

The twisting procedure

Vladimir Dotsenko

Sergey Shadrin

Bruno Vallette

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TRINITY COLLEGE, DUBLIN 2, IRELAND

E-mail address: `vdots@maths.tcd.ie`

KORTEWEG-DE VRIES INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF AMSTERDAM, P. O. BOX 94248, 1090 GE AMSTERDAM, THE NETHERLANDS

E-mail address: `s.shadrin@uva.nl`

LABORATOIRE ANALYSE, GÉOMÉTRIE ET APPLICATIONS, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS NORD 13, SORBONNE PARIS CITÉ, CNRS, UMR 7539, 93430 VILLETANEUSE, FRANCE.

E-mail address: `vallette@math.univ-paris13.fr`

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 18D50; Secondary 13D10, 17B55, 16W60

Key words and phrases. Operads, deformation theory, twisting procedure, homotopy associative algebras, homotopy Lie algebras.

S.S. was supported by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research. B.V. was supported by the IUF and the grant ANR-14-CE25-0008-01 project SAT.

ABSTRACT. This paper provides a conceptual study of the twisting procedure, which amounts to create functorially new differential graded Lie algebras, associative algebras or operads (as well as their homotopy versions) from a Maurer–Cartan element. On the way, we settle the integration theory of complete pre-Lie algebras in order to describe this twisting procedure in terms of gauge group action. We give a criterion on quadratic operads for the existence of a meaningful twisting procedure of their associated categories of (homotopy) algebras. We also give a new presentation of the twisting procedure for operads à la Willwacher and we perform new homology computations of graph complexes.

Il me semble qu'on pourrait tirer de ce travail une confirmation des points de vue suivants : d'abord l'intérêt que présente l'étude de groupes définis à partir d'autres structures possédant plusieurs opérations (par exemple des algèbres de Lie). En effet, la simplicité apparente des axiomes des groupes ne fait souvent que masquer une extrême complexité, et d'autres structures, plus riches par le nombre de leurs axiomes, se laissent plus facilement étudier. Il conviendrait donc de rechercher si d'autres structures algébriques pourraient permettre la construction de nouvelles catégories de groupes.

Contents

Introduction	7
Chapter 1. Operad theory for filtered and complete modules	13
1. Filtered algebras	13
2. Complete algebras	16
Chapter 2. Pre-Lie deformation theory in the complete setting	25
1. Complete convolution algebra	25
2. Integration theory for complete pre-Lie algebras	26
3. Deformation theory in the complete pre-Lie setting	29
4. Operadic deformation theory in the complete setting	30
Chapter 3. The gauge action origin of the twisting procedure	35
1. Curved \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebras	35
2. Operadic interpretation	37
3. The twisting procedure as gauge group action	38
4. Curved \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras	42
5. Gauge action and categorical properties of curved \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras	44
6. Twistable operadic algebras	47
Chapter 4. Twisting nonsymmetric operads	57
1. Twisting ns operads	57
2. Twisted \mathcal{A}_∞ -operad	59
3. Twisting multiplicative ns operads	62
4. Action of the deformation complex	68
5. Generalisations	73
6. Proof of Lemma 2.1	77
Chapter 5. Examples	79
1. Twisting the nonsymmetric operad ncGerst	79
2. Twisting the nonsymmetric operad ncBV	82
3. Twisting the operad Gerst	86
4. Twisting the operad BV	88
Bibliography	91

Introduction

Seminal works of L. Maurer [41] and E. Cartan [6] investigating integrability of Lie algebras to (local) Lie groups effectively introduced what differential geometers now call the Maurer–Cartan 1-form on principal G -bundles. In this language, the *Maurer–Cartan equation*

$$d\omega + \frac{1}{2}[\omega, \omega] = 0$$

becomes the flatness condition for the connection defined by that form. In general, a flat connection in a vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ allows one to define a “twisted de Rham differential” on the sheaf of E -valued differential forms; this may be viewed as a de Rham cohomology version of the Riemann–Hilbert correspondence between vector bundles with flat connections and local systems. In the case of the Maurer–Cartan form, one deals with \mathfrak{g} -valued differential forms which form a differential graded Lie algebra; the *twisted differential* of that algebra is of the form

$$d + [\omega, \cdot].$$

It is well known that similar formulas are also meaningful for differential graded Lie algebras of more abstract nature, e.g. arising in deformation theory, rational homotopy theory, symplectic geometry, higher algebra, and quantum algebra: in each of those situations, appropriate Maurer–Cartan equations arise, and a solution to such equation, a *Maurer–Cartan element*, can be used to produce a twisted version of the structure.

Let us offer a brief recollection of the many different ways Maurer–Cartan elements emerge in these fields. The leading principle of deformation theory over a field of characteristic 0 claims that any deformation problem can be encoded by a differential graded Lie algebra, see [36, 49, 53] for a precise statement and proof. In this case, the considered structures correspond bijectively to the Maurer–Cartan elements. Then, Maurer–Cartan elements in the twisted differential graded Lie algebra correspond to deformations of the original structure. In rational homotopy theory, Maurer–Cartan elements of the Lie model [25, 21] of a space correspond to its points. In this case, the twisting procedure creates a Lie model of the same space but pointed at the given Maurer–Cartan element. The construction of the Floer cohomology of Lagrangian submanifolds in symplectic geometry described in [19] is given by first considering a curved homotopy associative algebra and then twisting it with a Maurer–Cartan element,

when one exists, in order to produce a meaningful differential. In higher algebra, twisted homotopy Lie algebras together with some notion of ∞ -morphisms, provide a suitable higher categorical enrichment for the categories of homotopy algebras over an operad [14, 11]. Finally, in quantum algebra, the twisting procedure for operads themselves allows T. Willwacher to recover M. Kontsevich's graph complex and to prove that its degree 0 cohomology group are given by the Grothendieck–Teichmüller Lie algebra [56]. Going even further with this interpretation, T. Willwacher was able to solve the following conjecture: the group of homotopy automorphisms of the little disks operad is isomorphic to the (pro-unipotent) Grothendieck–Teichmüller group, see also [18].

Let us recall how the twisting procedure works precisely on the level of homotopy associative algebras; the case of homotopy Lie algebras is similarly. This kind of algebraic structure, also known as \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra, is made up of a graded module A equipped with multilinear operations $m_n : A^{\otimes n} \rightarrow A$, for $n \geq 1$, satisfying some relations. For instance, the first map $d := m_1$ is a square-zero linear operator and thus a differential on A . Differential graded associative algebras corresponds to the case when the operations $m_n \equiv 0$ are trivial for $n \geq 3$. The *Maurer–Cartan equation* is

$$(1) \quad d(a) + \sum_{n \geq 2} m_n(a, \dots, a) = 0.$$

The twisted operations are obtained by plugging the element a everywhere:

$$m_n^a := \sum_{r_0, \dots, r_n \geq 0} \pm m_{n+r_0+\dots+r_n}(a^{r_0}, -, a^{r_1}, -, \dots, -, a^{r_{n-1}}, -, a^{r_n}),$$

for any $n \geq 1$, where the notation a^r stands for $a^{\otimes r}$. They form again an \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra when the element a is a Maurer–Cartan element.

Where does this result comes from conceptually? First, one notices that the Maurer–Cartan equation (1) is made up of an infinite series and thus requires an underlying complete topology in order to be well defined. To do so, we consider filtered differential graded modules where the topology is defined by a basis of opens of the origin made up of decreasing sub-modules. This type of topology finds its source at least in the generalisation of the Lie theory to filtered Lie algebras and groups due to M. Lazard in his Ph.D. thesis [33]. It became later omnipresent in commutative algebra, see N. Bourbaki [4], and then in algebraic geometry, deformation theory, rational homotopy theory, and microlocal analysis. In the present paper, we want to treat all the known cases and new ones, of the twisting procedure for many categories of algebras over an operad and for various categories of operads themselves. In order to do so, we develop extensively the symmetric monoidal properties of filtered and complete differentials graded modules.

The deformation theory of algebras, including homotopy algebras, over an operad is faithfully encoded in a pre-Lie algebra of convolution type, see [35,

Chapter 10]. The notion of a pre-Lie algebra sits between that of an associative algebra and of a Lie algebra: any associative algebra is a pre-Lie algebra and the skew-symmetrisation of the pre-Lie binary product renders a Lie bracket. In [16], we showed, under a strong weight graded assumption, that pre-Lie algebras can be integrated. In the present paper, we show that this integration theory extends to the complete setting. We actually have very few new arguments to give since the symmetric monoidal properties, mentioned in the above paragraph, ensure that the operadic computations performed in loc. cit. hold in the complete setting as well. This part can also be seen as a generalisation of M. Lazard integration theory of complete Lie algebras [33] to complete pre-Lie algebras. This treatment gives rise to the biggest *deformation gauge group* of algebraic structures modelled by operads that we are aware of.

Already, the action of the simplest elements of this deformation gauge group is rich: it produces the twisting procedure as follows. The notion of a *curved* \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra is defined like the notion of \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra but with one more “operation”, the *curvature* $m_0 : \mathbb{k} \cong A^{\otimes 0} \rightarrow A$, which amounts to the data of a particular element of A . The convolution pre-Lie algebra which encodes curved \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebras is an extension of the convolution pre-Lie algebra which encodes \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebras: the latter is equal to the product of the former with A . The elements of (the first layer of the filtration of) A thus form a sub-group (with the addition) of the deformation gauge group of a curved \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra, so they can act on this structure to induce functorially a new ones: the formulas are the ones of the twisting procedure. Any such twisted curved \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra is actually an \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra if and only if the twisted curvature m_0^a vanishes, that is when a satisfied the Maurer–Cartan equation. This gauge group interpretation of the twisting procedure allows us to reprove in straightforward and short way its various properties, notably the crucial ones related to complete curved \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras used in deformation theory, like in [21, 13].

This result completes the programme undergone by the authors since [16], where we try to describe “all” the functorial constructions of homotopy algebras by means of the deformation gauge group action. The Koszul hierarchy and the homotopy transfer theorem were treated in loc. cit., see also [40] for the former one. This programme agrees very much with the ideas of M. Lazard mentioned in the epigraph to this manuscript: one purpose of the present paper is to encompass some complicated and lengthy parts of the operadic calculus in a simple and compact way using group theory.

Why can one twist the differential graded Lie algebras and associative algebras and what about the other types of algebras? The above-mentioned conceptual understanding of the twisting procedure also allows us to give a criterion on a given quadratic operad for its categories of homotopy algebras to admit a meaningful twisting procedure. Roughly speaking, a category of homotopy algebras over a quadratic operad can be *twisted* if and only if the Koszul dual

category of algebras admits a coherent notion of *unit*. Categories of homotopy Lie algebras and associative algebras are of course proved to be twistable, but the category of (homotopy) pre-Lie algebra is not. We present a new example: the category of homotopy permutative algebras is shown to be twistable, with detailed formulas.

The sum or the product of the components of an operad produces a pre-Lie algebra. However, even if pre-Lie algebras cannot be twisted in general, operads themselves can be twisted by their Maurer–Cartan elements. One can use this in order to describe the operads which encode homotopy Lie algebras or homotopy associative algebras *together with* a Maurer–Cartan element. Pursuing in this direction, this shows how one can encode their twisting procedure in a precise operadic way. This theory was introduced by T. Willwacher in his seminal work on the Grothendieck–Teichmüller Lie algebra and Kontsevich’s graph complexes [56]. It was later studied in details by V. Dolgushev and T. Willwacher in [10], see also the survey by V. Dolgushev and C. Rogers [12]. However, we believe that these last two references are great for the experts but they might not be that accessible to a wide audience since the core of the definition contains several technical points. Our purpose here is to provide the literature with a gentle introduction, based on a new presentation, to this key notion in order to popularise it.

We conclude this study with new computations of the cohomology groups of twisted operads: we treat the case of the classical operads encoding respectively Gerstenhaber and Batalin–Vilkovisky algebras and the case of their nonsymmetric analogous operads introduced recently in [15]. This latter cases give rise to interesting non-commutative graph complexes.

LAYOUT. In Chapter 1, we consider the categories of filtered and then complete differential graded modules; we establish their various symmetric monoidal properties in order to develop their operadic theory. In Chapter 2, we settle the integration theory of complete differential graded left-unital pre-Lie algebras; this gives rise to a gauge group which is shown to govern the deformation theory of homotopy algebras over a non-necessarily augmented operads. Chapter 3 contains the easiest application of the previous section: the action of the arity 0 elements of the deformation gauge group is shown to give the twisting procedure for (shifted or not, curved or not, homotopy or not) differential graded Lie algebras or associative algebras. From this conceptual interpretation, we easily derive “all” the properties of the twisting procedure. In Section 6, we give a criterion on quadratic operads for their categories of homotopy algebras to admit a meaningful twisting procedure. In Chapter 4, we give a quick treatment of T. Willwacher’s twisting procedure for operads using a new point of view. Finally, Chapter 5 provides full computations of the cohomology groups of twisted operads.

CONVENTIONS. We basically work over a ring \mathbb{k} , but we add extra properties when needed: at some point in Chapter 2, we require \mathbb{k} to be a field of characteristic 0 and in Chapter 3 and Chapter 4 we need that the ring \mathbb{k} contains \mathbb{Q} and to work with flat \mathbb{k} -modules. The results of Section 3 and Section 4 hold over a field of characteristic 0. We use homological degree convention, for instance differentials have degree -1 , except in Chapter 5 where we use cohomological degree convention. The various operadic conventions and notations come from [35]. For instance, we use “ns operads” to mean “nonsymmetric” operads. In the present text, the term “cooperad” covers the algebraic structure defined by *partial* or *infinitesimal decomposition maps* $\Delta_{(1)} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \circ_{(1)} \mathcal{C}$, see [35, Section 6.1.4]. The upshot of such maps is denoted by $\mu \circ_i \nu$, where this convention stands for the 2-vertices tree made up of the corolla ν grafted at the i^{th} -leaf of the corolla μ .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. It is a pleasure to thank Joan Bellier-Millès, Ricardo Campos, Joana Cirici, Gabriel Drummond-Cole, Daniel Robert-Nicoud, Jim Stasheff, and Thomas Willwacher for interesting discussions. The authors would like to thank the University of Amsterdam, Trinity College Dublin, the University Nice Sophia Antipolis, the University Paris 13, and the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in Bonn for the excellent working conditions during the preparation of this paper. B.V. expresses his appreciation to the Laboratoire J.A. Dieudonné of the University Nice Sophia Antipolis for the particularly generous hospitality over the last two years.

Operad theory for filtered and complete modules

In algebra, one has to consider infinite series on many occasions. In order to make sense, these formulas require an extra topological assumption on the underlying module. In this section, we first recall the notion of filtered and then complete modules which provides us with such a complete topology. This type of topology considered for instance in algebraic geometry, deformation theory, rational homotopy theory and microlocal analysis, finds its source in the generalisation of the Lie theory to filtered Lie algebras and groups due to M. Lazard in his PhD thesis [33]. It became later omnipresent in commutative algebra, see N. Bourbaki [4].

In this section, we establish the various properties for the monoidal structures on the categories of filtered modules and complete modules and for their associated monoidal functors. The main goal is to develop the theory of operads and operadic algebras in this context. First, this allows us to compare the various categories of filtered and complete algebras and recover conceptually the various known definitions of filtered complete algebras that one can find in the literature. Then, as we will see in the next sections, this allows us to get for free operadic results on the complete setting since the previously performed operadic calculus still hold in this generalised context by the above-mentioned monoidal properties.

This present exposition shares common points with that of P. Deligne [9, Section 1], and that of M. Markl [39, Chapter 1]; it is close to B. Fresse treatment [17, Section 7.3], though we did not find there all the results that we need in the present paper and in further ones like [52].

1. Filtered algebras

DEFINITION 1.1 (Filtered module). A *filtered module* (A, F) is a \mathbb{k} -module A equipped with a filtration

$$A = F_0 A \supset F_1 A \supset F_2 A \supset \cdots \supset F_k A \supset F_{k+1} A \supset \cdots$$

made up of submodules.

This condition implies that the subsets $\{x + F_k A \mid x \in A, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ form a neighbourhood basis of a first-countable topology on A , which is thus a Fréchet–Urysohn space and so a sequential space. Since this topology is induced by submodules, any filtered module is trivially a topological module, that is the scalar multiplication and the sum of elements are continuous maps, when one considers the discrete topology on the ground ring \mathbb{k} .

EXAMPLE 1.1. Let I be an ideal of the ring \mathbb{k} and the A be a \mathbb{k} -module. The submodules $F_k A := I^k A$, for $k \geq 0$, form a filtration of A and the associated topology is called the *I-adic topology* of A .

LEMMA 1.1. *The subsets $F_k A$, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, are closed with respect to this topology.*

PROOF. Let $\{x_n \in F_k A\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence converging to $x \in A$. There exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for all $n \geq N$, we have $x_n - x \in F_k A$. Since the element x_N lives in $F_k A$, which is a submodule of A , this implies that x lives in $F_k A$ too. \square

Let (A, F) and (B, G) be two filtered modules. We consider the following induced filtration on the mapping space $\text{Hom}(A, B)$:

$$\mathcal{F}_k \text{Hom}(A, B) := \{f : A \rightarrow B \mid f(F_n A) \subset G_{n+k} B, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

This filtration endows $\text{hom}(A, B) := \mathcal{F}_0 \text{Hom}(A, B)$ with a filtered module structure.

REMARK 1.1. Notice that any map in $\mathcal{F}_k \text{Hom}(A, B)$ is continuous with respect to the associated topologies, but it is not true that any continuous map is of this form.

DEFINITION 1.2 (Filtered map). A *filtered map* $f : (A, F) \rightarrow (B, G)$ between two filtered modules is an element $f \in \text{hom}(A, B)$, that is a linear map preserving the respective filtrations: $f(F_n A) \subset G_n B$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The induced filtration on the tensor product of two filtered modules is given by

$$\mathcal{F}_k(A \otimes B) := \sum_{n+m=k} \text{Im}(F_n A \otimes G_m B \rightarrow A \otimes B).$$

LEMMA 1.2. *The category of filtered modules, with filtered maps equipped with the aforementioned internal hom and filtration on tensor products forms a bicomplete closed symmetric monoidal category, whose monoidal product preserves colimits.*

PROOF. Given a collection $(A^i, F^i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ of filtered modules, their coproduct is given by $A := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}} A^i$ with filtration

$$F_k A := \left\{ a_{i_1} + \cdots + a_{i_n} \mid a_{i_j} \in F_k^{i_j} A^{i_j}, \forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \right\}$$

and their product is given by $B := \prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} A^i$ with filtration

$$G_k B := \left\{ (a_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mid a_i \in F_k^i A^i, \forall i \in \mathcal{I} \right\}.$$

Cokernels of filtered maps $f : (A, F) \rightarrow (B, G)$ are given by $p : B \twoheadrightarrow B / \text{Im } f$ equipped with the filtration $p(G_k) \cong G_k B / (\text{Im } f \cap G_k B)$. Since this category is (pre)additive, all coequalizers of pairs (f, g) are given by cokernels of differences $f - g$. So this category admits all colimits. In the same way, kernels of filtered maps $f :$

$(A, F) \rightarrow (B, G)$ are given by $f^{-1}(0)$ with filtration $f^{-1}(0) \cap F_k B$. Since this category is (pre)additive, all equalizers of pairs (f, g) are given by kernels of differences $f - g$. So this category admits all limits. Notice that this category, though additive, fails to be Abelian: in general, maps do not have categorical images.

Finally, it is straightforward to check that the various structure maps of the (strong) symmetric closed monoidal category of modules are filtered. From the above description of coproducts and cokernels, it is easily seen that the monoidal product preserves them, so it preserves all colimits. \square

The properties of Lemma 1.2 ensures that one can develop operad theory in the symmetric monoidal category of filtered modules, see [35, Chapter 5]. For instance, an operad in this context will be called a *filtered operad*.

EXAMPLE 1.2. For a filtered module (A, F) , the associated *filtered endomorphism operad* is the part of the endomorphism operad of A made up of filtered maps, that is

$$\text{end}_A := \{\text{hom}(A^{\otimes n}, A)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

An element of the filtered endomorphism operad is thus a linear map $f : A^{\otimes n} \rightarrow A$ satisfying

$$f(F_{k_1} A \otimes \cdots \otimes F_{k_n} A) \subset F_{k_1 + \cdots + k_n} A.$$

The full induced filtration on its underlying collection is given by

$$f \in \mathcal{F}_k \text{end}_A(n) \text{ when } f(F_{k_1} A \otimes \cdots \otimes F_{k_n} A) \subset F_{k_1 + \cdots + k_n + k} A.$$

DEFINITION 1.3 (Filtered \mathcal{P} -algebra). Let \mathcal{P} be a filtered operad and let (A, F) be a filtered module. A *filtered \mathcal{P} -algebra structure* on (A, F) amounts to the data of a filtered morphism of operads

$$\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \text{end}_A.$$

We denote the category of modules by Mod and that of filtered modules by FilMod .

PROPOSITION 1.1. *The functor $\sqcup : \text{FilMod} \rightarrow \text{Mod}$, which forgets the filtration, admits a left adjoint full and faithful functor*

$$\text{Dis} : \text{Mod} \xrightleftharpoons[\perp]{} \text{FilMod} : \sqcup$$

given by the trivial filtration:

$$\text{Dis}(A) := (A, A = F_0^{\text{tr}} A \supset 0 = F_1^{\text{tr}} A = F_2^{\text{tr}} A = \cdots),$$

which induces the discrete topology. These two functors are strictly symmetric monoidal.

PROOF. It is straightforward to check the various properties. \square

The monoidal part of this proposition ensures that the underlying collection, without the filtration, of any filtered operad is an operad. For instance, the filtered endomorphism operad end_A is a strict suboperad of the endomorphism operad End_A . In the other way round, any operad can be seen as a filtered operad equipped with the trivial filtration, that is with discrete topology.

EXAMPLE 1.3. Definition 1.3, applied to the ns operad $\mathcal{A}s$ of associative algebras, produces the classical notion of filtered associative algebra, see [33, Section I.3], [4, Chapter 3], or [50, Appendix A.1] for instance. In the case of the operad Lie , we recover the notion of filtered Lie algebras of Lazard [33]. All the operadic constructions therefore hold in this setting. For instance, the morphism of operads $\text{Lie} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}s$, viewed as a morphism of filtered operads produces automatically the universal enveloping Lie algebra in the filtered world by [35, Section 5.2.12].

DEFINITION 1.4 (Filtered differential graded module). A *filtered differential graded module* is a differential graded module in the category of filtered modules. Such a data amounts to a collection $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of filtered modules equipped with a square-zero degree -1 filtered map d .

All the aforementioned results hold *mutatis mutandis* for filtered dg modules. For instance, this operadic definition allows us to recover naturally the following notions of filtered homotopy algebras structures present in the literature.

EXAMPLE 1.4. A *filtered curved \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra* structure on a filtered graded module (A, F) amounts to the data of curved \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra structure (m_0, m_1, m_2, \dots) on A according to Definition 1.3 such that the various structure maps satisfy

$$m_n(F_{k_1}A, \dots, F_{k_n}A) \subset F_{k_1 + \dots + k_n}A.$$

This definition corresponds to the one given by Fukaya–Oh–Ohta–Ono in [19], with the only difference that these authors consider modules with the so called the energy filtration, indexed by non-negative real numbers \mathbb{R}^+ . See Section 2 for more operadic details.

The present operadic definition of a filtered (shifted) curved \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebra given in Definition 4.1 recovers the usual one, which is used for instance by Dolgushev–Rogers in [13, 14] (with the further constraint $F_0A = F_1A$).

2. Complete algebras

Any decreasing filtration $A = F_0A \supset F_1A \supset \dots$ induces a sequence of surjective maps,

$$0 = A/F_0A \xleftarrow{p_0} A/F_1A \xleftarrow{p_1} A/F_2A \xleftarrow{p_2} A/F_3A \xleftarrow{\dots} \dots,$$

where p_k is the reduction modulo F_kA . Its limit, denoted by

$$\widehat{A} := \lim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A/F_kA,$$

is made up of elements of the following form

$$\widehat{A} = \{(x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots) \mid x_k \in A/F_k, p_k(x_{k+1}) = x_k\}.$$

If we denote the structure maps by $q_k : \widehat{A} \rightarrow A/F_kA$, $(x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots) \mapsto x_k$, then the limit module \widehat{A} is endowed with the following canonical filtration $\widehat{F}_k \widehat{A} := \ker q_k = \{(0, \dots, 0, x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}, \dots)\}$. With the associated topology, it forms a complete Hausdorff space.

Let us denote by $\pi_k : A \rightarrow A/F_k A$ the canonical projections. The canonical map $\pi : A \rightarrow \widehat{A}$, $x \mapsto (\pi_0(x), \pi_1(x), \pi_2(x), \dots)$, associated to them, is filtered and thus continuous.

DEFINITION 2.1 (Complete module). A *complete module* is a filtered module (A, F) such that the canonical morphism

$$\pi : A \xrightarrow{\cong} \widehat{A} = \lim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A/F_k A$$

is an isomorphism.

The kernel of the canonical map $\pi : A \rightarrow \widehat{A}$ is equal to the intersection of all the sub-modules $F_k A$. Therefore, it is a monomorphism if and only if

$$\bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} F_k A = \{0\};$$

this condition is equivalent for the associated topology on A to be Hausdorff. The canonical map π is an epimorphism if and only if the associated topological is complete, which explains the terminology chosen here. When the canonical map is an isomorphism, it is a homeomorphism since π^{-1} is filtered and thus continuous.

REMARK 2.1. One defines the *valuation* of an element $x \in A$ by

$$v(x) := k \quad \text{when} \quad x \in F_k A \setminus F_{k+1} A.$$

When the associated topology is Hausdorff, we set, by convention, that $v(0) := +\infty$, and the valuation is well defined. In this case, the topology is metric, with the distance given by

$$d(x, y) = \frac{1}{v(y-x) + 1}.$$

As a consequence filtered maps are uniformly continuous and the canonical map $\pi : A \hookrightarrow \widehat{A}$ makes \widehat{A} into the completion of A : \widehat{A} is complete, contains A as a dense subset, and is unique up to isometry for such a property.

EXAMPLE 2.1. The toy model here is the ring of polynomials $\mathbb{k}[X]$ with its X -adic filtration $F_k \mathbb{k}[X] := X^k \mathbb{k}[X]$. Its topology is Hausdorff but not complete. Its completion is the ring of formal power series $\widehat{\mathbb{k}[X]} \cong \mathbb{k}[[X]]$.

In any complete module, convergent series have the following simple form.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let (A, F) be a complete module. The series $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_n$ associated to a sequence of elements $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is convergent if and only if the sequence x_n converges to 0.*

PROOF. We classically consider the sequence $X_n := \sum_{k=0}^n x_k$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If the sequence $\{X_n\}$ converges, then it is a Cauchy sequence and so $x_n = X_n - X_{n-1}$ tends to 0. In the other way round, if the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ tends to 0, this means

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \geq N, x_n \in F_k A.$$

Since $F_k A$ is a submodule of A , we have

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}, \forall m \geq n \geq N, X_m - X_n = x_{n+1} + \dots + x_m \in F_k A,$$

that is the sequence $\{X_n\}$ is Cauchy is thus convergent. \square

We can consider the following first definition of a complete algebra over an operad. We will see later on at Theorem 2.1 that it actually coincides with the conceptual one.

DEFINITION 2.2 (Complete algebra). A complete algebra over a filtered operad \mathcal{P} is a complete module endowed with a filtered \mathcal{P} -algebra structure.

EXAMPLE 2.2. The aforementioned example of formal power series $\mathbb{k}[[X]]$ is a complete algebra over the operad Ass (respectively, Com), that is a complete associative (respectively, commutative associative) algebra.

We consider the full subcategory of filtered modules made up of complete modules and we denote it by CompMod .

PROPOSITION 2.1. *The completion of a filtered module defines a functor which is left adjoint to the forgetful functor:*

$$\widehat{} : \text{FilMod} \xrightleftharpoons[\perp]{} \text{CompMod} : \sqcup .$$

PROOF. This statement amounts basically to the following property: any filtered map $f : A \rightarrow B$, with target a complete module, factors uniquely through the canonical map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\ \pi \downarrow & \nearrow & \uparrow \\ \widehat{A} & & \end{array}, \quad \exists! \bar{f}$$

which is nothing but the universal property of the limit \widehat{A} . \square

In order to endow the category CompMod of complete modules with a monoidal structure, one could first think at the underlying tensor product of filtered modules. But this one fails to preserve complete modules, as the following example show

$$\mathbb{k}[[X]] \otimes \mathbb{k}[[Y]] \xrightarrow{\neq} \widehat{\mathbb{k}[X] \otimes \mathbb{k}[Y]} \cong \mathbb{k}[[X, Y]] .$$

DEFINITION 2.3 (Complete tensor product). The *complete tensor product* of two complete modules (A, F) and (B, G) is defined by the completion of their filtered tensor product:

$$A \widehat{\otimes} B := \widehat{A \otimes B} .$$

REMARK 2.2. Notice that when the two filtered modules are not necessarily complete, the completion of their tensor product is equal to $\widehat{A \otimes B} \cong \widehat{A} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{B}$.

LEMMA 2.2. *The category $(\text{CompMod}, \widehat{\otimes})$ of complete modules equipped the complete tensor product is a bicomplete closed symmetric monoidal category whose monoidal product preserves colimits.*

PROOF. Let $(A^i, F^i)_{i \in \mathcal{D}}$ be a diagram of complete modules. Since the completion functor is a left adjoint functor, it would preserve colimits if these latter ones exist. Therefore we define colimits in the category of complete modules by the formula

$$\widehat{\operatorname{colim}}_{i \in \mathcal{D}} A^i := \widehat{\operatorname{colim}}_{i \in \mathcal{D}} A^i .$$

It is straightforward to check that they satisfy the universal property of colimits from the property of the completion functor. For instance, coproducts of complete modules are given by

$$\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}} A^i \cong \widehat{\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}} A^i} ,$$

and finite coproducts of complete modules are simply given by the finite direct sums of their underlying filtered module structure, since this latter one is already complete. In the other way round, since the forgetful functor from complete modules to filtered modules is a right adjoint functor, it would preserve limits if these latter ones exist. One can actually see that the formulas in the category of filtered modules (Lemma 1.2) for products and kernels once applied to complete modules render complete modules. Therefore the category of complete modules admits limits since it is a (pre)additive category. Like the category of filtered modules and for the exact same reasons, the category of complete modules is additive but fails to be abelian.

