

# GENERIC: REVIEW OF SUCCESSFUL APPLICATIONS AND A CHALLENGE FOR THE FUTURE

Hans Christian Öttinger<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>ETH Zürich, Department of Materials, Polymer Physics, CH-8093 Zürich, Switzerland

\*hco@mat.ethz.ch

## ABSTRACT

We present and discuss the two-generator framework of nonequilibrium thermodynamics known as GENERIC (“general equation for the nonequilibrium reversible-irreversible coupling”), which is based on geometric concepts and on statistical mechanics. The usefulness and maturity of the framework are illustrated by reviewing a large number of successful applications. Finally, we offer an important challenge for the future.

This abstract is based on the compact reviews [1; 2] presenting modern nonequilibrium thermodynamics to applied scientists and engineers. In the spirit of a continuation of these reviews, we here add some new developments of the last five years.

## GENERIC framework

Time-evolution equations for nonequilibrium systems possess a well-defined thermodynamic structure in which reversible and irreversible contributions are constructed separately. The reversible contribution is assumed to be of the Hamiltonian form (driven by the gradient of energy) and hence requires an underlying geometric structure which reflects the idea that the reversible time evolution should be “under mechanistic control.” The remaining irreversible contribution is assumed to be driven by the gradient of a nonequilibrium entropy. Our discussion is based on the GENERIC framework for closed nonequilibrium systems [3; 4; 5] (see also the concise summary in [6] adapted for our purposes here),

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = L \cdot \frac{\delta E}{\delta x} + M \cdot \frac{\delta S}{\delta x}, \quad (1)$$

where  $x$  represents the set of independent variables required for a complete description of a given closed nonequilibrium system,  $E$  and  $S$  are the total energy and entropy expressed in terms of the system variables  $x$ , and  $L$  and  $M$  are certain linear operators, or matrices. The so-called Poisson matrix  $L$  and the friction matrix  $M$  can also depend on  $x$  so that the fundamental evolution equation (1) can be highly nonlinear. The two contributions to the time evolution of  $x$  generated by the total energy  $E$  and the entropy  $S$  in (1) are the reversible and irreversible contributions to dynamics, respectively. Because  $x$  typically contains position-dependent fields, such as the local mass, momentum and energy densities of hydrodynamics, the state variables are usually labeled by continuous (position) labels in addition to discrete ones. A matrix multiplication, which can alternatively be considered as the application of a linear operator, hence implies not only summations over discrete indices but also integrations over continuous labels, and the gradient  $\delta/\delta x$  typically implies functional rather than partial derivatives. Equation (1) is supplemented by the complementary degeneracy requirements

$$L \cdot \frac{\delta S}{\delta x} = 0 \quad (2)$$

and

$$M \cdot \frac{\delta E}{\delta x} = 0. \quad (3)$$

The requirement that the entropy gradient  $\delta S/\delta x$  is in the null-space of the Poisson matrix  $L$  in (2) expresses the reversible nature of the first contribution to the dynamics, irrespective of the particular form of the Hamiltonian. The requirement that the energy gradient  $\delta E/\delta x$  is in the null-space of the friction matrix  $M$  in (3) expresses the conservation of the total energy in a closed system by the irreversible contribution to the dynamics. Furthermore, it is required that the matrix  $L$  is antisymmetric, whereas  $M$  is Onsager-Casimir symmetric (see Section 3.2 of [5] for details) and positive-semidefinite. Positive-semidefiniteness implies the second law of nonequilibrium thermodynamics,

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = \frac{\delta S}{\delta x} \cdot M \cdot \frac{\delta S}{\delta x} \geq 0, \quad (4)$$

which follows directly from the chain rule by using (2) and (3). Finally, the Poisson bracket that can be associated with the antisymmetric matrix  $L$  is assumed to satisfy the Jacobi identity, which expresses the time-structure invariance of the reversible dynamics [7] and can be conveniently and rigorously tested by using symbolic mathematical tools [8; 9].

Note that energy and entropy are the fundamental concepts of nonequilibrium thermodynamics. In cases where a nonequilibrium temperature can be introduced in a meaningful way, at least locally, one may be able to combine the two generators  $E$  and  $S$  into a single one (a “nonequilibrium free energy”). The possibilities and limitations of the single-generator approach have been discussed in the textbook [10] and in detailed comparisons to the double-generator approach [11; 12; 13].

