $N(v_1) = \{v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \dots, v_{i_p}\}$ where $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_p$, then $f(P) = i_p$. In particular, $f(P) = n$ if $v_1 v_n \in E(G)$.

Proposition 6.5. Let G satisfy the conditions of Theorem 6.3. Then the length of any path of G does not exceed the length of a longest cycle of G .

Proof. Let $P = v_1 v_2 v_3 \cdots v_n$ be a longest path of G such that $f(P) \geq f(P')$ for any other longest path P' of G . We will show that $f(P) = 2t$ where $t = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.

Let $N(v_1) = \{v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \dots, v_{i_p}\}$ where $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_p$. Thus $f(P) = i_p$. Since P is a longest path and G is bipartite, $N(v_1) \subseteq \{v_2, v_4, \dots, v_{2t}\}$. Suppose that $i_p < 2t$. Since i_p is an even integer, $i_p + 2 \leq 2t$ and $d(v_1, v_{i_p+2}) = 3$. Consider the set

$$A = N(v_{i_p+2}) \cap \{v_{i_2-1}, v_{i_3-1}, \dots, v_{i_p-1}\}.$$

Clearly, $A \neq \emptyset$ because otherwise $|N_2(v_1) \setminus N(v_{i_p+2})| \geq d(v_1) - 1$ and we obtain a contradiction:

$$d(v_1) \geq 2 + |N_2(v_1) \setminus N(v_{i_p+2})| \geq 2 + d(v_1) - 1 = d(v_1) + 1.$$

Since $A \neq \emptyset$, there is an integer $r, 2 \leq r \leq p$, such that $v_{i_{r-1}} v_{i_p+2} \in E(G)$. Using the edge $v_{i_{r-1}} v_{i_p+2}$ we can construct a new longest path Q with origin v_{i_p+1} and terminus v_n where $Q = v_{i_p+1} \vec{P} v_{i_r} v_1 \vec{P} v_{i_{r-1}} v_{i_p+2} \vec{P} v_n$. Clearly, $N(v_{i_p+1}) \subset V(Q)$, because otherwise one can extend Q (from v_{i_p+1}) and obtain a longer path in G . Therefore, Q is a longest path with $V(Q) = V(P)$ where $f(Q) \geq i_p + 2 > f(P)$, which contradicts the choice of P .

Thus $f(P) = 2t = 2 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. This means that $|V(P)| - |V(C)| \leq 1$ for the cycle $C = v_1 \vec{P} v_{2t} v_1$, that is, the length of P does not exceed the length of C . Therefore the length of P does not exceed the length of a longest cycle of G . The proof of Proposition 6.5 is complete. \square

Proof of Theorem 6.3. If $d(u, v) \leq 2$ for each pair $u, v \in V(G)$, then G is a complete balanced bipartite graph and therefore G has a Hamilton cycle. Suppose that G is a balanced bipartite graph which is not complete. If $d(u) = 1$ for some vertex u , then since G is connected and balanced and has at least four vertices there is a vertex v such that $d(u, v) = 3$. But then $d(u) + d(v) = 1 + |N(v)| \not\geq 1 + |N_2(u) \cup N(v)|$, a contradiction. Thus $d(u) \geq 2$ for each $u \in V(G)$.

Let C be a longest cycle of G . Choose a direction \vec{C} of C . We will show that $V(C) = V(G)$, using the fact that Proposition 6.5 implies that G cannot contain a path with more than $|V(C)| + 1$ vertices. Suppose that $V(C) \neq V(G)$. Then every component of the graph $G - V(C)$ consists of an isolated vertex, because otherwise there would be a vertex x on C and two vertices, y and z , outside of C such that $xy, yz \in E(G)$ and the path $zyx\vec{C}x^-$ has $|V(C)| + 2$ vertices.

Let (V_1, V_2) be the bipartition of G . Choose two vertices in $G - C$, $x_1 \in V_1$ and $u_1 \in V_2$, and two of their neighbors, x_2 and u_2 , on C such that $x_1 x_2 \in E(G)$, $u_1 u_2 \in E(G)$, and no vertex in $u_2^+ \vec{C} x_2^-$ is adjacent to x_1 or u_1 . Clearly, $u_2^+ \neq x_2^-$ because otherwise the path $x_1 x_2 \vec{C} u_2 u_1$ has $|V(C)| + 2$ vertices. Furthermore, $u_1 x_1 \notin E(G)$ because every component in $G - C$ consists of one vertex. Denote the path $x_1 x_2 \vec{C} u_2 u_1$ by P and the path $u_2^+ \vec{C} x_2^-$ by B . The origin u_2^+ of B we denote by b_1 . Since $x_1, u_2 \in V_1$ and $u_1, x_2, b_1 \in V_2$, the paths P and B has odd lengths.

