A NEW MECHANISM OF DUST DESTRUCTION BY MASSIVE STARS, SUPERNOVAE, AND KILONOVAE: ROTATIONAL DISRUPTION BY RADIATIVE TORQUES

THIEM HOANG^{1,2}, LE NGOC TRAM^{3,4}, HYESEUNG LEE¹, AND SANG-HYEON AHN¹

 1 Korea Astronomy and Space Science Institute, Daejeon 34055, South Korea; thiemhoang@kasi.re.kr

² Korea University of Science and Technology, 217 Gajeong-ro, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon, 34113, South Korea

³ LERMA, Observatoire de Paris, cole Normal Suprieure, PSL Research University, CNRS, Sorbonne Universits,

UPMC Univ. Paris 06, F-75231, Paris, France

and

⁴ University of Science and Technology of Hanoi, VAST, 18 Hoang Quoc Viet, Vietnam

ABSTRACT

Massive stars, supernovae, and kilonovae are among the most luminous radiation sources in the universe. The powerful radiation from these sources is expected to have significant effects on the physical and chemical properties of surrounding environments. In this paper, we present a new, efficient destruction mechanism of large dust grains in strong radiation fields due to extremely fast rotation by radiative torques, which is termed RAdiative Torque Disruption (RATD) mechanism. We show that the RATD mechanism can destroy large grains located within a distance of 2 pc from a massive star and supernova, and within 0.1 pc from a kilonova explosion, resulting in the enhancement of very small (size $a < 0.01 \,\mu\text{m}$) and small ($a \sim 0.01 \,\mu\text{m} - 0.1 \,\mu\text{m}$) dust grains. We find that the RATD mechanism can successfully explain several puzzles in dust astrophysics, including (i) the anomalous dust extinction towards SNe Ia, (ii) NIR-MIR emission excess from young massive star clusters, (iii) and Small Magellanic Cloud (SMC)-like extinction curves with a steep far-UV rise in starburst and high redshift galaxies. The enhancement of small grains by RATD also results in the decrease of the escape fraction of $Ly\alpha$ photons from H II regions surrounding young massive star clusters. If interstellar grains are porous/aggregate, the RATD mechanism can also successfully explain the well-known lack of grains larger than $\sim 0.25 \,\mu m$ in the diffuse interstellar medium of our galaxy. Modeling of dust evolution, dust emission, and polarization from intense radiation environments should account for the role of dust destruction by the RATD mechanism.

Keywords: supernovae: general, kilonova, dust, extinction, massive stars; stars: neutron

1. INTRODUCTION

Massive (OB) stars are the most luminous stellar objects in the universe, which can produce large luminosity of $L \sim 10^4 - 10^6 L_{\odot}$ over a million of years. Such a strong, stable radiation source can have significant impact on the physical and chemical properties of gas and dust in the surrounding environment. Indeed, various observations (Relaño et al. 2013; Relaño et al. 2016) show near- to infrared (NIR-MIR) emission excess from H II regions surrounding young massive star clusters (YMSCs). A popular explanation is the predominance of hot small grains that are transiently heated by UV photons (see Martínez-González et al. 2017 and references therein).

Type II supernovae (SNe II) explosions resulting from the rapid collapse of a massive star are the most powerful radiation sources. The result of supernova explosion is the birth of black holes. SNe II are usually considered the major source of dust formation and destruction in the early universe where dust formation by AGB stars is not important (Nozawa et al. 2003). Numerous observational and theoretical studies of dust in the early universe show that grains are essentially very small, of size $a \leq 0.05 \,\mu\text{m}$ (see Yajima et al. 2014). Thus, an accurate understanding of dust destruction by SNe II should be achieved in order to clarify its dominant role as an origin of dust in the early universe (see e.g., Nozawa et al. 2006), as well as the environment in which the resulting black hole is located.

Type Ia supernovae (SNe Ia) originate from accretion of material onto a white dwarf or white dwarf-white dwarf merger, resulting in a neutron star. SNe Ia have been used as standard candles to measure the expansion of the universe due to their stable intrinsic luminosity (Riess et al. 1998). In order to use SNe Ia as a standard candle for precision cosmology such as constraining dark energy, the extinction by surrounding dust and properties of environments surrounding the explosion must be accurately understood for the precise characterization of SN intrinsic light curves. Recently, a new avenue appears in using SNe Ia to study properties of dust in the interstellar medium (ISM) of distant galaxies (Nobili & Goobar 2008; Foley et al. 2014; Brown et al. 2015). It is well-known that the dust properties towards SNe Ia are peculiar, with the predominance of small grains (see e.g., Nozawa 2016).

The common observational feature of interstellar dust around massive stars, SNe Ia and II, is the excess of small grains over large grains $(a > 0.1 \,\mu\text{m})$. It leads to an important question whether the dust properties towards these sources are fundamentally different from the Milky Way or is there some physical mechanism that can destroy large grains and enhance small grains in these environments?

Supernova explosion can inject a huge amount of energetic photons of bolometric luminosity $L \sim 10^8 - 10^{10} L_{\odot}$ into the surrounding environment during the first 100-150 days after the explosion. During the early stage after the explosion, supernova radiation flash is shown to be very efficient for sublimation of mantle grains (Draine & Salpeter 1979a). Other well-known impacts of supernova explosions include destroying dust grains via thermal and non-thermal sputtering and grain-grain collision in high-velocity shocks of supernova remnants, and acceleration of charged particles to high energies, which occur a few hundred days after the explosion.

Photometric observations of SNe Ia during the early phase frequently show anomalous values of the total-toselective extinction ratio $R_{\rm V}$ (i.e. $R_{\rm V} \leq 2$, see Nobili & Goobar 2008; Folatelli et al. 2010; Howell 2011; Phillips et al. 2013; Burns et al. 2014), much lower than the standard Milky Way value $R_V = 3.1$ (Draine 2003). Spectropolarimetric observation also show peculiar wavelength of maximum polarization ($\lambda_{\rm max} < 0.4 \,\mu{\rm m}$, much lower than $0.55\,\mu\mathrm{m}$ for Milky Way interstellar polarization) for several SNe Ia. Such anomalous values $R_{\rm V}$ can originate from peculiar properties of interstellar (IS) dust in the SN hosted galaxies and/or from the presence of circumstellar (CS) dust. Numerical modeling of extinction curves suggest that the anomalous low values of $R_{\rm V}$ are due to the enhancement in the relative abundance of small grains (i.e., grain radius

 $a \sim 0.01 - 0.1 \,\mu\text{m}$) of interstellar (IS) dust in the host galaxy (Phillips et al. 2013; Patat et al. 2015; Nozawa 2016; Hoang 2017).¹ Hoang (2017) proposed a new mechanism that supernova radiation flash can destroy dust grains in the interstellar medium via a grain-grain collision mechanism accelerated by radiation pressure. This scenario is supported by a recent analysis by Bulla et al. (2018a). Another effect discussed in Hoang (2017) is the rotational spin-up by radiative torques acting on dust grains that leads to alignment of small grains.

Modern dust astrophysics reveals that, in addition to dust grain heating and acceleration, anisotropic radiation can spin-up grains of irregular shape to suprathermal rotation. Dolginov & Mitrofanov (1976) first noticed the importance of radiative torques (RATs) on alignment of dust grains. Draine & Weingartner (1996) first numerically computed RATs for several irregular shapes and found that grains can be spunup to suprathermal rotation. The spin-up by RATs was subsequently tested in lab experiments (Abbas et al. 2004). Lazarian & Hoang (2007) and Hoang & Lazarian (2008) developed an analytical theory of RAT alignment. The RAT mechanism has became a leading mechanism for the alignment of interstellar grains and is supported with numerous observations (see Andersson et al. 2015 and Lazarian et al. 2015 for reviews). According to the RAT theory, the spin-up and alignment efficiency of irregular grains depend on a number of physical parameters, including the grain size, the radiation energy density, and the spectrum of the radiation field (Lazarian & Hoang 2007; Hoang & Lazarian 2008, 2009a), and grain magnetic properties (Hoang & Lazarian 2016)

For the diffuse interstellar radiation (ISRF) in the solar neighborhood, with energy density $u_{\rm ISBF} \sim$ $10^{-12} \,\mathrm{ergs} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$, Hoang & Lazarian (2009b) showed that large grains of 0.1 μ m grains can be spun up to $\omega_{\rm ISRF} \sim$ 10^6rad s^{-1} (see also Draine & Weingartner 1996). In the environments with high luminosity such as near a massive star or a supernova explosion, the energy density $u_{\rm rad} \sim 10^{-6} \, {\rm ergs \, cm^{-3}}$ is expected (see Equation 1). The estimated rotation rate can then be enhanced by a factor $U = u_{\rm rad}/u_{\rm ISRF} \sim 10^6$ times, which gives $\omega \sim 10^{12} \mathrm{rad\,s^{-1}}$. Such extremely fast rotating grains would be disrupted by centrifugal force because the maximum rotation rate that the grain material can sustain is $\omega_{\rm cri} \sim 10^9 (S_{\rm max}/10^9 \,{\rm ergs} \,{\rm cm}^{-3})^{1/2}$ where $S_{\rm max}$ is the material tensile strength (see Section 3). As a result, strong radiation from supernova explosion can destroy large grains in the surrounding environment and dramatically change the physical and chemical properties

 $^{^1}$ Grains of size $a \leq 0.01\,\mu{\rm m}$ are usually referred to as very small grains or nanoparticles.

of the ISM. It is noted that Purcell (1979) also mentioned briefly the rotational breakup due to spin-up by H_2 formation and noticed that it is not important for dust compact grains. Nevertheless, due to rapid thermal flipping of grains (Lazarian & Draine 1999) and a limited lifetime of catalytic sites on the grain surface (Lazarian & Draine 1997), H_2 torques are unlikely able to spin-up grains to the critical velocity of disruption.

