

Spectral structure of the Neumann–Poincaré operator on tori*

Kazunori Ando[†] Yong-Gwan Ji[‡] Hyeonbae Kang[‡] Daisuke Kawagoe[‡]
Yoshihisa Miyanishi[§]

October 24, 2018

Abstract

We address the question whether there is a three-dimensional bounded domain such that the Neumann–Poincaré operator defined on its boundary has infinitely many negative eigenvalues. It is proved in this paper that tori have such a property. It is done by decomposing the Neumann–Poincaré operator on tori into infinitely many self-adjoint compact operators on a Hilbert space defined on the circle using the toroidal coordinate system and the Fourier basis, and then by proving that the numerical range of infinitely many operators in the decomposition has both positive and negative values.

AMS subject classifications. 47A45 (primary), 31B25 (secondary)

Key words. Neumann-Poincaré operator, negative eigenvalues, tori, stationary phase method

1 Introduction

The goal of this paper is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *The Neumann-Poincaré operator on tori has infinitely many negative eigenvalues as well as infinitely many positive ones.*

To demonstrate novelty of this result we briefly review a history of the spectral theory of the Neumann-Poincaré (abbreviated by NP) operator.

The NP operator is an integral operator naturally arising when solving classical boundary value problems using layer potentials. It is defined on the boundary $\partial\Omega$ of a bounded domain Ω in \mathbb{R}^d ($d = 2, 3$). Precisely, it is defined by

$$\mathcal{K}_{\partial\Omega}^*[\varphi](\mathbf{x}) = \text{p.v.} \frac{1}{\omega_d} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \cdot \nu_{\mathbf{x}}}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|^d} \varphi(\mathbf{y}) d\sigma(\mathbf{y}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \partial\Omega, \quad (1.1)$$

*This work was supported by NRF grants No. 2016R1A2B4011304 and 2017R1A4A1014735, and by A3 Foresight Program among China (NSF), Japan (JSPS), and Korea (NRF 2014K2A2A6000567).

[†]Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering and Computer Science, Ehime University, Ehime 790-8577, Japan (ando@cs.ehime-u.ac.jp).

[‡]Department of Mathematics and Institute of Applied Mathematics, Inha University, Incheon 22212, S. Korea (22151063@inha.edu, hbkang@inha.ac.kr, k.goe.dai@gmail.com).

[§]Center for Mathematical Modeling and Data Science, Osaka University, Osaka 560-8531, Japan (miyanishi@sigmath.es.osaka-u.ac.jp).

where $\nu_{\mathbf{x}}$ denotes the outward unit normal vector to $\partial\Omega$ at \mathbf{x} and $\omega_d = 2\pi$ if $d = 2$, $\omega_d = 4\pi$ if $d = 3$. Either $\mathcal{K}_{\partial\Omega}^*$ or its adjoint $\mathcal{K}_{\partial\Omega}$ (in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$) is called the NP operator on $\partial\Omega$. $\mathcal{K}_{\partial\Omega}$ is frequently called the double layer potential.

Observe that the integral kernel of $\mathcal{K}_{\partial\Omega}^*$ is the normal derivative of the fundamental solution to the Laplacian

$$-\Gamma(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln |\mathbf{x}|, & d = 2, \\ -\frac{1}{4\pi} |\mathbf{x}|^{-1}, & d = 3. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

The single layer potential $\mathcal{S}_{\partial\Omega}[\varphi]$ of a density function $\varphi \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{S}_{\partial\Omega}[\varphi](\mathbf{x}) := \int_{\partial\Omega} \Gamma(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \varphi(\mathbf{y}) d\sigma(\mathbf{y}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (1.3)$$

It is also common to use $-\Gamma(\mathbf{x})$ for the integral kernel to define the single layer potential. We take the definition (1.3) in this paper so that the single layer potential becomes a positive operator. The connection between the NP operator and the single layer potential is given by the jump relation (see, for example, [4, 14]):

$$\partial_\nu \mathcal{S}_{\partial\Omega}[\varphi] \Big|_{\pm}(\mathbf{x}) = \left(\mp \frac{1}{2} I - \mathcal{K}_{\partial\Omega}^* \right) [\varphi](\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \partial\Omega, \quad (1.4)$$

where ∂_ν denotes the outward normal derivative and the subscripts \pm indicate the limit from outside and inside Ω , respectively.

The relation (1.4) shows that, for example, to solve the Neumann problem, $\Delta u = 0$ in Ω and $\partial_\nu u = f$ on $\partial\Omega$, it suffices to have $u := \mathcal{S}_{\partial\Omega}[\varphi]$ in Ω , where φ is the solution of the following integral equation:

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} I - \mathcal{K}_{\partial\Omega}^* \right) [\varphi] = f \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \quad (1.5)$$

This kind of approach for solving boundary value problems traces back to C. Neumann [27] and Poincaré [30] as the name of the operator suggests. If $\partial\Omega$ is smooth ($C^{1,\alpha}$ for some $\alpha > 0$ to be precise), then $\mathcal{K}_{\partial\Omega}^*$ is compact on $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ (and on $H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$, the Sobolev space of order $-1/2$), and hence the Fredholm index theory can be applied to solve (1.5). On the other hand, if $\partial\Omega$ is merely Lipschitz, say if it has a corner, then $\mathcal{K}_{\partial\Omega}^*$ is a singular integral operator which has been one of central subjects of mathematical research in the last century. For example, the L^2 -boundedness was proved in the seminal paper [11] and solvability of (1.5) was established in [32].

