

ORLICZ-BESOV IMBEDDING AND GLOBALLY n -REGULAR DOMAINS

HONGYAN SUN

Abstract Denote by $\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha,\phi}(\Omega)$ the Orlicz-Besov space, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, ϕ is a Young function and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a domain. For $\alpha \in (-n, 0)$ and optimal ϕ , in this paper we characterize domains supporting the imbedding $\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha,\phi}(\Omega)$ into $L^{n/|\alpha|}(\Omega)$ via globally n -regular domains. This extends the known characterizations for domains supporting the Besov imbedding $\dot{\mathbf{B}}_{pp}^s(\Omega)$ into $L^{np/(n-sp)}(\Omega)$ with $s \in (0, 1)$ and $1 \leq p < n/s$. The proof of the imbedding $\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha,\phi}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{n/|\alpha|}(\Omega)$ in globally n -regular domains Ω relies on a geometric inequality involving ϕ and Ω , which extends a known geometric inequality of Caffarelli et al.

1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose that ϕ is a Young function in $[0, \infty)$, that is,

$$(1.1) \quad \phi \in C([0, \infty)) \text{ is convex and satisfies } \phi(0) = 0, \phi(t) > 0 \text{ for } t > 0 \text{ and } \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi(t) = \infty.$$

Let $n \geq 2$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a domain. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, the homogenous Orlicz-Besov space $\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha,\phi}(\Omega)$ is defined as the space of all measurable functions u in Ω whose (semi-)norms

$$\|u\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha,\phi}(\Omega)} := \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \phi \left(\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{\lambda |x - y|^{\alpha}} \right) \frac{dxdy}{|x - y|^{2n}} \leq 1 \right\}$$

are finite. Modulo constant functions, $\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha,\phi}(\Omega)$ is a Banach space. Recall that the space $\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{0,\phi}$ in metric spaces was introduced by Piaggio [15] in the study of geometric group theory, and the extension and imbedding properties of $\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{0,\phi}(\Omega)$ were considered by Liang-Zhou [13].

The Orlicz-Besov spaces extend the Besov (or fractional Sobolev) spaces. For $s > 0$ and $p \geq 1$, denote by $\dot{\mathbf{B}}_{pp}^s(\Omega)$ the Besov spaces (also called fractional Sobolev space) equipped with the (semi-)norms

$$(1.2) \quad \|u\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}_{pp}^s(\Omega)} := \left(\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} dxdy \right)^{1/p}.$$

Letting $\alpha = s - n/p$ and $\phi(t) = t^p$, we always have $\|u\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha,\phi}(\Omega)} = \|u\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}_{pp}^s(\Omega)}$ for all possible u , and hence $\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha,\phi}(\Omega) = \dot{\mathbf{B}}_{pp}^s(\Omega)$. Thus, Orlicz-Besov spaces include the Besov spaces as special examples.

Note that when $s \in (0, 1)$ and $p \geq 1$, $\dot{\mathbf{B}}_{pp}^s(\Omega)$ is non-trivial, indeed, $C_c^1(\Omega) \subset \dot{\mathbf{B}}_{pp}^s(\Omega)$. But when $s \geq 1$ and $p \geq 1$, thanks to the Poincaré inequality, $\dot{\mathbf{B}}_{pp}^s(\Omega)$ is trivial, that is, contain at most constant functions; see for example [5, Theorems 4.1&4.2]. In general, to guarantee $C_c^1(\Omega) \subset \dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha,\phi}(\Omega)$ and hence the non-triviality of $\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha,\phi}(\Omega)$, we assume that ϕ be a Young function satisfying

$$(1.3) \quad \underline{\Delta}_{\phi}(\alpha) := \sup_{x>0} \int_0^1 \frac{\phi(t^{1-\alpha}x)}{\phi(x)} \frac{dt}{t^{n+1}} < \infty$$

and

$$(1.4) \quad \overline{\Delta}_{\phi}(\alpha) := \sup_{x>0} \int_1^{\infty} \frac{\phi(t^{-\alpha}x)}{\phi(x)} \frac{dt}{t^{n+1}} < \infty;$$

see Lemma 2.3. For the optimality of (1.3) and (1.4) we refer to Remark 1.4 and Remark 2.4. Under (1.3) and (1.4), the interesting range of α is $(-n, 1)$. Indeed, by the convexity of ϕ , when $\alpha \geq 1$, we always have

$$\underline{\Delta}_{\phi}(\alpha) \geq \int_0^1 t^{1-\alpha} \frac{dt}{t^{n+1}} = \infty,$$

that is, (1.3) fails. Moreover, (1.4) always holds when $\alpha \geq 0$, but when $\alpha \leq -n$, by the convexity of ϕ and letting $0 < c \in \partial\phi(1)$, we always have

$$\bar{\Lambda}_\phi(\alpha) \geq \sup_{x>0} \int_1^\infty \frac{\phi(1) + c(t^{-\alpha}x - 1)}{\phi(x)} \frac{dt}{t^{n+1}} = \sup_{x>0} \left[\frac{\phi(1) - c}{n\phi(x)} + \frac{cx}{\phi(x)} \int_1^\infty \frac{dt}{t^{n+\alpha+1}} \right] = \infty,$$

that is, (1.4) fails. Young functions satisfies (1.3) and (1.4) include $t^{n/(1-\alpha)}[\ln(1+t)]^\gamma$ with $\gamma > 1$ and $\alpha \in (-n+1, 0)$, $t^p[\ln(1+t)]^\gamma$ with $p \in (n/(1-\alpha), n/|\alpha|) \cap [1, n/|\alpha|)$ and $\gamma \geq 1$ or $\gamma = 0$, and also their convex combinations.

In this paper, we are interesting in $\alpha \in (-n, 0)$. In this case, the homogeneity of $\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the same as that of the Lebesgue space $L^{n/|\alpha|}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, that is,

$$\|u(r \cdot)\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = r^{-\alpha} \|u\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad \text{and} \quad \|u(r \cdot)\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = r^{-\alpha} \|u\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad \text{for any } r > 0 \text{ and function } u.$$

Viewing the theory for Sobolev spaces and Besov spaces, this enable us to establish the following imbeddings of $\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $L^{n/|\alpha|}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(B)$ into $L^{n/|\alpha|}(B)$ for all balls $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\alpha \in (-n, 0)$ and ϕ be a Young function satisfying (1.3) and (1.4). Then there exists a constant $C \geq 1$ depending only on n, α and ϕ such that*

$$\|u - u_B\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(B)} \leq C \|u\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(B)} \quad \forall \text{ balls } B \text{ and } u \in \dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(B)$$

and

$$\inf_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \|u - c\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|u\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad \forall u \in \dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

For any $s \in (0, 1)$ and $1 < p < n/s$, let $\alpha = s - n/p \in (-n, 0)$. By $\dot{\mathbf{B}}_{pp}^s(\Omega) = \dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\Omega)$ for all $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $n/|\alpha| = np/(n-ps)$, Theorem 1.1 is exactly the following well-known imbedding of Besov spaces $\dot{\mathbf{B}}_{pp}^s$: there exists a constant $C > 0$ depending only on n, s, p such that

$$(1.5) \quad \|u - u_B\|_{L^{np/(n-ps)}(B)} \leq C \|u\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}_{pp}^s(B)} \quad \forall \text{ balls } B \text{ and } u \in \dot{\mathbf{B}}_{pp}^s(B)$$

and

$$(1.6) \quad \inf_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \|u - c\|_{L^{np/(n-ps)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|u\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}_{pp}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad \forall u \in \dot{\mathbf{B}}_{pp}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

see for example [1, 4, 12, 14].

Moreover, we characterize all domains $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{R}^n$ supporting the imbedding of $\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\Omega)$ into $L^{n/|\alpha|}(\Omega)$ via globally n -regular domains. Here, a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is globally n -regular if there exists a constant $\theta \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$|B(x, r) \cap \Omega| \geq \theta r^n \quad \forall x \in \Omega \text{ and } 0 < r < 2 \text{ diam } \Omega.$$

Recall that in the literature a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is *n-regular* (or satisfies the *measure density property*) if there exists a constant $\theta \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$|B(x, r) \cap \Omega| \geq \theta r^n \quad \forall x \in \Omega \text{ and } 0 < r < 1,$$

see [11, 12, 18, 8, 22] and references therein. Obviously, a bounded domain is n -regular if and only if it is globally n -regular. A unbounded globally n -regular domain is always n -regular but the converse is not true in general.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\alpha \in (-n, 0)$ and ϕ be a Young function satisfying (1.3) and (1.4). Then, a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is globally n -regular if and only if there exists a constant $C \geq 1$ such that*

$$(1.7) \quad \|u - u_\Omega\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(\Omega)} \leq C \|u\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\Omega)} \quad \forall u \in \dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\Omega) \quad \text{when } \text{diam } \Omega < \infty,$$

and

$$(1.8) \quad \|u\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(\Omega)} \leq C \|u\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\Omega)} \quad \forall u \in \dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\Omega) \text{ having bounded supports} \quad \text{when } \text{diam } \Omega = \infty.$$

As a consequence of Theorem 1.2, we have the following results for inhomogeneous Orlicz-Besov spaces $\mathbf{B}^{\alpha,\phi}(\Omega) := L^\phi(\Omega) \cap \dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha,\phi}(\Omega)$ which are equipped with the norms $\|u\|_{\mathbf{B}^{\alpha,\phi}(\Omega)} = \|u\|_{L^\phi(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha,\phi}(\Omega)}$. Recall that $L^\phi(\Omega)$ is the Orlicz space, that is, the collection of measurable functions u in Ω with

$$\|u\|_{L^\phi(\Omega)} := \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\Omega} \phi \left(\frac{|u(x)|}{\lambda} \right) dx \leq 1 \right\} < \infty.$$

