

**\*\*Volume Title\*\***

*ASP Conference Series, Vol. \*\*Volume Number\*\**

**\*\*Author\*\***

© **\*\*Copyright Year\*\*** *Astronomical Society of the Pacific*

## Tidal Disruption Events

Sjoert van Velzen,<sup>1</sup> Geoffrey C. Bower,<sup>2</sup> and Brian D. Metzger<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*New York University, New York, NY 10003, USA; sjoert@nyu.edu*

<sup>2</sup>*Academia Sinica Institute of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Hilo, HI 96720, USA*

<sup>3</sup>*Columbia Astrophysics Laboratory, New York, NY 10027, USA*

**Abstract.** The tidal disruption and subsequent accretion of a star by a supermassive black hole can be used as a laboratory to study the physics of relativistic jets. The ngVLA is the only planned instrument that can both discover and characterize a large number of these short-lived radio sources. In particular the high-frequency capabilities of the ngVLA enable this important leap forward. Multi-frequency radio follow-up observations (3 – 100 GHz) of tidal disruption events found in optical or X-ray surveys will provide a measurement of the jet efficiency as a function of black hole spin, thus enabling a direct test of the prediction that relativistic jets require high spin. Hundreds of tidal disruption jets will be discovered in a blind ngVLA survey for radio transients. By including VLBI observations with the ngVLA Long Baseline Array, we can resolve some of these sources, obtaining a robust measurement of the jet launch date and the magnetic field strength. From the thermal emission of the tidal disruption flare we can measure the accretion rate at this launch date, thus providing another unique opportunity to identify the conditions that lead to jet production.

### 1. Introduction: Thermal and Non-Thermal Emission

A rare glimpse into the properties of normally quiescent massive black holes is afforded when a star passes sufficiently close that it is torn apart by the black hole’s tidal gravitational field (Hills 1975). The process of disruption leaves a significant fraction of the shredded star gravitationally bound to the black hole (Rees 1988; Evans & Kochanek 1989) and this stellar debris has been predicted to power a thermal flare at optical, UV, and X-ray wavelengths. Over a dozen such thermal tidal disruption flare (TDF) candidates have now been identified (Komossa 2015; van Velzen 2018 and references therein). Based on the current detection rate in optical surveys, we expect that the Large Synoptic Survey Telescope (LSST) will yield thousands of thermal TDFs per year (Gezari et al. 2009; van Velzen et al. 2011).

Radio follow-up observations of thermal TDFs have mostly yielded non-detections (Bower et al. 2013; van Velzen et al. 2013; Arcavi et al. 2014). Only two low-redshift thermal TDFs ( $z < 0.02$ ) have been detected in radio follow-up observations (van Velzen et al. 2016; Alexander et al. 2016, 2017). The origin of this radio emission is long debated, both a jet (van Velzen et al. 2011), a disk-wind (Alexander et al. 2016), or unbound stellar debris (Krolik et al. 2016) have been proposed. For one event (ASASSN-14li; Holoiën et al. 2016), the detection of a cross-correlation between




Figure 1. Radio light curve (15 GHz) of two archetype radio-emitting TDFs. The dashed line indicates a  $5\sigma$  detection threshold of a potential ngVLA transient survey using 10 minute snapshots at 8 GHz (Band 2) to a reach an image rms of  $1 \mu\text{Jy}/\text{beam}$ . If most thermal TDFs are accompanied by radio emission similar to ASASSN-14li, a blind ngVLA survey covering  $300 \text{ deg}^2$  should detect  $\sim 10$  of these events per year, the expected detection rate of events similar to Swift J1644+57 is an order of magnitude higher.

the X-ray and radio light curves provides evidence that the radio emission is produced internal to a moderately relativistic jet (Pasham & van Velzen 2018).

