

A generalization of Noel-Reed-Wu Theorem to signed graphs*

Wei Wang^{a,b}, Jianguo Qian^{a†}

^aSchool of Mathematical Sciences, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, P. R. China

^bCollege of Information Engineering, Tarim University, Alar 843300, P. R. China

Abstract

Let Σ be a signed graph where two edges joining the same pair of vertices with opposite signs are allowed. The zero-free chromatic number $\chi^*(\Sigma)$ of Σ is the minimum even integer $2k$ such that G admits a proper coloring $f: V(\Sigma) \mapsto \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \pm k\}$. The zero-free list chromatic number $\chi_l^*(\Sigma)$ is the list version of zero-free chromatic number. Σ is called zero-free chromatic-choosable if $\chi_l^*(\Sigma) = \chi^*(\Sigma)$. We show that if Σ has at most $\chi^*(\Sigma) + 1$ vertices then Σ is zero-free chromatic-choosable. This result strengthens Noel-Reed-Wu Theorem which states that every graph G with at most $2\chi(G) + 1$ vertices is chromatic-choosable, where $\chi(G)$ is the chromatic number of G .

Key words. signed graph; list coloring; chromatic-choosable

AMS subject classification. 05C15

1 Introduction

A graph is called *chromatic-choosable* [1] if its list chromatic number equals its chromatic number. Characterizing which graphs are chromatic-choosable is a challenging problem in the field of list coloring. A recent breakthrough is the following theorem of Noel-Reed-Wu [2], which was conjectured by Ohba [1] in 2002.

*Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 11471273 and 11561058.

†Corresponding author: jgqian@xmu.edu.cn.

Theorem 1.1. [2] *If $|V(G)| \leq 2\chi(G) + 1$, then G is chromatic-choosable.*

The main purpose of this paper is to extend the above theorem to signed graphs. To state our result we need some definitions.

A signed graph Σ is a pair (G, σ) , where G is a loopless graph and σ is a mapping from $E(G)$ to $\{+1, -1\}$. An edge e is *positive* (resp. *negative*) if $\sigma(e) = +1$ (resp. $\sigma(e) = -1$). Throughout this paper, two edges joining the same pair of vertices with opposite signs are allowed but that with the same signs are not allowed.

Let \mathbb{Z}^* be the set of nonzero integers, i.e., $\mathbb{Z}^* = \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. For $C \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^*$, a *proper coloring* [6] of Σ with color set C is a mapping $f: V(\Sigma) \mapsto C$ such that for each edge e ,

$$f(u) \neq \sigma(e)f(v) \text{ if } u \text{ and } v \text{ are joined by } e. \quad (1)$$

In particular, if u and v are joined by two edges with opposite signs then $f(u)$ and $f(v)$ have different absolute values.

A *zero-free $2k$ -coloring* [6] of a signed graph Σ is a proper coloring of Σ with color set $\{\pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \pm k\}$. A signed graph is *zero-free $2k$ -colorable* if it admits a zero-free $2k$ -coloring. The *zero-free chromatic number* of a signed graph Σ , denoted $\chi^*(\Sigma)$, is the minimum even integer $2k$ for which Σ is zero-free $2k$ -colorable.

For a signed graph Σ , a *zero-free list assignment* is a mapping L which assigns each vertex v a set $L(v)$ of permissible colors in \mathbb{Z}^* . For a zero-free list assignment L of Σ , an *L -coloring* is a proper coloring f such that $f(v) \in L(v)$ for all $v \in V(\Sigma)$. We say that Σ is *L -colorable* if Σ admits an L -coloring. The *zero-free list chromatic number* of Σ , denoted $\chi_l^*(\Sigma)$, is the minimum $2k$ such that Σ is L -colorable for any zero-free list assignment L with $|L(v)| \geq 2k$ for all $v \in V(\Sigma)$. Clearly, $\chi_l^*(\Sigma) \geq \chi^*(\Sigma)$ for any signed graph Σ . A signed graph Σ is *zero-free chromatic-choosable* if $\chi_l^*(\Sigma) = \chi^*(\Sigma)$. Under these definitions, the main result of this paper is stated as follows:

Theorem 1.2. *If $|V(\Sigma)| \leq \chi^*(\Sigma) + 1$, then Σ is zero-free chromatic-choosable.*

For a graph G , the *complete expansion* of G is a signed graph obtained from G by regarding each edge in G as a positive edge and adding a negative edge between each pair of vertices.

Lemma 1.3. *Let G be a graph and Σ be its complete expansion. Then $\chi^*(\Sigma) = 2\chi(G)$ and $\chi_l^*(\Sigma) \geq 2\chi_l(G)$.*

Proof. Let $f: V(G) \mapsto \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ be a k -coloring of G . Note that $V(G) = V(\Sigma)$. Consider the mapping $\tilde{f}: V(\Sigma) \mapsto \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \pm k\}$ defined by $\tilde{f}(v) = f(v)$ for each $v \in V(\Sigma)$. One easily verify that \tilde{f} is a zero-free $2k$ -coloring of Σ . By letting $k = \chi(G)$, we find that Σ is $2\chi(G)$ -colorable and hence $\chi^*(\Sigma) \leq 2\chi(G)$.

Similarly, let $g: V(\Sigma) \mapsto \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \pm 2k\}$ be a zero-free $2k$ -coloring of Σ . Then the mapping $\bar{g}: V(G) \mapsto \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, defined by $\bar{g}(v) = |g(v)|$ for each $v \in V(G)$, is a k -coloring of G . Thus we have $\chi(G) \leq \chi^*(\Sigma)/2$. This proves that $\chi^*(\Sigma) = 2\chi(G)$.

Let $k = \chi_l^*(\Sigma)/2$ and L be any list assignment of G with $|L(v)| \geq k$ for all $v \in V(G)$. To show that $\chi_l^*(\Sigma) \geq 2\chi_l(G)$, i.e., $\chi_l(G) \leq k$, it suffices to show that G admits an L -coloring. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $L(v) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+$ for any $v \in V(G)$, where \mathbb{Z}^+ is the set of positive integers. Let \tilde{L} be the mapping defined by $\tilde{L}(v) = L(v) \cup (-L(v))$. Clearly, \tilde{L} is a zero-free list assignment and for each $v \in V(\Sigma)$, $|\tilde{L}(v)| \geq 2k$, i.e., $|\tilde{L}(v)| \geq \chi_l^*(\Sigma)$. Thus, Σ is \tilde{L} -colorable. Let h be an \tilde{L} -coloring of Σ . Then the mapping \bar{h} defined by $\bar{h}(v) = |h(v)|$ is clearly an L -coloring of G . This proves the lemma. \square

Now we show that Theorem 1.2 is indeed a strengthening of Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 1.4. *Theorem 1.2 implies Theorem 1.1.*

Proof. Let G be any graph with $|V(G)| \leq 2\chi(G) + 1$ and Σ be its complete expansion. By Lemma 1.3, $\chi^*(\Sigma) = 2\chi(G)$ and hence $|V(\Sigma)| \leq \chi^*(\Sigma) + 1$. Thus, by Theorem 1.2, $\chi_l^*(\Sigma) = \chi^*(\Sigma)$. Using Lemma 1.3 again, we have $\chi_l(G) \leq \chi(G)$ and hence $\chi_l(G) = \chi(G)$. \square

For a signed graph Σ and a vertex $v \in V(\Sigma)$, a *switching* at x means changing the sign of each edge incident with v . Generally, a switching at a vertex subset $X \subseteq V(\Sigma)$ means switching at every vertex in X one by one. Equivalently, a switching at X means changing the sign of every edge with exactly one end in X . Two signed graphs Σ and Σ' with the same underlying graph are *switching equivalent* if Σ' can be obtained from Σ by a switching at X for some $X \subseteq V(\Sigma)$. It is not difficult to verify that two switching equivalent signed graphs have the same zero-free chromatic number as well as the same zero-free list chromatic number.

