

EQUIVALENT NORMS IN A BANACH FUNCTION SPACE AND THE SUBSEQUENCE PROPERTY

J.M. CALABUIG, M. FERNÁNDEZ UNZUETA, F. GALAZ-FONTES,
AND E.A. SÁNCHEZ-PÉREZ

ABSTRACT. Given a finite measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) , we show that any Banach space $X(\mu)$ consisting of (equivalence classes of) real measurable functions defined on Ω such that $f\chi_A \in X(\mu)$ and $\|f\chi_A\| \leq \|f\|$, $\forall f \in X(\mu)$, $A \in \Sigma$, and having the subsequence property, is in fact an ideal of measurable functions and has an equivalent norm under which it is a Banach function space. As an application we characterize norms that are equivalent to a Banach function space norm.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper all vector spaces we consider are real vector spaces and (Ω, Σ, μ) is a finite measure space. If $X(\mu)$ is a normed (Banach) space consisting of (equivalence classes of) real Σ -measurable functions we will say that $X(\mu)$ is a *normed (Banach) space of measurable functions*. A Banach space $X(\mu)$ is called a *Banach function space* if it is an *ideal* of Σ -measurable functions and has a *Riesz norm*. This means that if g is a Σ -measurable function, $f \in X(\mu)$ and $|g| \leq |f|$, then we always have $g \in X(\mu)$ and $\|g\| \leq \|f\|$.

In this paper (see Corollary 4.2) we prove that a Banach space of measurable functions $X(\mu)$ admits an equivalent norm $\|\cdot\|_V$ for which $(X(\mu), \|\cdot\|_V)$ is a Banach function space if and only if it satisfies:

(P1) There exists $C > 0$ such that for any $f \in X(\mu)$ we have

$$f\chi_A \in X(\mu), \forall A \in \Sigma, \text{ and } \|f\chi_A\|_{X(\mu)} \leq C\|f\|_{X(\mu)}.$$

(P2) Any sequence that converges in $X(\mu)$ has a subsequence converging pointwise μ -a.e.

In order to better understand the role of condition (P1) in the ideal property of a Banach function space, we first study the case for a Banach sequence space $\mathcal{S}(X)$

Date: October 16, 2018.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 46E30.

Key words and phrases. Measure space, space of measurable functions, order, Banach function space.

All the authors were supported by Ministerio de Economía, Industria y Competitividad (Spain). J.M. Calabuig and M. Fernández Unzueta under project MTM2014-53009-P, F. Galaz-Fontes under project MTM2009-14483-C02-01 and E.A. Sánchez Pérez under project MTM2016-77054-C2-1-P.

(see definitions below), where X is a Banach space with a Schauder basis. We show that the unconditionality of the basis corresponds, precisely, to condition (P1).

The vector space consisting of all real sequences $s = \{a_n\}$ is denoted by \mathcal{S} and a *Banach sequence space* is simply a Banach space $X \subset \mathcal{S}$. By taking $\Omega := \mathbb{N}, \Sigma := 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ and, for example, $\mu(A) := \sum_{n \in A} \frac{1}{n^2}, \forall A \subset \mathbb{N}$, we consider any Banach sequence space as a Banach space of measurable functions with respect to a finite measure.

Given a Banach space X , recall that a sequence $\{y_n\} \in X$ is *unconditionally summable* if the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_{\pi(n)}$ converges for each permutation $\pi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. A set $\{x_n\} \subset X$ is a *Schauder basis for X* , if for each $x \in X$ there is a unique sequence $\{a_n\} \in \mathcal{S}$ satisfying

$$(1.1) \quad x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x_n.$$

To each Banach space X with a Schauder basis $\{x_n\}$ we naturally associate the space $\mathcal{S}(X)$ formed by the sequences corresponding to the ‘coordinates’ of its elements, that is

$$\mathcal{S}(X) := \left\{ \{a_n\} \in \mathcal{S} : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x_n \in X \right\}.$$

Moreover, we can define a linear map $T : \mathcal{S}(X) \rightarrow X$ by

$$T(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x_n,$$

which is an isometric isomorphism with the norm $\|s\|_{\mathcal{S}(X)} := \|T(s)\|_X$. In this way any Banach space X with a Schauder basis can be considered as a Banach sequence space, $\mathcal{S}(X)$. Additionally, by means of T the order in $\mathcal{S}(X) \subset \mathcal{S}$ can be translated to X . Namely, for $s, t \in \mathcal{S}(X)$ we define $T(s) \leq T(t)$ if $s \leq t$.

If $\{x_n\}$ is a Schauder basis for X and, for each $x \in X$, the sequence $\{a_n x_n\}$, where $\{a_n\}$ is as in (1.1), is unconditionally summable, then $\{x_n\}$ is said to be an *unconditional basis for X* . In this case the following properties are well known ([3, p. 5, p. 344], [7, pp. 15-16]): For each $\{a_n\} \in \mathcal{S}(X)$

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n a_n x_n \text{ converges for any } \{c_n\} \in \ell^{\infty},$$

and there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$(1.3) \quad \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n a_n x_n \right\|_X \leq C \|t\|_{\infty} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x_n \right\|_X, \quad \forall t = \{c_n\} \in \ell^{\infty}.$$

Of course, here $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ is the norm of ℓ^{∞} .