Corollary 1.3. *Let $\alpha \in (-n, 0)$ and ϕ be a Young function satisfying (1.3) and (1.4). A bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is n -regular if and only if there exists a constant $C \geq 1$ such that*

$$(1.9) \quad \|u\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(\Omega)} \leq C \|u\|_{\mathbf{B}^{\alpha,\phi}(\Omega)} \quad \forall u \in \mathbf{B}^{\alpha,\phi}(\Omega).$$

For any $s \in (0, 1)$ and $1 < p < n/s$, by considering extension of $\mathbf{B}_{pp}^s(\Omega)$ functions as in [11, 12, 18, 19, 22], we know that a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is n -regular if and only if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$(1.10) \quad \|u\|_{L^{np/(n-ps)}(\Omega)} \leq C \|u\|_{\mathbf{B}_{pp}^s(\Omega)} \quad \forall u \in \mathbf{B}_{pp}^s(\Omega).$$

By considering the extension of $\dot{\mathbf{B}}_{pp}^s(\Omega)$ functions similarly, one also would prove that a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is globally n -regular if and only if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$(1.11) \quad \|u - u_{\Omega}\|_{L^{np/(n-ps)}(\Omega)} \leq C \|u\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}_{pp}^s(\Omega)} \quad \forall u \in \dot{\mathbf{B}}_{pp}^s(\Omega).$$

Letting $\alpha = s - n/p \in (-n, 0)$ and $\phi(t) = t^p$, since $\mathbf{B}_{pp}^s(\Omega) = \mathbf{B}^{\alpha,\phi}(\Omega)$ and $\dot{\mathbf{B}}_{pp}^s(\Omega) = \dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha,\phi}(\Omega)$, we know that Corollary 1.3 and Theorem 1.2 generalize both of (1.10) and (1.11) when Ω is bounded domain. We refer to [6, 7, 20, 8, 9, 10] for the characterization of domains supporting the Hajlasz Sobolev (Besov) imbedding into Lebesgue spaces via n -regular domains.

Remark 1.4. We remark that the assumption (1.4) in Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3 is optimal for bounded domains in the following sense. Given any $\alpha \in (-n, 0)$, the Young function t^p with $p \geq 1$ satisfies the assumption (1.4) if and only if $p < -n/\alpha$. In the critical case $\phi_0(x) = t^{n/|\alpha|}$ with $\alpha \in (-n, 0)$, (1.7) holds for any bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Indeed, for any $u \in \dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha,\phi}(\Omega)$, by the Hölder inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - u_B\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}} &\leq |B|^{\alpha/n} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} |u(x) - u(y)|^{n/|\alpha|} dx dy \right\}^{|\alpha|/n} \\ &\leq \frac{|B|^{\alpha/n}}{(\text{diam } \Omega)^{\alpha}} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \phi_0 \left(\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^{\alpha}} \right) \frac{dx dy}{|x - y|^{2n}} \right\}^{|\alpha|/n}, \end{aligned}$$

where B is a fixed ball so that $2B \subset \Omega$. Therefore,

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \phi_0 \left(\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{\frac{(\text{diam } \Omega)^{\alpha}}{|B|^{\alpha/n}} \|u - u_B\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}} |x - y|^{\alpha}} \right) \frac{dx dy}{|x - y|^{2n}} \geq 1,$$

which yields that

$$\|u - u_B\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(\Omega)} \leq \frac{(\text{diam } \Omega)^{\alpha}}{|B|^{\alpha/n}} \|u\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha,\phi}(\Omega)}$$

as desired.

To prove Theorems 1.1&1.2, we establish the following geometric inequality, which extend a geometric inequality of Caffarelli-Valdinoci [2] and Savin-Valdinoci [17] not only to general Young functions but also to globally n -regular domains; see Remark 3.3 (i).

Lemma 1.5. *Suppose that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a globally n -regular domain. Let $\alpha \in (-n, 0)$ and $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a Young function satisfying (1.3) and (1.4). There exists constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ depending on n, α, ϕ, Ω such that*

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus E} \frac{\phi(t|x - y|^{-\alpha})}{|x - y|^{2n}} dy \geq C_1 \frac{1}{|E|} \frac{|\Omega \setminus E|}{|\Omega|} \phi(C_2 t |E|^{|\alpha|/n})$$

whenever $t > 0$, $x \in \Omega$ and $E \subset \Omega$ with $0 < |E| < \infty$. Here, if $|\Omega| = \infty$, we let $\frac{|\Omega \setminus E|}{|\Omega|} = 1$.

In Section 3, applying Lemma 1.5, using median values and improving some argument of Di Nezza et al [3] we prove in a direct way that globally n -regular domains supporting $\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha,\phi}$ -imbedding (1.7) or (1.8). By approximating \mathbb{R}^n by balls $B(0, R)$, which have uniform globally n -regular constants, we also derive Theorem 1.1. Conversely, by precise estimates of $\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha,\phi}$ -norms of certain cut-off functions as in Section 2, borrowing the ideas from Hajlasz et al [8] and Zhou [22] we prove that domains supporting $\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha,\phi}$ -imbeddings as in (1.7) or (1.8) are globally n -regular. Thus Theorem 1.2 holds. We remark that this gives a direct and new proof for (1.5) and (1.6), and also for (1.11) and (1.10) in bounded n -regular domains; see Remark 3.3 (ii).

Remark 1.6. Under the assumption of Theorem 1.2, when Ω is a unbound domain, we also have the following characterization: Ω is globally n -regular if and only if there exists constant $C > 0$ such that

$$(1.12) \quad \inf_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \|u - c\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(\Omega)} \leq C \|u\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha,\phi}(\Omega)} \quad \forall u \in \dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha,\phi}(\Omega).$$

which is better than (1.8). Moreover, Corollary 1.3 also holds when Ω is unbounded. However, the direct approach above fails to prove them; see Remark 3.3 for a reason. Instead, in a forth-coming paper [21], for full range $\alpha \in (-n, 1)$ and Young functions ϕ satisfying (1.3) and (1.4), we consider the extension of Orlicz-Besov spaces, then together with Theorems 1.1 & 1.2 and Corollary 1.3 above, fully characterize Orlicz-Besov imbedding domains.

Finally, we make some convention on the notations or notion used in this paper. Throughout the paper, C denotes a positive constant, which depend only on n, α, ϕ, Ω but whose value might be change from line to line. We write $A \lesssim (\gtrsim) B$ if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $A \leq (\geq) CB$. We use $\int_B f(x) dx$ to denote the average $\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B f(x) dx$ of a function f in a set B with positive measure. For any $x \in \Omega$ and $A \subset \mathbb{R}$, $\text{dist}(x, A)$ denote the distance from x to A , $\text{diam } A$ denote the diameter of the set A .

2. SOME BASIC PROPERTIES

We list several basic properties of Orlicz-Besov spaces in this section. Let us begin with two simple properties of Young functions. Note that Young functions are always strictly increasing.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\alpha \in (-n, 0)$ and ϕ be a Young function .*

(i) *If ϕ satisfies (1.3), then*

$$(2.1) \quad \phi(xs) \leq 2^{2n} \underline{\Delta}_\phi(\alpha) \phi(2^{1-\alpha} x) s^{n/(1-\alpha)} \quad \forall 0 < s \leq 1, x > 0.$$

(ii) *If ϕ satisfies (1.4), then $\phi(xs^{-\alpha})s^{-n} \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$ for any $x > 0$ and*

$$(2.2) \quad \phi(xs) \leq 2^{3n} \overline{\Lambda}_\phi(\alpha) \phi(x) s^{-n/\alpha} \quad \forall s \geq 1, x > 0.$$

Proof. (i) Since ϕ is increasing, by (1.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{s \in (0,1]} \frac{\phi(xs^{1-\alpha})}{\phi(x2^{1-\alpha})s^n} &\leq \sup_{j \geq 0} \sup_{s \in (2^{-j-1}, 2^{-j}]} \frac{\phi(xs^{1-\alpha})}{\phi(x2^{1-\alpha})s^n} \leq 2^{3n} \sup_{j \geq 0} \int_{2^{-j}}^{2^{-j+1}} \frac{\phi(xs^{1-\alpha})}{\phi(x2^{1-\alpha})s^{n+1}} ds \\ &\leq 2^{2n} \sup_{j \geq 0} \int_{2^{-j-1}}^{2^{-j}} \frac{\phi(x2^{\alpha+1}s^{1-\alpha})}{\phi(x2^{1-\alpha})s^{n+1}} ds \leq 2^{2n} \int_0^1 \frac{\phi(x2^{1-\alpha}s^{1-\alpha})}{\phi(x2^{1-\alpha})s^{n+1}} ds \leq 2^{2n} \underline{\Delta}_\phi(\alpha) \quad \forall x > 0, \end{aligned}$$

which gives (2.1).