Lemma 1.5. *For any signed graph Σ , there are a signed graph Σ' switching equivalent to Σ and a complete $\chi^*(\Sigma)/2$ -partite graph G such that the complete expansion of G can be obtained from Σ' by adding some signed edges.*

Proof. Let $k = \chi^*(\Sigma)/2$ and $f: V(\Sigma) \mapsto \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \pm k\}$ be a zero-free $2k$ -coloring of Σ . For $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ define $V_i^+ = \{v \in V(\Sigma): f(v) = i\}$, $V_i^- = \{v \in V(\Sigma): f(v) = -i\}$ and $V_i = V_i^+ \cup V_i^-$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. Let $u, v \in V_i$ and e be an edge joining u and v . It is easy to see that e is positive if and only if e has exactly one end in V_i^+ . Let $S = \cup_{i=1}^k V_i^+$ and Σ' be obtained from Σ by a switching at S . Now, for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, each edge in Σ' joining two vertices in V_i is negative. Next by adding as many as possible signed edges between vertices in different classes V_i and V_j for each pair $\{i, j\} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, we obtain a signed graph Σ'' in which any two vertices belong to different classes are joined by two edges with opposite signs. Finally, let G be the complete k -partite graph with parts V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k . We see that Σ'' is exactly the complete expansion of G . This proves the lemma. \square

For a signed graph Σ , let Σ' be the complete expansion of a complete $\chi^*(\Sigma)/2$ -partite graph G as defined in Lemma 1.5. By Lemma 1.3, we have $\chi^*(\Sigma') = \chi^*(\Sigma)$. As the operation of switching at a vertex and adding an edge does not decrease the zero-free list chromatic number, we have $\chi_l^*(\Sigma') \geq \chi_l^*(\Sigma)$. It follows that if Σ' is zero-free chromatic-choosable then so is Σ . Thus, to prove Theorem 1.2, it suffices to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.6. *If Σ is a complete expansion of a complete k -partite graph G on at most $2k + 1$ vertices, then $\chi_l^*(\Sigma) = 2k$.*

2 Proof of Theorem 1.6

We follow the method in [2]. Suppose to the contrary that Theorem 1.6 is false. Let Σ be a counterexample to Theorem 1.6, that is, Σ is a complete expansion of a complete k -partite graph G on at most $2k + 1$ vertices such that $\chi_l^*(\Sigma) > 2k$. We suppose further that $|V(\Sigma)|$ is minimal among all counterexamples.

Let L be any zero-free list assignment of Σ such that $|L(v)| \geq 2k$ for all $v \in V(\Sigma)$ and Σ is not L -colorable. We use \mathcal{L} to denote the set of all such list assignments.

2.1 Properties of Σ and $L \in \mathcal{L}$

Let $C_L = \cup_{v \in V(\Sigma)} L(v)$ and, for a set $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^*$, let $S^\pm = S \cup (-S)$.

Lemma 2.1. *If there is a nonnegative integer ℓ and a nonempty proper subset A of $V(\Sigma)$ such that*

- (a). $\Sigma[A]$ admits an $L|_A$ -coloring g ,
- (b). $|V(\Sigma - A)| \leq 2(k - \ell) + 1$,
- (c). $\chi^*(\Sigma - A) \leq 2(k - \ell)$, and
- (d). $|L(v) \setminus g(A)^\pm| \geq 2(k - \ell)$ for all $v \in V(\Sigma - A)$,

then Σ is L -colorable.

Proof. Let $\Sigma' = \Sigma - A$ and $L'(v) = L(v) \setminus g(A)^\pm$ for $v \in V(\Sigma')$. We claim that $\chi_l^*(\Sigma') \leq 2(k - \ell)$. Note that for any signed graph Γ , we have $\chi_l^*(\Gamma) \leq 2|V(\Gamma)|$. Thus, the claim clearly follows when $|V(\Sigma')| < (k - \ell)$. Now, assume that $|V(\Sigma')| \geq (k - \ell)$. By (c), we have $\chi^*(\Sigma') \leq 2(k - \ell)$. If the inequality is strict then we may obtain a new signed graph Σ'' from Σ' by adding some edges such that $\chi^*(\Sigma'') = 2(k - \ell)$ and Σ'' is the complete expansion of a complete $(k - \ell)$ -partite graph. Otherwise let $\Sigma'' = \Sigma'$. Either case implies that

$$\chi^*(\Sigma'') = 2(k - \ell). \quad (2)$$

By (b) and (2), we have $|V(\Sigma'')| \leq \chi^*(\Sigma'') + 1$. It follows from the minimality of Σ that $\chi_l^*(\Sigma'') = 2(k - \ell)$. From the construction of Σ'' , we have $\chi_l^*(\Sigma') \leq \chi_l^*(\Sigma'')$ and hence $\chi_l^*(\Sigma') \leq 2(k - \ell)$. This proves the above claim.

Finally, as $\chi_l^*(\Sigma') \leq 2(k - \ell)$, Σ' has an L' -coloring h by (d). Note that for any $v \in A$ and $v' \in V(\Sigma')$ we have $|g(v)| \neq |h(v')|$. Combining g with h we obtain an L -coloring of Σ . \square

A set $P \subseteq V(\Sigma)$ is called a *part* of Σ if P is a partition part of the complete k -partite graph G . A vertex v is a *singleton* if $\{v\}$ is a part of Σ . Throughout the following, we use ξ to denote the number of singletons in Σ .

Corollary 2.2. *If P is a nonsingleton part of Σ then $\cap_{v \in P} L(v) = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that $\cap_{v \in P} L(v) \neq \emptyset$. Let $c \in \cap_{v \in P} L(v)$ and $g(v) = c$ for all $v \in P$. By letting $A = P$ and $\ell = 1$ one easily check that all conditions in Lemma 2.1 are satisfied, which implies that Σ is L -colorable. This is a contradiction. \square

Definition 2.3. Let B be a bipartite graph with bipartition (X, Y) where $Y \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^*$. A matching $M = \{x_1y_1, x_2y_2, \dots, x_sy_s\}$ of B is *good* if y_1, y_2, \dots, y_s have different absolute values, where $x_i \in X, y_i \in Y$.

For a set $Y \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^*$, we use $\text{abs}(Y)$ to denote the set consisting of the absolute values of all integers in Y , i.e., $\text{abs}(Y) = \{|y| : y \in Y\}$. A subset Y_1 of Y is called a *representative subset* of Y if $\text{abs}(Y_1) = \text{abs}(Y)$ and any two distinct integers in Y_1 have distinct absolute values.

Theorem 2.4. *Let B be a bipartite graph with bipartition (X, Y) where $Y \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^*$. Then there is a good matching that saturates X if and only if $|\text{abs}(N_B(S))| \geq |S|$ for every $S \subseteq X$.*

Proof. Let \overline{B} be the bipartite graph obtained from B by identifying each pair of opposite values in Y and removing the resulting multiple edges. In other words, \overline{B} has a bipartition $(X, \text{abs}(Y))$ and each vertex $x \in X$ is joined to all vertices in $\text{abs}(N(x))$. It is easy to verify that B has a good matching saturating X if and only if \overline{B} has a matching saturating X . Moreover, $|\text{abs}(N_B(S))| = |N_{\overline{B}}(S)|$ for every $S \subseteq X$. Thus the theorem follows from classical Hall's theorem. \square

Let B_L be the bipartite graph with bipartition $(V(\Sigma), C_L)$ where each vertex $v \in V(\Sigma)$ is joined to the colors of $L(v)$.

Proposition 2.5. *There are a representative subset C of C_L and a matching in B_L that saturates C .*

Proof. Let \overline{B}_L be the bipartite graph with bipartition $(V(\Sigma), \text{abs}(C_L))$ obtained from B_L as in the proof of Theorem 2.4. Clearly, it suffices to show that \overline{B}_L has a matching that saturates $\text{abs}(C_L)$.

Suppose to the contrary that \overline{B}_L has no matching that saturates $\text{abs}(C_L)$. Then, by Hall's Theorem, there is a set $T \subseteq \text{abs}(C_L)$ such that $|N_{\overline{B}_L}(T)| < |T|$. We assume further that T is minimal with respect to this property. Note that in \overline{B}_L each vertex in $\text{abs}(C_L)$ has at least one neighbor in $V(\Sigma)$. Thus, $|T| \geq 2$. Choose $c \in T$ and denote $S = T \setminus \{c\}$ and $A = N_{\overline{B}_L}(S)$. As T is minimal, we have $|N_{\overline{B}_L}(S')| \geq |S'|$ for any subset S' of S . Thus, by Hall's Theorem, there is a matching M that saturates S . Consequently, we have

$$|A| \geq |S| = |T| - 1 \geq |N_{\overline{B}_L}(T)| \geq |A| \quad (3)$$

and hence all equalities must hold simultaneously in (3). As $|T| \geq 2$, this proves that $|A| = |S| \geq 1$.

