K-G-FRAMES AND APPROXIMATE K-G-DUALS IN HILBERT SPACES

JAHANGIR CHESHMAVAR AND MARYAM REZAEI SARKHAEI

ABSTRACT. Approximate duality of frame pairs have been investigated by Christensen and Laugesen in (Sampl. Theory Signal Image Process., 9, 2011, 77-90), with the motivation to obtain an important applications in Gabor systems, wavelets and general frame theory. In this paper we obtain some of the known results in approximate duality of frames to K-g-frames. We also obtain new K-g-frames and approximate K-g-duals from a K-g-frame and an approximate K-g-dual. Finally, we give an equivalent condition under which the subsequence of a K-g-frame still to be a K-g-frame.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

The concept of frames in Hilbert spaces were introduced in the paper [7] by Duffin and Schaeffer to study some problems in nonharmonic Fourier series, reintroduced by Daubechies et al. in [8] to study the connecting with wavelet and Gabor systems. For special applications, various generalization of frames were proposed, such as frame of subspace [13], q-frames [16], K-frames [12] by Găvruta to study the atomic systems with respect to a bounded linear operator K in Hilbert spaces. The concept of K-q-frames which more general than ordinary g-frames considered by Authors in [1, 14, 15]. After that, some properties of K-frames was extended to K-q-frames in [10] by Hua and Huang. One of the main reason for considering frames and any type of generalization of frames, is that, they allows each element in the space to be non-uniquely represented as a linear combination of the frame elements, by using of their duals; however, it is usually complicated to calculate a dual frame explicitly. For example, in practical, one has to invert the frame operator, in the canonical dual frames, which is difficult when the space is infinite-dimensional. One way to avoid this difficulty is to consider approximate duals. The concepts of approximately dual frames have been studied since the work of Gilbert et al. [11] in the wavelet setting, see for example Feichtinger et al. [9] for Gabor systems and reintroduced in systematic by Christensen and Laugesen in [6] for dual frame pairs. In this paper, the advantage of K-q-frames in comparison of q-frames is given; with this motivation, we obtain new K-q-frames and approximate K-q-duals and derive some of results for the approximate duality of K-q-frames and their redundancy.

What we discuss in the following sections; we will review some notions relating to frames, K-frames and K-g-frames in the rest of this section. New K-g-frames and the advantage of K-g-frames are found in Section 2. In Section 3 we define approximate duality of K-g-frames and get some important properties of approximate K-g-duals, also we extend some

Key words and phrases. frame; g-frame; K-g-frame; approximate K-g-duals; redundancy.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 42C15; 42C40.

results of approximate duality of frame to K-g-frames based in the paper [6]. Section 4 contains two results on the redundancy.

Throughout this paper, J is a subset of integers \mathbb{Z} , \mathcal{H} is a separable Hilbert space, $\{\mathcal{H}_j\}_{j\in J}$ is a sequence of closed subspaces of \mathcal{H} . Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_j)$ be the collection of all bounded linear operators from \mathcal{H} into \mathcal{H}_j , $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$ is denote by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$; for $K \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $\mathcal{R}(K)$ is the range of K and also $I_{\mathcal{R}(K)}$ is the identity operator on $\mathcal{R}(K)$. The space $l^2(\{\mathcal{H}_j\}_{j\in J})$ is defined by

(1)
$$l^{2}(\{\mathcal{H}_{j}\}_{j\in J}) = \left\{\{f_{j}\}_{j\in J}: f_{j}\in\mathcal{H}_{j}, \|\{f_{j}\}_{j\in J}\|^{2} = \sum_{j\in J}\|f_{j}\|^{2} < +\infty\right\},\$$

with inner product given by

(2)
$$\langle \{f_j\}_{j \in J}, \{g_j\}_{j \in J} \rangle = \sum_{j \in J} \langle f_j, g_j \rangle$$

Then $l^2({\mathcal{H}_j}_{j\in J})$ is Hilbert space with pointwise operations. Next, some terminology relating to Bessel and g-Bessel systems, frames, g-frames, K-frames and related notions.

A sequence $\{f_j\}_{j\in J}$ contained in \mathcal{H} is called a Bessel system for \mathcal{H} , if there exists a positive constant B such that, for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$

(3)
$$\sum_{j \in J} |\langle f, f_j \rangle|^2 \le B ||f||^2.$$

The constant B is called a Bessel bound of the system. If, in addition, there exists a lower bound A > 0 such that, for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$

(4)
$$A \|K^*f\|^2 \le \sum_{j \in J} |\langle f, f_j \rangle|^2$$

the system is called a K-frame for \mathcal{H} . The constant A and B are called K-frame bounds.

Remark 1: If $K = I_{\mathcal{H}}$, then K-frames are called the ordinary frames.