While most known TDFs are dominated by thermal emission, a second class of events is discovered via non-thermal  $\gamma$ -ray emission. The most famous example in this class is Swift J1644+57 (Bloom et al. 2011; Levan et al. 2011; Burrows et al. 2011; Zauderer et al. 2011). This source was characterized by powerful ( $\nu L_\nu \sim 10^{47} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ ) hard X-ray emission. The long duration of Swift J1644+57 and a position coincident with the nucleus of a previously quiescent galaxy led to the conclusion that it was powered by rapid accretion onto the central black hole following a stellar disruption. The rapid X-ray variability suggested an origin internal to a jet that is relativistically beaming its radiation along our line of sight, similar to the blazar geometry of normal active galactic nuclei (Bloom et al. 2011).

Swift J1644+57 was also characterized by luminous synchrotron radio emission, that brightened gradually over the course of several months (Berger et al. 2012; Zauderer et al. 2013), as shown in Figure 1. This radio emission resulted from the shock interaction between the stellar tidal disruption jet and the external gas surrounding the black hole (Giannios & Metzger 2011), similar to a gamma-ray burst afterglow. Two more jetted tidal disruption events with similar X-ray and radio properties to Swift J1644+57 have been found (Cenko et al. 2012; Pasham et al. 2015; Brown et al. 2015).

## 2. Using Tidal Disruption Events to Study the Physics of Jet Launching

When a central object gathers mass through an accretion disk, jets are ubiquitous. Jets transport energy away from the disk, thus greatly enhancing the scale at which the accreting object (neutron star, black hole, or protostar) can influence its environment. As discussed in detail in earlier chapters of this book (e.g., Nyland et al. 2018, this volume), jets from supermassive black holes can provide strong negative feedback onto their host galaxy. However, adding jet mode feedback to cosmological galaxy simulations (e.g., Vogelsberger et al. 2014) requires a key ingredient that is currently missing: the jet duty cycle and lifetime. If powerful, long-lived jets can only be produced for a small fraction of active galactic nuclei (AGN), jet feedback will be limited to the subclass of galaxies that host these black holes. On the other hand, AGN may experience multiple cycles of jet production, implying a higher efficiency of inter-galaxy jet feedback. These two scenarios, long-lived versus intermittent jets, broadly correspond to two opposing theories of jet production: the spin paradigm and the accretion paradigm.

In the spin paradigm, jets are powered by the rotational energy of the black hole, which can be tapped using the magnetic field lines that thread the black hole horizon (Blandford & Znajek 1977). Only rapidly spinning black holes are observed to be radio loud. Alternatively, the low number of radio-loud quasars (e.g., Kellermann et al. 1989) can be explained by intermittent jet production due to a state change of the accretion disk (Falcke et al. 2004; Körding et al. 2006). This accretion-paradigm is motivated by observations of stellar mass black holes in X-ray binaries, where jet production triggered by a state change of the accretion disk can be observed directly (Fender, Belloni, & Gallo 2004).

The current body of observations of AGN is not sufficient to solve the problem of jet launching because state changes of these systems are nearly<sup>1</sup> impossible to observe directly due to the long timescales associated with the feeding of AGN. A tidal disruption event provides an opportunity to observe jets from supermassive black holes in real time. Since the fallback rate onto the black hole changes from super-Eddington to sub-Eddington in a few months to years, we may expect jet production due to state changes of the accretion disk (Giannios & Metzger 2011; van Velzen et al. 2011). However our current radio observations of tidal disruption are not yet sufficient to make unambiguous inference on jet production. First of all, the number of radio-detected sources is very small. Second, the nature of radio emission from thermal TDFs is unclear. And third, the event rate of the non-thermal TDFs with powerful jets is unknown.

The ngVLA will overcome all these limitations at once. A key element that makes this possible is the increased sensitivity at high-frequency ( $\nu > 3$  GHz). Due to synchrotron self-absorption, TDF jets – like most synchrotron-powered transients – are very faint at low radio frequencies. Only when the source grows large enough, it becomes bright at  $\nu \sim 1$  GHz. But at this large size, the typical timescale of the radio emission is long ( $\sim 1$  yr); since this timescale is similar to the cadence of most surveys, the amplitude of most synchrotron transients observed at low frequencies is small. Finally, with the high angular resolution of the ngVLA Long Baseline Array we can resolve radio emission from TDFs and thus watch these newborn jets grow with time.