Note that $\mathcal{K}_{\partial\Omega}^*$ is not self-adjoint on $L^2(\partial\Omega)$, namely, $\mathcal{K}_{\partial\Omega}^* \neq \mathcal{K}_{\partial\Omega}$, unless Ω is a disk or a ball [22]. However, in [21] where Poincaré's work was revived in modern language, it is revealed that $\mathcal{K}_{\partial\Omega}^*$ can be realized as a self-adjoint operator on $H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ by introducing an inner product with the single layer potential. Let, for $\varphi, \psi \in H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$,

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_* := (\varphi, \mathcal{S}_{\partial\Omega}[\psi]), \quad (1.6)$$

where (\cdot, \cdot) is the $H^{-1/2} - H^{1/2}$ pairing. Since $\mathcal{S}_{\partial\Omega}$ maps $H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ into $H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_*$ is well-defined. In fact, it is an inner product on $H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ in three dimensions, and the

norm induced by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_*$ is actually equivalent to $H^{-1/2}$ -norm (see, for example, [19]). In two dimensions, $\mathcal{S}_{\partial\Omega}$ may have one-dimensional kernel [32], but it can be remedied so that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_*$ is an inner product (see, e.g., [6]). Then one can use the Plemelj's symmetrization principle, which states

$$\mathcal{S}_{\partial\Omega}\mathcal{K}_{\partial\Omega}^* = \mathcal{K}_{\partial\Omega}\mathcal{S}_{\partial\Omega}, \quad (1.7)$$

to symmetrize $\mathcal{K}_{\partial\Omega}^*$, that is,

$$\langle \mathcal{K}_{\partial\Omega}^*[\varphi], \psi \rangle_* = \langle \varphi, \mathcal{K}_{\partial\Omega}^*[\psi] \rangle_*. \quad (1.8)$$

Now, if $\partial\Omega$ is $C^{1,\alpha}$ for some $\alpha > 0$, then $\mathcal{K}_{\partial\Omega}^*$, as a self-adjoint compact operator, has real eigenvalues converging to 0. It is worth mentioning that there are some work on convergence rate [7, 26] culminated in Weyl's law in three dimensions [25]. If $\partial\Omega$ has a corner, then $\mathcal{K}_{\partial\Omega}^*$ has continuous spectrum [10, 16, 17, 20, 28, 29].

Lately interest in the spectral properties of the NP operator is growing fast, which is due to their relations to plasmonics: plasmonic resonance occurs at eigenvalues of the NP operator [5, 24] and anomalous localized resonance occurs at the accumulation point of eigenvalues [3]. However, for all significant progress that has been made, research on NP spectrum (spectrum of the NP operator) is at its early stage and many questions still remain unanswered. The question on negative eigenvalues is one of them.

Unlike two-dimensional NP spectrum, which is symmetric with respect to 0 except 1/2 (see, e.g., [16, 21]) and hence has the same number of negative eigenvalues as positive ones, not so many surfaces (boundaries of three-dimensional domains) are known to have negative NP eigenvalues. In fact, NP eigenvalues on spheres are all positive, and Poincaré suggested that all the NP eigenvalues are positive even though notion of spectrum did not exist at his time (see [9, 21]). It is only in 1994 that the NP operator on an oblate spheroid is shown to have a negative eigenvalue [1], which was the first example of surfaces with a negative NP eigenvalue. We emphasize the oblate spheroid considered in the above mentioned paper is thin, and negativity of an eigenvalue is shown numerically. Furthermore, we do not know how many negative eigenvalues there are. We also mention that NP eigenvalues on ellipsoids can be found explicitly using Lamé functions for which we also refer to [2, 13, 23, 31]. However, it seems quite difficult to see whether there are negative eigenvalues and how many they are if they exist. Recently, a concavity condition is found, which is sufficient for the NP operator on either the boundary of the domain or its inversion to have a negative eigenvalue [18]. For example, this condition is fulfilled if there is a point on the boundary where the Gaussian curvature is negative. Thus a natural follow-up question is whether there is a surface admitting infinitely many negative NP eigenvalues.

The study on negative NP eigenvalues in this paper is motivated by a historic reason as mentioned above. In addition, negative NP eigenvalues have some implication on numerical schemes. For example, the optimal parameter for an iterative scheme to solve an exterior Neumann problem was found under the condition that NP eigenvalues are all non-negative [12, pp. 152–153]. However, deep understanding on implications of negative NP eigenvalues seems still missing.

Lacking general theory for negative eigenvalues, we seek examples of surfaces with infinitely many negative NP eigenvalues, and tori are candidates. The reason to choose tori as candidates is twofold. One is that a significant portion of tori has a negative

Gaussian curvature, and the other is that they have good symmetries to be exploited. In fact, it is conjectured in [25] that the NP operator on tori has infinitely many negative eigenvalues. Theorem 1.1 resolves it. We emphasize that this is the first example of surfaces on which the NP operator has infinitely many negative eigenvalues.

Theorem 1.1 is proved as follows. We decompose the NP operator into infinitely many self-adjoint compact operators on a Hilbert space defined on a circle using the toroidal coordinate system and the Fourier basis. We then show that infinitely many operators in the decomposition have numerical ranges having both positive and negative values, which means that they have both positive and negative eigenvalues. This is proved using the stationary phase method. Since the NP spectrum contains the collection of all eigenvalues of operators in the decomposition, Theorem 1.1 follows.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we introduce the toroidal coordinate system, and express the single layer potential and the NP operator in terms of that coordinate system. In section 3, we decompose the NP operator by the Fourier expansion with respect to the usual toroidal angle to obtain a series of self-adjoint compact operators, and we show a relation between eigenvalues of these operators and those of the NP operator. In section 4, we show existence of infinitely many negative eigenvalues of the NP operator as well as infinitely many positive ones.