The various axioms of a strong monoidal category are straightforward to check. The preservation of the colimits by the complete tensor product is automatic from its definition, the above characterisation of colimits and Lemma 1.2:

$$\left(\widehat{\operatorname{colim}}_{i \in \mathcal{D}} A^i \right) \widehat{\otimes} B \cong \left(\widehat{\operatorname{colim}}_{i \in \mathcal{D}} A^i \right) \widehat{\otimes} B \cong \left(\operatorname{colim}_{i \in \mathcal{D}} A^i \right) \otimes B \cong \widehat{\operatorname{colim}}_{i \in \mathcal{D}} (A^i \otimes B) \cong \widehat{\operatorname{colim}}_{i \in \mathcal{D}} (A^i \widehat{\otimes} B) .$$

It remains to prove that this symmetric monoidal category is closed. To this end, it is enough to prove that the internal filtered hom of complete modules A, B is complete. One first notices that

$$\bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_k \operatorname{hom}(A, B) = \operatorname{hom}(A, \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} G_k B) = \{0\} .$$

Now let $\{f^n : A \rightarrow B\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Cauchy sequence of filtered maps. This means that

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}, \forall m, n \geq N, f^m - f^n \in \mathcal{F}_k \operatorname{hom}(A, B) .$$

Therefore, the sequence $\{f^n(a)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in B is Cauchy for any $a \in A$ and thus converges since B is complete. We denote by $f(a)$ its limit. Considering the discrete topology on the ground ring \mathbb{k} , the scalar multiplication and the sum of elements are continuous, so this assignment defines a linear map $f : A \rightarrow B$. When $a \in F_l A$, the Cauchy sequence $\{f^n(a)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ lives in $G_l B$, which is closed by Lemma 1.1. Hence, we have $f(a) \in G_l B$ and the whole map f is filtered, that is

$f \in \text{hom}(A, B)$. Using again the argument that the $G_{l+k}B$ are closed, one can see, after a passage to the limit, that

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \geq N, f^n - f \in \mathcal{F}_k \text{hom}(A, B),$$

since this means that $(f^n - f)(F_l A) \subset G_{l+k}B$. \square

REMARK 2.3. The same result holds true *mutatis mutandis* for complete differential graded modules.

So one can develop operad theory in this setting. This produces automatically a notion of *complete dg operads* together with their categories of complete dg algebras. The next proposition shows that there is nothing to change from the filtered case for the endomorphism operad.

PROPOSITION 2.2. *The complete endomorphism operad of a complete dg module A is canonically isomorphic to the filtered endomorphism operad:*

$$\left\{ \text{hom}(A^{\widehat{\otimes} n}, A) \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \cong \left\{ \text{hom}(A^{\otimes n}, A) \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = \text{end}_A.$$

PROOF. The proof relies entirely on the universal property of the completion functor as described in the proof of Proposition 2.1. Under the same notations, one can check that the bijection

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{hom}(\widehat{A}, B) & \rightarrow & \text{hom}(A, B) \\ \downarrow \tilde{f} & & \downarrow \tilde{f} \circ \pi \end{array}$$

is a bijection of filtered modules, whenever the filtered module B is complete. In the present case, this induces

$$\text{hom}(A^{\widehat{\otimes} n}, A) \cong \text{hom}(\widehat{A^{\otimes n}}, A) \cong \text{hom}(A^{\otimes n}, A),$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

PROPOSITION 2.3. *The completion functor*

$$\widehat{} : (\text{FilMod}, \otimes) \rightarrow (\text{CompMod}, \widehat{\otimes})$$

is strong symmetric monoidal and the forgetful functor

$$\sqcup : (\text{CompMod}, \widehat{\otimes}) \rightarrow (\text{FilMod}, \otimes)$$

is lax symmetric monoidal.

PROOF. The structure map for the monoidal structure of the completion functor is the isomorphism $\widehat{A} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{B} \xrightarrow{\cong} \widehat{A \otimes B}$. The structure map for the monoidal structure of the forgetful functor is the canonical map $A \otimes B \rightarrow A \widehat{\otimes} B$. \square

One can iterate the above functors $\widehat{\text{Dis}} : \text{Mod} \rightarrow \text{FilMod} \rightarrow \text{CompMod}$. Since the discrete topology is already complete, this composition of functors does not change the underlying module; it just provides it with the trivial filtration.

COROLLARY 2.1. *The following pair of functors are adjoint*

$$\widehat{\text{Dis}} : \text{Mod} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \text{CompMod} : \sqcup ,$$

where $\widehat{\text{Dis}}(A) := (A, F^{\text{tr}})$. *The functor $\widehat{\text{Dis}}$ is a strict monoidal functor and the functor \sqcup is a lax monoidal functor.*

PROOF. This adjunction is actually obtained as the composite of the two adjunctions of Proposition 1.1 and Proposition 2.1. The monoidal structures on the two functors are obtained as composite of two monoidal structures from Proposition 1.1 and Proposition 2.3. \square

The main point for these six functors to be monoidal is that each of them sends an operad in the source category to an operad in the target category and similarly for their associated notion of algebras.

PROPOSITION 2.4. *Any dg operad \mathcal{P} is a filtered (respectively complete) dg operad \mathcal{P} when equipped with the trivial filtration. Any \mathcal{P} -algebra A is a filtered (respectively complete) \mathcal{P} -algebra when equipped with the trivial filtration. The category of discrete (respectively filtered) \mathcal{P} -algebra is a full subcategory of the category of complete \mathcal{P} -algebras.*

PROOF. This is a direct consequence of the monoidal structure of the functor Dis from Proposition 1.1 (respectively $\widehat{\text{Dis}}$ from Corollary 2.1), since this latter one does not modify the underlying module. \square

As a consequence, we will now work in the larger category of complete \mathcal{P} -algebras and extend the various operadic results to that level. The key property that the completion functor is left adjoint to the forgetful functor allows us to get the following simple descriptions for the notions of complete operads and complete \mathcal{P} -algebras.

THEOREM 2.1.

- (1) *The structure of a complete dg operad \mathcal{P} is equivalent to the structure of a filtered dg operad on a complete dg \mathbb{S} -module \mathcal{P} .*
- (2) *Let \mathcal{P} be a complete dg operad. The data of a dg \mathcal{P} -algebra structure in the monoidal category of complete dg modules is equivalent to a complete dg \mathcal{P} -algebra structure, as defined above, that is a filtered dg \mathcal{P} -algebra structure on an underlying complete dg module.*
- (3) *Let \mathcal{P} be a filtered dg operad. The data of a complete dg \mathcal{P} -algebra structure, as defined above, is equivalent to the data of a complete dg $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}$ -algebra structure.*

PROOF.

- (1) Since the forgetful functor $\sqcup : \text{CompMod} \rightarrow \text{FilMod}$ is lax symmetric monoidal according to Proposition 2.3, it sends any complete operad structure on \mathcal{P} to a filtered operad structure on the underlying complete dg \mathbb{S} -module \mathcal{P} . In details, recall that a filtered operad structure

amounts to a collection of filtered maps γ_n :

$$\mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{P}(n) := \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}(k) \otimes_{\mathbb{S}_k} \left(\bigoplus_{i_1 + \dots + i_k = n} \text{Ind}_{\mathbb{S}_{i_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{S}_{i_k}}^{\mathbb{S}_n} (\mathcal{P}(i_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{P}(i_k)) \right) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(n),$$

satisfying some relations, see [35, Section 5.2.1]. Similarly, a complete dg operad structure amounts to a collection of filtered maps $\hat{\gamma}_n$:

$$\mathcal{P} \hat{\circ} \mathcal{P}(n) := \widehat{\bigoplus}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}(k) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{S}_k} \left(\widehat{\bigoplus}_{i_1 + \dots + i_k = n} \text{Ind}_{\mathbb{S}_{i_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{S}_{i_k}}^{\mathbb{S}_n} (\mathcal{P}(i_1) \widehat{\otimes} \dots \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{P}(i_k)) \right) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(n),$$

satisfying the same type of relations. Since the completion functor is left adjoint, it preserves colimits and thus coproducts, which implies that $\widehat{\mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{P}} \cong \mathcal{P} \hat{\circ} \mathcal{P}$. By pulling back along the canonical completion map $\pi : \mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \hat{\circ} \mathcal{P}$, any dg operad structure $\hat{\gamma}$ in complete dg modules induces a filtered dg operad structure $\gamma = \pi \hat{\gamma}$. In the other way round, any filtered dg operad structure γ factors through $\widehat{\mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{P}} \cong \mathcal{P} \hat{\circ} \mathcal{P}$, that is through an operad structure $\hat{\gamma}$ in complete dg modules.

- (2) For the second point, the arguments are similar. The lax symmetric monoidal functor $\sqcup : \text{CompMod} \rightarrow \text{FilMod}$ sends any \mathcal{P} -algebra structure in the monoidal category of complete dg modules to a \mathcal{P} -algebra structure in the monoidal category of filtered dg modules. This latter structure amounts to a morphism of filtered dg operads $\rho : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \text{end}_A$, under point (1). Since the filtered dg operad \mathcal{P} is complete and since the endomorphism operad associated to a complete dg module A is the same in the filtered and the complete case, after Proposition 2.2, a complete dg \mathcal{P} -algebra structure on A amounts to a morphism of complete dg operads $\hat{\rho} : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \text{end}_A$, which thus coincides with the above type of maps since the morphisms in the category of complete modules are that of the category of filtered modules.
- (3) The arguments are again the same: by the universal property of the completion, any morphism of filtered dg operads $\rho : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \text{end}_A$ is equivalent to a morphism of complete dg operads $\hat{\rho} : \hat{\mathcal{P}} \rightarrow \text{end}_A$, when A is complete.

□

This result shows that the terminology “complete algebra” chosen in Definition 2.2 does not bring any ambiguity since the two possible notions are actually equivalent. Notice that this theorem applies to discrete dg operads and discrete dg \mathcal{P} -algebras, when equipped with the trivial filtration.

EXAMPLE 2.3. The free complete \mathcal{P} -algebra of a complete module V over a complete operad \mathcal{P} is given by

$$\mathcal{P} \hat{\circ} V = \widehat{\bigoplus}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}(n) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{S}_n} V^{\widehat{\otimes} n}.$$

When the operad \mathcal{P} and the module V are discrete but endowed with the trivial filtration, we recover the free \mathcal{P} -algebra $\mathcal{P} \circ V$. But, when the filtration arises

from the weight grading for which V is concentrated in weight 1, so that $F_0 V = F_1 V = V$ and $F_n V = 0$, for $n \geq 2$, the free complete \mathcal{P} -algebra on V is equal to

$$\mathcal{P} \hat{\circ} V \cong \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}(n) \otimes_{\mathbb{S}_n} V^{\otimes n},$$

since its underlying filtration is given by

$$\mathcal{F}_k \left(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}(n) \otimes_{\mathbb{S}_n} V^{\otimes n} \right) = \bigoplus_{n \geq k} \mathcal{P}(n) \otimes_{\mathbb{S}_n} V^{\otimes n}.$$

In this way, we recover the notions of free complete associative algebra present in [33, Section I.4] or free complete Lie algebras present in [33, Section II.1] and [32], for instance. This allows us to get automatically, that is operadically, the universal enveloping algebra in the complete case.

PROPOSITION 2.5. *Let \mathcal{P} be a dg operad. The forgetful functor embeds the category of complete dg \mathcal{P} -algebras as a full subcategory of filtered dg \mathcal{P} -algebras. The completion functor sends a filtered dg \mathcal{P} -algebra to a complete dg \mathcal{P} -algebra. These two functors again form a pair of adjoint functors, where the completion functor is left adjoint.*

PROOF. This is a direct corollary of Proposition 2.3 and Theorem 2.1. \square

Pre-Lie deformation theory in the complete setting

In this section, we generalise Lazard’s treatment of Lie theory to develop the integration theory of complete pre-Lie algebras. The main goal is to apply it to the operadic convolution algebra, in order to give rise in this setting to the main tool of algebraic deformation theory: *the deformation gauge group*. The present development relies on the previous operadic computations performed in [16]; they hold in the present context *without any changes* since the various formulas make sense thanks to the complete topology considered from the previous section. This degree of generalisation allows us to define a suitable notion of ∞ -morphism of homotopy algebras encoded by non-necessarily coaugmented cooperads, like curved \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebras or curved \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras.

1. Complete convolution algebra

DEFINITION 1.1 (Complete left-unital differential graded pre-Lie algebra). A *complete left-unital dg pre-Lie algebra* amounts to the data $\mathfrak{a} = (A, F, d, \star, 1)$ of a dg complete module equipped with a filtration preserving the bilinear product whose associator is right symmetric

$$(a \star b) \star c - a \star (b \star c) = (-1)^{|b||c|} ((a \star c) \star b - a \star (c \star b)),$$

such that the differential d is a derivation

$$d(a \star b) = d(a) \star b + (-1)^{|a|} a \star d(b)$$

and such that the element $1 \in F_0 A_0$ is a closed element $d(1) = 0$ and a left unit

$$1 \star a = a.$$

The functor from dg operads to dg left-unital pre-Lie algebras [16, Section 5] extends to a functor from complete dg operads to complete left-unital dg pre-Lie algebras:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{complete dg operads} & \longrightarrow & \text{complete left-unital dg pre-Lie algebras} \\ (\mathcal{P}, \{\circ_i\}, \mathbb{I}) & \longmapsto & (\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}(n), \star, 1), \end{array}$$

where the pre-Lie product \star is given by the sum of the partial composition maps \circ_i and where the left-unit is given by $1 := (0, \mathbb{I}, 0, 0, \dots)$. Recall that in any closed symmetric monoidal category the mapping space $\text{hom}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{P})$ from a co-operad \mathcal{C} to an operad \mathcal{P} forms a *convolution operad*, see [35, Section 6.4.1].

When \mathcal{C} is a filtered dg cooperad and when \mathcal{P} is a complete dg operad, the aforementioned construction associates a complete dg pre-Lie algebra structure to the complete convolution dg operad $\text{hom}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{P})$, with pre-Lie product equal to

$$f \star g = \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\Delta_{(1)}} \mathcal{C} \hat{\circ}_{(1)} \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{f \hat{\circ}_{(1)} g} \mathcal{P} \hat{\circ}_{(1)} \mathcal{P} \xrightarrow{\gamma_{(1)}} \mathcal{P},$$

where the various infinitesimal notions [35, Section 6.1] are considered in complete setting.

PROPOSITION 1.1. *The space of equivariant maps from a filtered dg cooperad \mathcal{C} to a complete dg operad \mathcal{P}*

$$\text{hom}_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{P}) := \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{hom}_{\mathbb{S}_n}(\mathcal{C}(n), \mathcal{P}(n))$$

forms a complete left-unital dg pre-Lie algebra

$$(\text{hom}_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{P}), \partial, \star, 1)$$

and thus a complete dg Lie algebra

$$(\text{hom}_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{P}), \partial, [,], 1),$$

by skew-symmetrization of the pre-Lie product: $[a, b] := a \star b - (-1)^{|a||b|} b \star a$.

PROOF. As in the classical case, one can see that the space of equivariant maps is stable under the pre-Lie product and that the functor from dg pre-Lie algebras to dg Lie algebras extends to the complete level. \square

DEFINITION 1.2 (Complete convolution algebra). Such a complete dg (pre)-Lie algebra will be called a *complete convolution (pre)-Lie algebra*.

The crucial object in the study of the deformation theory of algebraic structures [35, 16] is the complete convolution algebra associated to a filtered cooperad \mathcal{C} and the complete endomorphism operad of a complete module A :

$$\mathfrak{a}_{\mathcal{C}, A} := (\text{hom}_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathcal{C}, \text{end}_A), \partial, \star, 1).$$

The present definition, in the complete setting, is the most general we are aware of. It will be mandatory in Chapter 3 in order to give a (gauge) group interpretation to the twisting procedure of algebraic structures

2. Integration theory for complete pre-Lie algebras

In [16], we developed the integration theory of pre-Lie algebras under a strong weight grading assumption. Unfortunately, the deformation theory of many algebraic structures, like the ones that we will study in Chapter 3, require to use curved Koszul dual cooperads which are not weight graded. In this case, the relevant object is the aforementioned complete convolution algebra $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathcal{C}, A}$. In this section, we extend the integration theory of weight graded left-unital dg pre-Lie algebras to the complete setting. This section can also be seen as the generalisation of the integration theory of complete Lie algebras of Lazard's thesis [33] to left-unital complete pre-Lie algebras. In this section, the ground ring

\mathbb{k} is assumed to contain the field \mathbb{Q} .

Let us first recall the notion of a filtered and thus topological group [33, Section I.2] defined in a way similar way than that of filtered and thus topological algebra.

DEFINITION 2.1 (Filtered group and complete group). A *filtered group* amounts to the data (G, F, \cdot, e) of a group (G, \cdot, e) endowed with a filtration F made up of sub-groups

$$G = F_1 G \supset F_2 G \supset \cdots \supset F_k G \supset \cdots ,$$

such that the commutator satisfies $xyx^{-1}y^{-1} \in F_{i+j}G$ for $x \in F_i G$ and $y \in F_j G$. A filtered group is called *complete* when the underlying topology is Hausdorff and complete.

Let $\mathfrak{a} = (A, F, d, \star, 1)$ be a complete left-unital dg pre-Lie algebra. By [33, Chapitre II], we get the following definition of the gauge group, via the associated complete dg Lie algebra.

DEFINITION 2.2 (Gauge group). The *gauge group* associated to a complete left-unital dg pre-Lie algebra \mathfrak{a} is defined by

$$\Gamma := (F_1 A_0, \text{BCH}(\cdot, \cdot), 0) ,$$

where BCH refers to the Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff formula.

This latter one is convergent for elements in $F_1 A_0$: let $x, y \in F_1 A_0$ and recall that their BCH product is given by a series of the form

$$\text{BCH}(x, y) = \underbrace{x + y}_{\in F_1 A_0} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}[x, y]}_{\in F_2 A_0} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{12}([[x, y], y] + [[y, x], x])}_{\in F_3 A_0} + \cdots ,$$

which is thus convergent by Lemma 2.1.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *The gauge group is a complete group, with respect to the following filtration:*

$$F_1 \Gamma := F_1 A_0 \supset F_2 \Gamma := F_2 A_0 \supset \cdots \supset F_k \Gamma := F_k A_0 \supset \cdots .$$

PROOF. The aforementioned form of the BCH product shows that each $F_k \Gamma$ is a subgroup of the gauge group. It is known that

$$\text{BCH}(\text{BCH}(\text{BCH}(x, y), -x), -y) = [x, y] + \cdots ,$$

where the higher terms are iteration of brackets of at least one x and one y each time. Therefore, the commutators satisfy $\text{BCH}(\text{BCH}(\text{BCH}(x, y), -x), -y) \in F_{i+j} \Gamma$ for $x \in F_i \Gamma$ and $y \in F_j \Gamma$. \square

For any element $\lambda \in F_1 A$, we consider the following right iteration of the pre-Lie product

$$\lambda^{\star n} := \underbrace{(\cdots ((\lambda \star \lambda) \star \lambda) \cdots)}_{n \text{ times}} \star \lambda \in F_n A .$$

DEFINITION 2.3 (Pre-Lie exponential). The *pre-Lie exponential* of an element $\lambda \in F_1 A$ is defined by the following convergent series

$$e^\lambda := 1 + \lambda + \frac{\lambda^{\star 2}}{2!} + \frac{\lambda^{\star 3}}{3!} + \cdots .$$

We consider the set of *group-like elements* defined by

$$G := 1 + F_1 A_0 = \{1 + x, x \in F_1 A_0\} ,$$

with basis of open sets at 1 defined by $\{1 + F_k A_0\}_{k \geq 1}$.

DEFINITION 2.4 (Pre-Lie logarithm). The *pre-Lie logarithm* of a group-like element is defined by the convergent Magnus expansion series

$$\ln(1 + x) = \Omega(x) := x - \frac{1}{2} x \star x + \frac{1}{4} x \star (x \star x) + \frac{1}{12} (x \star x) \star x + \cdots ,$$

see [1, 7, 37] for more details.

PROPOSITION 2.2. *The pre-Lie exponential and the pre-Lie logarithm are inverse filtered bijections*

$$\exp : F_1 A_0 \xleftarrow{\cong} G = 1 + F_1 A_0 : \ln .$$

PROOF. The pre-Lie Magnus expansion is known to be a formal inverse of the pre-Lie exponential, this means precisely that it is its inverse in the free complete left-unital pre-Lie algebra on one variable a of weight 1, which we denote by $\widehat{\text{uPreLie}}(a)$. For any $x \in F_1 A_0$, we consider the unique morphism of complete left-unital pre-Lie algebras $\widehat{\text{uPreLie}}(a) \rightarrow A_0$, which sends a to x . The image of the relation $e^{\ln(1+a)} = a$ is $e^{\ln(1+x)} = x$.

From the formulas of the pre-Lie exponential and the pre-Lie logarithm, one can see that they send bijectively $F_k A_0$ to $1 + F_k A_0$, for any $k \geq 1$, and vice versa. \square

Recall that, in any pre-Lie algebra, one defines *symmetric braces* operations by the following formulas:

$$\{a\} := a$$

$$\{a; b_1\} := a \star b_1$$

$$\{a; b_1, \dots, b_n\} := \{\{a; b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}\}; b_n\} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \{a; b_1, \dots, b_{i-1}, \{b_i; b_n\}, b_{i+1}, \dots, b_{n-1}\} .$$

Any elements $a \in A$ and $b \in F_1 A$ satisfy $\{a; \underbrace{b, \dots, b}_n\} \in F_n A$. So we can consider the

following *circle product* defined by the convergent series made up of the iterated symmetric braces:

$$a \odot (1 + b) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \{a; \underbrace{b, \dots, b}_n\} ,$$

which is linear only on the left-hand side and unital, $a \odot 1 = a$ and $1 \odot (1 + b) = 1 + b$.

THEOREM 2.1. *The data*

$$\mathfrak{G} := (G, \{1 + F_k A_0\}_{k \geq 1}, \odot, 1)$$

forms a complete group, which is filtered isomorphic, thus homeomorphic, to the gauge group under the pre-Lie exponential and the pre-Lie logarithm respectively.

PROOF. The arguments of [16, Section 4] hold true in the formal case, this is in the free complete left-unital pre-Lie algebra on two variables of weight 1, especially the proof of [16, Proposition 3]. By the same argument as in the above proof of Proposition 2.2, the conclusions of [16, Theorem 2] hold in the present complete case: \mathfrak{G} is isomorphic to the gauge group under the pre-Lie exponential and the pre-Lie logarithm respectively. Proposition 2.2 implies that \mathfrak{G} is a complete group, which is filtered isomorphic, thus homeomorphic, to the gauge group. \square

3. Deformation theory in the complete pre-Lie setting

DEFINITION 3.1 (Maurer–Cartan element). A *Maurer–Cartan element* in a dg pre-Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a} = (A, d, \star, 1)$ is a degree -1 element $\bar{\alpha}$ satisfying the Maurer–Cartan equation:

$$d(\bar{\alpha}) + \bar{\alpha} \star \bar{\alpha} = 0.$$

In some filtered dg pre-Lie algebras, like the convolution pre-Lie algebra considered in the next section, there exists an element $\delta \in F_0 A_{-1}$ such that $[\delta, x] = \delta \star x - (-1)^{|x|} x \star \delta = d(x)$. In this case, the differential is *internal* and we consider instead the following Maurer–Cartan elements $\alpha := \delta + \bar{\alpha}$ satisfying the equivalent square-zero equation $\alpha \star \alpha = 0$.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let $\mathfrak{a} = (A, F, d, \star, 1)$ be a complete left-unital dg pre-Lie algebra. The gauge group Γ acts on the set of Maurer–Cartan elements under the formula*

$$\lambda.\alpha := e^{\text{ad}_\lambda}(\alpha) \quad \text{or equivalently} \quad \lambda.\bar{\alpha} := \frac{e^{\text{ad}_\lambda} - \text{id}}{\text{ad}_\lambda}(d\lambda) + e^{\text{ad}_\lambda}(\bar{\alpha}).$$

This action is continuous, that is the application

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma \times \text{MC}(\mathfrak{a}) &\rightarrow \text{MC}(\mathfrak{a}) \\ (\lambda, \bar{\alpha}) &\rightarrow \lambda.\bar{\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

is continuous in both variables.

PROOF. For simplicity of the proof, we work in the one-dimensional extension $A \oplus \mathbb{k}\delta$ of the complete left-unital dg pre-Lie algebra \mathfrak{a} mentioned above. Since the elements λ of the gauge group Γ live in $F_1 A_0$, each term $\frac{1}{n!} \text{ad}_\lambda^n(\alpha)$ of the series $e^{\text{ad}_\lambda}(\alpha)$ live in $F_n A_{-1}$. Therefore, this series converges and the action is well-defined. All the formulas for a group action holds formally, that is in the free complete left-unital pre-Lie algebra on several variables of weight 1, so they hold true in the complete case.

Let us fix a Maurer–Cartan element $\alpha \in \text{MC}(\mathfrak{a})$ and let us consider a sequence $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements of the gauge group Γ which tends to an element λ , that is

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \geq N, \lambda_n - \lambda \in F_k A_0.$$

So, we have $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \geq N$,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\text{ad}_{\lambda_n}}(\alpha) - e^{\text{ad}_\lambda}(\alpha) &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \left(\text{ad}_{\lambda_n}^m - \text{ad}_\lambda^m \right) (\alpha) \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \underbrace{\left(\sum_{l=1}^m \text{ad}_\lambda^{l-1} \circ \text{ad}_{\lambda_n - \lambda} \circ \text{ad}_{\lambda_n}^{m-l} \right)}_{\in F_{k+m-1} A_{-1}} (\alpha) \in F_k A_{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The continuity in the second variable is proved similarly. \square

THEOREM 3.1. *In any complete left-unital dg pre-Lie algebra, the gauge group \mathfrak{G} acts continuously on the set of Maurer–Cartan elements by the formula:*

$$e^\lambda \cdot \alpha := \lambda \cdot \alpha = \left(e^\lambda \star \alpha \right) \odot e^{-\lambda}.$$

PROOF. This is a direct corollary of Theorem 2.1, Proposition 3.1, and [16, Proposition 5]. \square

4. Operadic deformation theory in the complete setting

We aim to apply the results of the previous section to the complete convolution algebra associated to a filtered dg cooperad \mathcal{C} and the complete endomorphism operad of a complete dg module A :

$$\mathfrak{a}_{\mathcal{C}, A} := \left(\text{hom}_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathcal{C}, \text{end}_A), \delta, \star, 1 \right).$$

Notice that, in this case, the internal differential element is given by $\delta : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{k}\partial_A$, where the map $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$ is the counit of the cooperad \mathcal{C} . The interest in this example of application lies in the following interpretation of the Maurer–Cartan elements of this convolution algebra.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Let \mathcal{C} be a filtered dg cooperad and let A be a complete dg module. The set of Maurer–Cartan elements of the complete convolution algebra $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathcal{C}, A}$ is in natural one-to-one correspondence with the complete $\Omega\mathcal{C}$ -algebra structures on A .*

PROOF. Let us start with the case when the filtered dg cooperad \mathcal{C} is coaugmented. In this case, the result holds true in the classical discrete setting [35, Theorem 6.5.7] and we use the same arguments and computations here. By Theorem 2.1, a complete $\Omega\mathcal{C}$ -algebra structures on A is a morphism $\Omega\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{end}_A$ of filtered dg operads. The underlying operad of the cobar construction of \mathcal{C} is a free operad generated by the desuspension of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$. Since \mathcal{C} is filtered, this free operad is equal to the filtered free operad on the same space of generators; this can be seen for instance through the formula [35, Proposition 5.6.3]. Therefore we get:

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{CompOp}} \left(\widehat{\Omega\mathcal{C}}, \text{end}_A \right) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{FilOp}} \left(\Omega\mathcal{C}, \text{end}_A \right) \cong \text{hom}_{\mathbb{S}} \left(\overline{\mathcal{C}}, \text{end}_A \right)_{-1},$$

where the compatibility with the differentials on the left-hand side corresponds to the Maurer–Cartan equation on the right-hand side, by the same computation as in the classical case.

When the cooperad \mathcal{C} is not coaugmented, like in the examples of Chapter 3, we consider the cobar construction $\Omega\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{T}(s^{-1}\mathcal{C})$ with similar differential induced by the internal differential of \mathcal{C} and its partial decomposition maps. In this case, Maurer–Cartan elements in the convolution algebra associated to a complete graded module A correspond to complete $\Omega\mathcal{C}$ -algebra structures. \square

REMARK 4.1. This proposition applies to the Koszul dual dg cooperad $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{P}^i$ of a Koszul operad \mathcal{P} . In this case, Maurer–Cartan elements of the convolution algebra $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathcal{P}^i, A}$ are nothing but complete \mathcal{P}_∞ -algebra structures on A . This way, we can develop the deformation theory of complete \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebras or complete \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras for instance, see next section.

The gauge group obtained by integrating the underlying complete pre-Lie algebra indeed acts on the set of Maurer–Cartan elements, but we can actually consider the following bigger gauge group.

DEFINITION 4.1 (Deformation gauge group). The *deformation gauge group* associated to a filtered dg cooperad \mathcal{C} and a complete dg module A is defined by

$$\tilde{\Gamma} := (\mathcal{F}_1 \operatorname{hom}(\mathcal{C}(0), \operatorname{end}_A(0))_0 \times \mathcal{F}_1 \operatorname{hom}(\mathcal{C}(1), \operatorname{end}_A(1))_0) \times \prod_{n \geq 2} \operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{S}_n}(\mathcal{C}(n), \operatorname{end}_A(n))_0, \operatorname{BCH}(\cdot, \cdot, 0).$$

Notice that

$$\tilde{\Gamma} \supset \Gamma = \mathcal{F}_1 \operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathcal{C}, \operatorname{end}_A)_0.$$

We define the complete filtration of the deformation gauge group by $F_1 \tilde{\Gamma} := \tilde{\Gamma}$ and $F_k \tilde{\Gamma} := F_k \Gamma$, for all $k \geq 2$. Similarly, we consider the following bigger set of group-like elements

$$\tilde{G} := 1 + \tilde{\Gamma} = \mathcal{F}_1 \operatorname{hom}(\mathcal{C}(0), \operatorname{end}_A(0))_0 \times (1 + \mathcal{F}_1 \operatorname{hom}(\mathcal{C}(1), \operatorname{end}_A(1))_0) \times \prod_{n \geq 2} \operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{S}_n}(\mathcal{C}(n), \operatorname{end}_A(n))_0$$

and its associated complete group structure

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{G}} := (\tilde{G}, \{1 + F_k \tilde{\Gamma}\}_{k \geq 1}, \odot, 1).$$

PROPOSITION 4.2. *All the results of Sections 2 and 3 hold true on the level of the deformation gauge group $\tilde{\Gamma}$ and its avatar $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}$ (except for the filtration property of the group commutators).*

PROOF. The only issue is the convergence of all the formulas with these more general objects. In the present case, the complete convolution algebra is graded by the arity and the various formulas involving the pre-Lie product \star , its Lie bracket, exponential and logarithm maps, as well as the associative product \odot , are actually given by sums of labelled trees. So, at each fixed arity, they are made up of finite sums of terms of arity greater than 2 and potentially infinite sums of terms with a finite number of terms of arity greater than 2 and an infinite numbers of terms of arity 0 and 1. These latter infinite sums converge by the

restriction to parts of filtration 1 in arity 0 and 1 in the abovementioned definitions. \square

Let α and β be two Maurer–Cartan elements corresponding to two $\Omega\mathcal{C}$ -algebra structures on A .

