

MONAA: a Tool for Timed Pattern Matching with Automata-Based Acceleration

Masaki Waga^{*}, Ichiro Hasuo[†], and Kohei Suenaga[‡],

^{*}*The University of Tokyo, Tokyo Japan Email: mwaga@is.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp*

[†]*National Institute of Informatics, Tokyo, Japan Email: i.hasuo@acm.org*

[‡]*Kyoto University Kyoto, Japan Email: ksuenaga@fos.kuis.kyoto-u.ac.jp*

Keywords-Monitoring; Timed Automata; Pattern Matching

I. MONITORING

Monitoring over a real-time specification is an actively studied topic with a lot of industrial applications, such as monitoring of simulation traces of a Simulink model, and a HILS (*hardware-in-the-loop simulation*) with a system prototype. Given a log (a *timed word* or a *signal*) and a specification (a *timed automaton* (TA) [1], a *timed regular expression* (TRE) [2], or a formula in *metric temporal logic* [7]), a monitor finds all the segments of the log that satisfy the given specification.

A monitoring procedure has the *online* property if it starts the procedure before the entire log is given. This property is essential in monitoring a system that is currently running. The *efficiency* of a monitoring procedure is also important because recent trends such as autonomous driving have drastically increased the size of logs and the number of properties to monitor. Also, when the procedure is executed on a cloud server, an efficient monitor can reduce the pay-as-you-go cost.

One possible formalization of such monitoring problems is given by *timed pattern matching*, and both offline and online algorithms are proposed. See [9] and [10]; their theoretical results have led to their tool Montre [8]. Besides this series of works, the current authors have investigated efficient algorithms for timed pattern matching with automata-based acceleration: see [11] and [12]. The acceleration in our algorithms is based on the idea of *skipping* that comes originally from string matching (e.g., the KMP algorithm [6] and the BM algorithm [3]). The optimization there is by pre-computing a skip value table, and skipping unnecessary matching trials accordingly.

II. TIMED PATTERN MATCHING

We take an “event-based” formalization of timed pattern matching in [11], [12], unlike a “state-based” one in [9], [10]. To represent a log of a real-time system, we employ a *timed word*, which is a sequence of characters each of which is equipped with a real-valued timestamp.

Definition 1 (timed word). For an alphabet Σ , a *timed word* over Σ is a sequence $w = (a_1, \tau_1), (a_2, \tau_2), \dots, (a_n, \tau_n) \in (\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})^*$ satisfying $\tau_i \leq \tau_{i+1}$ for any $i \in [1, n - 1]$.

We let $w|_{(t, t')}$ denote the restriction of w to an interval (t, t') . See [12] for details.

To represent a real-time specification, we employ a TA, which is an NFA equipped with timing constraints. Since a TRE [2] can be translated to a TA [2], we can also use a TRE as a specification. The set of timed words accepted by a TA \mathcal{A} is denoted by $L(\mathcal{A})$.

Finally, our problem is formalized as follows.

Definition 2 (timed pattern matching). For a TA \mathcal{A} and a timed word w , the *timed pattern matching* problem asks for the set of *matching intervals* $\{(t, t') \mid w|_{(t, t')} \in L(\mathcal{A})\}$.

III. MONAA—A MONITORING TOOL ACCELERATED BY AUTOMATA

We present a tool MONAA for timed pattern matching. In MONAA, our *timed FJS* algorithm [12] is implemented. It has the online property and enjoys the constant speedup by skipping, typically twice or three times faster than without skipping. MONAA has two interfaces, the command-line interface MONAA and the C++ API libmonaa.

Algorithm Description: At the beginning of the timed FJS algorithm, it pre-constructs a *skip value table*. The table shows the number of matching trials to be skipped, depending on the observations obtained in the matching trial so far. The original FJS algorithm is for string matching [4]; there a skip value table is constructed comparing strings, exploiting finiteness of the pattern string. In our timed FJS algorithm—where a pattern is an infinite set $L(\mathcal{A})$ of words rather than a single string—defining a *finite* skip value table itself is a challenge. We use discrete states of TA for overapproximation, and construct a skip value table by checking emptiness of the intersection of the original TA \mathcal{A} and its variant where the initial state is shifted. In this process we crucially rely on TA constructions such as *zones*.

During the actual search, the timed FJS algorithm skips unnecessary matching trials using the pre-constructed skip value table. We remark that the runtime overhead of skipping is only by memory access and thus small.

The Command-Line Interface: In the command-line interface, MONAA reads a specification in either : a TA given in a file; or a TRE given as a command line argument. Reading a timed word from the standard input, MONAA writes the result of the timed pattern matching procedure to the

standard output. Since MONAA reads the timed word lazily, it can process a partial log provided by a system that is currently running. It can also notify a user of detection of matching behaviors before the whole matching is complete.

The C++ API: We also provide a C++ API called `libmonaa`. Because of the *modularity*, this API allows a user to write a program which performs the timed pattern matching procedure as part of the program. For example, one can implement a controller monitored in parallel, and the monitor changes the control mode when an unsafe behavior is detected.

In addition to the modularity, it also turns out that our C++ API is beneficial for *performance*. By hard-coding a TA in C++ code, we benefit from compiler optimization, and monitoring becomes faster.

