# QUANTUM GRAVITY FROM FUNDAMENTAL QUESTIONS TO PHENOMENOLOGICAL APPLICATIONS

Natália Alkofer

This thesis has been financially supported by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) within the Foundation for Fundamental Research on Matter (FOM) grant 13PR3137.

ISBN 978-94-92896-57-5

Printed by GROSCHBERGER DRUCK GmbH

### Quantum Gravity from Fundamental Questions to Phenomenological Applications

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van de graad van doctor aan de Radboud Universiteit Nijmegen op gezag van de rector magnificus prof. dr. J.H.J.M. van Krieken, volgens besluit van het college van decanen in het openbaar te verdedigen op

woensdag 19 september 2018

om 16:30 uur precies

door

### Natália Alkofer

geboren op 6 mei 1987

te Niterói, Brazilië

Promotor: Prof. dr. Renate Loll Copromotor: dr. Frank Saueressig

Manuscriptcommissie:

Prof. dr. Jan Ambjørn (Københavns Universitet, Denemarken)

Prof. dr. Alfio Bonanno (Osservatorio Astrofisico di Catania, Itali)

Prof. dr. Ronald H. P. Kleiss

Prof. dr. Jan M. Pawlowski (Universität Heidelberg, Duitsland)

Prof. dr. Andreas Wipf (Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena, Duitsland)

### Quantum Gravity from Fundamental Questions to Phenomenological Applications

Doctoral thesis

to obtain the degree of doctor from Radboud University Nijmegen on the authority of the Rector Magnificus prof. dr. J.H.J.M. van Krieken, according to the decision of the Council of Deans to be defended in public on

Wednesday September 19, 2018

at 16:30 hours

by

### Natália Alkofer

born on May 6, 1987

in Niterói, Brazil

Supervisor: Prof. dr. Renate Loll Co-supervisor: dr. Frank Saueressig

Manuscript Committee:

Prof. dr. Jan Ambjørn (University of Copenhagen, Denmark)

Prof. dr. Alfio Bonanno (INAF, Astronomical Observatory of Catania, Italy)

Prof. dr. Ronald H. P. Kleiss

Prof. dr. Jan M. Pawlowski (University of Heidelberg, Germany)

Prof. dr. Andreas Wipf (University of Jena, Germany)

## Samenvatting

Ons hedendaagse theoretische begrip van de fundamentele eigenschappen van de natuur is opgesplitst in twee domeinen. Algemene Relativiteitstheorie beschrijft zwaartekracht en de structuur van ruimtetijd. Het is belangrijk voor astrofysica en kosmologie, en daarom is haar belangrijkste toepassing op zeer grote lengteschalen. Bovendien zijn vele van haar experimenteel bevestigde voorspellingen totaal onverwacht, zoals bijvoorbeeld zwarte gaten.

Evenzo beschrijft het Standaardmodel van deeltjesfysica nauwkeurig de fysica op kleine, atomaire en subatomaire lengteschalen. De pogingen om een kwantumtheorie voor gravitatie te formuleren proberen deze twee fundamenten van de theoretische natuurkunde te unificeren in één enkele beschrijving van de natuur.

Hoewel Algemene Relativiteitstheorie en de kwantumveldentheorieën die het Standaardmodel vormen, voortkomen uit een zeer verschillende aanpak om vrij verschillende verschijnselen te verklaren, onthult nader onderzoek onverwachte analogieën. Deze hebben in het verleden verscheidene, in principe ongerelateerde benaderingen voor kwantumgravitatie voortgebracht. Hierbij is het verre van triviaal dat verschillende modellen voor kwantumgravitatie een nietmanifoldachtige structuur van ruimtetijd voorspellen, die een dimensionele reductie op korte lengteschaal vertonen. Deze observatie verdient een dieper begrip.

Bovendien dienen zwarte gaten als een rijke proeftuin voor ideeën voor kwantumgravitatie. Het begrijpen van eigenschappen als het bestaan of niet bestaan van een singulariteit, of het lot van het verdampen van zwarte gaten door Hawkingstraling, zijn slechts twee van de vele uitdagingen in deze context.

Het onderzoeken van kwantumgravitatie vereist kennis van zowel Algemene Relativiteitstheorie en kwantumveldentheorie. Daarom begint dit proefschrift, na een algemene inleiding in de behandelde onderwerpen, met een kort overzicht van de veldentheoretische aspecten van zwaartekracht die relevant zijn voor de projecten die hier behandeld worden, dat wil zeggen, (i) een studie van interagerende vaste punten voor f(R)-zwaartekracht gekoppeld aan materie, (ii) een berekening van de spectrale dimensie gebaseerd op een spectrale actie, (iii) een studie naar het Unruh-effect in verscheidene modellen voor kwantumzwaartekracht, en (iv) de renormalisatiegroep-gemodificeerde metriek voor Schwarzschild-zwarte gaten.

Onder de beschikbare veldentheoretische instrumenten is er één essentieel voor het eerste en hoofdproject, namelijk de functionele renormalisatiegroep voor de effectieve gemiddelde actie. Daarom wordt de versie van de functionele renormalisatiegroep die geschikt is om toe te passen op zwaartekracht in wat meer detail besproken. De belangrijkste toepassing van dit formalisme is het asymptotische veiligheidsscenario. Simpelweg zegt dit dat het gedrag van zwaartekracht op de kortste lengteschalen, en daarom de hoogste energieschalen, gedomineerd worden door een vast punt van de renormalisatiegroep: een verdere toename van de energie verandert de waarde van de koppelingen slechts met een snel afnemende hoeveelheid, waardoor deze uiteindelijk een constante waarde bereiken. Als deze waarden ongelijk aan nul zijn, spreekt men van een interagerend of niet-Gaußisch vast punt van de renormalisatiegroep. In het geval van zwaartekracht is het belangrijk op te merken dat het asymptotische veiligheidsscenario voorspelt dat de dimensieloze constante van Newton een eindige waarde benadert, en dat de theorie interagerend is op de hoogste energieschalen.

En ander belangrijk resultaat geboekt vóór aanvang van de projecten in dit proefschrift is de observatie dat een dergelijk vast punt een dimensionele reductie omvat. Deze eigenschap wordt gekwantificeerd door een concept dat ontwikkeld is in de tak van de wiskunde die fractale geometrieën bestudeert, namelijk de spectrale dimensie. Het achterliggende idee hierbij is dat de terugkeerwaarschijnlijkheid van een willekeurig pad op een dergelijke gegeneraliseerde ruimte, afhangt op een grootheid die geïnterpreteerd zou kunnen worden als een dimensie van zo'n ruimte. Aangezien deze gerelateerd is aan de propagator van een willekeurig bewegend testdeeltje, wordt deze spectrale dimensie genoemd.

Uitgerust met deze instrumenten is een studie naar de niet-Gaußische vaste punten van de renormalisatiegroep uitgevoerd, in de context van f(R)-zwaartekracht gekoppeld aan een willekeurig aantal scalaire, Dirac- en vectorvelden. Deze opzet geeft heldere schattingen van welke zwaartekracht-materiesystemen een niet-Gaußisch vast punt voorspellen dat geschikt is om een theorie asymptotisch veilig the houden. De analyse gebruikt een exponentiële splitsing van de metriek en behoudt een zeven-parameterfamilie van coarse-graining operatoren. Wanneer deze parameters op nul worden gezet, vertonen zwaartekracht gekoppeld aan Standaardmodel-materie en vele uitbreidingen voorbij het Standaardmodel een niet-Gaußisch vast punt waarvan de eigenschappen sterk overeenkomen met die van zuivere zwaartekracht. Omgekeerd vertonen geen van de fenomenologisch interessante zwaartekracht-materiesystemen een stabiel vast punt als zogenoemde spectraal aangepaste regulatoren worden toegepast. De gepresenteerde analyse geeft uitsluitsel over conflicterende resultaten uit eerdere literatuur door aan te tonen dat de verkregen interagerende vaste punten in de twee methodes tot verschillende klassen behoren, en dat slechts één van hen stabiel is onder toevoeging van hogere-orde operatoren in de actie. Verder tonen de resultaten aan dat, net als in het geval van zuivere zwaartekracht, ook onder toevoeging van scalar-, fermion- en vectorvelden globale oplossingen bestaan voor discrete verzamelingen van parameterwaarden. We bestuderen het asymptotische, grote-kromminggedrag van de vaste puntfuncties, en geven voorbeelden van globale oplossingen.

In het volgende project berekenen we de spectrale dimensie voor klassieke spectrale acties zoals die voorkomen in bijna-commutatieve meetkunde. We analyseren de propagatie van spin-0-, spin-1- and spin-2-velden, en tonen aan dat een niet-triviale spectrale dimensie al op klassiek niveau opduikt. Voor lange diffusietijden vinden we de verwachte waarde vier. Voor korte diffusietijden wordt de spectrale dimensie volledig gedomineerd door hoge-energieeigenschappen van de spectrale actie, en dit geeft een spectrale dimensie van nul voor alle bosons onder beschouwing. Dit resultaat kwantificeert eerdere beweringen dat hoge-energiebosons niet propageren.

Het Unruh-effect is de theoretische voorspelling dat een versnelde detector deeltjes in het vacuüm detecteert. Het spectrum van deze deeltjes is hierbij thermaal, met een effectieve temperatuur strikt proportioneel met de eigenversnelling van de waarnemer. In één van de projecten van dit proefschrift, namelijk de analyse van het Unruh-effect voor scalardeeltjes in verscheidene modellen voor kwantumgravitatie, onderzoeken we of een verandering in spectrale dimensie observabele consequenties heeft. We tonen aan dat Lorentz-invariante correcties aan de tweepuntsfunctie een karakteristieke vingerafdruk geven in de geïnduceerde detectiefrequentie van de versnelde detector, terwijl de Unruh-temperatuur onveranderd blijft. In het algemeen vertonen modellen voor kwantumgravitatie met een dynamische dimensionele reductie een onderdrukte detectiefrequentie bij hoge energieën, terwijl de frequentie versterkt wordt bij Kaluza-Kleintheorieën met compacte extra dimensies.

Dit gedrag wordt gekwantificeerd door de introductie van het nieuwe begrip "Unruh-dimensie" als de effectieve ruimtetijddimensie gezien door het Unruh-effect. Deze dimensie blijkt gerelateerd, maar niet identiek, aan de spectrale dimensie die gebruikt wordt om ruimtetijd in kwantumgravitatie te karakteriseren. We bespreken de fysische oorsprong van deze effecten en hun relevantie voor de verdamping van zwarte gaten.

De cyclus van projecten die we hier presenteren wordt afgesloten door een nauwkeuriger blik op de eigenschappen van zwarte gaten in het asymptotische veiligheidsscenario. In het bijzonder geven we opheldering over de observatie dat renormalisatiegroep-gemodificeerde Schwarzschild-zwarte gaten een prototypisch voorbeeld zijn van een Hayward-geometrie. De laatste wordt genoemd als een model voor niet-singuliere zwarte gaten in kwantumgravitationele fenomenologie. Verder bespreken we kort de rol van de cosmologische constante in het proces van renormalisatiegroepmodificatie. We benadrukken dat deze niet-singuliere zwarte gaten vele eigenschappen delen met zogenoemde Planck-sterren: hun effectieve geometrie omvat op logische wijze de een-luscorrecties gevonden met behulp van effectieve veldentheorie, hun Kretschmann-scalar is begrensd, en de singulariteit van het zwarte gat is vervangen door een regulier De Sitterdomein.

## Summary

The current theoretical understanding of the fundamental properties of nature is split into two domains. General relativity describes gravity and the structure of spacetime. It is important for astrophysics and cosmology, and therefore its main application is at very large length scales. Furthermore, many of its observationally confirmed predictions, as, e.g., black holes, came totally unexpected. Similarly, the standard model of particle physics, describes accurately the physics at small, atomic and subatomic, length scales. The attempts to formulate a quantum theory for gravity seek to unify these two pillars of theoretical physics into one single description of nature. Although general relativity and the quantum field theories constituting the standard model originate from the very distinct efforts to explain quite different phenomena, a closer look reveals unanticipated analogies which in the past have initiated several, in principle, disconnected approaches to quantum gravity. Hereby the fact that various quantum gravity models arrive at a non-manifold like structure of spacetime exhibiting dimensional reduction at short length scales is far from trivial and deserves a deeper understanding. Furthermore, black holes serve as a rich testing ground for quantum gravity ideas. Understanding features like the existence or non-existence of a singularity or the fate of black hole evaporation by Hawking radiation, are only two of the many challenges posed in this context.

Investigating quantum gravity requires a comprehension of both, general relativity and quantum field theory. Therefore this thesis starts, after a general introduction to the treated topics, with a brief review of the field theoretical aspects of gravity which are relevant for the projects described here, *i.e.*, (i) a study of interacting fixed points for f(R) gravity coupled to matter, (ii) a calculation of the spectral dimension based on a spectral action, (iii) an investigation of the Unruh effect in different quantum gravity models, and (iv) the metric for renormalisation group improved Schwarzschild black holes.

Amongst the available field-theoretical tools one is central to the first and major project, namely the functional renormalisation group for the effective average action. Therefore the version of the functional renormalisation group suitable to be applied to gravity is introduced in some more detail. The most important application of this formalism is the asymptotic safety scenario. In short, it states that the behaviour of gravity at the shortest length and thus highest energy scales is dominated by a renormalisation group fixed point: a further increase of the energy scale does change the values of the couplings only by a quickly decreasing amount, and they eventually reach constant values. If these values are non-vanishing one speaks about

an interacting or non-Gaußian renormalisation group fixed point. In the context of gravity it is important to note that the asymptotic safety scenario predicts that the dimensionless Newton constant approaches a non-vanishing value, and the theory is interacting at highest energy scales.

A further important result obtained previous to the start of this thesis' projects is the observation that such a fixed point entails a dimensional reduction. This property may be quantified by a concept developed in the branch of mathematics studying fractal geometries, the spectral dimension. The underlying idea is hereby that the return probability of a random walk on such a generalised space depends on a quantity which might be interpreted as a dimension on such a space. As it is related with the propagator of the randomly walking test particle it is called spectral dimension.

Equipped with these tools a study of the non-Gaußian renormalisation group fixed points arising within the framework of f(R)-gravity minimally coupled to an arbitrary number of scalar, Dirac, and vector fields has been performed. Based on this setting comprehensive estimates are presented which gravity-matter systems give rise to non-Gaußian renormalisation group fixed points suitable for rendering the theory asymptotically safe. The analysis employs an exponential split of the metric and retains a seven-parameter family of coarse-graining operators. For vanishing parameters, gravity coupled to the matter content of the standard model of particle physics and many beyond the standard model extensions exhibit non-Gaußian renormalisation group fixed points whose properties are strikingly similar to the case of pure gravity. Conversely, none of the phenomenologically interesting gravity-matter systems exhibits a stable fixed point if so-called spectrally adjusted regulators are employed. The presented analysis resolves conflicts on previous results in the literature by demonstrating that the obtained interacting fixed points in the two settings belong to different classes, and only one of them is stable under the inclusion of higher-order operators in the action. Furthermore, it is demonstrated that, as in the pure gravity case, also with scalar, fermion and vector fields added, global quadratic solutions exist for discrete sets of parameter values. The asymptotic, large-curvature behaviour of the fixed point functions is analysed, and examples for global solutions are provided.

In the next project the generalised spectral dimension is computed for classical spectral actions obtained within the framework of almost-commutative geometry. Analysing the propagation of spin-0, spin-1, and spin-2 fields, it is demonstrated that a non-trivial spectral dimension arises already at the classical level. For long diffusion times the expected value four is obtained. For short diffusion times the spectral dimension is completely dominated by the highmomentum properties of the spectral action, yielding a vanishing spectral dimension for all considered bosons. This result quantifies earlier claims that high-energy bosons do not propagate.

The Unruh effect is the theoretical prediction that an accelerated detector measures particles in the vacuum. The spectrum of these particles is hereby thermal with an effective temperature strictly proportional to the proper acceleration of the observer. In one of this thesis' projects, namely the analysis of the Unruh effect for scalar particles in different quantum gravity models, it is investigated whether a change in the spectral dimension has observable consequences. It is shown that, while the Unruh temperature is unchanged, Lorentz-invariant corrections to the two-point function leave a characteristic signature in the induced detection rate of the accelerated detector. Generically, quantum gravity models exhibiting dynamical dimensional reduction show a suppression of the Unruh rate at high energy while the rate is enhanced in Kaluza-Klein theories with compact extra dimensions.

This behaviour is quantified by introducing the novel concept of a "Unruh dimension" as the effective spacetime dimension seen by the Unruh effect. It turns out that this dimension is related, though not identical, to the spectral dimension used to characterise spacetime in quantum gravity. The physical origin of these effects and their relevance for black hole evaporation is discussed.

The cycle of the projects presented here is concluded by a closer look into the properties of black holes within the asymptotic safety scenario. In particular, the observation is elucidated that correspondingly renormalisation group improved Schwarzschild black holes constitute a prototypical example of a Hayward geometry. The latter has been advocated as a model for non-singular black holes within quantum gravity phenomenology. Furthermore, the role of the cosmological constant in the renormalisation group improvement process is briefly discussed. It is emphasised that these non-singular black holes share many features of a so-called Planck star: their effective geometry naturally incorporates the one-loop corrections found in the effective field theory framework, their Kretschmann scalar is bounded, and the black hole singularity is replaced by a regular de Sitter patch.

# Contents

1	Introduction			1			
	1.1	A Ren	nark on the Renormalisability of Gravity	1			
	1.2	Asymp	ptotic Safety	4			
	1.3	Dimen	sional Reduction	6			
2	On Field Theoretical Aspects of Gravity						
	2.1	The Ei	nstein-Hilbert Action and Modified Theories of Gravity	9			
		2.1.1	General Relativity	9			
		2.1.2	Modifications and Additions to the Einstein-Hilbert Action	10			
		2.1.3	Background Metric, Tensor Decomposition, and Gauge Fixing	10			
		2.1.4	Coupling Matter to Gravity	15			
	2.2	Some	Basic Aspects of Quantum Field Theory in Curved Spacetimes	16			
	2.3	Almos	t-Commutative Geometry and Spectral Actions	19			
	2.4	Spectr	al Dimension in the Ultraviolet	21			
3	Fun	ctional	Renormalisation Group	23			
	3.1	The W	Tetterich Equation for the Effective Average Action	24			
	3.2	The A	symptotic Safety Scenario for Gravity	25			
4	Asy	mptotic	ally Safe $f(R)$ -Gravity Coupled to Matter	29			
	4.1	Object	ive and Key Results	30			
	4.2	Flow <b>B</b>	Equation for Gravity-Matter Systems in the $f(R)$ -Truncation	31			
		4.2.1	Project Outline	31			
		4.2.2	Trace Contributions from the Gravitational and Matter Sector	34			
		4.2.3	Constraining the Coarse-Graining Operator	37			
	4.3	Evalua	ting Operator Traces as Spectral Sums	38			
	4.4	Fixed	Point Structure of $f(R)$ -Gravity Matter Systems in the Polynomial Ap-				
		proxin	nation	44			
		4.4.1	Polynomial $f(R)$ -Truncation: General Framework	45			
		4.4.2	Fixed Point Structure in the Einstein-Hilbert Truncation	46			
		4.4.3	Gravity-Matter Fixed Points in the Presence of an $R^2$ -Term	49			

С	Deri	ivation o	of the Functional Renormalisation Group Equation	115			
B	Dira	ac Opera	ator on Spheres $S^d$ : Eigenvalues and Degeneracies	113			
A	Laplace Operators			111			
8	Conclusions and Outlook			107			
7	Black holes in Asymptotically Safe Gravity						
	6.6	Summ	ary	. 98			
		6.5.4	Causal Set Inspired Theories	. 97			
		6.5.3	Spectral Actions	. 94			
		6.5.2	Kaluza-Klein Theories	. 93			
		6.5.1	Dynamical Dimensional Reduction	. 89			
	6.5	Unruh	Rates and Dimensional Flows	. 89			
	6.4	Scaling	g Dimensions	. 88			
		6.3.2	Detector Rates from the Källen-Lehmann Representation	. 87			
		6.3.1	Detector Rates from the Ostrogradski Decomposition	. 85			
	6.3	Master	Formulas for Modified Detector Rates	. 85			
		6.2.3	Detector Response for Scalars	. 83			
		6.2.2	Emergence of Thermal Spectrum	. 82			
		6.2.1	Particle Detectors and Two-Point Functions	. 79			
	6.2	Rates f	from Correlators	. 79			
	6.1	Object	ive	. 78			
6	Qua	ntum G	Fravity Signatures in the Unruh Effect	77			
	5.5	Summa	ary	. 76			
		5.4.2	Propagators with Full Momentum Dependence	. 75			
		5.4.1	Effective Field Theory Framework	. 73			
	5.4	The Sp	bectral Dimension from the Spectral Action	. 73			
	5.3	The G	eneralised Spectral Dimension	. 71			
	5.2	The Sp	bectral Action and its Bosonic Propagators	. 66			
	5.1	Motiva	ation and Objective	. 65			
5	Spec	ctral Di	mensions from the Spectral Action	65			
	4.6	Summ	ary	. 63			
	1.0	4.5.3	Numerical Solutions for Global Fixed Functions	. 62			
		4.5.2	Asymptotic Behaviour for Large Curvature	. 60			
		4.5.1	Global Quadratic Solutions	. 55			
	4.5	Global	Fixed Functions for $f(R)$ -Gravity Matter Systems	. 55			
		4.4.4	Gravity-Matter Fixed Points for Selected Matter Sectors	. 52			

### CONTENTS

D	Торо	blogical, Hausdorff and Spectral Dimensions	117
E	Interpolations of Staircase-Type Results for the Traces		119
	E.1	The Averaging Interpolation	120
	E.2	The Middle-of-the-Staircase Interpolation	121
	E.3	The Euler-MacLaurin Interpolation	122
F	Fixe	d Point Structure of Selected Gravity-Matter Systems	125
G	Unif	formly Accelerated Frames	133
Bil	Bibliography		
Ac	Acknowledgements		
Cu	Curriculum Vitae		

# **List of Figures**

3.1	The phase diagram in EH truncation for d=4.	27
4.1	Comparison of smoothing procedures applied to the four-dimensional scalar trace.	40
4.2	Illustration of the FP structure at the level of the EH truncation for a coarse-	
	graining operator of type I and type II respectively.	48
4.3	NGFPs arising in the polynomial $\varphi_k(r)$ approximation at order $N = 2$ for a	
	coarse-graining operator of type I and type II.	50
4.4	FP structure obtained for $N = 2$ as a function of the deformation parameter c	
	interpolating between a type I ( $c = 0$ ) and type II ( $c = 1$ ) coarse-graining	51
4.5	Fixed functions arising from the polynomial expansion of $\varphi(r)$ for a type I	
	coarse-graining operator. The cases of pure gravity and gravity coupled to the	
	matter content of the SM are shown.	55
4.6	Displayed are the fixed functions for the case of pure gravity and with SM	
	matter content. The respective polynomial approximations of order 14 using	
	r = 0 as an expansion point are shown for comparison	62
5.1	Illustration of the momentum dependence of the structure functions $G_s(z)$ for	
	spins 0, 1 and 2	69
5.2	Propagators obtained from truncating $G_s(z)$ at $z^3$ , following the spirit of effect-	
	ive field theory. The expansions up to linear order in $z$ are also shown	69
5.3	The spin-dependent spectral dimension $D_S^{(0)}(T)$ with $N_{\text{max}} = 1$ and $N_{\text{max}} = 3$ .	74
6.1	Numerical integration of (6.26) as a function of dimensionless ratios	84
6.2	Profile function $\mathcal{F}(E)$ for $m = 1$ (left panel). The asymptotics are illustrated	
	by the dashed lines. The right panel shows the dimensions $D_S$ and $D_U$ resulting	
	from the two-point function.	91
6.3	Illustration of the Unruh effect in a $n = 3$ multiscale model with $m_1 = 0$ ,	
	$m_2 = 0.1$ and $m_3 = 10$ . The resulting profile function $\mathcal{F}(E)$ is shown in the	
	left panel while $D_U$ and $D_s$ are displayed in the right panel. The horizontal grey	
	lines indicate the plateau values of the dimensions at $4, 2, 4/3$ and 0. Notably,	
	$D_U$ and $D_s$ exhibit different asymptotics for $E \gg m_3$ .	92

Profile function $\mathcal{F}(E)$ for a 5-dimensional Kaluza-Klein theory. For $E < R^{-1}$	
the profile function is linear in E, while for $E \gg R^{-1}$ it increases proportional	
to $E^2$ .	94
Profile function (6.82) for $a = 3.2$ .	97
Profile function $\mathcal{F}(E)$ and Unruh dimension $D_U$ arising from a model to causal	
set theory.	98
Phase diagram showing the gravitational RG flow of the EH truncation in terms	
of dimensionless coupling constants . The flow is governed by the interplay of	
the NGFP located at $g^* > 0, \lambda^* > 0$ and the GFP at the origin.	102
The left diagram illustrates the horizon structure for the RG improved Schwarz-	
schild black holes. The Kretschmann scalar curvature $K^2 = R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ for the	
case $m = 4 > m_{crit}$ is shown in the right diagram	103
Effective energy density and pressure profiles for the RG improved Schwarz-	
schild black hole with $m = 4, G_0 = 1$ . The radial component of the weak	
energy condition is zero everywhere, while the transversal contribution, shown	
in the right diagram, violates the condition on scales below the inner horizon. $% \left( {{{\bf{n}}_{{\rm{n}}}}} \right)$ .	104
The worldline of an observer with constant proper acceleration a. The corres-	
ponding horizons (boundary of the right Rindler wedge) are displayed as dashed	
lines	134
	Profile function $\mathcal{F}(E)$ for a 5-dimensional Kaluza-Klein theory. For $E < R^{-1}$ the profile function is linear in $E$ , while for $E \gg R^{-1}$ it increases proportional to $E^2$

# **List of Tables**

4.1	Eigenvalues $\lambda_{\ell}^{(s)}$ and their degeneracy $M_{\ell}^{(s)}$ for the Laplacian $\Delta = -\bar{g}^{\mu\nu}\bar{D}_{\mu}\bar{D}_{\nu}$	
	acting on scalars ( $s = 0$ ), Dirac fermions ( $s = 1/2$ ), transverse vectors ( $s = 1$ )	
	and transverse-traceless matrices ( $s = 2$ )	33
4.2	FP structure arising from the field content of commonly studied matter models.	
	The SM and its extensions by a small number of additional matter fields support	
	NGFPs with very similar properties.	48
4.3	Summary of results on the stability of NGFPs appearing for the matter content	
	of the SM of particle physics and its phenomenologically motivated extensions.	53
4.4	FP structure of $f(R)$ -gravity coupled to the matter content of the SM of particle	
	physics.	54
4.5	Quadratic solutions for the fixed function with different matter content derived	
	from the pure gravity solution (4.65).	59
4.6	Quadratic solution for the fixed function with different matter content derived	
	from the pure gravity solution (4.66).	59
F.1	FP structure of $f(R)$ -gravity without matter field and a coarse-graining operator	
	of type I	126
F.2	FP structure of $f(R)$ -gravity without matter fields and a coarse-graining oper-	
	ator of type II	127
F.3	FP structure of $f(R)$ -gravity coupled to the matter content of the SM supple-	
	mented by one additional DM scalar	128
F.4	FP structure of $f(R)$ -gravity coupled to the matter content of the SM supple-	
	mented by three right-handed neutrinos	129
F.5	FP structure of $f(R)$ -gravity coupled to the matter content of the SM supple-	
	mented by right-handed neutrinos and two additional scalars	130
F.6	FP structure of $f(R)$ -gravity coupled to the matter content of the MSSM	131

## **Chapter 1**

### Introduction

"No question about quantum gravity is more difficult than the question, "what is the question?"." (J. Wheeler)

#### Contents

1.1	A Remark on the Renormalisability of Gravity	1
1.2	Asymptotic Safety	4
1.3	Dimensional Reduction	6

### **1.1 A Remark on the Renormalisability of Gravity**

This decade has seen the experimental verification of two long-standing theoretical predictions: first, on July 4th, 2012, CERN announced the discovery of the Higgs boson [1, 2], and second, on September 14th, 2015, a gravitational wave was for the first time identified by the LIGO detector [3].

Whereas the first of these experimental results is based on the standard model (SM) of particle physics<sup>1</sup> which is a collection of quantum gauge field theories, the second rests on Einstein's version of classical gravity called general relativity (GR), see, *e.g.*, [5]. These two theories constitute the main pillars of contemporary theoretical physics.

Although these two discoveries each mark a triumph of theoretical and experimental physics, taken together they also bring to mind one of the most challenging open questions of theoretical physics: how can one combine these two extraordinarily successful theories into a unified one describing quantum gravity?

At a first glance GR and quantum gauge field theories seem to be quite different. Whereas GR relates gravitational forces to geometric properties of spacetime the SM connects the electromagnetic, the weak and the strong force to the exchange of vector particles, the photon,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The SM was developed through the work of many scientists, see, *e.g.*, [4] for a didactical introduction.

the  $W^{\pm}/Z$ , and the gluons, respectively. Looking deeper, one realises puzzling similarities, however.

Gravity is also a gauge theory if one denotes by this expression a field theory whose Euler-Lagrange equations are covariant under an infinite-dimensional group of transformations. Furthermore, as noted by Fierz and Pauli already in 1939 [6, 7], the relativistic field theory of a spin-two field in Minkowski space leads to the linearised form of Einstein's equation. Therefore in the weak-field limit the gravitational force can be visualised as the exchange of a graviton, in very much the same fashion a perturbative treatment of the interactions in the SM relates them to a vector boson exchange. The difference in the spin of the exchanged particle then also nicely provides, at least for the tree-level scattering amplitude, an explanation why gravity is only attractive and the other three forces in nature possess attractive and repulsive channels each. Therefore an understanding of GR as field theory is legitimate, and actually the second chapter of this thesis is devoted to elucidate this relation in more detail.

The investigation of gauge theories, on the other hand, revealed that they possess a geometrical interpretation, too. Besides the possibility of formulating them in terms of fibre bundles, the geometry of spacetime and the gauge groups play an essential role in identifying topologically non-trivial field configurations as, *e.g.*, instantons (see, *e.g.*, [8]) with non-trivial homotopy properties or Kran - van Baal calorons [9] with non-trivial holonomy as well. Further geometrical properties are uncovered by the use of loop variables like Wilson, Polyakov and/or 't Hooft loops in lattice gauge field theories, see, *e.g.*, [10].

It is interesting to note that in gravity and gauge theories the very first challenge to be met is the removal of unphysical degrees of freedom. A consistent procedure has been developed by DeWitt [11] for gravity and by Faddeev and Popov [12] for gauge theories at approximately the same time. Both introduced auxiliary fields which nowadays are known as Faddeev-Popov ghosts. Fixing the gauge in either gravity or gauge theories but then introducing the ghost field as a parameter in the gauge transformation constitutes the so-called BRST transformations [13, 14] which turn out to be a symmetry of the gauge-fixed action of gravity and gauge theories. As the Noether charge derived from the BRST symmetry is a nilpotent operator a BRST cohomology can be defined. The related classification of states into BRST singlets and quartets then may provide a mechanism to identify the physical state space and to avoid unitarity violations by cancelation of BRST quartets in the S-matrix, see, e.g., [15] and references therein.<sup>2</sup>

The gauge theory of strong interactions, quantum chromodynamics (QCD), provides a beautiful example of renormalisability. QCD is asymptotically free, a phrase which describes the fact that the interaction gets weaker when the momentum scale is increased. As a consequence, the processes in a scattering experiment at very high energies can be treated within perturbation theory. In a perturbative treatment the infinities arising in a quantum field theoretical calculation of QCD amplitudes can be consistently removed by introducing counterterms for the so-called

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>For the possibility of non-perturbative BRST quartets in QCD see [16–19].

primitively divergent Green's functions of the theory. Therefore one can provide to a given order in perturbation theory a consistent calculation scheme which provides finite numbers and thus predictions for observables. The comparison of these with experimental results have led to an impressive verification of QCD. Asymptotic freedom also implies that QCD in itself is a quantum field theory which is valid up to arbitrarily high energies. This is a desirable property, however, the question whether every quantum field theory (QFT) should fulfil it cannot be answered yet.

What about renormalisability of gravity? As a matter of fact it is not complicated to treat an appropriately defined deviation of the spacetime metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$  from the Minkowski metric  $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ as a quantum field in Minkowski spacetime. Starting from the Einstein-Hilbert (EH) action and performing a perturbative expansion in the Newton coupling one obtains non-renormalisable expressions in the sense that novel infinities appear in every further order of the perturbative expansion. Clearly, cutting the perturbative expansion at some low order an effective field theory arises [20] which is expected to be able to describe quantum corrections in the limit of small gravitational fields accurately. Nevertheless, taken the theory at face value it has no predictive power as infinitely many constants would be required in principle. The situation is similar to the strong interaction where chiral perturbation theory (see, *e.g.*, [21] and references therein) provides meaningful results at low energies although without any doubt QCD is the exact theory.

It is worthwhile to have a closer look at a perturbative treatment of gravity and why it can make predictions only at low energies when the corresponding approach is understood as an effective field theory. Newton's constant possesses (in units where  $\hbar = c = 1$ ) the dimension of (mass)<sup>-2</sup>. The definition of the Planck mass  $m_{Pl}$  is given by  $G_N = 1/m_{Pl}^2$ . As gravity is very weak, which is expressed as Newton's constant being small, the expectation is that the gravitational effective field theory is applicable as long as one deals with momenta very much below the Planck mass. However, every method to obtain results from a QFT for interacting fields relies on some approximations<sup>3</sup>, and, in principle, one would need to show that the errors induced by the method are small. But in QFT this is impossible.<sup>4</sup> What really is done is the justification of the employed approximation *a posteriori* by comparing to experimental results. Up to now there is no experimental result for quantum gravity, and correspondingly there is no possibility of confirming the validity of the used approximation.

Of course, a meaningful theory should give finite answers. But one should note that this is a statement on the exact solution and allows only for definite conclusions in the unrealistic situation that the theory can be solved exactly. Perturbative infinities may or may not have something to do with the consistency of the theory. Or phrased otherwise, perturbative renormalisability is neither a necessary nor a sufficient condition for the consistency of a theory.

The example of chiral perturbation theory being the low-energy effective field theory of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>There are a few exceptions of exactly solvable QFTs in two spacetime dimensions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>This remark also applies to perturbation theory. The perturbation expansion leads to results in terms of asymptotic series, and there is no a priori possibility to determine until which order residual terms are small.

QCD might give rise to the anticipation that the "true" theory of gravity is renormalisable, and GR arises from taking the appropriate low-energy limit. However, a fundamental theory is not necessarily renormalisable, see, *e.g.*, [22] for a didactical presentation of Wilson's interpretation of renormalisability. Following the ideas of Wilson, the renormalisable theories are effective low-energy theories because renormalisability is nothing else than the statement that one can calculate low-energy quantities without knowing the true high-energy behaviour. As long as only a few "coarse-grained" quantities originating from the underlying theory influence the calculation of low-energy observables, one can substitute the unknown quantities and remove thereby the infinities by counterterms such that physically motivated normalisation conditions are met. Note that hereby experimental input is necessary to fix numerical values within the normalisation conditions. It has to be emphasised that this view implies that the exact solution to an ultimate theory should not contain any infinities any more. Nevertheless, finding an indisputably renormalisable version of gravity (or more precisely, of gravity coupled to the matter known to us) would be an enormous step forward in our understanding of nature.

### **1.2** Asymptotic Safety

The fact that GR is not renormalisable within perturbation theory [23–26] can have two quite different reasons: GR or modifications thereof is in a quite drastic manner not the correct theory of gravity.<sup>5</sup> On the other hand, there is the possibility that the failure of renormalisation is an artefact of applying perturbation theory. However, it might very well be that, although GR (or some variation of it) is renormalisable beyond perturbation theory, nevertheless nature has chosen in addition some to us yet unknown construction principles for space and time at the shortest possible length scales and time intervals.

Already within the SM, for which substantial experimental input is available, non-perturbative approaches come with outstanding challenges. Obviously, for gravity the problem of applying methods beyond perturbation theory is even harder, both, on the conceptual as on the technical level. Similarly to treatments in particle physics one may roughly categorise many of the related efforts in three classes. First, alike to lattice QFT one attempts to calculate the basic properties resulting from the underlying theory on basis of the Euclidean version of the functional integral by employing Monte-Carlo (*i.e.*, statistical) estimates. One successful effort in this direction is causal dynamical triangulation (CDT) [28]. Second, the Hamiltonian of the theory is used as starting point for all further investigations. This line of research led eventually to loop quantum gravity [29–32]. Third, one can make use of functional methods, *e.g.*, the so-called functional renormalisation group (RG) equation [33, 34] has been employed since the seminal work [35] in many studies of the so-called asymptotic safety scenario [36], see, *e.g.*, [37–39] for recent comprehensive descriptions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>The addition of terms which are quadratic in the curvature can render the such amended theory perturbatively renormalisable [27], however, the theory contains then propagating ghosts and violates unitarity.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The basic idea behind asymptotic safety is easiest explained for a theory with only one coupling constant. The RG equation implies that this coupling constant will depend on the renormalisation scale. To be consistent through this thesis I will call this scale immediately k.<sup>6</sup> It proves useful to further introduce the dimensionless number  $t := \ln(k/k_0)$  where  $k_0$  is some reference scale. (In almost all applications it is not necessary to specify it.) As the coupling g(k) depends on k, its derivative with respect to t will be in general a function of the scale k and of the coupling,

$$\frac{dg}{dt} =: \beta(g,k), \qquad (1.1)$$

and thus defines the so-called beta function.<sup>7</sup> Its behaviour as a function of the coupling close to its zeros characterises typically the behaviour of the theory either in the IR or in the ultraviolet (UV). In QCD one has for example to leading order in the coupling

$$\beta(\alpha_S) \propto -\alpha_S^2 \tag{1.2}$$

such that the proportionality constant is positive. As now the beta function has a zero and is negative for physical values of the coupling one infers that increasing the scale decreases the coupling, and for asymptotically large scales the interaction will be switched off. This is known as asymptotic freedom. On the other hand, one might have a zero of the beta function at vanishing coupling but the beta function is positive. The most prominent example is quantum electrodynamics (QED), its beta function starts out also quadratic but is positive. This implies a divergence in the coupling known as Landau pole [40].<sup>8</sup> To the best of our knowledge this Landau pole in QED can only be avoided if the coupling is strictly zero everywhere (see, *e.g.*, [41,42]), a scenario which is called RG triviality, and is the motivation for many investigations in beyond-the-standard-model (BSM) approaches because an imbedding of QED (or any other appearing U(1) gauge theory) into a grand unified theory (GUT) as a non-Abelian gauge theory is avoiding the Landau pole problem.<sup>9</sup> Calculating the beta function for gravity from an expansion in Newton's coupling one notices for small couplings a situation similar to the one in QED, the  $\beta$ -function for small values of the coupling is positive. Of course, this is a direct reflection of the perturbative non-renormalisability of gravity.

As gravity is a highly non-linear theory it is far from clear that the  $\beta$ -function keeps on rising and Newton's coupling runs inevitably into a Landau pole. Alternatively, the beta function may acquire a second zero if expressed in the dimensionless coupling  $g_k = k^2 G_N(k)$ , respectively, in d spacetime dimensions  $g_k = k^{d-2}G_N(k)$ , at some finite value of  $g =: g^*$ . Assuming that at finite values of the scale k one has  $g(k) < g^*$  then a further increase of the scale drives the coupling g towards  $g^*$ : one has found an UV fixed point (FP) of the coupling, and the theory is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>In most textbooks on QFT and within perturbation theory the canonical name for this scale is  $\mu$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>In case the coupling is not dimensionless one usually multiplies it with the appropriate power of the scale k and defines the beta function from the thus dimensionless version of the coupling.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>As a consequence of his discovery Landau declined QFT as an useful tool.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>At this point it is interesting to note that this problem might also have a solution within the asymptotic safety scenario of gravity [43,44].

UV complete. Such a scenario provides the easiest example of Weinberg's notion of asymptotic safety [36]. And, as a matter of fact, convincing evidence for the asymptotic safety scenario has been gathered: since the seminal work [35] many studies of this scenario in increasingly sophisticated approximations have been performed up to today thereby verifying and further clarifying this concept.

At this point a few remarks are in order. The first one is about notation: a FP at vanishing coupling is called Gaußian fixed point (GFP). Correspondingly one at a non-vanishing and finite coupling (or several non-vanishing couplings) is referred to as non-Gaußian fixed point (NGFP). Second, a theory might contain more than one coupling constant. As a matter of fact, a general *ansatz* consistent with the required symmetries may contain infinitely many couplings. In chapter 4 such an action, namely the so-called f(R)-gravity action, will be discussed. In order that the asymptotic safety scenario stays predictive it is additionally required that only finitely many couplings are relevant, because then only this finite number of couplings has to be adjusted to phenomenological values in order to perform a quantitative calculation. Technically, this condition refers to the statement that the RG flow remains within the space of all couplings on a finite-dimensional hypersurface. In the vicinity of NGFP the so-called relevant directions and the UV critical hypersurface can be determined from a linear approximation to the RG flow, for the details of such an analysis see section 3.2. Third, a NGFP is always non-perturbative irrespectively of the value of  $g^*$  (or the  $g_i^*$  in the multidimensional case). This is immediately evident from the fact that the precise numerical value depends in general on the employed RG scheme.<sup>10</sup> Fourth, the running of Newton's coupling and the cosmological constant might have impact even on astrophysical and cosmological scales, see, e.g., [46-48] and on black hole spacetimes, see, e.g., [49, 50] for a recent synopsis of related investigations. A possible resolution of the black hole singularity [51] will be discussed in chapter 7. And last but not least, as will be now discussed in the next subsection, the existence of a NGFP comes with certain implications for the anomalous dimensions of the fields. The latter might be interpreted from the point of view that the theory undergoes a certain type of dimensional reduction.

### **1.3 Dimensional Reduction**

As stated above, and as will become evident in the next chapter of this thesis, at the NGFP Newton's constant behaves like  $G_N(k) \propto k^{2-d}$ , and the cosmological constant like  $\Lambda(k) \propto k^2$ . This is an exact consequence of asymptotic safety which exclusively relies on the scale-independence at the UV FP. In [52] this fact was related to an effective fractal spacetime structure in asymptotically safe gravity. This connection has been further elucidated [53–56] and can be considered as firmly established. Different than on a manifold, on fractal spaces different definitions of the dimensions do not coincide. Two widely-used concepts besides the topological dimension,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>There is the possibility to keep FP values parametrically small, see, e.g., [45], which allows definite conclusions on these FPs also from the perturbative expansion.

the Hausdorff and the spectral dimension are defined and briefly described in appendix D, for some relations for the spectral dimension see also section 2.4. Postponing the technical details to chapter 5 and the appendix D, I only want to mention at this point that strong deviations from the tree-level behaviour as, *e.g.*, large anomalous dimensions and the related non-canonical momentum dependence of propagators, lead to a sizeable discrepancy between the topological and the spectral dimension.

Not only asymptotic safety but in virtually all approaches to quantum gravity and quantum gravity inspired models dimensional flows are a common feature, see, *e.g.*, [57, 58] for a synopsis of relevant results. The most prominent example of a dimensional flow occurs in Kaluza-Klein theories where the "effective" dimensionality of spacetime increases for length scales smaller than the compactification radius.<sup>11</sup> The opposite behaviour, on the other hand, is seen as a dynamical dimensional reduction with the spectral dimension of spacetime decreasing at short distances. Besides in asymptotic safety such a characteristic feature has been seen within CDT where a random walk sees a two-dimensional spacetime at short distances while long walks exhibit a four-dimensional behaviour [61], within loop quantum gravity [62–67], Hořava-Lifshitz gravity [68, 69], causal set theory [70–72],  $\kappa$ -Minkowski space [73–75], non-local gravity theories [76, 77], minimal length models [78], and based on the Hagedorn temperature seen by a gas of strings [79]. Dimensional reduction based on spectral actions<sup>12</sup> [80, 81] will be discussed in chapter 5.

It is important to note that one should not understand this dimensional reduction as a choice of directions and thus a breaking of Lorentz invariance. Based on the fact that in most models of quantum gravity spacetime is not a manifold at short distances, and furthermore on the observation that the spectral dimension is the Hausdorff-dimension of the theory's momentum space [82, 83], a better visualisation of this kind of dimensional reduction is the following: whereas for large distance scales and therefore in the IR the density of the spectrum coincides with the one of the spectrum of a free theory in the *d*-dimensional spacetime manifold, the number of states grows less than naïvely expected in the UV. The spectral density compares then much better with the one of a free theory in a smaller number of dimensions. And this closes then also the circle with respect to renormalisability: it is evident that a weaker spectral growth will lead to weaker UV divergencies thus rendering the theory renormalisable. If the spectral dimension is small enough the theory may become even finite.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>A Kaluza-Klein model with a "large" extra dimension has been studied within functional RG in [59, 60]. <sup>12</sup>See section 2.3 for a brief description.

## Chapter 2

## **On Field Theoretical Aspects of Gravity**

"Phantasie ist wichtiger als Wissen. Wissen ist begrenzt, Phantasie aber umfaßt die ganze Welt." (A. Einstein)

#### Contents

2.1	The Einstein-Hilbert Action and Modified Theories of Gravity		
	2.1.1	General Relativity	9
	2.1.2	Modifications and Additions to the Einstein-Hilbert Action	10
	2.1.3	Background Metric, Tensor Decomposition, and Gauge Fixing	10
	2.1.4	Coupling Matter to Gravity	15
2.2	Some	Basic Aspects of Quantum Field Theory in Curved Spacetimes	16
2.3	Almost-Commutative Geometry and Spectral Actions		19
2.4	Spectral Dimension in the Ultraviolet		21

### 2.1 The Einstein-Hilbert Action and Modified Theories of Gravity

#### 2.1.1 General Relativity

The vacuum Einstein field equations can be derived from the Hilbert action

$$S_{EH} = \frac{1}{16\pi G_N} \int d^d x \sqrt{|g|} (-R + 2\Lambda) \tag{2.1}$$

by variation with respect to the metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$ . Hereby  $G_N = 6.674 \times 10^{-11} \,\mathrm{m}^3/\mathrm{s}^2 \mathrm{kg}$  is Newton's constant and g is a shorthand for det(g). Using the form with the absolute value below the square root covers the cases with Lorentzian and Euclidean signature of the metric. Furthermore, R denotes the scalar curvature, *i.e.*, the trace of the Ricci tensor, and  $\Lambda$  denotes the

cosmological constant. Note that d = 2 constitutes a special case because then the term proportional to R in the action is a topological invariant.

#### 2.1.2 Modifications and Additions to the Einstein-Hilbert Action

The construction principle of GR, namely covariance of the equations with respect to general coordinate transformations, allows to add to the action an infinite number of diffeomorphism-invariant terms which are then generally of higher order in the number of derivatives acting on the metric. The most general action build from fourth-order terms is

$$S_{(4)} = \frac{1}{16\pi G_N} \int d^d x \sqrt{|g|} \left( \alpha R^2 + \beta R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} + \gamma R_{\mu\nu\sigma\rho} R^{\mu\nu\sigma\rho} + \delta \ D^2 R \right)$$
(2.2)

where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  and  $\delta$  are some constants. The last term is a total derivative, and is thus typically ignored. Furthermore, the other three terms can be rewritten such that

$$E = R_{\mu\nu\sigma\rho}R^{\mu\nu\sigma\rho} - 4R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} + R^2 \tag{2.3}$$

can be split off,

$$S_{(4)} = \frac{1}{16\pi G_N} \int d^d x \sqrt{|g|} \left( a_1 R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} + a_2 R^2 + a_3 E \right)$$
(2.4)

with  $a_1 = 4\beta + \gamma$ ,  $a_2 = \alpha - \gamma$  and  $a_3 = \gamma$ . In four dimensions and under the usual assumptions of neglecting boundary contributions only the first two terms contribute to the field equations.

Of course, further extensions with 6th-, 8th- and higher order derivatives are possible without violating diffeomorphism invariance. An extension of a qualitatively different type is given by f(R)-gravity with the action containing a function of the curvature scalar,

$$S_{f(R)} = \int d^d x \sqrt{|g|} f(R) . \qquad (2.5)$$

Within such modifications of the gravity action one can distinguish between the case where either f(R) is a polynomial or a more general function. Note that the EH action falls into the class of a polynomial f(R)-gravity with f being a polynomial of order one. A typical example for a non-polynomial f(R)-gravity is  $f(R) = R^2 \ln R + \ldots$  As a matter of fact, such a term can appear as a part of the fixed function at the NGFP in the asymptotic safety scenario [84,85], see section 4.5 below.

#### 2.1.3 Background Metric, Tensor Decomposition, and Gauge Fixing

Throughout this thesis I will use an approach in which the gravitational degrees of freedom are carried by the metric. As a symmetric rank-two tensor it transforms accordingly under coordinate transformations, resp., diffeomorphisms. This immediately implies that the metric contains besides physical components also unphysical ones. Technically this is reflected by

the fact that the second variation of the action, the Hessian, possesses zero modes which are proportional to infinitesimal coordinate transformations. Therefore, inverting the Hessian is not possible, phrased otherwise the calculation of the (tree-level) propagator cannot be done. This situation is alike in electrodynamics for which the gauge zero modes prevent the naïve determination of the tree-level photon propagator and a gauge-fixing term is needed to make that propagator well-defined. Formally, the solution for gravity is similar, *i.e.*, one adds a gauge-fixing term, however, the technical details are more intricate.

As mentioned in the introduction, in perturbation theory one usually expands around the Minkowski metric,

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + \sqrt{16\pi G_{\rm N}} \, h_{\mu\nu} \,,$$
 (2.6)

and assumes that all components of  $h_{\mu\nu}$  are small. The rescaling with the term  $\sqrt{16\pi G_N}$  is done to obtain the standard canonical dimension of a boson propagator for the propagator of the fluctuating part of the metric. In case a Wick rotation is performed already at the level of the action, the metric is correspondingly expanded around the one in Euclidean space,

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{\mu\nu} + \sqrt{16\pi G_{\rm N}} \, h_{\mu\nu} \;.$$
 (2.7)

Non-perturbative techniques like the functional RG typically employ the background field formalism. In the case of gravity one splits the metric into a background<sup>1</sup>  $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$  and a fluctuation  $h_{\mu\nu}$ , the latter not necessarily being small [35, 37]:

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu} \ . \tag{2.8}$$

Hereby, the background can be also the one of flat space. A convenient non-trivial choice, employed in chapter 4, is to take a *d*-dimensional sphere. However, the linear split of the metric, (2.8) has not been used. The reason is the following: as the size of the fluctuating metric is not bounded<sup>2</sup> a decomposition like (2.8) effectively takes metrics with different signatures into account. Of course, there are good reasons to avoid that the functional integral also sums over spaces with different signatures. A choice that ensures that  $g_{\mu\nu}$  and  $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$  have the same signature, even if the fluctuations are large, is the exponential split [86]

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \bar{g}_{\mu\rho} (e^{\bar{g}^{-1}h})^{\rho}{}_{\nu} .$$
(2.9)

Obviously, expanding the right hand side of (2.9) up to linear order and neglecting higher orders the linear split (2.8) is obtained. The difference becomes visible beyond the linear order, one has

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}h_{\mu\rho}h^{\rho}_{\ \nu} + \dots, \qquad (2.10)$$

$$g^{\mu\nu} = \bar{g}^{\mu\nu} - h^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}h^{\mu\rho}h_{\rho}^{\ \nu} + \dots$$
 (2.11)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Throughout this thesis quantities constructed from the background metric will be denoted with a bar. Indices are lowered and raised with the background metric, *e.g.*,  $h_{\mu\nu} = \bar{g}_{\mu\rho}h^{\rho}_{\nu}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>In the functional integral one sums over all metric fluctuations.

Different than in the linear split, also the covariant metric, and not only its inverse, is non-linear in the fluctuating field  $h^{\rho}_{\nu}$ .

