Linear ℓ-Intersection Pairs of Codes and Their Applications

Kenza Guenda, T. Aaron Gulliver, Somphong Jitman
and Satanan Thipworawimon*

July 9, 2019

Abstract

In this paper, a linear ℓ-intersection pair of codes is introduced as a generalization of linear complementary pairs of codes. Two linear codes are said to be a linear ℓ-intersection pair if their intersection has dimension ℓ. Characterizations and constructions of such pairs of codes are given in terms of the corresponding generator and parity-check matrices. Linear ℓ-intersection pairs of MDS codes over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of length up to $q+1$ are given for all possible parameters. As an application, linear ℓ-intersection pairs of codes are used to construct entanglement-assisted quantum error correcting codes. This provides a large number of new MDS entanglement-assisted quantum error correcting codes.

1 Introduction

Linear complementary pairs (LCPs) of codes have been of interest and extensively studied due to their rich algebraic structure and wide applications in cryptography. For example, in [5] and [6], it was shown that these pairs of codes can be used to counter passive and active side-channel analysis attacks on embedded cryptosystems. Several construction of LCPs of codes were also given.

In this paper, we introduce a linear ℓ-intersection pair of codes as a generalization of the LCP of codes in [6]. Two linear codes are said to be a linear ℓ-intersection pair if their intersection has dimension ℓ. A characterization of such pairs of codes is given in

---

*K. Guenda and T. A. Gulliver are with the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Victoria, PO Box 1700, STN CSC, Victoria, BC, Canada V8W 2Y2. Email: kguenda@uvic.ca, agullive@ece.uvic.ca. S. Jitman and S. Thipworawimon are with the Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Silpakorn University, Nakhon Pathom 73000, Thailand. Email: sjitman@gmail.com, thipworawimon_s@su.ac.th.

terms of generator and parity-check matrices of codes. We construct ℓ-intersection pairs from generalized Reed-Solomon and extended generalized Reed-Solomon codes. Linear ℓ-intersection pairs of maximum distance separable (MDS) codes over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of length up to $q+1$ are given for all possible parameters. As an application, linear ℓ-intersection pairs of codes are employed to construct entanglement-assisted quantum error correcting codes. This gives a large family of new MDS entanglement-assisted quantum error correcting codes.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Some properties, characterizations and constructions of linear ℓ-intersection pairs of codes are given in Section 2. In Section 3, constructions of linear ℓ-intersection pairs of MDS codes are discussed. The application of linear ℓ-intersection pairs in the construction of entanglement-assisted quantum error correcting codes is considered in Section 4. Some concluding remarks are given in Section 5 along with some open problems.

2 ℓ-Intersection Pairs of Codes

Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ denote the finite field of q elements where q is a prime power. For positive integers $k \leq n$ and d, an $[n,k,d]_q$ *linear code* is defined to be a k-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ with minimum Hamming distance d. An $[n,k,d]_q$ code is called *maximum distance separable* (MDS) if the parameters satisfy $d = n - k + 1$. The Euclidean inner product between $\boldsymbol{u} = (u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n)$ and $\boldsymbol{v} = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n)$ in $\mathbb{F}_q$ is defined as

$$\langle \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i v_i.$$

The *(Euclidean) dual* $C^{\perp}$ of a linear code C is defined as

$$C^{\perp} = \{ \boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \mid \langle \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{c} \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } \in C \}.$$

A linear code C is called *linear complementary dual* (LCD) if $C \cap C^{\perp} = \{\boldsymbol{0}\}$. Linear codes C and D of length n over $\mathbb{F}_q$ are called a *linear complementary pair* (LCP) if $C \cap D = \{\boldsymbol{0}\}$ and $C + D = \mathbb{F}_q^n$.

For an integer $\ell \geq 0$, linear codes C and D of length n over $\mathbb{F}_q$ are called a *linear ℓ-intersection pair* if $\dim(C \cap D) = \ell$. From the definition above, we have the following observations.

- A linear 0-intersection pair with $\dim(C) + \dim(D) = n$ is an LCP (see [6]).

- A linear 0-intersection pair with $D = C^{\perp}$ is an LCD code (see [20]).

- The study of a linear ℓ-intersection pair with $D = C^{\perp}$ is equivalent to that of the hull $Hull(C) := C \cap C^{\perp}$ of C (see [12]).

Therefore, linear ℓ-intersection pairs of codes can be viewed as a generalization of LCPs of codes, LCD codes, and the hulls of codes.

2.1 Characterizations of Linear ℓ-Intersection Pairs of Codes

In this section, properties of linear ℓ-intersection pairs of codes are established in terms of their generator and parity-check matrices. In some cases, links between this concept and known families of codes such as complementary dual codes, self-orthogonal codes, and linear complementary pairs of codes, as well as hulls of codes, are discussed.

Theorem 2.1. *For $i \in \{1, 2\}$, let C_i be a linear $[n, k_i]_q$ code with parity check matrix H_i and generator matrix G_i. If C_1 and C_2 are a linear ℓ-intersection pair, then $\mathrm{rank}(H_1 G_2^t)$ and $\mathrm{rank}(G_1 H_2^t)$ are independent of H_i and G_i so that*

$$\mathrm{rank}(G_1 H_2^t) = \mathrm{rank}(H_2 G_1^t) = k_1 - \ell,$$

and

$$\mathrm{rank}(G_2 H_1^t) = \mathrm{rank}(H_1 G_2^t) = k_2 - \ell.$$

Proof. Assume that C_1 and C_2 are a linear ℓ-intersection pair. First, we prove that $\mathrm{rank}(G_1 H_2^t) = \mathrm{rank}(H_2 G_1^t) = k_1 - \ell$. Since $(G_1 H_2^t)^t = H_2 G_1^t$, it suffices to show that $\mathrm{rank}(G_1 H_2^t) = k_1 - \ell$.

Since $\ell = \dim(C_1 \cap C_2)$, we have $n \geq \dim(C_1 + C_2) = k_1 + k_2 - \ell$ which implies that $n - k_2 \geq k_1 - \ell$ and $n - k_1 \geq k_2 - \ell$. Let $B = \{g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_\ell\}$ be a basis of $C_1 \cap C_2$. If $\ell = k_1$, then $B \subseteq C_2$ and $G_1 H_2^t = [0]$, and hence $\mathrm{rank}(G_1 H_2^t) = 0 = k_1 - \ell$ as desired. Assume that $\ell < k_1$ and extend B to be a basis $\{g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_\ell, g_{\ell+1}, \ldots, g_{k_1}\}$ for C_1. Then

$$J_1 = \begin{bmatrix} g_1 \\ g_2 \\ \vdots \\ g_\ell \\ g_{\ell+1} \\ \vdots \\ g_{k_1} \end{bmatrix}$$

is a generator matrix for C_1. Applying a suitable sequence of elementary row operations gives an invertible $k_1 \times k_1$ matrix A over $\mathbb{F}_q$ such that $G_1 = AJ_1$ and hence

$$G_1 H_2^t = AJ_1 H_2^t.$$

Since A is invertible, we have

$$\mathrm{rank}(G_1 H_2^t) = \mathrm{rank}(J_1 H_2^t). \tag{1}$$

As $g_i \in C_2$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, \ell$, we have $g_i H_2^t = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, \ell$ so then

$$J_1 H_2^t = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \hline \begin{bmatrix} g_{\ell+1} \\ \vdots \\ g_{k_1} \end{bmatrix} H_2^t \end{bmatrix}.$$

3

The matrix $\begin{bmatrix} g_{\ell+1} \\ \vdots \\ g_{k_1} \end{bmatrix} H_2^t$ has dimensions $(k_1 - \ell) \times (n - k_2)$ with $n - k_2 \geq k_1 - \ell$ so it follows that

$$\mathrm{rank}\left(\begin{bmatrix} g_{\ell+1} \\ \vdots \\ g_{k_1} \end{bmatrix} H_2^t \right) \leq (k_1 - \ell).$$

Suppose that $\mathrm{rank}\left(\begin{bmatrix} g_{\ell+1} \\ \vdots \\ g_{k_1} \end{bmatrix} H_2^t \right) < k_1 - \ell$. Then there exists a non-zero vector $\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{k_1 - \ell}$ such that

$$\boldsymbol{u} \begin{bmatrix} g_{\ell+1} \\ \vdots \\ g_{k_1} \end{bmatrix} H_2^t = [0],$$

so then $\boldsymbol{u} \begin{bmatrix} g_{\ell+1} \\ \vdots \\ g_{k_1} \end{bmatrix} \in C_2 \setminus \{\boldsymbol{0}\}$. Since $\mathrm{span}\{g_{\ell+1}, g_{\ell+2}, \ldots, g_{k_1}\} \cap C_2 = \{\boldsymbol{0}\}$, we have $\boldsymbol{u} \begin{bmatrix} g_{\ell+1} \\ \vdots \\ g_{k_1} \end{bmatrix} \notin C_2$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $\mathrm{rank}(G_1 H_2^t) = \mathrm{rank}(J_1 H_2^t) = k_1 - \ell$ which is independent of G_1 and H_2 as required. By swapping C_1 and C_2, it can be deduced that $\mathrm{rank}(G_2 H_1^t) = \mathrm{rank}(H_1 G_2^t) = k_2 - \ell$. $\qquad \square$

