

# Accelerated quantum walk, two-particle entanglement generation and localization

Shivani Singh,<sup>1,2</sup> Radhakrishnan Balu,<sup>3,4</sup> Raymond Laflamme,<sup>5,6</sup> and C. M. Chandrashekar<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, C. I. T, Campus, Taramani, Chennai, 600113, India*

<sup>2</sup>*Homi Bhabha National Institute, Training School Complex, Anushakti Nagar, Mumbai 400094, India<sup>†</sup>*

<sup>3</sup>*U.S. Army Research Laboratory, Computational and Information Sciences Directorate, Adelphi, Maryland 20783, USA*

<sup>4</sup>*Computer Science and Electrical Engineering, University of Maryland Baltimore County,  
1000 Hilltop Circle, Baltimore, Maryland 21250, USA*

<sup>5</sup>*Institute for Quantum Computing and Department of Physics and Astronomy,  
University of Waterloo, Waterloo N2L 3G1, Ontario, Canada*

<sup>6</sup>*Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, Waterloo, N2L 2Y5, Ontario, Canada*

We present a scheme to describe accelerating discrete-time quantum walk dynamics for single- and two-particle in a one-dimensional position space. We show the effect of acceleration in enhancing the entanglement between the particle and position space and in generation of entanglement between the two unentangled particle. By introducing the disorder in the form of phase operator we study the transition from localization to delocalization as a function of acceleration. These intertwining connection between acceleration, entanglement generation and localization along with well established connection of quantum walks with Dirac equation can be used to probe further in the direction of understanding the role of acceleration, mass and entanglement in relativistic quantum mechanics and quantum field theory. Expansion of operational tools for quantum simulations using quantum walks is another direction where these results can play an important role.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum walks [1–4] have played an important role in development of various quantum information processing and computation protocols [5–8]. It is also among the most promising candidate to model controlled quantum dynamics and understand them from quantum information perspective. One can see quantum walks as a most promising quantum simulators in parallel to that of the classical random walk which has played an important role in classical simulations over decades now. Demonstration of realization of quantum walks in a variety of physical systems, such as NMR [9], trapped ions [10, 11], integrated photonics [12–15], and bulk optics [16] in recent years guarantee a promising role it can play in broad field of future quantum technologies.

Quantum walks in real space evolves in position space making effective use of quantum phenomena like superposition and interference and spread the wavepacket quadratically faster in position when compared to classical random walk [5, 6, 17]. Analogues to discrete version of classical random walk, discrete-time quantum walk spreads in position Hilbert space with probability amplitude assigned using a quantum coin operation on the particle (walker) Hilbert space. The general unitary coin operation can have a four independent parameters [26] which can help in providing more control over the dynamics. These simple dynamics has proved to be a strong algorithmic techniques in modelling the dynamics of for example, photosynthesis [20], diffusion in quantum system [21], localization (Anderson localization and Weak localization) [22–31], topological phases [32–35], Dirac equations and associated dynamics [36–43].

In this work we will introduce acceleration in the discrete-time quantum walk dynamics by introducing a

time-dependent parameter into the quantum coin operations. Changing the acceleration parameter changes the way probability amplitude spreads in the position space and we observe some interesting features like enhancement in the quantity of entanglement between the particle and position space as a function of acceleration in one-dimensional single-particle quantum walk. We also study the two interacting particle discrete-time quantum walk in one dimension and present the situations where acceleration plays a role in entangling the two initially unentangled particles. The interacting coin operator in its Hamiltonian form is equivalent to the Hamiltonian of Ising model which is a special case of generalised Heisenberg model [44, 45].


Disorder in any of the parameter describing the single-particle discrete-time quantum walk dynamics has been studied in past [46, 47]. The disordered discrete-time quantum walk leads to localization of the particle in position space resulting in Anderson localization (strong localization) and weak localization [17, 27–29, 48–50] has also been extensively reported. Here using the quantum coin operator followed by a phase operator we will introduce both, acceleration and disorder, respectively into the quantum walk dynamics. This enabled us to study the interplay between the acceleration and localization of the single-particle quantum walker. We further extend our study to two-particle walker and show the role of localization in enhancing and preserving the entanglement between the two initially unentangled particle for longer number of steps of quantum walk. These intertwining connection between acceleration, entanglement generation and localization along with well established connection of quantum walks with Dirac equation can be used to probe further in the direction of understanding the role of acceleration, mass and entanglement in relativistic quantum mechanics and quantum field theory. The interplay between acceleration and localization will further guide in developing and of operational tools for quantum simulations using quantum walks. Our work presents both, analytical study followed

\* chandru@imsc.res.in


† shivanis@imsc.res.in

by analysis of the dynamics from the dispersion relations and numerical results complements where analytical results could not be obtained.

In section II we introduce accelerated discrete-time quantum walk in one dimension. We have described the single-particle accelerated quantum walk and have shown how acceleration changes the probability distribution and entanglement in the system. Dynamics of the two-particle accelerated walk and the interaction between the particles in one dimension is also introduced in the same section. In section III, we have calculated the dispersion relation and the transfer matrix for accelerated discrete-time quantum walks for single- and two-particles which shows how probability amplitude changes from one position to another for a given time step and also the dependence of probability amplitude on the parameter of the evolution operator. Then we have introduced temporal and spatial disorder in the one of the parameter of evolution operator (coin operator) and acceleration in the other coin parameter to study weak and strong (Anderson) localization in the accelerated discrete-time quantum walk. For accelerated walk, probability amplitude spreads faster and over larger space for as we increase acceleration. In section IV we have studied the entanglement between the two-particles and also between the particle and position space in two-particle accelerated discrete-time quantum walk. This shows that although we start with an unentangled initial state but after few steps of quantum walk we find the particles to be entangled but as the time increases the entanglement between the particles decays for accelerated walk. The decay time is lower as we increase the acceleration. Similarly, for disordered two-particle accelerated walk the decay time is lower as we decrease the acceleration. Entanglement between the particle and position space shows similar characteristic as single-particle accelerated walk in one dimension. In section V we amalgamate our observations and conclude.



**FIG. 1.** Effect of parameter  $a$  which induces acceleration on the quantum walker as function of  $t$  is shown by plotting  $\cos(\theta_0 e^{-at})$ . For higher value of  $a$  we can see a value reaching unity at earlier time. This gives us a range of values  $a$  to look into to understand the effect of acceleration on the quantum walk dynamics.



**FIG. 2.** Probability distribution of a one-dimensional accelerated quantum walk with  $\theta(a, t) = \theta_0 e^{-at}$  for different value of  $a$  after  $t = 200$  steps of walk. Parameter  $a = 0$  corresponds to evolution with constant velocity, an homogeneous evolution and the minimum spread is set by the value of  $\theta_0$ . With increase in  $a$ , spread in position space increases. The initial state is  $|\Psi_{in}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle) \otimes |x = 0\rangle$ . Probability distribution is shown for  $\theta_0 = \pi/4$  and  $\theta_0 = \pi/2$  (inset). The spread is minimum for  $a = 0$  and when  $\theta_0 = \pi/2$ , it is localized at the origin  $x = 0$ .