Kilonova explosions arising from the merger of black hole-neutron star or neutron-neutron stars (Li & Paczyński 1998; Rosswog et al. 1999; Tanaka et al. 2017; Kawaguchi et al. 2016) are the latest powerful radiation sources discovered in the Universe. Following the GW170817 gravitational wave detection, an optical transient source named Swope Supernova Survey17A (SSS17a-kilonova) is observed to have a bolometric luminosity at peak $L_{\text{peak}} \sim 2.6 \times 10^8 L_{\odot}$ (Drout et al. 2017). The detection of electromagnetic counterparts opens a new area of gravitational wave astrophysics. One of the promising potential is to use kilonova to study the properties of interstellar environments in which the merger occurs and understand the interaction of kilonova with the interstellar medium. To pave the way for this promising era, the research on the effect of progenitors of black hole and neutron stars on the surrounding environment is crucially important. Moreover, the understanding of the effect of supernovae and kilonovae feedback on interstellar dust is also important for understanding the circumstellar material and explosion trigger mechanism of SNe Ia and kilonova.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we discuss the spin-up by radiative torques acting on irregular grains. Section 3 is devoted to present the RAdiative Torque Disruption (RATD) mechanism and derive the critical radiation strength and grain size for rotational disruption. In Section 4 we apply the RATD mechanism for several intense radiation fields, including massive stars, supernovae and kilonovae. In Section 5 we discuss the implication of RATD mechanism to explain anomalous dust properties observed toward SNe Ia, young massive stellar clusters, and starburst galaxies. A summary of our main findings is given in Section 6.

2. SPIN-UP BY RADIATIVE TORQUES

2.1. Radiation field

Let u_{λ} be the spectral energy density of radiation field at wavelength λ . The energy density of the radiation field is then $u_{\rm rad} = \int u_{\lambda} d\lambda$. To describe the strength of a radiation field, let define $U = u_{\rm rad}/u_{\rm ISRF}$ with $u_{\rm ISRF} =$ $8.64 \times 10^{-13} \,\rm ergs \, cm^{-3}$ being the energy density of the average interstellar radiation field (ISRF) in the solar neighborhoord as given by Mathis et al. (1983). For a point source radiation field of bolometric luminosity $L_{\rm bol}$, the radiation energy density at distance d_{pc} in units of pc is given by

$$u_{\rm rad} = \int u_{\lambda} d\lambda = \int \frac{L_{\lambda} e^{-\tau_{\lambda}}}{4\pi c d^2} d\lambda$$
$$\simeq 1.06 \times 10^{-6} \frac{L_9 e^{-\tau}}{d_{pc}^2} \,\mathrm{ergs}\,\mathrm{cm}^{-3}, \tag{1}$$

where $L_9 = L_{\rm bol}/10^9 L_{\odot}$, τ_{λ} is the optical depth induced by intervening dust, and τ is defined as $e^{-\tau} = \int L_{\lambda} e^{-\tau_{\lambda}} d\lambda / L_{\rm bol}$. The radiation strength is then

$$U_6 = \frac{U}{10^6} \simeq 1.2 \frac{L_9 e^{-\tau}}{d_{pc}^2}.$$
 (2)

Thus, at d = 1 pc, a massive star of $L \sim 10^5 L_{\odot}$ can produce radiation strength of $U = 10^2$, and a supernova of $L = 10^9 L_{\odot}$ gives $U \sim 10^6$.

2.2. Radiative torques efficiency

Radiative torque (RAT) arising from the interaction of an anisotropic radiation field with an irregular grain is defined as

$$\Gamma_{\lambda} = \pi a^2 \gamma u_{\lambda} \left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi}\right) Q_{\Gamma},\tag{3}$$

where γ is the anisotropy degree of the radiation field, Q_{Γ} is the RAT efficiency, and *a* is the effective size of the grain which is defined as the radius of the sphere with the same volume as the irregular grain (Draine & Weingartner 1996; Lazarian & Hoang 2007).

The magnitude of RAT efficiency, Q_{Γ} , in general, depends on the radiation wavelength, grain shape, size, and its orientation relative to the illumination direction. When the anisotropic radiation direction is parallel to the axis of maximum moment of inertia $\hat{\mathbf{a}}_1$, Lazarian & Hoang (2007) found that the magnitude of RAT efficiency by a monochromatic radiation of wavelength λ for a grain of size a can be approximated by a power-law (Hoang & Lazarian 2008):

$$Q_{\Gamma} \sim 0.4 \left(\frac{\lambda}{1.8a}\right)^{\eta},$$
 (4)

where $\eta = 0$ for $\lambda \leq 1.8a$ and $\eta = -3$ for $\lambda > 1.8a$.

Let $\overline{\lambda} = \int \lambda u_{\lambda} d\lambda / u_{rad}$ be the mean wavelength of the radiation field. The average radiative torque efficiency over the spectrum is defined as

$$\overline{Q}_{\Gamma} = \frac{\int \lambda Q_{\Gamma} u_{\lambda} d\lambda}{\int \lambda u_{\lambda} d\lambda}.$$
(5)

For interstellar grains with $a \leq \overline{\lambda}/1.8$, \overline{Q}_{Γ} can be approximated to (Hoang & Lazarian 2014)

$$\overline{Q}_{\Gamma} \simeq 2 \left(\frac{\overline{\lambda}}{a}\right)^{-2.7} \simeq 2.6 \times 10^{-2} \left(\frac{\overline{\lambda}}{0.5\,\mu\mathrm{m}}\right)^{-2.7} a_{-5}^{2.7}, (6)$$

where $a_{-5} = a/10^{-5} \text{ cm}$, and $\overline{Q_{\Gamma}} \sim 0.4$ for $a > \overline{\lambda}/1.8$

Therefore, for $a \leq \overline{\lambda}/1.8$, the average radiative torque can be given by

$$\Gamma_{\rm RAT} = \pi a^2 \gamma u_{\rm rad} \left(\frac{\overline{\lambda}}{2\pi}\right) \overline{Q}_{\Gamma} \tag{7}$$
$$\simeq 6.9 \times 10^{-23} a_{-5}^{4.7} \gamma \left(\frac{\overline{\lambda}}{0.5\,\mu{\rm m}}\right)^{-1.7} \left(\frac{U_6}{1.2}\right) \ \text{erg}(8)$$

2.3. Maximum angular velocity induced by radiative torques

2.3.1. Rotational damping

The well-known damping process for a rotating grain is sticking collision with gas atoms, followed by evaporation. Let consider a grain rotating along the z-axis with angular velocity ω_z . The angular momentum carried away by an H atom from the grain surface is given by

$$\delta J_z = I_m \omega_z = m_{\rm H} r^2 \omega_z = m_{\rm H} a^2 \sin^2 \theta \omega_z, \qquad (9)$$

where r is the distance from the atom to the spinning axis z, $I_m = m_{\rm H}r^2$ is the inertial moment of the hydrogen atom of mass $m_{\rm H}$, θ is the angle between the z-axis and the radius vector, and $r = a \sin \theta$ is the projected distance to the center. In an interval of time, there are many atoms leaving the grain surface from the different location, θ . Then, assuming the isotropic distribution of θ the atoms leaving the grain, we can evaluate the mean angular momentum carried away per H atom. Thus, we can replace $\sin^2 \theta = < \sin^2 \theta >= 2/3$, which give rise to

$$\langle \delta J_z \rangle = \frac{2}{3} m_{\rm H} a^2 \omega_z. \tag{10}$$

Using the collision rate of atomic gas, $R_{\text{coll}} = n_{\text{H}}v\pi a^2$, one can derive the mean decrease of angular momentum of the grain per second is

$$\frac{\langle \Delta J_z \rangle}{\Delta t} = -R_{\rm coll} \langle \delta J_z \rangle = -\frac{2}{3} n_{\rm H} m_{\rm H} \pi a^4 \omega_z \langle v \rangle. \quad (11)$$

The mean velocity is defined by

$$\langle v \rangle = Z \int v 4\pi v^2 e^{-m_{\rm H} v^2/2kT_{\rm gas}} dv = \left(\frac{8kT}{\pi m_{\rm H}}\right)^{1/2}$$
,(12)

where $Z = (m_{\rm H}/2\pi kT_{\rm gas})^{3/2}$ is the normalization factor of the Boltzmann distribution of gas velocity.

Thus, for a gas with He of 10% abundance, the total damping rate is

$$\frac{\langle \Delta J_z \rangle_{H+He}}{\Delta t} = -\frac{2}{3} 1.2 n_{\rm H} m_{\rm H} \pi a^4 \omega_z \left(\frac{8kT_{\rm gas}}{\pi m_{\rm H}}\right)^{1/2} (13)$$
$$= -\frac{I\omega_z}{\tau_{\rm gas}}, \tag{14}$$

where the characteristic rotational damping time $\tau_{\rm gas}$

reads

$$\tau_{\rm gas} = \frac{3}{4\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{I}{1.2n_{\rm H}m_{\rm H}v_{\rm th}a^4}$$
$$\simeq 8.74 \times 10^4 a_{-5}\hat{\rho} \left(\frac{30\,{\rm cm}^{-3}}{n_{\rm H}}\right) \left(\frac{100{\rm K}}{T_{\rm gas}}\right)^{1/2} \,{\rm yr},(15)$$

where $\hat{\rho} = \rho/3 \,\mathrm{g \, cm^{-3}}$ with ρ being the dust mass density, $v_{\mathrm{th}} = (2k_{\mathrm{B}}T_{\mathrm{gas}}/m_{\mathrm{H}})^{1/2}$ is the thermal velocity of a gas atom of mass m_{H} in a plasma with temperature T_{gas} and density n_{H} , the spherical grains are assumed (Hoang & Lazarian 2009b; Draine & Weingartner 1996. This time is equal to the time required for the grain to collide with an amount of gas of the grain mass.

