

The Deuteron Superpotential

T. Koohrokhi^{a,*}, S. Kartal^b

^a*Department of Physics, Faculty of Sciences, Golestan University, Gorgan, Iran*

^b*Istanbul University, Department of Physics, 34000, Istanbul, Turkey*

Abstract

The one pion exchange potential, although proper at long ranges of nucleon-nucleon interaction, does not work well at shorter ranges. However, if it is a part of the symmetry of the interaction, like one piece of a puzzle, it can reflect the other aspects of the fact. In this respect, the deuteron, as the simplest nucleons bound state has been studied by employing a superpotential approach. Despite the simplicity of the calculations presented in this study, the proposed superpotential not only describes well the long ranges of the interaction but also gives notable results for intermediate and short ranges. Moreover, the static properties of the two-nucleon system, such as wave functions, probabilities, potential, electric quadrupole moment, charge radius as well as binding energy are concluded from the deuteron superpotential. Furthermore, a new potential named "Taha1" and its partner is introduced for nucleon-nucleon interaction that is also applicable for diatomic molecules.

Key words:

Keywords: Superpotential, Nucleon, Yukawa, Pion, Deuteron.

1. Introduction

Symmetry is closely related to harmony, beauty and unity. In physics, it is origin of invariant quantities, conservation laws, continuity equations and wave equations. These laws and equations have helped scientists to predict very

*Corresponding author

Email address: t.koohrokhi@gu.ac.ir (T. Koohrokhi)

important aspects of the fact, theoretically (e.g. Higgs boson and gravitational waves, which have taken several years to be discovered and confirmed [1,2]). Supersymmetry (SUSY), is one of the most beautiful theoretical achievements of scientists that has been proposed for explaining physics inconsistencies [3-7]. In this regard, supersymmetric quantum mechanics (SUSY QM) is a modern version of quantum mechanics that introduces quantities and concepts such as superpotential, partner potentials, Hamiltonians hierarchy and shape invariant potentials [8-14]. The beautiful mathematical strategies in SUSY QM indicate which problems are analytically solvable. Furthermore, approximation methods in SUSY QM provide more accurate results than those in quantum mechanics [14].

Deuteron as the simplest nucleus consists of two nucleons. Study of deuteron provides useful information about static nucleon-nucleon (NN) interaction. The Yukawa's hypothesis (1935) was the first theoretical description of the NN interaction, on the basis of which, fermions interact with each other via the exchange of bosons whose masses are related to the range of the interactions [15]. A boson was named π meson (or pion) was discovered about 12 years later so that its characteristics were same as that of Yukawa's hypothesis prediction and brought the Nobel Prize to Yukawa [16,17]. The one pion exchange potential (OPEP) is an extended version of Yukawa potential that dominates in nucleon spacings above 3 fm, and is reasonable for spacings above 2 fm. However, the theoretical and experimental studies have shown that the nuclear force is not just a matter of an exchange of single pion [18,19]. In addition, the exact study of NN interaction requires a more fundamental theory. Nevertheless, with good approximation, at long ranges, nucleons can still be considered structureless particles and OPEP is fitting for describing the NN interaction.

Computational complexities reduce the beauty of theory and make it difficult to understand and develop. On the other hand, simplifying assumptions may reduce the accuracy of computations. A beautiful theory is a theory that, while having simple calculations and reproduction of expected values, has good insights, predictions and straightforward to be developed. In general, NN inter-

action has been studied based on several main groups: Quantum Chromo Dynamics (QCD), lattice QCD, Effective Field Theory (EFT), Chiral Perturbation Theory (CHPT), Boson Exchange (BE) models, Mean Field Theory (MFT) and phenomenological NN potentials [20]. In most of the models, potentials have quite complicated structures and are described by many parameters. This paper is the first study of deuteron static properties by SUSY QM. Given the excellent results achieved, this approach can be developed and completed in the future studies considering more details of the interaction.