In the case where the sum of the two codes covers the entire space $\mathbb{F}_q^n$, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2. *For $i \in \{1, 2\}$, let C_i be a linear $[n, k_i]_q$ code with parity check matrix H_i and generator matrix G_i. If C_1 and C_2 are a linear ℓ-intersection pair such that $C_1 + C_2 = \mathbb{F}_q^n$, then*

$$\mathrm{rank}(G_1 H_2^t) = \mathrm{rank}(H_2 G_1^t) = n - k_2 = k_1 - \ell,$$

and

$$\mathrm{rank}(G_2 H_1^t) = \mathrm{rank}(H_1 G_2^t) = n - k_1 = k_2 - \ell.$$

Proof. Since $C_1 + C_2 = \mathbb{F}_q^n$, we have that $n = k_1 + k_2 - \ell$. Then $n - k_2 = k_1 - \ell$ and $n - k_1 = k_2 - \ell$, and the results follow from Theorem 2.1. $\qquad \square$

By setting $\ell = 0$ in the above corollary, we have the following characterization of LCPs of codes.

Corollary 2.3. *For $i \in \{1, 2\}$, let C_i be a linear $[n, k_i]_q$ code with parity check matrix H_i and generator matrix G_i. If C_1 and C_2 are a LCP, then*

$$\mathrm{rank}(G_1 H_2^t) = \mathrm{rank}(H_2 G_1^t) = k_1,$$

and

$$\mathrm{rank}(G_2 H_1^t) = \mathrm{rank}(H_1 G_2^t) = k_2.$$

4

In the case where C_2 is the dual code of C_1, we have $C_1 \cap C_2 = Hull(C_1) = Hull(C_2)$ and the following result in [12] can be obtained from Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.4 ([12, Proposition 3.1]). *Let C be an $[n, k]_q$ code over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with generator matrix G and parity-check matrix H. Then*

$$\mathrm{rank}(HH^t) = n - k - \dim(Hull(C)),$$

and

$$\mathrm{rank}(GG^t) = k - \dim(Hull(C)).$$

The well-known properties of self-orthogonal codes and complementary dual codes [19] follow easily from Corollary 2.4.

Corollary 2.5. *Let C be an $[n, k]_q$ code over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with generator matrix G and parity-check matrix H. Then the following statements hold.*

1. *C is self-orthogonal if and only if $GG^t = [0]$.*

2. *C is complementary dual if and only if GG^t is invertible. In this case, HH^t is invertible.*

Remark 2.1. In general, we may relate a linear ℓ-intersection pair of codes with the Galois dual of a linear code [9]. For $q = p^e$ and $0 \le h < e$, the p^h-*inner product (Galois inner product)* between $\boldsymbol{u} = (u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n)$ and $\boldsymbol{v} = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n)$ in $\mathbb{F}_q$ is defined to be

$$\langle \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle_h = \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i v_i^{p^h}.$$

The p^h-*dual (Galois dual)* $C^{\perp_h}$ of a linear code C is defined as

$$C^{\perp_h} = \{\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \mid \langle \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{c} \rangle_h = 0 \text{ for all } \in C\}.$$

Note that $C^{\perp_0}$ is the Euclidean dual $C^{\perp}$. If e is even, the $C^{\perp_{\frac{e}{2}}}$ is the well-known Hermitian dual.

Using statements similar to those in the proof of Theorem 2.1, the following result can be concluded. For $i \in \{1, 2\}$, let C_i be a linear $[n, k_i]_q$ code with generator matrix G_i and let H_i be a generator matrix for the Galois dual $C_i^{\perp_h}$. If C_1 and C_2 are a linear ℓ-intersection pair then

$$\mathrm{rank}(G_1 H_2^*) = \mathrm{rank}(H_2 G_1^*) = k_1 - \ell,$$

and

$$\mathrm{rank}(G_2 H_1^*) = \mathrm{rank}(H_1 G_2^*) = k_2 - \ell,$$

where $A^* = [a_{ji}^{p^h}]$ for a matrix $A = [a_{ij}]$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$.

2.2 Constructions of Linear ℓ-Intersection Pairs of Codes

In this subsection, a discussion on constructions of linear ℓ-intersection pairs is given. We note that constructions of linear 0-intersection pairs of linear codes C_1 and C_2 with $\dim(C_1) + \dim(C_2) = n$, LCPs of codes, have been given in [6]. Various constructions of linear 0-intersection pairs of linear codes C_1 and $C_2 = C_1^\perp$, LCD codes, have been discussed in [4], [7], [14], [20] and [21]. Constructions of some linear codes with prescribed hull dimension have been given in [12] and [18].

Based on the characterizations given in Subsection 2.1, the value ℓ for which two linear codes of length n over $\mathbb{F}_q$ form a linear ℓ-intersection pair can be easily determined. Here, constructions of linear ℓ-intersection pairs will be given using the concept of equivalent codes and some propagation rules.

We recall that two linear codes of length n over $\mathbb{F}_q$ are *equivalent* if one can be obtained from the other by a combination of operations of the following types: (i) permutation of the n digits of the codewords; (ii) multiplication of the symbols appearing in a fixed position by a nonzero element in $\mathbb{F}_q$. A square matrix over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is called a *weighted permutation matrix* if it has exactly one nonzero entry in each row and each column and 0s elsewhere. It is not difficult to see that linear codes C_1 and C_2 of length n over $\mathbb{F}_q$ are equivalent if and only if there exists an $n \times n$ weighted permutation matrix A over $\mathbb{F}_q$ such that $C_2 = \{\boldsymbol{c}A \mid \boldsymbol{c} \in C_1\}$. This characterization is useful in constructions of linear ℓ-intersection pairs.

Lemma 2.6. *Let C_1 and C_2 be $[n, k_1, d_1]_q$ and $[n, k_2, d_2]_q$ codes, respectively. Let A be an $n \times n$ weighted permutation matrix over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and let G_1 and H_2 be a generator matrix of C_1 and a parity-check matrix of C_2, respectively. Then their exists a linear ℓ-intersection pair of $[n, k_1, d_1]_q$ and $[n, k_2, d_2]_q$ codes, where $\ell = k_1 - \mathrm{rank}(G_1 A H_2^t)$.*

Proof. Let C_1' be the linear code generated by $G_1 A$. By the discussion above, C_1' is equivalent to C_1. Hence, C_1' is an $[n, k_1, d_1]_q$ code. By Theorem 2.1, C_1' and C_2 form a linear ℓ-intersection pair of $[n, k_1, d_1]_q$ and $[n, k_2, d_2]_q$ codes, where $\ell = k_1 - \mathrm{rank}((G_1 A)H_2^t) = k_1 - \mathrm{rank}(G_1 A H_2^t)$. $\qquad\square$

In Lemma 2.6, the value ℓ depends on the choices of A. In applications, a suitable weighted permutation matrix A is required. Illustrative examples are given as follows.

Example 2.1. Let C_1 and C_2 be $[7, 4, 3]_2$ and $[7, 3, 4]_2$ codes with generator matrices

$$G_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } G_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using the computer algebra system MAGMA [1] and Theorem 2.1, it can be seen that C_1 and C_2 form a linear 3-intersection pair of $[7, 4, 3]_2$ and $[7, 3, 4]_2$ codes.

Let

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

be 7×7 (weighted) permutation matrices over $\mathbb{F}_2$. Let C_1', C_1'' and C_1''' be linear codes generated by $G_1 A_1$, $G_1 A_2$ and $G_1 A_3$, respectively. Using the computer algebra system MAGMA [1] and Lemma 2.6, we have that C_1' and C_2 form a linear 2-intersection pair of $[7, 4, 3]_2$ and $[7, 3, 4]_2$ codes, C_1'' and C_2 form a linear 1-intersection pair of $[7, 4, 3]_2$ and $[7, 3, 4]_2$ codes, and C_1''' and C_2 form a linear 0-intersection pair of $[7, 4, 3]_2$ and $[7, 3, 4]_2$ codes.

