Monopole Charge Density Wave States in Weyl Semimetals

Eric Bobrow, Canon Sun, and Yi Li

Department of Physics and Astronomy, The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland 21218, USA

(Dated: September 19, 2019)

We study a new class of topological charge density wave states exhibiting monopole harmonic symmetries. The density-wave ordering is equivalent to pairing in the particle-hole channel due to Fermi surface nesting under interactions. When electron and hole Fermi surfaces carry different Chern numbers, the particle-hole pairing exhibits a non-trivial Berry phase inherited from band structure topology independent of concrete density-wave ordering mechanism. The associated density-wave gap functions become nodal, and the net nodal vorticity is determined by the monopole charge of the pairing Berry phase. The gap function nodes become zero-energy Weyl nodes of the bulk spectra of quasi-particle excitations. These states can occur in doped Weyl semimetals with nested electron and hole Fermi surfaces enclosing Weyl nodes of the same chirality in the weak coupling regime. Topologically non-trivial low-energy Fermi arc surface states appear in the density-wave ordering state as a consequence of the emergent zero-energy Weyl nodes.

Introduction. – Charge density wave (CDW) ordering, the spontaneous ordering of electron density or bond strength, is an important phenomenon in correlated electron systems [1, 2]. The broken translational symmetry of CDW ordering often arises from a Peierls instability, which is driven by electron-phonon interactions between nested Fermi surfaces that lead to the softening of phonon modes and accompanying periodic lattice distortions [3]. Novel topological electron excitations can exist at defects in the CDW order, such as half-fermion modes localized around the domain walls of the Peierls distortion in the one-dimensional polyacetylene chain [2, 4]. The CDW instability may also be driven by electron-electron interactions, as studied in the context of high- T_c cuprates [5–7]. Analogously to unconventional superconductivity, CDW order may also possess unconventional symmetries, forming a non-trivial representation of the lattice symmetry group. For example, CDW order with a *d*-wave form factor was proposed to compete and coexist with superconductivity [5, 6].

Study of the Berry phase of Bloch wave states in lattice systems has led to the discovery of a plethora of topological states, such as quantum anomalous Hall insulators [8, 9] and topological insulators [10–13]. Furthermore, the discovery of Weyl semimetals [14–47] has opened up a new avenue for studying topological phases in semi-metallic systems. As in quantum anomalous Hall insulators where a Chern number structure arises from quantized Berry flux over the two-dimensional Brillouin zone, in three-dimensional semimetals the Fermi surfaces have a Chern number structure due to the Weyl points acting as sources or sinks of Berry flux.

After doping, magnetic Weyl semimetals can host monopole harmonic superconductivity, a novel class of topological states. As opposed to typical unconventional superconductors, such as *d*-wave high T_c cuprates, and *p*-wave superfluid ³He, in monopole harmonic superconductors the gap function $\Delta(k)$ cannot be described by spherical harmonics and their lattice counterparts [47]. Instead, these systems carry "pairing monopole charge", a generalization of Berry phase from single-particle states to a two-particle order parameter. When the pairing occurs between two Fermi surfaces with opposite Chern numbers, which can be the case when the enclosed Weyl points have opposite chiralities, Cooper pairs acquire non-trivial Berry phase structure. As a result, the gap function cannot be well defined over the entire Fermi surface. Consequently, the Fermi surface becomes nodal with total vorticity determined by the pairing monopole charge associated with the two-particle Berry phase.

In this article, we study non-trivial Berry phase structure for a class of order parameter gap functions lying in the particle-hole channel. As an example that can be realized in a doped Weyl semimetal, CDW ordering will be considered. When two nested Fermi surfaces, one electron-like and one hole-like, carry different Chern numbers, the CDW order formed between these two Fermi surfaces inherits non-trivial band structure topology that can be seen in its gap function $\rho(\mathbf{k})$. As with the gap function of monopole harmonic superconductivity, $\rho(\mathbf{k})$ cannot be globally well defined in momentum space and becomes nodal. The nontrivial Berry flux enforces a nonzero total vorticity of $\rho(\mathbf{k})$ determined by the difference in Chern number between the two nested Fermi surfaces that is independent of the concrete mechanism for CDW ordering. The nodes of the CDW gap function emerge as new Weyl nodes in the low-energy quasi-particle spectra that are distinct from the original band structure Weyl points. The chiralities of the emergent quasi-particle Weyl nodes are determined by the band structure in which the single-particle Weyl points have been shifted away from the Fermi surfaces after doping.

Gap function Berry flux and nodes for CDW ordering. – We begin with a minimal description of a pair of electron-like and hole-like Fermi surfaces, which carry opposite Chern numbers and are well nested. Such Fermi surfaces can be realized in a 3D Weyl semimetal system around two Weyl points of the same chirality. Consider two Weyl points of positive chirality located at \mathbf{K}_{e}^{+} and \mathbf{K}_{h}^{+} with energies $-E_{0}$ and E_{0} respectively and Fermi energy at $\mu = 0$. A hole-like Fermi surface denoted $\mathrm{FS}_{h,C}$ is centered around \mathbf{K}_{h}^{+} with Chern number C or, equivalently, monopole charge $q = \frac{1}{2}C$. Similarly, an electronlike Fermi surface denoted $\mathrm{FS}_{e,-C}$ is centered around \mathbf{K}_{e}^{+} with Chern number -C. An example of a system with this Fermi surface structure is considered in Eq. (3) below.