2 Toroidal coordinate system and the NP operator

In this section, we express the single layer potential and the NP operator on a torus in terms of the toroidal coordinate system.

The toroidal coordinate system (ξ, η, φ) is given by

$$x = \frac{R_0 \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} \cos \varphi}{1 - \xi \cos \eta}, \quad y = \frac{R_0 \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} \sin \varphi}{1 - \xi \cos \eta}, \quad z = -\frac{R_0 \xi \sin \eta}{1 - \xi \cos \eta}, \quad (2.1)$$

where x , y and z are ordinary Cartesian coordinates, and $R_0 := \sqrt{r_0^2 - a^2}$ is the location of the poloidal axis. The surface $\xi = \text{constant}$ is a torus. The parameters r_0 and a are the major and minor radii, respectively, of a toroidal system. The variable ξ ($0 < \xi < 1$) is similar to a minor radius, η ($0 \leq \eta < 2\pi$) is a poloidal angle, and φ ($0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi$) is the usual toroidal angle (equivalent to the azimuthal angle of standard cylindrical coordinates (r, φ, z) , see [8] and the figures therein). The toroidal coordinate system is orthogonal with the scale factors

$$h_\xi = \frac{R_0}{\sqrt{1 - \xi^2}(1 - \xi \cos \eta)}, \quad h_\eta = \frac{R_0 \xi}{1 - \xi \cos \eta}, \quad h_\varphi = \frac{R_0 \sqrt{1 - \xi^2}}{1 - \xi \cos \eta}. \quad (2.2)$$

We denote by $\partial\Omega$ the torus parametrized by ξ , and let $\mathbf{x} = (\xi, \eta, \varphi)$ and $\mathbf{y} = (\xi, \eta', \varphi')$ be points on $\partial\Omega$. The above mentioned paper also showed that the fundamental solution is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|} &= \frac{\sqrt{1 - \xi \cos \eta} \sqrt{1 - \xi \cos \eta'}}{4\pi \sqrt{2} R_0 (1 - \xi^2 \cos(\eta - \eta') - (1 - \xi^2) \cos(\varphi - \varphi'))^{1/2}} \\ &= \frac{\psi(\eta)^{1/2} \psi(\eta')^{1/2}}{4\pi \sqrt{2} R_0 \xi (\mu(\varphi - \varphi') - \cos(\eta - \eta'))^{1/2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$\mu(\varphi - \varphi') := \frac{1}{\xi^2} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\xi^2}\right) \cos(\varphi - \varphi') \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$\psi(\eta) := 1 - \xi \cos \eta. \quad (2.5)$$

We see from (2.2) and (2.3) that the single layer potential $\mathcal{S}_{\partial\Omega}$ defined by (1.3) can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{S}_{\partial\Omega}[f](\eta, \varphi) = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} s(\eta, \eta'; \varphi - \varphi') f(\eta', \varphi') d\eta' d\varphi', \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$s(\eta, \eta'; \varphi - \varphi') := \frac{R_0 \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} \psi(\eta)^{1/2}}{4\pi \sqrt{2} \psi(\eta')^{3/2}} \frac{1}{(\mu(\varphi - \varphi') - \cos(\eta - \eta'))^{1/2}}. \quad (2.7)$$

Similarly, we describe the NP operator in terms of the toroidal coordinate system. In the toroidal coordinate system, the outward unit normal vector $\nu_{\mathbf{x}}$ takes the form

$$\nu_{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{(\cos \eta - \xi) \cos \varphi}{\psi(\eta)} \mathbf{e}_1 + \frac{(\cos \eta - \xi) \sin \varphi}{\psi(\eta)} \mathbf{e}_2 - \frac{\sqrt{1 - \xi^2} \sin \eta}{\psi(\eta)} \mathbf{e}_3,$$

where \mathbf{e}_1 , \mathbf{e}_2 and \mathbf{e}_3 are unit vectors directing to x -axis, y -axis and z -axis in Cartesian coordinates, respectively (see [8]). So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \cdot \nu_{\mathbf{x}} &= \left(\frac{R_0 \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} \cos \varphi}{\psi(\eta)} - \frac{R_0 \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} \cos \varphi'}{\psi(\eta')} \right) \frac{(\cos \eta - \xi) \cos \varphi}{\psi(\eta)} \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{R_0 \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} \sin \varphi}{\psi(\eta)} - \frac{R_0 \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} \sin \varphi'}{\psi(\eta')} \right) \frac{(\cos \eta - \xi) \sin \varphi}{\psi(\eta)} \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{R_0 \xi \sin \eta}{\psi(\eta)} - \frac{R_0 \xi \sin \eta'}{\psi(\eta')} \right) \frac{\sqrt{1 - \xi^2} \sin \eta}{\psi(\eta)} \\ &= \frac{R_0 \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} \cos \eta (1 - \cos(\varphi - \varphi')) - R_0 \xi \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} (\cos(\eta - \eta') - \cos(\varphi - \varphi'))}{\psi(\eta) \psi(\eta')} \\ &= \frac{R_0 \xi \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} (\mu(\varphi - \varphi') - \cos(\eta - \eta'))}{\psi(\eta) \psi(\eta')} - \frac{R_0 \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} (1 - \cos(\varphi - \varphi'))}{\xi \psi(\eta')}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