## II. ACCELERATED DISCRETE-TIME QUANTUM WALK

### A. Single-particle

Evolution of a single-particle discrete-time quantum walk is defined on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  which is a composition of a particle (coin) Hilbert space and the position Hilbert space,  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_c \otimes \mathcal{H}_p$ . The coin Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_c$  represents the addressable basis state of the particle which is subjected to the quantum walk and the position Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_p$  represents the position basis states on which the particle can move in superposition of position space. For a two level particle as quantum walker in one-dimensional position space, the  $\mathcal{H}_c$  is spanned by the basis states  $\{|\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle\}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_p$  is spanned by the basis state  $\{|x\rangle\}$  where  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The initial state of particle on which we define the quantum walk can be written in the form,

$$|\Psi_{in}\rangle = (\alpha|\uparrow\rangle + \beta|\downarrow\rangle) \otimes |x = 0\rangle. \quad (1)$$

The evolution operator which defines each step of the standard or homogeneous discrete-time quantum walk is composed of a quantum coin operator,

$$C(\theta_0) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta_0) & -i \sin(\theta_0) \\ -i \sin(\theta_0) & \cos(\theta_0) \end{pmatrix} \otimes \sum_x |x\rangle \langle x| \quad (2)$$

followed by a position shift operator

$$S_x = \sum_x \left[ |\uparrow\rangle \langle \uparrow| \otimes |x-1\rangle \langle x| + |\downarrow\rangle \langle \downarrow| \otimes |x+1\rangle \langle x| \right]. \quad (3)$$

After  $t$  steps of quantum walk, the state will be in the form,

$$|\Psi_t\rangle = \left( S_x C(\theta_0) \right)^t |\Psi_{in}\rangle = \sum_x \left( \mathcal{A}_{x,t} |\uparrow\rangle + \mathcal{B}_{x,t} |\downarrow\rangle \right) \quad (4)$$

where  $\mathcal{A}_{x,t}$  and  $\mathcal{B}_{x,t}$  are the probability amplitudes of the states  $|\uparrow\rangle$  and  $|\downarrow\rangle$  at position  $x$  and time  $t$ . Amplitudes  $\mathcal{A}_{x,t}$  and  $\mathcal{B}_{x,t}$  as a function of amplitude at its neighbouring position at previous time ( $t-1$ ) can be written as,

$$\mathcal{A}_{x,t} = \cos(\theta_0) \mathcal{A}_{x+1,t-1} - i \sin(\theta_0) \mathcal{B}_{x+1,t-1} \quad (5)$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{x,t} = -i \sin(\theta_0) \mathcal{A}_{x-1,t-1} + \cos(\theta_0) \mathcal{B}_{x-1,t-1}. \quad (6)$$

The probability distribution in position space at time  $t$ ,  $P_{x,t} = |\mathcal{A}_{x,t}|^2 + |\mathcal{B}_{x,t}|^2$  will spread quadratically faster in bimodal form when compared to Gaussian spread of the classical random walk [7]. However, deviation from the bimodal distribution can be obtained in multiple ways. For example, by increasing the dimension of  $\mathcal{H}_c$  to three and defining the corresponding evolution operator, an additional localized mode can be obtained [8]. By introducing coin operation with randomly picked coin parameter for different position (spatial disorder) or for different time (temporal disorder), a strongly localized or a weakly localized probability distribution can be seen [17, 26–30, 50]. Thus, with the controllable quantum walk evolution parameter one can demonstrate a good control over the dynamics and probability distribution of the quantum walker.

In Fourier mode wave like solution form the probability amplitude  $\mathcal{A}_{x,t}$  and  $\mathcal{B}_{x,t}$  can in general be written as  $\psi_{x,t} = e^{i(-\omega_1 t + \kappa_1 x)}$  where  $\omega_1$  is the wave frequency and  $\kappa_1$  is the wave number and  $(\psi_{x,t}^\uparrow; \psi_{x,t}^\downarrow) \equiv (\mathcal{A}_{x,t}; \mathcal{B}_{x,t})$ . Substituting the Fourier form of the probability amplitude in Eq. (5) and (6) and solving for a relation between  $\kappa_1$  and  $\omega_1$  we get the dispersion relation of the form,

$$\cos(\omega_1) = \cos(\theta_0) \cos(\kappa_1) \quad (7)$$


which implies that the group velocity for the probability amplitude is given by,

$$v_g = \frac{\cos(\theta_0) \sin(\kappa_1)}{\sqrt{1 - (\cos(\theta_0) \cos(\kappa_1))^2}}. \quad (8)$$


Maximizing the group velocity with respect to  $\kappa_1$ , we find that  $v_g$  is maximum for  $\kappa_1 = \pi/2$ ,

$$v_g = \cos(\theta_0). \quad (9)$$

When  $\theta_0 = \pi/2$  in the coin operation of the form given by Eq. (2), the amplitude of quantum walker will remain localized between position  $x = 0$  and  $x = \pm 1$  for all time  $t$ . When  $\theta_0 = 0$ , the two states of the walker will move away from each other and particle will only be seen at the positions  $x = \pm t$  with non-zero probability. For both these values of



**FIG. 3.** Standard deviation of an one-dimensional accelerated quantum walk with  $\theta(a,t) = \theta_0 e^{-at}$  for different value of  $a$  as function of  $t$  (steps).  $a = 0$  corresponds to evolution with constant velocity, an homogeneous evolution and the minimum spread is set by the value of  $\theta_0$ . The initial state is  $|\Psi_{in}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle) \otimes |x = 0\rangle$ . Standard deviation is shown for two values of  $\theta_0 = \pi/4$  and  $\pi/2$  (inset). With increase in  $a$  and  $t$  increase in standard deviation is seen and the increase is more pronounced then  $\theta_0 = \pi/2$ .



**FIG. 4.** Standard deviation of an one-dimensional accelerated quantum walk with  $\theta(a,t) = \theta_0 e^{-at}$  for different value of  $\theta_0$  as function of  $a$  when  $t = 200$ .  $a = 0$  corresponds to evolution with constant velocity, an homogeneous evolution and the spread is minimum when  $a = 0$ . The initial state is  $|\Psi_{in}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle) \otimes |x = 0\rangle$ . With increase in  $a$  an increase in  $\sigma$  is seen until it reaches a maximum value ( $\sigma = t$ ).

$\theta$  we will not see any interference effect in the dynamics and they define the bounds on the spreading of the walk. For any value of  $\theta$  between these values, interference effects plays an important role and the spread of the probability distribution

of the walker after  $t$  steps of walk will be bounded between  $\pm t \cos(\theta_0)$  [7, 18] and it can be seen in Eq. (9) for given value of  $\theta_0$  the maximum value of the group velocity is  $\cos(\theta_0)$ . Since  $\theta$  in the coin operation plays an important role in defining the dynamics of the walker, by making  $\theta$  a time dependent parameter we can introduce acceleration to the quantum walk dynamics. Here, to introduce acceleration we will choose a time dependent coin parameter of the form

$$\theta(a, t) = \theta_0 e^{-at} \quad (10)$$


in place of  $\theta_0$  in the quantum coin evolution operator, Eq. (2). The parameter  $a$  in the coin operation induces acceleration to the dynamics where the minimum spread will be bounded by the value of  $\theta_0$  and maximum spread will be achieved with time for any small value of  $a > 0$  ( $\theta \rightarrow 0$ ). In Fig. 1,  $\cos(\theta_0 e^{-at})$  has been plotted as function of  $t$  for different values of  $a$ . For higher value of  $a$  we can see  $\cos(\theta_0 e^{-at})$  reaching unity very early in time. After reaching unit value, the walker will continue to spread with maximum velocity. This also gives us a range of values  $a$  to look into to understand the effect of acceleration on the quantum walk dynamics.

Fig. 2 represents the probability distribution for single-particle accelerated quantum walk in one dimension for different values of  $a$  and  $\theta_0$ . As the value of  $a$  increases, the spread in the position space increases. This can be understood by noting a faster decrease in value of  $\theta$  with increase in the value of  $a$  and  $t$ , that is,  $\theta(a, t) \rightarrow 0$  spreads probability distribution over larger space. The value  $\theta_0$  sets the minimum spread (non-accelerated) a walker can achieve. In Figs. 3 and 4 standard deviation ( $\sigma$ ) as function of number of steps ( $t$ ) and the acceleration ( $a$ ) for different values of  $\theta_0$  is shown. A significant increase in  $\sigma$  with increase in  $a$  is seen until it attains a maximum spread bounded by  $\sigma = t$  and  $\theta_0$  sets the minimum  $\sigma$ .