A very reassuring feature of the GENERIC framework is that there exists a clear link to nonequilibrium statistical mechanics. By eliminating the fast degrees of freedom, the projection-operator formalism [14; 15; 16; 17; 18] produces equations of the GENERIC form (see Chapter 6 of [5] and [19; 20; 21]). The fast degrees result in noise and friction felt by the slow variables, where these two effects are found to be intimately related according to the fluctuation-dissipation theorem (see Section 1.6 of [22]). As a result of the projection procedure, well-defined statistical

expressions for the thermodynamic building blocks  $E, S, L, M$  in (1)-(3) arise (see Section 6.1.4 of [5]). Evaluation of these expressions should be the Holy Grail of computer simulations for nonequilibrium systems [21]. The counterpart in equilibrium statistical thermodynamics is the determination of partition functions (or their partial derivatives) by Monte Carlo simulations to obtain thermodynamic information in terms of the free energy.

A cornerstone of nonequilibrium statistical mechanics is the nonequilibrium ensemble. It is a probability density on the larger space of more microscopic states, parametrized by the more macroscopic state variables taking values from a smaller space (to avoid awkward formulations, we simply refer to microscopic and macroscopic states from now on). The ideas of microcanonical, canonical, and mixed ensembles are taken over from equilibrium statistical mechanics, but now with a much larger and less universal set of thermodynamic nonequilibrium variables. The energy  $E$  and the Poisson matrix  $L$  of the coarse-grained description can be obtained by simply averaging their microscopic counterparts by means of the nonequilibrium ensemble. As at equilibrium, the evaluation of the entropy  $S$  is a matter of counting microscopic states or, more generally, of properly normalizing the ensemble. The friction matrix  $M$  is the only building block that requires dynamic material information. According to the fluctuation-dissipation theorem, it can be obtained from the time-correlation functions of fluctuations. More precisely, one needs to evaluate the time-integral of two-time correlations of the fluctuations of the macroscopic variables resulting from the elimination of fast microscopic degrees of freedom. The explicit expression for the friction matrix is known as the Green-Kubo formula (see, for example, (3.47) or (6.73) of [5] or (3) of [21]).

The respective role and potential of Monte Carlo, molecular dynamics, and Brownian dynamics simulations in thermodynamically guided simulations for nonequilibrium systems has been elaborated in [21]. Dynamic simulations should run only over a fraction of the characteristic slow time scales, just sufficiently long to evaluate the decay of two-time correlations on the fast time scales. Initial conditions should be obtained by Monte Carlo sampling from nonequilibrium ensembles. Thermostats and similar devices are unnecessary for such short simulations.

## Review of applications

In addition to the many famous applications of linear irreversible thermodynamics (such as diffusion, osmotic pressure, heat conduction, propagation of sound, electrokinetic effects, thermoelectric effects, thermokinetic effects, dielectric relaxation, polarizable media in electromagnetic fields, magneto-plasmas, superfluids, viscoelastic fluids, and chemical reactions), a number of applications of nonlinear irreversible thermodynamics have been compiled in Appendix E of the textbook [5]. Those advanced applications are predominantly from the field of complex fluids: reptation model for entangled linear polymers [23; 24; 25; 26; 27; 28; 29], pompon model for branched polymers [30; 31], polymer blends [32; 33; 34; 35; 36; 37], colloidal suspensions [38; 39; 40; 41], two-phase systems [42; 43; 44; 45]), relativistic hydrodynamics [46; 47; 48; 49; 50; 51], discrete formulations of hydrodynamics for simulations [52; 53; 54; 55], and thermodynamically guided simulations [56; 57; 58; 59; 60; 61; 62; 63; 64; 65] (see also the review article [21] offering “four lessons and a caveat” for good simulations in the context of nonequilibrium statistical mechanics).

Several basic transport phenomena have been generalized to the nonlinear regime. For example, diffusion through polymeric and nanocomposite membranes has been modeled by means of the GENERIC framework [66; 67]. Also a comprehensive discussion of the multiscale thermodynamics and mechanics of heat flow goes beyond linear irreversible thermodynamics [68]. Significant progress in applying nonequilibrium thermodynamics to increasingly more complex fluids has, for example, been made with rheological modeling of suspensions of red blood cells [69], a biological problem of obvious importance. Thermodynamics has also contributed to the understanding of gas flow in the smallest of channels, as in microfluidics, and of aerodynamics of satellites and space stations in the outer limits of our atmosphere [70; 71; 72]. Also conceptual developments in electrochemistry benefit from thermodynamic considerations [73].