---

<sup>1</sup>The so-called changing look AGN (e.g., LaMassa et al. 2015) could present an exception to normal AGN variability (Graham et al. 2017) and can be used to study the jet-disk connection over  $\sim 10$  yr timescales (e.g., Koay et al. 2016).

In the next two subsections we discuss how the ngVLA can revolutionize TDF research using two different modes of observation: follow-up and discovery.

### 2.1. Follow-up of TDFs with the ngVLA

Radio follow-up observations with current facilities have only yielded detections for low-redshift TDFs ( $z < 0.05$ ). Current optical surveys for thermal TDFs are nearly complete for these nearby events, finding about one per year. While the LSST and other optical or X-ray surveys will increase the detection rate of thermal TDFs to thousands per year, the majority of these will be far too distant to be detectable with Jansky VLA follow-up observations. This implies the detection rate of radio-emitting thermal TDFs will fall behind the overall detection rate of TDFs.

A tenfold increase to the sensitivity of the Jansky VLA will push the maximum redshift for detection (within 10 min of integration time per source) of radio emission from thermal TDFs to  $z = 0.2$ . The order of magnitude increase to the detection rate will enable measurements of the incidence of radio emission as a function of host galaxy properties. Most importantly, we can measure the jet production efficiency as a function of black hole spin. Disruptions by black holes more massive than  $10^8 M_\odot$  are visible only when the black hole is spinning (Stone et al. 2018). Such events are rare, but the first TDF candidate from a black hole above this critical mass was recently detected (Leloudas et al. 2016; Margutti et al. 2017; Krühler et al. 2018)—however see Dong et al. (2016) for different interpretation. To conclude, ngVLA follow-up observations of thermal TDFs will yield a measurement of the radio luminosity as a function of black hole spin, thus providing a crucial test of the spin paradigm of jet production.

### 2.2. Discovery of TDFs in Blind ngVLA surveys

Thanks to their high luminosity, radio emission from non-thermal TDF jets (such as Swift J1644+57) can be detected by current radio surveys well into the dawn of galaxy formation (Metzger, Williams, & Berger 2015). As demonstrated in van Velzen et al. (2013), the detection rate of these events in surveys for radio transients can be estimated using the observed light curve of Swift J1644+57. This estimate requires the volumetric event rate, which can in turn be estimated from the observed rate of on-axis tidal disruption jets ( $\sim 10^{-2} \text{ Gpc}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ , based on the *Swift* data; Brown et al. 2015; Levan et al. 2016) and applying a beaming correction ( $\delta$ ) to obtain the isotropic rate. The beaming correction can both be estimated from the requirement that the intrinsic X-ray luminosity should not exceed the Eddington limit, or by modeling the radio light curves. Both of these approaches yield  $\delta \sim 10^2$ , hence the volumetric rate of events similar to Swift J1644+57 can be estimated to be  $\sim 1 \text{ Gpc}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ .

Using a model to predict the off-axis light curve of events similar to Swift J1644+57, the resulting detection rate of off-axis TDF jets in VLASS is about 10 per year. However the cadence of the VLASS is low ( $\approx 16$  months), hence most sources will be detected after maximum light. The cadence of surveys at  $\approx 1$  GHz by SKA precursors, such as VAST (Murphy et al. 2013) and ThunderKAT (Fender et al. 2017), is higher. The detection rate for off-axis TDF jets in these surveys is also about 10 per year (Metzger et al. 2015). However, due to the very shallow amplitude of the 1 GHz light curves, the identification of these events using only SKA data will be difficult; coordinated observations at higher frequencies (X-ray or optical) will be required (Donnarumma & Rossi 2015; Donnarumma et al. 2015). Follow-up observations of SKA-discovered TDFs with the VLA or ALMA are difficult because the emission at these higher ra-

radio frequencies fades within months to weeks, while the emission at 1 GHz is delayed by about a year. Therefore most TDFs candidates found in SKA surveys will have monochromatic radio light curves.