Next, useful recursive constructions of linear ℓ-intersection pairs are given.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $\ell \geq 0$ be an integer. If there exists a linear ℓ-intersection pair of $[n, k_1, d_1]_q$ and $[n, k_2, d_2]_q$ codes, then the following statements hold.*

1. *There exists a linear γ-intersection pair of $[n, k_1, d_1]_q$ and $[n, k_2 - \ell + \gamma, D_2]_q$ codes for all $0 \leq \gamma \leq \ell$, where $D_2 \geq d_2$.*

2. *There exists a linear γ-intersection pair of $[n + \ell - \gamma, k_1, d_1]_q$ and $[n + \ell - \gamma, k_2, D_2]_q$ codes for all $0 \leq \gamma \leq \ell$, where $D_2 \geq d_2$.*

Proof. Assume that there exists a linear ℓ-intersection pair of $[n, k_1, d_1]_q$ and $[n, k_2, d_2]_q$ codes, denoted by C_1 and C_2, respectively. Let $A = \{\boldsymbol{v}_1, \boldsymbol{v}_2, \ldots, \boldsymbol{v}_\ell\}$ be a basis of $C_1 \cap C_2$. Let B_1 and B_2 be bases of C_1 and C_2 extended respectively from A. For $\gamma = \ell$, the two statements are obvious. Assume that $0 \leq \gamma < \ell$.

To prove 1, let C_2' be the linear code generated by $B_2 \setminus \{\boldsymbol{v}_1, \boldsymbol{v}_2, \ldots, \boldsymbol{v}_{\ell-\gamma}\}$. Then C_2' is an $[n, k_2 - \ell + \gamma]_q$ code. Since C_2' is a subcode of C_2, we have $d(C_2') = D_2$ for some $D_2 \geq d_2$. It is clear that C_1 and C_2' form a linear γ-intersection pair.

To prove 2, let $\varphi_1 : B_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^{n+1}$ and $\varphi_2 : B_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^{n+1}$ be concatenated maps defined by

$$\varphi_1(\boldsymbol{u}) = \boldsymbol{u}|0$$

for all $\boldsymbol{u} \in B_1$, and

$$\varphi_2(\boldsymbol{u}) = \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{u}|1 & \text{if } \boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{v}_\ell, \\ \boldsymbol{u}|0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for all $\boldsymbol{u} \in B_2$. Let C_1' and C_2' be the linear codes generated by $\varphi_1(B_1)$ and $\varphi_2(B_2)$. Clearly, C_1' and C_2' form a linear $(\ell - 1)$-intersection pair of $[n + 1, k_1, d_1]_q$ and $[n + 1, k_2, D_2]_q$ codes for some $D_2 \geq d_2$. Continue this process, a linear γ-intersection pair of $[n + \ell - \gamma, k_1, d_1]_q$ and $[n + \ell - \gamma, k_2, D_2]_q$ codes can be constructed for all $0 \leq \gamma < \ell$, where $D_2 \geq d_2$. $\qquad \square$

Based on the characterizations given in Subsection 2.1, Lemma 2.6 and the best known linear codes in [11], some linear ℓ-intersection pair of good codes over small finite fields can be constructed using the following steps:

1) Fix two best known linear codes C_1 and C_2 of length n over $\mathbb{F}_q$ from [11].

2) Fix an $n \times n$ weighted permutation matrix A over $\mathbb{F}_q$.

3) Compute $C_1' = \{cA \mid c \in C_1\}$.

4) Compute the value ℓ for which C_1' and C_2 form a linear ℓ-intersection pair using Lemma 2.6.
   Output: linear ℓ-intersection pair.

5) Apply recursive constructions given in Theorem 2.7.
   Output: linear γ-intersection pair, where $0 \leq \gamma \leq \ell$.

We note that a linear ℓ-intersection pair of linear codes with best known parameters is obtained in Step 4 while the minimum distance of the second code in a linear γ-intersection pair obtained in Step 5 might be lower than the best known ones.

Using basic linear algebra, we have the following result.

Lemma 2.8. *If there exists a linear ℓ-intersection pair of $[n, k_1, d_1]_q$ and $[n, k_2, d_2]_q$ codes, then $k_1 + k_2 - n \leq \ell \leq \min\{k_1, k_2\}$.*

Note that Lemma 2.8 does not guarantee the existence of a linear ℓ-intersection pair of $[n, k_1, d_1]_q$ and $[n, k_2, d_2]_q$ codes for all ℓ satisfying $k_1 + k_2 - n \leq \ell \leq \min\{k_1, k_2\}$. For the existence of such pairs, we propose the following conjecture.

Conjecture 2.1. *There exists a linear ℓ-intersection pair of $[n, k_1, d_1]_q$ and $[n, k_2, d_2]_q$ codes for all ℓ satisfying $k_1 + k_2 - n \leq \ell \leq \min\{k_1, k_2\}$ provided that there exist $[n, k_1, d_1]_q$ and $[n, k_2, d_2]_q$ codes.*

This conjecture holds true for MDS codes over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of length less than or equal to $q + 1$ and it will be proved in Section 3. The other cases remain an open problem. In our view, the concept of equivalent codes in Lemma 2.6 might be useful in solving Conjecture 2.1 as discussed in Example 2.1.

3   Linear ℓ-Intersection Pairs of MDS Codes

The class of MDS codes has been extensively studied for decades, and numerous approach have been developed to construct these codes. Such codes are optimal in the sense that for a fixed length and dimension they can correct and detect the maximum number of errors. Further, they have various applications in erasure channel and wireless communication. In this section, constructions of linear ℓ-intersection pairs of MDS codes for all possible parameters over $\mathbb{F}_q$ are given up to length $q + 1$. Precisely, Conjecture 2.1 is proved for MDS codes of such lengths.

3.1 Linear ℓ-Intersection Pairs of MDS Codes from GRS and Extended GRS Codes

We focus here on constructions of linear ℓ- intersection pairs of MDS codes. Based on the notation given in [14], all linear ℓ-intersection pairs of MDS codes up to length $q + 1$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ can be derived in terms of generalized Reed-Solomon (GRS) codes and extended GRS codes.

First, we determine the intersection of two arbitrary GRS codes of length up to q. For $n \leq q$, let $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$ and $\boldsymbol{v} = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n)$ be words in $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ such that $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$ are distinct and $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ are non-zero. Let $P(x)$ be a non-zero polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ of degree less than or equal to n. If $P(a_i) \neq 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, the code (see [14])

$$\mathrm{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, P(x), \boldsymbol{v}) = \left\{ \left(\frac{v_1 f(a_1)}{P(a_1)}, \frac{v_2 f(a_2)}{P(a_2)}, \ldots, \frac{v_n f(a_n)}{P(a_n)} \right) \middle| f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x] \right.$$
$$\left. \text{and } \deg(f(x)) < \deg(P(x)) \right\} \tag{2}$$

is well defined and is a GRS code of length n and dimension $\deg(P(x))$. From [14], this code is MDS with parameters $[n, \deg(P(x)), n - \deg(P(x)) + 1]_q$.

For polynomials $P(x)$ and $Q(x)$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of degree less than or equal to n, some properties of the codes $\mathrm{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, P(x), \boldsymbol{v})$ and $\mathrm{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, Q(x), \boldsymbol{v})$ can be determined in terms of $P(x)$ and $Q(x)$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let q be a prime power and $n \leq q$ be an integer. Further, let $P(x)$ and $Q(x)$ be polynomials of degree less than or equal to n in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ such that $\gcd(P(x)Q(x), \prod_{i=1}^{n}(x-a_i)) = 1$. If $P(x)|Q(x)$, then*
$$\mathrm{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, P(x), \boldsymbol{v}) \subseteq \mathrm{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, Q(x), \boldsymbol{v}).$$

Proof. Note that the GRS codes $\mathrm{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, P(x), \boldsymbol{v})$ and $\mathrm{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, Q(x), \boldsymbol{v})$ are well defined since $\gcd(P(x)Q(x), \prod_{i=1}^{n}(x - a_i)) = 1$. Assume that $P(x)|Q(x))$ and let

$$\boldsymbol{c} = \left(\frac{v_1 f(a_1)}{P(a_1)}, \frac{v_2 f(a_2)}{P(a_2)}, \ldots, \frac{v_n f(a_n)}{P(a_n)} \right) \in \mathrm{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, P(x), \boldsymbol{v}).$$

Then $\deg(f(x)) < \deg(P(x))$ which implies that $\frac{f(x)Q(x)}{P(x)}$ is a polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $\deg(\frac{f(x)Q(x)}{P(x)}) < \deg(Q(x))$. It follows that

$$\boldsymbol{c} = \left(\frac{v_1 f(a_1)}{P(a_1)}, \frac{v_2 f(a_2)}{P(a_2)}, \ldots, \frac{v_n f(a_n)}{P(a_n)} \right)$$
$$= \left(v_1 \frac{\frac{f(a_1)Q(a_1)}{P(a_1)}}{Q(a_1)}, v_2 \frac{\frac{f(a_2)Q(a_2)}{P(a_2)}}{Q(a_2)}, \ldots, v_n \frac{\frac{f(a_n)Q(a_n)}{P(a_n)}}{Q(a_n)} \right) \in \mathrm{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, P(x), \boldsymbol{v}),$$

and hence $\mathrm{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, P(x), \boldsymbol{v}) \subseteq \mathrm{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, Q(x), \boldsymbol{v})$ as required. $\qquad\square$

The intersection of pairs of GRS codes is determined in the next theorem.