A sequence $\{\Lambda_j \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_j) : j \in J\}$ is called a *g*-Bessel system for \mathcal{H} with respect to \mathcal{H}_j if there exists a positive constant *B* such that, for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$

(5)
$$\sum_{j \in J} \|\Lambda_j f\|^2 \le B \|f\|^2.$$

The constant B is called a g-Bessel bound of the system. If, in addition, there exists a lower bound A > 0 such that, for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$

(6)
$$A \|f\|^2 \le \sum_{j \in J} \|\Lambda_j f\|^2,$$

the system is called a g-frame for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{\mathcal{H}_j\}_{j\in J}$. The constants A and B are called g-frame bounds. If A = B, the g-frame is said to be tight g-frame. For more information on frame theory, basic properties of the K-frames and g-frames, we refer to

[5, 12, 16]. Now, we state the following basic definition, the concept of K-g-frames, which are more general than ordinary g-frames stated in [1, Theorem (2.5)].

Definition 1.1. Let $K \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\Lambda_j \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_j)$ for any $j \in J$. A sequence $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$ is called a K-g-frame for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{H_j\}_{j \in J}$, if there exist constants $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ such that

(7)
$$A \| K^* f \|^2 \le \sum_{j \in J} \| \Lambda_j f \|^2 \le B \| f \|^2, \ \forall f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

The constants A and B are called the lower and upper bounds of K-g-frame, respectively. A K-g-frame $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$ is said to be tight if there exists a constant A > 0 such that

(8)
$$\sum_{j \in J} \|\Lambda_j f\|^2 = A \|K^* f\|^2, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Remark 2: If $K = I_{\mathcal{H}}$, then K-g-frames are just the ordinary g-frames.

2. New *K*-*g*-frames

There is an advantage of studying K-g-frames in comparison with the g-frames. This advantage is, as we will see in the following examples, for a Bessel sequence in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_j)$, which is not an g-frame, we can define a suitable operator K such that this sequence be a K-g-frame for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{\mathcal{H}_j\}_{j\in J}$. Also, we construct new K-g-frames by considering a g-frame for $\{\mathcal{H}_j\}_{j\in J}$.

Example 1: Let $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H} and $\mathcal{H}_j = \overline{span}\{e_j, e_{j+1}\}, j = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$. Define the operator $\Lambda_j : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}_j$ as follows:

$$\Lambda_j f = \langle f, e_j + e_{j+1} \rangle (e_j + e_{j+1}), \ \forall f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Then,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|\Lambda_j f\|^2 = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\langle f, e_j + e_{j+1} \rangle|^2$$

$$\leq 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (|\langle f, e_j \rangle| + |\langle f, e_{j+1} \rangle|)^2$$

$$\leq 4 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\langle f, e_j \rangle|^2 + 4 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\langle f, e_{j+1} \rangle|^2$$

$$\leq 8 \|f\|^2.$$

That is, $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$ is a g-Bessel sequence. However, $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$ does not satisfy the lower gframe condition, because if we consider the vectors $g_m := \sum_{n=1}^m (-1)^{n+1} e_n$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\|g_m\|^2 = m$, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we see that

$$\langle g_m, e_j + e_{j+1} \rangle = \begin{cases} 0, & j > m \\ (-1)^{m+1}, & j = m \\ 0, & j < m \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|\Lambda_j g_m\|^2 = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\langle g_m, e_j + e_{j+1} \rangle|^2 = 2 = \frac{2}{m} \|g_m\|^2, \forall m \in \mathbb{N},$$

that is, $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$ does not satisfy the lower g-frame condition. Now define

$$K: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}, \ Kf = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle f, e_j \rangle (e_j + e_{j+1}), \ \forall f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Then $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$ is a K-g-frame for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{\mathcal{H}_j\}_{j\in J}$.

Example 2: Let $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H} and $\mathcal{H}_j = \overline{span}\{e_{3j-2}, e_{3j-1}, e_{3j}\}, j = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$. Define the operator $\Lambda_j : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}_j$ as follows:

$$\Lambda_1 f = \langle f, e_1 \rangle e_1 + \langle f, e_2 \rangle e_2 + \langle f, e_3 \rangle e_3 \text{ and } \Lambda_j f = 0, \text{ for } j \ge 2,$$

With a simple calculate $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$ is not a g-frame for \mathcal{H} with respect to \mathcal{H}_j , because, if we take $f = e_4$, then

$$||f||^2 = 1$$
 and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} ||\Lambda_j f||^2 = ||\Lambda_1 e_4||^2 = 0.$