IR photons emitted by the grain carry away part of the grain's angular momentum, resulting in the damping of the grain rotation. For strong radiation fields, grains can achieve equilibrium temperature, such that the IR damping coefficient (see Draine & Lazarian 1998) can be calculated as

$$F_{\rm IR} \simeq \left(\frac{0.4U^{2/3}}{a_{-5}}\right) \left(\frac{30\,{\rm cm}^{-3}}{n_{\rm H}}\right) \left(\frac{100{\rm K}}{T_{\rm gas}}\right)^{1/2}$$
. (16)

Other rotational damping processes include plasma drag, ion collisions, and electric dipole emission. These processes are mostly important for PAHs and very small grains (Draine & Lazarian 1998; Hoang et al. 2010; Hoang et al. 2011). Thus, the total rotational damping rate by gas collisions and IR emission can be written as

$$\tau_{\rm damp}^{-1} = \tau_{\rm gas}^{-1} (1 + F_{\rm IR}).$$
 (17)

For strong radiation fields of $U \gg 1$ and not very dense gas, one has $F_{\rm IR} \gg 1$. Therefore, $\tau_{\rm damp} \sim \tau_{\rm gas}/F_{\rm IR} \sim a_{-5}^2 U^{2/3}$, which does not depend on the gas properties. In this case, the only damping process is IR emission.

2.3.2. Maximum rotational rate by RATs

RATs can spin-up dust grains to fast rotation. However, faster rotation experiences faster drag due to both gas and IR emission. The equation of motion is given by

$$\frac{Id\omega}{dt} = \Gamma_{\rm RAT} - \frac{I\omega}{\tau_{\rm damp}},\tag{18}$$

where $I = 8\pi \rho a^5/15$ is the grain inertia moment.

For the radiation source with a constant luminosity (e.g., massive stars), radiative torques Γ_{RAT} is constant, and the grain velocity is steadily increased over time. The equilibrium rotation can be achieved at (see Lazarian & Hoang 2007; Hoang & Lazarian 2009b; Hoang & Lazarian 2014):

$$\omega_{\rm RAT} = \frac{\Gamma_{\rm RAT} \tau_{\rm damp}}{I}.$$
 (19)

Plugging Γ_{RAT} (Eq. 3) and τ_{damp} (Eq. 17) into the above equation, one obtain

$$\frac{\omega_{\rm RAT}}{2\pi} \approx 1.2 \times 10^{10} \gamma a_{-5}^{1.7} \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{0.5\,\mu{\rm m}}\right)^{-1.7} \left(\frac{U_6}{1.2}\right)^{1/3} \,{\rm Hz}(20)$$

for grains with $a \leq \lambda/1.8$, and

$$\frac{\omega_{\rm RAT}}{2\pi} \approx \frac{1.95 \times 10^{11} \gamma}{a_{-5}} \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{0.5 \,\mu{\rm m}}\right)^{-1.7} \left(\frac{U_6}{1.2}\right)^{1/3} \,\text{Hz},(21)$$

for grains with $a > \overline{\lambda}/1.8$, where the strong radiation field with $U \gg 1$ and $F_{\text{IR}} \gg 1$ is assumed.

For sources with time-dependent luminosity such as for kilonova and supernova, the angular velocity is solved numerically as

$$Id\omega = \left(\Gamma_{\rm RAT}(t) - \frac{I\omega}{\tau_{\rm damp}}\right)dt.$$
 (22)

This equation can be numerically integrated to obtain the angular velocity as a function of time. The initial conditions $\omega = 0$ at t = 0 can be chosen.

3. ROTATION DISRUPTION BY RADIATIVE TORQUES

3.1. Grain tensile strength and Rotational disruption

A dust grain of radius *a* rotating at velocity ω develops a stress which scales as $S = \rho a^2 \omega^2/4$. Subject to a strong radiation field, grains can be excited to extreme suprathermal rotation, as shown by Equation (20). When the rotation rate is sufficiently high such as the tensile stress exceeds the maximum tensile strength S_{max} , the grain is disrupted. The critical rotational velocity is given by $S = S_{\text{max}}$:

$$\frac{\omega_{\rm disr}}{2\pi} = \frac{1}{\pi a} \left(\frac{S_{\rm max}}{\rho}\right)^{1/2} \tag{23}$$

$$\simeq \frac{5.8 \times 10^8}{a_{-5}} S_{\max,9}^{1/2} \hat{\rho}^{-1/2}, \qquad (24)$$

where $S_{\max,9} = S_{\max}/10^9 \,\mathrm{ergs}\,\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ (Draine & Lazarian 1998; Hoang et al. 2018).

The maximum tensile strength $S_{\rm max}$ depends on the dust grain structure. Compact grains can have higher $S_{\rm max}$ than porous grains. Ideal material, such as diamond, can have $S_{\rm max} \sim 10^{11} \,{\rm ergs} \,{\rm cm}^{-3}$. Burke & Silk (1974) suggested that $S_{\rm max} \sim 10^9 - 10^{10} \,{\rm ergs} \,{\rm cm}^{-3}$ for polycrystalline bulk solid (see also Draine & Salpeter 1979b). Thus, in the following, we take $S_{\rm max} = 10^9 \,{\rm ergs} \,{\rm cm}^{-3}$ as a typical value.

Note that composite grains as suggested by Mathis & Whiffen (1989) would have much lower strength. Indeed, using the model of Greenberg et al. (1995), one can estimate the tensile strength for an aggregate grain consisting of nanoparticles of average size a_p as follows:

$$S_{\max} = 3\beta(1-P)\frac{E}{2ha_p^2},\tag{25}$$

where β is the mean number of contact points per nanoparticle between particles between 1-10, \bar{E} is the mean intermolecular interaction energy at the contact surfaces, and h is the mean intermolecular distance at the contact surface. Here P is the porosity which is defined such that the mass density of the porous grain is $\rho = \rho_0(1 - P)$ with ρ_0 being the mass density of fully compact grain. The value P = 0.2 indicates an empty volume of 20%.

Assuming the interaction is only van der Waals forces, thus $\overline{E} = \alpha 10^{-3}$ eV where α is the coefficient of order of unity, and h = 0.3 nm (see e.g., Li & Greenberg 1997). Let take the mean nanoparticle size $a_p = 2$ nm as suggested by Mathis & Whiffen (1989). Thus, one obtains

$$S_{\max} \simeq 10^7 \left(\frac{\beta}{5}\right) (1-P) \left(\frac{\alpha \bar{E}}{10^{-3} \text{eV}}\right) \\ \times \left(\frac{a}{2\text{nm}}\right)^{-2} \left(\frac{0.3\text{nm}}{h}\right) \text{ ergs cm}^{-3}.$$
(26)

The tensile strength of an aggregate grain is about three orders of magnitude lower than that for polycrystalline bulk solid.

3.2. Critical radiation strength for RATD

From Equations (20) and (24) one can derive the minimum radiation strength required to disrupt the grain for the case $F_{\text{IR}} \gg 1$ as follows:

$$U \ge U_{\rm disr} \simeq 118\gamma^{-3} a_{-5}^{-8.1} \bar{\lambda}_{0.5}^{5.1} S_{\rm max,9}^{3/2}, \qquad (27)$$

for $a \leq \overline{\lambda}/1.8$, and $\overline{\lambda}_{0.5} = \overline{\lambda}/0.5 \,\mu\text{m}$. The equation reveals that the critical radiation strength depends strongly on the anisotropy of the radiation γ , the mean wavelength, and the grain size.

In the case of high gas density and temperature, the gas damping becomes important such that the radiation strength must be increased to disrupt large grains. In general, one can find U_{disr} for arbitrary environment conditions by numerical calculations of ω_{RAT} (Eq. 19) and compare it to ω_{disr} (Eq. 24).

Figure 1 (upper panel) shows the critical radiation strength $U_{\rm disr}$ as a function of the grain size for the diffuse ISRF ($\gamma = 0.1, \bar{\lambda} = 1.2 \,\mu{\rm m}$) and unidirectional radiation sources ($\gamma = 1, \bar{\lambda} = 0.2 - 0.8$). The radiation strength $U_{\rm disr}$ decreases rapidly with increasing *a* as $a^{-8.1}$, then it starts to rise slowly from $a \sim \bar{\lambda}/1.8$. For the diffuse interstellar medium with $\gamma = 0.1$ and $\bar{\lambda} = 1.2 \,\mu{\rm m}$, it requires $U_{\rm disr} \sim 900$ to disrupt grains $a \sim 0.3 \,\mu{\rm m}$. However, for the radiation from a star with $\gamma = 1$, one requires $U_{\rm disr} \sim 0.9$ to disrupt the similar size grain.

In Figure 1 (lower panel), we show the results computed for the PDR conditions with $n_{gas} = 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and



Figure 1. Radiation strength required for disruption vs. grain size for the different radiation spectra characterized by $\bar{\lambda} = 0.2 - 0.8 \,\mu\text{m}$ and $\gamma = 1$, computed for the diffuse ISM (upper panel) and a photodissociation region (PDR, lower panel). The results for the standard ISRF with $\bar{\lambda} = 1.2 \,\mu\text{m}$ and $\gamma = 0.1$ are shown for comparison. The typical maximum tensile strength $S_{\rm max} = 10^9 \,\rm ergs \, cm^{-3}$ is assumed.

 $T_{\text{gas}} = 10^3$ K. Due to the enhanced gas damping, the required radiation strength is much larger. Thus, grains in dense regions can withstand the radiative disruption.

3.3. Critical grain size of rotational disruption

For a given radiation field of $U > U_{\text{disr}}$, from Equations (20) and (24), one can obtain the critical size a_{disr} above which all grains would be disrupted as follows:

$$\left(\frac{a_{\rm disr}}{0.1\,\mu{\rm m}}\right)^{2.7} \simeq 0.046\gamma^{-1} \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{0.5\,\mu{\rm m}}\right)^{1.7} \left(\frac{U_6}{1.2}\right)^{-1/3} S_{\rm max,9}^{1/2}(28)$$

for $a_{\text{disr}} \leq \overline{\lambda}/1.8$.