Before reformulating the above results, recall that for $s = \{a_n\} \in \mathcal{S}$ and $A \subset \mathbb{N}$, then $s \chi_A := \{b_n\}$, where $b_n := a_n$ when $n \in A$ and $b_n := 0$ when $n \notin A$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a Banach space. The following properties are equivalent:*

i) $\{x_n\}$ is an unconditional basis for X .

ii) $\mathcal{S}(X)$ is an ideal of \mathcal{S} and there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$(1.4) \quad \|t\|_{\mathcal{S}(X)} \leq C\|s\|_{\mathcal{S}(X)} \quad \text{if } t, s \in \mathcal{S}(X) \text{ and } |t| \leq |s|.$$

iii) There exists $C > 0$ such that for any $s \in \mathcal{S}(X)$ we have

$$(1.5) \quad s\chi_A \in \mathcal{S}(X), \quad \forall A \subset \mathbb{N}, \quad \text{and } \|s\chi_A\|_{\mathcal{S}(X)} \leq C\|s\|_{\mathcal{S}(X)}.$$

Proof. Since the other implications are well known, we will only prove that i) implies ii). Take $s = \{a_n\}, t = \{b_n\} \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $|t| \leq |s|$. Let us define

$$c_n = \begin{cases} \frac{b_n}{a_n} & a_n \neq 0 \\ 0 & a_n = 0 \end{cases}$$

and consider $r := \{c_n\}$. Assume that $s \in \mathcal{S}(X)$, so that the sequence $\{a_n x_n\}$ is unconditionally summable. Since $r \in \ell^\infty$, applying (1.2) we find that $t = rs \in \mathcal{S}(X)$. This shows that $\mathcal{S}(X)$ is an ideal of \mathcal{S} .

From (1.3) we also have $\|T(rs)\|_X \leq C\|r\|_\infty \|T(s)\|_X$. This corresponds to

$$\|t\|_{\mathcal{S}(X)} = \|rs\|_{\mathcal{S}(X)} \leq C\|r\|_\infty \|s\|_{\mathcal{S}(X)} \leq C\|s\|_{\mathcal{S}(X)}.$$

□

Let us consider now the general case of a Banach space of measurable functions, say $X(\mu)$. Although condition i) in Theorem 1.1 may have no sense when we consider Σ instead of $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $X(\mu)$ instead of $\mathcal{S}(X)$, conditions ii) and iii) still have sense, as the example of the sequence space ℓ^∞ shows: it has properties ii) and iii) but, since it is not separable, it does not have a Schauder basis.

Then, it is a natural question to ask if conditions ii) and iii) remain equivalent in this more general context.

In this paper we address this question. Actually, we prove that ii) is equivalent to condition (P1) -which would correspond to condition iii) in Theorem 1.1- plus the already introduced subsequence property (P2).

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 collects the preliminary results we will need further, while Section 2.1 is devoted to study what we call *subsequence property*, namely, condition (P2) in the Introduction. Condition (P1) is studied in Section 2.2. We named *rectangular* those spaces $X(\mu)$, or norms, that satisfy (P1). It is of interest to note that this property does not require explicitly that $X(\mu)$ be an ideal (see Section 2.2).

In Section 3 we prove the main result of the paper, namely Theorem 3.7.

As a consequence, as we note in Section 4, it follows readily that basic properties of Banach functions spaces remain valid in the more general context of Banach rectangular spaces with the subsequence property. In Section 4 we also show that rectangular sequence spaces always have the subsequence property (see Proposition 4.5), that is, in this case (P1) implies (P2). This result explains why in the case of sequence spaces, condition ii) in Theorem 1.1 is equivalent to iii) (namely to (P1)). Observe, also, that our setting includes those Banach spaces X with unconditional basis.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a finite measure space. Then $L^0(\Sigma)$ consists of all Σ -measurable functions $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $S(\Sigma)$ is the vector space formed by the simple functions $s : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $L^0(\mu)$ is the vector space consisting of the equivalence classes of Σ -measurable functions, where two functions are equivalent when $f = g$ μ -a.e. As usual, $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ denotes the norm in $L^\infty(\mu)$.

In $L^0(\mu)$ we consider the canonical order, given by $f \leq g$ if $f \leq g$ μ -a.e. Given $A \subset L^0(\mu)$, then $A^+ := \{f \in A : f \geq 0\}$. The notation $X(\mu)$ will indicate that $X(\mu)$ is a vector subspace of $L^0(\mu)$; we define $S(X(\mu)) := S(\Sigma) \cap X(\mu)$ and $X(\mu)^+$ is the *positive cone* of $X(\mu)$.

A space $X(\mu)$ is a *Riesz space* if $\max\{f, g\} \in X(\mu)$, $\forall f, g \in X(\mu)$ [11]. Since

$$(2.1) \quad \max\{f, g\} = \frac{f - g + |f - g|}{2}, \quad \forall f, g \in L^0(\mu),$$

if the absolute value $|h| \in X(\mu)$ for any $h \in X(\mu)$, then $X(\mu)$ is a Riesz space.

If $f \in X(\mu)$ when $f \in L^0(\mu)$, $g \in X(\mu)$ and $0 \leq |f| \leq |g|$, the vector space $X(\mu)$ is an *ideal* of $L^0(\mu)$. Notice that in this case, given $f \in L^0(\mu)$, we have $f \in X(\mu)$ if and only if $|f| \in X(\mu)$. Hence an ideal is always a Riesz space.

A norm $\|\cdot\|$ on a Riesz space $X(\mu)$ is a *Riesz norm* [8, p. 48, p. 438], (or $X(\mu)$ is a *normed Riesz space*) when $f, g \in X(\mu)$ and $|f| \leq |g|$ imply that $\|f\| \leq \|g\|$. In this case we clearly have $\|f\| = \||f|\|$, $\forall f \in X(\mu)$.

A normed Riesz space $X(\mu)$ is a μ -*normed function space* (μ -n.f.s. or n.f.s. for short), when $X(\mu)$ is an ideal in $L^0(\mu)$. If additionally $X(\mu)$ is complete, then it is a Banach function space (Banach f.s.).