2. Potential and Superpotential

2.1. OPEP and Effective Potential

The OPEP is the potential derived from meson theory in the treatment of the NN system [21], is,

$$V(r) = V_C(r) + S_{12}V_T(r) \quad (1)$$

where r is equal to the length of the vector \mathbf{r} connecting the two nucleons and S_{12} is tensor operator. The first term in the OPEP is the central potential,

$$V_C(r) = V_0(\tau_1.\tau_2)(\sigma_1.\sigma_2)\frac{e^{-r/R}}{r} \quad (2)$$

where $R = \frac{\hbar}{m_\pi c}$ is the typical range of the nuclear force and m_π is pion mass. The neutron-proton interaction involves the exchanges of both the neutral (π^0) and charged (π^\pm) pions. For this reason, we employ the averaged-pion mass $\bar{m}_\pi = \frac{1}{3}(m_{\pi^0} + 2m_{\pi^\pm})$ (table 1) [22]. The dot products, $\tau_1.\tau_2$ and $\sigma_1.\sigma_2$, involve the isospin and spin of the nucleons, respectively. The second term in the OPEP is called a tensor potential consisting a radial function and a tensor operator. Its radial part is,

$$V_T = V_0(\tau_1.\tau_2) \left[1 + 3 \left(\frac{R}{r} \right) + 3 \left(\frac{R}{r} \right)^2 \right] \frac{e^{-r/R}}{r} \quad (3)$$

so that,

$$V_0 = \frac{g^2}{3} \left(\frac{\hbar c}{2MR} \right)^2 \quad (4)$$

where M is nucleon mass and g^2 is an empirical constant. We use mean value $\bar{g}_\pi^2 = \frac{1}{3}(g_{\pi^0}^2 + 2g_{\pi^\pm}^2)$ (table 1) [22]. Experimental observation for total spin-parity of deuteron gives $J^\pi = 1^+$ [23]. The parity conservation and addition angular momenta rules indicate that the ground state of deuteron wave function contained only two 3S_1 and 3D_1 states. The Schrödinger equation with OPEP is divided into two following equations [24],

$$\begin{cases} \left\{ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_r} \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + E_0 - V_C \right\} u(r) = \sqrt{8}V_T(r)\omega(r), \\ \left\{ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_r} \left(\frac{d^2}{dr^2} - \frac{6}{r^2} \right) + E_0 + 2V_T(r) - V_C \right\} \omega(r) = \sqrt{8}V_T(r)u(r), \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where E_0 is energy ground state of deuteron, m_r is the reduced mass of proton-neutron system and $u(r)$ and $\omega(r)$ are the radial wave functions of 3S_1 and 3D_1 states, respectively. It can be interpreted as OPEP breaks the symmetry by splitting the Schrödinger equation into the two coupled equations. We now get back the symmetry by unifying the two wave functions in a general one, as follows,

$$\begin{cases} u(r) = a_S u_0(r) \\ \omega(r) = a_D u_0(r) \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

In fact, this assumption indicates $u(r)$ ($\omega(r)$) is a_S (a_D) projection of $u_0(r)$. If $u_0(r)$ is normalized, we have,

$$\begin{cases} P_S = a_S^2 = \int_0^\infty u^2(r) dr \\ P_D = a_D^2 = \int_0^\infty \omega^2(r) dr \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

where P_S and P_D are 3S_1 and 3D_1 states probabilities, respectively. By replacing Eq.(6) in Eq. (5), is obtained,

$$\begin{cases} a_S \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_r} u_0''(r) = a_S \{V_C(r) - E_0\} u_0(r) + a_D \sqrt{8}V_T(r)u_0(r) \\ a_D \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_r} u_0''(r) = a_D \left\{ \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_r} \frac{6}{r^2} + V_C(r) - 2V_T(r) - E_0 \right\} u_0(r) + a_S \sqrt{8}V_T(r)u_0(r) \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