*Entanglement* – In quantum walk dynamics the superposition and quantum interference results in quantum correlation between the particle and the position space [48, 51–53]. Quantum correlations in quantum walks using different forms of measure has been investigated [54–56] and some interesting results like enhancement of entanglement with temporal disordered coin operations have been reported [26, 49]. Here we will look into the effect of acceleration on entanglement generated in the system, between particle and position space. This will help us in understanding the entanglement generation between two-particles and its survival during quantum walk of two accelerated particles in the following sections. We will use negativity as a quantifying measure of entanglement which is given by,

$$E(\rho_{c,p}) \equiv \mathcal{N}_{c,p} = \sum_i \frac{(|\lambda_i| - \lambda_i)}{2} \quad (11)$$

where,  $\lambda_i$  is the eigenvalue of the partial transpose of the density matrix  $\rho$ . Negativity is chosen as a measure to quantify entanglement because of its validity even when we have a mixed state in the following section. That is, when we trace




**FIG. 5.** Negativity of an one-dimensional accelerated quantum walk with  $\theta(a, t) = \theta_0 e^{-at}$  for different value of  $a$  and  $\theta_0$  as function of steps ( $t$ ).  $a = 0$  corresponds to evolution with constant velocity, an homogeneous evolution and the negativity is minimum for this case and reaches a study value below the maximum. The initial state is  $|\Psi_{in}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle) \otimes |x = 0\rangle$ . Negativity is shown for two values of  $\theta_0 = \pi/4$  and  $\pi/2$  (inset). With increase in  $a$  an increase in steps and  $a$  an increase in negativity is seen. In the inset entanglement is plotted only for  $a \neq 0$  values. For  $a = 0$  we will only see the oscillation between the maximum and minimum values.

out position space and look at entanglement between the two-particle in two-particle quantum walk. Fig. 5 shows the negativity between the particle and position space for different value of acceleration parameter  $a$  in the coin operation parameter  $\theta$ . It is compared with the negativity generated in standard discrete-time quantum walk (homogeneous) which can be recovered by substituting  $a = 0$  and  $\theta_0 = \pi/4$ . The maximum value of the negativity for the formula we have used in Eq. (11) will be 0.5. For an accelerated quantum walk we can see a study increase in negativity with increase in number of steps. For higher value of  $a$ , negativity increases with number of steps and quickly reaches a maximum value and remains maximum with further increase in steps. For smaller value of  $a$ , a very slow increase in negativity as function of number of steps is seen. In general, one can see a significant increase in negativity with increase in acceleration and with increase in number of steps (time) until it reaches a maximum value.

Both, increase in standard deviation and negativity with acceleration happens due to the transition of quantum coin operation to identity operator with time and for higher value  $a$  coin evolution operator reaches identity faster. This implies that with  $a > 0$  a particle which spreads in position space localizes the two states  $|\uparrow\rangle$  and  $|\downarrow\rangle$  as bimodal which move away from each other.

## B. Two-particle

Understanding and modelling the dynamics of interacting quantum particles has been one of the prime interest of study for over decades now [44, 57]. In particular, with advances in quantum information theory, entanglement between the interacting particles in many body physics has received special interest [45, 58–60]. Here we present a simple model to study the entanglement between the two interacting particle and the effect of acceleration using accelerated two-particle discrete-time quantum walk.



**FIG. 6.** Probability distribution of two-particle one-dimensional accelerated quantum walk with  $\theta(a, t) = \theta_0 e^{-at}$  for different value of  $a$  after  $t$  steps of walk. For  $\theta_0 = \pi/2, t = 500$  and for  $a = 0$  which corresponds to an homogeneous evolution, does not give any spread in the position space therefore is not shown here but with increase in  $a$ , spread in position space increases symmetrically for unentangled initial state. In the inset we show probability distribution for  $\theta_0 = \pi/4$  for  $t = 400$  steps for different values of  $a$  and here we see asymmetric probability distribution for unentangled initial state. The initial state is  $|\Psi_{in}\rangle = |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle \otimes |x = 0\rangle$ .

The two-particle discrete-time quantum walk is defined on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{c_1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{c_2} \otimes \mathcal{H}_p$ , where  $\mathcal{H}_{c_1}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{c_2}$  are the Hilbert space composed of the two internal degrees of freedom of the two-particles, respectively. The particle Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_{c_j} = \text{span}\{|\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle\}$  where  $j = 1, 2$  and position Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_p = \text{span}\{|x\rangle\}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  represents the position basis states. The evolution operator for a two-particle quantum walk in one-dimensional space is given by an unitary interacting operator ( $C_\theta$ ) given as,

$$C_\theta = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta_0)(\mathbb{I}_2 \otimes \mathbb{I}_2) - i \sin(\theta_0)(\sigma_x \otimes \sigma_x) \\ \\ \\ \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta_0) & 0 & 0 & -i \sin(\theta_0) \\ 0 & \cos(\theta_0) & -i \sin(\theta_0) & 0 \\ 0 & -i \sin(\theta_0) & \cos(\theta_0) & 0 \\ -i \sin(\theta_0) & 0 & 0 & \cos(\theta_0) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (12)$$

Here  $\sigma_x$  is the  $x$ - Pauli matrix. The  $C_{\theta_0}$ , two-particle quantum coin operation invokes Ising interaction with Hamiltonian  $H = \sigma_x \otimes \sigma_x$  between the two particles. Interaction operator is followed by a position shift operator  $S_x$  given by,

$$S_x \equiv \sum_x [|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle \langle \uparrow\uparrow| \otimes |x-1\rangle \langle x| + |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle \langle \uparrow\downarrow| \otimes |x+1\rangle \langle x| + |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle \langle \downarrow\uparrow| \otimes |x-1\rangle \langle x| + |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle \langle \downarrow\downarrow| \otimes |x+1\rangle \langle x|] \quad (13)$$

which evolves the particles into superposition of position space. The unitary evolution operation for each step of two-particle walk is given by,

$$W(\theta_0) \equiv S_x (C_{\theta_0} \otimes \mathbb{I}_N) \quad (14)$$

where  $\mathbb{I}_N$  is the identity operator on the position space of length  $N$ . After  $t$ -time steps, state of the system

$$|\Psi_t^{2p}\rangle = W(\theta_0)^t |\Psi_{in}^{2p}\rangle = \sum_x (\mathcal{A}_{x,t} |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle + \mathcal{B}_{x,t} |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle + \mathcal{C}_{x,t} |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle + \mathcal{D}_{x,t} |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle). \quad (15)$$

For the initial state of the two particle in one of the basis states  $|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle \otimes |x = 0\rangle$  or  $|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle \otimes |x = 0\rangle$  the state after  $t$  step will evolve only in configuration of two of the basis states,


$$|\Psi_t^{2p}\rangle = W(\theta_0)^t |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle \otimes |x = 0\rangle = \sum_x (\mathcal{A}_{x,t} |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle + \mathcal{B}_{x,t} |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle), \quad (16)$$

$$|\Psi_t^{2p}\rangle = W(\theta_0)^t |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle \otimes |x = 0\rangle = \sum_x (\mathcal{C}_{x,t} |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle + \mathcal{D}_{x,t} |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle). \quad (17)$$


Our interest here is to begin with an unentangled two-particle state and explore the dynamics that can entangle and further investigate its survival. Therefore, for the two-particle we will hereafter set the initial state to be,  $|\Psi_{in}^{2p}\rangle = |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle \otimes |x = 0\rangle$  for all our two-particle descriptions. For this configuration we can note that the probability amplitude spreading of two-particles will evolve together just like a single-particle quantum walk. However, this simple evolution will still allow us to explore the interesting dynamics resulting in entanglement generation between the two particles.

Acceleration in the two-particle quantum walk is introduced by replacing  $\theta_0$  in the interaction operator, Eq. (12) with  $\theta(a, t) = \theta_0 e^{-at}$  where  $a$  is the parameters which set the acceleration rate. Fig. 6 shows the two-particle accelerated quantum walk with different values of acceleration  $a$  and as the acceleration in the system increases the spread of the probability amplitude in position space also increases.

In Fig. 7, negativity between the Hilbert space of the two quantum walker and the position Hilbert space as function of time ( $t$ ) for different value of  $a$  when  $\theta_0 = \pi/2$  and  $\pi/4$  is shown. For an accelerated walker for any value  $a$  when  $\cos(\theta(a, t))$  goes from 0 to 1 with time  $t$  ( $\theta_0 = \pi/2$ ) we



**FIG. 7.** Negativity of two-particle one-dimensional accelerated quantum walk between position and particle space with  $\theta(a, t) = \theta_0 e^{-at}$  for different value of  $a$  and  $\theta_0$  as function of steps ( $t$ ).  $a = 0$  corresponds to evolution with constant velocity, an homogeneous evolution. The initial state is  $|\Psi_{in}\rangle = |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle \otimes |x = 0\rangle$ . Negativity is shown for two values of  $\theta_0 = \pi/2$  and  $\pi/4$  (inset). With increase in  $a$  an increase in negativity is seen with time for  $\theta_0 = \pi/2$  but a decrease in negativity is seen with time for  $\theta_0 = \pi/4$ .