Theorem 3.2. *Let q be a prime power and $n \leq q$ be a positive integer. Further, let $P(x)$, $Q(x)$ and $L(x)$ be polynomials of degree less than or equal to n in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ satisfying the following conditions.*

1. $\gcd(P(x), Q(x)) = L(x)$.

2. $\gcd(P(x)Q(x), \prod\limits_{i=1}^{n}(x - a_i)) = 1$.

3. $\deg(P(x)) + \deg(Q(x) \leq n + \deg(L(x))$.

Then $\mathrm{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, P(x), \boldsymbol{v}) \cap \mathrm{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, Q(x), \boldsymbol{v}) = \mathrm{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, L(x), \boldsymbol{v})$.

Proof. Since $\gcd(P(x)Q(x), \prod\limits_{i=1}^{n}(x - a_i)) = 1$, the three codes are well defined. Note that $L(x)|P(x)$, $L(x)|Q(x)$ and $\gcd(\frac{P(x)}{L(x)}, \frac{Q(x)}{L(x)}) = 1$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{c} &= \left(\frac{v_1 f(a_1)}{P(a_1)}, \frac{v_2 f(a_2)}{P(a_2)}, \ldots, \frac{v_n f(a_n)}{P(a_n)} \right) \\
&= \left(\frac{v_1 g(a_1)}{Q(a_1)}, \frac{v_2 g(a_2)}{Q(a_2)}, \ldots, \frac{v_n g(a_n)}{Q(a_n)} \right) \in \mathrm{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, P(x), \boldsymbol{v}) \cap \mathrm{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, Q(x), \boldsymbol{v})
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ such that $\deg(f(x)) < \deg(P(x))$ and $\deg(f(x)) < \deg(Q(x))$. Then $f(a_i)Q(a_i) = g(a_i)P(a_i)$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ which implies that $f(a_i)\frac{Q(a_i)}{L(a_i)} - g(a_i)\frac{P(a_i)}{L(a_i)} = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Since $\deg(f(x)) < \deg(P(x))$ and $\deg(f(x)) < \deg(Q(x))$, we have $\deg(f(x)\frac{Q(x)}{L(x)}) < \deg(P(x)) + \deg(Q(x)) - \deg(L(x)) \leq n$ and $\deg(g(x)\frac{P(x)}{L(x)}) < \deg(Q(x)) + \deg(P(x)) - \deg(L(x)) \leq n$. It follows that $f(x)\frac{Q(x)}{L(x)} - g(x)\frac{P(x)}{L(x)} = 0$ is the zero polynomial. Since $\gcd(\frac{P(x)}{L(x)}, \frac{Q(x)}{L(x)}) = 1$, we have $\frac{Q(x)}{L(x)}|g(x)$ and $\frac{P(x)}{L(x)}|f(x)$. Then $\frac{f(x)L(x)}{P(x)}$ is a polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ such that $\deg(\frac{f(x)L(x)}{P(x)}) < \deg(L(x))$ and

$$
\frac{v_i f(a_i)}{P(a_i)} = \frac{v_i f(a_i)L(a_i)/P(a_i)}{L(a_i)}
$$

for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{c} &= \left(\frac{v_1 f(a_1)L(a_1)/P(a_1)}{L(a_1)}, \frac{v_2 f(a_2)L(a_2)/P(a_2)}{L(a_2)}, \ldots, \frac{v_n f(a_n)L(a_n)/P(a_n)}{L(a_n)} \right) \\
&\in \mathrm{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, L(x), \boldsymbol{v}),
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore, $\mathrm{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, P(x), \boldsymbol{v}) \cap \mathrm{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, Q(x), \boldsymbol{v}) \subseteq \mathrm{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, L(x), \boldsymbol{v})$.

Since $L(x)|P(x)$ and $L(x)|Q(x)$, we have $\mathrm{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, L(x), \boldsymbol{v}) \subseteq \mathrm{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, P(x), \boldsymbol{v})$ and $\mathrm{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, L(x), \boldsymbol{v}) \subseteq \mathrm{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, Q(x), \boldsymbol{v})$ by Lemma 3.1. Hence, $\mathrm{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, L(x), \boldsymbol{v}) \subseteq \mathrm{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, P(x), \boldsymbol{v}) \cap \mathrm{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, Q(x), \boldsymbol{v})$. Therefore, $\mathrm{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, P(x), \boldsymbol{v}) \cap \mathrm{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, Q(x), \boldsymbol{v}) = \mathrm{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, L(x), \boldsymbol{v})$ as required. $\qquad\square$

The following corollaries can be derived from Theorem 3.2.

Corollary 3.3. *Let q be a prime power and $n \leq q$ be a positive integer. Further, let $P(x)$, $Q(x)$ and $M(x)$ be polynomials of degree less than or equal to n in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ satisfying the following conditions.*

1. $\text{lcm}(P(x), Q(x)) = M(x)$.

2. $\gcd(P(x)Q(x), \prod\limits_{i=1}^{n}(x - a_i)) = 1$.

3. $\deg(M(x)) \leq n$.

Then $\text{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, P(x), \boldsymbol{v}) + \text{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, Q(x), \boldsymbol{v}) = \text{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, M(x), \boldsymbol{v})$.

Proof. Since $P(x)|M(x)$ and $Q(x)|M(x)$, we have $\text{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, P(x), \boldsymbol{v}) \subseteq \text{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, M(x), \boldsymbol{v})$ and $\text{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, Q(x), \boldsymbol{v}) \subseteq \text{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, M(x), \boldsymbol{v})$ by Lemma 3.1, and hence

$$\text{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, P(x), \boldsymbol{v}) + \text{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, Q(x), \boldsymbol{v}) \subseteq \text{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, M(x), \boldsymbol{v}).$$

From Theorem 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim(\text{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, P(x), \boldsymbol{v}) &+ \text{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, Q(x), \boldsymbol{v})) \\
&= \dim(\text{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, P(x), \boldsymbol{v})) + \dim(\text{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, Q(x), \boldsymbol{v})) \\
&\quad - \dim(\text{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, \gcd(P(x), Q(x)), \boldsymbol{v})) \\
&= \deg(P(x)) + \deg(Q(x)) - \deg(\gcd(P(x), Q(x))) \\
&= \deg(M(x)) \\
&= \dim(\text{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, M(x), \boldsymbol{v})),
\end{aligned}$$

so $\text{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, P(x), \boldsymbol{v}) + \text{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, Q(x), \boldsymbol{v}) = \text{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, M(x), \boldsymbol{v})$ as required. □

The existence of linear ℓ-intersection pairs of GRS (MDS) codes with prescribed parameters depends on the existence of polynomials $P(x)$, $Q(x)$ and $L(x)$ which will be discussed in Theorem 3.8.

The algebraic structure of the intersection of arbitrary pairs of GRS codes of length up to q was discussed above. Next, we focus on the intersection of pairs of MDS codes of length $n \leq q + 1$ using extended GRS codes. For a positive integer $n \leq q + 1$, let $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n-1}$ and $\boldsymbol{v} = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be such that $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n-1}$ are distinct and $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{n-1}$ are non-zero. Let $P(x)$ be a non-zero polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ of degree $k \leq n$ such that $P(a_i) \neq 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1$.

For polynomials $a(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \cdots + a_t x^t$ and $b(x) = b_0 + b_1 x + \cdots + b_t x^t$ in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ with $b_t \neq 0$, let $r(x) = \frac{a(x)}{b(x)}$ be a rational function. The evaluation $r(\infty)$ is defined to be $\frac{a_t}{b_t}$. Thus, $r(\infty) = 0$ if and only if $\deg(a(x)) < \deg(b(x))$. For a polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ with $\deg(f(x)) < \deg(P(x))$, we then have $\left(\frac{xf}{P}\right)(\infty) = 0$ if and only if $\deg(f(x)) \leq \deg(P(x)) - 2$, and $\left(\frac{xf}{P}\right)(\infty) \neq 0$ if and only if $\deg(f(x)) = \deg(P(x)) - 1$.

In [14], the extended GRS code of length n and dimension $\deg(P(x))$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is defined to be

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{GRS}_\infty&(\boldsymbol{a}, P(x), \boldsymbol{v}) \\
&= \left\{ \left(\frac{v_1 f(a_1)}{P(a_1)}, \frac{v_2 f(a_2)}{P(a_2)}, \ldots, \frac{v_{n-1} f(a_{n-1})}{P(a_{n-1})}, v_n \left(\frac{xf}{P}\right)(\infty) \right) \, \Big| \, f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x] \right. \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \left. \text{and } \deg(f(x)) < \deg(P(x)) \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

From [14], this extended GRS code is MDS with parameters $[n, \deg(P(x)), n - \deg(P(x)) + 1]_q$.