(ii) Similarly, for all $x > 0$ and $j \geq 0$ we have

$$\sup_{s \in [2^j, 2^{j+1})} \frac{\phi(xs^{-\alpha})}{s^n \phi(x)} \leq 2^{2n+1} \int_{2^{j+1}}^{2^{j+2}} \frac{\phi(xs^{-\alpha})}{\phi(x)s^{n+1}} ds \leq \int_{2^{j+1}}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(xs^{-\alpha})}{\phi(x)s^{n+1}} ds,$$

which implies that $\phi(xs^{-\alpha})s^{-n} \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, this also implies that

$$\sup_{s \geq 1} \frac{\phi(xs^{-\alpha})}{s^n \phi(x)} \leq \sup_{j \geq 0} \sup_{s \in [2^j, 2^{j+1})} \frac{\phi(xs^{-\alpha})}{s^n \phi(x)} \leq 2^{3n} \int_2^{\infty} \frac{\phi(xs^{-\alpha})}{\phi(x)s^{n+1}} ds \leq 2^{3n} \overline{\Lambda}_\phi(\alpha) \quad \forall x > 0,$$

which gives (2.2). □

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\alpha \in (-n, 0)$ and ϕ be a Young function. For any domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we have $\mathbf{B}^{\alpha, \phi}(\Omega) \subset \dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\Omega) \subset L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ as sets.*

Proof. Let $u \in \dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\Omega)$ and $\lambda > \|u\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\Omega)}$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \phi \left(\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{\lambda |x - y|^{\alpha}} \right) \frac{dy dx}{|x - y|^{2n}} \leq 1.$$

By Fubini's theorem, for almost all $x \in \Omega$ we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \phi \left(\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{\lambda |x - y|^{\alpha}} \right) \frac{dy}{|x - y|^{2n}} < \infty.$$

For any $B = B(z, r)$ with $z \in \Omega$ and $r < \frac{1}{3} \text{diam } \Omega$, choose an $x \in 3B \setminus 2B$ satisfying above inequality. Then $r \leq |x - y| \leq 4r$ for all $y \in B$, and hence

$$\int_B \phi \left(\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{\lambda r^{\alpha}} \right) \frac{dy}{(4r)^{2n}} \leq \int_B \phi \left(\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{\lambda |x - y|^{\alpha}} \right) \frac{dy dx}{|x - y|^{2n}} < \infty.$$

By Jessen's inequality, we have

$$\phi \left(\int_B \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{\lambda r^{\alpha}} dy \right) < \infty$$

which implies that $\int_B |u(x) - u(y)| dy < \infty$ that is, $u \in L^1(B)$. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.2. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a domain. Assume that $\alpha \in (-n, 0)$ and ϕ is a Young function satisfying (1.3) and, when $\text{diam } \Omega = \infty$, also satisfying (1.4). Then $C_c^1(\Omega) \subset \mathbf{B}^{\alpha, \phi}(\Omega) \subset \dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\Omega)$ as sets.*

Proof. Let $u \in C_c^1(\Omega)$. Obviously, $u \in L^{\phi}(\Omega)$. To see $u \in \dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\Omega)$, let $V = \text{supp } u$, and $W \subset \Omega$ be a bounded open set so that $V \subset W$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \phi \left(\frac{|u(z) - u(w)|}{\lambda |z - w|^{\alpha}} \right) \frac{dz dw}{|z - w|^{2n}} \\ &= \int_W \int_W \phi \left(\frac{|u(z) - u(w)|}{\lambda |z - w|^{\alpha}} \right) \frac{dz dw}{|z - w|^{2n}} + 2 \int_{\Omega \setminus W} \int_V \phi \left(\frac{|u(z)|}{\lambda |z - w|^{\alpha}} \right) \frac{dz dw}{|z - w|^{2n}} \\ &=: H_1 + H_2. \end{aligned}$$

It then suffices to show that $H_1 \leq 1/2$ and $H_2 \leq 1/2$ when λ is sufficiently large. Write $L = \|Du\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$. By (1.3) one has

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &\leq \int_W \int_{B(w, \text{diam } W)} \phi \left(\frac{|z - w|^{1-\alpha}}{\lambda/L} \right) \frac{dz}{|z - w|^{2n}} dw \\ &= \omega_n \int_W \int_0^{\text{diam } W} \phi \left(\frac{t^{1-\alpha}}{\lambda/L} \right) \frac{dt}{t^{n+1}} dw \\ &= \omega_n |W| (\text{diam } W)^{-n} \int_0^1 \phi \left(\frac{(\text{diam } W)^{1-\alpha} t^{1-\alpha}}{\lambda/L} \right) \frac{dt}{t^{n+1}} \\ &\leq \underline{\Delta}_{\phi}(\alpha) \omega_n |W| (\text{diam } W)^{-n} \phi \left(\frac{(\text{diam } W)^{1-\alpha} L}{\lambda} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and hence $H_1 \leq 1/2$ when $\lambda > 0$ is large enough. If Ω is bounded, then

$$H_2 \leq 2 \text{dist}(V, \partial W)^{-2n} |\Omega \setminus W| |V| \phi \left(\frac{\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} (\text{diam } \Omega)^{|\alpha|}}{\lambda} \right),$$

and hence $H_2 \leq 1/2$ when λ is large enough. If Ω is unbounded, by (1.4) we have

$$H_2 \leq 2 \int_V \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus W} \phi \left(\frac{\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}}{\lambda |z - w|^{\alpha}} \right) \frac{dw}{|z - w|^{2n}} dz$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq 2\omega_n \int_V \int_{\text{dist}(V, \partial W)}^{\infty} \phi\left(\frac{\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}}{\lambda t^\alpha}\right) \frac{dt}{t^{n+1}} dz \\
&\leq 2\omega_n [\text{dist}(V, \partial W)]^{-n} |V| \int_1^{\infty} \phi\left(\frac{\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}}{\lambda [\text{dist}(V, \partial W)]^\alpha t^\alpha}\right) \frac{dt}{t^{n+1}} \\
&\leq 2\omega_n [\text{dist}(V, \partial W)]^{-n} |V| \bar{\Lambda}_\phi(\alpha) \phi\left(\frac{\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}}{\lambda [\text{dist}(V, \partial W)]^\alpha}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

hence $H_2 \leq 1/2$ when λ is large enough. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.3. \square

Remark 2.4. (i) The assumption (1.3) is optimal to guarantee $C_c^1(\Omega) \subset \dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\Omega)$ and hence the non-triviality of $\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\Omega)$ in the following sense. For $\alpha \geq 1 - n$ and $p \geq 1$, by a direct calculation, the Young function $\phi(t) = t^p$ satisfies (1.3) if and only if $p > n/(1 - \alpha)$. Note that $\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\Omega) = \dot{\mathbf{B}}_{pp}^{n/p + \alpha}(\Omega)$. By [5, Theorems 4.1&4.2], $\dot{\mathbf{B}}_{pp}^{n/p + \alpha}(\Omega)$, and hence $\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\Omega)$, is nontrivial (or contains $C_c^1(\Omega)$) if and only if $s = n/p + \alpha < 1$, that is, $p > n/(1 - \alpha)$.

(ii) In the case $\text{diam } \Omega = \infty$, (1.4) is optimal to guarantee $C_c^1(\Omega) \subset \dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\Omega)$ or the nontriviality of $\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\Omega)$ in the following sense. Indeed, the Young function t^p with $p \geq 1$ satisfies (1.4) if and only if $p < n/|\alpha|$. Let $\phi(t) = t^{n/|\alpha|}$ and Ω be any unbounded globally n -regular domain. We see that $\|u\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\Omega)} = \infty$ for any $u \in C_c^1(\Omega)$ and $u \not\equiv 0$. Indeed, for any $\lambda > 0$, let H and H_2 be as in the proof of Lemma 2.3. Moreover, let $V_0 = \{x \in V : |u(x)| > \|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}/2\}$ and $W = B(z_0, 4 \text{diam } V)$ with $z_0 \in V$. For all $w \in \Omega \setminus W$ and $z \in V$, $|z - z_0| \leq \text{diam } V$, $|z_0 - w| \geq 4 \text{diam } V$, it deduces that $|z - z_0| \leq \frac{1}{4}|z_0 - w|$. Then $|z - w| \leq |z_0 - w| + |z - z_0| \leq \frac{5}{4}|z_0 - w|$. Thus

$$H_2 \geq \frac{\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{n/|\alpha|}}{(2\lambda)^{n/|\alpha|}} \int_{V_0} \int_{\Omega \setminus W} \frac{dz dw}{|z - w|^n} \geq \frac{\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{n/|\alpha|}}{(2\lambda)^{n/|\alpha|} (\frac{5}{4})^n} |V_0| \int_{\Omega \setminus W} \frac{dw}{|z_0 - w|^n}.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega \setminus W} \frac{dw}{|z_0 - w|^n} &\geq \sum_{j \geq 2} 2^{-jk_0 n} (\text{diam } V)^{-n} |\Omega \cap [B(z_0, 2^{(j+1)k_0} \text{diam } V) \setminus B(z_0, 2^{jk_0} \text{diam } V)]| \\
&\geq \sum_{j \geq 2} 2^{-jk_0 n} 2^{jk_0 n} = \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

where k_0 is a postiche integer satisfying $\theta 2^{k_0 n} \geq 2\omega_n$ so that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Omega \cap [B(z_0, 2^{i+k_0} \text{diam } V) \setminus B(z_0, 2^i \text{diam } V)]| &= |\Omega \cap B(z_0, 2^{i+k_0} \text{diam } V)| - |\Omega \cap B(z_0, 2^i \text{diam } V)| \\
&\geq \theta 2^{k_0 n} 2^{in} (\text{diam } V)^n - \omega_n 2^{in} (\text{diam } V)^n \geq 2^{in} (\text{diam } V)^n.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we always $H \geq H_2 = \infty$, which means that $u \notin \dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\Omega)$.

