

An algebraic approach to Harder-Narasimhan filtrations

Hipolito Treffinger

Abstract

In this article we study chains of torsion classes in an abelian category \mathcal{A} . We prove that each chain of torsion classes induce a Harder-Narasimhan filtration for every nonzero object M in \mathcal{A} , generalising a well-known property of stability conditions. We also characterise the slicings of \mathcal{A} in terms of chain of torsion classes. We finish the paper by showing that chains of torsion classes induce wall-crossing formulas in the completed Hall algebra of the category.

1 Introduction

The study of stability conditions started with the introduction of Geometric Invariant Theory in [23] and quickly became an important tool in the study of moduli spaces in algebraic geometry. Inspired by problems of a similar nature arising in other areas of mathematics, many authors adapted the notion of stability conditions to different contexts such as quiver representations [26], abelian categories [20, 25], and triangulated categories [4].

The philosophy behind stability conditions is that every stability condition determines some distinguished objects which behave better than the original category, which are known as *semistable objects*. A key property of stability conditions is that every object in the category admits a filtration by semistable objects that is unique up to isomorphism. This filtration is known as the *Harder-Narasimhan* filtration in honour of Harder and Narasimhan, whose work described this phenomena for the first time in [16].

Motivated by developments in physics [22], many mathematicians are making efforts to the calculate the Donaldson-Thomas invariants of Calabi-Yau threefolds. One of the most successful strategies to calculate these invariants relies on the existence of Harder-Narasimhan filtrations and the effect that these filtrations have in the Hall algebra of the corresponding category [6, 24].

In this paper we study the notions of Harder-Narasimhan filtrations from a homological point of view, showing the existence of Harder-Narasimhan filtrations that are not induced by stability conditions.

From now on we fix \mathcal{A} to be an abelian category. We note that most of our results hold for triangulated categories if the language is changed accordingly. A key notion in the study of abelian categories is that of a torsion pair, first introduced in [12]. This concept generalises to any abelian category the fact that every abelian group of finite rank is isomorphic to the direct sum of a torsion and a torsion-free group.

Recall that a torsion pair is a pair $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ of full subcategories in \mathcal{A} such that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y) = 0$ for all $X \in \mathcal{T}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{F}$ and for every object M in \mathcal{A} there exists

the a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow tM \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/tM \rightarrow 0$$

where $tM \in \mathcal{T}$ and $M/tM \in \mathcal{F}$. It follows from this definition that this short exact sequence, known as the *canonical short exact sequence* of M with respect to $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$, is unique up to isomorphism.

A well-known property of stability conditions is that every stability condition defined over \mathcal{A} induces a chain of torsion classes in \mathcal{A} , see Lemma 4.5. However not every chain of torsion classes in \mathcal{A} is induced by a stability condition, see Remark 4.6. In this paper we study the relation between chains of torsion classes and Harder-Narasimhan filtrations.

Definition 1.1. Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category and consider the closed set $[0, 1]$ of the real numbers. A chain of torsion classes η is a set of torsion classes in \mathcal{A} indexed by the interval $[0, 1]$ as follows.

$$\eta = \{\mathcal{T}_s : s \in [0, 1], \mathcal{T}_0 = \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}_1 = \{0\} \text{ and } \mathcal{T}_s \subset \mathcal{T}_r \text{ if } r \leq s\}.$$

As we mentioned briefly before, every stability condition determines classes of semistable objects in the category. Hence, if we want to recover results coming from stability conditions we need to introduce a similar notion for chains of torsion classes. Given a chain of torsion classes η , for each $t \in [0, 1]$, we define a subcategory \mathcal{P}_t of η -quasisemistable objects of phase t . We denote \mathcal{P}_η the set $\{\mathcal{P}_t : t \in [0, 1]\}$. For the full definition of quasisemistable objects please see Definition 2.12. Even if these categories can be defined for every chain of torsion classes, one needs to put some mild assumptions on them for \mathcal{P}_η to be well-behaved. We denote by $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$ the set of all chains of torsion classes satisfying the needed assumptions, see Definition 2.3 for details. In our first result we show that every chain of torsion classes $\eta \in \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$ induces a Harder-Narasimhan filtration on every nonzero object M of \mathcal{A} .

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 2.13). *Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category and η be a chain of torsion classes in $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$. Then every nonzero object M of \mathcal{A} admits a Harder-Narasimhan filtration. That is a filtration $M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \dots \subset M_n$ such that:*

1. $0 = M_0$ and $M_n = M$;
2. there exists $r_k \in [0, 1]$ such that $M_k/M_{k-1} \in \mathcal{P}_{r_k}$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n$;
3. $r_1 > r_2 > \dots > r_n$.

Moreover this filtration is unique up to isomorphism.

As we have mentioned above, not all chain of torsion classes are induced by a stability condition. Now, if η_ϕ is the chain of torsion classes associated to a stability condition ϕ we show that the Harder-Narasimhan filtrations induced by ϕ and η_ϕ coincide.

Theorem 1.3 (Theorem 4.7). *Let $\phi : \text{Obj}^*(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a stability function, η_ϕ be the chain of torsion classes induced by ϕ and $t \in [0, 1]$. Then*

$$\mathcal{P}_t = \{M \in \mathcal{A} : M \text{ is } \phi\text{-semistable and } \phi(M) = t\} \cup \{0\}.$$

In particular the Harder-Narasimhan filtrations of M induced by ϕ and η_ϕ coincide for all nonzero $M \in \mathcal{A}$.

The main difficulty in defining stability conditions in a non-abelian setting is that given an object M , in general there are not enough proper subobjects of M to make the stability conditions interesting. For instance, in the case of a triangulated category the proper subobjects of a given object M are its direct summands. Hence, the naive definition of a stability condition on a triangulated category would imply that every indecomposable object M is stable, for every stability condition. The breakthrough in this case was done in [4], where it was realised that a much richer set of stability conditions could be defined introducing the concept of *slicing*. In Section 5 we characterise the slicings of abelian categories in terms of chains of torsion classes.

Theorem 1.4 (Theorem 5.5). *Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category. Then every chain of torsion classes η in $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$ induces a slicing \mathcal{P}_η . Moreover, every slicing \mathcal{P} of \mathcal{A} arises this way.*

A very important class of chain of torsion classes is the class of *maximal green sequences*, see Section 6. Although they can be defined in arbitrary module categories, they play a particularly prominent role when $\mathcal{A} = \text{mod } A$, the category of finitely generated modules over a finite-dimensional algebra A . Combining the results of this paper together with those of [27, 29] we obtain the following. We note that an equivalent result was proven independently by Demonet in [11].

Theorem 1.5 (Theorem 6.5). *Let A be a finite-dimensional algebra. Then every maximal green sequence of length l in $\text{mod } A$ is determined by a set $\{B_1, \dots, B_l\}$ of bricks in $\text{mod } A$ satisfying that $\text{Hom}_A(B_i, B_j) = 0$ if $i > j$.*

An important application of stability conditions was found in [24], where it was shown any stability condition over an abelian category \mathcal{A} induces a factorisation of a distinguished element $e_{\mathcal{A}}$ in the completed Hall algebra $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{A})$ of \mathcal{A} , giving rise to the first examples of wall-crossing formulas. The importance of this application comes from the fact that Hall algebras have several applications in algebraic geometry and number theory, since they can be used to calculate Donaldson-Thomas invariants and quantum dilogarithm identities.

In this paper we show that every chain of torsion classes η in $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$ induces a wall-crossing formula. Colloquially, given a chain of torsion classes η , we define an element $e_{\mathcal{P}_t} \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{A})$ for all $\mathcal{P}_t \in \mathcal{P}_\eta$ and we show that the aforementioned distinguished element $e_{\mathcal{A}}$ can be written as the ordered product of all elements $e_{\mathcal{P}_t}$, with decreasing t . We refer the reader to Section 7 for further explanation of the notation.

Theorem 1.6 (Theorem 7.1). *Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category and let $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{A})$ its completed Hall algebra. Then for every chain of torsion classes η in $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$ the following equality holds*

$$e_{\mathcal{A}} = \prod_{t \in [0,1]}^{\leftarrow} e_{\mathcal{P}_t}$$

where $e_{\mathcal{P}_t}$ is the element associated to \mathcal{P}_t for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

The structure of the paper is the following. In Section 2 we define chains of torsion classes and we study some basic properties, including the existence of Harder-Narasimhan filtrations for every nonzero object in the category. In Section 3 we

show that every chain of torsion classes induces a pre-order on the abelian category and we study the behaviour of the quasisemistable objects under this pre-order. Section 4 is dedicated to the comparison between chains of torsion classes and stability conditions. In Section 5 we characterise slicings in terms of chain of torsion classes. In Section 6 we study some implications of Theorem 2.13 on the module categories of finite-dimensional algebras. Finally, in Section 7 we consider an application of chains of torsion classes on the study of Hall algebras.

2 Filtrations induced by chains of torsion classes

In this section introduce the set of chains of torsion classes $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$ of \mathcal{A} and we prove that every chain of torsion classes in an abelian category \mathcal{A} induces a Harder-Narasimhan filtration on every nonzero object M of \mathcal{A} . We recall the definition of a chain of torsion classes given in the introduction.

Definition 2.1. Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category and consider the closed set $[0, 1]$ of the real numbers. A chain of torsion classes η is a set of torsion classes indexed by the interval $[0, 1]$ such that $\mathcal{T}_0 = \mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{T}_1 = \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{T}_s \subset \mathcal{T}_r$ if $r \leq s$.

$$\eta := \{\mathcal{T}_s : s \in [0, 1] \text{ and } \mathcal{T}_0 = \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}_1 = \{0\} \text{ and } \mathcal{T}_s \subset \mathcal{T}_r \text{ if } r \leq s\}.$$

Remark 2.2. It is well-known that every torsion class \mathcal{T} in an abelian category determines completely a torsion free class \mathcal{F} such that $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ is a torsion pair in \mathcal{A} . Then every chain of torsion classes η determines uniquely a chain of torsion free classes as follows.

$$\{\mathcal{F}_s : s \in [0, 1] \text{ and } (\mathcal{T}_s, \mathcal{F}_s) \text{ is a torsion pair for all } \mathcal{T}_s \in [0, 1]\}.$$

It is easy to see that in this case $\mathcal{F}_r \subset \mathcal{F}_s$ if $r \leq s$. From now on, given a chain of torsion classes η , we denote by \mathcal{F}_s to be the torsion free class such that $(\mathcal{T}_s, \mathcal{F}_s)$ is a torsion pair in \mathcal{A} for all $s \in [0, 1]$.

As we said before, one of the main characteristics of torsion pairs is the existence of a canonical short exact sequence associated to any object in the category. It is easy to see that if two torsion classes \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{T}' are such that $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{T}'$ then for every object M of \mathcal{A} we have that tM is a subobject of $t'M$ and, moreover, that the torsion object $tt'M$ of $t'M$ with respect to \mathcal{T} is isomorphic to tM . In particular, if we take a chain of torsion classes η and an interval $(a, b) \subset [0, 1]$, we have that for every nonzero object M of \mathcal{A} , we have a chain of subobjects

$$0 \subset \cdots \subset t_{r_2}M \subset \cdots \subset t_{r_1}M \subset \cdots \subset M$$

where $a < r_1 < r_2 < b$. In order to assure the existence of canonical short exact sequences in the limits, we need this sequence of subobjects to stabilise in both directions. Following ideas of [25], we introduce the following definition, cf. Definition 4.3.