Whereas the original development of nonequilibrium thermodynamics has mainly been pushed in the context of complex fluids, the general framework is by no means restricted to fluids. Also crystallization phenomena, including polymer crystallization, have been better understood with the help of the methods of modern nonequilibrium thermodynamics and statistical mechanics [74; 75; 76; 77; 78; 79; 80; 81]. Plasticity and viscoplastic solids are further topics in which important issues have been clarified by means of thermodynamics [82; 83; 84; 85; 86; 87; 88; 89; 90]. By combining thermodynamics with a thoughtful characterization of the microstructure, valuable insight into continuum damage mechanics has been gained [91]. Structural glasses are another challenging problem in physics and materials science for which nonequilibrium phenomena are widely believed to play an important role. Promising new ways to approach this long-standing challenge are suggested or supported by the GENERIC framework [6; 92; 93; 94; 95; 96; 97].

Most of the applications of nonequilibrium thermodynamics deal with the modeling of bulk systems. To solve the resulting bulk equations one typically needs boundary conditions. The usefulness of linear irreversible thermodynamics for obtaining boundary conditions has been shown by Waldmann in his pioneering 1967 article [98]. Brenner and Ganesan [99] asked the very deep question “Are conditions at a boundary ‘boundary conditions’?” Nonequilibrium thermodynamics actually provides the powerful language for expressing the physics at the boundary consistently [100; 101], thus going well beyond the mathematics of boundary conditions. An illustrative example is provided by the thermodynamic formulation of wall slip [102]. Within linear irreversible thermodynamics, a general description of the dynamics of interfaces has been developed by Bedeaux and coworkers [103; 104; 105]. The main challenge is to generalize the concept of local equilibrium, which is known to be a key ingredient to the nonequilibrium thermodynamics of bulk systems, to lower-dimensional interfaces [106; 107; 108; 109; 110]. The analysis of the fully nonlinear thermodynamic behavior of complex interfaces within modern nonequilibrium thermodynamics is a very active field of research [111; 112; 113; 114; 115; 116; 117; 118; 119].

The theory of quantum dissipation [120; 121] will play an increasing role in various branches of quantum technology, such as quantum computing, quantum information processing, quantum communication, quantum cryptography, quantum simulation, quantum metrology, quantum sensing, and quantum imaging. After gaining a deep understanding of its geometric structure, modern nonequilibrium thermodynamics suggests the proper form of the equations also for coupling quantum systems to classical environments [122; 123; 124; 125]. Some applications of the thermodynamic approach to quantum dissipation are discussed in [126; 127; 128; 129]. A most remarkable result is that thermodynamics suggests nonlinearity of quantum master equations, contrary to the almost universal presupposition of linear quantum master equations in present-day applications. This nonlinearity can, for example, be handled by stochastic simulation techniques [130; 131].

## A challenge for the future

According to GENERIC, time-evolution equations for nonequilibrium systems have a well-defined mathematical structure in which reversible and irreversible contributions are identified separately. An important challenge for the future is how the structure of thermodynamically admissible evolution equations can be preserved under time-discretization, which is a key to successful numerical calculations (space-discretization is straightforward because GENERIC can handle discrete systems, as illustrated by the above-mentioned discrete formulations of hydrodynamics for the

purpose of simulations). Why should we bother to establish thermodynamically consistent models and boundary conditions if we cannot preserve their features in the numerical solution techniques, which we almost unavoidably have to resort to in most relevant applications? An analogous challenge is well-known to be met by the symplectic integrators used for Hamiltonian systems [132]. Such symplectic integrators are known for better performance in numerical calculations for Hamiltonian systems and hence provide the motivation for similarly introducing GENERIC integrators to perform better calculations for nonequilibrium systems. GENERIC integrators should preserve the time-invariance of the Poisson bracket expressed by the Jacobi identity, the mutual degeneracy requirements, as well as the symmetry and positive-semidefiniteness of the friction matrix. The important advantages of GENERIC integrators hence are:

- (i) The rigorous conservation of an approximate energy leads to limited fluctuations of the physical energy, even over long periods of time.
- (ii) The strict separation of reversible and irreversible contributions to dynamics.
- (iii) A reliable reproduction of the physical entropy production without any spurious dissipation.

Inspired by Moser's work for Hamiltonian systems (see Section 3(e) of [133]), we develop the requirements to be imposed on GENERIC integrators. We then construct GENERIC integrators for systems with a single dissipative process by Taylor expansion. After discussing some details for two specific examples (harmonic oscillator with friction, two gas containers exchanging volume and energy), we summarize the general features of GENERIC integrators and identify some possible directions for future work.

