

Sequential Learning of Movement Prediction in Dynamic Environments using LSTM Autoencoder

Meenakshi Sarkar

PhD Student, Indian Institute of Science
Bangalore, Karnataka, India
meenakshisar@iisc.ac.in

Debasish Ghose

Professor, Indian Institute of Science
Bangalore, Karnataka, India
dghose@iisc.ac.in

ABSTRACT

Predicting movement of objects while the action of learning agent interacts with the dynamics of the scene still remains a key challenge in robotics. We propose a multi-layer Long Short Term Memory (LSTM) autoencoder network that predicts future frames for a robot navigating in a dynamic environment with moving obstacles. The autoencoder network is composed of a state and action conditioned decoder network that reconstructs the future frames of video, conditioned on the action taken by the agent. The input image frames are first transformed into low dimensional feature vectors with a pre-trained encoder network and then reconstructed with the LSTM autoencoder network to generate the future frames. A virtual environment, based on the OpenAI-Gym framework for robotics, is used to gather training data and test the proposed network. The initial experiments show promising results indicating that these predicted frames can be used by an appropriate reinforcement learning framework in future to navigate around dynamic obstacles.

CCS CONCEPTS

• Computing methodologies → Neural networks;

KEYWORDS

Autoencoder, Unsupervised Learning, LSTM, Movement Prediction

ACM Reference Format:

Meenakshi Sarkar and Debasish Ghose. 2019. Sequential Learning of Movement Prediction in Dynamic Environments using LSTM Autoencoder. In *Proceedings of The ACM India Joint International Conference on Data Science & Management of Data (CODS-COMAD 2019)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 4 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/nmnnnnn.nnnnnnn>

1 INTRODUCTION

Prediction of movement of obstacles still remains a key challenge for robot path planning problems in dynamic environments. For example, an Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) may fly in a cluttered environment, such as a forest trail under high wind conditions, using a vision based path planning algorithm. Apart from the motion of the objects present in the environment, the motion of the UAV and the camera mounted on it also has an effect on the scene

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the owner/author(s).

CODS-COMAD 2019, January 2019, Kolkata, West Bengal India

© 2019 Copyright held by the owner/author(s).

ACM ISBN 978-x-xxxx-xxxx-x/YY/MM.

<https://doi.org/10.1145/nmnnnnn.nnnnnnn>

dynamics as perceived by the UAV. Thus, the trajectory of the predicted future frames depends upon the action taken by the UAV at each time instant. In turn the decision of the path planning algorithm depends on the predicted future frames as these frames evolve according to the past actions taken by the agent.

Most existing techniques on learning to predict the dynamics of a scene depends upon hand labeled data. These techniques prove to be inadequate during unsupervised learning of environmental dynamics for training an agent autonomously. In such scenarios a possible approach could be to learn the representations of the scene and use that knowledge to make predictions about the future, conditioned on the action taken by the agent. Learning such models have proven to be a challenging task [1] because of the inherent complex and stochastic nature of the real world.

In the present work, we intend to explore the possibility of using LSTM networks for unsupervised learning in such scenarios. The encoding LSTM network maps the input image frame sequence into a state vector of fixed length and the decoder network uses this encoded information to reconstruct the input image frames and make a prediction about the possible future states. These predicted frames in future could be used for successful path planning in a fast changing environment.

2 MOTIVATION AND RELATED WORK

Supervised learning of visual data representation has been quite successful in the past two decades. They not only learn good representation of the data set but also transfer well from one task to another and to different data sets. Researchers have tried to extend those same techniques to learn video data representations. This led to works that tried finding different ways to represent visual data using convolutional networks [5]. Unlike images, video data has issues of larger dimensionality. Learning representations of video data is challenging as it contains both spatial and temporal regularities. Thus, in order to learn long range relationships in the data, either hand engineered feature learning needs to be done or models need to be fed with a large number of labeled data. While costly labeled data with hand engineered kernel functions can successfully solve a particular problem, it fails to generalise. This justifies the need to develop methods to learn visual representation in an unsupervised manner. The work in [4] proposes an autoencoder model which works well in learning visual representations of static images. A similar idea was used by Srivastava et al. [6] to design an *Encoder-Decoder* model for video data representation using LSTM networks.

