

The minimal and maximal symmetries for J -contractive projections *

Yuan Li[†], Xiaomei Cai Jiajia Niu, Jiixin Zhang

*School of Mathematics and Information Science, Shaanxi Normal University,
Xi'an, 710062, People's Republic of China.*

Abstract In this note, we firstly consider the structures of symmetries J such that a projection P is J -contractive. Then the minimal and maximal elements of the symmetries J with $P^*JP \leq J$ (or $JP \geq 0$) are given. Moreover, some formulas between $P_{(2I-P-P^*)+}$ ($P_{(2I-P-P^*)-}$) and $P_{(P+P^*)-}$ ($P_{(P+P^*)+}$) are established.

Keywords: Symmetry, J -projection, J -contractive projection

Mathematics Subject Classification: 47A05, 47B65, 46C20

1 Introduction

Let \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} be separable complex Hilbert spaces and $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ ($\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$) be the set of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} (from \mathcal{H} to \mathcal{K}). For an operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, the adjoint of A is denoted by A^* and A is called a self-adjoint operator if $A = A^*$. We write $A \geq 0$ if A is a positive operator, meaning $\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. As usual, the operator order (Loewner partial order) relation $A \geq B$ between two self-adjoint operators is defined as $A - B \geq 0$. Also, denote by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^+$ the set of all positive bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} . If $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^+$ then $A^{\frac{1}{2}}$ denotes the positive square root of A . Let A^+ and A^- be the positive and negative parts of a self-adjoint operator A , that is, $A^+ := \frac{|A|+A}{2}$ and $A^- := \frac{|A|-A}{2}$.

For an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$, $N(T)$, $R(T)$ and $\overline{R(T)}$ denote the null space, the range of T , and the closure of $R(T)$, respectively. An operator $J \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be a symmetry (or self-adjoint involution) if $J = J^* = J^{-1}$. In this case, $J^+ = \frac{I+J}{2}$ and $J^- = \frac{I-J}{2}$ are mutually annihilating orthogonal projections. If J is a non-scalar symmetry, then an indefinite inner product is defined by

$$[x, y] := \langle Jx, y \rangle \quad (x, y \in \mathcal{H})$$

*This work was supported by NSF of China (Nos: 11671242, 11571211) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (GK201801011).

[†]E-mail address: liyuan0401@aliyun.com

and (\mathcal{H}, J) is called a Krein space ([1]).

Let us denote by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{Id}$ the set of all bounded projections (=idempotents) of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. A projection $P \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{Id}$ is said to be J -projection if $P = JP^*J$. In particular, a projection P is called J -positive (or J -negative) projection if $JP \geq 0$ (or $JP \leq 0$). And $P \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{Id}$ is said to be J -contractive (or expansive) projection if $P^*JP \leq J$ (or $P^*JP \geq J$). Also, $P_{\mathcal{M}}$ denotes the orthogonal projection onto \mathcal{M} , where \mathcal{M} is a closed subspace of \mathcal{H} . Particularly, we use P_A to represent the orthogonal projection onto $\overline{R(A)}$ and $P_A^\perp := I - P_A$. Furthermore, $A \simeq B$ means that the operators A and B are unitarily equivalent, that is $A = UBU^*$, for some unitary operators U .

It is well-known that an operator $P \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{Id}$ can be written as a 2×2 operator matrix:

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} I & P_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : R(P) \oplus R(P)^\perp, \quad (1.1)$$

where $P_1 \in \mathcal{B}(R(P)^\perp, R(P))$. In recent years, the existence of J -positive (negative, contractive, expansive) projections and its properties are considered in [6,7,8]. And some geometry and topological properties of projections and decomposition properties of J -projections were studied in [3,5,9-13]. In particular, an exposition of operators in Krein spaces can be found in the lecture by T. Ando [1].

In this note, we firstly consider the structures of symmetries J such that a projection P is J -contractive. In particular, the minimal and maximal elements of the symmetries J with $P^*JP \leq J$ (or $JP \geq 0$) are given. That is

$$\min\{J : P^*JP \leq J, J = J^* = J^{-1}\} = 2P_{(P+P^*)^-} - I + 2P_{N(P+P^*)},$$

$$\max\{J : P^*JP \leq J, J = J^* = J^{-1}\} = 2P_{(P+P^*)^-} - I + 2P_{N(P-P^*)}$$

and

$$\max\{J : JP \geq 0, J = J^* = J^{-1}\} = 2P_{(P+P^*)^+} - I + 2P_{N(P+P^*)}.$$

Moreover, some formulas between $P_{(2I-P-P^*)^+}$ ($P_{(2I-P-P^*)^-}$) and $P_{(P+P^*)^-}$ ($P_{(P+P^*)^+}$) are established.

2 Main results

It is well known that every operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ has a (unique) polar decomposition $T = U(T^*T)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, where U is a partial isometry with kernel space $N(T)$ ([4]). The following lemmas are needed and their proofs can be found in [8].