For each $v \in A$, let $f(v)$ be the color matched to v by M . Then, by the definition of \overline{B}_L , we see that $f(v) \in \text{abs}(L(v))$. Thus, $f(v)$ or $-f(v)$ appears in $L(v)$. Let $g: A \mapsto \mathbb{Z}^*$ defined by $g(v) = f(v)$ if $f(v) \in L(v)$ and

$g(v) = -f(v)$ otherwise. Then, we see that $g(v) \in L(v)$ and $|g(v)| \neq |g(u)|$ for any $u \in A$ with $u \neq v$. Thus, g is an L_A -coloring. Since $A = N_{\overline{B}_L}(S)$, every vertex $v \in V(\Sigma) \setminus A$ must have $\text{abs}(L(v)) \cap S = \emptyset$. As $\text{abs}(g(A)) = S$, this implies that $\text{abs}(L(v)) \cap \text{abs}(g(A)) = \emptyset$, i.e. $(L(v)) \cap (g(A)^\pm) = \emptyset$. Let $\ell = 0$. Then ℓ , A and g satisfy the conditions of Lemma 2.1. Thus, Σ is L -colorable, a contradiction. \square

Corollary 2.6. *There are a representative subset C of C_L and an injective mapping $h: C \mapsto V(\Sigma)$ such that $c \in L(h(c))$ for all $c \in C$.*

Proof. This is simply a restatement of Proposition 2.5. \square

Corollary 2.7. $|\text{abs}(C_L)| < |V(\Sigma)| \leq 2k + 1$.

Proof. The second inequality is our assumption on Σ . We need to show the first inequality. Let C be a representative subset of C_L and h be an injective $h: C \mapsto V(\Sigma)$ from Corollary 2.5. Since h is injective, we have $|C| \leq |V(\Sigma)|$. However, if $|C| = |V(\Sigma)|$ then the mapping h would be a bijection. Since any two distinct elements have distinct absolute values, the inverse of h is clearly an L -coloring of Σ . This contradicts our assumption that G is not L -colorable. Thus $|C| \neq |V(\Sigma)|$ and hence $|C| < |V(\Sigma)|$. Note that $|C| = |\text{abs}(C_L)|$. This proves the corollary. \square

Corollary 2.8. *If there are u and v in $V(\Sigma)$ such that $L(u) \cap L(v) = \emptyset$, then $L(u) \cup L(v) = C_L$, $|C_L| = 4k$ and $|\text{abs}(C_L)| = 2k$.*

Proof. By our assumption on L , we have $|L(u)|, |L(v)| \geq 2k$. Thus, if $L(u) \cap L(v) = \emptyset$, then $|C_L| \geq |L(u)| + |L(v)| \geq 4k$ and hence $|\text{abs}(C_L)| \geq 2k$. On the other hand, by Corollary 2.7, we have $|\text{abs}(C_L)| \leq 2k$. Thus, equalities must hold in all above inequalities. This proves the corollary. \square

Corollary 2.9. $|V(\Sigma)| = 2k + 1$.

Proof. If Σ has a part of size 2, then by Corollary 2.2, $L(u) \cap L(v) = \emptyset$. Thus, by Propositions 2.8 and 2.7, $|\text{abs}(C)| = 2k$ and $|V(\Sigma)| = 2k + 1$.

Now consider the case that Σ has a singleton $\{v\}$. Suppose to the contrary that $|V(\Sigma)| \leq 2k$. Choose $c \in L(v)$ and set $g(v) = c, A = \{v\}, l = 1$. Then by Lemma 2.1, Σ is L -colorable. This is a contradiction.

Finally, we consider the case when Σ contains neither a part of size 2 nor a singleton. Then each part has size at least 3 and hence $3k \leq |V(\Sigma)| \leq 2k + 1$. Thus $k = 1$ and $|V(\Sigma)| = 2k + 1$. This completes the proof of this corollary. \square

Proposition 2.10. *If $f: V(\Sigma) \mapsto C_L$ is a proper coloring (not necessarily proper L -coloring), then there are a representative subset C of C_L and a proper surjective coloring $g: V(\Sigma) \mapsto C$ such that for every color $c \in C$, the color class $g^{-1}(c)$ satisfies*

- (a) $g(v) \in L(v)$ for all $v \in g^{-1}(c)$, or
- (b) $f(v) = c$ for all $v \in g^{-1}(c)$, or
- (c) $f(v) = -c$ for all $v \in g^{-1}(c)$.

Proof. Let C and h be defined as in Corollary 2.6. For a proper coloring $g: V(\Sigma) \mapsto C$ and a color $c \in C$, we say that g agrees with h at c if $g(h(c)) = c$.

Let τ be the projection from C_L to C , that is, for each $c \in C_L$, $\tau(c) = c$ if $c \in C$ and $\tau(c) = -c$ otherwise. Note that any two colors in $f(V(\Sigma))$ have different absolute values. The map $g_0 = \tau \circ f$ is clearly a proper coloring of Σ . Now, let $g: V(\Sigma) \mapsto C$ be a proper coloring such that for every color $c \in g(V(\Sigma))$, the color class $g^{-1}(c)$ satisfies at least one of (a), (b) and (c). Note that such a coloring exists as either (b) or (c) holds for g_0 at any $c \in g_0(V(\Sigma))$. We assume further that the number of colors $c \in C$ at which g agrees with h is maximized. We show that g is surjective. Otherwise, let $c_1 \in C \setminus g(V(\Sigma))$ be arbitrary and define a coloring g_1 as follows:

$$g_1(v) = \begin{cases} c_1, & \text{if } v = h(c_1), \\ g(v), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Note that for any $u \in V(\Sigma) \setminus \{v\}$, $g_1(u) = g(u)$ and hence $g_1(u) \notin \{c_1, -c_1\}$. Thus, g_1 is proper as g is proper. Moreover, g_1 agrees with h at c_1 and at every color at which g agrees with h . We shall show that at least one of (a),(b) and (c) holds for g_1 , which is a contradiction to our choice of g and hence completes the proof.

Let $c \in g_1(V(\Sigma))$. If $c = c_1$ then $g_1^{-1}(c) = \{h(c_1)\}$ and hence $g_1(h(c_1)) = c_1 \in L(h(c_1))$ by Corollary 2.6. Thus (a) is satisfied. Next we consider the case that $c \in g_1(V(\Sigma)) \setminus \{c_1\}$. Clearly, $g_1^{-1}(c) \subseteq g^{-1}(c)$. As g satisfies at least one of (a), (b) and (c), then so does g_1 . \square

In fact, Proposition 2.10 also holds if we remove (c) from the proposition. We write it as a corollary.

Corollary 2.11. *If $f: V(\Sigma) \mapsto C_L$ is a proper coloring, then there are a representative subset C of C_L and a proper surjective coloring $g: V(\Sigma) \mapsto C$ such that for every color $c \in C$, the color class $g^{-1}(c)$ satisfies either*

- (a) $g(v) \in L(v)$ for all $v \in g^{-1}(c)$, or
- (b) $f(v) = c$ for all $v \in g^{-1}(c)$.

Proof. Let C be a representative subset of C_L and $g: V(\Sigma) \mapsto C$ be the surjective mapping as in Proposition 2.10. Let S be the set of colors in C such that (c) in Proposition 2.10 holds. Let $C_1 = (C \setminus S) \cup (-S)$ and define $g_1: V(\Sigma) \mapsto \mathbb{Z}^*$ as follows:

$$g_1(v) = \begin{cases} -g(v) & \text{if } g(v) \in S, \\ g(v) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

One easily check that (C_1, g_1) satisfy either (a) or (b). Moreover, for any $v \in V(\Sigma)$ we have either $g_1(v) \in L(v)$ or $g_1(v) = f(v)$. Either case implies $g_1(v) \in C_L$. Thus C_1 is a subset of C_L . Clearly $|C_1| = |C|$ and C_1 contains no opposite pairs. This shows that C_1 is a representative subset of C_L . \square

2.2 No Near L -coloring for $L \in \mathcal{L}$

Define

$$\gamma_L = |V(\Sigma)| - |\text{abs}(C_L)| \quad (6)$$

Note that $\gamma_L > 0$ by Corollary 2.7.

Definition 2.12. A color $c \in C_L$ is

- *globally frequent* for L if it appears in the lists of at least $k + 1$ vertices of Σ .
- *frequent among singletons* for L if it appears in the lists of at least γ_L singletons of Σ .
- *frequent* if c is either globally frequent or frequent among singletons.