To end this section, we calculate $\mathbf{B}^{\alpha, \phi}(\Omega)$ and $\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\Omega)$ -norms of some special functions, which will be used in Sections 3 and 4.

For $x \in \Omega$ and $0 < r < t < \frac{1}{2} \text{diam } \Omega$, let $B_\Omega(x, t) := \Omega \cap B(x, t)$ and $B_\Omega(x, r) := \Omega \cap B(x, r)$, set the function

$$(2.3) \quad u_{x,r,t}(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & z \in B_\Omega(x, r); \\ \frac{t-|x-z|}{t-r} & z \in B_\Omega(x, t) \setminus B_\Omega(x, r); \\ 0 & z \in \Omega \setminus B_\Omega(x, t). \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\alpha \in (0, n)$ and ϕ be a Young function satisfying (1.3) and (1.4). Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ depending on n, α and ϕ such that for any domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $x \in \Omega$ and $0 < r < t < \frac{1}{2} \text{diam } \Omega$, we have $u_{x,r,t} \in \dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\Omega)$ and*

$$\|u_{x,r,t}\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\Omega)} \leq C(t-r)^{-\alpha} \left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{(t-r)^n}{|B_\Omega(x, t)|} \right) \right]^{-1}.$$

Proof. Write $u = u_{x,r,t}$ for simple. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
H &:= \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \phi \left(\frac{|u(z) - u(w)|}{\lambda |z - w|^{\alpha}} \right) \frac{dz dw}{|z - w|^{2n}} \\
&= \int_{B_{\Omega}(x,t)} \int_{B_{\Omega}(x,t)} \phi \left(\frac{|u(z) - u(w)|}{\lambda |z - w|^{\alpha}} \right) \frac{dz dw}{|z - w|^{2n}} + 2 \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\Omega}(x,t)} \int_{B_{\Omega}(x,t)} \phi \left(\frac{|u(z)|}{\lambda |z - w|^{\alpha}} \right) \frac{dz dw}{|z - w|^{2n}} \\
&\leq \int_{B_{\Omega}(x,t)} \int_{B_{\Omega}(w,t-r)} \phi \left(\frac{|z - w|^{1-\alpha}}{\lambda(t-r)} \right) \frac{dz}{|z - w|^{2n}} dw \\
&\quad + \int_{B_{\Omega}(x,t)} \int_{B_{\Omega}(w,2t) \setminus B_{\Omega}(w,t-r)} \phi \left(\frac{|z - w|^{-\alpha}}{\lambda} \right) \frac{dz}{|z - w|^{2n}} dw \\
&\quad + 2 \int_{B_{\Omega}(x,t) \setminus B_{\Omega}(x,r)} \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\Omega}(x,t)} \phi \left(\frac{(t - |z - x|)|z - w|^{-\alpha}}{\lambda(t-r)} \right) \frac{dw}{|z - w|^{2n}} dz \\
&\quad + 2 \int_{B_{\Omega}(x,r)} \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\Omega}(x,t)} \phi \left(\frac{|z - w|^{-\alpha}}{\lambda} \right) \frac{dw}{|z - w|^{2n}} dz \\
&=: H_1 + H_2 + 2H_3 + 2H_4.
\end{aligned}$$

By (1.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
H_1 &\leq \omega_n |B_{\Omega}(x,t)| \int_0^{t-r} \phi \left(\frac{s^{1-\alpha}}{\lambda(t-r)} \right) \frac{ds}{s^{n+1}} \\
&= \omega_n \frac{|B_{\Omega}(x,t)|}{(t-r)^n} \int_0^1 \phi \left(\frac{s^{1-\alpha}(t-r)^{-\alpha}}{\lambda} \right) \frac{ds}{s^{n+1}} \leq \omega_n \underline{\Lambda}_{\phi}(\alpha) \frac{|B_{\Omega}(x,t)|}{(t-r)^n} \phi \left(\frac{(t-r)^{-\alpha}}{\lambda} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

By (1.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
H_2 &\leq \omega_n |B_{\Omega}(x,t)| \int_{t-r}^{\infty} \phi \left(\frac{s^{-\alpha}}{\lambda} \right) \frac{ds}{s^{n+1}} \\
&= \omega_n \frac{|B_{\Omega}(x,t)|}{(t-r)^n} \int_1^{\infty} \phi \left(\frac{(t-r)^{-\alpha} s^{-\alpha}}{\lambda} \right) \frac{ds}{s^{n+1}} \leq \omega_n \bar{\Lambda}_{\phi}(\alpha) \frac{|B_{\Omega}(x,t)|}{(t-r)^n} \phi \left(\frac{(t-r)^{-\alpha}}{\lambda} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

For any $z \in B_{\Omega}(x,t) \setminus B_{\Omega}(x,r)$, note that $\Omega \setminus B_{\Omega}(x,t) \subset \Omega \setminus B_{\Omega}(z, t - |z - x|)$ and $0 < t - |z - x| < t - r$. By (1.4) and (2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\Omega}(x,t)} \phi \left(\frac{(t - |z - x|)|z - w|^{-\alpha}}{\lambda(t-r)} \right) \frac{dw}{|z - w|^{2n}} &\leq \omega_n \int_{t-|z-x|}^{\infty} \phi \left(\frac{(t - |z - x|)s^{-\alpha}}{\lambda(t-r)} \right) \frac{ds}{s^{n+1}} \\
&\leq \bar{\Lambda}_{\phi}(\alpha) \omega_n \frac{1}{(t - |z - x|)^n} \phi \left(\frac{(t - |z - x|)^{1-\alpha}}{\lambda(t-r)} \right) \\
&\leq 2^{2n} \bar{\Lambda}_{\phi}(\alpha) \underline{\Lambda}_{\phi}(\alpha) \omega_n \frac{1}{(t-r)^n} \phi \left(\frac{(t-r)^{-\alpha}}{\lambda/2^{1-\alpha}} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and hence,

$$H_3 \leq 2^{2n} \bar{\Lambda}_{\phi}(\alpha) \underline{\Lambda}_{\phi}(\alpha) \omega_n \frac{|B_{\Omega}(x,t)|}{(t-r)^n} \phi \left(\frac{(t-r)^{-\alpha}}{\lambda/2^{1-\alpha}} \right).$$

Moreover, for any $z \in B_{\Omega}(x,r)$, note that $\Omega \setminus B_{\Omega}(x,t) \subset \Omega \setminus B_{\Omega}(z, t - |z - x|)$ and $t - |z - x| > t - r$. By (1.4) and (2.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\Omega}(x,t)} \phi \left(\frac{|z - w|^{-\alpha}}{\lambda} \right) \frac{dw}{|z - w|^{2n}} &\leq \bar{\Lambda}_{\phi}(\alpha) \omega_n \int_{t-|z-x|}^{\infty} \phi \left(\frac{s^{-\alpha}}{\lambda} \right) \frac{dw}{s^{n+1}} \\
&\leq \bar{\Lambda}_{\phi}(\alpha) \omega_n \frac{1}{(t - |z - x|)^n} \phi \left(\frac{(t - |z - x|)^{-\alpha}}{\lambda} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq 2^{3n} \bar{\Lambda}_\phi(\alpha)^2 \omega_n \frac{1}{(t-r)^n} \phi\left(\frac{(t-r)^{-\alpha}}{\lambda}\right).$$

and hence

$$H_4 \leq 2^{3n} \bar{\Lambda}_\phi(\alpha)^2 \omega_n \frac{|B_\Omega(x, t)|}{(t-r)^n} \phi\left(\frac{(t-r)^{-\alpha}}{\lambda}\right).$$

Combining all above estimates together we conclude

$$H \leq M \frac{|B_\Omega(x, t)|}{(t-r)^n} \phi\left(\frac{(t-r)^{-\alpha}}{\lambda/2^{1-\alpha}}\right),$$

where $M = \underline{\Lambda}_\phi(\alpha)\omega_n + \bar{\Lambda}_\phi(\alpha)\omega_n + 2^{2n+1}\bar{\Lambda}_\phi(\alpha)\underline{\Lambda}_\phi(\alpha)\omega_n + 2^{3n+1}\bar{\Lambda}_\phi(\alpha)^2\omega_n + 1$. Letting

$$\lambda_0 = 2^{1-\alpha}(t-r)^{-\alpha} M \left[\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{(t-r)^n}{|B_\Omega(x, t)|}\right) \right]^{-1} > 0,$$

by $M \geq 1$ and the convexity of ϕ , we have $\forall \lambda \geq \lambda_0$,

$$H \leq M \frac{|B_\Omega(x, t)|}{(t-r)^n} \phi\left(\frac{1}{M} \phi^{-1}\left(\frac{(t-r)^n}{|B_\Omega(x, t)|}\right)\right) \leq 1.$$

Thus $\|u_{x,r,t}\|_{\mathbf{B}^{\alpha,\phi}(\Omega)} \leq \lambda_0$ as desired. \square

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\alpha \in (-n, 0)$ and ϕ be a Young function satisfying (1.3) and (1.4). Assume $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded domain. There exists a constant $C > 0$ depending on n, α, Ω and ϕ such that $x \in \Omega$ and $0 < r < t < \frac{1}{2} \text{diam } \Omega$, $u_{x,r,t} \in \mathbf{B}^{\alpha,\phi}(\Omega)$ and*

$$\|u_{x,r,t}\|_{\mathbf{B}^{\alpha,\phi}(\Omega)} \leq C(t-r)^{-\alpha} \left[\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{(t-r)^n}{|B_\Omega(x, t)|}\right) \right]^{-1}.$$

Proof. Note that

$$\|u_{x,r,t}\|_{L^\phi(\Omega)} \leq \left[\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{|B_\Omega(x, t)|}\right) \right]^{-1}.$$

Indeed, for $\lambda > [\phi^{-1}(\frac{1}{|B_\Omega(x, t)|})]^{-1}$, since $u_{x,r,t}$ is supported in $B_\Omega(x, t)$ and $0 \leq u_{x,r,t} \leq 1$, we have

$$\int_\Omega \phi\left(\frac{u_{x,r,t}}{\lambda}\right) \leq \phi(1/\lambda)|B_\Omega(x, t)| < 1$$

as desired.

