

Comment on Yerin et al , Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 077002 (2018), and, Mironov et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 237002 (2012)

A.F. Volkov<sup>1</sup>, F.S. Bergeret<sup>2</sup>, and K.B.Efetov<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Theoretische Physik III, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, D-44780 Bochum, Germany*

<sup>2</sup>*Centro de Física de Materiales (CFM-CSIC), E-20018 San Sebastián, Spain*

In this communication we refute a criticism concerning results of our work [3] that was presented in references [1] and [2].

PACS numbers:

The authors of references [1, 2] theoretically studied the possibility of obtaining the Larkin-Ovchinnikov-Fulde-Ferrel (LOFF) state [5, 6] in superconductor/ferromagnet (S/F) bi-layers. They formulated the conditions necessary for realization of such inhomogeneous state

Actually, the idea of inducing the LOFF state in an S/F bilayer is not new and has been briefly discussed long time ago, for example, in Refs. [3, 4]. In those publications we have demonstrated a similarity between an S/F bilayer and a superconductor with an internal exchange field  $h$ . We considered an S/F bilayer with parameters satisfying conditions

$$d_S < \xi_S, d_F < \xi_F \quad (1)$$

where  $D_F$  and  $\xi_{S(F)}$  are the thickness and correlation length in the S(F)-layer. A highly transparent S/F interface was assumed. We have shown that the condensate Green's function in the S film has the same form as in the LOFF:  $f(\omega) = \tilde{\Delta}/\sqrt{(\omega + i\tilde{h})^2 + \tilde{\Delta}^2}$ , with renormalized energy gap  $\tilde{\Delta}(T, \tilde{h})$  and exchange energy  $\tilde{h}$  [see Eqs.(9-10) in [3, 4] and a discussion there]. This result demonstrated explicitly that the LOFF state might be realized in such S/F bi-layers.

The authors of Refs. [1, 2] extended this idea to the case of a bilayer with a finite S/F interface transparency and a thickness  $d_S$  that may be comparable or even exceed  $\xi_S$ . They have solved numerically a simplified effective 1D problem.

Besides this analysis, the authors of Refs.[1, 2] made misleading statements concerning results presented in our work Ref.[3]: They write in page 1 of [1]:

a) "Recently, this observation has been questioned in several theoretical works [3–5] predicting the sign change in the London relation and an unusual paramagnetic response of the hybrid superconductor or ferromagnet (S=F) and superconductor or normal metal (S=N) systems". and in page 2 of [2]:

b) "It is exactly this FFLO instability which makes impossible to observe the global paramagnetism predicted in [34-36]. The latter paramagnetic state just does not correspond to the free energy minimum [37]".

We refute both criticisms by emphasizing that in our work, Ref. [3], we did not calculate the global response of S/F bilayers to an external magnetic field  $H_{ext}$  at all. What we did was to determine the local supercurrents,  $I_F$ , generated spontaneously in the ferromagnet in a S-F bilayer, due to the magnetic field  $\mathbf{B} \cong 4\pi\mathbf{M}_0$  ( corrections to this expression is small in case of a weak proximity effect), where  $\mathbf{M}_0$  is the magnetization of the F layer. In other words we derived the connection between the Meissner currents in the F layer and the vector potential

$$\mathbf{I}_F = Q_F \mathbf{A}_F . \quad (2)$$

where  $\mathbf{A}_F = x\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{B}$ , and  $\mathbf{n}$  is a unit vector normal to the interface

We emphasize that the current  $\mathbf{I}_F$  is not the total current in S/F bilayer (!), but only the current induced in the F layer. We found that indeed the coefficient  $Q_F$  might change the sign locally due to the spatially oscillation of anomalous Green's functions in the F layer. However, in Ref. [3] we did not made any statement about the global response, and therefore the criticism in Ref.[1, 2] is inappropriate and irrelevant to our results.

[1] S. Mironov, A. Mel'nikov, and A. Buzdin, Vanishing Meissner effect as a Hallmark of in-Plane Fulde-Ferrell-Larkin-Ovchinnikov Instability in Superconductor-Ferromagnet Layered Systems, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 237002 (2012)

[2] Y. Yerin, A. V. Samokhvalov, A. S. Mel'nikov, A. Buzdin, Temperature controlled FFLO instability in superconductor-ferromagnet hybrids, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 077002 (2018),

- [3] F.S. Bergeret, A.F. Volkov, K.B.Efetov, Phys. Rev. B, 64, 134506 (2001).
- [4] F. S. Bergeret, A. F. Volkov, K. B. Efetov, Enhancement of the Josephson current by an exchange field in superconductor-ferromagnet structures, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 3140-3143 (2001).
- [5] P. Fulde and R. A. Ferrell, Phys. Rev. A 135, 550 (1965).
- [6] A. I. Larkin and Yu. N. Ovchinnikov, JETP 20, 762 (1965).
- [7] F.S. Bergeret, A.F. Volkov, K.B.Efetov, Induced Ferromagnetism due to Superconductivity in Superconductor-Ferromagnet structures, Phys. Rev. B 69, 174504 (2004).