Definition 2.3. A chain of torsion classes η in \mathcal{A} is said to be *quasi-noetherian* if for every subset $S \subset [0, 1]$ and every object nonzero M of \mathcal{A} there exists $s(M) \in S$ such that the torsion object $t_{s(M)}M$ of M with respect to $\mathcal{T}_{s(M)}$ contains the torsion object t_rM of M with respect to \mathcal{T}_r for all $r \in S$.

Dually, a chain of torsion classes η in \mathcal{A} is said to be *weakly-artinian* if for every subset $S \subset [0, 1]$ and every nonzero object M of \mathcal{A} there exists $s'(M) \in S$ such that the torsion object $t_{s'(M)}M$ is in $t_r M$ for all $r \in S$.

We denote by $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$ the set of all chains of torsion classes in \mathcal{A} that are quasi-noetherian and weakly-artinian.

Remark 2.4. If there exist a finite family of torsion classes $\{\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2, \dots, \mathcal{X}_n\}$ and a chain of torsion classes η in \mathcal{A} such that, for all $r \in [0, 1]$, $\mathcal{T}_r = \mathcal{X}_i$ for some $\mathcal{X}_i \in \{\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2, \dots, \mathcal{X}_n\}$ then η belongs to $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$.

If \mathcal{A} is an artinian and noetherian category, then every chain of torsion classes η is an element of $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$. An important example of such categories is the category of finitely generated modules over a finite-dimensional algebra over a field. Below we include two explicit examples of a chain of torsion classes illustrating Definition 2.3 and that we will use to illustrate other results below.

Example 2.5. Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category and consider a non-trivial torsion pair $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ in \mathcal{A} . Then for every pair of real numbers $a, b \in [0, 1]$ such that $a < b$ we can construct the chain of torsion classes $\eta_{(a,b)}$, where $\mathcal{T}_s \in \eta_{(a,b)}$ is defined as follows.

$$\mathcal{T}_s = \begin{cases} \mathcal{A} & \text{if } t \in [0, a) \\ \mathcal{T} & \text{if } t \in [a, b) \\ \{0\} & \text{if } t \in [b, 1] \end{cases}$$

The clearly $\eta_{(a,b)} \in \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$.

Example 2.6. Take the path algebra $\mathbb{C}Q$ over the complex numbers of the Kronecker quiver

$$Q : 1 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \\ \xrightarrow{\beta} \end{array} 2$$

and consider the category $\text{mod } \mathbb{C}Q$ of right $\mathbb{C}Q$ -modules. Inside this category there is an infinite family of indecomposable modules $M(\lambda, n)$ parameterized by $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. For every subset S of $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ there is a unique torsion class \mathcal{T}_S which is the minimal torsion class containing all the modules $M(\lambda, n)$ with $\lambda \in S$. Moreover, given S and R two subsets of $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ we have that $\mathcal{T}_S \subset \mathcal{T}_R$ if and only if $S \subset R$.

Now, any bijection $f : \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$ between $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ and the 2-sphere

$$\mathbb{S}^2 = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1\}$$

induces a chain of torsion classes $\eta_f \in \mathfrak{T}(\mathbb{C}Q)$, where for every $r \in [0, 1]$ we define $\mathcal{T}_r \in \eta_f$ to be $\mathcal{T}_r = \mathcal{T}_{S_r}$, where $S_r = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) : f_z(\lambda) \geq r\}$. We claim that $\eta_f \in \mathfrak{T}(\text{mod } \mathbb{C}Q)$. In order to do this, we only show that η_f is weakly-artinian since the proof of the fact that η_f is dual.

First note that each torsion class $\mathcal{T}_r \in \eta_f$ is a split torsion class, that is, for every indecomposable object M in $\text{mod } \mathbb{C}Q$ we have that either $M \in \mathcal{T}_r$ or $M \in \mathcal{F}_r$. Fix a subset S of $[0, 1]$ and $M \in \text{mod } \mathbb{C}Q$. We first assume that M is indecomposable. If $M \in \mathcal{T}_s$ for all $s \in S$ then any choice of $s(M) \in S$ verifies the conditions of Definition 2.3. Otherwise there is a $s' \in S$ such that $M \notin \mathcal{T}_{s'}$. In this case $t_{s'}M = 0$. Then setting $s(M) = s'$ we verify Definition 2.3. Now suppose that M is not indecomposable. Then $M \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^l M_i$ where M_i is indecomposable for all i . An easy induction shows that $s(M) = \min\{s(M_i) : 1 \leq i \leq l\}$ is verifies Definition 2.3, proving that η_f is quasi-artinian.

Proposition 2.7. *Let η be a chain of torsion classes in $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$ and let $I = (r_1, r_2)$ be an interval in $[0, 1]$. Then $\bigcap_{r \in I} \mathcal{T}_r$ and $\bigcup_{r \in I} \mathcal{T}_r$ are torsion classes in \mathcal{A} , while $\bigcap_{r \in I} \mathcal{F}_r$ and $\bigcup_{r \in I} \mathcal{F}_r$ are torsion free classes in \mathcal{A} .*

Proof. We only prove that $\bigcup_{r \in I} \mathcal{T}_r$ is a torsion class, the other cases being similar. Let $M \in \bigcup_{r \in I} \mathcal{T}_r$ and N be a quotient of M . Then $M \in \mathcal{T}_r$ for some $r \in I$. Hence $N \in \mathcal{T}_r$ because \mathcal{T}_r is closed under quotients. Therefore $N \in \bigcup_{r \in I} \mathcal{T}_r$. In other words, $\bigcup_{r \in I} \mathcal{T}_r$ is closed under quotients.

Let $M, M' \in \bigcup_{r \in I} \mathcal{T}_r$. Then $M \in \mathcal{T}_s$ and $M' \in \mathcal{T}_{s'}$ for some $s, s' \in I$. Without loss of generality we can suppose that $s \leq s'$. Then $\mathcal{T}_{s'} \subset \mathcal{T}_s$ by Definition 2.1. In particular $M' \in \mathcal{T}_s$. Then for every short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow E \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

we have that E belong to \mathcal{T}_s because \mathcal{T}_s is closed under extensions. Then $\bigcup_{r \in I} \mathcal{T}_r$ is closed under quotients and extensions.

To finish the proof we need to show that every object $M \in \mathcal{A}$ has a torsion subobject $tM \in \bigcup_{r \in I} \mathcal{T}_r$. By hypothesis, $\eta \in \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$. In particular, η is a quasi-noetherian, that is, there exists $s(M) \in I$ such that $t_r M$ is a subobject of $t_{s(M)} M$ for all $r \in I$. We claim that $t_{s(M)} M$ is the torsion object of M with respect to $\bigcup_{r \in I} \mathcal{T}_r$. Indeed, if L is a subobject of M such that $L \in \bigcup_{r \in I} \mathcal{T}_r$, there exists a $r \in I$ such that $L \in \mathcal{T}_r$. Now, L is a subobject of the torsion object $t_r M$ of M with respect to \mathcal{T}_r which, in turn, is a subobject of $t_s M$. Hence L is a subobject of $t_{s(M)} M$. \square

Example 2.8. Consider η_f as in Example 2.6 and take an interval $I = (r_1, r_2)$ inside $[0, 1]$. Then \mathcal{T}_r is strictly included in \mathcal{T}_s if $s < r$. A straightforward verification shows that $\bigcap_{r \in I} \mathcal{T}_r = \mathcal{T}_{r_2}$ where $r_2 \notin (r_1, r_2)$.

In the following result we study the torsion pairs of the torsion classes described in Proposition 2.7.

Proposition 2.9. *Let $\eta \in \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$ be a chain of torsion classes. Then for every $t \in [0, 1]$ we have that*

$$\left(\bigcup_{r > t} \mathcal{T}_r, \bigcap_{s > t} \mathcal{F}_s \right)$$

and

$$\left(\bigcap_{r < t} \mathcal{T}_r, \bigcup_{s < t} \mathcal{F}_s \right)$$

are torsion pairs in \mathcal{A} .

Proof. We show that

$$\left(\bigcup_{r > t} \mathcal{T}_r, \bigcap_{s > t} \mathcal{F}_s \right)$$

is a torsion pair for every $t \in [0, 1]$, being the other proof very similar.

We have by Proposition 2.7 that $\bigcup_{r>t} \mathcal{T}_r$ is a torsion class for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Then there is a torsion free class \mathcal{F}' in \mathcal{A} such that $\left(\bigcup_{r>t} \mathcal{T}_r, \mathcal{F}'\right)$ is a torsion pair. We show that $\mathcal{F}' = \bigcap_{s>t} \mathcal{F}_s$ by double inclusion. Let $Y \in \bigcap_{s>t} \mathcal{F}_s$ and consider $X \in \bigcup_{r>t} \mathcal{T}_r$. Then there exists a $t_0 > t$ such that $X \in \mathcal{T}_{t_0}$. On the other hand, $Y \in \mathcal{F}_s$ for all $s > t$. In particular $Y \in \mathcal{F}_{t_0}$. Hence $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y) = 0$ because $(\mathcal{T}_{t_0}, \mathcal{F}_{t_0})$ is a torsion pair. This implies that $\bigcap_{s>t} \mathcal{F}_s \subset \mathcal{F}'$. In the other direction, let $Y \in \mathcal{F}'$ and consider $X \in \mathcal{T}_{t_0}$ for some $t_0 > t$. Then we have that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y) = 0$ because $\mathcal{T}_{t_0} \subset \bigcup_{r>t} \mathcal{T}_r$. Hence, $\mathcal{F}' \subset \mathcal{F}_{t_0}$ for all $t_0 > t$. In particular, $\mathcal{F}' \subset \bigcap_{s>t} \mathcal{F}_s$. \square

Let η be a chain of torsion classes in \mathcal{A} and let M be an object in \mathcal{A} . Then M induces two subsets of $[0, 1]$ naturally:

$$\mathcal{J}_M^- := \{s : M \in \mathcal{T}_s\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{J}_M^+ := \{r : M \notin \mathcal{T}_r\}.$$

Note that if M is nonzero then both sets defined above are non empty since $0 \in \mathcal{J}_M^-$ and $1 \in \mathcal{J}_M^+$.

Lemma 2.10. *Let $\eta \in \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$ be a chain of torsion classes, M be a nonzero object of \mathcal{A} and let $t = \inf \mathcal{J}_M^+ = \sup \mathcal{J}_M^-$. Then*

$$\bigcup_{r \in \mathcal{J}_M^+} \mathcal{T}_r \subset \mathcal{T}_t \subset \bigcap_{r \in \mathcal{J}_M^-} \mathcal{T}_r.$$

Moreover either $\mathcal{T}_t = \bigcup_{r \in \mathcal{J}_M^+} \mathcal{T}_r$ or $\mathcal{T}_t = \bigcap_{r \in \mathcal{J}_M^-} \mathcal{T}_r$.

Proof. By hypothesis we have that \mathcal{J}_M^+ is non empty. Then there exist $r \in \mathcal{J}_M^+$ such that $M \notin \mathcal{T}_r$ and r is an upper bound for \mathcal{J}_M^- . Hence there exists $t \in [0, 1]$ such that $t = \sup \mathcal{J}_M^-$. In particular we have that $t \geq s$ for every $s \in \mathcal{J}_M^-$. Therefore $\mathcal{T}_t \subset \mathcal{T}_s$ for every $s \in \mathcal{J}_M^-$. We can then conclude that $\mathcal{T}_t \subset \bigcap_{r \in \mathcal{J}_M^-} \mathcal{T}_r$. A similar argument

shows that $\bigcup_{r \in \mathcal{J}_M^+} \mathcal{T}_r \subset \mathcal{T}_t$. The moreover part of the statement follows from the fact that either $t \in \mathcal{J}_M^+$ or $t \in \mathcal{J}_M^-$. \square

Remark 2.11. Note that Lemma 2.10 implies that, for every object $M \in \mathcal{A}$, there is no torsion class \mathcal{T} in η such that

$$\bigcup_{M \notin \mathcal{T}_s} \mathcal{T}_s \subsetneq \mathcal{T} \subsetneq \bigcap_{M \in \mathcal{T}_s} \mathcal{T}_s.$$

However, this is not true for a general torsion class in \mathcal{A} , in the sense that there might be a torsion class \mathcal{T} such that

$$\bigcup_{M \notin \mathcal{T}_s} \mathcal{T}_s \subsetneq \mathcal{T} \subsetneq \bigcap_{M \in \mathcal{T}_s} \mathcal{T}_s$$

but in that case it does not belong to η . Also, it is important to remark that $\bigcup_{M \notin \mathcal{T}_s} \mathcal{T}_s$ is strictly contained in $\bigcap_{M \in \mathcal{T}_s} \mathcal{T}_s$.