**FIG. 8.** Negativity of two-particle one-dimensional accelerated quantum walk between particle space with  $\theta(a, t) = \theta_0 e^{-at}$  for different value of  $a$  and  $\theta_0$  as function of steps ( $t$ ).  $a = 0$  corresponds to evolution with constant velocity, an homogeneous evolution. The initial state is  $|\Psi_{in}\rangle = |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle \otimes |x = 0\rangle$ . Negativity is shown for two values of  $\theta_0 = \pi/2$  and  $\pi/4$  (inset). With increase in  $a$  a decrease in negativity is seen with time.

see an increase in negativity with time and maximum value of negativity is reached by a walker with higher value of  $a$ . However, for an accelerated walker with an offset  $\theta_0 = \pi/4$  ( $\theta_0 < \pi/2$ ) the value of  $\cos(\theta(a, t))$  increase with a small gradient and the effect of acceleration does not last long in the dynamics. Therefore, we don't see any noticeable increase in entanglement when compared to quantum walk without acceleration. Heuristically we can say that a gradient in acceleration before it is saturated to maximum value plays an impor-

tant role in generation of maximum entanglement between the two-particle and the position space.

In Fig. 8, negativity between the two particles after tracing out the position space as function of time ( $t$ ) for different value of  $a$  when  $\theta_0 = \pi/2$  and  $\pi/4$  is shown. For non-accelerated quantum walk ( $a = 0$ ) when  $\theta_0 = \pi/2$  we do not see any entanglement being generated between the two particles. However, for small value of  $a$  we can notice generation of entanglement between the two particles and after a long time evolution it fades off. With increase in  $a$ , entanglement generated decreases and fades off faster with time. When the offset of  $\theta(a, t)$  is set with  $\theta_0 = \pi/4$  and  $a = 0$  the coin operator Eq. 12 itself will acts as an entangling operator and it entangles the two particles instantaneously and remains entangled. However, with increase in  $a$  the instantaneous entanglement generated fades off faster dis-entangling the two particles.

To have a further clarity on the generation of entanglement between two particles when accelerated, in Fig. 9 we have plotted negativity as function of both,  $a$  and  $t$  when  $\theta_0 = \pi/2$ . We can arrive at the following conclusion : for extremely small value of  $a$  the entanglement between the two particles picks up slowly and will remain entangled with time. With increase in  $a$  and  $t$  entanglement fades off faster with time.



**FIG. 9.** Negativity between the two particles after tracing out the position space using  $\theta(a, t) = \theta_0 e^{-at}$  as a function of both  $a$  and  $t$  when  $\theta_0 = \pi/2$ .  $a = 0$  corresponds to evolution with constant velocity, an homogeneous evolution. The initial state is  $|\Psi_{in}\rangle = |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle \otimes |x = 0\rangle$ . For small value of  $a$  one can see the entanglement generated with accelerated quantum walk does not fade off with time (steps).

Our choice of initial state and interacting coin operation in this subsection on two-particle quantum walks reproduces the dynamics identical to the single-particle quantum walk. Therefore, it is appropriate to draw connection of two-particle quantum walk described in this section with the established connection of single-particle quantum walk with the Dirac equation [4, 36–42]. Parameter  $\theta$  in the quantum walk coin operation or in the operations that describe Dirac cellular automata has been effectively mapped to the mass and velocity of Dirac particle, that is,  $m \propto \sin(\theta)$  and  $c \propto \cos(\theta)$ . This allows us to connect the role of mass in generation of entanglement between the two particles of same mass under quantum walk evolution. When  $\theta$  is a function of  $a$  and  $t$  we see

that small value of  $a$  corresponds to larger mass of the particle. Thus, higher the mass, slower is the spread and higher is the entanglement generation.

### III. DISORDER AND LOCALIZATION

#### A. Single-particle

Disorder in discrete-time quantum walk has been studied by replacing  $\theta_0$  in the quantum coin operation with a random value  $0 \leq \theta_j \leq \pi$  in position ( $j = x$ ) or time ( $j = t$ ) [26, 48, 49]. In an alternative approach, disorder has been introduced in the form of random phase operation along with the coin operation with fixed  $\theta_0$  [46, 47]. In order to introduce disorder in discrete-time quantum walk by retaining the parameter that will accelerate the walker, we will use the later approach and introduce disorder in phase operator  $\Phi(\phi)$  given by,

$$\Phi_\phi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\phi} \end{pmatrix} \otimes \sum_x |x\rangle \langle x| \quad (18)$$

The effective coin operator without disorder and acceleration along with the phase operator will be  $B(\theta_0, \phi) = \Phi(\phi)C(\theta)$  which in matrix form will be given by,

$$B(\theta_0, \phi) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta_0) & -i \sin(\theta_0) \\ -ie^{i\phi} \sin(\theta_0) & e^{i\phi} \cos(\theta_0) \end{pmatrix} \otimes \sum_x |x\rangle \langle x| \quad (19)$$

and the evolution operator for each step of the quantum walk will have the form,

$$W = S_x \Phi(\phi) C(\theta_0) \equiv S_x B(\theta_0, \phi). \quad (20)$$

The dynamical expression for the evolution operator with phase is given by,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{x,t} \\ \mathcal{B}_{x,t} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta_0) & -i \sin(\theta_0) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{x-1,t-1} \\ \mathcal{B}_{x-1,t-1} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -ie^{i\phi} \sin(\theta_0) & e^{i\phi} \cos(\theta_0) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{x+1,t-1} \\ \mathcal{B}_{x+1,t-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (21)$$

as  $(\psi_{x,t}^\uparrow; \psi_{x,t}^\downarrow) = (\mathcal{A}_{x,t}; \mathcal{B}_{x,t})$  are the probability amplitudes. For this given evolution operator, in absence of disorder, the Fourier mode wave like form for probability amplitude  $\mathcal{A}_{x,t}$  and  $\mathcal{B}_{x,t}$  can in general be written as  $\psi_{x,t} = e^{(-i\omega_1 t + i\kappa_1 x)}$  where  $\omega_1$  is the wave frequency and  $\kappa_1$  is the wave number. Substituting the Fourier form of the probability amplitude in Eq. (21) and solving for a relation between  $\kappa_1$  and  $\omega_1$  we get the dispersion relation of the form,

$$\cos(\omega_1 + \phi/2) = \cos(\theta_0) \cos(\kappa_1 + \phi/2). \quad (22)$$


which will give the group velocity as,

$$v_g = \frac{\cos(\theta_0) \sin(\kappa_1 + \phi/2)}{\sqrt{1 - \cos^2(\theta_0) \cos^2(\kappa_1 + \phi/2)}} \quad (23)$$

and this implies that for evolution operator with phase, the group velocity depends upon both the evolution parameters  $\theta_0$  and  $\phi$ . Transfer matrix approach will give an insight into the dependence of the amplitudes on the coin parameter when particle moves from one position to an other position at any given time. The transfer matrix for single-particle discrete-time quantum walk in one dimension for coin operator  $B(\theta_0, \phi)$  with the two component field  $\Psi_x = (\psi_{\uparrow,x}; \psi_{\downarrow,x-1}) = (\mathcal{A}_x; \mathcal{B}_{x-1})$  in generic form at time  $t$  is given by,

$$T_x = e^{-i\phi_x/2} \begin{pmatrix} e^{i(\omega_1 + \phi_x/2) \sec(\theta_x)} & -ie^{-i\phi_x/2} \tan(\theta_x) \\ ie^{i\phi_x/2} \tan(\theta_x) & e^{-i(\omega_1 + \phi_x/2) \sec(\theta_x)} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (24)$$

The state at any position using transfer matrix is given by,  $\Psi_{x+1} = T_x \Psi_x$  and which will have both the parameters  $\theta_x$  and  $\phi_x$ . Therefore, the spatial disorder can be introduced in the system by making either one of the coin parameter  $\theta_x$  or  $\phi_x$  position dependent with a randomized value. Similarly, the evolution operator Eq. (20) also depends upon both the parameters  $\theta$  and  $\phi$  of the coin operator randomizing any one of them with time will give temporal disorder. For accelerated discrete-time quantum walk with disorder we can keep  $\theta(a, t) = \theta_t = \theta_0 e^{-at}$  to accelerate the walk and introduce disorder by randomizing  $\phi$  with position or time for spatial and temporal disorder, respectively.