The properties of extended GRS codes are now given in terms of the corresponding polynomial $P(x)$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let q be a prime power and $n \leq q + 1$ be a positive integer. Further, let $P(x)$ and $Q(x)$ be polynomials of degree less than or equal to n in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ such that $\gcd(P(x)Q(x), \prod_{i=1}^{n}(x - a_i)) = 1$. If $P(x)|Q(x)$, then*

$$\mathrm{GRS}_\infty(\boldsymbol{a}, P(x), \boldsymbol{v}) \subseteq \mathrm{GRS}_\infty(\boldsymbol{a}, Q(x), \boldsymbol{v}).$$

Proof. Assume that $P(x)|Q(x))$. Let

$$\boldsymbol{c} = \left(\frac{v_1 f(a_1)}{P(a_1)}, \frac{v_2 f(a_2)}{P(a_2)}, \dots, \frac{v_{n-1} f(a_{n-1})}{P(a_{n-1})}, v_n \left(\frac{xf}{P} \right)(\infty) \right) \in \mathrm{GRS}_\infty(\boldsymbol{a}, P(x), \boldsymbol{v}).$$

Using arguments similar to those in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we have that $\frac{f(x)Q(x)}{P(x)}$ is a polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $\deg(\frac{f(x)Q(x)}{P(x)}) < \deg(Q(x))$. Moreover, $\frac{v_i f(a_i)}{P(a_i)} = \frac{v_i \frac{f(a_i)Q(a_i)}{P(a_i)}}{Q(a_i)}$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$. Since $\deg(\frac{xf(x)Q(x)}{P(x)}) - \deg(Q(x)) = \deg(xf(x)) - \deg(P(x))$, we have $\left(\frac{xf}{P} \right)(\infty) = \left(\frac{\frac{xfQ}{P}}{Q} \right)(\infty)$. It follows that $\boldsymbol{c} \in \mathrm{GRS}_\infty(\boldsymbol{a}, P(x), \boldsymbol{v})$, and hence $\mathrm{GRS}_\infty(\boldsymbol{a}, P(x), \boldsymbol{v}) \subseteq \mathrm{GRS}_\infty(\boldsymbol{a}, Q(x), \boldsymbol{v})$ as required. $\square$

Theorem 3.5. *Let q be a prime power and $n \leq q + 1$ be a positive integer. Further, let $P(x)$, $Q(x)$ and $L(x)$ be polynomials of degree less than or equal to n in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ satisfying the following conditions.*

1. $\gcd(P(x), Q(x)) = L(x)$.

2. $\gcd(P(x)Q(x), \prod_{i=1}^{n}(x - a_i)) = 1$.

3. $\deg(P(x)) + \deg(Q(x)) \leq n + \deg(L(x))$.

Then $\mathrm{GRS}_\infty(\boldsymbol{a}, P(x), \boldsymbol{v}) \cap \mathrm{GRS}_\infty(\boldsymbol{a}, Q(x), \boldsymbol{v}) = \mathrm{GRS}_\infty(\boldsymbol{a}, L(x), \boldsymbol{v})$.

Proof. First, we note that $L(x)|P(x)$, $L(x)|Q(x)$ and $\gcd(\frac{P(x)}{L(x)}, \frac{Q(x)}{L(x)}) = 1$. Since $\gcd(P(x)Q(x), \prod_{i=1}^{n}(x - a_i)) = 1$, we have $P(a_i) \neq 0$, $Q(a_i) \neq 0$, $L(a_i) \neq 0$ and the three codes are well defined. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{c} &= \left(\frac{v_1 f(a_1)}{P(a_1)}, \frac{v_2 f(a_2)}{P(a_2)}, \dots, \frac{v_{n-1} f(a_{n-1})}{P(a_{n-1})}, v_n \left(\frac{xf}{P} \right)(\infty) \right) \\
&= \left(\frac{v_1 g(a_1)}{Q(a_1)}, \frac{v_2 g(a_2)}{Q(a_2)}, \dots, \frac{v_{n-1} g(a_{n-1})}{Q(a_{n-1})}, v_n \left(\frac{xg}{Q} \right)(\infty) \right) \\
&\in \mathrm{GRS}_\infty(\boldsymbol{a}, P(x), \boldsymbol{v}) \cap \mathrm{GRS}_\infty(\boldsymbol{a}, Q(x), \boldsymbol{v})
\end{aligned}$$

12

for some $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ such that $\deg(f(x)) < \deg(P(x))$ and $\deg(f(x)) < \deg(Q(x))$. Then

$$f(a_i)Q(a_i) = g(a_i)P(a_i), \qquad (4)$$

for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$ and $\left(\frac{xf}{P}\right)(\infty) = \left(\frac{xg}{Q}\right)(\infty)$.

The latter condition $\left(\frac{xf}{P}\right)(\infty) = \left(\frac{xg}{Q}\right)(\infty)$ implies that $\deg(f(x)Q(x) - g(x)P(x)) \le \deg(P(x)Q(x)) - 2 = n + \deg(L(x)) - 2$. Hence, we have $\deg(f(x)\frac{Q(x)}{L(x)} - g(x)\frac{P(x)}{L(x)}) \le n - 2$ so that $\left(\frac{xf}{P}\right)(\infty) = \left(\frac{xfL/P}{L}\right)(\infty)$. From (4), we have $f(a_i)\frac{Q(a_i)}{L(a_i)} - g(a_i)\frac{P(a_i)}{L(a_i)} = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$. It follows that $f(x)\frac{Q(x)}{L(x)} - g(x)\frac{P(x)}{L(x)} = 0$ is the zero polynomial. Since $\gcd(\frac{P(x)}{L(x)}, \frac{Q(x)}{L(x)}) = 1$, we have $\frac{Q(x)}{L(x)}|g(x)$ and $\frac{P(x)}{L(x)}|f(x)$. It follows that $\deg(\frac{f(x)L(x)}{P(x)}) < \deg(L(x))$ and

$$\frac{v_i f(a_i)}{P(a_i)} = \frac{v_i f(a_i) L(a_i)/P(a_i)}{L(a_i)},$$

for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$. Hence, we have $\boldsymbol{c} \in \mathrm{GRS}_\infty(\boldsymbol{a}, L(x), \boldsymbol{v})$. Therefore, $\mathrm{GRS}_\infty(\boldsymbol{a}, P(x), \boldsymbol{v}) \cap \mathrm{GRS}_\infty(\boldsymbol{a}, Q(x), \boldsymbol{v}) \subseteq \mathrm{GRS}_\infty(\boldsymbol{a}, L(x), \boldsymbol{v})$.

By Lemma 3.4, it follows that $\mathrm{GRS}_\infty(\boldsymbol{a}, L(x), \boldsymbol{v}) \subseteq \mathrm{GRS}_\infty(\boldsymbol{a}, P(x), \boldsymbol{v})$ and $\mathrm{GRS}_\infty(\boldsymbol{a}, L(x), \boldsymbol{v}) \subseteq \mathrm{GRS}_\infty(\boldsymbol{a}, Q(x), \boldsymbol{v})$. Hence,

$$\mathrm{GRS}_\infty(\boldsymbol{a}, L(x), \boldsymbol{v}) \subseteq \mathrm{GRS}_\infty(\boldsymbol{a}, P(x), \boldsymbol{v}) \cap \mathrm{GRS}_\infty(\boldsymbol{a}, Q(x), \boldsymbol{v}),$$

and therefore,

$$\mathrm{GRS}_\infty(\boldsymbol{a}, P(x), \boldsymbol{v}) \cap \mathrm{GRS}_\infty(\boldsymbol{a}, Q(x), \boldsymbol{v}) = \mathrm{GRS}_\infty(\boldsymbol{a}, L(x), \boldsymbol{v})$$

as required. $\qquad \square$

Using arguments similar to those in the proof of Corollary 3.3 and the properties given in the proof of Theorem 3.5, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.6. *Let q be a prime power and $n \le q + 1$ be a positive integer. Further, let $P(x)$ and $Q(x)$ be polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ satisfying the following conditions.*

1. $\mathrm{lcm}(P(x), Q(x)) = M(x)$.

2. $\gcd(P(x)Q(x), \prod\limits_{i=1}^{n}(x - a_i)) = 1$.

3. $\deg(M(x)) \le n$.

Then $\mathrm{GRS}_\infty(\boldsymbol{a}, P(x), \boldsymbol{v}) + \mathrm{GRS}_\infty(\boldsymbol{a}, Q(x), \boldsymbol{v}) = \mathrm{GRS}_\infty(\boldsymbol{a}, M(x), \boldsymbol{v})$.

We now give necessary conditions for the existence of a linear ℓ-intersection pair of MDS codes based on Theorems 3.2 and 3.5.