Since $\mathrm{FS}_{h,C}$ and $\mathrm{FS}_{e,-C}$ are well nested, they favor a CDW instability, inter-Fermi surface particle-hole pairing, under repulsive interactions. The two-particle CDW order parameter exhibits a non-trivial Berry flux quantization, which can be seen as follows. After projecting to the low-energy Fermi surfaces, the electron creation operators on $\mathrm{FS}_{h,C}$ and $\mathrm{FS}_{e,-C}$ can be defined as $\alpha^{\dagger}_{\pm}(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{a=\uparrow,\downarrow} \xi_{\pm,a}(\mathbf{p}) c^{\dagger}_{a}(\mathbf{K}^{+}_{h(e)} + \mathbf{p})$, where \mathbf{p} is the momentum relative to the Weyl node at $\mathbf{K}^{+}_{h(e)}$, a refers to the spin or pseudospin degrees of freedom, and $\xi_{\pm}(\mathbf{p})$ is the spinor eigenfunction carrying monopole charge $\pm q$. Here \mathbf{p} lies on the surface S that results from shifting $\mathrm{FS}_{e,-C}$ by $-\mathbf{K}^{+}_{e}$ towards the origin. We define the particle-hole channel pairing operator

$$P_{-+}(\mathbf{p}) = \alpha_{-}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p})\alpha_{+}(\mathbf{p}), \qquad (1)$$

which creates an electron on $\mathrm{FS}_{e,-C}$ and a hole on $\mathrm{FS}_{h,C}$. The single-particle Berry connection is $\mathbf{A}_{\pm}(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{a} i\xi_{\pm,a}^*(\mathbf{p}) \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} \xi_{\pm,a}(\mathbf{p})$, and the Berry flux penetrating FS_{\pm} is given by $\oiint_{\mathrm{S}} d\mathbf{p} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} \times \mathbf{A}_{\pm}(\mathbf{p}) = \pm 4\pi q$. It can be shown that the pairing Berry connection associated with $P_{-+}(\mathbf{p})$ is $\mathbf{A}_{-+}(\mathbf{p}) = \mathbf{A}_{-}(\mathbf{p}) - \mathbf{A}_{+}(\mathbf{p})$, and the net pairing Berry flux through S is $\oiint_{S} d\mathbf{p} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} \times \mathbf{A}_{-+}(\mathbf{p}) = 4\pi q_{CDW}$, where $q_{CDW} = -2q$.

The non-zero Berry flux through S leads to a nontrivial vortex structure for the CDW gap function. The CDW interaction Hamiltonian after mean-field decomposition is expressed as

$$H_{\rho} = \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \rho_{-+}(\mathbf{p}) P_{-+}(\mathbf{p}) + \rho_{+-}(\mathbf{p}) P_{+-}(\mathbf{p}), \quad (2)$$

where $P_{+-} = P_{-+}^{\dagger}$. The gap function ρ_{-+} is conjugate to the CDW operator $P_{-+}(\mathbf{p})$, and $\rho_{+-} = \rho_{-+}^*$. Because of the non-trivial gauge field \mathbf{A}_{-+} , $\rho_{-+}(\mathbf{p})$ cannot be globally well defined on S. This follows from examining the gauge invariant circulation field $\mathbf{v}_{-+}(\mathbf{p}) = \nabla \phi_{-+}(\mathbf{p}) - \mathbf{A}_{-+}(\mathbf{p})$, where ϕ_{-+} is the phase of ρ_{-+} . \mathbf{v}_{-+} is well defined except at the nodes of $\rho_{-+}(\mathbf{p})$, and each node has an integer-valued vorticity $g_i = \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_{C_i} d\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{-+}(\mathbf{p})$. C_i is an infinitesimal loop around the node \mathbf{p}_i , with the positive loop direction defined with respect to the local normal vector. The total vorticity of ρ_{-+} over S is $\sum_i g_i = 2q_{CDW}$, where the sum is over all the nodes on S. As a consequence, the enclosure of a non-zero net monopole charge gives rise to nodes of $\rho_{-+}(\mathbf{p})$ on



FIG. 1. (a) The four Fermi surfaces of the band Hamiltonian in Eq. (4) with $V_0/t = 0.49$. They are divided into two well-nested pairs: $FS_{h,1}$ and $FS_{e,-1}$ which enclose the Weyl points $\mathbf{K}_{h,e}^+$ with positive chirality, and $FS_{h,-1}$ and $FS_{e,1}$, which enclose the Weyl points $\mathbf{K}_{e,h}^-$ with negative chirality. (b) Energy spectrum as a function of k_z in the absence of the CDW ordering and $V_0/t = 0.2$. The surface states localized on the y = 0 boundary are plotted in red connecting \mathbf{K}_e^- and \mathbf{K}_h^+ , and \mathbf{K}_h^- and \mathbf{K}_e^+ .

S. The non-trivial nodal structure necessitates the use of the monopole harmonic functions [48], as opposed to the usual spherical harmonics to describe the order parameter.