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. C. Öttinger. Nonequilibrium thermodynamics – A tool for applied rheologists. *Appl. Rheol.*, 9:17–26, 1999.
- [2] H. C. Öttinger. Nonequilibrium thermodynamics: A powerful tool for scientists and engineers. *Dyna*, 79/175E:122–131, 2012.
- [3] M. Grmela and H. C. Öttinger. Dynamics and thermodynamics of complex fluids. I. Development of a general formalism. *Phys. Rev. E*, 56:6620–6632, 1997.
- [4] H. C. Öttinger and M. Grmela. Dynamics and thermodynamics of complex fluids. II. Illustrations of a general formalism. *Phys. Rev. E*, 56:6633–6655, 1997.
- [5] H. C. Öttinger. *Beyond Equilibrium Thermodynamics*. Wiley, Hoboken, 2005.
- [6] H. C. Öttinger. Nonequilibrium thermodynamics of glasses. *Phys. Rev. E*, 74:011113, 2006.
- [7] B. J. Edwards and H. C. Öttinger. Time-structure invariance criteria for closure approximations. *Phys. Rev. E*, 56:4097–4103, 1997.
- [8] M. Kröger, M. Hütter, and H. C. Öttinger. Symbolic test of the Jacobi identity for given generalized “Poisson” bracket. *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, 137:325–340, 2001.
- [9] M. Kröger and M. Hütter. Automated symbolic calculations in nonequilibrium thermodynamics. *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, 181:2149–2157, 2010.
- [10] A. N. Beris and B. J. Edwards. *The Thermodynamics of Flowing Systems*. Oxford University Press, New York, 1994.
- [11] B. J. Edwards. An analysis of single and double generator thermodynamic formalisms for the macroscopic description of complex fluids. *J. Non-Equilib. Thermodyn.*, 23:301–333, 1998.
- [12] B. J. Edwards, A. N. Beris, and H. C. Öttinger. An analysis of single and double generator thermodynamic formalisms for complex fluids. II. The microscopic description. *J. Non-Equilib. Thermodyn.*, 23:334–350, 1998.
- [13] A. N. Beris. Bracket formulation as a source for the development of dynamic equations in continuum mechanics. *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.*, 96:119–136, 2001.
- [14] H. Grabert. *Projection Operator Techniques in Nonequilibrium Statistical Mechanics*. Springer, Berlin, 1982.
- [15] B. Robertson. Equations of motion in nonequilibrium statistical mechanics. *Phys. Rev.*, 144:151–161, 1966.
- [16] H. Mori. Transport, collective motion, and Brownian motion. *Prog. Theor. Phys.*, 33:423–455, 1965.
- [17] H. Mori. A continued-fraction representation of the time-correlation functions. *Prog. Theor. Phys.*, 34:399–416, 1965.
- [18] R. Zwanzig. Memory effects in irreversible thermodynamics. *Phys. Rev.*, 124:983–992, 1961.
- [19] H. C. Öttinger. General projection operator formalism for the dynamics and thermodynamics of complex fluids. *Phys. Rev. E*, 57:1416–1420, 1998.
- [20] H. C. Öttinger. Derivation of two-generator framework of nonequilibrium thermodynamics for quantum systems. *Phys. Rev. E*, 62:4720–4724, 2000.
- [21] H. C. Öttinger. Systematic coarse graining: ‘Four lessons and a caveat’ from nonequilibrium statistical mechanics. *MRS Bulletin*, 32:936–940, 2007.
- [22] R. Kubo, M. Toda, and N. Hashitsume. *Nonequilibrium Statistical Mechanics*, volume II of *Statistical Physics*. Springer, Berlin, second edition, 1991.
- [23] H. C. Öttinger and A. N. Beris. Thermodynamically consistent reptation model without independent alignment. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 110:6593–6596, 1999.
- [24] H. C. Öttinger. Thermodynamically admissible reptation models with anisotropic tube cross sections and convective constraint release. *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.*, 89:165–185, 2000.
- [25] H. C. Öttinger. A thermodynamically admissible reptation model for fast flows of entangled polymers. *J. Rheol.*, 43:1461–1493, 1999.
- [26] J. Fang, M. Kröger, and H. C. Öttinger. A thermodynamically admissible reptation model for fast flows of entangled polymers. II. Model predictions for shear and elongational flows. *J. Rheol.*, 44:1293–1317, 2000.
- [27] H. Eslami and M. Grmela. Mesoscopic formulation of reptation. *Rheol. Acta*, 47:399–415, 2008.
- [28] T. Savin, W. J. Briels, and H. C. Öttinger. Thermodynamic formulation of flowing soft matter with transient forces. *Rheol. Acta*, 52:23–32, 2013.
- [29] P. S. Stephanou, I. C. Tsimouri, and V. G. Mavrantzas. Flow-induced orientation and stretching of entangled polymers in the framework of nonequilibrium thermodynamics. *Macromolecules*, 49:3161–3173, 2016.
- [30] H. C. Öttinger. Thermodynamic admissibility of the pompon model for branched polymers. *Rheol. Acta*, 40:317–321, 2001.
- [31] J. van Meerveld. Note on thermodynamic consistency of the integral pom-pom model. *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.*, 108:291–299, 2002.
- [32] N. J. Wagner, H. C. Öttinger, and B. J. Edwards. Generalized Doi-Ohta model for multiphase flow developed via GENERIC. *AIChE J.*, 45:1169–1181, 1999.
- [33] M. Grmela, M. Bousmina, and J.-F. Paliarne. On the rheology of immiscible blends. *Rheol. Acta*, 40:560–569, 2001.
- [34] M. Dressler and B. J. Edwards. The influence of matrix viscoelasticity on the rheology of polymer blends. *Rheol. Acta*, 43:257–282, 2004.