Lemma 1. ([8, Corollary 11]) Let $P \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ and $S = \begin{pmatrix} I & P \\ P^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$. Then

$$P_{S^-} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{I-T^{-1}}{2} & -T^{-1}P \\ -P^*T^{-1} & \frac{V(I+T^{-1})V^*}{2} \end{pmatrix} : \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K},$$

where $T = (I + 4PP^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and V is the unique partial isometry such that $P^* = V(PP^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ with $R(V) = \overline{R(P^*)}$ and $R(V^*) = \overline{R(P)}$.

Lemma 2. ([8, Lemma 13]) Let $P \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{Id}$ have the form (1.1). If J is a symmetry, then P is a J -projection if and only if J has the operator matrix

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} J_1(I + P_1P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}} & J_1(I + P_1P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}}P_1 \\ P_1^*(I + P_1P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}}J_1 & J_2(I + P_1P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} : R(P) \oplus R(P)^\perp, \quad (2.1)$$

where J_1 and J_2 are symmetries on the subspaces $R(P)$ and $R(P)^\perp$, respectively, satisfying $J_1P_1 + P_1J_2 = 0$.

Lemma 3. ([8, Corollary 14]) Let $P \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{Id}$ have the form (1.1). If J is a symmetry, then $JP \geq 0$ if and only if

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} (I + P_1P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}} & (I + P_1P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}}P_1 \\ P_1^*(I + P_1P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}} & J_2(I + P_1P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} : R(P) \oplus R(P)^\perp, \quad (2.2)$$

where J_2 is a symmetry on the subspace $R(P)^\perp$ with $P_1 = -P_1J_2$.

Lemma 4. ([8, Theorem 15]) Let $P \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{Id}$. Then

$$\min\{J : JP \geq 0, J = J^* = J^{-1}\} = 2P_{(P+P^*)^+} - I, \quad (2.3)$$

where the “min” is in the sense of Loewner partial order.

In what follows, we give a new characterization for symmetry J with $P^*JP \leq J$.

Lemma 5. Let $P \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{Id}$ have the form (1.1). If J is a symmetry, then $P^*JP \leq J$ if and only if

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} J_1(I + P_1P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}} & J_1(I + P_1P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}}P_1 \\ P_1^*(I + P_1P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}}J_1 & (I + P_1P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} : R(P) \oplus R(P)^\perp, \quad (2.4)$$

where $J_1 \in \mathcal{B}(R(P))$ is a symmetry with $J_1P_1 + P_1 = 0$.

Proof. Necessity. We assume

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} J_{11} & J_{12} \\ J_{12}^* & J_{22} \end{pmatrix} : R(P) \oplus R(P)^\perp,$$

where J_{11} and J_{22} are self-adjoint operators. The equation of $J = J^* = J^{-1}$ implies that

$$\begin{cases} J_{11}^2 + J_{12}J_{12}^* = I & \textcircled{1} \\ J_{11}J_{12} + J_{12}J_{22} = 0 & \textcircled{2} \\ J_{12}^*J_{12} + J_{22}^2 = I & \textcircled{3} \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

On the other hand, a direct calculation shows that the inequality $P^*JP \leq J$ yields

$$\begin{pmatrix} J_{11} & J_{11}P_1 \\ P_1^*J_{11} & P_1^*J_{11}P_1 \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} J_{11} & J_{12} \\ J_{12}^* & J_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus

$$J_{22} \geq P_1^*J_{11}P_1 \quad \text{and} \quad J_{12} = J_{11}P_1. \quad (2.6)$$

Using equations $\textcircled{1}$ of (2.5) and (2.6), we have

$$J_{11}(I + P_1P_1^*)J_{11} = I,$$

which yields that J_{11} is invertible on the subspace $R(P)$ and $J_{11}^2 = (I + P_1 P_1^*)^{-1}$.

Setting $J_1 := J_{11}(J_{11}^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, we easily verify that $J_1 = J_1^* = J_1^{-1}$ and

$$J_{11} = J_1(I + P_1 P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.7)$$

Also, equations ③ of (2.5) and (2.6) imply

$$J_{22}^2 = I - P_1^* J_{11}^2 P_1 = I - P_1^* (I + P_1 P_1^*)^{-1} P_1 = I - (I + P_1^* P_1)^{-1} P_1^* P_1 = (I + P_1^* P_1)^{-1}.$$

By equations ② of (2.5) and (2.6), it follows that $J_{11}^2 P_1 + J_{11} P_1 J_{22} = 0$, so

$$J_{11} P_1 + P_1 J_{22} = 0,$$

which induces $P_1^* J_{11} P_1 = -P_1^* P_1 J_{22}$. Thus inequality $J_{22} - P_1^* J_{11} P_1 \geq 0$ implies

$$(I + P_1^* P_1) J_{22} \geq 0,$$

which yields

$$(I + P_1^* P_1) J_{22} = (I + P_1^* P_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} J_{22} (I + P_1^* P_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then $J_{22} \geq 0$, so

$$J_{22} = (I + P_1^* P_1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.8)$$

Moreover, using equations ② of (2.5) and (2.6)-(2.8), we get that

$$(J_1 P_1 + P_1)(I + P_1^* P_1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = J_{11} P_1 + P_1 J_{22} = 0,$$

that is $J_1 P_1 + P_1 = 0$.

