

New Potential for Nucleon-Nucleon Interaction: A Superpotential Approach

T. Koohrokhi^{a,*}, S. Kartal^b

^a*Faculty of Sciences, Golestan University, Shahid Beheshti Street, P.O. Box 155, Gorgan, Iran*

^b*Istanbul University, Department of Physics, 34000, Istanbul, Turkey*

Abstract

The one pion exchange potential works very well at long ranges of nucleon-nucleon interaction and at shorter ranges other processes become important. However, if it is a part of the symmetry of the interaction, like one piece of a puzzle, it can reflect the other aspects of the fact. By the one pion exchange potential approach, the deuteron, as the simplest nucleons bound state, is studied by introducing a simple superpotential. Despite the simplicity of the calculations, the proposed superpotential not only describes well the long ranges of the interaction but also gives desired results for intermediate and short ranges. The static properties of the two-nucleon system, such as wave functions, probabilities, potential, electric quadrupole moment, charge radius as well as binding energy are concluded from the deuteron superpotential. Furthermore, a new potential named "Taha1" and its partner is introduced for nucleon-nucleon interaction that is also applicable for diatomic molecules.

Key words:

Keywords: Superpotential, Nucleon, Yukawa, Pion, Deuteron.

1. Introduction

Symmetry is closely related to harmony, beauty and unity. In physics, it is origin of invariance quantities, conservation laws, continuity equations and

*Corresponding author

Email address: t.koohrokhi@gu.ac.ir (T. Koohrokhi)

wave equations, so that these laws and equations have predicted results, e.g. Higgs boson and gravitational waves, which have taken several years to discover and confirm them [1,2]. Supersymmetry (SUSY), is one of the most beautiful theoretical achievements of scientists that has proposed for explaining physics inconsistencies [3-7]. Supersymmetric quantum mechanics (SUSY QM) is a modern version of quantum mechanics by introducing concepts such as, superpotential, partner potentials, Hamiltonians hierarchy and shape invariant potentials indicates which problems are analytically solvable. [8-14]. Furthermore, approximation methods in SUSY QM provide more accurate results than in quantum mechanics [14].

Deuteron as the simplest nucleus consists of two nucleons. Study of deuteron provides useful information about static nucleon-nucleon (NN) interaction. The Yukawa's hypothesis (1935) was the first theoretical description of the NN interaction, that according to it, fermions interact with each other via the exchange of bosons that masses are related to the range of the interactions [15]. A boson was named π meson (or pion) discovered about 12 years later so that its characteristics were same as that of Yukawa's hypothesis prediction and brought the Nobel Prize to Yukawa [16,17]. The one pion exchange potential (OPEP) is an extended version of Yukawa potential dominates for nucleon spacings above 3 fm, and is reasonable for spacings above 2 fm. The theoretical and experimental studies have shown that the nuclear force is not just a matter of an exchange of single pion [18,19]. Therefore, the exact study of NN interaction requires a more fundamental theory. Nevertheless, with good approximation, at long ranges, nucleons can still be considered structureless particles and OPEP is fitting for describing the NN interaction.

In general, NN interaction has been studied based on several main groups: Quantum Chromo Dynamics (QCD), lattice QCD, Effective Field Theory (EFT), Chiral Perturbation Theory (CHPT), Boson Exchange (BE) models, Mean Field Theory (MFT) and phenomenological NN potentials [20]. In most of the models, potentials have quite complicated structures and described by many parameters. Computational complexities reduce the beauty of theory and make it difficult

to understand and develop. On the other hand, simplifying assumptions may reduce the accuracy of computations. A beautiful theory is a theory that, while having simple calculations and reproduction of expected values, has good insights, predictions and straightforward to develop. This paper is the first study of deuteron static properties by SUSY QM. Given the excellent results achieved, this approach can be developed and completed in the next studies with considering more details of the interaction.