- [35] J. F. Gu and M. Grmela. Flow properties of immiscible blends: Doi-Ohta model with active advection. *Phys. Rev. E*, 78:056302, 1–17, 2008.
- [36] J. F. Gu, M. Grmela, and M. Bousmina. A mesoscopic rheological model of immiscible blends with the interface covered with a surface active agent. *Phys. Fluids*, 20:043102, 1–20, 2008.
- [37] A. Jelić, P. Ilg, and H. C. Öttinger. Bridging length and time scales in sheared demixing systems: From the Cahn-Hilliard to the Doi-Ohta model. *Phys. Rev. E*, 81:011131, 2010.
- [38] N. J. Wagner. The Smoluchowski equation for colloidal suspensions developed and analyzed through the GENERIC formalism. *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.*, 96:177–201, 2001.
- [39] M. Grmela, A. Ait-Kadi, and P. G. Lafleur. Suspensions of fibres in viscoelastic fluids: Rheology. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 109:6973–6981, 1998.
- [40] M. Keshkar, M.-C. Heuzey, P. J. Carreau, M. Rajabian, and C. Dubois. Rheological properties and microstructural evolution of semi-flexible fiber suspensions under shear flow. *J. Rheol.*, 54:197–222, 2010.
- [41] M. Grmela, A. Ammar, F. Chinesta, and G. Maitrejean. A mesoscopic rheological model of moderately concentrated colloids. *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.*, 212:1–12, 2014.
- [42] M. Hütter. Thermodynamically consistent incorporation of the Schneider rate equations into two-phase models. *Phys. Rev. E*, 64:011209, 1–11, 2001.
- [43] M. Hütter. GENERIC treatment of compressible two-phase flow: Convection mechanism of scalar morphological variables. *J. Non-Equilib. Thermodyn.*, 27:349–365, 2002.
- [44] P. Español. Thermodynamics for a van der Waals fluid. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 115:5392–5403, 2001.
- [45] P. Español and C. Thieulot. Microscopic derivation of hydrodynamic equations for phase-separating fluid mixtures. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 118:9109–9127, 2003.
- [46] H. C. Öttinger. On the structural compatibility of a general formalism for nonequilibrium dynamics with special relativity. *Physica A*, 259:24–42, 1998.
- [47] H. C. Öttinger. Relativistic and nonrelativistic description of fluids with anisotropic heat conduction. *Physica A*, 254:433–450, 1998.
- [48] H. C. Öttinger. Thermodynamically admissible equations for causal dissipative cosmology, galaxy formation, and transport processes in a gravitational collapse. *Phys. Rev. D*, 60:103507, 1999.
- [49] P. Ilg and H. C. Öttinger. Nonequilibrium relativistic thermodynamics in bulk viscous cosmology. *Phys. Rev. D*, 61:023510, 1999.
- [50] H. C. Öttinger. Role of nonequilibrium entropy in Einstein’s theory of gravitation. *Physica A*, 387:4560–4564, 2008.
- [51] M. H. Duong. Formulation of the relativistic heat equation and the relativistic kinetic Fokker-Planck equations using GENERIC. *Physica A*, 439:34–43, 2015.
- [52] P. Español, M. Serrano, and H. C. Öttinger. Thermodynamically admissible form for discrete hydrodynamics. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 83:4542–4545, 1999.
- [53] M. Serrano and P. Español. Thermodynamically consistent mesoscopic fluid particle model. *Phys. Rev. E*, 64:046115, 1–18, 2001.
- [54] P. Español and M. Revenga. Smoothed dissipative particle dynamics. *Phys. Rev. E*, 67:026705, 1–12, 2003.
- [55] M. Ellero, P. Español, and E. G. Flekkøy. Thermodynamically consistent fluid particle model for viscoelastic flows. *Phys. Rev. E*, 68:041504, 1–19, 2003.
- [56] M. Kröger, J. Ramírez, and H. C. Öttinger. Projection from an atomistic chain contour to its primitive path. *Polymer*, 43:477–487, 2002.
- [57] V. G. Mavrantzas and H. C. Öttinger. Atomistic Monte Carlo simulations of polymer melt elasticity: Their nonequilibrium thermodynamics GENERIC formulation in a generalized canonical ensemble. *Macromolecules*, 35:960–975, 2002.
- [58] V. A. Harmandaris, V. G. Mavrantzas, D. N. Theodorou, M. Kröger, J. Ramírez, H. C. Öttinger, and D. Vlassopoulos. Crossover from the Rouse to the entangled polymer melt regime: Signals from long, detailed atomistic molecular dynamics simulations, supported by rheological experiments. *Macromolecules*, 36:1376–1387, 2003.
- [59] M. Kröger. Simple models for complex nonequilibrium fluids. *Phys. Rep.*, 390:453–551, 2004.
- [60] H. C. Öttinger. Coarse-graining of wormlike polymer chains for substantiating reptation. *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.*, 120:207–213, 2004.
- [61] M. Kröger and H. C. Öttinger. Beyond-equilibrium molecular dynamics of a rarefied gas subjected to shear flow. *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.*, 120:175–187, 2004.
- [62] P. Ilg, H. C. Öttinger, and M. Kröger. Systematic time-scale-bridging molecular dynamics applied to flowing polymer melts. *Phys. Rev. E*, 79:011802, 2009.
- [63] P. Ilg and M. Kröger. Molecularly derived constitutive equation for low-molecular polymer melts from thermodynamically guided simulation. *J. Rheol.*, 55:69–93, 2011.
- [64] P. Ilg, M. Hütter, and M. Kröger. Ideal contribution to the macroscopic, quasiequilibrium entropy of anisotropic fluids. *Phys. Rev. E*, 83:061713, 1–7, 2011.
- [65] C. Baig, V. G. Mavrantzas, and H. C. Öttinger. On Maxwells relations of thermodynamics for polymeric liquids away from equilibrium. *Macromolecules*, 44:640–646, 2011.
- [66] Q. Liu and D. De Kee. Modeling of diffusion through polymeric membranes. *Rheol. Acta*, 44:287–294, 2005.
- [67] Q. Liu and D. De Kee. Modeling of diffusion through nanocomposite membranes. *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.*, 131:32–43, 2005.
- [68] M. Grmela, G. Lebon, and C. Dubois. Multiscale thermodynamics and mechanics of heat. *Phys. Rev. E*, 83:061134, 1–15, 2011.
- [69] J. F. Gu, M. Grmela, and M. Bousmina. Mesohydrodynamics of membrane suspensions. *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.*, 165:75–83, 2010.
- [70] H. C. Öttinger. Thermodynamically admissible 13 moment equations from the Boltzmann equation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 104:120601, 2010.
- [71] H. Struchtrup and M. Torrilhon. Comment on ‘thermodynamically admissible 13 moment equations from the Boltzmann equation’. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 105:128901, 2010.
- [72] H. C. Öttinger. Öttinger replies. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 105:128902, 2010.
- [73] S. Kjelstrup, D. Bedeaux, and H. C. Öttinger. Nonlinear coupled equations for electrochemical cells as developed by the general equation for nonequilibrium reversible-irreversible coupling. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 141:124102, 2014.
- [74] J. Mukherjee, S. Wilson, and A. N. Beris. Flow-induced nonequilibrium thermodynamics of lamellar semicrystalline polymers. *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.*, 120:225–240, 2004.
- [75] M. Hütter. Crystallization under external pressure. *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.*, 120:55–68, 2004.
- [76] M. Hütter, G. C. Rutledge, and R. C. Armstrong. Crystal shapes and crystallization in continuum modeling. *Phys. Fluids*, 17:014107, 1–13, 2005.