Before the concrete realisation of gauge-fixing can be introduced a somewhat technical but important issue needs to be discussed. In the context of gravitational waves in the linearised theory as well as in the beginning of this section the existence of non-physical modes in the fluctuating part of the metric  $h_{\mu\nu}$  was already mentioned. In addition, this tensor possesses different irreducible components if it comes to the transformation properties under the Lorentz transformations. As the propagating modes have spin 2 one can conclude the spin 1 and spin 0 components of the metric have to be unphysical. There are several ways to achieve a decomposition of the fluctuation fields into their irreducible spin components. In the following I will use the well-established York decomposition [87]

$$h_{\mu\nu} = h_{\mu\nu}^{TT} + \bar{D}_{\mu}\xi_{\nu} + \bar{D}_{\nu}\xi_{\mu} + (\bar{D}_{\mu}\bar{D}_{\nu} - \frac{1}{d}\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\bar{D}^{2})\sigma + \frac{1}{d}\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}h, \qquad (2.12)$$

where the superscript TT denotes the traceless and transverse part,  $\bar{D}_{\mu}$  is the background covariant derivative (containing the Levi-Civita connection), and  $\xi_{\mu}$  is a transverse vector field:

$$\bar{D}^{\mu}h_{\mu\nu}^{TT} = 0, \quad \bar{g}^{\mu\nu}h_{\mu\nu}^{TT} = 0, \quad \bar{D}^{\mu}\xi_{\mu} = 0.$$
(2.13)

Hereby,  $h_{\mu\nu}^{TT}$  is the spin 2 degree of freedom,  $\xi_{\mu}$  describes the spin 1 part, and  $\sigma$  and  $h = \bar{g}^{\mu\nu}h_{\mu\nu}$  have spin 0. The latter two scalar fields are not gauge independent but

$$s = h - \bar{D}^2 \sigma \tag{2.14}$$

is.

For further use below it is already noted here that on a sphere as background and in the York decomposition the two lowest eigenmodes of  $\sigma$  and the lowest vector mode  $\xi_{\mu}$  of  $-\bar{D}^2$  do not change the right of (2.12). These modes must then be removed by hand in order to make the decompositions into irreducible spin components bijective.

The York decomposition viewed as a change of variable  $h_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow (h_{\mu\nu}^{TT}, \xi_{\mu}, \sigma, h)$  has a nonvanishing Jacobian determinant. On a background manifold which is of the Einstein type, *i.e.*, for which  $\bar{R}_{\mu\nu} \propto \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ , one can calculate this Jacobian to be [39]

$$\mathcal{J} = \text{Det}_{(1)} \left( -\bar{D}^2 - \frac{\bar{R}}{d} \right)^{1/2} \text{Det}_{(0)} \left( \left( -\bar{D}^2 \right) \left( -\bar{D}^2 - \frac{\bar{R}}{d-1} \right) \right)^{1/2}$$
(2.15)

where the subscript denotes to which spin the determinant has to be attributed. The necessity of a non-vanishing Jacobian is also evident from the fact that the fields  $\xi_{\mu}$  and  $\sigma$  have non-standard dimensions. The following change of variables,

$$\hat{\xi}_{\mu} = \sqrt{-\bar{D}^2 - \frac{\bar{R}}{d}} \xi_{\mu} , \qquad \hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{\left(-\bar{D}^2\right) \left(-\bar{D}^2 - \frac{\bar{R}}{d-1}\right)} \sigma \qquad (2.16)$$

has a Jacobian which exactly cancels the one of the York decomposition, and the "hatted" fields possess standard dimensions. It should be emphasised that a non-local transformation

like (2.16) is not allowed for physical fields, it would change the spectrum of the theory. On the other hand,  $\xi_{\mu}$  and  $\sigma$  are unphysical auxiliary fields which act like "book-keeping devices", and therefore such a transformation is only required to be bijective.

As in gauge theories the gauge procedure is most efficiently performed by adding a gaugefixing term  $S_{gf}$  to the action. A standard choice is<sup>3</sup>

$$S_{\rm gf} = \frac{1}{2\alpha} \int d^d x \sqrt{\bar{g}} \, \bar{g}^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu} F_{\nu} \,, \qquad F_{\mu} = \bar{D}_{\rho} h^{\rho}{}_{\mu} - \frac{\beta + 1}{d} \bar{D}_{\mu} h \,. \tag{2.17}$$

Hereby, setting  $\alpha \to 0^+$  is called Landau gauge, it enforces the gauge condition strictly. Choosing the second gauge parameter as  $\beta = 1$  one arrives at the so-called Landau - de Donder gauge. In case of a flat background it enforces the de Donder condition  $\partial_{\rho}h^{\rho}{}_{\mu} - \frac{2}{d}\partial_{\mu}h = 0$ . Employing the limit  $\beta \to -\infty$  which imposes strongly the gauge condition h = const. offers a further simplification and is therefore sometimes referred to as "physical gauge". This is then also the gauge chosen for the investigation described in chapter 4.

One should, however, point out that the attribute "physical gauge" is by no means unique. In [88] it has been argued that a gauge-fixing term which is strictly quadratic in the fluctuating field is the most appropriate one for certain studies within the functional RG (see the next chapter for a brief introduction to the functional RG). The author refers to his term as "physical gauge-fixing", see, *e.g.*, [89].

Before rewriting the gauge-fixing condition  $F_{\mu}$  with the fields of the York decomposition a remark on the different possible ways of introducing a Laplace operator is in order, see appendix A for a brief review. The simplest choice for general tensor fields is based on the covariant derivative containing the Levi-Civita connection (for fermions this has to replaced by the spin connection, see the following section 2.1.4), and the background Laplacian is then defined as

$$\Delta = -\bar{D}^2 \,. \tag{2.18}$$

Unfortunately, this version of the Laplacian does not possess the most convenient properties. Especially, one wants that the corresponding differential operator preserves the rank and the symmetries of the tensor it is acting on. On the other hand, for the purpose of this thesis, a general discussion of this topic related to the definition of the Laplace-Beltrami operator and the Lichnerowicz Laplacians (*cf.* appendix A) would only divert the presentation unnecessarily. This is because on manifolds of the Einstein type and especially on maximally symmetric spaces like spheres the relation of Lichnerowicz Laplacians to the Laplacian (2.18) is relatively simple. For example, on all Einstein manifolds the operator

$$\Delta_{(1)} = \Delta + \frac{R}{d} \tag{2.19}$$

has the desired properties for vectors, and on maximally symmetric spaces

$$\Delta_{(2)} = \Delta + \frac{2R}{d-1} \tag{2.20}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> When added to the EH action the form  $S_{gf} = \frac{1}{32\pi G_N \alpha} \int \dots$  is usually chosen. The form given in (2.17) is related to this one by an appropriate rescaling of the gauge parameter  $\alpha$ .

for rank-2 tensors. Restricting in the following to maximally symmetric spaces and employing the first of the two definitions above one obtains

$$F_{\mu} = -\left(\Delta_{(1)} - \frac{2\bar{R}}{d}\right)\xi_{\mu} - \bar{D}_{\mu}\left(\frac{d-1}{d}\left(\Delta - \frac{\bar{R}}{d-1}\right)\sigma + \frac{\beta}{d}h\right).$$
 (2.21)

Note that on Einstein manifolds and thus also on maximally symmetric spaces the differential operator in the first term becomes  $\Delta - \frac{\bar{R}}{d}$ . Later on we will see that such structures propagate to the flow equation, see, *e.g.*, the denominator of the last term in (E.4).

The Faddeev-Popov ghost action is derived by exponentiating the functional determinant implied by the gauge-fixing. Following the standard procedure one introduces Grassmannian-valued vector fields which will be denoted as  $C_{\mu}$  and  $\bar{C}_{\mu}$ . The Faddeev-Popov operator for the employed gauge-fixing is a non-minimal operator, the ghost action reads

$$S_{gh} = -\int d^d x \,\sqrt{\bar{g}} \,\bar{C}^{\mu} \left(\delta^{\nu}_{\mu} \bar{D}^2 + \left(1 - 2\,\frac{\beta+1}{d}\right) \bar{D}_{\mu} \bar{D}^{\nu} + \bar{R}^{\nu}_{\mu}\right) \,C_{\nu} \,. \tag{2.22}$$

Decomposing the ghosts into their transversal and longitudinal parts,  $C_{\nu} = C_{\nu}^{T} + \bar{D}_{\nu} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta}} \hat{c}^{L}$ , one obtains

$$S_{gh} = \int d^d x \sqrt{\bar{g}} \left( \bar{C}^{T\mu} \left( \Delta_{(1)} - \frac{2\bar{R}}{d} \right) C^T_\mu + 2 \frac{d-1-\beta}{d} \, \bar{\hat{c}}^L \left( \Delta - \frac{\bar{R}}{d-1-\beta} \right) \hat{c}^L \right). \tag{2.23}$$

Hereby, the longitudinal part has been introduced such that this change of variables possesses unit Jacobian.

With  $S_{gh}$  being the ghost action the functional integral for the generating functional reads

$$Z[j^{\mu\nu},\bar{\eta}^{\mu},\eta^{\mu};\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}] = \int \mathcal{D}h_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{D}C_{\mu}\mathcal{D}\bar{C}_{\mu}\exp\left(-S_{\text{grav}}[h_{\mu\nu};\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}] - S_{gf} - S_{gh}[h_{\mu\nu},C_{\mu},\bar{C}_{\mu};\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}] + \int d^{d}x\,\sqrt{\bar{g}}\,\left(j^{\mu\nu}h_{\mu\nu} + \eta^{\mu}\bar{C}_{\mu} + \bar{\eta}^{\mu}C_{\mu}\right)\right).$$
(2.24)

In a next step one introduces a source coupled to the fluctuating part of the metric and performs the Legendre transform of the  $\ln Z$  to obtain the effective action  $\Gamma$  (*cf.* appendix C),

$$\Gamma[\bar{h}_{\mu\nu}, \bar{C}_{\mu}, C_{\mu}; \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}] = -\ln Z[j^{\mu\nu}, \bar{\eta}^{\mu}, \eta^{\mu}; \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}] + \int d^d x \sqrt{\bar{g}} \left( j^{\mu\nu} \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} + \eta^{\mu} \bar{C}_{\mu} + \bar{\eta}^{\mu} C_{\mu} \right) , \quad (2.25)$$

where  $\bar{h}_{\mu\nu} := \langle h_{\mu\nu} \rangle$  denotes the expectation value of the fluctuating part of the metric. Usually the effective action  $\Gamma$  is considered to be a functional of the expectation value of the total metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$  and  $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$  instead of  $\bar{h}_{\mu\nu}$  and  $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ . It can be shown [35, 90–93] that the effective action in the usual formalism, *i.e.*, the generating functional of the one-particle irreducible Green functions,  $\Gamma[g_{\mu\nu}]$ , is obtained by setting  $g_{\mu\nu} = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$  or, equivalently,  $\bar{h}_{\mu\nu} = 0$ .
#### 2.1.4 Coupling Matter to Gravity

In order to couple matter fields minimally to gravity the respective matter Lagrangian densities in Minkowski space are modified by substituting (i) the integration  $\int d^d x$  by the (invariant) integration  $\int d^d x \sqrt{|g|}$ , (ii) the partial derivative  $\partial_{\mu}$  by the covariant derivative  $D_{\mu}$ , and (iii) a four-vector product by contraction as, *e.g.*, in  $A_{\mu}A^{\mu}$  by  $g^{\mu\nu}A_{\mu}A_{\nu}$  where  $g^{\mu\nu}$  is the inverse of the metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$ . As all research projects presented in this thesis focus on the UV behaviour of gravity-matter systems the mass of the matter fields will be neglected if not stated explicitly otherwise. In addition, only the minimal coupling of matter to gravity will be taken into account.

Amongst all matter fields the easiest to couple are the scalar fields because for them the covariant derivative and the partial derivative coincide. Therefore to couple  $N_S$  massless scalar fields minimally one only needs to take into account the factor  $\sqrt{|g|}$  and the metric for lowering and raising the indices

$$S^{\text{scalar}} = \frac{N_S}{2} \int d^d x \sqrt{|g|} g^{\mu\nu} \left(\partial_\mu \phi\right) \left(\partial_\nu \phi\right) \,. \tag{2.26}$$

As matter self-interactions are neglected it actually makes no difference whether Abelian or non-Abelian gauge fields are considered, and as masses are also not taken into account whether the vector fields belong to a gauge theory in the Coulomb, confining or Higgs phase. Of course, gauge-fixing is required to remove the gauge zero modes, and linear covariant gauges provide the easiest possibility. However, as for QFT in curved spacetimes (see also the next section) even for Abelian gauge fields the Faddeev-Popov operator does not decouple and one needs to take into account ghosts. Therefore  $N_V$  massless vector fields contribute with

$$S^{\text{vector}} = N_V \int d^d x \sqrt{|g|} \left( \frac{1}{4} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2\lambda} (D^\mu A_\mu)^2 + \bar{c} \left( -D^2 \right) c \right)$$
(2.27)

to the total action. Hereby, the field strength tensor is given by  $F_{\mu\nu} = D_{\mu}A_{\nu} - D_{\nu}A_{\mu}$ . The parameter  $\lambda$  is the usual one for gauge-fixing to linear covariant gauge (as in QED). From the expression (2.27) it is obvious that the Faddeev-Popov gauge ghosts decouple from the gauge field  $A_{\mu}$  (as in QED) but couple to the Levi-Civita connection and thus to the metric.

For fermions the covariant derivative contains the spin connection, see, *e.g.*, [94] for an introduction to Dirac fermions in curved spacetimes using either coordinate dependent Dirac matrices or vielbeins. In appendix B it is described how to construct this connection explicitly on spheres employing the vielbein formalism, see (B.5). Denoting by small Greek letters the Dirac matrices which fulfil the general Clifford algebra  $\{\gamma_{\mu}(x), \gamma_{\nu}(x)\} = 2g_{\mu\nu}(x)$  and with capital Greek letters the ones which obey the flat-space Clifford algebra  $\{\Gamma_a, \Gamma_b\} = 2\eta_{ab}$ , resp.,  $\{\Gamma_a, \Gamma_b\} = 2\delta_{ab}$ , the spinor covariant derivative is defined via

$$D_{\mu}\psi = e_{\mu}^{\ a}\partial_{a}\psi + \frac{1}{2}\omega_{\mu ab}\Sigma^{ab}\psi, \quad \Sigma^{ab} = \frac{1}{4}[\Gamma^{a},\Gamma^{b}], \qquad (2.28)$$

where  $\omega_{\mu ab}$  denotes the vielbein connection which can be expressed via the vielbeins  $e_{\mu}^{a}$  and the Levi-Civita connection  $\Gamma_{\mu\rho\sigma}$  as

$$\omega_{\mu \ b}^{\ a} = e_{\nu}^{\ a} \partial_{\mu} e_{\ b}^{\nu} + e_{\nu}^{\ a} \Gamma_{\mu \ \rho}^{\ \nu} e_{\ b}^{\rho} \,. \tag{2.29}$$

Decomposing a product of two Dirac matrices,  $\Gamma^a \Gamma^b$ , into the anticommutator and the commutator, one can straightforwardly show that [94]

$$-D^{\mu}D^{\mu} = -D^{\mu}D_{\mu} + \frac{R}{4} = \Delta + \frac{R}{4}$$
(2.30)

on all manifolds where the spin connection can be defined. On such manifolds with Euclidean signature the eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{D}$  are purely imaginary and come in pairs of opposite sign, *i.e.*, complex-conjugated to each other. In appendix B explicit expressions are given for the case of a sphere as underlying manifold. Of course, (2.30) allows to determine the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the Laplacian in case they are known for the operator  $\mathcal{D}$ . With the covariant derivative as defined above the action for  $N_D$  minimally coupled and massless fermions is

$$S^{\text{fermion}} = N_D \int d^d x \sqrt{|g|} \,\bar{\psi} \, i \, D \psi \,. \tag{2.31}$$

The actions (2.26), (2.27) and (2.31) will be used in chapter 4 as ansatz for the effective action coupling matter fields to gravity.

Variation of the classical action for matter fields,  $S^{\text{matter}} = S^{\text{scalar}} + S^{\text{fermion}} + S^{\text{vector}}$ , with the three terms on the right hand side as given above, yields these fields' energy-momentum tensor, more precisely this symmetric and covariantly conserved tensor is given by

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{matter}} = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{|g|}} \frac{\delta S^{\text{matter}}}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} \,. \tag{2.32}$$

By construction one has  $D^{\mu}T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{matter}} = 0$ .

# 2.2 Some Basic Aspects of Quantum Field Theory in Curved Spacetimes

As for this topic there are several textbooks available, see, *e.g.*, [94–96], I will focus here on the aspects which are relevant for this thesis, especially for the Unruh effect treated in chapter 6.

The classical action for matter fields has been given in the last section. In most applications of QFT in curved spacetimes (respectively, in curved spaces obtained after a Wick rotation) the metric and thus the curvature is treated as classical background. Typical examples for such spacetimes are models for the expanding universe and black hole geometries. On spacetimes which admit a foliation and Cauchy hypersurfaces the canonical formalism of Minkowski space QFT can be straightforwardly generalised by applying corresponding changes to the definition of the momentum field and the canonical equal-time commutation and anti-commutation relations.

In QFT, in flat space one introduces a decomposition of the field according to positive and negative frequency solutions. In the standard treatment the latter are chosen as plane waves, see, *e.g.*, [97, 98]. The coefficients of this decomposition are then elevated to creation and annihilation operators with the corresponding algebra. This then defines the field operator which

fulfils due to this construction the canonical equal-time commutation relation for bosons and anti-commutation relations for fermions. In case of an underlying curved spacetime the decomposition of the field therefore will depend on the solutions to the classical field equations in that given background.

In curved spacetimes a special connection between the statistics and the dynamics of the fields manifests itself: based on the fact that the algebra of creation and annihilation operators is built on commutators for bosons (anti-commutators for fermions) it turns out that the curved spacetime dynamics leads for bosons (fermions) in that spacetime but freely moving otherwise to a Bose-Einstein (Fermi-Dirac) distribution [94–96]. Although *a priori* such a relation is not present in Minkowski space the requirement of a continuous limit from curved spacetimes to Minkowski space makes such a connection necessary, *cf.* the corresponding discussion in [96].

A relatively simple example, elaborated in [96], for this statement can be provided by considering a Robertson-Walker metric

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - a^2(t)d\vec{x}^2$$
(2.33)

with an artificially tuned scale factor such that

$$a(t) = \begin{cases} a_i & \text{for } t \le t_i \\ a_f & \text{for } t \ge t_f \end{cases}$$
(2.34)

or phrased otherwise, for early and late times one has a static Minkowski space. At some early time  $t < t_i$  the field operator possesses the decomposition

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{\vec{k}} \left( A_{\vec{k}} f_{\vec{k}}^{(i)}(x) + A_{\vec{k}}^{\dagger} f_{\vec{k}}^{(i)\star}(x) \right)$$
(2.35)

with  $f_{\vec{k}}^{(i)}(x)$  being a positive-frequency solution at these early times,

$$f_{\vec{k}}^{(i)}(x) \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{V a_i^3}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_{ik}}} e^{i(\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}-\omega_{ik}t)},$$
 (2.36)

where V is the quantisation volume,<sup>4</sup> and  $\omega_{ik} = \sqrt{\vec{k}^2/a_i^2 + m^2}$ , m being the field's mass. The corresponding decomposition at late times  $t > t_f$  can be written as

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{\vec{k}} \left( a_{\vec{k}} f_{\vec{k}}^{(f)}(x) + a_{\vec{k}}^{\dagger} f_{\vec{k}}^{(f)\star}(x) \right)$$
(2.37)

and analogously

$$f_{\vec{k}}^{(f)}(x) \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{V a_f^3}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_f k}} e^{i(\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}-\omega_f kt)}, \qquad \omega_{fk} = \sqrt{\vec{k}^2/a_f^2 + m^2}.$$
(2.38)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Typically chosen as a cube of length L and endowed with periodic boundary conditions

Both sets of creation and annihilation operators can be related by Bogoliubov transformation,

$$a_{\vec{k}} = \alpha_{\vec{k}} A_{\vec{k}} + \beta_{\vec{k}}^{\star} A_{-\vec{k}}^{\dagger}$$

$$(2.39)$$

as well as the hermitian conjugate relation for  $a_{\vec{k}}^{\dagger}$ . Requiring the standard algebra<sup>5</sup>

$$[A_{\vec{k}}, A^{\dagger}_{\vec{k}'}]_{\mp} = [a_{\vec{k}}, a^{\dagger}_{\vec{k}'}]_{\mp} = \delta_{\vec{k}\,\vec{k}'} \tag{2.40}$$

leads to the condition  $|\alpha_{\vec{k}}|^2 \mp |\beta_{\vec{k}}|^2 = 1$  where the upper (lower) sign refers to bosons (fermions).

Assuming that at early times no particles were present the state is the corresponding vacuum annihilated by  $A_{\vec{k}}$  for all  $\vec{k}$ ,

$$A_{\vec{k}}|0_i\rangle = 0. \tag{2.41}$$

A straightforward calculation now reveals that the late time vacuum defined by

$$a_{\vec{k}}|0_f\rangle = 0 \tag{2.42}$$

contains particles with a spectrum according to the Bose-Einstein (Fermi-Dirac) distribution independent of the details of time dependence of the scale factor a(t). Furthermore, as the metric is translationally invariant and isotropic the number of particles with opposite momenta is equal. This then leads to the interpretation of the physical effect: particles have been created in pairs, or more precisely in particle-antiparticle pairs. The number density of created particles is hereby (see, *e.g.*, [96] for a didactical presentation of the derivation)

$$\langle n \rangle_{t>t_f} = \sum_{\vec{k}} |\beta_k|^2 \,. \tag{2.43}$$

To calculate the Bogoliubov coefficient  $\beta_k$  one then needs, of course, the explicit time dependence of a(t).

It has to be emphasised that at any intermediate time t with  $t_i < t < t_f$  the number of created particles is not a well-defined concept because it is not measurable by the principles of quantum theory. Choosing a short measuring time the number of detected particles will be determined by Heisenberg's uncertainty principle because the energy (and thus particle number) fluctuations are very large. On longer time scales the change of a(t) is sizeable, the related Bogoliubov coefficients are changed drastically and thus a well-defined particle number is prevented.

The basic lessons from this simple example can be taken over to the Unruh effect [100] (see, e.g., [101] for a recent review) which is employed in chapter 6 to find an answer to the question whether the dimensional reduction seen in many models of quantum gravity is an observable effect. The Unruh effect states that an uniformly accelerating observer or detector sees a thermal spectrum of particles in the vacuum of an initial observer. In appendix G it is shown that the comoving frames of such an accelerating observer are best described in Rindler coordinates.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>One should nevertheless bear in mind that these two sets of operators lead to an unitary inequivalent representation for the canonical (anti-)commutation relation, see, e.g., [99].

One can now take the Rindler spacetime as an underlying manifold in itself, and thus formulate the whole setup as a quantum field theoretical problem in curved spacetime. As can be shown with the help of a Bogoliubov transformation [95], a thermal spectrum results. The Unruh temperature which is strictly proportional to the proper acceleration a,  $T = a/2\pi$ , is thereby a strict consequence of the problem's geometry. (This is also verified with an independent argument in chapter 6.) The energy of the created particles which then excite the Unruh-DeWitt detector is provided by the engine which accelerates the detector.

#### 2.3 Almost-Commutative Geometry and Spectral Actions

Although noncommutative geometry, see, *e.g.*, [102–104], is a branch of mathematics it has applications in elementary particle physics and gravity. In this section I will shortly introduce some related ideas and review the concepts which will be used later in the thesis. As already stated in the introduction, both, the SM of particle physics being a collection of gauge theories, and gravity can be formulated to a large part in a geometrical way. Noncommutative geometry as a generalisation of the usual geometry may then serve as an *ansatz* for formulating BSM theories which are rooted in mathematical principles.

Building on the well-established correspondence (see, *e.g.*, [105]) that for a compact Hausdorff space M the commutative algebra of continuous functions on this space, C(M), contains the same information as the space itself, the underlying idea of noncommutative geometry is to generalise this relation to noncommutative algebras. This approach provides then concepts to apply these noncommutative algebras via the definition of noncommutative spaces within physics. One of the most important notions is the one of a spectral triple describing a noncommutative manifold. Such a triple  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$  consists of an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  that is represented as bounded operators on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  on which also a (Euclidean) Dirac operator  $\mathcal{D}$  acts. Hereby the latter can take a very general form,  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D} + E$  with E being an endomorphism.<sup>6</sup> Leaving it at such an abstract level many types of noncommutative manifolds will be allowed.

In almost-commutative geometry [102] (see [106–109] for reviews) the possible spaces are restricted such that they contain Riemannian spin manifolds M. These are spaces that locally look like the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and a Riemannian metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$  exists as well as spinors are admitted. The noncommutative part in the total space  $M \times F$  is a finite space F. With respect to physics it is related to the internal degrees of freedom. Mathematically its defining structure is a finite-dimensional, in general noncommutative, algebra  $\mathcal{A}_F$ . This algebra possesses usually a representation based on  $N \times N$  matrices. To complete the so-called finite spectral triple one introduces a finite-dimensional left module  $\mathcal{H}_F$  (*i.e.*, the  $N \times N$  matrices representing the algebra act on  $\mathcal{H}_F$ ), and a hermitian  $N \times N$  matrix,  $D_F : \mathcal{H}_F \to \mathcal{H}_F$ . This is summarised as

$$F := (\mathcal{A}_F, \mathcal{H}_F, D_F) . \tag{2.44}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>An explicit example of such a Dirac operator will be used in chapter 5.

The algebra related to the Riemannian spin manifolds M is chosen to be the one of smooth complex functions on M denoted by  $C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{C})$ . The Hilbert space compatible with this algebra is  $L^2(M, S)$  which consists of smooth spinor-valued functions.<sup>7</sup> The number of components of this spinor depends on the dimensions d of the manifold, it is  $2^{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor}$  where  $\lfloor \ldots \rfloor$  is the floor function, *cf.* also the construction of spinors on spheres as presented in appendix B. The manifold M possesses a Levi-Civita connection (the unique connection on M that is compatible with the metric), and if  $L^2(M, S)$  exists also a spin connection. The Dirac operator  $\mathcal{D}$  is then constructed from the covariant derivative (2.28) tensored with non-commutative matrices. This is the decisive step in the construction, hence the approach is named as almost-commutative geometry. In addition, the Dirac operator may contain endomorphisms depending on further (matter) fields. To summarise this construction, a decisive building block of an almost-commutative geometry is the so-called canonical spectral triple serving as definition of the Riemannian spin manifolds M,

$$M := (C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{C}), L^2(M, S), \mathcal{D})$$

$$(2.45)$$

which then constitutes the commutative part of the almost-commutative geometry.

The spectral action principle related to the almost-commutative geometry uses, first, as much as possible of the structure present in the spectral triple as a guiding principle to include BSM physics, offers, second, an approach for unifying gravity and elementary particle physics, and contains, third, the SM in the low energy limit [110, 111]. These conditions can be met by spectral action of the form [110, 111]

$$S_{\chi,\Lambda} = \operatorname{Tr}\left(\chi(\mathcal{D}^2/\Lambda^2)\right). \tag{2.46}$$

Hereby,  $\chi$  is a positive function and  $\Lambda$  an appropriate UV cutoff. As usual, the trace is defined by the sum over eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{D}$ . In chapter 5 such traces will be performed with the help of heat kernel techniques. For those spectral actions which contain the SM as low-energy limit the cutoff  $\Lambda$  is identified as the scale related to a GUT which appears in an intermediate step. Note that depending on the choice for the almost-commutative manifold the related spectral actions result in different types of particle physics models. It has been shown that suitably tuned choices of the manifold lead to a low-energy limit of the spectral action identical or containing the SM minimally coupled to gravity [112–116]. As mentioned it is possible in such a framework to include physics BSM [117–120] as, e.g., supersymmetry [121–124]. The renormalisation of spectral actions has been investigated in [125–129]. The phenomenology of the resulting lowenergy effective actions has been studied, e.g., in [130–132]. Some detailed discussion of the UV cutoff  $\Lambda$  is provided in [133]. Further generalisations have also been investigated, *e.g.*, an extension to non-commutative spaces built from non-associative algebras has been studied in [134]. Hereby, the simplest non-associative algebra, the octonions, lead to a spectral action describing gravity coupled to a  $G_2$  gauge theory with eight fermions, one singlet and a multiplet in the seven-dimensional fundamental representation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>It is not guaranteed that for a manifold M the Hilbert space  $L^2(M, S)$  exists.

## **2.4** Spectral Dimension in the Ultraviolet

As mentioned in the introduction the spacetimes of many quantum gravity models are not manifolds but more general structures, *e.g.*, in the asymptotic safety scenario of gravity one obtains an effective fractal spacetime structure [52], see also [53–56]. On fractal spaces different definitions of a dimension do not coincide, and two related widely-used concepts, the Hausdorff and the spectral dimension are defined and briefly described in appendix D.

To determine the spectral dimension the quantum spacetime is equipped with an artificial diffusion process for a test particle. In a next step the return probability  $\mathcal{P}(T)$  of the particle as a function of the diffusion time T is calculated. The definition of the spectral dimension is obtained in the limit of vanishing diffusion time. From (D.4) one obtains

$$d_s = -2 \lim_{T \to 0} \frac{d \ln \mathcal{P}(T)}{d \ln T} \,. \tag{2.47}$$

As explained in appendix D on a manifold the spectral dimension agrees with the topological dimension d.

The properties of the spacetime within a given quantum gravity model will in general depend on the length scales probed by the diffusing particle. This can then be captured by the generalised spectral dimension  $D_s(T)$  in which simply the limit  $T \rightarrow 0$  is omitted. In many approaches to quantum gravity  $D_s(T)$  interpolates between  $D_s = 4$  on macroscopic scales and  $D_s = 2$  at short trans-Planckian distances [57, 58]. Based on this observation multi-scale geometries as a phenomenological model of quantum gravity inspired spacetimes have been investigated [135].

For the study of the spectral dimensions derived from spectral actions presented in chapter 5 it is important to note that the spectral dimension bears a close relation to the two-point correlation function  $\tilde{G}$  of the diffusing particle. The corresponding formalism will be discussed in detail in section 5.3. For a massless scalar particle propagating on a four-dimensional Euclidean space one has  $\tilde{G} = p^{-2}$ , which results in a scale-independent spectral dimension  $D_s = 4$ . Non-trivial  $D_s$ -profiles are created if the two-point correlation function acquires an anomalous dimension. Based on this close connection, the interpretation of the spectral dimension as the Hausdorff dimension does not necessarily involve the breaking of Lorentz invariance, since  $\tilde{G}(p^2)$  may be a function of the momentum four-vector squared and thus a Lorentz invariant quantity. However, this function can in principle have more general forms than those allowed in a local QFT. One relevant example is a two-point function arising in a non-local field theory, defined as a theory whose equations of motion have an infinite number of derivatives. This form is ubiquitous in causal set studies [136].

The fictitious nature of the diffusion process underlying the spectral dimension then raises the crucial question whether the flow of the spectral dimension can be seen in a physical observable quantity. The main goal of chapter 6 is to explicitly demonstrate that this is indeed the case. The non-trivial momentum profiles of the propagators leave an imprint in the Unruh effect felt by an accelerated detector. More precisely, the effective dimension of spacetime seen by the Unruh detector is determined to a large extent by the spectral dimension.

# **Chapter 3**

# **Functional Renormalisation Group**

"Es gibt nur eine Landstraße der Wissenschaft, und nur diejenigen haben Aussicht ihren hellen Gipfel zu erreichen, die die Ermüdung beim Erklettern ihrer steilen Pfade nicht scheuen." (K. Marx)

#### Contents

3.1	The Wetterich Equation for the Effective Average Action							
3.2	The Asymptotic Safety Scenario for Gravity	25						

In this chapter the use of non-perturbative RG methods for gravity will be shortly reviewed. The functional RG is well suited for such an investigation of quantum effects in gravity for mainly two reasons: first, the investigation of gravity and gravity-matter systems needs to cover scales which differ by many orders of magnitude. Thus for determining properties of those systems a continuum method is preferred, and especially the RG is suitable for such studies. Second, as discussed in chapter 2, gravity is perturbatively non-renormalisable and might be renormalisable non-perturbatively. Therefore a non-perturbative method is required.

It has to be noted that since the seminal work [35] has been published, increasingly sophisticated approximations for applying the functional RG to gravity have been studied. This starts with investigations based on the EH action [137–160], continues with studying extensions by higher-derivative and higher-order curvature terms [55, 85, 161–181], and the construction of fixed functions including an infinite number of coupling constants [84, 182–195], as well as including the notorious Goroff-Sagnotti two-loop counterterm [196], or studies based on the foliation structure [197–201].

The main motivation, however, for the project presented in the next chapter is the fact that despite many related studies of gravity-matter systems [43, 44, 86, 202–225], the current understanding is far from satisfactory. In particular, a systematic study of the predictive power of the gravity-matter FPs along the lines of f(R)-gravity, which played a pivotal role in the case of pure gravity, was still mostly missing. The goal of the next chapters is to contribute substantially to such an analysis.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>For some related work in this direction also see [226].

Before going into more details it should be noted that the functional RG has found widespread use in almost all areas of physics. Besides gravity this includes especially the areas of elementary particle physics (and hereby the SM including QCD as well as BSM physics), of ultracold atom gases, of condensed-matter physics, and of statistical physics in general. The interested reader is referred to one of the many well written review articles and books in this field, as, *e.g.*, [227–232].

It is important to note that the vast majority of investigations based on functional RG equations (FRGEs) has been performed with a metric with Euclidean signature, and this will be also assumed in this and the following chapter.

## **3.1** The Wetterich Equation for the Effective Average Action

The main tool employed in the next chapter is a suitable projection of the Wetterich equation [33, 34] adapted to the case of gravity [35]. The major steps of a derivation for generic fields can be found in appendix C, it is given by

$$\partial_t \Gamma_k = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{STr} \left( \left( \Gamma_k^{(2)} + \mathcal{R}_k \right)^{-1} \partial_t \mathcal{R}_k \right) \,. \tag{3.1}$$

Hereby, k is the RG scale and t is defined as  $t = \ln(k/k_0)$  with  $k_0$  being an arbitrary reference scale.  $\Gamma_k$  denotes the effective average action (see also below), and  $\Gamma_k^{(2)}$  its second variation with respect to the fluctuating fields. In the compact notation of (3.1) all Lorentz and spinorial as well as field type indices are suppressed, it is understood that  $\Gamma_k^{(2)}$  is a matrix in the space of all field components. Correspondingly,  $\mathcal{R}_k$  denotes a matrix-valued regulator (and the notation  $R_k(z)$  is reserved for real functions being one of the coefficients in the "regulator matrix"). The symbol "STr" denotes the sum of a trace over all continuous and discrete bosonic degrees minus the trace over fermionic (or in case of ghosts, Grassmannian) degrees of freedom. For the latter case one should note that the use of a suitable matrix-valued regulator is required. In the fermionic channels  $\Gamma_k^{(2)}$  is off-diagonal, and together with the same structure in the regulator  $\mathcal{R}_k$  one obtains a non-vanishing trace, however, with a minus sign and a factor two canceling the 1/2 in front of the right hand side of (3.1). Hereby, the matrix  $\mathcal{R}_k$  contains the regulators  $R_k$  for the different types of fields which ensure that the flow is governed by integrating out quantum fluctuations. In this thesis I will use the "flat" Litim regulator [233,234]

$$R_k(z) = (k^2 - z) \Theta(k^2 - z) .$$
(3.2)

Its scale derivative is given by

$$\partial_t R_k(z) = 2 k^2 \Theta(k^2 - z) . \tag{3.3}$$

In the calculations presented in chapter 4 the step function  $\Theta(k^2 - z)$  will allow for some significant simplifications in the expressions appearing as summands in the traces, and, more importantly, it will turn the traces into finite sums.

In the following the background formalism for  $\Gamma_k[g_{\mu\nu}, \bar{C}_{\mu}, C_{\mu}; \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}]$  as discussed at the end of section 2.1.3 will be used. The background metric will be used in the following way in the regulator function: one constructs first the background covariant Laplacian  $\Delta = -\bar{D}^2$  and uses its eigenmodes and eigenvalues to set the RG scale k. This implies that the regulator function  $R_k$ depends on the background metric. The background is then eliminated by identifying it with the physical average metric in the final equations after solving the FRGE. The conventional effective action  $\Gamma[g]$  is then obtained in the limit  $k \to 0$  by setting  $g_{\mu\nu} = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ , *i.e.*,  $\Gamma[g] = \Gamma_{k\to 0}[g; g]$ . This dynamical adjustment of the background metric implements in an approximate way the background independence required for a theory of quantum gravity.

The employed ansatz for the effective average action consists of two parts,

$$\Gamma_k = \Gamma_k^{\text{grav}} + \Gamma_k^{\text{matter}} \,, \tag{3.4}$$

the gravitational and the matter one. Hereby,  $\Gamma_k^{\text{grav}}$  comprises three components which consist of the gravity action but with running couplings, the gauge-fixing (gf) and the ghost (gh) terms,

$$\Gamma_k^{\text{grav}} = \Gamma_k^{\text{class}} + \Gamma_k^{\text{gf}} + \Gamma_k^{\text{gh}}.$$
(3.5)

As stated above well-studied choices for  $\Gamma_k^{\text{class}}$  include the EH action and its various extensions, see section 2.1.2. In chapter 4 f(R)-gravity as defined in the action (2.5) will be investigated.

Following the discussion of coupling matter to gravity in section 2.1.4 the matter part of the effective average action,

$$\Gamma^{\text{matter}} = \Gamma^{\text{scalar}} + \Gamma^{\text{fermion}} + \Gamma^{\text{vector}}, \qquad (3.6)$$

describes the coupling of  $N_S$  scalar fields  $\phi$ ,  $N_D$  Dirac fermions  $\psi$ , and  $N_V$  (Abelian) gauge fields  $A_{\mu}$  to gravity. As discussed in section 2.1.4 the vector part includes a gauge-fixing term and ghosts  $\bar{c}$  and c. As Abelian gauge invariance is respected by the way the vector fields will be treated (see, *e.g.*, [39] for a corresponding discussion) without loss of generality Feynman gauge will be used for simplicity.

Based on the reasons provided in the beginning of section 2.1.4 matter field renormalisation and matter self-interactions will be neglected in the following. As a consequence the effective average action (3.6) will not depend on the RG scale k but, of course, contributes to the flow of the gravitational couplings.

## **3.2** The Asymptotic Safety Scenario for Gravity

The asymptotic safety mechanism was first suggested by Weinberg in the context of gravity [36, 235]. In section 1.2 the underlying idea has already been explained: if the high-energy behaviour of a theory is controlled by a FP which fulfils certain conditions, the theory is free from unphysical UV divergences. In this section the focus is on the key ingredient of the asymptotic safety scenario, the NGFPs (interacting FPs) of the RG flow. Hereby, the predictive power encoded in the properties of the NGFP may be comparable to the one known from perturbatively renormalisable QFTs, see [37–39, 42, 166, 236, 237] for respective reviews.

First of all, at NGFPs the dimensionless couplings  $g_i$  (where the index is simply meant as counting label in the case of several couplings) approach fixed values denoted by  $g_i^*$  if the RG "time" t is increased. As  $\partial_t g_i = \beta_i(\{g_i\})$ , by definition, the NGFPS are zeros of the theory's beta functions  $\beta_i(\{g_i\})$ , *i.e.*,

$$\beta_j(\{g_i^\star\}) = 0. \tag{3.7}$$

Obviously, if all couplings are close enough to the values approached at a NGFP a linearised treatment of the RG equation in terms of the  $g_i - g_i^*$  will become accurate. These linearised flows can be encoded in the stability matrix

$$\mathbf{B}_{nm} \equiv \partial_{g_m} \beta_{g_n} \big|_{g=g^*} . \tag{3.8}$$

Let us assume for the moment that the eigenvalues of this matrix are real. Then it is obvious that for a negative eigenvalue  $\lambda$  the corresponding eigenmode which is a linear superposition of the couplings  $g_i$  approaches its FP value according to  $\exp(\lambda t) = \exp(-|\lambda|t)$ . In the direction of eigenmodes with positive eigenvalues the flow trajectory is exponentially fast repelled. Correspondingly, the negative of the eigenvalues  $\theta_n = -\lambda_n$  are called stability coefficients.

This makes obvious that the asymptotic safety scenario requires that not all possible couplings are assumed, the unstable eigendirections  $e_i$  in the space of couplings with positive eigenvalue, *i.e.* negative stability coefficients, must be exactly zero. The above considerations also explain why one defines the stability coefficients as minus the eigenvalues of  $B^2$ .

However, in general the stability coefficients are not real but may occur in complex conjugate pairs. Those with a positive real part are the "relevant directions", and it is this real part which determines the approach to FP. The imaginary part leads then to a trigonometric function of t, and overall the RG trajectories are "spiralling" into the FP.

The above described situation is typical for the EH truncation with the two couplings  $\Lambda_k = \lambda_k k^2$  and  $G_k = g_k k^{2-d}$ . The stability matrix takes then a simple 2×2 form

$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \beta_{\lambda}}{\partial \lambda} & \frac{\partial \beta_{\lambda}}{\partial g} \\ \\ \frac{\partial \beta_{g}}{\partial \lambda} & \frac{\partial \beta_{g}}{\partial g} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{evaluated at} \quad (g^{*}, \lambda^{*}) . \tag{3.9}$$

In EH truncation with de Donder gauge and a Litim regulator one has a NGFP with  $g^* = 0.707$ ,  $\lambda^* = 0.193$  and two stability coefficients as complex conjugate pair,  $\theta_{0,1} = 1.475 \pm 3.043$  [238].

The flow diagram in figure 3.1 depicts the NGFP at positive  $g^* > 0$ ,  $\lambda^* > 0$  which acts as the UV completion of all RG trajectories with positive Newton's constant. Lowering the RG scale k the flow undergoes a crossover towards the GFP situated in the origin. In the vicinity of the GFP the dimensionful coupling constants  $G_k$  and  $\Lambda_k$  become independent of k, so that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>As  $t = \ln(k/k_0)$  the FP values are approached with a power law in the scale k as  $k \to \infty$ . In analogy to the scaling exponents in statistical systems the stability coefficients  $\theta_n$  are sometimes also called scaling exponents.



Figure 3.1: Shown is the phase diagram for the running gravitational coupling  $g_k$  and the cosmological constant  $\lambda_k$  in four dimensions for the Wetterich equation in the Einstein-Hilbert truncation. The separatrix (full red line) connects the NGFP and the GFP marked by green dots. Arrows indicate the direction of the RG flow with decreasing  $k \to 0$ . Adapted from [59].

classical GR is recovered in the IR. Depending on whether the RG trajectory ends at the GFP or flows to its left (right) a zero or negative (positive) IR value of the cosmological constant is recovered.

# Chapter 4

# Asymptotically Safe f(R)-Gravity Coupled to Matter

Most of this chapter is based on the following publication [305]:

N. Alkofer and F. Saueressig. Asymptotically Safe f(R)-Gravity Coupled to Matter I: the Polynomial Case. arXiv:1802.00498 [hep-th].

The material presented in section 4.5 is unpublished, a corresponding publication is in preparation [306].

Contents
----------

4	.1	Objective and Key Results         30							
4	.2	Flow Equation for Gravity-Matter Systems in the $f(R)$ -Truncation 4.2.1 Project Outline							
		4.2.2 Trace Contributions from the Gravitational and Matter Sector							
		4.2.3 Constraining the Coarse-Graining Operator							
4	.3	Evaluating Operator Traces as Spectral Sums $\dots$ Fixed Point Structure of $f(R)$ -Gravity Matter Systems in the Polynomial							
4	.4								
		4.4.1	Polynomial $f(R)$ -Truncation: General Framework $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	45					
		4.4.2	Fixed Point Structure in the Einstein-Hilbert Truncation	46					
		4.4.3 Gravity-Matter Fixed Points in the Presence of an $R^2$ -Term		49					
	4.4.4 Gravity-Matter Fixed Points for Selected Matter Sectors		Gravity-Matter Fixed Points for Selected Matter Sectors	52					
4	.5	5 Global Fixed Functions for $f(R)$ -Gravity Matter Systems		55					
	4.5.1 Global Quadratic Solutions		Global Quadratic Solutions	55					
		4.5.2	Asymptotic Behaviour for Large Curvature	60					
		4.5.3	Numerical Solutions for Global Fixed Functions	62					
4	.6	6 Summary							

## 4.1 **Objective and Key Results**

In this chapter the flow equation for f(R)-gravity with an arbitrary number of minimally coupled matter fields is obtained and the resulting FP structure will be analysed. Due to the arguments in favour for the exponential split (2.9) of the metric into background and fluctuating part instead of the linear one, the exponential split will be used in the following. The related RG equation for f(R)-gravity, but without coupling of matter, has been recently investigated [177, 190]. In order to facilitate the comparison with these previous studies of the pure gravity case the technical implementation (like, e.g., gauge fixing) will be followed. In particular, d-dimensional spheres will be used as backgrounds such that the operator-valued traces can be computed as sums over eigenvalues of the corresponding differential operators. The construction incorporates a 7-parameter family of coarse-graining operators. The free (endomorphism) parameters implement relative shifts in the eigenvalue spectra. Essentially, they determine which fluctuating modes are integrated out to drive the flow at the scale  $k^2$ . The consequences of using different coarse-graining operators can then be studied systematically. In addition, it will be shown by explicit solution that certain sets of these parameters exist which allow for a global quadratic solution of the function f(R) at the NGFP. This latter function will be called fixed function in analogy to the FPs for a finite number of couplings.

Besides improving the understanding of the influence of matter on the structure of the NGFP found in f(R)-gravity [177, 190], a second objective of this project is to elucidate the observation that different endomorphism parameters lead to different effects on the NGFP [209,211]. It is a key result of this project that f(R)-gravity coupled to matter gives rise to at least two different families of "universality" classes. While these classes are virtually indistinguishable at the level of the EH truncation, the inclusion of higher-derivative operators, and hereby most important the  $R^2$ -term, reliably disentangles the two families. The "gravity"-type family has a stable extension under the inclusion of higher-derivative operators, and the expansion exhibits a rapid convergence in terms of the position of the FP and its critical exponents. Moreover, the family comes with a low number of free parameters associated with relevant operators. Provided that the coarse-graining operator is chosen as the Laplacian, it is found that many phenomenologically interesting gravity-matter systems actually possess a NGFP belonging to this family (see table 4.3). In contrast, the "matter-dominated" family of FPs turns out to be unstable under the inclusion of higher-derivative terms. It is then shown explicitly, that changing the coarsegraining operator may have the effect of leaving the domain supporting the "gravity-type" FPs replacing them by a FP from the matter-dominated family, see figure 4.4.

However, it should be emphasised that this does not provide insights on how the coarsegraining operator should be chosen. Nevertheless, this result is important in two aspects: it offers a natural explanation for the qualitatively different results on gravity-matter systems in the literature: depending on the precise setup, the computation may probe a FP belonging to either of the two distinguished families. If this FP happens to be of "matter-dominated" type one may then expect that the FP may become unstable once the approximation exceeds a certain degree of sophistication. Second, the correct choice of the coarse-graining operator and thus of the endomorphism parameters in the regulator can be either based on a mathematical or a physical argument. As no obvious mathematical argument has turned up yet (besides the assumption that the used truncations are still too simplistic) the above mentioned result of different "universality" classes might provide the basis for a physical argument how to implement the coarse-graining correctly.

Another key result is given by the explicit form of global solutions for the fixed function at the NGFP which is found to be amazingly close to a purely quadratic solution, resp., to a solution of the type  $f^*(R) = g_0^* + g_1^*R + g_2^*R^2 + g_L^*R^2 \log R$ . It seems indeed even possible that deviations from either of the two forms are purely due to the used approximations.

These key features might also persist in computations which resolve background and fluctuation vertices of the effective average action. At this point it is, however, important to note that the fixed functions in a recent similar approach also based on performing the traces as spectral sums on a sphere but using a linear split of the metric and a vertex expansion [193] look qualitatively different. In addition, in [225] it was argued, also on the basis of a vertex expansion but around flat backgrounds, that gravity dominates the high-energy behaviour largely independent of the matter fields. Nevertheless, also in this investigation a pronounced scheme dependence visible as a dependence on technicalities such as the chosen gauge, the regularisation and/or momentum cutoff was found. Nonetheless, the authors of [225] did see compelling evidence for the main feature, namely, that asymptotic safety for gravity-matter systems follows from asymptotic safety of pure gravity. There is clearly a tension between this very recent study and the results presented in this chapter. This will be discussed further in the concluding chapter 8.

# **4.2** Flow Equation for Gravity-Matter Systems in the f(R)-Truncation

In this section the ansatz for the effective average action (section 4.2.1) and the operator traces entering into the projected flow equation (section 4.2.2) will be presented. The properties of the regularisation schemes employed in this work are discussed in section 4.2.3.

#### 4.2.1 **Project Outline**

This study focuses on the RG flow of f(R)-gravity supplemented by minimally coupled matter fields. The basis for this work is an effective average action  $\Gamma_k$  consisting of two parts,

$$\Gamma_k = \Gamma_k^{\text{grav}} + \Gamma_k^{\text{matter}} \,, \tag{4.1}$$

where  $\Gamma_k^{\text{grav}}$  is the gravitational part of the effective average action and  $\Gamma_k^{\text{matter}}$  encodes the contribution of the matter fields. Based on the discussion of the tree-level actions given in

chapter 2 the gravitational part of the action is chosen as

$$\Gamma_k^{\text{grav}} = \int d^d x \sqrt{g} f_k(R) + \Gamma_k^{\text{gf}} + \Gamma_k^{\text{gh}}, \qquad (4.2)$$

where  $f_k(R)$  is an arbitrary, scale-dependent function of the Ricci scalar R and the action is supplemented by suitable gauge-fixing and ghost terms. This sector is taken to be identical to the one studied in [190]. The matter sector,  $\Gamma^{\text{matter}} = \Gamma^{\text{scalar}} + \Gamma^{\text{fermion}} + \Gamma^{\text{vector}}$ , follows also closely the tree-level forms given in section 2.1.4 and contains  $N_S$  scalar fields  $\phi$ ,  $N_D$  Dirac fermions  $\psi$ , and  $N_V$  (Abelian) gauge fields  $A_{\mu}$ , including appropriate gauge-fixing to Feynman gauge and ghosts  $\bar{c}$  and c. Their actions are given by

$$\Gamma^{\text{scalar}} = \frac{N_S}{2} \int d^d x \sqrt{g} g^{\mu\nu} \left( D_\mu \phi \right) \left( D_\nu \phi \right) \,, \tag{4.3a}$$

$$\Gamma^{\text{fermion}} = i N_D \int d^d x \sqrt{g} \,\bar{\psi} D \psi \,, \tag{4.3b}$$

$$\Gamma^{\text{vector}} = N_V \int d^d x \sqrt{g} \left( \frac{1}{4} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} (D^\mu A_\mu)^2 + \bar{c} \left( -D^2 \right) c \right) .$$
(4.3c)

In the following the field renormalisation for matter fields and therefore contributions from their anomalous dimensions will be neglected. Although it would be not complicated to keep them, *e.g.*, in the calculations presented in chapter 4 I decided otherwise for two reasons. First, matter self-interactions are likely to provide an important contribution to the matter anomalous dimensions, and it is, however, far beyond the scope of the present thesis to include those self-interactions. Second, in the following I either focus on the impact of matter on the gravitational couplings and only the "classical" (tree-level) matter propagators of certain models for quantum gravity will be employed.