The high frequency capabilities for the ngVLA will enable both the discovery *and* characterization of TDFs in blind radio surveys. Using observations from 3 to 100 GHz, we can measure the total energy of the jet and estimate the expansion velocity. By comparing the event rate from this blind radio survey to the observed rate of TDFs found by *Swift* we obtained the beaming factor ( $\delta$ ). The detection of a low beaming factor will imply a super-Eddington luminosity for the hard X-ray, as suggested in some models of Swift J1644+57 (Kara et al. 2016). Scaling from estimates by Metzger et al. (2015) for the number of TDFs in VLASS (using  $10^4 \text{ deg}^2$  at an rms of 0.1 mJy/beam), we can expect  $\sim 10^2$  events per year for an ngVLA transient survey in Band 1 (3 GHz).

For some low-redshift TDFs with powerful jets we can resolve the new radio source. With ngVLA observations in Band 4 ( $\approx 30$  GHz, yielding a maximum resolution of a few mas) we can likely resolve jets similar to Swift J1644+57 at  $z < 0.1$  within one year after launch. The number of detections at this redshift is low ( $< 1$  per year); including VLBI to the ngVLA would significantly increase the detection rate. Resolving a newly created jet presents a unique opportunity, allowing a measurement of the magnetic field of the radio-emitting region without using the equipartition assumption (e.g., Falcke et al. 1999). Furthermore, by extrapolating the source size measurements backwards in time, we obtain the launch date of the jet. This launch date can be compared to the light curve of the TDF disk emission, providing a direct test of the prediction that jet launching requires super-Eddington accretion rates (e.g., Tchekhovskoy et al. 2014).

Comparing the rate of tidal disruption jets detected in ngVLA surveys to the rate of TDFs detected by their thermal disk emission (in X-ray or optical surveys) we obtain the jet efficiency (i.e., the fraction of disruptions that lead to jets). Using multi-frequency radio light curves we can measure jet efficiency as a function of the total jet energy. Within the accretion paradigm we would expect that the efficiency is constant because the jet energy reflects the amount of stellar debris that was accreted. If, on the other hand, jets are powered by spin, we would expect a few TDFs with a total jet energy that exceeds the rest-mass energy of the accreted debris.

In this chapter we focused on using radio observations of TDFs to constrain models of jet formation. Yet the radio light curves of these sources can also be used as tools to measure the gas density in nuclei of their host galaxies (Zauderer et al. 2011; Berger et al. 2012; Generozov et al. 2017; Eftekhari et al. 2018). Since we can detect sources similar to Swift J1644+57 to very high redshifts (Figure 1), we can use ngVLA observations to probe the environments of black holes in the earliest stages of their growth.

## References

- Alexander, K. D., Berger, E., Guillochon, J., Zauderer, B. A., & Williams, P. K. G. 2016, ApJ, 819, L25  
 Alexander, K. D., Wieringa, M. H., Berger, E., Saxton, R. D., & Komossa, S. 2017, ApJ, 837, 153  
 Arcavi, I., Gal-Yam, A., Sullivan, M., et al. 2014, ApJ, 793, 38  
 Berger, E., Zauderer, A., Pooley, G. G., et al. 2012, ApJ, 748, 36  
 Blandford, R. D., & Znajek, R. L. 1977, MNRAS, 179, 433  
 Bloom, J. S., Giannios, D., Metzger, B. D., et al. 2011, Science, 333, 203