For larger grains of $a > \overline{\lambda}/1.8$, due to the saturation of RAT efficiency, dust grains can be destroyed if located distance

$$d_{\rm pc}^{2/3} \le 336 \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{0.5\,\mu{\rm m}}\right) (L_9 e^{-\tau})^{1/3} S_{{\rm max},9}^{-1/2}.$$
 (29)

The disruption size a_{disr} depends on the radiation strength U, the mean wavelength $\bar{\lambda}$ and the anisotropy degree γ , and the grain maximum tensile strength. For a cloud at distance d = 1 pc, Equation (28) gives $a_{\text{disr}} \sim 0.03 \,\mu\text{m}$ for $U \sim 10^6$ and $S_{\text{max}} = 10^9 \,\text{ergs cm}^{-3}$. At $d = 10 \,\text{pc}$, one gets $a_{\text{disr}} \sim 0.08 \,\mu\text{m}$ for the similar parameters, and $a_{\text{disr}} \sim 0.13 \,\mu\text{m}$ for $d = 50 \,\text{pc}$. Our analytical results above are obtained assuming that the radiation source is constant over the spin-up period. The case of time-dependence luminosity is studied in the next section.

3.4. Disruption time

Ignoring the drag force during the spin-up stage, we can estimate the grain disruption time to be equal to the time required to spin-up grains to ω_{disr} as follows:

$$t_{\rm disr} = \frac{I\omega_{\rm disr}}{dJ/dt} = \frac{I\omega_{\rm disr}}{\Gamma_{\rm RAT}},$$

$$\simeq 307 \left(\frac{a_{\rm disr}}{0.1\,\mu{\rm m}}\right)^{-0.7} \left(\frac{\overline{\lambda}}{0.5\,\mu{\rm m}}\right)^{2.7} \left(\frac{U_6}{1.2}\right)^{-1} S_{\rm max,9}^{1/2} \,\,{\rm day}.$$
(30)

For a dust cloud at $d = 1 \,\mathrm{pc}$, the disruption time $t_{\mathrm{disr}} \sim 161$ days for $a_{\mathrm{disr}} \sim 0.25 \,\mu\mathrm{m}$. The disruption time decreases with the grain size, such that large grains are disrupted faster than smaller ones (see Figures 5 and 8).

4. APPLICATION FOR STRONG RADIATION SOURCES

We now use the RATD mechanism to quantify the disruption of grains by radiative torques in strong radiation fields of massive stars, supernovae, and kilonova. The anisotropy degree of these radiation fields is $\gamma = 1$. We consider a typical ISM parameters with $n_{\rm gas} = 30 \,{\rm cm}^{-3}$, $T_{\rm gas} = 100$ K, unless stated otherwise,

4.1. Massive Stars and Young Massive Star Clusters

Massive OB stars can shine with $L_{\rm bol} \sim 10^3 - 10^6 L_{\odot}$, which corresponds to the radiation strength $U \sim 1.2 - 10^3$ at 1 pc. A young massive star cluster (hereafter YMSC; see Portegies Zwart et al. 2010 for a review) contains more than thousands of OB stars and more than $10^4 M_{\odot}$, and its luminosity spans between $10^6 - 10^9 L_{\odot}$.

For our following calculations, we fix the effective temperature $T_{\rm eff} \sim 20000$ K. The mean wavelength can be evaluated as $\overline{\lambda} = \int \lambda u_{\lambda}(T_{\star}) d\lambda / \int u_{\lambda}(T_{\star}) d\lambda \sim 0.28 \,\mu$ m, assuming the black body emission for spectral energy density u_{λ} . Thus, we can assume the constant luminosity over its lifetime. Given the luminosity $L_{\rm bol}$, one can calculate the maximum rotation rate using Equation (19) for a grid of grain sizes. Then, one can determine the disruption size by comparing $\omega_{\rm RAT}$ with $\omega_{\rm disr}$. We calculate $a_{\rm disr}$ and $t_{\rm disr}$ for the physical parameters of a



Figure 2. Upper panel: disruption grain size vs. cloud distance for the range of luminosity of massive stars. Blue lines show the results obtained from Eq. 28, red lines show the results from numerical calculations, and horizontal line marks $a_{\rm disr} = \bar{\lambda}/1.8$. Lower panel: disruption time vs. the cloud distance. Physical parameters of the ISM (blue lines) and H II regions (orange lines) are considered. The maximum tensile strength $S_{\rm max} = 10^9 \, {\rm ergs \, cm^{-3}}$ is assumed.

hot ionized gas with $T_{\rm gas} \sim 10^6 {\rm K}$ and $n_{\rm gas} = 1.0 {\rm \, cm^{-3}}$ and the standard ISM.

Figure 2 (upper panel) show the critical size of grains disrupted by RATs as a function of the cloud distance for a range of bolometric luminosity from $L_{\rm bol} \sim 10^4 - 10^9 L_{\odot}$ for the typical ISM (blue lines) and HII regions (orange lines). Results obtained from the analytical formula (Eq. 28) is shown in black lines for comparison, which shows the underestimate compared to the numerical calculations at large distances due to the effect of gas collisions.

The disruption size a_{disr} increases rapidly with increasing distance and reaches $a_{\text{disr}} \sim \bar{\lambda}/1.8 \sim 0.16 \,\mu\text{m}$ (marked by a horizontal line) at some critical distance. Beyond this distance, the disruption does not occur due to the decrease of radiation intensity (see Figure 2).

For $L \sim 10^4 L_{\odot}$ which is typical for OB stars, we get $a_{\rm disr} \sim 0.18 \,\mu{\rm m}$ for $d = 2 \,{\rm pc}$. More luminous star of $L \sim 10^6 L_{\odot}$ can destroy larger grains up to a distance of 10 pc (see dashed-dotted line). For a typical YMSC of mass $M_{\rm cl} \sim 10^6 M_{\odot}$ and $L_{\rm bol} \sim 10^9 L_{\odot}$, one can see that RATD can destroy grains above $0.05 \,\mu{\rm m}$ up to a distance of 30 pc, and only grains smaller than $0.02 \,\mu{\rm m}$ can survive within 1 pc. For the same distance, the obtained $a_{\rm disr}$ for H II conditions is larger than for the ISM case due to the effect of enhanced gas damping.

Figure 2 (lower panel) shows the disruption time as a function of the cloud distance for the different value of luminosity for grains. Using Equation (30), one can estimate the disruption time for grains at 1 pc to be $\tau_{\rm disr} \sim 10^4 {\rm yr}$ and $10^2 {\rm yr}$ yr for $L_{\rm bol} = 10^6$ and $10^4 L_{\odot}$, respectively. This is much shorter than the star lifetime of 10^8 Myr of a massive star. A YMSC of luminosity $L \sim 10^9 L_{\odot}$ can destroy grains larger than 0.05 μ m only after 10 yr.

4.2. Type Ia Supernovae

Radiation energy of SNe Ia is produced mostly by conversion of the kinetic energy of ejecta interacting with surrounding environments (i.e., shocked regions). Most of such energy is concentrated in UV-optical wavelengths, especially in early epochs after the explosion (see Brown et al. 2009).

Zheng et al. (2017) introduced an analytical formula for fitting optical luminosity of the SNe Ia supernova light curve. The formula appears to fit well the B and g bands during the first 50 days (Zheng et al. 2017). Since for RATs, we are interested only in UV-optical wavelengths, thus, we use their analytical fit for the bolometric luminosity, which consists of two power laws :

$$L_{\rm SNIa}(t) = L_0 \left(\frac{t-t_0}{t_p}\right)^{\alpha_r} \left[1 + \left(\frac{t-t_0}{t_p}\right)^{s\alpha_d}\right]^{-2/s} (31)$$

where L_0 is the scaling parameter. The first terms describe the rising period in the luminosity (Riess et al. 1999), and the second terms describes the falling stage after the peak luminosity. The parameters α_r and α_d are the power law indices before and after the peak, t_0, t_p is the first light time and the peak time, and s is the smooth transition parameter. We take $\alpha_r \sim 2$, $\alpha_d \sim 2.5$, $s \sim 1$, and $t_p \sim 23$ day, which are the good fit parameters from Zheng et al. (2017). We take $L_0 = 10^{10}L_{\odot}$.

Plugging $L_{\text{bol}}(t)$ from Equation (31) into (18) and solving the equation numerically, we obtain the timedependence velocity $\omega(t)$ and the maximum (i.e., terminal) velocity ω_{RAT} (Figure 3). This can be used to estimate the critical disruption size a_{disr} .

For SNe Ia, the emitting region can be approximately described by a black body of effective temperature T_{\star} , which is especially valid during the rising phase of the



Figure 3. Grain angular velocity vs. time calculated from Equation 18 for a SN Ia for several grain sizes at 1 pc. The horizontal lines show the critical velocity of disruption ω_{disr} with $S_{\text{max}} = 10^9 \,\text{ergs cm}^{-3}$, for the corresponding grain size. Filled circles mark the disruption moment.



Figure 4. Disruption size vs. cloud distance for the range of the maximum tensile strength S_{max} calculated for a SNe Ia. The case of ideal material is shown by filled circles.

light curve (Riess et al. 1999). Thus, we can obtain $\overline{\lambda} = 0.35 \,\mu\text{m}$ for $T_{\star} = 1.5 \times 10^4 \text{K}$.

Figure 4 shows the critical size of grains disrupted by RATs as a function of the cloud distance for a range of the maximum tensile strength of dust material from $S_{\rm max} = 10^7 - 10^{11} \,{\rm erg} \,{\rm cm}^{-3}$. We get $a_{\rm disr} \sim 0.1 \,\mu{\rm m}$ for $S_{\rm max} = 10^9 \,{\rm erg} \,{\rm cm}^{-3}$ at $d = 1 \,{\rm pc}$.