In the following definition we take a chain of torsion classes η and for every $t \in [0, 1]$ we construct a subcategory $\mathcal{P}_t \subset \mathcal{A}$ that will be essential for the rest of the paper.

Definition 2.12. Let $\eta \in \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$. Then we define $\mathcal{P}_\eta := \{\mathcal{P}_t : t \in [0, 1]\}$ where, for every $t \in [0, 1]$, \mathcal{P}_t is a full-subcategory of \mathcal{A} defined as follows.

$$\mathcal{P}_t = \begin{cases} \bigcap_{s>0} \mathcal{F}_s & \text{if } t = 0 \\ \bigcap_{s<t} \mathcal{T}_s \cap \bigcap_{s>t} \mathcal{F}_s & \text{if } t \in (0, 1) \\ \bigcap_{s<1} \mathcal{T}_s & \text{if } t = 1 \end{cases}$$

For every $\eta \in \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, we say that $\mathcal{P}_t \in \mathcal{P}_\eta$ is the category of η -*quasisemistable* objects of *phase* t .

The term *quasisemistables* will become clear in Section 4, where we compare the chain of torsion classes with the stability conditions introduced in [25] and [4]. Now we prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 2.13. *Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category and η be a chain of torsion classes in $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$. Then every nonzero object M of \mathcal{A} admits a Harder-Narasimhan filtration.*

Proof. Let $M \in \mathcal{A}$, $r_n = \inf\{r \in [0, 1] : M \notin \mathcal{T}_r\}$ and consider the canonical short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow t_n M \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M/t_n M \longrightarrow 0$$

with respect to the torsion pair $\left(\bigcup_{r>r_n} \mathcal{T}_r, \bigcap_{s>r_n} \mathcal{F}_s\right)$.

If $r_n = 1$, then $M \in \mathcal{T}_r$ for every $r < 1$, we have that $M \in \bigcap_{r<1} \mathcal{T}_r = \mathcal{P}_1$. In other words the filtration $0 \subset M$ is a filtration respecting the conditions of the statement.

Otherwise, we have that $M \notin \bigcup_{r>r_n} \mathcal{T}_r$, implying that $t_n M$ is a proper subobject of M . We claim that $M/t_n M \in \mathcal{P}_{r_n}$. Indeed $M/t_n M \in \bigcap_{r>r_n} \mathcal{F}_r$ by construction. On the other hand, $M \in \mathcal{T}_s$ for all $s < r_n$, which implies that $M \in \bigcap_{s<r_n} \mathcal{T}_s$. Moreover, $\bigcap_{s<r_n} \mathcal{T}_s$ is a torsion class by Proposition 2.7. In particular $\bigcap_{s<r_n} \mathcal{T}_s$ is closed under quotients, so $M/t_n M \in \bigcap_{s<r_n} \mathcal{T}_s$. Hence $M/t_n M \in \mathcal{P}_{r_n} = \bigcap_{s<r_n} \mathcal{T}_s \cap \bigcap_{r>r_n} \mathcal{F}_r$ as claimed.

Set $M_{n-1} = t_n M$ and repeat the process we did for M_n . That is, set

$$r_{n-1} = \inf\{r \in [0, 1] : M_{n-1} \notin \mathcal{T}_r\}$$

and consider the canonical short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow t_{n-1}(M_{n-1}) \longrightarrow M_{n-1} \longrightarrow M_{n-1}/t_{n-1}(M_{n-1}) \longrightarrow 0$$

with respect to the torsion pair $\left(\bigcup_{r>r_{n-1}} \mathcal{T}_r, \bigcap_{r>r_{n-1}} \mathcal{F}_r\right)$. Again, if $r_{n-1} = 1$ the process stops. Otherwise we iterate same arguments to show that $M_{n-1}/t_{n-1}(M_{n-1}) \in$

$\mathcal{P}_{r_{n-1}}$. By construction we have that $M_{n-1} \in \bigcup_{r>r_n} \mathcal{T}_r \subset \mathcal{T}_{r_n}$. In particular, this implies that $r_n < r_{n-1}$.

Inductively, we construct a filtration

$$\cdots \subset M_{n-2} \subset M_{n-1} \subset M_n$$

of M such that $M_{n-i}/M_{n-(i+1)} \in \mathcal{P}_{r_{n-i}}$, where $r_{n-i} > r_{n-j}$ if and only if $i > j$. Also, we have that $M_{n-1} \in \bigcap_{s>r_n} \mathcal{T}_s \subset \bigcap_{s>0} \mathcal{T}_s$. By construction, $M_{n-(i+1)}$ is a proper subobject of M_{n-i} for each i and the objects M_{n-i}/M_{n-j} are pairwise non isomorphic if i is different from j .

We claim that the filtration constructed above is finite. Suppose to the contrary that it is not. Then there exists an infinite set $\{r_{n-i} : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset [0, 1]$ where r_{n-i} is the phase of the η -quasisemistable object $M_{n-i}/M_{n-(i+1)}$. Now, since η is weakly-artinian we have the existence of a $s(M) = r_{n-k} \in \{r_{n-i} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that $t_{s(M)}M$ is a subobject of $t_{r_{n-i}}M$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular we have that M_{n-k} is a subobject of $M_{n-(k-1)}$. However, by construction, $M_{n-(k-1)}$ is the torsion subobject of M_{n-k} . In particular this implies that the identity map of M_{n-k-1} factors through the inclusion of M_{n-k} to $M_{n-(k-1)}$. As a consequence we can conclude that M_{n-k} and $M_{n-(k-1)}$ are isomorphic, a contradiction with the properties of the objects of our construction.

Finally, the uniqueness up to isomorphism of this filtration follows from the fact that in this process each r_i is completely determined by the object M_i and each object M_i is completely determined by r_{i+1} combined with the uniqueness up to isomorphism of torsion objects. \square

It is worth noticing that similar filtrations induced by (generalised) tilting objects already exist in the literature. We recommend the interested reader to see [21] and the references therein.

Example 2.14. In Example 2.5 we have introduced a chain of torsion classes $\eta_{(a,b)} \in \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$. A small calculation shows that $\mathcal{P}_{\eta_{(a,b)}} = \{\mathcal{P}_t : t \in [0, 1]\}$, where \mathcal{P}_t is as follows.

$$\mathcal{P}_t = \begin{cases} \mathcal{F} & \text{if } t = a \\ \mathcal{T} & \text{if } t = b \\ \{0\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

In particular, in this case we have that, for every $M \in \mathcal{A}$, the Harder-Narasimhan filtration given by Theorem 2.13 coincides with the canonical short exact sequence of M with respect to the torsion pair $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$.

Example 2.15. For the chain of torsion classes $\eta_f \in \mathfrak{T}(\text{mod } \mathbb{C}Q)$. For this chain of torsion classes the category $\mathcal{P}_t = \text{add}\{M(\lambda, n) : f_z(\lambda) = r \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. In particular, we have that \mathcal{P}_t contains a nonzero object for every $t \in [0, 1]$. The Harder-Narasimhan for every indecomposable M is of the form $0 \subset M$.

3 Ordering induced by a chain of torsion classes

In this section, given a chain of torsion classes $\eta \in \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$, we show that η induces a pre-order among the nonzero objects of \mathcal{A} and we study some characteristics of this pre-order.

We start by defining a function φ_η from the nonzero objects of \mathcal{A} to $W([0, 1])$, the set of all the words of finite length that can be formed using elements in $[0, 1]$, for every chain of torsion classes $\eta \in \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$.

Definition 3.1. Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category and η be a chain of torsion classes in $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$. Then $\varphi_\eta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow W([0, 1])$ defined as

$$\varphi_\eta(M) := r_n r_{n-1} \dots r_1$$

for every nonzero object $M \in \mathcal{A}$, where

$$M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \dots \subset M_n$$

is the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of M with respect to η and $M_k/M_{k-1} \in \mathcal{P}_{r_k}$ for some $r_k \in [0, 1]$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n$.

Remark 3.2. Note that the lexicographical order in $W([0, 1])$ is a total order. Moreover, φ_η is constant on the isomorphism classes since torsion classes and torsion free classes are closed under isomorphisms.

Finally, note that one can define a relation \leq among the nonzero objects of \mathcal{A} in the following way: $M \leq N$ if and only if $\varphi_\eta(M) \leq \varphi_\eta(N)$. An easy verification shows that this relation is transitive and reflexive, however it is not antisymmetric. Therefore we say that φ_η induces a *pre-order* among the nonzero objects of \mathcal{A} .

The following result describes some properties of the η -quasisemistable subcategories \mathcal{P}_t .

Theorem 3.3. Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category, η be a chain of torsion classes in $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$ and let $t, t' \in [0, 1]$. Then:

1. $\varphi_\eta(M) = t$ if and only if $M \in \mathcal{P}_t$;
2. if $M \in \mathcal{P}_t$ then $\varphi_\eta(M) \leq \varphi_\eta(N)$ for all quotient N of M ;
3. if $M \in \mathcal{P}_t$ then $\varphi_\eta(L) \leq \varphi_\eta(M)$ for all subobject L of M ;
4. If $t' < t$, $M \in \mathcal{P}_t$ and if $M' \in \mathcal{P}_{t'}$ then $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(M, M') = 0$;
5. \mathcal{P}_t is closed under extensions for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. 1. Let $M \in \mathcal{P}_t$. Then $0 \subset M$ is a filtration with the characteristics of the one given by Theorem 2.13. Therefore, $0 \subset M$ is the filtration given by Theorem 2.13, because it is unique up to isomorphism. Hence $\varphi_\eta(M) = t$ by Definition 3.1.

In the other direction, let M such that $\varphi_\eta(M) = t$. Then, by definition of φ_η , we have that $0 \subset M$ is the filtration given by Theorem 2.13. Moreover, Theorem 2.13 also implies that $M/0 \cong M \in \mathcal{P}_t$, as claimed.

2. and 3. We only show 2. since the proof of 3. is similar. Let M be an object of \mathcal{P}_t . Then, $\varphi_\eta(M) = t$ by 1. Also, we have that $M \in \mathcal{P}_t = \bigcap_{s < t} \mathcal{T}_s \cap \bigcap_{s > t} \mathcal{F}_s$. In particular $M \in \bigcap_{s < t} \mathcal{T}_s$. Therefore $N \in \bigcap_{s < t} \mathcal{T}_s$ since $\bigcap_{s < t} \mathcal{T}_s$ is a torsion class.

Now, from the proof of Theorem 2.13 we have that the first letter $r'_{n'}$ of

$$\varphi_\eta(N) = r'_{n'} r'_{n'-1} \dots r'_1$$

is $r'_{n'} = \inf\{r : N \notin \mathcal{T}_r\}$. The fact that $N \in \bigcap_{s < t} \mathcal{T}_s$ implies that $t \leq r'_{n'}$. Hence $\varphi_\eta(M) \leq \varphi_\eta(N)$ for every nonzero quotient N of M .