Sufficiency is clear from a direct calculation. \square

Lemma 6. Let $P \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{Id}$ have the form (1.1). Then

- (i) $N(P + P^*) = 0 \oplus N(P_1)$.
- (ii) $N(P - P^*) = N(P_1^*) \oplus N(P_1)$.

Proof. (i) Clearly,

$$P + P^* = \begin{pmatrix} 2I & P_1 \\ P_1^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} : R(P) \oplus R(P)^\perp. \quad (2.9)$$

Let $x \in R(P), y \in R(P)^\perp$ satisfy $(P + P^*)(x \oplus y) = 0$. Then

$$2x + P_1 y = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad P_1^* x = 0.$$

So $P_1^* P_1 y = -2P_1^* x = 0$, which implies that $y \in N(P_1)$ and $x = 0$. That is

$$N(P + P^*) \subseteq 0 \oplus N(P_1).$$

Another inclusion relation $0 \oplus N(P_1) \subseteq N(P + P^*)$ is obvious. Thus

$$N(P + P^*) = 0 \oplus N(P_1).$$

(ii) Obviously,

$$P - P^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & P_1 \\ -P_1^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} : R(P) \oplus R(P)^\perp.$$

Let $x \in R(P)$ and $y \in R(P)^\perp$. Then $(P - P^*)(x \oplus y) = 0$ if and only if

$$P_1 y = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad P_1^* x = 0,$$

which yields $x \in N(P_1^*)$ and $y \in N(P_1)$. Hence

$$N(P - P^*) = N(P_1^*) \oplus N(P_1).$$

□

The following is our main result.

Theorem 7. Let $P \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{Id}$. Then

$$(i) \min\{J : P^*JP \leq J, J = J^* = J^{-1}\} = 2P_{(P+P^*)^-} - I + 2P_{N(P+P^*)}.$$

$$(ii) \max\{J : P^*JP \leq J, J = J^* = J^{-1}\} = 2P_{(P+P^*)^-} - I + 2P_{N(P-P^*)}.$$

where the “min” and “max” are in the sense of Loewner partial order.

Proof. (i) Suppose that P has the matrix form (1.1). Then by Lemma 1, we get that

$$P_{(P+P^*)^-} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} I - T^{-1} & -T^{-1}P_1 \\ -P_1^*T^{-1} & V(I + T^{-1})V^* \end{pmatrix} : R(P) \oplus R(P)^\perp.$$

where $T = (I + P_1P_1^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and V is the unique partial isometry such that $P_1^* = V|P_1^*|$, $R(V) = \overline{R(P_1^*)}$ and $R(V^*) = \overline{R(P_1)}$. Then by Lemma 6,

$$2P_{(P+P^*)^-} - I + 2P_{N(P+P^*)} = \begin{pmatrix} -T^{-1} & -T^{-1}P_1 \\ -P_1^*T^{-1} & V(I + T^{-1})V^* - I + 2P_{N(P_1)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $VV^* = P_{P_1^*}$, then $P_{N(P_1)} = I - P_{P_1^*} = I - VV^*$, so

$$V(I + T^{-1})V^* - I + 2P_{N(P_1)} = V(T^{-1} - I)V^* + I.$$

Clearly, P_1 and V have the operator matrices forms

$$P_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & P_{11} \end{pmatrix} : N(P_1) \oplus N(P_1)^\perp \rightarrow R(P_1)^\perp \oplus \overline{R(P_1)} \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & V_1 \end{pmatrix} : R(P_1)^\perp \oplus \overline{R(P_1)} \rightarrow N(P_1) \oplus N(P_1)^\perp,$$

respectively, where $V_1 \in \mathcal{B}(\overline{R(P_1)}, N(P_1)^\perp)$ is a unitary operator. Then

$$V(T^{-1} - I)V^* + I = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & V_1(I + P_{11}P_{11}^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}}V_1^* \end{pmatrix} : N(P_1) \oplus N(P_1)^\perp$$

and

$$(I + P_1^*P_1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & (I + P_{11}^*P_{11})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} : N(P_1) \oplus N(P_1)^\perp. \quad (2.11)$$

Moreover,

$$V_1P_{11}P_{11}^*V_1^* = V_1|P_{11}^*||P_{11}^*|V_1^* = P_{11}^*P_{11},$$

which implies $V_1 P_{11} P_{11}^* = P_{11}^* P_{11} V_1$, so

$$V_1 (I + P_{11} P_{11}^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = (I + P_{11}^* P_{11})^{-\frac{1}{2}} V_1.$$

Thus

$$V(T^{-1} - I)V^* + I = (I + P_1^* P_1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Defining

$$J_0 := 2P_{(P+P^*)^-} - I + 2P_{N(P+P^*)} = \begin{pmatrix} -T^{-1} & -T^{-1}P_1 \\ -P_1^*T^{-1} & (I + P_1^*P_1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix},$$

we know that J_0 is a symmetry with $P^* J_0 P \leq J_0$ from Lemma 5.