We will construct a sequence $(P_1, B_1), (P_2, B_2), \dots$, where P_i and B_i are two disjoint paths of odd length with $V(P_i) \cup V(B_i) = V(C) \cup \{u_1, x_1\}$, for every $i \geq 1$, which satisfy the following conditions:

- (i) $V(P_1) \subseteq V(P_2) \subseteq V(P_3) \subseteq \dots$ and $V(B_1) \supseteq V(B_2) \supseteq V(B_3) \supseteq \dots$,

- (ii) the origin of P_k belongs to V_1 , the terminus of P_k is u_1 and the second to last vertex of P_k is u_2 , for every $k \geq 1$,
- (iii) the origin of B_k is u_2^+ and the terminus belongs to V_1 for every $k \geq 1$,
- (iv) the origin of P_k is not adjacent to the origin of B_k for any $k \geq 1$,
- (v) if $V(P_{k+1}) = V(P_k)$ then $f(P_{k+1}) > f(P_k)$, $k \geq 1$.

Put $P_1 = P$ and $B_1 = B$. Suppose that we have constructed the pairs $(P_1, B_1), \dots, (P_k, B_k)$, $k \geq 1$, which satisfy the conditions (i)–(v). Let $P_k = v_1 v_2 \cdots v_{2m}$ where $v_1 \in V_1$, $v_{2m} = u_1$, $v_{2m-1} = u_2$, and let $B_k = b_1 b_2 \cdots b_{2s}$, where $s \geq 1$ and $b_1 \in V_2$. (Clearly, $m \geq 3$ because if $m = 2$ then $V(P_k) = \{x_1, x_2, u_1, u_2\}$, so the path $x_1 x_2 x_2^- \vec{B} u_2^+ u_2 u_1$ in G has $|V(C)| + 2$ vertices.)

Let $N(v_1) = \{v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \dots, v_{i_p}\}$ where $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_p \leq 2m$. Clearly, $i_p \neq 2m$, that is, the origin v_1 of P_k is not adjacent to the terminus v_{2m} because otherwise, by (ii), $v_{2m-1} = u_2$ and G contains the path $v_{2m} v_1 \vec{P}_k v_{2m-1} b_1 \vec{B}_k b_{2s}$ with $|V(C)| + 2$ vertices. Thus $i_p < 2m$. Then $d(v_1, v_{i_p+2}) = 3$ and the same arguments as in the proof of Proposition 6.5 imply that there is an integer r , $2 \leq r \leq p$, such that $v_{i_r-1} v_{i_p+2} \in E(G)$.

Consider a path Q with origin v_{i_p+1} and terminus $v_{2m} = u_1$ where

$$Q = v_{i_p+1} \vec{P}_k v_{i_r} v_1 \vec{P}_k v_{i_r-1} v_{i_p+2} \vec{P}_k v_{2m}.$$

Clearly, $v_{i_p+1} \in V_1$ and v_{i_p+1} has no neighbor in $V(G) \setminus V(C)$ because otherwise if $z v_{i_p+1} \in E(G)$ and $z \in V(G) \setminus V(C)$ then, by property (ii), $v_{2m-1} = u_2$ and G contains the path $z v_{i_p+1} \vec{Q} v_{2m-1} b_1 \vec{B}_k b_{2s}$ with $|V(C)| + 2$ vertices. Therefore only the following two cases are possible:

Case 1. v_{i_p+1} has a neighbor in B_k .

Since $b_1 \in V_2$ and $b_{2s}, v_{i_p+1} \in V_1$, the vertex v_{i_p+1} is adjacent to a vertex $b_{2\ell+1}$ for some $\ell \geq 1$. (Note that $\ell \neq 0$ because otherwise G contains the path $b_{2s} \vec{B}_k b_1 v_{i_p+1} \vec{Q} v_{2m}$ with $|V(C)| + 2$ vertices.) Then we extend P_k to P_{k+1} and shorten B_k to B_{k+1} as follows:

$$P_{k+1} = b_{2s} \vec{B}_k b_{2\ell+1} v_{i_p+1} \vec{Q} v_{2m} \text{ and } B_{k+1} = b_1 b_2 \cdots b_{2\ell}.$$

Case 2. v_{i_p+1} has no neighbors in B_k .