Define now the operator $K : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ as follows:

$$Ke_1 = e_1, Ke_2 = e_2 \text{ and } Ke_j = 0, \text{ for } j \ge 3.$$

It is easy to see that, $K^*e_1 = e_1$, $K^*e_2 = e_2$ and $K^*e_j = 0$, for $j \ge 3$. We show that $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$ is a K-g-frame for \mathcal{H} with respect to \mathcal{H}_j . In fact, for any $f \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$||K^*f||^2 = ||\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle f, e_j \rangle K^*e_j||^2 = |\langle f, e_1 \rangle|^2 + |\langle f, e_2 \rangle|^2,$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|\Lambda_j f\|^2 = \|\Lambda_1 f\|^2 = \|\langle f, e_1 \rangle e_1 + \langle f, e_2 \rangle e_2 + \langle f, e_3 \rangle e_3 \|^2$$
$$= |\langle f, e_1 \rangle|^2 + |\langle f, e_2 \rangle|^2 + |\langle f, e_3 \rangle|^2 \ge \|K^* f\|^2.$$

Therefore, for any $f \in \mathcal{H}$

$$|K^*f||^2 \le \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} ||\Lambda_j f||^2 \le ||f||^2,$$

as desired. The next Propositions reads as follows:

Proposition 2.1. Let $\{\Lambda_j \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_j) : j \in J\}$ be a K-g-frame for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{\mathcal{H}_j\}_{j\in J}$. Then $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$ is a g-frame for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{\mathcal{H}_j\}_{j\in J}$ if K^* is bounded below.

Proof. Since K^* is bounded below, by definition, there exists a constant C > 0 such that,

$$||K^*f|| \ge C||f||, \forall f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Therefore, for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$AC^2 ||f||^2 \le A ||K^*f||^2 \le \sum_{j \in J} ||\Lambda_j f||^2 \le B ||f||^2,$$

that is, $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$ is a g-frame for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{\mathcal{H}_j\}_{j\in J}$.

Proposition 2.2. Let $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$ be a tight K-g-frame for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{\mathcal{H}_j\}_{j\in J}$ with K-g-frame bound A_1 . Then $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$ is a tight g-frame with g-frame bound A_2 if and only if $KK^* = \frac{A_2}{A_1}I_{\mathcal{H}}$.

Proof. Let $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$ is a tight g-frame with bound A_2 , then

$$\sum_{j\in J} \|\Lambda_j f\|^2 = A_2 \|f\|^2, \ \forall f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Since $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$ is a tight *K*-*g*-frame with bound A_1 , we have $A_1 ||K^*f||^2 = A_2 ||f||^2$, $\forall f \in \mathcal{H}$, that is,

$$\langle KK^{\star}f, f\rangle = \langle \frac{A_2}{A_1}f, f\rangle, \ \forall f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

The converse is straight forward.

The following Theorem is analog of [4, Theorem (3.3)] to obtain new K-g-frame for \mathcal{H} :

Theorem 2.3. Let $K \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\Lambda_j \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_j)$ for any $j \in J$. Let $\{\Gamma_{ij} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_j, \mathcal{H}_{ij}) : i \in I_j\}$ be a g-frame for \mathcal{H}_j with bounds C_j and D_j , such that

$$0 < C = \inf_{j \in J} C_j \le \sup_{j \in J} D_j = D < \infty,$$

where $\{\mathcal{H}_{ij}\}_{i \in I_j}$ is a sequence of closed subspaces of \mathcal{H}_j , for all $j \in J$. Then the following statements are equivalent;

(i) $\{\Lambda_j \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_j) : j \in J\}$ is a K-g-frame for \mathcal{H} (ii) $\{\Gamma_{ij}\Lambda_j \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_{ij}) : i \in I_j, j \in J\}$ is a K-g-frame for \mathcal{H} .

Proof. $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ Let $\{\Lambda_j \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_j) : j \in J\}$ be a *K*-*g*-frame for \mathcal{H} with bounds A_1, B_1 . Then for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$\sum_{j \in J} \sum_{i \in I_j} \|\Gamma_{ij} \Lambda_j f\|^2 \le \sum_{j \in J} D_j \|\Lambda_j f\|^2 \le DB_1 \|f\|^2,$$
$$\sum_{j \in J} \sum_{i \in I_j} \|\Gamma_{ij} \Lambda_j f\|^2 \ge \sum_{j \in J} C_j \|\Lambda_j f\|^2 \ge CA_1 \|K^* f\|^2.$$

 $(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$ Let $\{\Gamma_{ij}\Lambda_j \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_{ij}) : i \in I_j, j \in J\}$ be a *K-g*-frame for \mathcal{H} with bounds A_2, B_2 . we have

$$\sum_{j \in J} \|\Lambda_j f\|^2 \le \sum_{j \in J} \frac{1}{C_i} \sum_{i \in I_j} \|\Gamma_{ij} \Lambda_j f\|^2 \le \frac{B_2}{C} \|f\|^2,$$
$$\sum_{j \in J} \|\Lambda_j f\|^2 \ge \sum_{j \in J} \frac{1}{D_j} \sum_{i \in I_j} \|\Gamma_{ij} \Lambda_j f\|^2 \ge \frac{A_2}{D} \|K^* f\|^2.$$

Recall that, a sequence $\{w_j\}_j$ is called semi-normalized if there are bounds $b \ge a > 0$, such that $a \le |w_j| \le b$.