DEFINITION 4.2 (∞ -morphism). An ∞ -morphism $\alpha \rightsquigarrow \beta$ between α and β is a degree 0 element $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{end}_A$, such that $f_0 \in \mathcal{F}_1 \text{hom}(\mathcal{C}(0), \text{end}_A(0))_0$ and satisfying the equation

$$(2) \quad f \star \alpha = \beta \odot f .$$

They can be composed under the formula $f \odot g$.

REMARK 4.2. This definition includes the case where α corresponds to an $\Omega\mathcal{C}$ -algebra structure on a complete dg module (A, F) and β an $\Omega\mathcal{C}$ -algebra structure on another complete dg module (B, G) . In this case, we consider the convolution algebra $\text{hom}_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathcal{C}, \text{end}_{A \oplus B})$ with α (respectively β) a Maurer–Cartan element supported on end_A (respectively on end_B). This produces the notion of an ∞ -morphism from (A, α) to (B, β) . One can notice that Equation (2) imposes, in general, that such an ∞ -morphism takes values in $\text{hom}(A^{\otimes n}, B)$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

REMARK 4.3. We need the assumption $f_0 \in \mathcal{F}_1 \text{hom}(\mathcal{C}(0), \text{end}_A(0))_0$ for the right-hand side $\beta \odot f$ to be well-defined. Notice that in the present text, we consider only cooperads defined by the partial definition $\Delta_{(1)} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \hat{\circ}_{(1)} \mathcal{C}$, which splits operations into two. When the cooperad \mathcal{C} has trivial arity 0 part, i.e. $\mathcal{C}(0) = 0$, it admits a “full” coassociative decomposition map $\Delta : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \hat{\circ} \mathcal{C}$, which splits operations into two levels, see [35, Section 5.8.2]. In this case, the associative product $\beta \odot f$ receives the following simple description:

$$\beta \odot f : \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathcal{C} \hat{\circ} \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\beta \hat{\circ} f} \text{end}_A \hat{\circ} \text{end}_A \xrightarrow{\gamma} \text{end}_A .$$

However, the full decomposition map for the cooperad \mathcal{C} fails to be well-defined in the general case, but thanks to the assumption $f_0 \in \mathcal{F}_1 \text{hom}(\mathcal{C}(0), \text{end}_A(0))_0$, the product \odot is well-defined, by a convergent series. Therefore, the present complete pre-Lie integration theory is mandatory to define a suitable notion of ∞ -morphism for the homotopy algebras having particular elements, like curved \mathcal{A}_{∞} -algebras and curved \mathcal{L}_{∞} -algebras considered in the next section.

DEFINITION 4.3 (∞ -isotopy). An ∞ -isotopy between α and β is an ∞ -morphism $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{end}_A$ satisfying

$$f_1 \in 1 + \mathcal{F}_1 \text{hom}(\mathcal{C}(1), \text{end}_A(1))_0 .$$

THEOREM 4.1. For a filtered dg cooperad \mathcal{C} and for any complete dg module A , the set of ∞ -isotopies forms a subgroup of the group of invertible ∞ -morphisms of $\Omega\mathcal{C}$ -algebra structures on A , which is isomorphic to the deformation gauge group under the pre-Lie exponential map

$$\tilde{\Gamma} \cong \tilde{\mathfrak{G}} = (\infty\text{-iso}, \odot, \text{id}_A) .$$

The Deligne groupoid associated to the deformation gauge group is isomorphic to the groupoid whose objects are $\Omega\mathcal{C}$ -algebras and whose morphisms are ∞ -isotopies

$$\text{Deligne}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathcal{C},A}) := (\text{MC}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathcal{C},A}), \tilde{\Gamma}) \cong (\Omega\mathcal{C}\text{-Alg}, \infty\text{-iso}) .$$

PROOF. This is a direct corollary of Proposition 4.1, Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 3.1, via their generalisations given at Proposition 4.2. \square

REMARK 4.4. Notice that, for a weight graded dg cooperad \mathcal{C} and a dg module A , both viewed as complete objects with respectively the weight filtration and the trivial filtration, the present constructions agree with the ones given in [16, Section 5]. So the present treatment is a strict generalisation of *loc. cit.*

The gauge action origin of the twisting procedure

In this section, we provide a first application of the complete operadic deformation theory developed in the previous section. Namely, we deal with the easiest example of gauge action: when the gauge element is just an element of the underlying dg module, that is concentrated in arity 0.

In this way, we get a conceptual interpretation of the twisting procedure of a complete \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra (or a complete \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebra) by a Maurer–Cartan element. This result belongs to the series of results established recently by the authors which show that “any functorial procedure” producing new homotopy algebra structures from former ones are actually given by a suitable gauge action: Koszul hierarchy [40, 16], Homotopy Transfer Theorem [16], etc. .

The procedure of twisting a complete \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra with a Maurer–Cartan element is the non-commutative analogue of the twisting procedure for complete \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras. The former one plays a seminal role in the construction of the Floer cohomology of Lagrangian submanifolds [19] and the latter one is used in crucial ways in deformation theory, rational homotopy theory, higher algebra, and quantum algebra. Since the \mathcal{A}_∞ -case is simpler, we first recall it. Then we encode it conceptually in the operadic deformation theory language. This allows us to fix explicitly the sign conventions for instance.

From the conceptual gauge action interpretation of the twisting procedure, we derive automatically “all” its known and useful properties. We conclude this section with a criterion on quadratic operads which ensures that the associated category of homotopy algebras admits a meaningful twisting procedure.

1. Curved \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebras

Let us start with the most simple ns operad: the ns operad uAs, which encodes unital associative algebras. It is one-dimensional in each arity $\text{uAs}(n) = \mathbb{k}v_n$, for $n \geq 0$, and concentrated in degree 0; its operadic structure is straightforward: $v_k \circ_i v_l = v_{k+l-1}$. Let us now consider the linear dual ns cooperad uAs^* , where we denote the dual basis by $v_n := v_n^*$. The infinitesimal decomposition coproduct, which is the dual of the partial composition products, is equal to

$$\Delta_{(1)}(v_n) = \sum_{\substack{p+q+r=n \\ p,q,r \geq 0}} v_{p+1+r} \circ_{p+1} v_q .$$

We now consider the endomorphism ns operad $\text{End}_A := \{\text{Hom}(A^{\otimes n}, A)\}_{n \geq 0}$ associated to any graded module A . It turns out that the convolution ns operad $\text{Hom}(\text{uAs}^*, \text{End}_A)$ is canonically isomorphic to End_A itself. Therefore the

induced left unital pre-Lie algebra

$$\alpha := \left(\prod_{n \geq 0} \text{Hom}(A^{\otimes n}, A), \star, 1 \right)$$

is given by

$$1 = \text{id}_A \quad \text{and} \quad f \star g = \sum_{i=1}^n f \circ_i g,$$

for $f \in \text{Hom}(A^{\otimes n}, A)$.

Its Maurer-Cartan elements are degree -1 elements $\alpha = (m_0, m_1, \dots, m_n, \dots)$ satisfying the Maurer-Cartan equation

$$\alpha \star \alpha = 0.$$

Unfolding this definition, we have degree -1 operations $m_n : A^{\otimes n} \rightarrow A$, for $n \geq 0$, satisfying the following relations, under the convention $\theta := m_0(1) \in A_{-1}$ and $d := m_1$:

$$\text{arity } 0: \quad d(\theta) = 0,$$

$$\text{arity } 1: \quad d^2 = -m_2(\theta, -) - m_2(-, \theta),$$

$$\text{arity } 2: \quad \partial m_2 = dm_2 + m_2(d(-), -) + m_2(-, d(-)) = -m_3(\theta, -, -) - m_3(-, \theta, -) - m_3(-, -, \theta).$$

$$\text{arity } 3: \quad \partial m_3 = -m_2(m_2(-, -), -) - m_2(-, m_2(-, -)) - m_4(\theta, -, -, -) - m_4(-, \theta, -, -) - m_4(-, -, \theta, -) - m_4(-, -, -, \theta),$$

$$\text{arity } n: \quad \partial(m_n) = - \sum_{\substack{p+q+r=n \\ 2 \leq q \leq n}} m_{p+1+r} \circ_{p+1} m_q - \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} m_{n+1}(-, \dots, -, \underbrace{\theta}_{i^{\text{th}}}, -, \dots, -).$$

DEFINITION 1.1 (Shifted curved \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra). The data $(A, \theta, d, m_2, m_3, \dots)$ of a graded module A equipped with a degree -1 element θ and degree -1 maps d, m_2, m_3, \dots satisfying the aforementioned equations is called a *shifted curved \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra*. The element θ is called the *curvature*.

When the curvature θ vanishes, the operator d squares to zero and thus gives rise to a differential, which is a derivation with respect to the binary operation m_2 . The higher operations m_n , for $n \geq 3$, are then homotopies for the relation $-\sum_{\substack{p+q+r=n \\ 2 \leq q \leq n}} m_{p+1+r} \circ_{p+1} m_q = 0$.

DEFINITION 1.2 (Shifted \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra). A chain complex (A, d, m_2, m_3, \dots) equipped with degree -1 maps m_2, m_3, \dots satisfying the aforementioned equations with $\theta = 0$ is called a *shifted \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra*.

Given a shifted curved \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra structure $(A, \theta', d', m'_2, m'_3, \dots)$, one can choose to work on the desuspension $s^{-1}A$ of the underlying graded module. The degree of the operations and the signs involved in their relations will thus be modified. The curvature $\theta := s^{-1}\theta'$ now has degree -2 , the unary operator d :

$s^{-1}A \rightarrow s^{-1}A$ still has degree -1 , and the operations $m_n : (s^{-1}A)^{\otimes n} \rightarrow s^{-1}A$ now have degree $n - 2$. They satisfy the following signed relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{arity 0: } & d(\theta) = 0, \\
\text{arity 1: } & d^2 = m_2(\theta, -) - m_2(-, \theta), \\
\text{arity 2: } & \partial m_2 = -m_3(\theta, -, -) + m_3(-, \theta, -) - m_3(-, -, \theta), \\
\text{arity 3: } & \partial m_3 = m_2(m_2(-, -), -) - m_2(-, m_2(-, -)) + m_4(\theta, -, -, -) \\
& \quad - m_4(-, \theta, -, -) + m_4(-, -, \theta, -) - m_4(-, -, -, \theta), \\
\text{arity } n: & \partial(m_n) = \sum_{\substack{p+q+r=n \\ 2 \leq q \leq n}} (-1)^{pq+r+1} m_{p+1+r} \circ_{p+1} m_q \\
& \quad + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{n-i} m_{n+1}(-, \dots, -, \underbrace{\theta}_{i^{\text{th}}}, -, \dots, -).
\end{aligned}$$

DEFINITION 1.3 (Curved \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra). The data of a graded module $(A, \theta, d, m_2, m_3, \dots)$ equipped with a degree -2 element θ , a degree -1 map $d : A \rightarrow A$ and degree $n - 2$ maps $m_n : A^{\otimes n} \rightarrow A$ satisfying the aforementioned equations is called a *curved \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra*.

Again, if in a curved \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra, the curvature vanishes, then the operator d becomes a differential and the higher operations can be interpreted as homotopies for the signed relations.

DEFINITION 1.4 (\mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra). A chain complex (A, d, m_2, m_3, \dots) equipped with maps $m_n : A^{\otimes n} \rightarrow A$ degree $n - 2$, for $n \geq 2$, satisfying the aforementioned equations with $\theta = 0$ is called an *\mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra*.

REMARK 1.1. To get the same notions in the filtered or complete setting, one just has to replace everywhere the endomorphism operad End_A by its the complete endomorphism suboperad end_A , made up of filtered maps.

2. Operadic interpretation

Notice that the ns operad uAs is actually isomorphic to the endomorphism ns operad associated to a degree 0 dimension 1 module: $\text{uAs} \cong \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}$. Similarly, the ns operad As , which encodes (non-necessarily unital) associative algebras is isomorphic to $\text{As} \cong \overline{\text{End}}_{\mathbb{k}}$, where $\overline{\text{End}}_{\mathbb{k}}$ is the ns suboperad of $\text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}$ with trivial arity 0 component: $\overline{\text{End}}_{\mathbb{k}}(0) = 0$. One can also consider the endomorphism ns operad associated to a degree 1 dimension 1 module $\text{End}_{\mathbb{k},s}$ and its ns suboperad $\overline{\text{End}}_{\mathbb{k},s}$. The abovementioned four definitions are obtained by considering the Maurer–Cartan elements of the convolution algebras associated the following linear dual cooperads:

	curved	uncurved
shifted	$\text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}$	$\overline{\text{End}}_{\mathbb{k}}$
classical	$\text{End}_{\mathbb{k},s}$	$\overline{\text{End}}_{\mathbb{k},s}$

The convolution pre-Lie algebra $\text{Hom}(As^*, \text{End}_A) \cong \prod_{n \geq 1} \{\text{Hom}(A^{\otimes n}, A)\}$, which encodes shifted \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebras, is a pre-Lie subalgebra of $\text{Hom}(uAs^*, \text{End}_A) \cong \prod_{n \geq 0} \{\text{Hom}(A^{\otimes n}, A)\}$, which encodes shifted curved \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebras. But, as we will show in Section 3, it is crucial to encode the former notion in the latter bigger pre-Lie algebra, since there, the gauge group of symmetries is big enough to host the twisting procedure.

REMARK 2.1. Starting from the ns operad uAs encoding *unital* associative algebras, one gets the notion of shifted *curved* \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebras through the above-mentioned process. This admits a conceptual explanation via the Koszul duality theory [48, 26].

3. The twisting procedure as gauge group action

In this section, we pass to the complete setting and we apply the general theory developed in Section 2 to the discrete so complete ns cooperad $\mathcal{C} = \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}, s^{-1}}^c := \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}, s}^*$. This will allow us to treat the deformation theory of curved \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebras. We chose this particular case, since the sign issue is a complicated problem in operad theory; the reader interested in the shifted case has just to remove “all” the signs. The cooperad \mathcal{C} is spanned by one element

$$\nu_n : (s^{-1})^n \mapsto (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} s^{-1}$$

of degree $n - 1$, in each arity $n \geq 0$. Its infinitesimal decomposition coproduct is given by

$$\Delta_{(1)}(\nu_n) = \sum_{\substack{p+q+r=n \\ p, q, r \geq 0}} (-1)^{p(q+1)} \nu_{p+1+r} \circ_{p+1} \nu_q.$$

REMARK 3.1. With the sign convention $\nu_n : (s^{-1})^n \mapsto s^{-1}$, we get the same signs as the ones of [35, Chapter 9]. With the present convention, we actually get the signs which are more common in the existing literature.

To any complete graded module A , we associate the complete graded left-unital convolution pre-Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{a}_{\mathcal{C}, A} := (\text{hom}_{\mathbb{N}}(\mathcal{C}, \text{end}_A), \star, 1),$$

whose underlying complete graded module is isomorphism to the product $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} s^{1-n} \text{hom}(A^{\otimes n}, A)$. As a consequence of Section 1 and Proposition 4.1, its Maurer-Cartan elements, that is degree -1 maps $\alpha : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{end}_A$ satisfying $\alpha \star \alpha = 0$, are in one-to-one correspondence with complete curved \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra structures on A , under the assignment $m_n := \alpha(\mu_n)$, for $n \geq 0$.

The deformation gauge group associated to \mathfrak{a} is equal to

$$\tilde{\Gamma} \cong (F_1 A_{-1} \times \mathcal{F}_1 \text{hom}(A, A)_0 \times \prod_{n \geq 2} \text{hom}(A^{\otimes n}, A)_{n-1}, \text{BCH}(\cdot, \cdot), 0)$$

and is filtered isomorphic to

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{G}} \cong (F_1 A_{-1} \times (1 + \mathcal{F}_1 \text{hom}(A, A)_0) \times \prod_{n \geq 2} \text{hom}(A^{\otimes n}, A)_{n-1}, \odot, 1)$$

under the pre-Lie exponential and pre-Lie logarithm maps, by Section 4. In the complete left-unital pre-Lie algebra \mathfrak{a} , this deformation gauge group acts on Maurer–Cartan elements via the following formula of Theorem 3.1:

$$e^\lambda \cdot \alpha = \left(e^\lambda \star \alpha \right) \odot e^{-\lambda},$$

as long as λ_0 and λ_1 live in the first layer of the filtration, that is $\lambda_0 \in F_1 A_{-1}$ and $\lambda_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1 \operatorname{hom}(A, A)_0$.

REMARK 3.2. In the monograph [47], L. Positselski developed the theory of complete curved \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebras over a complete local ring. He introduces a general notion of ∞ -morphism which we recover via Definition 4.2, where the above condition on f_0 coincides with the requirement that f_0 lives in the maximal ideal.

The comprehensive book [39] of M. Markl on deformation theory treats the case of free complete modules over a complete local ring. A gauge group is introduced in this context, see Chapter 4 of *loc. cit.*, but it is only made up of $1 + \mathcal{F}_1 \operatorname{hom}(A, A)_0$. This is enough to describe the moduli spaces of associative algebra structures up to isomorphism, in the complete setting. The present deformation gauge group describes faithfully the moduli spaces of complete curved \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebras up to their ∞ -isotopies.

Let us now study the first and easiest example of a gauge action on Maurer–Cartan elements: we consider elements of the deformation gauge group $\tilde{\Gamma}$ supported in arity 0. Let $a \in F_1 A_{-1}$, for brevity, we still denote by a the element $(a, 0, \dots)$ of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ and by $1 + a = e^a = (a, 1, 0, \dots)$ the element of $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}$. By the general theory developed above, the action $e^a \cdot \alpha$ on the complete curved \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra structure encoded by the Maurer–Cartan element α gives us automatically a new complete curved \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra structure.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *The formula for the generating operations m_n^a of the complete curved \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra $e^a \cdot \alpha$ is*

$$m_n^a = \sum_{r_0, \dots, r_n \geq 0} (-1)^{\sum_{k=0}^n k r_k} m_{n+r_0+\dots+r_n}(a^{r_0}, -, a^{r_1}, -, \dots, -, a^{r_{n-1}}, -, a^{r_n}),$$

for $n \geq 0$, where the notation a^r stands for $a^{\otimes r}$.

PROOF. In the present case, the inverse of the pre-Lie exponential e^a is equal to $e^{-a} = 1 - a$. Therefore, the formula of the gauge action given in Theorem 3.1 is

$$e^{-a} \cdot \alpha = \left(e^{-a} \star \alpha \right) \odot e^a = \left((1 - a) \star \alpha \right) \odot (1 + a) = \alpha \odot (1 + a),$$

since $\lambda \star \rho = 0$ for any $\rho \in \mathfrak{a}$ and since \star is linear on the left-hand side. The image of the element v_n under the $\alpha \odot (1 + a)$ is equal to $(\alpha \circ (1 + a))(\Delta(v_n))$. One can easily see that the part of the image of the element v_n under the decomposition map Δ of the cooperad \mathcal{C} with only v_0 and v_1 on the right-hand side is equal to

$$\sum_{r_0, \dots, r_n \geq 0} (-1)^{\sum_{k=0}^n k r_k} v_{n+r_0+\dots+r_n} \circ (v_0^{r_0}, v_1, v_0^{r_1}, v_1, \dots, v_1, v_0^{r_{n-1}}, v_1, a^{r_n})$$

Finally, the sign appearing in the formula for the m_n^a is the same since the element $1 + \lambda$ has degree 0. \square

Explicitly, the first of these twisted operations are:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{arity 0 : } \theta^a &:= m_0^a = \theta + d(a) + m_2(a, a) + m_3(a, a, a) + \cdots, \\ \text{arity 1 : } d^a &:= m_1^a = d(-) + m_2(a, -) - m_2(-, a) + m_3(a, a, -) - m_3(a, -, a) \\ &\quad + m_3(-, a, a) + \cdots, \\ \text{arity 2 : } m_2^a &= m_2(-, -) + m_3(a, -, -) - m_3(-, a, -) + m_3(-, -, a) + \cdots. \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 3.3. Notice the strategy chosen here: first we get a new complete curved \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra structure by a conceptual argument (gauge group action) and then we make it explicit. Usually, in the literature like in [19, Chapter 3], the explicit form of the twisted operations is given first and then proved (by direct computations) to satisfy the relations of a complete curved \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra.

THEOREM 3.1 ([19]). *Under the formula of Proposition 3.1, any element $a \in F_1 A_{-1}$ of a complete curved \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra $(A, \theta, d, m_2, m_3, \dots)$ induces a (twisted) complete curved \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra*

$$(A, \theta^a, d^a, m_2^a, m_3^a, \dots)$$

This twisted complete curved \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra has a trivial curvature $\theta^a = 0$, that is produces an \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra, if and only if the element a satisfies the Maurer–Cartan equation:

$$(3) \quad \theta + d(a) + \sum_{n \geq 2} m_n(a, \dots, a) = 0.$$

This conceptually explains why the twisting procedure on associative algebras or complete \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebras requires the twisting element to satisfy the Maurer–Cartan equation. Without this condition, one would *a priori* get a complete curved \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra. In other words, the (left-hand side of the) Maurer–Cartan equation of an element a is the curvature of the complete curved \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra twisted by the element a .

PROPOSITION 3.2. *The following assignment defines a monomorphism of groups*

$$\begin{aligned} (F_1 A_{-1}, +, 0) &\mapsto \tilde{\Gamma} = (F_1 A_{-1} \times \mathcal{F}_1 \text{hom}(A, A)_0 \times \prod_{n \geq 2} \text{hom}(A^{\otimes n}, A)_{n-1}, \\ &\quad \text{BCH}(-, -), 0) \\ a &\mapsto (a, 0, 0, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. It is enough to check the compatibility with respect to the group products, that is

$$\text{BCH}(a, b) = a + b,$$

which holds true since a and b are supported in arity 0: their brackets appearing in the Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff formula vanish. \square

COROLLARY 3.1. *Twisting a complete curved \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra $(A, \theta, d, m_2, m_3, \dots)$ first by an element a and then by an element b amounts to twisting it by $a + b$.*

PROOF. This is a direct corollary of the preceding Proposition 3.2. \square

The presence of the curvature element plays another peculiar role in the deformation theory of curved \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebras over a field, as follows.

PROPOSITION 3.3 ([48, Section 7.3][2, Theorem 5.4]). *Any curved \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra $(A, \theta, d, m_2, m_3, \dots)$, for which there exists a linear map $A \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ sending θ to 1, is gauge equivalent to its truncated curved \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra $(A, \theta, 0, 0, \dots)$.*

PROOF. For the sake of completeness of the present paper, we provide here a proof in the language of the pre-Lie deformation. Notice that the computations of the following obstruction argument are very close to the arguments of [48, Section 7.3] and [2, Theorem 5.4]. As above, we denote the Maurer–Cartan element encoding the curved \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra $(A, \theta, d, m_2, m_3, \dots)$ in the convolution pre-Lie algebra \mathfrak{a} by α , that is $m_n = \alpha(v_n)$, for $n \geq 0$. Let us denote by $\alpha_n := (0, \dots, 0, v_n \mapsto m_n, 0, \dots)$, for $n \geq 0$, so that $\alpha = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n$. Let us suppose that there exists an element $\lambda \in \tilde{\Gamma}$, such that $\lambda_0 = 0$ and $\lambda_1 = 0$, and which satisfies $\ln(1 + \lambda) \cdot \alpha = \alpha_0$. Since $\ln(1 + \lambda) \cdot \alpha = ((1 + \lambda) \star \alpha) \odot (1 + \lambda)^{-1} = \alpha_0$, we get the equation $(1 + \lambda) \star \alpha = \alpha_0 \odot (1 + \lambda) = \alpha_0$, that is $(1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \dots) \star (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \dots) = \alpha_0$. This gives in arity 0: $\alpha_0 = \alpha_0$ and in arity $n \geq 1$:

$$(4) \quad \underbrace{\alpha_n + \lambda_2 \star \alpha_{n-1} + \dots + \lambda_n \star \alpha_1}_{\delta_n} + \lambda_{n+1} \star \alpha_0 = 0.$$

We now consider the chain complex

$$C_\bullet := \text{Hom}(\mathcal{C}(\bullet), \text{Hom}(A^{\otimes \bullet}, A)) \cong \text{Hom}(A^{\otimes \bullet}, A),$$

for $\bullet \geq 1$, with the boundary map given by $d(f) := f \star \alpha_0$, which lowers the arity by one. Since α_0 is a degree -1 element in a (right) pre-Lie algebra, one can see that $(f \star \alpha_0) \star \alpha_0 = f \star (\alpha_0 \star \alpha_0) = 0 = d^2(f)$.

Let us first show that this chain complex is acyclic. Let us denote by $\theta^* : A \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ the \mathbb{k} -linear map which sends θ to 1. With this map at hand, we construct the following contracting homotopy h . Let φ_n be an element of $C_n = \text{Hom}(\mathcal{C}(n), \text{Hom}(A^{\otimes n}, A))$, so it given by $v_n \mapsto f_n$. The image $s(\varphi_n)$ under h of the element φ_n is given by the assignment $v_{n+1} \mapsto \theta^* \otimes f_n$. It is then straightforward to check that $hd + dh = \text{id}_{C_\bullet}$.

Then, one can prove by induction that the element $\delta_n \in C_n$ is a cycle, therefore it is a boundary element, that is there exists $\lambda_{n+1} \in C_{n+1}$ such that $-\lambda_{n+1} \star \alpha_0 = \delta_n$, which is Equation (4). To do so, one can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_n \star \alpha_0 &= \alpha_n \star \alpha_0 + \sum_{k=2}^n (\lambda_k \star \alpha_{n-k+1}) \star \alpha_0 \\ &= \alpha_n \star \alpha_0 - \sum_{k=2}^n (\lambda_k \star \alpha_0) \star \alpha_{n-k+1} + \sum_{k=2}^n \lambda_k \star (\alpha_{n-k+1} \star \alpha_0) \end{aligned}$$

which is equal to the following quantity using the induction hypothesis:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_n \star \alpha_0 &= \alpha_n \star \alpha_0 + \sum_{k=2}^n \alpha_{k-1} \star \alpha_{n-k+1} + \sum_{k=3}^n \sum_{l=2}^{k-1} (\lambda_l \star \alpha_{k-l}) \star \alpha_{n-k+1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=2}^n \lambda_k \star (\alpha_{n-k+1} \star \alpha_0) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \star \alpha_{n-k} + \sum_{l=2}^n \sum_{k=l+1}^{n+1} \lambda_l \star (\alpha_{k-l} \star \alpha_{n-k+1}) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

by the Maurer–Cartan equation satisfied by α . \square

Theorem 3.1 shows that if one acts with the arity 0 elements of the gauge group, one gets the twisting procedure. This is used in [19] to get a new structure with trivial curvature and thus an underlying chain complex. On the other hand, Proposition 3.3 shows that one can act with the elements of the gauge group supported in arity ≥ 2 in order to kill the operations except for the curvature. This second behaviour is sometimes called the *Kontsevich–Positselski vanishing phenomenon* in the literature; as we see, the argument that proves it is “dual” to the twisting procedure. Notice that we do not need the completeness assumption since we consider the action of a gauge element supported in arity greater than 2 and that this Kontsevich–Positselski vanishing phenomenon always holds true over a field. However, this sort of degenerate behavior is typically avoided for many interesting cases like the curved \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebras used when working with matrix factorizations, as argued in [2].

4. Curved \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras

In the case of symmetric operads, we can start with the same kind of simple operad, that is one-dimensional in each arity with trivial symmetric group action. This operad $\mathbf{uCom} = \mathbf{End}_{\mathbb{k}}$ encodes the category of unital commutative (associative) algebras. Its linear dual \mathbf{uCom}^* produces the following complete left unital convolution pre-Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{a} = \mathbf{hom}_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbf{uCom}^*, \mathbf{End}_A) \cong \left(\prod_{n \geq 0} \mathbf{hom}(A^{\otimes n}, A), \star, 1 \right),$$

where $A^{\otimes n} := A^{\otimes n} / \mathbb{S}_n$ stands for the space coinvariants with respect to the symmetric group action; in order words, we are led to consider symmetric maps from $A^{\otimes n}$ to A .

DEFINITION 4.1 (Complete shifted curved \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebra). *A complete shifted curved \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebra structure on a complete graded module (A, F) is a Maurer–Cartan element $\alpha = (\ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_n, \dots)$ in the complete left unital pre-Lie convolution algebra $\mathfrak{a} \cong (\prod_{n \geq 0} \mathbf{hom}(A^{\otimes n}, A), \star, 1)$. Such a data amounts to a collection of filtered maps $l_n : A^{\otimes n} \rightarrow A$, of degree -1 , for $n \geq 0$, satisfying the following*

relations, under the usual convention $\theta := \ell_0(1)$ and $d := \ell_1$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{arity 0: } & d(\theta) = 0, \\
\text{arity 1: } & d^2 = -\ell_2(\theta, -), \\
\text{arity 2: } & \partial\ell_2 = -\ell_3(\theta, -, -), \\
\text{arity 3: } & \partial\ell_3 = -\ell_2(\ell_2(-, -), -) - \ell_2(\ell_2(-, -), -)^{(23)} - \ell_2(\ell_2(-, -), -)^{(132)} \\
& \quad -\ell_4(\theta, -, -, -), \\
\text{arity } n: & \partial(\ell_n) = - \sum_{\substack{p+q=n+1 \\ 2 \leq p, q \leq n}} \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sh}_{p,q}^{-1}} (\ell_{p+1} \circ_1 \ell_q)^\sigma - \ell_{n+1}(\theta, -, \dots, -),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\text{Sh}_{p,q}^{-1}$ denotes the set of the inverses of (p, q) -shuffles.

REMARK 4.1. As strange that it may seem, the notion of *shifted* Lie algebra structure seems to appear “more naturally” than its classical notion: the shifted Gerstenhaber’s Lie bracket on the Hochschild cochain complex with the operadic grading, the shifted Lie bracket on the sheaves of polyvector fields, the shifted \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebra formed by the Koszul hierarchy, the shifted Lie algebra made up of the Whitehead product on homotopy groups of topological spaces, the algebraic structure present in the Batalin–Vilkovisky formalism, etc.

REMARK 4.2. In the same way as for \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebras, the truncation and suspension versions of the endomorphism cooperad on a one-dimensional module give rise to possibly non-shifted and possibly non-curved \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebra structures.