Corollary 3.7. *Let q be a prime power and n, k_1, k_2, ℓ be non-negative integers such that $k_1 \le n \le q+1$ and $k_2 \le n$. If there exist polynomials $P(x)$, $Q(x)$ and $L(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ satisfying the following conditions:*

1. $\deg(P(x)) = k_1$, $\deg(Q(x)) = k_2$ *and* $\deg(L(x)) = \ell$,

2. $\gcd(P(x), Q(x)) = L(x)$,

3. $\gcd(P(x)Q(x), \prod_{i=1}^{n}(x - a_i)) = 1$,

4. $\deg(P(x)) + \deg(Q(x)) \leq n + \deg(L(x))$,

then there exists a linear ℓ-intersection pair of MDS codes with parameters $[n, k_1, n-k_1+1]_q$ and $[n, k_2, n - k_2 + 1]_q$.

Proof. For $n \leq q$, GRS codes $\mathrm{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, P(x), \boldsymbol{v})$ and $\mathrm{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, Q(x), \boldsymbol{v})$ from a linear ℓ-intersection pair of MDS codes with parameters $[n, k_1, n - k_1 + 1]_q$ and $[n, k_2, n - k_2 + 1]_q$ by Theorem 3.2. If $n \leq q+1$, then the extended GRS codes $\mathrm{GRS}_\infty(\boldsymbol{a}, P(x), \boldsymbol{v})$ and $\mathrm{GRS}_\infty(\boldsymbol{a}, Q(x), \boldsymbol{v})$ from a linear ℓ-intersection pair of MDS codes with parameters $[n, k_1, n - k_1 + 1]_q$ and $[n, k_2, n - k_2 + 1]_q$ by Theorem 3.5. $\qquad\square$

It is well known (see [10, pp. 602-629]) that the number of monic irreducible polynomials of degree n over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is

$$N_q(n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) q^{n/d}, \tag{5}$$

where μ is the Möbius function defined by

$$\mu(m) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m = 1, \\ (-1)^r & \text{if } m \text{ is a product of } r \text{ distinct primes}, \\ 0 & \text{if } p^2 | m \text{ for some prime } p. \end{cases}$$

From (5), $N_q(1) = q$, $N_q(2) = \frac{q^2 - q}{2}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} N_q(n) &\geq \frac{1}{n}\left(q^n - \sum_{d|n} q^{n/d}\right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{n}\left(q^n - \sum_{d=0}^{n-1} q^d\right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{n}\left(q^n - \frac{(q^n - 1)}{q - 1}\right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{(q-2)q^n + 1}{q - 1}\right), \end{aligned}$$

for all positive integers $n \geq 3$ since $\mu(1) = 1$ and $\mu(d) \geq -1$ for all divisors d of n. Hence for $q \geq 3$, $N_q(1) = q \geq 3$, $N_q(2) = \frac{q^2 - q}{2} \geq q \geq 3$ and $N_q(n) \geq \frac{q^{n-1}}{n} \geq q \geq 3$ for all $n \geq 3$. Therefore,

$$N_q(n) \geq 3, \tag{6}$$

14

for all prime powers $q \geq 3$ and positive integers n.

The following proposition guarantees that linear ℓ-intersection pairs of MDS codes of length up to $q + 1$ can be constructed for all possible parameters.

Proposition 3.8. *Let $q \geq 3$ be a prime power and n, k_1, k_2, ℓ be non-negative integers such that $k_1 \leq n \leq q+1$ and $k_2 \leq n$. If $\ell \leq \min\{k_1, k_2\}$. Then there exists a linear ℓ-intersection pair of MDS codes with parameters $[n, k_1, n - k_1 + 1]_q$ and $[n, k_2, n - k_2 + 1]_q$.*

Proof. Assume that $\ell \leq \min\{k_1, k_2\}$. By (6), there exist monic irreducible polynomials $f(x)$, $L(x)$, and $h(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}_q$ of degrees $k_1 - \ell$, ℓ, and $k_2 - \ell$, respectively, and the polynomial is set to 1 if the degree is zero. Let $P(x) = f(x)L(x)$ and $Q(x) = h(x)L(x)$ so then $P(x)$, $Q(x)$ and $L(x)$ satisfy the conditions in Corollary 3.7. Hence, $\mathrm{GRS}_\infty(\boldsymbol{a}, P(x), \boldsymbol{v})$ and $\mathrm{GRS}_\infty(\boldsymbol{a}, Q(x), \boldsymbol{v})$ form a linear ℓ-intersection pair and $\mathrm{GRS}_\infty(\boldsymbol{a}, P(x), \boldsymbol{v})$ and $\mathrm{GRS}_\infty(\boldsymbol{a}, Q(x), \boldsymbol{v})$ have parameters $[n, k_1, n - k_1 + 1]_q$ and $[n, k_2, n - k_2 + 1]_q$, respectively. $\qquad \square$

Note that if $n \leq q$, $\mathrm{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, P(x), \boldsymbol{v})$ and $\mathrm{GRS}(\boldsymbol{a}, Q(x), \boldsymbol{v})$ form a linear ℓ-intersection pair with parameters $[n, k_1, n - k_1 + 1]_q$ and $[n, k_2, n - k_2 + 1]_q$, where $P(x)$, $Q(x)$, and $L(x)$ are defined as in the proof of Proposition 3.8.

3.2 Linear ℓ-Intersection Pairs of MDS Codes from Cauchy and Vandermonde Matrices

In this subsection, constructions of linear ℓ-intersection pairs of MDS codes are given using super-regular matrices which are derived from some subclasses of Cauchy and Vandermonde matrices. A matrix A over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is called *super-regular* if every square sub-matrix of A is nonsingular (see [22]). We recall the following result.

Proposition 3.9 ([19, Theorem 8]). *An $[n, k, d]_q$ code with generator matrix $G = [I \mid A]$ where A is a $k \times (n - k)$ matrix is MDS if and only if A is super-regular. Equivalently, an $[n, k, d]_q$ code is MDS over $\mathbb{F}_q$ if and only if one of the following statements hold.*

(a) Every k columns of a generator matrix are linearly independent.

(b) Every $n - k$ columns of a parity-check matrix are linearly independent.

The following theorem gives a construction of a linear ℓ-intersection pair of MDS codes is given in terms of super-regular matrices.

Theorem 3.10. *Let q be a prime power and n be a positive integer. If there exists an $n \times n$ super-regular matrix over $\mathbb{F}_q$, then there exist a linear ℓ-intersection pair of MDS codes $[n, i]_q$ and $[n, j + \ell]_q$ for all $0 \leq i \leq n$, $0 \leq j \leq n - i$ and $0 \leq \ell \leq i$.*

Proof. Assume that there exists an $n \times n$ super-regular matrix A over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $0 \leq i \leq n$, $0 \leq j \leq n - i$ and $0 \leq \ell \leq i$ be integers. Since A is super-regular, every square submatrix of A is nonsingular. If $i = 0$, let $C_0 = \{\boldsymbol{0}\}$ be the zero code of length n over $\mathbb{F}_q$, and otherwise let A_i be the matrix formed by the first i rows of A. Then the rows of A_i are linearly independent and A_i generates an $[n, i]_q$ linear code denoted by C_i. Moreover, every i columns of A_i are linearly independent so by Proposition 3.9(b), C_i is an $[n, i]_q$ MDS code.

15

If $j + \ell = 0$, let $D_0 = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ be the zero code of length n over $\mathbb{F}_q$, and otherwise let $B_{j+\ell}$ be a matrix whose rows are composed of ℓ rows from A_i and j rows from the complement of A_i. Let $D_{j+\ell}$ be the linear code generated by $B_{j+\ell}$. Using arguments similar to those in the proof of C_i, $D_{j+\ell}$ is an $[n, j + \ell]$ MDS code. It is not difficult to see that $\dim(D_{j+\ell} \cap C_i) = \ell$ which implies that the codes C_i and $D_{j+\ell}$ form a linear ℓ-intersection pair of MDS codes with parameters $[n, i]_q$ and $[n, j + \ell]_q$. $\qquad\square$

Note that if $j = n - i$ in Theorem 3.10, C_i and $D_{n-i+\ell}$ form a linear ℓ-intersection pair of MDS codes $[n, i]_q$ and $[n, n - i + \ell]_q$ such that $C_i + D_{n-i+\ell} = \mathbb{F}_q^n$ for all $0 \le i \le n$ and $0 \le \ell \le i$.

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.9 and Theorem 3.10.

Corollary 3.11. *Let q be a prime power and n be a positive integer. If there exists a systematic $[2n, n]_q$ MDS code over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with generator matrix $G = [I \mid A]$, then there exists a linear ℓ-intersection pair of MDS codes $[n, i]_q$ and $[n, j + \ell]_q$ for all $0 \le i \le n$, $0 \le j \le n - i$ and $0 \le \ell \le i$.*

Based on Theorem 3.10, linear ℓ-intersection pairs of MDS codes can be constructed using Cauchy matrices. Given $x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}$ and $y_0, \ldots, y_{n-1}$ in $\mathbb{F}_q$, the matrix

$$A = [a_{ij}]_{i=0,1,\ldots,n-1}^{j=0,1,\ldots,n-1}, \tag{7}$$

where $a_{ij} = \frac{1}{x_i + y_j}$, is called a *Cauchy matrix*. It is well known that

$$det(A) = \frac{\displaystyle\prod_{0 \le i < j \le n-1} (x_j - x_i)(y_j - y_i)}{\displaystyle\prod_{0 \le i,j \le n-1} (x_i + y_j)}.$$

Assuming $x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}$ are distinct and $x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}$ and $y_0, \ldots, y_{n-1}$ are distinct such that $x_i + y_j \ne 0$ for all i, j, it follows that any square sub-matrix of a Cauchy matrix is nonsingular over $\mathbb{F}_q$. In this case, the Cauchy matrix A is super-regular. From Theorem 3.10, linear ℓ-intersection pairs of MDS codes are obtained in the following corollary.