On the other hand, if $P^* J P \leq J$, then Lemma 5 implies that J has the form (2.4) and

$$J - J_0 = \begin{pmatrix} (J_1 + I)(I + P_1 P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}} & (J_1 + I)(I + P_1 P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}} P_1 \\ P_1^* (I + P_1 P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (J_1 + I) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that the equation $J_1 P_1 = -P_1$ yields

$$J_1 P_1 P_1^* = -P_1 P_1^* = -P_1 (-P_1^* J_1) = P_1 P_1^* J_1,$$

which implies

$$(J_1 + I)(I + P_1 P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = (J_1 + I)^{\frac{1}{2}} (I + P_1 P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (J_1 + I)^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq 0,$$

since $J_1 + I \geq 0$ and $(I + P_1 P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \geq 0$. Also, we have

$$(J_1 + I)(I + P_1 P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}} P_1 = (I + P_1 P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (J_1 P_1 + P_1) = 0$$

and

$$P_1^* (I + P_1 P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (J_1 + I) = 0.$$

Hence $J - J_0 \geq 0$, which induces the desired result.

(ii) Suppose that P has the matrix form (1.1) and $P^* J P \leq J$. Then Lemma 5 implies that J has the form (2.4) and $J_1 P_1 + P_1 = 0$, so $P_1^* J_1 + P_1^* = 0$. Thus $P_1^* (I + J_1) = 0$, which means

$$R(I + J_1) \subseteq N(P_1^*).$$

Then we may assume that

$$I + J_1 = \begin{pmatrix} J_{11} & J_{12} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : N(P_1^*) \oplus N(P_1^*)^\perp,$$

so $J_{12} = 0$ follows from the fact that $I + J_1 = I + J_1^*$. Thus

$$I + J_1 = \begin{pmatrix} J_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which yields

$$J_1 = \begin{pmatrix} J_{11} - I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix} : N(P_1^*) \oplus N(P_1^*)^\perp. \quad (2.12)$$

Therefore,

$$\tilde{J}_1 := \max\{J_1 : J_1 P_1 + P_1 = 0, J_1 = J_1^* = J_1^{-1}\} = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix} = 2P_{N(P_1^*)} - I.$$

Also, suppose that P_1 has the operator matrix form (2.10). Then

$$P_1 P_1^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & P_{11} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & P_{11}^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & P_{11} P_{11}^* \end{pmatrix} : N(P_1^*) \oplus N(P_1^*)^\perp$$

and

$$(I + P_1 P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & (I + P_{11} P_{11}^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} : N(P_1^*) \oplus N(P_1^*)^\perp. \quad (2.13)$$

Thus

$$P_{N(P_1^*)}(I + P_1 P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = P_{N(P_1^*)}$$

and

$$P_{N(P_1^*)}(I + P_1 P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}} P_1 = P_{N(P_1^*)} P_1 = 0.$$

We claim that when setting $J_1 = \tilde{J}_1 = \text{diag}(I, -I)$ in equation (2.4), J is the maximal element with $P^* J P \leq J$. Indeed, we only need to verify that

$$\begin{pmatrix} (\tilde{J}_1 - J_1)(I + P_1 P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}} & (\tilde{J}_1 - J_1)(I + P_1 P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}} P_1 \\ P_1^*(I + P_1 P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\tilde{J}_1 - J_1) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \geq 0,$$

where J_1 has the form (2.12). Combining the equations (2.12) and (2.13), we get that

$$(\tilde{J}_1 - J_1)(I + P_1 P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} 2I - J_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$$

and

$$(\tilde{J}_1 - J_1)(I + P_1 P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}} P_1 = (I + P_1 P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\tilde{J}_1 - J_1) P_1 = (I + P_1 P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (-P_1 + P_1) = 0.$$

So the assertion is valid. Then by (i) and Lemma 6,

$$\begin{aligned} & \max\{J : P^* J P \leq J, J = J^* = J^{-1}\} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} (2P_{N(P_1^*)} - I)T^{-1} & (2P_{N(P_1^*)} - I)T^{-1}P_1 \\ P_1^* T^{-1}(2P_{N(P_1^*)} - I) & (I + P_1^* P_1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -T^{-1} & -T^{-1}P_1 \\ -P_1^* T^{-1} & (I + P_1^* P_1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 2P_{N(P_1^*)} T^{-1} & 2P_{N(P_1^*)} T^{-1} P_1 \\ 2P_1^* T^{-1} P_{N(P_1^*)} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (2P_{(P+P^*)^-} - I + P_{N(P+P^*)}) + (2P_{N(P_1^*)} \oplus 0) \\ &= 2P_{(P+P^*)^-} - I + 2(P_{N(P_1^*)} + P_{N(P_1)}) \\ &= 2P_{(P+P^*)^-} - I + 2P_{N(P-P^*)}. \end{aligned}$$

where $T = (I + P_1 P_1^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. \square

In the following, the maximal element of the symmetries J with $J P \geq 0$ is given. Note that the minimal element of those symmetries was obtained in [8, Theorem 15]. Also, we consider the minimal and maximal elements of the symmetries J with $J P J = P^*$.