In order to get the same abovementioned definitions but with symmetric operads, one has to consider the operad uAss made up of the regular representations of the symmetric groups, that is $\text{uAss}(n) = \llbracket \mathbb{S}_n \rrbracket v_n$. Then the associated complete convolution pre-Lie algebra is isomorphic to the previous one since $\text{hom}_{\mathbb{S}}(\text{uAss}^*, \text{End}_A) \cong \text{hom}(\text{uAs}^*, \text{End}_A)$. Let us denote by v'_n and by v_n^σ respectively the basis elements of $\text{uCom}(n)$ and $\text{uCom}^*(n)$. The morphism of operads

$$\text{uAss} \rightarrow \text{uCom}, \quad v_n^\sigma \mapsto v'_n$$

induces the following morphism of cooperads

$$\zeta : \text{uCom}^* \rightarrow \text{uAss}^*, \quad v'_n \mapsto \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n} v_n^\sigma,$$

which, by pulling back, induces the following morphism of pre-Lie algebras

$$\zeta^* : \text{hom}_{\mathbb{S}}(\text{uAss}^*, \text{End}_A) \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\mathbb{S}}(\text{uCom}^*, \text{End}_A), \quad \alpha \mapsto \alpha \circ \zeta.$$

In the case of the endomorphism operad on a suspended module, which gives rise to the non-shifted versions, the morphism of operads is defined similarly, but its linear dual produces $\text{sgn}(\sigma)$ signs. Since a morphism of pre-Lie algebras preserves Maurer–Cartan elements, we obtain the following known result, but in a straightforward way.

PROPOSITION 4.1 ([30, 19]). *The symmetrisation*

$$\ell_n := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n} m_n^\sigma$$

of a complete shifted (curved) \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra produces a complete shifted (curved) \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebra.

The antisymmetrisation

$$\ell_n := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) m_n^\sigma$$

of a complete (curved) \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra produces a complete (curved) \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebra.

5. Gauge action and categorical properties of curved \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras

The above notions of complete (shifted) curved \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebra play a seminal role in deformation theory, rational homotopy theory, and higher algebra. It is first the suitable source category for the Deligne–Hinich–Getzler ∞ -groupoid [21, 24, 13], it serves as rational models for spaces of maps [5, 34, 3], and it provides us with a suitable higher categorical enrichment to the categories of homotopy algebras [14, 11]. In each case, the twisting procedure, together with its various properties, form the main toolbox. In this section, we show that these properties are actually straightforward consequences of the above gauge group interpretation. This also allows us to get the most general version of all these results.

Let (A, F) be a complete graded module and let \mathcal{C} be the cooperad $:= \text{uCom}^*$. The deformation gauge group associated to a is equal to

$$\tilde{\Gamma} \cong (F_1 A_0 \times \mathcal{F}_1 \text{hom}(A, A)_0 \times \prod_{n \geq 2} \text{hom}(A^{\odot n}, A)_0, \text{BCH}(\cdot, \cdot), 0)$$

and is filtered isomorphic to

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{G}} \cong (F_1 A_0 \times (1 + \mathcal{F}_1 \text{hom}(A, A)_0) \times \prod_{n \geq 2} \text{hom}(A^{\odot n}, A)_0, \odot, 1)$$

under the pre-Lie exponential and pre-Lie logarithm maps.

PROPOSITION 5.1. *The gauge action of an element $a \in F_1 A_0$ on a complete shifted curved \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebra $(A, F, \theta = \ell_0, d = \ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \dots)$ produces the following twisted shifted curved \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebra structure on A :*

$$\ell_n^a = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{k!} \ell_{k+n}(a^k, -, \dots, -), \text{ for } n \geq 0.$$

PROOF. The proof is easy and identical to the one of Proposition 3.1: the new Maurer–Cartan element is equal to $e^{-a} \cdot \alpha = \alpha \odot (1 + a)$. \square

For instance, the formula for the twisted curvature and the twisted (pre)differential are respectively

$$\theta^a = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{k!} \ell_k(a^k) \quad \text{and} \quad d^a = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{k!} \ell_{k+1}(a^k, -).$$

An element $a \in F_1 A_0$ is called a *Maurer–Cartan* element in the complete shifted curved \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebra $(A, F, \theta, d, \ell_2, \ell_3, \dots)$ when $\ell_0^a = 0$. The same results as in the

above case of complete (shifted) curved \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebras hold here *mutatis mutandis*. Let us mention the following ones, which are heavily used in *op. cit.*

COROLLARY 5.1 ([21, 13, 14]). *Let $(A, F, \theta, d, \ell_2, \ell_3, \dots)$ be a complete shifted curved \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebra and let $a, b \in F_1 A_0$ be two of its elements. The following formulas hold:*

- (1) $d(\theta^a) + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{k!} \ell_{k+1}(a^k, \theta^a) = 0$,
- (2) $d^a \circ d^a = -\ell_2^a(\theta^a, -)$,
- (3) $\theta^{a+b} = \theta^a + d^a(b) + \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{1}{k!} \ell_k^a(b^k)$,

PROOF.

- (1) The left-hand side is nothing but $d^a(\theta^a)$, which is equal to 0 by the arity 0 relation of the twisted shifted curved \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebra.
- (2) This formula is the arity 1 relation of the twisted shifted curved \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebra.
- (3) The right-hand side is nothing but $(\theta^a)^b$; so the formula is the arity 0 part of Corollary 3.1, in the \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebra case.

□

Let (A, F) and (B, G) be two complete dg modules. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \text{MC}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathcal{C}, A \oplus B})$ be two Maurer–Cartan elements corresponding to two complete shifted curved \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebra structures on (A, F) and (B, G) respectively. Let $f = (f_0, f_1, \dots)$ be an ∞ -morphism from (A, α) to (B, β) , that is $f \star \alpha = \beta \odot f$. Let $a \in F_1 A_0$ and let us denote by

$$f^a := f \odot (1 + a) = (f(a) := \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{k!} f_k(a^k), f_1^a := \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{k!} f_{k+1}(a^k, -), \dots, \\ f_n^a \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{k!} f_{k+n}(a^k, -, \dots, -), \dots).$$

Notice that $f(a) \in F_1 B_0$. We consider the following two twisted complete shifted curved \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras on A and B respectively:

$$\alpha^a := (1 - a) \cdot \alpha = \alpha \odot (1 + a) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta^{f(a)} := (1 - f(a)) \cdot \beta = \beta \odot (1 + f(a)).$$

PROPOSITION 5.2.

- (1) *The element*

$$(1 - f(a)) \odot f \odot (1 + a) = f^a - f(a)$$

is an ∞ -morphism from α^a to $\beta^{f(a)}$.

- (2) *The curvatures of the two twisted complete shifted curved \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebra structures are related by the following formula:*

$$\beta_0^{f(a)} = f_1^a(\alpha_0^a) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{k!} f_{k+1}(a^k, \alpha_0^a).$$

- (3) *If the element a is a Maurer–Cartan element in the complete shifted curved \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebra α , then so is its “image” $f(a)$ in the complete shifted*

curved \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebra β . In this case, $f^a - f(a) = (0, f_1^a, f_2^a, \dots)$ is a (non-curved) ∞ -morphism between the two complete shifted \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras α^a and $\beta^{f(a)}$ respectively.

PROOF.

(1) The first assertion amounts to proving

$$((1 - f(a)) \odot f \odot (1 + a)) \star \alpha^a = \beta^{f(a)} \odot (1 - f(a)) \odot f \odot (1 + a).$$

The left-hand term is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} ((1 - f(a)) \odot f \odot (1 + a)) \star \alpha^a &= f(a) + (f \odot (1 + a)) \star (\alpha \odot (1 + a)) \\ &= f(a) + (f \star \alpha) \odot (1 + a). \end{aligned}$$

The right-hand term is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \beta^{f(a)} \odot (1 - f(a)) \odot f \odot (1 + a) &= (1 - f(a)) \odot \beta \odot (1 + f(a)) \odot (1 - f(a)) \odot f \\ &\quad \odot (1 + a) \\ &= f(a) + \beta \odot f \odot (1 + a) \\ &= f(a) + (f \star \alpha) \odot (1 + a). \end{aligned}$$

(2) The second assertion is the part of the above relation for the ∞ -morphism in arity 0. This latter one is equal to $f^a - f(a) = (0, f_1^a, f_2^a, \dots)$ and so it has no constant term. The part of arity 0 of the equation $\beta^{f(a)} \odot (f^a - f(a)) = (f^a - f(a)) \star \alpha^a$ is

$$\beta_0^{f(a)} = f_1^a(\alpha_0^a) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{k!} f_{k+1}(a^k, \alpha_0^a).$$

(3) The last assertion is a direct corollary of the previous one: if $\alpha_0^a = 0$, then so is $\beta^{f(a)} = 0$. □

REMARK 5.1. Usually this proposition is formulated in the \mathcal{L}_∞ -case [21, 13, 14] but the above short proof also shows that it holds in the curved case as well.

Let us continue with ∞ -morphisms between two complete shifted curved \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras (A, F, α) and (B, G, β) . Such a map is a collection $(b := f_0, f_1, f_2, \dots)$, where $b \in G_1 B_0$. Let us denote it by $b + f$, where $f := (0, f_1, f_2, \dots)$.

LEMMA 5.1. *Under this convention, with the constant term split apart, a data $b + f$ is an ∞ -morphism from α to β if and only if the data f is an ∞ -morphism from α to the twisted structure β^b :*

$$b + f : \alpha \rightsquigarrow \beta \iff f : \alpha \rightsquigarrow \beta^b.$$

PROOF. The data $b + f$ in an ∞ -morphism from α to β if and only if it satisfies

$$(b + f) \star \alpha = f \star \alpha = \beta \odot (b + f).$$

The data f in an ∞ -morphism from α to β^b if and only if it satisfies

$$f \star \alpha = \beta^b \odot f = \beta \odot (1 + b) \odot f = \beta \odot (b + f),$$

which concludes the proof. □

V. Dolgushev and C. Rogers introduced in [13] a category whose objects are complete shifted \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras and whose morphisms from (A, F, α) to (B, G, β) amount to the data of a Maurer–Cartan element $b \in G_1 B_0$ and an ∞ -morphism $f : \alpha \rightsquigarrow \beta^b$ without constant term. Let $g : \beta \rightsquigarrow \gamma^c$ be another such morphism; they define the composite of morphisms by the formula:

$$(g^b - g(b)) \odot f : \alpha \rightsquigarrow \gamma^{c+g(b)} .$$

This category is denoted by $\mathfrak{S}\text{Lie}_\infty^{\text{MC}}$ in loc. cit.

PROPOSITION 5.3. *The Dolgushev–Rogers category $\mathfrak{S}\text{Lie}_\infty^{\text{MC}}$ is the sub-category of the present category of complete shifted curved \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras with ∞ -morphisms whose objects are complete shifted \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras and whose morphisms are ∞ -morphisms such that the constant term is a Maurer–Cartan element in the target algebra.*

PROOF. Lemma 5.1 establishes the equivalence between the two notions of morphisms. Under the above convention, the composite of two ∞ -morphisms $c + g$ and $b + f$ is equal to:

$$(c + g) \odot (b + f) = (c + g(b)) + (g^b - g(b)) \odot f ,$$

which coincides with Dolgushev–Rogers definition. \square

Besides giving a conceptual explanation for the Dolgushev–Rogers category, this result also allows us to prove easily the various properties of the composite of morphisms, like the associativity for instance. Notice that this category was used in a crucial way in [11] to provide an ∞ -categorical enrichment of the category of homotopy algebras which encodes faithfully their higher homotopy theory.

6. Twistable operadic algebras

The purpose of this section is to describe on the level of the encoding operads which categories of algebras admit a meaningful twisting procedure. To be more precise, our aim is to characterise the (quadratic) operads \mathcal{P} for which any \mathcal{P}_∞ -algebra can be twisted by any element satisfying a Maurer–Cartan type equation. This explains conceptually the particular form of the Maurer–Cartan equation.

Let $\mathcal{U} := (\mathbb{k}u, 0, \dots)$ be the operad generated by a degree 0 element u of arity 0; it encodes the data of a degree 0 elements in graded modules. Let (E, R) be an operadic quadratic-linear data, that is $R \subset E \oplus \mathcal{T}(E)^{(2)}$, and let $\chi : E(2) \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ be an \mathbb{S}_2 -equivariant linear map of degree 0, where \mathbb{k} receives the trivial \mathbb{S}_2 -action. We consider the space of relations $R_\chi \subset \mathcal{T}(E \oplus \mathbb{k}u)$ generated by

$$\mu \circ_1 u - \chi(\mu) \text{id} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu \circ_2 u - \chi(\mu) \text{id} ,$$

with $\mu \in E(2)$, and all the other composites of elements of $E(n)$ with at least one u , for $n \neq 2$.

DEFINITION 6.1 (Unital extension). The *unital extension* of $\mathcal{P} := \mathcal{P}(E, R)$ by χ is the following operad

$$u_\chi \mathcal{P} := \mathcal{P}(E \oplus \mathbb{k}u, R \oplus R_\chi) = \frac{\mathcal{P}(E, R) \vee \mathcal{U}}{(R_\chi)},$$

where \vee stands for the coproduct of operads.

The category of $u_\chi \mathcal{P}$ -algebras is the category of \mathcal{P} -algebras with a distinguished degree 0 element which acts as a unit (with coefficients) for the generating operations of degree 0 and arity 2 and which vanishes once composed with any other generating operation. Notice that in the trivial case $\chi = 0$, the unital extension amounts to $u_0 \mathcal{P} \cong \mathbb{k}u \oplus \mathcal{P}$ and that, in the general case, the underlying graded \mathbb{S} -module of $u_\chi \mathcal{P}$ is a coset of $\mathbb{k}u \oplus \mathcal{P}$. The “maximal” case is covered by the following definition.

DEFINITION 6.2 (Extendable quadratic-linear operad). A quadratic-linear presentation of an operad $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(E, R)$ is called *extendable* when there exists a non-trivial map $\chi : E(2) \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ such that the canonical map $\mathcal{P} \hookrightarrow u_\chi \mathcal{P}$ is a monomorphism.

This happens if and only if the underlying graded \mathbb{S} -module of $u_\chi \mathcal{P}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{k}u \oplus \mathcal{P}$. We shall now discuss extendability of some classical operads.

PROPOSITION 6.1.

- (1) *The quadratic operads Com, Gerst, BV, HyperCom, PreLie, and the quadratic-linear operad BV are extendable.*
- (2) *The quadratic ns operads As is extendable.*
- (3) *The quadratic operads Lie and Perm are not extendable.*
- (4) *The quadratic ns operads Dias and Dend are not extendable.*

PROOF.

- (1) In the case of commutative associative algebras, the classical definition of the unit $a \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot a = a$, that is $\chi(\cdot) = 1$, works to create such an extension.

In the cases of operads of Gerstenhaber algebras, Batalin–Vilkovisky algebras, and hypercommutative algebras, we note that those are homology operads of topological operads (of little 2-disks, framed little 2-disks, and Deligne–Mumford compactifications of genus zero curves with marked points respectively) that admit units topologically (action of the unit corresponds to forgetting about one of the little disks, or about a marked points), hence the unitality remains on the algebraic level. The action of the unit on the generators is forced by degree reasons: all generators except for the binary generator of the commutative suboperad must be annihilated by the unit.

Let us consider the operad of pre-Lie algebras. We shall now show that the assignment $\chi(\star) = 1$, that is $1 \star a = a \star 1 = a$, leads to a unital extension of the maximal possible size. It suffices to show that the

insertion of u into any element of the operadic ideal generated by the pre-Lie identity and applying the defining relations of the unital extension that we are considering produces an element of the same ideal. Let us note that insertion of u in any slot of the pre-Lie identity gives zero:

$$\begin{aligned} (1 \star b) \star c - 1 \star (b \star c) - (1 \star c) \star b + 1 \star (c \star b) &= 0, \\ (a \star 1) \star c - a \star (1 \star c) - (a \star c) \star 1 + a \star (c \star 1) &= 0, \\ (a \star b) \star 1 - a \star (b \star 1) - (a \star 1) \star b + a \star (1 \star b) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Every element of the operadic ideal generated by the pre-Lie identity is a combination of elements which are obtained from the pre-Lie identity by pre- and post-compositions. Consider one such element v , and look at $v \circ_i u$. If the argument i of v is one of the arguments of the pre-Lie identity, then the above computation shows that $v \circ_i u = 0$. Otherwise, the element $a \circ_i u$ is still obtained from the pre-Lie identity by pre- and post-compositions, proving our claim.

- (2) The proof is the same as in the case of the operad Com .
- (3) In the case of Lie algebras, there is no nontrivial equivariant map from the space of binary operations to the ground field regarded as the trivial module.

In the case of permutative algebras, we can substitute $x_1 = u$ in the structural identity $x_1 \cdot (x_2 \cdot x_3) = x_1 \cdot (x_3 \cdot x_2)$, and note that it becomes $\chi(\cdot)x_2 \cdot x_3 = \chi(\cdot)x_3 \cdot x_2$, so if the canonical map is a monomorphism, we must have $\chi(\cdot) = 0$, and the extension is trivial.

- (4) Recall the defining relations of the ns operad of dendriform algebras:

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1 < x_2) < x_3 &= x_1 < (x_2 < x_3 + x_2 > x_3), \\ (x_1 > x_2) < x_3 &= x_1 > (x_2 < x_3), \\ (x_1 > x_2 + x_1 < x_2) > x_3 &= x_1 > (x_2 > x_3). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that we consider the unital extension corresponding to the linear function χ . Substituting $x_1 = u$ in the first dendriform axiom and then $x_3 = u$ in the third one, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(<)x_2 < x_3 &= \chi(<)(x_2 < x_3 + x_2 > x_3), \\ \chi(>)(x_1 > x_2 + x_1 < x_2) &= \chi(>)(x_1 > x_2), \end{aligned}$$

so if the canonical map is a monomorphism, we must have $\chi(<) = \chi(>) = 0$, and the extension is trivial.

Recall the defining relations of the ns operad of diassociative algebras:

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1 \vdash x_2) \vdash x_3 &= x_1 \vdash (x_2 \vdash x_3) , \\ (x_1 \vdash x_2) \dashv x_3 &= (x_1 \dashv x_2) \vdash x_3 , \\ (x_1 \vdash x_2) \dashv x_3 &= x_1 \vdash (x_2 \dashv x_3) , \\ x_1 \dashv (x_2 \vdash x_3) &= x_1 \dashv (x_2 \dashv x_3) , \\ (x_1 \dashv x_2) \dashv x_3 &= x_1 \dashv (x_2 \dashv x_3) . \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that we consider the unital extension corresponding to the linear function χ . Substituting $x_3 = u$ in the second axiom of diassociative algebras and then $x_1 = u$ in the fourth one, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\vdash)x_1 \vdash x_2 &= \chi(\dashv)x_1 \dashv x_2, \\ \chi(\dashv)x_2 \vdash x_3 &= \chi(\dashv)x_2 \dashv x_3, \end{aligned}$$

so if the canonical map is a monomorphism, we must have $\chi(\vdash) = \chi(\dashv) = 0$, and the extension is trivial. \square

REMARK 6.1. A quadratic-linear operad $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(E, R)$ is extendable when it admits a (non-trivial) ‘‘unitary extension’’ in the terminology of [17, Section 2.2]. So the present notion can be seen as a way to produce concrete unitary extensions of operads in the quadratic case. As a consequence, an extendable operad \mathcal{P} carries a richer structure of a Λ -operad, crucial notion in the recognition of iterated loop spaces [42], and its underlying \mathbb{S} -module carries an FI-module structure, where FI stands for the category of finite sets and injections. This notion plays a seminal role in representation theory [8].

Let us now work over a field \mathbb{k} of characteristic 0 and suppose that $E(0) = 0$ and that $E(n)$ is finite dimensional for any $n \geq 1$. Recall that the Koszul dual operad of a quadratic-linear operad $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(E, R)$ admits the following quadratic presentation $\mathcal{P}^! = \mathcal{P}(E^\vee, (qR)^\perp)$, with $E^\vee := s^{-1}\text{End}_{\mathbb{k}S^{-1}} \otimes_{\mathbb{H}} E^*$, see [35, Section 7.2.3].

LEMMA 6.1. *Under the above-mentioned assumptions, when the Koszul dual operad $\mathcal{P}^!$ is extendable, the (complete) convolution pre-Lie algebra associated to $(u_\chi \mathcal{P}^!)^*$ is isomorphic to*

$$\text{hom}_{\mathbb{S}} \left((u_\chi \mathcal{P}^!)^* , \text{end}_A \right) \cong A \times \text{hom}_{\mathbb{S}} (\mathcal{P}^i, \text{end}_A) ,$$

where the pre-Lie product \star on the right-hand side is given by

$$(a, f) \star (b, g) = (f(\text{id})(b), f \star g + f * b) ,$$

with \star the pre-Lie product on the convolution algebra $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathcal{P}^i, A} = (\text{hom}_{\mathbb{S}} (\mathcal{P}^i, \text{end}_A), \star)$ and with $f * b$ an element involving only f and b .

PROOF. When the Koszul dual operad $\mathcal{P}^!$ is extendable, we use the underlying isomorphism $u_\chi \mathcal{P}^! \cong \mathbb{k}u \oplus \mathcal{P}^!$ to get

$$\text{hom}_{\mathbb{S}} \left((u_\chi \mathcal{P}^!)^* , \text{end}_A \right) \cong \text{hom}_{\mathbb{S}} (u^* \oplus \mathcal{P}^i, \text{end}_A) \cong A \times \text{hom}_{\mathbb{S}} (\mathcal{P}^i, \text{end}_A) .$$

The arity 0 part the pre-Lie product \star comes from the unique way to get the element u in the operad $u_\chi \mathcal{P}^1$ as a partial composition of two elements: $u = \text{id} \circ_1 u$. Similarly for its other part, given any element $\mu \in \mathcal{P}^1$, there are two ways to get it as a partial composition of two elements: either with two elements coming from \mathcal{P}^1 or with one (bottom) coming from \mathcal{P}^1 and one (top) which is u . Notice that in this latter case, we get a finite sum in each arity since $E(0) = 0$ and $E(n)$ is finite dimensional for any $n \geq 1$. \square

THEOREM 6.1. *Let $\alpha \in \text{MC}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathcal{P}^1, A})$ be a complete \mathcal{P}_∞ -algebra structure on A and let $a \in F_1 A_0$. The Maurer–Cartan element $a.\alpha$ in the convolution algebra $\text{hom}_{\mathbb{S}}((u_\chi \mathcal{P}^1)^*, \text{end}_A)$ is a \mathcal{P}_∞ -algebra structure if and only if its arity 0 part $(a.\alpha)(u^*) = 0$ vanishes.*

PROOF. This is a direct corollary of Lemma 6.1 which implies that Maurer–Cartan elements in the convolution algebra $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathcal{P}^1, A} = \text{hom}_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathcal{P}^1, \text{end}_A)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with Maurer–Cartan elements in the extended convolution algebra $\text{hom}_{\mathbb{S}}((u_\chi \mathcal{P}^1)^*, \text{end}_A)$ whose arity 0 part vanishes. \square

This result prompts the following definition.

DEFINITION 6.3 (Twistable homotopy algebras). We say that a category of homotopy algebras, that is algebras over an operad $\mathcal{P}_\infty = \Omega \mathcal{P}^1$, where $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(E, R)$ is an arity-wise finitely generated quadratic-linear operad, is *twistable* when the Koszul dual operad \mathcal{P}^1 is extendable. The equation $(a.\alpha)(u^*) = 0$ is naturally dubbed the *Maurer–Cartan equation*.

In plain words, when a category of \mathcal{P}_∞ -algebras is twistable, this means that the “dual” category of \mathcal{P}^1 -algebras admits a meaningful extension of *unital* \mathcal{P}^1 -algebras and thus the category of \mathcal{P}_∞ -algebras admits a meaningful extension of *curved* \mathcal{P}_∞ -algebras. In this case, the twisting procedure works as in the case of homotopy associative or homotopy Lie algebras: any \mathcal{P}_∞ -algebra can be twisted by an element to produce a curved \mathcal{P}_∞ -algebra, which turns out to be a \mathcal{P}_∞ -algebra if and only if its twisted curvature vanishes, that is satisfies the Maurer–Cartan equation.

PROPOSITION 6.2.


- (1) *The categories of homotopy Lie algebras, homotopy Gerstenhaber algebras, homotopy BV¹-algebras, homotopy gravity algebras, and homotopy permutative algebras are twistable.*
- (2) *The category of homotopy associative algebras is twistable.*
- (3) *The categories of homotopy commutative algebras and homotopy pre-Lie algebras are not twistable.*
- (4) *The categories of homotopy dendriform algebras and homotopy diassociative algebras are not twistable.*

PROOF. This is a direct corollary of Proposition 6.1 and Theorem 6.1. \square


One can check that the maps χ introduced in Proposition 6.1 for the unital extensions of the ns operad A_s and for the operad Com produce the exact same

twisting formulas than the ones given in Section 3 for (curved) \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebras and in Section 5 for (curved) \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras. The other cases are new. Below we make the case of (curved) homotopy permutative algebras explicit and we leave the other cases to the interested reader.

We already recalled the definition of permutative algebras in Proposition 6.1 and its proof. The operad Perm is known to be Koszul. Its Koszul dual operad is the operad PreLie encoding pre-Lie algebras [35, Section 13.4.3]. This latter operad admits for basis the set of rooted trees RT :




where the lowest vertex is the root. The partial composition products $\tau \circ_i v$ is given by the insertion of the tree v at the i^{th} vertex of the tree τ . The sub-trees attached above the i^{th} vertex of the tree τ are then grafted in all possible onto the vertices of the tree v :




As explained in Proposition 4.1, the data of a shifted Perm_∞ -algebra on a (complete) dg module A amounts to a Maurer–Cartan element in the convolution algebra $\mathfrak{a}_{\text{PreLie}^*, A}$. Given a rooted tree τ and a sub-tree $v \subset \tau$ (forgetting the labels), we denote by $(\tau/v, i, \sigma)$ respectively the rooted tree τ/v obtained by contracting v in τ and by relabelling the vertices, the label i of the corresponding new vertex, and the overall permutation $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_{|\tau|}$ which produces the labelling of the tree τ after the composite $(\tau/v \circ_i v)^\sigma = \tau$. Such a decomposition is not

unique, we choose the one for which the associated planar tree



where the bottom corolla has arity $|\tau/v|$ and where the top corolla has arity $|v|$, is a shuffle tree [35, Section 8.2.2].



LEMMA 6.2. A shifted homotopy permutative algebra is a graded module A equipped with a collection of degree -1 operations

$$\{m_\tau : A^{\otimes |\tau|} \rightarrow A\}_{\tau \in \text{RT}},$$

where $|\tau|$ stands for the number of vertices of a rooted tree. These generating operations are required to satisfy the relations

$$\sum_{v \subset \tau} (m_{\tau/v} \circ_i m_v)^\sigma = 0,$$

for any tree $\tau \in \text{RT}$.

PROOF. This is a direct corollary of the definition of the convolution algebra $\mathfrak{a}_{\text{PreLie}^*, A}$ and the cooperad structure on PreLie^* obtained by linear dualisation of the operad structure on PreLie described above. The choice of decompositions $(\tau/v \circ_i v)^\sigma = \tau$ along shuffle trees does not alter the result: any choice of decomposition would produce the same result in the end, by the properties of the endomorphism operad End_A . In other words, the composite $(m_{\tau/v} \circ_i m_v)^\sigma$ does not depend of the choice of decomposition. \square

Here are the first relations, where we use the notation $d := m_{\textcircled{1}}$.

$$\tau = \textcircled{1}: d^2 = 0.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau = \begin{array}{c} \textcircled{2} \\ | \\ \textcircled{1} \end{array} : \partial m_{\textcircled{2}} &:= dm_{\textcircled{2}}(-, -) + m_{\textcircled{2}}(d(-), -) + m_{\textcircled{2}}(-, d(-)) = 0. \\
\tau = \begin{array}{c} \textcircled{3} \\ | \\ \textcircled{2} \\ | \\ \textcircled{1} \end{array} : \partial m_{\textcircled{3}} &= -m_{\textcircled{2}} \circ_1 m_{\textcircled{2}} - m_{\textcircled{2}} \circ_2 m_{\textcircled{2}}. \\
\tau = \begin{array}{c} \textcircled{2} \quad \textcircled{3} \\ \diagdown \quad / \\ \textcircled{1} \end{array} : \partial m_{\textcircled{2} \textcircled{3}} &= -m_{\textcircled{2}} \circ_1 m_{\textcircled{2}} - (m_{\textcircled{2}} \circ_1 m_{\textcircled{2}})^{(23)}.
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $m_{\textcircled{3}}$, respectively $m_{\textcircled{2} \textcircled{3}}$, is a homotopy for the first relation (anti-associativity), respectively for the second relation (partial skew-symmetry), defining a shifted permutative algebra.

We consider the unital extension of operad PreLie introduced in the proof of Proposition 6.1 that we simply denote here by uPreLie . This operad encodes pre-Lie algebras (A, \star) equipped with a degree 0 element 1 satisfying

$$1 \star x = x = x \star 1,$$

for any $x \in A$.

LEMMA 6.3. *In the operad uPreLie , the composite of the arity 0 element u at a vertex of a rooted tree τ depends on the position of this latter one as follows:*

AT A LEAF: *if the number of inputs of the vertex supporting the leaf is equal to n , then the resulting rooted tree is obtained by removing the leaf, relabelling the other vertices, and multiplying by $2 - n$,*

AT THE ROOT OR AT AN INTERNAL VERTEX: *when the vertex has just one input, then it is deleted and the remaining vertices relabelled accordingly, otherwise when the vertex has at least two inputs, the upshot is equal to 0.*

PROOF. To demonstrate that, the easiest way is to identify the operad of pre-Lie algebras with the symmetric brace operad [46]. Recall that the symmetric brace operad has generators $\{x_0; x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, $n \geq 0$, which are symmetric in arguments x_1, \dots, x_n and satisfy the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
(5) \quad \{x_0; x_1, \dots, x_n; y_1, \dots, y_m\} &= \\
&\sum \{x_0; \{x_1; y_{i_1,1}, \dots, y_{i_1,i_1}\}, \dots, \{x_n; y_{n,i_{n,1}}, \dots, y_{n,i_{n,i_n}}\}, y_{n+1,1}, \dots, y_{n+1,i_{n+1}}\}.
\end{aligned}$$


It is well known that the symmetric brace operad is isomorphic to the operad of pre-Lie algebras, with the isomorphism given by the formulas we already used earlier in the paper, i.e. via identifying the operation $x_1 \star x_2$ with $\{x_1; x_2\}$, and defining, inductively,

$$\{x_0; x_1, \dots, x_n\} := \{\{x_0; x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\}; x_n\} - \sum_i \{x_0; x_1, \dots, \{x_i; x_n\}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$$

With this definition, it is easy to see that $\{1; x_1, \dots, x_n\} = 0$, for $n \geq 2$, and that


$$\{x_0; x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, 1, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n\} = (2 - n)\{x_0; x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n\}.$$

The brace representation of the operad of pre-Lie algebras corresponds to the “naive” way of building a rooted tree from corollas, so the claim follows. \square



The black vertex represents where the element u is plugged.