By adding these two equations, the following simple equation is resulted,

$$\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_r} \frac{u_0''(r)}{u_0(r)} = V_{eff}(r) \quad (9)$$

where the effective potential is,

$$V_{eff}(r) = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_r} \left(-\frac{2m_r}{\hbar^2} E_0 + \frac{6b}{r^2} + \alpha \frac{e^{-r/R}}{r} + \beta \frac{e^{-r/R}}{r^2} + \gamma \frac{e^{-r/R}}{r^3} \right) \quad (10)$$

and constant coefficients are,

$$\begin{cases} b = \frac{a_D}{a_S + a_D} \\ \alpha = \frac{2m_r}{\hbar^2} [\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2 + (\sqrt{8} - 2b)] V_0 \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2 \\ \beta = 3 \frac{2m_r}{\hbar^2} (\sqrt{8} - 2b) V_0 \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2 R \\ \gamma = 3 \frac{2m_r}{\hbar^2} (\sqrt{8} - 2b) V_0 \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2 R^2 \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

The corresponding values for the coefficients are listed in table 2.

2.2. Superpotential and Partner Potentials

In SUSY QM, by definition the superpotential as derivative logarithmic wave function of ground state,

$$W_1(r) = -\frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2m_r}} \frac{d}{dr} \ln u_0(r) \quad (12)$$

the Schrödinger equation as quadratic differential equation becomes the first-order differential equation, as follows,

$$W_1^2(r) \mp \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2m_r}} W_1'(r) = V_{1,2}(r) \quad (13)$$

where up and down signs are for $V_1(r)$ and $V_2(r)$, respectively. This equation is known as Riccati equation and $V_1(r)$ and $V_2(r)$ which are connected by $W_1(r)$ are known as supersymmetric partner potentials. By comparing two Eqs. (10) and (13), we introduce a simple ansatz for superpotential involving exponential function as follows,

$$W_1(r) = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2m_r}} \left(A + \frac{B}{r} + C \frac{e^{-r/R}}{r} + D \frac{e^{-r/R}}{r^2} \right) \quad (14)$$

so that the ground state wave function obtained by replacing this superpotential in Eq. (12) equals to,

$$u_0(r) = N \exp \left\{ -Ar - B \ln(r) + \left(C - \frac{D}{R} \right) \Gamma(0, r/R) - D \frac{e^{-r/R}}{r} \right\} \quad (15)$$

where N is normalization constant and A, B, C and D are constant coefficients to be determined by deuteron ground state properties in next section. The

superpotential $W_1(r)$ (Eq. 14) generates a new effective potential via Riccati equation (Eq. 13) as,

$$V_{1,2}(r) = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_r} \times \left\{ A^2 + \frac{2AB}{r} + \frac{B(B \pm 1)}{r^2} + \alpha_{1,2} \frac{e^{-r/R}}{r} + \beta_{1,2} \frac{e^{-r/R}}{r^2} + \gamma_{1,2} \frac{e^{-r/R}}{r^3} + \left(\frac{C^2}{r^2} + \frac{2CD}{r^3} + \frac{D^2}{r^4} \right) e^{-2r/R} \right\} \quad (16)$$

where the new coefficients are,

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_{1,2} = (2A \pm \frac{1}{R}) C \\ \beta_{1,2} = (2BC + 2AD \pm C \pm \frac{D}{R}) \\ \gamma_{1,2} = 2D (B \pm 1) \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

The obtained values for the coefficients are listed in table 2.