IV. A PERFORMANCE COMPARISON WITH MONTRE

We compare the performance of MONAA with that of the existing tool Montre [8], by monitoring real-time behaviors of a Simulink model from an automotive domain. The input timed words are generated from an automatic transmission model [5]. The input specification is the following TRE or a corresponding TA (modulo minor rewriting for readability).

$$\begin{aligned} & ((g_1 g_2 g_3 g_4 [\omega \geq 2500]) \vee (g_1 g_2 g_3 [\omega \geq 2500] g_4) \\ & \vee (g_1 g_2 [\omega \geq 2500] g_3 g_4) \vee (g_1 [\omega \geq 2500] g_2 g_3 g_4) \\ & \vee ([\omega \geq 2500] g_1 g_2 g_3 g_4))_{(0,10)} \\ & ((g_3 \vee g_4 \vee [\omega < 2500] \vee [\omega \geq 2500])^+)_{(1,1000)} \end{aligned}$$

This means that the gear changes from the first (g_1) to the forth (g_4) and the engine rotation becomes high ($[\omega \geq 2500]$) within 10 seconds, and in the next 1 second, the gear keeps being the third (g_3) or the forth (g_4) but the velocity does not get high ($[v \geq 100]$).

We compared MONAA giving either a TRE or a TA, and a `libmonaa`-based timed pattern matching program (in which a TA is hard-coded), with Montre’s online and offline modes. Our programs are compiled by GCC 7.1.0 with optimization flag `-O3` and the experiments are conducted on an Amazon EC2 `c4.large` instance (January 2018, 2 vCPUs and 3.75 GiB RAM) that runs Ubuntu 16.04.2 LTS (64 bit).

The results of our experiments are in Table I–II. Table I shows that `libmonaa`-based monitor performs the fastest and the online mode of Montre performs the slowest. We remark that MONAA constantly takes about 7 seconds extra when a TRE is given. This is because of the translation from a TRE to a TA, which does not affect the remaining procedure. The execution time of MONAA grows only linearly with respect to the length of the input timed word, a characteristic desired for monitoring algorithms.

Table II shows that the memory usage of MONAA is independent of the length of the timed word, while that of Montre offline depends.

Table I
EXECUTION TIME (SEC.)

Length of timed word	MONAA (TRE)	MONAA (TA)	libmonaa (TA is hard coded)	Montre (online)	Montre (offline)
708	7.03	0.80	0.20	0.13	0.03
218,247	7.55	1.27	0.31	37.45	1.56
436,611	8.05	1.73	0.42	75.93	3.13
655,237	8.54	2.21	0.53	115.88	4.69
870,967	9.16	2.69	0.64	153.71	6.21
1,087,411	9.53	3.14	0.75	189.55	7.75
1,304,404	10.05	3.60	0.85	216.92	9.33
1,527,632	10.53	4.06	0.97	260.77	10.88
1,739,525	11.05	4.56	1.07	289.63	12.39

Table II
MEMORY USAGE (KBYTES)

Length of timed word	MONAA (TRE)	MONAA (TA)	libmonaa (TA is hard coded)	Montre (offline)
708	16,468	10,808	7,308	27,456
218,247	16,312	10,808	7,464	45,700
436,611	16,312	10,752	7,308	65,764
655,237	16,344	10,692	7,308	87,928
870,967	16,468	10,840	7,288	99,540
1,087,411	16,280	10,900	7,452	109,076
1,304,404	16,340	10,768	7,292	147,048
1,527,632	16,468	10,696	7,440	153,992
1,739,525	16,312	10,808	7,288	166,660

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid 15KT0012. M.W. and I.H. are supported by JST ERATO HASUO Metamathematics for Systems Design Project (No. JPMJER1603), and JSPS Grant-in-Aid No. 15K11984. K.S. is supported by JST PRESTO (No. JPMJPR15E5) and JSPS Grant-in-Aid No. 70633692.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Alur and D.L. Dill. A theory of timed automata. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 126(2):183–235, 1994.
- [2] E. Asarin, P. Caspi and O. Maler. Timed regular expressions. *J. ACM*, 49(2):172–206, 2002.
- [3] R.S. Boyer and J.S. Moore. A fast string searching algorithm. *Commun. ACM*, 20(10):762–772, 1977.
- [4] F. Franek, C.G. Jennings and W.F. Smyth. A simple fast hybrid pattern-matching algorithm. *J. Discrete Algorithms*, 5(4):682–695, 2007.
- [5] B. Hoxha, H. Abbas and G.E. Fainekos. Benchmarks for temporal logic requirements for automotive systems. In *Proc. ARCH@CPSWeek 2014 / ARCH@CPSWeek 2015*, vol. 34 of *EPiC Series in Computing*, pp. 25–30. EasyChair, 2014.
- [6] D.E. Knuth, J.H.M. Jr. and V.R. Pratt. Fast pattern matching in strings. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 6(2):323–350, 1977.
- [7] R. Koymans. Specifying real-time properties with metric temporal logic. *Real-Time Systems*, 2(4):255–299, 1990.
- [8] D. Ulus. Montre: A tool for monitoring timed regular expressions. In *CAV (1)*, vol. 10426 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pp. 329–335. Springer, 2017.
- [9] D. Ulus, T. Ferrère, E. Asarin and O. Maler. Timed pattern matching. In *Proc. FORMATS 2014*, vol. 8711 of *LNCS*, pp. 222–236. Springer, 2014.
- [10] D. Ulus, T. Ferrère, E. Asarin and O. Maler. Online timed pattern matching using derivatives. In *Proc. TACAS 2016*, vol. 9636 of *LNCS*, pp. 736–751. Springer, 2016.
- [11] M. Waga, T. Akazaki and I. Hasuo. A Boyer-Moore type algorithm for timed pattern matching. In *Proc. FORMATS 2016*, vol. 9884 of *LNCS*, pp. 121–139. Springer, 2016.
- [12] M. Waga, I. Hasuo and K. Suenaga. Efficient online timed pattern matching by automata-based skipping. In *Proc. FORMATS 2017*, vol. 10419 of *LNCS*, pp. 224–243. Springer, 2017.