The evaluation of the FRGE (3.1) for the ansatz (4.1) can be significantly simplified by choosing a suitable background. Since the used *ansatz* projects the full RG flow onto functions of the Ricci scalar, it is convenient to work with  $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$  being a one-parameter family of metrics on the maximally symmetric *d*-sphere with arbitrary radius *a*. In this case the Riemann tensor and the Ricci tensor are determined by scalar curvature  $\bar{R}$ ,

$$\bar{R}_{\mu\rho\nu\sigma} = \frac{\bar{R}}{d(d-1)} \left( \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \bar{g}_{\rho\sigma} - \bar{g}_{\mu\sigma} \bar{g}_{\nu\rho} \right) , \qquad \bar{R}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\bar{R}}{d} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} .$$
(4.4)

Hereby, the scalar curvature is covariantly constant,  $\bar{D}_{\mu}\bar{R} = 0$ , and it is related to the radius *a* of the sphere,

$$\bar{R} = \frac{1}{a^2} d(d-1) \,. \tag{4.5}$$

The volume  $V_d$  of the background is given by

$$V_d = \frac{2\pi^{(d+1)/2}}{\Gamma((d+1)/2)} a^d = \frac{2\pi^{(d+1)/2}}{\Gamma((d+1)/2)} \left(\frac{d(d-1)}{\bar{R}}\right)^{d/2}.$$
(4.6)

Defining the Laplacian  $\Delta = -\bar{g}^{\mu\nu}\bar{D}_{\mu}\bar{D}_{\nu}$  (see appendix A for a brief discussion of possible choices for the Laplacian), the complete set of eigenvalues  $\lambda_{\ell}^{(s)}$  together with their degeneracies

spin <i>s</i>	$\lambda_\ell^{(s)}$	$M_\ell^{(s)}$	
0	$\frac{1}{a^2}\ell(\ell+d-1)$	$\frac{(\ell+d-2)!}{(d-1)!\ell!}(2\ell+d-1)$	$\ell = 0, 1, \dots$
$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{a^2}(\ell^2 + d\ell + \frac{d}{4})$	$2^{\lfloor d/2+1 \rfloor} \frac{(\ell+d-1)!}{(d-1)!\ell!}$	$\ell = 0, 1, \ldots$
1	$\tfrac{1}{a^2}\left(\ell(\ell+d-1)-1\right)$	$\frac{(\ell+d-3)!}{(d-2)!(\ell+1)!}(2\ell+d-1)(\ell+d-1)\ell$	$\ell = 1, 2, \ldots$
2	$\frac{1}{a^2} \left( \ell (\ell + d - 1) - 2 \right)$	$\frac{(d+1)(d-2)(l+d)(l-1)(2l+d-1)(l+d-3)!}{2(d-1)!(l+1)!}$	$\ell = 2, 3, \ldots$

Table 4.1: Eigenvalues  $\lambda_{\ell}^{(s)}$  and their degeneracy  $M_{\ell}^{(s)}$  for the Laplacian  $\Delta = -\bar{g}^{\mu\nu}\bar{D}_{\mu}\bar{D}_{\nu}$  acting on scalars (s = 0), Dirac fermions (s = 1/2), transverse vectors (s = 1) and transverse-traceless matrices (s = 2). The bosonic results are taken from [239] while the fermionic case has been derived in [240].

 $M_{\ell}^{(s)}$  for  $\Delta$  acting on irreducible spin representations have been determined in [239, 240]. This data is collected in table 4.1. In particular, the spectrum of the Laplacian acting on spinor fields is obtained from the eigenvalues of  $-\bar{p}^2$  [240],

$$\lambda_l^{-\bar{p}^2} = \frac{1}{a^2} \left( l + \frac{d}{2} \right)^2 , \qquad M_l^{-\bar{p}^2} = 2^{\lfloor d/2 + 1 \rfloor} \frac{(\ell + d - 1)!}{(d - 1)! \, \ell!} , \qquad \ell = 0, 1, \dots,$$
(4.7)

in combination with the Lichnerowicz formula  $-\bar{\not}D^2 = \Delta + \bar{R}/4$ . Here  $\lfloor \ldots \rfloor$  denotes the floor function.

Finally, the computation is simplified by decomposing the fluctuation fields into their irreducible spin components, see [140] for an extended discussion. For the gravitational fluctuations this is achieved by the York decomposition, see (2.12), which expresses  $h_{\mu\nu}$  in terms of a transverse-traceless tensor  $h_{\mu\nu}^{TT}$  (spin s = 2), a transverse vector  $\xi_{\nu}$  (spin s = 1) and two scalar fields  $\sigma$ , h [87]. Hereby,  $h_{\mu\nu}^{TT}$  and  $\xi_{\nu}$  are subject to differential constraints, see section 2.1.3. Similarly, a vector field  $A_{\mu}$  is decomposed into a transverse vector  $A_{\mu}^{T}$  and a scalar a according to

$$A_{\mu} = A_{\mu}^{T} + \bar{D}_{\mu} a , \qquad \bar{D}^{\mu} A_{\mu}^{T} = 0 .$$
(4.8)

Notably, not all eigenmodes of the Laplacian contribute to the decompositions (2.12) and (4.8). A constant mode *a* drops out of the transverse decomposition (4.8) while in the York decomposition the two lowest eigenmodes of  $\sigma$  and the lowest vector mode  $\xi_{\mu}$  of the Laplacian do not change the right hand side of (2.12). These zero modes must then be removed by hand in order to make the decompositions into irreducible spin components bijective. Moreover, the decompositions give rise to operator-valued Jacobians. On a spherical background these are given by

$$\mathcal{J}^{\text{vec}} = \text{Det}_{(1)} \left( \Delta - \frac{\bar{R}}{d} \right)^{1/2}, \quad \mathcal{J}^{\sigma} = \text{Det}_{(0)} \left( \Delta^2 - \frac{\bar{R}}{d-1} \Delta \right)^{1/2}, \quad \mathcal{J}^a = \text{Det}_{(0)} \left( \Delta \right)^{1/2}.$$
(4.9)

#### 4.2.2 Trace Contributions from the Gravitational and Matter Sector

Given the ansatz (4.1) the flow of  $\Gamma_k$  will be sourced by quantum fluctuations in the gravitational and matter sector

$$\partial_t \Gamma_k = T^{\text{grav}} + T^{\text{matter}} \,. \tag{4.10}$$

The construction of the gravitational sector follows [177, 190]. In this setting,  $\Gamma_k^{\text{grav}}$  is supplemented by a classical gauge-fixing term

$$\Gamma^{\rm gf} = \frac{1}{2\alpha} \int d^d x \sqrt{\bar{g}} \, \bar{g}^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu} F_{\nu} \,, \qquad F_{\mu} = \bar{D}_{\rho} h^{\rho}{}_{\mu} - \frac{\beta + 1}{d} \bar{D}_{\mu} h \,. \tag{4.11}$$

Expressing  $F_{\mu}$  in terms of the component fields (2.12) one has

$$F_{\mu} = -\left(\Delta - \frac{\bar{R}}{d}\right)\xi_{\mu} - \frac{1}{d}\bar{D}_{\mu}\left(\left[(d-1)\Delta - \bar{R}\right]\sigma + \beta h\right).$$
(4.12)

Following [241] this suggests to recast the scalar fields in terms of a gauge invariant field s and a gauge dependent degree of freedom  $\chi$ 

$$s = h + \Delta\sigma, \qquad \chi = \frac{[(d-1)\Delta - \bar{R}]\sigma + \beta h}{(d-1-\beta)\Delta - \bar{R}}$$
(4.13)

where the denominator in  $\chi$  is fixed by requiring that the transformation has a constant Jacobian. Expressing the gauge-fixing term in terms of these fields leads to

$$\Gamma^{\rm gf} = \frac{1}{2\alpha} \int d^d x \sqrt{\bar{g}} \left\{ \xi^{\mu} \left[ \Delta - \frac{\bar{R}}{d} \right]^2 \xi_{\mu} + \frac{(d-1-\beta)^2}{d^2} \chi \left[ \Delta \left( \Delta - \frac{\bar{R}}{(d-1-\beta)} \right)^2 \right] \chi \right\}.$$
(4.14)

The ghost action associated with the gauge-fixing (4.11) is obtained in the standard way. Restricting to terms quadratic in the fluctuation fields, it reads

$$\Gamma^{\text{ghost}} = \int d^d x \sqrt{\bar{g}} \ \bar{C}^{\mu} \left[ \delta^{\nu}_{\mu} \ \bar{D}^2 + \left( 1 - 2 \ \frac{\beta+1}{d} \right) \ \bar{D}_{\mu} \ \bar{D}^{\nu} + \frac{\bar{R}}{d} \ \delta^{\nu}_{\mu} \right] C_{\nu}.$$
(4.15)

Decomposing the ghosts into their transversal and longitudinal part,  $C_{\nu} = C_{\nu}^{T} + \bar{D}_{\nu} C^{L}$ , one obtains

$$\Gamma^{\text{ghost}} = -\int d^d x \sqrt{\bar{g}} \left\{ \bar{C}^{T\mu} \left[ \Delta - \frac{\bar{R}}{d} \right] C^T_\mu + 2 \frac{d-1-\beta}{d} \bar{C}^L \left[ \Delta - \frac{\bar{R}}{d-1-\beta} \right] \Delta C^L \right\}.$$
(4.16)

The gravitational sector is completed by the expansion of  $\Gamma_k^{\text{grav}}[g] = \Gamma_k^{\text{grav}}[\bar{g}] + \mathcal{O}(h) + \Gamma_k^{\text{quad}}[h; \bar{g}] + \dots$  For the exponential split (2.9) the terms quadratic in the fluctuation fields are given by [190]

$$\Gamma_{k}^{\text{quad}} = \int d^{d}x \sqrt{\bar{g}} \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} f'(\bar{R}) h_{\mu\nu}^{TT} \left[ \Delta + \frac{2}{d(d-1)} \bar{R} \right] h^{TT \,\mu\nu} + \frac{d-1}{4d} s \left[ \frac{2(d-1)}{d} f''(\bar{R}) \left( \Delta - \frac{\bar{R}}{d-1} \right) + \frac{d-2}{d} f'(\bar{R}) \right] \left[ \Delta - \frac{\bar{R}}{d-1} \right] s + h \left[ \frac{1}{8} f(\bar{R}) - \frac{1}{4d} \bar{R} f'(\bar{R}) \right] h \right\}.$$
(4.17)

Note that all terms containing the spin-1 component  $\xi_{\mu}$  canceled out.

At this stage, it is useful to collect the determinants arising from the various spin sectors. The transverse vector sector receives contributions from the Jacobian in the transverse-traceless decomposition (4.9), from the transverse ghosts, and from  $\xi^{\mu}$  in the gauge-fixing term. All one-loop determinants have the same form, such that they combine according to

$$\operatorname{Det}_{(1)}\left(\Delta - \frac{\bar{R}}{d}\right)^{1/2} \operatorname{Det}_{(1)}\left(\Delta - \frac{\bar{R}}{d}\right) \operatorname{Det}_{(1)}\left(\Delta - \frac{\bar{R}}{d}\right)^{-1} = \operatorname{Det}_{(1)}\left(\Delta - \frac{\bar{R}}{d}\right)^{1/2} .$$
(4.18)

In the scalar sector, one combines the contributions from  $\chi$ , the longitudinal ghost  $C^L$ , and the scalar determinants from the field decompositions in the transverse-traceless and ghost decomposition

$$\operatorname{Det}_{(0)} (\Delta)^{-1/2} \operatorname{Det}_{(0)} \left( \Delta - \frac{\bar{R}}{d - 1 - \beta} \right)^{-1} \cdot \operatorname{Det}_{(0)} (\Delta) \operatorname{Det}_{(0)} \left( \Delta - \frac{\bar{R}}{d - 1 - \beta} \right) \cdot \\ \operatorname{Det}_{(0)} (\Delta)^{1/2} \operatorname{Det}_{(0)} \left( \Delta - \frac{\bar{R}}{d - 1} \right)^{1/2} \cdot \operatorname{Det}_{(0)} (\Delta)^{-1} = \operatorname{Det}_{(0)} \left( \Delta - \frac{\bar{R}}{d - 1} \right)^{1/2} ,$$

$$(4.19)$$

where the  $\cdot$  is used to separate the contributions from the various sectors. Note that the remaining scalar determinant can be absorbed by the field redefinition  $s \to \tilde{s} = \left[\Delta - \frac{\bar{R}}{d-1}\right]^{1/2} s$ , which simplifies the contribution of the scalar sector in (4.17). The cancellation of the scalar determinants is actually *independent* of the choice of the gauge-fixing parameter  $\beta$ . It solely relies on the field redefinition (4.13) used to disentangle the gauge invariant and gauge dependent field contributions.

The structure of the Hessians is further simplified by adopting "physical gauge"  $\beta \to -\infty$ ,  $\alpha \to 0$ . From (4.13) one finds that the limit  $\beta \to -\infty$  aligns  $\chi$  and h such that  $\chi \propto h$ . Subsequently evoking the Landau limit  $\alpha \to 0$  then ensures that the  $h^2$  term appearing in  $\Gamma_k^{\text{quad}}$  does not contribute to the flow equation. In this way the contributions of the fields in the gravitational sector is maximally decoupled:  $\Gamma_k^{\text{quad}}$  gives the contributions for  $h_{\mu\nu}^{\text{TT}}$  and s, while the gauge-fixing term determines the quantum fluctuations of the transverse vector  $\xi_{\mu}$  and scalar  $\chi$ .

The final ingredient in writing down the projected flow equation (3.1) is the regulator  $\mathcal{R}_k(\Box)$ . Since one of the main objectives of this work is to understand the role of different coarse-graining operators, the operators

$$\Box_{S,D,V,T}^{G,M} \equiv \Delta - \alpha_{S,D,V,T}^{G,M} \bar{R}$$
(4.20)

are introduced which, besides the Laplacian, also contain an endomorphism parameter  $\alpha_{S,D,V,T}^{G,M}$ . Here the superscript indicates if the operator belongs to the gravitational (G) or matter sector (M) while the subscript gives the spin of the corresponding fields. In case of ambiguities, additional numbers to the spin index are added. The regulator  $\mathcal{R}_k(\Box)$  is then fixed through the replacement rule

$$\Box \mapsto P_k(\Box) \equiv \Box + R_k(\Box) , \qquad (4.21)$$

where it is understood that the endomorphism parameters contained in the coarse graining operators  $\Box$  may differ for different fields.

Based on (4.17) and (4.18) one has now all ingredients for writing down the gravitational contribution to the flow of  $f_k(R)$ . The gravitational sector gives rise to three contributions associated with the transverse-traceless fluctuations  $h_{\mu\nu}^{TT}$ , the gauge invariant scalar s and the vector determinant (4.18):

$$T^{\text{grav}} = T^{\text{TT}} + T^{\text{ghost}} + T^{\text{sinv}}.$$
(4.22)

The explicit expressions for the traces are given by

$$T^{\rm TT} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}_{(2)} \left[ \left( f'(\bar{R}) (P_k^T + \alpha_T^G \bar{R} + \frac{2}{d(d-1)} \bar{R}) \right)^{-1} \partial_t \left( f'_k(\bar{R}) R_k^T \right) \right], \qquad (4.23a)$$

$$T^{\rm sinv} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}_{(2)} \left[ \left( f''_k(\bar{R}) (P_k^T + \alpha_T^G \bar{R} + \frac{2}{d(d-1)} \bar{R}) \right)^{-1} \partial_t \left( f'_k(\bar{R}) R_k^T \right) \right], \qquad (4.23a)$$

$$T^{\text{sinv}} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}_{(0)}^{\prime\prime} \left[ \left( f_k^{\prime\prime}(\bar{R}) (P_k^S + \alpha_S^G \bar{R} - \frac{1}{d-1} \bar{R}) + \frac{d-2}{2(d-1)} f_k^{\prime}(\bar{R}) \right)^{-1} \partial_t \left( f_k^{\prime\prime}(\bar{R}) R_k^S \right) \right] ,$$
(4.23b)

$$T^{\text{ghost}} = -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}_{(1)}' \left[ \left( P_k^V + \alpha_V^G \bar{R} - \frac{1}{d} \bar{R} \right)^{-1} \partial_t R_k^V \right] .$$
(4.23c)

Here the number of primes on the traces indicate the number of modes which have to be discarded. The subscript on the traces, on the other hand, specifies the spin of the fields. By construction, the result agrees with [190].

The contribution of the minimally coupled matter fields (4.3) to the gravitational flow can be constructed along the same lines as in the gravitational sector: one first decomposes the vector field into its transverse and longitudinal parts according to (4.8), computes the Hessians  $\Gamma^{(2)}$ , and determines the regulator function according to the prescription (4.21). The resulting contribution is given by

$$T^{\text{matter}} = T^{\text{scalar}} + T^{\text{Dirac}} + T^{\text{vector}}$$
(4.24)

where

$$T^{\text{scalar}} = \frac{N_S}{2} \operatorname{Tr}_{(0)} \left[ (P_k^S + \alpha_S^M \bar{R})^{-1} \partial_t R_k^S \right] , \qquad (4.25a)$$

$$T^{\text{Dirac}} = -\frac{N_D}{2} \operatorname{Tr}_{(1/2)} \left[ (P_k^D + \alpha_D^M \bar{R} + \frac{1}{4} \bar{R})^{-1} \partial_t R_k^D \right], \qquad (4.25b)$$

$$T^{\text{vector}} = \frac{N_V}{2} \operatorname{Tr}_{(1)} \left[ (P_k^{V_1} + \alpha_{V_1}^M \bar{R} + \frac{1}{d} \bar{R})^{-1} \partial_t R_k^{V_1} \right] + \frac{N_V}{2} \operatorname{Tr}_{(0)}' \left[ (P_k^{V_2} + \alpha_{V_2}^M \bar{R})^{-1} \partial_t R_k^{V_2} \right] - N_V \operatorname{Tr}_{(0)}' \left[ (P_k^{V_2} + \alpha_{V_2}^M \bar{R})^{-1} \partial_t R_k^{V_2} \right].$$
(4.25c)

The three traces in  $T^{\text{vector}}$  capture the contribution from the transverse vector field, the longitudinal modes, and ghost fields, respectively. Again the number of primes indicates that the corresponding number of lowest eigenmodes should be removed from the trace. In addition, each sector contains its own endomorphism parameter  $\alpha$ . Following [177, 190], a "mode-bymode" cancellation between the matter and ghost modes has been implemented, so that the corresponding traces come with the same number of primes and endomorphism parameter. The full, projected flow equation is then obtained by substituting (4.23) and (4.25) into (4.10).

#### 4.2.3 Constraining the Coarse-Graining Operator

Notably, the values of the endomorphism parameters  $\alpha$  may not be chosen arbitrarily. On physical grounds one requires that

1. For any fluctuation contributing to the operator traces in the flow equation the argument of the regulator  $\mathcal{R}_k(\Box)$ ,  $\Box = \Delta - \alpha \overline{R}$ , should be positive-semidefinite.

and

2. The denominators appearing in the trace-arguments should be free of poles on the support of  $\Box$ . In other words, the "mass-type" terms provided by the background curvature should not correspond to a negative squared-mass.

At first sight the second condition may seem somewhat less compelling since these types of singularities are removed when the flow equation is expanded in powers of the background curvature. Taking into account that the approximate solutions of the flow equation arising from this procedure should ultimately have an extension to solutions of the full flow equations, constraining the coarse-graining operator to those which do not give rise to such extra singularities is a sensible requirement.

Practically, the first condition translates into the requirement that  $\alpha \overline{R}$  must be smaller than the lowest eigenvalue contributing to a given trace. Taking into account the omitted lowest eigenmodes (indicated by the primes in (4.23)) the resulting constraints in the gravitational sector are

$$\alpha_T^G \le \frac{2}{d-1}, \qquad \alpha_S^G \le \frac{2(d+1)}{d(d-1)}, \qquad \alpha_V^G \le \frac{2d+1}{d(d-1)}.$$
(4.26)

Analogously, the endomorphism parameters in the matter sector should satisfy

$$\alpha_S^M \le 0, \qquad \alpha_D^M \le \frac{1}{4(d-1)}, \qquad \alpha_{V_1}^M \le \frac{1}{d}, \qquad \alpha_{V_2}^M \le \frac{1}{d-1}.$$
 (4.27)

Here different bounds for fields with the same spin arise due to a different number of fluctuation modes excluded from the traces.

The second condition is evaluated by replacing  $P_k \to k^2$  and subsequently writing the propagators in terms of the dimensionless curvature  $r \equiv \bar{R}k^{-2}$ . The denominators then take the form  $(1 + (c_d + \alpha)r)$  where the constants  $c_d$  depend on the trace under consideration and can be read off from (4.23) and (4.25). For fixed background curvature  $\bar{R}$  and  $k \in [0, \infty[$  the dimensionless curvature takes values on the entire positive real axis  $r \in [0, \infty[$ . The absence of poles results in the condition  $\alpha \geq -c_d$ . In the gravitational sector this entails

$$\alpha_T^G \ge -\frac{2}{d(d-1)}, \qquad \alpha_S^G \ge \frac{1}{d-1}, \qquad \alpha_V^G \ge \frac{1}{d}, \qquad (4.28)$$

while for the matter fields the bounds are

$$\alpha_S^M \ge 0, \qquad \alpha_D^M \ge -\frac{1}{4}, \qquad \alpha_{V_1}^M \ge -\frac{1}{d}, \qquad \alpha_{V_2}^M \ge 0.$$
(4.29)

The bound on  $\alpha_S^G$  reported in (4.28) may be less stringent though, since the quoted value does not take into account possible contributions from the function  $f_k(\bar{R})$  which can only be computed at the level of solutions. Notably, both sets of conditions (4.26), (4.27) and (4.28),(4.29) can be met simultaneously. This requires non-zero endomorphism parameters  $\alpha_S^G$  and  $\alpha_V^G$ though.

For latter use two widely used choices for the coarse-graining operators termed "type I" and "type II" (see [166] for a detailed discussion) are introduced. In this case the endomorphism parameters are chosen as

type I: 
$$\alpha_T^G = \alpha_S^G = \alpha_V^G = \alpha_D^M = \alpha_{V_1}^M = \alpha_{V_2}^M = \alpha_S^M = 0$$
, (4.30a)  
type II:  $\alpha_T^G = -\frac{2}{d(d-1)}, \ \alpha_S^G = \frac{1}{d-1}, \ \alpha_V^G = \frac{1}{d}$ ,

$$\alpha_D^M = -\frac{1}{4}, \ \alpha_{V_1}^M = -\frac{1}{d}, \ \alpha_{V_2}^M = \alpha_S^M = 0.$$
(4.30b)

For the type I choice the coarse-graining operator  $\Box$  agrees with the Laplacian acting on the corresponding spin fields. The type II coarse-graining operator is tailored in such a way that it removes the scalar curvature from the propagators.<sup>1</sup> By construction it satisfies both conditions 1 and 2.

In order to trace the dependence of the RG flow on the choice of coarse graining operator, a one-parameter family of coarse-graining operators is introduced:

type I: 
$$\alpha_T^G = -\frac{2c}{d(d-1)}, \ \alpha_S^G = \frac{c}{d-1}, \ \alpha_V^G = \frac{c}{d}, \ \alpha_D^M = -\frac{c}{4}, \ \alpha_{V_1}^M = -\frac{c}{d}, \ \alpha_{V_2}^M = \alpha_S^M = 0.$$
  
(4.31)

It contains one free parameter c and interpolates continuously between a coarse-graining operator of type I for c = 0 and type II for c = 1. In particular, this construction will be very useful in order to understand the FP structure of gravity-matter systems in section 4.4.

## 4.3 Evaluating Operator Traces as Spectral Sums

The next step consists in explicitly evaluating the traces (4.23) and (4.25) and rewrite them as explicit functions of the scalar curvature. The main result is the partial differential equation (4.45) and its restriction to four dimensions (4.47) which governs the scale-dependence of  $f_k(R)$ in the presence of minimally coupled matter fields.

Our computation follows the strategy [174, 177, 182, 183, 187, 190] and performs the traces as sums over eigenvalues of the corresponding Laplacians. Furthermore, a Litim-type regulator (3.2) is employed. For finite k, the presence of the step-function in the regulator entails that only a finite number of eigenvalues contribute to the mode sum. Moreover, the propagators are independent of  $\Delta$  and can be pulled out of the sums. As a consequence the traces reduce to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The use of a type I and type II coarse-graining operator should not be confused with a "change of the regulator function". One way to fix the values of  $\alpha$  is provided by the principle of equal lowest eigenvalues [188], but herein the endomorphisms are treated as free parameters.

finite sums over the degeneracies of the eigenvalues, possibly weighted by the corresponding eigenvalue. These sums take the form

$$S_d^{(s)}(N) \equiv \sum_{\ell=\ell_{\min}}^N M_\ell^{(s)}, \qquad \widetilde{S}_d^{(s)}(N) \equiv \sum_{\ell=\ell_{\min}}^N \lambda_\ell^{(s)} M_\ell^{(s)}, \qquad (4.32)$$

were N is a (finite) integer determined by the regulator, and the eigenvalues and degeneracies are listed in table 4.1. In the matter sector, all traces have the structure  $S_d^{(s)}(N)$  while the gravitational sector gives rise to both types of contributions. The occurrence of contributions of the form  $\tilde{S}_d^{(s)}(N)$  can be traced back to the presence of scale-dependent coupling constants in the regulator functions which only occur in the gravitational sector and are absent in the matter traces. In this section the sums (4.32) will be used to explicitly evaluate the right hand side of the flow equation by summing over the eigenvalues of the differential operators.

Carrying out the sums for scalars (s = 0), Dirac fermions (s = 1/2), transverse vectors (s = 1), and transverse-traceless tensors (s = 2) results in

$$S_d^{(0)}(N) = (2N+d) \frac{(N+d-1)!}{d! N!},$$
(4.33a)

$$S_d^{(1/2)}(N) = 2^{\lfloor d/2+1 \rfloor} \frac{(N+d)!}{d! N!},$$
(4.33b)

$$S_d^{(1)}(N) = 1 + \frac{d-1}{d!} \frac{(2N+d) \left(N^2 + dN - 1\right) \left(N + d - 2\right)!}{(N+1)!},$$
(4.33c)

$$S_d^{(2)}(N) = \frac{(d+2)(d+1)}{2} + \frac{(d+1)(2N+d)\left((d-2)(N^2+dN) - (d+2)(d-1)\right)(N+d-2)!}{2\,d!\,(N+1)!} \,. \tag{4.33d}$$

These results may readily be confirmed by applying proof by induction techniques. All expressions are polynomials of order d in N. The sums weighted by the eigenvalues can be performed in the same way. In this case it suffices to consider the cases s = 0 and s = 2, yielding

$$\widetilde{S}_{d}^{(0)}(N) = \frac{(2N+d)(N+d)!}{a^{2}(d+2)(d-1)!(N-1)!},$$
(4.34a)
$$\widetilde{S}_{d}^{(2)}(N) = -\frac{2d(d+1)}{a^{2}} + \frac{(d+1)(2N+d)\left((d-2)(N^{4}+2dN^{3}+(d^{2}-d-5)N^{2}-d(d+5)N)+4(d-1)(2+d)\right)(N+d-2)!}{2a^{2}(2+d)(d-1)!(N+1)!}.$$
(4.34b)

These expressions are again polynomials in N of order d + 2. The increased order thereby compensates the factor  $a^2$  such that both (4.33) and (4.34) exhibit the same scaling behaviour as  $R \to 0$ .

The value N at which the sums are cut off is given by the largest integer  $N_{\max}^{(s)}$  satisfying the inequality  $\lambda_{N_{\max}^{(s)}}^{(s)} - \alpha \bar{R} \leq k^2$ . Substituting the eigenvalues listed in table 4.1 and solving this



Figure 4.1: Comparison of smoothing procedures applied to the four-dimensional scalar trace without endomorphism,  $\text{Tr}_{(0)}[\theta(k^2 - \Delta)]$ , as a function of the dimensionless curvature  $r = \bar{R}/k^2$ . The left panel depicts the staircase behaviour of the sum over modes (horizontal lines). The upper (lower) staircase approximations interpolate between the upper (lower) points of the discrete result. The early-time expansion of the heat kernel, as well as the averaged  $(q^{(0)} = 0)$ and optimised averaged  $(q^{(0)} = -2)$  interpolations lie between these extreme curves. The right diagram displays the difference  $\Delta$  Tr obtained from evaluating the scalar trace with the earlytime expansion of the heat kernel (reference) and (from bottom to top) the upper staircase, averaged, optimised averaged, and the lower staircase interpolation. On the shown interval the relative difference between the optimised averaged interpolation and the early-time expansion of the heat kernel (4.39) is smaller than  $6 \times 10^{-4}$ .

condition for  $N_{\max}^{(s)}$  yields

$$N_{\max}^{(0)} = -\frac{d-1}{2} - p^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{d^2 - 2d + 1 + 4d(d-1)\left(\frac{1}{r} + \alpha\right) + q^{(0)}}, \qquad (4.35a)$$

$$N_{\max}^{(1/2)} = -\frac{d}{2} - p^{(1/2)} + \sqrt{d(d-1)\left(\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{4} + \alpha\right) + \frac{1}{4}q^{(1/2)}},$$
(4.35b)

$$N_{\max}^{(1)} = -\frac{d-1}{2} - p^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{d^2 - 2d + 5 + 4d(d-1)\left(\frac{1}{r} + \alpha\right) + q^{(1)}},$$
(4.35c)

$$N_{\max}^{(2)} = -\frac{d-1}{2} - p^{(2)} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{d^2 - 2d + 9} + 4d(d-1)\left(\frac{1}{r} + \alpha\right) + q^{(2)}, \qquad (4.35d)$$

with  $p^{(s)} = q^{(s)} = 0$  and  $r \equiv \overline{R}/k^2$ . The sums then extend up to the integer part of these bounds which results in a discontinuous structure in the flow equation. This is illustrated in the left panel of figure 4.1. Since for any fixed dimension d the expressions (4.33) and (4.34) reduce to polynomials in N, one may substitute the corresponding thresholds (4.35) and treat the resulting expressions as being continuous in the dimensionless curvature r. For  $p^{(s)} = q^{(s)} = 0$ this results in the upper staircase interpolation shown as the top curve in the left diagram of figure 4.1. The lower staircase curve (connecting the lower points of the discontinuous steps) is obtained from setting  $p^{(s)} = -1$  and  $q^{(s)} = 0$ . The interpolation used in [177, 183, 189, 190] averages the sums (4.32) evaluated at  $N_{\max}^{(s)}$  and  $N_{\max}^{(s)} - 1$  setting  $p^{(s)} = q^{(s)} = 0$ . This procedure removes the non-analytic terms from the sums once the volume  $V_d$  has been factored out. This averaging interpolation will be used in the following, see, however, appendix E for two other interpolation schemes. It is convenient to define sums tailored to the averaged interpolation, setting

$$T_d^{(s)}(N) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left( S_d^{(s)}(N) + S_d^{(s)}(N-1) \right) , \qquad \widetilde{T}_d(N) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left( \widetilde{S}_d^{(s)}(N) + \widetilde{S}_d^{(s)}(N-1) \right) .$$
(4.36)

At this stage, it is instructive to compare the evaluation of the operator traces in terms of spectral sums to the results obtained from the early-time expansion of the heat kernel. Applying standard Mellin-transform techniques [35, 166] one has

$$\operatorname{Tr}_{(s)}\theta(k^2 - \Delta) = \frac{k^d}{(4\pi)^{d/2}} V_d f_{(s)}(r;d)$$
(4.37)

where

$$f_{(s)}(r;d) = \left(\frac{\operatorname{tr} \mathbf{a}_0^{(s)}}{\Gamma(d/2+1)} + \frac{\operatorname{tr} \mathbf{a}_2^{(s)}}{\Gamma(d/2)} k^{-2}\right) + \mathcal{O}(r^2)$$
(4.38)

and the coefficients  $a_n^{(s)}$  can be found in [140]. In particular, for a scalar field in d = 4 dimensions the early-time expansion of (4.37) gives

$$f_{(0)}(r;4) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6}r + \frac{29}{2160}r^2.$$
(4.39)

Generically, evaluating (4.33) for averaging interpolation reproduces the leading term in (4.38) while the subleading coefficient multiplying r will match for specific values  $q^{(s)} \neq 0$ , only. This suggests an optimised averaged interpolation function where

$$q^{(s)} = -\frac{2}{3}(d-1).$$
(4.40)

The results obtained from the various interpolations are then compared in the right panel of figure 4.1. As expected the optimised interpolation leads to an expansion which gives the best approximation to the early-time heat kernel.

Based on the mode sums (4.33) and (4.34) together with the cutoffs (4.35) it is rather straightforward to write down the explicit form of the traces (4.23) and (4.25). Introducing the convenient abbreviation

$$\mathcal{V} \equiv \frac{d!}{2 \, (4\pi)^{d/2} \, \Gamma(d/2+1)} \left(\frac{r}{d(d-1)}\right)^{d/2} \tag{4.41}$$

and using that the volume of the *d*-sphere may be written as  $V_d = \frac{2}{d!}\Gamma(d/2+1)(4\pi)^{d/2}a^d$  one sees that  $V_d k^d \mathcal{V} = 1$ , which then allows to extract the volume factor from the traces rather easily. The matter traces (4.25) then evaluate to

$$T^{\text{scalar}} = V_d \, k^d \, \mathcal{V} \, \frac{N_S}{1 + \alpha_S^M r} \, T_d^{(0)}(N) \,, \tag{4.42a}$$

$$T^{\text{Dirac}} = -V_d k^d \mathcal{V} \frac{N_D}{1 + \left(\alpha_D^M + \frac{1}{4}\right) r} T_d^{(1/2)}(N), \qquad (4.42b)$$

$$T^{\text{vector}} = V_d \, k^d \, \mathcal{V} \, N_V \left( \frac{1}{1 + (\alpha_{V_1}^M + \frac{1}{d})r} \, T_d^{(1)}(N) - \frac{1}{1 + \alpha_{V_2}^M r} \left( T_d^{(0)}(N) - 1 \right) \right) \,. \tag{4.42c}$$

Here N represents the cutoff obtained from the corresponding spin representation. The last term in  $T^{\text{vector}}$  originates from removing the lowest scalar eigenmode from the trace encoding the contributions of the longitudinal vector field. The evaluation of the gravitational traces proceeds along the same lines. Denoting derivatives with respect to the RG time t by a dot, one has

$$T^{\rm TT} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{V_d k^d \mathcal{V}}{f'_k \left(1 + \left(\alpha_T^G + \frac{2}{d(d-1)}\right)r\right)} \left( \left( \left(1 + \alpha_T^G r\right) \dot{f}'_k + 2f'_k \right) T_d^{(2)}(N) - k^{-2} \dot{f}'_k \widetilde{T}_d^{(2)}(N) \right) , \quad (4.43a)$$

$$T^{\text{ghost}} = -\frac{V_d k^d \mathcal{V}}{1 + \left(\alpha_V^G - \frac{1}{d}\right)r} \left(T_d^{(1)}(N) - \frac{1}{2}d(d+1)\right),$$
(4.43b)

$$T^{\text{sinv}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{V_d k^d \mathcal{V}}{f_k'' \left(1 + \left(\alpha_S^G - \frac{1}{d-1}\right)r\right) + \frac{d-2}{2(d-1)k^2} f_k'} \left( \left((1 + \alpha_S^G r)\dot{f}_k'' + 2f_k''\right) T_d^{(0)}(N) - k^{-2} \dot{f}_k'' \widetilde{T}_d^{(0)}(N) \right)$$

$$(4.43c)$$

Here  $T^{\text{sinv}}$  contains the contribution from *all scalar modes*. The two lowest eigenmodes are removed by adding

$$\Delta T^{\text{sinv}} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{V_d k^d \mathcal{V}}{f_k'' \left(1 + \left(\alpha_S^G - \frac{1}{d-1}\right)r\right) + \frac{d-2}{2(d-1)k^2} f_k'} \left(\left((1 + \alpha_S^G r)\dot{f}_k'' + 2f_k''\right)(d+2) - \frac{d+1}{d-1} r \dot{f}_k''\right)$$
(4.44)

to the flow equation. Based on the explicit results for the traces, the flow equation for  $f_k(R)$  can be written as

$$V_d \dot{f}_k = T^{\rm TT} + T^{\rm ghost} + T^{\rm sinv} + \Delta T^{\rm sinv} + T^{\rm scalar} + T^{\rm Dirac} + T^{\rm vector} .$$
(4.45)

Note that this result is valid for general dimension d, retains the dependence on all endomorphism parameters and can easily be adapted to any interpolation scheme by specifying the corresponding expressions for N according to (4.35). The partial differential equation (4.45) constitutes the main result of this section. It generalises the construction [177, 190] to general dimension d and the presence of minimally coupled matter fields.

In order to facilitate the further analysis, the explicit form of (4.45) in d = 4 and the averaged interpolation used in [177, 190] will be given. The result is conveniently written in terms of the dimensionless quantities

$$r = \bar{R}k^{-2}, \qquad \varphi_k(r) = k^{-d}f_k(\bar{R}).$$
 (4.46)

Following the structure (4.45) one has

$$\dot{\varphi} + 4\varphi - 2r\varphi' = \mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{TT}} + \mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{ghost}} + \mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{sinv}} + \mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{scalar}} + \mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{Dirac}} + \mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{vector}} \,. \tag{4.47}$$

Here the  $\mathcal{T}$  constitute the dimensionless counterparts of the traces T divided by the factor  $V_d k^d$ . They are given explicitly with all endomorphism parameters kept in (E.4) and (E.5) in appendix E. For type I regulators, *i.e.*, all  $\alpha = 0$  they read:

$$\mathcal{T}^{\rm TT} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{5}{2} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{6}r} \left(1 - \frac{1}{6}r\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{12}r\right)$$

$$(4.48a)$$

$$1 \quad 5 \quad \dot{\varphi}' + 2\varphi' - 2r\varphi'' \left(1 - \frac{2}{12}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{12}r\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&+ \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{1}{12} \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi'} \left(1 - \frac{1}{3}r\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{6}r\right), \\
\mathcal{T}^{\text{sinv}} &= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\varphi''}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{3}r\right)\varphi'' + \frac{1}{3}\varphi'} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}r\right) \left(1 + \frac{11}{12}r\right) \\
&+ \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{1}{12} \frac{\dot{\varphi}'' - 2r\varphi'''}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{3}r\right)\varphi'' + \frac{1}{3}\varphi'} \left(1 + \frac{3}{2}r\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{3}r\right) \left(1 - \frac{5}{6}r\right), \\
\mathcal{T}^{\text{ghost}} &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \left(72 + 18r - 19r^2\right).
\end{aligned}$$
(4.48b)
$$(4.48c)$$

$$\mathcal{T}^{\text{ghost}} = -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{1}{48} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{4}r} \left(72 + 18r - 19r^2\right) , \qquad (4.48c)$$

together with the matter results

$$\mathcal{T}^{\text{scalar}} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{N_S}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{4}r\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{6}r\right) , \qquad (4.49a)$$

$$\mathcal{T}^{\text{Dirac}} = -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} 2N_D \left(1 + \frac{1}{6}r\right) , \qquad (4.49b)$$

$$\mathcal{T}^{\text{vector}} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{N_V}{2} \left( \frac{3}{1 + \frac{1}{4}r} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{6}r \right) \left( 1 + \frac{1}{12}r \right) - \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2}r \right) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{12}r \right) \right), \quad (4.49c)$$

which provides a good impression how these quantities look for some general values of the endomorphism parameters, see (E.4) and (E.5) in appendix E. Here primes and dots denote derivatives with respect to r and t, respectively, and all arguments and subscripts have been suppressed in order to aid the readability of the expressions. The result (E.4) agrees with the beta functions reported in [190] and (E.5) constitutes its natural extension to minimally coupled matter fields. With the result (4.47) at our disposal, one now has all the prerequisites to study the FP structure of gravity-matter systems at the level of f(R)-gravity.

Let us highlight the main properties of (4.45). Inspecting the gravitational sector (4.48), resp., (E.4), one finds that the function  $\varphi_k$  enters the traces in form of its first, second, and third derivative with respect to r. As a consequence, a constant term in  $\varphi_k$  does not appear on the right hand side of the flow equation. This implies in particular that the propagators of the fluctuation fields do not contain contributions from a cosmological constant. This particular feature is owed to the interplay of the exponential split (removing the contributions of the cosmological constant from the propagator of the transverse-traceless fluctuations) and the physical gauge  $\beta \rightarrow -\infty$  (removing the *hh*-term from the gravitational sector (4.17)).

For the specific regulator (3.2), the evaluation of the spectral sums S results in polynomials that are at most quadratic in r while the sums within  $\tilde{S}$  terminate at order  $r^3$ . This feature has already been observed in [164, 165] where it was found that evaluating the flow equation of f(R)-gravity for a Litim-type regulator required the knowledge of a finite number of heatkernel coefficients only. In this sense, it is expected that the Litim regulator leads to similar features when evaluating the operator traces as spectral sums. An interesting feature of the averaged interpolation is that the contribution of the Dirac fermions is given by *a polynomial of first order* in the dimensionless curvature r. This particular property can be traced to a highly non-trivial cancellation between the propagator and the factors of the spectral sum  $T_d^{(1/2)}$ . As a consequence, the Dirac fields will not contribute to the flow equation at order  $r^2$  and higher. This particular feature is specific to the averaged interpolation and absent in other interpolation schemes (cf. (E.10b) and (E.15b) in appendix E). Owed to the investigation of the FP properties in terms of the matter deformation parameters introduced in (4.57) and (4.62) this feature will not be essential when studying non-trivial RG fixed points in the sequel.

Setting the derivatives with respect to the RG time to zero, (4.47) reduces to a third order differential equation for  $\varphi_*(r)$ . The order of the equation is determined by the scalar contribution arising in the gravitational sector. Casting the resulting expression into normal form by solving for  $\varphi'''$  one finds that the equation possesses four fixed singularities situated at

$$r_1^{\text{sing}} = -\frac{1}{\alpha_S^G + \frac{3}{2}}, \quad r_2^{\text{sing}} = 0, \quad r_3^{\text{sing}} = -\frac{1}{\alpha_S^G - \frac{5}{6}}, \quad r_4^{\text{sing}} = -\frac{1}{\alpha_S^G - \frac{1}{3}}.$$
 (4.50)

Solutions obtained from solving the differential equation (in normal form) numerically are typically well-defined on the intervals bounded by these singular loci only. Extending a solution across a singularity puts non-trivial conditions on the initial conditions of the FP equation. Based on the singularity counting argument [185], stating that each first order pole on the interval  $r \in [0, \infty]$  fixes one free parameter, it is then expected that (4.47) admits a discrete set of global fixed functionals.

# **4.4** Fixed Point Structure of f(R)-Gravity Matter Systems in the Polynomial Approximation

In this section the FP structure of (4.47) arising within polynomial approximations of the function  $\varphi_k(r)$  will be discussed. The general framework is introduced in section 4.4.1 while the FP structure arising at the level of the EH truncation and polynomial approximations up to order N = 14 are investigated in sections 4.4.2 and 4.4.4, respectively. The main focus is on matter sectors containing the field content of the SM of particle physics and its most commonly studied phenomenologically motivated extensions (cf. tables 4.2 and 4.3).

The key ingredient in realising the asymptotic safety mechanism is a NGFP of the theories' RG flow. At the level of the partial differential equation (4.47), such FPs correspond to global, isolated, and k stationary solutions  $\varphi_*(r)$ . The existence and properties of such fixed functionals will be considered in section 4.5.

#### **4.4.1** Polynomial f(R)-Truncation: General Framework

First, we follow a different strategy and perform an expansion of  $\varphi_k(r)$  in powers of r, terminating the series at a finite order  $r^N$ :

$$\varphi_k(r) = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \sum_{n=0}^N g_n(k) r^n, \qquad \dot{\varphi}_k(r) = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \sum_{n=0}^N \beta_{g_n} r^n.$$
(4.51)

By construction, the k-dependent dimensionless couplings  $g_n(k)$  satisfy  $\partial_t g_n \equiv \beta_{g_n}$ ,  $n = 0, \ldots, N$ . The explicit expressions for the  $\beta_{g_n}$  as a function of the couplings are obtained as follows. First, the ansatz (4.51) is substituted into (4.47) which is subsequently expanded in powers of the dimensionless curvature r up to order  $r^N$ . Equating the coefficients of the terms proportional to  $r^n$ ,  $n = 0, \ldots N$ , results in N + 1 equations depending on  $\beta_{g_n}$  and  $g_n$ ,  $n = 0, \ldots N$ . Solving this system of algebraic equations for  $\beta_{g_n}$  determines the beta functions as a function of the couplings  $g_n$ . Since the resulting algebra is straightforward but quickly turns lengthy, these manipulations are conveniently done by a computer algebra program.

As explained in section 3.2, the most important property of the beta functions  $\beta_{g_n}(g_0,\ldots,g_N)$ are their FPs  $g^* = \{g_0^*, \ldots, g_N^*\}$  where, by definition, the beta functions vanish. In addition, the stability matrix B (3.8) governs the linearised RG flow in the vicinity of the FP. As the stability coefficients  $\theta_n$  are minus the eigenvalues of **B**, eigendirections with  $\operatorname{Re}(\theta_n) > 0$  ( $\operatorname{Re}(\theta_n) < 0$ ) attract (repel) the flow as  $k \to \infty$ . Thus stability coefficients with positive real part are linked to "relevant directions" associated with free parameters which have to be determined experimentally. Ideally, FPs underlying an asymptotic safety construction should come with a low number of free parameters. This implies in particular that the number of relevant directions should saturate when the order of the polynomials appearing in (4.51) exceeds a certain threshold in N. For pure gravity, this test has been implemented in the seminal works [164, 165] and later on extended in [166, 173, 175, 195]. A systematic investigation for gravity-matter systems is still missing though. In the remainder of this section, a two-fold search strategy is followed. In section 4.4.2, first, matter sectors which give rise to a suitable NGFP at the level of the EH action are identified. Based on these initial seeds the stability of these NGFPs under the addition of higher-order scalar curvature terms for phenomenologically interesting gravity-matter systems is investigated in section 4.4.4.

The fact that the right hand side of (4.47) is independent of  $g_0$ , leads to the peculiar feature that  $\partial_\lambda \beta_\lambda|_{g=g_*} = -4$  while  $\partial_\lambda \beta_{g_n} = 0$ . This structure ensures that the stability matrix always gives rise to a stability coefficient  $\theta_0 = 4$ , independent of the order N of the polynomial expansion.

#### 4.4.2 Fixed Point Structure in the Einstein-Hilbert Truncation

First, the FP structure entailed by (4.47) at the level of the EH truncation will be investigated. In this case the function  $\varphi_k(r)$  is approximated by a polynomial of order one in r,

$$\varphi_k(r) = \frac{1}{16\pi g_k} \left( 2\lambda_k - r \right) \,. \tag{4.52}$$

The scale-dependent dimensionless cosmological constant  $\lambda_k$  and Newton's constant  $g_k$  are related to their dimensionful counterparts  $\Lambda_k$  and  $G_k$  by  $\Lambda_k = \lambda_k k^2$  and  $G_k = g_k k^{-2}$ . The beta functions controlling the scale-dependence of  $g_k$  and  $\lambda_k$  in the presence of an arbitrary number of minimally coupled matter fields are readily obtained from substituting the ansatz (4.52) into the partial differential equation (4.47) and projecting the result onto the terms independent of and linear in r, respectively. The resulting equations take the form

$$\partial_t \lambda_k = \beta_\lambda(g_k, \lambda_k), \qquad \partial_t g_k = \beta_g(g_k, \lambda_k), \qquad (4.53)$$

where

$$\beta_{\lambda} = -(2 - \eta_N) \lambda + \frac{g}{24\pi} \left( 12 - 5\eta_N + 6d_{\lambda} \right) , \qquad \beta_g = (2 + \eta_N) g . \tag{4.54}$$

The anomalous dimension of Newton's constant,  $\eta_N \equiv G_k^{-1} \partial_t G_k$  can be cast into the standard form [35],

$$\eta_N = \frac{g B_1}{1 - g B_2},\tag{4.55}$$

where  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are  $\lambda$ -independent coefficients depending on the choice of coarse-graining operator,

type I: 
$$B_1 = -\frac{1}{24\pi} (43 - 4d_g)$$
,  $B_2 = \frac{25}{72\pi}$ , (4.56a)

type II: 
$$B_1 = -\frac{1}{24\pi} \left( 62 - 4d_g \right), \qquad B_2 = \frac{35}{72\pi}, \qquad (4.56b)$$

and the parameters  $d_g$  and  $d_\lambda$  summarise the matter content of the model

$$d_{\lambda} = N_S + 2N_V - 4N_D, \qquad \text{type I:} \quad d_g = \frac{5}{4}N_S - \frac{5}{4}N_V - 2N_D \\ \text{type II:} \quad d_g = \frac{5}{4}N_S - \frac{7}{2}N_V + N_D \qquad (4.57)$$

At this stage the following remarks are in order. The expression for  $d_{\lambda}$  is independent of the choice of coarse-graining operator and agrees with the heat-kernel based computations [166]. Essentially,  $d_{\lambda}$  entails that each bosonic degree of freedom contributes to the running of the cosmological constant with a weight  $g/(4\pi)$  while each fermionic degree of freedom contributes with the same factor but opposite sign. The results for  $d_g$  differ from the ones based on the early-time expansion of the heat-kernel [166] where  $d_g^{\text{type II}} = N_S - N_V - N_D$  and  $d_g^{\text{type II}} = N_S - 4N_V + 2N_D$ . This feature just reflects the fact that the evaluation of the spectral sums based on the averaged staircase agrees with the early-time expansion of the heat-kernel at leading order only. One observes, however, that for both choices of coarse-graining operator all fields contribute with their characteristic signature, so that the resulting picture is qualitatively similar.

As a second remarkable feature, the beta functions (4.54) do not contain denominators of the form  $(1 - c\lambda)^n$  (c > 0) which typically lead to a termination of the flow at a finite value  $\lambda = 1/c$  [139]. As a consequence the flow is well-defined for any value of  $\lambda$  and gives rise to a globally well-defined flow diagram [155]. Moreover, the mechanism for gravitational catalysis [242] is not realised in the present framework.

Owed to their simple algebraic structure, the FPs of the beta functions (4.54) can be found analytically. They possess a GFP located at  $(g^*, \lambda^*) = (0, 0)$  whose stability coefficients are given by the canonical mass dimension of the dimensionful Newton's constant and cosmological constant. In addition the system exhibits *a single NGFP for any given matter sector*. For a coarse-graining operator of type I (vanishing endomorphisms) this FP is situated at

$$g^* = \frac{144\pi}{179 - 12\,d_g}, \qquad \lambda^* = \frac{33 + 9d_\lambda}{179 - 12\,d_g}, \qquad (4.58)$$

while its stability coefficients obtained from (3.8) are

$$\theta_0 = 4, \qquad \theta_1 = \frac{358 - 24 \, d_g}{3 \, (43 - 4 \, d_g)}.$$
(4.59)

The corresponding expressions for the type II coarse-graining operator are obtained along the same lines and have a similar structure.

The properties of the NGFPs (4.58) as a function of the matter content are illustrated in figure 4.2. Quite remarkably, the FP structure resulting from the type I and type II coarse graining operator is *qualitatively identical* provided that the matter content of the model is encoded in the deformation parameters (4.57). It is determined by three separation lines,  $L_1$ ,  $L_2$ ,  $L_3$  situated at

type I: 
$$L_1: d_g = \frac{179}{12}$$
,  $L_2: d_g = \frac{43}{4}$ ,  $L_3: d_\lambda = -\frac{11}{3}$ ,  
type II:  $L_1: d_g = \frac{64}{3}$ ,  $L_2: d_g = \frac{31}{2}$ ,  $L_3: d_\lambda = -\frac{11}{3}$ . (4.60)

For matter sectors located to the left (right) of  $L_1$  the NGFP is situated at  $g^* > 0$  ( $g^* < 0$ ), respectively. If  $g^* < 0$ , the corresponding FP is disconnected from the physically viable lowenergy regime and may therefore not be suitable for controlling the high-energy behaviour of physically interesting theories. Thus this case will be discarded from the further analysis. Matter sectors sitting in the region bounded by the lines  $L_1$  to the right and  $L_2$  to the left support a saddle point where  $\theta_1 < 0$  while for matter systems to the left of  $L_2$  the NGFP is UVattractive in both g and  $\lambda$ . The horizontal line  $L_3$  separates the regions where the NGFPs come with  $\lambda_*g_* < 0$  (lower-left region) and  $\lambda_*g_* > 0$  (upper-left region), respectively.

Figure 4.2 makes it also apparent that the systems where scalar matter is coupled to gravity possesses an upper bound on the number of scalar fields ( $N_S^{\text{max}} = 14$  for type I and  $N_S^{\text{max}} = 21$  for type II). If  $N_S$  exceeds these bounds the NGFP is located in the region  $g^* < 0$ . While the FP is still present, it is no longer suitable for realising a phenomenologically interesting gravity-matter system.



Figure 4.2: Illustration of the FP structure arising from the system (4.47) at the level of the EH truncation for a coarse-graining operator of type I (left) and type II (right) respectively. The matter content of the model is encoded in the parameters  $d_g$ ,  $d_\lambda$  defined in (4.57). The black region does not support a NGFP with  $g^* > 0$ . In the dark gray region the NGFP is a saddle point with  $\theta_1 < 0$ . The gray and light gray regions support an UV attractive NGFP with  $g^*\lambda^* < 0$  and  $g^*\lambda_* > 0$ , respectively. Notably, the qualitative FP structure, classified in terms of  $d_g$ ,  $d_\lambda$  is independent of the choice of coarse-graining operator.

model	matter content		type I coarse-graining				type II coarse-graining				
	$N_S$	$N_D$	$N_V$	$d_g$	$d_{\lambda}$	$g_*\lambda_*$	$\theta_1$	$d_g$	$d_{\lambda}$	$g_*\lambda_*$	$\theta_1$
pure gravity	0	0	0	0	0	0.47	2.78	0	0	0.23	2.75
SM	4	45/2	12	-55	-62	-0.34	2.13	-29/2	-62	-1.28	2.39
SM, DM	5	45/2	12	-215/4	-61	-0.34	2.13	-53/4	-61	-1.36	2.41
<b>SM</b> , 3 <i>ν</i>	4	24	12	-58	-68	-0.34	2.12	-13	-68	-1.54	2.41
SM, $3\nu$ , DM, axion	6	24	12	-111/2	-66	-0.36	2.13	-21/2	-66	-1.74	2.45
MSSM	49	61/2	12	-59/4	-49	-1.45	2.33	199/4	-49	_	_
SU(5) GUT	124	24	24	77	76	_	_	95	76	_	_
SO(10) GUT	97	24	45	17	91	_	_	-49/4	91	2.37	2.42

Table 4.2: FP structure arising from the field content of commonly studied matter models. The SM and its extensions by a small number of additional matter fields support NGFPs with very similar properties.