Figure 5 shows the disruption time as a function of the grain disruption size a_{disr} , assuming the cloud is located at 1 pc. For smallest grains which are not disrupted, we set $t_{\text{disr}} = 100$ days. When the grain size increases to the critical value a_{disr} , the disruption time starts to



Figure 5. Disruption time vs. grain size at dust cloud at distance of 1 pc from the SNe Ia. Large grains can be destroyed within 100 days. Small grains are not disrupted and $t_{\rm disr}$ is set to be 100 days.

decrease rapidly with increasing the grain size. Grains larger than $0.1 \,\mu\text{m}$ are destroyed within 50 days from the explosion for the case of $S_{\text{max}} = 10^9 \,\text{ergs cm}^{-3}$. For the dust cloud at distance below 1 pc, the disruption time is shorter than shown in Figure 5(lower panel).

4.3. Type II-P and Superluminous Supernovae

We first consider the case of Type II-P supernova, a special class of SNe II with extended plateau in the light curve. Figure 6 shows the bolometric luminosity curve of the supernova 2015ba (see Dastidar et al. 2018). We use the arbitrary power-law fits for this bolometric light curve, which consists of three components:

$$L_{\rm SNII}(t) = \begin{cases} 10^{-0.002t+9.17} L_{\odot}, \text{ for } t \le 60 \text{ days} \\ 1.1 \times 10^9 L_{\odot}, & \text{ for } 60 < t \le 120 \text{ days} \\ 10^{-0.0067t+9.84} L_{\odot} \text{ for } t > 120 \text{ days}. \end{cases}$$

Figure 7 (upper panel) shows the critical size of grains disrupted by RATs as a function of the cloud distance around a SNe II-P.

Recently, a new class of supernovae, namely superluminous supernovae (SLSN), is discovered (see Gal-Yam 2012). The luminosity can be two order of magnitudes higher than the standard SNe Ia luminosity (Dong et al. 2016). For the sake of completeness, we calculate the disruption size for a case of SLSN that has the peak luminosity 16 times larger than L_{SNII} given by Equation (32). The results are shown in the lower panel of Figure 7. As expected, large grains can be destroyed at a larger distance, up to 5 pc if $S_{\text{max}} = 10^9 \,\text{ergs cm}^{-3}$.

4.4. Kilonova/Macronova



Figure 6. Bolometric luminosity of a SN II-P, 2015ba with the approximate power-law fits.

Kilonova is electromagnetic radiation mostly in UV, optical and near infrared produced by the decay of radioactive nuclei in the relativistic ejecta after the merger of BH-NS and NS-NS stars (Metzger et al. 2010; Tanaka et al. 2014).

The bolometric luminosity of kilonovae can reach the peak of $3 \times 10^8 L_{\odot}$ after 0.5-5 day after the merger (Metzger et al. 2010). After the peak, the luminosity decreases with time as

$$L_{\rm kilo}(t) = L_{\rm peak} t^{-\alpha}, \tag{33}$$

where the time t is given in units of day. We can describe the first, shallow slope with $\alpha \sim 0.2$ for $t \leq 3$ days, and the second steep slope $\alpha = 1.3$ for t > 3 days (Metzger et al. 2010) (see Tanaka & Hotokezaka 2013; Tanaka et al. 2018).

The radiation spectrum of a kilonova can be approximated by a temperature $T \sim 10^4$ K (Metzger & Berger 2012), which corresponds to $\overline{\lambda} = 0.53 \,\mu$ m. The observational data are shown in Cowperthwaite et al. (2017) where UV, optical, NIR data are provided where the peak luminosity is $L_{\text{peak}} \sim 5 \times 10^{41} \,\text{ergs s}^{-1} \sim 1.3 \times 10^8 L_{\odot}$. For GW170817, the bolometric luminosity can be $L > 10^7 L_{\odot}$ for the first 20 days after the merger (Nicholl et al. 2017; Waxman et al. 2017).

From Equations (33) and (18), one can obtain a_{disr} by following the same procedure as SNe Ia.

Figure 9 shows the critical size of grains disrupted by RATs as a function of the cloud distance for a range of kilonova luminosity for the different tensile strength S_{max} .

Figure 10 shows the time required for disruption as a function of the disruption size. It shows the disruption time decreases rapidly with the grain size.



Figure 7. Disruption size vs. cloud distance for the different S_{max} . Upper panel and lower panel show the results for a SN II-P with $L_{\text{bol}} = L_{\text{SNII}}$ and a superluminous supernova with $L_{\text{bol}} = 16L_{\text{SNII}}$, respectively.

5. DISCUSSION

5.1. Grain destruction by intense radiation: thermal sublimation vs. rotational disruption

Thermal sublimation is usually referred as a main destruction mechanism of dust grains by a powerful radiation field (e.g., Waxman & Draine 2000; Hoang et al. 2015).

For a point source of radiation, the sublimation distance of dust grains, r_{sub} , from the central source is given by

$$r_{\rm sub} = 0.015 \left(\frac{L_{\rm UV}}{10^9 L_{\odot}}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{T_{\rm sub}}{1800 {\rm K}}\right)^{-5.6/2} {\rm pc}, (34)$$

where $L_{\rm UV}$ is the luminosity in the optical and UV, which is roughly one half of the bolometric luminosity, and $T_{\rm sub}$ is the dust sublimation temperature between 1500-1800 K for silicate and graphite material (see Scov-



Figure 8. Same as Figure 5, but for a SN II-P (upper panel) and superluminous supernova (lower panel) for a dusty cloud at 3 pc. The disruption time is below 100 days for $0.2\,\mu m$ grains.

ille & Norman 1995; Guhathakurta & Draine 1989). Therefore, thermal sublimation is only important for grains very close to the explosion location. Other mechanisms discussed in the literature including Coulomb explosion and ion field emission (Hoang et al. 2015) are expected to be less efficient than thermal sublimation.

In this paper, we introduce a new destruction mechanism, so-called RAdiative Torque Disruption (RATD), and show that the RATD mechanism is a mechanism more efficient to destroy large dust grains than thermal sublimation. Specifically, the disruption distance of the dust cloud is much larger than thermal sublimation radius (see Section 3). The efficiency of RATD mechanism depends on the radiation strength (U) and the anisotropy degree of the radiation field. The radiation strength required for grain disruption is not too high, such as $U_{\rm disr} < 118\gamma^{-3}S_{\rm max,9}^{3/2}$ for grains larger



Figure 9. Same as Figure 4, but for a kilonova. The disruption distance is within 0.15 pc.



Figure 10. Disruption time vs. grain size at cloud distance of 0.02 pc from the kilonova. Large grains can be destroyed within 200 days.

than 0.1 μ m (see Eq.27). This value is much lower than the radiation strength required for thermal sublimation of $U_{\rm sub} > 8 \times 10^7 (T_{\rm sub}/1800 {\rm K})^{5.6}$ (see Eq. 34). Note that the required radiation strength increases rapidly with the anisotropy degree as γ^{-3} , but even for weakly anisotropic radiation such as the ISRF, one still has $U_{\rm disr} \gg U_{\rm sub}$.

The dominance of rotational disruption over thermal sublimation can be understood by means of energy consideration. Indeed, in order to heat the dust grain to the sublimation temperature, $T_{\rm sub}$, the radiation energy must be $E_{\rm rad} \sim T_{\rm sub}^4$. On the other hand, in order to spin-up dust grains to the critical rotation rate $\omega_{\rm disr}$, the radiation energy required is $E_{\rm rad} \sim \omega_{\rm disr}$. Due to the fourth order dependence, the sublimation energy is much higher than the energy required for grain disruption.

The critical radiation strength increases with increasing the gas damping rate, which is determined by the gas density and temperature. For a very dense and hot environment like PDR, the disruption occurs when $10^4 < U_{\rm disr} < 10^5$, assuming $\gamma = 1$ (see Figure 1). The typical radiation strength of PDR is $U \sim 3 \times 10^4$, which is sufficient to disrupt large grains. We note that the radiation strength can vary from $U \sim 0.1 - 10^7$ in galaxies (Draine et al. 2007). Therefore, the effect of RATD by strong radiation fields should be accounted for in dust modeling and galaxy evolution research.

The only uncertainty in the RATD mechanism lies in the maximum tensile strength of dust grains. Compact grains tend to have high $S_{\rm max}$ upto $10^{11} \,{\rm ergs} \,{\rm cm}^{-3}$, while porous/composite grains should have lower tensile strength.

If large grains are actually an aggregate of nanoparticles as proposed by Mathis & Whiffen (1989), we can expect S_{max} as low as $10^7(1-P) \text{ ergs cm}^{-3}$ (see Equation 26). While the evidence of porous grains in the ISM is still inconclusive, modeling of dust emission and polarization for Planck data by Guillet et al. (2017) reveals that an inclusion of 20% porosity can successfully reproduce the data. In this case of P = 0.2, one only needs $U_{\text{disr}} \sim 1.0$ to disrupt $a \sim 0.3 \,\mu\text{m}$ grains in the diffuse ISRF (see Eq. 27). If interstellar grains are indeed composite grains, then, the lack of large grains ($a > 0.3 \,\mu\text{m}$) in the diffuse interstellar medium can be easily explained by the RATD mechanism.