2. Potential and Superpotential

2.1. OPEP and Effective Potential

The OPEP is the potential derived from meson theory in the treatment of the NN system [21], is,

$$V(r) = V_C(r) + S_{12}V_T(r) \quad (1)$$

where r is the distance between nucleons, equal to the length of the vector \mathbf{r} connecting the two nucleons and S_{12} is tensor operator. The first term in the OPEP is the central potential,

$$V_C(r) = V_0(\tau_1 \cdot \tau_2)(\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2) \frac{e^{-r/R}}{r} \quad (2)$$

where $R = \frac{\hbar}{m_\pi c}$ is the typical range of the nuclear force and m_π is pion mass. The neutron-proton interaction involves the exchanges of both the neutral (π^0) and charged (π^\pm) pions. For this reason, we use the averaged-pion mass $\bar{m}_\pi = \frac{1}{3}(m_{\pi^0} + 2m_{\pi^\pm})$ (Tab. 1) [22]. The dot products $\tau_1 \cdot \tau_2$ and $\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2$ involves the isospin and spin of the nucleons, respectively. The second term in the OPEP is called a tensor potential, consists of a radial function and a tensor operator. Its radial part is,

$$V_T = V_0(\tau_1 \cdot \tau_2) \left[1 + 3 \left(\frac{R}{r} \right) + 3 \left(\frac{R}{r} \right)^2 \right] \frac{e^{-r/R}}{r} \quad (3)$$

so that,

$$V_0 = \frac{g^2}{3} \left(\frac{\hbar c}{2MR} \right)^2 \quad (4)$$

where M is nucleon mass and g^2 is an empirical constant. We choose mean value $\bar{g}_\pi^2 = \frac{1}{3}(g_{\pi^0}^2 + 2g_{\pi^\pm}^2)$ (Tab. 1) [22]. Experimental observation for total spin-parity of deuteron gives $J^\pi = 1^+$ [23]. The parity conservation and addition angular momenta rules infer that the ground state of deuteron wave function contained only two 3S_1 and 3D_1 states. The Schrödinger equation with OPEP is split two following equations [24],

$$\begin{cases} \left\{ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_r} \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + E_0 - V_C \right\} u(r) = \sqrt{8}V_T(r)\omega(r), \\ \left\{ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_r} \left(\frac{d^2}{dr^2} - \frac{6}{r^2} \right) + E_0 + 2V_T(r) - V_C \right\} \omega(r) = \sqrt{8}V_T(r)u(r), \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where E_0 is energy ground state of deuteron, m_r is reduced mass of proton-neutron system and $u(r)$ and $\omega(r)$ are the radial wave functions of 3S_1 and 3D_1 states, respectively. In fact, OPEP breaks the symmetry by splitting the Schrödinger equation to the two coupled equations. We now get back the symmetry by unifying the two wave functions in a general one, as follows,,

$$\begin{cases} u(r) = a_S u_0(r) \\ \omega(r) = a_D u_0(r) \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

In fact, this assumption indicates $u(r)$ ($\omega(r)$) is a_S (a_D) projection of $u_0(r)$. If $u_0(r)$ is normalized, we have,

$$\begin{cases} P_S = a_S^2 = \int_0^\infty u^2(r) dr \\ P_D = a_D^2 = \int_0^\infty \omega^2(r) dr \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

where P_S and P_D are 3S_1 and 3D_1 states probabilities, respectively. By replacing Eq.(6) in Eq. (5), is obtained,

$$\begin{cases} a_S \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_r} u_0''(r) = a_S \{V_C(r) - E_0\} u_0(r) + a_D \sqrt{8}V_T(r)u_0(r) \\ a_D \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_r} u_0''(r) = a_D \left\{ \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_r} \frac{6}{r^2} + V_C(r) - 2V_T(r) - E_0 \right\} u_0(r) + a_S \sqrt{8}V_T(r)u_0(r) \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

