

Comparing Two Approaches in Heteroscedastic Regression Models

A. A. Jafari*

Department of Statistics, Yazd University, Yazd, Iran

Abstract

Recently, a generalized test approach is proposed by Sadooghi-alvandi et al. (2016) and a fiducial approach is proposed by Xu and Li (2018) to test the equality of coefficients in several regression models with unequal variances. In this paper, it is shown that the considered quantities in these approaches are identically distributed and therefore, these approaches are same. Also, this result satisfies for the one-way ANOVA problem.

Keywords: Fiducial approach; Generalized test variable; One-Way ANOVA; Regression.

1 Introduction

Consider the k regression models

$$\mathbf{Y}_i = X_i \boldsymbol{\beta}_i + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i \quad \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_i^2 I_{n_i}), \quad i = 1, \dots, k,$$

where X_i is $n_i \times p$ design matrix with rank p , $\mathbf{Y}_i = (Y_{i1}, \dots, Y_{in_i})'$ is $n_i \times 1$ ($n_i > p$ for all i) observation vector, $\boldsymbol{\beta}_i = (\beta_{i1}, \beta_{i2}, \dots, \beta_{ip})'$ is the vector of parameters with dimension of p , $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i$ is the $n_i \times 1$ disturbance vector, and I_{n_i} is $n_i \times n_i$ identity matrix. Furthermore, all the $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i$ are independent.

It is well-known that the unbiased estimations for $\boldsymbol{\beta}_i$ and σ_i^2 are $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_i = (X_i' X_i)^{-1} X_i' \mathbf{Y}_i$ and $S_i^2 = \mathbf{Y}_i' (I_p - X_i (X_i' X_i)^{-1} X_i') \mathbf{Y}_i / (n_i - p)$, respectively, such that they are independent. When the variances σ_i^2 's are unknown, an usual test statistic to test

$$H_0 : \boldsymbol{\beta}_1 = \boldsymbol{\beta}_2 = \dots = \boldsymbol{\beta}_k, \quad (1.1)$$

is (see Tian et al., 2009; Sadooghi-alvandi et al., 2016; Xu and Li, 2018)

$$Q_0 = \sum_{i=1}^k S_i^{-2} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_i' (X_i' X_i) \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_i - \left[\sum_{i=1}^k S_i^{-2} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_i' (X_i' X_i) \right] \left[\sum_{i=1}^k S_i^{-2} (X_i' X_i) \right]^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^k S_i^{-2} (X_i' X_i) \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_i \right].$$

Under null distribution, Q_0 can be approximated by the chi-square distribution with $p(k-1)$ degrees of freedom for large sample sizes. However, this approximation does not work well for small samples (see

*aajafari@yazd.ac.ir

Tian et al., 2009; Sadooghi-alvandi et al., 2016; Xu and Li, 2018). Therefore, some other approaches are proposed to test equality of regression models with unequal variances for example a parametric bootstrap approach by Tian et al. (2009), a generalized approach by (Sadooghi-alvandi et al., 2016) and a fiducial approach by (Xu and Li, 2018). In this paper, we compare these generalized and fiducial approaches. We will see that although these approaches are proposed in different ways and are not the same in appearance but they are identical.

In Section 2, the generalized and fiducial approaches are reviewed and compared. In Section 3, one way-ANOVA is discussed as a special case.

2 Comparing two approaches

For testing H_0 in (1.1), a generalized approach is proposed by Sadooghi-alvandi et al. (2016) and a fiducial approach is proposed by Xu and Li (2018). In this section, these approaches are reviewed, briefly. Then, it is shown that they are identical.

Consider \mathbf{b}_i and s_i^2 , $i = 1, \dots, k$, are the observed values of $\hat{\beta}_i$ and S_i^2 , respectively. For given (\mathbf{b}_i, s_i^2) , Xu and Li (2018) derived a fiducial quantity to test (1.1) as

$$Q_F = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{t}'_i \mathbf{t}_i - \left[\sum_{i=1}^k s_i^{-1} \mathbf{t}'_i (X'_i X_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \left[\sum_{i=1}^k s_i^{-2} (X'_i X_i) \right]^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^k s_i^{-1} (X'_i X_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{t}_i \right], \quad (2.1)$$

where \mathbf{t}_i follows a multivariate student's t-distribution $t_p(n_i - p, \mathbf{0}, I_p)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, (see Kotz and Nadarajah, 2004) and they are mutually independent. Therefore, the p-value to test (1.1) is given by $P(Q_F > Q_0)$.

Let $H = C \otimes I_p$ where \otimes denotes Kronecker product, $C = [I_{k-1} : \mathbf{1}]$, and $\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1)'$. Also, consider $W'W = [HSH']^{-1}$ where $S = \left[\text{diag} \left(s_i^2 (X'_j X_j)^{-1} \right) \right]$. Sadooghi-alvandi et al. (2016) defined a generalized test variable to test the hypothesis in (1.1) as

$$Q_G = \mathbf{Z}'WH \left[\text{diag} \left(\frac{(n_i - p) s_i^2}{U_i} (X'_j X_j)^{-1} \right) \right] H'W' \mathbf{Z}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $\mathbf{Z} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, I_{p(k-1)})$ and $U_i \sim \chi_{(n_i - p)}^2$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, such that \mathbf{Z} and U_i 's are mutually independent. Therefore, the generalized p-value is given by $P(Q_G > Q_0)$.

Lemma 2.1. Q_F and Q_G are identically distributed.