Proposition 2.4. Suppose that $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$ be a K-g-frame for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{\mathcal{H}_j\}_{j\in J}$ with bounds A, B and K-g-dual $\{\Theta_j\}_{j\in J}$. Let $\{w_j\}_{j\in J}$ be a semi-normalized sequence with bounds a, b. Then $\{w_j\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$ is a K-g-frame with bounds a^2A and b^2B . The sequence $\{w_j^{-1}\Theta_j\}_{j\in J}$ is a K-g-dual of $\{w_j\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$.

Proof. Since $\sum_{j \in J} \|w_j \Lambda_j f\|^2 = \sum_{j \in J} |w_j|^2 \|\Lambda_j f\|^2$. Then

$$a^{2} \sum_{j \in J} \|\Lambda_{j}f\|^{2} \leq \sum_{j \in J} \|w_{j}\Lambda_{j}f\|^{2} \leq b^{2} \sum_{j \in J} \|\Lambda_{j}f\|^{2},$$

that is, $a^2 A \| K^* f \|^2 \leq \sum_{j \in J} \| w_j \Lambda_j f \|^2 \leq b^2 B \| f \|^2$. We have $\sum_{j \in J} (w_j \Lambda_j)^* (w_j^{-1} \Theta_j) f = \sum_{j \in J} \Lambda_j^* \Theta_j f = f$. Since $\{ w_j^{-1} \}_j$ is bounded, $\{ w_j^{-1} \Theta_j \}_j$ is a g-Bessel sequence. Therefore, it is a K-g-dual of $\{ w_j \Lambda_j \}_{j \in J}$.

Suppose that $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$ is a *K*-*g*-frame for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{\mathcal{H}_j\}_{j\in J}$. Obviously, it is a *g*-Bessel sequence, so we can define the bounded linear operator $T_{\Lambda} : \ell^2(\{\mathcal{H}_j\}_{j\in J}) \to \mathcal{H}$ as follows:

(9)
$$T_{\Lambda}(\{g_j\}_{j\in J}) = \sum_{j\in J} \Lambda_j^* g_j, \ \forall \{g_j\}_{j\in J} \in \ell^2\left(\{\mathcal{H}_j\}_{j\in J}\right).$$

The operator T_{Λ} is called the synthesis operator (or pre-frame operator) for the K-g-frame $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$. The adjoint operator

(10)
$$T^*_{\Lambda} : \mathcal{H} \to l^2(\{\mathcal{H}_j\}_{j \in J}), \quad T^*_{\Lambda}f = \{\Lambda_j f\}_{j \in J}, \ \forall f \in \mathcal{H},$$

is called analysis operator for the K-g-frame $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$. Let $S_{\Lambda} = T_{\Lambda}T_{\Lambda}^*$, we obtain the frame operator for the K-g-frame $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$ as follows

(11)
$$S_{\Lambda} : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}, \quad S_{\Lambda}f = \sum_{j \in J} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j f, \ \forall f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

One of the main problem in the K-g-frame theory is that the interchangeability of two g-Bessel sequence with respect to a K-g-frame is different from a g-frame. The following characterization of K-g-frames is in [1, Theorem (2.5)].

Proposition 2.5. Let $K \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$ is a K-g-frame for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{\mathcal{H}_j\}_{j\in J}$;
- (ii) $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$ is a g-Bessel sequence for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{\mathcal{H}_j\}_{j\in J}$ and there exists a g-Bessel sequence $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j\in J}$ for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{\mathcal{H}_j\}_{j\in J}$ such that

(12)
$$Kf = \sum_{j \in J} \Lambda_j^* \Gamma_j f, \ \forall f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

The position of two g-Bessel sequence $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$ and $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j\in J}$ in (12) are not interchangeable in general, but there exists another type of dual such that $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$ and a sequence derived by $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j\in J}$ are interchangeable on the subspace $\mathcal{R}(K)$ of \mathcal{H} .

For $K \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, let $\mathcal{R}(K)$ is closed, then the pseudo-inverse K^{\dagger} of K exists.

Theorem 2.6. [10, Theorem (3.3)] Suppose that $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$ and $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j\in J}$ are g-Bessel sequence as in (12). Then there exists a sequence $\{\Theta_j\}_{j\in J}$ such that

(13)
$$f = \sum_{j \in J} \Lambda_j^* \Theta_j f, \ \forall f \in \mathcal{R}(K).$$

where $\Theta_j = \Gamma_j(K^{\dagger} \mid_{\mathcal{R}(K)})$. Moreover, $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$ and $\{\Theta_j\}_{j \in J}$ are interchangeable for any $f \in \mathcal{R}(K)$, that is,

(14)
$$f = \sum_{j \in J} \Theta_j^* \Lambda_j f, \ \forall f \in \mathcal{R}(K)$$