Theorem 8. Let $P \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{Id}$. Then

- (i) $\max\{J : JP \geq 0, J = J^* = J^{-1}\} = 2P_{(P+P^*)^+} - I + 2P_{N(P+P^*)}$.
- (ii) The following statements are equivalent:
 - (a) $\max\{J : JPJ = P^*, J = J^* = J^{-1}\}$ exists.
 - (b) P is an orthogonal projection.
 - (c) $\max\{J : JPJ = P^*, J = J^* = J^{-1}\} = I$.
 - (d) $\min\{J : JPJ = P^*, J = J^* = J^{-1}\}$ exists.
 - (e) $\min\{J : JPJ = P^*, J = J^* = J^{-1}\} = -I$.

Proof. The proof of (i) is similar to that of (ii) of Theorem 7. By Lemma 3, We claim that

$$\max\{J_2 : P_1 = -P_1J_2, J_2 = J_2^* = J_2^{-1}\} = P_{N(P_1)} - P_{N(P_1)^\perp}.$$

Indeed, since $P_1 + P_1J_2 = 0$, then $P_1(I + J_2) = 0$, which implies

$$I + J_2 = \begin{pmatrix} J_{11} & J_{12} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : N(P_1) \oplus N(P_1)^\perp.$$

It follows from the fact $J_2 = J_2^*$ that $J_{12} = 0$, so

$$I + J_2 = \begin{pmatrix} J_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : N(P_1) \oplus N(P_1)^\perp,$$

which yields that

$$J_2 = \begin{pmatrix} J_{11} - I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix} : N(P_1) \oplus N(P_1)^\perp.$$

Therefore,

$$\tilde{J}_2 := \max\{J_2 : P_1 = -P_1J_2, J_2 = J_2^* = J_2^{-1}\} = P_{N(P_1)} - P_{N(P_1)^\perp}.$$

Let P_1 be the operator matrix form (2.10). Then

$$P_{N(P_1)}(I + P_1^*P_1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = P_{N(P_1)}.$$

follows from equation (2.11). Similarly, setting $J_2 := \tilde{J}_2$ in equation (2.2), we also get that J is the maximal element with $JP \geq 0$. Thus by the proof of [8, Theorem 15] (Lemma 4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \max\{J : JP \geq 0, J = J^* = J^{-1}\} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} (I + P_1P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}} & (I + P_1P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}}P_1 \\ P_1^*(I + P_1P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}} & (P_{N(P_1)} - P_{N(P_1)^\perp})(I + P_1^*P_1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} (I + P_1P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}} & (I + P_1P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}}P_1 \\ P_1^*(I + P_1P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}} & -(I + P_1^*P_1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2P_{N(P_1)}(I + P_1^*P_1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= 2P_{(P+P^*)^+} - I + (0 \oplus 2P_{N(P_1)}) \\ &= 2P_{(P+P^*)^+} - I + 2P_{N(P+P^*)}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) (a) \Rightarrow (b). If $J_0 := \max\{J : JPJ = P^*, J = J^* = J^{-1}\}$, then by Lemma 2,

$$J_0 = \begin{pmatrix} J_{01}(I + P_1P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}} & J_{01}(I + P_1P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}}P_1 \\ P_1^*(I + P_1P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}}J_{01} & J_{02}(I + P_1^*P_1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} : R(P) \oplus R(P)^\perp,$$

where J_{01} and J_{02} are self-adjoint involutions on the subspaces $R(P)$ and $R(P)^\perp$, respectively, with $J_{01}P_1 + P_1J_{02} = 0$. Clearly, by Lemma 2, for $k = 1, 2$,

$$\widetilde{J}_k := \begin{pmatrix} (-I_1)^k(I + P_1P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}} & (-I_1)^k(I + P_1P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}}P_1 \\ P_1^*(I + P_1P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(-I_1)^k & (-I_2)^{k+1}(I + P_1^*P_1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

are symmetries and satisfy $\widetilde{J}_k P \widetilde{J}_k = P^*$, where I_1, I_2 are identity operators on the subspaces of $R(P)$ and $R(P)^\perp$, respectively.

It is easy to verify that $J_0 \geq \widetilde{J}_1$ implies $J_{02} \geq I_2$. Also, $J_0 \geq \widetilde{J}_2$ yields $J_{01} \geq I_1$. Thus $J_{01} = I_1$ and $J_{02} = I_2$, so $P_1 = 0$ follows from the fact $J_{01}P_1 + P_1J_{02} = 0$. Hence, P is an orthogonal projection. In a similarly way, we have (d) \Rightarrow (b).

(b) \Rightarrow (c) \Rightarrow (a) and (b) \Rightarrow (e) \Rightarrow (d) are obvious. \square

Remark. Let $P \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{Id}$. According to the proof of [8, Theorem 15] and [3, Proposition 6.2], we know that

$$2P_{(P+P^*)^+} - I = \begin{pmatrix} (I + P_1P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}} & (I + P_1P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}}P_1 \\ P_1^*(I + P_1P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}} & -(I + P_1^*P_1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} = (P + P^* - I)|P + P^* - I|^{-1}.$$

Thus

$$\max\{J : JP \geq 0, J = J^* = J^{-1}\} = (P + P^* - I)|P + P^* - I|^{-1} + 2P_{N(P+P^*)}$$

and

$$(P + P^* - I)|P + P^* - I|^{-1}P_{N(P+P^*)} = -P_{N(P+P^*)}.$$

\square

In the following, we shall study the relations between $P_{(2I-P-P^*)^+}$ ($P_{(2I-P-P^*)^-}$) and $P_{(P+P^*)^-}$ ($P_{(P+P^*)^+}$). The following observation is needed.