3. Deuteron Ground State Properties

By comparing two potentials $V_{eff}(r)$ (Eq. 10) and $V_1(r)$ (Eq. 16), we see that except for two terms, all terms have the same function (discussed in the next section). By equalling the constant terms, we have,

$$A = \pm \sqrt{\frac{-2m_r E_0}{\hbar^2}} = \pm 0.2316 \quad (18)$$

Here we use experimental value for deuteron ground state energy E_0 (table 1) [25]. The positive sign is a valid selection for A because the negative sign does not satisfy asymptotic condition ($\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} |u_0(r)|^2 \ll 1$) for a bound state. In order to determine other five constants a_S , a_D , B, C and D, we need five equations provided by using the static properties of deuteron ground state, as follows:

1- From the Eq.(7), the normalization of the wave function requires that,

$$P_S + P_D = a_S^2 + a_D^2 = 1 \quad (19)$$

2- The deuteron structure r_{str} and charge r_d radii, were recently determined to use several Lamb shift transitions in muonic deuterium which in by three

times more precision than previous measurements (table 1) [26,27]. On the other hand, deuteron structure radius as a characteristic deuteron size is defined from wave function, theoretically [23],

$$\langle r^2 \rangle_{\text{str}} = \frac{1}{4} \int_0^\infty \{u^2(r) + \omega^2(r)\} r^2 dr \quad (20)$$

By putting Eq. (6) in Eq. (20) and using Eq. (19), the following equation is obtained,

$$\int_0^\infty u_0^2(r) r^2 dr = 15.6 \text{ (fm}^2\text{)} \quad (21)$$

3- The deuteron electric quadrupole moment is determined from the wave functions [23],

$$Q = \int_0^\infty \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{10} u(r) \omega(r) - \frac{1}{20} \omega^2(r) \right\} r^2 dr \quad (22)$$

by using Eq. (6), we have,

$$Q = \left(\sqrt{2} a_S - \frac{a_D}{2} \right) \frac{a_D}{10} \int_0^\infty u_0^2(r) r^2 dr \quad (23)$$

We choose to use the empirical value for the deuteron quadrupole moment (table 1) [23].

4- By equalling the factors of centrifugal potentials $\propto r^{-2}$ from $V_{\text{eff}}(r)$ and $V_1(r)$ we have,

$$B(B+1) = \frac{6a_D}{a_S + a_D} \quad (24)$$

5- If deuteron has at least one bound state, its wave function should have a maximum inside it. We assume the maximum probability take place at $r = R$, therefore,

$$W_1(R) = 0 \quad (25)$$

Finally the normalization constant is acquired by,

$$N = \frac{1}{\int_0^\infty u_0^2(r) r^2 dr} \quad (26)$$

The values are listed in table 2 for constants are obtained by solving these five equations, simultaneously.

4. Results and Discussions

In unbroken SUSY QM, the two quantum systems described by supersymmetric partner potentials have the same energy spectra except for the ground state of $V_1(r)$ [28]. The ground state energy of $V_2(r)$ is equal to the first excited state of $V_1(r)$ and as a result $V_2(r)$ has less one energy level than $V_1(r)$. From the Fig. (1), it is seen that $V_2(r)$ has not the any attractive well and thus bound states. This implies deuteron that is described by $V_1(r)$ is a weakly bound nucleus and has not any bound excited states.

Numerous studies have been shown that the NN potential can be divided to three main regions [29]. At short separation distances ($r \leq 1$ fm) that is so-called hard core, it is repulsive due to Pauli exclusion principle of identical fermions and incompressibility of nuclear matter [30]. Similar to Van-der-Waals force in diatomic molecules, saturation property is due to particle exchange as well as strongly repulsive forces at short distances [31,32]. It means that the nuclear force becomes repulsive when the nucleons try to get too close together. On other hand, at the intermediate-range ($1 \leq r \leq 2$ fm), the NN potential well is attractive and causes to creation bound states. Finally, the OPEP and centrifugal potential are dominated at the long-ranges $r \geq 2$ (fm). In addition, in the asymptotic region ($r \rightarrow \infty$), the potential goes to the zero due to the finite range of nuclear force. Fig. (1) shows that the potential $V_1(r)$ satisfies expected behavior in whole three mentioned regions. To make a comparison, the potentials $V_C(r)$, $V_T(r)$, $V_{eff}(r)$ and superpotential $W_1(r)$ are also depicted in Fig (1). It can be seen that all potentials have the same asymptotic behavior $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} V(r) \rightarrow 0$ at the long ranges. Among them, only $V_1(r)$ satisfies the intermediate and short ranges conditions.