According to (2.2), (2.3) and (2.8), the NP operator $\mathcal{K}_{\partial\Omega}^*$ defined by (1.1) takes the form

$$\mathcal{K}_{\partial\Omega}^*[f](\eta, \varphi) = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} k(\eta, \eta'; \varphi - \varphi') f(\eta', \varphi') d\eta' d\varphi', \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} k(\eta, \eta'; \varphi - \varphi') &= \frac{1 - \xi^2}{8\pi \sqrt{2} \xi} \frac{\psi(\eta)^{1/2}}{\psi(\eta')^{3/2}} \frac{1}{(\mu(\varphi - \varphi') - \cos(\eta - \eta'))^{1/2}} \\ &\quad - \frac{1 - \xi^2}{8\pi \sqrt{2} \xi^3} \frac{\psi(\eta)^{3/2}}{\psi(\eta')^{3/2}} \frac{1 - \cos(\varphi - \varphi')}{(\mu(\varphi - \varphi') - \cos(\eta - \eta'))^{3/2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

3 Decomposition of the NP operator

Suppose that f is of the form

$$f(\eta, \varphi) = \psi(\eta)^{3/2} g(\eta) e^{ik\varphi}. \quad (3.1)$$

In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{\partial\Omega}^*[f](\eta, \varphi) &= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} k(\eta, \eta'; \varphi - \varphi') \psi(\eta')^{3/2} g(\eta') e^{ik\varphi'} d\varphi' d\eta' \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} k(\eta, \eta'; \varphi') e^{-ik\varphi'} d\varphi' \right) \psi(\eta')^{3/2} g(\eta') d\varphi' d\eta' e^{ik\varphi}. \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} a_k(\eta, \eta') &:= \int_0^{2\pi} k(\eta, \eta'; \varphi') e^{-ik\varphi'} d\varphi' \frac{\psi(\eta')^{3/2}}{\psi(\eta)^{3/2}} \frac{8\pi\sqrt{2}\xi}{1-\xi^2} \\ &= \psi(\eta)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{-ik\varphi'}}{(\mu(\varphi') - \cos(\eta - \eta'))^{1/2}} d\varphi' \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\xi^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{(1 - \cos \varphi') e^{-ik\varphi'}}{(\mu(\varphi') - \cos(\eta - \eta'))^{3/2}} d\varphi', \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

and define the operator \mathcal{A}_k by

$$\mathcal{A}_k[g](\eta) := \int_0^{2\pi} a_k(\eta, \eta') g(\eta') d\eta'.$$

Then, we have

$$\mathcal{K}_{\partial\Omega}^*[f](\eta, \varphi) = \frac{1 - \xi^2}{8\pi\sqrt{2}\xi} \psi(\eta)^{3/2} \mathcal{A}_k[g](\eta) e^{ik\varphi}, \quad (3.3)$$

which implies the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *If λ is an eigenvalue of \mathcal{A}_k with an eigenfunction g , then $(1 - \xi^2)\lambda/8\pi\sqrt{2}\xi$ is an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{K}_{\partial\Omega}^*$ with the eigenfunction of the form (3.1).*

If f is of the form (3.1), then we have from (2.6)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{\partial\Omega}[f](\eta, \varphi) &= \frac{R_0\sqrt{1-\xi^2}}{4\pi\sqrt{2}} \psi(\eta)^{1/2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{g(\eta') e^{ik\varphi'} d\eta' d\varphi'}{(\mu(\varphi - \varphi') - \cos(\eta - \eta'))^{1/2}} \\ &= \frac{R_0\sqrt{1-\xi^2}}{4\pi\sqrt{2}} \psi(\eta)^{1/2} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{-ik\varphi'} d\varphi'}{(\mu(\varphi') - \cos(\eta - \eta'))^{1/2}} \right) g(\eta') d\eta' e^{ik\varphi}. \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$s_k(\eta) := \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{-ik\varphi'} d\varphi'}{(\mu(\varphi') - \cos \eta)^{1/2}} \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}_k[g](\eta) := \int_0^{2\pi} s_k(\eta - \eta') g(\eta') d\eta'. \quad (3.5)$$

Then, we have

$$\mathcal{S}_{\partial\Omega}[f](\eta, \varphi) = \frac{R_0\sqrt{1-\xi^2}}{4\pi\sqrt{2}}\psi(\eta)^{1/2}\mathcal{S}_k[g](\eta)e^{ik\varphi}. \quad (3.6)$$

Suppose that

$$f_j(\eta, \varphi) = \psi(\eta)^{3/2}g_j(\eta)e^{ik_j\varphi}, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (3.7)$$

If f_1 and f_2 are smooth, then we have from (1.6) and (2.2) that

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_* = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f_1(\eta, \varphi) \overline{\mathcal{S}_{\partial\Omega}[f_2](\eta, \varphi)} \frac{R_0^2 \xi \sqrt{1-\xi^2}}{\psi(\eta)^2} d\eta d\varphi.$$

It then follows from (3.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_* &= \frac{R_0^3 \xi (1-\xi^2)}{4\pi\sqrt{2}} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(k_1-k_2)\varphi} d\varphi \int_0^{2\pi} g_1(\eta) \overline{\mathcal{S}_{k_2}[g_2](\eta)} d\eta \\ &= \frac{R_0^3 \xi (1-\xi^2)}{2\sqrt{2}} \delta_{k_1 k_2} \int_0^{2\pi} g_1(\eta) \overline{\mathcal{S}_{k_2}[g_2](\eta)} d\eta, \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

where $\delta_{k_1 k_2}$ is the Kronecker's delta.