It then suffices to prove that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\left[\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{|B_\Omega(x, t)|}\right) \right]^{-1} \leq C(t-r)^{-\alpha} \left[\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{(t-r)^n}{|B_\Omega(x, t)|}\right) \right]^{-1}.$$

Note that this is equivalent to

$$\frac{(t-r)^n}{|B_\Omega(x, t)|} \leq \phi\left(\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{|B_\Omega(x, t)|}\right) C(t-r)^{-\alpha}\right),$$

Since $\phi(x(\text{diam } \Omega)^{-\alpha}) \leq 2^{3n} \bar{\Lambda}_\phi(\alpha) (\frac{\text{diam } \Omega}{t-r})^n \phi(x(t-r)^{-\alpha})$ as in (2.2), it suffices to prove

$$\frac{2^{3n} \bar{\Lambda}_\phi(\alpha) (\text{diam } \Omega)^n}{|B_\Omega(x, t)|} \leq \phi\left(\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{|B_\Omega(x, t)|}\right) C(\text{diam } \Omega)^{-\alpha}\right),$$

Choosing $C > (\text{diam } \Omega)^\alpha (2^{3n} \bar{\Lambda}_\phi(\alpha) (\text{diam } \Omega)^n + 1)$, by the convexity of ϕ we have

$$\phi\left(\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{|B_\Omega(x, t)|}\right) C(\text{diam } \Omega)^{-\alpha}\right) \geq \phi\left(\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{|B_\Omega(x, t)|}\right)\right) [C(\text{diam } \Omega)^{-\alpha}]$$

$$= \frac{C(\text{diam } \Omega)^{-\alpha}}{|B_{\Omega}(x, t)|} \geq \frac{2^{3n} \overline{\Lambda}_{\phi}(\alpha)(\text{diam } \Omega)^n}{|B_{\Omega}(x, t)|}$$

as desired. \square

3. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1.1&1.2 AND COROLLARY 1.3

We begin with the proof of Lemma 1.5, which is motivated by [2, 17] and also [3].

Proof of Lemma 1.5. Let $\kappa = [2\omega_n/\theta]^{1/n} + 2$. Then

$$(3.1) \quad \Omega \cap (B(z, \kappa s) \setminus B(z, s)) \neq \emptyset \quad \forall z \in \Omega \text{ and } 0 < s < \frac{2}{\kappa} \text{diam } \Omega.$$

Indeed, we have $|\Omega \cap B(z, \kappa s)| \geq \theta \kappa^n s^n$ and $|\Omega \cap B(z, s)| \leq \omega_n s^n$ for all $z \in \Omega$ and $0 < s < \frac{2}{\kappa} \text{diam } \Omega$. Since $\theta \kappa^n > 2\omega_n$, we know that $\Omega \cap (B(z, \kappa s) \setminus B(z, s))$ has positive measure.

Let $r \in (0, 2 \text{diam } \Omega)$ such that $|E| = |\Omega \cap B(x, r)|$, and moreover, $\theta r^n \leq |E| \leq \omega_n r^n$. If $r \geq \frac{1}{8\kappa} \text{diam } \Omega$, then $|E| \geq C|\Omega|$ and $\text{diam } \Omega < \infty$. By (2.2), for all $y \in \Omega$, we have

$$\phi(t|x-y|^{-\alpha}) \geq \frac{1}{2^{3n} \overline{\Lambda}_{\phi}(\alpha)} \phi(t(\text{diam } \Omega)^{-\alpha}) \left(\frac{|x-y|}{\text{diam } \Omega} \right)^n \geq \frac{1}{2^{3n} \overline{\Lambda}_{\phi}(\alpha)} \phi(t(\text{diam } \Omega)^{-\alpha}) \left(\frac{|x-y|}{\text{diam } \Omega} \right)^{2n},$$

and hence there exist positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus E} \frac{\phi(t|x-y|^{-\alpha})}{|x-y|^{2n}} dy \geq C_1 \frac{|\Omega \setminus E|}{|\Omega|^2} \phi(t|\Omega|^{-\alpha/n}) \geq C_1 C \frac{1}{|E|} \frac{|\Omega \setminus E|}{|\Omega|} \phi(C_2 t|E|^{-\alpha/n})$$

as desired.

If $r < \frac{1}{8\kappa} \text{diam } \Omega$, write

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus E} \frac{\phi(t|x-y|^{-\alpha})}{|x-y|^{2n}} dy = \int_{(\Omega \setminus E) \cap B(x, r)} \frac{\phi(t|x-y|^{-\alpha})}{|x-y|^{2n}} dy + \int_{(\Omega \setminus E) \setminus B(x, r)} \frac{\phi(t|x-y|^{-\alpha})}{|x-y|^{2n}} dy.$$

By (2.2), for $y \in B(x, r)$ we have

$$\phi(t|x-y|^{-\alpha}) \geq \frac{1}{2^{3n} \overline{\Lambda}_{\phi}(\alpha)} \phi(tr^{-\alpha}) \left(\frac{|x-y|}{r} \right)^n \geq \frac{1}{2^{3n} \overline{\Lambda}_{\phi}(\alpha)} \phi(tr^{-\alpha}) \left(\frac{|x-y|}{r} \right)^{2n}.$$

Thus

$$\int_{(\Omega \setminus E) \cap B(x, r)} \frac{\phi(t|x-y|^{-\alpha})}{|x-y|^{2n}} dy \geq \frac{1}{2^{3n} \overline{\Lambda}_{\phi}(\alpha)} \frac{\phi(tr^{-\alpha})}{r^{2n}} |(\Omega \setminus E) \cap B(x, r)|.$$

Note that

$$|(\Omega \setminus E) \cap B(x, r)| = |\Omega \cap B(x, r)| - |E \cap B(x, r)| = |E| - |E \cap B(x, r)| = |E \setminus B(x, r)|.$$

By (2.2), for $y \in E \setminus B(x, r)$ we have

$$\phi(tr^{-\alpha}) \geq \frac{1}{2^{3n} \overline{\Lambda}_{\phi}(\alpha)} \phi(t|x-y|^{-\alpha}) \left(\frac{r}{|x-y|} \right)^n \geq \frac{1}{2^{3n} \overline{\Lambda}_{\phi}(\alpha)} \phi(t|x-y|^{-\alpha}) \left(\frac{r}{|x-y|} \right)^{2n}.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{(\Omega \setminus E) \cap B(x, r)} \frac{\phi(t|x-y|^{-\alpha})}{|x-y|^{2n}} dy \geq \left(\frac{1}{2^{3n} \overline{\Lambda}_{\phi}(\alpha)} \right)^2 \int_{E \setminus B(x, r)} \phi(t|x-y|^{-\alpha}) \frac{1}{|x-y|^{2n}} dy.$$

Since $[(\Omega \setminus E) \setminus B(x, r)] \cup [E \setminus B(x, r)] = \Omega \setminus B(x, r)$, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus E} \phi(t|x-y|^{-\alpha}) \frac{1}{|x-y|^{2n}} dy \geq \min \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{2^{3n} \overline{\Lambda}_{\phi}(\alpha)} \right)^2, 1 \right\} \int_{\Omega \setminus B(x, r)} \phi(t|x-y|^{-\alpha}) \frac{1}{|x-y|^{2n}} dy.$$

By (3.1), $\Omega \cap (B(x, 2kr) \setminus B(x, 2r))$ is not empty set, and hence containing some point, say z . Then

$$\Omega \cap B(z, r) \subset \Omega \cap [(B(x, 3kr) \setminus B(x, r))] \subset \Omega \setminus B(x, r)$$

and

$$|\Omega \cap [(B(x, 3kr) \setminus B(x, r))]| \geq |B(z, r) \cap \Omega| \geq \theta r^n.$$

Thus, we have

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus B(x, r)} \phi(t|x-y|^{-\alpha}) \frac{1}{|x-y|^{2n}} dy \geq \int_{\Omega \cap [(B(x, 3kr) \setminus B(x, r))]} \phi(t|x-y|^{-\alpha}) \frac{1}{|x-y|^{2n}} dy \geq C_3 r^{-n} \phi(tr^{-\alpha}),$$

where C_3 is positive constant. Note that $\theta r^n \leq |E| \leq \omega_n r^n$ and $\frac{|\Omega \setminus E|}{|\Omega|} \leq 1$. The proof of Lemma 1.5 is completed. \square