5.2. RATD destroys large grains and enhance small grains in the regions surrounding supernovae

Observations toward SNe Ia often reveal peculiar properties of dust in the interstellar medium (ISM) of distant galaxies (Nobili & Goobar 2008; Foley et al. 2014; Brown et al. 2015). First, photometric observations of SNe Ia demonstrate peculiar dust properties with unprecedented low $R_{\rm V}$ values. For instance, using data from 80 SNe Ia with considerable reddening (i.e., $E_{B-V} < 0.87 \text{ mag}$), Nobili & Goobar (2008) report an unusually low value of $R_{\rm V} \sim 1.75$. An analysis of low redshift SNe Ia by Folatelli et al. (2010) reveals an average value of $R_{\rm V} \sim 1-2$ (see also Phillips et al. 2013). This anomalous $R_{\rm V}$ value is much lower than the typical value $R_{\rm V} \sim 3.1$ for interstellar (IS) grains in the Milky Way. The question of which origin (IS or circumstellar dust) for these anomalous values of $R_{\rm V}$ and $\lambda_{\rm max}$ remains unclear, and addressing this question has important implication for better understanding the progenitors of SNe Ia.

Numerous works, however, suggest that the low values of $R_{\rm V}$ are due to the enhancement in the relative

Figure 11. Schematic illustration for the range of grain size expected to be present in the cloud surrounding the SNe Ia constrained by the radiative rotational disruption. Within the distance of 1 pc, only grains smaller than $0.1 \,\mu\text{m}$ are present and larger grains are disrupted. The maximum tensile strength $S_{\text{max}} = 10^9 \,\text{ergs cm}^{-3}$ is adopted.

abundance of small grains of interstellar dust in the host galaxy (see Phillips et al. 2013. The inverse modeling by both extinction and polarization by Hoang (2017) demonstrates that grains are essentially smaller than $a \sim 0.06 - 0.07 \,\mu\text{m}$ for SN 1986G, 2006X, 2014J. A new mechanism namely cloud-cloud collisions is proposed by Hoang (2017) to explain the destruction of large grains and origin of the excessive small grains. This is supported by time-varying reddening observations by Bulla et al. (2018b).

Second, polarimetric studies of SNe Ia have also shown anomalous properties (see Wang & Wheeler 2008 for a review). Four SNe Ia with high quality of polarization data (SNe 1986G, 2006X, 2008fp, and 2014J) exhibit anomalous polarization curves that rise toward UV wavelengths (Kawabata et al. 2014; Patat et al. 2015). Fitting the observational data with the typical ISM polarization law–Serkowski law–yields an anomalous value of peak wavelength ($\lambda_{max} < 0.2 \,\mu$ m) for SNe 2008fp and 2014J, which is much lower than the typical value $\lambda_{max} \sim 0.55 \,\mu$ m in the Galaxy. The low value of peak wavelength can be explained by alignment of small grains by RATs from strong supernova radiation (Hoang 2017).

Based on the RATD mechanism and numerical calculations for time-dependence SNe luminosity, we have found that RATD is efficient mechanism to destroy large grains within a short time of 100 days, which successfully explain the origin of excessive small grains toward SNe Ia. Figure 11 shows a schematic illustration of the grain size present in the cloud surrounding a SNe Ia. Within



d = 1 pc, only small grains of $a < 0.1 \,\mu\text{m}$ are present, and only grains smaller than $0.05 \,\mu\text{m}$ are present within 0.5 pc. Large grains of $a > 0.2 \,\mu\text{m}$ can survive at distance beyond 1.5 pc.

According to the RATD mechanism, supernova explosion would dramatically change the dust properties such that the dust probed by SNe Ia varies with the observing time and is different from the dust prior the explosion. Analysis by Hutton et al. (2015) reveals that the value $R_{\rm V}$ of the environments before the supernova explosion is much larger than the value measured after explosion at $R_{\rm V} \sim 1.4$ for SN 2014J.

The picture of grain destruction by SNe Ia radiation field is supported by a detailed analysis of the timevarying reddening. Indeed, Bulla et al. (2018b) estimated the distance of interstellar clouds towards SNe Ia. They estimated that most of clouds are located within d = 10 pc.

Interestingly enough, Cikota et al. (2017) found some similarity between polarization curves of protoplanetary nebulae (PPNe) and SNe Ia. We suggest that large grains around PPNe are perhaps destroyed by strong radiation of luminosity is $L \sim 10^4 L_{\odot}$ from the central star when they are being ejected from the envelope by radiation pressure. The alignment of resulting small grains by RATs can reproduce anomalous polarization curves, as shown in Hoang (2017).

5.3. RATD and radiation pressure acceleration can increase metallicity of environments surrounding SNe

While the exact outcome of the grain disruption is not clearly known, one expect the disruption would produce small fragments if a dust grain is assumed to be an aggregate of nanoparticles (Mathis & Whiffen 1989). Moreover, atoms and molecules on the grain surface that have loosen bond with the core can be ejected first before the whole disruption, releasing atoms into the gas.

A related process is the grain-grain collision induced by radiation pressure acceleration suggested by Hoang (2017), which can evaporate part of the grains and release heavy elements to the interstellar gas. As a result, we expect the increase of the metalicity with decreasing the cloud distance. Note that this process is different from grain shattering in supernovae shocks which occurs at a much later stage when the ejecta reaches the interstellar medium.

Phillips et al. (2013) discovered that SNe Ia frequently exhibited anomalously strong Na I absorption, and all cases with anomalous Na I absorption are blueshifted for which the authors suggested that outflowing circumstellar material is responsible for Na I anomalous absorption. Observations for SNe Ia show the increase of Na and Bulla et al. (2018b) found that the line of sights with high Na abundance is preferred by low $R_{\rm V}$.

In our paradigm, this is an indication of Na released from dust by grain-grain collision. In particular, Zelaya et al. (2017) found some correlation between Na I absorption and low λ_{max} SNe Ia that are produced by alignment of small grains. This picture is consistent with Na produced by dust due to radiative feedback. Soker (2014) suggested photosputtering of dust grains at 1 pc to explain variable Na absorption, however, this mechanism cannot explain dominant small grains towards SNe Ia.

5.4. RATD can modify dust and gas properties around kilonova explosion

We show that large grains within 0.1 pc from the merger location can be disrupted by strong radiation from the kilonova. Therefore, the surrounding environments should be dominated by small grains. This can have a long lasting impact on physical and chemical properties of the surrounding interstellar medium. If the mergers occur in dense dusty environments, then the probability of having a close dusty cloud is expected.

While large grains can be disrupted by strong radiation fields, small grains that receive weaker torques can be spun-up to suprathermal rotation and be aligned with the magnetic field by radiative torques Hoang (2017). We expect that the polarization due to aligned grains is expected to have low peak wavelength λ_{max} . Polarized dust emission from aligned grains can be used to trace magnetic fields in the environment where the merger occurs.

Polarimetric observations of kilonova GW170817 reveal a low degree of polarization (Covino et al. 2017; Bulla et al. 2018c), which suggest that most polarization is produced by aligned grains in the interstellar medium rather than from scattering in the ejecta.

Note that the properties of the interstellar medium around GW170817 are studied, where a low dust extinction, with E(B-V) = 0.08 for $R_V = 3.1$ is reported (Levan et al. 2017). The chosen value of $R_V = 3.1$ would be an overestimate if the dust cloud is in the vicinity of the kilonova because grains are expected to be smaller due to radiative disruption.

Recently, a study on location and environments of BH-NS mergers is presented by Wiggins et al. (2018). The authors discuss that BH-NS mergers should occur in low density environments (e.g, $n < 1 \text{ cm}^{-3}$) such as the outskirt of a galaxy where the binary has migrated over time. This is different from SNe Ia where the explosion site is near galactic center where there is a high chance of accreting material.

Finally, the effect of surrounding dust extinction is important for using EM counterpart in the NS-NS and BH-NS merger and GWs as a *standard siren*.



Figure 12. Schematic illustration for the range of grain size expected to be present in the cloud surrounding a young massive star cluster with $L_{\rm bol} \sim 10^7 L_{\odot}$. Within the distance of 0.1-1 pc, only small grains of $a \sim 0.02-0.04 \,\mu{\rm m}$ can survive while larger grains are disrupted by RATD. The maximum tensile strength $S_{\rm max} = 10^9 \,{\rm ergs \, cm^{-3}}$ is adopted.

5.5. RATD enhances small grains in H II regions around YMSCs

Figure 12 illustrates the expected grain size of dust grains present in a H II region surrounding a YMSC of luminosity $L \sim 10^7 L_{\odot}$ as a result of RATD (see Figure 2). Grains larger than $a \sim 0.15 \,\mu\text{m}$ are destroyed within a large distance of 30 pc, small grains of $a \sim 0.06 \,\mu\text{m}$ are disrupted within the distance of 10 pc, and very small grains of $a > 0.03 \,\mu\text{m}$ are destroyed within 1 pc. A more luminous cluster of $L \sim 10^9 L_{\odot}$ can destroy grains of $a > 0.02 \,\mu\text{m}$ within 1 pc. Due to the destruction of large grains into small grains, we expect the increase in the abundance of small grains toward the central cluster.

Observations usually show NIR-MIR emission excess relative to PAH emission from H II regions around YM-SCs (Relaño et al. 2013; Relaño et al. 2016; Martínez-González et al. 2017). To explain such NIR-MIR excess, one requires a population of hot dust, which is essentially small grains. As shown in Figure 12, the RATD mechanism can destroy large grains and replenish small grains to the ISM, which successfully explains NIR-MIR excess.

Interestingly, a detailed analysis by Lebouteiller et al. (2007) for dust and gas emission from an H II region around a young massive cluster, NGC 3603, reveals an increase of nanoparticle abundance with decreasing the radius below d = 10 pc (see their Figure 8). Other studies also report an enhancement of small grains toward the location of stronger radiation in the H II regions surrounding massive stars (Stephens et al. 2014) and young massive stellar cluster (Relaño et al. 2016; Relaño et al.

2018). This striking feature is a puzzle because the destruction of small grains by sputtering and thermal sublimation is expected to increase toward the central source. The proposed RATD mechanism can naturally explain such an enhancement because large grains are more efficiently disrupted in the location of stronger radiation, resulting in the increase of small grains with the decreasing radius (see Figure 12).