**FIG. 10.** Probability distribution for one-dimensional single-particle accelerated quantum walk in disordered system for different value of  $a$  averaged over 500-runs for 200-time steps. The initial state is  $|\Psi_{in}\rangle = |\uparrow\rangle \otimes |x=0\rangle$ . For higher value of  $a$  the distribution is highly delocalized.

*Spatial Disorder*—As described above, randomizing  $\phi_x$  parameter in coin evolution will lead to spatial disorder and the quantum walk can be accelerated by using coin parameter  $\theta_t = \theta_0 e^{-at}$ . Parameter  $\phi_x$  is randomly picked for each position from the range  $0 \leq \phi_x \leq \pi$ . The state after time  $t$  with spatial disorder in accelerated discrete-time quantum walk is

given by,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Psi(t)\rangle_S &= W_x(\theta_t, \phi'_x) \dots W_x(\theta_2, \phi'_x) W_x(\theta_1, \phi'_x) |\Psi_{in}\rangle \\
&= \left( \prod_{t=1}^T W_x(\theta_t, \phi'_x) \right) |\Psi_{in}\rangle \\
&= \left( \prod_{t=1}^T S_x B(\theta_t, \phi'_x) \right) |\Psi_{in}\rangle \quad (25)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $B(\theta_t, \phi'_x) \equiv \sum_x [B(\theta_t, \phi_x) \otimes |x\rangle\langle x|]$  at time  $t$  and accelerating parameter  $\theta_t$ . The iterative form will be,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{x,t+1} \\ \mathcal{B}_{x,t+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta_t) & -i \sin(\theta_t) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{x-1,t} \\ \mathcal{B}_{x-1,t} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -ie^{i\phi_{x+1}} \sin(\theta_t) & e^{i\phi_{x+1}} \cos(\theta_t) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{x+1,t} \\ \mathcal{B}_{x+1,t} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (26)$$

Fig. 10 shows the probability distribution of a single-particle accelerated quantum walk for different values of  $a$  with spatial disorder in the phase parameter when  $\theta_0 = \pi/2$ . In the plot we use the acronym ASQW - Accelerated Spatial-disordered Quantum Walk to identify the probability distribution due to spatial disorder in accelerated quantum walk. Particle delocalizes for higher value of  $a$  implying that  $\theta$  operator which saturates at maximum velocity  $\theta(a, t) \rightarrow 0$  dominates over the random phase operator. Dispersion relations also gives us a picture of interplay between  $\theta$  and  $\phi$ .

*Temporal Disorder*—The temporal disorder in the single-particle quantum walk can be introduced by a time (step) dependent phase parameter  $\phi_t$ .  $\phi_t$  can be randomly picked for each time-step from the range  $0 \leq \phi_t \leq \pi$ . The state after time  $t$  with temporal disorder for accelerated quantum walk is given by,

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle_T = W_x(\theta_t, \phi_t) \dots W_x(\theta_2, \phi_2) W_x(\theta_1, \phi_1) |\Psi_{in}\rangle. \quad (27)$$

The iterative form of the state of the particle at each position  $x$  and time  $(t + 1)$  will be identical to Eq.(26) with a replacement of  $\phi_t$  in place of  $\phi_x$ . Fig. 10 shows the single-particle accelerated quantum walk for different values of  $a$  with temporal disorder when  $\theta_0 = \pi/2$ . In the plot we use the acronym ATQW - Accelerated Temporal-disordered Quantum Walk. As the value of  $a$  increases, the particle delocalizes in position space which implies that the interacting coin operator dominates over the phase operator. A similar behaviour as seen for spatial disordered case.

## B. Two-particle

In order to introduce disorder in two-particle accelerated quantum walk, we are using the same methodology as used in single-particle accelerated quantum walk. That is, introduce a phase operator on each particle such that final operator on the particle will be interaction operator  $C_{\theta_0}$  followed by phase operator  $\Phi_\phi$ . Phase operator for two-particle accelerated quantum walk will have the form,

$$\Phi_\phi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \exp\{i\phi\} \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \exp\{i\phi\} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (28)$$

Therefore, the two-particle evolution operator with two parameters  $\theta_0$  and  $\phi$  before introducing disorder will have the form,

$$W_x(\theta_0, \phi) \equiv S_x(\Phi_\phi C_{\theta_0} \otimes \mathbb{I}_N) \quad (29)$$

where,

$$\Phi_\phi C_{\theta_0} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta_0) & 0 & 0 & -i \sin(\theta_0) \\ 0 & e^{i\phi} \cos(\theta_0) & -ie^{i\phi} \sin(\theta_0) & 0 \\ -ie^{i\phi} \sin(\theta_0) & -ie^{i\phi} \sin(\theta_0) & e^{i\phi} \cos(\theta_0) & 0 \\ -ie^{2i\phi} \sin(\theta_0) & 0 & 0 & e^{2i\phi} \cos(\theta_0) \end{pmatrix} \quad (30)$$

such that the dynamics equation is given by,

$$\Psi_x^{2p}(t+1) = M_+ \Psi_{x-1}^{2p}(t) + M_- \Psi_{x+1}^{2p}(t) \quad (31)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned}
M_+ &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta_{x-1}) & 0 & 0 & -i \sin(\theta_{x-1}) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -ie^{i\phi_{x-1}} \sin(\theta_{x-1}) & e^{i\phi_{x-1}} \cos(\theta_{x-1}) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
M_- &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\phi_{x+1}} \cos(\theta_{x+1}) & -ie^{i\phi_{x+1}} \sin(\theta_{x+1}) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -ie^{2i\phi_{x+1}} \sin(\theta_{x+1}) & 0 & 0 & e^{2i\phi_{x+1}} \cos(\theta_{x+1}) \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the above expression  $\theta_0$  has been written as  $\theta_x$  to represent the position dependent value in generic form. In Fourier-mode wave like solution form  $\psi_x(t) = e^{-i\omega t}\psi_x$  and  $\psi_x = e^{i\kappa x}\psi(\kappa)$  and  $\Psi_x^{2p} = (\psi_{\uparrow\uparrow,x}; \psi_{\uparrow\downarrow,x}; \psi_{\downarrow\uparrow,x}; \psi_{\downarrow\downarrow,x}) = (\mathcal{A}_x; \mathcal{C}_x; \mathcal{D}_x; \mathcal{B}_x)$  then,

$$e^{-i\omega}\mathcal{A}_x = \cos(\theta_{x-1})\mathcal{A}_{x-1} - i\sin(\theta_{x-1})\mathcal{B}_{x-1} \quad (32)$$

$$e^{-i\omega}\mathcal{C}_x = \cos(\theta_{x+1})e^{i\phi_{x+1}}\mathcal{C}_{x+1} - i\sin(\theta_{x+1})e^{i\phi_{x+1}}\mathcal{D}_{x+1} \quad (33)$$

$$e^{-i\omega}\mathcal{D}_x = -i\sin(\theta_{x-1})e^{i\phi_{x-1}}\mathcal{C}_{x-1} + \cos(\theta_{x-1})e^{i\phi_{x-1}}\mathcal{D}_{x-1} \quad (34)$$

$$e^{-i\omega}\mathcal{B}_x = -i\sin(\theta_{x+1})e^{2i\phi_{x+1}}\mathcal{A}_{x+1} + \cos(\theta_{x+1})e^{2i\phi_{x+1}}\mathcal{B}_{x+1}. \quad (35)$$