Then put $P_{k+1} = Q$ and $B_{k+1} = B_k$. We have $V(P_k) = V(P_{k+1})$ and $f(P_{k+1}) > f(P_k)$, since $f(P_k) = i_p$ and $f(P_{k+1}) \geq i_p + 2$.

It follows from properties (i)–(v) that after a finite number of steps we will obtain a pair (P_q, B_q) of disjoint paths of odd length with $|V(P_q)| + |V(B_q)| = |V(C)| + 2$, where the origin of B_q is b_1 , P_q is a maximal path of odd length with terminus u_1 and the second to last vertex u_2 , and, moreover, $f(P_q) = |V(P_q)|$. This means that the origin of P_q (we denote it by a_1) is adjacent to the terminus u_1 . Let b_{2r} denote the terminus of B_q . By property (ii), u_2 is the second to last vertex of P_q . Moreover, $u_2 b_1 \in E(G)$. But then G contains the path $u_1 a_1 \vec{P}_q u_2 b_1 \vec{B}_q b_{2r}$ with $|V(C)| + 2$ vertices, a contradiction. The proof of Theorem 6.3 is complete. \square

Remark 6.1. The diameters of graphs satisfying the conditions of Moon–Moser’s theorem do not exceed 4. In contrast with this there is an infinite class of bipartite graphs of diameter 6 that satisfy the condition of Theorem 6.3. Consider, for example, the graph $G(n)$ on $5n$ vertices, $n \geq 5$, which is defined as follows: its vertex set is $\bigcup_{i=0}^6 V_i$, where V_0, V_1, \dots, V_6 are pairwise disjoint sets of cardinality $|V_0| = |V_6| = 1$, $|V_1| = |V_5| = n - 1$, $|V_i| = n$ for $i = 2, 3, 4$ and two vertices $x, y \in V(G(n))$ are adjacent if and only if $x \in V_i$ and $y \in V_{i+1}$, for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$.

Proposition 6.6. The diameter of a graph satisfying the conditions of Theorem 6.3 can not exceed 6.

Proof. Let G be a graph satisfying the conditions of Theorem 6.3, and assume that v and v' are two vertices in G with $d(v, v') = 7$. Pick $u \in N_3(v)$ and $u' \in N_3(v')$ such that $uu' \in E(G)$. Now, by using Lemma 6.4 and the fact that G is bipartite we get

$$\begin{aligned}
d(u) &\geq 2 + |N_2(u) \setminus N(v)| \\
&= 2 + |N_2(u) \cap (N_3(v) \cup N_5(v))| \\
&\geq 1 + |N(u') \cap (N_3(v) \cup N_5(v))| \\
&= 1 + d(u') \geq 3 + |N_2(u') \setminus N(v')| \\
&= 3 + |N_2(u') \cap (N_3(v') \cup N_5(v'))| \\
&\geq 2 + |N(u) \cap (N_3(v') \cup N_5(v'))| \\
&= 2 + d(u).
\end{aligned}$$

This is clearly a contradiction. Thus the diameter of G does not exceed 6. \square

7 Extensions to infinite locally finite graphs

Extending the notion of cycles, Diestel and Kühn [13] defined *circles* in $|G|$ as the image of a homeomorphism which maps the unit circle $S^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ to $|G|$. The graph G is called Hamiltonian if there exists a circle in $|G|$ that contains all vertices and ends of G . Such a circle is called a *Hamilton circle* of G . For finite graphs G , this coincides with the usual meaning, namely that there is a Hamilton cycle of G .

Kündgen, Li, and Thomassen [25] introduced another concept for infinite locally finite graphs: A closed curve in the Freudenthal compactification $|G|$ is called a *Hamiltonian curve* of G if it meets every vertex of G exactly once (and hence it meets every end at least once). They proved the following theorem:

Theorem 7.1 (Kündgen, Li, and Thomassen [25]). The following are equivalent for any locally finite graph G .