4. Let $t, t' \in [0, 1]$ such that $t' < t$, $M \in \mathcal{P}_t$, $M' \in \mathcal{P}_{t'}$ and $f : M \rightarrow M'$. Then we have that the image $\text{Im}f$ of f is a quotient of M and a subobject of M' . Then 2. implies that $\varphi_\eta(\text{Im}f) \geq t$ while 3. implies that $\varphi_\eta(\text{Im}f) \leq t'$. Hence we have that $\varphi_\eta(\text{Im}f)$ is not well defined. Since φ_η is well defined for all nonzero objects, we can conclude that f is the zero morphism. Therefore $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(M, M') = 0$, as claimed.


5. Recall that \mathcal{P}_t is defined as $\mathcal{P}_t := \bigcap_{s < t} \mathcal{T}_s \cap \bigcap_{s > t} \mathcal{F}_s$. Then, \mathcal{P}_t is the intersection of a torsion class and a torsion free class. Therefore \mathcal{P}_t is always closed under extensions. \square

Remark 3.4. In the fifth point of the previous result we showed that \mathcal{P}_t is closed under extensions for every $t \in [0, 1]$. In fact, it follows from [28, Theorem 3.2] that for every $t \in [0, 1]$ we have that \mathcal{P}_t is a full quasi-abelian subcategory of \mathcal{A} . The following example show us that, in general, \mathcal{P}_t is not a wide subcategory of \mathcal{A} .

Example 3.5. Consider the path algebra kQ of the following quiver.

$$Q : 1 \longrightarrow 2 \longrightarrow 3$$

It is well known that kQ is a hereditary algebra and that $\text{mod } kQ$ is an abelian length category having six non-isomorphic indecomposable summands that can be ordered in the so-called Auslander-Reiten quiver of kQ as follows.



Now consider the chain of torsion classes η such that

$$\mathcal{T}_t = \begin{cases} \text{mod } kQ & \text{if } t = 0 \\ \text{add} \left\{ 1 \oplus 2 \oplus \frac{2}{3} \oplus \frac{1}{2} \oplus \frac{1}{3} \right\} & \text{if } t \in (0, 1/3] \\ \text{add}\{1\} & \text{if } t \in (1/3, 2/3] \\ \{0\} & \text{if } t \in (2/3, 1] \end{cases}$$

For this chain of torsion classes we have that $\mathcal{P}_t = \{0\}$ for every t different to 0, $1/3$ or $2/3$, where $\mathcal{P}_0 = \text{add}\{3\}$, $\mathcal{P}_{1/3} = \text{add} \left\{ 2 \oplus \frac{2}{3} \oplus \frac{1}{2} \oplus \frac{1}{3} \right\}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{2/3} = \text{add}\{1\}$, respectively. Now take the inclusion $f : \frac{2}{3} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}$. Here, we have that $\frac{2}{3}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ belong to $\mathcal{P}_{1/3}$, however $\text{coker } f \cong 1$ does not belong to $\mathcal{P}_{1/3}$. So $\mathcal{P}_{1/3}$ is not closed under cokernels. Similarly, we can take the epimorphism $g : \frac{1}{2} \rightarrow \frac{1}{3}$. Here $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{3}$ belong to $\mathcal{P}_{1/3}$, but $\text{ker } g \cong 3$ does not. Hence, $\mathcal{P}_{1/3}$ is not closed under kernels either.

Take a chain of torsion classes $\eta \in \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$ and consider a nonzero object $M \in \mathcal{A}$. In some sense, Theorem 3.3.2 says that it is expected that $\varphi_\eta(M) \leq \varphi_\eta(N)$ whenever N is a quotient of M . Therefore it is of interest to know if there exists a quotient N' of M such that $\varphi_\eta(N') \leq \varphi_\eta(N)$ for every quotient N of M . The following proposition shows that such objects always exists.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $\eta \in \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$ be a chain of torsion classes and let $M \in \mathcal{A}$ with Harder-Narasimhan filtration $M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \cdots \subset M_n$. Define $M_\eta^- := M_n/M_{n-1}$ and $M_\eta^+ := M_1$.*

Then $\varphi_\eta(N) \geq \varphi_\eta(M_\eta^-)$ for all quotient N of M . Moreover, if the equality holds there exists an epimorphism $p : M_\eta^- \rightarrow N$ making the following diagram commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M & \longrightarrow & M_\eta^- & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow id_M & & \downarrow p & & \\ M & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Dually, $\varphi_\eta(L) \leq \varphi_\eta(M_\eta^+)$ for all subobject N of M . Moreover, if the equality holds there exists a monomorphism $i : L \rightarrow M_\eta^+$ making the following diagram commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & M \\ & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow id_M \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M_\eta^+ & \longrightarrow & M \end{array}$$

Proof. We prove the statement for the quotients, being similar the proof for subobjects. If N be a quotient of M , then $N \in \mathcal{T}_s$ for all torsion class \mathcal{T}_s such that $M \in \mathcal{T}_s$. Thus $t = \inf\{r \in [0, 1] : M \notin \mathcal{T}_r\} \leq \inf\{r' \in [0, 1] : N \in \mathcal{T}_{r'}\}$. Hence $\varphi_\eta(M_n/M_{n-1}) = t \geq \inf\{r \in [0, 1] : M \notin \mathcal{T}_r\} \geq \varphi_\eta(N)$, as claimed.

Now we prove the moreover part of the statement. If $\varphi_\eta(N) = \varphi_\eta(M_n/M_{n-1}) = t$, we have that $N \in \mathcal{P}_t$ by Theorem 3.3.1. In particular $N \in \bigcap_{s>t} \mathcal{F}_s$. But, M_n/M_{n-1} is the torsion free quotient of M with respect to the torsion pair

$$\left(\bigcup_{s>t} \mathcal{T}_s, \bigcap_{s>t} \mathcal{F}_s \right).$$

Therefore, the properties of the canonical short exact sequence imply the existence of a surjective map $p : M_n/M_{n-1} \rightarrow N$ making diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M & \longrightarrow & M_n/M_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow id_M & & \downarrow p & & \\ M & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

commutative. This finishes the proof. \square

Remark 3.7. Following the terminology of stability conditions, given a chain of torsion classes $\eta \in \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$ and a nonzero object M of \mathcal{A} we say that M_η^- is the *maximally destabilizing quotient* of M with respect to η . Dually, we say that M_η^+ is the *maximally destabilizing subobject* of M with respect to η .

Recall that an object M in a subcategory \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} is said to be *relatively simple* if the only subobjects of M that belong to \mathcal{B} are 0 and M itself. Dually, an object M in a subcategory \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} is said to be *relatively cosimple* if the only quotients of M that belong to \mathcal{B} are 0 and M itself. Also, we say that an object M in \mathcal{A} is a *brick* if its endomorphism algebra $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(M)$ is a division ring.

In the following proposition we further study the morphisms between objects in \mathcal{P}_t for any $t \in [0, 1]$.

Proposition 3.8. *Let $\eta \in \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$, $t \in [0, 1]$ and consider a nonzero morphism $f : M \rightarrow N$, where $M, N \in \mathcal{P}_t$. Then following statements hold:*

1. *The object $\text{im}f$ belongs to \mathcal{P}_t .*
2. *If N is relatively simple in \mathcal{P}_t then f is an epimorphism;*
3. *If M is relatively cosimple in \mathcal{P}_t then f is a monomorphism;*
4. *If M is relatively cosimple object and N is relatively simple in \mathcal{P}_t then f is an isomorphism;*
5. *If M is a relatively simple and cosimple object in \mathcal{P}_t , then M is a brick.*

Proof. 1. Let M and N be two objects of \mathcal{P}_t and $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a nonzero morphism. Then $\text{im}f$ is a quotient of M and a subobject of N . Therefore, Theorem 3.3.3 and Theorem 3.3.2 imply that $t \leq \varphi_\eta(\text{im}f) \leq t$. So, we can conclude that $\varphi_\eta(\text{im}f) = t$. Hence we have that $\text{im}f \in \mathcal{P}_t$ by Theorem 3.3.1.

2. We have that $\text{im}f \in \mathcal{P}_t$ by 1. Also, we have by hypothesis that N is relatively simple, so $\text{im}f$ is either 0 or N . Since f is nonzero, we have that $\text{im}f \cong N$. Hence f is an epimorphism.

3. We have that $\text{im}f \in \mathcal{P}_t$ by 1. Also, we have by hypothesis that M is relatively cosimple, so $\text{im}f$ is either 0 or M . Since f is nonzero, we have that $\text{im}f \cong M$. Hence f is a monomorphism.

4. Follow directly from 2. and 3.

5. Is a particular case of 4. □

4 Chains of torsion classes and stability conditions

As we have said in the introduction, Harder-Narasimhan filtrations first arose in algebraic geometry as a way to understand all vector bundles of the projective line in terms of stable vector bundles. The concept of stability was then adapted to quiver representations in [20] and [26]. Later, stability conditions for abelian categories were studied in [25].

This section is devoted to compare important concepts of stability conditions, such as Harder-Narasimhan filtrations or (semi)stable objects, with the results we obtained in Section 3. We start the present section recalling the definition of a stability function, which is equivalent to the stability conditions defined in [25] (see [9, Section 2]). In this section we consider noetherian abelian categories in order to avoid technical difficulties.

Definition 4.1. Let \mathcal{A} be a noetherian abelian category. A stability function ϕ is a function $\phi : \text{Obj}^*(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ the nonzero objects $\text{Obj}^*(\mathcal{A})$ of \mathcal{A} to $[0, 1]$, which is constant on isomorphism classes such that for each short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ of nonzero objects in \mathcal{A} one has the so-called *see-saw* (or *teeter-totter*) property:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{either } \phi(L) < \phi(M) < \phi(N), \\ &\text{or } \phi(L) > \phi(M) > \phi(N), \\ &\text{or } \phi(L) = \phi(M) = \phi(N). \end{aligned}$$

We recall the definition of ϕ -(semi)stable objects.

Definition 4.2. Let $\phi : \text{Obj}^*(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a stability function on \mathcal{A} . A nonzero object M in \mathcal{A} is said to be ϕ -stable (or ϕ -semistable) if every nontrivial subobject $L \subset M$ satisfies $\phi(L) < \phi(M)$ ($\phi(L) \leq \phi(M)$), respectively).

As for chains of torsion classes, it is not true that every stability function induces Harder-Narasimhan filtrations. In order to be able give proof of the main results in [25], Rudakov introduced the notions of quasi-noetherian and weakly-artinian stability conditions.

Definition 4.3. Let $\phi : \text{Obj}\mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a stability function. We say that ϕ is *quasi-noetherian* if for all chains of proper subobjects $X_1 \subset X_2 \subset \dots \subset X$ of X such that $\phi(X_i) \leq \phi(X_{i+1})$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ stabilises. Similarly, we say that ϕ is *weakly-artinian* if for all chains of proper subobjects $X \supset X_1 \supset X_2 \supset \dots$ of X such that $\phi(X_i) \leq \phi(X_{i+1})$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ stabilises. We denote by $\text{Stab}\mathcal{A}$ the set of all quasi-noetherian and weakly-artinian stability conditions.

One of the main objectives of [9] was to study the relation between stability functions and torsion pairs. It was proven that given a stability function ϕ one can define a torsion class for every $t \in [0, 1]$. We include the proof for the convenience of the reader.