2.1. The subsequence property. In this subsection we study the subsequence property and relate it to other basic concepts connected with the order. Although the subsequence property is a well-known feature of Banach function spaces, there are some current research papers that study natural generalizations for abstract Banach lattices (see for example [2, Proposition 4.1], Corollary 3.5 and the references in this paper). However, in our case we work in the setting of spaces of measurable functions, and so we can fix an underlying structure of measurability, and the order is naturally defined as the a.e. order.

Recall that a normed space $X(\mu)$ has the *subsequence property* if for any sequence $\{f_n\} \subset X(\mu)$ and $f \in X(\mu)$ such that $f_n \rightarrow f$, there is a subsequence $\{f_{n(k)}\}$ converging pointwise to f μ -a.e.

It is well known that the subsequence property can be characterized as follows [10, 18.14]

Proposition 2.1. *A normed space $X(\mu)$ has the subsequence property if and only if the inclusion map $X(\mu) \subset L^0(\mu)$ is continuous.*

Given a Riesz space $X(\mu)$, then $X(\mu)^+$ is its positive cone and V is the function

$$V : X(\mu) \rightarrow X(\mu), \text{ defined by } V(f) := |f|.$$

The next lemma relates the above concepts on Riesz spaces with a norm.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $X(\mu)$ be a Riesz space with a norm.*

i) If V is continuous, then $X(\mu)^+$ is closed.

ii) If $X(\mu)$ has the subsequence property, then $X(\mu)^+$ is closed.

Proof. i) Let $\{f_n\} \subset X(\mu)^+$ and $f \in X(\mu)$ be such that $f_n \rightarrow f$. Since V is continuous, this implies $f_n = |f_n| \rightarrow |f|$. Hence $f = |f| \in X(\mu)^+$.

ii) Let $\{f_n\} \subset X(\mu)^+$ and $f \in X(\mu)$ be such that $f_n \rightarrow f$. Next, take a subsequence $\{f_{n(k)}\}$ such that $\{f_{n(k)}\}$ converges to f μ -a.e. Since $f_{n(k)} \geq 0$ μ -a.e., it follows that $f \geq 0$ μ -a.e., that is $f \in X(\mu)^+$. \square

The following normed sequence space is an example of a Riesz space whose positive cone is not closed. Consequently, it does not have the subsequence property and the function V is not continuous on it.

Example 2.3. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $v_n := e_1 + \cdots + e_n$, where $\{e_n\}$ is the canonical orthonormal basis in $(\ell^2, \|\cdot\|_2)$. Then we define

$$X(\mu) := \text{span}\{v_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}, \quad \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N a_n v_n \right\| := \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{a_n}{n} e_n \right\|_2.$$

Then $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm in $X(\mu)$ and $\|v_n\| = \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0$.

Recall that we consider in $X(\mu)$ the natural order between sequences. Then $-e_1 + v_n \in X(\mu)^+$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $-e_1 + v_n \rightarrow -e_1 \notin X(\mu)^+$. This shows that $X(\mu)^+$ is not closed.

Since $|f| \in X(\mu)$, $\forall f \in X(\mu)$, and so $X(\mu)$ is a Riesz space, it follows from i) of Lemma 2.2 that the function V is not continuous.

Even when X is both a Riesz space and a Banach sequence space with the subsequence property, the function V defined by $V(s) := |s|$ can be discontinuous, as an example of D. H. Fremlin shows [5, 2XD].

Let us finish this section by recalling some relations of the subsequence property with other classical and recently introduced Banach lattice properties, specially those lattices that are endowed with a natural structure of measurability, as the Banach function spaces. In this paper, a particular type of monotonicity for the norm of the function spaces involved will be relevant. Monotonicity is often related to order continuity or Fatou type properties in Banach function spaces, and plays a relevant role regarding the relation among convergence in measure and norm convergence providing a sort of converse of the subsequence property: every increasing order bounded sequence converges in the norm. Thus, several concepts associated to monotonicity properties for Banach lattices are defined in terms of sequences and are naturally connected with the convergence in measure—as the subsequence property—in the case of Banach function spaces (see for example Theorem 2.5 of [4]). More results for concrete Banach function spaces can be found in the excellent survey [4]. For current developments on the role of sequential properties and the geometry of Banach function spaces see [1], and [6] for the vector valued case.

2.2. Rectangular Function Spaces. We present in what follows the main definition of this paper and the fundamental properties of the spaces satisfying it. As the reader may notice, our definition lies in a basic monotonicity property as the ones commented in the previous section for a certain class of functions: an inequality in the almost everywhere order implies an inequality for the corresponding norms.

Definition 2.4. A normed space of measurable functions $X(\mu)$ is a *rectangular function space* (rectangular f.s.) if there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad \chi_A f \in X(\mu) \text{ and } \|\chi_A f\| \leq C\|f\|, \quad \forall f \in X(\mu), A \in \Sigma.$$

In this situation, the norm will also be called *rectangular*. When $C = 1$ we will say that $X(\mu)$, and its norm, is *strictly rectangular*. If a rectangular (or strictly rectangular) f.s. is also norm complete, we will say it is a *Banach rectangular (or strictly rectangular) f.s.*

Example 2.5. Every normed function space $X(\mu)$ is a strictly rectangular f.s. and if $\|\cdot\|_0$ is an equivalent norm for $X(\mu)$, then $\|\cdot\|_0$ is rectangular.

Proof. Given a n.f.s $X(\mu)$, consider $f \in X(\mu)$, $A \in \Sigma$. Since $|\chi_A f| \leq |f|$, we have $\chi_A f \in X(\mu)$ and

$$\|\chi_A f\| = \|\chi_A |f|\| \leq \| |f| \| = \|f\|.$$

This shows that $X(\mu)$ is a strictly rectangular f.s.