LSTM networks have lately gained popularity among ML researchers because of their successful performance in sequence learning tasks such as speech and hand writing recognition [2]. These

performances were achieved in a supervised learning paradigm. In their sequence to sequence language translation model Sutskever et al. [7] used a recurrent neural network as an encoder that represented the word embedding of one language and another recurrent neural network that decoded those embedding vectors and translated them to another language. The LSTM *Encoder-Decoder* model by [6] is inspired by the work of [7], and used one layer of LSTM units as an encoder that encoded and learned the representation of video data and the second LSTM layer decoded those feature vectors and reconstructed the input image sequence. A similar architecture could be used to predict future frames also.

In our proposed approach we use an *Encoder-Decoder* model similar to [6] but instead of feeding the LSTM network with the raw image sequences we first transform the sequence of images into a sequence of feature vectors by passing them through a pre-trained encoder layer. At the output layer, we pass the reconstructed feature vectors generated by the decoder LSTM layer through a pre-trained decoder layer in order to reconstruct the input sequence.

We further propose an action and state conditioned LSTM Autoencoder network that would capture the physical interactions of the agent with the environment and predict the future, conditioned on the action taken by the agent. Recently Finn et al. [1] have come up with a similar conceptual model. Their model is based on convolutional LSTM networks and is computationally taxing as they propose to capture the dynamics of the interactions of the agent with the objects present in the scene via conditioning the transformation of each pixel in the image based on the information available on the action taken by the agent and the most recent past. In our work we attempt to extend the idea of state and action conditioned LSTM network to solve the path planning problem of avoiding dynamic obstacles. To our knowledge this is the first attempt made to address a path planning problem with moving obstacles. In [3] an LSTM autoencoder network was used for point to point navigation in a constraint environment but with static obstacles. Unlike [1] our smaller parametric model of two layer LSTM network does not suffer from computational overloads. We further reduce the required number of estimated parameters by embedding the features of raw image by passing it through a pre-trained dense layer. The LSTM layer then learns the temporal features from the data in the mapped feature space.

The contribution of this paper is summarized as follows: (i) extension of the concept of state and action conditioned LSTM autoencoder networks to solve robot path planning problems in dynamic environment; (ii) reduction of the size of the parametric model of the LSTM network with the introduction of the pre-trained layers for mapping the high dimensional image input to a low dimensional feature space.

3 MODEL DESCRIPTION

The base unit of the *Encoder-Decoder* network is the LSTM cell block. We use the model given by [2] to implement our model.

3.1 Long Short Term Memory (LSTM) Cell

The LSTM (Figure 1) is the basic building block of our model. Each LSTM cell has a state at time t , denoted as c_t . The cell acts as a memory unit and the access for reading or modifying the contents




Figure 1: Architecture of LSTM Unit

of this unit is controlled through three sigmoidal gates: input gate i_t , forget gate f_t and output gate o_t . At each time step the unit receives inputs from two external sources (the current frame x_t and the previous hidden states of all LSTM units in the same layer h_{t-1}) at each of the three gates and the input. Additionally, each gate has a peephole connection to internal cell state c_{t-1} of its own cell block. The inputs coming from different sources are summed along with a bias. The gates use logistic activation functions. First the total input at the input terminal passes through a non-linear function like tanh and then it is multiplied with the activation of the input gate. The resulting outcome is then added to the cell state of the previous time step c_{t-1} after the cell state is multiplied with the activation of the forget gate f_t . The outcome is assigned as the new cell state c_t of the unit. The final output from the LSTM unit h_t is computed after passing the cell state through a non-linearity like tanh and multiplying the outcome with the activation of the output gate o_t . The updates are summarized as follows [2] :

$$\begin{aligned}
 i_t &= \sigma(W_{xi}x_t + W_{hi}h_{t-1} + W_{ci}c_{t-1} + b_i) \\
 f_t &= \sigma(W_{xf}x_t + W_{hf}h_{t-1} + W_{cf}c_{t-1} + b_f) \\
 c_t &= f_t c_{t-1} + i_t \tanh(W_{xc}x_t + W_{hc}h_{t-1} + b_c) \\
 o_t &= \sigma(W_{xo}x_t + W_{ho}h_{t-1} + W_{co}c_{t-1} + b_o) \\
 h_t &= o_t \tanh(c_t)
 \end{aligned}$$

All the weight matrices except the diagonal W_c . are of dense form. Unlike recurrent neural networks LSTM does not suffer from the vanishing gradient problems. Thus, it is much easier to learn long term regularities among a sequence of data using LSTM network.