Definition 2.13. A proper coloring $f: V(\Sigma) \mapsto C_L$ is a *weak L -coloring* if for every vertex $v \in V(\Sigma)$, either

- (a) $f(v) \in L(v)$, or
- (b) $f^{-1}(f(v)) = \{v\}$.

In addition, f is a *near L -coloring* if (b) is replaced by the following stronger requirement:

- (b') $f^{-1}(f(v)) = \{v\}$ and $f(v)$ is frequent.

Proposition 2.14. *If there is a weak (resp. near) L -coloring f , then there are a representative subset C of C_L and a weak (resp. near) surjective L -coloring $g: V(\Sigma) \mapsto C$ such that*

- (i) *For each $v \in V(\Sigma)$, $f(v) \in L(v)$ implies $g(v) \in L(v)$, and*
- (ii) *For each $v \in V(\Sigma)$, $g(v) \notin L(v)$ implies $g(v) = f(v)$.*

Proof. Let $f: V(\Sigma) \mapsto C_L$ be a weak L -coloring. Note that f is proper. By Corollary 2.11, there are a representative subset C of C_L and a proper surjective coloring $g: V(\Sigma) \mapsto C$ such that for every $c \in C$, the color class $g^{-1}(c)$ satisfies either

- (a) $g(v) \in L(v)$ for all $v \in g^{-1}(c)$, or
- (b) $f(v) = c$ for all $v \in g^{-1}(c)$.

We show that g is a weak L -coloring. Let v be any vertex in Σ , say $v \in g^{-1}(c)$ for some $c \in C$. If $g(v) \in L(v)$ then we are done. Now, assume that $g(v) \notin L(v)$. Then c satisfies (b), i.e., $f(v) = c$. Note that $c = g(v)$. Thus, we have $f(v) \notin L(v)$ and so (i) holds. Since f is a weak L -coloring, $f^{-1}(c) = \{v\}$. By (b), $g^{-1}(c) \subseteq f^{-1}(c)$, implying $g^{-1}(c) = \{v\}$ and hence $g(v) = f(v)$ and so (ii) holds. This proves that g is a weak L -coloring, in addition, g is a near L -coloring if f is near. \square

Suppose that C is a representative subset of C_L and f is a proper coloring of Σ which maps surjectively to C . Let $V_f = \{f^{-1}(c) : c \in C\}$ be the set of color classes under f . We define B_L^f to be the bipartite graph with bipartition (V_f, C_L) where each color class $f^{-1}(c)$ is joined to the colors of $\bigcap_{v \in f^{-1}(c)} L(v)$.

Lemma 2.15. *Suppose that $f: V(\Sigma) \mapsto C$ is a surjective weak L -coloring of Σ , where C is a representative subset of C_L . Let S be a set that maximizes $|S| - |\text{abs}(N_{B_L^f}(S))|$ over all subsets of V_f . If $V_f \setminus S$ contains at least γ_L singletons of Σ , then there is an L -coloring of Σ .*

Proof. Let ℓ be the number of color classes of f with more than one element. Define X to be the union of all color classes in S with exactly one element and define $A = V(\Sigma) \setminus X$. We shall construct an L_A -coloring g of $\Sigma[A]$ and prove that Σ is L -colorable by verifying conditions (a)-(d) in Lemma 2.1.

We use a simple equality: $|\text{abs}(Z_1 \cup Z_2)| = |\text{abs}(Z_1)| + |\text{abs}(Z_2 \setminus Z_1^\pm)|$ for any finite $Z_1, Z_2 \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^*$. From this equality and the fact that S maximizes $|S| - |N_{B_L^f}(S)|$ over all subsets of V_f , we have for any $T \subseteq V_f \setminus S$,

$$|\text{abs}(N_{B_L^f}(T) \setminus (N_{B_L^f}(S))^\pm)| \geq |T|. \quad (7)$$

By Theorem 2.4, there is a good matching M in $B_L^f - (N_{B_L^f}(S))^\pm$ that saturates $V_f \setminus S$. Let A_1 be the union of all color classes in $V_f \setminus S$ and g_1 be the mapping on A corresponding to M . As f is proper, one easily see that g_1 is an L_{A_1} -coloring. Let A_2 be the union of all color classes in S with at least two vertices and g_2 be f restricted on A_2 . As f is a weak L -coloring, one easily check that $f(v) \in L(v)$ for each $v \in A_2$ since otherwise $f^{-1}(f(v)) = \{v\}$, contradicting the definition of A_2 . This proves that g_2 is an L_{A_2} -coloring. Thus $g_2(A_2) \subseteq N_{B_L^f}(S)$. Note that $g_1(A_1) \subseteq C_L \setminus (N_{B_L^f}(S))^\pm$. This implies $(g_1(A_1))^\pm \cap (g_2(A_2))^\pm = \emptyset$, i.e., $|c_1| \neq |c_2|$ for any $c_1 \in g_1(A_1)$ and $c_2 \in g_2(A_2)$. Thus we can obtain an L_A coloring by combining g_1 with g_2 . This proves (a). If $A = V(\Sigma)$ then we are done. We assume that A is a proper subset of $V(\Sigma)$, i.e., $X \neq \emptyset$. For every vertex $v \in X$, we see that $\{v\}$ is a color class of f contained in S and hence $L(v) \subseteq N_{B_L^f}(S)$. Consequently,

$$L(v) \cap (g_1(A_1))^\pm \subseteq (N_{B_L^f}(S)) \cap (g_1(A_1))^\pm = \emptyset,$$

and hence

$$|L(v) \setminus (g(A))^\pm| = |L(v) \setminus (g_2(A_2))^\pm| \geq 2(k - |A_2|).$$

As $|A_2| \leq \ell$, we have $|L(v) \setminus (g(A))^\pm| \geq 2(k - \ell)$, that is, (d) holds.

As A contains all color classes with more than one element, we have $|A| \geq 2\ell$. Consequently $|V(\Sigma) \setminus A| \leq 2(k - \ell) + 1$, i.e., (b) holds. Since f is surjective and C is a representative subset of C_L , we have $\ell \leq |V(\Sigma)| - |C| = |V(\Sigma) - |\text{abs}(C_L)|$, i.e., $\ell \leq \gamma_L$. Note that A contains each color class in $V_f \setminus S$. As $V_f \setminus S$ contains at least γ_L singletons of Σ , we know that $\Sigma - A$ has at most $k - \gamma_L$ parts and hence $\chi^*(\Sigma - A) \leq 2(k - \gamma_L)$. Thus, $\chi^*(\Sigma - A) \leq 2(k - \ell)$, so (c) holds. This complete the proof of this lemma. \square

Proposition 2.16. *There is no near L -coloring.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there is a near L -coloring f . Let $C = f(V(\Sigma))$. By Proposition 2.14, we may assume that C is a representative subset of C_L . If M is a good matching in B_L^f that saturates V_f , then M clearly indicates an L -coloring with the same color classes as f . This is a contradiction to our assumptions on Σ and L . Therefore, no such a matching exists. By Theorem 2.4, there is a subset S of V_f such that $|S| > |\text{abs}(N_{B_L^f}(S))|$. We assume further that $|S| - |\text{abs}(N_{B_L^f}(S))|$ is maximized over all subsets of V_f .

Let $S = \{f^{-1}(c_1), f^{-1}(c_2), \dots, f^{-1}(c_p)\}$. As $\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_p\} \subseteq C$, we see that $|c_1|, |c_2|, \dots, |c_p|$ are pairwise distinct. Since $|S| > |\text{abs}(N_{B_L^f}(S))|$, at

least one of c'_i 's, say c_1 , does not belong to $N_{B_L^f}(S)$. In particular, $c_1 \notin N_{B_L^f}(f^{-1}(c_1))$. By the construction of the edge set in B_L^f , we know that there is a vertex $v \in f^{-1}(c_1)$ such that $c_1 \notin L(v)$. Of course, $f(v) = c_1$. Since f is a near L -coloring, it must happen that c_1 is frequent and $f^{-1}(c_1) = \{v\}$.

To obtain a contradiction, we consider two cases:

Case 1. c_1 is globally frequent for L .