By adding these two equations, we get the following simple equation,

$$\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_r} \frac{u_0''(r)}{u_0(r)} = V_{eff}(r) \quad (9)$$

where the effective potential is,

$$V_{eff}(r) = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_r} \left(-\frac{2m_r}{\hbar^2} E_0 + \frac{6b}{r^2} + \alpha \frac{e^{-r/R}}{r} + \beta \frac{e^{-r/R}}{r^2} + \gamma \frac{e^{-r/R}}{r^3} \right) \quad (10)$$

and constant coefficients are,

$$\begin{cases} b = \frac{a_D}{a_S + a_D} \\ \alpha = \frac{2m_r}{\hbar^2} [\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2 + (\sqrt{8} - 2b)] V_0 \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2 \\ \beta = 3 \frac{2m_r}{\hbar^2} (\sqrt{8} - 2b) V_0 \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2 R \\ \gamma = 3 \frac{2m_r}{\hbar^2} (\sqrt{8} - 2b) V_0 \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2 R^2 \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

The corresponding values for the coefficients are listed in Tab. 2.

2.2. Superpotential and New Potential

In SUSY QM, by definition the superpotential as derivative logarithmic wave function of ground state,

$$W_1(r) = -\frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2m_r}} \frac{d}{dr} \ln u_0(r) \quad (12)$$

the Schrödinger equation as quadratic differential equation becomes the first-order differential equation,

$$W_1^2(r) - \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2m_r}} W_1'(r) = V_1(r) \quad (13)$$

and an integral term,

$$u_0(r) = N \exp \left\{ -\frac{\sqrt{2m_r}}{\hbar} \int W_1(r) dr \right\} \quad (14)$$

to determine the wave function. The Eq. (13) is known as Riccati equation and N is normalization constant. By comparing two Eqs. (10) and (13), we introduce an ansatz for superpotential involving exponential function as follows,

$$W_1(r) = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2m_r}} \left(A + \frac{B}{r} + C \frac{e^{-r/R}}{r} + D \frac{e^{-r/R}}{r^2} \right) \quad (15)$$

so that the ground state wave function obtained by replacing this superpotential in Eq. (14) equals with,

$$u_0(r) = N \exp \left\{ -Ar - B \ln(r) + \left(C - \frac{D}{R} \right) \Gamma(0, r/R) - D \frac{e^{-r/R}}{r} \right\} \quad (16)$$

where A , B , C and D are constant coefficients which will be determined by deuteron ground state properties in next section. The superpotential $W_1(r)$

(Eq. (15)) generates a new effective potential via Riccati equation (Eq. (13)) as,

$$\begin{aligned}
V_1(r) &= \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_r} \\
&\times \left\{ A^2 + \frac{2AB}{r} + \frac{B(B+1)}{r^2} + \alpha_1 \frac{e^{-r/R}}{r} + \beta_1 \frac{e^{-r/R}}{r^2} + \gamma_1 \frac{e^{-r/R}}{r^3} \right. \\
&\left. + \left(\frac{C^2}{r^2} + \frac{2CD}{r^3} + \frac{D^2}{r^4} \right) e^{-2r/R} \right\} \quad (17)
\end{aligned}$$

where the new coefficients are,

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_1 = (2A + \frac{1}{R}) C \\ \beta_1 = (2BC + 2AD + C + \frac{D}{R}) \\ \gamma_1 = 2D(B + 1) \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

The obtained values for the coefficients are listed in Table 2.