3.2 LSTM Encoder-Decoder Model

The main Encoder-Decoder model consists of two LSTM layers for the unsupervised learning. Figure 2 shows a schematic diagram of the LSTM autoencoder network used for our applications. Instead of feeding the raw images to the RNN units we first pass the images through a pre-trained fully connected layer of 128 neurons. These neurons are used to learn the essential spatial feature representations from the data. The dense layer transforms the sequence of raw images to a sequence of feature vectors. These vectors are then fed to the encoding LSTM layer. The LSTM layer further captures the temporal and spatial regularities present in the sequence of images. There were two decoder modules for the LSTM network. One was used to reconstruct the input image sequence and another to make prediction of the future frames. In both cases the decoder module

consists of only one LSTM layer. The decoder unit reconstructs the temporal and spatial regularities learned from the encoder module and the decoded sequence of feature vectors passes through a dense reconstruction layer to make predictions about future frames or get back the original input image sequence. The inversion in the input and output was found to be necessary and will be explained later.




Figure 2: Schematic representation of the complete LSTM Autoencoder Network

For the predictive network we input the frames of a sequence to the encoder one after another and let it learn the regularities in the data and once it does so, the final representation is used to predict the future frames. For reconstruction module we tried two approaches: one which is similar to the one mentioned earlier and in the second approach the network learns and makes prediction after each frame of the sequence. We have used a mean square loss function for both the future frame prediction and input reconstruction models.

3.3 Proposed Action and State Conditioned LSTM Autoencoder Model

Figure 3 shows a conceptual model of our proposed state and action conditioned LSTM autoencoder network. In their work Srivastava et al. [6] mentioned the possibility of a state conditioned LSTM predictor model and stated that state conditioned decoder might be able to model multi-modal target sequences. The non conditional models tend to average out the multiple modes in a low level input space. Thus, in order to capture the multiple modes of the target sequence, we use a state conditioned model. This would help us model the physics of the interaction of the agent with the environment. We further propose that in order to select from all possible




Figure 3: Schematic representation of a state and action conditioned LSTM autoencoder network

future trajectories, the decoder network must be conditioned on the action taken by the agent at time t . Thus, we further add the action tuple to the state vectors.

The paper [1] also talks about a model built on a similar philosophy where they predicted future frames conditioned on the present state and action taken by the agent. But the model in [1] suffers from computational overloads as it predicts the motion of each pixel in the scene while learning some notion of detecting objects as it tends to group together the pixels from a same object. The model in [1] was inspired by the stacked convolutional LSTM networks given by [8]. In this work, we are extending the concept of state and action conditioned LSTM networks to the robot motion planning problem in dynamic environment. But instead of using convolutional LSTM networks like [1], we are using LSTM autoencoder network to learn the temporal regularities of the feature vectors extracted from the image frames. These low dimensional feature vectors that capture the spatial regularities of the image are extracted with a pre-trained encoder layer. Instead of focusing on learning the motion of each pixel in the scene our model learns the temporal regularities of the principal spatial features of the scene, using the LSTM autoencoder architecture where the future is conditioned on the action taken by the agent. Our model is focused on learning. Thus, making it more computationally efficient than [1]'s model. We use a mean square loss objective function to optimize the network. Although we experimented with a few other cost functions but for the application considered in this paper, mean square loss function seemed to yield the best results.

4 EXPERIMENTAL SETUP, RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

Figure 4 shows a snapshot of the ROS-Gazebo based virtual experimental environment that has been set up in the OpenAI-Gym framework for robotics [9], to obtain training data for the LSTM network. The initial virtual setup has two turtlebots, Tb1 and Tb2. During the data collection phase, Tb1 is stationary while tracking the movement of Tb2 moving in front of it. Tb2 is made to move from point A to point B using a Proportional Integral Derivative (PID) controller. To introduce variations in the training data, during each trial of the 5000 training trials, Tb2 is controlled with different PID parameters and is made to go to 4 different end points from 3 different starting points.

Initially, we tried to train the network with sequences of images that were transformed into a gray scale image after being smoothed through a Gaussian filter. We found that although the network learns some temporal regularities in the data, it fails to reconstruct the spatial features (see figure 5). This problem arose due to the highly sparse nature of the data and as the gradient values of the features (region) of interests were very small. Thus, the stochastic descent algorithm was not being able to update the parameters correctly. To avert this situation we inverted the images (see figure 2) and the results are shown in figure 6. The inversion operation is simply reassigning $\mathbb{I}_{(i,j)} = 255 - \mathbb{I}_{(i,j)}$, where $\mathbb{I}_{(i,j)}$ represents the intensity value of a pixel and i varies from 1 to m and j varies from 1 to n .