Corollary 3.12. *Let q be a prime power and n be a positive integer. If $n \le \lfloor \frac{q}{2} \rfloor$, then there exists a linear ℓ-intersection pair of MDS codes $[n, i]_q$ and $[n, j + \ell]_q$ for all $0 \le i \le n$, $0 \le j \le n - i$ and $0 \le \ell \le i$.*

Proof. Since $n \le \lfloor \frac{q}{2} \rfloor$, we have $2n \le q$. If q is even, let $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1}$ be $2n$ distinct elements in $\mathbb{F}_q$. If q is odd, let $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}$ be n distinct non-zero elements in $\mathbb{F}_q$ such that $x_i \ne -x_j$ for all $0 \le i, j \le n - 1$ and let $y_i = x_i$ for all $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 1$. In both cases, it is not difficult to determine that $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}$ are distinct and $y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1}$ are distinct such that $x_i + y_j \ne 0$ for all i, j. From the discussion above, the $n \times n$ Cauchy matrix A defined in (7) is super-regular, and then the result follows from Theorem 3.10. $\qquad\square$

A *Vandermonde matrix* is an $n \times n$ matrix of the form $V(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) = [a_i^{j-1}]_{i=1,\ldots,n}^{j=1,\ldots,n}$, where $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$ are elements of $\mathbb{F}_q$. The determinant of the Vandermonde matrix $V(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$ is

$$\det(V(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)) = \prod_{1 \le i \le j \le n} (a_j - a_i).$$

16

The matrix $V(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$ is nonsingular if and only if all the a_i are distinct. In general, a Vandermonde matrix is not super-regular. Vandermonde matrices can be used to construct linear ℓ-intersection pairs of MDS codes since there exist super-singular matrices obtained from Vandermonde matrices. Based on Theorem 3.10, constructions of linear ℓ-intersection pairs of MDS codes can be given using some subclasses of Vandermonde matrices. A construction of super-regular matrices from Vandermonde matrices is given in the following proposition.

Proposition 3.13 ([15, Theorem 2]). *Let $V(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ and $V(b_1, \ldots, b_n)$ be two Vandermonde matrices. Then the matrix $V(a_1, \ldots, a_n)^{-1} V(b_1, \ldots, b_n)$ is super-regular if and only if the a_i and b_j are $2n$ distinct elements.*

Then by Theorem 3.10 and Proposition 3.13, a construction of linear ℓ-intersection pairs of MDS codes using Vandermonde matrices is given in the following corollary.

Corollary 3.14. *Let q be a prime power and n be a positive integer. If $n \leq \lfloor \frac{q}{2} \rfloor$, then there exists a linear ℓ-intersection pair of MDS codes $[n, i]_q$ and $[n, j + \ell]_q$ for all $0 \leq i \leq n$, $0 \leq j \leq n - i$ and $0 \leq \ell \leq i$.*

Proof. Since $n \leq \lfloor \frac{q}{2} \rfloor$, let $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$ and $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n$ be $2n$ distinct elements in $\mathbb{F}_q$. By Proposition 3.13, the $n \times n$ matrix $V(a_1, \ldots, a_n)^{-1} V(b_1, \ldots, b_n)$ is super-regular. The remainder of the proof follows from Theorem 3.10. $\square$

4   Good EAQECCs from Linear ℓ-Intersection Pairs of Codes

Entanglement-assisted quantum error correcting codes (EAQECCs) were introduced in Hsieh et al. [13] and can be constructed from arbitrary classical codes. Further, the performance of the resulting quantum codes is determined by the performance of the underlying classical codes. Precisely, an $[[n, k, d; c]]_q$ EAQECC encodes k logical qudits into n physical qudits using c copies of maximally entangled states and its performance is measured by its rate $\frac{k}{n}$ and net rate $(\frac{k-c}{n})$. When the net rate of an EAQECC is positive it is possible to obtain catalytic codes as shown by Brun et al. [3]. In [12], good entanglement-assisted quantum codes were constructed. A link between the number of maximally shared qubits required to construct an EAQECC from a classical code and the hull of the classical code were given. For more details on EAQECCs, please refer to [2], [12] and the references therein.

First, we recall the following useful proposition from [23] which shows that EAQECCs can be constructed using classical linear codes.

Proposition 4.1 ([23, Corollary 1]). *Let H_1 and H_2 be parity check matrices of two linear codes D_1 and D_2 with parameters $[n, k_1, d_1]_q$ and $[n, k_2, d_2]_q$, respectively. Then an $[[n, k_1 + k_2 - n + c, \min\{d_1, d_2\}; c]]_q$ EAQECC can be obtained where $c = \mathrm{rank}(H_1 H_2{}^t)$ is the required number of maximally entangled states.*

4.1 EAQECCs from Linear ℓ-Intersection Pairs of Codes

Linear ℓ-intersection pairs of codes can be used to construct EAQECCs based on Proposition 4.1 as follows.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\ell \geq 0$ be an integer and C_1 and C_2 be a linear ℓ-intersection pair of codes with parameters $[n, k_1, d_1]_q$ and $[n, k_2, d_2]_q$, respectively. Then there exists an $[[n, k_2 - \ell, \min\{d_1^\perp, d_2\}; k_1 - \ell]]_q$ EAQECC with $d_1^\perp = d(C_1^\perp)$.*

Proof. If $D_1 = C_1^\perp$ and $D_2 = C_2$ in Proposition 4.1, then the result follows from Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 2.1. $\qquad\square$

Corollary 4.3. *Let n and r be positive integers such that $r < \frac{n}{2}$. Let k_1 and k_2 be integers such that $r \leq k_1 < n - r \leq k_2 \leq n$. If there exists an $[n, k_2, d]_q$ code, then there exists a positive net rate $[[n, k_2 - \ell, d; k_1 - \ell]]_q$ EAQECC Q for some $0 \leq \ell \leq k_1$. In addition, if $\ell \leq \frac{n}{2} - r$, the rate of EAQECC Q is greater than or equal to $\frac{1}{2}$.*

Proof. Assume that there exists an $[n, k_2, d]_q$ code, denoted by C_2. Since $n - k_1 \leq k_2$, there exisits a linear code D with parameters $[n, n - k_1, d_1^\perp]_q$ and $d_1^\perp \geq d$. Let $C_1 = D^\perp$. Then C_1 and C_2 form a linear ℓ-intersection pair of $[n, k_1]_q$ and $[n, k_2, d]_q$ for some $0 \leq \ell \leq k_1$ and $d(C_1^\perp) = d_1^\perp \geq d$. By Proposition 4.2, there exists an $[[n, k - \ell, \min\{d_1^\perp, d\}; k_1 - \ell]]_q = [[n, k - \ell, d; k_1 - \ell]]_q$ EAQECC Q. Consequently, the net rate of Q is

$$\frac{(k_2 - \ell) - (k_1 - \ell)}{n} = \frac{k_2 - k_1}{n} > 0.$$

In addition, assume that $\ell \leq \frac{n}{2} - r$. Then the rate of Q is

$$\frac{k_2 - \ell}{n} \geq \frac{(n - r) - (n/2 - r)}{n} = \frac{1}{2}$$

as desired. $\qquad\square$

To obtain an EAQECC with good minimum distances, the input linear code in Corollary 4.3 can be chosen from the best-known linear codes in [11] or in the database of [1]. Moreover, the required number of maximally entangled states $c = k_1 - \ell$ can be adjusted using a weighted permutation matrix as in Lemma 2.6 and Example 2.1.