From the Eqs.(32- 35), it is clear that given coin operator evolves  $\psi_{\uparrow\uparrow}$  and  $\psi_{\downarrow\downarrow}$  in superposition of  $\psi_{\uparrow\uparrow}$  and  $\psi_{\downarrow\downarrow}$  and similarly,  $\psi_{\uparrow\downarrow}$  and  $\psi_{\downarrow\uparrow}$  in superposition of  $\psi_{\uparrow\downarrow}$  and  $\psi_{\downarrow\uparrow}$ , respectively as it was discussed for evolutions without phase

operator. Therefore, the dispersion relation between the  $\psi_{\uparrow\uparrow}$  and  $\psi_{\downarrow\downarrow}$  for the given evolution operator when coin parameter at all sites is  $\theta_0$  for two-particle accelerated discrete-time quantum walk is given by,

$$\cos(\omega + \phi) = \cos(\theta_0)\cos(\phi + \kappa). \quad (36)$$

Similarly, the dispersion relation between  $\psi_{\uparrow\downarrow}$  and  $\psi_{\downarrow\uparrow}$  for two-particle when coin parameter at all sites is  $\theta_0$  is given by,

$$\cos(\phi + \omega) = \cos(\theta_0)\cos(\kappa). \quad (37)$$

The expression for state of two-particle discrete-time quantum walk in one-dimensional position space using transfer matrix with the redefined four component field  $\Psi_x^{2p} = (\psi_{\uparrow\uparrow,x}, \psi_{\uparrow\downarrow,x-1}, \psi_{\downarrow\uparrow,x}, \psi_{\downarrow\downarrow,x-1}) = (\mathcal{A}_x; \mathcal{C}_{x-1}; \mathcal{D}_x; \mathcal{B}_{x-1})$  is given by,

$$\Psi_{x+1}^{2p} = T_x \Psi_x^{2p}. \quad (38)$$

The transfer matrix  $T_x$  at position  $x$  will be of the form,


$$T_x = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\omega} \sec(\theta_x) & 0 & 0 & -ie^{-2i\phi_x} \tan(\theta_x) \\ 0 & e^{-i(\omega+\phi_x)} \sec(\theta_x) & i \tan(\theta_x) & 0 \\ 0 & -i \tan(\theta_x) & e^{i(\omega+\phi_x)} \sec(\theta_x) & 0 \\ i \tan(\theta_x) & 0 & 0 & e^{-i(\omega+2\phi_x)} \sec(\theta_x) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (39)$$

Eqs. (38) and (31) shows that introducing disorder in either of the coin parameter  $\theta_x$  or  $\phi_x$  will lead to spatial and temporal disorder, respectively. Therefore, like it was done for single-particle quantum walk we will introduce disorder in  $\phi_x$  and we will accelerate parameter  $\theta$  as,  $\theta_t = \theta_0 e^{-at}$  where  $a$  is the acceleration in the two-particle accelerated walk.

*Spatial Disorder*–The spatial disorder in two-particle accelerated quantum walk in one dimension is introduced by a position dependent phase parameter  $\phi_x$  as from the transfer matrix in Eq. (39) it can be seen that  $\Psi_x$  depends on the phase parameter  $\phi_x$  moving from one site to another.  $\phi_x$  can be randomly picked for each position from the range  $0 \leq \phi_x \leq \pi$ . The state after time  $t$  with spatial disorder using position dependent phase parameter  $\phi_x$  and accelerated  $\theta$  parameter will be,

$$|\Psi_x^{2p}(t)\rangle_S = W_x(\theta_t, \phi'_x) \dots W_x(\theta_2, \phi'_x) W_x(\theta_1, \phi'_x) |\Psi_{in}^{2p}\rangle, \quad (40)$$


where  $W(\phi'_x) \equiv \sum_x [W(\phi_x) \otimes |x\rangle \langle x|]$ . The iterative form of the state of the particle at each position  $x$  and time  $(t+1)$  will be identical to Eq. (31) by replacing  $\phi$  with  $\phi_x$ . Fig.11 shows the accelerated two-particle quantum walk for different values of  $a$  with spatial disorder. Higher the value of  $a$ , the particle delocalizes which implies that at higher value of  $a$  the interaction operator  $C_\theta$  with  $\theta = \theta_0 e^{-at}$  dominates over the phase operator in coin operation. Fig. 11 shows probability distribution for different value of acceleration. As the acceleration in the system increases, the particles in position



**FIG. 11.** Probability distribution for one-dimensional two-particle accelerated quantum walk with spatial disorder for different value of  $a$ . Coin operator is an entangling operator followed by the phase operator and initial state is  $|\psi_{in}\rangle = |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle \otimes |x=0\rangle$ . The particle delocalizes for higher value of  $a$ .

space delocalizes as the entangling operator dominates over the phase operator.

*Temporal Disorder*–The temporal disorder in the two-particle discrete-time quantum walk can be introduced by a step de-



**FIG. 12.** Probability distribution for one-dimensional two-particle accelerated quantum walk with spatial disorder for different value of  $a$ . Two-particle coin operation is followed by the phase operator and initial state is  $|\psi_{in}\rangle = |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle \otimes |x=0\rangle$ . The particle delocalizes for higher value of  $a$ .


pendent phase parameter  $\phi_t$ .  $\phi_t$  can be randomly picked for each step from the range  $0 \leq \phi_t \leq \pi$ . The state after time  $t$  with temporal disorder using step dependent phase parameter  $\phi_t$  and accelerated  $\theta$  parameter will be,

$$|\Psi_x^{2p}(t)\rangle_T = W_x(\theta_t, \phi_t) \dots W_x(\theta_2, \phi_2) W_x(\theta_1, \phi_1) |\Psi_{in}^{2p}\rangle, \quad (41)$$


The iterative form of the state of the particle at each position  $x$  and time  $(t+1)$  will be identical to Eq. (31) with only a replacement of  $\phi = 0$  with  $\phi_t$ . Fig. 12 shows the accelerated two-particle quantum walk for different values of  $a$  with temporal disorder. Higher the value of  $a$ , the particle delocalizes which implies that at higher value of  $a$  the interaction coin operator dominates over the phase operator which is similar to the spatial disordered and single-particle case. Fig. 13 shows a comparison of the probability distribution for accelerated two-particle quantum walk, with and without spatial and temporal disorder for different value of  $a$  when  $\theta_0 = \pi/2$ . Spatial disorder for small value of  $a$  shows a strong localization compared to the temporal disorder. When acceleration dominates over disorder the probability distribution delocalizes ( $a = 0.02$ ). Spread wider in absence of disorder and that is smaller for spatial disorder.

#### IV. ENTANGLEMENT IN TWO-PARTICLE ACCELERATED QUANTUM WALK

Entanglement between the two-particle Hilbert space is given by the negativity in the particle Hilbert space after tracing out the position Hilbert space from the total density matrix ( $\rho = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ ). That is, Negativity of the mixed state of two-particle Hilbert space after tracing out the position Hilbert



**FIG. 13.** Probability distribution for one-dimensional two-particle accelerated quantum walk (AQW) with spatial (SAQW) and temporal (ATQW) disorder for different value of  $a$ . Coin operator is an entangling operator followed by the phase operator and initial state is  $|\psi_{in}\rangle = |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle \otimes |x=0\rangle$ . The particle delocalizes for higher value of  $a$ . The spread is always maximum even when compared to the delocalized distribution with disorder.




**FIG. 14.** Entanglement between the particles of coin Hilbert space in two-particle accelerated quantum walk with spatial disorder (ASQW) for different value of  $a$ . Initial state is  $|\psi_{in}\rangle = |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle \otimes |x=0\rangle$  averaged over 1000- runs. For larger  $a$  entanglement dies faster in time.

space  $\rho_c = \text{tr}_p(\rho)$  is given by,


$$E(\rho_{C1,C2}) \equiv \mathcal{N}_{\rho_{C1,C2}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i (|\lambda_i| - \lambda_i) \quad (42)$$

where,  $\lambda_i$  with  $(i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$  are the eigenvalues of partial transpose of density matrix  $\rho_c$ . Fig. (8), (14) and (16) shows entanglement between the particles for different values of  $a$  for two-particle standard accelerated quantum walk, accelerated quantum walk with spatial disorder, and accelerated quantum walk with temporal disorder, respectively.


Entanglement between the coin and position Hilbert space is given by the negativity between the coin and position




**FIG. 15.** Entanglement between the coin and position Hilbert space in two-particle accelerated quantum walk with spatial disorder (ASQW) for different value of  $a$  as function of time (steps). Initial state is  $|\psi_{in}\rangle = |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle \otimes |x=0\rangle$  averaged over 500- runs. For larger  $a$  entanglement reaches maximum value faster in time.



**FIG. 17.** Entanglement between the coin and position Hilbert space in two-particle accelerated quantum walk with temporal disorder (ATQW) as function of time (steps) for different value of  $a$ . Initial state is  $|\psi_{in}\rangle = |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle \otimes |x=0\rangle$  averaged over 500- runs. For larger  $a$  entanglement reaches maximum value faster in time.