Since $f^{-1}(c_1) = \{v\} \in S$, we have that $N_{B_L^f}(S) \supseteq N_{B_L^f}(f^{-1}(c_1)) = L(v)$ and hence $|N_{B_L^f}(S)| \geq 2k$. This implies that

$$|S| > |\text{abs}(N_{B_L^f}(S))| \geq k \quad (8)$$

On the other hand, since $c_1 \notin N_{B_L^f}(\{f^{-1}(c_1), f^{-2}(c_2), \dots, f^{-1}(c_p)\})$, each $f^{-1}(c_i)$ must contain a vertex whose list does not contain c_1 . Since c_1 is globally frequent for L , the number of such vertices is at most $|V(\Sigma)| - (k+1)$, which is k by Corollary 2.9. Thus $p \leq k$, i.e., $|S| \leq k$. This contradicts (8).

Case 2. c_1 is frequent among singletons for L .

Let $\{v_1\}, \{v_2\}, \dots, \{v_{\gamma_L}\}$ be γ_L singletons such that $c_1 \in L(v_i)$ for each i . As f is proper, each singleton $\{v_i\}$ with $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \gamma_L\}$ is a color class of f , that is, $\{v_i\} \in V_f$. If $\{v_i\} \in S$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \gamma_L\}$ then we have $N_{B_L^f}(S) \supseteq N_{B_L^f}(\{v_i\}) = L(v_i) \ni c_1$, a contradiction. Thus $V_f \setminus S$ contains at least γ_L singletons $\{v_1\}, \{v_2\}, \dots, \{v_{\gamma_L}\}$. Now, by Lemma 2.15, Σ is L -colorable. This is a contradiction.

In either case, we have a contradiction. The proof is complete. \square

2.3 Upper bound on the number of frequent colors for $L \in \mathcal{L}$

Lemma 2.17. *For each $L \in \mathcal{L}$, C_L contains at most $2(k-1)$ frequent colors.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there is an $L \in \mathcal{L}$ for which C_L contains at least $2k-1$ frequent colors. Let F be a set of k frequent colors with different absolute values. We shall construct a near L -coloring, which contradicts Proposition 2.16 and hence completes the proof.

We construct a near L -coloring by a three-phase greedy procedure. In the first phase, choose a subset V_1 and an L_{V_1} -coloring $f_1: V_1 \mapsto C_L \setminus F^\pm$

such that V_1 contains as many vertices as possible, and subject to this, V_1 contains vertices from as many parts as possible.

Claim 1. *Every part of size 2 contains a vertex of V_1 .*

Proof of the claim. Suppose to the contrary that there is a part $P = \{u, v\}$ such that $P \cap V_1 = \emptyset$. By Corollary 2.2, we have $L(u) \cap L(v) = \emptyset$. Now Corollary 2.8 implies that $L(u) \cup L(v) = C_L$, $|C_L| = 4k$ and $|\text{abs}(C_L)| = 2k$. Thus C_L is a *symmetric* set, i.e., $C = -C$. Thus $C_L \setminus F^\pm$ is a symmetric set consisting of k pairs of opposite colors. As $f_1(V_1) \subseteq C_L \setminus F^\pm$, we have $(f_1(V_1))^\pm \subseteq C_L \setminus F^\pm$. If $(f_1(V_1))^\pm \neq C_L \setminus F^\pm$ then we can use a color $c \in C_L \setminus F^\pm$ to color u or v , increasing the size of V_1 and hence contradicting maximality of $|V_1|$. Therefore $(f_1(V_1))^\pm = C_L \setminus F^\pm$. As f is proper, all colors in $f(V_1)$ must have different absolute values. This implies that $|f_1(V_1)| = k$ and hence $|V_1| \geq k$ with equality holding if and only if f_1 is injective.

If $|V_1| \geq k + 1$ then $|V(\Sigma) \setminus V_1| \leq k$ and we can extend f_1 to obtain a near L -coloring by mapping the vertices in $V(\Sigma) \setminus V_1$ injectively to F . This is a contradiction to Proposition 2.16.

Now consider the case that $|V_1| = k$ and hence f_1 is injective. Since neither vertex of P is in V_1 and Σ has exactly k parts, there must be a part Q containing at least two vertices of V_1 , say x and y . However, since $L(u) \cup L(v) = C_L$, we can uncolor x and use its color to color one of u and v . This maintains the number of colored vertices but increases the number of parts with a colored vertex. This contradicts our assumption on V_1 . Claim 1 follows as we obtain a contradiction in either case. \square

For each part P , let $R_P = P \setminus V_1$, the set of vertices that are not colored by f_1 . Label the parts of Σ as P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k so that $|R_{P_1}| \geq |R_{P_2}| \geq \dots \geq |R_{P_k}|$. The second phase of our coloring procedure is described as follows. For each part R_{P_i} , in turn, we try to color R_{P_i} with a color, say $c_i \in F^\pm$ such that neither c_i nor $-c_i$ has yet been used and c_i is available for all vertices in R_{P_i} . We stop when either $i = k$, or arrive at a part $R_{P_{i+1}}$ for which we fail to color $R_{P_{i+1}}$. If $i = k$ then all vertices have been colored and we have obtained an L -coloring, a contradiction. Thus, $i < k$. Let $U = F^\pm \setminus \{\pm c_1, \pm c_2, \dots, \pm c_i\}$. We observe that $|U| = 2k - 2i$ and each color in U is absent from $L(v)$ for at least one $v \in R_{P_{i+1}}$.

Define $V_2 = R_{P_1} \cup R_{P_2} \cup \dots \cup R_{P_i}$ and $V_3 = R_{P_{i+1}} \cup R_{P_{i+2}} \cup \dots \cup R_{P_k}$. Note that $|U \cap F| = k - i$. If $|V_3| \leq k - i$ then in the third phase we simply map V_3 injectively into $U \cap F$, which gives a near L -coloring, a contradiction.

Thus $|V_3| \geq k - i + 1$, implying

$$|R_{P_{i+1}}| \geq 2 \tag{9}$$

by our choice of ordering. Now, $|V_2| \geq |R_{P_i}|i \geq 2i$. As (V_1, V_2, V_3) is clearly a partition of $V(\Sigma)$ and $|V(\Sigma)| = 2k + 1$, we have

$$|V_1| = (2k + 1) - |V_2| - |V_3| \leq (2k + 1) - 2i - (k - i + 1) = k - i. \tag{10}$$

Let us show that the inequality in (10) is indeed an equality, that is

$$|V_1| = k - i. \tag{11}$$

Note that U contains $(2k - 2i)$ colors from F^\pm and each of these color is absent from $L(v)$ for at least one $v \in R_{P_{i+1}}$. Since all lists of $R_{P_{i+1}}$ have size at least $2k$ colors and $|F^\pm| = 2k$, these absences imply that the colors of $C_L \setminus F^\pm$ must appear at least $(2k - 2i)$ times (in total) among these lists. For each color $c \in C_L^\pm$, we use $n(c)$ to denote the number of lists of $R_{P_{i+1}}$ which contain c . Then we have

$$\sum_{c \in C_L \setminus F^\pm} n(c) \geq 2k - 2i, \tag{12}$$

or equivalently,

$$\sum_{\substack{c > 0 \\ c \in C_L^\pm \setminus F^\pm}} n(c) + n(-c) \geq 2k - 2i. \tag{13}$$

Let c be any color with $n(c) > 0$. If $f_1^{-1}(c) \cap P_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$ then in the first phase we could use c to color $n(c)$ vertices of $R_{P_{i+1}}$, thereby increasing the size of V_1 , a contradiction. Thus, we have established the following fact.

Fact 1. *If $n(c) > 0$ then $f_1^{-1}(c) \cap P_{i+1} = \emptyset$, i.e., c was not used to color any vertex of P_{i+1} in the first phase.*

Let $\{c, -c\}$ be any pair of opposite colors with $n(c) + n(-c) > 0$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $n(c) \geq n(-c)$. If $|f_1^{-1}(\pm c)| < n(c)$ then we could uncolor $f_1^{-1}(\pm c)$ and use c to color $n(c)$ vertices of $R_{P_{i+1}}$, again contradicting the maximality of $|V_1|$. Thus, we have proved

Fact 2. *For any color $c \in C_L^\pm$, $|f_1^{-1}(\pm c)| \geq \max\{n(c), n(-c)\}$, i.e., at least $\max\{n(c), n(-c)\}$ vertices are colored with $\pm c$ in the first phase.*

From Fact 2 and Inequality (13), we have

$$|V_1| \geq \sum_{\substack{c>0 \\ c \in C_L^\pm \setminus F^\pm}} \max\{n(c), n(-c)\} \quad (14)$$

$$\geq \sum_{\substack{c>0 \\ c \in C_L^\pm \setminus F^\pm}} \frac{n(c) + n(-c)}{2} \quad (15)$$

$$\geq k - i. \quad (16)$$

This, together with (10), proves that $|V_1| = k - i$. Furthermore, all equalities must hold in (14)-(16). Thus, $n(c) = n(-c)$ for any $c \in C_L^\pm \setminus F^\pm$, and hence

$$|V_1| = \sum_{\substack{c>0, n(c)>0 \\ c \in C_L^\pm \setminus F^\pm}} n(c). \quad (17)$$