Let T be the unit circle and let $H^s(T^2)$ be the Sobolev space on the torus T^2 equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{s, T^2}^2 := \sum_{k, l=-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + |k|^2 + |l|^2)^s |\hat{f}(k, l)|^2, \quad (3.9)$$

where $\hat{f}(k, l)$ denotes the double Fourier coefficient of f . Then, one can see easily that $H^s(\partial\Omega)$ is equivalent to $H^s(T^2)$ for $s = 0$ and $s = 1$. Then, by interpolation between $s = 0$ and $s = 1$, we see that $H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ is equivalent to $H^{1/2}(T^2)$, and hence by duality $H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ is equivalent to $H^{-1/2}(T^2)$. Since $\langle f, f \rangle_*$ is equivalent to $\|f\|_{H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)}$, there is $C > 1$ such that

$$C^{-1}\|f\|_{-1/2, T^2}^2 \leq \langle f, f \rangle_* \leq C\|f\|_{-1/2, T^2}^2. \quad (3.10)$$

It is worthwhile mentioning that C depends on the parameter ξ . We now introduce a Hilbert space on the unit circle: Let $H^{-1/2}(T)$ be the Sobolev space of order $-1/2$ on the unit circle T whose norm is given by

$$\|\varphi\|_{-1/2}^2 := \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + |l|^2)^{-1/2} |\hat{\varphi}(l)|^2, \quad (3.11)$$

where $\hat{\varphi}(l)$ denotes the l -th Fourier coefficient. We then define $H(T)$ by

$$H(T) := \{g \mid \psi^{3/2}g \in H^{-1/2}(T)\}. \quad (3.12)$$

Then $H(T)$ is a Hilbert space with the norm

$$\|g\|_H := \|\psi^{3/2}g\|_{-1/2}. \quad (3.13)$$

For $g \in H(T)$, define f by (3.1). Then there is a constant C_k depending on k such that

$$C_k^{-1}\|g\|_H \leq \|f\|_{-1/2, T^2} \leq C_k\|g\|_H.$$

It then follows from (3.10) that

$$C_k^{-1} \|g\|_H \leq \|f\|_{H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)} \leq C_k \|g\|_H \quad (3.14)$$

with some different C_k .

Since $\mathcal{S}_{\partial\Omega}$ maps $H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ into its dual space $H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ continuously, the relation (3.8) shows that \mathcal{S}_k maps $H(T)$ into its dual space $H'(T)$ continuously. Thus we can define

$$\langle g_1, g_2 \rangle_k := \int_0^{2\pi} g_1(\eta) \overline{\mathcal{S}_k[g_2](\eta)} d\eta, \quad g_1, g_2 \in H(T), \quad (3.15)$$

understanding the right-hand side as the $H - H'$ pairing.

Proposition 3.2. *For each integer k , $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_k$ is an inner product on $H(T)$ and there is a constant $C_k > 1$ depending on k such that*

$$C_k^{-1} \|g\|_H \leq \langle g, g \rangle_k \leq C_k \|g\|_H \quad (3.16)$$

for all $g \in H$. Moreover, \mathcal{A}_k is compact and self-adjoint on $H(T)$:

$$\langle \mathcal{A}_k[g_1], g_2 \rangle_k = \langle g_1, \mathcal{A}_k[g_2] \rangle_k. \quad (3.17)$$

Proof. For $g \in H(T)$, define f by (3.1). It then follows from (3.8) that

$$\langle f, f \rangle_* = \frac{R_0^3 \xi (1 - \xi^2)}{2\sqrt{2}} \langle g, g \rangle_k. \quad (3.18)$$

One can easily see from this relation that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_k$ is an inner product on $H(T)$. Moreover, since $\langle f, f \rangle_*$ is equivalent to $\|f\|_{H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)}$, (3.16) follows from (3.14). Since $\mathcal{K}_{\partial\Omega}^*$ is compact on $H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$, (3.3) and (3.14) show that \mathcal{A}_k is compact on $H(T)$.

Now we prove that \mathcal{A}_k is self-adjoint on $H(T)$. Let f_1 and f_2 be of the form (3.7) with $k_1 = k_2 = k$. Then (1.8) reads

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} f_1 \overline{\mathcal{S}_{\partial\Omega}[\mathcal{K}_{\partial\Omega}^*[f_2]]} d\sigma = \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathcal{K}_{\partial\Omega}^*[f_1] \overline{\mathcal{S}_{\partial\Omega}[f_2]} d\sigma. \quad (3.19)$$