Doped Weyl Semimetal with Nested Fermi Surfaces. – To demonstrate the above topological nodal structure, we employ the band Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{k},\\a,b}} c_a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) [h(\mathbf{k}) + V(\mathbf{k})]_{ab} c_b(\mathbf{k}) + H_{\rho}, \qquad (3)$$

where a, b refers to the pseudospin degree of freedom, typically realized by A and B sublattices; H_{ρ} is the meanfield Hamiltonian for CDW ordering specified below; and we assume the chemical potential $\mu = 0$. The matrix kernel $h(\mathbf{k})$ of the band Hamiltonian is

$$h(\mathbf{k}) = t_z \left(2 - \cos k_x - \cos k_y - \gamma + \cos^2 k_z \right) \tau_z$$

+ $t_x \sin k_x \tau_x + t_y \sin k_y \tau_y,$ (4)

with Pauli matrices $\tau_{x,y,z}$ defined in the A, B basis and pseudospin-dependent hopping amplitudes $t_{x,y,z}$. Here $\gamma = 1/2$ controls the location of the Weyl points along \mathbf{k}_z . For simplicity, we choose $t_{x,y,z} = t$ in this paper. The corresponding lattice model giving rise to $h(\mathbf{k})$ is presented in Supplemental Materials (S.M.) I. The momentumdependent potential $V(\mathbf{k})$ takes the form

$$V(\mathbf{k}) = V_0 \cos k_z I,\tag{5}$$

with I the 2×2 identity matrix. V_0 plays a role similar to a chemical potential by controlling the size of the Fermi surface.

Without loss of generality, we assume $V_0 > 0$. This model possesses four Weyl points, all located on the k_z axis, at $\mathbf{K}_e^- = (0, 0, -\frac{3\pi}{4}), \mathbf{K}_h^+ = (0, 0, -\frac{\pi}{4}), \mathbf{K}_h^- = -\mathbf{K}_h^+$, and $\mathbf{K}_e^+ = -\mathbf{K}_e^-$, where the upper indices \pm refer to the chiralities of the Weyl points and the lower indices e and h refer to whether the Fermi surface associated with the Weyl point is electron-like or hole-like. The potential $V(\mathbf{k})$ shifts the points \mathbf{K}_h^- and \mathbf{K}_h^+ up in energy, forming the respective hole-like Fermi surfaces $FS_{h,1}$ and $FS_{h,-1}$, as shown in Fig. 1 (a). Similarly, the points \mathbf{K}_{e}^{+} and \mathbf{K}_{e}^{-} are shifted down in energy, forming the electron pockets $FS_{e,-1}$ and $FS_{e,+1}$. This model is a modification of the models in Refs. 17 and 49 to allow four Weyl points with nesting. The electron and hole Fermi pockets enclosing the Weyl points with the same chirality are nested with the commensurate wavevector $\mathbf{Q} = (0, 0, \pi)$. This nesting condition is satisfied so that portions of the Fermi surface separated by \mathbf{Q} have the same shape. Under an open boundary condition along the y-direction and periodic boundary conditions along x and z, the energy spectrum in the absence of the CDW ordering is plotted in Fig. 1 (b) as a function of k_z along the $k_x = 0$ cut. The surface Fermi arc states are shown in red.

CDW ordering is imposed through the mean-field Hamiltonian

$$H_{\rho} = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{k},\\a,b=A,B}} c_a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{Q})\rho_{ab}(\mathbf{k})c_b(\mathbf{k}) + h.c., \qquad (6)$$

where we take $\rho(\mathbf{k}) = \rho \tau_z$ and ρ is the magnitude of the CDW ordering. $\rho(\mathbf{k})$ is diagonal in the sublattice A and B basis, which describes two sublattices with different charge densities. Below we will see that this CDW ordering does not open a full gap over the Fermi surface but instead becomes nodal with a non-trivial vorticity.

Topological Nodal CDW. – We first consider the CDW gap function connecting $\mathrm{FS}_{h,1}$ and $\mathrm{FS}_{e,-1}$ which enclose the Weyl nodes \mathbf{K}_h^+ and \mathbf{K}_e^+ , respectively. For small V_0/t and ρ/t , the Fermi surfaces are close to the Weyl nodes and the single-particle states correspond to the helicity eigenstates satisfying $\hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \tau \xi_{\pm} =$ $\mp \xi_{\pm}$, where ξ_{\pm} corresponds to Berry flux monopole charges of $q = \pm \frac{1}{2}$. Explicitly, ξ_{\pm} can be represented as $\xi_+(\hat{\mathbf{p}}) = (-\sin \frac{\theta_p}{2} e^{-i\phi_p}, \cos \frac{\theta_p}{2})^T$ and $\xi_-(\hat{\mathbf{p}}) =$ $(\cos \frac{\theta_p}{2}, \sin \frac{\theta_p}{2} e^{i\phi_p})^T$, where θ_p and ϕ_p are the polar and azimuthal angles of $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$ and a gauge convention has been chosen. The electron creation operator for the eigenstate on the helical Fermi surface $\mathrm{FS}_{h,1}$ is $\alpha^{\dagger}_{+,h}(\mathbf{p}) =$ $\sum_a \xi_{+,a}(\hat{\mathbf{p}}) c_a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{K}_h^+ + \mathbf{p})$, and that for $\mathrm{FS}_{e,-1}$ is $\alpha^{\dagger}_{-,e}(\mathbf{p}) =$ $\sum_a \xi_{-,a}(\hat{\mathbf{p}}) c_a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{K}_e^+ + \mathbf{p})$.