For any $c \in C_L^\pm \setminus F^\pm$ with $n(c) > 0$, we have $n(-c) = n(c) > 0$ and hence by Fact 1, $f_1^{-1}(\pm c) \cap P_{i+1} = \emptyset$. This, together with Fact 2, leads to

$$|(V_1 \setminus P_{i+1}) \cap f^{-1}(\pm c)| \geq n(c)$$

and hence

$$|V_1 \setminus P_{i+1}| \geq \sum_{\substack{c>0, n(c)>0 \\ c \in C_L^\pm \setminus F^\pm}} n(c). \quad (18)$$

From (17) and (18), we have $V_1 \cap P_{i+1} = \emptyset$ and hence $|P_{i+1}| \neq 2$ by Claim 1. Now $R_{P_{i+1}} = P_{i+1}$ and hence $|P_{i+1}| \geq 2$ by (9). Thus $|R_{P_{i+1}}| = |P_{i+1}| \geq 3$ and hence $|R_{P_i}| \geq 3$ by our choice of ordering. Consequently, $|V_2| \geq 3i$ and a similar reasoning of (10) leads to $|V_1| \leq k - 2i$. Thus, by (11), we have $k - i \leq k - 2i$ and hence $i = 0$.

Therefore, we have $|V_1| = k$, $|V_2| = 0$, $R_{P_1} = P_1$ and $|P_1| \geq 3$. As we have proved that equality holds in (16), the equivalence between (16) and (12) means

$$\sum_{c \in C_L \setminus F^\pm} n(c) = 2k - 2i = 2k. \quad (19)$$

As P_1 is a nonsingleton part of Σ , Proposition 2.2 implies that $\cap_{v \in P_1} L(v) = \emptyset$. Note that $R_{P_1} = P_1$. Thus, for any color $c \in F^-$, we have $n(c) \leq |P_1| - 1$ and hence

$$\sum_{c \in F^-} n(c) \leq k(|P_1| - 1). \quad (20)$$

As $|L(v)| \geq 2k$ for each $v \in P_1$, we obtain, using double counting,

$$\sum_{c \in C_L} n(c) = \sum_{v \in P_1} |L(v)| \geq 2k|P_1|. \quad (21)$$

It follows from (19)-(21) that

$$\sum_{c \in F} n(c) = \sum_{c \in F^\pm} n(c) - \sum_{c \in F^-} n(c) \quad (22)$$

$$= \sum_{c \in C_L} n(c) - \sum_{c \in C_L \setminus F^\pm} n(c) - \sum_{c \in F^-} n(c) \quad (23)$$

$$\geq 2k|P_1| - 2k - k(|P_1| - 1) \quad (24)$$

$$= k(|P_1| - 1) \quad (25)$$

$$\geq 2k. \quad (26)$$

Therefore, there is a color $c \in F$ with $n(c) \geq 2$. Let u and v be two vertices in P_1 such that $c \in L(u) \cap L(v)$. Note that $|V_3| = k + 1$ and hence $|V_3 \setminus \{u, v\}| = |F \setminus \{c\}|$. Let f_2 be any bijection from $V_3 \setminus \{u, v\}$ to $F \setminus \{c\}$. In the third phase, we color u and v with c and map the vertices of $V_1 \setminus \{u, v\}$ to $F \setminus \{c\}$ by f_2 to give a near L -coloring of Σ . This completes the proof of this lemma. \square

Now we can obtain a basic inequality between Σ_L and ξ , where Σ is defined in (5), and ξ is the number of singletons in Σ .

Corollary 2.18. $\xi \geq \gamma_L$.

Proof. Let F' denote the set of globally frequent colors for L . Then each color in $C_L \setminus F'$ appears in at most k lists of Σ . By Corollary 2.9, $|V(\Sigma)| = 2k + 1$. As there are exactly $k - \xi$ nonsingleton parts, Corollary 2.2 implies that each color $c \in F'$ appears in at most $(2k + 1) - (k - \xi)$ lists. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{c \in C_L} |N_{B_L}(c)| &= \sum_{c \in C_L \setminus F'} |N_{B_L}(c)| + \sum_{c \in F'} |N_{B_L}(c)| \\ &\leq k(|C_L| - |F'|) + ((2k + 1) - (k - \xi))|F'| \\ &= k|C_L| + (\xi + 1)|F'| \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using double counting and the fact that $|L(v)| \geq 2k$, we obtain

$$\sum_{c \in C_L} |N_{B_L}(c)| = \sum_{v \in V(\Sigma)} |L(v)| \geq 2k|V(\Sigma)|$$

Combining the above two inequalities leads to

$$|F'| \geq \frac{2k(|V(\Sigma)| - |\text{abs}(C_L)|)}{\xi + 1} = \frac{2k\gamma_L}{\xi + 1}. \quad (27)$$

By Lemma 2.17, $|F'| \leq 2(k-1) < 2k$. Thus $\xi + 1 > \gamma_L$ that is, $\xi \geq \gamma_L$ as ξ is an integer. \square

The following corollary is immediate from Proposition 2.18 and Definition 2.12.

Corollary 2.19. *If a color $c \in C_L$ appears in the list of every singleton then c is frequent.*

Corollary 2.20. *Σ contains no part of size 2.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that P is a part of size 2. Label the two vertices in P as u and v . By Corollaries 2.2 and 2.8, $|\text{abs}(C_L)| = 2k$ and hence $\gamma_L = 1$. By Corollary 2.18, $\xi \geq 1$, that is Σ contains at least one singleton. Let v be a singleton of Σ . Each color in $L(v)$ is clearly frequent among singletons for L since $\gamma_L = 1$. Thus L has at least $2k$ frequent colors. This contradicts Lemma 2.17 and hence completes the proof. \square

Corollary 2.21. $\xi \geq \frac{k-1}{2}$.

Proof. By Corollary 2.20, Σ contains no part of size 2. Note that G has ξ singletons and $k - \xi$ nonsingleton parts. As $|V(\Sigma)| = 2k + 1$ by Corollary 2.9, we have $3(k - \xi) + \xi \leq 2k + 1$, which implies $\xi \geq \frac{k-1}{2}$. \square

We say $L \in \mathcal{L}$ is a *maximal* list assignment if for any $v \in V(\Sigma)$ with $C_L \setminus L(v) \neq \emptyset$ and any $c \in C_L \setminus L(v)$, there is an L^* -coloring of Σ , where L^* is defined by

$$L^*(u) = \begin{cases} L(v) \cup \{c\} & \text{if } u = v, \\ L(u) & \text{if } u \in V(\Sigma) \setminus \{v\}. \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

We use \mathcal{L}_{\max} to denote the set of all maximal list assignments. We note that \mathcal{L}_{\max} is a nonempty subset of \mathcal{L} .

Lemma 2.22. *For each $L \in \mathcal{L}$, there is an $L' \in \mathcal{L}_{\max}$ such that $L(v) \subseteq L'(v)$ and $C_L = C_{L'}$. In particular, each color frequent for L is also frequent for L' .*

Proof. If L is maximal, then we take $L' = L$ and we are done. If L is not maximal then there are $v \in V(\Sigma)$ and $c \in C_L$ such that for the list assignment L^* as defined in (28), Σ is not L^* -colorable. Clearly $L^*(v) \subseteq L(v)$, $C_L = C_{L^*}$. Thus $\gamma_L = \gamma_{L^*}$ and each color frequent for L is clearly frequent for L^* . If L^* is maximal, we are done. Otherwise, we repeat the above process, which will clearly terminate and hence obtain a maximal list assignment with the desired properties. \square

Lemma 2.23. *Let $L \in \mathcal{L}_{\max}$. If $c \in C_L$ is frequent, then $c \in L(v)$ for every singleton v of Σ .*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there is a singleton v such that $c \notin L(v)$. Let L^* be defined as in (28). Since L is maximal, we see that Σ is L^* -colorable. Let f be an L^* -coloring. As Σ is not L -colorable, we must have $f(v) = c$. Moreover, since v is a singleton and f is proper, we have that $f^{-1}(f(v)) = \{v\}$. Now it is easy to see that f is a near L -coloring as c is frequent for L . This contradicts Proposition 2.16 and hence completes the proof. \square

In Lemma 2.17, we show that for any $L \in \mathcal{L}$ the number of frequent colors with different absolute values is less than k . The following result gives a better upper bound, which is the key result of this section.