Next, let us take $0 < a < b$ such that $a\|f\|_0 \leq \|f\| \leq b\|f\|_0$. Then, for $A \in \Sigma$ we have $a\|\chi_A f\|_0 \leq \|\chi_A f\| \leq \|f\| \leq b\|f\|_0$. It follows that the norm $\|\cdot\|_0$ is rectangular. \square

If $X(\mu)$ is a rectangular f.s., we next show that $|f| \in X(\mu)$ when $f \in X(\mu)$. By (2.1) it follows that any rectangular f.s. is a Riesz vector space.

Given $f \in L^0(\mu)$, take $A := \{x \in \Omega : f(x) \geq 0\}$ and $B := \Omega \setminus A$. Then for the positive and negative parts of f we have

$$(2.3) \quad f^+ = f\chi_A = |f|\chi_A, \quad f^- = -f\chi_B = |f|\chi_B.$$

Since $f = f^+ - f^-$ and $|f| = f^+ + f^-$, we obtain immediately the following.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $X(\mu)$ be a rectangular f.s.*

i) Let $f \in L^0(\mu)$. Then the following properties are equivalent:

- a) $f \in X(\mu)$.*
- b) $f^+, f^- \in X(\mu)$.*
- c) $|f| \in X(\mu)$.*

ii) $\frac{1}{2}\|f\| \leq \| |f| \| \leq 2\|f\|, \forall f \in X(\mu)$.

Our next example shows that even in \mathbb{R}^2 there are rectangular norms that are not Riesz norms.

Example 2.7. Let

$$B := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : xy \geq 0, x^2 + y^2 \leq 1\} \cup \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : xy \leq 0, |y - x| \leq 1\}.$$

Then B is an absorbing closed convex set satisfying $-B = B$. Hence, by means of its Minkowski functional we obtain a norm $\|\cdot\|_B$ for \mathbb{R}^2 , whose closed unit ball is B ([9], p. 25). The norm $\|\cdot\|_B$ is rectangular. Take now $f := (-1, 1)$. Then $\| |f| \|_B = \|(1, 1)\| = \sqrt{2}$. On the other side we have $\|f\| = 2$. Hence $\|f\| > \| |f| \|$ and so this norm is not a Riesz norm.

The next result proves that for Banach rectangular f.s., implication ii) in Lemma 2.2 is, indeed, an equivalence.

Lemma 2.8. *Let $X(\mu)$ be a Banach rectangular f.s. Then, the following properties are equivalent:*

- i) $X(\mu)$ has the subsequence property.
- ii) $X(\mu) \subset L^0(\mu)$ continuously.
- iii) $X(\mu)^+$ is closed.

Proof. The equivalence between i) and ii) is already proved in 2.1. That i) implies iii) is proved in Lemma 2.2. To prove the converse implication, consider $\{f_n\} \subset X(\mu)$ and $f \in X(\mu)$ such that $f_n \rightarrow f$. Given $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let us take $n(k) \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\|f - f_{n(k)}\| \leq 2^{-k}$ and $n(k) < n(k+1)$. Since $X(\mu)$ is a Banach space, using Lemma 2.6 we conclude that $S := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |f - f_{n(k)}| \in X(\mu)$. Define $s_K := \sum_{k=1}^K |f - f_{n(k)}|$, $K \in \mathbb{N}$. For a fixed $K \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $s_{K+\ell} \geq s_K$. Letting now $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ and taking into account that $X(\mu)^+$ is closed, it follows that $S \geq s_K$, $\forall K \in \mathbb{N}$. From here we can find a set $A \in \Sigma$ such that $\mu(A) = 0$ and $S(x) \geq s_K(x)$, $\forall x \in \Omega \setminus A$, $K \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies that the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |f - f_{n(k)}|$ converges in A and so $f_{n(k)} \rightarrow f$ in A . \square

It will follow from Theorem 5.8 that for a Banach rectangular f.s. the continuity of V is also equivalent with the properties considered in the above lemma.

Definition 2.9. The norm in $X(\mu)$ is *monotone* if there exists $C > 0$ such that for $f, g \in X(\mu)^+$ satisfying $f \leq g$, we have $\|f\| \leq C\|g\|$. When $C = 1$, we will say the norm is *strictly monotone*.

Lemma 2.10. *If $X(\mu)$ is a rectangular f.s. with a monotone norm, then the function V is continuous. More precisely,*

$$(2.4) \quad \left\| |g| - |h| \right\| \leq 4C\|g - h\|, \forall g, h \in X(\mu).$$

Proof. Take $C > 0$ as in Definition 2.9. Let $g, h \in X(\mu)$. Then

$$0 \leq \left| |g| - |h| \right| \leq |g - h|.$$

Using now Lemma 2.6 and the hypothesis we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\| |g| - |h| \right\| \leq \left\| |g| - |h| \right\| \leq C \left\| |g - h| \right\| \leq 2C\|g - h\|,$$

and the conclusion follows. \square

Using Lemmas 2.10, 2.2 and 2.8 we obtain the following well known result.

Corollary 2.11. *Any Banach function space $X(\mu)$ has the subsequence property.*

3. ASSOCIATED BANACH FUNCTION SPACE NORM

In this section we prove the main result of this paper, namely Theorem 3.7, which gives a characterization of Banach spaces of measurable functions admitting an equivalent norm with which they are Banach function spaces.

Definition 3.1. Let $X(\mu)$ be a rectangular f.s. Given a function $f \in L^0(\mu)$, let $\Sigma_f := \{A \in \Sigma : f\chi_A \in X(\mu)\}$ and $S(f, X(\mu)) := \text{span}\{f\chi_A : A \in \Sigma_f\} \subset X(\mu)$.