Details for the NGFPs found for distinguished gravity-matter systems are summarised in table 4.2. The list covers the cases of pure gravity, gravity coupled to the field content of the

SM of particle physics, and phenomenologically motivated matter sectors arising in frequently studied candidates for BSM physics. The latter supplement the field content of the SM by additional scalar fields (dark matter (DM) or axion candidates), right-handed neutrinos, super-symmetric partners of the SM fields leading to the minimally supersymmetric standard model (MSSM)<sup>2</sup>, or fields required in the realisation of GUTs based on the gauge groups SU(5) or SO(10). By substituting the matter field content listed in the second to fourth column of table 4.2 into the maps (4.57) and checking the resulting coordinates in figure 4.2 readily shows that many of these models give rise to a NGFP which is UV attractive for both Newton's constant and the cosmological constant (i.e.,  $\theta_1 > 0$ ). The exceptions are the GUT-type models (type I coarse-graining operator) and the MSSM and SU(5) GUT (type II coarse-graining operator) which lead to NGFPs with  $g^* < 0$  and thus fail the test of asymptotic safety at the level of the EH truncation. Table 4.2 provides the starting point for investigating which of the gravity-matter FPs are stable if higher-order scalar curvature terms are included in the ansatz for  $\varphi_k(r)$ .

## 4.4.3 Gravity-Matter Fixed Points in the Presence of an $R^2$ -Term

Owed to the special property that the beta functions for the dimensionless couplings  $g_n$ ,  $n \ge 1$  are independent of  $g_0$ , the polynomial expansion (4.51) to order N = 2 also gives rise to a two-dimensional subsystem of beta-functions which closes on its own. One may then study the FP structure for  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  arising from

$$\beta_{g_1}(g_1, g_2)|_{g=g^*} = 0, \qquad \beta_{g_2}(g_1, g_2)|_{g=g^*} = 0, \qquad (4.61)$$

for arbitrary matter sectors. Once a FP  $(g_1^*, g_2^*)$  is obtained its coordinates may be substituted into the beta function  $\beta_{g_0}(g_0, g_1, g_2)$ . Solving  $\beta_{g_0}(g_0^*, g_1^*, g_2^*) = 0$  for  $g_0^*$  then determines the value of  $g_0$  uniquely.

The triangular shape of the stability matrix furthermore guarantees that the stability coefficients  $\theta_1$ ,  $\theta_2$  obtained from the  $g_1$ - $g_2$  subsystem carry over to the full system. As a result the stability coefficients from the N = 2 expansion are  $\theta_0 = 4$ ,  $\theta_1$ ,  $\theta_2$  where the latter depend on the specific matter content and choice of coarse-graining operator.

Following the strategy of the last subsection, the matter contribution to the beta functions (4.61) is encoded by  $d_g$ , introduced in (4.57), supplemented by

$$d_{\beta} \equiv N_S + 2N_V \,. \tag{4.62}$$

Note that this parameter is actually independent of the choice of coarse-graining operator. Moreover, it is independent of the number of Dirac fields which is owed to the cancellation between numerator and denominator observed in (E.5b). Since all matter fields contribute to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Following [211], we consider the MSSM where the Higgs sector is standard-model like. We also verified that extending the Higgs sector to an SU(2) doublet (corresponding to  $N_S = 53$  and  $N_D = \frac{65}{2}$ ) does not change the qualitative picture.



Figure 4.3: NGFPs arising in the polynomial  $\varphi_k(r)$  approximation at order N = 2 for a coarse graining operator of type I (top line) and type II (bottom line). In the left column the colours black, blue, green, and red indicate that the matter sector supports zero, one, two, and three NGFPs situated in the region with positive Newton's constant. The right column displays the stability properties of the NGFPs with  $d_\beta > 0$ . For points shaded dark grey, light grey, and green the  $\theta_1, \theta_2$  subsystem has zero, one, and two UV attractive eigendirection with real stability coefficients. In the orange region the eigenvalues of the NGFP are complex.

 $d_{\beta}$  with a positive sign all matter models are located in the upper half-plane  $d_{\beta} \ge 0$  with  $d_{\beta} = 0$  realised by pure gravity and gravity coupled to an arbitrary number of Dirac fields. The map  $(N_S, N_V, N_D) \mapsto (d_{\lambda}, d_g, d_{\beta})$  is actually bijective such that any particular matter sector is uniquely characterised by either its field content or its coordinates  $(d_{\lambda}, d_g, d_{\beta})$ .

Keeping the values of  $d_g$ ,  $d_\beta$  general, the analysis of (4.61) shows that the reduced system can have at most three (five) solutions for a coarse-graining operator of type I (type II). Applying the selection criteria that the FP coordinates are real and obey  $g_1^* < 0$ , the number of candidate NGFPs is shown in the left column of figure 4.3. Besides the physically interesting region where  $d_\beta \ge 0$ , the diagrams also show the FP structure for  $d_\beta < 0$ . The numerical analysis reveals that there are at most 3 candidate solutions satisfying the selection criteria of a real positive Newton's constant.

The stability properties of the NGFPs arising from matter sectors supporting a single candidate NGFP are displayed in the right column of figure 4.3. Disregarding the boundary region adjacent to the black region where no admissible NGFP is found reveals an intricate difference


Figure 4.4: FP structure obtained for N = 2 as a function of the deformation parameter c interpolating between a type I (c = 0) and type II (c = 1) coarse-graining. The cases of pure gravity ( $N_S = 0, N_D = 0, N_V = 0$ ) and the SM ( $N_S = 4, N_D = \frac{45}{2}, N_V = 12$ ) are shown in the top and bottom row, respectively. The deformation of the NGFP appearing in the type I analysis is depicted by the blue line. For c > 0 there are two additional NGFP moving in from infinite. One of these FPs annihilates the type I FP at a finite value of c. For pure gravity this annihilation occurs at c > 1 while for the other gravity-matter models listed in table 4.2 the annihilation is at c < 0. As a result the systems resulting from the type II coarse-graining again possess a unique NGFP (green line). This FP does not admit a convergent extension to higher orders of N, however.

between the two coarse-graining operators. Focusing on a generic FP in the upper-left region one has  $\theta_2 < 0$  for type I and  $\theta_2 > 0$  for type II, i.e., the two cases lead to two and three UV relevant directions, respectively. The role of the small band of  $d_g - d_\beta$ -values supporting multiple NGFPs (white region in the lower-right diagram) will be clarified below.

For the matter sectors highlighted in table 4.2, the addition of the  $r^2$ -term does not lead to new bounds on the admissible FP structure, i.e., all models passing the EH test are situated in the region in the  $d_g$ - $d_\beta$ -plane which supports a unique extension of the FP seen for N = 1to N = 2. The sign of the new stability coefficient depends on the choice of coarse-graining operator though: in the type I case  $\theta_2 < 0$  while the type II has  $\theta_2 > \theta_1 > 0$  indicating that the new direction is UV relevant with a large, positive stability coefficient.

At this stage, it is natural to inquire about the relation of the NGFPs seen in the type I and

type II case. For this purpose, we resort to the interpolating coarse-graining operators constructed from (4.31). For N = 2, the subsystem of equations determining the position of the NGFPs in the  $g_1$ - $g_2$ -plane is sufficiently simple that all of its five roots can be found for general deformation parameter c. The corresponding implicit expressions allow to trace the position of the NGFP seen for type I coarse-graining (c = 0) as a function of the deformation parameter c. Figure 4.4 depicts the c-dependence of the FP structure obtained for two characteristic examples, pure gravity ( $d_{\beta} = 0$ ) in the top row and gravity coupled to the matter content of the SM ( $d_{\beta} = 28$ ) in the bottom row, respectively. The key structure encountered in the analysis is rather universal. For c = 0 the system has a single NGFP which is the one displayed in the top line of figure 4.3. Once c is increased an additional pair of NGFPs moves in from infinity (orange and green lines). At a finite value of c one of these new FPs (orange line) annihilates the c = 0 solution (blue line). For c larger than this critical value one is again left with a single NGFP (green line).

If  $d_{\beta} \leq 7$  this annihilation occurs at c > 1 while for  $d_{\beta} \geq 8$  the two FPs annihilate before the type II coarse-graining operator is reached. Since all phenomenologically interesting matter sectors are located at  $d_{\beta} \geq 8$  we see that the NGFPs found in the type II computation *are not continuously connected* to their type I counterparts. Anticipating results from the next subsection, these two disconnected families of NGFPs will be named "gravity-type" (blue line) and "matter-dominated" (green line), respectively.

#### 4.4.4 Gravity-Matter Fixed Points for Selected Matter Sectors

The final part of this analysis investigates the stability of the NGFPs characterised in the previous subsections under the inclusion of further powers of the dimensionless curvature r in the polynomial ansatz (4.51). A detailed numerical analysis determining the polynomial solution approximating the FP up to N = 14 and its critical exponents up to N = 9 revealed a strikingly simple structure: for gravity-type NGFPs the position and stability coefficients characterising the FP converge rapidly when N is increased. For the matter-dominated NGFPs no such convergence pattern could be established. In order to arrive at this result extending the order of the polynomials beyond N = 2 is crucial.

Besides the rapid convergence of the polynomial expansion with regard to the position and stability coefficients of the NGFP, the data shows that the FP has the same predictive power as the one found in the case of pure gravity: it comes with two relevant parameters. These characteristic properties are shared by the FPs found for the other matter sectors carrying ticks in table 4.3. Their characteristic properties are compiled in appendix F.

Table 4.3 summarises the consequences of this general result for phenomenologically interesting gravity-matter models introduced in table 4.2. The key insights are the following: for pure gravity where a gravity-type NGFP persists for both coarse-graining operators, one consequently has one stable NGFP solution in both cases. The characteristics of these NG-FPs, including their position and stability coefficients, are tabulated in tables F.1 and F.2 of

model	ma	tter cont	ent	type I co	arse-graining	type II coarse-graining		
	$N_S$	$N_D$	$N_V$	EH	f(R)	EH	f(R)	
pure gravity	0	0	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
SM	4	45/2	12	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	( <b>X</b> )	
SM, DM	5	45/2	12	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	( <b>X</b> )	
<b>SM</b> , 3 <i>ν</i>	4	24	12	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	( <b>X</b> )	
SM, $3\nu$ , DM, axion	6	24	12	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	( <b>X</b> )	
MSSM	49	61/2	12	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	Х	Х	
SU(5) GUT	124	24	24	Х	Х	Х	Х	
SO(10) GUT	97	24	45	X	Х	$\checkmark$	( <b>X</b> )	

Table 4.3: Summary of results on the stability of NGFPs appearing for the matter content of the SM of particle physics and its phenomenologically motivated extensions. Checkmarks  $\checkmark$  indicate that the setup possesses a suitable NGFP which converges for increasing N. The symbol X shows that there is no NGFP at the level of the EH (N = 1) approximation while a (X) implies that the NGFP seen at N = 1 does not exhibit convergence when N is increased.

appendix F, respectively. Focusing on the case of type I coarse-graining and the gravity-matter models selected in table 4.2, it is found that all NGFPs seen at the level of the EH approximation have a stable extension to polynomial f(R)-gravity. For gravity supplemented by the matter content of the SM, this is strikingly demonstrated in table 4.4. One particular property of the polynomial solutions  $\varphi(r)$  associated with the gravity-type NGFPs is peculiar, and also important for the investigation in the next section 4.5. Based on the partial differential equation (4.47) one finds that the coefficients  $g_0^*$ ,  $g_1^*$  and  $g_2^*$  are of order unity with  $g_1^* < 0$  corresponding to a positive Newton's coupling. The coefficients  $g_n^*$ , n > 2 are significantly smaller. E.g.,  $g_3^*/g_2^* \approx 10^{-3}$  and the numerical values of further coefficients rapidly approaches zero. Thus the solutions  $\varphi(r)$  are essentially second order polynomials in the dimensionless curvature r.

The polynomials  $\varphi(r)$  for increasing values of N arising for pure gravity and gravity coupled to the matter content of the SM are shown in the left and right diagram of figure 4.5, respectively. For small values of r the polynomial expansion shows a rapid convergence. Notably, both solutions exhibit a local minimum at  $r \approx 1.50$  and  $r \approx 2.2$ , respectively. Inspecting (4.48a), one finds that this minimum corresponds to a moving singularity. In order for the fixed functional to extend to a global solution the zero of  $\varphi'$  must be canceled by a corresponding zero in the numerator. For the type I case where  $\alpha_T^G = 0$  such a cancellation occurs automatically at r = 3/2. The interplay between the moving singularity and this cancellation in the case of pure gravity (right diagram of figure 4.5) then leads to a polynomial solution whose convergence

N	$g_0^*$	$g_1^*$	$g_2^*$	$g_3^* \times 10^{-4}$	$g_4^* \times 10^{-4}$	$g_5^* \times 10^{-1}$	$g_6^* \times 10^{-4}$
1	-7.2917	-5.8264					
2	-6.7744	-5.2122	1.1455				
3	-6.7795	-5.2617	1.1601	50.466			
4	-6.7737	-5.2577	1.1550	49.161	-2.7013		
5	-6.7742	-5.2598	1.1559	51.122	-2.4926	0.3313	
6	-6.7755	-5.2611	1.1571	51.929	-1.9180	0.4268	0.1426
7	-6.7764	-5.2632	1.1582	53.712	-1.5336	0.7152	0.1999
8	-6.7775	-5.2646	1.1592	54.700	-1.0696	0.8557	0.3065
9	-6.7781	-5.2657	1.1599	55.663	-0.7932	1.0079	0.3586
10	-6.7786	-5.2665	1.1605	56.249	-0.5615	1.0959	0.4091
11	-6.7789	-5.2671	1.1608	56.693	-0.4174	1.1654	0.4382
12	-6.7792	-5.2674	1.1611	56.973	-0.3142	1.2084	0.4602
13	-6.7793	-5.2677	1.1612	56.717	-0.2486	1.2383	0.4737
14	-6.7794	-5.2678	1.1613	55.729	-0.2049	1.2572	0.4830
N	$\theta_0$	$ heta_1$	$ heta_2$	$ heta_3$	$ heta_4$	$ heta_5$	$ heta_6$
1	4	2.127					
2	4	2.339	-1.671				
3	4	2.274	-1.727	-6.013			
4	4	2.279	-1.808	-5.905	-9.308		
5	4	2.280	-1.809	-5.928	-9.330	-11.956	
6	4	2.279	-1.797	-5.916	-9.297	-12.146	-14.293
7	4	2.278	-1.791	-5.888	-9.283	-12.070	-14.628
8	4	2.277	-1.784	-5.874	-9.248	-12.061	-14.519
9	4	2.276	-1.780	-5.856	-9.225	-12.018	-14.512

Table 4.4: FP structure of f(R)-gravity coupled to the matter content of the standard model of particle physics ( $N_S = 4, N_D = 45/2, N_V = 12$ ) and a type I cutoff. The fixed point exhibits the same stability properties as in the case of pure gravity. Note that the polynomial coefficients for the constant, linear and quadratic term are of  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  whereas  $g_3^*$  is already smaller than 1%.



Figure 4.5: Fixed functions arising from the polynomial expansion of  $\varphi(r)$  for a type I coarsegraining operator. The cases of pure gravity and gravity coupled to the matter content of the SM are shown in the left and right diagram, respectively. From bottom to top the curves result from the expansions up to N = 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14. The polynomial approximation provides a convergent solution of the FP equation which extends up to the moving singularity where  $\varphi'(r) = 0$ .

properties are better than expected on the grounds of the moving singularity.<sup>3</sup>

## **4.5** Global Fixed Functions for f(R)-Gravity Matter Systems

In this section the computation of two types of global fixed functions is presented. The existence of global quadratic solutions is shown by constructing explicitly two example sets of respective parameters  $\alpha$ . And second, two examples of a numerical solution are presented. Hereby the discussion of the singular points of the flow equation and its asymptotic behaviour for large scalar curvatures turns out to be the crucial element.

#### 4.5.1 Global Quadratic Solutions

For pure gravity global fixed functions which are polynomials of quadratic order in r have been found [190]. As will be discussed in more detail below, for the purpose of finding such solutions the parameters  $\alpha$  are treated as free parameters, respectively, they are fixed by the requirement of the existence of an exact quadratic solution for the fixed function. The necessary choices for the coarse-graining parameters are hereby not motivated by physics. The existence of this special type of solutions, however, provides a reason why the polynomial approximations plotted above as well as the numerically obtained solutions described in the next subsection are very close to a global purely quadratic fixed function.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Note that the radius of convergence displayed in figure 4.5 is independent of the fixed singularities given in (4.50). The construction of the polynomial solution is not based on the normal form of the FP equation so that these singular loci are irrelevant for determining the convergence structure of the solution.

For a global quadratic function, the third derivative vanishes and thus the second summand of (E.4b) does not contribute.<sup>4</sup> To be specific, the ansatz

$$\varphi^{\star}(r) = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \left( g_0^{\star} + g_1^{\star}r + g_2^{\star}r^2 \right)$$
(4.63)

is chosen, and the coarse-graining parameters  $\alpha$  are determined such that (4.63) becomes exact. In case  $\varphi^*(r)$  is a polynomial, the differential equation (4.47) determining it can be rewritten as

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}_{num}(r)}{\mathcal{P}_{den}(r)} = 0 \tag{4.64}$$

by bringing all terms in the equation to a common denominator. *I.e.*, (4.47) can be formulated as the requirement that the ratio of two polynomials vanish. This can be solved in two steps: first, solve for  $\mathcal{P}_{num}(r) = 0$ , and second, keep only those solutions where all roots of  $\mathcal{P}_{den}(r)$ (*i.e.*, the potential singularities of this equation) coincide with roots of the numerator.

In the case of a quadratic fixed function,  $\mathcal{P}_{num}(r)$  is a fifth-order polynomial,<sup>5</sup> and its six coefficients can be determined by a discrete set of values for  $g_0^*$ ,  $g_1^*$ ,  $g_2^*$ ,  $\alpha_T^G$ ,  $\alpha_V^G$  and  $\alpha_S^G$ . For pure gravity five different solutions for a globally quadratic fixed function have been identified [190]. Quite surprisingly, in all five solutions found in this reference the potential singularities given by the zeros of the denominator are canceled by the numerator. On the other hand, for two of these five solutions the eigenperturbations lead to a differential equation with four instead of three fixed singularities, and therefore such eigenperturbations will likely not exist globally. For another of these five solutions  $\alpha_T = (11 + \sqrt{265})/54 \approx 0.505 > 2/3$ , *i.e.*, the inequality for a positive argument of the regulator function is violated. This leaves two solutions, and the corresponding values for the parameters are given in the respective first lines of tables 4.5 and 4.6. The exact values of these parameters are, respectively,

$$\alpha_{S}^{G} = \frac{5\sqrt{265} - 73}{216}, \qquad \alpha_{V}^{G} = \frac{67 - 2\sqrt{265}}{108}, \qquad \alpha_{T}^{G} = \frac{11 - \sqrt{265}}{54}, \qquad (4.65)$$
$$g_{0}^{\star} = \frac{49 + \sqrt{265}}{96}, \qquad g_{1}^{\star} = -\frac{4141 + 121\sqrt{265}}{5184}, \qquad g_{2}^{\star} = \frac{67795 + 3583\sqrt{265}}{279936},$$

or

$$\alpha_S^G = -\frac{3}{47}, \ \alpha_V^G = -\frac{83}{564}, \ \alpha_T^G = -\frac{53}{94}, \ g_0^\star = \frac{89}{72}, \ g_1^\star = -\frac{101}{94}, \ g_2^\star = \frac{1414}{6627}.$$
(4.66)

As this will be important below the value for the minimum of the fixed functions is given <sup>6</sup>

$$r_{min} = -\frac{g_1^{\star}}{2g_2^{\star}} = \begin{cases} \frac{3}{20}(25 - \sqrt{265}) \approx 1.3082\\ \frac{141}{56} \approx 2.5179 \end{cases}$$
(4.67)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>In all other non-trivial solutions this term (which stems from the conformal mode) is the leading one in the normal form because in this and only this term a third-order derivative, *i.e.*,  $\varphi'''(r)$ , appears.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The l.h.s. of the flow equation (4.47) is for the ansatz (4.63) not a polynomial of order N = 2 as naïvely expected because the term proportional to  $r^2$  cancels:  $4\varphi(r) - 2r\varphi'(r) = 4g_0 + 2rg_1$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Note that there is a typo (sign error) in (4.3) of [190].

Recalling that the right hand side of (4.47) is independent of  $g_0$  and depends only on ratios of the fixed function and its derivatives, for a global quadratic solution one can rewrite the equation for the fixed function such that the parameters  $g_i^*$  appear only in the ratio  $r_{min} = -g_1^*/2g_2^*$  on the left hand side since

$$\frac{\varphi' - r\varphi''}{\varphi'} = \frac{r_{min}}{r_{min} - r} \tag{4.68}$$

and

$$\frac{\varphi''}{\left(1 + \left(\alpha_S^G - \frac{1}{3}\right)r\right)\varphi'' + \frac{1}{3}\varphi'} = \frac{1}{1 + \alpha_S^G r - r_{min}/3} .$$
(4.69)

For the solution (4.65) one of the zeros of the second summand of (E.4a) occurs exactly at  $r_{min}$  and thus the potential singularity is canceled. The singularity in the scalar term occurs at negative values of r and is thus of no concern. For the solution (4.66) the potential pole due to the scalar term appears also exactly at  $r_{min}$ , and the same is true for the first term in (E.4a) and the term (E.4c). With these values of endomorphism parameters, for the pure gravity case, the terms on the left hand side conspire to yield

$$\mathcal{T}^{TT} + \mathcal{T}^{sinv} + \mathcal{T}^{ghost} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \left(\frac{89}{18} - \frac{101}{47}r\right)$$
(4.70)

which, of course, solves then the equation for the fixed function for the parameters  $g_0^*$  and  $g_1^*$  given in (4.66).

The usefulness of the above considerations becomes immediately clear when adding fermions, *i.e.*, when adding

$$\mathcal{T}^{dirac} = \frac{-2N_D}{(4\pi)^2} \left( 1 + (\alpha_D^M + \frac{1}{6})r \right)$$
(4.71)

respectively,

$$\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \begin{cases} -2N_D + \frac{1}{6}N_Dr & \text{type II reg.} \\ -2N_D - \frac{1}{3}N_Dr & \text{type I reg.} \end{cases}$$
(4.72)

A global quadratic solution can be now easily obtained by keeping the ratio  $g_1^*/g_2^*$  and thus  $r_{min}$  fixed. One simply maintains the values of the endomorphism parameters in the gravity sector and substitutes

$$g_{0}^{\star} \rightarrow g_{0}^{\star} - \frac{N_{D}}{2},$$

$$g_{1}^{\star} \rightarrow g_{1}^{\star} - (\alpha_{D}^{M} + \frac{1}{6})N_{D},$$

$$g_{2}^{\star} \rightarrow g_{2}^{\star} + \frac{1}{2r_{min}}(\alpha_{D}^{M} + \frac{1}{6})N_{D},$$
(4.73)

respectively,

$$g_{0}^{\star} \rightarrow g_{0}^{\star} - \frac{N_{D}}{2},$$

$$g_{1}^{\star} \rightarrow g_{1}^{\star} + \begin{cases} \frac{1}{12}N_{D} & \text{type II reg.} \\ -\frac{1}{6}N_{D} & \text{type I reg.} \end{cases},$$

$$g_{2}^{\star} \rightarrow g_{2}^{\star} - \frac{1}{2r_{min}} \begin{cases} \frac{1}{12}N_{D} & \text{type II reg.} \\ -\frac{1}{6}N_{D} & \text{type I reg.} \end{cases}.$$

$$(4.74)$$

This proves to be always possible independent of whether the coefficient of the linear term is negative as, *e.g.*, for the type I regulator, or positive as, *e.g.*, for the type II regulator.

If one uses now the type II regulator for the fermions there will be a critical value of  $N_D$  where  $g_1^*$  becomes positive. For the solution (4.65) this value is  $N_D^{crit} = 14.1$  whereas for the solution (4.66) it is  $N_D^{crit} = 12.9$ . If these values are exceeded the minimum turns to a maximum (but stays at the same location) and the values of  $g_1^*$  and  $g_2^*$  change sign. As then  $g_2^*$  is negative  $\phi(r) \to -\infty$  for  $r \to \infty$ , and thus the action becomes unbounded from below. Therefore, if a type II regulator is used for the fermions one can add only a finite number of them and keep a physically meaningful solution in agreement with the results obtained already in the previous section.

Adding now scalar and/or vector fields the degrees of the polynomials in (4.64) increase. It turns out then that one cannot fix the parameters  $\alpha_S^M = \alpha_{V2}^M = 0$  and  $\alpha_{V1}^M = -1/4$ , *i.e.*, to their respective type II values. Although then no new singularities arise in the matter sector one can easily convince oneself that from the fact that the expressions  $\mathcal{T}^{\text{scalar}}$  and  $\mathcal{T}^{\text{vector}}$  in (E.5) are of quadratic order one obtains for the numerator a polynomial of degree six, and thus seven equations for six variables. A similar situation arises, namely eight equations for seven variables etc., if one fixes only one or two of the three parameters to the respective type II value. Basically the same remark applies for fixing to type I values.

Exploring the possibility of adjusting the parameters  $\alpha_S^M$  and  $\alpha_{V1,2}^M$  to keep a global quadratic solution one notes first that adding fermions is always straightforward by applying the rule (4.73). It proves to be easier to add scalar fields whereas when adding vector fields one might loose quite fast track of the solution. To obtain a solution with the SM field content the following strategy has been applied: first, I added 45/2 Dirac fields (according to SM matter content) with type I regulator by applying (4.73) to the solution (4.65) and verified this numerically. Second, on the top of this four scalar fields are added and the corresponding parameter  $\alpha_S^M$  was determined. From there on I increased  $N_V$  in small steps until the SM value 12 was reached. The results for pure gravity, gravity plus fermions, gravity plus fermions and scalars as well as for gravity plus SM matter content are displayed in tables 4.5 and 4.6. In all cases one has  $\alpha_S^M = \alpha_{V2}^{M-7}$ . The stability coefficients  $\theta_{0,1,2}$  have been calculated by diagonalising the stability

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>As these solutions were obtained by performing very small steps in  $N_V$  this should probably not be taken as evidence that no solution with  $\alpha_S^M \neq \alpha_{V2}^M$  exists.

matrix in the same way as in the previous subsection. As all  $\theta_2$  are negative only the values of  $\theta_0$  and  $\theta_1$  are displayed in tables 4.5 and 4.6.

$(N_S, N_D, N_V)$	$\alpha_S^G$	$\alpha_V^G$	$\alpha_T$	$\alpha_S^M$	$\alpha_D^M$	$\alpha^M_{V1}$	$g_0^{\star}$	$g_1^\star$	$g_2^{\star}$	$r_{min}$	$ heta_0, heta_1$
(0,0,0)	.0389	.3189	0978	-	-	-	.6800	-1.179	0.4505	1.308	4, 2.02
(0,45/2,0)	.0389	.3189	0978	-	0	-	-10.57	-4.929	1.884	1.308	4, 1.98
(4,45/2,0)	0819	.0389	3778	2111	0	-	-9.970	-5.078	1.382	1.837	4, 2.35
(4,45/2,12)	0190	.1603	2563	0897	0	3397	-6.702	-8.630	1.825	2.364	4, 2.36

Table 4.5: Quadratic solutions for the fixed function with different matter content derived from the pure gravity solution (4.65).

$(N_S, N_D, N_V)$	$\alpha_S^G$	$\alpha_V^G$	$\alpha_T$	$\alpha_S^M$	$\alpha_D$	$\alpha^M_{V1}$	$g_0^\star$	$g_1^\star$	$g_2^{\star}$	$r_{min}$	$\theta_0, \theta_1$
(0,0,0)	0638	1472	5638	-	-	-	1.236	-1.074	0.2134	2.518	≈ 16, 4
(0,45/2,0)	0638	1472	5638	-	0	-	-10.01	-4.824	0.9581	2.518	4, 2.98
(4,45/2,0)	0554	1388	5550	3855	0	-	-9.415	-4.637	0.9014	2.572	4, 3.05
(4,45/2,12)	0308	1140	5308	3644	0	6143	-5.813	-9.368	1.705	2.746	4, 2.8

Table 4.6: Quadratic solution for the fixed function with different matter content derived from the pure gravity solution (4.66).

It has to be emphasised that a solution with a positive value for Newton's constant could be found because the type I value  $\alpha_D^M = 0$  was used for the fermionic term. The stabilising effect of the type I regulated fermions is very much needed. *E.g.*, the solution with no fermions at all but four scalars and twelve vectors possesses a negative value for Newton's constant. As for the solution (4.66) one obtains an interesting effect of the fermions for the critical exponents: the pure gravity solution has a large critical exponent which we estimate to be around 16. Adding now type I regulated fermions brings this one down to three (which also restores the order such that the critical exponent 4 related to the cosmological constant is the largest one). Adding scalars on top of gravity and fermions slightly increases the critical exponent but has overall not much effect. The same can be said about the gauge fields.

Summarising this section, two solutions have been presented with endomorphism parameters adjusted such that a global quadratic solution exist for matter up to the SM matter content. This worked because a type I regulator for the fermions has been used. At least for the type of solutions discussed here, type II regulated fermions lead to a negative fixed point value of Newton's constant and result thus in physically acceptable solutions.

#### 4.5.2 Asymptotic Behaviour for Large Curvature

Studying the asymptotic behaviour for large curvature r serves within this investigation two purposes. On the one hand, this knowledge will be employed when numerically solving for a fixed function. On the other hand, it will allow to identify a destabilising influence of matter fields without actually searching for a numerical solution.

As shown below the possible leading asymptotic behaviour for  $r \gg 1$  is either  $\simeq r^2$  or  $\simeq r^2 \ln r$  depending on the values of the endomorphism parameters.<sup>8</sup> The left hand side of (4.47) at the NGFP reduces to a constant plus linear term if  $\varphi^*(r)$  is a quadratic function due to a cancelation (see footnote 5), and it becomes a quadratic polynomial if a term proportional to  $r^2 \ln r$  is added.

Quite obviously cancellations in differences between terms play a significant role. Therefore the most straightforward way to proceed is to infer the large curvature behaviour in that terms of the flow equation (4.47) which do not depend on the function  $\varphi(r)$  and its derivatives. This is especially useful as some of these terms belong to the leading order terms. A counterexample is  $\mathcal{T}^{\text{Dirac}}$  (E.5b). As it is a linear function in r, a leading quadratic behaviour of the type  $\varphi^* = Ar^2 + \mathcal{O}(r)$  will only be changed but not made impossible (as it is also evident from the discussion of the global quadratic solution), and in the presence of a non-vanishing quadratic term on the left hand side of the flow equation it is subleading.

As the scalar matter term  $\mathcal{T}^{\text{scalar}}$  and the contribution from the gauge ghost behave identical they can be discussed together. One clearly sees a qualitative difference for  $\alpha_S^M \neq 0$ , resp.,  $\alpha_{V2}^M \neq 0$  for which the asymptotic behaviour of the corresponding terms is linear and for vanishing parameter (which includes type I and type II coarse-graining) for which the asymptotic behaviour is quadratic. In the first case these two terms provide singularities at  $r = -1/\alpha_S^M$  and  $r = -1/\alpha_{V2}^M$ , respectively. In the latter case one has, of course, no singularities but the term is a leading one for large r.

As for the transverse vector matter fields one has to distinguish between the type II case  $\alpha_{V1}^M = -1/4$  for which there is no singularity but a quadratic contribution (and thus the term contributes to the leading behaviour), and all other cases with a singularity at  $r = -1/(\alpha_{V1}^M + 1/4)$  and a sub-leading linear asymptotic behaviour. A completely analogous discussion applies to the gravitational ghost term  $\mathcal{T}^{\text{ghost}}$  with the only difference that the type II corresponds to  $\alpha_V^G = +1/4$ , and in a similar way to the first line in (E.4a) (type II corresponds to  $\alpha_T^G = -1/6$ ).

For the scalars and the gauge ghosts the type I and type II endomorphism parameters coincide,  $\alpha_S^M = \alpha_{V2}^M = 0$ . Therefore, the "dangers" of type II apply for the related two terms also for type I coarse-graining. At this point, it is interesting to note that, had one employed an interpolation scheme based on the Euler-MacLaurin formula, the scalar term had simplified

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Note that (4.9) in [190] were only correct if their parameter  $\alpha$  (our  $\alpha_T^G$ ) would deviate slightly from the type II value  $\alpha = -1/6$ . Allowing for a small change of this parameter, I have verified this expression.

very much like the fermionic one does in the here used averaging interpolation:

$$\mathcal{T}^{\text{scalar}} = \frac{N_S}{2} \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \left( 1 + (\alpha_S^M + \frac{1}{3})r \right).$$
(4.75)

With this behaviour the contributions of the scalars would be as easily and semi-analytically taken into account as the ones for the fermions here.<sup>9</sup>

Last but not least, in order to obtain a global solution the moving singularities in the second line of (E.4a) and in both expressions in (E.4b) need to cancel against the numerators, *cf.* the description of the Frobenius method in, *e.g.*, [243]. Even if this is arranged then these three terms have leading quadratic behaviour.<sup>10</sup>

To summarise this discussion, especially with respect to the impact of matter on the asymptotic behaviour, one notes that for type II coarse-graining the generic leading behaviour on the right hand side of the flow equation is quadratic. Given the form of the left hand side this implies that the leading behaviour is then  $\varphi^* \simeq r^2 \ln r$  for  $r \gg 1$ . Note that this is different from the leading asymptotic behaviour found in [185]. In this investigation, however, the linear split of the metric and another gauge has been used. As an advantage of using type II coarse-graining one has that matter does not introduce any new singularities, *i.e.*, the same counting of conditions with respect to the solubility and the number of solutions for this non-linear differential equation applies. For generic endomorphism parameters the leading asymptotic behaviour of the matter contributions is linear, and thus will not qualitatively change the leading asymptotic behaviour of the solution in the pure gravity case. On the other hand, one introduces (even if one sets  $\alpha_S^M = \alpha_{V2}^M$  right away) one or two new singularities which will make without specific choices (e.g., to push them to values of r in which one is not interested, foremost to negative values) the differential equation unsolvable. Note that for the transverse vectors and for the Dirac fermions type I endomorphism parameters behave for this purpose like general values. Therefore, if type I coarse-graining is used the scalar fields and the gauge ghosts provide the leading quadratic terms. This results then in a leading behaviour  $\simeq r^2 \ln r$  for the fixed function. It is then straightforward to verify that this is then selfconsistent, no terms growing faster than quadratic will be generated in the equation. Analysing the flow equation for large r one can infer the behaviour

$$\varphi^{\star}(r) \simeq (2\ln r - 1)r^2 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{r^2}{\ln r}, r(\ln r)^2\right).$$
 (4.76)

It is interesting to note that potentially generated terms of order  $r^2(\ln r)^3$  and of order  $r^2(\ln r)^2$  are canceled simultaneously.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Although I did not find any interpolation scheme which makes the transverse vector fields behave alike one may entertain and further investigate the idea that matter fields in such a setting might really contribute only constants and linear terms in the curvature. If the sign of the linear term is the "correct" one, then one could add an arbitrary amount of matter fields to a given pure gravity solution and only change numbers, *i.e.*, without changing any qualitative features of the solution.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>However, there is one way to avoid this: if  $\varphi^* \simeq r^2$  then  $\varphi^* \longrightarrow 0$  for  $r \to \infty$ , and the remaining two terms can be tuned to cancel. As a matter of fact, this is the mechanism how the global quadratic solution can be assumed.

#### 4.5.3 Numerical Solutions for Global Fixed Functions



Figure 4.6: Displayed are the fixed functions (black lines) for the case of pure gravity (left panel) and with SM matter content (right panel). The respective polynomial approximations of order 14 using r = 0 as an expansion point are shown as dashed lines for comparison.

In this section two examples for a numerical solution will be presented, one for pure gravity and another for SM content. Given the fact that type II coarse-graining with SM matter content is not going to work one may want to employ type I. However, already in the pure gravity case the flow equation will not possess a solution for all positive curvatures r.

The flow equation is a third order equation, and it is only then not over-constrained if there are at most three singularities. Therefore, if the solution had no extremum, and there were no moving singularity one could allow for positive r three fixed singularities. However, the physical condition of a positive Newton constant and thus a negative  $g_1$  implies that  $\varphi(r)$  decreases at small values of the curvature. On the other hand, at large curvatures the function  $\varphi(r)$  should assume a positive value to make the functional integral well-defined which is achieved by  $\varphi(r) \to +\infty$  for  $r \to \infty$ . Consequently,  $\varphi^*(r)$  must possess at least one minimum, and one can allow for at most two fixed singularities. However, for type I one has four additional fixed singularities at  $r_2^{\text{sing}} = 0$ ,  $r_3^{\text{sing}} = 6/5$ ,  $r_4^{\text{sing}} = 3$  and  $r_{\text{ghost}}^{\text{sing}} = 4$ , where the last one originates from the ghost term  $\mathcal{T}^{\text{ghost}}$ . Searching for solutions for strictly positive curvature one does not need to require a condition at  $r_2^{\text{sing}} = 0$ . The ghost singularity is moved to negative values of r by choosing  $\alpha_V^G = 1/2$  which is well within the allowed range of parameters, see above. This is then the least modification of the flow equation as compared to the one in type I coarse-graining which allows for a numerical solution.

To obtain numerical solutions a multi-shooting method will be employed, *cf.* [189, 190]. As for the pure gravity case: to this end one enforces a minimum at the zero of the second summand in  $\mathcal{T}^{\text{TT}}$  (E.4a) at r = 3/2. Shooting to the left one constructs the solution left from the singularity by matching the solution at  $r_3^{\text{sing}} \pm 10^{-4} = 6/5 \pm 10^{-4}$  such that a singularity of the third derivative is avoided. This fixes one of the two parameters. The other parameter is fixed by a similar matching at  $r_4^{\text{sing}} = 3$ . From there on the equation is straightforwardly

integrated.<sup>11</sup> The result is displayed in the left panel of figure 4.6.

When adding the SM matter content ( $N_S = 4$ ,  $N_D = 22.5$  and  $N_V = 12$  and type I coarsegraining) one may plug in a polynomial approximation into the differential equation for the fixed function to determine at which position the minimum of  $\varphi^*(r)$  has to be located. From there the analogous procedure as in the gravity case is followed. The result is displayed in the right panel of figure 4.6.

The most amazing observation hereby is the absence of any structure, the global fixed functions are surprisingly close to parabolas, *i.e.*, all their features can be captured by a quadratic expression with only three coefficients.

## 4.6 Summary

In this chapter I presented a study of the properties of NGFPs arising within f(R)-gravity minimally coupled to an arbitrary number of scalar, Dirac, and vector fields. The construction closely follows earlier work by Ohta, Percacci, and Vacca [177, 190] covering the case of pure gravity: metric fluctuations are parameterised by the exponential split, the computation is carried out in "physical" gauge, and all operator traces are evaluated as averaged sums over eigenvalues. The result is the partial differential equation (4.47) which governs the scaledependence of the dimensionless function  $\varphi_k(r) \equiv f_k(R/k^2)k^{-4}$ . The equation keeps track of a 7-parameter family of coarse-graining operators parameterising relative shifts of the eigenvalues associated with the fluctuations which are integrated out at the RG scale k. A direct consequence of the construction is that the gravitational sector of our partial differential equation agrees with [177, 190].

Based on the partial differential equation (4.47), a comprehensive picture detailing the existence and stability of interacting RG FPs in gravity-matter systems taking higher-order curvature terms into account has been developed. The main findings are summarised in table 4.3. In the case where all coarse-graining operators are taken as the corresponding Laplacian operators, most of the matter sectors of phenomenological interest, including the SM of particle physics, admit a NGFP which is stable under the inclusion of higher-order curvature terms. In addition, they come with a low number of relevant directions. The fact that these gravity-matter FPs share many of the properties found in the case of pure gravity suggests to call this family of classes "gravity-type" NGFPs.

In contrast to this success, the most commonly used set of non-trivial endomorphism parameters, given by the type II coarse-graining operators constructed from (4.30b), commonly leads to gravity-matter FPs which are unstable under the addition of higher order scalar curvature terms. While the instability of phenomenologically interesting gravity-matter FPs in the presence of a type II coarse-graining operator has already been observed several times, see, *e.g.*,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Unfortunately, the asymptotic behaviour (4.76) only becomes reasonably precise at very large values of r and is thus only of limited use in the numerics.

[209, 211], the present setup offers a logical explanation: the inclusion of the  $r^2$ -terms reveals that the "gravity-type" NGFPs and the NGFPs found in the type II case are not connected by a continuous deformation of the coarse-graining operator, see figure 4.4. The observation that the matter contributions destroy the typical behaviour found in the case of pure gravity suggests to refer to this family of FPs as "matter-dominated" NGFPs.

At this stage it is interesting to compare the classification of NGFPs in the Einstein-Hilbert action obtained in this work (see figure 4.2) with the one reported in [200].<sup>12</sup> The comparison reveals a qualitative difference in the FP structure for  $d_g > 0$ ,  $d_\lambda > 0$ . Focusing on the type I case, the presented work shows no suitable FPs beyond the line  $d_g = 179/12$  while [200] identifies suitable NGFPs in this region provided that  $d_\lambda$  is sufficiently positive. This difference is related to the occurrence of the cosmological constant on the right hand side of the flow equation, manifesting itself in terms of denominators of the form  $(1-c\lambda)$  with *c* being a positive number. The resulting poles have been linked to a mechanism of gravitational catalysis [242]. The comparison between this work and [200] then reveals that these terms also play a crucial role in stabilising the NGFPs appearing in the upper-right corner of the  $d_g$ - $d_\lambda$  plane. Notably the NGFPs found in the case of pure gravity or gravity coupled to SM matter are not located in this region so that the stabilisation mechanism is not required to work in these cases.

For some well-chosen sets of coarse-graining parameters global quadratic solution exists. Although these choices can hardly be motivated by physics they explain an otherwise very astonishing behaviour of the numerically obtained global fixed functions: for all studied cases the deviations from a global quadratic form are minor.<sup>13</sup> Given this situation one might even speculate that differences to a quite simple form of the global fixed functions are only truncation artefacts. This is in agreement with the basic assumption of the asymptotic safety scenario of having only finitely many relevant directions. In the present investigation we found only two relevant directions, one of them is directly related to the constant term, *i.e.*, the cosmological constant. The other one is with only very small contributions from higher-order terms a linear combination of a linear and a quadratic term.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>Applying the approach taken in [200] to the covariant setting leads to the same existence criteria for NGFPs in the  $d_q$ - $d_\lambda$ -plane [244].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>Respectively, the deviations from quadratic expressions augmented with a  $r^2 \ln r$  term is even smaller.

## Chapter 5

# **Spectral Dimensions from the Spectral Action**

This chapter is based on the following publication [81]:

N. Alkofer, F. Saueressig and O. Zanusso. Spectral dimensions from the spectral action. Phys. Rev. D 91 (2015) 025025, arXiv:1410.7999 [hep-th].

#### Contents

5.1	Motivation and Objective								
5.2	The Spectral Action and its Bosonic Propagators								
5.3	The Generalised Spectral Dimension								
5.4	The Spectral Dimension from the Spectral Action								
	5.4.1	Effective Field Theory Framework	73						
	5.4.2	Propagators with Full Momentum Dependence	75						
5.5	Summ	ary	76						

## 5.1 Motivation and Objective

As briefly reviewed in section 2.3 almost-commutative geometries lead to a spectral action as given in (2.46). Its main ingredients are a positive function  $\chi$ , a Dirac operator  $\mathcal{D}$  on an almost-commutative geometry, and a physically motivated UV cutoff  $\Lambda$ .

The main objective of the study presented here is to calculate the generalised spectral dimension  $D_S(T)$  [54, 61, 68] from a spectral action of the type (2.46), and then compare the obtained results to the corresponding ones of other approaches to quantum gravity. To this end, the work of [80, 245], containing studies of the spectral action's properties in the far UV and thus beyond the framework of effective field theory, is followed, and the concept of the generalised spectral dimension  $D_S(T)$  is employed to provide a quantitative measure for some of the more qualitative conclusions of [80, 245].

In appendix D the spectral dimension and its relation to other types of dimensions as well as some related basic formulas are summarised. In addition, the generalisation to a "timedependent" quantity  $D_S(T)$  is defined and elucidated. Over the last years this latter quantity which provides a measure for growth of a spectrum has been calculated in many approaches to quantum gravity as well as quantum gravity inspired models. The employed methods together with the key references for each of them are also denoted in appendix D. A surprising feature common to many of the results of these investigations is a "dynamical dimensional reduction" when decreasing the "time" scale T: starting  $D_S(T) = 4$  at macroscopic scales (as expected in a classical spacetime) one arrives at  $D_S(T) = 2$  at the smallest length scales, for a synopsis of such observations for several different approaches to quantum gravity see, e.g., [57,58]. Clearly, in such a situation where seemingly different models and approaches provide the same pattern it is highly desirable to obtain a detailed understanding which features are actually encoded in  $D_S(T)$  and how this relates to the quantum nature of the models' ingredients. Consequently, one objective of the study presented in this chapter is to provide another example. In addition, a further and more important goal is to contribute to a better understanding of the observed "dynamical dimensional reduction" seen in so many different approaches. As will become clear in the next two sections a fact which makes a model based on a spectral action especially worthwhile to study is the unusual form of its (inverse) propagators: they are non-analytic functions of the momentum. As we will see this results in a quite astonishing behaviour of the spectral dimensions presented below.

## 5.2 The Spectral Action and its Bosonic Propagators

For the "classical" spectral action as given in (2.46),  $S_{\chi,\Lambda} \equiv \text{Tr}(\chi(\mathcal{D}^2/\Lambda^2))$ , with a given function  $\chi$  and a cutoff  $\Lambda$ , a non-trivial spectral dimension can only arise if the propagators (which are as usual determined from the second variations of the action) acquire a non-canonical momentum dependence. For calculating this momentum dependence one may use heat-kernel methods, see, *e.g.*, [80, 109].

For constructing the spectral action one needs an almost-commutative product manifold  $M \times F$ , see section 2.3. Hereby, the first factor M is a Riemannian spin manifold which takes the role of the Euclidean spacetime. The second factor F is a finite, generally non-commutative, space which will be related to the internal degrees of freedom. The geometry of the manifold M has an operator-algebraic description in terms of the so-called canonical triple  $(C^{\infty}(M), L^2(M, S), \mathcal{D})$  where, as usual,  $C^{\infty}(M)$  is the set of smooth functions on M,  $L^2(M, S)$  is the Hilbert space of square-integrable spinors on M, and  $\mathcal{D}$  is the (Euclidean) Dirac operator acting on this Hilbert space. In an analogous way, the geometry of F can be captured by a triple  $(\mathcal{A}_F, \mathcal{H}_F, D_F)$ , where  $\mathcal{H}_F$  is a finite-dimensional Hilbert space of complex dimensional Hilbert space of complex d

sion N,  $\mathcal{A}_F$  is an algebra of  $N \times N$  matrices acting on  $\mathcal{H}_F$ , and  $D_F$  is a hermitian  $N \times N$  matrix.

For the purpose of determining the spectral dimension in this approach one can choose the simplest internal space, taking F as a single point. In this case the triple becomes  $F = (\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}, 0)$ , and correspondingly the Dirac operator reduces to the one on M, *i.e.*,

$$\mathcal{D} = \not{\!\!\!D} + \gamma_5 \phi \,, \tag{5.1}$$

where the covariant derivative

contains the Levi-Civita spin connection (2.29) and the gauge potential  $A_{\mu}$ . The resulting spectral action comprises a spin-2 field, the graviton, a massless U(1) gauge field  $A_{\mu}$  with field strength  $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu}$ , and a scalar  $\phi$ . In a next step one projects on physical degrees of freedom, *i.e.*, for the graviton one takes into account the transverse traceless fluctuations  $h_{\mu\nu}$  with  $\partial^{\mu}h_{\mu\nu} = 0$ ,  $\delta^{\mu\nu}h_{\mu\nu} = 0$  only, and the Landau gauge for the spin-1 field  $\partial^{\mu}A_{\mu} = 0$  is imposed.

The operator  $\mathcal{D}^2$  appearing in the spectral action (2.46) can then be cast into the standard form of a Laplace-type operator

$$\mathcal{D}^2 = -(\nabla^2 + E) \tag{5.3}$$

with the endomorphism E given by

$$E = -i\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{5}\nabla_{\mu}\phi - \phi^{2} - \frac{1}{4}R + \frac{i}{4}[\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}]F_{\mu\nu}.$$
(5.4)

The curvature related to  $\nabla_{\mu} = \nabla^{LC}_{\mu} + iA_{\mu}$  is given by

$$\Omega_{\mu\nu} := [\nabla_{\mu}, \nabla_{\nu}] = -\frac{1}{4} \gamma^{\rho} \gamma^{\sigma} R_{\rho\sigma\mu\nu} + iF_{\mu\nu}.$$
(5.5)

A favourable choice for the function  $\chi$  is  $\chi(z) = e^{-z}$  [80]. Then the spectral action (2.46) coincides with the heat trace

$$S_{\chi,\Lambda} = \operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{-t\mathcal{D}^2}\right) \quad \text{with} \quad t := \Lambda^{-2},$$
(5.6)

which is a well-studied object, see, e.g., [245–249].

The propagators are extracted by expanding (5.6) up to second order in the fields  $\phi$ ,  $A_{\mu}$  and  $h_{\mu\nu}$  where the latter is the fluctuating part of  $g_{\mu\nu}$  around flat (Euclidean) space

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda^{-1} h_{\mu\nu} \,. \tag{5.7}$$

The inclusion of  $\Lambda$  ensures that  $h_{\mu\nu}$  has the same mass-dimension as the matter fields and gives rise to the canonical form of the graviton propagator. Comparing (5.7) with the standard expansion of  $g_{\mu\nu}$  used in perturbation theory,  $g_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{\mu\nu} + \sqrt{16\pi G_N} h_{\mu\nu}$  with  $G_N$  being Newton's

constant, identifies the natural scale for  $\Lambda$  as the Planck mass  $m_{\rm Pl} = (8\pi G_{\rm N})^{-1/2}$  (also see [250] for a related discussion). A straightforward although somewhat lengthy calculation [80, 245, 247–249] yields the expression for the inverse propagators of the physical fields

$$K^{(2)}(\mathcal{D}^2, t) = \int d^4x \left[ \phi F_0(-t\partial^2)\phi + A_\mu F_1(-t\partial^2)A_\mu + \Lambda^{-2}h_{\mu\nu}F_2(-t\partial^2)h_{\mu\nu} \right].$$
(5.8)

Here the superscript on  $K^{(2)}$  indicates that only the second order of the fields is retained. Note that the factor  $\Lambda^{-2}$  originates from the split of the metric (5.7). The structure functions  $F_s$  describe the momentum dependence of the (inverse) propagators. They coincide with the standard heat kernel result for spin-s fields:

$$F_0(z) = \frac{t^{-1}}{(4\pi)^2} \left( -4 + 2zh(z) \right),$$
(5.9)

$$F_1(z) = \frac{t^{-1}}{(4\pi)^2} \left( -4 + 4h(z) + 2zh(z) \right),$$
(5.10)

$$F_2(z) = \frac{t^{-2}}{(4\pi)^2} \left( -2 + h(z) + \frac{1}{4}zh(z) \right),$$
(5.11)

where the function h(z) can be written as the integral

$$h(z) = \int_{0}^{1} d\alpha \, e^{-\alpha(1-\alpha)z}.$$
(5.12)

It is important to note that the function h(z) and thus the  $F_s(z)$  are non-analytic in

$$z = \frac{p^2}{\Lambda^2} = t \, p^2.$$
 (5.13)

The inverses of these structure functions provide the classical propagators of the theory. For illustration, suitably normalised versions of the structure functions  $F_s(z)$  (5.9) - (5.11),

$$G_s(z) = (4\pi)^2 t^{\alpha_s} F_s(z), \qquad (5.14)$$

with  $\alpha_s = (1, 1, 2)$  are shown in figure 5.1.