The gap function $\rho \tau_z$ in Eq. (6) can now be projected onto the helical Fermi surfaces $FS_{h,1}$ and $FS_{e,-1}$, where the projected gap function conjugate to $P_{-+}(\mathbf{p}) = \alpha^{\dagger}_{-,e}(\mathbf{p})\alpha_{+,h}(\mathbf{p})$ is $\rho_{-+}(\mathbf{p}) =$ $-\rho \sqrt{8\pi/3}Y_{q=-1,l=1,m=0}(\theta_p, \phi_p)$, in terms of monopole harmonics Y_{qlm} [48]. For \mathbf{p} near the north pole of $FS_{e,-1}$, where $\theta_p = 0$, the projected gap function is $\rho^N_{-+}(\mathbf{p}) =$ $-\rho \sin \theta_p e^{-i\phi_p}$. By applying a gauge transformation, the projected gap function near the south pole, where θ_p , can be similarly shown to be $\rho_{-+}^{S}(\mathbf{p}) = -\rho \sin \theta_{p} e^{i\phi_{p}}$. The projected gap function has nodes at the poles, where $\sin \theta_{p} = 0$. After taking into account the contribution of the Berry connection $\mathbf{A}_{-+}(\mathbf{p})$, the circulation field of the gap function is $\mathbf{v}_{-+}(\mathbf{p}) = -\cot \theta_{p} \hat{\phi}_{p}$. Integrating \mathbf{v} around infinitesimal loops near $\theta_{p} = 0$ and π reveals a gap function vorticity of -1 near both poles, hence the total vorticity is -2 on the Fermi surface surrounding FS_{e,-1}, consistent with $q_{CDW} = -1$.

The CDW gap function nodes are actually low-energy Weyl points generated by interactions for the mean-field Hamiltonian. Around the nested Fermi surfaces $FS_{e,-1}$ and $FS_{h,1}$, the low-energy two-band Hamiltonian is

$$H_{2band} = \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \psi^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p}) \Big\{ (t|p| - \mu)\sigma_{z} - \rho \sin \theta_{\mathbf{p}} (e^{-i\phi_{p}}\sigma_{+} + e^{i\phi_{p}}\sigma_{-}) \Big\} \psi(\mathbf{p}),$$
(7)

where $\psi(\mathbf{p}) = (\alpha_{-,e}(\mathbf{p}), \alpha_{+,h}(\mathbf{p}))^T$ and $\mu = -V(\mathbf{K}_e^+ + \mathbf{p})$. The interaction-induced Weyl node at the north pole, denoted \mathbf{K}_n^+ , has positive chirality as can be shown by expanding H_{2band} about the north pole, where the helical basis is regular. The south pole, denoted \mathbf{K}_s^+ , is the site of a singularity in the helical basis and thus needs to be treated more carefully. Taking into account the 4π -flux from the Dirac string penetrating the south pole, or equivalently changing the gauge choice to place the singularity at the North pole, this Weyl node can also be shown to possess positive chirality as well.

The positive chiralities of $\mathbf{K}_{n,s}^+$ are in fact determined by the chiralities of the original band structure Weyl nodes $\mathbf{K}_{e,h}^+$, which are away from the chemical potential and hence lie in the high energy sector. Nevertheless, they still determine the chirality of the low-energy Weyl nodes, independent of the details of the mechanism of the CDW ordering. Typically, the low-energy physics is not sensitive to the details at high energy, but the topological structure at low-energy in our case is indeed inherited from the topology at high energy, and thus the emergence of the low-energy Weyl fermions are topologically protected.

Similar analysis can also be performed in parallel for the CDW ordering connecting the nested Fermi surfaces $FS_{h,-1}$ and $FS_{e,1}$ surrounding $\mathbf{K}_{h,e}^-$, respectively. The two low-energy Weyl nodes denoted $\mathbf{K}_{n,s}^-$ on the nested $FS_{h,-1}$ and $FS_{e,1}$ have negative chirality, which is again determined by the Weyl nodes $\mathbf{K}_{h,e}^-$ at high energies. In total, the sum of chiralities of all the Weyl nodes, including the original band structure ones and the interactioninduced ones, remain zero as required by the Nielsen-Ninomiya theorem [50, 51].

The emergent Weyl nodes in the monopole CDW gap function as well as novel topological surface states are demonstrated in the quasi-particle energy spectra in Fig. 2, where we take open boundary conditions along ydirection and periodic boundary conditions along x and



FIG. 2. The bulk and surface spectra for the topological CDW ordering with emergent Weyl nodes of Eq. (6). The open boundaries are perpendicular to the *y*-axis, and only surface states localized at the y = 0 boundary are shown. Parameter values are $\rho/t = 0.1$ and $V_0/t = 0.2$. a) The dispersions along the cut at $k_x = 0$ with varying k_z in the reduced BZ with $0 \le k_z \le \pi$. \mathbf{K}_e^- and \mathbf{K}_h^+ at $k_z < 0$ are folded into the reduced BZ. Surface state spectra are plotted in red. The dispersions for varying k_x are shown for constant k_z cuts at b) $k_z = \frac{\pi}{4}$, c) $k_z = \frac{3\pi}{8}$, d) $k_z = \frac{5\pi}{8}$, and e) $k_z = \frac{3\pi}{4}$. Green and magenta respectively indicate surface states with majority weight in the $0 \le k_z \le \pi$ and $-\pi \le k_z \le 0$ components.