3. Approximate *K*-*g*-duals

Motivated by the concept of approximate dual frames in [6], we will define and focus on the approximate dual *K*-*g*-frames for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{H_j\}_{j\in J}$. By the Theorem 2.6, since $K^{\dagger} \mid_{\mathcal{R}(K)} : \mathcal{R}(K) \to \mathcal{H}$, we obtain $\Theta_j : \mathcal{R}(K) \to \mathcal{H}_j$. For any $f \in \mathcal{R}(K)$, we have

(15)
$$\sum_{j \in J} \|\Theta_j f\|^2 = \sum_{j \in J} \|\Gamma_j K^{\dagger} f\|^2 \le B \|K^{\dagger} f\|^2 \le B \|K^{\dagger} \|^2 \|f\|^2.$$

That is, $\{\Theta_j\}_{j\in J}$ is a g-Bessel sequence for $\mathcal{R}(K)$ with respect to $\{\mathcal{H}_j\}_{j\in J}$. Let T_{Θ} be the synthesis operator of $\{\Theta_j\}_{j\in J}$. Consider two mixed operators $T_{\Lambda}T_{\Theta}^*$ and $T_{\Theta}T_{\Lambda}^*$ as follows:

$$T_{\Lambda}T_{\Theta}^*: \mathcal{R}(K) \to \mathcal{H}, \ T_{\Lambda}T_{\Theta}^*f = \sum \Lambda_j^*\Theta_j f, \ \forall f \in \mathcal{R}(K),$$

$$T_{\Theta}T_{\Lambda}^*: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{R}(K), \ T_{\Theta}T_{\Lambda}^*f = \sum_j \Theta_j^*\Lambda_j f, \ \forall f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

By the Theorem 2.6 and similar to the definition of duality and approximate duality stated in [6], we have the following definition:

Definition 3.1. Consider two g-Bessel sequences $\{\Lambda_j \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_j) : j \in J\}$ and $\{\Theta_j \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_j) : j \in J\}$.

(i) The sequences $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$ and $\{\Theta_j\}_{j\in J}$ are dual K-g-frames, when $T_{\Lambda}T_{\Theta}^* = I_{\mathcal{R}(K)}$ or $T_{\Theta}T_{\Lambda}^*|_{\mathcal{R}(K)} = I_{\mathcal{R}(K)}$. In this case, we say that $\{\Theta_j\}_{j\in J}$ is a K-g-dual of $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$,

(ii) The sequences $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$ and $\{\Theta_j\}_{j\in J}$ are approximately dual K-g-frames, whenever $\|I_{\mathcal{R}(K)} - T_{\Lambda}T_{\Theta}^*\| < 1$ or $\|I_{\mathcal{R}(K)} - T_{\Theta}T_{\Lambda}^*|_{\mathcal{R}(K)}\| < 1$. In this case, we say that $\{\Theta_j\}_{j\in J}$ is an approximate dual K-g-frame or approximate K-g-dual of $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$.

Note that the given conditions are equivalent, by taking adjoint. A well-known algorithm to find the inverse of an operator is the Neumann series algorithm. Since $||I_{\mathcal{R}(K)} - T_{\Lambda}T_{\Theta}^*|| < 1$, $T_{\Lambda}T_{\Theta}^*$ is invertible with

$$(T_{\Lambda}T_{\Theta}^{*})^{-1} = (I_{\mathcal{R}(K)} - (I_{\mathcal{R}(K)} - T_{\Lambda}T_{\Theta}^{*}))^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (I_{\mathcal{R}(K)} - T_{\Lambda}T_{\Theta}^{*})^{n}.$$

Therefore, every $f \in \mathcal{R}(K)$ can be reconstruct as

(16)
$$f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (I_{\mathcal{R}(K)} - T_{\Lambda} T_{\Theta}^*)^n T_{\Lambda} T_{\Theta}^* f$$

The following Propositions is the analog of Prop. 3.4 and Prop. 4.1 in [6] to obtain new approximate K-g-duals.

Proposition 3.2. Let $\{\Theta_j\}_{j\in J}$ be an approximate K-g-dual of $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$, then $\{\Theta_j(T_\Lambda T_\Theta^*)^{-1}\}$ is a K-g-dual of $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$.