Proposition 9. Let $P \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{Id}$. If P and $I - P$ have respectively the operator matrices

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} I & P_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : R(P) \oplus R(P)^\perp \text{ and } I - P = \begin{pmatrix} I & Q_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : R(I - P) \oplus R(I - P)^\perp, \quad (2.14)$$

then there exist unitary operators $U_1 \in \mathcal{B}(R(P)^\perp, R(I - P))$ and $V_1 \in \mathcal{B}(R(I - P)^\perp, R(P))$ such that $Q_1 = U_1P_1^*V_1$.

Proof. It is clear that

$$I - P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -P_1 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} : R(P) \oplus R(P)^\perp.$$

Thus there exists a unitary operator

$$\widetilde{U} = \begin{pmatrix} U_{11} & U_{12} \\ U_{21} & U_{22} \end{pmatrix} : R(P) \oplus R(P)^\perp \rightarrow R(I - P) \oplus R(I - P)^\perp,$$

such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} U_{11} & U_{12} \\ U_{21} & U_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -P_1 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I & Q_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U_{11} & U_{12} \\ U_{21} & U_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

which yields $U_{11} + Q_1 U_{21} = 0$ and $-U_{21} P_1 + U_{22} = 0$. So

$$U_{11} = -Q_1 U_{21} \quad (2.15)$$

and

$$U_{22} = U_{21} P_1. \quad (2.16)$$

On the other hand, the equation $\widetilde{U}^* \widetilde{U} = I$ implies that

$$U_{11}^* U_{11} + U_{21}^* U_{21} = I,$$

so

$$U_{21}^* (I + Q_1^* Q_1) U_{21} = I \quad (2.17)$$

follows from equation (2.15).

Analogously, the equation $\widetilde{U} \widetilde{U}^* = I$ implies that

$$U_{21} U_{21}^* + U_{22} U_{22}^* = I,$$

so

$$U_{21} (I + P_1 P_1^*) U_{21}^* = I \quad (2.18)$$

follows from equation (2.16).

In view of (2.17) and (2.18), U_{21} is invertible from the subspace $R(P)$ onto $R(I - P)^\perp$. Using the polar decomposition theorem, we denote

$$U_{21} = U (U_{21}^* U_{21})^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (2.19)$$

where U is a unitary operator from the subspace $R(P)$ onto $R(I - P)^\perp$. Combining equations (2.18) and (2.19), we have

$$(U_{21}^* U_{21})^{\frac{1}{2}} = (I + P_1 P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.20)$$

Also, equation (2.19) implies $U_{21} = (U_{21} U_{21}^*)^{\frac{1}{2}} U$, so

$$(U_{21} U_{21}^*)^{\frac{1}{2}} = (I + Q_1^* Q_1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad (2.21)$$

follows from equation (2.17).

In a similar way, equations $\widetilde{U} \widetilde{U}^* = I$ and $\widetilde{U}^* \widetilde{U} = I$ imply

$$U_{11} U_{11}^* + U_{12} U_{12}^* = I \quad \text{and} \quad U_{12}^* U_{12} + U_{22}^* U_{22} = I.$$

Thus equations (2.15) and (2.21) yield that

$$U_{12} U_{12}^* = I - Q_1 (U_{21} U_{21}^*) Q_1^* = I - Q_1 (I + Q_1^* Q_1)^{-1} Q_1^* = (I + Q_1 Q_1^*)^{-1}. \quad (2.22)$$

Similarly, equations (2.16) and (2.21) imply

$$U_{12}^* U_{12} = I - U_{22}^* U_{22} = I - P_1^* (I + P_1 P_1^*)^{-1} P_1 = (I + P_1^* P_1)^{-1}. \quad (2.23)$$

Thus U_{12} is invertible from the subspace $R(P)^\perp$ onto $R(I - P)$. Using the polar decomposition theorem again, we get that

$$U_{12} = V (U_{12}^* U_{12})^{\frac{1}{2}} = V (I + P_1^* P_1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (2.24)$$

where V is a unitary operator from the subspace $R(P)^\perp$ onto $R(I - P)$.

Furthermore, equation $\widetilde{U}\widetilde{U}^* = I$ implies that

$$U_{21}U_{11}^* + U_{22}U_{12}^* = 0.$$

Combining equations (2.15), (2.16), (2.19), (2.20) and (2.24), we conclude that

$$-U(I + P_1P_1^*)^{-1}U^*Q_1^* + U(I + P_1P_1^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}}P_1(I + P_1^*P_1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}V^* = 0.$$

Then

$$U(I + P_1P_1^*)^{-1}(-U^*Q_1^* + P_1V^*) = 0,$$

so $Q_1 = VP_1^*U^*$. Setting $U_1 = V$ and $V_1 = U^*$, we get that $U_1 \in \mathcal{B}(R(P)^\perp, R(I - P))$ and $V_1 \in \mathcal{B}(R(I - P)^\perp, R(P))$ are unitary operators with $Q_1 = U_1P_1^*V_1$. \square

Corollary 10. Let $P \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{Id}$. Then

- (i) $P \simeq P^*$.
- (ii) $R(P + P^*)$ is closed if and only if $R(2I - P - P^*)$ is closed.
- (iii) $P + P^* + 2P_P^\perp \simeq 2I - P - P^* + 2P_{(I-P)}^\perp$.