Proposition 2.24. *For any $L \in \mathcal{L}$, there are at most $2(k - \xi - 1)$ frequent colors.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary there is an $L \in \mathcal{L}$ for which C_L has at least $2(k - \xi) - 1$ frequent colors. By Lemma 2.22, we may assume L is maximal. Let $F_0 = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{k-\xi}\}$ be a set of $(k - \xi)$ frequent colors with different absolute values. Label the singletons as v_1, v_2, \dots, v_ξ . By Lemma 2.23, we have $F_0 \subseteq L(v_i)$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \xi\}$. For each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \xi\}$, in turn, we choose a color $c_{k-\xi+i} \in L(v_i) \setminus F_{i-1}^\pm$ and define $F_i = F_{i-1} \cup \{c_{k-\xi+i}\}$. We note that $|F_\xi| = k$ and $|F_\xi^\pm| = 2k$. Let L' be the list assignment defined by

$$L'(v) = \begin{cases} F_\xi^\pm & \text{if } v = v_i \text{ for some } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \xi\}, \\ L(v) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

Claim 1. *There is an L' -coloring of Σ .*

Proof of Claim 1. Suppose to the contrary that Σ is not L' -colorable. As $|L'(v)| \geq 2k$ for all $v \in V(\Sigma)$, we have $L' \in \mathcal{L}$. By Corollary 2.19, all colors in F_ξ^\pm is frequent. Thus, there are at least $2k$ frequent colors for L' . This is a contradiction to Lemma 2.17 and hence Claim 1 holds. \square

Let f' be an L' -coloring of Σ . Let S be $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_\xi\}$, the set of all singletons. Clearly, $f'(S) = \{f'(v_1), f'(v_2), \dots, f'(v_\xi)\}$ consists of ξ colors in F_ξ^\pm with different absolute values. Moreover, if $f'(v_i) \in F_\xi^{-1}$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \xi\}$ then we can opposite the color of v_i and the resulting mapping is also an L' -coloring. Therefore, we may assume that $f'(S) \subseteq F_\xi$. Let

$$S' = \{v_i : 1 \leq i \leq \xi \text{ and } c_{k-\xi+i} \in f'(S)\}.$$

Thus $|S'| = |f'(S) \cap \{c_{k-\xi+i} : 1 \leq i \leq \xi\}|$. As $f'(S) \subseteq F_\xi$ and $F_\xi = F_0 \cup \{c_{k-\xi+i} : 1 \leq i \leq \xi\}$, we have

$$|S| = |f'(S)| = |f'(S) \cap F_0| + |f'(S) \cap \{c_{k-\xi+i} : 1 \leq i \leq \xi\}| = |f'(S) \cap F_0| + |S'|$$

and hence $|S \setminus S'| = |S| - |S'| = |f'(S) \cap F_0|$. Let f'' be an arbitrary bijection from $S \setminus S'$ to $f'(S) \cap F_0$ and define a mapping f on $V(\Sigma)$ as follows:

$$f(v) = \begin{cases} f''(v) & \text{if } v \in S \setminus S', \\ f'(v) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (30)$$

As $F_0 \subseteq L(v)$ for all $v \in S$, one easily finds that $f(v) \in L(v)$ for all $v \in V(G)$. Clearly,

$$f(S) = f''(S \setminus S') \cup f'(S') = (f'(S) \cap F_0) \cup (f'(S) \cap \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_\xi\}) = f'(S)$$

That is, f is obtained from f' by permutating the colors of all singletons, which implies that f is proper. Thus f is an L -coloring of Σ . This is a contradiction and hence completes the proof. \square

Using Proposition 2.24, we can improve Corollary 2.18 as follows.

Corollary 2.25. $\xi \geq 2\gamma_L$

Proof. Let F' be the set of globally frequent colors. We use the same argument as in the proof Corollary 2.18. By (27) and Propositions 2.24 and 2.21, we have

$$\frac{2k\gamma_L}{\xi+1} \leq |F'| \leq 2(k-\xi-1) \leq k-1 < k, \quad (31)$$

and hence $\xi+1 > 2\gamma_L$. Thus, $\xi \geq 2\gamma_L$ as ξ is an integer. \square

2.4 Lower bound on the number of frequent colors for $L \in \mathcal{L}_{\max}$

The main aim of this section is to show that for any maximal list assignment $L \in \mathcal{L}_{\max}$, there are at least $2k - 2\xi + 1$ frequent colors. This contradicts Proposition 2.24 and finally completes the whole proof of Theorem 1.6. In the following we assume L is a maximal list assignment.

Lemma 2.26. *If a color $c^* \in C_L$ does not appear in the list of a singleton v , then there is a nonempty set $X = X(c^*)$ of singletons such that*

- (a) $|X| \geq \xi - \gamma_L + 1$, and
- (b) $|\text{abs}(\cup_{x \in X} L(x))| \leq 2k - |N_{B_L}(c^*)|$.

Proof. Let L^* be defined as in (28). As L is maximal, there is an L^* -coloring f_1 of Σ . Since Σ is not L -colorable, f_1 must use c to color v . Moreover, as v is a singleton, v is the only vertex with color c^* under f_1 . Note that for any vertex u in Σ different from v , $L^*(u) = L(u)$ and hence $f_1(u) \in L(u)$. This indicates that f_1 is a weak L -coloring. By Proposition 2.14, there are a representative subset C of C_L and a weak L -coloring $f: V(\Sigma) \mapsto C$ such that for any $u \in V(\Sigma)$, (i) $f_1(u) \in L(u)$ implies $f(u) \in L(u)$ and (ii) $f(u) \notin L(u)$ implies $f(u) = f_1(u)$. Therefore, for any vertex $u \in V(\Sigma) \setminus \{v\}$, $f(u) \in L(u)$ as $f_1(u) \in L(u)$. Moreover, as Σ is not L -colorable, $f(v) \notin L(v)$ and hence $f(v) = f_1(v) = c^*$. We also note that v is the only vertex with color c^* under f as v is a singleton.

If there is a good matching that saturates V_f then Σ is L -colorable, a contradiction. Thus, by Theorem 2.4, there is a set $S \subseteq V_f$ such that $|\text{abs}(N_{B_L^f}(S))| < |S|$. We assume further that S maximizes $|S| - |\text{abs}(N_{B_L^f}(S))|$.

Note that for any $u \in V(\Sigma) \setminus \{v\}$, we have $f(u) \neq c^*$ and $f(u) \in L(u)$. Thus, for any $c \in f(V(\Sigma)) \setminus \{c^*\}$, we have $c \in \cap_{u \in f^{-1}(c)} L(u)$, that is c is adjacent to $f^{-1}(c)$ in B_L^f . Since $|\text{abs}(N_{B_L^f}(S))| < |S|$ and colors in $f(V(\Sigma))$ have different absolute values, we must have $f^{-1}(c^*) \in S$ and $c^* \notin N_{B_L^f}(S)$. Therefore every color class of S must contain a vertex whose list does not contain c^* . It follows that

$$|\text{abs}(N_{B_L^f}(S))| < |S| \leq |V(\Sigma)| - |N_{B_L}(c^*)| = 2k + 1 - |N_{B_L}(c^*)|. \quad (32)$$

Now define X to be the set of all singletons of Σ whose color classes under f belong to S . As $f^{-1}(c^*) = \{v\}$ and $f^{-1}(c^*) \in S$, we know that $v \in X$ and hence X is nonempty. Clearly, $\cup_{x \in X} L(x) \subseteq N_{B_L^f}(S)$ and hence (b) holds by (32).

Finally, since Σ is not L -colorable, Lemma 2.15 implies that $V_f \setminus S$ contains fewer than γ_L singletons, i.e., S contains more than $\xi - \gamma_L$ singletons. This proves (a). \square

We let c^* be a color that is not frequent, and subject to this, maximizes $|N_{B_L}(c^*)|$. We note that c^* exists since not all colors in C_L are frequent by Lemma 2.17. Moreover, by Corollary 2.19, there is a singleton v such that $c^* \notin L(v)$. Let $X = X(c^*)$ be a set of singletons as described in Lemma 2.26. Let $p = |N_{B_L}(X)|$ and label the colors in $N_{B_L}(X)$ as c_1, c_2, \dots, c_p such that the p -term sequence $\{|N_{B_L}(c_i) \cap X|\}$ is decreasing.