Proposition 4.4. [9, Proposition 2.15, Proposition 2.17] *Let $\phi : \text{Obj}^*(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a stability function in $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{A})$ and let $p \in [0, 1]$. Then:*

- $\mathcal{T}_{\geq p} := \{M \in \mathcal{A} : \phi(N) \geq p \text{ for all quotient } N \text{ of } M\} \cup \{0\}$ is a torsion class;
- $\mathcal{T}_{> p} := \{M \in \mathcal{A} : \phi(N) > p \text{ for all quotient } N \text{ of } M\} \cup \{0\}$ is a torsion class;
- $\mathcal{F}_{\leq p} := \{M \in \mathcal{A} : \phi(L) \leq p \text{ for all subobject } L \text{ of } M\} \cup \{0\}$ is a torsion free class;
- $\mathcal{F}_{< p} := \{M \in \mathcal{A} : \phi(L) < p \text{ for all subobject } L \text{ of } M\} \cup \{0\}$ is a torsion free class.

Moreover, $(\mathcal{T}_{\geq p}, \mathcal{F}_{< p})$ and $(\mathcal{T}_{> p}, \mathcal{F}_{\leq p})$ are torsion pairs in \mathcal{A} .

Proof. We only prove that $\mathcal{T}_{\geq p}$ is a torsion class, since the proofs of the other bullet points are similar. Since \mathcal{A} is noetherian, it is enough to show that $\mathcal{T}_{\geq p}$ is closed under quotients and extensions.

We first show that $\mathcal{T}_{\geq p}$ is closed under quotients. Let $M \in \mathcal{T}_{\geq p}$ and N be a quotient of M . Then every quotient N' of N is also a quotient of M . So, $\phi(N') \geq p$ because $M \in \mathcal{T}_{\geq p}$. This shows that $\mathcal{T}_{\geq p}$ is closed under quotients.

Now we show that $\mathcal{T}_{\geq p}$ is closed under extensions. Consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} N \rightarrow 0$$

such that $L, N \in \mathcal{T}_{\geq p}$ and let M' be a quotient of M . Then we can build the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & L & \xrightarrow{f} & M & \xrightarrow{g} & N & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow p & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{im}(pf) & \xrightarrow{f'} & M' & \xrightarrow{g'} & \text{coker}(f') & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

If $\text{im}(pf) = 0$, we have that M' is isomorphic to a quotient of N , implying that $\phi(M') \geq p$ because $N \in \mathcal{T}_{\geq p}$. Similarly, if $\text{coker}(f') = 0$ we have that $\phi(M') \geq p$.

Otherwise, $\text{im}(pf)$ and $\text{coker}(f')$ are nonzero objects in \mathcal{A} and then $\phi(\text{im}(pf))$ and $\phi(\text{coker}(f'))$ are well defined. Moreover, $\phi(\text{im}(pf)) \geq p$ and $\phi(\text{coker}(f')) \geq p$ because $\text{im}(pf)$ and $\text{coker}(f')$ are quotient of L and M , respectively. Then, we have that $\phi(M') \geq p$ because ϕ is a stability function. Hence $\mathcal{T}_{\geq p}$ is a torsion class.

For the moreover part of the statement, we only prove that $(\mathcal{T}_{\geq p}, \mathcal{F}_{< p})$ is a torsion pair in \mathcal{A} . The same proof also works for $(\mathcal{T}_{> p}, \mathcal{F}_{\leq p})$. Consider let $f : M \rightarrow N$, where $M \in \mathcal{T}_{\geq p}$ and $N \in \mathcal{F}_{< p}$. Then we have that $\text{im}f$ is a quotient of M and a subobject of N . Then $\text{im}f \cong 0$ because ϕ is a stability function. That is $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(M, N) = 0$.

Now, consider X such that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y) = 0$ for every $Y \in \mathcal{F}_{< p}$. By [25, Proposition 1.9] there exists a quotient M' of X such that M' is ϕ -semistable and $\phi(M') \leq \phi(N)$ for every quotient N of X . Since $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y) = 0$ for every $Y \in \mathcal{F}_{< p}$, we conclude that $p \leq \phi(M')$. Hence $\phi(N) \geq p$ for every quotient N of X . Then $X \in \mathcal{T}_{\geq p}$ by definition. One shows that every Y such that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y) = 0$ for every $X \in \mathcal{T}_{\geq p}$ belong to $\mathcal{F}_{> p}$ similarly. \square

A direct consequence of Proposition 4.4 is the following lemma which appeared already in [3] and [9] as a side commentary. We choose to state it clearly as a lemma since it is a key result to compare Harder-Narasimhan filtrations coming from a stability function and the chain of torsion classes it induces.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\phi : \text{Obj}^*(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a stability function in $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{A})$. Then ϕ induces a chain of torsion classes $\eta_\phi \in \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$ as follows.*

$$\eta_\phi := \{\mathcal{T}_s = \mathcal{T}_{\geq s} : s \in [0, 1]\}$$

Proof. It was shown in Proposition 4.4 that $\mathcal{T}_{\geq s}$ is a torsion class for every $s \in [0, 1]$. Suppose that $r \leq s$ and let $M \in \mathcal{T}_s$. Then $\phi(N) \geq s$ for all quotient N of M by definition of \mathcal{T}_s . In particular $\phi(N) \geq s \geq r$ for all quotient N of M . Therefore $M \in \mathcal{T}_r$. Hence we have that η_ϕ is a chain of torsion classes.

It is left to show that $\eta_\phi \in \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$. By hypothesis \mathcal{A} is noetherian, then it follows immediately that η_ϕ is quasi-noetherian. Then, it is enough to show that η_ϕ is weakly-artinian. Suppose that η_ϕ is not weakly-artinian. Then there exists a subset S of $[0, 1]$ having an infinite subset $\{r_i \in S : r_i < r_{i+1}, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ inducing a chain

$$\cdots \subset t_{r_3}M \subset t_{r_2}M \subset t_{r_1}M \subset M \tag{1}$$

where $t_{r_{i+1}}M$ is a proper subobject of $t_{r_i}M$. We claim that $\phi(t_{r_{i+1}}M) > \phi(t_{r_i}M)$. It follows from the definition of torsion pairs that $t_{r_{i+1}}M$ is the torsion subobject of $t_{r_i}M$ with respect of $\mathcal{T}_{\geq r_{i+1}}$. Then the canonical short exact sequence of $t_{r_{i+1}}M$ with respect to $(\mathcal{T}_{\geq r_{i+1}}, \mathcal{F}_{< r_{i+1}})$ is the following.

$$0 \rightarrow t_{r_{i+1}}M \rightarrow t_{r_i}M \rightarrow \frac{t_{r_i}M}{t_{r_{i+1}}M} \rightarrow 0$$

By definition we have that $t_{r_{i+1}}M \in \mathcal{T}_{\geq r_{i+1}}$ and $\frac{t_{r_i}M}{t_{r_{i+1}}M} \in \mathcal{F}_{< r_{i+1}}$. Then, by construction of the torsion pair we know that $\phi\left(\frac{t_{r_i}M}{t_{r_{i+1}}M}\right) > \phi(t_{r_{i+1}}M)$. Since ϕ is a stability function, it verifies the see-saw property. Hence we can conclude that $\phi(t_{r_{i+1}}M) > \phi(t_{r_i}M)$ as claimed. By hypothesis, ϕ is a weakly-artinian stability function, so the chain 1 stabilises contradicting our assumption. Hence $\eta_\phi \in \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$. \square

Remark 4.6. It is important to note that not every chain of torsion classes $\eta \in \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$ is induced by a stability function $\phi \in \text{Stab}(\mathcal{A})$. Indeed, it has been shown in [9, Proposition 2.20] that for every stability function $\phi \in \text{Stab}(\mathcal{A})$ and every $t \in [0, 1]$ the category \mathcal{P}_t is a wide subcategory of \mathcal{A} . However, there are examples of chains of torsion classes such as the one appearing in Example 3.5 having categories of quasistable objects that are not wide.

The key result that allow us to compare chains of torsion classes and stability condition is the following.

Theorem 4.7. *Let $\phi : \text{Obj}^*(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a stability function in $\text{Stab}(\phi)$, η_ϕ be the chain of torsion classes induced by ϕ and $t \in [0, 1]$. Then*

$$\mathcal{P}_t = \{M \in \mathcal{A} : M \text{ is } \phi\text{-semistable and } \phi(M) = t\} \cup \{0\}.$$

Proof. By definition, we have that $\mathcal{P}_t = \bigcap_{s < t} \mathcal{T}_s \cap \bigcap_{s > t} \mathcal{F}_s$. Then Lemma 2.10 implies that $\bigcap_{s < t} \mathcal{T}_s = \mathcal{T}_{\geq t}$ and $\bigcap_{s > t} \mathcal{F}_s = \mathcal{F}_{\leq t}$. Is clear that $\phi(M) = t$ for all $M \in \bigcap_{s < t} \mathcal{T}_s \cap \bigcap_{s > t} \mathcal{F}_s$. Moreover, if L be a subobject of M then $\phi(L) \leq t$ by Theorem 3.3.3. Therefore M is a ϕ -semistable object of phase t .

In the other direction, suppose that M is a ϕ -semistable object of phase t . Then we have that $\phi(L) \leq \phi(M) = t$ for every subobject L of M because M is ϕ -semistable, implying that $M \in \mathcal{F}_{\leq t}$. Dually, $t = \phi(M) \leq \phi(N)$ because M is ϕ -semistable, so $M \in \mathcal{T}_{\geq t}$. Therefore $M \in \mathcal{T}_{\geq t} \cap \mathcal{F}_{\leq t} = \mathcal{P}_t$, as claimed. \square

As a consequence of Theorem 2.13 and Theorem 4.7 we recover important results on stability conditions.

Corollary 4.8. [25, Theorem 2] *Let $\phi : \text{Obj}^*(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a stability function in $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{A})$. Then every object $M \in \mathcal{A}$ admits a Harder-Narasimhan filtration.*

Proof. Let $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a stability function in $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{A})$. Then Lemma 4.5 says that ϕ induces a chain of torsion classes $\eta_\phi \in \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$. Then Theorem 2.13 implies that for every nonzero object M of \mathcal{A} there exists a filtration

$$M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \cdots \subset M_n$$

of M such that:

1. $0 = M_0$ and $M_n = M$;
2. $M_k/M_{k-1} \in \mathcal{P}_{r_k}$ for some $r_k \in [0, 1]$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n$;
3. $r_1 > r_2 > \cdots > r_n$;

which is unique up-to-isomorphism. Theorem 4.7 implies that M_k/M_{k-1} is ϕ -semistable for each k . It also implies that $\phi(M_1) > \phi(M_2/M_1) > \cdots > \phi(M_n/M_{n-1})$. \square

Corollary 4.9. [25, Theorem 1] *Let $\phi : \text{Obj}^*(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$ and a stability function in $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{A})$, $t \in \mathcal{I}$ and consider a nonzero morphism $f : M \rightarrow N$, where M, N are ϕ -semistable and $\phi(M) = \phi(N) = t$. Then the following hold:*

1. $\text{im} f$ is a ϕ -semistable object and $\phi(\text{im} f) = t$;
2. if N is ϕ -stable then f is an epimorphism;

3. if M is ϕ -stable f is a monomorphism;
4. if M and N are ϕ -stable then f is an isomorphism .
5. every ϕ -stable object is a brick.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 4.7 that

$$\mathcal{P}_t = \{M \in \mathcal{A} : M \text{ is } \phi\text{-semistable and } \phi(M) = t\} \cup \{0\}.$$

Therefore, an object $M \in \mathcal{P}_t$ is relatively simple if and only if $\phi(L) < \phi(M)$ for every subobject L of M . This implies that an object $M \in \mathcal{P}_t$ is relatively simple if and only if M is ϕ -stable. Then the result follows directly from Lemma 4.5 and Proposition 3.8. \square

5 Slicings in abelian categories

The concept of slicing in a triangulated category \mathcal{D} was introduced in [4] as a refinement of t -structures in \mathcal{D} and is essential in the definition of stability conditions for triangulated categories. In this section we show that every chain of torsion classes $\eta \in \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$ induces a slicing of \mathcal{A} and, moreover, that every slicing arises in this way. Since we work with abelian categories, we adapt [4, Definition 3.3] as follows.