z directions. Because of the nesting vector $\mathbf{Q} = (0, 0, \pi)$, the reduced Brillouin zone (BZ) with $0 \le k_z \le \pi$ is considered. As shown in Fig. 2(a), two pairs of emergent zero-energy Weyl nodes $\mathbf{K}_{s,n}^+$ and $\mathbf{K}_{s,n}^+$ appear at the k_z -axis near \mathbf{K}_h^- and \mathbf{K}_e^+ in the bulk quasi-particle energy spectrum. The surface states localized at the y = 0boundary are shown in color. Away from the Fermi surface, there are two branches of chiral surface states for $(\mathbf{K}_n^-)_z < k_z < (\mathbf{K}_s^+)_z$, due to BZ folding of the original Fermi arcs in Fig. 1 (b). The number of branches of surface states changes as k_z moves across $\mathbf{K}_s^-, \mathbf{K}_n^-, \mathbf{K}_s^+$ and \mathbf{K}_n^+ , as shown in Fig. 2 (b) ~ (e). The surface states inside the CDW gaps with $(\mathbf{K}_s^{\pm})_z < k_z < (\mathbf{K}_n^{\pm})_z$ are quasiparticles that are superpositions of electron states at \mathbf{k} and $\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{Q}$ due to the particle-hole pairing near the Fermi surface. The component with majority weight changes when these surface states cross zero energy in Fig. 2 (b) and (e), in contrast to the surface states shown in (c) and (d) where each branch of surface states is dominated by a single component. Thus, the CDW gaps admit novel zero-energy quasi-particles as equal superpositions of \mathbf{k} and $\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{Q}$ states, that are a CDW analog of Majorana modes. These novel zero-energy modes are symmetric under the $\mathbf{k} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{Q}$ symmetry of the Hamiltonian H, analogous to the charge conjugation symmetry of Majorana modes.



FIG. 3. Evolution of the low-energy Weyl nodes as the ordering strength ρ is increased with fixed V = 0.2t. a) At $\rho \approx 0.458t$, a gap at $k_z = 0$ starts to open as \mathbf{K}_s^- merges with \mathbf{K}_n^+ . b) By $\rho = 0.6t$, there is a gap at all k_z after \mathbf{K}_n^- has merged with \mathbf{K}_s^- at $k_z = \frac{\pi}{2}$.

A natural question is whether the projection to the low-energy helical Fermi surface remains valid as the CDW ordering strength ρ becomes large. We find that the zero-energy Weyl nodes remain robust until ρ reaches a critical value ρ_c . As ρ is increased, the low-energy Weyl nodes \mathbf{K}_n^- and \mathbf{K}_s^+ are pushed closer to each other, as are \mathbf{K}_s^- and \mathbf{K}_n^+ . As shown in Fig. 3 (a), \mathbf{K}_s^- and \mathbf{K}_n^+ first merge at $k_z = 0$, opening a gap, and \mathbf{K}_n^- and \mathbf{K}_s^+ remain separated. Further enlarging ρ , \mathbf{K}_n^- and \mathbf{K}_s^+ merge next at $k_z = \frac{\pi}{2}$ after which the gap opens. After both pairs of zero-energy Weyl nodes merge, the system becomes fully gapped around zero energy, as shown in Fig. 3(b). The surface states in this case cross the bulk gap as k_x is varied.

Increasing ρ beyond the point at which the system first becomes gapped, there must eventually be an additional gap closing followed by a gap opening. To see this, consider that in the $\rho \to \infty$ limit, the spectrum must be concentrated close to $\pm \rho$, the eigenvalues of H_{ρ} . In this large ρ limit, there can then be no surface states crossing the gap, and the surface states shown in Fig. 3(b)must eventually disappear following a second transition as ρ increases beyond $\rho = 0.6t$. A more detailed explanation is presented in S.M. II. This process is similar to the transition between weak- and strong-coupling topological superconductivity, in which the strong-coupling state is topologically trivial.

Connection to experiments. – The general requirement for material realizations of monopole CDW states is the presence of nested Fermi surfaces enclosing Weyl points of the same chirality, which can occur in either an inversion-breaking or, as in this work, a time-reversalbreaking Weyl semimetal. In addition to the intrinsic ordering mechanism, CDW states can also be driven by an ultra-fast laser pulse, as demonstrated in a layered chalcogenide system [52]. If the CDW state instead occurs between Fermi surfaces enclosing Weyl points of opposite chiralities, the gap function has zero Berry phase and can either be fully gapped or develop nodes. In such a case, the symmetry of the gap function is represented by conventional spherical harmonics or their lattice counterpart and is determined energetically by specific ordering mechanisms.

To experimentally characterize the monopole CDW, phase sensitive bulk detection, which can be provided by Resonant Inelastic X-ray Scattering (RIXS), is desired to extract the non-trivial total vorticity of phase winding in the gap function. It has been shown that, with appropriate choices of polarization, the RIXS intensity exhibits nodal lines in a momentum transfer q-plane [53] when the phase winding of a single-particle Weyl Hamiltonian in the same momentum plane is nontrivial. This result is insensitive to the energy resolution within an energy window such that the Wevl bands around different Wevl points are well separated in momentum space. Hence, RIXS is expected to probe the emergent zero-energy Weyl nodes and their phase windings in the monopole CDW ordering. Furthermore, it can map out the non-trivial total vorticity of the gap function, which is absent in the usual gapped or even nodal CDW states. Detailed analysis will be deferred to a future publication.

Discussion. – It would be interesting to investigate other orderings besides the monopole CDW in this system. Since most Weyl semimetals are not strongly correlated, we focus on Fermi surface instability, which can give rise to charge or spin density wave states and superconductivity in the particle-hole and particle-particle channels respectively. In this model, the superconductivity arising from zero-momentum pairing occurs between Fermi surfaces with opposite Chern numbers and is thus an example of the recently proposed monopole superconductivity [47]. This superconducting gap function, like the density wave gap functions, is nodal and characterized by monopole harmonics. It is possible to only have the CDW instability without superconductivity or, if their energy scales are comparable, to have both instabilities coexist. Analogous to the coexistence of the CDW and superconductivity seen in the transition-metal dichalcogenide NbSe₂ [54–58], competition between monopole harmonic orders is possible, and this interesting problem will be studied in future work.