Proof. Consider $\mathbf{V}_i \sim N(\mathbf{0}, I_p)$ and $U_i \sim \chi_{(n_i - p)}^2$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, such that \mathbf{V}_i and U_i 's are mutually independent. Also, consider $D = \left[\text{diag} \left(\frac{n_i - p}{U_i} \right) \right] \otimes I_p$. Based on Sadooghi-alvandi et al. (2016), Q_G has the same distribution as

$$Q_G^* = \mathbf{V}' (I_{pk} - qq') D (I_{pk} - qq') \mathbf{V},$$

where

$$\mathbf{V} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{V}_1 \\ \mathbf{V}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{V}_k \end{bmatrix}, \quad q = \begin{bmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \\ \vdots \\ q_k \end{bmatrix}, \quad q_i = \left[s_i^{-1} (X'_i X_i)^{1/2} \right] \left[\sum_{j=1}^k s_j^{-2} (X'_j X_j) \right]^{-1/2}.$$

Consider $P = (I_{pk} - qq')$ and $D^* = \left[\text{diag} \left(\sqrt{\frac{n_i - p}{U_i}} \right) \right] \otimes I_p$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_G^* &= \mathbf{V}' P D^* D^* P \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}' D^* P P D^* \mathbf{V} \\
&= \mathbf{V}' D^* P D^* \mathbf{V} \\
&= \mathbf{V}' D^* (I_{pk} - qq') D^* \mathbf{V} \\
&= \mathbf{V}' D \mathbf{V} - \mathbf{V}' D^* q q' D^* \mathbf{V} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{n_i - p}{U_i} \mathbf{V}'_i \mathbf{V}_i - \left[\sum_{i=1}^k \sqrt{\frac{n_i - p}{U_i}} s_i^{-1} \mathbf{V}'_i (X'_i X_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \left[\sum_{i=1}^k s_i^{-2} (X'_i X_i) \right]^{-1} \\
&\quad \times \left[\sum_{i=1}^k \sqrt{\frac{n_i - p}{U_i}} s_i^{-1} (X'_i X_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{V}_i \right] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{T}'_i \mathbf{T}_i - \left[\sum_{i=1}^k s_i^{-1} \mathbf{T}'_i (X'_i X_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \left[\sum_{i=1}^k s_i^{-2} (X'_i X_i) \right]^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^k s_i^{-1} (X'_i X_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{T}_i \right],
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{T}_i = \sqrt{\frac{n_i - p}{U_i}} \mathbf{V}_i \sim t_p(n_i - p, \mathbf{0}, I_p)$. Therefore, Q_F and Q_G are identically distributed. \square

3 One Way ANOVA problem

Let $Y_{i1}, Y_{i2}, \dots, Y_{in_i}$ is a random sample from a normal distribution with mean μ_i and variance σ_i^2 , $i = 1, \dots, k$. The problem of testing equality of means of these k distributions, i.e.

$$H_0^* : \mu_1 = \dots = \mu_k,$$

is well-known to one-way ANOVA. It is a special case of H_0 in (1.1) with $p = 1$ and $X_i = \mathbf{1}$. Therefore, the fiducial quantity in (2.1) becomes to

$$Q_F = \sum_{i=1}^k t_i^2 - \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \sqrt{\frac{n_i}{s_i}} t_i \right)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{n_i}{s_i^2}}, \quad (3.1)$$

where t_i has a t distribution with $n_i - 1$ degrees of freedom, which is the fiducial quantity introduced by Li et al. (2011). When $p = 1$ and $X_i = \mathbf{1}$, the generalized test variable in (2.2) becomes to

$$Q_G = \mathbf{Z}' W C \left[\text{diag} \left(\frac{(n_i - p) s_i^2}{n_i U_i} \right) \right] C' W' \mathbf{Z}, \quad (3.2)$$

where $W'W = [CSC']^{-1}$, $S = [\text{diag}(s_i^2/n_i)]$, $\mathbf{Z} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, I_{(k-1)})$ and $U_i \sim \chi_{(n_i - p)}^2$, $i = 1, \dots, k$. This generalized test variable is introduced by Sadooghi-Alvandi et al. (2012). This generalized test variable is also proposed by Xu and Wang (2008) in another form (see Sadooghi-Alvandi et al., 2012).

Based on Lemma, Q_F in (3.1) and Q_G in (3.2) are identically distributed. Therefore, the all proposed approaches by Xu and Wang (2008), Li et al. (2011) and Sadooghi-Alvandi et al. (2012) for the one-way ANOVA problem with unequal variances are same.

References

- Kotz, S. and Nadarajah, S. (2004). *Multivariate t -Distributions and Their Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Li, X., Wang, J., and Liang, H. (2011). Comparison of several means: A fiducial based approach. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, 55(5):1993–2002.
- Sadooghi-Alvandi, S. M., Jafari, A. A., and Mardani-Fard, H. A. (2012). One-way ANOVA with unequal variances. *Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods*, 41(22):4200–4221.
- Sadooghi-alvandi, S. M., Jafari, A. A., and Mardani-Fard, H. A. (2016). Comparing several regression models with unequal variances. *Communications in Statistics - Simulation and Computation*, 45(9):3190–3216.
- Tian, L., Ma, C., and Vexler, A. (2009). A parametric bootstrap test for comparing heteroscedastic regression models. *Communications in Statistics-Simulation and Computation*, 38(5):1026–1036.
- Xu, J. and Li, X. (2018). A fiducial p-value approach for comparing heteroscedastic regression models. *Communications in Statistics - Simulation and Computation*, 47(2):420–431.
- Xu, L.-W. and Wang, S.-G. (2008). A new generalized p-value for anova under heteroscedasticity. *Statistics & Probability Letters*, 78(8):963–969.