Definition 5.1. A *slicing* \mathcal{P} of the abelian category \mathcal{A} consists of full additive subcategories $\mathcal{P}_r \subset \mathcal{A}$ for each $r \in [0, 1]$ satisfying the following axioms:

1. if $M \in \mathcal{P}_r$ and $N \in \mathcal{P}_s$ with $r > s$, then $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(M, N) = 0$;
2. for each nonzero object M of \mathcal{A} there exists a filtration

$$0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \cdots \subset M_n = M$$

of M and a set

$$\{r_i : 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } r_i > r_j \text{ if } i < j\} \subset [0, 1]$$

such that $M_i/M_{i-1} \in \mathcal{P}_{r_i}$ which is unique up to isomorphism.

We denote by $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ the set of all the slicings on an abelian category \mathcal{A} .

In order carry on we need an extra piece of notation. Given a subcategory \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} , we denote by $\text{Filt}(\mathcal{B})$ the subcategory of all objects in \mathcal{A} that admits a filtration whose graded objects belong to \mathcal{B} . More precisely, $M \in \text{Filt}(\mathcal{B})$ if and only if there exists a chain of subobjects

$$0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \cdots \subset M_{t-1} \subset M_t = M$$

of M with $M_k/M_{k-1} \in \mathcal{B}$ for all $1 \leq k \leq t$. The following is an easy consequence of the definition of slicing.

Lemma 5.2. *Let \mathcal{P} be a slicing and $s \in [0, 1]$. If $X \in \mathcal{P}_s$ then every nonzero quotient Y of X is in $\text{Filt}\left(\bigcup_{t \geq s} \mathcal{P}_t\right)$.*

Proof. By hypothesis Y is a nonzero object of \mathcal{A} . Then by Definition 5.1.2 there exists a filtration

$$0 = Y_0 \subset Y_1 \subset \cdots \subset Y_{t-1} \subset Y_t = Y$$

such that $Y_i/Y_{i-1} \in \mathcal{P}_{r_i}$ with $r_t < r_{t-1} < \cdots < r_1$. Since Y_t/Y_{t-1} is a quotient of Y and Y is a quotient of X we can conclude that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y_t/Y_{t-1}) \neq 0$. Then the contrapositive of Definition 5.1.1 implies that $s \leq r_t$. Hence Y is in $\text{Filt}\left(\bigcup_{t \geq s} \mathcal{P}_t\right)$. \square

The following proposition shows that every slicing \mathcal{P} of the abelian category \mathcal{A} induces a chain of torsion classes $\eta_{\mathcal{P}} \in \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$.

Proposition 5.3. *Let \mathcal{P} be a slicing of \mathcal{A} . Then for every $s \in (0, 1)$ there are torsion pairs $(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_s, \mathcal{F}_s)$ and $(\mathcal{T}_s, \overline{\mathcal{F}}_s)$ where*

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathcal{T}}_s &= \text{Filt}\left(\bigcup_{t \geq s} \mathcal{P}_t\right), \mathcal{T}_s = \text{Filt}\left(\bigcup_{t > s} \mathcal{P}_t\right) \\ \overline{\mathcal{F}}_s &= \text{Filt}\left(\bigcup_{r \leq s} \mathcal{P}_r\right), \mathcal{F}_s = \text{Filt}\left(\bigcup_{r < s} \mathcal{P}_r\right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We only prove that is a torsion pair since the proof of the other case is identical. Let \mathcal{P} be a slicing of \mathcal{A} and $s \in (0, 1)$.

We first show that \mathcal{T}_s is closed under extensions. Let $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence with $L, N \in \mathcal{T}_s$. Then, by assumption, L and N admit a filtration as follows

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= L_0 \subset L_1 \subset \cdots \subset L_{l-1} \subset L_l = L \\ 0 &= N_0 \subset N_1 \subset \cdots \subset N_{t-1} \subset N_t = N \end{aligned}$$

where L_i/L_{i-1} and N_j/N_{j-1} belong to $\bigcup_{t > s} \mathcal{P}_t$ for every $1 \leq i \leq l$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$, respectively. Since N is a quotient of M , the filtration of N given above lifts to a filtration

$$L = M_{l+1} \subset M_{l+2} \subset \cdots \subset M_{l+t-1} \subset M_{l+t} = M$$

of M where $M_{l+j}/M_{l+j-1} \cong N_j/N_{j-1} \in \bigcup_{t > s} \mathcal{P}_t$. Then using the filtration of L we obtain a filtration

$$0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \cdots \subset M_{l-1} \subset M_l \subset M_{l+1} \subset \cdots \subset M_{l+t-1} \subset M_{l+t} = M$$

of M where we set $M_i = L_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq l$. By construction we have that $M_i/M_{i-1} \in \bigcup_{t > s} \mathcal{P}_t$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n+l$. Hence $\text{Filt}\left(\bigcup_{t > s} \mathcal{P}_t\right)$ is closed under extensions.

We claim that \mathcal{T}_s is closed under quotients. Let $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence and suppose that $M \in \mathcal{T}_s$. By hypothesis there is a filtration

$$0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \cdots \subset M_n = M$$

of M where $M_i/M_{i-1} \in \bigcup_{t > s} \mathcal{P}_t$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. This filtration of M induces a filtration

$$0 = \frac{M_0 + L}{L} \subset \frac{M_1 + L}{L} \subset \cdots \subset \frac{M_{n-1} + L}{L} \subset \frac{M_n + L}{L} \cong N$$

of N where $\frac{M_i+L}{\frac{M_{i-1}+L}{L}} \cong \frac{M_i+L}{M_{i-1}+L}$ is a quotient of M_i/M_{i-1} for every $1 \leq i \leq n$. From this filtration we obtain a filtration

$$0 = N_0 \subset N_1 \subset \cdots \subset N_t = N$$

such that N_j/N_{j-1} is a nonzero quotient for $M_i/M_{i-1} \in \mathcal{P}_{r_i}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then Lemma 5.2 implies that $N_j/N_{j-1} \in \mathcal{T}_s$ for every $1 \leq j \leq t$. Since we showed before that \mathcal{T}_s is closed under quotients, we can conclude that \mathcal{T}_s is closed under quotients. A similar argument shows that $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_s$ is closed under extensions and subobjects.

We claim that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y) = 0$ for all $X \in \mathcal{T}_s$ and $Y \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}_s$. Suppose that there exists a nonzero morphism $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y)$ for some $X \in \mathcal{T}_s$ and $Y \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}_s$. Then the image $\text{Im} f$ of f is both a quotient of X and a subobject of Y . From the above we have that $\text{Im} f \in \mathcal{T}_s \cap \overline{\mathcal{F}}_s$. This, in turn, imply the existence of a nonzero object $X_r \in \mathcal{P}_r \cap \overline{\mathcal{F}}_s$ for some $r > s$. Then, by definition, we obtain a filtration

$$0 = (X_r)_0 \subset (X_r)_1 \subset \cdots \subset (X_r)_t = X_r$$

such that every successive quotient is in $\bigcup_{z < s} \mathcal{P}_z$. In particular we have that there exists a nonzero morphism $p : X_r \rightarrow X_r/(X_r)_{t-1}$ where $X_r/(X_r)_{t-1}$ is an object in \mathcal{P}_z where $z \leq s < r$, contradicting Definition 5.1.1 and our claim follows.

We finish the proof by showing the existence of a canonical short exact sequence associated to every $M \in \mathcal{A}$. Let M be a nonzero object in \mathcal{A} . Then by Definition 5.1.2 there exists a filtration

$$0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \cdots \subset M_t = M$$

where $M_i/M_{i-1} \in \mathcal{P}_{r_i}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $r_t < \cdots < r_2 < r_1$. Set $k = \min_{1 \leq i \leq t} \{r_i > s\}$. Then the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M_k \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/M_k \rightarrow 0$$

is such that $M_k \in \mathcal{T}_s$ and $M/M_k \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}_s$. \square

Lemma 5.4. *Let \mathcal{P} be a slicing of \mathcal{A} . Then \mathcal{P} naturally induces two chains of torsion classes:*

- $\eta_{\mathcal{P}} = \{\mathcal{T}_0 = \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}_s \text{ for every } s \in [0, 1] \text{ and } \mathcal{T}_1 = \{0\}\}$
- $\overline{\eta}_{\mathcal{P}} = \{\mathcal{T}_0 = \mathcal{A}, \overline{\mathcal{T}}_s \text{ for every } s \in [0, 1] \text{ and } \mathcal{T}_1 = \{0\}\}$

where $\eta_{\mathcal{P}}, \overline{\eta}_{\mathcal{P}} \in \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$. Moreover $\bigcap_{r < s} \mathcal{T}_r = \bigcap_{r < s} \overline{\mathcal{T}}_r = \overline{\mathcal{T}}_s$ and $\bigcap_{r > s} \overline{\mathcal{F}}_r = \bigcap_{r > s} \mathcal{F}_r = \overline{\mathcal{F}}_s$ for every $s \in (0, 1)$.

Proof. Take $r < s$. The fact that $\mathcal{T}_s, \mathcal{T}_r, \overline{\mathcal{T}}_s$ and $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_r$ are torsion classes has been shown in Proposition 5.3. Then it is obvious that $\mathcal{T}_s \subset \mathcal{T}_r$ and $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_s \subset \overline{\mathcal{T}}_r$. Therefore $\eta_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $\overline{\eta}_{\mathcal{P}}$ as defined in the statement is a chain of torsion classes. The fact that $\eta_{\mathcal{P}}, \overline{\eta}_{\mathcal{P}} \in \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$ follows from the fact that the filtration in Definition 5.1 is finite.

Now we prove the moreover part of the statement. We only show that $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_s = \bigcap_{r < s} \overline{\mathcal{T}}_r$ since the other cases are similar. The fact that $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_s \subset \bigcap_{s > t} \overline{\mathcal{T}}_t$ is a direct consequence of the that that $\eta_{\mathcal{P}}$ is a chain of torsion classes. To prove the other direction note that, by definition of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_s$, every object in the intersection of \mathcal{P}_r and

$\overline{\mathcal{T}}_s$ is isomorphic to the zero object if $r < s$. Now, let $M \in \bigcap_{s>t} \overline{\mathcal{T}}_t$ be a nonzero object and consider the filtration

$$0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \cdots \subset M_n = M$$

of M given by Definition 5.1. Then, by definition, M_n/M_{n-1} is a nonzero object in \mathcal{P}_t for some $t \in [0, 1]$. Also $M_n/M_{n-1} \in \bigcap_{s>t} \overline{\mathcal{T}}_t$ because $\bigcap_{s>t} \overline{\mathcal{T}}_t$ is a torsion class. Therefore, the remark we did at the beginning of this paragraph implies that $M_n/M_{n-1} \in \mathcal{P}_t$ where $t \geq s$. In particular, Definition 5.1 implies that

$$M \in \text{Filt} \left(\bigcup_{t \geq s} \mathcal{P}_t \right) = \overline{\mathcal{T}}_s.$$

□

Recall that for every chain of torsion classes $\eta \in \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$ we define the set \mathcal{P}_η of subcategories of \mathcal{A} to be $\mathcal{P}_\eta = \{\mathcal{P}_t : t \in [0, 1]\}$.