The ground state wave functions of deuteron $u_0(r)$, $u(r)$ and $\omega(r)$ are plotted in Fig. (2). At the short ranges, the wave function is dropped rapidly due to the hard core. The peak of the wave function is located at the intermediate range, near the edge of the well. It is the evidence of a weakly bound state within the potential well. Ultimately, the wave function decreases at the long ranges

gradually.

Let us now compare the new potential $V_1(r)$ (created by superpotential) with $V_{eff}(r)$ (created by OPEP). It is clear that the $V_1(r)$ has two more parts than $V_{eff}(r)$, as follows,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{2AB}{r} \\ \left(\frac{C^2}{r^2} + \frac{2CD}{r^3} + \frac{D^2}{r^4} \right) e^{-2r/R} \end{array} \right. \quad (27)$$

The first part is due to Coulomb potential and the second part is related two-pion exchange potential. It should be noted that these terms are not added to potential $V_1(r)$ by hand but are produced by superpotential. Since $AB < 0$, the Coulomb part implies an electromagnetic attraction between proton and neutron. This may seem strange. Nevertheless, with a closer look at the proton and neutron, we recall these two particles have internal structures and are contained charge particles (quarks). Although, this fact is negligible at long ranges, the effect of the internal structure is important at shorter distances. As a result, the positively charged proton attracts the neutral neutron just like the charged balloon absorbs the neutral wall.

In numerous studies have been shown that one boson exchange potentials (OBEP) are much easier to analyze than multi-meson ones. Therefore, in most models, the multi-pion processes have been considered as the exchange of one combined boson, rather than of multiple pions. To describe the attractive forces in the intermediate range, OBEP models need a roughly 600 MeV 0^+ scalar boson [33]. In fact, many OBEP models use both a 500 MeV and a 700 MeV scalar boson. The existence of such scalar resonances has never been accepted. In this range, two-pion exchanges dominate [34]. In such exchanges, two pions appear during the course of the interaction. The typical range is correspondingly smaller than for one-pion exchanges. Two-pion exchanges are much more difficult to crunch out than one-pion ones. However, the superpotential $W_1(r)$, produces a two-pion exchange term in a simple way.

5. Conclusion

The most common purely phenomenological models of the NN interaction (e.g. CD-Bonn, Reid93 and AV18) are based on the exchange of bosons [35-37]. In the all models, the depth of potential well is inversely proportional to potential width. Moreover, each channel has its specific potential. At the present unified picture, in contrast, V_1 is inseparable to 3S_1 and 3D_1 parts. As a result, the potential V_1 is narrower and deeper than those obtained by the other models. In addition, the 3D_1 state probability, P_D (2-5 %), is close to the lower limit.

Summary, a new superpotential and a corresponding potential have been introduced for deuteron, as follows,

$$\begin{cases} W_{Taha1}(r) = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2m_r}} \left(A + \frac{B}{r} + C \frac{e^{-r/R}}{r} + D \frac{e^{-r/R}}{r^2} \right) \\ V_{Taha1}(r) = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_r} \left\{ A^2 + \frac{2AB}{r} + \frac{B(B+1)}{r^2} + \left(\alpha_1 + \frac{\beta_1}{r} + \frac{\gamma_1}{r^2} \right) \frac{e^{-r/R}}{r} \right. \\ \left. + \left(\frac{C+D}{r} \right)^2 \frac{e^{-2r/R}}{r^2} \right\} \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

which we call "Taha1" because they are the first versions obtained by the superpotential approach. These satisfy different static properties of force between nucleons, such as hard core at the short range, attractive at the intermediate range, finite range, spin and isospin dependencies, central and tensor parts, electromagnetic dependence and pion and two-pion exchanges. Also, some static properties of deuteron are satisfied by the superpotential including unitary and normalization, wave functions, probabilities, binding energy, charge radius, quadrupole moment, existence a weakly bound state as well as the lack of any excited states. Nevertheless, many questions about NN interaction are still unanswered, for instance, magnetic moment, aspect ratio, scattering length, effective range, phase shifts, locality and non-locality properties, energy and momentum dependencies of NN interaction, etc. Furthermore, which Lagrangian can describe the "Taha1" potential? Can superpotential approach answer all questions related to NN interaction? These issues require more detailed studies.