The structure functions  $F_s(z)$  (5.9) - (5.11) possess an early-time expansion for small momenta  $p^2 \ll \Lambda^2$ , i.e., z < 1. Using that

$$h(z) = 1 - \frac{z}{6} + \frac{z^2}{60} - \frac{z^3}{840} + \mathcal{O}(z^4)$$
(5.15)

one finds

$$(4\pi)^{2} t F_{0}(z) = -4 + 2z - \frac{z^{2}}{3} + \frac{z^{3}}{30} + \dots,$$
  

$$(4\pi)^{2} t F_{1}(z) = \frac{4}{3}z - \frac{4}{15}z^{2} + \frac{1}{35}z^{3} + \dots,$$
  

$$(4\pi t)^{2} F_{2}(z) = -1 + \frac{z}{12} - \frac{z^{2}}{40} + \frac{z^{3}}{336} + \dots.$$
(5.16)



Figure 5.1: Illustration of the momentum dependence of the structure functions  $G_s(z)$  (5.14): spin 0 - solid thick line, spin 1 - dashed thick line, and spin 2 - dash-dotted thick line.

The early time expansion of the structure functions truncated at  $\mathcal{O}(z^3)$  and  $\mathcal{O}(z)$ , respectively, is shown in figure 5.2. Comparing the result to the functions  $F_s(z)$  including the full z-dependence it is easily seen that the truncation drastically modifies the behaviour of the (inverse) propagators for large momenta. While the truncated  $F_s(z)$  diverge the full structure functions remain finite. As it will be seen in section 5.4, this feature will have a drastic effect on the spectral dimension of the theory.



Figure 5.2: Propagators obtained from truncating  $G_s(z)$  at  $z^3$ , following the spirit of effective field theory (spin 0 - solid thick line, spin 1- dashed thick line, and spin 2 - dash-dotted). The expansions up to linear order in z are shown as corresponding thin lines.

The constant term appearing in the expansion of  $F_0$  plays the role of a mass term for  $\phi$ . The sign thereby indicates that the squared mass is negative. This is a remnant of the fact that the

scalar  $\phi$  acquires a non-trivial vacuum expectation value via the Higgs mechanism [110, 115, 128]. Since  $K^{(2)}$ , by construction, contains the terms quadratic in  $\phi$  only the scalar potential is not included in (5.8) so that the stabilisation of  $\phi$  cannot be demonstrated in this approximation. The constant term in  $F_2$  signals the presence of a positive cosmological constant, acting like a mass-term for the graviton, while the structure of  $F_1$  reflects that the gauge field is massless.

To understand the behaviour of the theory at high energies, it is also useful to carry out the late-time expansion of the structure functions, capturing the behaviour for  $z \gg 1$ :

$$h(z) = \frac{2}{z} + \frac{4}{z^2} + \frac{24}{z^3} + \frac{240}{z^4} + \dots$$
(5.17)

which yields

$$(4\pi)^{2} t F_{0}(z) = \frac{8}{z} + \frac{48}{z^{2}} + \frac{480}{z^{3}} + \dots ,$$
  

$$(4\pi)^{2} t F_{1}(z) = \frac{16}{z} + \frac{64}{z^{2}} + \frac{576}{z^{3}} + \dots ,$$
  

$$(4\pi t)^{2} F_{2}(z) = -\frac{3}{2} + \frac{3}{z} + \frac{10}{z^{2}} + \frac{84}{z^{3}} + \dots .$$
  
(5.18)

A typical viewpoint adopted in the spectral action approach to particle physics consider the actions generated by (2.46) as effective actions which should be truncated at a certain power of the cutoff  $\Lambda^{-2}$ . In this case the early-time expansion (5.16) allows to construct the effective action resulting from an arbitrary function  $\chi$ . This uses the fact that the Trace (2.46) can be related to the heat kernel (5.6) using

$$S_{\chi,\Lambda} = \operatorname{Tr}\left[\chi(\mathcal{D}^2/\Lambda^2)\right] = \int_{0}^{\infty} dy \,\tilde{\chi}(y) \,\operatorname{Tr}\left[e^{-y\mathcal{D}^2/\Lambda^2}\right],\tag{5.19}$$

where  $\tilde{\chi}(y)$  is the inverse Laplace-transform of  $\chi(z)$ . Evaluating the operator trace in (5.19) based on the early-time expansion then yields the systematic expansion of  $S_{\chi,\Lambda}$  in (inverse) powers of the cutoff. The  $\chi$ -dependent coefficients in this expansion are given by

$$Q_n[\chi] \equiv \int_0^\infty dy \, y^{-n} \, \tilde{\chi}(y) \tag{5.20}$$

and can be computed by standard Mellin-transform techniques [166]. Thus the  $Q_n \equiv Q_n[\chi]$  are real numbers which are normalised such that  $Q_n = 1$  for  $\chi = \exp(-tz)$ .

The part of the spectral action containing the terms quadratic in the fields is then given by

$$S_{\chi,\Lambda}^{(2)} = \frac{\Lambda^2}{(4\pi)^2} \int d^4x \left[ \phi \mathcal{F}_{0,\chi} \left( -\partial^2/\Lambda^2 \right) \phi + A_\mu \mathcal{F}_{1,\chi} \left( -\partial^2/\Lambda^2 \right) A_\mu + h_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{F}_{2,\chi} \left( -\partial^2/\Lambda^2 \right) h_{\mu\nu} \right]$$
(5.21)

with

$$\mathcal{F}_{0,\chi}(z) = -4Q_1 + 2Q_0 z - \frac{Q_{-1}}{3} z^2 + \frac{Q_{-2}}{30} z^3 + \dots ,$$
  

$$\mathcal{F}_{1,\chi}(z) = \frac{4Q_0}{3} z - \frac{4Q_{-1}}{15} z^2 + \frac{Q_{-2}}{35} z^3 + \dots ,$$
  

$$\mathcal{F}_{2,\chi}(z) = -Q_2 + \frac{Q_1}{12} z - \frac{Q_0}{40} z^2 + \frac{Q_{-1}}{336} z^3 + \dots .$$
(5.22)

The momenta (5.20) can be adjusted by choosing a suitable function  $\chi$ . Note, however, that the  $Q_n$ 's appearing in the matter and gravitational sector of (5.21) *cannot be adjusted* independently, however, since they are generated by the same function  $\chi$ . Possible truncations of the expansion (5.8) include:

Truncating the moments  $Q_n$ . From the mathematical viewpoint it is tempting to choose a generating function  $\chi$  whose moments  $Q_n[\chi]$  vanish for all values  $n \ge n_{\text{max}}$ . This leads to the rather peculiar property that the highest powers of  $-\partial^2$  appearing in the matter and gravitational sector come with opposite signs. In other words adjusting the  $Q_n$  in such a way that the propagators in the matter sector are stable at high momenta implies an instability of the gravitational propagator and vice versa. Thus "truncating" the theory by adjusting the momenta  $Q_n$  gives rise to a dynamical instability of the theory.

The effective field theory viewpoint. A similar (though not equivalent) strategy interprets the expansion (5.8) as an effective field theory, which should be truncated at a given power of the cutoff  $\Lambda$ . Retaining the relevant and marginal operators then provides a good description of the physics as long as  $-p^2/\Lambda^2 \ll 1$ . While it is possible to systematically compute quantum corrections to an effective action, this expansion breaks down if the momenta are of the order of the Planck scale. A detailed analysis then reveals that the  $Q_n$ 's can be adjusted in such a way that all propagators of the theory are stable. Thus this case will be focused on the sequel.

### **5.3** The Generalised Spectral Dimension

As explained in section 2.4 a test particle diffusing on a given fixed background feels certain features of this background as, e.g., its dimension. For a spin-less test-particle performing a Brownian random walk on a Riemannian manifold with metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$ , the diffusion process is described by the heat kernel  $K_g(x, x'; T)$  which gives the probability density for a particle diffusing from the point x to x' in the diffusion time T. The heat kernel satisfies the heat equation

$$(\partial_T + \Delta_g) K_g(x, x'; T) = 0, \qquad (5.23)$$

where  $\Delta_g \equiv -D^2$  is the Laplace-Beltrami operator, and the initial condition is  $K_g(x, x'; 0) = \delta(x - x')$ . In flat space, the solution of this equation is (*cf.* section 2.4)

$$K(x, x'; T) = \int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^d} e^{ip \cdot (x - x')} e^{-p^2 T}.$$
(5.24)

In general  $K_g(x, x'; T)$  is the matrix element of the operator  $\exp(-T\Delta_g)$ . For the diffusion process, its trace per volume gives the averaged return probability

$$\mathcal{P}_g(T) = V^{-1} \int d^d x \sqrt{g(x)} K_g(x, x; T) = V^{-1} \operatorname{Tr} \exp(-T\Delta_g), \qquad (5.25)$$

measuring the probability that the particle returns to its origin after a diffusion time T. Here  $V \equiv \int d^d x \sqrt{g(x)}$  denotes the total volume. For the flat-space solution (5.24)

$$\mathcal{P}(T) = (4\pi T)^{-d/2} \,. \tag{5.26}$$

The (standard) spectral dimension  $d_S$  is defined as the T-independent logarithmic derivative

$$d_S \equiv -2\lim_{T \to 0} \frac{\partial \ln \mathcal{P}(T)}{\partial \ln T} \,. \tag{5.27}$$

On smooth manifolds  $d_S$  agrees with the topological dimension of the manifold d. In order to also capture the case of diffusion processes exhibiting multiple scaling regimes, it is natural to generalise the definition (5.27) to the *T*-dependent spectral dimension

$$D_S(T) \equiv -2 \frac{\partial \ln \mathcal{P}(T)}{\partial \ln T}.$$
(5.28)

In the classical spectral action (2.46) the propagation of the test particles on a flat Euclidean background is modified by the higher-derivative terms entering into the (inverse) propagators of the fields. In (5.23) this effect can readily be incorporated by replacing the Laplace-Beltrami operator by the inverse propagators

$$\left(\partial_T + F(-\partial^2)\right) K_g(x, x'; T) = 0.$$
(5.29)

The solution of this equation can again be given in terms of its Fourier transform

$$K(x, x'; T) = \int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^d} e^{ip \cdot (x - x')} e^{-F(p^2)T}.$$
(5.30)

For a generic function  $F(p^2)$  there is no guarantee that the resulting heat-kernel is positive semidefinite thereby admitting an interpretation as probability density. This "negative probability problem" has been discussed in detail [56,251], concluding that the spectral dimension remains meaningful. The probability  $\mathcal{P}(T)$  resulting from (5.30) is given by

$$\mathcal{P}(T) = \int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^d} e^{-F(p^2)T},$$
(5.31)

and may still admit the interpretation of a (positive-semidefinite) return probability even in the case where a probability interpretation of K(x, x'; T) fails. The generalised spectral dimension may then be obtained by substituting the inverse propagators from (5.8) and evaluating (5.28) for the corresponding return probabilities.

Following the ideas of [82, 83] the spectral dimension arising from (5.31) permits an interpretation as the Hausdorff-dimension of the theory's momentum space. Provided that the change of coordinates  $k^2 = F(p^2)$  is bijective, the inverse propagator in the exponential can be traded for a non-trivial measure on momentum space

$$P(T) = \frac{\text{Vol}_{S^d}}{(2\pi)^d} \int k dk \, \frac{\left(F^{-1}(k^2)\right)^{(d-2)/2}}{F'(p^2)} \, e^{-Tk^2} \,.$$
(5.32)

(NB: Here  $F'(p^2)$  is understood as the derivative of F(z) with respect to its argument, evaluated at  $p^2 = F^{-1}(k^2)$ .) Therefore (5.31) is equivalent to the corresponding quantity for the case of a particle with canonical inverse propagator,  $F(p^2) \propto p^2$  in a momentum space with non-trivial measure. This picture also provides a meaningful interpretation of  $D_S(T)$  even in the case where the model is purely classical so that the non-trivial spectral dimension cannot originate from properties of an effective quantum spacetime.

Due to the following argument it is helpful for the purpose of this study to split off the mass term  $m^2 = F(p^2)|_{p^2=0}$  in the inverse momentum-space propagator  $F(p^2)$ :

$$F(p^2) = F^{(0)}(p^2) + m^2.$$
(5.33)

Based on  $F^{(0)}(k^2)$  one can then introduce the return probability

$$P^{(0)}(T) \propto \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-TF^{(0)}(p^2)}$$
 (5.34)

together with the spectral dimension seen by the massless field

$$D_S^{(0)}(T) \equiv -2T \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \ln \mathcal{P}^{(0)}(T) \,. \tag{5.35}$$

Substituting (5.33) into the return probability (5.31) and extracting the mass-term from the integral it is straightforward to establish

$$D_S(T) = 2m^2 T + D_S^{(0)}(T).$$
(5.36)

Thus a mass-term just leads to a linear contribution in  $D_S(T)$  and does not encode non-trivial information on the propagation of the particle. Therefore the quantity  $D_S^{(0)}(T)$  will be studied in the following.

## 5.4 The Spectral Dimension from the Spectral Action

Based on the discussion of the last two sections, it is now straightforward to compute the spectral dimensions from the spin-dependent propagators provided by the spectral action. I will start by investigating the truncated propagators based on (5.8) and (5.21) in subsection 5.4.1 before including the full momentum dependence in subsection 5.4.2.

#### 5.4.1 Effective Field Theory Framework

In the effective field theory interpretation of (5.21) the functions  $\mathcal{F}_{s,\chi}$  are truncated at a fixed power of the cutoff  $\Lambda$ . The resulting massless parts of the bosonic propagators then become polynomials in the particles' momentum,

$$\mathcal{F}_{s}^{(0)}(p^{2}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N_{\max}} a_{n}^{s} (p^{2})^{n}, \qquad (5.37)$$

with obvious relations among the polynomial coefficients  $a_n$  and the numbers  $Q_n$  (5.22). Note that limiting the expansion to the marginal and relevant operators, coming with powers of the

cutoff  $\Lambda^{2n}$ ,  $n \leq 2$ , fixes  $N_{\text{max}} = 1$  and all propagators retain their standard  $p^2$ -form. Taking into account, however, power-counting irrelevant terms containing inverse powers of the cutoff adds further powers to the polynomial (5.37). Thus the propagators include higher powers of momentum in this case.

A positive semi-definite spectral dimension  $D_S^{(0)}$  requires a positive function  $\mathcal{F}_s^{(0)}$ . This requirement puts constraints on the signs of the momenta  $Q_n$  appearing in (5.22). In particular  $a_1^s > 0$  is required for obtaining classical propagators at low energies while  $a_{N_{\text{max}}}^s > 0$  is needed for stability at high energies.

The asymptotic behaviour of  $D_S^{(0)}$  for short (long) diffusion time T is governed by the highest (lowest) power of  $p^2$  contained in (5.37). Evaluating (5.34) and (5.35) for the special cases  $\mathcal{F}_s^{(0)}(p^2) \propto p^2$  and  $F(p^2) \propto (p^2)^{N_{\text{max}}}$ , a simple scaling argument establishes

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} D_S^{(0)}(T) = 4 \quad \text{for} \quad a_1 > 0, \qquad \text{and} \quad \lim_{T \to 0} D_S^{(0)}(T) = \frac{4}{N_{\text{max}}}.$$
 (5.38)

Thus  $a_1 > 0$  ensures that the spectral dimension seen by particles for long diffusion times coincides with the topological dimension of spacetime. Including higher powers of momenta decreases  $D_S^{(0)}(T)$  for short diffusion times. The generalised spectral dimension then interpolates smoothly between these limits. This feature is illustrated in figure 5.3.



Figure 5.3: The spin-dependent spectral dimension  $D_S^{(0)}(T)$  obtained from (5.37) with  $N_{\text{max}} = 1$  (dotted line) and  $N_{\text{max}} = 3$ ,  $Q_n = 1$ , n = 1, 0, -1, -2 for spin 0 - solid line, spin 1- dashed line, and spin 2 - dashed-dotted line. The cross-over scale is set by  $t = \Lambda^{-2}$  and has been normalised to t = 1.

The case  $N_{\text{max}} = 1$ ,  $a_1 > 0$  leads to a spectral dimension which is independent of the diffusion time (dashed line). The spectral dimension obtained for  $N_{\text{max}} = 3$  and momenta  $Q_n = 1$ , n = 1, 0, -1, -2. In this case,  $D_S^{(0)}(T)$  interpolates between 4 at large T and 4/3 for small T respectively. The crossover occurs for  $T/t \approx (4\pi)^2$  (spin 0 and 1), resp.,  $T/t^2 \approx$ 

 $10 \times (4\pi)^2$  (spin 2). Notably, it is only the shape of this crossover, which depends on the spin of the particle, while the asymptotic limits are universal for all spins.

#### 5.4.2 **Propagators with Full Momentum Dependence**

Let me now take a step beyond effective field theory and investigate the spectral dimension arising from the inverse propagators (5.11) including the full momentum dependence. Comparing figures 5.1 and 5.2 the structural difference is immediate: in the effective field theory framework  $F_s(z)$  diverges as  $z \to \infty$  while the inclusion of the full momentum dependence renders  $\lim_{z\to\infty} F_s(z)$  finite with the limit given by the leading term in (5.18). As a consequence of the modified asymptotics, the integral (5.34) diverges at the upper boundary, since the contribution of large momenta is no longer exponentially suppressed once the full momentum dependent propagators are considered.

In order to still be able to analyse the spectral dimension arising in this framework, one regulates (5.34) by introducing an UV cutoff  $\Lambda_{UV}$ ,

$$P^{(0)}(T;\Lambda_{\rm UV}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\Lambda_{\rm UV}} \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-TF^{(0)}(p^2)}.$$
(5.39)

In the spirit of the discussion leading to (5.36), I consider the "massless" structure functions  $F_s^{(0)}(z)$  where the constant terms appearing in the late-time expansion (5.18) have been removed. The return probability (5.39) then allows to construct the spectral dimension as a function of  $\Lambda_{\rm UV}$ 

$$D_S^{(0)}(T; \Lambda_{\rm UV}) = -2 T \,\partial_T \,\ln(P^{(0)}(T; \Lambda_{\rm UV})) \,.$$
(5.40)

The scale  $\Lambda$  appearing in the spectral action (2.46) is thereby held fixed and sets the transition scale between the UV and IR regime. A detailed analytical and numerical analysis based on the expansion (5.18) then establishes

$$\lim_{\Lambda_{\rm UV}\to\infty} D_S^{(0)}(T;\Lambda_{\rm UV}) = \lim_{\Lambda_{\rm UV}\to\infty} 4T F_s^{(0)}(\Lambda_{\rm UV}).$$
(5.41)

Based on the late-time expansion (5.18), one can conclude that including the full momentum dependence in the structure function leads to a spectral dimension which *vanishes for all diffusion times T*:

$$D_{S}^{(0)}(T) = \lim_{\Lambda_{\rm UV} \to \infty} D_{S}^{(0)}(T; \Lambda_{\rm UV}) = 0.$$
 (5.42)

This result entails in particular that there is no scaling regime for large diffusion time where the spectral dimension matches the topological dimension. Thus including the full momentum dependence, one does not recover a "classical regime" were the spectral dimension would indicate the onset of classical low-energy physics.

## 5.5 Summary

In this chapter a generalised spectral dimension  $D_S(T)$  describing the propagation of (massless) scalars, vectors and gravitons based on the *classical* spectral action (2.46) has been calculated. These obtained results can be summarised as follows:

- If the spectral action is interpreted as an effective field theory restricted to the powercounting relevant and marginal terms, the generalised spectral dimension is independent of the diffusion time T and matches the topological dimension of spacetime, *i.e.*,  $D_S(T) = 4$ .
- If the effective field theory framework is extended to also include power-counting irrelevant terms, the generalised spectral action interpolates between  $D_S(T) = 4$  for long diffusion time and  $D_S(T) = 4/N_{\text{max}}$  for short diffusion times.  $N_{\text{max}}$  is determined by the highest power of momentum contained in the propagator,  $(p^2)^{N_{\text{max}}}$ . The crossover between these two asymptotic regimes is set by the cutoff  $\Lambda$ , and its shape explicitly depends on the spin of the propagating particle.
- If the full momentum-dependence of the propagators is taken into account, the generalised spectral dimension becomes independent of the spin and vanishes identically  $D_S(T) = 0$ .

The last feature can be traced back to the fact that the full propagators approach a constant for momenta much larger than the characteristic cutoff scale  $\Lambda$ . In [80] the peculiar behaviour was summarised by the pictorial phrase "high-energy bosons do not propagate". Indeed the vanishing of the spectral dimension suggests that the momentum space of the theory resembles the one of a zero-dimensional field theory, or phrased otherwise, to a picture where points in spacetime do not communicate.

It is interesting to note that the vanishing of the spectral dimension,  $D_S(T) = 0$ , is in agreement with the previous computations obtained for other non-commutative spacetimes [252]. This is a welcome and surprising result, since the non-commutative nature of our spectral triple construction differs substantially from that of [252], see also the discussion below in chapter 8.

Let me stress, however, that all computations carried out in this chapter are at the classical level. In particular the spacetime is given by classical Euclidean space. All effects are due to the change of measure in momentum space reflected by non-canonical form of the classical propagators, and thus do not capture properties of an underlying quantum spacetime. Further potential investigations related to this point will be discussed in chapter 8. Nevertheless, I would like to end this chapter by expressing the expectation that the spectral action, comprising the three scenarios discussed above, provides a valuable test of the generalised spectral dimension as a novel candidate for a quantum gravity observable.

## **Chapter 6**

# **Quantum Gravity Signatures in the Unruh Effect**

This chapter is based on the following publication [307]:

N. Alkofer, G. D'Odorico, F. Saueressig and F. Versteegen. Quantum Gravity signatures in the Unruh effect.Phys. Rev. D 94 (2016) 104055, arXiv:1605.08015 [gr-qc].

#### Contents

6.1	Object	tive	78							
6.2	Rates from Correlators									
	6.2.1	Particle Detectors and Two-Point Functions	79							
	6.2.2	Emergence of Thermal Spectrum	82							
	6.2.3	Detector Response for Scalars	83							
6.3	Maste	r Formulas for Modified Detector Rates	85							
	6.3.1	Detector Rates from the Ostrogradski Decomposition	85							
	6.3.2	Detector Rates from the Källen-Lehmann Representation	87							
6.4	Scalin	g Dimensions	88							
6.5	Unruh	Rates and Dimensional Flows	89							
	6.5.1	Dynamical Dimensional Reduction	89							
	6.5.2	Kaluza-Klein Theories	93							
	6.5.3	Spectral Actions	94							
	6.5.4	Causal Set Inspired Theories	97							
6.6	Summ	lary	98							

## 6.1 Objective

In the last chapter the concept of a spectral dimension has been used to study the dimensional flow related to the classical (tree-level) propagators of the spectral action. However, as already noted, the spectral action provides only one out of many examples for dimensional flows. They seem to be a property which can be found in virtually all approaches to quantum gravity and quantum gravity inspired models if one is looking for it [57, 58]. Being a rather theoretical concept the question arises whether any observable phenomenon is related to such a dimensional flow. The objective of the study presented here is to obtain an answer to the question: is there any experiment, or at least any "Gedankenexperiment", which makes such a dimensional flow detectable? It will be seen in the course of this chapter that actually it is possible to exploit the Unruh effect [100, 253, 254] (also see [94, 101, 255] for reviews) to make dimensional flows, at least in principle, observable.

The Unruh effect is a quite intriguing phenomenon. In principle, it occurs within QFT in flat Minkowski spacetime, however, as it is related to an accelerated observer it is advantageous to change the perspective and use the so-called Rindler space (see, *e.g.*, [95] for a definition) as basis for the calculation. To summarise the Unruh effect in a brief manner: it predicts that to an accelerated observer (Rindler observer) the Minkowski vacuum appears as a thermal state whose temperature is proportional to the eigen-acceleration of the observer. This acceleration radiation can leave imprints in a variety of phenomenological contexts: for instance in the transverse polarisation of electrons and positrons in particle storage rings (Sokulov-Ternov effect) [256,257], at the onset of quark gluon plasma formation due to heavy ions collisions [258], on the dynamics of electrons in Penning traps, of ultra-intense lasers, and atoms in microwave cavities (see [101] and references therein), or in the Berry phase acquired by the accelerated detector [259].

The standard way to derive the Unruh effect goes via defining creation and annihilation operators with respect to the positive and negative frequency modes associated with the Minkowski and Rindler space, respectively, and relating them through a Bogoliubov transform, see, *e.g.*, [95] for a didactical treatment. Below we will follow, however, the detector approach [260]. Then it is evident that the origin of the thermal spectrum is, as will become clear in the following on the basis propagator properties [261], purely geometrical: it depends only on the presence of an horizon in the Rindler frame. As a geometric effect, the Unruh temperature is insensitive to the specific form of the action under consideration, and thermality of the spectrum is ensured by Lorentz invariance and the generic form of Lorentz transformations [262]. As will be demonstrated within this chapter this stays true for a broad class of quantum gravity corrections, at least the ones considered in the following.<sup>1</sup> The main observation is now the following: while not affecting the thermal nature of the Unruh radiation, quantum gravity induced modifications of two-point functions change the profile functions multiplying the thermal dis-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>For similar studies in the context of anisotropic dispersion relations and a minimal length scale, see [260,263–265].

tribution, sometimes even substantially. And this provides then the example for observability of a dimensional flow.

It will be shown that different types of dimensional flows leave distinct signatures in the detector rates. In particular, in the case of dimensional reduction at high energies, one finds a suppression of the rates, whereas for a dimensional *enhancement* at high energies, as in Kaluza-Klein models, the rate increases. Since the transition probability of the Unruh detector is clearly a signature which is observable at least in principle, it can be used to make phenomenological predictions from quantum gravity, at least in a Gedankenexperiment, allowing a direct comparison between various approaches.

## 6.2 Rates from Correlators

In order to make the connection between dimensional flows and modifications in the Unruh effect as close as possible, the detector approach [260] (see also [94,266,267] will be followed. The main advantage of the detector approach is that it predicts observables, more precisely the emission and absorption rates of an accelerated detector. The central idea is to consider a detector made from a two-level system with an upper, excited state 2 and a lower state 1 being separated by the energy  $\Delta E \equiv E_2 - E_1 > 0$  coupled to a scalar field. The transition probabilities induced by the scalar can be expressed in terms of the positive-frequency Wightman function of the Minkowski vacuum state. The emission rates of the detector can be computed by evaluating a Fourier transform of the two-point function along the worldline of an accelerated observer. For a standard massless scalar field, it is then rather straightforward to show that the Green's function evaluated on the worldline satisfies a Kubo-Martin-Schwinger (KMS) condition where the periodicity in Euclidean time depends on the properties of the worldline only. The resulting Unruh temperature is proportional to the acceleration a. This setup also makes clear that corrections to the two-point functions, e.g., induced by quantum fluctuations at small scales, may leave their fingerprints in the transition rate of the Unruh detector. Both, a dynamical dimensional flow and corrections to the transition rate, can be traced back to the same source: a non-trivial momentum dependence of the two-point function.

#### 6.2.1 Particle Detectors and Two-Point Functions

The simplest model of a particle detector [260, 266, 267] is a quantum mechanical system with two internal energy states  $|E_2\rangle$  and  $|E_1\rangle$ , with energies  $E_2 > E_1$ . The detector moves along a worldline  $x(\tau)$  parameterised by the detector's proper time  $\tau$  and interacts with a scalar field  $\Phi(x)$  by absorbing or emitting its quanta. The coupling of  $\Phi$  to the detector is modelled by a monopole moment operator  $m(\tau)$  acting on the internal detector eigenstates through the Lagrangian

$$L_I = g \ m(\tau) \Phi(x(\tau)) \,. \tag{6.1}$$

It is instructive to compare the two cases: a detector moving inertially in Minkowski space, and one moving along a uniformly accelerated trajectory, which defines the Rindler space (see appendix G). The Minkowski vacuum will be denoted by  $|0_M\rangle$ , the Rindler vacuum by  $|0_R\rangle$ , and the one-particle state of the field  $\Phi$  with spatial momentum  $\vec{k}$  by  $|\vec{k}\rangle$ . There are three possible processes giving a non-zero rate. First, the inertial detector can be in the excited state with energy  $E_2$ . This leads to a spontaneous emission process and corresponds to the transition  $|E_2\rangle|0_M\rangle \rightarrow |E_1\rangle|\vec{k}\rangle$  for an observer comoving with the detector. Second, the accelerating detector can be in the excited state with energy  $E_2$ . This is related to an induced emission process and instead corresponds to the transition  $|E_2\rangle|0_R\rangle \rightarrow |E_1\rangle|\vec{k}\rangle$  for an inertial observer in Minkowski space (or equivalently  $|E_2\rangle|0_M\rangle \rightarrow |E_1\rangle|\vec{k}\rangle$  for an *accelerating* one). Third, an accelerating detector in the ground state  $E = E_1$  leads to absorption, or the transition  $|E_1\rangle|0_M\rangle \rightarrow |E_2\rangle|\vec{k}\rangle$ . Note that the term absorption here is meant purely as an analogy with two state systems, since the one-particle state  $|\vec{k}\rangle$  still appears as a final state.

In first order in time-dependent perturbation theory, the amplitude for the detector-field interaction factorises into a detector matrix element and a term containing the two-point function of the field:

$$\mathcal{A}(\vec{k}) = ig\langle E_f | m(0) | E_i \rangle \int d\tau e^{i(E_f - E_i)\tau} \langle \vec{k} | \Phi(x(\tau)) | 0_M \rangle .$$
(6.2)

The transition probability is the square of the amplitude, integrated over all possible final states

$$P_{i \to f} = \int d^3k |\mathcal{A}(\vec{k})|^2 \,. \tag{6.3}$$

For  $E_f = E_1$  and  $E_i = E_2$  this gives the total, spontaneous plus induced, emission probability.

For the mode expansion of the field  $\Phi$  there are now two practical choices based on either the annihilation (creation) operators in Minkowski space  $a_{\vec{k}} (a_{\vec{k}}^{\dagger})$  such that  $a_{\vec{k}} |0_M\rangle = 0$ , or on those in Rindler space with  $b_{\vec{k}} |0_R\rangle = 0$ :

$$\Phi(x) = \int d^3k \left( u_{\vec{k}} a_{\vec{k}} + u_{\vec{k}}^* a_{\vec{k}}^\dagger \right) = \int d^3k \left( v_{\omega \vec{k}_\perp} b_{\omega \vec{k}_\perp} + v_{\omega \vec{k}_\perp}^* b_{\omega \vec{k}_\perp}^\dagger \right) , \qquad (6.4)$$

where  $\vec{k}_{\perp} = (k_y, k_z)$  are the momenta left untransformed by changing to Rindler coordinates. In Minkowski one has as usual plane waves:

$$u_{\vec{k}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega(2\pi)^3}} e^{-i(wt - \vec{k}\vec{x})}, \qquad (6.5)$$

with  $\omega = \sqrt{\vec{k}^2 + m^2}$ . In the Rindler coordinates  $(\tau, \xi, \vec{x}_{\perp})$  the related solutions of the Klein-Gordon equation are given in terms of a modified Bessel function  $K_{\nu}(x)$  but with analytic continuation of the index to purely imaginary values [101],

$$v_{\omega\vec{k}_{\perp}} = \left(\frac{\sinh(\pi\omega/a)}{4\pi^2 a}\right)^{1/2} K_{i\omega/a} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\vec{k}_{\perp}^2 + m^2}}{a} e^{a\xi}\right) e^{-i(\omega\tau - \vec{k}_{\perp} \cdot \vec{x}_{\perp})} .$$
(6.6)

As explained in [260] one can then straightforwardly calculate transition probabilities

$$P_{i \to f} = g^2 |\langle E_f | m(0) | E_i \rangle|^2 F(E_f - E_i) , \qquad (6.7)$$

where  $F(\Delta E)$  is the response function

$$F(E_f - E_i) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau_2 \, e^{-i(E_f - E_i)\Delta\tau} G_M(\Delta\tau - i\epsilon) \,, \quad \Delta\tau = \tau_1 - \tau_2 \,, \tag{6.8}$$

with the usual identification  $\epsilon \to 0^+$ . Note that the response function can be recast as an integral over the Fourier transform of the Wightman two-point function  $G_M(\Delta \tau - i\epsilon)$  evaluated on the detector's trajectory.

For the purpose of this study it is sufficient to consider only emission. Therefore,  $E_i = E_2$ and  $E_f = E_1$  and  $\Delta E \equiv E_2 - E_1$  is positive. For the accelerating detector the total transition probability (6.7) contains contributions from spontaneous and induced emission. Subtracting the spontaneous emission probability one arrives at the induced emission response function given by

$$F_I(\Delta E) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau_1 d\tau_2 \, e^{i\Delta E\Delta\tau} \left( G_M(\Delta\tau - i\epsilon) - G_R(\Delta\tau - i\epsilon) \right) \,. \tag{6.9}$$

In this formula  $G_M$  is the Wightman two-point function for an observer on the "accelerated" trajectory of the detector in the Minkowski vacuum,

$$G_M(x, x') = \langle 0_M | \Phi(x) \Phi(x') | 0_M \rangle , \qquad (6.10)$$

whereas  $G_R$  is the two-point function of an accelerating observer in the Rindler vacuum,

$$G_R(x, x') = \langle 0_R | \Phi(x) \Phi(x') | 0_R \rangle .$$
(6.11)

It is convenient to change to the induced transition rate per unit time given by

$$\dot{P}_{i\to f} = g^2 |\langle E_f | m(0) | E_i \rangle|^2 \dot{F}_I(\Delta E) ,$$
 (6.12)

where

$$\dot{F}_{I}(\Delta E) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\Delta \tau \, e^{i\Delta E\Delta \tau} \, \left( G_{M}(\Delta \tau - i\epsilon) - G_{R}(\Delta \tau - i\epsilon) \right) \,. \tag{6.13}$$

This expression of the physical rate in terms of two-point functions is the main result of this subsection and the basis for all further calculations.

Furthermore, the Wightman function for a massive scalar field with mass m in Minkowski space is given by (see, e.g., [94])

$$G_M(x,x') = -\frac{im}{4\pi^2} \frac{K_1 \left( im\sqrt{\left(t - t' - i\epsilon\right)^2 - \left(\vec{x} - \vec{x'}\right)^2} \right)}{\sqrt{\left(t - t' - i\epsilon\right)^2 - \left(\vec{x} - \vec{x'}\right)^2}} \,. \tag{6.14}$$

Here  $K_1$  is the modified Bessel function of the second kind. In the massless limit (6.14) reduces to the Wightman function of a massless scalar field in position space

$$G_M(x,x') = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \frac{1}{\left(t - t' - i\epsilon\right)^2 - \left(\vec{x} - \vec{x'}\right)^2}.$$
(6.15)

The Wightman function in Rindler space is just the same evaluated on the worldline of the uniformly accelerated detector

$$t = a^{-1} \sinh(a\tau), \quad x = a^{-1} \cosh(a\tau), \quad y = 0, \quad z = 0.$$
 (6.16)

#### 6.2.2 Emergence of Thermal Spectrum

The use of Rindler coordinates (see appendix G) elucidates the emergence of the Unruh thermal spectrum as a geometrical effect for every Lorentz-invariant QFT. Any generic Poincaré invariant Green's function  $G_M(x, x') = G_M(x - x')$  for an interacting theory in Minkowski space, when evaluated on the worldline (6.16) of a uniformly accelerating observer, must be a function of the Rindler coordinates  $(\vec{x}_{\perp}, \tau)$  and  $(\vec{x}'_{\perp}, \tau')$ . On the other hand,  $G_M$  can only depend on  $(x - x')^2 = (t - t')^2 - (\vec{x} - \vec{x'})^2$ . Both statements together imply that  $G_M$  is a function of

$$a^{-2} \left[ (\sinh a\tau - \sinh a\tau')^2 - (\cosh a\tau - \cosh a\tau')^2 \right] = 2a^{-2} \left( \cosh(a\Delta\tau) - 1 \right) , \quad (6.17)$$

with  $\Delta \tau = \tau - \tau'$ . Consequently, the Rindler Green's function has a  $\tau$  dependence of the form  $G_R(\cosh a\Delta \tau)$ . Focusing for simplicity on  $\tau' = 0$ , a Wick rotation  $t = it_E$  will induce, through  $t = a^{-1} \sinh a\tau$ , a corresponding Wick rotation in Rindler time,  $\tau = i\tau_E$ . But this then means that a general Rindler two-point function will be periodic in Rindler time, since  $G_R(\cosh a\tau) \rightarrow G_R^{(E)}(\cos a\tau_E) = G_R^{(E)}(\cos(a\tau_E + 2\pi))$ . We thus see<sup>2</sup> that the periodicity  $\beta = 2\pi/a$  implies a temperature  $T = a/2\pi$ .

Rotating back provides then the Kubo-Martin-Schwinger (KMS) condition

$$G_R(\tau) = G_R(-\tau - i\beta).$$
(6.18)

Since the detector rate is related to the Fourier transform of the Wightman function this yields [255] under the assumption that  $G_R(\tau)$  is analytic in the strip  $-\beta < \text{Im}\tau < 0$ 

$$\dot{F}(E) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\tau e^{-iE\tau} G_R(\tau - i\epsilon) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\tau e^{-iE(\tau - i\beta + 2i\epsilon)} G_R(\tau - i\beta + i\epsilon)$$
$$= e^{-(\beta - 2\epsilon)E} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\tau e^{iE\tau} G_R(\tau - i\epsilon).$$
(6.19)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> There is a subtlety in the Wick rotation when working with Wightman functions. Due to the different domains of analyticity of  $G_+$  and  $G_-$  in the complex  $\tau$ -plane, one actually identifies  $G_E(\tau_E) = G_+(i\tau_E)$  for  $-2\pi < \tau_E < 0$  and  $G_E(\tau_E) = G_-(i\tau_E)$  for  $0 < \tau_E < 2\pi$ . This is responsible for the change of sign of  $\tau$  in the KMS condition.

Here in the second line the integration variable has been changed to  $-\tau$ . Taking  $\epsilon$  to zero, the KMS condition becomes<sup>3</sup>

$$\dot{F}(E) = e^{-\beta E} \dot{F}(-E)$$
. (6.20)

It is important to note that the Unruh temperature  $T = a/2\pi$  is therefore only determined by the Euclidean periodicity, and it is protected against corrections as long as the Lorentz invariance of  $G_M$  is preserved.

#### 6.2.3 Detector Response for Scalars

In the massless case the rate integral can be computed directly. The integration contour is then closed by a large semicircle in the upper complex- $\tau$  half-plane leading to a Matsubara-type sum over the infinitely many poles of the integrand located along the imaginary axis which then yields the Planckian thermal factor. Alternatively one may employ the KMS condition. With  $\dot{F}_A$  being the absorption rate and  $\dot{F}_E$  the emission rate, the formulas for the detector rates in section 6.2.1 imply  $\dot{F}_A(-E) = \dot{F}_E(E)$ . Note that the emission rate is the sum of spontaneous and induced emission rates,  $\dot{F}_E = \dot{F}_S + \dot{F}_I$ . Using the KMS condition (6.20) one obtains

$$\dot{F}_A(E) = e^{-\beta E} \dot{F}_A(-E) = e^{-\beta E} \dot{F}_E(E) = e^{-\beta E} [\dot{F}_I(E) + \dot{F}_S(E)], \qquad (6.21)$$

and if the induced emission and absorption rates coincide

$$\dot{F}_A(E) = \dot{F}_I(E) \tag{6.22}$$

it follows that

$$\dot{F}_I(E) = \frac{F_S(E)}{e^{\beta E} - 1}.$$
(6.23)

Thus one only needs to compute the spontaneous rate to obtain that for induced emission. In the massless case this is easily computed to give  $\dot{F}_S(E) = E/2\pi$ .

Condition (6.22) unfortunately does not hold for a (free) massive scalar field. An explicit calculation in this case [255] gives for the total rate

$$\dot{F}(E) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\tau e^{-iE\tau} G_R(\tau - i\epsilon) = 2\pi \int d^2k_\perp \left| v_{\omega\vec{k}_\perp} \right|^2 \left( \theta(E) N(\frac{E}{a}) + \theta(-E)(1 + N(\frac{|E|}{a})) \right) ,$$
(6.24)

where N is the Bose distribution

$$N(x) = \frac{1}{e^{2\pi x} - 1}.$$
(6.25)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>A general proof of the KMS condition for an interacting field theory in any dimension was given in [268]. It can also be derived directly in the free massive case from the parity properties of the integrands appearing in the rates [269].

An explicit calculation of the second ("spontaneous") term in (6.24) following [260] (see (3.11) in that reference) yields

$$\dot{F}_{S}(E) = 2\pi \int d^{2}k_{\perp}d\omega \left| K_{i\omega/a} \left( \frac{\sqrt{\vec{k}_{\perp}^{2} + m^{2}}}{a} \right) \right|^{2} \frac{\sinh(\pi\omega/a)}{4\pi^{4}a} \delta(\omega - E) \,. \tag{6.26}$$

Unfortunately, this does not in general coincide with the true spontaneous rate, (defined as the rate of a detector at rest in Minkowski space) due to the absence of a mass gap in Rindler space.

A direct calculation for detector at rest in the Minkowski vacuum, in general dimension d, provides [94]

$$\dot{F}_{S}(E) = \int \frac{d^{d-1}k}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{k^{2}+m^{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\tau e^{-i(\sqrt{k^{2}+m^{2}}-E)\tau}$$

$$= \frac{\pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})(2\pi)^{d-2}} \left(E^{2}-m^{2}\right)^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \theta(E-m).$$
(6.27)

The relations (6.26) and (6.27) coincide in the limit where  $E \gg m$ . A crucial difference between the two results is that (6.27) exhibits a mass gap which is absent in (6.26). The numerical integration of (6.26), displayed in figure 6.1, shows that this expression well approximates (6.27) when E < m, and therefore this one will be used in the following.



Figure 6.1: Numerical integration of (6.26), as a function of the dimensionless ratios E/a, m/a.

Employing (6.23), the induced rate function per unit time of the accelerating detector in d = 4 is determined to be

$$\dot{F} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{E^2 - m^2} \,\theta(E - m) \,\frac{1}{e^{\frac{2\pi E}{a}} - 1} \,. \tag{6.28}$$

This rate function constitutes the main result of this subsection. Taking the limit  $m \rightarrow 0$ , it agrees with the derivation for the massless case given in [94, 260].

The structure of (6.28) then motivates the definition of a profile function  $\mathcal{F}(E)$  via

$$\dot{F} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \mathcal{F}(E) \frac{1}{e^{\frac{2\pi E}{a}} - 1}.$$
 (6.29)

For a massless and massive scalar field obeying the Klein-Gordon equation one then has

$$\mathcal{F}^{\text{massless}}(E) = E , \quad \mathcal{F}^{\text{massive}}(E) = \sqrt{E^2 - m^2} \,\theta(E - m) \,. \tag{6.30}$$

For general dimension the profile function is

$$\mathcal{F}(E) = \frac{\pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})(2\pi)^{d-3}} \left(E^2 - m^2\right)^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \theta(E - m) \,. \tag{6.31}$$

As will be demonstrated below, it is this profile function that actually carries information about quantum gravity corrections to the Unruh rate.<sup>4</sup>

## 6.3 Master Formulas for Modified Detector Rates

In the presence of a dimensional flow,  $\tilde{G}(p^2)$  acquires a non-trivial momentum dependence.<sup>5</sup> It is useful to distinguish the two cases where  $[\tilde{G}(p^2)]^{-1}$  is a polynomial in  $p^2$  or given by a more general function with a finite number (typically one) of zeros in the complex  $p^0$ -plane. These two cases will be discussed in sections 6.3.1 and 6.3.2, respectively.

#### 6.3.1 Detector Rates from the Ostrogradski Decomposition

First, the case in which the inverse propagator  $\tilde{G}^{-1}(p^2) \equiv \mathcal{P}_n(p^2)$  is an inhomogeneous polynomial of order n will be considered. This covers the class of theories with a general quadratic effective Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}\phi \mathcal{P}_n(-\partial^2)\phi$  where  $\mathcal{P}_n$  is a local function of the flat space d'Alembertian operator that admits a Taylor expansion around zero momentum. This comprises all local theories in which higher order corrections come in definite powers of momenta. The limiting case  $n \to \infty$  can also be considered. In this case the profile function  $\mathcal{F}(E)$ , (6.29), can be constructed from the Ostrogradski decomposition for a higher-derivative field theory.

The polynomial  $\mathcal{P}_n(z)$  has *n* roots,  $\mu_i, i = 1, ..., n$  in the complex *z*-plane. It can then be factorised according to

$$\mathcal{P}_n(z) = c \prod_{i=1}^n (z - \mu_i)$$
 (6.32)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>As emphasised previously, the Planckian thermal factor is independent of the details of the field considered. The fact that the mass dependence enters through the prefactor tells us that the signatures of the fields involved will only be present in physical rates, and not in number densities  $\langle n \rangle$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>As noted before, this does not necessarily entail the breaking of Lorentz symmetry since  $\tilde{G}(p^2)$  may still be a Lorentz invariant function depending on the square of the momentum four-vector only.

where c is a normalisation constant. In order to connect to the case of a massive scalar field, the momentum space propagator is decomposed according to

$$\left[\mathcal{P}_{n}(z)\right]^{-1} = \frac{1}{c} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{A_{i}}{(z-\mu_{i})}$$
(6.33)

where the coefficients  $A_i$  are functions of the roots  $\mu_i$ :

$$A_{i} = \left(\prod_{j \neq i} (\mu_{i} - \mu_{j})\right)^{-1}.$$
(6.34)

For future reference, it is convenient to give the coefficients  $A_i$  entering the decomposition (6.33) for the cases n = 2 and n = 3 explicitly. For n = 2,

$$A_1 = \frac{1}{\mu_1 - \mu_2}, \quad A_2 = \frac{1}{\mu_2 - \mu_1}, \tag{6.35}$$

while for n = 3 one has

$$A_1 = \frac{1}{(\mu_1 - \mu_2)(\mu_1 - \mu_3)}, \ A_2 = \frac{1}{(\mu_2 - \mu_1)(\mu_2 - \mu_3)}, \ A_3 = \frac{1}{(\mu_3 - \mu_1)(\mu_3 - \mu_2)}.$$
 (6.36)

At this stage the following remark is in order. On mathematical grounds the decomposition (6.33) works as long as all roots of the polynomial have order one. On physical grounds there are extra conditions on the roots:  $\mu_i = m^2$  should be identified with the square of the particle mass. This implies that roots located at the negative real axis correspond to modes with a negative mass squared. In this case the isolated poles at  $p_0 = \pm \sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + \mu_i}$  are turned into branch cuts and we will not consider this tachyonic case in the following. Moreover, complex roots always come in pairs  $\mu, \bar{\mu}$ . This implies that the positive frequency Wightman function contains unstable modes which grow exponentially in the far past and far future (also see [136] for a detailed discussion of this feature). On this basis, we restrict ourselves to polynomials  $P_n(p^2)$  whose roots are located at the positive real axis.

Since the rate function (6.13) is linear in the Wightman function, it is rather straightforward to obtain the detector response function for the case (6.33). Following the steps of section 6.2.3, we can compute the profile function  $\mathcal{F}(E)$  determining the rate (6.29). Substituting the explicit form of the  $A_i$  from (6.34) the result reads

$$\mathcal{F}(E) = \frac{1}{c} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \prod_{j \neq i} (\mu_i - \mu_j) \right)^{-1} \sqrt{E^2 - \mu_i} \,\theta(E - \sqrt{\mu_i}) \,. \tag{6.37}$$

The rate function is completely determined by the roots of the polynomial  $\mathcal{P}_n(p^2)$ . It receives new contributions once new channels become available, i.e., if the energy gap E crosses a threshold  $\mu_i$  where new degrees of freedom enter. Ordering the roots  $\mu_i$  by their magnitude, i.e.,  $\mu_j > \mu_i$  for j > i, one sees that the sector with  $\mu_j$ , j > i does not affect the "low-energy" part of the rate function with  $E < \mu_i$ : the energy gap E of the detector is not large enough
to absorb a particle of mass  $\sqrt{\mu_j}$ , j > i. This, in particular, implies that if the polynomial (6.32) arises from an effective field theory description of a system, there are no corrections to the massless Unruh effect below the first threshold  $\mu_2 > 0$ , provided that the polynomial  $\mathcal{P}_n$  is properly normalised. The master formula (6.37) then constitutes the main result of this section.

#### 6.3.2 Detector Rates from the Källen-Lehmann Representation

Not all two-point functions proposed in the context of quantum gravity fall in the class where the Ostrogradski-type decomposition is admissible. In these cases it is still possible to obtain an explicit formula for the profile function  $\mathcal{F}(E)$  based on the Källen-Lehmann representation of the two-point function.

The Källen-Lehmann representation of the positive frequency Wightman function in position space is given by

$$G_{+}(t,\vec{x}) = \int_{0}^{\infty} dm^{2} \rho(m^{2}) G_{+}^{(0)}(t,\vec{x};m) .$$
(6.38)

Here  $\rho(m^2)$  denotes a spectral density and  $G^{(0)}_+(t, \vec{x}; m)$  is the positive-frequency Wightman function given in (6.14). Substituting the Källen-Lehmann representation into (6.13) and exchanging the order of integration, the computation of the rate function reduces to the one for the massive scalar field carried out in section 6.2.3. The resulting profile function  $\mathcal{F}(E)$ , (6.29), is given by

$$\mathcal{F}(E) = \int_{0}^{E^2} dm^2 \,\rho(m^2) \,\sqrt{E^2 - m^2} \,. \tag{6.39}$$

Hence the profile function obtained from the Källen-Lehmann representation is given by the superposition of contributions with mass m weighted by the spectral density  $\rho(m^2)$ . Only excitations with mass below the energy gap of the detector contribute to the rate function, which is consistent with the expectation that contributions with  $m^2 > E^2$  will not excite the detector. The result from the Ostrogradski decomposition, (6.37), can then be understood as a special case where  $\rho(m^2)$  is given by a sum of  $\delta$ -distributions located at  $m^2 = \mu_i$ .

At this stage, the following remark is in order. The dimensional reduction discussed in this work is not necessarily in conflict with the unitarity of the underlying model. In this context, it is important to stress that the construction of the spectral dimension relies on effective propagators dressed by quantum corrections and *not* on the two-point functions appearing in the fundamental action. On a manifold with spectral dimension  $d_s$ , the asymptotic form of the *effective* two-point function is

$$G(p^2) \sim (p^2)^{d/d_s}$$
. (6.40)

Expressing a general two-point function through the Källen-Lehmann representation as in the previous section, we see that, as soon as  $d_s < d$ , its fall-off properties can only be consistent

with the  $p^{-2}$  behaviour of the spectral representation if we relax the positivity properties of the spectral function  $\rho(m^2)$ . At the level of the fundamental action, the non-positivity of  $\rho(m^2)$  would signal the presence of negative-normed states and thus a departure from unitarity, *cf.* the discussion in [270]. At the level of the effective propagator this feature is acceptable though and does not signal an intrinsic sickness of the theory. A prototypical example for this behaviour is given by Yang-Mills theory with gauge group SU(N): in this case the propagator appearing in the fundamental action falls off as  $p^{-2}$  compatible with unitarity while the Källen-Lehmann representation of the fully dressed gluon propagator in Landau gauge gives rise to a spectral density  $\rho(m^2)$  which is not positive definite [271–274].

### 6.4 Scaling Dimensions

As discussed in detail in the last chapter the two-point function  $\tilde{G}(p^2)$  provides the essential input for computing the spectral dimension  $D_s$  seen by a scalar field propagating on the spacetime. In addition, it is also determining the rate function of the Unruh detector. This indicates that there is a relation between the rate function of the Unruh detector and the spectral dimension. In this section the definitions needed to make this relation precise will be introduced.