Proof. Let P and $I - P$ have the operator matrices (2.14). Then by Proposition 9, we have

$$P_1 = V_1Q_1^*U_1,$$

where unitary operators $U_1 \in \mathcal{B}(R(P)^\perp, R(I - P))$ and $V_1 \in \mathcal{B}(R(I - P)^\perp, R(P))$.

(i) Obviously,

$$P^* = I - (I - P)^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -Q_1^* & I \end{pmatrix} : R(I - P) \oplus R(I - P)^\perp.$$

Defining a unitary operator

$$U := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -U_1 \\ V_1^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} : R(P) \oplus R(P)^\perp \rightarrow R(I - P) \oplus R(I - P)^\perp,$$

we easily verify that $U^*P^*U = P$, that is $P \simeq P^*$.

(ii) Setting

$$S := \begin{pmatrix} I & -\frac{P_1}{2} \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} : R(P) \oplus R(P)^\perp,$$

we know that S is invertible. Considering that $P + P^*$ has form (2.9) and

$$S^*(P + P^*)S = \begin{pmatrix} 2I & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{P_1^*P_1}{2} \end{pmatrix},$$

we get that $R(P + P^*)$ is closed if and only if $R(P_1^*P_1)$ is closed. By a well-known theorem (or see [14, Lemma 2.1]), $R(P_1^*P_1)$ is closed if and only if $R(P_1^*)$ is closed if and only if $R(P_1)$ is closed. Thus $R(P + P^*)$ is closed if and only if $R(P_1)$ is closed. Similarly, $R(2I - P - P^*)$ is closed if and only if $R(Q_1^*)$ is closed. Moreover, Proposition 9 implies $R(P_1)$ is closed if and only if $R(Q_1^*)$ is closed, so the desired result holds.

(iii) It is easy to check that

$$P + P^* + 2P_P^\perp = \begin{pmatrix} 2I & P_1 \\ P_1^* & 2I \end{pmatrix} : R(P) \oplus R(P)^\perp. \quad (2.25)$$

Defining a unitary operator

$$\tilde{U} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & V_1 \\ U_1^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} : R(I - P) \oplus R(I - P)^\perp \rightarrow R(P) \oplus R(P)^\perp, \quad (2.26)$$

we get that

$$\tilde{U}^*(P + P^* + 2P_P^\perp)\tilde{U} = \begin{pmatrix} 2I & U_1P_1^*V_1 \\ V_1^*P_1U_1^* & 2I \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2I & Q_1 \\ Q_1^* & 2I \end{pmatrix} = 2I - P - P^* + 2P_{(I-P)}^\perp.$$

□

Lemma 11. ([2, Lemma 2.10] or [6, Proposition 5]) Let $P \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{Id}$. Then $P^*JP \leq J$ if and only if $J(I - P) \geq 0$.

Theorem 12. Let $P \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{Id}$. If P and $I - P$ have the operator matrices (2.14), respectively, then

- (i) $P_{(2I-P-P^*)+} = P_{(P+P^*)-} + P_{N(P+P^*)}$.
- (ii) $P_{N(P_1^*)} = P_{N(Q_1)}$ and $P_{N(P_1)} = P_{N(Q_1^*)}$.
- (iii) $P_{(2I-P-P^*)-} + P_{N(2I-P-P^*)} = P_{(P+P^*)+}$.

Proof. (i) Replacing P by $I - P$ in equation (2.3), we have

$$\min\{J : J(I - P) \geq 0, J = J^* = J^{-1}\} = 2P_{(2I-P-P^*)+} - I.$$

Also, Lemma 11 implies that

$$\min\{J : J(I - P) \geq 0, J = J^* = J^{-1}\} = \min\{J : P^*JP \leq J, J = J^* = J^{-1}\}.$$

Then

$$P_{(2I-P-P^*)+} = P_{(P+P^*)-} + P_{N(P+P^*)} \quad (2.27)$$

follows from (i) of Theorem 7.

(ii) Replacing P by $I - P$ in (i) of Theorem 8, we get that

$$\max\{J : J(I - P) \geq 0, J = J^* = J^{-1}\} = 2P_{(2I-P-P^*)+} - I + 2P_{N(2I-P-P^*)}.$$

In view of Lemma 11 and (ii) of Theorem 7, we have

$$P_{(2I-P-P^*)+} + P_{N(2I-P-P^*)} = P_{(P+P^*)-} + P_{N(P+P^*)}. \quad (2.28)$$

Then equations (2.27) and (2.28) imply that

$$P_{N(2I-P-P^*)} = P_{N(P+P^*)} - P_{N(P+P^*)}, \quad (2.29)$$

so

$$P_{N(Q_1)} = P_{N(2I-P-P^*)} = P_{N(P+P^*)} - P_{N(P+P^*)} = P_{N(P_1^*)}$$

follows from Lemma 6. In a similar way, we have

$$P_{N(P_1)} = P_{N(P+P^*)} = P_{N(P^*-P)} - P_{N(2I-P-P^*)} = P_{N(Q_1^*)}. \quad (2.30)$$

(iii) follows from (i). \square

Lemma 13. ([2, Theorem 2.3]) For a J -projection P , there exists uniquely a J -positive projection Q , a J -negative projection R such that

$$P = Q + R, \quad QR = RQ = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad QR^* = R^*Q = 0.$$

The following result is obtained in [2,7]. However, our method is more concrete than those of [2,7].