Conclusions. – We have studied the inter-Fermisurface particle-hole pairing between well-nested Fermi surfaces enclosing Weyl nodes of the same chirality. The CDW ordering in this case gives rise to gap functions possessing topologically protected nodes independent of the details of ordering interactions. These nodes manifest in the bulk single-particle energy spectra as zeroenergy Weyl nodes, which allow the topology of the surface Fermi arcs to change. The nodal structure corresponds to a new, novel type of topological CDW state whose order parameter carries monopole symmetries. As the ordering strength increases to the strong coupling regime, the zero energy Weyl nodes merge and disappear, and the system becomes fully gapped. 5

Acknowledgments. – We thank Yuxuan Wang, Peter Abbamonte, Collin Broholm, Stefanos Kourtis and Tyrel McQueen for helpful discussion. This work is supported by the NSF Career Grant No. 1848349. Y. L. also acknowledges the support from the Alfred P. Sloan Research Fellowships. E.B. and C.S. contributed equally to this work.

- [1] G. Grüner, Rev. Mod. Phys. 60, 1129 (1988).
- [2] A. J. Heeger, S. Kivelson, J. R. Schrieffer, and W. P. Su, Rev. Mod. Phys. **60**, 781 (1988).
- [3] R. E. Thorne, Physics Today (May 1996).
- [4] W. P. Su, J. R. Schrieffer, and A. J. Heeger, Phys. Rev. B 22, 2099 (1980).
- [5] C. Nayak, Phys. Rev. B **62**, 4880 (2000).
- [6] K. Fujita, M. H. Hamidian, S. D. Edkins, C. K. Kim, Y. Kohsaka, M. Azuma, M. Takano, H. Takagi, H. Eisaki, S.-i. Uchida, A. Allais, M. J. Lawler, E.-A. Kim, S. Sachdev, and J. C. Séamus Davis, Proceedings of the National Academy of Science 111, E3026 (2014), arXiv:1404.0362 [cond-mat.supr-con].
- [7] E. Fradkin, S. A. Kivelson, and J. M. Tranquada, Rev. Mod. Phys. 87, 457 (2015).
- [8] F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 2015 (1988).
- [9] C.-Z. Chang, J. Zhang, X. Feng, J. Shen, Z. Zhang, M. Guo, K. Li, Y. Ou, P. Wei, L.-L. Wang, Z.-Q. Ji, Y. Feng, S. Ji, X. Chen, J. Jia, X. Dai, Z. Fang, S.-C. Zhang, K. He, Y. Wang, L. Lu, X.-C. Ma, and Q.-K. Xue, Science **340**, 167 (2013).
- [10] C. L. Kane and E. J. Mele, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 226801 (2005).
- [11] C. L. Kane and E. J. Mele, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 146802 (2005).
- [12] B. A. Bernevig and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 106802 (2006).
- [13] B. A. Bernevig, T. L. Hughes, and S.-C. Zhang, Science 314, 1757 (2006).
- [14] S. Murakami, New J. Phys. 9, 356 (2007).
- [15] X. Wan, A. M. Turner, A. Vishwanath, and S. Y. Savrasov, Phys. Rev. B 83, 205101 (2011).
- [16] A. A. Burkov and L. Balents, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 127205 (2011).
- [17] K.-Y. Yang, Y.-M. Lu, and Y. Ran, Phys. Rev. B 84, 075129 (2011).
- [18] G. Xu, H. Weng, Z. Wang, X. Dai, and Z. Fang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 186806 (2011).
- [19] T. Meng and L. Balents, Phys. Rev. B 86, 054504 (2012).
- [20] W. Witczak-Krempa and Y. B. Kim, Phys. Rev. B 85, 045124 (2012).
- [21] G. Y. Cho, J. H. Bardarson, Y.-M. Lu, and J. E. Moore, Phys. Rev. B 86, 214514 (2012).
- [22] P. Hosur, Phys. Rev. B 86, 195102 (2012).
- [23] C. Fang, M. J. Gilbert, X. Dai, and B. A. Bernevig, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 266802 (2012).
- [24] G. B. Halász and L. Balents, Phys. Rev. B 85, 035103 (2012).
- [25] D. T. Son and B. Z. Spivak, Phys. Rev. B 88, 104412 (2013).
- [26] P. Hosur and X. Qi, C. R. Phys. 14, 857 (2013).