Analysing the scaling behaviour in (5.31) one finds that for the case where  $F(p^2) \propto p^{2+\eta}$ the spectral dimension is given by [54]

$$D_s = \frac{2d}{2+\eta} \,. \tag{6.41}$$

The case of a massless scalar field with  $\tilde{G}(p^2) = p^{-2}$  corresponds to  $\eta = 0$  and the spectral dimension agrees with the topological dimension d of the spacetime. In case of a multiscale geometry the scaling law  $F(p^2) \propto p^{2+\eta}$  is obeyed for a certain interval of momenta only. In this case the spectral dimension will depend on the diffusion time T. If the scaling regime extends over a sufficiently large order of magnitudes,  $D_s(T)$  will be approximately constant in this regime, realising a plateau structure. Typically, such plateaus where  $D_s(T)$  is approximately constant are connected by short transition regions where  $D_s$  changes rather rapidly, see figure 6.2 for an explicit example illustrating this type of crossover.

In a similar spirit, one can define the effective dimension of spacetime seen by the Unruh detector. (6.31) indicates that the profile function for a massless scalar field obeying the Klein-Gordon equation in a *d*-dimensional spacetime scales as

$$\mathcal{F}(E) \propto E^{d-3}. \tag{6.42}$$

This motivates defining the effective dimension seen by the Unruh rate, the Unruh dimension  $D_U$ , according to

$$D_U(E) \equiv \frac{d\ln \mathcal{F}(E)}{d\ln E} + 3.$$
(6.43)

For a massless scalar field with  $\tilde{G}(p^2) = p^{-2}$  or a massive scalar field with energy  $E^2 \gg m^2$ ,  $D_U$  is independent of E and coincides with the classical dimension d of the underlying spacetime. Paralleling the discussion of the spectral dimension, this feature changes, however, if  $\tilde{G}(p^2)$  has a non-trivial momentum profile. The examples presented in section 6.5 indicate that  $D_U$  may agree with the spectral dimension in certain cases, but in general the two are different quantities. The Unruh dimension may yield a characterisation of quantum spacetimes which is accessible by experiment, at least in principle. Note that the dimensions are only well-defined in plateau regions of sufficient extent and have to be taken with caution during crossovers [54].

A direct comparison between  $D_U$  and  $D_s$  requires an identification of E and the diffusion time T. The matching of dimensions in the classical case suggests using

$$T = E^{-2n} \,, \tag{6.44}$$

where 2n is the mass-dimension of  $\widetilde{G}(p^2)$ .

The emission/absorption rates can be related to the density of states of the system interacting with the detector. The density of states as a function of momentum can be defined as  $\rho(k) = d\Omega(k)/dk$ , where  $\Omega(k)$  is the volume of momentum space. Since the spectral dimension  $D_s$  is the Hausdorff dimension of momentum space, we can assume that  $\Omega$  will scale as  $\Omega(k) \sim ck^{D_s}$ . Then we see that  $\rho(k) \propto k^{D_s-1}$ , and a smaller value of  $D_s$  entails a suppression of the density of states. This in turn will imply a suppression of the various transition rates. Due to the relation between this density of states and the transition rates, we expect a relation between the spectral and Unruh dimensions,  $D_s$  and  $D_U$ . This relation will indeed be made more precise in the next sections.

### 6.5 Unruh Rates and Dimensional Flows

#### 6.5.1 Dynamical Dimensional Reduction

In this subsection modifications of the Unruh rate arising from a particular class of quantumgravity inspired two-point functions  $\tilde{G}(p^2)$  typically encountered when discussing the flows of the spectral dimension will be investigated.

#### **Two-Scale Models**

The simplest way to obtain a system exhibiting dynamical dimensional reduction is based on a polynomial, (6.32) with n = 2, containing a single mass scale m:

$$\mathcal{P}_2(p^2) = -\frac{1}{m^2} p^2 \left( p^2 - m^2 \right) \,. \tag{6.45}$$

Here the normalisation c has been chosen such that the model gives rise to a canonically normalised two-point function at low energy. The scaling of this ansatz is given by

$$\mathcal{P}_{2}(p^{2}) \propto \begin{cases} p^{2}, & p^{2} \ll m^{2} \\ p^{4}, & p^{2} \gg m^{2}, \end{cases}$$
(6.46)

with the crossover occurring at  $m^2$ . Evaluating (6.41), the spectral dimension based on this model interpolates between a classical regime with  $D_s = 4$  for long diffusion times and  $D_s = 2$  for short diffusion times.

The Ostrogradski decomposition (6.33) of (6.45) yields

$$\widetilde{G}(p^2) = \frac{1}{p^2} - \frac{1}{p^2 - m^2}.$$
(6.47)

The master formula (6.37) gives the following expression for the profile function

$$\mathcal{F}(E) = E - \sqrt{E^2 - m^2} \,\theta(E - m) \,. \tag{6.48}$$

Expanding  $\mathcal{F}$  for small and large E leads to the scaling behaviour

$$E < m: \quad \mathcal{F}(E) = E \qquad \iff D_U = 4,$$
  

$$E \gg m: \quad \mathcal{F}(E) = \frac{1}{2E} + \mathcal{O}(E^{-2}) \qquad \iff D_U = 2.$$
(6.49)

This expansion implies that a kinetic term including higher-derivative contributions leads to detector rates which are suppressed at high energies. In particular, whereas for a massless (free or interacting) scalar field with a standard kinetic term the prefactor of the rate grows linearly with energy, the profile function vanishes proportional to  $E^{-1}$  at high energies. This also entails that the Unruh dimension  $D_U$  interpolates between the classical dimension  $D_U = 4$  for small energy and  $D_U = 2$  for  $E \gg m$ .

For m = 1 this profile function is shown in the left panel of figure 6.2. Despite the inclusion of modes with a wrong sign kinetic term ("ghosts") in (6.47) the Unruh rate is positive definite, indicating that the model is stable in this respect. The right panel of figure 6.2 shows the spectral dimension (dashed line) and effective dimension seen by the Unruh effect (solid line) where the construction of the spectral dimension is based on the identification (6.44). Both dimensions interpolate between D = 4 for E < m and D = 2 for  $E \gg m$ .  $D_U$  displays a discontinuity at  $E^2 = m^2$  which can be tracked back to the derivative of the square-root becoming singular at this point.

#### **Multi-Scale Models**

Before one comes to conclusions based upon the two-scale model it is instructive to consider a multiscale model which may exhibit more than two scaling regions. The simplest model of this form is build from a third order polynomial  $\mathcal{P}_3(p^2)$  with vanishing mass  $m_1 = 0$ 

$$\mathcal{P}_3(p^2) = \frac{1}{m_2^2 m_3^2} p^2 \left(p^2 - m_2^2\right) \left(p^2 - m_3^2\right), \qquad m_3 > m_2.$$
(6.50)



Figure 6.2: Profile function  $\mathcal{F}(E)$ , (6.48), for m = 1 (left panel). The asymptotics given in (6.49) are illustrated by the dashed lines. The right panel shows the dimensions  $D_S$  (dashed line) and  $D_U$  (solid line) resulting from the two-point function (6.47).

Provided that  $m_3 \gg m_2$  this ansatz exhibits three scaling regimes

$$\mathcal{P}_{3}(p^{2}) \propto \begin{cases} p^{2}, \quad p^{2} \ll m_{2}^{2}, \qquad D_{s} = 4 \\ p^{4}, \quad m_{2}^{2} \ll p^{2} \ll m_{3}^{2}, \quad D_{s} = 2 \\ p^{6}, \quad m_{2}^{3} \gg p^{2}, \qquad D_{s} = \frac{4}{3}, \end{cases}$$
(6.51)

where the spectral dimension has been determined by evaluating (6.41).

Performing the Ostrogradski decomposition for  $\mathcal{P}_3(p^2)$  gives

$$\widetilde{G}(p^2) = \frac{1}{p^2} - \frac{m_3^2}{m_3^2 - m_2^2} \frac{1}{p^2 - m_2^2} + \frac{m_2^2}{m_3^2 - m_2^2} \frac{1}{p^2 - m_3^2}.$$
(6.52)

The resulting profile function then reads

$$\mathcal{F}(E) = E - \frac{m_3^2}{m_3^2 - m_2^2} \sqrt{E^2 - m_2^2} \,\theta(E - m_2) + \frac{m_2^2}{m_3^2 - m_2^2} \,\sqrt{E^2 - m_3^2} \,\theta(E - m_3) \,. \tag{6.53}$$

Expanding  $\mathcal{F}$  for small and large E leads to the scaling behaviour

$$E < m_2: \quad \mathcal{F}(E) = E \qquad \iff D_U = 4,$$
  

$$E \gg m_3: \quad \mathcal{F}(E) = -\frac{m_2^2 m_3^2}{8E^3} + \mathcal{O}(E^{-4}) \qquad \iff D_U = 0.$$
(6.54)

Two remarks are in order. In contrast to the two-scale model, the n = 3 case exhibits regions where the profile function  $\mathcal{F}(E)$  actually becomes negative. This is illustrated in the example shown in figure 6.3. The form where  $\lim_{E\to\infty} F(E) \to 0$  from below then indicates that this feature holds for all values  $m_2$  and  $m_3$ . Thus the Unruh rate exhibits an instability for a generic n = 3 model.

Furthermore, the spectral and Unruh dimensions shown in the right panel of figure 6.3 show that, contrary to the two-scale model, the asymptotics for  $D_U$  and  $D_s$  do not agree for  $E \gg m_3^2$ .



Figure 6.3: Illustration of the Unruh effect in a n = 3 multiscale model with  $m_1 = 0$ ,  $m_2 = 0.1$ and  $m_3 = 10$ . The resulting profile function  $\mathcal{F}(E)$  is shown in the left panel while  $D_U$  and  $D_s$ are displayed in the right panel. The horizontal grey lines indicate the plateau values of the dimensions at 4, 2, 4/3 and 0. Notably,  $D_U$  and  $D_s$  exhibit different asymptotics for  $E \gg m_3$ .

In the general case, this may be understood as follows. Considering the general expression (6.37) for  $m_1 = 0$ ,  $D_U$  is given by the classical dimension as long as  $E < m_2$ . Each additional term in the sum creates a new scaling region where  $D_U$  decreases by two compared to its previous value. In contrast the pattern for the spectral dimension follows from (6.41). Combining these relations allows to express the effective dimension seen by the Unruh effect in terms of the spectral dimension

$$D_U = 6 - \frac{8}{D_s}.$$
 (6.55)

Thus, while there is a clear relation between  $D_U$  and  $D_s$ , the effective dimensions seen by a random walk and the Unruh effect generically do not coincide within the class of multiscale models studied here.

#### **Logarithmic Correlation Functions**

An interesting model which does not fall into the class of multiscale models where the Ostrogradski decomposition can be applied arises from

$$\widetilde{G}(p^2) = p^{-4}$$
. (6.56)

This is the typical fall-off behaviour of correlation functions in quantum gravity models which lead to  $D_s = 2$  in the UV. In this case a short calculation shows that the positive-frequency Wightman function takes the form

$$G_{+}(\vec{x},t) = \frac{1}{8\pi^{2}} \left( \log \left( \sqrt{(t-t'-i\epsilon)^{2} - (\vec{x}-\vec{x}')^{2}} \right) + \text{const} \right) .$$
(6.57)

Substituting the Wightman function into the formula for the Unruh rate, (6.13), yields

$$\dot{F}(E) = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau e^{iE\tau} \left[ \log\left(\frac{2\sinh(\frac{a\tau}{2})}{a\tau}\right) + \text{const} \right].$$
(6.58)

The constant terms give rise to terms proportional to  $\delta(E)$ , indicating an IR instability of the setup. Since the propagator (6.56) is thought of describing the asymptotic behaviour of the system at high energies these terms will be ignored. Since the argument of the logarithm is an even function in  $\tau$  the integral can be expressed as a (regularised) Fourier cosine transform

$$\dot{F}(E) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \frac{1}{2a\pi^2} \int_0^\infty dx e^{-\epsilon x} \log\left(\frac{\sinh(x)}{x}\right) \cos(\omega x) \tag{6.59}$$

written in terms of the new variables  $x = a\tau/2$  and  $\omega = 2E/a$ . This integral can be performed, the resulting detector rate is given by

$$\dot{F}(E) = \frac{1}{4\pi E} \frac{1}{1 - e^{\frac{2\pi E}{a}}},$$
(6.60)

implying that the profile function resulting from a  $p^{-4}$  propagator is given by

$$\mathcal{F}(E) = \frac{1}{2E} \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad D_U = 2.$$
 (6.61)

This is precisely the asymptotic behaviour (6.49) found in the two-scale model in the limit  $E \gg m$ . Thus the direct computation of the detector rate in the  $p^4$ -case confirms the drop of the Unruh rate at high energies and constitutes an independent verification of the rate function found in the two-scale case.

#### 6.5.2 Kaluza-Klein Theories

A scenario where the number of dimensions increases towards the UV is provided by Kaluza-Klein theories.<sup>6</sup> In this case the (classical) spacetime is assumed to possess four non-compact and a number of compact spatial dimensions whose typical extension is given by the compac-tification scale R. At length scales  $l \gg R$  the effect of the extra-dimensions is invisible and physics is effectively four-dimensional. Now, as the number of effective dimensions increases when going to high energies the detector rates for energies above the inverse compactification scale are actually *enhanced* as compared to the four-dimensional rate as will be seen shortly.

For concreteness the focus will be on the case of a five-dimensional spacetime  $\mathbb{R}^4 \times S_R^1$ where the extra dimension is given by a compact circle of radius R. A scalar field  $\phi$  living on this spacetime has a Fourier-expansion in the circle coordinate  $x_5$ 

$$\phi(x, x_5) = \sum_{n = -\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_n(x) e^{i\frac{n}{R}x_5}, \qquad x_5 \in [0, 2\pi R[.$$
(6.62)

The Fourier coefficients  $\phi_n(x)$  depend on the coordinates on  $\mathbb{R}^4$  and are called Kaluza-Klein modes. For a real scalar field  $\phi$  they obey the reality condition  $\phi_{-n} = \phi_n^*$ . Substituting this mode expansion into the action of a free scalar field in five dimensions yields

$$\int d^5 x \, \frac{1}{2} \left[ (\partial_\mu \phi)^2 - (\partial_5 \phi)^2 \right] = 2\pi R \int d^4 x \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{2} \left[ |\partial_\mu \phi_n|^2 - \frac{n^2}{R^2} |\phi_n|^2 \right] \,. \tag{6.63}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>A related discussion of the Unruh detector in Kaluza-Klein theories can be found in [275].

Each Kaluza-Klein mode  $\phi_n$  has a two-point function of a scalar field with mass  $m_n = n/R$ . Taking into account the entire tower of modes, the resulting function  $\tilde{G}(p^2)$  is given by

$$\widetilde{G}(p^2) = \frac{1}{2\pi R} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left( p^2 - \frac{n^2}{R^2} \right)^{-1} .$$
(6.64)

Applying the master formula (6.37) to this case then yields the profile function

$$\mathcal{F}(E) = \frac{1}{2\pi R} \left( E + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{E^2 - (n/R)^2} \,\theta(E - n/R) \right) \,. \tag{6.65}$$

The shape of this profile function is illustrated in figure 6.4.



Figure 6.4: Profile function  $\mathcal{F}(E)$  for a 5-dimensional Kaluza-Klein theory (6.65) with  $R = 1/(2\pi)$  (blue, solid line). For guidance the lines  $\mathcal{F}(E) = E$  (black, dashed line) and  $\mathcal{F}(E) = E^2/4$  (red line, right diagram) have been included. For  $E < R^{-1}$  the profile function is linear in E, while for  $E \gg R^{-1}$  it increases proportional to  $E^2$ .

In contrast to the case of a dynamical dimensional reduction at high energies, all Kaluza-Klein modes contribute to the profile function with the same sign. This leads to an effective enhancement of the profile function for  $E > R^{-1}$ . Explicitly,

$$E < 1/R: \quad \mathcal{F}(E) \propto E \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \quad D_U = 4,$$
  

$$E \gg 1/R: \quad \mathcal{F}(E) \propto E^2 \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \quad D_U = 5.$$
(6.66)

The profile function (6.65) interpolates between these two behaviours. Thus also the presence of extra dimensions leaves its imprint on the Unruh rate, adapting the scaling law of the profile function once the energy E exceeds the inverse compactification scale.

#### 6.5.3 Spectral Actions

As discussed in the last chapter, a framework which naturally gives rise to two-point functions  $\tilde{G}(p^2)$  with the properties discussed above are spectral actions (2.46). For the purpose of this

subsection it is sufficient to restrict to the case where  $D^2$  is given in terms of the Laplace operator on flat Euclidean space supplemented by an endomorphism including a real scalar field  $\phi$ :

$$\mathcal{D}^2 = -\left(\nabla^2 + E\right), \quad E = -i\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5\partial_{\mu}\phi - \phi^2.$$
(6.67)

#### **Non-local Analytic Models**

First, as in the last chapter,  $\chi(z) = e^{-z}$  is chosen, and the fact that then the spectral action (2.46) coincides with the heat-trace of the Laplace-type operator (6.67) is again exploited. In particular the two-point function of the model is

$$S_{\chi,\Lambda}^{(2,\phi)} = \frac{\Lambda^2}{(4\pi)^2} \int d^4x \left[ \phi F_0(-\partial_E^2/\Lambda^2) \phi \right] , \qquad (6.68)$$

where the structure function  $F_0$  is then given by (5.9):

$$F_0(z) = 2 z h(z) - 4$$
, with  $h(z) = \int_0^1 d\alpha \, e^{-\alpha(1-\alpha)z}$ . (6.69)

The function h(z) is an entire analytic function which is nowhere vanishing in the complex plane. The momentum-dependent two-point function for this model is then obtained by analytically continuing (6.68) to Lorentzian signature

$$\widetilde{G}(p^2) = -\frac{8\pi^2}{\Lambda^2} \frac{1}{F_0(-p^2/\Lambda^2)},$$
(6.70)

where  $p^2$  is the Lorentzian momentum four-vector.

A careful study of the two-point function (6.70) reveals several remarkable features. First, the model naturally gives rise to a Higgs mechanism for  $\phi$ . The propagator exhibits a pole at  $p^2 \simeq -3.41\Lambda^2$  indicating that the expansion of  $\phi$  around vanishing field value corresponds to expanding at an unstable point in the potential. Restoring the  $\phi^4$  term<sup>7</sup> leads to a scalar potential

$$V(\phi) = -\mu_H^2 \phi^2 + \lambda \phi^4 + \dots,$$
 (6.71)

with  $\mu_H^2 = 2\Lambda^2$ . Neglecting the higher-order terms, the potential gives a non-vanishing vacuum expectation value  $\langle \phi \rangle = \pm \frac{\mu_H}{\sqrt{2\lambda}}$ . Expanding the field around this minimum leads to a potential for the fluctuation field  $\tilde{\phi}$ 

$$V(\tilde{\phi}) = 2\,\mu_H^2\,\tilde{\phi}^2 + \dots \,. \tag{6.72}$$

Thus, when expanded around the minimum of the scalar potential, the structure function entering into (6.70) should be given by

$$F_H(z) = 2 z h(z) + 8.$$
(6.73)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>For a discussion of the Higgs mechanism in almost-commutative geometry see section 11.3.2 of [104].

 $F_H(z)$  has a single real root located at  $p^2 \simeq 2.56\Lambda^2$ . This root corresponds to a positive mass pole in (6.70). In addition there are complex roots located, e.g., at

$$p^2 = -(1.32 \pm 21.98i) \Lambda^2.$$
(6.74)

These roots can be traced back to the mass-term contribution in  $F_0$  or  $F_H$  and are absent if one considers the zh(z) part only. The presence of complex roots signals that the Wightman function contains modes which increase exponentially for large times. These modes introduce an instability in the Unruh effect, which we will not investigate further. It would be very interesting to see if there are functions  $\chi$  which give rise to a non-local theory avoiding this instability.

#### **Ostrogradski-Type Models**

By making a suitable choice for the function  $\chi$  one can also generate spectral actions which are local in the sense that the (inverse) two-point function is given by a finite polynomial in  $p^{2.8}$ . The simplest choice, leading to a two-scale model, uses

$$\chi(z) = (a+z)\,\theta(1-z) \,, \quad a > 0 \,. \tag{6.75}$$

Replacing the polynomial multiplying the step function by a polynomial of order n leads to a multiscale model whose inverse propagator is given by a polynomial of order n in  $p^2$ .

The spectral action for these cases can be found explicitly by combining the early-time expansion of the heat-kernel in  $s \equiv \Lambda^{-2}$ 

$$F_H = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{1}{s} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m (p_E^2 s)^m = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{1}{s} \left( 8 + 2s \, p_E^2 - \frac{1}{3} \left( s \, p_E^2 \right)^2 + \dots \right)$$
(6.76)

with standard Mellin transform techniques [166]

$$S_{\chi,\Lambda}^{(2,\phi)} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \phi \left[ \sum_{m=0} Q_{m+1}[\chi] a_m (p_E^2)^m \right] \phi.$$
(6.77)

The moments  $Q_n$  depend on the function  $\chi$  and, for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  are given by

$$Q_n[\chi] = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_0^\infty dz \, z^{n-1} \, \chi(z) \,, \quad n > 0 \,,$$
  

$$Q_{-n}[\chi] = (-1)^n \, \chi^{(n)}(0) \,, \qquad n \ge 0 \,.$$
(6.78)

For the ansatz (6.75) the moments are

$$Q_1[\chi] = a + \frac{1}{2}, \quad Q_0[\chi] = a, \quad Q_{-1}[\chi] = -1, \quad Q_{-2} = Q_{-3} = \dots = 0.$$
 (6.79)

Converting to Lorentzian signature, the inverse two-point function based on the expansion of  $F_H$ , (6.73) is

$$\mathcal{P}_2(p^2) = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \left( 8a + 4 - 2ap^2 + \frac{1}{3}p^4 \right) \,. \tag{6.80}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>This is closely related to the zeta-function spectral action proposed in [276].

The two roots of the system are located at

$$\mu_{1,2} = 3a \mp \sqrt{9a^2 - 24a - 12} \,. \tag{6.81}$$

Provided that  $2(2 + \sqrt{7})/3 < a < (3 + \sqrt{15})/2$ , both roots are on the positive real axis. Thus the model falls into the class discussed in section 6.5.1. The profile function is readily obtained by applying the Ostrogradski decomposition to (6.80)

$$\mathcal{F}(E) = \frac{24\pi^2}{\mu_2 - \mu_1} \left( \sqrt{E^2 - \mu_1} \,\,\theta(E - \sqrt{\mu_1}) - \sqrt{E^2 - \mu_2} \,\,\theta(E - \sqrt{\mu_2}) \right) \,. \tag{6.82}$$

The behaviour of this profile function is illustrated in figure 6.5.



Figure 6.5: Profile function (6.82) for a = 3.2.

For  $E^2 < \mu_1$  the profile function vanishes, indicating that the energy gap is too small for the detector to interact with the two massive fields. For  $7.77 < E^2 < 12.77$  the profile corresponds to the standard Unruh rate for a field with mass  $m^2 = 7.77$ . Once  $E^2$  crosses the threshold at 12.77 the profile function decreases and falls off asymptotically as  $E^{-1}$  for high energies. Thus spectral actions may give rise to similar profile functions as the multiscale models discussed at the beginning of this section.

#### 6.5.4 Causal Set Inspired Theories

A second framework which naturally gives rise to corrections to the Unruh effect are the nonlocal two-point functions emerging in the context of causal set theory. In this case the two-point functions extrapolate between a classical massless or massive propagator at energy scales well below the discretisation scale and a discrete d'Alembertian naturally associated with the causal set at high energies [136, 277].

The resulting Unruh signature arising from this setting as well as from causal set inspired toy models can be found in the master thesis [278]. For completeness of the presentation here, the main result of a model, constructed such that its Unruh rate is expected to match the one of causal set theory, is shown in figure 6.6. Both the profile function and the Unruh dimension undergo a transition when the energy scale meets the discretisation scale.



Figure 6.6: Profile function  $\mathcal{F}(E)$  and Unruh dimension  $D_U$  arising from a model to causal set theory, for details see [278].

### 6.6 Summary

In this chapter I reported on an investigation of the Unruh effect in quantum gravity inspired models exhibiting dynamical dimensional flows. Both the detector approach to the Unruh effect and dimensional flows originate from a non-trivial momentum dependence of the two-point correlation functions which leads to the anticipation of a natural connection between the two. This was not only verified but also quantified. Explicitly, results on two-point functions arising within the context of phenomenologically motivated models for dynamical dimensional reduction, multiscale models, Kaluza-Klein theories, spectral actions, and causal set theory were obtained and used for the investigation of the question whether the related dimensional flows are observable via the Unruh effect. From the viewpoint of two-point functions, these models come in two distinguished classes. In the first case the inverse two-point function has a polynomial expansion in momentum space. This case is realised within dynamical dimensional reduction, multiscale models, Kaluza-Klein theories, and certain classes of spectral actions. The models forming the second class possess two-point functions which are quasi-local in the sense that their inverse consists of a first order polynomial multiplying a function which is analytic in the complex plane. This setup is realised by causal set theory. The here presented study of these models exhibits two universal features. First, despite incorporating quantum (gravity) corrections in the two-point function, the Unruh radiation remains thermal in all cases. Moreover, the low-energy spectrum is robust with respect to corrections of the two-point functions at high energies, *i.e.*, the response of an Unruh detector is not modified below the characteristic scale where the dimensional flow sets in.

The two-point functions occurring in the first class of models can be reduced to a sum of (massive) second order propagators through an Ostrogradski-type decomposition. In this case we derive a master formula which expresses the response function of the Unruh detector as a function of the mass poles. As a generic feature, one finds that dynamical dimensional reduc-

tion leads to a suppression of the Unruh effect at high energies while the opening up of extra dimensions leads to an enhancement above the compactification scale. In particular, models where the spectral dimension asymptotes to  $D_s \rightarrow 2$  at high energies also exhibit a universal falloff in the rate function (6.29) of the Unruh effect  $\mathcal{F}(E) \propto 1/E$ . We proposed here to quantify this non-trivial asymptotic behaviour of the profile function through a new parameter, which we called the *Unruh dimension* of the system. This is defined through the scaling of the profile function, as in (6.43). Differently from other proposed parameters characterising the high energy behaviour induced by quantum gravity effects, this one is directly related to a physical quantity that is accessible experimentally, at least in principle. Moreover, it is directly related to the spectral dimension via the relation (6.55). These specific examples already indicate that different quantum gravity models come with a very distinguished signature in terms of their Unruh detector response function. This may serve as an interesting starting point towards identifying universal features among different approaches to quantum gravity. This requires the computation of positive-frequency Wightman functions within different quantum gravity programs. This and related issues will be discussed on the concluding chapter 8.

# **Chapter 7**

### **Black holes in Asymptotically Safe Gravity**

This chapter is based on the following publication [51]:

F. Saueressig, N. Alkofer, G. D'Odorico and F. Vidotto.
Black holes in Asymptotically Safe Gravity.
PoS FFP 14 (2016) 174, 104055, arXiv:1503.06472 [hep-th].

Black holes have now become objects routinely observed in astrophysics [279], and in addition, their existence has been impressively verified by the detection of gravitational waves originating from black hole mergers, see [3]. GR describes very well their exterior, as well as their horizon. The theory is expected to fail close to and at the central singularity. On physical grounds, we expect the physics of the deep central region to be strongly affected by quantum effects, therefore using general relativity all the way up to the singularity is pushing the theory outside its domain of validity. A theory reconciling GR with quantum mechanics is needed to describe this central region. In this section we analyse the consequences of a NGFP as, *e.g.*, studied in chapter 4.

For simplicity the following arguments will be based on the RG flow obtained by approximating  $\Gamma_k$  by the EH action

$$\Gamma_k^{\text{grav}} = \frac{1}{16\pi G_k} \int d^4x \sqrt{|g|} \left(2\Lambda_k - R\right)$$
(7.1)

with scale-dependent (dimensionless) Newton's constant  $g_k \equiv k^2 G_k$  and cosmological constant  $\lambda_k \equiv \Lambda_k/k^2$ , cf. the discussion on the properties of the NGFP in section 3.2. The flow diagram underlying the results presented in this chapter is shown in figure 7.1. Depending on whether the RG trajectory ends at the GFP or flows to its left (right) a zero or negative (positive) IR value of the cosmological constant is recovered. The scaling of the coupling constants at the NGFP is easily deduced from the dimensionless couplings becoming constant,

NGFP: 
$$G_k = g^* k^{-2}, \quad \Lambda_k = \lambda^* k^2,$$
 (7.2)

while in the IR close to the GFP [35]

$$G_k = G_0 \left( 1 - \omega G_0 k^2 + \mathcal{O}(G_0^2 k^4) \right) , \qquad (7.3)$$



Figure 7.1: Phase diagram showing the gravitational RG flow of the EH truncation in terms of the dimensionless coupling constants  $g_k \equiv G_k k^2$  and  $\lambda_k \equiv \Lambda_k k^{-2}$ . The flow is governed by the interplay of the NGFP located at  $g^* > 0$ ,  $\lambda^* > 0$  and the GFP at the origin. The arrows point towards the IR, i.e., in the direction of lower k-values. Adapted from [139].

with  $\omega > 0$  a fixed number dependent on the particular choice of regularisation scheme. For the regulator used in figure 7.1,  $\omega = 11/6\pi$ .

Classical Schwarzschild black holes are exact vacuum solutions of Einstein's field equations. The geometry is characterised by the line element

$$ds^{2} = f(r) dt^{2} - f(r)^{-1} dr^{2} - r^{2} d\Omega_{2}^{2}$$
(7.4)

with  $d\Omega_2^2$  denoting the line-element of the two-sphere and the radial function

$$f(r) = 1 - \frac{2\,G\,m}{r}\,. \tag{7.5}$$

Following [280, 281] quantum gravity corrections to the classical black hole geometry may be incorporated by RG improving the classical solution.<sup>1</sup> The basic idea underlying the RG improvement is to promote the constant G to depend on the RG scale k, replacing  $G \mapsto G_k$ . Such a procedure is common in many areas of physics. Two prominent examples are the RG based derivation of the Uehling correction to the Coulomb potential in massless QED [283] or the calculation of the factor provided by the instanton's scaling zero mode by evaluating the running strong coupling at the inverse of the instanton radius [8]. Subsequently, the RG scale is identified with a physical scale of the (classical) geometry. For the spherically symmetric Schwarzschild solution, it is natural to relate k to the absolute value of the radial proper distance  $d_r(r)$  between a point P(r) in the spacetime and the centre of the black hole

$$d_r(r) = \int \sqrt{|ds^2|} \,. \tag{7.6}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>For an investigation of the RG improved black holes from the perspective of black hole thermodynamics see [282]. A recent review with further references can be found in [49].



Figure 7.2: The left diagram illustrates the horizon structure for the RG improved Schwarzschild black holes with m = 1.5 (top curve),  $m = m_{\rm crit} \approx 2.25$  (middle curve) and m = 4(bottom curve), while the Kretschmann scalar curvature  $K^2 = R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$  for the case  $m = 4 > m_{\rm crit}$  is shown in the right diagram. All quantities are measured in Planck units. The classical result is visualised by the dashed curves for comparison.

Close to the origin and at asymptotic infinity,  $d_r(r)$  has the expansions

$$d_r(r)|_{r \ll 2G_0 m} \simeq \frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2G_0 m}} r^{3/2} + \mathcal{O}(r^{5/2}), \qquad d_r(r)|_{r \gg 2G_0 m} \simeq r + \mathcal{O}(r^0).$$
(7.7)

The cutoff identification then relates the momentum scale k to this distance according to

$$k(r) = \frac{\xi}{d_r(r)},\tag{7.8}$$

with  $\xi$  being a free parameter. There is no predetermined recipe for determining the identification of k with a physical scale of the system. An equally valid choice relates k to the proper time measured by a freely falling observer starting at P(r) to reach the black hole singularity. Notably, these alternative choices lead to similar results as the ones reported below. Applying this RG improvement procedure to the classical radial function (7.5) yields the RG improved geometry where f(r) is given by

$$f(r) = 1 - \frac{2G(k(r))m}{r}.$$
(7.9)

The RG improvement changes the classical Schwarzschild metric to a Hayward-type effective geometry [284] with f(r) = 1 - 2M(r)/r. Hereby, the function M(r) is determined from an RG trajectory constructed within the fundamental theory and the RG improvement (7.8).

In the asymptotic regimes of the black hole spacetime, the effect of the RG improvement can be traced analytically. Substituting the low energy expansion (7.3) into (7.9) and evaluating the cutoff identification (7.8) for small k, yielding  $k^2 = \xi^2/r^2 + \mathcal{O}(r^{-3})$ , results in

$$f(r)|_{r\gg 2\,G_0\,m} \simeq 1 - \frac{2G_0m}{r} \left(1 - \frac{\tilde{\omega}\,G_0}{r^2}\right),$$
(7.10)



Figure 7.3: Effective energy density and pressure profiles for the RG improved Schwarzschild black hole with  $m = 4, G_0 = 1$ . The radial component of the weak energy condition is zero everywhere, while the transversal contribution, shown in the right diagram, violates the condition on scales below the inner horizon.

with  $\tilde{\omega} = \omega \xi^2$ . The improved line-element naturally incorporates the 1-loop corrections found in effective field theory [285] and can be matched by adjusting the free parameter in the cutoff identification to be  $\xi^2 = \tilde{\omega}/\omega$ . Using  $\omega = 11/6\pi$  and  $\tilde{\omega} = 118/15\pi$  we obtain  $\xi^{1-\text{loop}} \approx 2.07$ , which we will use in numeric evaluations below. Close to the black hole singularity, the RG improvement is based on the FP scaling (7.2). Substituting the asymptotic cutoff identification based on (7.7) then yields

$$f(r)|_{r\ll 2G_0\,m} \simeq 1 - \frac{1}{3}\Lambda_{\text{eff}}\,r^2\,, \quad \text{with} \qquad \Lambda_{\text{eff}} = \frac{4}{3}\frac{g_*}{G_0\xi^2}\,.$$
 (7.11)

Thus the RG improvement correctly incorporates the one-loop corrections determined in effective field theory (fixing the only free parameter in the procedure) and resolves the black hole singularity by giving rise to a de Sitter type behaviour close to the centre.

The complete RG improved radial function can be constructed numerically. For concreteness we choose the underlying RG trajectory to be the type IIa trajectory (see figure 7.1) connecting the GFP with the NGFP, setting  $\Lambda_0 = 0$ ,  $G_0 = 1$ . The resulting improved f(r) depends on the asymptotic mass of the black hole m only and is shown in the left diagram of figure 7.2. For  $m > m_{\rm crit}$  the improved geometry has an outer and inner horizon. For  $m = m_{\rm crit}$ , with  $m_{\rm crit}$ being of the order of the Planck mass, the two horizons coincide while for  $m < m_{\rm crit}$  there is no horizon. The Kretschmann scalar curvature of the improved geometry (right diagram) peaks below the inner horizon and its maximum value is (approximately) given by the Planck scale.

Substituting the RG improved geometry into the *classical* Einstein equations allows to interpret the resulting modifications in the classical black hole geometry as a quantum contribution to the energy momentum tensor. The resulting effective energy density  $\rho_{\text{eff}}$  and transverse pressure  $p_{t,\text{eff}}$  are shown in the left diagram of figure 7.3. The radial pressure  $p_{r,\text{eff}} = -\rho_{\text{eff}}$ , so that the RG improvement acts like a cosmological constant in the radial direction. The right diagram of figure 7.3 displays the weak energy condition  $\rho_{\text{eff}} + p_{\text{eff}}$  for the effective energy momentum tensor. Notably, it is violated at subhorizon scales due to strong transversal pressure.

Recently, [286] generalised the study of the RG improved Schwarzschild black hole to Schwarzschild-(Anti-) de Sitter black holes, with the radial function (7.5) also including a non-zero cosmological constant

$$f(r) = 1 - \frac{2Gm}{r} - \frac{1}{3}\Lambda r^2.$$
(7.12)

This extension is motivated by the observation that, even for the case where  $\Lambda_0 = 0$  a non-zero cosmological constant will be generated along the RG flow (*cf.* figure 7.1). Moreover, in the vicinity of the NGFP the scaling (7.2) implies that the *dimensionful* Newton's constant goes to zero while the dimensionful cosmological constant actually diverges when  $k \to \infty$ . Thus, contradicting the intuition that the cosmological constant is important at large distances only, its inclusion may also influence the structure of microscopic black holes. Indeed, applying the RG improvement procedure for the Schwarzschild case to the radial function (7.12) and evaluating the result for the FP scaling (7.2) the RG improved line-element *valid at the NGFP* is again of the form (7.12)

$$f^{\star}(r) = 1 - \frac{2G_0 m}{r} \left(\frac{3}{4}\lambda^{\star}\xi^2\right) - \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{4g^{\star}}{3G_0\xi^2}\right) r^2.$$
(7.13)

Thus the RG improved Schwarzschild-de Sitter black holes become self-similar in the sense that the line-element takes the same form in the IR and UV. Notably, the inclusion of the scale-dependent cosmological constant has also reintroduced a singularity which, for the case of the Schwarzschild black hole, has been removed by the RG improvement process. It is worth stressing that the physical nature of this new singularity is actually quite different to the one found in the classical black hole solution: applying the RG improvement procedure to flat Lorentzian spacetime also introduces a singular behaviour of the RG improved line-element even in the absence of any matter. This nurtures the speculation that the "quantum" singularity introduced by the cosmological constant may actually reflect a feature of quantum spacetime which is actually unrelated to the study of black holes [286].

In summary, the RG improved Schwarzschild black holes found within the asymptotic safety scenario [280, 281] naturally fall into the class of Hayward metrics [284] which have been proposed as effective models for non-singular black holes.

### **Chapter 8**

### **Conclusions and Outlook**

"Habe Mut, dich deines eigenen Verstandes zu bedienen." (I. Kant)

One of the main achievements presented in this thesis are the results for the fixed functions arising within f(R)-gravity minimally coupled to an arbitrary number of scalar, Dirac, and vector fields. On the one hand, they allowed a comprehensive picture detailing the existence and stability of interacting RG FPs in gravity-matter systems taking higher-order curvature terms into account. Furthermore, some conflicts related to previously achieved results in the literature (see, *e.g.*, [205–225]) could be resolved by demonstrating that the obtained NGFPs for two different coarse-graining schemes belong to different classes, and only one of these classes is stable by including higher-order operators in the action. This provides evidence that the use of these two different schemes leads to different quantisation prescriptions for the same "classical" theory. Such a question deserves certainly further investigations.

While working on this project the same and related topics have been studied by others, and hereby the remaining differences to two publications which appeared very recently call special attention. Fixed functions with a sphere as background have been calculated, however, using a linear split of the metric and a vertex expansion [193]. Although these authors have a very different way of presenting this function it is obvious on first sight that their results are qualitatively different. A further investigation has two possible starting points to resolve this tension: first, as suggested by comparing, *e.g.*, to [190], using either the linear or the exponential split is the cause for the differences. If this is the case this calls then for arguments why at least one of the two splittings should not be used. Second, the calculated functions are not really the same quantity. Nevertheless, they should be related and the task would be to elucidate the relation between them.

As by others before, see, *e.g.*, [211] as a key reference, a dependence of the existence of a NGFP on the coarse-graining operators is seen in this work. In other words, "matter matters" for the asymptotic safety scenario. In more recent publications [214,225] arguments were presented that asymptotic safety for gravity-matter systems follows always from asymptotic safety of pure gravity independent of how much matter is added, *i.e.*, "gravity rules". These studies are based

on a vertex expansion but around flat backgrounds. On the one hand, the vertex expansion is certainly a more sophisticated truncation scheme than the single-metric background approach used here. On the other hand, my results make it evident that some important features can only be detected with curvature also present in the background. And therefore, my approach might contain some of the essential clues to obtain a final resolution on this important question.

Two further projects are concerned with the supposedly non-manifold like structure of spacetime at very small distances. To this end, the spectral dimension of the spectral action has been studied. The vanishing of this spectral dimension is in agreement with the previous computations obtained for other non-commutative spacetimes [252]. Aside for the limiting case of vanishing spectral dimension, both results display the same qualitative features: the spectral dimension interpolates between the topological dimension and zero, and has a local maximum situated close to a transition scale. Additionally, the onset of the transition is, in both cases, controlled by the parameter which is introduced by the spectral action.

In agreement with the conjecture of "asymptotic silence" pushed forward in [57], it is a general feature of our computation that the spectral dimension decreases in the UV. However, these results are in a striking contrast to the generalised spectral dimension typically obtained within quantum gravity approaches where it interpolates between four (classical, macroscopic phase) and a non-vanishing number smaller than four (typically at or around two) at microscopic scales [57].

At this stage, it is tempting to speculate that the vanishing spectral dimension is an artefact of extrapolating the classical spectral action into the trans-Planckian regime without taking quantisation effects into account. In other words, vacuum fluctuations seem to be fundamental to obtain the value for the spectral dimension of spacetime at the smallest distances.

An UV completion of the spectral action, taking quantum fluctuations into account, could be achieved through the asymptotic safety mechanism, which seems a natural choice given the field content and symmetries of the model. This might serve then as a starting point for a further investigation based on the results presented in this thesis.

A similar remark applies to the project about the Unruh effect. For a further detailed investigation of the "Unruh dimension" proposed by my coauthors and me, it would be quite natural to apply the formalism described in this thesis to the gravitational asymptotic safety program. In this context, the momentum dependence of two-point functions has recently been studied in [154, 211, 214]. It is clear that an investigation of the Unruh effect should be based on the renormalised propagators where all quantum (gravity) fluctuations have been incorporated. The corresponding expression for the positive-frequency Wightman function is unfortunately currently not available. Nevertheless, much progress has been made in recent years towards the construction of renormalised fully-dressed two-point functions [148–150, 154, 214, 217]. This will make it feasible to compute the signatures of asymptotic safety in the Unruh effect which will likely also be relevant for understanding the fate of black holes within the asymptotic safety scenario [49, 51, 280–282, 286–292]. Finally, we have not analysed the class of models displaying a minimal length. These models are important for quantum gravity phenomenology, since this effect is believed to appear quite generically [293]. It would be interesting to see if a connection to the here presented results can be made.

Another natural extension is the application to Hawking radiation. Here it was argued that the low-energy Hawking spectrum is actually insensitive to Planck scale effects [294]. The situation is quite similar to the one encountered in the present work, where the Unruh spectrum at energy scales below the scale where the dimensional flow sets in is actually unaltered. At the same time there are indications that quantum gravity effects could stop the black hole evaporation process and leave a cold remnant. In particular, it was argued in [295] that the black hole evaporation could come to an end once the spectral dimension drops to three. This would be relevant for the information problem as well [296]. Applying the techniques based on twopoint correlation functions used in the present work may actually allow one to develop these ideas based on a first-principle calculation.

The project described in the previous chapter is about RG improved Schwarzschild black holes found within the asymptotic safety scenario [280, 281]. It is shown that they naturally fall into the class of Hayward metrics [284] which have been proposed as effective models for non-singular black holes. The disappearance of the central singularity has also been observed in other approaches to quantum gravity, as, *e.g.*, in loop quantum gravity. This physical scenario has been recently investigated in [297–299], where the potential non-singular central core of the black hole is called "Planck star". Interestingly, this opens a new window for quantum gravity phenomenology [300, 301] as the resulting "bounce" of the "Planck star" should give a characteristic astrophysical signal. This substantiates the hope that this possible link between fundamental theories of gravity will be useful towards improving our understanding of black hole evolution.

In this thesis I have explored different routes to quantum gravity. The obtained results provide some insight about the meaning of the quote of John Archibald Wheeler "No question about quantum gravity is more difficult than the question "what is the question?"." Although some of the starting questions which triggered the research presented here have now been answered, the most useful output has been quite obviously to generate more questions. In this sense I hope that I could contribute a little if one day in the future somebody will find the decisive key question and maybe even an answer to it.

# Appendix A

### **Laplace Operators**

To make this thesis reasonably self-contained the properties of some widely-used different types of Laplace operators are here briefly reviewed, see, e.g. section 5.3 of [39] on which the presentation here is based.

On a Riemannian manifold one may simply use the covariant derivative containing the Levi-Civita connection to define the Laplacian  $\Delta = -D^2$ . However, it does not have typically required properties as, *e.g.*, respecting symmetries of tensors it acts on.

To arrive at a more suitable definition let us recall the equivalence of differential forms and antisymmetric covariant tensors. On such forms one defines the differential d as a map from the space of p-forms into the p + 1-forms

$$(d\omega)_{\mu_1\dots\mu_{p+1}} = (p+1)\partial_{[\mu_1}\omega_{\mu_2\dots\mu_{p+1}]}$$
(A.1)

where the parentheses [...] indicate antisymmetrisation. On a Riemannian manifold with metric g one can define the usual inner product of p-forms which then allows one to define the codifferential  $\delta$  via the relation

$$(d\omega, \rho) = (\omega, \delta\rho)$$
 (A.2)

which makes evident that  $\delta \rho$  is a *p*-form. Within a given coordinate system one has

$$(\delta\omega)^{\mu_1\dots\mu_{p-1}} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \partial_\lambda \left(\sqrt{g}\,\omega^{\lambda\mu_1\dots\mu_{p-1}}\right) = -D_\lambda \omega^{\lambda\mu_1\dots\mu_{p-1}}\,. \tag{A.3}$$

The Laplacian on p-forms, also called Laplace-Beltrami operator, is then given by

$$\Delta_{LB} = d\delta + \delta d \,. \tag{A.4}$$

Its action on a scalar is equal to the action  $\Delta = -D^2$  but on one-forms it differs already,  $\Delta_{LB}\omega_{\mu} = -D^2\omega_{\mu} + R^{\nu}_{\mu}\omega_{\nu}$ . Its action on two-forms involves then several terms, also including the Riemann tensor.

The nilpotency of the differential and the co-differential,  $d^2 = 0$  and  $\delta^2 = 0$ , imply that these operators commute with the Laplace-Beltrami operator<sup>1</sup>

$$d\Delta_{LB} = \Delta_{LB}d, \quad \delta\Delta_{LB} = \Delta_{LB}\delta, \tag{A.5}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Note, however, the different order of forms the respective left and right hand sides act upon.

which is a very welcome property.

The generalisation of this construction is called Lichnerowicz Laplacians. Its action on a general covariant p-tensor is given by

$$(\Delta_{Lp}T)_{\mu_1\dots\mu_p} = -D^2 T_{\mu_1\dots\mu_p} + \sum_k R^{\rho}_{\mu_k} T_{\mu_1\dots\rho\dots\mu_p} - \sum_{k\neq l} R^{\rho}_{\mu_k\mu_l} T_{\mu_1\dots\rho\dots\sigma\dots\mu_p} \,. \tag{A.6}$$

Hereby, the indices  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  are in the positions k and l, respectively. The metric compatibility condition  $D_{\rho}g_{\mu\nu} = 0$  allows to freely raise and lower indices.

The Lichnerowicz Laplacian preserves the type and the symmetries of the tensor it acts on, it is self-adjoint, and it commutes with contractions. It coincides with the Laplace-Beltrami operator (A.4) when acting on totally antisymmetric tensors. On manifolds of the Einstein type this Laplacian commutes with the covariant derivative. With  $\phi$ , resp.,  $\xi^{\mu}$ , being an arbitrary scalar, resp., vector, field one has on these manifolds

$$\Delta_{L1} D_{\mu} \phi = D_{\mu} \Delta_{L0} \phi, \qquad (A.7)$$

$$D_{\mu}\Delta_{L1}\xi^{\mu} = \Delta_{L0}D_{\mu}\xi^{\mu}, \qquad (A.8)$$

$$\Delta_{L2}(D_{\mu}D_{\nu}\phi) = D_{\mu}D_{\nu}\Delta_{L0}\phi, \qquad (A.9)$$

$$\Delta_{L2} D_{\{\mu} \xi_{\nu\}} = D_{\{\mu} \Delta_{L1} \xi_{\nu\}}, \qquad (A.10)$$

$$\Delta_{L2}g_{\mu\nu}\phi = g_{\mu\nu}\Delta_{L0}\phi, \qquad (A.11)$$

where, of course,  $\Delta_{L0} = \Delta = -D^2$ .

Examples for the use of these Lichnerowicz Laplacians in the context of gravity can be found in the book [39] or in [168].

## **Appendix B**

# **Dirac Operator on Spheres** S<sup>d</sup>: **Eigenvalues and Degeneracies**

For the calculation of the eigenvalues of the Dirac operator on  $S^d$  I will follow [240]. This is done first for the unit sphere, and the dependence on the scalar curvature will be concluded from dimensional arguments. As usual for the consideration of the Dirac operator even and odd number of dimensions behave quite differently and will be treated separately.

First, the construction of Dirac matrices is needed, i.e., one searches for d matrices  $\Gamma^{\mu}$  fulfilling the Clifford algebra

$$\{\Gamma^k, \Gamma^j\} = 2\delta^{kj}\mathbf{1}.\tag{B.1}$$

These can be constructed inductively, and the dimension of the matrices is then  $2^{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor}$  where  $\lfloor d/2 \rfloor = d/2$  for even d and (d-1)/2 for odd d. For d = 2 one chooses the first two Pauli matrices,  $\Gamma^1 = \sigma^1$  and  $\Gamma^2 = \sigma^2$ , for d = 3 one adds  $\Gamma^3 = \sigma^3$ .

For d = 4:

$$\Gamma^{4} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{1}_{2} \\ \mathbf{1}_{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma^{j} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\Gamma_{d=3}^{j} \\ -i\Gamma_{d=3}^{j} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3.$$
(B.2)

For d = 5 we add to these four matrices  $\Gamma^5 = (-i)^2 \Gamma^1 \Gamma^2 \Gamma^3 \Gamma^4 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbf{1}_2 \end{pmatrix}$ .

From here on the general pattern should be obvious. A discussion of the properties of these matrices as well as their connection to the fundamental representations of the groups Spin(d) (which for d > 2 is the universal covering of SO(d)) is given in section 2 of [240]. In the following the  $\frac{1}{2}d(d-1)$  matrices (=generators of a representation of Spin(d))

$$\Sigma^{kl} = \frac{1}{4} [\Gamma^k, \Gamma^l] \tag{B.3}$$

are needed.

The metric on  $S^d$  can be constructed from the one on  $S^{d-1}$ :

$$ds_d^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta ds_{d-1}^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta \tilde{g}_{ij}d\omega^i \otimes d\omega^j.$$
(B.4)

Correspondingly one can construct from the vielbeins on  $S^{d-1}(\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_i)$  the ones on  $S^d(\mathbf{e}_{\mu})$ , and for the Levi-Civita connections one obtains (the tilde always refers to the lower dimensional case)

$$\omega_{ijk} = \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \tilde{\omega}_{ijk}, \quad \omega_{idk} = -\omega_{ikd} = \cot \theta \delta_{ik}, \quad i, j, k = 1, \dots d - 1.$$
(B.5)

The covariant derivative in spinor representation is then given by  $D_i = \mathbf{e}_i^{\ j} \partial_j - \frac{1}{2} \omega_{ijk} \Sigma^{jk}$ , and the Dirac operator is then  $\mathcal{D} = \Gamma^j D_j$ .

For even d one has

$$\mathcal{D} = (\partial_{\theta} + \frac{1}{2}(d-1)\cot\theta)\Gamma^{d} + \frac{1}{\sin\theta} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\tilde{\mathcal{D}} \\ -i\tilde{\mathcal{D}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} .$$
(B.6)

The decisive step is to assume that the solution of the eigenvalue equation on  $S^{d-1}$  is of the form

and to verify this assumption a posteriori. Hereby, the  $\chi_{lm}^{\pm}(\Omega)$  are suitable spinors which depend on the angles  $\Omega$  on  $S^{d-1}$ , the index *m* compromises all other indices except the index *l*. The resulting differential equation for the variable  $\theta$  can be solved in terms of Jacobi polynomials explicitly [240]. As the solutions are explicitly known the degeneracies can be calculated directly:

$$D_d(n) = 2^{d/2} \binom{n+d-1}{n}.$$
 (B.8)

As anticipated,  $D_d(n)$  is equal to the dimension of the spinor representation of Spin(d+1). On  $S^4$  one has  $\lambda_n = \pm i(n+2)$  and  $D_4(n) = \frac{4}{3}(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)$ .

For odd d the starting point is

$$\mathcal{D} = (\partial_{\theta} + \frac{1}{2}(d-1)\cot\theta)\Gamma^{d} + \frac{1}{\sin\theta}\tilde{\mathcal{D}}.$$
(B.9)

By explicit calculation one can then show that the eigenvalues are also then  $\pm i(n + d/2)$  and

$$D_d(n) = 2^{(d-1)/2} \binom{n+d-1}{n}.$$
(B.10)

As stated in the beginning of the section this calculation has been done for the unit sphere. As the eigenvalue has the dimension of an inverse length, for a sphere of general radius a the eigenvalue should be multiplied by  $1/a = \sqrt{R/d(d-1)}$ . The final result is therefore:

$$\lambda_n = \pm i \sqrt{\frac{R}{d(d-1)}} \left( n + \frac{d}{2} \right), \quad D_d(n) = 2^{[d/2]} \binom{n+d-1}{n}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
(B.11)

This agrees with the expression used in [209] (and which was given there without reference).