- [77] M. Hütter. Volume change and non-local driving force in crystallization. *J. Non-Equilib. Thermodyn.*, 31:73–101, 2006.
- [78] J. van Meerveld, M. Hütter, and G. W. M. Peters. Continuum model for the simulation of fiber spinning, with quiescent and flow-induced crystallization. *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.*, 150:177–195, 2008.
- [79] J. Mukherjee and A. N. Beris. Multiscale modeling of crystallization morphologies in high speed fiber spinning of semicrystalline polymers. *J. Comput. Theor. Nanosci.*, 7:726–737, 2010.
- [80] C. Baig and B. J. Edwards. Atomistic simulation of flow-induced crystallization at constant temperature. *Europhys. Lett.*, 89:36003, 1–6, 2010.
- [81] C. Baig and B. J. Edwards. Atomistic simulation of crystallization of a polyethylene melt in steady uniaxial extension. *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.*, 165:992–1004, 2010.
- [82] M. Hütter, M. Grmela, and H. C. Öttinger. What is behind the plastic strain rate? *Rheol. Acta*, 48:769–778, 2009.
- [83] M. Hütter and T. A. Tervoort. Finite anisotropic elasticity and material frame indifference from a nonequilibrium thermodynamics perspective. *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.*, 152:45–52, 2008.
- [84] M. Hütter and T. A. Tervoort. Thermodynamic considerations on non-isothermal finite anisotropic elasto-viscoplasticity. *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.*, 152:53–65, 2008.
- [85] H. C. Öttinger. Lie groups in nonequilibrium thermodynamics: Geometric structure behind viscoplasticity. *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.*, 165:1005–1013, 2010.
- [86] A. Mielke. Formulation of thermoelastic dissipative material behavior using GENERIC. *Continuum Mech. Thermodyn.*, 23:233–256, 2011.
- [87] M. Hütter and L. C. A. van Bremen. Microstructural model for the plasticity of amorphous solids. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 125:4376–4389, 2012.
- [88] M. Hütter and B. Svendsen. Thermodynamic model formulation for viscoplastic solids as general equations for non-equilibrium reversible-irreversible coupling. *Continuum Mech. Thermodyn.*, 24:211–227, 2012.
- [89] M. Hütter and T. A. Tervoort. Statistical-mechanics based modeling of anisotropic viscoplastic deformation. *Mech. Mater.*, 80:37–51, 2015.
- [90] I. Peshkov, M. Grmela, and E. Romenski. Irreversible mechanics and thermodynamics of two-phase continua experiencing stress-induced solid-fluid transitions. *Continuum Mech. Thermodyn.*, 27:905–940, 2015.
- [91] M. Hütter and T. A. Tervoort. Continuum damage mechanics: Combining thermodynamics with a thoughtful characterization of the microstructure. *Acta Mech.*, 201:297–312, 2008.
- [92] E. Del Gado, P. Ilg, M. Kröger, and H. C. Öttinger. Structure as a static signature of cooperativity in supercooled liquids. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 101:095501, 2008.
- [93] M. Mosayebi, E. Del Gado, P. Ilg, and H. C. Öttinger. Probing a critical length scale at the glass transition. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 104:205704, 2010.
- [94] M. Mosayebi, E. Del Gado, P. Ilg, and H. C. Öttinger. Deformation of inherent structures to detect long-range correlations in supercooled liquids. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 137:024504, 2012.
- [95] A. M. Luo and H. C. Öttinger. Nonequilibrium thermodynamics of the shear-transformation-zone model. *Phys. Rev. E*, 89:022137, 2014.
- [96] I. Fuierer and P. Ilg. Nonequilibrium thermodynamics of the soft glassy rheology model. *Phys. Rev. E*, 88:042134, 2013.