Table 1: Static properties of deuteron.

E_0 (MeV)	\overline{m}_π (MeV/c ²)	\overline{g}_π^2	r_{str} (fm)	r_d (fm)	Q (efm ²)	R (fm)
-2.22456627(46)	138.039006	14.14	1.97507(78)	2.12562(78)	0.2859(3)	1.4295

Table 2: The obtained coefficients for the potentials and superpotential.

a_S	a_D	P_S %	P_D %	A	B	C	D	N
± 0.99049	± 0.13755	98.10	1.89	0.2316	-1.4907	-50.63	38.57	30.6
α	β	γ	α_1	β_1	γ_1	α_2	β_2	γ_2
-1.303	-4.029	-5.76	44.84	-135.30	49.69	-9.11	-141.60	252.22

6. Acknowledgment

The present study was conducted as a sabbatical project at Istanbul university. The authors truly appreciate Golestan and Istanbul Universities for the financial supports and collaborations.




Figure 1: This figure illustrates the partner potentials $V_1(r)$ and V_2 and superpotential $W_1(r)$. The potentials $V_C(r)$, $V_T(r)$, $V_{eff}(r)$ are also depicted to make a comparison.




Figure 2: Deuteron ground state $u_0(r)$, $u(r)$ and $\omega(r)$ wave functions.

References

- [1] S. Chatrchyan et al. (CMS Collaboration), Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC, *Phys. Lett. B* **716** (2012) 30-61.
- [2] B. P. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration), Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116** (2016) 061102.
- [3] P. Ramond, Dual Theory for Free Fermions, *Phys. Rev. D* **3** (1971) 2415.
- [4] A. Neveu and J. H. Schwarz, Factorizable dual model of pions, *Nucl. Phys. B* **31** (1971) 86-112.
- [5] Y. A. Gelfand and E. P. Likhtman, Extension of the Algebra of Poincare Group Generators and Violation of P invariance, *JETP Lett.* **13** (1971) 323-326.
- [6] D. V. Volkov and V. P. Akulov, Is the neutrino a goldstone particle?, *Phys. Lett. B* **46** (1973) 109-110.
- [7] J. Wess and B. Zumino, Supergauge Transformations in Four-Dimensions, *Nucl. Phys. B* **70** (1974) 39-50.
- [8] E. Witten, Dynamical Breaking of Supersymmetry, *Nucl. Phys. B* **185** (1981) 513-554.
- [9] F. Cooper and B. Freedman, Aspects of supersymmetric quantum mechanics, *Ann. Phys. (NY)* **146** (1983) 262-288.
- [10] C. A. Blockley and G. A. Stedman, Simple supersymmetry: I. Basic examples, *Eur. J. Phys.* **6** (1985) 218. 6, 225 (1985).
- [11] A. Khare and U. Sukhatme, Phase-equivalent potentials obtained from supersymmetry, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **22** (1989) 2847.