Note that Σ_f is always a ring of subsets, that is, $\phi \in \Sigma_f$ and $A \cup B, A \setminus B \in \Sigma_f$, when $A, B \in \Sigma_f$. We also have $S(\chi_\Omega, X(\mu)) = S(X(\mu))$ and $\Sigma_f = \Sigma, \forall f \in X(\mu)$.

Lemma 3.2. Let $X(\mu)$ be a rectangular f.s. If $g \in S(f, X(\mu))$, then it can be expressed as $g = \sum_{n=1}^N a_n f\chi_{A_n}$, where $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^N \subset \Sigma_f$ consists of disjoint sets.

Proof. Let $g \in S(f, X(\mu))$. Then $g = \sum_{m=1}^M b_m f\chi_{B_m}$ where $\{B_m\}_{m=1}^M \subset \Sigma_f$. We will proceed by induction on M . For $M = 1$, the conclusion is clear.

Let us now consider $g = \sum_{m=1}^{M+1} b_m f\chi_{B_m}$ where $\{B_m\}_{m=1}^{M+1} \subset \Sigma_f$. By the induction hypothesis there is a finite set J and a collection of disjoint sets $\{C_j : j \in J\} \subset \Sigma_f$ such that $\sum_{m=1}^M b_m f\chi_{B_m} = \sum_{j \in J} c_j f\chi_{C_j}$. If $B_{M+1} \cap C_j = \phi, \forall j \in J$, or $B_{M+1} = C_j$ for some $j \in J$, the conclusion is clear. Assume this is not so, take $J_0 := \{j \in J : B_{M+1} \cap C_j \neq \phi\}$ and define $D_{M+1} := B_{M+1} \setminus \cup_{j \in J} C_j$. Then

$$(3.1) \quad D_{M+1} \in \Sigma_f, \quad D_{M+1} \cap C_j = \phi, \quad \forall j \in J.$$

Now for each $j \in J_0$, let $D_j := C_j \cap B_{M+1}$ and $E_j := C_j \setminus B_{M+1}$. Then $D_j, E_j \in \Sigma_f$, $D_j \cap E_j = \phi$ and $C_j = D_j \cup E_j$. We also have

$$(3.2) \quad B_{M+1} \cap (\cup_{j \in J} C_j) = \cup_{j \in J_0} D_j.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{M+1} b_m f\chi_{B_m} &= \sum_{j \in J} c_j f\chi_{C_j} + b_{M+1} f\chi_{B_{M+1}} \\ &= \sum_{j \in J \setminus J_0} c_j f\chi_{C_j} + \sum_{j \in J_0} c_j f\chi_{C_j} + b_{M+1} \left(\sum_{j \in J_0} f\chi_{D_j} + f\chi_{D_{M+1}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{j \in J \setminus J_0} c_j f\chi_{C_j} + \sum_{j \in J_0} c_j f\chi_{E_j} + \sum_{j \in J_0} (c_j + b_{M+1}) f\chi_{D_j} + b_{M+1} f\chi_{D_{M+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

and the conclusion follows. \square

Lemma 3.3. Let $X(\mu)$ be a strictly rectangular f.s. Take $f \in L^0(\mu)^+$ and a finite collection of disjoint sets $\{A_j\}_{j=1}^n \subset \Sigma_f$. If $0 \leq a_j \leq b_j, j = 1, \dots, n$, then

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \chi_{A_j} f \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \chi_{A_j} f \right\|.$$

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be given. For $n = 1$ the conclusion is clear. So we now consider $n \geq 2$ and first assume that $a_1 = b_1, \dots, a_{n-1} = b_{n-1}$ and $0 \leq a_n < b_n$. Take

$x := \sum_{1 \leq j < n} a_j \chi_{A_j} f$ and $A := \cup_{1 \leq j < n} A_j$. Since the norm is rectangular, we have

$$\|x\| = \|(x + b_n \chi_{A_n} f) \chi_A\| \leq \|x + b_n \chi_{A_n} f\|.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|(x + a_n \chi_{A_n}) f\| &= \left\| \frac{a_n}{b_n} (x + b_n \chi_{A_n} f) + \left(1 - \frac{a_n}{b_n}\right) x \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{a_n}{b_n} \|x + b_n \chi_{A_n} f\| + \left(1 - \frac{a_n}{b_n}\right) \|x\| \\ &\leq \|x + b_n \chi_{A_n} f\|. \end{aligned}$$

The general case is now obtained by applying what we have just proved to $\sum_{1 \leq j < n} a_j \chi_{A_j} f + a_n \chi_{A_n} f$ and $\sum_{1 \leq j < n} a_j \chi_{A_j} f + b_n \chi_{A_n} f$, then to $\sum_{1 \leq j < n-1} a_j \chi_{A_j} f + a_{n-1} \chi_{A_{n-1}} f + b_n \chi_{A_n} f$ and $\sum_{1 \leq j < n-1} a_j \chi_{A_j} f + b_{n-1} \chi_{A_{n-1}} f + b_n \chi_{A_n} f$, until we finish with $a_1 \chi_{A_1} f + \sum_{1 < j \leq n} b_j \chi_{A_j} f$ and $b_1 \chi_{A_1} f + \sum_{1 < j \leq n} b_j \chi_{A_j} f$. \square

Proposition 3.4. *The norm in a strictly rectangular f.s. $X(\mu)$ is always strictly monotone on $S(f, X(\mu))$, $\forall f \in L^0(\mu)$, and so*

$$(3.3) \quad \||g| - |h|\| \leq 4\|g - h\|, \quad \forall g, h \in S(f, X(\mu)),$$