3. Deuteron Ground State Properties

By comparing two potentials $V_{eff}(r)$ (Eq. (10)) and $V_1(r)$ (Eq. (17)), we see that except for two terms, all terms have the same function. By equalling the constant terms, we have,

$$A = \pm \sqrt{\frac{-2m_r E_0}{\hbar^2}} = \begin{cases} A_1 = 0.2316 \\ A_2 = -0.2316 \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

Here we use experimental value for deuteron ground state energy E_0 (Tab. 1) [25]. A_1 is a valid selection for deuteron because the A_2 dose not satisfy asymptotic condition $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} |u_0(r)|^2 \ll 1$ for a bound state. In order to determine other five constants a_S , a_D , B, C and D, we need five equations provided by using the static properties of deuteron ground state, as follows:

1- From the Eq.(7), the normalization of the wave function requires that,

$$P_S + P_D = a_S^2 + a_D^2 = 1 \quad (20)$$

2- The deuteron structure r_{str} and charge r_d radiuses, was recently determined to be using several Lamb shift transitions in muonic deuterium, by three

times the precision compared with previous measurements (Tab. 1) [26,27]. On the other hand, deuteron structure radius as a characteristic deuteron size is defined from wave function, theoretically [23],

$$\langle r^2 \rangle_{\text{str}} = \frac{1}{4} \int_0^\infty \{u^2(r) + \omega^2(r)\} r^2 dr \quad (21)$$

By putting Eq. (6) in Eq. (21) and using Eq. (7), is obtained,

$$\int_0^\infty u_0^2(r) r^2 dr = 15.6 \text{ (fm}^2\text{)} \quad (22)$$

3- The deuteron electric quadrupole moment is determined from the wave functions [23],

$$Q = \int_0^\infty \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{10} u(r)\omega(r) - \frac{1}{20} \omega^2(r) \right\} r^2 dr \quad (23)$$

by using Eq. (6), we have,

$$Q = \left(\sqrt{2} a_S - \frac{a_D}{2} \right) \frac{a_D}{10} \int_0^\infty u_0^2(r) r^2 dr \quad (24)$$

We choose to use the empirical value for the deuteron quadrupole moment (Tab. 1) [23].

4- By equalling the factors of centrifugal potentials $\propto r^{-2}$ from $V_{eff}(r)$ and $V_1(r)$ we have,

$$B(B+1) = \frac{6a_D}{a_S + a_D} \quad (25)$$

5- If deuteron has at least one bound state, its wave function should have a maximum inside it. We assume the maximum probability take place at $r = R$, thus,

$$W_1(R) = 0 \quad (26)$$

Finally the normalization constant is acquired by,

$$N = \frac{1}{\int_0^\infty u_0^2(r) r^2 dr} \quad (27)$$

The values are in Tab. 2 for constants, are obtained by solving these five equations, simultaneously.

4. Results and Discussions

In SUSY QM, a new potential is obtained from superpotential as [14],

$$V_2(r) = W_1^2(r) + \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2m_r}} W_1'(r) \quad (28)$$

The two potentials $V_1(r)$ and $V_2(r)$ which are connected by $W_1(r)$ are known as supersymmetric partner potentials. Replacing the $W_1(r)$ from Eq. (15) to Eq. (28), leads,

$$\begin{aligned} V_2(r) = & \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_r} \\ & \times \left\{ A^2 + \frac{2AB}{r} + \frac{B(B-1)}{r^2} + \alpha_1 \frac{e^{-r/R}}{r} + \beta_1 \frac{e^{-r/R}}{r^2} + \gamma_1 \frac{e^{-r/R}}{r^3} \right. \\ & \left. + \left(\frac{C^2}{r^2} + \frac{2CD}{r^3} + \frac{D^2}{r^4} \right) e^{-2r/R} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where the coefficients are (Tab. 2),

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_2 = (2A - \frac{1}{R}) C \\ \beta_2 = (2BC + 2AD - C - \frac{D}{R}) \\ \gamma_2 = 2D(B - 1) \end{cases} \quad (30)$$

The two quantum systems described by supersymmetric partner potentials have the same energy spectra except for the ground state of $V_1(r)$ [28]. The ground state energy of $V_2(r)$ is equal to the first excited state of $V_1(r)$ and as a result $V_2(r)$ has less one energy level than $V_1(r)$. The two partner potentials are illustrated in Fig. (1). It is seen that $V_2(r)$ has not the attractive well and thus any bound states. It means that deuteron that is described by $V_1(r)$ is a weakly bound nucleus and has not any bound excited states.