Then, we have from (3.6)

$$\mathcal{S}_{\partial\Omega} \mathcal{K}_{\partial\Omega}^*[f_2](\eta, \varphi) = \frac{R_0^3 (1 - \xi^2)^{3/2}}{64\pi^2 \xi} \psi(\eta)^{1/2} \mathcal{S}_k[\mathcal{A}_k[g_2]](\eta) e^{ik\varphi}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial\Omega} f_1 \overline{\mathcal{S}_{\partial\Omega}[\mathcal{K}_{\partial\Omega}^*[f_2]]} d\sigma &= \frac{R_0^3 (1 - \xi^2)^2}{32\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} g_1(\eta) \overline{\mathcal{S}_k[\mathcal{A}_k[g_2]](\eta)} d\eta \\ &= \frac{R_0^3 (1 - \xi^2)^2}{32\pi} \langle g_1, \mathcal{A}_k[g_2] \rangle_k, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathcal{K}_{\partial\Omega}^*[f_1] \overline{\mathcal{S}_{\partial\Omega}[f_2]} d\sigma &= \frac{R_0^3 (1 - \xi^2)^2}{32\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \mathcal{A}_k[g_1](\eta) \overline{\mathcal{S}_k[g_2](\eta)} d\eta \\ &= \frac{R_0^3 (1 - \xi^2)^2}{32\pi} \langle \mathcal{A}_k[g_1], g_2 \rangle_k, \end{aligned}$$

from which (3.17) follows. This completes the proof. \square

4 Numerical range of \mathcal{A}_k and the proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *For all $0 < \xi < 1$, there exists a positive integer k_0 such that \mathcal{A}_k has both positive and negative eigenvalues for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $|k| > k_0$.*

Theorem 1.1 follows from Theorem 4.1. In fact, by Lemma 3.1, positive and negative eigenvalues of \mathcal{A}_k yield positive and negative eigenvalues of $\mathcal{K}_{\partial\Omega}^*$, respectively. Moreover, since eigenfunctions of $\mathcal{K}_{\partial\Omega}^*$ take the form (3.1), eigenfunctions corresponding to different k are orthogonal to each other (see (3.8)). Since $\mathcal{K}_{\partial\Omega}^*$ is compact, multiplicity of each eigenvalue is finite. Thus there must be infinitely many positive and negative eigenvalues.

To prove Theorem 4.1, we show that the numerical range $\langle \mathcal{A}_k[g], g \rangle_k$ of \mathcal{A}_k has both positive and negative values. Since \mathcal{A}_k is self-adjoint, it means that there are both positive and negative eigenvalues.

Note that $a_k(\eta, \eta')$ can be written as

$$a_k(\eta, \eta') = \psi(\eta)^{-1} s_k(\eta - \eta') - \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} s_k(\eta - \eta').$$

Thus, we have

$$\mathcal{A}_k[g](\eta) = \psi(\eta)^{-1} \mathcal{S}_k[g](\eta) - \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \mathcal{S}_k[g](\eta). \quad (4.1)$$

Let $g_l(\eta) := e^{il\eta}$. Then

$$\mathcal{S}_k[g_l](\eta) = s_{k,l}(\xi) e^{il\eta}, \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$s_{k,l}(\xi) := \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{-ik\varphi'} e^{-il\eta'}}{(\mu(\varphi') - \cos \eta')^{1/2}} d\eta' d\varphi'. \quad (4.3)$$

Thanks to (3.16), we have

$$s_{k,l}(\xi) > 0 \quad \text{for all } k, l \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad 0 < \xi < 1. \quad (4.4)$$

Since

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{\psi(\eta)} d\eta = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{1 - \xi^2}},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{A}_k[g_l], g_l \rangle_k &= s_{k,l}(\xi) \int_0^{2\pi} [\psi(\eta)^{-1} s_{k,l}(\xi) - \xi s'_{k,l}(\xi)] d\eta \\ &= \frac{2\pi s_{k,l}(\xi)}{\sqrt{1 - \xi^2}} (s_{k,l}(\xi) - \xi \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} s'_{k,l}(\xi)). \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

We will investigate the sign of $\langle \mathcal{A}_k[g_l], g_l \rangle_k$. Thanks to (4.4), it is enough to look into the quantity $I_{k,l}(\xi)$ defined by

$$I_{k,l}(\xi) := s_{k,l}(\xi) - \xi \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} s'_{k,l}(\xi). \quad (4.6)$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{k,l}(\xi) &= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} - (1 - \xi^2 - \sqrt{1 - \xi^2}) \cos \varphi - \xi^2 \cos \eta}{\xi^2(\mu(\varphi) - \cos \eta)^{3/2}} e^{-ik\varphi} e^{-il\eta} d\eta d\varphi. \\ &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} - (1 - \xi^2 - \sqrt{1 - \xi^2}) \cos \varphi - \xi^2 \cos \eta}{\xi^2(\mu(\varphi) - \cos \eta)^{3/2}} e^{-ik\varphi} e^{-il\eta} d\eta d\varphi. \end{aligned}$$

The second identity holds because the integrand is 2π -periodic with respect to both φ and η . We also mention that

$$I_{k,l}(\xi) = I_{-k,l}(\xi) = I_{k,-l}(\xi), \quad (4.7)$$

so in what follows we only consider nonnegative k and l .

To estimate $I_{k,l}(\xi)$ we use the stationary phase method, which we recall now (see, e.g., [15]).