Proof. It is easy to see that $\{\Theta_j(T_\Lambda T_\Theta^*)^{-1}\}_{j\in J}$ is a g-Bessel sequence and

$$f = (T_{\Lambda}T_{\Theta}^*)(T_{\Lambda}T_{\Theta}^*)^{-1}f = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \Lambda_j^* \Theta_j (T_{\Lambda}T_{\Theta}^*)^{-1}f$$
$$= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \Lambda_j^* (\Theta_j \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (I_{\mathcal{R}(K)} - T_{\Lambda}T_{\Theta}^*)^n f).$$

Therefore, $\{\Theta_j(T_\Lambda T_\Theta^*)^{-1}\} = \{\Theta_j \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (I_{\mathcal{R}(K)} - T_\Lambda T_\Theta^*)^n\}_{j \in J}$ is a *K*-g-dual of $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$. \Box

For each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, define $\gamma_j^{(N)} = \sum_{n=0}^N \Theta_j (I_{\mathcal{R}(K)} - T_\Lambda T_\Theta^*)^n$. Define $T_N : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ by $T_N = \sum_{n=0}^N (I_{\mathcal{R}(K)} - T_\Lambda T_\Theta^*)^n$, Then $\gamma_j^{(N)} = \Theta_j T_N$, $\forall j \in J$. The sequence $\{\gamma_j^{(N)}\}_{j \in J}$ is obtained from the *g*-Bessel sequence $\{\Theta_j\}_{j \in j}$ by a bounded operator, therefore, it is a *g*-Bessel sequence. For each $f \in \mathcal{R}(K)$,

$$T_{\Lambda}T_{\Theta}^{*}T_{N}f = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \Lambda_{j}^{*}\Theta_{j}T_{N}f = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \Lambda_{j}^{*}\gamma_{j}^{(N)}f = T_{\Lambda}T_{\Gamma}^{*}f,$$

where T_{Γ} is the synthesis operator of $\{\gamma_j^{(N)}\}_{j\in J}$. Thus,

$$T_{\Lambda}T_{\Gamma}^{*}f = T_{\Lambda}T_{\Theta}^{*}T_{N}f$$
$$= [I_{\mathcal{R}(K)} - (I_{\mathcal{R}(K)} - T_{\Lambda}T_{\Theta}^{*})]\sum_{n=0}^{N} (I_{\mathcal{R}(K)} - T_{\Lambda}T_{\Theta}^{*})^{n}f$$
$$= [I_{\mathcal{R}(K)} - (I_{\mathcal{R}(K)} - T_{\Lambda}T_{\Theta}^{*})^{N+1}]f,$$

by telescoping. Thus

(17)
$$\|I_{\mathcal{R}(K)} - T_{\Lambda}T_{\Gamma}^*\| = \|(I_{\mathcal{R}(K)} - T_{\Lambda}T_{\Theta}^*)^{N+1}\|$$

(18)
$$\leq \|I_{\mathcal{R}(K)} - T_{\Lambda} T_{\Theta}^*)\|^{N+1}.$$

If $\{\Theta_j\}_{j\in J}$ be an approximate K-g-dual of $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$, then

(19)
$$\|I_{\mathcal{R}(K)} - T_{\Lambda} T_{\Theta}^*)\| < 1.$$

By (17) and (19), we obtain

$$\|I_{\mathcal{R}(K)} - T_{\Lambda}T_{\Gamma}^*)\| < 1,$$

That is, $\{\gamma_j^{(N)}\}_{j\in J}$ is an approximate *K*-*g*-dual of $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$.

We now summarize what we have proved:

Proposition 3.3. Let $\{\Theta_j\}_{j\in J}$ be an approximate K-g-dual of $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$. Then

$$\{\sum_{n=0}^{N} \Theta_j (I_{\mathcal{R}(K)} - T_{\Lambda} T_{\Theta}^*)^n\}_{j \in J}$$

is an approximate K-g-dual of $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$.

Theorem 3.4. Let $\{\Lambda_j \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_j) : j \in J\}$ be a K-g-frame and $\{\Theta_j \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_j) : j \in J\}$ be a g-Bessel sequence. Let also $\{f_{i,j}\}_{i \in I_j}$ be a frame for \mathcal{H}_j with bounds A_j and B_j for every $j \in J$ such that, $0 < A = \inf_{j \in J} A_j \leq \sup_{j \in J} B_j = B < \infty$. Then $\{\Theta_j\}_{j \in J}$ is an approximate K-g-dual of $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$ if and only if $E = \{\Theta_j^* f_{i,j}\}_{i \in I_j, j \in J}$ is an approximate dual of $F = \{\Lambda_j^* \tilde{f}_{i,j}\}_{i \in I_j, j \in J}$, where $\{\tilde{f}_{i,j}\}_{i \in I_j}$ is the canonical dual of $\{f_{i,j}\}_{i \in I_j}$.

Proof. For each $f \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$\sum_{j \in J} \sum_{i \in I_j} |\langle f, \Theta_j^* f_{i,j} \rangle|^2 = \sum_{j \in J} \sum_{i \in I_j} |\langle \Theta_j f, f_{i,j} \rangle|^2$$
$$\leq \sum_{j \in J} B_j \|\Theta_j f\|^2 \leq B \sum_{j \in J} \|\Theta_j f\|^2.$$

This implies that E is a Bessel sequence for \mathcal{H} . Similarly, F is also Bessel sequence for \mathcal{H} . Moreover, for each $f \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$T_{\Theta}T_{\Lambda}^{*}f = \sum_{j \in J} \Theta_{j}^{*}\Lambda_{j}f$$

$$= \sum_{j \in J} \Theta_{j}^{*} \sum_{i \in I_{j}} \langle \Lambda_{j}f, \widetilde{f}_{i,j} \rangle f_{i,j}$$

$$= \sum_{j \in J, i \in I_{j}} \langle f, \Lambda_{J}^{*}\widetilde{f}_{i,j} \rangle \Theta_{j}^{*}f_{i,j}$$

$$= T_{E}T_{F}^{*}f.$$

So $||I - T_{\Theta}T_{\Lambda}^*|| < 1$ if and only if $||I - T_ET_F^*|| < 1$, this follows the result.