Corollary 14. ([2, Theorem 2.12]) or [7, Theorem 2]) For a J -projection P , there exists uniquely a J -contractive projection E_1 and a J -expansive projection E_2 such that

$$P = E_1E_2 = E_2E_1 = E_1 + E_2 - I \quad \text{and} \quad E_1E_2^* = E_2^*E_1 = E_1 + E_2^* - I.$$

Proof. Suppose that P has operator matrix form (1.1). It follows from the fact $P = JP^*J$ that J has the form (2.1) in Lemma 2. With respect to the space decomposition $\mathcal{H} = R(P) \oplus R(P)^\perp$, we define E_1 and E_2 as the following operator matrices

$$E_1 := \begin{pmatrix} I_1 & P_1 \frac{I_2 + J_2}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{I_2 - J_2}{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad E_2 := \begin{pmatrix} I_1 & P_1 \frac{I_2 - J_2}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{I_2 + J_2}{2} \end{pmatrix},$$

where I_1 and I_2 are identity operators on the subspaces of $R(P)$ and $R(P)^\perp$, respectively.

Clearly, $E_1^2 = E_1$ and $E_2^2 = E_2$. Then a direct calculation yields that

$$P = E_1E_2 = E_2E_1 = E_1 + E_2 - I \quad \text{and} \quad E_1E_2^* = E_2^*E_1 = E_1 + E_2^* - I,$$

as $P_1^*P_1J_2 = J_2P_1^*P_1$. It is easy to verify that

$$J(I - E_1) = 0 \oplus (I + P_1^*P_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{I_2 + J_2}{2} \geq 0$$

and

$$J(I - E_2) = 0 \oplus (I + P_1^*P_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{J_2 - I_2}{2} \leq 0.$$

Thus by Lemma 11, we conclude that E_1 is a J -contractive projection and E_2 is a J -expansive projection as desired.

To show the uniqueness, we note that $I - P$ is also a J -projection. If

$$P = F_1F_2 = F_2F_1 = F_1 + F_2 - I \quad \text{and} \quad F_1F_2^* = F_2^*F_1 = F_1 + F_2^* - I,$$

where F_1 is a J -contractive projection and F_2 is a J -expansive projection. Setting $Q := I - F_1$ and $R := I - F_2$, we get that

$$I - P = Q + R, \quad QR = RQ = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad QR^* = R^*Q = 0.$$

Furthermore, Lemma 11 implies that Q is a J -positive projection and R is a J -negative projection, so $Q = I - E_1$ and $R = I - E_2$ follow from the uniqueness of Lemma 13. Thus $F_1 = E_1$ and $F_2 = E_2$. \square

References

- [1] T. Ando, Linear operators on Krein spaces, Lecture Note, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan, 1979.
- [2] T. Ando, Projections in Krein spaces, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 12 (2009), 2346-2358.
- [3] E. Andruchow, Classes of idempotent in Hilbert Space, *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory.* 10 (2016), 1383-1409.
- [4] J. B. Conway, A course in operator theory, Graduate studies in mathematics, 21 (2000).
- [5] G. Corach, H. Porta and L. Recht, The geometry of spaces of projections in C^* -algebras, *Adv. Math.* 101(1993), 59-77.
- [6] J. I. Giribet, A. Maestripieri, F. M. Pería, P. G. Massey, On frames for Krein spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 393 (2012), 122-137.
- [7] S. Hassi, K. Nordstrom, On projections in a space with an indefinite metric, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 208/209 (1994), 401-407.
- [8] Yuan Li, Xiaomei Cai, Shuaijie Wang, The absolute values and cover projections for a class of operator matrices involving idempotents, [arXiv.org\(math\),arXiv:1806.05443v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/1806.05443v1).
- [9] A. Maestripieri, F. M. Pería, Normal Projections in Krein Spaces, *Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory* 76 (2013), 357-380.
- [10] A. Maestripieri, F. M. Pería, Decomposition of selfadjoint Projections in Krein Spaces, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* 72 (2006), 611-638.
- [11] A. Maestripieri, F. M. Pería, Schur complements in Krein spaces, *Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory* 59 (2007), 207-221.
- [12] M. Matvejchuk, Idempotents in a space with conjugation, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 438 (2013), 71-79.
- [13] M. Matvejchuk, Idempotents as J-Projections, *Int. J. Theor Phys.* 50 (2011), 3852-3856.
- [14] K. Sharifi, The product of operators with closed range in Hilbert C^* -modules, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 435 (2011), 1122-1130.