Theorem 5.5. *Let \mathcal{A} be a abelian category. Then there is a well-defined surjective map $\varphi : \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ from the set $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$ of chain of torsion classes in \mathcal{A} to the set $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ of slicings on \mathcal{A} defined as $\varphi(\eta) = \mathcal{P}_\eta$. In other words, every chain of torsion classes η in $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$ induces a slicing \mathcal{P}_η in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ and every slicing arises this way.*

Proof. Let $\eta \in \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$. The fact that \mathcal{P}_η is a slicing follows directly from Theorem 2.13 and Theorem 3.3.4.

Conversely, if \mathcal{P} is a slicing of \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{P} induces a chain of torsion classes $\eta_{\mathcal{P}} \in \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$ by Lemma 5.4. We claim that $\mathcal{P}_{\eta_{\mathcal{P}}}$ coincides with \mathcal{P} . Then we need to calculate $\mathcal{P}'_s \in \mathcal{P}_{\eta_{\mathcal{P}}}$ for every $s \in [0, 1]$. By definition we have that

$$\mathcal{P}'_s = \bigcap_{s>t} \mathcal{T}_t \cap \bigcap_{r>s} \mathcal{F}_r.$$

Now, we apply Lemma 5.4 to get that

$$\bigcap_{s>t} \mathcal{T}_t \cap \bigcap_{r>s} \mathcal{F}_r = \text{Filt} \left(\bigcup_{t \geq s} \mathcal{P}_t \right) \cap \text{Filt} \left(\bigcup_{t \leq s} \mathcal{P}_t \right)$$

Clearly,

$$\mathcal{P}_s \subset \text{Filt} \left(\bigcup_{t \geq s} \mathcal{P}_t \right) \cap \text{Filt} \left(\bigcup_{t \leq s} \mathcal{P}_t \right) := \mathcal{P}'_s$$

Let $M \in \mathcal{P}'_s$ and consider its filtration

$$0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \cdots \subset M_n = M$$

given by Definition 5.1.2. The fact that $M \in \text{Filt} \left(\bigcup_{t \geq s} \mathcal{P}_t \right)$ implies that

$$M_k/M_{k-1} \in \mathcal{P}_{r_k}$$

where $r_k \geq s$ for every k . Dually, the fact that $M \in \text{Filt} \left(\bigcup_{t \leq s} \mathcal{P}_t \right)$ implies that $M_k/M_{k-1} \in \mathcal{P}_{r_k}$ where $r_k \leq s$ for every k . Hence $M \in \text{Filt}(\mathcal{P}_s) = \mathcal{P}_s$. □

6 Maximal green sequences

In this section we consider a particular type of chains of torsion classes, the so-called *maximal green sequences*. The concept of maximal green sequence was first introduced in [19] in the context of cluster algebras as a combinatorial approach to the calculation of Donaldson-Thomas invariants (see Section 7). The axiomatic study of maximal green sequences started in [7].

With the introduction of τ -tilting theory [1], it has been shown that the combinatorics of cluster algebras were encoded in the homological algebra of $\text{mod } A$, the category of finitely generated (right) modules over a finite-dimensional algebra A over a field k . In particular, the notion of maximal green sequence can be formally studied in any abelian category [18, 9]. For a complete survey on the subject, we refer the reader to [11].

Definition 6.1. A *maximal green sequence* in an abelian category \mathcal{A} is a finite sequence of torsion classes

$$0 = \mathcal{T}_t \subsetneq \mathcal{T}_{t-1} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathcal{T}_1 \subsetneq \mathcal{T}_0 = \mathcal{A}$$

such that for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$, the existence of a torsion class \mathcal{T} satisfying $\mathcal{T}_{i+1} \subseteq \mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_i$ implies $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_i$ or $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_{i+1}$.

Since every maximal green sequence is in $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$, we obtain the following corollary as a particular case of Theorem 2.13, which is a generalisation of [17, Theorem 5.13].

Corollary 6.2. *Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian length category and η be a maximal green sequence in \mathcal{A} . Then η induces a Harder-Narasimhan filtration for every nonzero object M of \mathcal{A} .*

Proof. Let η be a maximal green sequence as defined in Definition 6.1. Hence η can be seen as a chain of torsion classes defined as $\mathcal{T}_x = \mathcal{T}_i$ if $x \in [\frac{i}{t}, \frac{i+1}{t})$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$. Then $\eta \in \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$ by Remark 2.4. The result follows from Theorem 2.13. \square

The previous result is valid for every abelian category. However, if we suppose that $\mathcal{A} = \text{mod } A$ we are able to give a better description of the Harder-Narasimhan filtration induced by a maximal green sequence using τ -tilting theory. In this section τ denotes the Auslander-Reiten translation in $\text{mod } A$.

Definition 6.3. [1, Definition 0.1 and 0.3] Let A be an algebra, M an A -module and P a projective A -module. The pair (M, P) is said *τ -rigid* if:

- $\text{Hom}_A(M, \tau M) = 0$;
- $\text{Hom}_A(P, M) = 0$.

Moreover, we say that (M, P) is *τ -tilting* (or *almost τ -tilting*) if $|M| + |P| = n$ (or $|M| + |P| = n - 1$, respectively), where $|M|$ denotes the number of non-isomorphic indecomposable direct summands of M .

The implications of τ -tilting theory are multiple, some of them yet to be understood. For instance, it was already mentioned before the relation of this theory with cluster algebras. From a more representation theoretic point of view, τ -tilting theory has the fundamental property of describing all functorially finite torsion classes. An overview of this rich subject can be found in [30]. The exact definition of functorial finiteness is not necessary for the results of this paper and it will be skipped.

Theorem 6.4. [1, Theorem 2.7][2, Theorem 5.10] *There is a well defined function $\Phi : \text{st-rig}A \rightarrow \text{f-tors}$ from τ -rigid pairs to functorially finite torsion classes given by*

$$\Phi(M, P) = \text{Fac}M := \{X \in \text{mod}A : M^n \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0 \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Moreover, Φ is a bijection if we restrict it to τ -tilting pairs.

Theorem 6.5. *Let A be finite-dimensional algebra over a field k and let*

$$0 = \mathcal{T}_t \subsetneq \mathcal{T}_{t-1} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathcal{T}_1 \subsetneq \mathcal{T}_0 = \text{mod}A$$

be a maximal green sequence in $\text{mod}A$. Then every object $M \in \mathcal{A}$ admits a Harder-Narasimhan filtration by bricks. That is a filtration

$$M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \cdots \subset M_n$$

and a set $\mathcal{S}_\eta = \{B_1, \dots, B_t\}$ of bricks in $\text{mod}A$ such that the following conditions are satisfied:

1. $0 = M_0$ and $M_n = M$;
2. $M_k/M_{k-1} \in \text{Filt}(B_{r_k})$ for some $r_k \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n$;
3. the indexes r_k defined in the previous item are such that $r_k > r_{k'}$ if and only if $k < k'$.
4. $\text{Hom}_A(B_k, B_{k'}) = 0$ if $k < k'$.

Moreover this filtration is unique up to isomorphism.

Proof. Let

$$0 = \mathcal{T}_t \subsetneq \mathcal{T}_{t-1} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathcal{T}_1 \subsetneq \mathcal{T}_0 = \text{mod}A$$

be a maximal green sequence in $\text{mod}A$ and M in $\text{mod}A$. We want to show that the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of M given in Corollary 6.2 has all the characteristics named in the statement.

It follows from [10, Theorem 3.1] that every torsion class \mathcal{T}_i in η is generated by a τ -tilting pair (M_i, P_i) , that is $\mathcal{T}_i = \Phi(M_i, P_i) = \text{Fac}M_i$ for every i . Also, it follows from [8, Corollary 3.21] that \mathcal{P}_i is a wide subcategory. Moreover, [8, Theorem 3.14] implies that every object M in \mathcal{P}_i is filtered by a single brick $B_i \in \mathcal{P}_i$. Therefore is enough to define \mathcal{S}_η as

$$\mathcal{S}_\eta := \{B_i : \mathcal{P}_i = \text{Filt}(B_i) \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq t\}$$

to show 1., 2. and 3. Finally 4. follows directly from Theorem 3.3.4. \square

It is well-known that the Grothendick group $K_0(A)$ of an algebra A is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^n , for some natural number n , where the classes $[S(i)]$ of the simple modules $S(i)$ form a basis of $K_0(A)$. It was recently shown [29, 27] that the classes $[B]$ in $K_0(A)$ of certain bricks B in the module category are in bijection with the so-called *c-vectors* of the algebra, a notion first introduced in [13] for cluster algebras and later adapted to module categories in [14]. Based on the results of [29, 27], Theorem 6.5 can be reformulated as follows, generalising [15, Lemma 2.4].

Corollary 6.6. *Let A be an algebra, then every maximal green sequence*

$$\eta := \quad 0 = \mathcal{T}_t \subsetneq \mathcal{T}_{t-1} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathcal{T}_1 \subsetneq \mathcal{T}_0 = \text{mod}A$$

is determined by a ordered set $\{c_1, \dots, c_t\}$ of c-vectors.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 6.5 that every maximal green sequence η induces an ordered set \mathcal{S}_η of bricks in $\text{mod } A$. Then, consider the ordered set of vectors $\{[B_i] : B_i \in \mathcal{S}_\eta\}$ with the order induced by the order of \mathcal{S}_η . It follows from [29, Theorem 3.5] that $\{[B_i] : B_i \in \mathcal{S}_\eta\}$ is an ordered set of c -vectors.

Now, suppose that we have two different maximal green sequences

$$\eta := 0 = \mathcal{T}_t \subsetneq \mathcal{T}_{t-1} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathcal{T}_1 \subsetneq \mathcal{T}_0 = \text{mod } A$$

and

$$\eta' := 0 = \mathcal{T}'_s \subsetneq \mathcal{T}'_{s-1} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathcal{T}'_1 \subsetneq \mathcal{T}'_0 = \text{mod } A.$$

Then there is a first i such that $1 \leq i \leq t$, $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $\mathcal{T}_i \neq \mathcal{T}'_i$. So, we have a brick $B_i \in \mathcal{S}_\eta$ and $B'_i \in \mathcal{S}'_\eta$ by Theorem 6.5. Moreover [29, Theorem 3.5] implies that $[B_i] \neq [B'_i]$. Therefore every maximal green sequence is determined by their ordered set $\{c_1, \dots, c_t\}$ of c -vectors. This finishes the proof. \square

7 Hall algebras and wall-crossing formulas

In recent years, stability conditions have played a key role in the calculations of Donaldson-Thomas invariants, which have deep implications in algebraic geometry and theoretical physics. As is described in [6], generating functions for Donaldson-Thomas invariants can be deduced from certain factorisation of a distinguished element $e_{\mathcal{A}}$ in the completed Hall algebra $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{A})$ associated to an abelian category \mathcal{A} via an integration map. Where the existence of the integration map depends on the homological properties of \mathcal{A} .

It was shown in [24] that Harder-Narasimhan filtrations induced by a stability condition ϕ give rise to a factorisation of $e_{\mathcal{A}}$ in the completed Hall algebra $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{A})$ of \mathcal{A} . Given two different stability conditions ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 on \mathcal{A} , they can give rise to quite different Harder-Narasimhan filtrations for an arbitrary object M of \mathcal{A} . As a consequence, the factorisation of $e_{\mathcal{A}}$ they induce might be very different from each other. The equalities between different factorisations of $e_{\mathcal{A}}$ induced by stability conditions are known under the name of *wall-crossing formulas*.