**FIG. 16.** Entanglement between the particles of coin Hilbert space in two-particle accelerated quantum walk with temporal disorder (ATQW) for different value of  $a$ . Initial state is  $|\psi_{in}\rangle = |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle \otimes |x=0\rangle$  averaged over 1000- runs. For larger  $a$  entanglement dies faster in time.



**FIG. 18.** Comparison of entanglement between the coin Hilbert space for one-dimensional two-particle accelerated quantum walk with  $a = 0.002$  which is the localized case. Two-particle coin operator with  $\theta_0 = \pi/2$  is followed by the random phase operator and initial state is  $|\psi_{in}\rangle = |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle \otimes |x=0\rangle$  averaged over 1000- runs. Entanglement dies of faster for accelerated quantum walk when compared to accelerated quantum walk with disorder which stay higher for significantly longer time.


Hilbert space which is also given by,

$$E(\rho_{c,p}) \equiv \mathcal{N}_{\rho_{c,p}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_j (|\zeta_j| - \zeta_j) \quad (43)$$

where,  $\zeta_j$  with  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  are the eigenvalues of partial transpose of density matrix  $\rho$  in Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{c1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{c2} \otimes \mathcal{H}_p$ . Figs. 7, 15 and 17 shows entanglement between the particle and position Hilbert space for different values of  $a$  for two-particle standard accelerated quantum walk, spatial disordered accelerated quantum walk and temporal disordered accelerated quantum walk, respectively.

The entanglement for the delocalized case decays faster then the localized case in two-particle accelerated quantum walk with spatial and temporal disorder. This can be seen in

the Figs. 8, 14 and 16, respectively. For  $a = 0.002$  when the particle is localized, entanglement decays faster but entanglement reaches to its maximum value slower in localized case when compared to delocalized case when  $a = 0.02$ . Entanglement decays faster for two-particle accelerated quantum walk without disorder when compared to walk with spatial and temporal disordered system in one dimension as shown in Figs. 18 and 19. It can be seen that maximum entanglement between the particle is found in the accelerated quantum walk but entanglement stays for longer time for spatial



**FIG. 19.** Comparison of entanglement between the coin Hilbert space for one-dimensional two-particle accelerated quantum walk with  $a = 0.02$  which is the spatially delocalized case. Two-particle coin operation  $\theta_0 = \pi/2$  is followed by the random phase operator for spatial and temporal disordered accelerated quantum walks. The initial state is  $|\psi_{in}\rangle = |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle \otimes |n=0\rangle$  averaged over 1000- runs. Entanglement dies off faster for accelerated quantum walk when compared to accelerated quantum walk with disorder.

localized accelerated quantum walk. It happens because in localized case both the particle's probability amplitude superimpose in localized position space with stronger interference to give entanglement between the particles and hence its decays slower than the entanglement between the delocalized case. Maximum the spread in the position space faster is the death of the entanglement between the particles evolving in position space. The entanglement between the particle and position space for standard accelerated quantum walk, accelerated quantum walk with spatial disorder and temporal disorder for the two-particle walk is shown in Figs. 7, 15 and 17, respectively. Similar to single-particle accelerated quantum walk, for higher value of  $a$  particle reaches the maximum entanglement in short time for standard accelerated quantum walk as well as for disordered quantum walk and remains constant with time.

$$H_\gamma = \sum_i^{N-1} [(1 + \gamma)(S_i^x \otimes S_{i+1}^x) + (1 - \gamma)\{(S_i^y \otimes S_{i+1}^y) + (S_i^z \otimes S_{i+1}^z)\}] \quad (44)$$

where  $S^\alpha = \sigma_\alpha$  with  $\alpha = x, y, z$  are Pauli matrices and  $\gamma$  is the degree of anisotropy in the system. As  $\gamma \rightarrow 1$  model tends to the Ising model with the spin components in the  $x$ -direction completely ordered and  $y$ - and  $z$ - component completely disordered. We have considered a one-dimensional two-particle discrete time quantum walk to be ordered in  $x$ -direction therefore  $\gamma = 1$  and since its a two-particle interaction therefore  $N = 2$  and hence the Hamiltonian for two-particle

## V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this work we have introduced accelerated discrete-time quantum walk and studied single-particle and two-particle walk in one dimension. For a single-particle quantum walk introduction of acceleration into the system increases the spread of the probability amplitude over the position space as well as the entanglement between the position and particle space reaches its maximum in shorter time. In two-particle case also the acceleration in the system spreads the probability amplitude faster in position space. In a regular quantum walk the maximum spread is achieved when  $\theta_0 \rightarrow 0$  but that happen without any interference of the particle in position space. But in accelerated quantum walk same maximum spread is achieved along with interference effect. We have explicitly studied the effect of acceleration parameter  $a$  and how it changes the probability distribution and entanglement of the system. In disordered system, without acceleration or with small acceleration the particle is localized but as acceleration increases the system becomes delocalized because of the increase in acceleration increases the perturbation in the unitary operator and that dominates over the disordered phase parameter in the system.

Entanglement between the position and particle in the single-particle quantum walk reaches its maximum value faster with increase in acceleration and then saturates at the maximum value. This implies spread in the position space increases the entanglement between the position and the particle space. In the two-particle quantum walk, entanglement between the two particles increase with acceleration, reaches the maximum entanglement value faster and decays faster too in time. This implies that the entanglement between the interacting particles stays for a longer time at smaller value of acceleration when compared to higher value of acceleration for which the particle is delocalized. It could be because the superposition and interference between the particles wave function in two-particle accelerated quantum walk is more in localized discrete-time quantum walk.

The two-particle quantum walk system in this paper is similar to the condensed matter Ising model with is a special case of Heisenberg model. The generalised Heisenberg model for  $N$  spin-1/2 particle is described by the Hamiltonian,

quantum walk is given by,

$$H = S_1^x \otimes S_2^x, \quad (45)$$

where  $S^x = \sigma_x$  which is Pauli matrix. The accelerated two-particle quantum walk is similar to the Ising model with perturbed Ising model. The acceleration is introduced in the system by accelerating the quantum coin angle. The coin opera-

tion is given by,

$$C_{\theta_t} = \exp^{-i\theta_t H} \quad (46)$$

where  $\theta_t = \frac{\pi}{2} \exp^{-at}$  and  $a$  is the acceleration in the system. Disorder in the system is introduced by randomizing the value of  $\phi$  in the Phase operator. randomizing  $\phi$  at each position in the position space gives Anderson localization and randomizing  $\phi$  at each step in the position space gives weak localization.

The connection of quantum walks dynamics with Dirac Hamiltonian and Dirac cellular automata along with this intriguing connections between acceleration, entanglement generation and localization paves way for further investigation towards understanding the role of acceleration, mass and entan-

glement in relativistic quantum mechanics and quantum field theory. Though these studies gives an additional operational tools for quantum simulations using quantum walks more analytical studies are still required to explicitly formalize some of the observations related to entanglement behaviour in the system.

#### Acknowledgment:

CMC would like to thank Department of Science and Technology, Government of India for the Ramanujan Fellowship grant No.:SB/S2/RJN-192/2014. CMC and RL would also acknowledge the support from US Army Research Laboratory.