- [97] M. Semkiv and M. Hütter. Modeling aging and mechanical rejuvenation of amorphous solids. *J. Non-Equilib. Thermodyn.*, 41:79–88, 2016.
- [98] L. Waldmann. Non-equilibrium thermodynamics of boundary conditions. *Z. Naturforsch. A*, 22:1269–1286, 1967.
- [99] H. Brenner and V. Ganesan. Molecular wall effects: Are conditions at a boundary “boundary conditions”? *Phys. Rev. E*, 61:6879–6897, 2000.
- [100] H. C. Öttinger. Nonequilibrium thermodynamics for open systems. *Phys. Rev. E*, 73:036126, 2006.
- [101] A. N. Beris and H. C. Öttinger. Bracket formulation of nonequilibrium thermodynamics for systems interacting with the environment. *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.*, 152:2–11, 2008.
- [102] H. C. Öttinger. Thermodynamic formulation of wall slip. *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.*, 152:66–75, 2008.
- [103] D. Bedeaux, A. M. Albano, and P. Mazur. Boundary conditions and non-equilibrium thermodynamics. *Physica A*, 82:438–462, 1976.
- [104] D. Bedeaux. Nonequilibrium thermodynamics and statistical physics of surfaces. *Adv. Chem. Phys.*, 64:47–109, 1986.
- [105] A. M. Albano and D. Bedeaux. Non-equilibrium electro-thermodynamics of polarizable multicomponent fluids with an interface. *Physica A*, 147:407–435, 1987.
- [106] E. Johannessen and D. Bedeaux. The nonequilibrium van der Waals square gradient model. (II). Local equilibrium of the Gibbs surface. *Physica A*, 330:354–372, 2003.
- [107] E. Johannessen, J. Gross, and D. Bedeaux. Nonequilibrium thermodynamics of interfaces using classical density functional theory. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 129:184703, 1–14, 2008.
- [108] K. S. Glavatskiy and D. Bedeaux. Numerical solution of the non-equilibrium square gradient model and verification of local equilibrium for the Gibbs surface in a two-phase binary mixture. *Phys. Rev. E*, 79:031608, 1–19, 2009.
- [109] T. Savin, K. S. Glavatskiy, S. Kjelstrup, H. C. Öttinger, and D. Bedeaux. Local equilibrium of the Gibbs interface in two-phase systems. *Europhys. Lett.*, 97:40002, 2012.
- [110] M. Schweizer, H. C. Öttinger, and T. Savin. Nonequilibrium thermodynamics of an interface. *Phys. Rev. E*, 93:052803, 2016.
- [111] H. C. Öttinger, D. Bedeaux, and D. C. Venerus. Nonequilibrium thermodynamics of transport through moving interfaces with application to bubble growth and collapse. *Phys. Rev. E*, 80:021606, 2009.
- [112] L. M. C. Sagis. GENERIC model for multiphase systems. *Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 153:58–69, 2010.
- [113] L. M. C. Sagis. Nonlinear rheological models for structured interfaces. *Physica A*, 389:1993–2006, 2010.
- [114] L. M. C. Sagis. Dynamic properties of interfaces in soft matter: Experiments and theory. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 83:1367–1403, 2011.
- [115] L. M. C. Sagis and H. C. Öttinger. Dynamics of multiphase systems with complex microstructure. I. Development of the governing equations through nonequilibrium thermodynamics. *Phys. Rev. E*, 88:022149, 2013.
- [116] L. M. C. Sagis. Dynamics of multiphase systems with complex microstructure. II. Particle-stabilized interfaces. *Phys. Rev. E*, 88:022150, 2013.
- [117] L. M. C. Sagis. Dynamic behavior of interfaces: Modeling with nonequilibrium thermodynamics. *Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 206:328–343, 2014.
- [118] M. Schweizer and L. M. C. Sagis. Nonequilibrium thermodynamics of nucleation. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 141:224102, 2014.
- [119] A. M. Luo, L. M. C. Sagis, H. C. Öttinger, C. De Michele, and P. Ilg. Modelling the rheology of anisotropic particles adsorbed on a