In this section we combine Reineke's ideas [24] with Theorem 2.13 to show that wall-crossing formulas can be deduced directly from chains of torsion classes in \mathcal{A} , bypassing the construction for the suitable stability condition. This section follows closely the exposition made in [6, Section 4] for finitary Hall algebras, but the argument also works for more general Hall algebras.

In this section we consider \mathcal{A} to be an essentially small abelian length category such that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y)$ is a finite set for every pair of objects X, Y of \mathcal{A} . An example of such categories is the module category of an algebra over a finite field k .

We consider the completed Hall algebra $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{A})$ of \mathcal{A} , which has elements the formal series

$$\sum_{\mathcal{A}} a_M [M]$$

where $[M]$ denotes the isomorphism class of the object M in \mathcal{A} and a_M is an element of k . The product in $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{A})$ of two elements is determined by the product on its basis elements

$$[L] \cdot [N] = \sum c_{LN}^M [M]$$

where c_{LN}^M is the number of subobjects L' of M which are isomorphic to L such that M/L' is isomorphic to N . In other words, c_{LN}^M counts the number of ways we can write M as an extension of N by L , up to isomorphism.

The completed Hall algebra $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{A})$ of \mathcal{A} is associative with unit, which is

$$1_{\widehat{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{A})} = [0]$$

the isomorphism class of the zero object of \mathcal{A} . In $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{A})$ there is a distinguished object $e_{\mathcal{A}}$, namely

$$e_{\mathcal{A}} = \sum_{M \in \mathcal{A}} [M].$$

More generally, given a subcategory \mathcal{X} of \mathcal{A} we define the element $e_{\mathcal{X}}$ of $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{A})$ associated to \mathcal{X} to be

$$e_{\mathcal{X}} = \sum_{M \in \mathcal{X}} [M]$$

Let S be a totally ordered set and let $\{\mathcal{X}_s : 0 \in \mathcal{X}_s \subset \mathcal{A} \text{ and } s \in S\}$ of full subcategories of \mathcal{A} indexed by S . Immediately, we have a family $\{e_{\mathcal{X}_s} \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{A}) : s \in S\}$ of elements of $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{A})$ indexed by S . We write $\overleftarrow{\prod}_{s \in S} e_{\mathcal{X}_s}$ as a short hand of all the possible finite products of the classes of nonzero objects $M_{s_i} \in \mathcal{X}_{s_i}$ with decreasing index s . This can be condensed in the following formula.

$$\overleftarrow{\prod}_{s \in S} e_{\mathcal{X}_s} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\sum_{\substack{s_1 > \dots > s_j \\ M_t \in \mathcal{X}_t}} [M_{s_1}][M_{s_2}] \dots [M_{s_j}] \right)$$

Note that, when S is a finite set, this product is well-defined and coincides with the usual product. This is due to the fact that the zero object belongs to \mathcal{X}_s for all $s \in S$ by hypothesis. Now we state the main result of this section.

Theorem 7.1. *Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category and let $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{A})$ its completed Hall algebra. Then for every chain of torsion classes η in $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$ the following equality holds*

$$e_{\mathcal{A}} = \overleftarrow{\prod}_{t \in [0,1]} e_{\mathcal{P}_t}$$

where $e_{\mathcal{P}_t}$ is the element associated to \mathcal{P}_t for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. By definition

$$\overleftarrow{\prod}_{t \in [0,1]} e_t = \overleftarrow{\prod}_{t \in [0,1]} \left(\sum_{M \in \mathcal{P}_t} [M] \right)$$

where \mathcal{P}_t is the category of η -quasisemistable objects of phase t .

$$\overleftarrow{\prod}_{t \in [0,1]} \left(\sum_{M \in \mathcal{P}_t} [M] \right) = [0] + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\sum_{s_1 > \dots > s_j} [M_{s_1}][M_{s_2}] \dots [M_{s_j}] \right)$$

This is a well-defined element of $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{A})$, so we can write it as

$$[0] + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\sum_{s_1 > \dots > s_k} [M_{s_1}] [M_{s_2}] \dots [M_{s_k}] \right) = [0] + \sum a_M [M]$$

where M correspond to the objects in \mathcal{A} that can be filtered by objects in \mathcal{P}_η with decreasing phase. By Theorem 2.13 that correspond to every nonzero object $M \in \mathcal{A}$. Moreover, this filtration is unique up to isomorphism by Theorem 2.13. Thus $a_M = 1$ for every $M \in \mathcal{A}$. In conclusion, we have that

$$\prod_{t \in [0,1]} e_t = [0] + \sum_{M \in \mathcal{A}} [M] = e_{\mathcal{A}},$$

as claimed. \square

From the previous result and Theorem 4.7, we recover the classical wall-crossing formulas.

Corollary 7.2. [24, Theorem 5.1] *Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category and let $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{A})$ its completed Hall algebra. Then for every stability function $\phi : \text{Obj}^*(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ we have that*

$$e_{\mathcal{A}} = \prod_{t \in [0,1]}^{\leftarrow} e_{\mathcal{P}_t}$$

where $e_{\mathcal{P}_t}$ is the element associated to the subcategory

$$\mathcal{P}_t = \{M \in \mathcal{A} : M \text{ is } \phi\text{-semistable and } \phi(M) = t\} \cup \{0\}$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$. \square

From Theorem 7.1 we also recover the so-called *torsion pair identity* of Hall algebras, generalising [5, Proposition 6.4].

Corollary 7.3. *Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category and let $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{A})$ its completed Hall algebra. Then every torsion pair $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ in \mathcal{A} induces an identity*

$$\sum_{\mathcal{A}} [M] = \left(\sum_{\mathcal{T}} [L] \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{\mathcal{F}} [N] \right)$$

in $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{A})$, where the product is done in decreasing order of t .

Proof. In example 2.5 we showed that every torsion pair in \mathcal{A} induces a chain of torsion classes $\eta_{(r_1, r_2)}$, where $r_1, r_2 \in [0, 1]$ and $r_1 < r_2$. Then, it is enough to take $t \in (r_1, r_2)$ and apply Theorem 7.1 for $\eta_{(r_1, r_2)}$. \square

Acknowledgements The author is grateful to T. Brüstle, K. Igusa, M. Reineke, S. Schroll, and A. Tattar for the exciting exchanges and specially to the anonymous referee, whose comments helped improve the quality of the exposition greatly. The author is also thankful to the people of the Département de Mathématiques de l'Université de Sherbrooke, where the main ideas of this project were first written. Throughout the course of this work the author was funded by the European Union's

Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 893654, by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany’s Excellence Strategy Programme – EXC-2047/1 – 390685813, and by the EPSRC through the Early Career Fellowship, EP/P016294/1.

References

- [1] T. Adachi, O. Iyama, and I. Reiten. τ -tilting theory. *Compos. Math.*, 150(3):415–452, 2014.
- [2] M. Auslander and S. O. Smalø. Almost split sequences in subcategories. *J. Algebra*, 69:426–454, 1981.
- [3] P. Baumann, J. Kamnitzer, and P. Tingley. Affine Mirković-Vilonen polytopes. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, 120:113–205, 2014.
- [4] T. Bridgeland. Stability conditions on triangulated categories. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 166(2):317–345, 2007.
- [5] T. Bridgeland. Scattering diagrams, Hall algebras and stability conditions. *Algebr. Geom.*, 4(5):523–561, 2017.
- [6] T. Bridgeland. Hall algebras and Donaldson-Thomas invariants. In *Algebraic geometry: Salt Lake City 2015*, volume 97 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 75–100. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2018.
- [7] T. Brüstle, G. Dupont, and M. Pérotin. On maximal green sequences. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (16):4547–4586, 2014.
- [8] T. Brüstle, D. Smith, and H. Treffinger. Wall and chamber structure for finite-dimensional algebras. *Adv. Math.*, 354:106746, 31, 2019.
- [9] T. Brüstle, D. Smith, and H. Treffinger. Stability conditions and maximal Green sequences in abelian categories. *Rev. Unión Mat. Argent.* 63, No. 1, 203-221 (2022).
- [10] L. Demonet, O. Iyama, and G. Jasso. τ -Tilting Finite Algebras, Bricks, and g -Vectors. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 2019(3):852–892, 07 2017.
- [11] L. Demonet and B. Keller. A survey on maximal green sequences. Šťovíček, Jan (ed.) et al., Representation theory and beyond. *Workshop and 18th international conference on representations of algebras, ICRA 2018*, Prague, Czech Republic, August 13–17, 2018. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS). *Contemp. Math.* 758, 267-286 (2020).
- [12] S. E. Dickson. A torsion theory for Abelian categories. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 121:223–235, 1966.
- [13] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky. Cluster algebras. II. Finite type classification. *Invent. Math.*, 154(1):63–121, 2003.
- [14] C. Fu. c -vectors via τ -tilting theory. *J. Algebra*, 473:194–220, 2017.
- [15] A. Garver, T. McConville, and K. Serhiyenko. Minimal length maximal green sequences. *Adv. in Appl. Math.*, 96:76–138, 2018.
- [16] G. Harder and M. S. Narasimhan. On the cohomology groups of moduli spaces of vector bundles on curves. *Math. Ann.*, 212:215–248, 1974/75.

- [17] K. Igusa. Linearity of stability conditions. *Commun. Algebra* 48, No. 4, 1671–1696 (2020).
- [18] C. Ingalls and H. Thomas. Noncrossing partitions and representations of quivers. *Compos. Math.*, 145(6):1533–1562, 2009.
- [19] B. Keller. On cluster theory and quantum dilogarithm identities. In *Representations of Algebras and Related Topics*, pages 85–116. European Mathematical Society Publishing House, Zuerich, Switzerland, 2011.
- [20] A. D. King. Moduli of representations of finite-dimensional algebras. *QJ Math*, 45(4):515–530, 1994.
- [21] F. Mattiello, S. Pavon, and A. Tonolo. Tilting modules and tilting torsion pairs - filtrations induced by tilting modules. Facchini, Alberto (ed.) et al., *Advances in rings, modules and factorizations*. Cham: Springer. Springer Proc. Math. Stat. 321, 317–339 (2020).
- [22] D. Maulik, N. Nekrasov, A. Okounkov, and R. Pandharipande. Gromov-Witten theory and Donaldson-Thomas theory. I. *Compos. Math.*, 142(5):1263–1285, 2006.
- [23] D. Mumford. *Geometric invariant theory*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, ergebnisse edition, 1965.
- [24] M. Reineke. The Harder-Narasimhan system in quantum groups and cohomology of quiver moduli. *Invent. Math.*, 152(2):349–368, 2003.
- [25] A. Rudakov. Stability for an abelian category. *J. Algebra*, 197(1):231–245, 1997.
- [26] A. Schofield. Semi-invariants of quivers. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 43(3):385–395, 1991.
- [27] S. Schroll and H. Treffinger. A τ -tilting approach to the first Brauer-Thrall conjecture. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 150, No. 11, 4567–4574 (2022).
- [28] A. Tattar. Torsion pairs and quasi-abelian categories. *Algebr. Represent. Theory* 24, No. 6, 1557–1581 (2021).
- [29] H. Treffinger. On sign-coherence of c -vectors. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 223(6):2382–2400, 2019.
- [30] H. Treffinger. τ -tilting theory – an introduction. arXiv:2106.00426

[Treffinger] INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE JUSSIEU-PARIS RIVE GAUCHE
 UNIVERSITÉ PARIS CITÉ – CAMPUS DES GRANDS MOULINS
 BÂTIMENT SOPHIE GERMAIN, BOITE COURRIER 7012
 8 PLACE AURÉLIE NEMOURS,
 75205 PARIS CEDEX 13
 URL: <https://sites.google.com/view/hipolitotreffinger>
 e-mail: treffinger@imj-prg.fr