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\alpha \in (-n, 0)$ and ϕ be a Young function satisfying (1.3) and (1.4). Suppose that Ω is a globally n -regular domain. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ depending only on n, α, ϕ and θ such that*

$$\|u\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(\Omega)} \leq C \|u\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\Omega)}$$

whenever $u \in \dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\Omega)$ satisfies

$$(3.2) \quad u \geq 0 \text{ in } \Omega \text{ and } |\{x \in \Omega, u = 0\}| \geq \frac{1}{2} |\Omega| \text{ if } |\Omega| < \infty$$

or

$$(3.3) \quad u \geq 0 \text{ in } \Omega \text{ and } |\{x \in \Omega, u > a\}| < \infty \quad \forall a > 0 \text{ if } |\Omega| = \infty.$$

Proof. Let $u \in \dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\Omega)$ satisfy (3.2) or (3.3). Obviously, we may assume that $u \neq 0$. Without loss of generality, we may also assume that u is bounded. Indeed, for $N \geq 1$ let

$$u^N = u \chi_{\{x \in \Omega; u < 2^N\}} + 2^N \chi_{\{x \in \Omega; u \geq 2^N\}}.$$

Note that $\|u\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(\Omega)} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|u^N\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(\Omega)}$ and $\sup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \|u^N\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\Omega)} \leq \|u\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\Omega)}$. If $\|u^N\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(\Omega)} \leq C \|u^N\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\Omega)}$ hold for all $N \geq 1$, by sending $N \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\|u\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(\Omega)} \leq C \|u\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\Omega)}$ as desired. Moreover, when $|\Omega| = \infty$, we may further assume $|\{x \in \Omega, u > 0\}| < \infty$. Indeed, for $N \leq 0$ let

$$u_N = (u - 2^N) \chi_{\{x \in \Omega; u(x) > 2^N\}}.$$

By (3.3), we have

$$|\{x \in \Omega, u_N(x) > 0\}| = |\{x \in \Omega, u(x) > 2^N\}| < \infty.$$

Note that $\|u\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(\Omega)} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|u_N\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(\Omega)}$ and $\sup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \|u_N\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\Omega)} \leq \|u\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\Omega)}$. If $\|u_N\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(\Omega)} \leq C \|u_N\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\Omega)}$ hold for all $N \leq 0$, by sending $N \rightarrow -\infty$, we have $u \in L^{n/|\alpha|}(\Omega)$ and $\|u\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(\Omega)} \leq C \|u\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\Omega)}$ as desired.

Under above assumptions on u , we have $u \in L^{n/|\alpha|}(\Omega)$. Indeed, in the case $|\Omega| < \infty$, the boundedness of u implies that $u \in L^{n/|\alpha|}(\Omega)$. In the case $|\Omega| = \infty$, the assumption $|\{x \in \Omega : u(x) > 0\}| < \infty$ and the boundedness of u also gives $u \in L^{n/|\alpha|}(\Omega)$. Write

$$A_k := \{z \in B : u(z) > 2^k\} \quad \text{and} \quad D_k := A_k \setminus A_{k+1} = \{z \in B : 2^k < u(z) \leq 2^{k+1}\},$$

and $a_k := |A_k|$ and $d_k := |D_k|$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$\|u\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(\Omega)}^{n/|\alpha|} \leq \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} d_i 2^{(i+1)n/|\alpha|} \leq 2^{n/|\alpha|} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_i 2^{in/|\alpha|}$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_i 2^{in/|\alpha|} \leq \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j \geq i} d_j 2^{in/|\alpha|} = \sum_j d_j \sum_{i \leq j} 2^{in/|\alpha|} \leq \frac{1}{1 - 2^{n/\alpha}} \sum_j d_j 2^{jn/|\alpha|} \leq \frac{1}{1 - 2^{n/\alpha}} \|u\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(\Omega)}^{n/|\alpha|}.$$

On the other hand, observe that $\{D_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{Z}}$, and hence $\{D_l \times (\Omega \setminus A_{l-1})\}_{l \in \mathbb{Z}}$, are disjoint for each other, and that for any $(x, y) \in D_l \times (\Omega \setminus A_{l-1})$, we have $u(x) \geq 2^l$ and $u(y) \leq 2^{l-1}$, and hence $|u(x) - u(y)| \geq 2^{l-1}$. Therefore,

$$H := \iint_{\Omega \times \Omega} \phi \left(\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{\lambda |x - y|^\alpha} \right) \frac{dx dy}{|x - y|^{2n}} \geq \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{D_l} \int_{\Omega \setminus A_{l-1}} \phi \left(\frac{2^{l-1}}{\lambda |x - y|^\alpha} \right) \frac{dy}{|x - y|^{2n}} dx.$$

If $|\Omega| < \infty$, by (3.2), we know that $a_k \leq \frac{1}{2}|\Omega|$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $|\Omega| = \infty$, then, by (3.3) we have $a_l < \infty$ for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, applying Lemma 1.5, we obtain

$$(3.5) \quad H \geq C_1 \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}, a_{l-1} \neq 0} \frac{d_l}{a_{l-1}} \frac{|\Omega \setminus A_{l-1}|}{|\Omega|} \phi \left(\frac{C_2 2^{l-1} a_{l-1}^{|\alpha|/n}}{\lambda} \right) \geq \frac{1}{2} C_1 \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}, a_{l-1} \neq 0} \frac{d_l}{a_{l-1}} \phi \left(\frac{C_2 2^{l-1} a_{l-1}^{|\alpha|/n}}{\lambda} \right).$$

Let $\lambda = M(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_i 2^{in/|\alpha|})^{|\alpha|/n}$ with

$$M = C_2 \left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{2^{3n+2} 2^{n/|\alpha|} \overline{\Lambda}_\phi(\alpha)}{C_1(1 - 2^{n/\alpha})} \right) \right]^{-1}.$$

Noting $\phi(sC_2/M) \geq 2^{-3n} [\overline{\Lambda}_\phi(\alpha)]^{-1} \phi(C_2/M) s^{n/|\alpha|}$ for any $s \in (0, 1)$ as given in (2.2), by (3.5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H &\geq \frac{C_1}{2} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}, a_{l-1} \neq 0} \frac{d_l}{a_{l-1}} \phi \left(\frac{C_2}{M} \frac{2^{l-1} a_{l-1}^{|\alpha|/n}}{(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{in/|\alpha|} a_i)^{|\alpha|/n}} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{C_1}{2^{3n+1} \overline{\Lambda}_\phi(\alpha)} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}, a_{l-1} \neq 0} \frac{d_l}{a_{l-1}} \frac{2^{(l-1)n/|\alpha|} a_{l-1}}{\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{in/|\alpha|} a_i} \phi \left(\frac{C_2}{M} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{C}{2^{3n+1} \overline{\Lambda}_\phi(\alpha)} \frac{\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}, a_{l-1} \neq 0} d_l 2^{(l-1)n/|\alpha|}}{\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{in/|\alpha|} a_i} \phi \left(\frac{C_2}{M} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $a_{l-1} = 0$ implies that $d_l = 0$, we have $\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}, a_{l-1} \neq 0} d_l 2^{(l-1)n/|\alpha|} = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} d_l 2^{(l-1)n/|\alpha|}$. Thus by (3.4),

$$H \geq \frac{C_1(1 - 2^{n/\alpha})}{2^{3n+1} 2^{n/|\alpha|} \overline{\Lambda}_\phi(\alpha)} \phi \left(\frac{C_2}{M} \right) = 2,$$

which implies that $M \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_i 2^{in/|\alpha|} \right)^{|\alpha|/n} \leq \|u\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\Omega)}$, that is, $\|u\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(\Omega)} \leq 2M^{-1} \|u\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\Omega)}$ as desired. The proof of Lemma 3.1 is completed. \square

From Lemma 3.1 and the media value we conclude the following Lemma 3.2.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\alpha \in (-n, 0)$ and ϕ be a Young function satisfying (1.3) and (1.4).*

(i) *If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded globally n -regular domain, then there exists a constant $C > 0$ depending only on n, α, ϕ and θ such that*

$$\|u - u_\Omega\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(\Omega)} \leq C \|u\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\Omega)}, \quad \forall u \in \dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\Omega).$$

(ii) *If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a unbounded globally n -regular domain, then there exists a constant $C > 0$ depending only on n, α, ϕ and θ such that*

$$\|u\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(\Omega)} \leq C \|u\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\Omega)}, \quad \forall u \in \dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\Omega) \text{ with } |\{x \in \Omega : |u| > a\}| < \infty \text{ for all } a > 0.$$

Proof. (i) Suppose that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded globally n -regular domain. For any $u \in \dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\Omega)$, set the median value

$$m_u(\Omega) := \inf \left\{ c \in \mathbb{R} : |\{x \in \Omega : u > c\}| \leq \frac{1}{2} |\Omega| \right\}.$$

Then

$$|\{x \in \Omega : u > m_u(\Omega)\}| \leq \frac{1}{2} |\Omega| \quad \text{and} \quad |\{x \in \Omega : u < m_u(\Omega)\}| \leq \frac{1}{2} |\Omega|.$$

Write $u_+ = [u - m_u(\Omega)]\chi_{u \geq m_u(\Omega)}$ and $u_- = -[u - m_u(\Omega)]\chi_{u \leq m_u(\Omega)}$. Then u_{\pm} satisfies (3.2), and hence by Lemma 3.1, we obtain $\|u_{\pm}\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(\Omega)} \leq C\|u_{\pm}\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha,\phi}(\Omega)}$,

On the other hand, note that $u - m_u(\Omega) = u_+ - u_-$ and

$$\|u - m_u(\Omega)\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(\Omega)}^{n/|\alpha|} = \|u_+\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(\Omega)}^{n/|\alpha|} + \|u_-\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(\Omega)}^{n/|\alpha|}.$$

Moreover, by

$$|u(x) - u(y)| = |[u(x) - m_u(\Omega)] - [u(y) - m_u(\Omega)]| = |u_+(x) - u_+(y)| + |u_-(x) - u_-(y)|, \quad \forall x, y \in \Omega,$$

we have $\|u_{\pm}\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha,\phi}(\Omega)} \leq \|u\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha,\phi}(\Omega)}$. We then conclude

$$\|u - u_{\Omega}\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(\Omega)} \leq 2\|u - m_u(\Omega)\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(\Omega)} \leq C\|u\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha,\phi}(\Omega)}$$

as desired.