Given a rooted tree τ , we consider its *unital expansions* which are rooted trees $\tilde{\tau}$ with two types of vertices: the “white” ones which are bijectively labeled by $\{1, \dots, |\tau|\}$ and the “black” ones which receive no label. Every such black and white rooted trees $\tilde{\tau}$ are moreover required to give τ under the rule given at Lemma 6.3. We denote by $c_{\tilde{\tau}}$ the coefficient of τ in the operad $u\text{PreLie}$ obtained by composing $\tilde{\tau}$ with the black vertices replaced by elements u .



PROPOSITION 6.3. *Let $(A, F, \{m_{\tau}\}_{\tau \in \text{RT}})$ be a complete shifted Perm_{∞} -algebra and let $a \in F_1 A_0$. The twisted operations*

$$m_{\tau}^a := \sum_{\tilde{\tau}} \frac{1}{c_{\tilde{\tau}}} m_{\tilde{\tau}}(a, \dots, a, -, \dots, -),$$

where the sum runs over unital expansions of the rooted tree τ and where the elements a are inserted at the black vertices of $\tilde{\tau}$, define a complete shifted Perm_∞ -algebra if and only if the element a satisfies the following Maurer–Cartan equation

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} m_{\textcircled{n}}(a, \dots, a) = 0.$$

PROOF. We apply here Theorem 6.1: let us denote by α the Maurer–Cartan element in the convolution algebra $\mathfrak{a}_{\text{PreLie}^*, A}$ corresponding to the complete shifted Perm_∞ -algebra structure, that is $\alpha(\tau^*) := m_\tau$.

First, the the Maurer–Cartan equation is equal to

$$(a.\alpha)(u^*) = \sum_{\tau \in \text{RT}} m_\tau(a, \dots, a) = 0.$$

But Lemma 6.3 shows that, the composite of elements u at all the vertices of a rooted tree τ in the operad uPreLie is equal to u for ladders and vanishes otherwise.

Then, the twisted operation m_τ^a is equal to $(\alpha \odot (1+a))(\tau^*)$ in the convolution algebra $\mathfrak{a}_{\text{uPreLie}^*, A}$, which is thus equal to $m_\tau^a := \sum_{\tilde{\tau}} \frac{1}{c_{\tilde{\tau}}} m_{\tilde{\tau}}(a, \dots, a, -, \dots, -)$ again by Lemma 6.3. \square

REMARK 6.2. Under the notation $m_n := m_{\textcircled{n}}$, one can see that $(A, \{m_n\}_{n \geq 1})$



forms a shifted \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra. The twisting procedure for shifted Perm_∞ -algebras produces the exact same twisting procedure seen in Section 3 for this underlying \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra, ie $m_n^a = m_n^a$ and the same Maurer–Cartan equation:



$$\sum_{n \geq 1} m_n(a, \dots, a) = 0.$$

This is not a surprise, since the forgetful functor from permutative algebras to associative algebras is actually produced by pulling back along the epimorphism $\text{Ass} \rightarrow \text{Perm}$ of operads obtained by sending the (canonical) generator of Ass to the (canonical) generator of Perm . Since this latter one comes from a morphism of operadic quadratic data, it induces a monomorphism of cooperads between the Koszul dual cooperads $\text{Ass}^i \hookrightarrow \text{Perm}^i$ and thus a monomorphism between the Koszul resolution $\text{Ass}_\infty \hookrightarrow \text{Perm}_\infty$, which sends precisely μ_n to the

rooted tree \textcircled{n} . The twisting procedure for shifted Perm_∞ -algebras extends the



twisting procedure for shifted \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebras since the morphism of cooperads $\text{Ass}^i \hookrightarrow \text{Perm}^i$ extends to the morphism of cooperads $\text{uAss}^* \hookrightarrow \text{uPreLie}^*$.

Twisting nonsymmetric operads

In this section, we lift the twisting procedure to the level of complete differential graded non-symmetric operads and we apply it to the example of multiplicative nonsymmetric operads. This construction is the nonsymmetric analogue of the theory introduced by T. Willwacher in [56] and developed further by V. Dolgushev, C. Rogers, and T. Willwacher [12, 10] but in a different way. Everything written here works *mutatis mutandis* for complete (symmetric) dg operads, but we chose this level of presentation in order to make more accessible T. Willwacher's seminal theory.

We give here an alternative definition based on the categorical notion of *co-product of operads*. While, in the above-mentioned references, the notion of operadic twisting is introduced via its (complicated) underlying collection, we introduce it here by its universal property: it is the operad obtained by the co-product of the original operad with an arity zero operation. We then show that all the properties of the operadic twisting follow in a straightforward way from the universal property of operadic coproduct. We do not claim any originality in the presented results: they all come from the above cited papers. Even the idea of this alternative presentation is not new since it was noticed in loc. cit. that the operadic twisting amounts to a “completion of an operad by a Maurer–Cartan element”; the operadic coproduct construction creates such a “completion”.

With a pedagogical purpose, we have introduced a "new" complete dg ns operad $\text{MC}\mathcal{P}$, which models algebras over a multiplicative operad \mathcal{P} equipped with a given Maurer–Cartan element. In the subsection dealing with the action of the deformation complex on twisted operads, we have emphasised, for the first time, the various dg pre-Lie structures present in this topic.

1. Twisting ns operads

One can try to twist any dg pre-Lie algebra (A, d, \star) by Maurer–Cartan elements $d(\mu) + \mu \star \mu = 0$ using the usual formulas (A, d^μ, \star) , where the twisted differential is given by

$$d^\mu(v) := d(v) + \text{ad}_\mu(v) := d(v) + \mu \star v - (-1)^{|v|} v \star \mu .$$

PROPOSITION 1.1. *The twisted data (A, d^μ, \star) forms a dg pre-Lie algebra if and only if the Maurer–Cartan element μ is a left nucleus element, that is*

$$(6) \quad \mu \star (v \star \omega) = (\mu \star v) \star \omega , \quad \text{for any } v, \omega \in A .$$

PROOF. We only have to check that the twisted operator d^μ is a derivation which squares to zero. This latter point is always true since μ is a Maurer–Cartan element:

$$(d + \text{ad}_\mu)^2(v) = (d(\mu) + \mu \star \mu) \star v - v \star (d(\mu) + \mu \star \mu) = 0.$$

To prove the former point, one performs the following computation

$$d^\mu(v \star \omega) - d^\mu(v) \star \omega - (-1)^{|v|} v \star d^\mu(\omega) = \mu \star (v \star \omega) - (\mu \star v) \star \omega,$$

which concludes the proof. \square

REMARK 1.1. The conceptual explanation for this failure of the general twisting procedure to work in general for pre-Lie algebras has been given in Proposition 3.4. It comes from the fact that its Koszul dual operad $\text{PreLie}^! \cong \text{Perm}$ for permutative algebras does not admit an extension by a unit, see Proposition 6.1.

Recall that for any ns operad \mathcal{P} , one defines a Lie bracket by anti-symmetrizing the pre-Lie product

$$\mu \star v := \sum_{i=1}^n \mu \circ_i v,$$

where μ lives in $\mathcal{P}(n)$.

EXAMPLE 1.1. The Maurer–Cartan elements of the endomorphism operad $\text{End}_{(A,d)}$ are the degree -1 maps $m : A \rightarrow A$ satisfying the equation $dm + md + m^2 = 0$, i.e. perturbations of the differential.

DEFINITION 1.1 (Operadic Maurer–Cartan element). Let (\mathcal{P}, d) be a dg ns operad. An *operadic Maurer–Cartan* in \mathcal{P} is a degree -1 and arity 1 element $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(1)_{-1}$ satisfying

$$d(\mu) + \mu \star \mu = d(\mu) + \mu \circ_1 \mu = 0.$$

The purpose of the arity 1 constraint in the aforementioned definition is to ensure that operadic Maurer–Cartan elements satisfy Equation (6). Therefore, one can twist the pre-Lie algebra associated to an ns operad by such elements. This result actually lifts to the level of the dg ns operad itself.

PROPOSITION 1.2 (Twisted operad). *Let $(\mathcal{P}, d, \{\circ_i\})$ be a dg ns operad and let μ be one of its Maurer–Cartan elements. The degree -1 operator*

$$d^\mu(v) := d(v) + \text{ad}_\mu(v) := d(v) + \mu \star v - (-1)^{|v|} v \star \mu$$

is a square-zero derivation. Therefore, the data $\mathcal{P}^\mu := (\mathcal{P}, d^\mu, \{\circ_i\})$ defines a dg ns operad, called the ns operad twisted by the Maurer–Cartan element μ .

PROOF. The computations are similar to that of Proposition 1.1. The fact that the twisted operator ad_μ is a derivation with respect to the partial composition products \circ_i is equivalent to the equations

$$\mu \star (v \circ_i \omega) = (\mu \star v) \circ_i \omega,$$

which holds true since the Maurer–Cartan element μ is supported in arity 1. \square

EXAMPLE 1.2. The endomorphism operad $\text{End}_{(A,d)}$ of a chain complex (A, d) twisted by a Maurer–Cartan element m is actually the endomorphism operad

$$\text{End}_{(A,d)}^m = \text{End}_{(A,d+m)}$$

of the twisted chain complex $(A, d + m)$.

EXAMPLE 1.3. One can also twist the ns operad ncBV of non-commutative Batalin–Vilkovisky algebras [15] by its square-zero element Δ , under cohomological degree convention.

The operadic twisting operation satisfies the following usual functorial property of the twisting procedure.

PROPOSITION 1.3. *Let $\rho : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ be a morphism of dg ns operads. The image $\rho(\mu)$ of a Maurer–Cartan μ of the dg ns operad \mathcal{P} is a Maurer–Cartan element in the dg ns operad \mathcal{Q} . The morphism ρ of ns operads commutes with the twisted differential, that is yields a morphism of dg ns operads*

$$\tilde{\rho} : \mathcal{P}^\mu \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}^{\rho(\mu)}.$$

PROOF. This is proved by straightforward computations. \square

This proposition applied to the endomorphism operad $\mathcal{Q} = \text{End}_A$ will play a key role in the sequel.

PROPOSITION 1.4. *Let μ be a Maurer–Cartan element of a dg ns operad \mathcal{P} .*

- (1) *An element α is a Maurer–Cartan element of the twisted dg ns operad \mathcal{P}^μ if and only if $\alpha - \mu$ is a Maurer–Cartan element of the original dg ns operad \mathcal{P} .*
- (2) *The element $-\mu$ is a Maurer–Cartan element in the twisted dg ns operad \mathcal{P}^μ and twisting this latter one again by this Maurer–Cartan element produces the original operad:*

$$(\mathcal{P}^\mu)^{-\mu} = \mathcal{P}.$$

PROOF. The first point is proved by straightforward computations. The second one is a special case for $\alpha = 0$. \square

All these results hold as well for complete dg ns operad; we will treat a particular case in the next section.

2. Twisted \mathcal{A}_∞ -operad

PROPOSITION 2.1. *The data of a complete \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra structure together with a Maurer–Cartan element is encoded by the complete dg ns operad*

$$\text{MCA}_\infty := \left(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}(\alpha, \mu_2, \mu_3, \dots), \mathbf{d} \right),$$

where α has arity 0 and degree -1 and μ_n has arity n and degree $n - 2$, for $n \geq 2$, where the filtration on the space $M = (\mathbb{k}\alpha, 0, \mathbb{k}\mu_2, \mathbb{k}\mu_3, \dots)$ of generators is given by

$$\alpha \in F_1 M(0), F_2 M(0) = \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_n \in F_0 M(n), F_1 M(n) = \{0\}, \text{ for } n \geq 2,$$

and where the differential is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} d(\mu_n) &:= \sum_{\substack{p+q+r=n \\ p+1+r, q \geq 2}} (-1)^{pq+r+1} \mu_{p+1+r} \circ_{p+1} \mu_q, \\ d(\alpha) &:= - \sum_{n \geq 2} \mu_n(\alpha, \dots, \alpha). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. The fact that the map d extends to a unique square-zero derivation is direct corollary of Proposition 4.1 applied to the identity map $As^i \rightarrow As^i$. So we get a well-defined complete dg ns operad. A complete $\text{MC}\mathcal{A}_\infty$ -algebra structure on a complete dg module (A, F, d) amounts to a morphism of filtered dg ns operads $\text{MC}\mathcal{A}_\infty \rightarrow \text{end}_A$ according to Theorem 2.1. Such an assignment $\alpha \mapsto a$ and $\mu_n \mapsto m_n$ is equivalent to an element $a \in F_1 A_{-1}$ and degree $n-2$ preserving maps $m_n : A^{\otimes n} \rightarrow A$ satisfying the relations of a Maurer–Cartan elements in an \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra by the form of the differential d . \square

REMARK 2.1. Under the convention of Section 3, we have $\mu_n = s^{-1} \nu_n$.

Inside the dg ns operad $\text{MC}\mathcal{A}_\infty$, we consider the following elements

$$\mu_n^\alpha := \sum_{r_0, \dots, r_n \geq 0} (-1)^{\sum_{k=0}^n k r_k} \mu_{n+r_0+\dots+r_n}(\alpha^{r_0}, -, \alpha^{r_1}, -, \dots, -, \alpha^{r_{n-1}}, -, \alpha^{r_n}),$$

for $n \geq 0$, that is for example:

$$\mu_0^\alpha := \sum_{n \geq 2} \mu_n(\alpha, \dots, \alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_1^\alpha := \sum_{\substack{n \geq 2 \\ 1 \leq i \leq n}} (-1)^{n-i} \mu_n(\alpha^{i-1}, -, \alpha^{n-i}).$$

LEMMA 2.1. *The elements μ_n^α satisfy the following relations:*

- (7) $d(\mu_0^\alpha) = 0,$
- (8) $d(\mu_1^\alpha) = -\mu_1^\alpha \circ_1 \mu_1^\alpha,$
- (9) $d(\mu_n^\alpha) := \sum_{\substack{p+q+r=n \\ p+1+r, q \geq 1}} (-1)^{pq+r+1} \mu_{p+1+r}^\alpha \circ_{p+1} \mu_q^\alpha, \text{ for } n \geq 2.$

Notice that the third formula actually includes the second one. The proofs for these relations are straightforward but quite cumbersome; so, in order not to break the flow of exposition, we postpone them to Section 6.

The second formula says that the element μ_1^α is a Maurer–Cartan element of the complete dg ns operad $\text{MC}\mathcal{A}_\infty$. So, the operadic twisting procedure produces the following new complete dg ns operad.

DEFINITION 2.1 (Twisted \mathcal{A}_∞ -operad). The complete dg ns operad obtained by twisting the complete operad $\text{MC}\mathcal{A}_\infty$ by the Maurer–Cartan μ_1^α is called the *twisted \mathcal{A}_∞ -operad* and denoted by

$$\text{Tw}\mathcal{A}_\infty := (\text{MC}\mathcal{A}_\infty)^{\mu_1^\alpha} = \left(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}(\alpha, \mu_2, \mu_3, \dots), d^{\mu_1^\alpha} \right).$$

The twisted differential is actually equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
d^{\mu_1^\alpha}(\alpha) &= d(\alpha) + \mu_1^\alpha(\alpha) = - \sum_{n \geq 2} \mu_n(\alpha, \dots, \alpha) + \sum_{n \geq 2} n \mu_n(\alpha, \dots, \alpha) \\
&= \sum_{n \geq 2} (n-1) \mu_n(\alpha, \dots, \alpha), \\
d^{\mu_1^\alpha}(\mu_n) &= d(\mu_n) + \mu_1^\alpha \star \mu_n - (-1)^n \mu_n \star \mu_1^\alpha \\
&= \sum_{\substack{p+q+r=n \\ p+1+r, q \geq 2}} (-1)^{pq+r+1} \mu_{p+1+r} \circ_{p+1} \mu_q \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{k \geq 2 \\ 1 \leq i \leq k}} (-1)^{(k-i)(n+1)} \mu_k(\alpha^{i-1}, \mu_n, \alpha^{k-i}) \\
&\quad - \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\substack{k \geq 2 \\ 1 \leq i \leq k}} (-1)^{n+k-i} \mu_n \circ_j \mu_k(\alpha^{i-1}, -, \alpha^{k-i}).
\end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 2.2. *The assignment $\mu_n \mapsto \mu_n^\alpha$ defines a morphism of complete dg ns operads*

$$\mathcal{A}_\infty \rightarrow \text{Tw}\mathcal{A}_\infty.$$

PROOF. The only point to check is the commutativity with the differentials on the generators μ_n of the quasi-free dg ns operad \mathcal{A}_∞ , that is:

$$\begin{aligned}
d^{\mu_1^\alpha}(\mu_n^\alpha) &= d(\mu_n^\alpha) + \mu_1^\alpha \star \mu_n^\alpha - (-1)^n \mu_n^\alpha \star \mu_1^\alpha \\
&= \sum_{\substack{p+q+r=n \\ p+1+r, q \geq 1}} (-1)^{pq+r+1} \mu_{p+1+r}^\alpha \circ_{p+1} \mu_q^\alpha + \sum_{\substack{p=r=0 \\ q=n}} \mu_{p+1+r}^\alpha \circ_{p+1} \mu_q^\alpha \\
&\quad - (-1)^n \sum_{\substack{p+1+r=n \\ q=1}} \mu_{p+1+r}^\alpha \circ_{p+1} \mu_q^\alpha \\
&= \sum_{\substack{p+q+r=n \\ p+1+r, q \geq 2}} (-1)^{pq+r+1} \mu_{p+1+r}^\alpha \circ_{p+1} \mu_q^\alpha,
\end{aligned}$$

thanks to Formula (8) of Lemma 2.1. \square

These operadic results actually provide us with an alternative proof of Proposition 3.1 as follows. The data of a complete \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra structure together with a Maurer–Cartan element a on a complete dg module (A, F, d) is equivalent to a morphism of complete dg ns operads $\rho : \text{MC}\mathcal{A}_\infty \rightarrow \text{End}_{(A, d)}$, where $\rho(\mu_n) = m_n$ and $\rho(a) = a$ under the previous notations. By Proposition 1.3, the image of the Maurer–Cartan element μ_1^α of $\text{MC}\mathcal{A}_\infty$ under the morphism ρ gives a Maurer–Cartan element on the complete endomorphism ns operad end_A . As emphasised above, the complete endomorphism operad twisted by this Maurer–Cartan element is equal to the complete endomorphism operad of the twisted chain complex (A, d^a) . Therefore, the second point of Proposition 1.3 shows that

$$\tilde{\rho} : \text{Tw}\mathcal{A}_\infty \rightarrow \text{end}_{(A, d^a)}$$

is a morphism of complete dg ns operads. Pulling back with the aforementioned morphism of complete dg ns operads $\mathcal{A}_\infty \rightarrow \text{Tw}\mathcal{A}_\infty$, one gets that the twisted operations m_n^a do form an \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra structure.

REMARK 2.2. Notice however that the morphism of complete ns operads from \mathcal{A}_∞ to $\text{MC}\mathcal{A}_\infty$ which sends the generators μ_n to μ_n^α *does not* commute with the differentials. There is a conceptual reason for this: the twisted operations form an \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra only with the twisted differential and not with the underlying differential.

There is a morphism of complete dg ns operads $\text{Tw}\mathcal{A}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\infty$ which sends α to 0 and μ_n to μ_n . On the algebra level, this corresponds to twisting an \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra with the trivial Maurer–Cartan element.

3. Twisting multiplicative ns operads

The following notion arose from the study of the Deligne conjecture on the Hochschild cochain complex, see [43] for instance.

DEFINITION 3.1 (Multiplicative ns operad). A *multiplicative ns operad* is a complete dg ns operad \mathcal{P} equipped with a morphism of complete dg ns operads $\mathcal{A}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$. We still denote by μ_2, μ_3 , etc. the images in \mathcal{P} of the generating operations of \mathcal{A}_∞ .

Therefore any complete dg algebra over a multiplicative ns operad acquires a natural complete \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebra structure.

The categorical coproduct of two ns operads is denoted by $\mathcal{P} \vee \mathcal{Q}$ (respectively by $\mathcal{P} \hat{\vee} \mathcal{Q}$ in the complete case). It is given by the free ns operad on the underlying \mathbb{N} -modules of \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} modulo the ideal generated by planar trees with two vertices labelled both by elements of \mathcal{P} or by elements of \mathcal{Q} . Thus planar trees with vertices labelled alternatively by elements from \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} are representatives for this coproduct. Its operadic composition is given by the grafting of planar trees, followed possibly by the composite of two adjacent vertices labelled by elements from the same ns operad.

By a slight abuse of notation, we simply denote by α the (trivial) complete ns operad $(\mathbb{k}\alpha, 0, \dots)$ spanned by the arity 0 element α . Thus $\mathcal{P} \hat{\vee} \alpha$ denotes the complete coproduct of a complete ns operad \mathcal{P} with it. As a consequence of the above-mentioned description, this coproduct is made up of series indexed, by $n \in \mathbb{N}$, of linear combinations of operations from \mathcal{P} with n copies of α plugged at their inputs.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{A}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ be a multiplicative ns operad. The data of a complete \mathcal{P} -algebra structure together with a Maurer–Cartan element is encoded by the complete dg ns operad*

$$\text{MC}\mathcal{P} := (\mathcal{P} \hat{\vee} \alpha, \mathfrak{d}) ,$$

where α is a degree -1 element of arity 0 placed in F_1 and where $\hat{\vee}$ stands for the coproduct of complete ns operads, and where the differential \mathfrak{d} is characterized by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{d}(\alpha) &:= - \sum_{n \geq 2} \mu_n(\alpha, \dots, \alpha) , \\ \mathfrak{d}(v) &:= d_{\mathcal{P}}(v) , \text{ for } v \in \mathcal{P} . \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. The fact that the map d extends to a unique square-zero derivation is direct corollary of Proposition 4.1 applied to the map $As^i \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ induced by the multiplicative ns operad structure. The rest of the proof is straightforward from the definition of the coproduct of complete ns operads. \square

LEMMA 3.1. *The element μ_1^α is a Maurer–Cartan element of the complete dg ns operad MCP .*

PROOF. The morphism of complete dg ns operads $\mathcal{A}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ induces a morphism of complete dg ns operads $\text{MC}\mathcal{A}_\infty \rightarrow \text{MCP}$ and we know, by Proposition 1.3, that the image of an operadic Maurer–Cartan element is again an operadic Maurer–Cartan element. \square

DEFINITION 3.2 (Twisted multiplicative ns operad). Let $\mathcal{A}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ be a multiplicative ns operad. The complete dg ns operad obtained by twisting the operad MCP by the Maurer–Cartan μ_1^α is called the *twisted complete ns operad* and denoted by

$$\text{Tw}\mathcal{P} := (\text{MCP})^{\mu_1^\alpha} = (\mathcal{P} \hat{\vee} \alpha, d^{\mu_1^\alpha}).$$

The twisted differential is actually equal to

$$\begin{aligned} d^{\mu_1^\alpha}(\alpha) &= d(\alpha) + \mu_1^\alpha(\alpha) = - \sum_{n \geq 2} \mu_n(\alpha, \dots, \alpha) + \sum_{n \geq 2} n \mu_n(\alpha, \dots, \alpha) \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 2} (n-1) \mu_n(\alpha, \dots, \alpha), \\ d^{\mu_1^\alpha}(v) &= d_{\mathcal{P}}(v) + \mu_1^\alpha \star v - (-1)^{|v|} v \star \mu_1^\alpha \\ &= d_{\mathcal{P}}(v) + \sum_{\substack{n \geq 2 \\ 1 \leq i \leq n}} (-1)^{(n-i)(|v|+1)} \mu_n(\alpha^{i-1}, v, \alpha^{n-i}) \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{\substack{n \geq 2 \\ 1 \leq i \leq n}} (-1)^{|v|+n-i} v \circ_j \mu_n(\alpha^{i-1}, -, \alpha^{n-i}), \end{aligned}$$

for $v \in \mathcal{P}(k)$.

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Any complete dg \mathcal{P} -algebra (A, d) with a given Maurer–Cartan element a gives a complete $\text{Tw}\mathcal{P}$ -algebra with underlying twisted differential (A, d^a) . This assignment defines a functor $\text{MCP}\text{-alg} \rightarrow \text{Tw}\mathcal{P}\text{-alg}$, which is an isomorphism of categories.*

PROOF. Let us reproduce here the argument given above in the \mathcal{A}_∞ case. The data of a complete \mathcal{P} -algebra structure on (A, F, d) with a Maurer–Cartan element a is equivalent to a morphism of complete dg ns operads $\text{MCP} \rightarrow \text{end}_{(A, d)}$. Since the element μ_1^α is a Maurer–Cartan element in the complete ns operad \mathcal{P} , we get a morphism between the twisted complete dg ns operads $\text{Tw}\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \text{End}_{(A, d+m^a)}$. In the other way round, one uses the exact same arguments but starting with the Maurer–Cartan element $-\mu_1^\alpha$ of the complete dg ns operad $\text{Tw}\mathcal{P}$, see Proposition 1.4. This shows that a complete algebra structure over the complete dg ns operad $\text{Tw}\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \text{End}_{(A, d)}$ induces a complete algebra structure

over the complete dg ns operad

$$\text{MCP} = (\text{Tw}\mathcal{P})^{-\mu_1^\alpha} \rightarrow \text{End}_{(A, d-m_1^\alpha)},$$

by Proposition 1.4. These two functors $\text{MCP}\text{-alg} \rightarrow \text{Tw}\mathcal{P}\text{-alg}$ and $\text{Tw}\mathcal{P}\text{-alg} \rightarrow \text{MCP}\text{-alg}$ are inverse to each other. \square

PROPOSITION 3.3. *The assignment $\mu_n \mapsto \mu_n^\alpha$ defines a morphism of complete dg ns operads*

$$\mathcal{A}_\infty \rightarrow \text{Tw}\mathcal{P}.$$

PROOF. The aforementioned morphism $\text{MC}\mathcal{A}_\infty \rightarrow \text{MCP}$ of complete dg ns operads induces a morphism between the twisted complete dg ns operads $\text{Tw}\mathcal{A}_\infty \rightarrow \text{Tw}\mathcal{P}$ by Proposition 1.3. It just remains to pull back by the map $\mathcal{A}_\infty \rightarrow \text{Tw}\mathcal{A}_\infty$ of Proposition 2.2. \square

This proposition shows that the upshot of the operadic twisting procedure gives again a multiplicative ns operad. From now on, we will call the category of complete dg ns operads under \mathcal{A}_∞ the *category of multiplicative ns operads*.

LEMMA 3.2. *The twisting procedure defines an endofunctor on the category of multiplicative ns operads.*

PROOF. Proposition 3.3 shows that the result of the operadic twisting lives in the category of multiplicative ns operads. Given a morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{A}_\infty & \\ \swarrow & & \searrow \\ \mathcal{P} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{Q} \end{array}$$

of multiplicative ns operads, we define a morphism of complete dg ns operads $\text{Tw}f : \text{Tw}\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \text{Tw}\mathcal{Q}$ by $\alpha \mapsto \alpha$ and $v \mapsto f(v)$, for $v \in \mathcal{P}$. Then, the compatibility relations $\text{Twid}_{\mathcal{P}} = \text{id}_{\text{Tw}\mathcal{P}}$ and $\text{Tw}(f \circ g) = \text{Tw}f \circ \text{Tw}g$ are automatic. \square

LEMMA 3.3. *Let \mathcal{P} be a multiplicative ns operad. The complete dg ns operad $\text{Tw}(\text{Tw}\mathcal{P})$ is isomorphic to*

$$\text{Tw}(\text{Tw}\mathcal{P}) \cong (\mathcal{P} \hat{\vee} \alpha \hat{\vee} \beta, d + \text{ad}_{\mu_1^{\alpha+\beta}, \{\circ_i\}}).$$

PROOF. The only point to check is the twisted differential. First, one shows that the $\mu_1^{\alpha+\beta}$ is equal to $\mu_1^\alpha + \tilde{\mu}_1^\beta$, where we denote here the twisted operations

by $\tilde{\mu}_n := \mu_n^\alpha$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_1^\alpha + \tilde{\mu}_1^\beta &= \sum_{\substack{k \geq 2 \\ 1 \leq j \leq k}} (-1)^{k-j} \mu_k(\alpha^{j-1}, -, \alpha^{k-j}) + \sum_{\substack{n \geq 2 \\ 1 \leq i \leq n}} (-1)^{n-i} \mu_n^\alpha(\beta^{i-1}, -, \beta^{n-i}) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{k \geq 2 \\ 1 \leq j \leq k}} (-1)^{k-j} \mu_k(\alpha^{j-1}, -, \alpha^{k-j}) + \\
&\quad \sum_{\substack{n \geq 2 \\ 1 \leq i \leq n}} (-1)^{n-i} \sum_{r_0, \dots, r_n \geq 0} (-1)^{r_i + \dots + r_n} \mu_{n+r_0+\dots+r_n}(\alpha^{r_0}, \beta, \alpha^{r_1}, \beta, \dots, \alpha^{r_{i-1}}, -, \\
&\quad \alpha^{r_{i_1}}, \beta, \dots, \alpha^{r_{n-1}}, \beta, \alpha^{r_n}) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{k \geq 2 \\ 1 \leq j \leq k}} (-1)^{k-j} \mu_k(\alpha^{j-1}, -, \alpha^{k-j}) + \\
&\quad \sum_{\substack{n \geq 2 \\ 1 \leq i \leq n}} \sum_{r_0, \dots, r_n \geq 0} (-1)^{n-i+r_i+\dots+r_n} \mu_{n+r_0+\dots+r_n}(\alpha^{r_0}, \beta, \alpha^{r_1}, \beta, \dots, \alpha^{r_{i-1}}, -, \\
&\quad \alpha^{r_{i_1}}, \beta, \dots, \alpha^{r_{n-1}}, \beta, \alpha^{r_n}) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{k \geq 2 \\ 1 \leq j \leq k}} (-1)^{k-j} \mu_k((\alpha + \beta)^{j-1}, -, (\alpha + \beta)^{k-j}) = \mu_1^{\alpha+\beta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, one concludes the proof with

$$\text{ad}_{\mu_1^\alpha} + \text{ad}_{\tilde{\mu}_1^\beta} = \text{ad}_{\mu_1^\alpha + \tilde{\mu}_1^\beta} = \text{ad}_{\mu_1^{\alpha+\beta}}.$$

□

REMARK 3.1. This result is an operadic version of the fact that $\alpha + \beta$ is a Maurer–Cartan in the initial (dg Lie) algebra if and only if β is a Maurer–Cartan element in the (dg Lie) algebra twisted by α . Then the (dg Lie) algebra twisted first by α and then by β is equal to the (dg Lie) algebra twisted by $\alpha + \beta$, see Proposition 3.2.