Let us compare the RATD mechanism with thermal sputtering which is important in hot ionized gas of supernova shocks. Let $Y_{\rm sp}$ be the average sputtering yield by impinging H with mean thermal velocity $\langle v \rangle$. Then, the sputtering rate is given by

$$\frac{4\pi\rho a^2 da}{dt} = n_{\rm H} \langle v \rangle \pi a^2 Y_{\rm sp} m_{\rm H}, \qquad (35)$$

For H II regions with $T_{\rm gas} \sim 10^6 {\rm K}$, the mean thermal velocity is $\langle v \rangle \sim 145 {\rm km \, s^{-1}}$, at which $Y_{\rm sp} \sim 0.1$ for silicate and graphite grains (Draine & Salpeter 1979b; Draine 1995). Therefore, the destruction time for a grain size of *a* is then given by

$$\tau_{\rm sp} = \frac{a}{da/dt} \simeq 10^4 a_{-5} n_1^{-1} \left(\frac{10^6 {\rm K}}{T_{\rm gas}}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{0.1}{Y_{\rm sp}}\right) {\rm yr}_{\rm sp}(36)$$

where $n_1 = n_{\rm H}/1 \,{\rm cm}^{-3}$, $Y_{\rm sp}$ falls rapidly after its peak value at the Bohr velocity due to the decrease of the nucleons-nucleon cross-section (see Hoang et al. 2015).

Comparing Equation (36) with τ_{disr} by a massive star/YMSC in Figure 2, it reveals that the sputtering is rather slow process to destroy large grains compared to RATD, assuming the cloud distance d < 10 pc.

5.6. Effect of dust destruction by RATD on $Ly\alpha$ photon escape

Hydrogen Ly α line ($\lambda = 1216$ Å) in the spectra of star-forming galaxies, either nearby or high-z, carries a wealth of information about both photon sources and surrounding media. Since $Ly\alpha$ photons experience a large number of local scatterings before escape in these objects, the emergent line profile and flux are heavily dependent upon local properties such as kinematics, column densities of neutral hydrogen, clumpy structures, and dust properties, more than the global properties of the ISM in the host galaxy. The physical quantities such as $Ly\alpha$ escape fraction $(f_{esc}(Ly\alpha))$ and the star-formation rate are usually inferred from the dustcorrected rest-frame UV/IR flux and/or from the dustcorrected $H\alpha$ emission flux. The dust-correction depends the UV dust extinction, which is dependent upon the grain size distribution, especially the population of small and very small grains that dominates the UV extinction.

According to RATD mechanism, dust grains larger than $a > 0.05 \,\mu\text{m}$ can be easily disrupted to a distance

of 10 pc in the intense anisotropic radiation field from a YMSC (see Figure 12). The resulting enhancement of small grains by radiative disruption will increase the far-UV dust extinction, which significantly decreases the escape fraction of Lyman α photons from star-forming galaxies.

Numerous observations support our above hypothesis. Hayes et al. (2010) found that the Ly α -selected and $H\alpha$ -selected galaxies show disjoint quantities of extinction, $Ly\alpha$ escape fraction, and the star-formation rate. In addition, the Ly α -selected sample is significantly less dusty and exhibit higher escape fraction than the H α selected sample due to the fact that dust extinction is larger at shorter wavelength. It is well-known that the escape fraction is anti-correlated with the dust reddening (Hayes et al. 2010; Atek et al. 2014). Moreover, a detailed study by Yang et al. (2017) reveals that the low value of $f_{\rm esc}(Ly\alpha)$ can be better fit with the SMC-like extinction curve, and many galaxies have $f_{\rm esc}$ even below the fit by SMC-like extinction (see their Figure 7a). This demonstrates the greater enhancement of small grains in these star-forming galaxies. Furthermore, direct measurements of dust properties in high-z Ly α break galaxies indicate the typical grain size $a \sim 0.05 \,\mu \text{m}$ (Yajima et al. 2014), which is much smaller than the ISM dust. Due to the dominance of massive stars and SNe in the star-forming galaxies, either nearby or high-z, the efficient RATD mechanism is expected to be important in disrupting large grains to produce such very small grains.

Another issue is the observation of the singly-peaked $Ly\alpha$ emission lines observed in these starburst galax-To explain this feature, usually a central cavies. ity of dust grains interior of a supershell/superbubble is suggested to efficiently suppress the secondary, tertiary, and even higher order peaks redshifted with respect to the rest-frame $Ly\alpha$ line center (Ahn 2004). The size and the age of the supershell/superbubble was roughly estimated to be $1.8\,\mathrm{pc} < R < 180\,\mathrm{pc}$ and 10^2 yr $< t < 5 \times 10^4$ yr, respectively, for a range of input energy as a free parameter from stellar radiation and explosions (see Ahn 2000). A young massive star cluster has $L_{\rm bol} \sim 10^6 - 10^9 L_{\odot}$. Thus, strongest radiation of $L \sim 10^9 L_{\odot}$ can destroy large grains to produce very small grains of $a < 0.1216/2\pi \sim 0.02 \,\mu\text{m}$, which are inefficient to absorb $Ly\alpha$ photons, resulting in a cavity size of 1 pc (see Figure 12).

5.7. Application of RATD to other astrophysical environments

In starburst galaxies with star formation activities and supernova explosions, observations also show the peculiar extinction curve with a steep far-UV rise (Gordon et al. 1997). The popular explanation for such a feature is the shattering of grain-grain collisions by supernova shocks. However, this mechanism requires a long destruction timescale to be efficient. It also cannot explain the absence of of 2175Å extinction bump presumably produced by PAHs.

In light of the RATD mechanism, the excess of small grains as demonstrated by the steep far-UV rise in starburst galaxies is mostly a consequence of dust disruption by intense radiation from massive stars and supernova explosions. In particular, it is well-known that smallest particles such as PAHs are destroyed by extreme UV and X-ray in intense radiation fields. Therefore, the RATD mechanism can successfully explain both the lack of 2175Å extinction bump and a steep far-UV rise in starburst galaxies.

High-z star-forming galaxies also favor the SMC-like extinction curve with a steep far-UV rise (Reddy et al. 2018; Salim et al. 2018). This feature can also be consistent with the RATD mechanism.

Last but not least, the RATD mechanism naturally works in other intense radiation fields such as red giant stars, AGNs, quasars, and gamma ray bursts (GRBs) afterglow. Thus, we expect the excess of small grains in the environments surrounding these sources. Therefore, RATD mechanism favors a steep far-UV rise in the SMC-like extinction curves toward GRBs host galaxies (Schady et al. 2012; Schady 2015; Bolmer et al. 2018) and quasars (Hopkins et al. 2004; Glikman et al. 2012).

6. SUMMARY

In the present paper, we have presented a new mechanism of dust destruction by intense radiation field from massive stars, kilonova and supernova. Our main results are summarized as follows:

- Using RAT theory, we showed that large irregular grains can be spun-up by radiative torques to extremely fast rotation by a strong radiation field, such that they are disrupted when the rotation exceeds the maximum tensile strength. This Radiative Torque Disruption (RATD) mechanism depends on the strength (U) and anisotropy degree (γ) of the radiation field, and it requires not very large radiation strength to be effective. Thus, as a result of RATD, we predict the predominance of small and very small grains in the environments exposed to the strong radiation fields.
- We applied the RATD mechanism to study dust disruption for several intense radiation sources, including a stable radiation field from massive stars and transient radiation sources such as supernova, superluminous supernova, and kilonova explosions.

- For massive stars, we find that RATD mechanism can destroy large grains of size $a > 0.25 \,\mu\text{m}$ within ~ 5 pc and $a > 0.1 \,\mu\text{m}$ within 2 pc from the central star of $L \sim 10^5 L_{\odot}$. The abundance of small grains is expected to increase with decreasing the cloud distance. This destruction mechanism can successfully explain the predominance of small grains observed from young massive star clusters (YMSCs) with OB stars and starburst galaxies.
- For SNe Ia, we find that the RATD mechanism can destroy large grains of size of $a > 0.1 \,\mu\text{m}$ within 1 pc from the explosion site. This mechanism can successfully explain the excessive abundance of very small grains toward SNe Ia and reproduce the anomalous low extinction value when the dust cloud is located within a distance of several parsecs. The RATD mechanism may explain the increase of Na I absorption and blue-shift of Na line observed for SNe Ia.
- For SNe II-P and superluminous supernovae, the RATD mechanism is shown to be more efficient than for SNe Ia. The destruction of large grains can successfully explain the excess of small grains in high-z galaxies where massive stars are abundant and core-collapse supernovae frequently occur.
- We study the feedback of kilonova/macronova on the dust properties of the surrounding environments. We predict that the environments in the vicinity of the mergers would be dominated by small grains.

- Due to the disruption of large grains by intense radiation, the usage of SNe Ia and SNe II to probe the ISM should be cautious because the properties toward these sources do not trace the average properties before the explosion and the average property of the galaxy. Similar conclusion is applied for using GRB afterglow to study dust properties in high-z galaxies.
- We discuss the impact of RATD on $Ly\alpha$ starforming galaxies and suggest that the observed low escape value o $Ly\alpha$ photons can originate from the enhanced dust extinction due to excess of small grains produced by rotational disruption.
- Future modeling of dust evolution in galaxies should account for the destruction of large grains by the RATD mechanism by intense radiation fields such as supernovae and massive OB stars.
- If interstellar grains are porous/aggregate as suggested by Mathis & Whiffen (1989), the RATD mechanism can be sufficient to disrupt large grains with the average radiation strength $U \sim 1$, which can successfully explain the lack of grains larger than $0.25 \,\mu\text{m}$ in the interstellar medium of the Milky Way.

T.H. thanks A. Lazarian and B-G Andersson for helpful comments. This work was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF), funded by the Ministry of Education (2017R1D1A1B03035359).