- Bower, G. C., Metzger, B. D., Cenko, S. B., Silverman, J. M., & Bloom, J. S. 2013, *ApJ*, 763, 84
- Brown, G. C., Levan, A. J., Stanway, E. R., et al. 2015, *MNRAS*, 452, 4297
- Burrows, D. N., Kennea, J. A., Ghisellini, G., et al. 2011, *Nat*, 476, 421
- Cenko, S. B., Bloom, J. S., Kulkarni, S. R., et al. 2012, *MNRAS*, 420, 2684
- Dong, S., Shappee, B. J., Prieto, J. L., et al. 2016, *Science*, 351, 257
- Donnarumma, I., & Rossi, E. M. 2015, *ApJ*, 803, 36
- Donnarumma, I., Rossi, E. M., Fender, R., et al. 2015, *Advancing Astrophysics with the Square Kilometre Array (AASKA14)*, 54
- Eftekhari, T., Berger, E., Zauderer, B. A., Margutti, R., & Alexander, K. D. 2018, *ApJ*, 854, 86
- Evans, C. R., & Kochanek, C. S. 1989, *ApJ*, 346, L13
- Falcke, H., Körding, E., & Markoff, S. 2004, *A&A*, 414, 895
- Falcke, H., Bower, G. C., Lobanov, A. P., et al. 1999, *ApJ*, 514, L17
- Fender, R., Woudt, P., Armstrong, R., et al. 2017, *arXiv:1711.04132*
- Fender, R. P., Belloni, T. M., & Gallo, E. 2004, *MNRAS*, 355, 1105
- Generozov, A., Mimica, P., Metzger, B. D., et al. 2017, *MNRAS*, 464, 2481
- Gezari, S., Heckman, T., Cenko, S. B., et al. 2009, *ApJ*, 698, 1367
- Giannios, D., & Metzger, B. D. 2011, *MNRAS*, 416, 2102
- Graham, M. J., Djorgovski, S. G., Drake, A. J., et al. 2017, *MNRAS*, 470, 4112
- Hills, J. G. 1975, *Nat*, 254, 295
- Holoien, T. W.-S., Kochanek, C. S., Prieto, J. L., et al. 2016, *MNRAS*, 455, 2918
- Kara, E., Miller, J. M., Reynolds, C., & Dai, L. 2016, *Nat*, 535, 388
- Kellermann, K. I., Sramek, R., Schmidt, M., Shaffer, D. B., & Green, R. 1989, *AJ*, 98, 1195
- Koay, J. Y., Vestergaard, M., Bignall, H. E., Reynolds, C., & Peterson, B. M. 2016, *MNRAS*, 460, 304
- Komossa, S. 2015, *Journal of High Energy Astrophysics*, 7, 148
- Körding, E. G., Jester, S., & Fender, R. 2006, *MNRAS*, 372, 1366
- Krolik, J., Piran, T., Svirski, G., & Cheng, R. M. 2016, *ApJ*, 827, 127
- Krüehler, T., Fraser, M., Leloudas, G., et al. 2018, *A&A*, 610, A14
- LaMassa, S. M., Cales, S., Moran, E. C., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, 800, 144
- Leloudas, G., Fraser, M., Stone, N. C., et al. 2016, *Nature Astronomy*, 1, 0002
- Levan, A. J., Tanvir, N. R., Cenko, S. B., et al. 2011, *Science*, 333, 199
- Levan, A. J., Tanvir, N. R., Brown, G. C., et al. 2016, *ApJ*, 819, 51
- Margutti, R., Metzger, B. D., Chornock, R., et al. 2017, *ApJ*, 836, 25
- Metzger, B. D., Williams, P. K. G., & Berger, E. 2015, *ApJ*, 806, 224
- Murphy, T., Chatterjee, S., Kaplan, D. L., et al. 2013, *PASA*, 30, e006
- Pasham, D. R., & van Velzen, S. 2018, *ApJ*, 856, 14
- Pasham, D. R., Cenko, S. B., Levan, A. J., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, 805, 68
- Rees, M. J. 1988, *Nat*, 333, 523
- Stone, N. C., Kesden, M., Cheng, R. M., & Velzen, S. v. 2018, *arXiv:1801.10180v1*
- Tchekhovskoy, A., Metzger, B. D., Giannios, D., & Kelley, L. Z. 2014, *MNRAS*, 437, 2744
- van Velzen, S. 2018, *ApJ*, 852, 72
- van Velzen, S., Frail, D. A., Körding, E., & Falcke, H. 2013, *A&A*, 552, A5
- van Velzen, S., Körding, E., & Falcke, H. 2011, *MNRAS*, 417, L51
- van Velzen, S., Farrar, G. R., Gezari, S., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, 741, 73
- van Velzen, S., Anderson, G. E., Stone, N. C., et al. 2016, *Science*, 351, 62
- Vogelsberger, M., Genel, S., Springel, V., et al. 2014, *Nat*, 509, 177
- Zauderer, B. A., Berger, E., Margutti, R., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, 767, 152
- Zauderer, B. A., Berger, E., Soderberg, A. M., et al. 2011, *Nat*, 476, 425