# Appendix C

# **Derivation of the Functional Renormalisation Group Equation**

Here, I provide a brief presentation of the derivation of the Wetterich equation [33]. The starting point is the generating functional Z[J] where J(x) is a source coupled to the field(s) which will be generically denoted by  $\Phi$ . Taking the logarithm provides the generating functional of the connected Green functions W[J]. A Legendre transform leads to the effective action  $\Gamma[\bar{\Phi}]$ which is the generating functional of the one-particle irreducible Green functions. Hereby,  $\bar{\Phi}$ is the expectation value of the fields  $\Phi$ . In the Wilsonian RG approach one also performs these three steps, however, with a k-dependent regulator function included, *i.e.*, one starts with<sup>1</sup>

$$Z_k[J] = \int \mathcal{D}\Phi \exp\left(-S[\Phi] - \Delta S_k[\Phi] + J \cdot \Phi\right) .$$
 (C.1)

In this functional integral the term  $\Delta S_k[\Phi]$  is included to provide a smooth momentum cutoff such that the UV modes are unchanged and the IR modes are suppressed. The standard choice is a quadratic cutoff which, in momentum space, reads

$$\Delta S_k[\Phi] = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^d q}{(2\pi)^d} \,\Phi(-q) \,R_k(q^2) \,\Phi(q) \,. \tag{C.2}$$

For the UV modes one thus requires

$$\lim_{q^2/k^2 \to \infty} R_k(q^2) = 0 \tag{C.3}$$

and for the IR modes

$$R_k(q^2) > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad q^2/k^2 \to 0 \,,$$
 (C.4)

cf. also the discussion in [302].

The flow for the generating functional  $W_k$  is then straightforwardly determined to be

$$\partial_t W_k[J] = \partial_t \ln Z_k[J] = -\langle \partial_t \Delta S_k \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^d q}{(2\pi)^d} \partial_t R_k(q^2) \langle \Phi(q) \Phi(-q) \rangle .$$
(C.5)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Only Euclidean QFTs are considered. In addition, I will use the DeWitt notation  $J \cdot \Phi = \int d^D x J(x) \Phi(x)$ .

Next one introduces the Legendre transformed functional

$$\Gamma_k[\bar{\Phi}] = \sup_J \left( J \cdot \bar{\Phi} - \ln Z_k[J] - \Delta S_k[\bar{\Phi}] \right)$$
(C.6)

where  $\overline{\Phi}$  is the expectation value of  $\Phi$  for a fixed external current J,  $\overline{\Phi} = \langle \Phi \rangle_J$ . Returning for clarity for the time being to a real-space representation one employs then the definition of the connected two-point function

$$G(x,y) := \frac{\delta^2 \ln Z_k[J]}{\delta J(x) \,\delta J(y)} \tag{C.7}$$

and the fact that the quantum equation of motion

$$J(x) = \frac{\delta \Gamma_k[\Phi]}{\delta \bar{\Phi}(x)} + (R_k \cdot \bar{\Phi})(x)$$
(C.8)

leads to

$$G(x,y) = \left(\frac{\delta^2 \Gamma_k[\bar{\Phi}]}{\delta \bar{\Phi}(x) \,\delta \bar{\Phi}(y)} + R_k(x-y)\right)^{-1} \tag{C.9}$$

to show that in momentum space the equation

$$\partial_t \Gamma_k[\bar{\Phi}] = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^d q}{(2\pi)^d} \left( \frac{\delta^2 \Gamma_k[\bar{\Phi}]}{\delta \bar{\Phi}(q) \, \delta \bar{\Phi}(-q)} + R_k(q^2) \right)^{-1} \partial_t R_k(q^2) \tag{C.10}$$

is fulfilled. In case discrete indices are present they have to be summed over. Denoting by Tr these sums as well as the integrals leads then to the compact notation for the Wetterich equation [33]

$$\partial_t \Gamma_k = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left( \left( \Gamma_k^{(2)} + R_k \right)^{-1} \partial_t R_k \right)$$
(C.11)

with

$$\Gamma_k^{(2)} := \frac{\delta^2 \Gamma_k[\bar{\Phi}]}{\delta \bar{\Phi}(q) \,\delta \bar{\Phi}(-q)} \,. \tag{C.12}$$

In this thesis the Wetterich equation has been employed for gravity and gravity-matter systems. To this end the gauge has been fixed and correspondingly ghosts have been added. In addition, the York decomposition (2.12) has been applied. The Hessian  $\Gamma_k^{(2)}$  becomes then effectively a matrix, and the regulator has to be chosen accordingly also as a matrix.

# **Appendix D**

# **Topological, Hausdorff and Spectral Dimensions**

Fractals are geometrical structures which cannot be described with ordinary Riemannian (or pseudo-Riemannian) geometry. Typically a part of a fractal is similar to the whole fractal such that it is invariant under certain scale transformations. Phrased otherwise, a fractal is self-similar under scale transformations.

A line like the coast of England might show over and over again the same structures when zooming into the map. If this goes on forever the length of such a line diverges but it also does not cover an area. Attributing a dimension other than the topological dimension of the line (which is clearly one) to it the related number should be larger than one and smaller than two. Such non-integer numbers are provided by the Hausdorff dimension.

For its definition one introduces first the Hausdorff measure [303] of a fractal F. Given a finite and countable collection of non-empty sets  $U_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$  with a finite largest distance each,  $|U_i| < \delta$  which covers F the  $\{U_i\}$  are called a  $\delta$ -cover of F.

For any positive power s and any  $\delta > 0$  one defines the quantity

$$\mathcal{H}^{s}_{\delta}(F) = \inf\left(\sum_{i} |U_{i}|^{s} : \{U_{i}\} \text{ is a } \delta - \text{cover of } F\right), \qquad (D.1)$$

and takes the limit

$$\mathcal{H}^{s}(F) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \mathcal{H}^{s}_{\delta}(F) \,. \tag{D.2}$$

The latter quantity is called s-dimensional Hausdorff measure of F. Increasing s for a certain set F leads to a jump of the value of  $\mathcal{H}^s(F)$  from  $\infty$  to 0 at a critical value  $d_H$ . This value is called the Hausdorff dimension of F.

A visualisation of the Hausdorff dimension  $d_H$  is given by the number N of balls of radius a to cover the set F. In this case  $|U_i| = 2a$  for all i and the number of balls will scale as  $N(a) \propto 1/a^{d_H}$ .

The Hausdorff dimension coincides with the usual topological dimension for regular structures, in particular for manifolds, and yields in general non-integer values for fractals. The basic idea underlying the concept of the spectral dimension  $d_S$  is that a test particle diffusing on a given background probes also some kind of dimension of this background, see, *e.g.*, [304] for a related textbook. In addition, let us recall that random walks are good models for diffusion processes. In a *d*-dimensional euclidean space its mean square distance after time *t* from its starting point scales with *t*,  $\langle r^2(t) \rangle \propto t$ . On fractals one will obtain another power law

$$\langle r^2(t) \rangle \propto t^{2/d_W}$$
 (D.3)

which defines the walk dimension. Those anomalous diffusion processes can be divided into two cases. First, there are recurrent diffusion processes, also called compact diffusion processes, in which the walker returns to its origin with unit probability. In this case one has  $d_W > d_H$ . Second, we have nonrecurrent random walks with  $d_W < d_H$ , where the return probability  $\mathcal{P}(T)$ vanishes with increasing time

$$\mathcal{P}(T) \propto T^{-d_H/d_W} = T^{-d_S/2}.$$
(D.4)

This defines then the spectral dimension  $d_S$ . If  $d_S < 2$  the walk is compact whereas for  $d_S > 2$  the random walk is non-recurrent.

From (D.4) one can then also extract the relation

$$d_S = -2\lim_{T \to 0} \frac{\partial \ln \mathcal{P}(T)}{\partial \ln T}, \qquad (D.5)$$

and in addition define the generalised spectral dimension  $D_S(T)$  as an effectively scale-dependent measure of the dimension of the underlying space,

$$D_S(T) = -2 \frac{\partial \ln \mathcal{P}(T)}{\partial \ln T}, \qquad (D.6)$$

see section 5.3 for the derivation of this relation.

# **Appendix E**

# **Interpolations of Staircase-Type Results for the Traces**

The evaluation of the flow equation in section 4.3 is based on averaging over the upper staircase and lower staircase interpolations. This has been called averaging approximation. In this appendix, the explicit expressions derived from it are given in appendix E.1. In addition, two alternative interpolation schemes which avoid non-analytic expressions are presented. The "middle-of-the-staircase" interpolation to be discussed in appendix E.2 performs the sums at the averaged eigenvalues, setting  $p^{(s)} = \frac{1}{2}$ . The Euler-MacLaurin interpolation which will be introduced in appendix E.3 replaces the sum by a continuous integral and neglects the discrete correction terms.

In a general number of dimensions  $d \ge 3$  the traces are in the three different interpolations given by

$$(4\pi)^{d/2} \mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{TT}} = \frac{(d+1)(d-2)}{4\Gamma(d/2+1)} \left( \frac{(\dot{\varphi}' + (d-2)\varphi' - 2r\varphi'')(1+\alpha_T^G r) + 2\varphi'}{\varphi' \left(1 + \left(\alpha_T^G + \frac{2}{d(d-1)}\right)r\right)} \left(1 + \frac{d}{2}\alpha_T^G r + \frac{C_T^I}{12}r + \mathcal{O}(r^2)\right) - \frac{\dot{\varphi}' + (d-2)\varphi' - 2r\varphi''}{\varphi' \left(1 + \left(\alpha_T^G + \frac{2}{d(d-1)}\right)r\right)} \left(\frac{d}{d+2} + \frac{d}{2}\alpha_T^G r + \frac{\tilde{C}_T^I}{12}r + \mathcal{O}(r^2)\right)\right),$$
(E.1a)

$$(4\pi)^{d/2} \mathcal{T}^{\text{sinv}} = \frac{1}{2\Gamma(d/2+1)} \left( \frac{(\dot{\varphi}'' + (d-4)\varphi'' - 2r\varphi''')(1+\alpha_S^G r) + 2\varphi''}{\varphi'' \left(1 + \left(\alpha_S^G - \frac{1}{d-1}\right)r\right) + \frac{d-2}{2(d-1)}\varphi'} \left(1 + \frac{d}{2}\alpha_S^G r + \frac{C_S^I}{12}r + \mathcal{O}(r^2)\right) - \frac{\dot{\varphi}'' + (d-4)\varphi'' - 2r\varphi'''}{\varphi'' \left(1 + \left(\alpha_S^G - \frac{1}{d-1}\right)r\right) + \frac{d-2}{2(d-1)}\varphi'} \left(\frac{d}{d+2} + \frac{d}{2}\alpha_S^G r + \frac{d}{d+2}\frac{\tilde{C}_S^I}{12}r + \mathcal{O}(r^2)\right)\right),$$
(E.1b)

$$(4\pi)^{d/2} \mathcal{T}^{\text{ghost}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1 + \frac{d}{2} \left(\alpha_V^G + \frac{1}{d}\right) r}{1 + \left(\alpha_V^G - \frac{1}{d}\right) r} \left( (d-1) + \frac{C_V^I}{12} r + \mathcal{O}(r^2) \right)$$
(E.1c)

and

$$(4\pi)^{d/2} \mathcal{T}^{\text{scalar}} = \frac{N_S}{2} \, \frac{1 + \frac{d}{2} \alpha_S^M r}{1 + \alpha_S^M r} \, \left( 1 + \frac{C_S^I}{12} \, r + \mathcal{O}(r^2) \right) \,, \tag{E.2a}$$

$$(4\pi)^{d/2} \mathcal{T}^{\text{Dirac}} = -N_D 2^{\lfloor d/2 - 1 \rfloor} \frac{1 + \frac{d}{2} \alpha_D^M r}{1 + \alpha_D^M r} \left( 1 + \frac{C_D^I}{24} r + \mathcal{O}(r^2) \right) , \qquad (\text{E.2b})$$

$$(4\pi)^{d/2} \mathcal{T}^{\text{vector}} = \frac{N_V}{2} \frac{1 + \frac{d}{2} \left(\alpha_{V_1}^M + \frac{1}{d}\right) r}{1 + \left(\alpha_{V_1}^M + \frac{1}{d}\right) r} \left( (d-1) + \frac{C_V^I}{12} r + \mathcal{O}(r^2) \right)$$
(E.2c)

$$-\frac{1+\frac{d}{2}\alpha_{V_2}^M r}{1+\alpha_{V_2}^M r}\left(1+\frac{C_S^I}{12}r+\mathcal{O}(r^2)\right)\right),$$

where in the constants  $C_A^I$  and  $\tilde{C}_A^I$  the superscript  $I \in \{A, M, E\}$  labels the averaging, middleof-the-staircase and Euler-McLaurin interpolation and the subscript  $A \in \{T, S, V, D\}$  the type of tensor structures. The  $C_A^I$  and  $\tilde{C}_A^I$  are rational functions in d. The explicit expressions are given in the respective sections E.1, E.2 and E.3 below. Note that d = 2 is for most of these expressions special, and one has to return to the original definitions of the sums to derive them correctly. As, however, for d = 2 they degenerate to linear, constant or even vanishing terms all these calculations are straightforward.

### **E.1** The Averaging Interpolation

The constants  $C_A^A$  and  $\tilde{C}_A^A$  are for the averaging approximation given by

$$C_T^A = \frac{d^3 - 2d^2 - 13d + 2}{(d-1)(d-2)}, \quad \tilde{C}_T^A = \frac{d^2 - 2d - 9}{d-1}, \quad C_S^A = d+1,$$
  
$$\tilde{C}_S^A = \frac{d^2 - 2d + 3}{d-1}, \quad C_V^A = d^2 - 6d - 1, \quad C_D^A = d-2.$$
(E.3)

The dimensionless counterparts of the traces for d = 4 are (with all endomorphism parameters kept) given by

$$(4\pi)^{2} \mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{TT}} = \frac{5}{2} \frac{1}{1 + (\alpha_{T}^{G} + \frac{1}{6})r} \left(1 + (\alpha_{T}^{G} - \frac{1}{6})r\right) \left(1 + (\alpha_{T}^{G} - \frac{1}{12})r\right)$$
(E.4a)  

$$+ \frac{5}{12} \frac{\dot{\varphi}' + 2\varphi' - 2r\varphi''}{\varphi'} \left(1 + (\alpha_{T}^{G} - \frac{2}{3})r\right) \left(1 + (\alpha_{T}^{G} - \frac{1}{6})r\right) ,$$
  

$$(4\pi)^{2} \mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{sinv}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\varphi''}{\left(1 + (\alpha_{S}^{G} - \frac{1}{3})r\right)\varphi'' + \frac{1}{3}\varphi'} \left(1 + (\alpha_{S}^{G} - \frac{1}{2})r\right) \left(1 + (\alpha_{S}^{G} + \frac{11}{12})r\right)$$
(E.4b)  

$$+ \frac{1}{12} \frac{\dot{\varphi}'' - 2r\varphi'''}{\left(1 + (\alpha_{S}^{G} - \frac{1}{3})r\right)\varphi'' + \frac{1}{3}\varphi'} \left(1 + (\alpha_{S}^{G} + \frac{3}{2})r\right) \left(1 + (\alpha_{S}^{G} - \frac{1}{3})r\right) \left(1 + (\alpha_{S}^{G} - \frac{5}{6})r\right) ,$$
  

$$(4\pi)^{2} \mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{ghost}} = -\frac{1}{48} \frac{1}{1 + (\alpha_{V}^{G} - \frac{1}{4})r} \left(72 + 18r(1 + 8\alpha_{V}^{G}) - r^{2}(19 - 18\alpha_{V}^{G} - 72(\alpha_{V}^{G})^{2})\right) ,$$
  
(E.4c)

together with the matter results

$$(4\pi)^{2} \mathcal{T}^{\text{scalar}} = \frac{N_{S}}{2} \frac{1}{1 + \alpha_{S}^{M} r} \left( 1 + \left( \alpha_{S}^{M} + \frac{1}{4} \right) r \right) \left( 1 + \left( \alpha_{S}^{M} + \frac{1}{6} \right) r \right) , \qquad (E.5a)$$

$$(4\pi)^2 \mathcal{T}^{\text{Dirac}} = -2N_D \left(1 + \left(\alpha_D^M + \frac{1}{6}\right)r\right) , \qquad (E.5b)$$

$$(4\pi)^{2} \mathcal{T}^{\text{vector}} = \frac{N_{V}}{2} \left( \frac{3}{1 + \left(\alpha_{V_{1}}^{M} + \frac{1}{4}\right)r} \left(1 + \left(\alpha_{V_{1}}^{M} + \frac{1}{6}\right)r\right) \left(1 + \left(\alpha_{V_{1}}^{M} + \frac{1}{12}\right)r\right) - \frac{1}{1 + \alpha_{V_{2}}^{M}r} \left(1 + \left(\alpha_{V_{2}}^{M} + \frac{1}{2}\right)r\right) \left(1 + \left(\alpha_{V_{2}}^{M} - \frac{1}{12}\right)r\right) \right).$$
(E.5c)

Of course, if all  $\alpha$  are put to zero these expressions reduce to equations (4.48) and (4.49).

### E.2 The Middle-of-the-Staircase Interpolation

By definition, the middle-of-the-staircase interpolation evaluates the spectral sums (4.33) and (4.34) on the average of the eigenvalues bounding a plateau of the staircase. The resulting values  $N_{\text{max}}^{(s)}$  are given by (4.35) evaluated for

$$p^{(s)} = \frac{1}{2}, \qquad q^{(s)} = 0.$$
 (E.6)

The analogue of (4.42) and (4.43) for this interpolation scheme is obtained from the replacements

$$T_d^{(s)}(N) \to S_d^{(s)}(N_{\max}^{(s)}), \qquad \widetilde{T}_d^{(s)}(N) \to \widetilde{S}_d^{(s)}(N_{\max}^{(s)}),$$
(E.7)

with  $N_{\text{max}}^{(s)}$  defined in (4.35) and evaluated at (E.6). Notably, the middle-of-the-staircase scheme also removes all non-analytic terms in r.

Comparing the spectral sums resulting from this interpolation scheme to the early-time expansion of the heat-kernel one (again) finds a deviation in the linear term. The two terms can be brought into agreement by setting  $q^{(s)} = \frac{1}{3}(d-1)$ .

The signs of these parameters are opposite to the corresponding ones obtained for the averaging approximation, (4.40). Phrased differently, the linear terms found in the averaging and the middle-of-the-staircase interpolations differ from the corresponding heat-kernel results in opposite directions.

For completeness we present here the results for the traces obtained within the middle-ofthe-staircase interpolation. The constants  $C_A^M$  and  $\tilde{C}_A^M$  for this interpolation are given by

$$C_T^M = \frac{d^3 - \frac{7}{2}d^2 - \frac{17}{2}d - 1}{(d-1)(d-2)}, \quad \tilde{C}_T^M = \frac{d^2 - \frac{7}{2}d - \frac{21}{2}}{d-1}, \quad C_S^M = d - \frac{1}{2},$$
$$\tilde{C}_S^M = \frac{d^2 - \frac{9}{2}d + \frac{1}{2}}{d-1}, \quad C_V^M = d^2 - \frac{15}{2}d + \frac{1}{2}, \quad C_D^M = d + 1.$$
(E.8)

The traces for d = 4 result in

$$(4\pi)^{2} \mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{TT}} = \frac{5}{2} \frac{1}{1 + (\alpha_{T}^{G} + \frac{1}{6})r} \left(1 + (\alpha_{T}^{G} - \frac{19}{48})r\right) \left(1 + (\alpha_{T}^{G} + \frac{1}{48})r\right)$$
(E.9a)

$$+\frac{3}{12}\frac{\dot{\varphi}'+2\varphi'-2r\varphi''}{\varphi'\left(1+\left(\alpha_{T}^{G}+\frac{1}{6}\right)r\right)}\left(1+\left(\alpha_{T}^{G}-\frac{19}{48}\right)r\right)\left(1+\left(\alpha_{T}^{G}-\frac{1}{24}\right)r\right)\left(1+\left(\alpha_{T}^{G}+\frac{1}{48}\right)r\right)$$

$$(4\pi)^{2} \mathcal{T}^{\text{sinv}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\varphi''}{\left(1 + \left(\alpha_{S}^{G} - \frac{1}{3}\right)r\right)\varphi'' + \frac{1}{3}\varphi'} \left(1 + \left(\alpha_{S}^{G} - \frac{9}{16}\right)r\right) \left(1 + \left(\alpha_{S}^{G} + \frac{41}{48}\right)r\right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{12} \frac{\varphi'' - 2r\varphi'''}{\left(1 + \left(\alpha_{S}^{G} - \frac{1}{3}\right)r\right)\varphi'' + \frac{1}{3}\varphi'} \left(1 + \left(\alpha_{S}^{G} - \frac{9}{16}\right)r\right) \times \left(1 + \left(2\alpha_{S}^{G} + \frac{55}{48}\right)r + \left(\left(\alpha_{S}^{G}\right)^{2} + \frac{55}{48}\alpha_{S}^{G} - \frac{865}{1152}\right)r^{2}\right)$$

$$(E.9b)$$

$$(4\pi)^2 \mathcal{T}^{\text{ghost}} = -\frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{1 + (\alpha_V^G - \frac{1}{4})r} \left(1 + \left(\alpha_V^G - \frac{23}{48}\right)r\right) \left(1 + \left(\alpha_V^G + \frac{29}{48}\right)r\right) , \qquad (E.9c)$$

for the gravity part and

$$(4\pi)^{2} \mathcal{T}^{\text{scalar}} = \frac{N_{S}}{2} \frac{1}{1 + \alpha_{S}^{M} r} \left( 1 + \left( \alpha_{S}^{M} + \frac{5}{48} \right) r \right) \left( 1 + \left( \alpha_{S}^{M} + \frac{3}{16} \right) r \right) , \qquad (E.10a)$$

$$(4\pi)^{2} \mathcal{T}^{\text{Dirac}} = -2N_{D} \frac{1}{1 + (\alpha_{D}^{M} + \frac{1}{4})r} \left(1 + (\alpha_{D}^{M} + \frac{1}{16})r\right) \left(1 + (\alpha_{D}^{M} + \frac{11}{48})r\right), \quad (\text{E.10b})$$

$$(4\pi)^{2} \mathcal{T}^{\text{vector}} = \frac{N_{V}}{2} \left( \frac{3}{1 + \left(\alpha_{V_{1}}^{M} + \frac{1}{4}\right) r} \left(1 + \left(\alpha_{V_{1}}^{M} - \frac{1}{16}\right) r\right) \left(1 + \left(\alpha_{V_{1}}^{M} + \frac{3}{16}\right) r\right)$$
(E.10c)

$$-\frac{1}{1+\alpha_{V_2}^M r} \left(1+(\alpha_{V_2}^M+\frac{7}{16})r\right) \left(1+(\alpha_{V_2}^M-\frac{7}{48})r\right) \right)$$

for the matter part. Note that, in contrast to (E.5b), the middle-of-the-staircase interpolation does not lead to a cancellation between the numerator and denominator in the fermion sector. Comparing the expressions obtained from the two interpolation schemes clearly shows that the procedures of summing and averaging do not commute: the trace contributions obtained from summing first and averaging afterwards (averaging interpolation) differ from averaging first and summing afterwards (middle-of-the-staircase interpolation).

### E.3 The Euler-MacLaurin Interpolation

A third interpolation scheme which avoids non-analytic terms in the spectral sums is provided by the Euler-MacLaurin interpolation see, *e.g.*, [209]. In this case the finite sums are approximated through the Euler-MacLaurin formula,

$$\sum_{l=n}^{m} f(l) = \int_{n}^{m} dl f(l) + \dots,$$
 (E.11)

and neglecting the discrete terms. Applying this strategy to the spectral sums (4.33) and (4.34), identifying  $N_{\text{max}}^{(s)}$  with (4.35) based on the values

$$p^{(s)} = 0, \qquad q^{(s)} = 0,$$
 (E.12)
leads to replacement rules similar to (E.7). By construction, the terms appearing at zeroth and first order in the scalar curvature agree with the early-time expansion of the heat-kernel.

For completeness, we also give the explicit expression for the operator traces entering into (4.47) based on the Euler-MacLaurin interpolation.

The constants  $C^E_A$  and  $\tilde{C}^E_A$  are for the averaging approximation given by

$$C_T^E = \frac{(d+2)d(d-5)}{(d-1)(d-2)}, \quad \tilde{C}_T^E = \frac{(d+2)(d-5)}{d-1}, \quad C_S^E = d,$$
  
$$\tilde{C}_S^E = \frac{(d+2)(d-2)}{d}, \quad C_V^E = d(d-7), \quad C_D^E = d.$$
 (E.13)

For d = 4 one obtains

$$(4\pi)^{2} \mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{TT}} = \frac{5}{2} \frac{1}{1 + (\alpha_{T}^{G} + \frac{1}{6})r} \left(1 + (\alpha_{T}^{G} - \frac{2}{3})r\right) \left(1 + (\alpha_{T}^{G} + \frac{1}{3})r\right)$$

$$+ \frac{5}{12} \frac{\dot{\varphi}' + 2\varphi' - 2r\varphi''}{\varphi' \left(1 + (\alpha_{T}^{G} + \frac{1}{6})r\right)} \left(1 + (\alpha_{T}^{G} - \frac{2}{3})r\right)^{2} \left(1 + (\alpha_{T}^{G} + \frac{5}{6})r\right) ,$$
(E.14a)

$$(4\pi)^{2} \mathcal{T}^{\text{sinv}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\varphi''}{\left(1 + \left(\alpha_{S}^{G} - \frac{1}{3}\right)r\right)\varphi'' + \frac{1}{3}\varphi'} \left(1 + \left(\alpha_{S}^{G} + \frac{7}{6}\right)r\right) \left(1 + \left(\alpha_{S}^{G} - \frac{5}{6}\right)r\right) \qquad (E.14b)$$
$$+ \frac{1}{12} \frac{\dot{\varphi}'' - 2r\varphi'''}{\left(1 + \left(\alpha_{S}^{G} - \frac{1}{3}\right)r\right)\varphi'' + \frac{1}{3}\varphi'} \left(1 + \left(\alpha_{S}^{G} - \frac{5}{6}\right)r\right)^{2} \left(1 + \left(\alpha_{S}^{G} + \frac{13}{6}\right)r\right),$$
$$(4\pi)^{2} \mathcal{T}^{\text{ghost}} = -\frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{1 + \left(\alpha_{V}^{G} - \frac{1}{4}\right)r} \left(1 + \left(\alpha_{V}^{G} - \frac{3}{4}\right)r\right) \left(1 + \left(\alpha_{V}^{G} + \frac{11}{12}\right)r\right), \qquad (E.14c)$$

and

$$(4\pi)^{2} \mathcal{T}^{\text{scalar}} = \frac{N_{S}}{2} \left( 1 + \left( \alpha_{S}^{M} + \frac{1}{3} \right) r \right) , \qquad (E.15a)$$

$$(4\pi)^{2} \mathcal{T}^{\text{Dirac}} = -2N_{D} \frac{1}{1 + (\alpha_{D}^{M} + \frac{1}{4})r} \left(1 + (\alpha_{D}^{M} - \frac{1}{12})r\right) \left(1 + (\alpha_{D}^{M} + \frac{5}{12})r\right), \quad (\text{E.15b})$$

$$(4\pi)^{2} \mathcal{T}^{\text{vector}} = \frac{N_{V}}{2} \left( \frac{3}{1 + \left(\alpha_{V_{1}}^{M} + \frac{1}{4}\right)r} \left(1 + \left(\alpha_{V_{1}}^{M} - \frac{1}{4}\right)r\right) \left(1 + \left(\alpha_{V_{1}}^{M} + \frac{5}{12}\right)r\right)$$
(E.15c)

$$-\frac{1}{1+\alpha_{V_2}^M r} \left(1+(\alpha_{V_2}^M+\frac{2}{3})r\right) \left(1+(\alpha_{V_2}^M-\frac{1}{3})r\right)\right).$$

Note that in this case a cancellation of numerator and denominator takes place for the scalar matter field.

#### **Appendix F**

# **Fixed Point Structure of Selected Gravity-Matter Systems**

In this appendix we collect the FP data for the convergent NGFP solutions passing the f(R)stability test in table 4.3. The results for pure gravity are given in tables F.1 (type I coarsegraining operator) and F.2 (type II coarse-graining operator). In this case the critical exponents with positive real part coincide with the ones obtained in [177,190]. The FP data for the gravitymatter models featuring matter sectors based on frequently studied models for BSM physics are displayed in tables F.3 - F.6, respectively. Throughout the presentation, we give results up to N = 8, and all gravity-matter FPs show a rapid convergence in the FPs' position and stability coefficients. Extended computations along the lines of table 4.4, covering the critical exponents up to N = 9 and the polynomial coefficients of the FP solution up to N = 14, confirm this picture.

Following the discussion related to figure 4.4, the stable gravity-matter FPs for a type II coarse-graining scheme can be understood as a deformation of their type I counterparts. For the matter sectors listed in table 4.2, these deformations do not extend to a coarse-graining operator of type II. Hence our lists of stable gravity-matter FPs comprise results for the type I coarse-graining operator only.

$g_8^*$								0 ≈	$\theta_8$								-14.63
$g_7^*$							0 ≈	0 ≈	$\theta_7$							-12.57	-12.57
$g_6^*  imes 10^{-5}$						-1.99	-2.08	-2.23	$ heta_6$						-10.50	-10.50	-10.79
$g_{5}^{*}  imes 10^{-4}$					-1.04	-1.04	-1.13	-1.14	$ heta_5$					-8.39	-8.39	-8.72	-8.80
$g_{4}^{*} \times 10^{-4}$				-6.33	-6.38	-6.87	-6.90	-6.93	$ heta_4$				-6.23	-6.26	-6.62	-6.70	-6.79
$g_{3}^{*} \times 10^{-3}$			-2.30	-1.27	-1.83	-1.76	-1.81	-1.80	$ heta_3$			-4.01	-3.99	-4.37	-4.41	-4.50	-4.52
$g_2^*$		0.27	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	$\theta_2$		-1.50	-1.50	-1.80	-1.79	-1.87	-1.88	-1.90
$g_1^*$	-1.24	-0.75	-0.74	-0.75	-0.74	-0.74	-0.74	-0.74	$\theta_1$	2.78	2.29	2.00	2.17	2.10	2.13	2.11	2.11
$g_0^*$	0.46	0.70	0.69	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	$\theta_0$	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Ν	-	2	က	4	IJ	9	2	$\infty$	N	-	2	က	4	Ŋ	9	4	$\infty$

value of couplings smaller than  $10^{-5}$  is indicated by  $\approx 0$ . Table F.1: FF

		33 ~ + 0		0	$36 \sim \pm 0$	<i>3.</i> /	38
-1.78							
-1.21 0.51	0.51						
-1.07 0.53	0.53	-4.29					
-1.06 0.54	0.54	-4.42	8.17				
-1.06 0.54	0.54	-4.66	6.88	-6.26			
-1.06 0.54	0.54	-4.63	3.72	-6.53	-1.57		
-1.06 0.54	0.54	-4.67	2.65	-8.04	-1.92	0 ≈	
-1.06 0.53	0.53	-4.68	1.45	-8.51	-2.46	$0 \approx$	$0 \approx$
c c	~	C	C		C	<	C
$\theta_1 \qquad \theta_2$	$\theta_2$	 $\theta_3$	$ heta_4$	$\theta_5$	$\theta_6$	$\theta_7$	$\theta_8$
2.75							
1.98 - 1.22	-1.22						
1.74 - 1.11	-1.11	-3.96					
1.83 - 1.46	-1.46	-4.02	-6.68				
1.75 - 1.40	-1.40	-4.42	-6.67	-9.33			
1.78 - 1.46	-1.46	-4.40	-7.11	-9.15	-12.45		
1.76 - 1.46	-1.46	-4.46	-7.10	-9.56	-11.52	-16.72	
1.77 - 1.48	-1.48	-4.47	-7.13	-9.54	-11.84	-13.74	-23.33

value of couplings smaller than  $10^{-4}$  is indicated by  $\approx 0$ . Table F.2: FF

																		where $\Lambda$
$g_8^*$								$\approx 0$	$\theta_8$								-18.81	DM scalar
$g_7^*$							0 ≈	$\approx 0$	$\theta_7$							-16.69	-17.14	additional
$g_6^*\times 10^{-5}$						1.26	1.79	2.77	$ heta_6$						-14.50	-14.82	-14.72	iented by one
$g_5^*  imes 10^{-5}$					2.96	3.83	6.48	7.79	$ heta_5$					-12.17	-12.34	-12.27	-12.27	SM supplem
$g_4^*  imes 10^{-4}$				-2.58	-2.39	-1.87	-1.51	-1.08	$ heta_4$				-9.52	-9.52	-9.50	-9.49	-9.46	content of the
$g_3^* \times 10^{-3}$			4.74	4.61	4.79	4.87	5.03	5.13	$ heta_3$			-6.20	-6.08	-6.12	-6.10	-6.08	-6.06	o the matter o
$g_2^*$		1.11	1.13	1.13	1.12	1.12	1.13	1.13	$\theta_2$		-1.77	-1.83	-1.92	-1.92	-1.91	-1.90	-1.90	coupled t
$g_1^*$	-5.72	-5.10	-5.15	-5.15	-5.15	-5.15	-5.15	-5.15	$\theta_1$	2.13	2.36	2.29	2.29	2.29	2.29	2.29	2.29	R)-gravity
$g_0^*$	-7.17	-6.64	-6.64	-6.64	-6.64	-6.64	-6.64	-6.64	$\theta_0$	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	sture of $f($
N	-	2	က	4	Ŋ	9	4	$\infty$	N	-	2	33 S	4	IJ	9	4	$\infty$	P struc

 $V_S$ Table F.3: FP structure of f(R)-gravity coupled to the matter content of the SM s 5,  $N_D = \frac{45}{2}$ ,  $N_V = 12$ . The value of couplings smaller than  $10^{-5}$  is indicated by  $\approx 0$ .

 $\parallel$ 

128

N	$g_0^*$	$g_1^*$	$g_2^*$	$g_{3}^{*} \times 10^{-3}$	$g_{4}^{*} \times 10^{-4}$	$g_5^*  imes 10^{-3}$	$g_{6}^{*}  imes 10^{-5}$	$g_7^*  imes 10^{-5}$	$g_8^*$
	-8.04	-6.08							
7	-7.52	-5.46	1.20						
လ	-7.53	-5.51	1.22	5.25					
4	-7.52	-5.51	1.21	5.11	-2.85				
ល	-7.53	-5.51	1.21	5.31	-2.64	3.45			
9	-7.53	-5.51	1.21	5.40	-2.05	4.42	1.48		
2	-7.53	-5.51	1.21	5.58	-1.66	7.39	2.06	0 ≈	
$\infty$	-7.53	-5.51	1.21	5.68	-1.18	8.83	3.16	1.12	0 ≈
N	$\theta_0$	$ heta_1$	$ heta_2$	$ heta_3$	$ heta_4$	$ heta_5$	$ heta_6$	$ heta_7$	$ heta_8$
	4	2.12							
2	4	2.33	-1.62						
က	4	2.26	-1.67	-5.93					
4	4	2.27	-1.75	-5.83	-9.24				
Ŋ	4	2.27	-1.75	-5.85	-9.29	-11.91			
9	4	2.27	-1.74	-5.83	-9.23	-12.10	-14.26		
2	4	2.27	-1.73	-5.80	-9.21	-12.02	-14.60	-16.46	
$\infty$	4	2.27	-1.72	-5.79	-9.18	-12.01	-14.48	-16.92	-18.59

	<i>6</i> 00	$\mathcal{Y}_1$	1	2 60				-	
	-7.79	-5.87							
2	-7.25	-5.24	1.14						
က	-7.25	-5.29	1.15	4.65					
4	-7.25	-5.29	1.15	4.51	-2.61				
Ŋ	-7.25	-5.29	1.15	4.68	-2.43	2.76			
9	-7.25	-5.29	1.15	4.75	-1.95	3.56	1.16		
4	-7.25	-5.29	1.15	4.90	-1.60	6.07	1.66	0 ≈	
$\infty$	-7.25	-5.29	1.15	5.00	-1.18	7.33	2.58	0 ≈	0 ≈
N	$\theta_0$	$\theta_1$	$\theta_2$	$\theta_3$	$ heta_4$	$ heta_5$	$ heta_6$	$\theta_7$	$\theta_8$
	4	2.13							
5	4	2.36	-1.81						
က	4	2.29	-1.88	-6.31					
4	4	2.29	-1.97	-6.18	-9.66				
ъ	4	2.29	-1.97	-6.22	-9.66	-12.33			
9	4	2.29	-1.96	-6.21	-9.64	-12.49	-14.67		
4	4	2.29	-1.95	-6.18	-9.63	-12.43	-14.99	-16.86	
$\infty$	4	2.29	-1.95	-6.16	-9.59	-12.42	-14.88	-17.31	-18.5

itional scalars where  $N_S = 6$ ,  $N_D = 24$ ,  $N_V = 12$ . The value of couplings smaller than  $10^{-5}$  is indicated by  $\approx 0$ .

130

		00	4	<i>3</i> 0	20	97	<i>y</i> 8
0.28	$\sim$						
0.26	-	3.50					
0.29	_	3.24	-3.32				
0.29	_	3.22	-3.35	0 ≈			
0.29		3.18	-3.56	0 ≈	0 ≈		
0.29		3.23	-3.45	0 ≈	0 ≈	0 ≈	
0.29		3.27	-3.30	0 ≈	0 ≈	0 ≈	0 ≈
$ heta_2$		$ heta_3$	$ heta_4$	$ heta_5$	$ heta_6$	$ heta_7$	$ heta_8$
	1						
-4.98							
- I	:	$03 \pm 3.75i$					
-1	÷	$57 \pm 6.40i$	-17.60				
-1-	~i	$44 \pm 7.65i$	-16.50	-20.79			
-1-	сi	$70 \pm 8.36i$	-17.53	-19.66	-23.06		
- 1	сi	$89 \pm 8.76i$	-18.02	-19.95	-22.41	-25.20	
Ì	12.	$96 \pm 8.96i$	-18.11	-21.38	$\pm 0.71i$	-25.05	-27.31

value of couplings smaller than  $10^{-6}$  is indicated by  $\approx 0$ . Table F.6: FP

## Appendix G

#### **Uniformly Accelerated Frames**

Throughout chapter 6 different coordinates of the worldline of an accelerated observer are used. A uniformly accelerated observer in special relativity is an observer having constant acceleration in the frame in which its instantaneous velocity is zero. The coordinate transformation to the uniformly accelerated frame defines the so-called *Rindler frame*.

Following chapter 8 of [95] the proper time  $\tau$  is used to parameterise the observer's trajectory  $x^{\alpha}(\tau) = (t(\tau), x(\tau), y, z)$  where already the fact has been used that for a motion along the  $x = x^1$ -axis the coordinates  $y = x^2$  and  $z = x^3$  do not change. These two "inert" coordinates will not be displayed explicitly in the following. Denoting with a dot the partial derivative with respect to  $\tau$  it is easy to verify that the "two"-velocity  $(\dot{t}(\tau), \dot{x}(\tau))$  is normalised to one, and that with the metric convention  $ds^2 = dt^2 - dx^2$  one has in any inertial frame  $\ddot{x}_{\alpha}(\tau)\ddot{x}^{\alpha}(\tau) = -a^2$ , where a is the proper constant acceleration. Using the inertial lightcone coordinates  $u \equiv t - x$ and  $v \equiv t + x$  one immediately deduces

$$\dot{u}(\tau)\dot{v}(\tau) = 1, \quad \ddot{u}(\tau)\ddot{v}(\tau) = -a^2, \qquad (G.1)$$

which can be solved straightforwardly,

$$u(\tau) = -\frac{1}{a}e^{-a\tau}, \quad v(\tau) = \frac{1}{a}e^{a\tau}.$$
 (G.2)

Note that the additive integration constant has been put here to zero, the multiplicative one to one. This leads to

$$x(\tau) = \frac{1}{2}(v-u) = a^{-1}\cosh(a\tau), \quad t(\tau) = \frac{1}{2}(v+u)a^{-1}\sinh(a\tau), \quad (G.3)$$

which obviously fulfils  $x(\tau)^2 - t(\tau)^2 = a^{-2}$ . The worldline of an observer with constant proper acceleration a is therefore given by a hyperbola, *cf.* figure G.1.

Again, following chapter 8 of [95] conformally flat comoving coordinates are introduced:

$$x^{0} = \frac{1}{a} e^{a\xi^{1}} \sinh(a\xi^{0}),$$
  

$$x^{1} = \frac{1}{a} e^{a\xi^{1}} \cosh(a\xi^{0}),$$
(G.4)



Figure G.1: The worldline of an observer with constant proper acceleration a (full line). The coordinates  $(x^0 = t, x^1 = x, x^2 = y, x^3 = z)$  are chosen such that the hyperbola lies in the  $(x^0, x^1)$  plan. The sign convention is such that a positive  $ds^2 = (dx^0)^2 - (dx^1)^2 - (dx^2)^2 - (dx^3)^2$  is a timelike distance. The corresponding horizons (boundary of the right Rindler wedge) are displayed as dashed lines. (Dotted lines indicate the lightcones with origin at  $(x^0 = 0, x^1 = 1/a)$ .)

and as  $x^2 = \xi^2$ ,  $x^3 = \xi^3$  these two coordinates will also not be displayed explicitly in the following. A short calculation shows that

$$ds^{2} = (dx^{0})^{2} - (dx^{1})^{2} = e^{2a\xi^{1}} \left( (d\xi^{0})^{2} - (d\xi^{1})^{2} \right).$$
(G.5)

For the hyperbola depicted in figure G.1 one shows straightforwardly that  $\xi^1 = 0$ , *i.e.*,  $\xi^1$  is not only a constant along the trajectory of the observer with constant proper acceleration *a* but it vanishes for the whole trajectory.

The coordinate  $\xi^0$  is the proper time along the trajectory. This can be most straightforwardly seen by the fact that the hyperbola is tangential to the Killing field generated by

$$\partial_{\xi^0} = a(x^1\partial_0 + x^0\partial_1). \tag{G.6}$$

For the calculation presented in chapter 6 one needs an expression for the Lorentz invariant distance for two events along the hyperbola at two different proper times  $\xi^0$  and  $\xi^{0'}$ :

$$(x - x')^{2} = \left( (x^{0} - x^{0'})^{2} - (x^{1} - x^{1'})^{2} \right)_{\xi^{1} = 0}$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{a^{2}} \left( -2 + 2(\cosh(a\xi^{0})\cosh(a\xi^{0'}) - \sinh(a\xi^{0})\sinh(a\xi^{0'})) \right)$   
=  $\frac{1}{a^{2}} \left( -2 + 2\cosh(a(\xi^{0} - \xi^{0'})) \right) = \frac{4}{a^{2}}\sinh^{2}\left(\frac{a}{2}(\xi^{0} - \xi^{0'})\right), \quad (G.7)$ 

respectively,

$$\pm \sqrt{(x-x')^2} = \pm \frac{2}{a} \sinh\left(\frac{a}{2}(\xi^0 - \xi^{0'})\right) \,. \tag{G.8}$$

## **Bibliography**

- [1] ATLAS Collaboration, G. Aad *et al.*, "Observation of a new particle in the search for the Standard Model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC," *Phys. Lett.* B716 (2012) 1–29, arXiv:1207.7214 [hep-ex].
- [2] CMS Collaboration, S. Chatrchyan *et al.*, "Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC," *Phys. Lett.* B716 (2012) 30–61, arXiv:1207.7235 [hep-ex].
- [3] Virgo, LIGO Scientific Collaboration, B. P. Abbott *et al.*, "Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 116 (2016) 061102, arXiv:1602.03837 [gr-qc].
- [4] D. Griffiths, Introduction to elementary particles. Wiley-VCH, 2008.
- [5] W. Rindler, *Relativity: Special, general, and cosmological*. Oxford University Press, 2006.
- [6] W. Pauli and M. Fierz, "On Relativistic Field Equations of Particles With Arbitrary Spin in an Electromagnetic Field," *Helv. Phys. Acta* **12** (1939) 297–300.
- [7] M. Fierz and W. Pauli, "On relativistic wave equations for particles of arbitrary spin in an electromagnetic field," *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond.* A173 (1939) 211–232.
- [8] S. R. Coleman, "The Uses of Instantons," Subnucl. Ser. 15 (1979) 805.
- [9] T. C. Kraan and P. van Baal, "Periodic instantons with nontrivial holonomy," Nucl. Phys. B533 (1998) 627–659, arXiv:hep-th/9805168 [hep-th].
- [10] C. Gattringer and C. B. Lang, "Quantum chromodynamics on the lattice," *Lect. Notes Phys.* 788 (2010) 1–343.
- [11] B. S. DeWitt, "Quantum Theory of Gravity. 2. The Manifestly Covariant Theory," *Phys. Rev.* 162 (1967) 1195–1239.
- [12] L. D. Faddeev and V. N. Popov, "Feynman Diagrams for the Yang-Mills Field," *Phys. Lett.* 25B (1967) 29–30.

- [13] C. Becchi, A. Rouet, and R. Stora, "Renormalization of the Abelian Higgs-Kibble Model," *Commun. Math. Phys.* 42 (1975) 127–162.
- [14] I. V. Tyutin, "Gauge Invariance in Field Theory and Statistical Physics in Operator Formalism," arXiv:0812.0580 [hep-th].
- [15] N. Nakanishi and I. Ojima, "Covariant operator formalism of gauge theories and quantum gravity," *World Sci. Lect. Notes Phys.* 27 (1990) 1–434.
- [16] N. Alkofer and R. Alkofer, "Features of ghost-gluon and ghost-quark bound states related to BRST quartets," *Phys. Lett.* B702 (2011) 158–163, arXiv:1102.2753 [hep-th].
- [17] N. Alkofer and R. Alkofer, "The Non-perturbative BRST quartets generated by transverse gluons or quarks in Landau gauge," *PoS* FACESQCD (2010) 043, arXiv:1102.3119 [hep-th].
- [18] N. Alkofer and R. Alkofer, "Ghost-gluon and ghost-quark bound states and their role in BRST quartets," *PoS* QCD-TNT-II (2011) 002, arXiv:1112.4483 [hep-th].
- [19] N. Alkofer and R. Alkofer, "The non-perturbative BRST quartet mechanism in Landau gauge QCD: Ghost-gluon and ghost-quark bound states," *PoS* ConfinementX (2012) 282, arXiv:1301.5292 [hep-th].
- [20] J. F. Donoghue, "General relativity as an effective field theory: The leading quantum corrections," *Phys. Rev.* D50 (1994) 3874–3888, arXiv:gr-qc/9405057 [gr-qc].
- [21] S. Scherer, "Introduction to chiral perturbation theory," Adv. Nucl. Phys. 27 (2003) 277, arXiv:hep-ph/0210398 [hep-ph].
- [22] K. Huang, Quantum field theory: From operators to path integrals. Wiley-VCH, 1998.
- [23] W. Heisenberg, "Die Grenzen der Anwendbarkeit der bisherigen Quantentheorie," Z. *Phys.* 110 (1938) 251–266.
- [24] G. 't Hooft and M. J. G. Veltman, "One loop divergencies in the theory of gravitation," Ann. Inst. H. Poincare Phys. Theor. A20 (1974) 69–94.
- [25] S. Deser and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, "One Loop Divergences of Quantized Einstein-Maxwell Fields," *Phys. Rev.* D10 (1974) 401.
- [26] M. H. Goroff and A. Sagnotti, "The Ultraviolet Behavior of Einstein Gravity," Nucl. Phys. B266 (1986) 709–736.

- [27] K. S. Stelle, "Renormalization of Higher Derivative Quantum Gravity," Phys. Rev. D16 (1977) 953–969.
- [28] J. Ambjorn, A. Goerlich, J. Jurkiewicz, and R. Loll, "Nonperturbative Quantum Gravity," *Phys. Rept.* **519** (2012) 127–210, arXiv:1203.3591 [hep-th].
- [29] C. Rovelli, "Loop quantum gravity," *Living Rev. Rel.* 1 (1998) 1, arXiv:gr-qc/9710008 [gr-qc].
- [30] A. Ashtekar and J. Lewandowski, "Background independent quantum gravity: A Status report," *Class. Quant. Grav.* **21** (2004) R53, arXiv:gr-qc/0404018 [gr-qc].
- [31] R. Gambini and J. Pullin, *A first course in loop quantum gravity*. Oxford University Press, 2011.
- [32] C. Rovelli and F. Vidotto, *Covariant Loop Quantum Gravity*. Cambridge University Press, 2014.
- [33] C. Wetterich, "Exact evolution equation for the effective potential," *Phys. Lett.* B301 (1993) 90–94, arXiv:1710.05815 [hep-th].
- [34] T. R. Morris, "The Exact renormalization group and approximate solutions," Int. J. Mod. Phys. A9 (1994) 2411–2450, arXiv:hep-ph/9308265 [hep-ph].
- [35] M. Reuter, "Nonperturbative evolution equation for quantum gravity," *Phys. Rev.* D57 (1998) 971–985, arXiv:hep-th/9605030 [hep-th].
- [36] S. Weinberg in: S. W. Hawking and W. Israel, *General Relativity*. Cambridge University Press, 1979.
- [37] M. Niedermaier and M. Reuter, "The Asymptotic Safety Scenario in Quantum Gravity," *Living Rev. Rel.* 9 (2006) 5–173.
- [38] M. Reuter and F. Saueressig, "Quantum Einstein Gravity," New J. Phys. 14 (2012) 055022, arXiv:1202.2274 [hep-th].
- [39] R. Percacci, *An Introduction to Covariant Quantum Gravity and Asymptotic Safety*. World Scientific, 2017.
- [40] L. D. Landau, "On analytic properties of vertex parts in quantum field theory," *Nucl. Phys.* 13 (1959) 181–192.
- [41] M. Gockeler, R. Horsley, V. Linke, P. E. L. Rakow, G. Schierholz, and H. Stuben, "Is there a Landau pole problem in QED?," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 80 (1998) 4119–4122, arXiv:hep-th/9712244 [hep-th].

- [42] S. Nagy, "Lectures on renormalization and asymptotic safety," Annals Phys. 350 (2014) 310–346, arXiv:1211.4151 [hep-th].
- [43] U. Harst and M. Reuter, "QED coupled to QEG," JHEP 05 (2011) 119, arXiv:1101.6007 [hep-th].
- [44] A. Eichhorn and F. Versteegen, "Upper bound on the Abelian gauge coupling from asymptotic safety," *JHEP* 01 (2018) 030, arXiv:1709.07252 [hep-th].
- [45] D. F. Litim and F. Sannino, "Asymptotic safety guaranteed," JHEP 12 (2014) 178, arXiv:1406.2337 [hep-th].
- [46] M. Reuter and H. Weyer, "Quantum gravity at astrophysical distances?," JCAP 0412 (2004) 001, arXiv:hep-th/0410119 [hep-th].
- [47] A. Bonanno and F. Saueressig, "Asymptotically safe cosmology A status report," *Comptes Rendus Physique* **18** (2017) 254–264, arXiv:1702.04137 [hep-th].
- [48] A. Bonanno, A. Platania, and F. Saueressig, "Cosmological bounds on the field content of asymptotically safe gravity-matter models," arXiv:1803.02355 [gr-qc].
- [49] B. Koch and F. Saueressig, "Black holes within Asymptotic Safety," Int. J. Mod. Phys.
   A29 (2014) 1430011, arXiv:1401.4452 [hep-th].
- [50] A. Bonanno, "Black Holes in the Asymptotic Safety Program," *Springer Proc. Phys.* 170 (2016) 145–152.
- [51] F. Saueressig, N. Alkofer, G. D'Odorico, and F. Vidotto, "Black holes in Asymptotically Safe Gravity," *PoS* FFP14 (2016) 174, arXiv:1503.06472 [hep-th].
- [52] O. Lauscher and M. Reuter, "Fractal spacetime structure in asymptotically safe gravity," JHEP 10 (2005) 050, arXiv:hep-th/0508202 [hep-th].
- [53] D. F. Litim, "On fixed points of quantum gravity," AIP Conf. Proc. 841 (2006) 322–329, arXiv:hep-th/0606044 [hep-th].
- [54] M. Reuter and F. Saueressig, "Fractal space-times under the microscope: A Renormalization Group view on Monte Carlo data," JHEP 12 (2011) 012, arXiv:1110.5224 [hep-th].
- [55] S. Rechenberger and F. Saueressig, "The R<sup>2</sup> phase-diagram of QEG and its spectral dimension," *Phys. Rev.* D86 (2012) 024018, arXiv:1206.0657 [hep-th].
- [56] G. Calcagni, A. Eichhorn, and F. Saueressig, "Probing the quantum nature of spacetime by diffusion," *Phys. Rev.* D87 (2013) 124028, arXiv:1304.7247 [hep-th].