Studies have shown that the NN potential is composed of three main regions [29]. At short separation distances ($r \leq 1$ fm) that is so-called hard core, it is repulsive due to Pauli exclusion principle of identical fermions and incompressibility of nuclear matter [30]. Similar to Van-der-Waals force in diatomic molecules, saturation property is due to exchange and strongly repulsive forces at short distances [31,32]. It means that the nuclear force becomes repulsive when the nucleons try to get too close together. At the intermediate-range

($1 \leq r \leq 2$) (fm) the NN potential well is attractive and causes to creation bound states. The OPEP and centrifugal potential are dominate at the long-ranges $r \geq 2$ (fm). Furthermore, in the asymptotic region ($r \rightarrow \infty$), the potential goes to the zero due to the finite range of nuclear force. Fig. (1) shows that the potential $V_1(r)$ satisfies expected behavior in whole mentioned regions. To make a comparison, the potentials $V_C(r)$, $V_T(r)$, $V_{eff}(r)$ and superpotential $W_1(r)$ are also depicted in Fig (1). All potentials have the same asymptotic behavior $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} V(r) \rightarrow 0$ at the long ranges. Among them, only $V_1(r)$ satisfies the intermediate and short ranges conditions. It should be noted that the potential $V_1(r)$ is created by the superpotential $W_1(r)$ that has the similar manner with $V_{eff}(r)$ (exponentially decay).

The ground state wave functions of deuteron $u_0(r)$, $u(r)$ and $\omega(r)$ are plotted in Fig. (2). At the short ranges, the wave function is dropped rapidly due to the hard core. The peak of the wave function is located at the intermediate range, near the edge of the well. It is the evidence of a weakly bound state within the potential well. Ultimately, the wave function decreases gradually at the long ranges.

Let us now compare the new potential $V_1(r)$ (create by superpotential) with $V_{eff}(r)$ (create by OPEP). It is clear that the $V_1(r)$ has two more parts than $V_{eff}(r)$, as follows,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{2AB}{r} \\ \left(\frac{C^2}{r^2} + \frac{2CD}{r^3} + \frac{D^2}{r^4} \right) e^{-2r/R} \end{array} \right. \quad (31)$$

The first part is due to Coulomb potential and the second part is related two-pion exchange potential. It should be noted that these terms are not added to potential $V_1(r)$ by hand but reproduced by superpotential. Since $AB < 0$, the Coulomb part evidences an electromagnetic attraction between proton and neutron. This may seem strange. Nevertheless, with a closer look at the proton and neutron, we remember that these two particles have internal structures contained charge particles (quarks). Although, this fact is negligible at long ranges, the effect of the internal structure is important at shorter distances. As a result, the positively charged proton attracts the neutral neutron just like the

charged balloon absorbs the neutral wall.

One-meson exchanges are much easier to analyze than multi-meson ones. Therefore physicists may model the multi-pion processes as the exchange of one combined boson, rather than of multiple pions. That produces so-called one boson exchange potentials (OBEP). To describe the attractive forces in the intermediate range, OBEP models need a roughly 600 MeV 0^+ scalar boson [33]. In fact, many OBEP models use both a 500 MeV and a 700 MeV scalar boson. The existence of such scalar resonances has never been accepted. In this range, two-pion exchanges dominate. In such exchanges, two pions appear during the course of the interaction. The typical range is correspondingly smaller than for one-pion exchanges. Two-pion exchanges are much more difficult to crunch out than one-pion ones. However, the superpotential $W_1(r)$, produces a two-pion exchange term in a simple way.