- two-dimensional fluid interface. *Soft Matter*, 11:4383–4395, 2015.
- [120] H.-P. Breuer and F. Petruccione. *The Theory of Open Quantum Systems*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002.
- [121] U. Weiss. *Quantum Dissipative Systems*. Series in Modern Condensed Matter Physics, Volume 13. World Scientific, Singapore, third edition, 2008.
- [122] H. Grabert. Nonlinear relaxation and fluctuations of damped quantum systems. *Z. Physik B*, 49:161–172, 1982.
- [123] H. C. Öttinger. The geometry and thermodynamics of dissipative quantum systems. *Europhys. Lett.*, 94:10006, 2011.
- [124] D. Taj and H. C. Öttinger. Natural approach to quantum dissipation. *Phys. Rev. A*, 92:062128, 2015.
- [125] H. C. Öttinger. Nonlinear thermodynamic quantum master equation: Properties and examples. *Phys. Rev. A*, 82:052119, 2010.
- [126] H. C. Öttinger. Dynamic coarse-graining approach to quantum field theory. *Phys. Rev. D*, 84:065007, 2011.
- [127] M. Osmanov and H. C. Öttinger. Open quantum systems coupled to time-dependent classical environments. *Int. J. of Thermodynamics*, 34:1255–1264, 2013.
- [128] J. Flakowski, M. Osmanov, D. Taj, and H. C. Öttinger. Time-driven quantum master equations and their compatibility with the fluctuation dissipation theorem. *Phys. Rev. A*, 90:042110, 2014.
- [129] J. Flakowski, M. Osmanov, D. Taj, and H. C. Öttinger. Biexponential decay and ultralong coherence of a qubit. *Europhys. Lett.*, 113:40003, 2016.
- [130] H. C. Öttinger. Stochastic process behind nonlinear thermodynamic quantum master equation. I. Mean-field construction. *Phys. Rev. A*, 86:032101, 2012.
- [131] J. Flakowski, M. Schweizer, and H. C. Öttinger. Stochastic process behind nonlinear thermodynamic quantum master equation. II. Simulation. *Phys. Rev. A*, 86:032102, 2012.
- [132] J. M. Sanz-Serna and M. P. Calvo. *Numerical Hamiltonian Problems*. Applied Mathematics and Mathematical Computation, Volume 7. Chapman & Hall, London, 1994.
- [133] J. Moser. Lectures on Hamiltonian systems. *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.*, 81:1–60, 1968.