If $f \in X(\mu)$ we additionally have

$$(3.4) \quad \||sg|\| \leq \|s\|_\infty \|g\|, \quad \|sg\| \leq 4\|s\|_\infty \|g\|, \quad \forall s \in S(\Sigma), g \in S(f, X(\mu)).$$

Proof. Consider $g, h \in S(f, X(\mu))$ such that $0 \leq g \leq h$ and let us express $g = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \chi_{A_j} f$ and $s = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \chi_{B_j} f$, where $\{A_j\}, \{B_k\} \subset \Sigma$ are finite partitions of Ω . Then $g = \sum_{j,k} a_j \chi_{A_j \cap B_k} f$ and $h = \sum_{j,k} b_k \chi_{A_j \cap B_k} f$. If $A_j \cap B_k$ has positive measure then $a_j \leq b_k$ and if $A_j \cap B_k$ has measure zero we can go on by eliminating this term. Proceeding in this way we can apply the above lemma to obtain that $\|g\| \leq \|h\|$.

Inequality (3.3) follows now from (2.4) in Lemma 2.10.

Assume now that $f \in X(\mu)$. Let $s \in S(\Sigma)$ and $g \in S(f, X(\mu))$. Since $\chi_A f \in X(\mu), \forall A \in \Sigma$, it follows that $sg \in X$ and $|sg| \leq \|s\|_\infty |g|$. Since the norm is monotone on $S(f, X(\mu))$, we obtain the first inequality in (3.4). Using now Lemma 2.6 we have

$$\|sg\| \leq 2\||sg|\| \leq 2\|s\|_\infty \|g\| \leq 4\|s\|_\infty \|g\|. \quad \square$$

In the sequel, we will consider the spaces to be complete. The next example shows that completeness is basic for $X(\mu)$ to be an ideal.

Example 3.5. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a (Lebesgue) measurable set having positive measure and take μ as Lebesgue's measure defined on the σ -algebra of measurable subsets of Ω . Consider $X(\mu) := S(\Sigma)$ with the corresponding L^1 norm. Then $X(\mu)$ is a rectangular f.s. and is not an ideal in $L^0(\mu)$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $X(\mu)$ be a Banach space of measurable functions with the subsequence property. If $f \in L^0(\mu)$ and $\{f_n\} \subset X(\mu)$ is a Cauchy sequence that has*

a subsequence $\{f_{n(k)}\}$ which converges pointwise μ -a.e. to f , then $f \in X(\mu)$, and $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $X(\mu)$.

Proof. Let $g_k := f_{n(k)}$, $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\{g_k\} \subset X(\mu)$ is also a Cauchy sequence and so there is some $g \in X(\mu)$ such that $g_k \rightarrow g$. To obtain the conclusion we will show that $g = f$ μ -a.e. Since $X(\mu)$ has the subsequence property, let $\{g_{k(j)}\}_j$ be a subsequence such that $g_{k(j)} \rightarrow g$ when $j \rightarrow \infty$, pointwise μ -a.e. By the hypothesis we also have $g_{k(j)} \rightarrow f$ pointwise μ -a.e. it follows that $f = g$ μ -a.e. \square

Theorem 3.7. *Let $X(\mu)$ be a Banach strictly rectangular f.s. with the subsequence property.*

i) *If $h \in L^\infty(\mu)$ and $f \in X(\mu)$, then $hf \in X(\mu)$ and*

$$\| |hf| \| \leq \| |h| \|_\infty \| |f| \|, \quad \| hf \| \leq 4 \| h \|_\infty \| f \|.$$

ii) *$X(\mu)$ is an ideal in $L^0(\mu)$.*

iii) *The norm in $X(\mu)$ is monotone, (2.4) holds and the function V is continuous.*

iv) *The function*

$$(3.5) \quad \| f \|_V := \| |f| \|, \quad \forall f \in X(\mu).$$

defines an equivalent norm for $X(\mu)$. Moreover, the norm $\| \cdot \|_V$ coincides with that of $X(\mu)$ in $X(\mu)^+$ and, with the norm $\| \cdot \|_V$, the space $X(\mu)$ is a Banach f.s.

Proof. i) Take $h \in L^\infty(\mu)$, $f \in X(\mu)$ and let $\{s_n\} \subset S(\Sigma)$, be such that $s_n \rightarrow h$ in $L^\infty(\mu)$. Since $f \in S(f, X(\mu))$, it now follows from the second inequality in (3.4) that $\{s_n f\} \subset X(\mu)$ is a Cauchy sequence.

On the other side, we can find a subsequence $\{s_{n(k)}\}$ such that $s_{n(k)} \rightarrow h$ pointwise μ -a.e. Then $s_{n(k)} f \rightarrow hf$ pointwise μ -a.e. This allows us to apply Lemma 3.6 and conclude that $hf \in X(\mu)$ and $s_n f \rightarrow hf$ in $X(\mu)$. Hence from (3.4) we obtain

$$\| hf \| = \lim \| s_n f \| \leq 4 \lim \| s_n \|_\infty \| f \| = 4 \| h \|_\infty \| f \|.$$

Since $s_n \rightarrow h$ in $L^\infty(\mu)$, it follows that $|s_n| \rightarrow |h|$ in $L^\infty(\mu)$. As above, it follows that this implies $|s_n| |f| \rightarrow |h| |f|$ in $X(\mu)$. Using now the first inequality in (3.4) we have $\| |hf| \| \leq \| |h| \|_\infty \| |f| \|$.

ii) Take $f \in L^0(\mu)$ and $g \in X(\mu)$ such that $|f| \leq |g|$. By Lemma 2.6 it is enough to show that $|f| \in X(\mu)$. For this, note that we can express $|f| = h|g|$ for some $h \in L^\infty(\mu)$ with $\| h \|_\infty \leq 1$. Since $|g| \in X(\mu)$, applying i) we obtain that $|f| \in X(\mu)$.

iii) Let $f, g \in X(\mu)$ be such that $0 \leq f \leq g$. Then we can express $f = hg$ where $h \in L^\infty(\mu)$ and $\| h \|_{L^\infty(\mu)} \leq 1$. By i) we now have $\| f \| = \| hg \| \leq \| g \|$.

iv) The other properties of a norm being clear, we will only establish the triangle inequality. So, take $f, g \in X(\mu)$. Then $0 \leq |f + g| \leq |f| + |g|$. Since the norm in $X(\mu)$ is monotone we have

$$\| |f + g| \| \leq \| |f| + |g| \| \leq \| |f| \| + \| |g| \|.$$

This shows that $\| f + g \|_V \leq \| f \|_V + \| g \|_V$, $f, g \in V$.