- (i) For every finite vertex set S , G has a cycle containing S .
- (ii) G has a Hamiltonian curve.

Theorem 7.1 gives a possibility to extend different results for finite graphs to infinite locally finite graphs. In [2], the authors used it to prove the following theorems:

Theorem 7.2 ([2]). Let r be a positive integer and G a connected, infinite, locally finite graph such that $\kappa(G_r(u)) \geq \alpha(G_{r+1}(u))$ for every vertex $u \in V(G)$. Then G has a Hamiltonian curve.

Theorem 7.3 ([2]). Let G be a connected, infinite, locally finite graph where every ball of radius 2 is 2-connected and $d(u) + d(v) \geq |M_2(x)| - 1$ for every path uxv with $uv \notin E(G)$. Then G has a Hamiltonian curve.

We will use Theorem 7.1 to extend Theorems 5.3 and 5.4 to infinite locally finite graphs. Although Theorem 5.3 was proved by contradiction, the proof can alternatively be outlined as follows: We start with a cycle C . If it is not Hamiltonian, then we extend C to a longer cycle C' . We repeat this extension procedure until the cycle becomes Hamiltonian. The same idea can be used to construct a cycle that contains a given finite vertex set S in an infinite locally finite graph G , although we require a bit more control over the extensions.

Theorem 7.4. Let G be a connected, infinite, locally finite graph such that every ball of radius 3 in G is 2-connected and $d(x) + d(y) + d(z) \geq |M_3(v)| + \kappa(G_3(v))$ for every vertex $v \in V(G)$ and for all triples of independent interior vertices x, y, z of $G_3(v)$. Then G has a Hamiltonian curve.

Proof. Let S be a finite vertex set in G . We will show that there is a cycle of G containing all vertices of S . Pick a vertex $a \in S$ and let $r = \max_{x \in S} d(a, x)$. Among all cycles in $G_{r+12}(a)$ that contain a vertex of $G_{r+1}(a)$, let C be a longest one. Assume that C does not contain all vertices of S . Then there is at least one vertex in $M_r(a) \setminus V(C)$ with a neighbor on C . We will show that this leads to a contradiction.

Case 1. There is a vertex $v \in M_r(a) \setminus V(C)$ with $N(v) \subseteq V(C)$.

By repeating the arguments in the proof of Theorem 5.3, we can extend C to a longer cycle C' such that $V(C) \cup \{v\} \subseteq V(C')$. Then C' contains a vertex from $G_{r+1}(a)$ since C contains v . An analysis of the proof of Theorem 5.3 shows that C' only differs from C inside $G_3(v)$, that is, C' is in $G_{r+12}(a)$. Thus C' is longer than C , is in $G_{r+12}(a)$, and contains a vertex from $G_{r+1}(a)$, a contradiction.

Case 2. Each vertex in $M_r(a) \setminus V(C)$ has a neighbor outside of C .

Let u be a vertex in $M_r(a) \setminus V(C)$ with a neighbor on C and $N(u) \not\subseteq V(C)$. Using Lemma 4.5 we can extend C to a longer cycle C' that differs from C only inside $G_{12}(u)$ and contains a neighbor of u , that is, C' is contained in $G_{r+12}(a)$ and contains a vertex of $G_{r+1}(a)$, a contradiction.

We can conclude that C contains S . Theorem 7.1 now implies that G has a Hamiltonian curve. \square

This result implies an extension of Theorem 5.4:

Theorem 7.5. Let G be a connected, infinite, locally finite graph such that any ball of radius 3 in G is 2-connected and $d(u) \geq \frac{1}{3}(|M_3(v)| + \kappa(G_3(v)))$ for every vertex $v \in V(G)$ and for each interior vertex u of $G_3(v)$. Then G has a Hamiltonian curve.

Finally, we suggest the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1. Let G be a connected, infinite, locally finite graph such that every ball of radius 3 in G is 2-connected and

$$d(x) + d(y) + d(z) \geq |M_3(v)| + \kappa(G_3(v))$$

for every vertex $v \in V(G)$ and for all triples of independent interior vertices x, y, z of $G_3(v)$. Then G has a Hamilton circle.

Acknowledgment

The authors thank Carl Johan Casselgren for helpful suggestions on this manuscript.