- [12] L. E. Gendenshtein and I. V. Krive, Supersymmetry in Quantum Mechanics, *Usp. Fiz. Nauk* **146** (1985) 553-590.
- [13] A. Lahiri, P. Roy, and B. Bagchi, ERRATUM: SUPERSYMMETRY IN QUATUM MECHANICS, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **5** (1990) 1383-1456.
- [14] F. Cooper, A. Khare and U. Sukhatme, Supersymmetry and quantum mechanics, *Phys. Rep.* **251** (1995) 267-385.
- [15] H. Yukawa, On the Interaction of Elementary Particles. I, *Proc. Phys. Math. Soc. Jpn.* **17** (1935) 4857.
- [16] C. M. G. Lattes, G. P. S. Occhialini and C. F. Powell, Observations on the Tracks of Slow Mesons in Photographic Emulsions*, *Nature* **160** (1947) 453-456.
- [17] G. P. S. Occhialini and C. F. Powell, NUCLEAR DISINTEGRATIONS PRODUCED BY SLOW CHARGED PARTICLES OF SMALL MASS, *Nature* **159** (1947) 186-190.
- [18] C. A. Bertulani. *Nuclear Physics in a Nutshell*. Princeton University Press, (2007).
- [19] M. A. Preston and R. K. Bhaduri. *Structure of the Nucleus*. Addison-Wesley, (1975).
- [20] M. Naghdi, Comparing some nucleon-nucleon potentials, *Phys. Part. Nucl. Lett.* **11** (2014) 410431.
- [21] J. Iwadare, S. Otsuki, R. Tamagaki and W. Watari, Two-Nucleon Problem with Pion Theoretical Potential, I: Determination of Coupling Constant and Deuteron Problem, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **16** (1956) 455-457.
- [22] V. A. Babenko and N. M. Petrov, Relation between the charged and neutral pionnucleon coupling constants in the Yukawa model, *Phys. Part. Nucl. Lett.* **14** (2017) 5865.

- [23] M. Garçon and J.W. van Orden, The Deuteron: Structure and Form Factors, *Advanc. Nucl. Phys.* **26** (2001) 293-378.
- [24] N. K. Glendenning and G. Kramer, Nucleon-Nucleon Triplet-Even Potentials, *Phys. Rev.* **126** (1962) 2159.
- [25] P. J. Mohr, B. N. Taylor and D. B. Newell, CODATA recommended values of the fundamental physical constants: 2010*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **84** (2012) 1527.
- [26] R. Pohl, et al., Laser spectroscopy of muonic deuterium, *Science* **353** (2016) 669-673.
- [27] O. J. Hernandez, A. Ekström, N. NevoDinur, C. Ji, S. Bacca and N. Barnea, The deuteron-radius puzzle is alive: A new analysis of nuclear structure uncertainties, *Phys. Lett. B* **778** (2018) 377-383.
- [28] G. Dunne and J. Feinberg, Self-isospectral periodic potentials and supersymmetric quantum mechanics, *Phys. Rev. D* **57** (1998) 1271.
- [29] S. S. M. Wong, *Introductory Nuclear Physics*, Wiley-VCH, (1998).
- [30] Y. Wang, C. Guo, Q. Li, A. Le Fvre, Y. Leifels and W. Trautmann, Determination of the nuclear incompressibility from the rapidity-dependent elliptic flow in heavy-ion collisions at beam energies 0.4A1.0A GeV, *Phys. Lett. B* **778** (2018) 207-212.
- [31] W. Heisenberg, ber den Bau der Atomkerne. I, *Z. Physik* **77** (1932) 1-11.
- [32] R. Jastrow, On the Nucleon-Nucleon Interaction, *Phys. Rev.* **81**, 165-170 (1951).
- [33] J. R. Peláez, From controversy to precision on the sigma meson: A review on the status of the non-ordinary $f_0(500)$ resonance, *Phys. Rep.* **658** (2016) 1-111.

- [34] A. Reuber, K. Holinde, H.C. Kim, J. Speth, Nuclear Phys. A **608** (1996) 243.
- [35] R. Machleidt, Phys. Rev. C **63** (2001) 024001.
- [36] V.G.J. Stoks, R.A.M. Klomp, C.P.F. Terheggen, J.J. de Swart, Phys. Rev. C **49** (1994) 2950.
- [37] R.B. Wiringa, V.G.J. Stoks, R. Schiavilla, Phys. Rev. C **51** (1995) 38.