4. Redundancy

One of the important property of frame theory is the possibility of redundancy. For example, in [3, Theorem (3.2)] the authors have provided sufficient conditions on the weights in the fusion frames to be a fusion frames, when some elements erasure, that is, some arbitrary elements can be removed without destroying the fusion frame property of the remaining set.

Our main result in this section provides an equivalent condition under which the subsequence of a K-g-frame still to be a K-g-frame. In the theory of K-g-frames, if we have information on the lower K-g-frame bound and the norm of the K-g-frame elements, we can provide a criterion for how many elements we can remove:

Proposition 4.1. Let $K \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, such that K^* is bounded below with constant C > 0and also let $\{\Lambda_j \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_j) : j \in J\}$ is a K-g-frame with lower bound $A > \frac{1}{C}$. Then for each subset $I \subset J$ with |I| < AC such that, $\|\Lambda_j\| = 1, \forall j \in I$, the family $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J \setminus I}$ is a K-g-frame for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{\mathcal{H}_j\}_{j \in J}$ with lower K-g-frame bound $AC^2 - |I|$.

Proof. Given $f \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\sum_{j \in I} \|\Lambda_j f\|^2 \le \sum_{j \in I} \|\Lambda_j\|^2 \|f\|^2 = |I| \|f\|^2.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{j \in J \setminus I} \|\Lambda_j f\|^2 \ge A \|K^* f\|^2 - |I| \|f\|^2$$
$$\ge AC^2 \|f\|^2 - |I| \|f\|^2$$
$$= (AC^2 - |I|) \|f\|^2.$$

Theorem 4.2. Let $I \subset J$. Suppose that $\{\Lambda_j \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_j) : j \in J\}$ is a K-g-frame with bounds A, B and K-g-frame operator $S_{\Lambda,J}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $I S_{\Lambda,J}^{-1} S_{\Lambda,I}$ is boundedly invertible,
- (ii) The sequence $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J\setminus I}$ is a K-g-frame for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{\mathcal{H}_j\}_{j\in J}$ with lower K-g-frame bound $\frac{A}{\|(I-S_{\Lambda,J}^{-1}S_{\Lambda,I})^{-1}\|^2}$.

Proof. Denote the frame operator of K-g-frame $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in J \setminus I}$ by $S_{\Lambda, J \setminus I}$. Since

$$S_{\Lambda,J\setminus I} = S_{\Lambda,J} - S_{\Lambda,I} = S_{\Lambda,J}(I - S_{\Lambda,J}^{-1}S_{\Lambda,I}),$$

we have, $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J\setminus I}$ is K-g-frame if and only if $S_{\Lambda,J\setminus I}$ is boundedly invertible and then if and only if $I - S_{\Lambda,J}^{-1}S_{\Lambda,I}$ is boundedly invertible.

Now, for the lower K-g-frame bound, assume that $I - S_{\Lambda,J}^{-1} S_{\Lambda,I}$ is invertible. Since $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$

is a K-g-frame for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{\mathcal{H}_j\}_{j\in J}$ with bounds A and B, for any $f \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$f = S_{\Lambda,J}^{-1} S_{\Lambda,J} f$$

= $S_{\Lambda,J}^{-1} (\sum_{j \in I} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j f + \sum_{j \in J \setminus I} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j f)$
= $S_{\Lambda,J}^{-1} S_{\Lambda,I} f + \sum_{j \in J \setminus I} S_{\Lambda,J}^{-1} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j f.$

Hence we have, $(I - S_{\Lambda,J}^{-1}S_{\Lambda,I})f = \sum_{j \in J \setminus I} S_{\Lambda,J}^{-1}\Lambda_j^*\Lambda_j f$. Therefore we obtain