(ii) Suppose that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a unbounded globally n -regular domain. Assume that $u \in \dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha,\phi}(\Omega)$ satisfies $|\{x \in \Omega : |u| > a\}| < \infty$ for any $a > 0$. Write $u_+ = u\chi_{\{x \in \Omega : u(x) \geq 0\}}$ and $u_- = -u\chi_{\{x \in \Omega : u(x) \leq 0\}}$. Then $u = u_+ - u_-$, and u_{\pm} satisfies (3.3). By Lemma 3.1, $\|u_{\pm}\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(\Omega)} \leq C\|u_{\pm}\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha,\phi}(\Omega)}$. Note that

$$|u(x) - u(y)| = |u_+(x) - u_+(y)| + |u_-(x) - u_-(y)|, \quad \forall x, y \in \Omega,$$

and hence, $\|u_{\pm}\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha,\phi}(\Omega)} \leq \|u\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha,\phi}(\Omega)}$. Combining with $\|u\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(\Omega)}^{n/|\alpha|} = \|u_+\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(\Omega)}^{n/|\alpha|} + \|u_-\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(\Omega)}^{n/|\alpha|}$, we conclude $\|u\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(\Omega)} \leq C\|u\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha,\phi}(\Omega)}$ as desired. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.2. \square

Theorem 1.1 then follows from Lemma 3.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Note that $\{B(z, R)\}_{z \in \mathbb{R}^n, R > 0}$ are globally n -regular domains with the same constant θ . Indeed, let $\theta > 0$ such that

$$|B(0, 1) \cap B(x, r)| \geq \theta r^n \text{ for all } x \in B(0, 1) \text{ and } r < 2.$$

Then for any $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $R > 0$, we have

$$|B(z, R) \cap B(x, r)| = |B(0, R) \cap B(x - z, r)| = R^n |B(0, 1) \cap B((x - z)/R, r/R)| \geq \theta R^n (r/R)^n = \theta r^n$$

whenever $0 < r < 2R$ and $x \in B(z, R)$. Thus by Lemma 3.2 (i) we know that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|u - u_B\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(B)} \leq C\|u\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha,\phi}(B)} \quad \forall \text{ balls } B \text{ and } u \in \dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha,\phi}(B)$$

as desired. Especially, given any $u \in \dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha,\phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have

$$\|u - u_{B(0,2^k)}\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(B(0,2^k))} \leq C\|u\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha,\phi}(B(0,2^k))} \leq C\|u\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha,\phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Therefore

$$|u_{B(0,2^k)} - u_{B(0,2^{k+1})}| \leq \omega_n^{\alpha/n} 2^{-k|\alpha|} \|u - u_{B(0,2^{k+1})}\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(B(0,2^{k+1}))} \leq C 2^{-k|\alpha|} \|u\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha,\phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus $u_{B(0,2^k)}$ converges to some $c \in \mathbb{R}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, and

$$|u_{B(0,2^k)} - c| \leq \sum_{l \geq k} |u_{B(0,2^l)} - u_{B(0,2^{l+1})}| \leq \sum_{l \geq k} C 2^{-l|\alpha|} \|u\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha,\phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \frac{2^{-k|\alpha|}}{1 - 2^{-\alpha}} \|u\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha,\phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Since

$$\|u - c\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(B(0,2^k))} \leq \|u - u_{B(0,2^k)}\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(B(0,2^k))} + |B(0, 2^k)|^{\alpha/n} |u_{B(0,2^k)} - c| \leq C\|u\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha,\phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $\|u - c\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|u\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha,\phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ as desired. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

Remark 3.3. (i) Let $0 < s < 1$ and $1 \leq p < n/s$. It is proved by [2, Corollary 25] and [17, Lemma A.1] that

$$(3.6) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus E} |x - y|^{n+sp} dy \geq \frac{C}{|E|^{sp}} \quad \forall E \subset \mathbb{R}^n \text{ with } 0 < |E| < \infty,$$

that is, Lemma 1.5 with $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$, $\alpha = s - n/p$ and $\phi(t) = t^p$. Using (3.6), Di Nezza et al [3] proved that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} dxdy \geq \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}, a_{l-1} \neq 0} a_l a_{l-1}^{-sp} \quad \forall u \in \dot{\mathbf{B}}_{pp}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ having bounded supports,}$$

which is Lemma 3.1 with $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$, $\alpha = s - n/p$ and $\phi(t) = t^p$ essentially. After several technical arguments, this allows them to obtain

$$(3.7) \quad \|u\|_{L^{np/(n-sp)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|u\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}_{pp}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad \forall u \in \dot{\mathbf{B}}_{pp}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ having bounded supports,}$$

See [3, Section 6] for details. To get (3.7), our proof in Lemma 3.1 via Orlicz norm simplify the argument in [3, Section 6] by dropping several technical arguments therein.

(ii) Lemma 1.5 extends (3.6) not only to general ϕ but also to globally n -regular domains Ω . Applying Lemma 1.5 and an argument simpler than [3, Section 6], we extend (3.7) to not only general ϕ but also to globally n -regular domains Ω as in Lemma 3.1. Moreover, with the aid of the median value, we further obtain the desired imbedding of $\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha, \phi}(\Omega)$ in Lemma 3.2. In particular, we give a new and direct proof to the well-known facts (1.5) and (1.6), and also (1.11) for bounded domains.

(iii) When $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a globally n -regular domain and $|\Omega| = \infty$, the direct argument above fails to prove (1.12); the difficulty is to find a sequence domains Ω_R which are globally n -regular with the same θ so that Ω_R is increasing and converges to Ω .

Now we prove Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a globally n -regular domain, then (1.7) and (1.8) follows from Lemma 3.2 directly. Below assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a domain satisfying (1.7) or (1.8). With the aid of Lemma 2.5, (3.2) and (3.3), and by borrowing some ideas from [8, 22], we will show that Ω is globally n -regular. To this end, take arbitrary $z \in \Omega$ and $0 < r < \frac{1}{2} \text{diam } \Omega$, and for $j \geq 0$, let $0 < b_j \leq 1$ such that

$$(3.8) \quad |B(z, b_j r) \cap \Omega| = \frac{1}{2^j} |B(z, r) \cap \Omega|.$$

Obviously, $1 = b_0 > b_j > b_{j+1} > 0$ for all $j \geq 1$ and

$$(3.9) \quad |B(z, b_j r) \cap \Omega| = \frac{1}{2} |B(z, b_{j-1} r) \cap \Omega| \quad \forall j \geq 0.$$

Case $\text{diam } \Omega = \infty$. It suffices to prove that there exists a constant $C > 0$ independent of z, r such that

$$(3.10) \quad \phi \left(C \frac{|B_\Omega(z, b_j r)|^{\alpha/n}}{(b_j r - b_{j+1} r)^\alpha} \right) \left[\frac{(b_j r - b_{j+1} r)^n}{|B_\Omega(z, b_j r)|} \right]^{-1} \geq 1 \quad \forall j \geq 0.$$

Indeed, since $\phi(Cs^{-\alpha})s^{-n} \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$ as given in Lemma 2.1 (ii), we know that $\phi(Cs^{-\alpha})s^{-n} \geq 1$ implies that $s \leq \Lambda_C$ for some constant $\Lambda_C > 0$. By this and (3.10), we obtain

$$\frac{(b_j r - b_{j+1} r)}{|B_\Omega(z, b_j r)|^{1/n}} \leq \Lambda_C.$$

This together with (3.8) yields that

$$b_j r - b_{j+1} r \leq \Lambda_C |B_\Omega(z, b_j r)|^{1/n} = \Lambda_C 2^{-j/n} |B_\Omega(z, r)|^{1/n},$$

which gives

$$r = \sum_{j \geq 0} (b_j r - b_{j+1} r) \leq \sum_{j \geq 0} \Lambda_C 2^{-j/n} |B_\Omega(z, r)|^{1/n} = \Lambda_C |B_\Omega(z, r)|^{1/n}$$

as desired.