- [27] Z. Wang and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. B 87, 161107 (2013).
- [28] M. Vazifeh and M. Franz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 027201 (2013).
- [29] R. Nandkishore, D. A. Huse, and S. L. Sondhi, Phys. Rev. B 89, 245110 (2014).
- [30] P. Hosur, X. Dai, Z. Fang, and X.-L. Qi, Phys. Rev. B 90, 045130 (2014).
- [31] A. C. Potter, I. Kimchi, and A. Vishwanath, Nat. Commun. 5, 5161 (2014).
- [32] F. D. M. Haldane, arXiv:1401.0529 (2014).
- [33] H. Wei, S.-P. Chao, and V. Aji, Phys. Rev. B 89, 014506 (2014).
- [34] S. A. Yang, H. Pan, and F. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 046401 (2014).
- [35] H. Weng, C. Fang, Z. Fang, B. A. Bernevig, and X. Dai, Phys. Rev. X 5, 011029 (2015).
- [36] A. A. Burkov, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 27, 113201 (2015).
- [37] S. Borisenko, D. Evtushinsky, Q. Gibson, A. Yaresko, T. Kim, M. Ali, B. Buechner, M. Hoesch, and R. J. Cava, arXiv:1507.04847 (2015).
- [38] J. Xiong, S. K. Kushwaha, T. Liang, J. W. Krizan, M. Hirschberger, W. Wang, R. Cava, and N. Ong, Science **350**, 413 (2015).
- [39] S.-Y. Xu, N. Alidoust, I. Belopolski, Z. Yuan, G. Bian, T.-R. Chang, H. Zheng, V. N. Strocov, D. S. Sanchez, G. Chang, C. Zhang, D. Mou, Y. Wu, L. Huang, C.-C. Lee, S.-M. Huang, B. Wang, A. Bansil, H.-T. Jeng, T. Neupert, A. Kaminski, H. Lin, S. Jia, and Z. M. Hasan, Nature Phys. **11**, 748 (2015).
- [40] S.-Y. Xu, I. Belopolski, N. Alidoust, M. Neupane, G. Bian, C. Zhang, R. Sankar, G. Chang, Z. Yuan, C.-C. Lee, S.-M. Huang, H. Zheng, J. Ma, D. S. Sanchez, B. Wang, A. Bansil, F. Chou, P. P. Shibayev, H. Lin, S. Jia, and M. Z. Hasan, Science **349**, 613 (2015).

- [41] S.-M. Huang, S.-Y. Xu, I. Belopolski, C.-C. Lee, G. Chang, B. Wang, N. Alidoust, G. Bian, M. Neupane, C. Zhang, et al., Nat. Commun. 6 (2015).
- [42] B. Q. Lv, H. M. Weng, B. B. Fu, X. P. Wang, H. Miao, J. Ma, P. Richard, X. C. Huang, L. X. Zhao, G. F. Chen, Z. Fang, X. Dai, T. Qian, and H. Ding, Phys. Rev. X 5, 031013 (2015).
- [43] B. Lu, K. Yada, M. Sato, and Y. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 096804 (2015).
- [44] N. Xu, H. Weng, B. Lv, C. Matt, J. Park, F. Bisti, V. Strocov, D. Gawryluk, E. Pomjakushina, K. Conder, et al., Nat. Commun. 7 (2016).
- [45] B. Yan and C. Felser, Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics 8, 337 (2017).
- [46] N. P. Armitage, E. J. Mele, and A. Vishwanath, Rev. Mod. Phys. **90**, 015001 (2018).
- [47] Y. Li and F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 067003 (2018).
- [48] T. T. Wu and C. N. Yang, Nucl. Phys. B 107, 365 (1976).
- [49] Y. Wang and P. Ye, Phys. Rev. B 94, 075115 (2016).
- [50] M. Nielsen *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. B **185**, 20 (1981).
- [51] M. Nielsen et al., Nucl. Phys. B 193, 173 (1981).
- [52] K. Sun, S. Sun, C. Zhu, H. Tian, H. Yang, and J. Li, Science Advances 4 (2018), 10.1126/sciadv.aas9660, https://advances.sciencemag.org/content/4/7/eaas9660.full.pdf.
- [53] S. Kourtis, Phys. Rev. B **94**, 125132 (2016).
- [54] K. Takita and K. Masuda, J. Low Temp. Phys. 58 (1985), 10.1007/BF00682569.
- [55] T. Yokoya, T. Kiss, A. Chainani, S. Shin, M. Nohara, and H. Takagi, Science 294, 2518 (2001), https://science.sciencemag.org/content/294/5551/2518.full.pdf.
- [56] X. Xi, L. Zhao, Z. Wang, H. Berger, L. Forr, J. Shan, and K. F. Mak, Nature Nanotechnology 10.
- [57] C.-S. Lian, C. Si, and W. Duan, Nano letters 18, 2924 (2018).
- [58] F. Zheng and J. Feng, Phys. Rev. B 99, 161119 (2019).

I. LATTICE MODEL OF THE HAMILTONIAN

The Hamiltonian given by Eqs. (3), (4), and (5) in the main text can be constructed from a real space bipartite lattice model. After a Fourier transformation, the band Hamiltonian becomes

$$\begin{split} H &= \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{r}, \\ a,b=A,B}} \left\{ \left[c_a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r} + \hat{\mathbf{e}}_x) \left(-\frac{t_x}{2i} \tau_x - \frac{t_z}{2} \tau_z \right)_{ab} c_b(\mathbf{r}) + h.c. \right] \\ &+ \left[c_a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r} + \hat{\mathbf{e}}_y) \left(-\frac{t_y}{2i} \tau_y - \frac{t_z}{2} \tau_z \right)_{ab} c_b(\mathbf{r}) + h.c. \right] \\ &+ \left[c_a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r} + \hat{\mathbf{e}}_z) \left(\frac{V_0}{2} I \right)_{ab} c_b(\mathbf{r}) + h.c. \right] \\ &+ \left[c_a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r} + 2\hat{\mathbf{e}}_z) \left(\frac{t_z}{4} \tau_z \right)_{ab} c_b(\mathbf{r}) + h.c. \right] \\ &+ \left[c_a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \left(t_x \left(5/2 - \gamma \right) \tau_z \right)_{ab} c_b(\mathbf{r}) \right] \right\}, \end{split}$$

where $c_a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r})$ and $c_a(\mathbf{r})$ are respectively the electron creation and annihilation operators on site \mathbf{r} with sublattice a = A, B. $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_i$ (i = x, y, z) are the unit lattice vectors pointing in the *i* direction and τ_i are Pauli matrices in the sublattice basis. The first three terms describe nearest neighbor hopping in the *x*-, *y*-, and *z*- directions with sublattice dependent hopping amplitudes t_i and V_0 . The fourth is a next nearest neighbor hopping term in the *z*-direction. The last term describes a sublattice modulated on-site potential with parameter γ , which controls the position of the Weyl points along the k_z axis.