Definition 2.27. $\beta = k - |N_{B_L}(c^*)|$.

We note that $\beta \geq 0$ as c^* is not frequent.

Proposition 2.28. *If $\beta \leq 2(\xi - 2\gamma_L + 1)$ then $|N_{B_L}(c_{2k-2\xi+1}) \cap X| \geq \gamma_L$.*

Proof. Let $Z = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{2k-2\xi}\}$ and $Y = N_{B_L}(X) \setminus Z$. Note that $N_{B_L}(X) = \cup_{x \in X} L(x)$. For each $x \in X$ we have $|L(x) \cap Y| \geq |L(x)| - |Z| \geq 2k - (2k - 2\xi) \geq 2\xi$. Thus, by double counting and our choice of ordering,

$$\begin{aligned} |Y| |N_{B_L}(c_{2k-2\xi+1}) \cap X| &\geq \sum_{c \in Y} |N_{B_L}(c) \cap X| \\ &= \sum_{x \in X} |L(x) \cap Y| \\ &\geq 2\xi |X| \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

By Proposition 2.26(b) and definitions of β and Y ,

$$|Y| = |N_{B_L}(X)| - (2k - 2\xi) \leq (4k - 2|N_{B_L}(c^*)|) - (2k - 2\xi) = 2\beta + 2\xi \tag{34}$$

From (33), (34), using Proposition 2.26(a), we have

$$|N_{B_L}(c_{2k-2\xi}) \cap X| \geq \frac{2\xi |X|}{|Y|} \geq \frac{2\xi(\xi - \gamma_L + 1)}{2\beta + 2\xi} = \frac{\xi(\xi - \gamma_L + 1)}{\beta + \xi} \tag{35}$$

Finally, by Corollary 2.25 and the assumption of this proposition, we have

$$\beta\gamma_L + \xi\gamma_L \leq 2(\xi - 2\gamma_L + 1)\frac{\xi}{2} + \xi\gamma_L = \xi(\xi - \gamma_L + 1).$$

Combining this with (35) leads to $|N_{B_L}(c_{2k-2\xi}) \cap X| \geq \gamma_L$. \square

Proposition 2.29. $\beta < \frac{1}{2}(\xi - 2\gamma_L + 1)$.

Proof. Let $F \subseteq C_L$ be the set of all frequent colors. By Proposition 2.24, we have

$$|F| \leq 2(k - \xi - 1). \quad (36)$$

Since c^* maximizes $N_{B_L}(c^*)$ over all colors in $C_L \setminus F$, we have

$$\sum_{c \in C_L \setminus F} |N_{B_L}(c)| \leq |C_L \setminus F| |N_{B_L}(c^*)|. \quad (37)$$

Note that there are exactly $k - \xi$ nonsingleton parts in Σ and each nonsingleton part contains no common color in their lists by Proposition 2.2. Thus, each $c \in C_L$ appears in at most $|V(\Sigma)| - (k - \xi)$ lists, i.e.,

$$|N_{B_L}(c)| \leq |V(\Sigma)| - (k - \xi) = k + \xi + 1 \quad (38)$$

as $|V(\Sigma)| = 2k + 1$ by Corollary 2.9. It follows from (36)-(38) and Definitions of β and γ_L that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{c \in C_L} |N_{B_L}(c)| &\leq |C_L \setminus F|(k - \beta) + |F|(k + \xi + 1) \\ &= (\beta + \xi + 1)|F| + (k - \beta)|C_L| \\ &\leq 2(\beta + \xi + 1)(k - \xi - 1) + 2(k - \beta)|\text{abs}(C_L)| \\ &= 2(\beta + \xi + 1)(k - \xi - 1) + 2(k - \beta)(2k + 1 - \gamma_L) \\ &= -2(k + \xi - \gamma_L + 2)\beta + (\xi + 1)(2k - 2\xi - 2) \\ &\quad + (2k + 1 - \gamma_L)2k \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

On the other hand, using double counting,

$$\sum_{c \in C_L} |N_{B_L}(c)| = \sum_{v \in V(\Sigma)} |L(v)| \geq (2k + 1)2k,$$

which, together with (39), implies

$$(k + \xi - \gamma_L + 2)\beta \leq (\xi + 1)(k - \xi - 1) - k\gamma_L.$$

Moreover, by Corollaries 2.18 and 2.21, $k + \xi - \gamma_L + 2 \geq k + 2$ and $k - \xi - 1 \leq (k - 1)/2 < k/2$. Therefore,

$$\beta < \frac{\frac{k}{2}(\xi + 1) - k\gamma_L}{k + 2} < \frac{1}{2}(\xi + 1 - 2\gamma_L).$$

□

By Propositions 2.29 and 2.28, we obtain $|N_{BL}(c_{2k-2\xi+1}) \cap X| \geq \gamma_L$ and hence

$$|N_{BL}(c_i) \cap X| \geq \gamma_L, \text{ for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2k - 2\xi + 1\}$$

by our ordering on colors in $\cup_{x \in X} L(x)$. Thus, we have found $2k - 2\xi + 1$ colors which are frequent among singletons. This contradicts Proposition 2.24 and hence completes the proof of Theorem 1.6

3 Discussion

For technical reasons, we have always forbidden 0 as a color above. However, it seems natural to allow 0 as a color. For $n \geq 1$, define a n -set $M_n = \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \pm n/2\}$ if n is even, and $M_n = \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \pm(n-1)/2\}$ if n is odd. Máčajová et al. [4] defined a (proper) n -coloring of a signed graph Σ to be a mapping $f: V(\Sigma) \mapsto M_n$ such that for each edge e ,

$$f(u) \neq \sigma(e)f(v) \text{ if } e \text{ connects } u \text{ and } v. \quad (40)$$

We say Σ is n -colorable if Σ admits an n -coloring. The *chromatic number* $\chi(\Sigma)$, defined in [4], is the minimum positive integer n such that Σ is n -colorable. The *list chromatic number* [3, 5], denoted $\chi_l(\Sigma)$, is the minimum n such that for any list assignment L with $L(v) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ and $|L(v)| \geq n$, there is an L -coloring of Σ . We say Σ is *chromatic-choosable* if $\chi_l(\Sigma) = \chi(\Sigma)$. We believe that the following variant of Theorem 1.2 may be true.

Conjecture 3.1. *If $|V(\Sigma)| \leq \chi(\Sigma) + 1$, then Σ is chromatic-choosable.*

We have shown that Theorem 1.2 indeed strengthens Noel-Reed-Wu Theorem using the notion of complete extension. One may wonder whether Noel-Reed-Wu Theorem has a direct generalization to a signed graph whose underlying graph is simple.

Problem 3.2. *Let Σ be a signed graph whose underlying graph is simple. Does $|V(\Sigma)| \leq 2\chi(\Sigma) + 1$ imply that Σ is chromatic-choosable.*

We give a negative answer to Problem 3.2. Let Σ be a negative complete graph with 4 vertices. Clearly $\chi(\Sigma) = 2$ and hence $|V(\Sigma)| \leq 2\chi(\Sigma) + 1$ is satisfied. Label the vertices of Σ as v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 . Define a list assignment L as follows:

$$L(v_1) = \{1, 2\}, L(v_2) = \{1, -2\}, L(v_3) = \{-1, 2\}, L(v_4) = \{-1, -2\}.$$

One easily checks that Σ is not L -colorable. Thus $\chi_l(\Sigma) > 2$ and hence Σ is not chromatic-choosable.

References

- [1] K. Ohba, On Chromatic-choosable graphs, *J. Graph Theory* 40(2)(2002) 130-135.
- [2] J. A. Noel, B. A. Reed, H. Wu, A proof of a conjecture of Ohba, *J. Graph Theory* 79(2)(2015) 86-102.
- [3] L. Jin, Y. Kang, E. Steffen, Choosability in signed planar graphs, *Europ. J. Combin.* 52(2016)234-243.
- [4] E. Máčajová, A. Raspaud, M. Škoviera, The chromatic number of a signed graph, *Electro. J. Combin.* 23(2016)#P1.14.
- [5] T. Schweser, M. Stiebitz, Degree choosable signed graphs, *Discrete Math.* 340 2017 882-891.
- [6] T. Zaslavsky, Signed graph coloring, *Discrete Math.* 39 (1982) 215-228