From ii) in Lemma 2.6 it now follows that $\|\cdot\|_V$ and $\|\cdot\|$ are equivalent norms in $X(\mu)$.

Finally, consider $f, g \in X(\mu)$ such that $|f| \leq |g|$. By the monotonicity of the norm in $X(\mu)$, we obtain $\|f\|_V = \||f|\| \leq \||g|\| = \|g\|_V$. \square

4. SOME CONSEQUENCES

4.1. Banach rectangular f.s with the subsequence property.

Lemma 4.1. *If $X(\mu)$ is a normed rectangular f.s., then $X(\mu)$ has an equivalent strictly rectangular norm.*

Proof. Take $C > 0$ as in (2.2). We define

$$(4.1) \quad \|f\|_r := \sup\{\|\chi_A f\| : A \in \Sigma\}, \forall f \in X(\mu).$$

Clearly $\|\cdot\|_r$ is a seminorm. Since

$$(4.2) \quad \|f\| \leq \|f\|_r \leq C\|f\|, \forall f \in X(\mu),$$

it follows that $\|\cdot\|_r$ is an equivalent norm for $X(\mu)$.

Consider now $f \in X(\mu)$ and $A \in \Sigma$. Then

$$\|\chi_B \chi_A f\| = \|\chi_{B \cap A} f\| \leq \|f\|_r, \forall B \in \Sigma.$$

Hence $\|\chi_A f\|_r \leq \|f\|_r$. This shows that the norm $\|\cdot\|_r$ is strictly rectangular. \square

Corollary 4.2. *Let $X(\mu)$ be a Banach space of measurable functions. Then, there is an equivalent norm under which $X(\mu)$ is a Banach f.s. if, and only if, $X(\mu)$ is a Banach rectangular f.s. with the subsequence property.*

Proof. Let us first assume that there is an equivalent norm $\|\cdot\|_B$ in $X(\mu)$ such that $X_B := (X(\mu), \|\cdot\|_B)$ is a Banach f.s. Then Example 2.5 indicates that $X(\mu)$ is Banach rectangular f.s. and from Corollary 2.11 it follows that $X(\mu)$ has the subsequence property.

The remaining implication follows from Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 3.7. \square

As we will now see, applying Theorem 3.7 we can obtain for Banach rectangular f.s. with the subsequence property, well known properties of Banach f.s.

Definition 4.3. Given a Banach rectangular f.s. with the subsequence property $X(\mu)$, we will denote by $X(\mu)_V$ the Banach f.s. that we obtain when we consider in $X(\mu)$ the norm $\|\cdot\|_V$ defined in (3.5).

In the following we assume $X(\mu)$ and $Y(\mu)$ to be Banach rectangular f.s. with the subsequence property. Naturally, a linear operator $T : X(\mu) \rightarrow Y(\mu)$ is *positive* if $Tf \geq 0, \forall f \geq 0$. By Theorem 3.7 the norms $\|\cdot\|$ are equivalent and $X(\mu)_V$ is a Banach f.s. So $X(\mu)$ will have all those properties of $X(\mu)_V$ which only depend of its topology. Next we give a simple example.

Corollary 4.4. *i) If $T : X(\mu) \rightarrow Y(\mu)$ is a positive operator, then T is continuous.
ii) If $X(\mu)$ is also a Banach rectangular space under the norm $\|\cdot\|_0$, then $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|_0$ are equivalent norms.*

Proof. i) Clearly $T : X(\mu)_V \rightarrow Y(\mu)_V$ is a positive operator. Being $X(\mu)_V$ and $Y(\mu)_V$ B.f.s., it follows that T is bounded. Since the norm in $X(\mu)_V$ is equivalent with that of $X(\mu)$, and the norm in $Y(\mu)_V$ is equivalent with that of $Y(\mu)$, this implies that $T : X(\mu) \rightarrow Y(\mu)$ is bounded.

ii) Let $X(\mu)_j$, $j = 1, 2$, be the B.f.s. associated to the Banach rectangular f.s. $X(\mu)$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|_j$. Then the map $I : X(\mu)_1 \rightarrow X(\mu)_2$ given by $I(f) := f$ is a linear isomorphism and both I and its inverse map are positive operators. It follows from i) that both are bounded and this corresponds to the norms $\|\cdot\|_1$ and $\|\cdot\|_2$ being equivalent. \square

4.2. Normed sequence spaces.

We do not know if an arbitrary Banach rectangular f.s. has the subsequence property. However, we will now show this holds for a rectangular sequence space.

We will say that $X(\mu) = (\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ is a *normed sequence space* (Banach sequence space when complete) when $\Omega := \mathbb{N}$, $\Sigma := 2^\Omega$ and μ is a finite measure such that $\mu(\{n\}) > 0, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. The specific measure will not be important. For definiteness we can take, for example, the measure defined by $\mu(A) := \sum_{n \in A} \frac{1}{2^n}$. Then any function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable and, since the empty set is the only set in Σ with measure zero, each equivalence class in $L^0(\mu)$ has only one member. So in this context we will identify $L^0(\mu)$ with $L^0(\Sigma)$ and also this last space with the set of real sequences \mathcal{S} . Let $A \subset \mathbb{N}$, then considering the above identification, notice that $\chi_A := \{a_n\}$, where $a_n = 1$ when $n \in A$ and $a_n = 0$ when $n \notin A$.