Using the arguments in MAGMA shown below, it can be easily seen that a large number of linear ℓ-intersection pairs of best-known linear codes constructed as in the proof of Corollary 4.3 satisfy the condition $\ell \leq \frac{n}{2} - r$. Consequently, many EAQECCs obtained in Corollary 4.3 are good in the sense that they have good rate and positive net rate. To save spaces, the computational results are omitted.

```
q:= (the cardinality of the finite field);
a:= (the starting point for the length);
b:= (the end point for the length);
for n in [a..b] do
  for r in [1..Floor((n-1)/2)] do
   for k2 in [n-r..n] do
    for k1 in [r..n-r-1] do
     C2:=BKLC(GF(q),n,k2);
     C1:=Dual(BKLC(GF(q),n,n-k1));
     l:=Dimension(C1 meet C2);
     d:=MinimumDistance(C2);
     if l le n/2-r then
       "[[",n,k2-l, d, k1-l,"]]";
     end if;
    end for;
   end for;
  end for;
end for;
```

By Theorem 2.1, the statement "`l := k1-rank(G1*Transpose(H2));`" can be replaced
by "`G1:= GeneratorMatrix(C1); H2:= ParityCheckMatrix(H2); l := k1-rank(G1*Transpose(H2));`

4.2 MDS EAQECCs from Linear ℓ-Intersection Pairs of Codes

In this subsection, we focus on maximum distance separable (MDS) EAQECCs derived from
linear ℓ-intersection pairs of MDS linear codes.

The Singleton bound for an EAQECC is given in the following proposition.

Proposition 4.4 ([2])**.** *An $[[n, k, d; c]]_q$ EAQECC satisfies*

$$n + c - k \geq 2(d - 1),$$

where $0 \leq c \leq n - 1$.

An EAQECC attaining this bound is called an *MDS EAQECC*. Some constructions of
MDS EAQECCs were given in [8], [12], [16], [17] and [21].

Here, MDS EAQECCs are constructed from linear ℓ-intersection pairs of MDS codes
based on Proposition 4.2 as follows.

Proposition 4.5. *Let $\ell \geq 0$ be an integer and C_1 and C_2 be a linear ℓ-intersection pair of
MDS codes with parameters $[n, k_1, n - k_1 + 1]_q$ and $[n, k_2, n - k_2 + 1]_q$, respectively. Then there
exists an $[[n, k_2 - \ell, \min\{k_1 + 1, n - k_2 + 1\}; k_1 - \ell]]_q$ EAQECC. In particular, if $n = k_1 + k_2$,
then there exists an $[[n, k_2 - \ell, k_1 + 1; k_1 - \ell]]_q$ MDS EAQECC.*

Proof. Since C_1 is MDS with parameters $[n, k_1, n - k_1 + 1]_q$, it follows that $C_1^\perp$ is also MDS
with parameters $[n, n - k_1, k_1 + 1]_q$. Then an $[[n, k_2 - \ell, \min\{k_1 + 1, n - k_2 + 1\}; k_1 - \ell]]_q$

EAQECC exists by Proposition 4.2. Now assume that $n = k_1 + k_2$. Then $k_1 + 1 = n - k_2 + 1$ and $n + k_1 - \ell - (k_2 - \ell) = n + k_1 - k_2 = 2k_1$ and hence the EAQECC given above is MDS. $\square$

From Proposition 3.8, their exists a linear ℓ-intersection pair of MDS codes with parameters $[n, k_1, n - k_1 + 1]_q$ and $[n, k_2, n - k_2 + 1]_q$ for all $q \geq 3$, $k_1 \leq n \leq q + 1$ and $k_1 \leq n$ such that $\ell \leq \min\{k_1, k_2\}$. Then we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.6. *Let $q \geq 3$ be a prime power and n, k_1, k_2, ℓ be non-negative integers such that $k_1 \leq n \leq q + 1$ and $k_1 \leq n$. If $\ell \leq \min\{k_1, k_2\}$. Then there exists an $[[n, k_2 - \ell, \min\{k_1 + 1, n - k_2 + 1\}; k_1 - \ell]]_q$ EAQECC.*

By setting $k = k_1 = n - k_2$ in Corollary 4.6, we have the following construction for MDS EAQECCs.

Corollary 4.7. *Let $q \geq 3$ be a prime power and $0 \leq k \leq n \leq q + 1$ be integers. Then there exists an $[[n, n - k - \ell, k + 1; k - \ell]]_q$ MDS EAQECC for all integers $0 \leq \ell \leq \min\{k, n - k\}$.*

In the related literature, e.g. [8], [12], [16], [17] and [21], the required number of maximally entangled states of most MDS EAQECCs is fixed. Recently in [18], MDS EAQECCs were given where the required number of maximally entangled states varies according to $Hull(C) := C \cap C^\perp$ of a classical code C. However, for an odd prime power q, there are many restrictions on the length n of MDS EAQECCs (see [18, Theorems 17 and 18]). Based on the construction given in Corollary 4.7, the length n can be arbitrary from 1 to $q + 1$. Hence, in many cases, the parameters of the MDS EAQECCs in Corollary 4.7 are new.

5   Conclusion

In this paper, a linear ℓ-intersection pair of codes was introduced as a generalization of linear complementary pairs of codes. Further, characterizations and constructions of such pairs of codes were given in terms of the corresponding generator and parity-check matrices. Linear ℓ-intersection pairs of MDS codes over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of length up to $q + 1$ were given for all possible parameters. As an application, linear ℓ-intersection pairs of codes were employed to construct entanglement-assisted quantum error correcting codes. An interesting research problem for the future is to investigate the applications of these pairs of codes to secure communications against attacks. Further bounds on the parameters of these codes would be of great interest. Constructions of linear ℓ-intersection pairs of good codes with prescribed value ℓ and solving Conjecture 2.1 are interesting problems as well.

Acknowledgments

References

[1] Bosma, W., Cannon, J., Playoust, C.: The Magma algebra system. I. The user language, J. Symbolic Comput. **24**, 235–265 (1997).

[2] Brun, T., Devetak, I., Hsieh, H. M.: Correcting quantum errors with entanglement, Science **314**, 436–439 (2006).

[3] Brun T., Devetak I., Hsieh M. H.: Catalytic quantum error correction, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **60**, 3073–3089 (2014).

[4] Carlet, C., Guilley, S.: Complementary dual codes for counter-measures to side-channel attacks, Adv. Math. Commun. **10**, 131–150 (2016).

[5] Carlet, C., Güneri, C., Mesnager, S., Özbudak, F.: Construction of some codes suitable for both side channel and fault injection attacks, Proceedings of International Workshop on the Arithmetic of Finite Fields (WAIFI 2018), Bergen, 2018.

[6] Carlet, C., Güneri, C., Özbudak, F., Özkaya, B., Solé, P.: On linear complementary pairs of codes, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **64**, 6583–6589 (2018).

[7] Carlet, C., Mesnager, S., Tang, C., Qi, Y.: Euclidean and Hermitian LCD MDS codes, Des. Codes Cryptogr. **86**, 2605–2618 (2018).

[8] Chen, J., Huang, Y., Feng, C., Chen, R.: Entanglement-assisted quantum MDS codes constructed from negacyclic codes, Quantum Inf. Process **16**, 303 (2017).

[9] Fan, Y., Zhang, L.: Galois self-dual constacyclic codes, Des. Codes Cryptogr. **84**, 473–492 (2017).

[10] Gauss, C. F.: Untersuchungen Über Höhere Arithmetik, Second Edition, Chelsea, New York (1981).

[11] Grassl, M.: Bounds on the minimum distance of linear codes and quantum codes, Online available at http://www.codetables.de. Accessed on 2019-06-20.

[12] Guenda, K., Jitman, S., Gulliver, T. A.: Constructions of good entanglement-assisted quantum error correcting codes, Des. Codes Cryptogr. **86**, 121–136 (2018).

[13] Hsieh, M. H., Devetak, I., Brun, T.: General entanglement-assisted quantum error-correcting codes, Phys. Rev. A **76**, 062313 (2007).

[14] Jin, L.: Construction of MDS codes with complementary duals, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **63**, 2843–2847 (2017).

[15] Lacan, J., Fimes, J.: Systematic MDS erasure codes based on Vandermonde matrices, IEEE Commun. Letts. **8**, 570–572 (2004).

[16] Lu, L., Li, R., Guo, L., Ma, R., Liu, Y.: Entanglement-assisted quantum MDS codes from negacyclic codes, Quantum Inf. Process **17**, 69 (2018).

[17] Lu, L., Ma, W., Li, R., Ma, Y., Liu, Y., Cao, H.: Entanglement-assisted quantum MDS codes from constacyclic codes with large minimum distance, Finite Fields Appl. **53**, 309-325 (2018).

[18] Luo, G., Cao, X., Chen, X.: MDS codes with hulls of arbitrary dimensions and their quantum error correction, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **65**, 2944–2952 (2019).

[19] MacWilliams, F. J., Sloane, N. J. A.: The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes, North-Holland Publishing Amesterdam (1977).

[20] Massey, J. L.: Linear codes with complementary duals, Discrete Math. **106-107**, 337–342 (1992).

[21] Qian, J., Zhang, L.: On MDS linear complementary dual codes and entanglement-assisted quantum codes, Des. Codes Cryptogr. **86**, 1565–1572 (2018).

[22] Roth, R. M., Lempel, A.: On MDS codes via Cauchy matrices, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **35**, 1314–1319 (1989).

[23] Wilde, M. M., Brun, T. A.: Optimal entanglement formulas for entanglement-assisted quantum coding, Phys. Rev. A **77**, 064302 (2008).