II. STRONG-COUPLING CDW

As discussed in the main text, there can be no surface states crossing the gap once ρ is sufficiently large. This can be seen explicitly by considering the Hamiltonian in a BdG-like form,

$$h_{BdG}(\mathbf{k}) = V_0 \cos k_z \sigma_z \otimes I + \sin k_x I \otimes \tau_x + \sin k_y I \otimes \tau_y + \left(\frac{3}{2} - \cos k_x - \cos k_y + \cos^2 k_z\right) I \otimes \tau_z + \rho \sigma_x \otimes \tau_z.$$
(S1)

As in the main text, $t_{x,y,z} = t$ and V_0 and ρ are expressed in units of t. The τ matrices are pseudospin Pauli matrices corresponding to the A, B sublattice degrees of freedom, while the σ Pauli matrices correspond to the \mathbf{k} and $\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{Q}$ parts of the BdG basis $[c_A(\mathbf{k}), c_B(\mathbf{k}), c_A(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{Q}), c_B(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{Q})]^T$. By explicitly diagonalizing the BdG Hamiltonian, it can be shown that the gap can close only when $k_x, k_y = 0$ or π , where the energy spectrum can be



FIG. S1. Curves of the critical coupling ρ_c at which the gap closes as a function of k_z with $V_0 = 0.2$. The top curve describes the gap closing at $k_x = \pi$, while the bottom curve describes $k_x = 0$. Along the middle curve, there are simultaneous gap closings at both $k_x = 0$ and $k_x = \pi$. The gap does not close for positive ρ at any other values of k_x .

written

$$E(\mathbf{k})_{\pm,\pm} = \pm (\tilde{\gamma}_{\mathbf{k}} + \cos^2 k_z) \pm \sqrt{\rho^2 + V_0^2 \cos^2 k_z} \quad (S2)$$

with

$$\tilde{\gamma}_{\mathbf{k}} = \begin{cases} \frac{7}{2} & (k_x, k_y) = (\pi, \pi) \\ \frac{3}{2} & (k_x, k_y) = (0, \pi), (\pi, 0) \\ -\frac{1}{2} & (k_x, k_y) = (0, 0). \end{cases}$$
(S3)

Critical values of ρ for which the gap closes somewhere in the BZ are given by

$$\rho_c(\mathbf{k}) = \sqrt{(\tilde{\gamma}_{\mathbf{k}} + \cos^2 k_z)^2 - V_0^2 \cos^2 k_z}.$$
 (S4)

For open boundary conditions in the y direction and periodic boundary conditions in k_x and k_z , a gap closing will be seen in the spectrum at (k_x, k_z) if there is a positive critical $\rho_c(\mathbf{k})$ at (k_x, k_z) for any k_y .

The critical values ρ_c as a function of k_z are shown in Fig. S1 for $V_0 = 0.2$. There are only three critical curves, as there can only be gap closings at $k_x = 0$ or π , giving only three possible values for $\tilde{\gamma}_{\mathbf{k}}$. The middle curve contains information about both $k_x = 0$ and $k_x = \pi$, though these transitions are qualitatively different. The first transition mentioned in the main text corresponds to the bottom curve as ρ is increased from 0 to 0.5. For ρ between the bottom and middle critical curves, there is an edge state extending across all k_z in the $k_x = 0$ cut as shown in Fig. 3.

Once ρ begins to cross the middle curve, at $\rho_c = 1.5$, the gap closes at $k_z = \pi/2$ for both $k_x = 0$ and $k_x = \pi$. Increasing ρ through the middle curve, the surface states at $k_x = 0$ begin to disappear as a gap opens from $k_z = \pi/2$ outwards until the gap nodes are pushed to $k_z = \pi$ for $\rho_c \approx 2.49$. Increasing ρ further leaves the system gapped at all points along $k_x = 0$, and no surface states will cross the gap for larger ρ . At $k_x = \pi$ instead, crossing the middle curve introduces surface states as the gap opens from $k_z = \pi/2$ outwards. These surface states disappear after the final transition as ρ is increased past the top curve, and a fully gapped system remains with no surface states crossing the gap once ρ exceeds $\rho_c \approx 4.5$.



The gap closings at $k_x = \pi$ are shown for ρ crossing the middle and top critical curves in Fig. S2. The transition that occurs at $k_x = \pi$ as ρ crosses the top curve is qualitatively similar to the transition at $k_x = 0$ as ρ crosses the middle curve.

FIG. S2. Gap closings as ρ is varied at $k_x = \pi$. a) At $\rho = 1.5$, the gap closes at $\mathbf{k}_z = \pi/2$. b) $\rho = 1.8$. As ρ is increased past the initial gap closing, a gap with surface states opens and the gap nodes are pushed outwards. c) $\rho = 2.8$. Once the gap nodes reach $\mathbf{k}_z = \pi$, the gap opens leaving a surface state. d) $\rho = 3.8$. As ρ increases further, the gap closes at $k_z = \pi/2$ again and reopens, pushing the gap nodes towards $k_z = \pi$ and eliminating the surface states.