REFERENCES

- Abbas, M. M., Craven, P. D., Spann, J. F., et al. 2004, ApJ, 614, 781
- Ahn, S.-H. 2000, ApJ, 530, L9
- Ahn, S.-H. 2004, ApJ, 601, L25
- Ahn, S.-H., Lee, H.-W., & Lee, H. M. 2001, ApJ, 554, 604
- Andersson, B.-G., Lazarian, A., & Vaillancourt, J. E. 2015, ARA&A, 53, 501
- Atek, H., Kunth, D., Schaerer, D., et al. 2014, A&A, 561, A89
- Bolmer, J., Greiner, J., Krühler, T., et al. 2018, A&A, 609, A62
- Brown, P. J., Holland, S. T., Immler, S., et al. 2009, AJ, 137, 4517
- Brown, P. J., Smitka, M. T., Wang, L., et al. 2015, ApJ, 805, 74
- Bulla, M., Goobar, A., Amanullah, R., Feindt, U., & Ferretti, R. 2018a, MNRAS, 473, 1918
- Bulla, M., Goobar, A., & Dhawan, S. 2018b, MNRAS, 479, 3663
- Bulla, M., Covino, S., Kyutoku, K., et al. 2018c,
- arXiv:1809.04078
- Burke, J. R., & Silk, J. 1974, ApJ, 190, 1
- Burns, C. R., Stritzinger, M., Phillips, M. M., et al. 2014, ApJ, 789, 32
- Cikota, A., Patat, F., Cikota, S., Spyromilio, J., & Rau, G. 2017, MNRAS, 471, 2111

- Covino, S., Wiersema, K., Fan, Y. Z., et al. 2017, Nature Astronomy 1
- Astronomy, 1 Cowperthwaite, P. S., Berger, E., Villar, V. A., & et al. 2017, ApJL, 848, 0
- Dastidar, R., Misra, K., Hosseinzadeh, G., et al. 2018, MNRAS, 479, 2421
- Dolginov, A. Z., & Mitrofanov, I. G. 1976, Ap&SS, 43, 291
- Dong, S., Shappee, B. J., Prieto, J. L., et al. 2016, Science, 351, 257
- Draine, B. T. 1995, Astrophysics and Space Science, 233, 111
- Draine, B. T. 2003, ARA& A, 41, 241
- Draine, B. T., & Lazarian, A. 1998, ApJ, 508, 157
- Draine, B. T., & Salpeter, E. E. 1979a, ApJ, 231, 438
- Draine, B. T., & Salpeter, E. E. 1979b, ApJ, 231, 77
- Draine, B. T., & Weingartner, J. C. 1996, ApJ, 470, 551
- Draine, B. T., Dale, D. A., Bendo, G., et al. 2007, ApJ, 663, 866
- Drout, M. R., Piro, A. L., Shappee, B. J., & et al. 2017, Science, 358, 1570
- Folatelli, G., Phillips, M. M., Burns, C. R., et al. 2010, AJ, 139, 120
- Foley, R. J., Fox, O. D., McCully, C., et al. 2014, MNRAS, 443, 2887

- Gal-Yam, A. 2012, Science, 337, 927
- Glikman, E., Urrutia, T., Lacy, M., et al. 2012, The Astrophysical Journal, 757, 51
- Gordon, K. D., Calzetti, D., & Witt, A. N. 1997, ApJ, 487, 625
- Greenberg, J. M., Mizutani, H., & Yamamoto, T. 1995, A&A, 295, L35
- Guhathakurta, P., & Draine, B. T. 1989, ApJ, 345, 230
- Guillet, V., Fanciullo, L., Verstraete, L., et al. 2017, A&A
- Hayes, M., Ostlin, G., Schaerer, D., et al. 2010, Nature, 464, 562 Hoang, T. 2017, ApJ, 836, 13
- Hoang, T., Draine, B. T., & Lazarian, A. 2010, ApJ, 715, 1462
- Hoang, T., & Lazarian, A. 2008, MNRAS, 388, 117
- Hoang, T., & Lazarian, A. 2009a, ApJ, 697, 1316
- Hoang, T., & Lazarian, A. 2009b, ApJ, 695, 1457
- Hoang, T., & Lazarian, A. 2014, MNRAS, 438, 680
- Hoang, T., & Lazarian, A. 2016, ApJ, 831, 159
- Hoang, T., Lazarian, A., & Draine, B. T. 2011, ApJ, 741, 87
- Hoang, T., Lazarian, A., & Schlickeiser, R. 2015, ApJ, 806, 255
- Hoang, T., Loeb, A., Lazarian, A., & Cho, J. 2018, ApJ, 860, 0
- Hopkins, P. F., Strauss, M. A., Hall, P. B., et al. 2004, AJ, 128, 1112
- Howell, D. A. 2011, Nature Communications, 2, 350
- Hutton, S., Ferreras, I., & Yershov, V. 2015, MNRAS, 452, 1412
- Kawabata, K. S., Akitaya, H., Yamanaka, M., et al. 2014, ApJ, 795, L4
- Kawaguchi, K., Kyutoku, K., Shibata, M., & Tanaka, M. 2016, ApJ, 825, 52
- Lazarian, A., Andersson, B.-G., & Hoang, T. 2015, in Polarimetry of stars and planetary systems, ed. L. Kolokolova, J. Hough, & A.-C. Levasseur-Regourd ((New York: Cambridge Univ. Press)), 81
- Lazarian, A., & Draine, B. T. 1997, ApJ, 487, 248
- Lazarian, A., & Draine, B. T. 1999, ApJ, 516, L37
- Lazarian, A., & Hoang, T. 2007, MNRAS, 378, 910
- Lebouteiller, V., Brandl, B., Bernard-Salas, J., Devost, D., & Houck, J. R. 2007, ApJ, 665, 390
- Levan, A. J., Lyman, J. D., Tanvir, N. R., et al. 2017, ApJL, 848, 0
- Li, A., & Greenberg, J. M. 1997, A&A, 323, 566
- Li, L.-X., & Paczyński, B. 1998, ApJ, 507, L59
- Martínez-González, S., Wünsch, R., & Palouš, J. 2017, The Astrophysical Journal, 843, 95
- Mathis, J. S., Mezger, P. G., & Panagia, N. 1983, A&A, 128, 212
- Mathis, J. S., & Whiffen, G. 1989, ApJ, 341, 808
- Metzger, B. D., & Berger, E. 2012, ApJ, 746, 48
- Metzger, B. D., Martínez-Pinedo, G., Darbha, S., et al. 2010, MNRAS, 406, 2650
- Nicholl, M., Berger, E., Kasen, D., & et al. 2017, ApJL, 848, 0
- Nobili, S., & Goobar, A. 2008, A&A, 487, 19

- Nozawa, T. 2016, Planetary and Space Science, 133, 36
- Nozawa, T., Kozasa, T., & Habe, A. 2006, ApJ, 648, 435
 Nozawa, T., Kozasa, T., Umeda, H., Maeda, K., & Nomoto, K. 2003, ApJ, 598, 785
- Patat, F., Taubenberger, S., Cox, N. L. J., et al. 2015, A&A, 577, A53
- Phillips, M. M., Simon, J. D., Morrell, N., et al. 2013, ApJ, 779, 38
- Portegies Zwart, S. F., McMillan, S. L. W., & Gieles, M. 2010, ARA& A, 48, 431
- Purcell, E. M. 1979, ApJ, 231, 404
- Reddy, N. A., Oesch, P. A., Bouwens, R. J., et al. 2018, ApJ, 853, 56
- Relaño, M., Verley, S., Pérez, I., et al. 2013, A&A, 552, A140
- Relaño, M., Kennicutt, R., Lisenfeld, U., et al. 2016, A&A, 595, A43
- Relaño, M., De Looze, I., Kennicutt, R. C., et al. 2018, arXiv:1801.04806
- Riess, A. G., Filippenko, A. V., Challis, P., & et al. 1998, AJ, 116, 1009
- Riess, A. G., Filippenko, A. V., Li, W., et al. 1999, AJ, 118, 2675
- Rosswog, S., Liebendörfer, M., Thielemann, F. K., et al. 1999, A&A, 341, 499
- Salim, S., Boquien, M., & Lee, J. C. 2018, ApJ, 859, 11
- Schady, P. 2015, Journal of High Energy Astrophysics, 7, 56
- Schady, P., Schady, P., Dwelly, T., et al. 2012, A&A, 537, 15
- Scoville, N., & Norman, C. 1995, ApJ, 451, 510
- Soker, N. 2014, MNRAS, 444, L73
- Stephens, I. W., Evans, J. M., Xue, R., et al. 2014, The Astrophysical Journal, 784, 147
- Tanaka, M., & Hotokezaka, K. 2013, ApJ, 775, 113
- Tanaka, M., Hotokezaka, K., Kyutoku, K., et al. 2014, ApJ, 780, 31
- Tanaka, M., Utsumi, Y., Mazzali, P. A., et al. 2017, PASJ, 69, 102
- Tanaka, M., Kato, D., Gaigalas, G., et al. 2018, ApJ, 852, 109
- Wang, L., & Wheeler, J. C. 2008, ARA& A, 46, 433
- Waxman, E., & Draine, B. T. 2000, ApJ, 537, 796
- Waxman, E., Ofek, E., Kushnir, D., & Gal-Yam, A. 2017, arXiv.org
- Wiggins, B. K., Fryer, C. L., Smidt, J. M., et al. 2018, arXiv:1807.02853
- Yajima, H., Nagamine, K., Thompson, R., & Choi, J.-H. 2014, MNRAS, 439, 3073
- Yang, H., Malhotra, S., Gronke, M., et al. 2017, ApJ, 844, 171
- Zelaya, P., Clocchiatti, A., Baade, D., et al. 2017, ApJ, 836, 88
- Zheng, W., Kelly, P. L., & Filippenko, A. V. 2017, ApJ, 848, 66