Summary, we have introduced a superpotential and a new potential for NN interaction, as follows,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} W_{Taha1}(r) = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2m_r}} \left(A + \frac{B}{r} + C \frac{e^{-r/R}}{r} + D \frac{e^{-r/R}}{r^2} \right) \\ V_{Taha1}(r) = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_r} \left\{ A^2 + \frac{2AB}{r} + \frac{B(B+1)}{r^2} + \left(\alpha_1 + \frac{\beta_1}{r} + \frac{\gamma_1}{r^2} \right) \frac{e^{-r/R}}{r} \right. \\ \left. + \left(\frac{C+D}{r} \right)^2 \frac{e^{-2r/R}}{r^2} \right\} \end{array} \right. \quad (32)$$

We have named these "Taha1" because they are first versions have obtained by superpotential approach. These superpotential and potential satisfy different static properties of force between nucleons that confirmed by experiments, such as hard core, nuclear well at intermediate ranges, attractive, finite range, spin and isospin dependencies, central and tensor part, electromagnetic dependence and pion and two-pion exchanges. Nevertheless, the dynamic aspects of NN interaction have also very important roles. The NN potential can be develop to complete form by superpotential approach in the next studies.

Table 1: Static properties of deuteron.

E_0 (MeV)	\overline{m}_π (MeV/c ²)	\overline{g}_π^2	r_{str} (fm)	r_d (fm)	Q (efm ²)	R (fm)
-2.22456627(46)	138.039006	14.14	1.97507(78)	2.12562(78)	0.2859(3)	1.4295

Table 2: The obtained coefficients for the potentials and superpotential.

a_S	a_D	P_S %	P_D %	A	B	C	D	N
± 0.99049	± 0.13755	98.10	1.89	0.2316	-1.4907	-50.63	38.57	30.6
α	β	γ	α_1	β_1	γ_1	α_2	β_2	γ_2
-1.303	-4.029	-5.76	44.84	-135.30	49.69	-9.11	-141.60	252.22




Figure 1: This figure illustrates the partner potentials $V_1(r)$ and V_2 and superpotential $W_1(r)$. The potentials $V_C(r)$, $V_T(r)$, $V_{eff}(r)$ are also depicted to make a comparison.




Figure 2: Deuteron ground state $u_0(r)$, $u(r)$ and $\omega(r)$ wave functions.

References

- [1] S. Chatrchyan et al. (CMS Collaboration), Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC, *Phys. Lett. B* **716** (2012) 30-61.
- [2] B. P. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration), Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116** (2016) 061102.
- [3] P. Ramond, Dual Theory for Free Fermions, *Phys. Rev. D* **3** (1971) 2415.
- [4] A. Neveu and J. H. Schwarz, Factorizable dual model of pions, *Nucl. Phys. B* **31** (1971) 86-112.
- [5] Y. A. Gelfand and E. P. Likhtman, Extension of the Algebra of Poincare Group Generators and Violation of P invariance, *JETP Lett.* **13** (1971) 323-326.
- [6] D. V. Volkov and V. P. Akulov, Is the neutrino a goldstone particle?, *Phys. Lett. B* **46** (1973) 109-110.
- [7] J. Wess and B. Zumino, Supergauge Transformations in Four-Dimensions, *Nucl. Phys. B* **70** (1974) 39-50.
- [8] E. Witten, Dynamical Breaking of Supersymmetry, *Nucl. Phys. B* **185** (1981) 513-554.
- [9] F. Cooper and B. Freedman, Aspects of supersymmetric quantum mechanics, *Ann. Phys. (NY)* **146** (1983) 262-288.
- [10] C. A. Blockley and G. A. Stedman, Simple supersymmetry: I. Basic examples, *Eur. J. Phys.* **6** (1985) 218. 6, 225 (1985).
- [11] A. Khare and U. Sukhatme, Phase-equivalent potentials obtained from supersymmetry, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **22** (1989) 2847.