COROLLARY 3.1. *Given any multiplicative ns operad \mathcal{P} , the assignment*

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(\mathcal{P}) : \text{Tw}\mathcal{P} \cong \mathcal{P} \hat{\vee} \alpha &\rightarrow \text{Tw}(\text{Tw}\mathcal{P}) \cong \mathcal{P} \hat{\vee} \alpha \hat{\vee} \beta \\
\alpha &\mapsto \alpha + \beta \\
\nu &\mapsto \nu,
\end{aligned}$$

for $\nu \in \mathcal{P}$, defines a morphism of multiplicative ns operads.

PROOF. Again, the only point to check is the compatibility with the differential. Let us denote the above given morphism by $f : \text{Tw}\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \text{Tw}(\text{Tw}\mathcal{P})$. For any element $\nu \in \mathcal{P}$, we have

$$f\left(d_{\mathcal{P}}(\nu) + \text{ad}_{\mu_1^\alpha}(\nu)\right) = d_{\mathcal{P}}(f(\nu)) + \text{ad}_{\mu_1^{\alpha+\beta}}(f(\nu)),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
f \circ (\text{d} + \text{ad}_{\mu_1^\alpha})(\alpha) &= f\left(\sum_{n \geq 2} (n-1) \mu_n(\alpha, \dots, \alpha)\right) = \sum_{n \geq 2} (n-1) \mu_n(\alpha + \beta, \dots, \alpha + \beta) \\
&= (\text{d} + \text{ad}_{\mu_1^{\alpha+\beta}}) \circ (\alpha + \beta) = (\text{d} + \text{ad}_{\mu_1^{\alpha+\beta}}) \circ f(\alpha).
\end{aligned}$$

We conclude with Lemma 3.3. \square

Similarly to the case of the twisted \mathcal{A}_∞ operad, there is a morphism of multiplicative ns operads $\varepsilon(\mathcal{P}) : \text{Tw}\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ defined by sending α to 0 and $v \in \mathcal{P}$ to v .

THEOREM 3.1. *The two aforementioned morphisms $\Delta(\mathcal{P}) : \text{Tw}\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \text{Tw}(\text{Tw}\mathcal{P})$ and $\varepsilon(\mathcal{P}) : \text{Tw}\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ of multiplicative ns operads provide the endofunctor Tw with a comonad structure.*

PROOF. Let $\mathcal{A}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ be a multiplicative ns operad, we have to check the counit relations

$$(\varepsilon(\mathcal{P}) \circ (\text{id}_{\text{Tw}\mathcal{P}}))(\Delta(\mathcal{P})) = \text{id}_{\text{Tw}\mathcal{P}} = ((\text{id}_{\text{Tw}\mathcal{P}}) \circ \varepsilon(\mathcal{P}))$$

and the coassociativity relation

$$\Delta_{\text{Tw}\mathcal{P}}(\Delta(\mathcal{P})) = \text{Tw}(\Delta_{\mathcal{P}})(\Delta(\mathcal{P})).$$

In each cases, the image of any element $v \in \mathcal{P}$ is send to itself. The left counit relation is given by

$$\alpha \mapsto \alpha + \beta \mapsto \alpha$$

since the second morphism sends α to α and β to 0. The right counit relation is proved similarly since the second morphism sends α to 0 and β to α . Both sides for the coassociativity relation give

$$\alpha \mapsto \alpha + \beta \mapsto \alpha + \beta + \gamma,$$

which concludes the proof. \square

DEFINITION 3.3 (Twistable operad). We call *twistable operad* a multiplicative ns operad which admits a Tw-coalgebra structure.

The aforementioned definition means that, given a multiplicative ns operad $\mathcal{A}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$, there exists a morphism $\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}$ of multiplicative ns operads

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{A}_\infty & \\ & \swarrow & \searrow \\ \mathcal{P} & \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}} & \text{Tw}\mathcal{P} \end{array}$$

satisfying

$$(10) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{P} & \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}} & \text{Tw}\mathcal{P} & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon(\mathcal{P})} & \mathcal{P}, \\ & & \text{---} & \text{---} & \\ & & & \text{id}_{\mathcal{P}} & \end{array}$$

$$(11) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P} & \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}} & \text{Tw}\mathcal{P} \\ \downarrow \Delta_{\mathcal{P}} & & \downarrow \text{Tw}(\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}) \\ \text{Tw}\mathcal{P} & \xrightarrow{\Delta(\mathcal{P})} & \text{Tw}(\text{Tw}\mathcal{P}). \end{array}$$

In terms of type of algebras, the map $\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}$ gives a concrete way to produce functorial complete \mathcal{P} -algebra structures on any complete \mathcal{P} -algebra endowed with a Maurer–Cartan element coming from the internal \mathcal{A}_{∞} -algebra structure but with twisted differential. Indeed, as explained above, the data of a complete \mathcal{P} -algebra structure with a Maurer–Cartan element a is faithfully encoded in a morphism of dg ns operads $\text{MC}\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \text{end}_{(A,d)}$, which gives rise to a morphism of twisted complete dg ns operads $\text{Tw}\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \text{End}_{(A,d^a)}$ by Proposition 1.3. Pulling back with the morphism of complete dg ns operads $\Delta(\mathcal{P}) : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \text{Tw}\mathcal{P}$ produces the twisted \mathcal{P} -algebra structure.

The fact that the structure map $\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}$ is a morphism of multiplicative ns operads says that one has to twist the \mathcal{A}_{∞} -operations in \mathcal{P} as usual, that is according to the formulas given in Proposition 3.1. Relation (10) expresses the fact that the twisted operation associated to any $v \in \mathcal{P}$ is the sum of two terms: the first one being equal to v itself and the second one being the sum of perturbation terms which all contain at least one Maurer–Cartan element. Relation (11) amounts to say that the operations twisted twice under the same formulas, first by a Maurer–Cartan element a and then by a second Maurer–Cartan element b , are equal to the operations twisted once by the Maurer–Cartan element $a + b$, thanks to Lemma 3.3 and Corollary 3.1. These are the constraints of twistable multiplicative ns operad.

EXAMPLE 3.1. The ns operad \mathcal{A}_{∞} is the paradigm of twistable ns operad. Its Tw-coalgebra structure map $\mathcal{A}_{\infty} \rightarrow \text{Tw}\mathcal{A}_{\infty}$ is given by Proposition 2.2.

PROPOSITION 3.4. *Let \mathcal{P} be a multiplicative ns operad with trivial differential $d_{\mathcal{P}} = 0$. If \mathcal{P} is twistable, then any element $v \in \mathcal{P}$ satisfies $\text{ad}_{\mu_2(\alpha,-)-\mu_2(-,\alpha)}(v) = 0$ in $\text{Tw}\mathcal{P}$. When the multiplicative structure of \mathcal{P} factors through the canonical resolution $\mathcal{A}_{\infty} \twoheadrightarrow \text{As} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$, the reverse statement holds true.*

PROOF. If the operad \mathcal{P} is twistable, it admits a morphism of multiplicative ns operads $\Delta_{\mathcal{P}} : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \text{Tw}\mathcal{P}$ satisfying the commutative diagrams (10) and (11). So the image of any element $v \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ has the form

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}(v) = v + \sum_{k \geq 1} \omega_k,$$

where ω_k is an element of $\text{Tw}\mathcal{P}(n)$ made up of a finite sum of elements of $\mathcal{P}(n+k)$ composed with k elements α . The compatibility with respect to the differentials shows that

$$d^{\mu_1^{\alpha}}(\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}(v)) = \text{ad}_{\mu_2(\alpha,-)-\mu_2(-,\alpha)}(v) + \sum_{k \geq 2} \tilde{\omega}_k = 0,$$

where $\tilde{\omega}_k$ is made up of a finite sum of elements of $\mathcal{P}(n+k)$ composed with k elements α . Therefore, $\text{ad}_{\mu_2(\alpha,-)-\mu_2(-,\alpha)}(v)$ vanishes since it is made up of only one element α .

In the other way round, the multiplicative structure of \mathcal{P} factors through the canonical resolution $\mathcal{A}_{\infty} \twoheadrightarrow \text{As} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ if and only if the elements μ_3, μ_4, \dots vanish in \mathcal{P} . In this case, the twisted differential is equal to $d^{\mu_1^{\alpha}} = \text{ad}_{\mu_2(\alpha,-)-\mu_2(-,\alpha)}$. The

condition $\text{ad}_{\mu_2(\alpha, -) - \mu_2(-, \alpha)}(v) = 0$, for all $v \in \mathcal{P}$, is equivalent to the fact that the canonical morphism of ns operads $\mathcal{P} \hookrightarrow \text{Tw}\mathcal{P}$ is a chain map. The commutative diagrams (10) and (11) are then straightforward to check. \square

EXAMPLE 3.2. The ns operads ncGerst and ncBV, introduced in [15, Section 3] as noncommutative analogues of the classical operads, are not twistable, see Proposition 1.1 in Section 1 and Proposition 2.1 in Section 2 respectively.

EXAMPLE 3.3. The operad Gerst is twistable but the operad BV is not, see respectively Proposition 3.1 in Section 3 and Proposition 4.1 in Section 4 respectively.

Among the homological properties, let us recall the following stability principle.

PROPOSITION 3.5. *The endofunctor Tw preserves quasi-isomorphisms.*

PROOF. A straightforward proof is given in [10, Theorem 5.1]. \square

4. Action of the deformation complex

Let \mathcal{P} be a complete dg ns operad. We consider the total space

$$\text{hom}(\text{As}^i, \mathcal{P}) := \prod_{n \geq 1} \text{hom}(\text{As}^i(n), \mathcal{P}(n)) \cong \prod_{n \geq 1} s^{1-n} \mathcal{P}(n),$$

where we identify any map $\rho(n) : \text{As}^i(n) \cong \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}, s}(n)^* \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(n)$ with its images $\rho_n := \rho(v_n)$. Let us recall from Section 1 that this forms a complete left-unital dg pre-Lie algebra and thus a complete dg Lie algebra by anti-symmetrization.

Any element $\rho = (\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots) \in \text{hom}(\text{As}^i, \mathcal{P})$ induces the following derivation D_ρ on the complete ns operad $\mathcal{P} \hat{v} \alpha$ by sending its generators to

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &\mapsto - \sum_{n \geq 1} \rho_n(\alpha^n), \\ v &\mapsto 0, \quad \text{for } v \in \mathcal{P}. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $\text{Der}(\mathcal{P} \hat{v} \alpha)$ the set of operadic derivations and, by a slight abuse of notation, we still denote by $d_{\mathcal{P}}$ the differential on $\mathcal{P} \hat{v} \alpha$ induced by that of \mathcal{P} .

LEMMA 4.1. *The assignment*

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{hom}(\text{As}^i, \mathcal{P}), \partial, [,]) &\rightarrow (\text{Der}(\mathcal{P} \hat{v} \alpha), [d_{\mathcal{P}}, -], [,]) \\ \rho &\mapsto D_\rho \end{aligned}$$

is a morphism of dg Lie algebras.

PROOF. Notice first that the Lie bracket on the right-hand side is given by the skew-symmetrization of the following binary product $D \circ^{\text{op}} D' := -(-1)^{|D||D'|} D' \circ D$ (which individually does not produce a derivation). Since the Lie bracket on the left-hand side is given by the skew-symmetrization of the pre-Lie product \star , we prove that the assignment $\rho \mapsto D_\rho$ preserves these two products. Let

$\rho, \xi \in \text{hom}(\text{As}^i, \mathcal{P})$. It is enough to check the relation $D_{\rho \star \xi} = D_{\rho} \circ^{\text{op}} D_{\xi}$ on the generators of $\mathcal{P} \hat{\vee} \alpha$: this is trivial for $v \in \mathcal{P}$ and for α this is given by

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\rho \star \xi}(\alpha) &= - \sum_{n \geq 1} (\rho \star \xi)_n(\alpha^n) = - \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ p+q+r=n}} (-1)^{p(q+1)+|\xi|(p+r)} \rho_{p+1+r} \circ_{p+1} \xi_q(\alpha^n) = \\ &= -(-1)^{|\rho||\xi|} D_{\xi} \circ D_{\rho}(\alpha) = D_{\rho} \circ^{\text{op}} D_{\xi}(\alpha) . \end{aligned}$$

We also check the commutativity of the differentials $D_{\partial(\rho)} = [d_{\mathcal{P}}, D_{\rho}]$ on the generators of $\mathcal{P} \hat{\vee} \alpha$: this is again trivial for $v \in \mathcal{P}$ and for α this is given by

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\partial(\rho)}(\alpha) &= D_{d_{\mathcal{P}} \circ \rho}(\alpha) = - \sum_{n \geq 1} d_{\mathcal{P}}(\rho_n)(\alpha^n) = d_{\mathcal{P}}(D_{\rho}(\alpha)) - (-1)^{|\rho|} D_{\rho}(d_{\mathcal{P}}(\alpha)) \\ &= [d_{\mathcal{P}}, D_{\rho}](\alpha) . \end{aligned}$$

□

A morphism $\mathcal{A}_{\infty} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ of complete dg ns operads is equivalent to a degree -1 element $\mu := (0, \mu_2, \mu_3, \dots)$, notation which agrees with that of Section 3, satisfying the Maurer–Cartan equation

$$\partial(\mu) + \mu \star \mu = 0 ,$$

in this dg pre-Lie algebra. Therefore one can twist the associated dg Lie algebra with this Maurer–Cartan element, that is consider the twisted differential

$$\partial^{\mu} := \partial + \text{ad}_{\mu} .$$

(One cannot twist the dg pre-Lie algebra, unless μ satisfies Equation (6), which happens only when it vanishes completely).

DEFINITION 4.1 (Deformation complex of morphisms of complete dg ns operads [44, 45]). The *deformation complex* of the morphism $\mathcal{A}_{\infty} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ of complete dg ns operads is the complete twisted dg Lie algebra

$$\text{Def}(\mathcal{A}_{\infty} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}) := (\text{hom}(\text{As}^i, \mathcal{P}), \partial^{\mu}, [,])$$

PROPOSITION 4.1. *The assignment*

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{hom}(\text{As}^i, \mathcal{P}), \partial^{\mu}, [,]) &\rightarrow (\text{Der}(\mathcal{P} \hat{\vee} \alpha), [d_{\mathcal{P}} + D_{\mu}, -, [,]]) \\ \rho &\mapsto D_{\rho} \end{aligned}$$

is a morphism of dg Lie algebras. In plain words, this defines a dg Lie action by derivation of the deformation complex $\text{Def}(\mathcal{A}_{\infty} \rightarrow \mathcal{P})$ on the Maurer–Cartan operad $\text{MC}\mathcal{P}$.

PROOF. This is a direct corollary of the morphism of dg Lie algebras established in Lemma 4.1: the Maurer–Cartan element μ on the left-hand side is sent to the Maurer–Cartan D_{μ} on the right-hand side. This proves that $d_{\mathcal{P}} + D_{\mu}$ is a square-zero derivation on the complete ns operad $\mathcal{P} \hat{\vee} \alpha$. In the end, we get a morphism between the respectively twisted dg Lie algebras. □

REMARK 4.1. Proposition 4.1 provides us with an alternative proof of Proposition 3.1 defining the complete dg ns operad

$$\text{MC}\mathcal{P} := (\mathcal{P} \hat{\vee} \alpha, d := d_{\mathcal{P}} + D_{\mu}) .$$

REMARK 4.2. It is also worth noticing that, due to the twisting, this does not define a pre-Lie action, but just a Lie action.

In order to reach the same kind of results for the complete dg ns operad $\text{Tw}\mathcal{P}$, whose differential contains one more term than that of MCP , we need to consider the following extension of the deformation complex. Notice first that the dg Lie algebra action of Proposition 4.1 on the complete dg ns operad $\mathcal{P}\hat{\nu}\alpha$ reduces to a dg Lie algebra action on the dg Lie algebra made up of the arity 1 elements $(\mathcal{P}\hat{\nu}\alpha)(1)$. This gives rise to the following semi-direct product of dg Lie algebras:

$$(\text{hom}(\mathcal{A}^i, \mathcal{P}), \partial, [,]) \times ((\mathcal{P}\hat{\nu}\alpha)(1), d_{\mathcal{P}}, [,]) .$$

LEMMA 4.2. *The above-mentioned semi-direct product dg Lie algebra comes from the skew-symmetrization of the following semi-direct product of dg pre-Lie algebras*

$$(\text{hom}(\mathcal{A}^i, \mathcal{P}), \partial, \star) \times ((\mathcal{P}\hat{\nu}\alpha)(1), d_{\mathcal{P}}, \circ_1) := (\text{hom}(\mathcal{A}^i, \mathcal{P}) \oplus (\mathcal{P}\hat{\nu}\alpha)(1), \partial + d_{\mathcal{P}}, \star) ,$$

where

$$(\rho, \nu) \star (\xi, \omega) := \left(\rho \star \xi, \nu \circ_1 \omega - (-1)^{|\xi||\nu|} D_{\xi}(\nu) \right) .$$

PROOF. The proof of Lemma 4.1 shows that the assignment $\rho \mapsto D_{\rho}$ defines a right dg pre-Lie action of $(\text{hom}(\mathcal{A}^i, \mathcal{P}), \partial, \star)$ on $((\mathcal{P}\hat{\nu}\alpha)(1), d_{\mathcal{P}}, \circ_1)$. It is however not always true that dg pre-Lie actions give rise to semi-direct product dg pre-Lie algebras under formulas like that of \star . It is the case here since the action is by derivation, see [38] for another occurrence of this construction. If we denote the associator of a binary product \star by Assoc_{\star} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Assoc}_{\star}((\rho, \nu), (\xi, \omega), (\theta, \lambda)) \\ &= \left(\text{Assoc}_{\star}(\rho, \xi, \theta), \text{Assoc}_{\circ_1}(\nu, \omega, \lambda) - (-1)^{|\xi||\nu|} D_{\xi}(\nu) \circ_1 \lambda - (-1)^{|\theta|(|\nu|+|\omega|)} D_{\theta}(\nu) \circ_1 \omega \right) , \end{aligned}$$

which is right symmetric, see also [38]. The compatibility of the differentials follows from Lemma 4.1.

Finally, the skew-symmetrization of this semi-direct product pre-Lie algebra gives

$$[(\rho, \nu), (\xi, \omega)] = \left([\rho, \xi], [\nu, \omega] + D_{\rho}(\omega) - (-1)^{|\xi||\nu|} D_{\xi}(\nu) \right) ,$$

which is the formula for the Lie bracket of the semi-direct product Lie algebra. \square

LEMMA 4.3. *The assignment*

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{hom}(\mathcal{A}^i, \mathcal{P}), \partial, [,]) & \rightarrow (\text{hom}(\mathcal{A}^i, \mathcal{P}), \partial, [,]) \times ((\mathcal{P}\hat{\nu}\alpha)(1), d_{\mathcal{P}}, [,]) \\ \rho & \rightarrow (\rho, \rho_1^{\alpha}) , \end{aligned}$$

with $\rho_1^{\alpha} := \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ 1 \leq i \leq n}} (-1)^{n-i} \rho_n(\alpha^{i-1}, -, \alpha^{n-i})$, defines a morphism of dg Lie algebras.

PROOF. By Lemma 4.2, it is enough to prove that such an assignment defines a morphism of dg pre-Lie algebras. To this extend, we first prove

$$(12) \quad (\rho \star \xi)_1^\alpha = \rho_1^\alpha \circ_1 \xi_1^\alpha - (-1)^{|\rho||\xi|} D_\xi (\rho_1^\alpha),$$

for any $\rho, \xi \in \text{hom}(\mathcal{A}^{si}, \mathcal{P})$. The left-hand side is equal to

$$(\rho \star \xi)_1^\alpha = \sum_{\substack{p+q+r=n \geq 1 \\ 1 \leq i \leq n}} (-1)^{p(q-1)+|\xi|(p+r)+n-i} \rho_{p+1+r} \circ_{p+1} \xi_q \left(\alpha^{i-1}, -, \alpha^{n-i} \right),$$

which splits into three components according to the value of i : (i) when $1 \leq i \leq p$, (ii) when $p+1 \leq i \leq p+q$, and (iii) when $p+q+1 \leq i \leq n = p+q+r$. The first term on the right-hand side of (12) corresponds to the component (ii) and the second term on the right-hand side of (12) corresponds to the sum of the two components (i) and (iii). Explicitly, we first have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1^\alpha \circ_1 \xi_1^\alpha &= \sum_{\substack{p+q+r=n \geq 1 \\ 1 \leq j \leq q}} (-1)^{r+q-j} \rho_{p+1+r}(\alpha^p, -, \alpha^r) \circ_1 \xi_q \left(\alpha^{j-1}, -, \alpha^{q-j} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{p+q+r=n \geq 1 \\ 1 \leq j \leq q}} (-1)^{p(q-1)+|\xi|(p+r)+r+q-j} \rho_{p+1+r} \circ_{p+1} \xi_q \left(\alpha^{p+j-1}, -, \alpha^{r+q-j} \right) \end{aligned}$$

This gives (ii) with $i = j+p$, since then $n-i = r+q-j$. Regarding the second term on the right-hand side of Equation (12), since $\rho_1^\alpha = \sum_{\substack{n \geq 2 \\ 1 \leq i \leq n}} (-1)^{n-i} \rho_n(\alpha^{i-1}, -, \alpha^{n-i})$

and since D_ξ vanishes on ρ_n , for $n \geq 1$, we get two terms: the first one when D_ξ applies to the α 's on the left-hand side of the input slot and the second one when D_ξ applies to the α 's on the right-hand side of the input slot. The former term gives component (iii) and the latter term gives component (i). The last point of the proof amounts to check the various signs. Under the notation $\rho_1^\alpha = \sum_{\substack{p+1+r=n \geq 1 \\ 1 \leq j \leq r}} (-1)^{r-j} \rho_{p+1+r} \left(\alpha^{p+1+j-1}, -, \alpha^{r-j} \right)$, the former term becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\substack{p+1+r=n \geq 1 \\ 1 \leq j \leq r}} (-1)^{r-j+|\xi|r} \rho_{p+1+r} \left(\alpha^p, \xi_q(\alpha^q), \alpha^{j-1}, -, \alpha^{r-j} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{p+1+r=n \geq 1 \\ 1 \leq j \leq r}} (-1)^{p(q-1)+|\xi|(p+r)+r-j} \rho_{p+1+r} \circ_{p+1} \xi_q \left(\alpha^{p+q+j-1}, -, \alpha^{r-j} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which is equal to (iii) with $i = j+p+q$. Under the notation $\rho_1^\alpha = \sum_{\substack{p+1+r=n \geq 1 \\ 1 \leq j \leq p}} (-1)^{p+1+r-j} \rho_{p+1+r} \left(\alpha^{j-1}, -, \alpha^{p+1+r-j} \right)$, the latter term becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\substack{p+1+r=n \geq 1 \\ 1 \leq j \leq r}} (-1)^{p+1+r-j+|\xi|(r-1)} \rho_{p+1+r} \left(\alpha^{j-1}, -, \alpha^p, \xi_q(\alpha^q), \alpha^{r-j} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{p+1+r=n \geq 1 \\ 1 \leq j \leq r}} (-1)^{p(q-1)+|\xi|(p+r)+p+q+r-j} \rho_{p+1+r} \circ_{p+1} \xi_q \left(\alpha^{j-1}, -, \alpha^{p+q+r-j} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which is equal to (i) with $i = j$.

The commutativity of the differentials comes from the relation $(d_{\mathcal{P}}(\rho))_1^\alpha = d_{\mathcal{P}}(\rho_1^\alpha)$, which is straightforward. \square

LEMMA 4.4. *The assignment*

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathrm{hom}(\mathrm{As}^i, \mathcal{P}), \partial, [,], 1) \times ((\mathcal{P} \hat{\vee} \alpha)(1), d_{\mathcal{P}}, [,], 1) &\rightarrow (\mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{P} \hat{\vee} \alpha), [d_{\mathcal{P}}, -], [,], 1) \\ (\rho, \nu) &\mapsto D_{\rho} + \mathrm{ad}_{\nu} \end{aligned}$$

defines a morphism of dg Lie algebras.

PROOF. The compatibility with respect to the Lie brackets amounts to proving that

$$D_{[\rho, \xi]} + \mathrm{ad}_{[\nu, \omega] + D_{\rho}(\omega) - (-1)^{|\xi||\nu|} D_{\xi}(\nu)} = [D_{\rho}, D_{\xi}] + [\mathrm{ad}_{\nu}, \mathrm{ad}_{\omega}] + [D_{\rho}, \mathrm{ad}_{\omega}] + [\mathrm{ad}_{\nu}, D_{\xi}].$$

The first two terms are equal by Lemma 4.1. The second two terms are equal since the adjunction is always a morphism of Lie algebras. The relation $[D_{\rho}, \mathrm{ad}_{\omega}] = \mathrm{ad}_{D_{\rho}(\omega)}$ is also a general fact in Lie representation theory.

After Lemma 4.1, in order to prove the compatibility with respect to the differentials, it remains to show that $\mathrm{ad}_{d_{\mathcal{P}}(\nu)} = [d_{\mathcal{P}}, \mathrm{ad}_{\nu}]$, which come from the fact that $d_{\mathcal{P}}$ is an operadic derivation. \square

THEOREM 4.1. *The assignment*

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathrm{hom}(\mathrm{As}^i, \mathcal{P}), \partial^{\mu}, [,], 1) &\rightarrow (\mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{P} \hat{\vee} \alpha), [d_{\mathcal{P}} + D_{\mu} + \mathrm{ad}_{\mu_1^{\alpha}}, -], [,], 1) \\ \rho &\mapsto D_{\rho} + \mathrm{ad}_{\rho_1^{\alpha}} \end{aligned}$$

is a morphism of dg Lie algebras. In plain words, this defines a dg Lie action by derivation of the deformation complex $\mathrm{Def}(\mathcal{A}_{\infty} \rightarrow \mathcal{P})$ on the twisted operad $\mathrm{Tw}\mathcal{P}$.

PROOF. The arguments are the same as in the proof of Proposition 4.1, using the composite of dg Lie algebra morphisms given respectively in Lemma 4.3 and in Lemma 4.4, and twisting in the end by the Maurer–Cartan element μ . \square

REMARK 4.3. Theorem 4.1 gives another way, actually the original one from [56, Appendix I], to define the twisted complete dg ns operad

$$\mathrm{Tw}\mathcal{P} := \left(\mathcal{P} \hat{\vee} \alpha, d^{\mu_1^{\alpha}} := d_{\mathcal{P}} + D_{\mu} + \mathrm{ad}_{\mu_1^{\alpha}} \right).$$

The relationship between the deformation complex and the twisted operad is actually a bit more rich.

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Let $\mathcal{A}_{\infty} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ be a multiplicative ns operad satisfying $\mathcal{P}(0) = 0$. Up to a degree shift, the deformation complex is isomorphic to the chain complex made up of the arity 0 component of the twisted operad:*

$$\left((\mathrm{Tw}\mathcal{P})(0), d^{\mu_1^{\alpha}} \right) \cong (s^{-1} \mathrm{hom}(\mathrm{As}^i, \mathcal{P}), \partial^{\mu}).$$

PROOF. On the level of the underlying spaces, these two chain complexes satisfy

$$(\mathrm{Tw}\mathcal{P})(0) = \prod_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{P}(n) \otimes \alpha^{\otimes n} \cong \prod_{n \geq 0} s^{-n} \mathcal{P}(n) \cong s^{-1} \mathrm{hom}(\mathrm{As}^i, \mathcal{P}) \oplus \mathcal{P}(0).$$

When $\mathcal{P}(0) = 0$, one way to realise the isomorphism $\text{hom}(\text{As}^i, \mathcal{P}) \xrightarrow{\cong} s(\text{Tw}\mathcal{P})(0)$ is given by

$$\rho \mapsto (-1)^{|\rho|} s D_\rho(\alpha) = - \sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^{|\rho|} \rho_n(\alpha^{\otimes n}).$$

It remains to show that it commutes with the respective differentials, that is to prove the following relation:

$$D_{\partial(\rho)}(\alpha) + D_{[\mu, \rho]}(\alpha) = d_{\mathcal{P}}(D_\rho(\alpha)) + D_\mu(D_\rho(\alpha)) + \text{ad}_{\mu_1^\alpha}(D_\rho(\alpha)).$$

We have already seen in Lemma 4.1 that $D_{\partial(\rho)}(\alpha) = d_{\mathcal{P}}(D_\rho(\alpha))$ and that $D_{[\mu, \rho]}(\alpha) = D_\mu(D_\rho(\alpha)) - (-1)^{|\rho|} D_\rho(D_\mu(\alpha))$. So it remains to show that $\text{ad}_{\mu_1^\alpha}(D_\rho(\alpha)) = -(-1)^{|\rho|} D_\rho(D_\mu(\alpha))$, which comes from the fact that both are explicitly equal to

$$- \sum_{\substack{p, r \geq 0 \\ q \leq 1}} (-1)^{|\rho| r} \mu_{p+1+r}(\alpha^p, \rho_q(\alpha^q), \alpha^r).$$

□

5. Generalisations

Chapter 4 deals with the twisting procedure for ns operads where we used in a crucial way the dg ns operad \mathcal{A}_∞ . The entire same theory holds as well with the dg ns operad $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{A}_\infty := \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}_S} \otimes \mathcal{A}_\infty$ encoding shifted \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebras; in this case, the signs are nearly all trivial. In order to get the twisting procedure for (symmetric) operads, one has to start with the dg operad \mathcal{L}_∞ encoding homotopy Lie algebras or the dg operad $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}_\infty := \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}_S} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\infty$ encoding shifted homotopy Lie algebras. The various proofs are performed with similar computations, and thus are left to the reader. In this way, one gets the theory developed by T. Willwacher but with a presentation different from [56, 12, 10]. Let us now give a more detailed presentation.

PROPOSITION 5.1. *The complete dg operad encoding the data of a shifted homotopy Lie algebra together with a Maurer–Cartan element is*

$$\text{MCS}\mathcal{L}_\infty := \left(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}(\alpha, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots), d \right),$$

where the generator α has arity 0 and degree 0 and where the generator λ_n has arity n , degree -1 , and trivial \mathbb{S}_n -action, for $n \geq 2$.

It admits the following Maurer–Cartan element

$$\lambda_1^\alpha := \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \lambda_n(\alpha^{n-1}, -).$$

DEFINITION 5.1 (Twisted $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}_\infty$ -operad). The twisted $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}_\infty$ -operad is

$$\text{Tw}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}_\infty := (\text{MCS}\mathcal{L}_\infty)^{\lambda_1^\alpha} \cong \left(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}(\alpha, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots), d^{\lambda_1^\alpha} \right),$$

with $d^{\lambda_1^\alpha}(\alpha) = \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{n-1}{n!} \lambda_n(\alpha^n)$.