After successfully reconstructing the input sequences we have tried to make prediction about future frames and the results are




Figure 4: A ROS-Gazebo based virtual experimental environment has been set up in the OpenAI-gym framework.




Figure 5: Reconstruction of input without inversion of input image $t - 4, t - 3, t - 2, t - 1$ and t^{th} instance.



Figure 6: Reconstruction of input after inversion of input image for $t - 4, t - 3, t - 2, t - 1$ and t^{th} instance. The first row of frames represents the ground truth and the last row represents the reconstructed frames by LSTM.

shown in figure 7. Because of the smaller parametric space of our proposed network we observe that it can successfully make prediction up to 5 frames in future after being trained on only 5000 frames which consists of 1000 sequences, each sequence having 5 frames. Although during the initial phase of the training we have kept the destination point of Tb2 same while the trajectories meant to arrive at the goal are different.

5 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

An OpenAI-gym and ROS framework [9] based virtual experimental setup has been created to test robot path planning algorithms in dynamic environment. For the first time LSTM autoencoder network has been used to predict future frames for a robot navigating in a dynamic environment. These predicted future frames can be used to aid the path planning algorithm designed in a appropriate reinforcement learning framework to avoid moving obstacles in an unknown terrain. Introduction of the dense layer before the LSTM encoder unit has proven to make learning more efficient by mapping the high dimensional input space into a low dimensional feature space.




Figure 7: The top row of Fig. 7a shows the predicted future frames at $(t + 1), (t + 2), (t + 3), (t + 4)$ and $(t + 5)^{th}$ time instances by LSTM with respect to the ground truth in the bottom row. Fig. 7b shows the input frames at $(t - 4), (t - 3), (t - 2), (t - 1)$ and t^{th} time instance to the network. A careful examination of the vertical grids shows how the robot has moved with respect to time.

As an ongoing work, We are yet to test the conceptual model of state and action conditioned LSTM autoencoder network for prediction of scenes with moving agent. Once the proposed model is tested, more insights can be obtained about whether or not LSTM networks would be able to capture the dynamics of the interaction between the scene and the action taken by the agent.

REFERENCES

- [1] Chelsea Finn, Ian Goodfellow, and Sergey Levine. 2016. Unsupervised learning for physical interaction through video prediction. In *In Proceedings of Thirtieth Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS '16)*. Barcelona, Spain, 64–72.
- [2] Alex Graves. 2013. Generating Sequences With Recurrent Neural Networks. *CoRR* abs/1308.0850 (2013). <http://arxiv.org/abs/1308.0850>
- [3] Masaya Inoue, Takahiro Yamashita, and Takeshi Nishida. 2019. Robot Path Planning by LSTM Network Under Changing Environment. In *Advances in Computer Communication and Computational Sciences*. Springer Singapore, Singapore, 317–329.
- [4] Christopher Poultney, Sumit Chopra, and Yann L. Cun. 2007. Unsupervised learning for physical interaction through video prediction. In *In Proceedings of Twenty-first Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS '07)*. Vancouver, B.C., Canada., 1137–1144.
- [5] Karen Simonyan and Andrew Zisserman. 2014. Very Deep Convolutional Networks for Large-Scale Image Recognition. *CoRR* abs/1409.1556 (2014). <http://arxiv.org/abs/1409.1556>
- [6] Nitish Srivastava, Elman Mansimov, and Ruslan Salakhudinov. 2015. Unsupervised Learning of Video Representations using LSTMs. In *In Proceedings of Thirty-second International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML '15)*. Lille, France, 843–852.
- [7] Ilya Sutskever, Oriol Vinyals, and Quoc V. Le. 2014. Sequence to sequence learning with neural networks. In *In Proceedings of Twenty-eighth Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS '14)*. Montr al Canada, 3104–3112.
- [8] S. H. I. Xingjian, Zhouong Chen and Hao Wang, Dit-Yan Yeung, Wai-Kin Wong, and Wang chun Woo. 2015. Convolutional LSTM network: A machine learning approach for precipitation nowcasting. In *In Proceedings of Twenty-ninth Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS '15)*. Montr al Canada, 802–810.
- [9] Iker Zamora, Nestor Gonzalez Lopez, Victor Mayoral Vilches, and Alejandro Hern andez Cordero. 2016. Extending the OpenAI Gym for robotics: a toolkit for reinforcement learning using ROS and Gazebo. *CoRR* abs/1608.05742 (2016). <https://arxiv.org/abs/1608.05742>