- [12] L. E. Gendenshtein and I. V. Krive, Supersymmetry in Quantum Mechanics, *Usp. Fiz. Nauk* **146** (1985) 553-590.
- [13] A. Lahiri, P. Roy, and B. Bagchi, ERRATUM: SUPERSYMMETRY IN QUATUM MECHANICS, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **5** (1990) 1383-1456.
- [14] F. Cooper, A. Khare and U. Sukhatme, Supersymmetry and quantum mechanics, *Phys. Rep.* **251** (1995) 267-385.
- [15] H. Yukawa, On the Interaction of Elementary Particles. I, *Proc. Phys. Math. Soc. Jpn.* **17** (1935) 4857.
- [16] C. M. G. Lattes, G. P. S. Occhialini and C. F. Powell, Observations on the Tracks of Slow Mesons in Photographic Emulsions*, *Nature* **160** (1947) 453-456.
- [17] G. P. S. Occhialini and C. F. Powell, NUCLEAR DISINTEGRATIONS PRODUCED BY SLOW CHARGED PARTICLES OF SMALL MASS, *Nature* **159** (1947) 186-190.
- [18] C. A. Bertulani. *Nuclear Physics in a Nutshell*. Princeton University Press, (2007).
- [19] M. A. Preston and R. K. Bhaduri. *Structure of the Nucleus*. Addison-Wesley, (1975).
- [20] M. Naghdi, Comparing some nucleon-nucleon potentials, *Phys. Part. Nucl. Lett.* **11** (2014) 410431.
- [21] J. Iwadare, S. Otsuki, R. Tamagaki and W. Watari, Two-Nucleon Problem with Pion Theoretical Potential, I: Determination of Coupling Constant and Deuteron Problem, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **16** (1956) 455-457.
- [22] V. A. Babenko and N. M. Petrov, Relation between the charged and neutral pionnucleon coupling constants in the Yukawa model, *Phys. Part. Nucl. Lett.* **14** (2017) 5865.

- [23] N. K. Glendenning and G. Kramer, Nucleon-Nucleon Triplet-Even Potentials, *Phys. Rev.* **126** (1962) 2159.
- [24] P. J. Mohr, B. N. Taylor and D. B. Newell, CODATA recommended values of the fundamental physical constants: 2010*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **84** (2012) 1527.
- [25] R. Pohl, et al., Laser spectroscopy of muonic deuterium, *Science* **353** (2016) 669-673.
- [26] O. J. Hernandez, A. Ekström, N. NevoDinur, C. Ji, S. Bacca and N. Barnea, The deuteron-radius puzzle is alive: A new analysis of nuclear structure uncertainties, *Phys. Lett. B* **778** (2018) 377-383.
- [27] M. Garçon and J.W. van Orden, The Deuteron: Structure and Form Factors, *Advanc. Nucl. Phys.* **26** (2001) 293-378.
- [28] G. Dunne and J. Feinberg, Self-isospectral periodic potentials and supersymmetric quantum mechanics, *Phys. Rev. D* **57** (1998) 1271.
- [29] S. S. M. Wong, *Introductory Nuclear Physics*, Wiley-VCH, (1998).
- [30] Y. Wang, C. Guo, Q. Li, A. Le Fèvre, Y. Leifels and W. Trautmann, Determination of the nuclear incompressibility from the rapidity-dependent elliptic flow in heavy-ion collisions at beam energies 0.4A1.0A GeV, *Phys. Lett. B* **778** (2018) 207-212.
- [31] W. Heisenberg, über den Bau der Atomkerne. I, *Z. Physik* **77** (1932) 1-11.
- [32] R. Jastrow, On the Nucleon-Nucleon Interaction, *Phys. Rev.* **81**, 165-170 (1951).
- [33] J. R. Peláez, From controversy to precision on the sigma meson: A review on the status of the non-ordinary $f_0(500)$ resonance, *Phys. Rep.* **658** (2016) 1-111.