PROPOSITION 5.2. *The assignment $\lambda_n \mapsto \lambda_n^\alpha$, where*

$$\lambda_n^\alpha := \sum_{r \geq 0} \frac{1}{r!} \lambda_{n+r}(\alpha^r, -, \dots, -).$$

defines a morphism of complete dg operads

$$\mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}_\infty \rightarrow \text{Tw}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}_\infty.$$

PROPOSITION 5.3. *Let $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ be a morphism of complete dg operads. The data of a complete \mathcal{P} -algebra structure together with a Maurer–Cartan element is encoded by the complete dg ns operad*

$$\text{MCP} := (\mathcal{P} \hat{\vee} \alpha, d),$$

where α is a degree 0 element of arity 0 placed in F_1 and where $\hat{\vee}$ stands for the coproduct of complete operads, and where the differential d is characterized by

$$d(\alpha) := - \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{1}{n!} \lambda_n(\alpha, \dots, \alpha),$$

$$d(v) := d_{\mathcal{P}}(v), \text{ for } v \in \mathcal{P}.$$

DEFINITION 5.2 (Twisted operads under $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}_\infty$). Let $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ be a morphism of complete dg operads. The complete dg operad obtained by twisting the operad MCP by the Maurer–Cartan λ_1^α is called the *twisted complete operad* and denoted by

$$\text{Tw}\mathcal{P} := (\text{MCP})^{\lambda_1^\alpha} = (\mathcal{P} \hat{\vee} \alpha, d^{\lambda_1^\alpha}).$$

The twisted differential is actually equal to

$$d^{\lambda_1^\alpha}(\alpha) = \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{n-1}{n!} \lambda_n(\alpha, \dots, \alpha),$$

$$d^{\lambda_1^\alpha}(v) = d_{\mathcal{P}}(v) + \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \lambda_n(\alpha^{n-1}, v) - (-1)^{|v|} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(n-1)!} v \circ_j \lambda_n(\alpha^{n-1}, -),$$

for $v \in \mathcal{P}(k)$.

PROPOSITION 5.4. *The assignment $\lambda_n \mapsto \lambda_n^\alpha$ defines a morphism of complete dg operads*

$$\mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}_\infty \rightarrow \text{Tw}\mathcal{P}.$$

We consider the following morphisms of complete dg operads

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\mathcal{P}) : \text{Tw}\mathcal{P} \cong \mathcal{P} \hat{\vee} \alpha &\rightarrow \text{Tw}(\text{Tw}\mathcal{P}) \cong \mathcal{P} \hat{\vee} \alpha \hat{\vee} \beta \\ \alpha &\mapsto \alpha + \beta, \\ v &\mapsto v, \text{ for } v \in \mathcal{P}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(\mathcal{P}) : \text{Tw}\mathcal{P} &\rightarrow \mathcal{P} \\ \alpha &\mapsto 0, \\ v &\mapsto v, \text{ for } v \in \mathcal{P}. \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 5.1. *The two aforementioned morphisms $\Delta(\mathcal{P}) : \text{Tw}\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \text{Tw}(\text{Tw}\mathcal{P})$ and $\varepsilon(\mathcal{P}) : \text{Tw}\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ of operads under $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}_\infty$ provide the endofunctor Tw with a comonad structure.*

DEFINITION 5.3 (Twistable operad). We call *twistable operad* an operad under $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}_\infty$ which admits a Tw-coalgebra structure.

EXAMPLE 5.1. Let us recall from [10] that the operads for Lie algebras and Gerstenhaber algebras, as well as their shifted versions and their minimal models, are twistable, see Proposition 3.1. Notice for instance, that the operads encoding pre-Lie algebras and Batalin–Vilkovisky algebras is not twistable, see Proposition 4.1.

PROPOSITION 5.5. *The endofunctor Tw preserves quasi-isomorphisms.*

Let \mathcal{P} be a complete dg operad. We consider the total space

$$\mathrm{hom}_{\mathbb{S}}((\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Lie})^i, \mathcal{P}) := \prod_{n \geq 1} \mathrm{hom}_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathrm{Com}(n)^*, \mathcal{P}(n)) \cong \prod_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{P}(n)^{\mathbb{S}^n}.$$

DEFINITION 5.4 (Deformation complex of morphisms of complete dg operads [44, 45]). The *deformation complex* of the morphism $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ of complete dg operads is the complete twisted dg Lie algebra

$$\mathrm{Def}(\mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{P}) := \left(\mathrm{hom}_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathrm{Com}^*, \mathcal{P}), \partial^\lambda, [,] \right).$$

THEOREM 5.2. *The assignment*

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\mathrm{hom}_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathrm{Com}^*, \mathcal{P}), \partial^\lambda, [,] \right) &\rightarrow \left(\mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{P} \hat{\vee} \alpha), [d_{\mathcal{P}} + D_\lambda + \mathrm{ad}_{\lambda_1^\alpha}, -], [,] \right) \\ \rho &\mapsto D_\rho + \mathrm{ad}_{\rho_1^\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

is a morphism of dg Lie algebras, that is it defines a dg Lie action by derivation of the deformation complex $\mathrm{Def}(\mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{P})$ on the twisted operad $\mathrm{Tw}\mathcal{P}$.

PROPOSITION 5.6. *Let $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ be a morphism of complete dg operads with $\mathcal{P}(0) = 0$. The deformation complex is isomorphic to the chain complex made up of the arity 0 component of the twisted operad:*

$$\left((\mathrm{Tw}\mathcal{P})(0), d^{\lambda_1^\alpha} \right) \cong \left(\mathrm{hom}_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathrm{Com}^*, \mathcal{P}), \partial^\lambda \right).$$

REMARK 5.1. One might try to develop the same twisting procedure of (ns) operads starting from a quadratic operad $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(E, R)$ whose associated category of \mathcal{P}_∞ -algebras is twistable, according to Definition 6.3, that is when its Koszul dual operad \mathcal{P}^i is extendable. It turns out that this more general situation is much more subtle. The various proofs given here, like the one of Lemma 4.1, rely on the crucial fact that the ns cooperad \mathcal{A}^i is one-dimensional in any arity and that its partial coproduct is the sum of all the possible way to compose operations in an ns operads. In other words, this amounts to the universal property satisfied by the ns operad \mathcal{A}^i (respectively the operad Lie): it is the unit for the Manin's black product of (finitely generated) binary quadratic ns operads (respectively binary quadratic operads) [22, 23, 54]. The analogous universal property satisfied by the dg ns operad \mathcal{A}_∞ (respectively dg operad \mathcal{L}_∞) can be found in [55, 51]. This gives a hint on how to extend the twisting procedure to other kinds of algebraic structures like cyclic operads, modular operads or prop-erads, for instance.

REMARK 5.2. One easy generalisation of the formalism developed here concerns replacing operads by coloured operads. For example, if one considers a ns coloured operad \mathcal{P} into which the operad \mathcal{A}_∞ (with all inputs and the output of the same colour) maps, the theory of operadic twisting effortlessly adapts in this case, allowing one to recover some classical constructions. For example, if one considers the cofibrant replacement of the coloured operad encoding the pairs (A, M) where A is an associative algebra and M is a left A -module, the arising twisted differentials have, for example, been studied by T. Kadeishvili [27] in the context of ∞ -twisted tensor products.

There is however one way to “extend” the abovementioned theory with the following “mise en abyme” of the operadic twisting theory. Given a twistable complete operad $\Delta_{\mathcal{G}} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \text{Tw}\mathcal{G}$ and a complete operad $f : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ under \mathcal{G} , the twisted complete operad $\text{Tw}\mathcal{P}$ is naturally an operad under \mathcal{G} by

$$\mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\mathcal{G}}} \text{Tw}\mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\text{Tw}(f)} \text{Tw}\mathcal{P}.$$

Therefore, the twisting construction induces a comonad in the category of operads under the operad \mathcal{G} .

DEFINITION 5.5 (\mathcal{G} -twistable operad). A \mathcal{G} -twistable operad is a complete operad \mathcal{P} under \mathcal{G} which is a coalgebra for the twisting comonad Tw .

The interpretation in terms of types of algebras is the same as above except that one should twist the operation of \mathcal{P} coming from \mathcal{G} as they are twisted in \mathcal{G} .

EXAMPLE 5.2. One obvious example is given by the twistable operad $\mathcal{G} = \text{Gerst}$ encoding Gerstenhaber algebras and the operad $\mathcal{P} = \text{BV}$ under it which encodes Batalin–Vilkovisky algebras. One can also consider their Koszul resolution $\text{Gerst}_\infty \rightarrow \text{BV}_\infty$, where the latter one is given in [20].

The concept of operadic twisting was introduced by T. Willwacher in [56] with the following motivation. Recall that M. Kontsevich considered in [28] an operad Gra , made up of graphs, which is the operad of natural operations acting on the sheaf of polyvector fields of \mathbb{R}^n . This operad includes the operad Gerst of Gerstenhaber algebras; it is therefore an operad under the (cohomologically) shifted operad $\mathcal{S}^{-1}\mathcal{L}_\infty := \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}\mathcal{S}^{-1}} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\infty$. The deformation complex $\text{Def}(\mathcal{S}^{-1}\mathcal{L}_\infty \rightarrow \text{Gra})$ coincides with Kontsevich’s graph complex and T. Willwacher proved that its 0th (co)homology group is isomorphic to the Grothendieck–Teichmüller Lie algebra grt_1 . The theory of operadic twisting ensures that there is a natural action of the deformation dg Lie algebra $\text{Def}(\mathcal{S}^{-1}\mathcal{L}_\infty \rightarrow \text{Gra})$ on the twisted operad TwGra by derivation (Theorem 4.1). Since this latter one is quasi-isomorphic to the operad Gerst after [29, 31], one gets a natural action of the Grothendieck–Teichmüller Lie algebra grt_1 on $H^0(\text{Der}(\text{Gerst}_\infty))$. Actually T. Willwacher was able to prove that the Grothendieck–Teichmüller Lie algebra encaptures all the homotopy derivations:

$$\text{grt} := \text{grt}_1 \rtimes \mathbb{k} \cong H^0(\text{Der}(\text{Gerst}_\infty)).$$

This gives a proof of the fact that the group of homotopy automorphisms of the rational completion of the little disks operad is isomorphic to the pro-unipotent Grothendieck–Teichmüller group, see also the unstable approach of B. Fresse [18] using rational homotopy theory for operads.

6. Proof of Lemma 2.1

PROOF OF LEMMA 2.1. We have $d(\mu_0^\alpha) = 0$ by the computation performed in the proof of Proposition 2.1. Let us now prove Relation (9) for any $n \geq 1$; the special case $n = 1$ will give Relation (8).

On the one hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d(\mu_n^\alpha) &= \sum_{r_0, \dots, r_n \geq 0} (-1)^{\sum_{k=0}^n kr_k} d(\mu_{n+r_0+\dots+r_n}) (\alpha^{r_0}, -, \alpha^{r_1}, -, \dots, -, \alpha^{r_{n-1}}, -, \alpha^{r_n}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{r_0, \dots, r_n \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq r_i}} (-1)^{N+j+1} \mu_{n+r_0+\dots+r_n} (\alpha^{r_0}, -, \dots, -, \alpha^{j-1}, d(\alpha), \alpha^{r_i-j}, -, \\
&\hspace{25em} \dots, -, \alpha^{r_n}) \\
&= \sum_{r_0, \dots, r_n \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{p+q+r=n+r_0+\dots+r_n \\ p+1+r, q \geq 2}} (-1)^{\sum_{k=0}^n kr_k + pq + r + 1} (\mu_{p+1+r} \circ_{p+1} \mu_q) (\alpha^{r_0}, -, \alpha^{r_1}, -, \\
&\hspace{25em} \dots, -, \alpha^{r_{n-1}}, -, \alpha^{r_n}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{r_0, \dots, r_n \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq r_i}} \sum_{m \geq 2} (-1)^{N+j} \mu_{n+r_0+\dots+r_n} (\alpha^{r_0}, -, \dots, -, \alpha^{j-1}, \mu_m(\alpha^m), \alpha^{r_i-j}, \\
&\hspace{25em} -, \dots, -, \alpha^{r_n}) \\
&= \sum_{r_0, \dots, r_n \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{p+q+r=n+r_0+\dots+r_n \\ p+1+r, q \geq 2}} (-1)^{\sum_{k=0}^n kr_k + pq + r + 1} (\mu_{p+1+r} \circ_{p+1} \mu_q) (\alpha^{r_0}, -, \alpha^{r_1}, -, \\
&\hspace{25em} \dots, -, \alpha^{r_{n-1}}, -, \alpha^{r_n}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{r_0, \dots, r_n \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq r_i}} \sum_{m \geq 2} (-1)^{N+j+(m-2)(r_0+\dots+r_{i-1}+j-1)} (\mu_{n+r_0+\dots+r_n} \circ_{r_0+\dots+r_{i-1}+j} \mu_m) \\
&\hspace{25em} (\alpha^{r_0}, -, \dots, -, \alpha^{j-1}, \alpha^m, \alpha^{r_i-j}, -, \dots, -, \alpha^{r_n}),
\end{aligned}$$

where $N := \sum_{k=0}^n kr_k + n + r_i + \dots + r_n$. The first term is equal to the sum over all planar trees with two vertices (of arity at least 2) and with leaves labelled by α 's except for n of them.

The second term is equal to the sum over all planar trees with two vertices (of arity at least 2), with leaves labelled by α 's except for at least n of them, and such that the leaves attached to the upper vertex are all labelled by α 's. If we write the elements of the second term with the same kind of indices used to describe the elements in the first term, that is

$$\begin{aligned}
r'_0 &:= r_0, \dots, r'_{i-1} := r_{i-1}, r'_i := r_i + m - 1, r'_{i+1} := r_{i+1}, \dots, r'_n := r_n \\
p' &:= r_0 + \dots + r_{i-1} + i + j - 1 = r'_0 + \dots + r'_{i-1} + i + j - 1, q' := m, \\
r' &:= r_i - j + r_{i+1} + \dots + r_n + n - i = r'_i + \dots + r'_n + n - i - j - m + 1,
\end{aligned}$$

Examples

In this section, we develop some examples of operadic twisting, both for nonsymmetric and symmetric operads. In each case, we study whether the (nonsymmetric) operad is twistable and we compute the (co)homology of its twisted version. This will show that the (co)homology of twisted operads is a rather unpredictable functor.

We will deal first with the ns operads encoding respectively noncommutative Gerstenhaber and noncommutative Batalin–Vilkovisky algebras, notions introduced recently in [15, Section 3]. Then, we will consider the cases of the operads encoding respectively Gerstenhaber and Batalin–Vilkovisky algebras. The results related to the former operad are not new, but we show them using a different method which is ad hoc and thus shorter. This also allows us to fix the notations and methods to address the latter case. In the end, this shows that the classical operads and their ns analogues behave in a different way with respect to the twisting procedure.

In this section, we work exceptionally with cohomological degree convention.

1. Twisting the nonsymmetric operad ncGerst

In this section, we work over a ring \mathbb{k} .

Recall after [15, Section 3.1] that the nonsymmetric operad ncGerst can be defined as follows. As a graded \mathbb{k} -module the space $\text{ncGerst}(n)$, for $n \geq 1$, is freely spanned by possibly disconnected linear graphs, called *bamboos*, where the vertices are ordered from left to right, for instance,

$$\textcircled{1} - \textcircled{2} - \textcircled{3} \quad \textcircled{4} \quad \textcircled{5} - \textcircled{6} \in \text{ncGerst}(6)$$

The arity 0 space $\text{ncGerst}(0) = 0$ is trivial. Each edge carries cohomological degree 1 and the total cohomological degree is thus equal to the number of edges. (These elements corresponds to right-combs of binary generators with the presentation given in [15, Section 3.1].) It is convenient to think that the edges are ordered and reordering generates the sign; for a given bamboo, we assume, by default, the ordering of the edges from left to right. The operadic composition \circ_i of two bamboos, $\Gamma_1 \in \text{ncGerst}(n)$ and $\Gamma_2 \in \text{ncGerst}(k)$ amounts to placing the bamboo Γ_2 at the place of the vertex i of the bamboo Γ_1 , globally relabelling the vertices from left to right by $1, \dots, n+k-1$. The edge $(i-1, i)$ (resp. $(i+k-1, i+k)$) belongs to the resulting graph if and only if the edge $(i-1, i)$ (resp., $(i, i+1)$) belongs to the graph Γ_1 . A Koszul sign is generated by reordering the edges; it is

given by the parity of the product between the number of edges of Γ_1 on the right of vertex i and the number of edges of Γ_2 . For instance,

$$\begin{aligned} & \textcircled{1}-\textcircled{2}-\textcircled{3} \quad \textcircled{4} \quad \textcircled{5}-\textcircled{6} \quad \circ_5 \quad \textcircled{1}-\textcircled{2} \quad \textcircled{3} \\ & = (-1) \cdot \textcircled{1}-\textcircled{2}-\textcircled{3} \quad \textcircled{4} \quad \textcircled{5}-\textcircled{6} \quad \textcircled{7}-\textcircled{8}. \end{aligned}$$

A natural ns multiplicative structure $\mathcal{A}_\infty \rightarrow \text{As} \rightarrow \text{ncGerst}$ is given by the assignment

$$\mu_2 \mapsto \textcircled{1} \quad \textcircled{2},$$

or, alternatively, a natural shifted ns multiplicative structure $\mathcal{S}^{-1}\mathcal{A}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{-1}\text{As} \rightarrow \text{ncGerst}$ is given by the assignment

$$\mu_2 \mapsto \textcircled{1}-\textcircled{2}.$$

So, one can twist the ns operad ncGerst in the way described above or by using a cohomologically shifted version of the above-mentioned twisting procedure. In this case, we work with the dg ns operad $\mathcal{S}^{-1}\mathcal{A}_\infty$ of cohomologically shifted \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebras, that is with opposite signs than the one of shifted \mathcal{A}_∞ -algebras. The subsequent computations are equivalent for these two structures, but the shifted one is slightly more convenient for the presentation. So, we perform all computations for the shifted one, and we denote by TwncGerst the ns operad twisted with respect to the shifted ns multiplicative structure.

The underlying \mathbb{k} -module of $\text{TwncGerst}(n)_d$ is made up of by series indexed by $k \geq 0$ of finite sums of the bamboos with n white vertices (labelled by $1, \dots, n$ from left to right), k black vertices, and d edges. The twisted differential $d^{\mu_1^q}$ is equal to the sum of the following five types of summands:

- (1) we attach a black vertex from the left to the leftmost vertex of the bamboo: $\square-\textcircled{\dots}$,
- (2) we attach a black vertex from the right to the rightmost vertex of the bamboo: $\textcircled{\dots}-\square$,
- (3) we replace a white vertex by a black vertex connected by an edge to the white vertex on the left: $\textcircled{\dots}-\square-\textcircled{i}$,
- (4) we replace a white vertex by a black vertex connected by an edge to the white vertex on the right: $\textcircled{i}-\square-\textcircled{\dots}$,
- (5) we replace a black vertex by two black vertices connected by an edge: $\textcircled{\dots}-\square-\square-\textcircled{\dots}$.

The Koszul type sign is given by counting how many edges from left to right that the new edge has to jump over, with an extra -1 sign for the terms (3), (4), and (5).

For instance,

$$d^{\mu_1^q} \left(\textcircled{1}-\textcircled{2} \quad \square \right) = \textcircled{1}-\textcircled{2}-\square \quad \square,$$

and the terms

$$\square \text{---} \textcircled{1} \text{---} \textcircled{2} \square, \textcircled{1} \text{---} \textcircled{2} \square \text{---} \square \text{ and } \textcircled{1} \text{---} \square \text{---} \textcircled{2} \square$$

appear in the expression for the differential twice, but with the opposite signs.

PROPOSITION 1.1. *The ns operad ncGerst is not twistable.*

PROOF. We apply *mutatis mutandis* Proposition 3.4 with the following computation

$$\text{ad}_{\mu_2(\alpha, -) + \mu_2(-, \alpha)} \left(\textcircled{1} \text{---} \textcircled{2} \right) = (-1) \cdot \textcircled{1} \text{---} \square \text{---} \textcircled{2} + (-1) \cdot \textcircled{1} \text{---} \square \text{---} \textcircled{2} \neq 0.$$

□

The ns operad $\mathcal{S}^{-1}\text{As}$, denoted As_1 in [15], of cohomologically shifted associative algebras, is isomorphic to the ns suboperad of ncGerst generated by the element $b := \textcircled{1} \text{---} \textcircled{2}$. We consider the complete ns operad

$$\mathcal{S}^{-1}\text{As}^+ := \frac{\mathcal{S}^{-1}\text{As} \hat{\vee} \gamma}{(b(\gamma, -), b(-, \gamma))},$$

where γ is an arity 0 degree 0 element placed in F_1 .

THEOREM 1.1. *The map of complete dg ns operads*

$$\mathcal{S}^{-1}\text{As} \hat{\vee} \gamma \rightarrow \text{TwncGerst} \quad \text{defined by } b \mapsto \textcircled{1} \text{---} \textcircled{2}, \gamma \mapsto \square \text{---} \square$$

induces the isomorphism of complete ns operads

$$\text{H}(\text{TwncGerst}) \cong \mathcal{S}^{-1}\text{As}^+.$$

PROOF. Since the elements of TwncGerst are series indexed by $k \geq 0$ of finite sums of the bamboos with k black vertices and since the differential $d^{\mu_i^\alpha}$ increases the number of black vertices by one, it is enough to consider the case of finite series, i.e. sums.

Note that the differential preserves the number of the connected components $K \geq 1$ and the number of the white vertices $N_1, \dots, N_K \geq 0$ on these components. The subgraph that consists of all black vertices and edges connecting them has $K + \sum_{i=1}^K N_i$ disjoint connected components (some of them can be empty in a particular graph). The chain complex of all graphs with fixed K and fixed N_1, \dots, N_K is isomorphic to the tensor product of the $K + \sum_{i=1}^K N_i$ chain complexes disjoint black components described below.

Consider a connected black component of length n , for $n \geq 0$. Under the action of the differential, it is replaced with a connected component of length $n+1$, with a coefficient c_n that depends on its position within the ambient graph. Here is a full list of the possible cases:

- (1) The black component is connected to white vertices both on the left and on the right: $c_n = 0$ for even n and $c_n = \pm 1$ for odd n .

- (2) The black component is connected to a white vertex only on the left and it is not the rightmost component of the ambient bamboo: $c_n = \pm 1$, for even n , and $c_n = 0$, for odd n . The same for the interchanged left and right.
- (3) The black component is connected to a white vertex only on the left and it is the rightmost component of the ambient bamboo: $c_n = 0$, for even n , and $c_n = \pm 1$, for odd n . The same for the interchanged left and right.
- (4) The black component is not connected to white vertices and is neither the leftmost nor the rightmost component of the ambient bamboo: $c_n = 0$, for even n , and $c_n = \pm 1$ for odd n . Note that in this case $n \geq 1$.
- (5) The black component is not connected to white vertices and it is the rightmost but not the leftmost component of the ambient bamboo: $c_n = \pm 1$, for even n , and $c_n = 0$ for odd n . The same for the interchanged left and right. Note that in this case $n \geq 1$.
- (6) The black component is not connected to white vertices and it is simultaneously the rightmost and the leftmost component of the ambient bamboo, that is this black component is the whole ambient bamboo graph satisfying $K = 1$, $N_1 = 0$: $c_n = 0$, for even n , and $c_n = \pm 1$, for odd n . Note that in this case $n \geq 1$.

In the cases (2), (4), and (6), the corresponding chain complex is acyclic, thus the cohomology is equal to zero. In the cases (1) and (3), the cohomology is one-dimensional represented by a black component of length 0. In the case (5), the cohomology is one-dimensional represented by a black component of length 1.

Thus, for the ambient graph, we either have the one-dimensional cohomology group for $K = 1$, $N_1 \geq 1$, represented by the connected bamboos with only white vertices or we have the one-dimensional cohomology group for $K = 2$, $N_1 = N_2 = 0$, represented by two disjoint black vertices. These graphs generate the complete ns operad $\mathcal{S}^{-1}\mathcal{A}_\infty \hat{\vee} \gamma$, and it is clear that the substitution of the latter graph into a connected bamboo of white vertices of length at least two gives a boundary of the differential, cf. the acyclic case (2) above. \square

REMARK 1.1. Note that in this case the cohomology of the deformation complex $\text{Def}(\mathcal{S}^{-1}\mathcal{A}_\infty \rightarrow \text{ncGerst})$ is the one-dimensional Lie algebra with the trivial Lie bracket, since it is isomorphic to the cohomology of the arity 0 component of TwncGerst by Proposition 4.2. The above computations show that its action on $H(\text{TwncGerst})$ is trivial.

As usual in deformation theory, this result can be interpreted, see for instance [35, Section 12.2], as a strong rigidity statement about the class of ns operad morphisms $\mathcal{S}^{-1}\mathcal{A}_\infty \rightarrow \text{ncGerst}$: there is no non-trivial infinitesimal or formal deformation of the map given here.

2. Twisting the nonsymmetric operad ncBV

In this section, we work over a ring \mathbb{k} .

The nonsymmetric operad ncBV from [15, Section 3.1] can be defined as follows. As a graded \mathbb{k} -module the space $\text{ncBV}(n)$, for $n \geq 1$, is freely spanned by possibly disconnected linear graphs (bamboos) with at most one tadpole edge at each vertex, where the vertices are ordered from left to right. For instance, we have

$$\text{ncBV}(1) = \langle \textcircled{1}, \textcircled{1} \rangle; \quad \textcircled{1}-\textcircled{2}-\textcircled{3} \quad \textcircled{4} \quad \textcircled{5}-\textcircled{6} \in \text{ncBV}(6).$$

Each edge, including the tadpoles, has cohomological degree equal to 1 and the total cohomological degree is equal to the number of edges. (To match with the presentation given in [15, Section 3.2], these elements are in one-to-one correspondance with right-combs of binary generators labelled, at the very top of them, with nothing or one copy of the generator Δ at each leaf.) It is convenient to think that the edges are ordered and reordering generates the sign, like in the above ncGerst case. For a given bamboo with tadpoles, by default, we order first the edges from left to right first and then the tadpoles from left to right.

The operadic composition \circ_i of two bamboos with tadpoles, $\Gamma_1 \in \text{ncBV}(n)$ and $\Gamma_2 \in \text{ncBV}(k)$, amounts to placing the graph Γ_2 at the place of the vertex i of the graph Γ_1 , globally relabelling the vertices from left to right by $1, \dots, n+k-1$. The edge $(i-1, i)$ (resp. $(i+k-1, i+k)$) belongs to the resulting graph if and only if the edge $(i-1, i)$ (resp., $(i, i+1)$) belongs to the graph Γ_1 . If there is a tadpole at the vertex i of Γ_1 , then it becomes either a new tadpole at one of the vertices $i, i+1, \dots, i+k-1$ of $\Gamma_1 \circ_i \Gamma_2$, when no tadpole was yet present, or a new edge connecting two consecutive vertices from this set. A Koszul sign is generated by reordering the edges and tadpoles. For instance,

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{1}-\textcircled{2} \circ_2 \textcircled{1}-\textcircled{2} \textcircled{3} &= (-1) \cdot \textcircled{1}-\textcircled{2}-\textcircled{3} \textcircled{4} \\ &+ (-1) \cdot \textcircled{1}-\textcircled{2}-\textcircled{3}-\textcircled{4}. \end{aligned}$$

As is the previous example, we consider a cohomologically shifted ns multiplicative structure $s^{-1}\mathcal{A}_\infty \rightarrow s^{-1}\mathcal{A}s \rightarrow \text{ncBV}$ given by the assignment


$$\mu_2 \mapsto \textcircled{1}-\textcircled{2}.$$

The underlying \mathbb{k} -module of $\text{TwncBV}(n)_d$ is made up of by series indexed by $k \geq 0$ of finite sums of the bamboos with tadpoles, with n white vertices (labelled by $1, \dots, n$ from left to right), k black vertices, and a total of d edges and tadpoles. The components of the twisted differential d^{μ_i} are the same as in the previous case of the dg ns operad TwncGerst. The only new case comes with vertices (white or black) having tadpoles: they are again replaced by two vertices connected by an edge (black-white plus white-black, or black-black) where the tadpole distributes over the two vertices. The signs remain the same as in the TwncGerst case: the Koszul type sign is given by counting how many edges from

left to right that the new edge has to jump over. With the order considered on edges and tadpoles, this means that tadpoles will never be taken into account when computing this sign. There is an extra -1 sign for the terms which replace a vertex by two vertices. For instance,

$$(13) \quad d^{\mu_1^\alpha} \left(\textcircled{1} \right) = (-1) \cdot \textcircled{1} \text{---} \square + (-1) \cdot \square \text{---} \textcircled{1},$$

and the graphs



appear in the expression for the differential twice, with the opposite signs.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *The ns operad ncBV is not twistable.*

PROOF. The same argument and computation as in the case of the ns operad, see ncGerst Proposition 3.4, hold here and prove the result. \square

In order to describe the cohomology ns operad $H(\text{TwncBV})$, we consider the following extension of the complete ns operad introduced in the previous ncGerst case:

$$\mathcal{S}^{-1} \text{As}^{++} := \frac{\mathcal{S}^{-1} \text{As} \hat{\vee} \gamma \hat{\vee} \zeta}{(b(\gamma, -), b(-, \gamma), b(\zeta, -) + b(-, \zeta))},$$

where ζ is an arity 0 degree +1 element placed in F_1 .

THEOREM 2.1. *The map of complete dg ns operads*

$$\mathcal{S}^{-1} \text{As} \hat{\vee} \gamma \hat{\vee} \zeta \rightarrow \text{TwncBV} \quad \text{defined by } b \mapsto \textcircled{1} \text{---} \textcircled{2}, \quad \gamma \mapsto \square \quad \square, \quad \zeta \mapsto \square \text{ with tadpole}$$

induces the isomorphism of complete ns operads

$$H(\text{TwncBV}) \cong \mathcal{S}^{-1} \text{As}^{++}.$$


PROOF. As in the previous case, since the elements of TwncBV are series indexed by $k \geq 0$ of finite sums of the bamboos with tadpoles with k black vertices and since the differential $d^{\mu_1^\alpha}$ increases the number of black vertices by one, it is enough to consider the case of finite series, i.e. sums.