Theorem 4.2 (Stationary phase approximation). *Let D be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^d , and let h and Ψ be C^∞ functions on \overline{D} such that all critical points of Ψ are non-degenerate, i.e., the Hessian $H_\Psi(x_0)$ of Ψ is non-singular at every $x_0 \in D$ such that $\nabla\Psi(x_0) = 0$. Let Σ be the set of critical points of Ψ . If there is no critical point of Ψ on ∂D , then the following asymptotic formula as $n \rightarrow \infty$ holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_D h(x) e^{in\Psi(x)} dx \\ &= \sum_{x_0 \in \Sigma} e^{in\Psi(x_0)} |\det H_\Psi(x_0)|^{-1/2} e^{(i\pi/4) \text{sign}(H_\Psi(x_0))} \left(\frac{2\pi}{n}\right)^{d/2} h(x_0) + o(n^{-d/2}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

where $\text{sign}(A)$ for a matrix A is defined to be

$$\text{sign}(A) := \#\{\text{positive eigenvalues of } A\} - \#\{\text{negative eigenvalues of } A\}.$$

Proof of Theorem 4.1. We rewrite the integral $I_{k,l}(\xi)$ in terms of the polar coordinates. Let $D := (-\pi, \pi) \times (-\pi, \pi)$. We introduce the polar coordinates for D by

$$(\varphi, \eta) = (r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta), \quad 0 < r < R(\theta), \quad -\pi \leq \theta < \pi, \quad (\varphi, \eta) \in D,$$

where

$$R(\theta) := \begin{cases} \frac{\pi}{|\cos \theta|}, & \text{if } -\pi \leq \theta < -\frac{3}{4}\pi, \quad -\frac{1}{4}\pi \leq \theta < \frac{1}{4}\pi, \quad \frac{3}{4}\pi \leq \theta < \pi, \\ \frac{\pi}{|\sin \theta|}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, we have

$$I_{k,l}(\xi) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_0^{R(\theta)} h(r, \theta) e^{-ikr \cos \theta} e^{-ilr \sin \theta} dr d\theta,$$

where

$$h(r, \theta) := \begin{cases} \frac{|r| \{1 - \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} - (1 - \xi^2 - \sqrt{1 - \xi^2}) \cos(r \cos \theta) - \xi^2 \cos(r \sin \theta)\}}{\xi^2(\mu(r \cos \theta) - \cos(r \sin \theta))^{3/2}}, & r \neq 0, \\ \frac{\sqrt{2} \{ \xi(1 - \xi^2 - \sqrt{1 - \xi^2}) \cos^2 \theta + \xi^3 \sin^2 \theta \}}{((1 - \xi^2) \cos^2 \theta + \xi^2 \sin^2 \theta)^{3/2}}, & r = 0. \end{cases}$$

One can easily see that h is a C^∞ function on \mathbb{R}^2 , an even function with respect to both r and θ , and π -periodic in θ .

By changing variables of integration $r' = -r$ and $\theta' = \theta - \pi$, we have

$$I_{k,l}(\xi) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-R(\theta')}^0 h(r', \theta') e^{-ikr' \cos \theta'} e^{-ilr' \sin \theta'} dr' d\theta'.$$

Here, we have made use of π -periodicity of R and $h(r, \cdot)$. So, we also have

$$I_{k,l}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-R(\theta)}^{R(\theta)} h(r, \theta) e^{-ikr \cos \theta} e^{-ilr \sin \theta} dr d\theta. \quad (4.9)$$

Now we are ready to investigate signs of the integral $I_{k,l}(\xi)$. First assume that $l = 0$. We apply Theorem 4.2 with the phase function $\Psi(r, \theta) = -r \cos \theta$. The critical points of Ψ in the region $\{(r, \theta) \mid -R(\theta) < r < R(\theta), -\pi \leq \theta < \pi\}$ are $(0, \pm\pi/2)$. We further have

$$H_\Psi(0, \pm\pi/2) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \pm 1 \\ \pm 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and hence

$$|\det H_\Psi(0, \pm\pi/2)| = 1, \quad \text{sign}(H_\Psi(0, \pm\pi/2)) = 0.$$

Also, we have $h(0, \pm\pi/2) = \sqrt{2}$. It then follows from (4.8) that

$$I_{k,0}(\xi) = \frac{2\sqrt{2}\pi}{k} + o(1/k) \quad (4.10)$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Thus for each $0 < \xi < 1$, there exists a positive integer k_0 depending on ξ such that

$$I_{k,0}(\xi) > 0 \quad (4.11)$$

for all $k > k_0$.

We next investigate the asymptotic behaviour of $I_{k,l}(\xi)$ for fixed k and large l . Let

$$h_k(r, \theta) := h(r, \theta) e^{-ikr \cos \theta},$$

so that

$$I_{k,l}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-R(\theta)}^{R(\theta)} h_k(r, \theta) e^{-ilr \sin \theta} dr d\theta.$$

We then make a change of variables $\theta \rightarrow \theta + \pi/2$ so that

$$I_{k,l}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-R(\theta)}^{R(\theta)} h_k\left(r, \theta + \frac{\pi}{2}\right) e^{-ilr \cos \theta} dr d\theta.$$

Then the phase function is the same as before, namely, $\Psi(r, \theta) = -r \cos \theta$, and

$$h_k\left(0, \pm\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2}\right) = \frac{-\sqrt{2}\xi(1 - \sqrt{1 - \xi^2})}{1 - \xi^2},$$

and hence

$$I_{k,l}(\xi) = -\frac{2\sqrt{2}\pi\xi(1 - \sqrt{1 - \xi^2})}{(1 - \xi^2)l} + o(1/l)$$

as $l \rightarrow \infty$. Since $0 < \xi < 1$, we have

$$\frac{\xi(1 - \sqrt{1 - \xi^2})}{(1 - \xi^2)} > 0.$$

Thus for each $0 < \xi < 1$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists a positive integer l_k such that

$$I_{k,l}(\xi) < 0 \tag{4.12}$$

for all $l > l_k$.