Let $X(\mu)$ be a normed sequence space. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the linear function $\pi_n : X(\mu) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\pi_n(f) := f(n)$.

Proposition 4.5. *If $X(\mu)$ is a rectangular sequence space, then each linear functional π_n is continuous. Hence $X(\mu)$ has the subsequence property.*

Proof. Take $C > 0$ as in Definition 2.2. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $g(n) = 0, \forall g \in X(\mu)$, the conclusion is clear. So now assume that $g(n) \neq 0$, for some $g \in X(\mu)$. Then $g(n)e_n = g\chi_{\{n\}} \in X(\mu)$. Hence $e_n \in X(\mu)$. Since $\|f(n)e_n\| = \|fe_n\| \leq C\|f\|$, we have

$$|\pi_n(f)| = |f(n)| \leq \frac{C\|f\|}{\|e_n\|}, \forall f \in X(\mu).$$

It follows from the above inequality that $X(\mu)$ has the subsequence property. \square

Using the above result together with Theorem 3.7, we get the following characterization:

Corollary 4.6. *Let $X(\mu) \subset \mathcal{S}$ be a Banach sequence space. Then $X(\mu)$ has an equivalent norm under which it is a Banach f.s. if and only if $X(\mu)$ is a Banach rectangular f.s.*

Let $X(\mu)$ be a Banach rectangular sequence space and, for simplicity, assume that each canonical sequence $e_n \in X(\mu)$. Naturally, $e_n(k) := 1$ if $k = n$ and $e_n(k) = 0$ otherwise. Take $C > 0$ as in Definition 2.2 and consider $1 \leq N < M$ and

$A := \{1, \dots, N\}$. Let $a_1, \dots, a_M \in \mathbb{R}$. Taking $s = (a_1, \dots, a_M, \dots)$ we have

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N a_n e_n \right\| = \|\chi_A s\| \leq C \|s\| = C \left\| \sum_{n=1}^M a_n e_n \right\|.$$

By a well known result [3, p. 411], this implies that $\{e_n\}$ is a basic sequence, that is, it is a Schauder basis for the closure of its span, say X_0 .

Proposition 4.7. $\{e_n\}$ is an unconditional basis for X_0 .

Proof. Let $s := \{a_n\} \in S(X_0)$, so $x := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_n$ converges in X . Take $A \subset \mathbb{N}$. By Theorem 3.7 multiplication by χ_A is a bounded operator on $X(\mu)$. Hence $\chi_A x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi_A(n) a_n e_n$ and so $\chi_A s \in S(X_0)$. Since $X(\mu)$ has a rectangular norm, from iii) of Theorem 1.1 we conclude that $\{e_n\}$ is an unconditional basis for X_0 . \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Ciesielski, M., Kolwicz, P. and Panfil, A., *Local monotonicity structure of symmetric spaces with applications*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 409(2) (2014), 649–662.
- [2] Deng, Y., O'Brien M. and Troitsky V.G., *Unbounded norm convergence in Banach lattices*. Positivity 21 (3) (2017), 963–974.
- [3] Diestel J., Jarchow H. and Tonge A., *Absolutely Summing Operators*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [4] Foralewski, P., Hudzik, H., Kowalewski, W. and Wisla, M., *Monotonicity properties of Banach lattices and their applications: a survey*. In: Ordered Structures and Applications (pp. 203-232), Springer International Publishing, Heidelberg, 2016.
- [5] Fremlin D. H., *Topological Riesz Spaces and Measure Theory*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1974.
- [6] Hudzik, H. and Kaczmarek, R., *Monotonicity characteristic of $Ki_{\delta}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ the Bochner spaces*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 349(2) (2009), 459–468.
- [7] Lindenstrauss J. and Tzafriri L., *Classical Banach Spaces*, Lecture Notes Math. 338, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973.
- [8] Luxemburg W. A. J. and Zaanan A. C., *Riesz Spaces I*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1971.
- [9] Rudin W., *Functional Analysis*. Mc-Graw-Hill, Second Ed., New York, 1991.
- [10] Zaanan A. C., *Integration*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1967
- [11] Zaanan A. C., *Introduction to Operator Theory in Riesz Spaces*, Springer Verlag, Heidelberg, 1997.

INSTITUTO UNIVERSITARIO DE MATEMÁTICA PURA Y APLICADA, UNIVERSITAT POLITÈCNICA DE VALÈNCIA, CAMINO DE VERA S/N, 46022 VALENCIA, SPAIN

E-mail address: `jmcabalu@mat.upv.es`

CENTRO DE INVESTIGACIÓN EN MATEMÁTICAS, A.C., JALISCO S/N, COL. VALENCIANA CP: 36023 GUANAJUATO, GTO., MÉXICO

E-mail address: `maite@cimat.mx`

CENTRO DE INVESTIGACIÓN EN MATEMÁTICAS, A.C., JALISCO S/N, COL. VALENCIANA CP: 36023 GUANAJUATO, GTO., MÉXICO

E-mail address: `galaz@cimat.mx`

INSTITUTO UNIVERSITARIO DE MATEMÁTICA PURA Y APLICADA, UNIVERSITAT POLITÈCNICA DE VALÈNCIA, CAMINO DE VERA S/N, 46022 VALENCIA, SPAIN

E-mail address: `easancpe@mat.upv.es`