- [57] S. Carlip, "Spontaneous Dimensional Reduction in Short-Distance Quantum Gravity?," *AIP Conf. Proc.* **1196** (2009) 72, arXiv:0909.3329 [gr-qc].
- [58] S. Carlip, "Spontaneous Dimensional Reduction?," AIP Conf. Proc. 1483 (2012) 63–72, arXiv:1207.4503 [gr-qc].
- [59] N. Alkofer, "Renormalisation Group for Gravity and Dimensional Reduction," Master's thesis, University of Graz, 2013, arXiv:1809.11018 [gr-qc].
- [60] N. Alkofer, D. F. Litim, and B. Schaefer. In preparation.
- [61] J. Ambjorn, J. Jurkiewicz, and R. Loll, "Spectral dimension of the universe," Phys. Rev. Lett. 95 (2005) 171301, arXiv:hep-th/0505113 [hep-th].
- [62] L. Modesto, "Fractal Structure of Loop Quantum Gravity," Class. Quant. Grav. 26 (2009) 242002, arXiv:0812.2214 [gr-qc].
- [63] F. Caravelli and L. Modesto, "Fractal Dimension in 3d Spin-Foams," arXiv:0905.2170 [gr-qc].
- [64] E. Magliaro, C. Perini, and L. Modesto, "Fractal Space-Time from Spin-Foams," arXiv:0911.0437 [gr-qc].
- [65] G. Calcagni, D. Oriti, and J. Thrigen, "Spectral dimension of quantum geometries," *Class. Quant. Grav.* 31 (2014) 135014, arXiv:1311.3340 [hep-th].
- [66] G. Calcagni, D. Oriti, and J. Thrigen, "Dimensional flow in discrete quantum geometries," *Phys. Rev.* D91 (2015) 084047, arXiv:1412.8390 [hep-th].
- [67] M. Ronco, "On the UV dimensions of Loop Quantum Gravity," Adv. High Energy Phys.
   2016 (2016) 9897051, arXiv:1605.05979 [gr-qc].
- [68] T. P. Sotiriou, M. Visser, and S. Weinfurtner, "Spectral dimension as a probe of the ultraviolet continuum regime of causal dynamical triangulations," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 107 (2011) 131303, arXiv:1105.5646 [gr-qc].
- [69] T. P. Sotiriou, M. Visser, and S. Weinfurtner, "From dispersion relations to spectral dimension - and back again," *Phys. Rev.* D84 (2011) 104018, arXiv:1105.6098 [hep-th].
- [70] A. Eichhorn and S. Mizera, "Spectral dimension in causal set quantum gravity," *Class. Quant. Grav.* **31** (2014) 125007, arXiv:1311.2530 [gr-qc].
- [71] S. Carlip, "Dimensional reduction in causal set gravity," *Class. Quant. Grav.* 32 (2015) 232001, arXiv:1506.08775 [gr-qc].

- [72] A. Belenchia, D. M. T. Benincasa, A. Marciano, and L. Modesto, "Spectral Dimension from Nonlocal Dynamics on Causal Sets," *Phys. Rev.* D93 (2016) 044017, arXiv:1507.00330 [gr-qc].
- [73] D. Benedetti, "Fractal properties of quantum spacetime," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 102 (2009) 111303, arXiv:0811.1396 [hep-th].
- [74] V. Anjana and E. Harikumar, "Spectral dimension of kappa-deformed spacetime," *Phys. Rev.* D91 (2015) 065026, arXiv:1501.00254 [hep-th].
- [75] V. Anjana and E. Harikumar, "Dimensional flow in the kappa-deformed spacetime," *Phys. Rev.* D92 (2015) 045014, arXiv:1504.07773 [hep-th].
- [76] L. Modesto, "Super-renormalizable Quantum Gravity," Phys. Rev. D86 (2012) 044005, arXiv:1107.2403 [hep-th].
- [77] L. Modesto and I. L. Shapiro, "Superrenormalizable quantum gravity with complex ghosts," *Phys. Lett.* **B755** (2016) 279–284, arXiv:1512.07600 [hep-th].
- [78] T. Padmanabhan, S. Chakraborty, and D. Kothawala, "Spacetime with zero point length is two-dimensional at the Planck scale," *Gen. Rel. Grav.* 48 (2016) 55, arXiv:1507.05669 [gr-qc].
- [79] J. J. Atick and E. Witten, "The Hagedorn Transition and the Number of Degrees of Freedom of String Theory," *Nucl. Phys.* B310 (1988) 291–334.
- [80] M. A. Kurkov, F. Lizzi, and D. Vassilevich, "High energy bosons do not propagate," *Phys. Lett.* B731 (2014) 311–315, arXiv:1312.2235 [hep-th].
- [81] N. Alkofer, F. Saueressig, and O. Zanusso, "Spectral dimensions from the spectral action," *Phys. Rev.* D91 (2015) 025025, arXiv:1410.7999 [hep-th].
- [82] G. Amelino-Camelia, M. Arzano, G. Gubitosi, and J. Magueijo, "Dimensional reduction in momentum space and scale-invariant cosmological fluctuations," *Phys. Rev.* D88 (2013) 103524, arXiv:1309.3999 [gr-gc].
- [83] G. Amelino-Camelia, M. Arzano, G. Gubitosi, and J. Magueijo, "Planck-scale dimensional reduction without a preferred frame," *Phys. Lett.* B736 (2014) 317–320, arXiv:1311.3135 [gr-qc].
- [84] J. A. Dietz and T. R. Morris, "Redundant operators in the exact renormalisation group and in the f(R) approximation to asymptotic safety," *JHEP* 07 (2013) 064, arXiv:1306.1223 [hep-th].
- [85] S. Gonzalez-Martin, T. R. Morris, and Z. H. Slade, "Asymptotic solutions in asymptotic safety," *Phys. Rev.* D95 (2017) 106010, arXiv:1704.08873 [hep-th].

- [86] R. Percacci and G. P. Vacca, "Search of scaling solutions in scalar-tensor gravity," *Eur. Phys. J.* C75 (2015) 188, arXiv:1501.00888 [hep-th].
- [87] J. W. York, Jr., "Conformally invariant orthogonal decomposition of symmetric tensors on Riemannian manifolds and the initial value problem of general relativity," *J. Math. Phys.* 14 (1973) 456–464.
- [88] C. Wetterich, "Quantum correlations for the metric," *Phys. Rev.* **D95** (2017) 123525, arXiv:1603.06504 [gr-qc].
- [89] C. Wetterich, "Gauge invariant flow equation," arXiv:1607.02989 [hep-th].
- [90] M. Reuter and C. Wetterich, "Effective average action for gauge theories and exact evolution equations," *Nucl. Phys.* **B417** (1994) 181–214.
- [91] D. F. Litim and J. M. Pawlowski, "On gauge invariant Wilsonian flows," in *The exact renormalization group. Proceedings, Workshop, Faro, Portugal, September 10-12, 1998*, pp. 168–185. 1998. arXiv:hep-th/9901063 [hep-th].
- [92] F. Freire, D. F. Litim, and J. M. Pawlowski, "Gauge invariance and background field formalism in the exact renormalization group," *Phys. Lett.* B495 (2000) 256–262, arXiv:hep-th/0009110 [hep-th].
- [93] D. F. Litim and J. M. Pawlowski, "Wilsonian flows and background fields," *Phys. Lett.* B546 (2002) 279–286, arXiv:hep-th/0208216 [hep-th].
- [94] N. D. Birrell and P. C. W. Davies, *Quantum Fields in Curved Space*. Cambridge University Press, 1984.
- [95] V. Mukhanov and S. Winitzki, *Introduction to quantum effects in gravity*. Cambridge University Press, 2007.
- [96] L. E. Parker and D. Toms, *Quantum Field Theory in Curved Spacetime*. Cambridge University Press, 2009.
- [97] L. H. Ryder, Quantum Field Theory. Cambridge University Press, 1996.
- [98] M. E. Peskin and D. V. Schroeder, *An Introduction to quantum field theory*. Addison-Wesley, 1995.
- [99] V. A. Miransky, *Dynamical symmetry breaking in quantum field theories*. World Scientific, 1994.
- [100] W. G. Unruh, "Notes on black hole evaporation," *Phys. Rev.* **D14** (1976) 870.
- [101] L. C. B. Crispino, A. Higuchi, and G. E. A. Matsas, "The Unruh effect and its applications," *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 80 (2008) 787–838, arXiv:0710.5373 [gr-qc].

- [102] A. Connes, Noncommutative geometry. Academic Press, 1994.
- [103] A. Connes and M. Marcolli, *Noncommutative Geometry, Quantum Fields and Motives*. American Mathematical Society, 2008.
- [104] W. D. van Suijlekom, *Noncommutative geometry and particle physics*. Mathematical Physics Studies. Springer, 2015.
- [105] A. Connes, "C\* algebras and differential geometry," Compt. Rend. Hebd. Seances Acad. Sci. A290 (1980) 599–604, arXiv:hep-th/0101093 [hep-th].
- [106] A. Connes, "Noncommutative geometry and physics," in *Gravitation and quantizations*. *Proceedings*, 57th Session of the Les Houches Summer School in Theoretical Physics, NATO Advanced Study Institute, Les Houches, France, July 5 - August 1, 1992, pp. 805–950. 1992.
- [107] J. Jureit, T. Krajewski, T. Schucker, and C. A. Stephan, "On the noncommutative standard model," Acta Phys. Polon. B38 (2007) 3181–3202, arXiv:0705.0489 [hep-th].
- [108] M. Sakellariadou, "Noncommutative Spectral Geometry: A Short Review," J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 442 (2013) 012015, arXiv:1301.4687 [hep-th].
- [109] K. van den Dungen and W. D. van Suijlekom, "Particle Physics from Almost Commutative Spacetimes," *Rev. Math. Phys.* 24 (2012) 1230004, arXiv:1204.0328 [hep-th].
- [110] A. H. Chamseddine and A. Connes, "Universal formula for noncommutative geometry actions: Unification of gravity and the standard model," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 77 (1996) 4868–4871, arXiv:hep-th/9606056 [hep-th].
- [111] A. H. Chamseddine and A. Connes, "The Spectral action principle," *Commun. Math. Phys.* 186 (1997) 731–750, arXiv:hep-th/9606001 [hep-th].
- [112] A. Connes, "Noncommutative geometry and the standard model with neutrino mixing," JHEP 11 (2006) 081, arXiv:hep-th/0608226 [hep-th].
- [113] A. H. Chamseddine, A. Connes, and M. Marcolli, "Gravity and the standard model with neutrino mixing," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 11 (2007) 991–1089, arXiv:hep-th/0610241 [hep-th].
- [114] A. H. Chamseddine and A. Connes, "Conceptual Explanation for the Algebra in the Noncommutative Approach to the Standard Model," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 99 (2007) 191601, arXiv:0706.3690 [hep-th].

- [115] A. H. Chamseddine and A. Connes, "Resilience of the Spectral Standard Model," *JHEP* 09 (2012) 104, arXiv:1208.1030 [hep-ph].
- [116] C. A. Stephan, "Noncommutative Geometry in the LHC-Era," in Proceedings, 48th Rencontres de Moriond on Electroweak Interactions and Unified Theories: La Thuile, Italy, March 2-9, 2013, pp. 451–458. 2013. arXiv:1305.3066 [hep-ph].
- [117] A. H. Chamseddine and A. Connes, "Noncommutative Geometry as a Framework for Unification of all Fundamental Interactions including Gravity. Part I," *Fortsch. Phys.* 58 (2010) 553–600, arXiv:1004.0464 [hep-th].
- [118] A. Devastato, F. Lizzi, and P. Martinetti, "Grand Symmetry, Spectral Action, and the Higgs mass," *JHEP* 01 (2014) 042, arXiv:1304.0415 [hep-th].
- [119] A. H. Chamseddine, A. Connes, and W. D. van Suijlekom, "Inner Fluctuations in Noncommutative Geometry without the first order condition," J. Geom. Phys. 73 (2013) 222-234, arXiv:1304.7583 [math-ph].
- [120] A. H. Chamseddine, A. Connes, and W. D. van Suijlekom, "Beyond the Spectral Standard Model: Emergence of Pati-Salam Unification," *JHEP* 11 (2013) 132, arXiv:1304.8050 [hep-th].
- [121] S. Ishihara, H. Kataoka, A. Matsukawa, H. Sato, and M. Shimojo, "The minimum supersymmetric standard model on noncommutative geometry," *PTEP* 2015 (2015) 013B01, arXiv:1311.4944 [hep-th].
- [122] W. Beenakker, W. D. van Suijlekom, and T. van den Broek, "Supersymmetry and noncommutative geometry Part I: Supersymmetric almost-commutative geometries," arXiv:1409.5982 [hep-th].
- [123] W. Beenakker, W. D. van Suijlekom, and T. van den Broek, "Supersymmetry and noncommutative geometry Part II: Supersymmetry breaking," arXiv:1409.5983 [hep-th].
- [124] W. Beenakker, W. D. van Suijlekom, and T. van den Broek, "Supersymmetry and noncommutative geometry Part III: The noncommutative supersymmetric Standard Model," arXiv:1409.5984 [hep-th].
- [125] W. D. van Suijlekom, "Renormalization of the spectral action for the Yang-Mills system," JHEP 03 (2011) 146, arXiv:1101.4804 [math-ph].
- [126] W. D. van Suijlekom, "Renormalization of the asymptotically expanded Yang-Mills spectral action," *Commun. Math. Phys.* **312** (2012) 883–912, arXiv:1104.5199 [math-ph].

- [127] W. D. van Suijlekom, "Renormalizability conditions for almost-commutative geometries," *Phys. Lett.* B711 (2012) 434–438, arXiv:1204.4070 [hep-th].
- [128] C. Estrada and M. Marcolli, "Asymptotic safety, hypergeometric functions, and the Higgs mass in spectral action models," *Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys.* 10 (2013) 1350036, arXiv:1208.5023 [hep-th].
- [129] W. D. van Suijlekom, "Renormalizability Conditions for Almost-Commutative Manifolds," *Annales Henri Poincare* 15 (2014) 985–1011.
- [130] W. Nelson, J. Ochoa, and M. Sakellariadou, "Constraining the Noncommutative Spectral Action via Astrophysical Observations," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105** (2010) 101602, arXiv:1005.4279 [hep-th].
- [131] G. Lambiase, M. Sakellariadou, and A. Stabile, "Constraints on NonCommutative Spectral Action from Gravity Probe B and Torsion Balance Experiments," *JCAP* 1312 (2013) 020, arXiv:1302.2336 [gr-qc].
- [132] A. H. Chamseddine, A. Connes, and V. Mukhanov, "Quanta of Geometry: Noncommutative Aspects," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114** (2015) 091302, arXiv:1409.2471 [hep-th].
- [133] F. D'Andrea, F. Lizzi, and P. Martinetti, "Spectral geometry with a cut-off: topological and metric aspects," J. Geom. Phys. 82 (2014) 18–45, arXiv:1305.2605 [math-ph].
- [134] S. Farnsworth and L. Boyle, "Non-Associative Geometry and the Spectral Action Principle," JHEP 07 (2015) 023, arXiv:1303.1782 [hep-th].
- [135] G. Calcagni, "Diffusion in multiscale spacetimes," Phys. Rev. E87 (2013) 012123, arXiv:1205.5046 [hep-th].
- [136] S. Aslanbeigi, M. Saravani, and R. D. Sorkin, "Generalized causal set d'Alembertians," JHEP 06 (2014) 024, arXiv:1403.1622 [hep-th].
- [137] W. Souma, "Nontrivial ultraviolet fixed point in quantum gravity," *Prog. Theor. Phys.* 102 (1999) 181–195, arXiv:hep-th/9907027 [hep-th].
- [138] S. Falkenberg and S. D. Odintsov, "Gauge dependence of the effective average action in Einstein gravity," Int. J. Mod. Phys. A13 (1998) 607–623, arXiv:hep-th/9612019 [hep-th].
- [139] M. Reuter and F. Saueressig, "Renormalization group flow of quantum gravity in the Einstein-Hilbert truncation," *Phys. Rev.* D65 (2002) 065016, arXiv:hep-th/0110054 [hep-th].

- [140] O. Lauscher and M. Reuter, "Ultraviolet fixed point and generalized flow equation of quantum gravity," *Phys. Rev.* D65 (2002) 025013, arXiv:hep-th/0108040 [hep-th].
- [141] D. F. Litim, "Fixed points of quantum gravity," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92** (2004) 201301, arXiv:hep-th/0312114 [hep-th].
- [142] A. Bonanno and M. Reuter, "Proper time flow equation for gravity," JHEP 02 (2005) 035, arXiv:hep-th/0410191 [hep-th].
- [143] A. Eichhorn, H. Gies, and M. M. Scherer, "Asymptotically free scalar curvature-ghost coupling in Quantum Einstein Gravity," *Phys. Rev.* D80 (2009) 104003, arXiv:0907.1828 [hep-th].
- [144] E. Manrique and M. Reuter, "Bimetric Truncations for Quantum Einstein Gravity and Asymptotic Safety," Annals Phys. 325 (2010) 785–815, arXiv:0907.2617 [gr-qc].
- [145] A. Eichhorn and H. Gies, "Ghost anomalous dimension in asymptotically safe quantum gravity," *Phys. Rev.* D81 (2010) 104010, arXiv:1001.5033 [hep-th].
- [146] K. Groh and F. Saueressig, "Ghost wave-function renormalization in Asymptotically Safe Quantum Gravity," J. Phys. A43 (2010) 365403, arXiv:1001.5032 [hep-th].
- [147] E. Manrique, M. Reuter, and F. Saueressig, "Bimetric Renormalization Group Flows in Quantum Einstein Gravity," Annals Phys. 326 (2011) 463–485, arXiv:1006.0099 [hep-th].
- [148] N. Christiansen, D. F. Litim, J. M. Pawlowski, and A. Rodigast, "Fixed points and infrared completion of quantum gravity," *Phys. Lett.* B728 (2014) 114–117, arXiv:1209.4038 [hep-th].
- [149] A. Codello, G. D'Odorico, and C. Pagani, "Consistent closure of renormalization group flow equations in quantum gravity," *Phys. Rev.* D89 (2014) 081701, arXiv:1304.4777 [gr-qc].
- [150] N. Christiansen, B. Knorr, J. M. Pawlowski, and A. Rodigast, "Global Flows in Quantum Gravity," Phys. Rev. D93 (2016) 044036, arXiv:1403.1232 [hep-th].
- [151] D. Becker and M. Reuter, "En route to Background Independence: Broken split-symmetry, and how to restore it with bi-metric average actions," *Annals Phys.* 350 (2014) 225–301, arXiv:1404.4537 [hep-th].

- [152] K. Falls, "Asymptotic safety and the cosmological constant," JHEP 01 (2016) 069, arXiv:1408.0276 [hep-th].
- [153] K. Falls, "Renormalization of Newtons constant," Phys. Rev. D92 (2015) 124057, arXiv:1501.05331 [hep-th].
- [154] N. Christiansen, B. Knorr, J. Meibohm, J. M. Pawlowski, and M. Reichert, "Local Quantum Gravity," Phys. Rev. D92 (2015) 121501, arXiv:1506.07016 [hep-th].
- [155] H. Gies, B. Knorr, and S. Lippoldt, "Generalized Parametrization Dependence in Quantum Gravity," Phys. Rev. D92 (2015) 084020, arXiv:1507.08859 [hep-th].
- [156] D. Benedetti, "Essential nature of Newtons constant in unimodular gravity," Gen. Rel. Grav. 48 (2016) 68, arXiv:1511.06560 [hep-th].
- [157] C. Pagani and M. Reuter, "Composite Operators in Asymptotic Safety," *Phys. Rev.* D95 (2017) 066002, arXiv:1611.06522 [gr-qc].
- [158] T. Denz, J. M. Pawlowski, and M. Reichert, "Towards apparent convergence in asymptotically safe quantum gravity," arXiv:1612.07315 [hep-th].
- [159] K. Falls, "Physical renormalization schemes and asymptotic safety in quantum gravity," *Phys. Rev.* D96 (2017) 126016, arXiv:1702.03577 [hep-th].
- [160] B. Knorr and S. Lippoldt, "Correlation functions on a curved background," *Phys. Rev.* D96 (2017) 065020, arXiv:1707.01397 [hep-th].
- [161] O. Lauscher and M. Reuter, "Flow equation of quantum Einstein gravity in a higher derivative truncation," *Phys. Rev.* D66 (2002) 025026, arXiv:hep-th/0205062 [hep-th].
- [162] M. Reuter and F. Saueressig, "A Class of nonlocal truncations in quantum Einstein gravity and its renormalization group behavior," *Phys. Rev.* D66 (2002) 125001, arXiv:hep-th/0206145 [hep-th].
- [163] A. Codello and R. Percacci, "Fixed points of higher derivative gravity," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 97 (2006) 221301, arXiv:hep-th/0607128 [hep-th].
- [164] A. Codello, R. Percacci, and C. Rahmede, "Ultraviolet properties of f(R)-gravity," Int. J. Mod. Phys. A23 (2008) 143–150, arXiv:0705.1769 [hep-th].
- [165] P. F. Machado and F. Saueressig, "On the renormalization group flow of f(R)-gravity," *Phys. Rev.* D77 (2008) 124045, arXiv:0712.0445 [hep-th].

- [166] A. Codello, R. Percacci, and C. Rahmede, "Investigating the Ultraviolet Properties of Gravity with a Wilsonian Renormalization Group Equation," *Annals Phys.* 324 (2009) 414–469, arXiv:0805.2909 [hep-th].
- [167] M. R. Niedermaier, "Gravitational Fixed Points from Perturbation Theory," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 103 (2009) 101303.
- [168] D. Benedetti, P. F. Machado, and F. Saueressig, "Asymptotic safety in higher-derivative gravity," *Mod. Phys. Lett.* A24 (2009) 2233–2241, arXiv:0901.2984 [hep-th].
- [169] D. Benedetti, P. F. Machado, and F. Saueressig, "Taming perturbative divergences in asymptotically safe gravity," *Nucl. Phys.* B824 (2010) 168–191, arXiv:0902.4630 [hep-th].
- [170] D. Benedetti, P. F. Machado, and F. Saueressig, "Four-derivative interactions in asymptotically safe gravity," arXiv:0909.3265 [hep-th].
- [171] D. Benedetti, K. Groh, P. F. Machado, and F. Saueressig, "The Universal RG Machine," JHEP 06 (2011) 079, arXiv:1012.3081 [hep-th].
- [172] N. Ohta and R. Percacci, "Higher Derivative Gravity and Asymptotic Safety in Diverse Dimensions," *Class. Quant. Grav.* **31** (2014) 015024, arXiv:1308.3398 [hep-th].
- [173] K. Falls, D. F. Litim, K. Nikolakopoulos, and C. Rahmede, "A bootstrap towards asymptotic safety," arXiv:1301.4191 [hep-th].
- [174] D. Benedetti, "On the number of relevant operators in asymptotically safe gravity," *EPL* 102 (2013) 20007, arXiv:1301.4422 [hep-th].
- [175] K. Falls, D. F. Litim, K. Nikolakopoulos, and C. Rahmede, "Further evidence for asymptotic safety of quantum gravity," *Phys. Rev.* D93 (2016) 104022, arXiv:1410.4815 [hep-th].
- [176] A. Eichhorn, "The Renormalization Group flow of unimodular f(R) gravity," JHEP 04 (2015) 096, arXiv:1501.05848 [gr-qc].
- [177] N. Ohta, R. Percacci, and G. P. Vacca, "Flow equation for f(R) gravity and some of its exact solutions," *Phys. Rev.* D92 (2015) 061501, arXiv:1507.00968 [hep-th].
- [178] K. Falls, D. F. Litim, K. Nikolakopoulos, and C. Rahmede, "On de Sitter solutions in asymptotically safe f(R) theories," arXiv:1607.04962 [gr-qc].
- [179] K. Falls and N. Ohta, "Renormalization Group Equation for f(R) gravity on hyperbolic spaces," *Phys. Rev.* **D94** (2016) 084005, arXiv:1607.08460 [hep-th].

- [180] N. Christiansen, "Four-Derivative Quantum Gravity Beyond Perturbation Theory," arXiv:1612.06223 [hep-th].
- [181] D. Becker, C. Ripken, and F. Saueressig, "On avoiding Ostrogradski instabilities within Asymptotic Safety," *JHEP* **12** (2017) 121, arXiv:1709.09098 [hep-th].
- [182] M. Reuter and H. Weyer, "Conformal sector of Quantum Einstein Gravity in the local potential approximation: Non-Gaussian fixed point and a phase of unbroken diffeomorphism invariance," *Phys. Rev.* D80 (2009) 025001, arXiv:0804.1475 [hep-th].
- [183] D. Benedetti and F. Caravelli, "The Local potential approximation in quantum gravity," JHEP 06 (2012) 017, arXiv:1204.3541 [hep-th]. [Erratum: JHEP10 (2012) 157].
- [184] M. Demmel, F. Saueressig, and O. Zanusso, "Fixed-Functionals of three-dimensional Quantum Einstein Gravity," *JHEP* **11** (2012) 131, arXiv:1208.2038 [hep-th].
- [185] J. A. Dietz and T. R. Morris, "Asymptotic safety in the f(R) approximation," JHEP 01 (2013) 108, arXiv:1211.0955 [hep-th].
- [186] I. H. Bridle, J. A. Dietz, and T. R. Morris, "The local potential approximation in the background field formalism," *JHEP* 03 (2014) 093, arXiv:1312.2846 [hep-th].
- [187] M. Demmel, F. Saueressig, and O. Zanusso, "RG flows of Quantum Einstein Gravity on maximally symmetric spaces," JHEP 06 (2014) 026, arXiv:1401.5495 [hep-th].
- [188] M. Demmel, F. Saueressig, and O. Zanusso, "RG flows of Quantum Einstein Gravity in the linear-geometric approximation," *Annals Phys.* 359 (2015) 141–165, arXiv:1412.7207 [hep-th].
- [189] M. Demmel, F. Saueressig, and O. Zanusso, "A proper fixed functional for four-dimensional Quantum Einstein Gravity," *JHEP* 08 (2015) 113, arXiv:1504.07656 [hep-th].
- [190] N. Ohta, R. Percacci, and G. P. Vacca, "Renormalization Group Equation and scaling solutions for f(R) gravity in exponential parametrization," *Eur. Phys. J.* C76 (2016) 46, arXiv:1511.09393 [hep-th].
- [191] P. Labus, T. R. Morris, and Z. H. Slade, "Background independence in a background dependent renormalization group," *Phys. Rev.* D94 (2016) 024007, arXiv:1603.04772 [hep-th].

- [192] J. A. Dietz, T. R. Morris, and Z. H. Slade, "Fixed point structure of the conformal factor field in quantum gravity," *Phys. Rev.* D94 (2016) 124014, arXiv:1605.07636 [hep-th].
- [193] N. Christiansen, K. Falls, J. M. Pawlowski, and M. Reichert, "Curvature dependence of quantum gravity," arXiv:1711.09259 [hep-th].
- [194] B. Knorr, "Infinite order quantum-gravitational correlations," arXiv:1710.07055 [hep-th].
- [195] K. G. Falls, C. S. King, D. F. Litim, K. Nikolakopoulos, and C. Rahmede, "Asymptotic safety of quantum gravity beyond Ricci scalars," arXiv:1801.00162 [hep-th].
- [196] H. Gies, B. Knorr, S. Lippoldt, and F. Saueressig, "Gravitational Two-Loop Counterterm Is Asymptotically Safe," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116** (2016) 211302, arXiv:1601.01800 [hep-th].
- [197] E. Manrique, S. Rechenberger, and F. Saueressig, "Asymptotically Safe Lorentzian Gravity," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106** (2011) 251302, arXiv:1102.5012 [hep-th].
- [198] S. Rechenberger and F. Saueressig, "A functional renormalization group equation for foliated spacetimes," *JHEP* 03 (2013) 010, arXiv:1212.5114 [hep-th].
- [199] J. Biemans, A. Platania, and F. Saueressig, "Quantum gravity on foliated spacetimes: Asymptotically safe and sound," *Phys. Rev.* D95 (2017) 086013, arXiv:1609.04813 [hep-th].
- [200] J. Biemans, A. Platania, and F. Saueressig, "Renormalization group fixed points of foliated gravity-matter systems," JHEP 05 (2017) 093, arXiv:1702.06539 [hep-th].
- [201] W. B. Houthoff, A. Kurov, and F. Saueressig, "Impact of topology in foliated Quantum Einstein Gravity," *Eur. Phys. J.* C77 (2017) 491, arXiv:1705.01848 [hep-th].
- [202] D. Dou and R. Percacci, "The running gravitational couplings," Class. Quant. Grav. 15 (1998) 3449–3468, arXiv:hep-th/9707239 [hep-th].
- [203] R. Percacci and D. Perini, "Constraints on matter from asymptotic safety," *Phys. Rev.* D67 (2003) 081503, arXiv:hep-th/0207033 [hep-th].
- [204] G. Narain and R. Percacci, "Renormalization Group Flow in Scalar-Tensor Theories. I," *Class. Quant. Grav.* 27 (2010) 075001, arXiv:0911.0386 [hep-th].
- [205] J. E. Daum, U. Harst, and M. Reuter, "Non-perturbative QEG Corrections to the Yang-Mills Beta Function," *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* (2011) 2393, arXiv:1005.1488 [hep-th].

- [206] S. Folkerts, D. F. Litim, and J. M. Pawlowski, "Asymptotic freedom of Yang-Mills theory with gravity," *Phys. Lett.* B709 (2012) 234–241, arXiv:1101.5552 [hep-th].
- [207] A. Eichhorn and H. Gies, "Light fermions in quantum gravity," New J. Phys. 13 (2011) 125012, arXiv:1104.5366 [hep-th].
- [208] A. Eichhorn, "Quantum-gravity-induced matter self-interactions in the asymptotic-safety scenario," *Phys. Rev.* D86 (2012) 105021, arXiv:1204.0965 [gr-qc].
- [209] P. Dona and R. Percacci, "Functional renormalization with fermions and tetrads," *Phys. Rev.* D87 (2013) 045002, arXiv:1209.3649 [hep-th].
- [210] T. Henz, J. M. Pawlowski, A. Rodigast, and C. Wetterich, "Dilaton Quantum Gravity," *Phys. Lett.* B727 (2013) 298–302, arXiv:1304.7743 [hep-th].
- [211] P. Don, A. Eichhorn, and R. Percacci, "Matter matters in asymptotically safe quantum gravity," *Phys. Rev.* D89 (2014) 084035, arXiv:1311.2898 [hep-th].
- [212] P. Labus, R. Percacci, and G. P. Vacca, "Asymptotic safety in O(N) scalar models coupled to gravity," Phys. Lett. B753 (2016) 274–281, arXiv:1505.05393 [hep-th].
- [213] K. Oda and M. Yamada, "Non-minimal coupling in Higgs Yukawa model with asymptotically safe gravity," *Class. Quant. Grav.* 33 (2016) 125011, arXiv:1510.03734 [hep-th].
- [214] J. Meibohm, J. M. Pawlowski, and M. Reichert, "Asymptotic safety of gravity-matter systems," *Phys. Rev.* D93 (2016) 084035, arXiv:1510.07018 [hep-th].
- [215] P. Dona, A. Eichhorn, P. Labus, and R. Percacci, "Asymptotic safety in an interacting system of gravity and scalar matter," *Phys. Rev.* D93 (2016) 044049, arXiv:1512.01589 [gr-qc]. [Erratum: Phys. Rev.D93 (2016) 129904].
- [216] J. Meibohm and J. M. Pawlowski, "Chiral fermions in asymptotically safe quantum gravity," *Eur. Phys. J.* C76 (2016) 285, arXiv:1601.04597 [hep-th].
- [217] A. Eichhorn, A. Held, and J. M. Pawlowski, "Quantum-gravity effects on a Higgs-Yukawa model," *Phys. Rev.* D94 (2016) 104027, arXiv:1604.02041 [hep-th].
- [218] T. Henz, J. M. Pawlowski, and C. Wetterich, "Scaling solutions for Dilaton Quantum Gravity," *Phys. Lett.* **B769** (2017) 105–110, arXiv:1605.01858 [hep-th].

- [219] A. Eichhorn and S. Lippoldt, "Quantum gravity and Standard-Model-like fermions," *Phys. Lett.* B767 (2017) 142–146, arXiv:1611.05878 [gr-qc].
- [220] N. Christiansen and A. Eichhorn, "An asymptotically safe solution to the U(1) triviality problem," *Phys. Lett.* **B770** (2017) 154–160, arXiv:1702.07724 [hep-th].
- [221] A. Eichhorn and A. Held, "Viability of quantum-gravity induced ultraviolet completions for matter," *Phys. Rev.* **D96** (2017) 086025, arXiv:1705.02342 [gr-qc].
- [222] N. Christiansen, A. Eichhorn, and A. Held, "Is scale-invariance in gauge-Yukawa systems compatible with the graviton?," *Phys. Rev.* D96 (2017) 084021, arXiv:1705.01858 [hep-th].
- [223] A. Eichhorn and A. Held, "Top mass from asymptotic safety," *Phys. Lett.* B777 (2018) 217–221, arXiv:1707.01107 [hep-th].
- [224] A. Eichhorn, "Status of the asymptotic safety paradigm for quantum gravity and matter," in *Black Holes, Gravitational Waves and Spacetime Singularities Rome, Italy, May 9-12, 2017.* 2017. arXiv:1709.03696 [gr-qc].
- [225] N. Christiansen, D. F. Litim, J. M. Pawlowski, and M. Reichert, "One force to rule them all: asymptotic safety of gravity with matter," arXiv:1710.04669 [hep-th].
- [226] J. Schröder, *Aspects of Quantum Gravity and Matter*. PhD thesis, University of Sussex, 2015.
- [227] J. Berges, N. Tetradis, and C. Wetterich, "Nonperturbative renormalization flow in quantum field theory and statistical physics," *Phys. Rept.* 363 (2002) 223–386, arXiv:hep-ph/0005122 [hep-ph].
- [228] J. M. Pawlowski, "Aspects of the functional renormalisation group," Annals Phys. 322 (2007) 2831–2915, arXiv:hep-th/0512261 [hep-th].
- [229] H. Gies, "Introduction to the functional RG and applications to gauge theories," *Lect. Notes Phys.* **852** (2012) 287–348, arXiv:hep-ph/0611146 [hep-ph].
- [230] B. Delamotte, "An Introduction to the nonperturbative renormalization group," Lect. Notes Phys. 852 (2012) 49–132, arXiv:cond-mat/0702365 [cond-mat.stat-mech].
- [231] P. Kopietz, L. Bartosch, and F. Schütz, "Introduction to the functional renormalization group," *Lect. Notes Phys.* **798** (2010) 1–380.
- [232] J. Polonyi and A. Schwenk, "Renormalization group and effective field theory approaches to many-body systems," *Lect. Notes Phys.* **852** (2012) 1.

- [233] D. F. Litim, "Optimization of the exact renormalization group," *Phys. Lett.* B486 (2000) 92–99, arXiv:hep-th/0005245 [hep-th].
- [234] D. F. Litim, "Optimized renormalization group flows," Phys. Rev. D64 (2001) 105007, arXiv:hep-th/0103195 [hep-th].
- [235] S. Weinberg, "What is quantum field theory, and what did we think it is?," in Conceptual foundations of quantum field theory. Proceedings, Symposium and Workshop, Boston, USA, March 1-3, 1996, pp. 241–251. arXiv:hep-th/9702027 [hep-th].
- [236] D. F. Litim, "Renormalisation group and the Planck scale," *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond.* A369 (2011) 2759–2778, arXiv:1102.4624 [hep-th].
- [237] R. Percacci, "A Short introduction to asymptotic safety," in *Time and Matter: Proceedings, 3rd International Conference, TAM2010, Budva, Montenegro, 4-8 October, 2010, pp. 123–142. 2011.* arXiv:1110.6389 [hep-th].
- [238] P. Fischer and D. F. Litim, "Fixed points of quantum gravity in extra dimensions," *Phys. Lett.* B638 (2006) 497–502, arXiv:hep-th/0602203 [hep-th].
- [239] M. A. Rubin and C. R. Ordonez, "Symmetric Tensor Eigen Spectrum of the Laplacian on *n* Spheres," *J. Math. Phys.* **26** (1985) 65.
- [240] R. Camporesi and A. Higuchi, "On the Eigen functions of the Dirac operator on spheres and real hyperbolic spaces," J. Geom. Phys. 20 (1996) 1–18, arXiv:gr-qc/9505009 [gr-qc].
- [241] D. Benedetti, "Asymptotic safety goes on shell," New J. Phys. 14 (2012) 015005, arXiv:1107.3110 [hep-th].
- [242] C. Wetterich, "Graviton fluctuations erase the cosmological constant," *Phys. Lett.* **B773** (2017) 6–19, arXiv:1704.08040 [gr-qc].
- [243] G. Teschl, "Ordinary Differential Equations and Dynamical Systems." http://www.mat.univie.ac.at/~gerald/ftp/book-ode/, 2012.
- [244] M. Reuter and F. Saueressig, "Quantum Gravity and the Functional Renormalization Group - the Road towards Asymptotic Safety." In preparation.
- [245] B. Iochum, C. Levy, and D. Vassilevich, "Spectral action beyond the weak-field approximation," *Commun. Math. Phys.* **316** (2012) 595–613, arXiv:1108.3749 [hep-th].
- [246] D. V. Vassilevich, "Heat kernel expansion: User's manual," *Phys. Rept.* 388 (2003) 279–360, arXiv:hep-th/0306138 [hep-th].

- [247] A. O. Barvinsky and G. A. Vilkovisky, "Beyond the Schwinger-Dewitt Technique: Converting Loops Into Trees and In-In Currents," *Nucl. Phys.* **B282** (1987) 163–188.
- [248] A. O. Barvinsky and G. A. Vilkovisky, "Covariant perturbation theory. 2: Second order in the curvature. General algorithms," *Nucl. Phys.* **B333** (1990) 471–511.
- [249] A. Codello and O. Zanusso, "On the non-local heat kernel expansion," J. Math. Phys. 54 (2013) 013513, arXiv:1203.2034 [math-ph].
- [250] A. Devastato, "Spectral Action and Gravitational effects at the Planck scale," *Phys. Lett.* **B730** (2014) 36–41, arXiv:1309.5973 [hep-th].
- [251] G. Calcagni, L. Modesto, and G. Nardelli, "Quantum spectral dimension in quantum field theory," Int. J. Mod. Phys. D25 (2016) 1650058, arXiv:1408.0199 [hep-th].
- [252] E. Alesci and M. Arzano, "Anomalous dimension in semiclassical gravity," *Phys. Lett.* B707 (2012) 272–277, arXiv:1108.1507 [gr-qc].
- [253] S. A. Fulling, "Nonuniqueness of canonical field quantization in Riemannian space-time," *Phys. Rev.* D7 (1973) 2850–2862.
- [254] P. C. W. Davies, "Scalar particle production in Schwarzschild and Rindler metrics," J. Phys. A8 (1975) 609–616.
- [255] S. Takagi, "Vacuum noise and stress induced by uniform accelerator: Hawking-Unruh effect in Rindler manifold of arbitrary dimensions," *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* 88 (1986) 1–142.
- [256] E. T. Akhmedov and D. Singleton, "On the relation between Unruh and Sokolov-Ternov effects," Int. J. Mod. Phys. A22 (2007) 4797–4823, arXiv:hep-ph/0610391 [hep-ph].
- [257] E. T. Akhmedov and D. Singleton, "On the physical meaning of the Unruh effect," *Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* 86 (2007) 702–706, arXiv:0705.2525 [hep-th]. [JETP Lett.86,615(2007)].
- [258] D. Kharzeev and K. Tuchin, "From color glass condensate to quark gluon plasma through the event horizon," *Nucl. Phys.* A753 (2005) 316–334, arXiv:hep-ph/0501234 [hep-ph].
- [259] E. Martin-Martinez, I. Fuentes, and R. B. Mann, "Using Berry's phase to detect the Unruh effect at lower accelerations," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107** (2011) 131301, arXiv:1012.2208 [quant-ph].

- [260] I. Agullo, J. Navarro-Salas, G. J. Olmo, and L. Parker, "Acceleration radiation, transition probabilities, and trans-Planckian physics," *New J. Phys.* **12** (2010) 095017, arXiv:1010.4004 [gr-qc].
- [261] A. Wipf, "Quantum fields near black holes," Lect. Notes Phys. 514 (1998) 385–415, arXiv:hep-th/9801025 [hep-th].
- [262] W. G. Unruh and N. Weiss, "Acceleration Radiation in Interacting Field Theories," *Phys. Rev.* D29 (1984) 1656.
- [263] M. Rinaldi, "Superluminal dispersion relations and the Unruh effect," *Phys. Rev.* D77 (2008) 124029, arXiv:0802.0618 [gr-qc].
- [264] P. Nicolini and M. Rinaldi, "A Minimal length versus the Unruh effect," Phys. Lett. B695 (2011) 303–306, arXiv:0910.2860 [hep-th].
- [265] V. Husain and J. Louko, "Low energy Lorentz violation from modified dispersion at high energies," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116** (2016) 061301, arXiv:1508.05338 [gr-qc].
- [266] W. G. Unruh and R. M. Wald, "What happens when an accelerating observer detects a Rindler particle," *Phys. Rev.* D29 (1984) 1047–1056.
- [267] B. S. deWitt in: S. W. Hawking and W. Israel, *General Relativity*. Cambridge University Press, 1979.
- [268] G. L. Sewell, "Quantum fields on manifolds: PCT and gravitationally induced thermal states," *Annals Phys.* **141** (1982) 201–224.
- [269] J. Louko. Personal communication.
- [270] R. Oehme and W. Zimmermann, "Quark and Gluon Propagators in Quantum Chromodynamics," *Phys. Rev.* **D21** (1980) 471.
- [271] R. Alkofer, W. Detmold, C. S. Fischer, and P. Maris, "Analytic properties of the Landau gauge gluon and quark propagators," *Phys. Rev.* D70 (2004) 014014, arXiv:hep-ph/0309077 [hep-ph].
- [272] A. Cucchieri, T. Mendes, and A. R. Taurines, "Positivity violation for the lattice Landau gluon propagator," *Phys. Rev.* D71 (2005) 051902, arXiv:hep-lat/0406020 [hep-lat].
- [273] S. Strauss, C. S. Fischer, and C. Kellermann, "Analytic structure of the Landau gauge gluon propagator," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109** (2012) 252001, arXiv:1208.6239 [hep-ph].

- [274] D. Dudal, O. Oliveira, and P. J. Silva, "Källén-Lehmann spectroscopy for (un)physical degrees of freedom," *Phys. Rev.* D89 (2014) 014010, arXiv:1310.4069 [hep-lat].
- [275] D. Chiou, "Response of the Unruh-DeWitt detector in flat spacetime with a compact dimension," arXiv:1605.06656 [gr-qc].
- [276] M. A. Kurkov, F. Lizzi, M. Sakellariadou, and A. Watcharangkool, "Spectral action with zeta function regularization," *Phys. Rev.* D91 (2015) 065013, arXiv:1412.4669 [hep-th].
- [277] A. Belenchia, D. M. T. Benincasa, and S. Liberati, "Nonlocal Scalar Quantum Field Theory from Causal Sets," *JHEP* 03 (2015) 036, arXiv:1411.6513 [gr-qc].
- [278] F. Versteegen, "Quantum Gravity corrections to the Unruh effect," Master's thesis, Radboud University, 2016.
- [279] H. Falcke and S. B. Markoff, "Toward the event horizon? The supermassive black hole in the Galactic Center," *Class. Quant. Grav.* **30** (2013) 244003, arXiv:1311.1841 [astro-ph.HE].
- [280] A. Bonanno and M. Reuter, "Quantum gravity effects near the null black hole singularity," *Phys. Rev.* D60 (1999) 084011, arXiv:gr-qc/9811026 [gr-qc].
- [281] A. Bonanno and M. Reuter, "Renormalization group improved black hole space-times," *Phys. Rev.* D62 (2000) 043008, arXiv:hep-th/0002196 [hep-th].
- [282] K. Falls and D. F. Litim, "Black hole thermodynamics under the microscope," *Phys. Rev.* D89 (2014) 084002, arXiv:1212.1821 [gr-qc].
- [283] W. Dittrich and M. Reuter, "Effective Lagrangians in Quantum Electrodynamics," *Lect. Notes Phys.* 220 (1985) 1–244.
- [284] S. A. Hayward, "Formation and evaporation of regular black holes," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 96 (2006) 031103, arXiv:gr-qc/0506126 [gr-qc].
- [285] J. F. Donoghue, "Leading quantum correction to the Newtonian potential," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 72 (1994) 2996–2999, arXiv:gr-qc/9310024 [gr-qc].
- [286] B. Koch and F. Saueressig, "Structural aspects of asymptotically safe black holes," *Class. Quant. Grav.* 31 (2014) 015006, arXiv:1306.1546 [hep-th].
- [287] A. Bonanno and M. Reuter, "Spacetime structure of an evaporating black hole in quantum gravity," *Phys. Rev.* D73 (2006) 083005, arXiv:hep-th/0602159 [hep-th].

- [288] M. Reuter and E. Tuiran, "Quantum Gravity Effects in Rotating Black Holes," in *Recent developments in theoretical and experimental general relativity, gravitation and relativistic field theories. Proceedings, 11th Marcel Grossmann Meeting, MG11, Berlin, Germany, July 23-29, 2006. Pt. A-C, pp. 2608–2610. 2006.* arXiv:hep-th/0612037 [hep-th].
- [289] M. Reuter and E. Tuiran, "Quantum Gravity Effects in the Kerr Spacetime," Phys. Rev. D83 (2011) 044041, arXiv:1009.3528 [hep-th].
- [290] D. Becker and M. Reuter, "Running boundary actions, Asymptotic Safety, and black hole thermodynamics," *JHEP* 07 (2012) 172, arXiv:1205.3583 [hep-th].
- [291] D. Becker and M. Reuter, "Asymptotic Safety and Black Hole Thermodynamics," in Proceedings, 13th Marcel Grossmann Meeting on Recent Developments in Theoretical and Experimental General Relativity, Astrophysics, and Relativistic Field Theories (MG13): Stockholm, Sweden, July 1-7, 2012, pp. 2230–2232. 2015. arXiv:1212.4274 [hep-th].
- [292] D. F. Litim and K. Nikolakopoulos, "Quantum gravity effects in Myers-Perry space-times," *JHEP* 04 (2014) 021, arXiv:1308.5630 [hep-th].
- [293] L. J. Garay, "Quantum gravity and minimum length," Int. J. Mod. Phys. A10 (1995) 145–166, arXiv:gr-qc/9403008 [gr-qc].
- [294] I. Agullo, J. Navarro-Salas, G. J. Olmo, and L. Parker, "Insensitivity of Hawking radiation to an invariant Planck-scale cutoff," *Phys. Rev.* D80 (2009) 047503, arXiv:0906.5315 [gr-qc].
- [295] S. Carlip and D. Grumiller, "Lower bound on the spectral dimension near a black hole," *Phys. Rev.* D84 (2011) 084029, arXiv:1108.4686 [gr-qc].
- [296] P. Chen, Y. C. Ong, and D. Yeom, "Black Hole Remnants and the Information Loss Paradox," *Phys. Rept.* **603** (2015) 1–45, arXiv:1412.8366 [gr-qc].
- [297] C. Rovelli and F. Vidotto, "Planck stars," Int. J. Mod. Phys. **D23** (2014) 1442026, arXiv:1401.6562 [gr-qc].
- [298] T. De Lorenzo, C. Pacilio, C. Rovelli, and S. Speziale, "On the Effective Metric of a Planck Star," *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **47** (2015) 41, arXiv:1412.6015 [gr-qc].
- [299] H. M. Haggard and C. Rovelli, "Quantum-gravity effects outside the horizon spark black to white hole tunneling," *Phys. Rev.* D92 (2015) 104020, arXiv:1407.0989 [gr-qc].
- [300] A. Barrau and C. Rovelli, "Planck star phenomenology," *Phys. Lett.* **B739** (2014) 405–409, arXiv:1404.5821 [gr-qc].
- [301] A. Barrau, C. Rovelli, and F. Vidotto, "Fast Radio Bursts and White Hole Signals," *Phys. Rev.* **D90** (2014) 127503, arXiv:1409.4031 [gr-qc].
- [302] D. F. Litim, "Fixed Points of Quantum Gravity and the Renormalisation Group," arXiv:0810.3675 [hep-th]. [PoSQG-Ph,024(2007)].
- [303] K. Falconer, "Fractal Geometry: Mathematical Foundations and Applications," *John Wiley & Sons* (2014).
- [304] D. Ben-Avraham and S. Havlin, "Diffusion and Reactions in Fractals and Disordered Systems," *Cambridge University Press* (2005).
- [305] N. Alkofer and F. Saueressig, "Asymptotically safe f(R)-gravity coupled to matter I: the polynomial case," Annals Phys. **396** (2018) 173 arXiv:1802.00498[hep-th].
- [306] N. Alkofer, "Asymptotically Safe f(R)-Gravity Coupled to Matter II: Global Solutions," arXiv:1809.06162 [hep-th].
- [307] N. Alkofer, G. D'Odorico, F. Saueressig and F. Versteegen, "Quantum Gravity signatures in the Unruh effect," *Phys. Rev.* D94 (2016) 104055 arXiv:1605.08015 [gr-qc].

## Acknowledgements

In the last four years many persons have contributed to the success of this thesis. Let me start by thanking Renate Loll for giving me the possibility to work in her group and my adviser, Frank Saueressig, for suggesting the many interesting topics which became part of this exciting journey, and his valuable input. In addition I am grateful to both of them for a critical reading of my thesis and their comments.

While working on the different projects I had the pleasure to discuss with many different outstanding physicists, and I would like to take the opportunity to explicitly thank some of them here: Daniel Becker, Tuğba Büyükbeşe, Holger Gies, Benjamin Knorr, Daniel F. Litim, Jan M. Pawlowski, Roberto Percacci, Chris Ripken, Hèlios Sanchis Alepuz, Fleur Versteegen and Omar Zanusso.

Hereby I would like to especially thank Jan M. Pawlowski for all the helpful and insightful discussions. I really enjoyed all the thrilling discussions with Jan, being about physics or not, they were always very enjoyable, specially the ones at late hours!

My thanks also go to my colleagues in the quantum gravity group in Nijmegen, where in particular I want to mention my office mate, Chris, with whom I had a really nice time together, and Reiko Toriumi for the fun times at the Cultuurcafé.

As one can easily be swamped by a lot of work, I am really thankful to my (non-physicists) friends, Daphne Broeks and Leonie Lautz, who brought me back to Earth and all the fun we had together.

Furthermore, I thank cordially the manuscript commission for the critical reading and comments to my thesis.

And of course, I am very grateful to the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) within the Foundation for Fundamental Research on Matter (FOM) grant 13PR3137 for all the financial support.

Last but not least, I am in deep gratitude to my family and to my husband for all their support and providing mental strength.

## **Curriculum Vitae**

## **Personal Information**

Date of BirthMay 6th, 1987Place of BirthNiterói, RJ, Brazil

## Education

2002–2004	Colégio Rede MV1 (High School), Brazil
2005–2009	Bachelor of Science in Physics at the Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro and Karl-Franzens-Universität Graz; Bachelor Thesis: "Applying the Worm Algorithm to the 2D Ising Model"; adviser: Christof Gattringer
2010–2013	Master of Science in Physics at the Karl-Franzens-Universität Graz; Master Thesis: "Renormalisation Group for Gravity and Di- mensional Reduction"; adviser: Daniel F. Litim (University of Sus- sex, UK) and co-adviser: Bernd-Jochen Schaefer (Karl-Franzens- Universität Graz)
2014–2018	Doctoral candidate in Physics at the Radboud Universiteit Nijme- gen; PhD Thesis: "Quantum Gravity from Fundamental Questions to Phenomenological Applications"; adviser: Frank S. Saueressig