References

- [1] A. S. Asratian. New local conditions for a graph to be Hamiltonian. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 22(2):153–160, 2006.
- [2] A. S. Asratian, J. B. Granholm, and N. K. Khachatryan. Some local–global phenomena in locally finite graphs. arXiv:1810.07023 [math.CO], 2018.
- [3] A. S. Asratian and N. K. Khachatryan. Investigation of the Hamiltonian property of a graph using neighborhoods of vertices (Russian). *Akademiya Nauk Armyanskoï SSR. Doklady*, 81(3):103–106, 1985.
- [4] A. S. Asratian and N. K. Khachatryan. Some localization theorems on Hamiltonian circuits. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 49(2):287–294, 1990.
- [5] A. S. Asratian and N. K. Khachatryan. On the local nature of some classical theorems on Hamilton cycles. *Australasian Journal of Combinatorics*, 38:77–86, 2007.
- [6] D. Bauer, H. J. Broersma, H. J. Veldman, and L. Rao. A generalization of a result of Häggkvist and Nicoghossian. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 47(2):237–243, 1989.
- [7] J. A. Bondy. Longest paths and cycles in graphs of high degree. University of Waterloo Preprint CORR 80-16.
- [8] H. Bruhn and X. Yu. Hamilton cycles in planar locally finite graphs. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 22(4):1381–1392, 2008.
- [9] G. Chen, A. Saito, and S. Shan. The existence of a 2-factor in a graph satisfying the local Chvátal–Erdős condition. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 27(4):1788–1799, 2013.
- [10] V. Chvátal and P. Erdős. A note on Hamiltonian circuits. *Discrete Mathematics*, 2(2):111–113, 1972.
- [11] R. Diestel. *Graph Theory*. Springer, 4th edition, 2010.
- [12] R. Diestel. Locally finite graphs with ends: A topological approach, II. Applications. *Discrete Mathematics*, 310(20):2750–2765, 2010.

- [13] R. Diestel and D. Kühn. On infinite cycles I. *Combinatorica*, 24(1):69–89, 2004.
- [14] G. A. Dirac. Some theorems on abstract graphs. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, s3-2(1):69–81, 1952.
- [15] H. Fleischner. The square of every two-connected graph is Hamiltonian. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 16(1):29–34, 1974.
- [16] A. Georgakopoulos. Infinite Hamilton cycles in squares of locally finite graphs. *Advances in Mathematics*, 220(3):670–705, 2009.
- [17] R. J. Gould. Advances on the Hamiltonian problem – A survey. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 19(1):7–52, 2003.
- [18] R. J. Gould. Recent advances on the Hamiltonian problem: Survey III. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 30(1):1–46, 2014.
- [19] J. B. Granholm. A generalization of a theorem of Bondy on dominating cycles. In preparation.
- [20] M. Hamann, F. Lehner, and J. Pott. Extending cycles locally to Hamilton cycles. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 23(1), 2016. #P1.49.
- [21] K. Heuer. A sufficient condition for Hamiltonicity in locally finite graphs. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 45:97–114, 2015.
- [22] K. Heuer. A sufficient local degree condition for Hamiltonicity in locally finite claw-free graphs. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 55:82–99, 2016.
- [23] R. Häggkvist and G. G. Nicoghossian. A remark on Hamiltonian cycles. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 30:118–120, 1981.
- [24] H. A. Jung. On maximal circuits in finite graphs. In B. Bollobás, editor, *Advances in Graph Theory*, volume 3 of *Annals of Discrete Mathematics*, pages 129–144. Elsevier, 1978.
- [25] A. Kündgen, B. Li, and C. Thomassen. Cycles through all finite vertex sets in infinite graphs. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 65:259–275, 2017.
- [26] J. Moon and L. Moser. On Hamiltonian bipartite graphs. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 1(3):163–165, 1963.
- [27] C. St. J. A. Nash-Williams. Hamiltonian arcs and circuits. In M. Capobianco, J. B. Frechen, and M. Krolík, editors, *Recent Trends in Graph Theory*, volume 186 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, pages 197–210. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1971.
- [28] D. J. Oberly and D. P. Sumner. Every connected, locally connected nontrivial graph with no induced claw is Hamiltonian. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 3(4):351–356, 1979.
- [29] O. Ore. Note on Hamilton circuits. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 67(1):55, 1960.
- [30] B. Wei. A short proof of a theorem concerning degree sums and connectivity on Hamiltonian graphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 75(1):157–159, 1999.