The statement of the theorem follows from (4.11) and (4.12). \square

References

- [1] J. F. Ahner, On the eigenvalues of the electrostatic integral operator. II. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 181 (1994), 328–334.
- [2] J. F. Ahner and R. F. Arenstorf, On the eigenvalues of the electrostatic integral operator. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 117 (1986), 187–197.
- [3] H. Ammari, G. Cialo, H. Kang, H. Lee and G. W. Milton. Spectral theory of a Neumann-Poincaré-type operator and analysis of cloaking due to anomalous localized resonance, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 208 (2013), 667–692.
- [4] H. Ammari and H. Kang, *Polarization and moment tensors*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, 162, Springer, New York. 2007.
- [5] H. Ammari, P. Millien, M. Ruiz and H. Zhang, Mathematical analysis of plasmonic nanoparticles: the scalar case, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 224 (2017), 597–658.
- [6] K. Ando and H. Kang, Analysis of plasmon resonance on smooth domains using spectral properties of the Neumann-Poincaré operator, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 435 (2016), 162–178.
- [7] K. Ando, H. Kang and Y. Miyanishi, Exponential decay estimates of the eigenvalues for the Neumann-Poincaré operator on analytic boundaries in two dimensions, *J. Integr. Equ. Appl.*, to appear. arXiv: 1606.01483v1.
- [8] J. W. Bates, On toroidal Green’s functions, *J. Math. Phys.* 38 (1997), 3679–3691.
- [9] E. Bonnetier and F. Triki, On the spectrum of Poincaré variational problem for two close-to-touching inclusions in 2D, *Arch. Rational. Mech. Anal.* 209 (2013), 541–567.
- [10] E. Bonnetier and H. Zhang, Characterization of the essential spectrum of the Neumann-Poincaré operator in 2D domains with corner via Weyl sequences, *Revista Matematica Iberoamericana*, to appear. arXiv:1702.08127v1.
- [11] R. R. Coifman, A. McIntosh, and Y. Meyer, L’intégrale de Cauchy définit un opérateur borné sur L^2 pour les courbes lipschitziennes, *Ann. Math.* 116 (1982), 361–387.

- [12] D. Colton and R. Kress. *Integral Equation Methods in Scattering Theory*, Wiley, New York, 1983.
- [13] T. Feng and H. Kang, Spectrum of the Neumann-Poincaré operator for ellipsoids and tunability, *Integr. Equat. Oper. Th.* 84 (2016), 591–599.
- [14] G. B. Folland, *Introduction to partial differential equations*, 2nd Ed., Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1995.
- [15] L. Hörmander, *The analysis of linear partial differential operators I*, 2nd Ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2003.
- [16] J. Helsing, H. Kang and M. Lim, Classification of spectra of the Neumann–Poincaré operator on planar domains with corners by resonance, *Ann. I. H. Poincaré-AN* 34 (2017), 991–1011.
- [17] J. Helsing and K.-M. Perfekt, The spectra of harmonic layer potential operators on domains with rotationally symmetric conical points, arXiv:1703.01628.
- [18] Y. Ji and H. Kang, A concavity condition for existence of a negative Neumann-Poincaré eigenvalue in three dimensions, arXiv:1808.10621.
- [19] H. Kang, K. Kim, H. Lee, J. Shin and S. Yu, Spectral properties of the Neumann-poincaré operator and uniformity of estimates for the conductivity equation with complex coefficients, *J. London Math. Soc.* 93 (2016), 519–546.
- [20] H. Kang, M. Lim and S. Yu, Spectral resolution of the Neumann-Poincaré operator on intersecting disks and analysis of plasmon resonance, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 226 (2017), 83–115.
- [21] D. Khavinson, M. Putinar and H. S. Shapiro, Poincaré’s variational problem in potential theory. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 185 (2007), 143–184.
- [22] M. Lim, Symmetry of a boundary integral operator and a characterization of a ball, *Illinois J. Math.* 45 (2001), 537–543.
- [23] E. Martensen, A spectral property of the electrostatic integral operator, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 238 (1999), 551–557.
- [24] I. D. Mayergoyz, D. R. Fredkin and Z. Zhang, Electrostatic (plasmon) resonances in nanoparticles, *Phys. Rev. B* 72 (2005), 155412.
- [25] Y. Miyanishi, Weyl’s law for the eigenvalues of the Neumann-Poincaré operators in three dimensions: Willmore energy and surface geometry, arXiv:1806.03657v1.
- [26] Y. Miyanishi and T. Suzuki, Eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of double layer potentials, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 369 (2017), 8037–8059.
- [27] C. Neumann, *Über die Methode des arithmetischen Mittels, Erste and zweite Abhandlung*, Leipzig 1887/88, in *Abh. d. Kgl. Sächs Ges. d. Wiss.*, IX and XIII.

- [28] K.-M. Perfekt and M. Putinar, Spectral bounds for the Neumann-Poincaré operator on planar domains with corners, *J. Anal. Math.* 124 (2014), 39-57.
- [29] K.-M. Perfekt and M. Putinar, The essential spectrum of the Neumann-Poincaré operator on a domain with corners, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 223 (2017), 1019-1033.
- [30] H. Poincaré, La méthode de Neumann et le problème de Dirichlet, *Acta Math.* 20 (1897), 59–152.
- [31] S. Ritter, The spectrum of the electrostatic integral operator for an ellipsoid, in *Inverse scattering and potential problems in mathematical physics*, (R.F.Kleinman, R.Kress, and E.Marstensen, Eds.), Lang, Frankfurt/Bern, (1995), 157–167.
- [32] G. C. Verchota, Layer potentials and boundary value problems for Laplace's equation in Lipschitz domains, *J. Funct. Anal.* 59 (1984), 572–611.