$$\begin{split} \|(I - S_{\Lambda,J}^{-1}S_{\Lambda,I})f\| &= \|\sum_{j\in J\setminus I} S_{\Lambda,J}^{-1}\Lambda_j^*\Lambda_j f\| \\ &= \sup_{g\in\mathcal{H}, \|g\|=1} |\langle \sum_{j\in J\setminus I} S_{\Lambda,J}^{-1}\Lambda_j^*\Lambda_j f, g\rangle| \\ &= \sup_{g\in\mathcal{H}, \|g\|=1} |\sum_{j\in J\setminus I} \langle \Lambda_j f, \Lambda_j S_{\Lambda,J}^{-1}g\rangle| \\ &\leq \sup_{g\in\mathcal{H}, \|g\|=1} \sum_{j\in J\setminus I} \|\Lambda_j f\| \|\Lambda_j S_{\Lambda,J}^{-1}g\| \\ &\leq \sup_{g\in\mathcal{H}, \|g\|=1} (\sum_{j\in J\setminus I} \|\Lambda_j f\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\sum_{j\in J\setminus I} \|\Lambda_j S_{\Lambda,J}^{-1}g\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \sup_{g\in\mathcal{H}, \|g\|=1} (\sum_{j\in J\setminus I} \|\Lambda_j f\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\langle S_{\Lambda,J}(S_{\Lambda,J}^{-1}g), S_{\Lambda,J}^{-1}g\rangle)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{split}$$

That is

(20)
$$\|(I - S_{\Lambda,J}^{-1}S_{\Lambda,I})f\| \le \sqrt{A^{-1}} (\sum_{j \in J \setminus I} \|\Lambda_j f\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

It follows that $I - S_{\Lambda,J}^{-1}S_{\Lambda,I}$ is well defined in \mathcal{H} . If $I - S_{\Lambda,J}^{-1}S_{\Lambda,I}$ is invertible on \mathcal{H} , then for any $f \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

(21)
$$\|K^*f\| \le \|K^*(I - S_{\Lambda,J}^{-1}S_{\Lambda,I})^{-1}\| \cdot \|(I - S_{\Lambda,J}^{-1}S_{\Lambda,I}f)\|.$$

From (20) and (21) we have

$$\frac{A}{\|K^*(I - S_{\Lambda,J}^{-1}S_{\Lambda,I})^{-1}\|^2} \|K^*f\|^2 \le \sum_{j \in J \setminus I} \|\Lambda_j f\|^2, \ \forall f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

This complete the proof.

References

- Asgari, M.S., Rahimi, H. Generalized frames for operators in Hilbert spaces, Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top. 17, no 2, 1450013, 20 pp (2014)
- [2] Balazs, P., Antoine, J.P., Grybos, A. Weighted and contorlled frames, Mutual relationship and first numerical properties, Int. J. Wavelets, Multiresolut. Inf. Process. Vol. 8, No. 1, (2010), 109-132.
- [3] Casazza, P.G., Kutyniok, G. Robustness of fusion frames under erasures of subspaces and of local frame vectors, Contemp. Math. 464, (2008), 149-160.

- [4] Casazza, P.G., Kutyniok, G., Li, S. Fusion frames and distributed processing, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal., 25, no. 1, (2008), 114-132.
- [5] Christensen, O. An introduction to frames and Riesz Bases, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, (2008)
- [6] Christensen, O., Laugesen, R.S.P. Approximate dual frames in Hilbert spaces and applications to Gabor frames, Sampl. Theory Signal Image Process., 9, (2011), 77-90.
- [7] Duffin, R.J., Schaeffer, A.C. A class of nonharmonic Fourier series, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 72, (1952), 341-366.
- [8] Daubechies, I., Grossmann, A., Meyer, Y. Painless nonorthogonal expansions, J. Math. Phys. 27, no. 5, (1986), 1271-1283.
- [9] Feichtinger, H.G., Kaiblinger, N. Varying the time-frequency lattice of Gabor frames, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 356, (2004), 2001-2023.
- [10] Hua, D., Huang, Y. K-g-frames and stability of K-g-frames in Hilbert spaces, Korian J. Math. 53, no. 6, (2016), 1331-1345.
- [11] Gilbert, J.E., Han, Y.S., Hogan, J.A., Lakey, J.D., Weiland, D., Weiss, G. Smooth molecular decompositions of functions and singular integral operators, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 156, no. 742, 74 pp., (2002)
- [12] Gavruta, L. Frames for operators, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal., 32, no. 1, (2012), 139-144.
- [13] Cazassa, P.G., Kutyniok, G. Frames of subspaces, Wavelets, frames and opeator theory, Contemp. Math., Vol. 345, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., (2004), 87-113.
- [14] Zhou, Y., Zhu, Y. K-g-frames and dual g-frames for closed subspaces, Acta Math. Sinica (Chin. Ser.) 56, 5, (2013), 799-806.
- [15] Zhou, Y., Zhu, Y. Characterizations of K-g-frames in Hilbert spaces, Acta Math. Sinica (Chin. Ser.) 57, 5, (2014), 1031-1040.
- [16] Sun, W. G-frames and g-Riesz bases, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 322, no. 1, (2006), 437-452.

E-mail address: j_cheshmavar@pnu.ac.ir *E-mail address*: rezaei.sarkhaei@yahoo.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PAYAME NOOR UNIVERSITY, P.O.BOX 19395-3697, TEHRAN, IRAN.