To prove (3.10), for $j \geq 0$ let $u_{z,b_{j+1}r,b_jr}$ be the function defined by (2.3). By Lemma 2.5, we have $u_{z,b_{j+1}r,b_jr} \in \dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha,\phi}(\Omega)$ and

$$\|u_{z,b_{j+1}r,b_jr}\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha,\phi}(\Omega)} \leq C(b_jr - b_{j+1}r)^{-\alpha} \left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{(b_jr - b_{j+1}r)^n}{|B_\Omega(z, b_jr)|} \right) \right]^{-1}.$$

Since $|\{x \in \Omega : u_{z,b_{j+1}r,b_jr}(x) \neq 0\}| < \infty$, by (1.8) we have

$$\|u_{z,b_{j+1}r,b_jr}\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(\Omega)} \leq C \|u_{z,b_{j+1}r,b_jr}\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\alpha,\phi}(\Omega)}.$$

Note that

$$\|u_{z,b_{j+1}r,b_jr}\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(\Omega)} \geq |\Omega \cap B(x, b_{j+1}r)|^{-\alpha/n} = 2^{\alpha/n} |\Omega \cap B(x, b_jr)|^{-\alpha/n}.$$

We conclude that

$$2^{\alpha/n} |B_\Omega(z, b_jr)|^{-\alpha/n} \leq C(b_jr - b_{j+1}r)^{-\alpha} \left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{(b_jr - b_{j+1}r)^n}{|B_\Omega(z, b_jr)|} \right) \right]^{-1},$$

which implies that

$$\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{(b_jr - b_{j+1}r)^n}{|B_\Omega(z, b_jr)|} \right) \leq C \frac{|B_\Omega(z, b_jr)|^{\alpha/n}}{(b_jr - b_{j+1}r)^\alpha}.$$

Case $\text{diam } \Omega < \infty$. Note that by a simialr argument as in the case $|\Omega| = \infty$, we have $b_1r < \Lambda_C |B_\Omega(z, b_1r)|^{1/n}$. Indeed, for $j \geq 1$,

$$(3.11) \quad \|u_{z,b_{j+1}r,b_jr} - (u_{z,b_{j+1}r,b_jr})_\Omega\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(\Omega)} \geq \frac{1}{2} |\Omega \cap B(x, b_{j+1}r)|^{-\alpha/n} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1-\alpha/n} |\Omega \cap B(x, b_jr)|^{-\alpha/n}.$$

Note that $u_{z,b_{j+1}r,b_jr} - (u_{z,b_{j+1}r,b_jr})_\Omega \geq \frac{1}{2}$ either in $B_\Omega(x, b_{j+1}r)$ or in $\Omega \setminus B_\Omega(x, b_jr)$. Since $j \geq 1$ implies that $B_\Omega(x, b_{j-1}r) \setminus B_\Omega(x, b_jr) \subset \Omega \setminus B_\Omega(x, b_jr)$ and hence

$$|\Omega \setminus B_\Omega(x, b_jr)| \geq |B_\Omega(x, b_{j-1}r) \setminus B_\Omega(x, b_jr)| = |B_\Omega(x, b_jr)| > |B_\Omega(x, b_{j+1}r)|,$$

together with $|B_\Omega(x, b_{j+1}r)| = \frac{1}{2} |B_\Omega(x, b_jr)|$ we obtain (3.11). Then by the same argument as in the case $\text{diam } (\Omega) = \infty$, we are able to prove that $b_1r < \Lambda_C |B_\Omega(z, b_1r)|^{1/n}$, where the value Λ_C needed to be adjusted.

If $b_1 \geq 1/10$, by $b_1r < \Lambda_C |B_\Omega(z, b_1r)|^{1/n}$, we have $r < 10\Lambda_C |B_\Omega(z, r)|^{1/n}$ as desired. Assume that $b_1 < 1/10$. Let $R = \frac{2}{5}r$ and $y \in B_\Omega(z, r)$ with $|y - z| = b_1r + R/2$. Note that since Ω is path-connected and $b_1r + R/2 < 3 \text{diam } \Omega/20$, such y exists. Then $B(z, b_1r) \subseteq B(y, R) \subsetneq B(z, r)$, and $B(z, b_1r) \cap B(y, R/2) = \emptyset$. Thus if $|B_\Omega(y, \tilde{b}_1R)| = \frac{1}{2} |B_\Omega(y, R)|$, by $|B_\Omega(z, b_1r)| = \frac{1}{2} |B_\Omega(z, r)| > \frac{1}{2} |B_\Omega(y, R)|$, we have $B_\Omega(y, \tilde{b}_1R) \cap B_\Omega(z, b_1r) \neq \emptyset$, so $\tilde{b}_1 \geq 1/2$. Note that by the above argument, we already have $\tilde{b}_1R \leq \Lambda_C |B_\Omega(y, \tilde{b}_1R)|^{1/n}$. Hence

$$\frac{2}{5}r = R \leq 2\Lambda_C |B_\Omega(y, R)|^{1/n} \leq 2\Lambda_C |B_\Omega(z, r)|^{1/n},$$

which gives $5\Lambda_C |B_\Omega(z, r)|^{1/n} \geq r$ as desired. \square

Proof of Corollary 1.3. (i) Assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded n -regular domain. Then it is also bounded globally n -regular domain. Let $u \in \mathbf{B}^{\alpha,\phi}(\Omega)$. Since Jessen's inequality implies that

$$\phi \left(\frac{|u_\Omega|}{\lambda} \right) \leq \phi \left(\int_\Omega \frac{|u|}{\lambda} dx \right) \leq \int_\Omega \phi \left(\frac{|u|}{\lambda} \right) dx \leq \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega \phi \left(\frac{|u|}{\lambda} \right) dx \quad \forall \lambda > \|u\|_{L^\phi(\Omega)},$$

we have $|u_\Omega| \leq \|u\|_{L^\phi(\Omega)} \phi^{-1}(|\Omega|^{-1})$. By Lemma 3.2 (i), we then have

$$\|u\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(\Omega)} \leq \|u - u_\Omega\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(\Omega)} + |u_\Omega| |\Omega|^{\alpha/n} \leq C \|u\|_{\mathbf{B}^{\alpha,\phi}(\Omega)}$$

as desired.

Conversely, assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded domain satisfying that

$$\|u\|_{L^{n/|\alpha|}(\Omega)} \leq C \|u\|_{\mathbf{B}^{\alpha,\phi}(\Omega)} \quad \forall u \in \mathbf{B}^{\alpha,\phi}(\Omega)$$

for some constant $C > 0$. Considering Lemma 2.6, by the same argument as in the case $|\Omega| = \infty$ in Theorem 1.1, we show that Ω is n -regular; the details are omitted. The proof of Corollary 1.3 is completed. \square

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Professor Yuan Zhou for several valuable discussions. The author also would like to thank the supports of National Natural Science of Foundation of China (No. 11601494).

REFERENCES

- [1] R. A. Adams and J. J. F. Fournier, *Sobolev spaces*, Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2003.
- [2] L. Caffarelli and E. Valdinoci, Uniform estimates and limiting arguments for nonlocal minimal surfaces, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 41 (2011) 203–240.
- [3] E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci and E. Valdinoci, Hitchhiker’s guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces. *Bull. Sci. Math.* 136 (2012), 521–573.
- [4] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
- [5] A. Gogatishvili, P. Koskela and Y. Zhou, Characterizations of Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces on metric measure spaces, *Forum Math.* 25 (2013), 787-819.
- [6] P. Hajłasz, Sobolev spaces on an arbitrary metric spaces, *Potential Anal.* 5 (1996), 403-415.
- [7] P. Hajłasz, Sobolev spaces on metric-measure spaces, Heat kernels and analysis on manifolds, graphs, and metric spaces (Paris, 2002), 173-218, *Contemp. Math.*, 338, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.
- [8] P. Hajłasz, P. Koskela and H. Tuominen, Sobolev imbeddings, extensions and measure density condition, *J. Funct. Anal.* 254 (2008), 1217-1234.
- [9] P. Hajłasz, P. Koskela and H. Tuominen, Measure density and extendability of Sobolev functions, *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* 24 (2008), 645-669.
- [10] T. Heikkinen, L. Ihnatsyeva and H. Tuominen, Measure density and extension of Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin functions *J. Four. Anal. Appl.* 22 (2016), 334-382.
- [11] A. Jonsson and H. Wallin, A Whitney extension theorem in L^p and Besov spaces, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 28 (1978), 139-192.
- [12] A. Jonsson and H. Wallin, Function spaces on subsets of \mathbb{R}^n , *Math. Rep.* 2 (1984), no. 1, xiv+221 pp.
- [13] T. Liang and Y. Zhou, Orlicz-Sobolev extension and Ahlfors n -regular domains, 2018. to appear.
- [14] J. Peetre, New thoughts on Besov spaces, *Duke University Mathematics Series*, No. 1. Mathematics Department, Duke University, Durham, N.C., 1976. vi+305 pp.
- [15] M. C. Piaggio, Orlicz spaces and the large scale geometry of Heintze groups, *Math. Ann.* 368 (2017), 433-481.
- [16] O. Savin, E. Valdinoci, Density estimates for a nonlocal variational model via the Sobolev inequality, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 43 (2011), 2675-2687.
- [17] O. Savin, E. Valdinoci, Density estimates for a variational model driven by the Gagliardo norm, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (101) 2014, 1-26.
- [18] P. Shvartsman, Local approximations and intrinsic characterizations of spaces of smooth functions on regular subsets of \mathbb{R}^n , *Math. Nachr.* 279 (2006), 1212-1241.
- [19] P. Shvartsman, On extensions of Sobolev functions defined on regular subsets of metric measure spaces, *Journal of Approximation Theory.* 214 (2007), 139-161.
- [20] D. Yang, New characterizations of Hajłasz-Sobolev spaces on metric spaces, *Sci. China Ser. A* 46 (2003), 675-689.
- [21] H. Sun, Orlicz-Besov extension and imbedding, preprint.
- [22] Y. Zhou, Fractional Sobolev extension and imbedding, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 367 (2015), 959-979.

SCHOOL OF SCIENCES, CHINA UNIVERSITY OF GEOSCIENCES, BEIJING, 100083, P.R. CHINA
E-mail address: sun_hy@cugb.edu.cn