At fixed arity $n \geq 0$, we consider the increasing filtration $F_p \text{TwncBV}(n)$ spanned by bamboos containing at least $-p$ black vertices with tadpoles. The twisted differential $d^{\mu_1^\alpha}$ preserves this filtration, which is exhaustive and bounded below since a black vertex with a tadpole carries cohomological degree +1. So its associated spectral sequence converges to the cohomology of $\text{TwncBV}(n)$. The differential d^0 of the first page is made up of the components of $d^{\mu_1^\alpha}$ which do not increase the number of black vertices with tadpoles, that is the ones which increase only the number of black vertices without tadpoles. In order to compute its cohomology groups, we apply the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 1.1: here the black and white vertices with tadpoles play the same role as the white vertices without tadpoles. Therefore, the second page E^1 is spanned


by the cohomology class γ represented by $\square \square$ and by the connected bamboos made up of white vertices with or without tadpoles and black vertices with tadpoles. The differential d^1 creates a black vertex with tadpole and an edge on the left and on the right of any white vertex with tadpole, that is

$$d^1 \left(\text{white vertex with tadpole} \right) = (-1) \cdot \left(\text{black vertex with tadpole} - \text{white vertex} \right) + (-1) \cdot \left(\text{white vertex} - \text{black vertex with tadpole} \right), \quad d^1 \left(\text{black vertex with tadpole} \right) = d^1 \left(\text{white vertex} \right) = d^1 \left(\text{black vertex} \right) = 0.$$


We consider the filtration of this cochain complex (E^1, d^1) where $F_p E^1$ is spanned by the above mentioned bamboos with at least $-p$ sub-bamboos of the form



This filtration is again exhaustive and bounded below, so its associated spectral sequence converges to the cohomology $E^2 = H(E^1, d^1)$. The differential d^0 of the first page E^0 is made up of the second above component of d^1 , that is the one which produces a black vertex with a tadpole on the right-hand side of a white vertex with tadpole. The cochain subcomplexes of E^0 made up of bamboos containing k sub-bamboos of the form



are acyclic, for $k \geq 1$, since they are isomorphic to the tensor product of k acyclic cochain complexes. The cohomology groups E^1 is thus spanned by the following bamboos:



with $j \geq 0$ black vertices with tadpoles and $n \geq 0$ white vertices without tadpoles. On such bamboos, the differential d^1 vanishes, since it is given by the first above component of d^1 , the one which produces a black vertex with a tadpole on the left-hand side from a white vertex with tadpole. So the second spectral sequence collapses at E^1 and the first spectral sequence collapses at E^2 with basis given by these latter bamboos.

The three elements

$$b \longleftrightarrow \text{white vertex 1} - \text{white vertex 2}, \quad \gamma \longleftrightarrow \square \square, \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta \longleftrightarrow \text{black vertex with tadpole}$$

are clearly generators of the cohomology ns operad $H(\text{TwncBV})$. The computation performed at Equation (13) gives the relation between b and ζ introduced in the definition of $\mathcal{S}^{-1} \text{As}^{++}$. So the above assignment induces a morphism $\mathcal{S}^{-1} \text{As}^{++} \rightarrow H(\text{TwncBV})$ of complete ns operads, which turns out to be an isomorphism since the dimensions of the underlying graded \mathbb{N} -modules of $\mathcal{S}^{-1} \text{As}^{++}$ coincide with the number of bamboos spanning $H(\text{TwncBV})$. \square

REMARK 2.1. Note that in this case the cohomology of $\text{Def}(\mathcal{S}^{-1} \mathcal{A}_\infty \rightarrow \text{ncBV})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{k}\gamma \oplus \mathbb{k}[\hbar]\zeta$, where the degrees are given by $|\gamma| = 0$, $|\zeta| = 1$, $|\hbar| = 2$,

with the trivial Lie bracket. The previous computations show that its action on $H(\text{TwncBV})$ is trivial.

This result can be interpreted as a rigidity statement: since the dimension of $H^1(\text{Def}(\mathcal{S}^{-1}\mathcal{A}_\infty \rightarrow \text{ncBV}))$ is equal to 1, there exists just one class of non-trivial infinitesimal deformations of the morphism of dg ns operads $\mathcal{S}^{-1}\mathcal{A}_\infty \rightarrow \text{ncBV}$ given here.

3. Twisting the operad Gerst

In this section, we work over a field \mathbb{k} of characteristic 0. In this section, we work with the twisting procedure of complete dg (symmetric) operads explained at the beginning of Section 5 of Chapter 4.

A Gerstenhaber algebra is made up of a commutative product and a degree 1 Lie bracket satisfying together the Leibniz relation, see [35, Section 13.3.10] for more details. The associated operad Gerst is thus generated by an arity 2 degree 0 element μ with trivial \mathbb{S}_2 action and by an arity 2 degree 1 element λ also with trivial \mathbb{S}_2 action. This latter one induces a multiplicative structure $\mathcal{S}^{-1}\mathcal{L}_\infty \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{S}^{-1}\text{Lie} \rightarrow \text{Gerst}$. So, one can twist the operad Gerst using a cohomological version of the above-mentioned twisting procedure, that is working with the dg operad $\mathcal{S}^{-1}\mathcal{L}_\infty$ of cohomologically shifted \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras. This amounts simply to considering the same operads as above but with opposite degree convention. The various properties of the corresponding twisted operad $\text{TwGerst} := (\text{Gerst} \hat{\vee} \alpha, d^{\lambda_1^\alpha})$ follow from the general statements developed in [10, Section 5] for operad under distributive law. We give below others but ad hoc and thus shorter proofs for these properties.

PROPOSITION 3.1 ([10, Corollary 5.12]). *The canonical morphism of operads $\text{Gerst} \hookrightarrow \text{TwGerst}$ defines a Tw-coalgebra structure.*

PROOF. This is a direct corollary of the second statement of Proposition 3.4 *mutatis mutandis*. The Jacobi relation implies $d^{\lambda_1^\alpha}(\lambda) = \text{ad}_{\lambda(\alpha, -)}(\lambda) = 0$ and the Leibniz relation implies $d^{\lambda_1^\alpha}(\mu) = \text{ad}_{\lambda(\alpha, -)}(\mu) = 0$; we refer the reader to [35, Section 13.3.12] for these relations in this “degree 1” setting. This completes the proof. \square

REMARK 3.1. Such a result says, in an operadic way, that the commutative product and the degree 1 Lie bracket of any dg Gerstenhaber algebra form again a dg Gerstenhaber algebra structure with the twisted differential produced by any Maurer–Cartan element.

THEOREM 3.1 ([10, Corollary 5.12 and Corollary 5.13]). *The canonical morphisms of complete dg operads*

$$\text{TwGerst} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gerst} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{TwGerst}_\infty \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gerst}_\infty$$

are quasi-isomorphisms.

PROOF. Let us begin with the first statement about the operad Gerst. The arguments given in the above proof of Proposition 3.1 show that the only non-trivial part of the twisted differential $d^{\lambda_1^\alpha}$ is on α , where it is equal to $d^{\lambda_1^\alpha}(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2}\lambda(\alpha, \alpha)$.

First, we consider arity 0 part of TwGerst. We recall that the operad Gerst $\cong \text{Com} \circ s^{-1}\text{Lie}$ satisfies the distributive law method, see [35, Section 8.6] for instance. The Jacobi relation ensures that $\overline{s^{-1}\text{Lie}(\alpha)} \cong \mathbb{k}\alpha \oplus \mathbb{k}\lambda(\alpha, \alpha)$. Since this latter term has degree 1, it can only appear only once in $\overline{\text{Gerst}(\alpha)}$; therefore we get $\text{TwGerst}(0) \cong \overline{\text{Com}(\alpha)} \oplus \overline{\text{Com}(\alpha; \lambda(\alpha, \alpha))}$. We denote by μ^k any composite of k times μ in the operad Gerst. Since $d^{\lambda_1^\alpha}(\mu^{k-1}(\alpha^k)) = \frac{k}{2}\mu^{k-1}(\alpha^{k-1}, \lambda(\alpha, \alpha))$ and since $d^{\lambda_1^\alpha}(\lambda(\alpha, \alpha)) = 0$, this cochain complex is acyclic.

In higher arity, we use the notation $\lambda^k := (\cdots(\lambda \circ_1 \lambda) \cdots) \circ_1 \lambda$ for the composite of k operations λ at the first input. Recall that a basis of the operad $s^{-1}\text{Lie}$ is given by the elements $(\lambda^{n-1})^\sigma$, for $n \geq 1$, where σ runs over the permutations of S_n which fix 1. For any finite set J , we denote by

$$\lambda^{(\sigma, \bar{k})} := \lambda^{|\bar{k}|+|J|-1} \left(-, \alpha^{k^1}, -, \alpha^{k^2}, -, \dots, -, \alpha^{k^{|J|}} \right)^\sigma,$$

where σ is a permutation of J fixing its least element and where $\bar{k} = (k^1, \dots, k^{|J|})$ stands for a $|J|$ -tuple of non-negative integers; such elements form a \mathbb{k} -linear basis of the operad $s^{-1}\text{Lie} \vee \alpha$. As a consequence, the \mathbb{k} -module $\text{TwGerst}(n)_d$ is generated by the linearly independent elements of the form

$$\mu^{m+p+q-1} \left(\alpha^m, \lambda(\alpha, \alpha)^p, \lambda^{(\sigma_1, \bar{k}_1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(\sigma_q, \bar{k}_q)} \right),$$

where $m \geq 0$, $p = 0$ or $p = 1$, where the permutations $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_q$ are associated to a partition $\sqcup_{i=1}^q J_i = \{1, \dots, n\}$, and where the total number of λ 's is equal to d . (By degree reason, the only series that can appear are indexed by m ; the rest are finite terms). Since $\frac{1}{2}\lambda(-, \lambda(\alpha, \alpha)) = -\lambda(\lambda(-, \alpha), \alpha)$, the differential $d^{\mu_1^\alpha}$ preserves such basis elements.

So the cochain complex $\text{TwGerst}(n)$ splits into the direct sum of cochain complexes indexed by the decompositions $\sqcup_{i=1}^q J_i = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and the permutations $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_q$. The form of the differential $d^{\mu_1^\alpha}$ implies that each of these direct summand is isomorphic to the tensor product of $1+q$ cochain complexes, where the first one is isomorphic to $\mathbb{k} \oplus \text{TwGerst}(0)$ and where the q other ones are spanned by the elements $\lambda^{(\sigma_i, \bar{k}_i)}$, for any possible $|J_i|$ -tuples \bar{k}_i . The above result about the arity zero case implies that the cohomology of the first factor is one-dimensional. It is straightforward to see that $d^{\mu_1^\alpha}(\lambda^k(-, \alpha^k)) = -\lambda^{k+1}(-, \alpha^{k+1})$, for odd k , and $d^{\mu_1^\alpha}(\lambda^k(-, \alpha^k)) = 0$, for even k . Thus each of the other q tensor factors spanned by the elements $\lambda^{(\sigma_i, \bar{k}_i)}$ has one-dimensional cohomology represented by $\lambda^{(\sigma_i, \bar{0})}$. In the end, the only non-trivial class for each of these direct summand is represented by the basis element

$$\mu^q \left(\lambda^{(\sigma_1, \bar{0})}, \dots, \lambda^{(\sigma_q, \bar{0})} \right)$$

that has no α 's at all. These representatives form a natural basis for the operad Gerst , which concludes the proof.

The second statement about the operadic resolution $\text{Gerst}_\infty \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gerst}$ follows directly from the result about the operad Gerst and Proposition 3.5. \square

REMARK 3.2. This result shows that the cohomology of the deformation complex $\text{Def}(\mathcal{S}^{-1}\mathcal{L}_\infty \rightarrow \text{Gerst})$ is trivial in this case. So any of its actions on the operads MCGerst and TwGerst are cohomologically trivial. This result can be interpreted as a strong rigidity statement: there is no non-trivial infinitesimal or formal deformation of the morphism of dg operads $\mathcal{S}^{-1}\mathcal{L}_\infty \rightarrow \text{Gerst}$ given here.

4. Twisting the operad BV

In this section, we work again over a field \mathbb{k} of characteristic 0.

A Batalin–Vilkovisky (BV) algebra is a Gerstenhaber algebra endowed with a compatible degree 1 square-zero linear operator, see [35, Section 13.7] for more details. The associated operad, denoted BV , is thus generated by the same kind of elements μ and λ as above plus an arity 1 and degree 1 element Δ . By the same argument, it acquires a multiplicative structure $\mathcal{S}^{-1}\mathcal{L}_\infty \rightarrow s^{-1}\text{Lie} \rightarrow \text{BV}$. We consider the corresponding twisted operad TwBV .

PROPOSITION 4.1. *The operad BV is not twistable.*

PROOF. This is a direct corollary of the first statement of Proposition 3.4 *mutatis mutandis*. In the operad TwBV , the differential is given by

$$d^{\lambda_1^\alpha}(\Delta) = \text{ad}_{\lambda(\alpha, -)}(\Delta) = -\lambda(\Delta(\alpha), -) \neq 0,$$

which concludes the proof. \square

We consider the complete operad

$$\text{Gerst}^+ := \frac{\text{Gerst} \hat{\vee} \eta}{(\lambda(\eta, -))},$$

where η is an arity 0 degree +1 element placed in F_1 . For degree reasons $\mu(\eta, \eta) = \lambda(\eta, \eta) = 0$, so $\text{Gerst}^+(0) \cong \mathbb{k}\eta$ is one-dimensional and $\text{Gerst}^+(1) \cong \mathbb{k}\text{id} \oplus \mathbb{k}\mu(\eta, -)$ is two-dimensional.

THEOREM 4.1. *The map of complete dg operads*

$$\text{Gerst} \hat{\vee} \eta \rightarrow \text{TwBV} \quad \text{defined by} \quad \mu \mapsto \mu, \lambda \mapsto \lambda, \eta \mapsto \Delta(\alpha)$$

induces the isomorphism of complete operads

$$H(\text{TwBV}) \cong \text{Gerst}^+.$$

PROOF. We use the same notations and arguments as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. For instance, the distributive law method gives $\text{BV} \cong \text{Com} \circ s^{-1}\text{Lie} \circ \mathbb{k}[\Delta]$. The differential in the twisted operad TwBV is given by

$$d^{\lambda_1^\alpha}(\lambda) = d^{\lambda_1^\alpha}(\mu) = d^{\lambda_1^\alpha}(\Delta(\alpha)) = 0$$

and by $d^{\lambda_1^\alpha}(\Delta) = -\lambda(\Delta(\alpha), -)$.

We first consider the part of arity zero of the operad TwBV. Let us denote by ΔLie the sub-operad generated by Δ and λ in the operad BV; its underlying graded \mathbb{S} -module is isomorphic to $\Delta\text{Lie} \cong \mathcal{S}^{-1}\text{Lie} \circ_{\mathbb{k}}[\Delta]$. The complete sub-operad $\Delta\text{Lie} \hat{\vee} \alpha$ of TwBV is stable under the differential d^{λ^α} . We denote by $M := (\Delta\text{Lie} \hat{\vee} \alpha(0), 0, \dots)$ the dg \mathbb{S} -module concentrated in arity 0; it satisfies the following isomorphism of dg \mathbb{S} -modules

$$(\text{TwBV}(0), 0, \dots) \cong \text{Com} \circ M.$$

The operadic Künneth formula [35, Proposition 6.2.3] implies that the cohomology of TwBV(0) is isomorphic to $(\text{Com} \circ H(M))(0)$. Then, we consider the following isomorphism of dg modules $(\Delta\text{Lie} \hat{\vee} \alpha)(0) \cong (\mathcal{S}^{-1}\text{Lie} \hat{\vee} \alpha \circ_{\mathbb{k}}[\Delta(\alpha)])(0)$. The arguments and computations given in the proof of Theorem 3.1 show that $H(\mathcal{S}^{-1}\text{Lie} \hat{\vee} \alpha, d^{\lambda^\alpha}) \cong \mathcal{S}^{-1}\text{Lie}$. Applying again the operadic Künneth formula, we get $H((\mathcal{S}^{-1}\text{Lie} \hat{\vee} \alpha \circ_{\mathbb{k}}[\Delta(\alpha)])(0)) \cong (\mathcal{S}^{-1}\text{Lie} \circ_{\mathbb{k}}[\Delta(\alpha)])(0)$. So the cohomology of TwBV(0) is isomorphic to $(\text{Com} \circ (\mathcal{S}^{-1}\text{Lie} \circ_{\mathbb{k}}[\Delta(\alpha)]))(0)$. By degree reasons, since $|\Delta(\alpha)| = 1$, we get in the end $H(\text{TwBV}(0)) \cong \mathbb{k}\Delta(\alpha)$.

To treat the case of arity $n \geq 1$, we consider, for any finite set J , the elements of the form

$$\lambda^{(\sigma, \bar{k}, \bar{*})} := \lambda^{|\bar{k}|+|J|+d-1} \left(*^1, \alpha^{k^1}, *^2, \alpha^{k^2}, -, \dots, *^{|J|+d}, \alpha^{k^{|J|+d}} \right)^\sigma,$$

where σ and \bar{k} are as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 and where $\bar{*} = (*^1, \dots, *^{|J|+d})$ is a $|J|+d$ -tuple with $*^1$ equals to Δ or $-$ and with $*^i$ equals to Δ , $-$, or $\Delta(\alpha)$, such that the number of $\Delta(\alpha)$'s is equal to d . The \mathbb{k} -module TwBV(n) is generated as above by the elements of the form

$$\mu^{m+p+q+r-1} \left(\alpha^m, \lambda(\alpha, \alpha)^p, \lambda^{\bar{k}_1}, \dots, \lambda^{\bar{k}_q}, \lambda^{(\sigma_1, \bar{l}_1, \bar{*}_1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(\sigma_r, \bar{l}_r, \bar{*}_r)} \right),$$

where the permutations $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$ are associated to a partition $\sqcup_{i=1}^r J_i = \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Let us compute the cohomology of the cochain complex $\Lambda(J)$ generated by the elements of the form $\lambda^{(\sigma, \bar{k}, \bar{*})}$ for a fixed finite set J . We consider the increasing filtration $F_p \Lambda(J)$ made up of the elements $\lambda^{(\sigma, \bar{k}, \bar{*})}$ containing at least $-p$ elements $\Delta(\alpha)$. The differential d^{λ^α} preserves this filtration. It is exhaustive and bounded below, so its associated spectral sequence converges to the cohomology of $\Lambda(J)$. The differential of the first page of the associated spectral sequence is equal to the sole term $d^0(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2}\lambda(\alpha, \alpha)$.

The same argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 shows that the second page E^1 is generated by the elements of the form $\lambda^{(\sigma, \bar{0}, \bar{*})}$. The differential of this second page is given by the sole term $d^1(\Delta) = -\lambda(\Delta(\alpha), -)$, thus $d^1(\lambda(v, \Delta)) = -\lambda(\lambda(v, \Delta(\alpha)), -) - \lambda(\lambda(v, -), \Delta(\alpha)) - \lambda(d^1(v), \Delta)$, for v made up of at least one λ , and $d^1(\lambda(\Delta, *)) = \lambda(\lambda(-, \Delta(\alpha)), *) + \lambda(\Delta, d^1(*))$. We consider the filtration of E^1 defined by counting the numbers of Δ 's at the first input: for $p \leq 0$, $F_p E^1$ is generated by the elements of the form $\lambda^{(\sigma, \bar{0}, \bar{*})}$ with $*^1 = -$, and $F_p E^1 = E^1$, for $p \geq 1$. This filtration is exhaustive and bounded below, so it converges to the cohomology of E^1 . The differential d^0 of the first page E^0 of the associated

spectral sequence is given by d^1 , except when Δ labels the first input: in this case d^0 vanishes on it. Therefore, the cochain complex (E^0, d^0) is isomorphic to two copies, labeled respectively by the input Δ or $-$ of the first leaf, of the same cochain complex. We consider the coaugmented coalgebra $C := \mathbb{k}1 \oplus \mathbb{k}x \oplus \mathbb{k}y \oplus \mathbb{k}z$, with $|x| = 0$, $|y| = |z| = 1$, where x and z are primitive elements, and where the (reduced) coproduct of y is equal to $x \otimes z - z \otimes x$. Under the correspondance $x \leftrightarrow -, y \leftrightarrow \Delta$, and $z \leftrightarrow \Delta(\alpha)$, the cochain complex (E^0, d^0) is isomorphic to the cobar construction (with "unusual" cohomological degree convention, see [35, Section 2.2.2]) of C . Since the Koszul dual algebra of C is the Koszul algebra $C^i \cong T(X, Z)/(X \otimes Z - Z \otimes X)$, with $|X| = 1$ and $|Z| = 2$, the second page E^1 is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{k}x \oplus \mathbb{k}y) \otimes C^i$, with admits for basis $xZ^k X^l$ and $yZ^k X^l$, for $k, l \geq 0$. The differential d^1 is given by $d^1(xZ^k X^l) = 0$ and $d^1(yZ^k X^l) = (-1)^{l+1} xZ^{k+1} X^l$. So this spectral sequence collapses at E^2 , where is it spanned by the elements xX^l , for $l \geq 0$. In other words, the first spectral sequence collapses at $E^2 \cong H(\Lambda(J))$, which is spanned by the elements of the form $\lambda^{(\sigma, \bar{0}, \bar{*})}$ with $\bar{*} = (-, \dots, -)$.

In the end, the only non-trivial cohomology class of $(\text{TwBV}(n), d^{\lambda^\alpha})$ is represented by the basis element

$$\mu^{r+p-1} \left(\Delta(\alpha)^p, \lambda^{(\sigma_1, \bar{0})}, \dots, \lambda^{(\sigma_r, \bar{0})} \right),$$

where $p = 0, 1$ and where the permutations $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$ are associated to a partition $\sqcup_{i=1}^r J_i = \{1, \dots, n\}$. These elements corresponds to a basis of the operad Gerst^+ under the correspondence $\eta \leftrightarrow \Delta(\alpha)$, which concludes the proof. \square

REMARK 4.1. Note that the cohomology of $\text{Def}(\mathcal{S}^{-1} \text{Lie}_\infty \rightarrow \text{BV})$ is one-dimensional Lie algebra concentrated in degree 1 and with the abelian Lie bracket. The computations performed in the above proof show that its action on $H(\text{TwBV}) \cong \text{Gerst}^+$ is equal to the degree 1 differential of Gerst^+ which assigns $\mu \mapsto \lambda$.

This result provides us with the following rigidity statement: there exists just one class of non-trivial infinitesimal deformations of the morphism of dg operads $\mathcal{S}^{-1} \mathcal{A}_\infty \rightarrow \text{BV}$ given here.

Bibliography

1. A. A. Agračev and R. V. Gamkrelidze, *Chronological algebras and nonstationary vector fields*, Problems in geometry, Vol. 11 (Russian), Akad. Nauk SSSR, Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Informatsii, Moscow, 1980, pp. 135–176, 243.
2. J. Armstrong and P. Clarke, *Curved A -infinity-categories: adjunction and homotopy*, ArXiv e-prints (2015), arXiv:1506.03711.
3. Alexander Berglund, *Rational homotopy theory of mapping spaces via Lie theory for L_∞ -algebras*, Homology Homotopy Appl. **17** (2015), no. 2, 343–369.
4. N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique. Fascicule XXVIII. Algèbre commutative. Chapitre 3: Graduations, filtrations et topologies. Chapitre 4: Idéaux premiers associés et décomposition primaire*, Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles, No. 1293, Hermann, Paris, 1961.
5. Urtzi Buijs, Yves Félix, and Aniceto Murillo, *L_∞ models of based mapping spaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **63** (2011), no. 2, 503–524.
6. Élie Cartan, *Sur la structure des groupes infinis de transformation*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (3) **21** (1904), 153–206.
7. Frederic Chapoton, *Rooted trees and an exponential-like series*, arXiv Mathematics e-prints (2002), math/0209104.
8. Thomas Church, Jordan S. Ellenberg, and Benson Farb, *FI-modules and stability for representations of symmetric groups*, Duke Math. J. **164** (2015), no. 9, 1833–1910.
9. Pierre Deligne, *Théorie de Hodge. III*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1974), no. 44, 5–77.
10. Vasily Dolgushev and Thomas Willwacher, *Operadic twisting—with an application to Deligne’s conjecture*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **219** (2015), no. 5, 1349–1428.
11. Vasily A. Dolgushev, Alexander E. Hoffnung, and Christopher L. Rogers, *What do homotopy algebras form?*, Adv. Math. **274** (2015), 562–605.
12. Vasily A. Dolgushev and Christopher L. Rogers, *Notes on algebraic operads, graph complexes, and Willwacher’s construction*, Mathematical aspects of quantization, Contemp. Math., vol. 583, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2012, pp. 25–145.
13. ———, *A version of the Goldman-Millson theorem for filtered L_∞ -algebras*, J. Algebra **430** (2015), 260–302.
14. ———, *On an enhancement of the category of shifted L_∞ -algebras*, Appl. Categ. Structures **25** (2017), no. 4, 489–503.
15. V. Dotsenko, S. Shadrin, and B. Vallette, *Toric varieties of Loday’s associahedra and noncommutative cohomological field theories*, Journal of Topology **12** (2019), no. 2, 463–535.
16. Vladimir Dotsenko, Sergey Shadrin, and Bruno Vallette, *Pre-Lie deformation theory*, Mosc. Math. J. **16** (2016), no. 3, 505–543.
17. Benoit Fresse, *Homotopy of operads and Grothendieck-Teichmüller groups. Part 1*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 217, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2017, The algebraic theory and its topological background.
18. ———, *Homotopy of operads and Grothendieck-Teichmüller groups. Part 2*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 217, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2017, The applications of (rational) homotopy theory methods.
19. Kenji Fukaya, Yong-Geun Oh, Hiroshi Ohta, and Kaoru Ono, *Lagrangian intersection Floer theory: anomaly and obstruction. Part I*, AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 46, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2009.

20. Imma Galvez-Carrillo, Andy Tonks, and Bruno Vallette, *Homotopy Batalin–Vilkovisky algebras*, *J. Noncommut. Geom.* **6** (2012), no. 3, 539–602.
21. Ezra Getzler, *Lie theory for nilpotent L_∞ -algebras*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **170** (2009), no. 1, 271–301.
22. V. Ginzburg and M. Kapranov, *Koszul duality for operads*, *Duke Math. J.* **76** (1994), no. 1, 203–272.
23. ———, *Erratum to: “Koszul duality for operads”*, *Duke Math. J.* **80** (1995), no. 1, 293.
24. André Henriques, *Integrating L_∞ -algebras*, *Compos. Math.* **144** (2008), no. 4, 1017–1045.
25. Vladimir Hinich, *Descent of Deligne groupoids*, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* (1997), no. 5, 223–239.
26. Joseph Hirsh and Joan Millès, *Curved Koszul duality theory*, *Math. Ann.* **354** (2012), no. 4, 1465–1520.
27. T. V. Kadeišvili, *On the theory of homology of fiber spaces*, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **35** (1980), no. 3(213), 183–188, *International Topology Conference (Moscow State Univ., Moscow, 1979)*.
28. Maxim Kontsevich, *Formality conjecture*, *Deformation theory and symplectic geometry (Ascona, 1996)*, *Math. Phys. Stud.*, vol. 20, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1997, pp. 139–156.
29. ———, *Operads and motives in deformation quantization*, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **48** (1999), no. 1, 35–72, *Moshé Flato (1937–1998)*.
30. T. Lada and M. Markl, *Strongly homotopy Lie algebras*, *Comm. Algebra* **23** (1995), no. 6, 2147–2161.
31. Pascal Lambrechts and Ismar Volić, *Formality of the little N -disks operad*, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **230** (2014), no. 1079, viii+116.
32. Ruth Lawrence and Dennis Sullivan, *A formula for topology/deformations and its significance*, *Fund. Math.* **225** (2014), 229–242.
33. Michel Lazard, *Sur les groupes nilpotents et les anneaux de Lie*, *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (3)* **71** (1954), 101–190.
34. A. Lazarev, *Maurer–Cartan moduli and models for function spaces*, *Adv. Math.* **235** (2013), 296–320.
35. Jean-Louis Loday and Bruno Vallette, *Algebraic operads*, *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*, vol. 346, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2012.
36. Jacob Lurie, *Moduli problems for ring spectra*, *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians. Volume II (New Delhi)*, Hindustan Book Agency, 2010, pp. 1099–1125.
37. Dominique Manchon, *A short survey on pre-Lie algebras*, *Noncommutative geometry and physics: renormalisation, motives, index theory*, *ESI Lect. Math. Phys.*, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2011, pp. 89–102.
38. Dominique Manchon and Abdellatif Saidi, *Lois pré-Lie en interaction*, *ArXiv e-prints* (2008), arXiv:0811.2153.
39. Martin Markl, *Deformation theory of algebras and their diagrams*, *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*, vol. 116, Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012.
40. ———, *On the origin of higher braces and higher-order derivations*, *J. Homotopy Relat. Struct.* **10** (2015), no. 3, 637–667.
41. L. Maurer, *Über allgemeinere Invarianten-Systeme.*, *Münch. Ber.* 103-150, 1888.
42. J.P. May, *The geometry of iterated loop spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972, *Lectures Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 271.
43. James E. McClure and Jeffrey H. Smith, *A solution of Deligne’s Hochschild cohomology conjecture*, *Recent progress in homotopy theory (Baltimore, MD, 2000)*, *Contemp. Math.*, vol. 293, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002, pp. 153–193.
44. Sergei Merkulov and Bruno Vallette, *Deformation theory of representations of prop(erad)s. I*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **634** (2009), 51–106.
45. ———, *Deformation theory of representations of prop(erad)s. II*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **636** (2009), 123–174.

46. J.-M. Oudom and D. Guin, *On the Lie enveloping algebra of a pre-Lie algebra*, J. K-Theory **2** (2008), no. 1, 147–167.
47. L. Positselski, *Weakly curved A-infinity algebras over a topological local ring*, ArXiv e-prints (2012), arXiv:1202.2697.
48. Leonid Positselski, *Two kinds of derived categories, Koszul duality, and comodule-contramodule correspondence*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **212** (2011), no. 996, vi+133.
49. J. P. Pridham, *Unifying derived deformation theories*, Adv. Math. **224** (2010), no. 3, 772–826.
50. D. Quillen, *Rational homotopy theory*, Ann. of Math. (2) **90** (1969), 205–295.
51. D. Robert-Nicoud, *Deformation theory with homotopy algebra structures on tensor products*, Doc. Math. (2018), no. 23, 189–240.
52. Daniel Robert-Nicoud and Bruno Vallette, *Higher Lie Theory*, in preparation.
53. Bertrand Toën, *Problèmes de modules formels*, Astérisque (2017), no. 390, Exp. No. 1111, 199–244, Séminaire Bourbaki. Vol. 2015/2016. Exposés 1104–1119.
54. Bruno Vallette, *Manin products, Koszul duality, Loday algebras and Deligne conjecture*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **620** (2008), 105–164.
55. F. Wierstra, *Algebraic Hopf invariants and rational models for mapping spaces*, ArXiv e-prints (2016), arXiv:1612.07762.
56. Thomas Willwacher, *M. Kontsevich’s graph complex and the Grothendieck-Teichmüller Lie algebra*, Invent. Math. **200** (2015), no. 3, 671–760.