- 
- [1] G. V. Riazanov, The Feynman path integral for the Dirac equation. *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **33**, 1437 (1958), [*Sov. Phys. JETP* **6**, 1107?1113 (1958)].
- [2] R. P. Feynman, Quantum mechanical computers, *Found. Phys.* **16**, 507-531 (1986).
- [3] Y. Aharonov, L. Davidovich, and N. Zagury, Quantum random walks, *Phys. Rev. A* **48**, 1687-1690 (1993).
- [4] D. A. Mayer, From quantum cellular automata to quantum lattice gases, *J. Stat. Phys.* **85**, 551 (1996).
- [5] J. Kempe, Quantum random walks: an introductory overview, *Contemp. Phys.* **44.4**, 307-327, (2003).
- [6] E. S. Venegas- Andraca, Quantum walks: a comprehensive review, *Quantum. Info. Process* **11**, 1015 (2012).
- [7] A. Nayak and A. Vishwanath, Quantum Walk on the Line. [arXiv:quant-ph/0010117](https://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0010117).
- [8] N. Inui, N. Konno, E. Segawa, One-dimensional three-state quantum walk. *Phys. Rev. E* **72**, 056112 (2005)
- [9] C. A. Ryan, M. Laforest, J. C. Boileau and R. Laflamme, Experimental implementation of a discrete-time quantum random walk on an NMR quantum-information processor, *Phys. Rev. A* **72**, 062317 (2005).
- [10] H. Schmitz, R. Matjeschk, Ch. Schneider, J. Glueckert, M. Enderlein, T. Huber, T. Schaetz, Quantum walk of a trapped ion in phase space, *Phys. Rev. Lett* **103**, 090504 (2009).
- [11] F. Zahringer, G. Kirchmair, R. Gerritsma, E. Solano, R. Blatt, and C. F. Roos, Realization of a Quantum Walk with One and Two Trapped Ions, *Phys. Rev. Lett* **104**, 100503 (2010).
- [12] A. Schreiber, K. N. Cassemiro, V. Potocek, A. Gabris, P.J. Mosley, E. Andersson, I. Jex, Ch. Silberhorn, Photons Walking the Line: A quantum walk with adjustable coin operations, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 050502 (2010).
- [13] M. A. Broome, A. Fedrizzi, B. P. Lanyon, I. Kassal, A. Aspuru-Guzik, and A. G. White, Discrete Single-Photon Quantum Walks with Tunable Decoherence, *Phys. Rev. Lett* **104**, 153602 (2010).
- [14] A. Peruzzo *et. al*, Quantum Walks of Correlated Photons, *Science* **329**, 1500 (2010).
- [15] H. B. Perets, Y. Lahini, F. Pozzi, M. Sorel, R. Morandotti and Y. Silberberg, Realization of Quantum Walks with Negligible Decoherence in Waveguide Lattices, *Phys. Rev. Lett* **100**, 170506 (2008).
- [16] M. Karski, L. Foster, J. M. Choi, A. Steffen, W. Alt, D. Meschede, and A. Widera, Quantum walk in position space with single optically trapped atoms, *Science* **325**, p174 (2009).
- [17] Y. Yin, D. E. Katsanos, and S. N. Evangelou, Quantum walks on a random environment. *Phys. Rev. A* **77** 022302 (2008).
- [18] C. M. Chandrashekar, R. Srikanth, and R. Laflamme, Optimizing the discrete time quantum walk using a SU(2) coin. *Phys. Rev. A* **77**, 032326 (2008).
- [19] A. Ahlbrecht, H. Vogts, A. H. Werner, and R. F. Werner, Asymptotic evolution of quantum walks with random coin, *J. Math. Phys.* **52**, 042201 (2011).
- [20] M. Mohseni, P. Rebentrost, S. Lloyd and A. Aspuru-Guzik. Environment-assisted quantum walks in photosynthetic energy transfer, *J. Chem. Phys.* **129**, 174106 (2008).
- [21] S. Godoy and S. Fujita, A quantum random-walk model for tunneling diffusion in a 1D lattice. A quantum correction to Fick's law, *J. Chem. Phys.* **97**, 5148 (1992).
- [22] A. Joye, Dynamical localization for d-dimensional random quantum walks, *Quantum Inf. Process* **11**, 1251 (2012).
- [23] P.W. Anderson, Absence of Diffusion in Certain Random Lattices. *Phys. Rev.* **109**, 1492 (1958).
- [24] B. L. Altshuler, D. Khmel'nitzkii, A. I. Larkin, and P. A. Lee, Magnetoresistance and Hall effect in a disordered two-dimensional electron gas. *Phys. Rev. B* **22**, 5142 (1980).
- [25] G. Bergman, Weak localization in thin films a time-of-flight experiment with conduction electrons. *Phys. Rep.* **107**, 1 (1984).
- [26] C. M. Chandrashekar, Disordered-quantum-walk-induced localization of a Bose-Einstein condensate. *Phys. Rev. A* **83**, 022320 (2011)
- [27] T. Oka, N. Konno, R. Arita, and H. Aoki, Breakdown of an Electric-Field Driven System: A Mapping to a Quantum Walk. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 100602 (2005).
- [28] A. Joye, M. Merkli, Dynamical Localization of Quantum Walks in Random Environments. *Journal of Stat. Phys.* **140**, 1025 (2010).
- [29] N. Konno, Localization of an inhomogeneous discrete-time quantum walk on the line. *Quantum Information Processing*, Vol.9, No.3, 405 (2010).
- [30] Y. Shikano and H. Katsura, Localization and fractality in inhomogeneous quantum walks with self-duality. *Phys. Rev. E* **82**, 031122 (2010).
- [31] P. A. Lee and T.V. Ramakrishnan, Disordered electronic systems. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **57**, 287 (1985).
- [32] C.M. Chandrashekar, H. Obuse, and Th. Busch, Entanglement properties of localized states in 1D topological quantum walks,

- arXiv:1502.00436 [quant-ph].
- [33] T. Kitagawa, M. Rudner, E. Berg, and E. Demler, Exploring Topological Phases with quantum walk, *Phys. Rev. A* **82**, 033429 (2010).
- [34] A. P. Schnyder, S. Ryu, A. Furusaki, and A. W. W. Ludwig, Classification of topological insulators and superconductors in three spatial dimensions, *Phys. Rev. B* **78**, 195125 (2008).
- [35] J. K. Asboth and H. Obuse, Bulk-boundary correspondence for chiral symmetric quantum walks, *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, 121406(R) (2013).
- [36] A. Mallick and C. M. Chandrashekar, Dirac Cellular Automaton from Split-step Quantum Walk, *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 25779 (2016).
- [37] I. Bialynicki-Birula, Weyl, Dirac, and Maxwell equations on a lattice as unitary cellular automata, *Phys. Rev. D* **49**, 6920 (1994).
- [38] C. M. Chandrashekar, Two-component Dirac-like Hamiltonian for generating quantum walk on one-, two- and three-dimensional lattices. *Sci. Rep.* **3**, 2829 (2013).
- [39] G. M. Dariano and P. Perinotti, Derivation of the Dirac equation from principles of information processing. *Phys. Rev. A* **90**, 062106 (2014).
- [40] A. Perez, Asymptotic properties of the Dirac quantum cellular automaton. *Phys. Rev. A* **93**, 012328 (2016).
- [41] C. M. Chandrashekar, S. Banerjee, and R. Srikanth, Relationship between quantum walks and relativistic quantum mechanics. *Phys. Rev. A* **81**, 062340 (2010).
- [42] F. W. Strauch, Relativistic quantum walks. *Phys. Rev. A* **73**, 054302 (2006).
- [43] N. Pradeep Kumar, Radhakrishna Balu, Raymond Laflamme, C. M. Chandrashekar, Bounds on the dynamics of periodic quantum walks and emergence of gapless and gapped Dirac equation. *Phys. Rev. A* **97**, 012116 (2018).
- [44] E. Lieb, T. Schultz and D. Mattis, Two soluble models of an antiferromagnetic chain. *ScienceDirect Volume 16, Issue 3, December (1961), Pages 407-466*.
- [45] Kazue Kudo and Tetsuo Deguchi, Finite-size scaling with respect to interaction and disorder strength at the many-body localization transition. *Phys. Rev. B* **97**, 220201(R) (2018).
- [46] I. Vakulchyk, M. V. Fistul, P. Qin, S. Flach, Anderson localization in generalized discrete time quantum walks. *Phys. Rev. B* **96**, 144204 (2017).
- [47] S. Derevyanko, Anderson localization of a one-dimensional quantum walker. *Scientific Reports*, volume 8, Article number: 1795 (2018).
- [48] S. Singh and C. M. Chandrashekar, Interference and correlated coherence in disordered and localized quantum walk. arXiv:1711.06217.
- [49] C. M. Chandrashekar, Disorder induced localization and enhancement of entanglement in 1D- and 2D quantum walk. arXiv:1212.5984v2.
- [50] A. Romanelli, A. Auyuanet, R. Siri, G. Abal, and R. Donangelo, Decoherence in the quantum walk on the line. *Physica A* **352**, 409 (2005).
- [51] C. M. Chandrashekar, S. K. Goyal, and S. Banerjee, Entanglement Generation in Spatially Separated Systems Using Quantum Walk. *Journal of Quantum Information Sciences*, **2**, No. 2, pp. 15-22 (2012).
- [52] B. R. Rao, R. Srikanth, C. M. Chandrashekar, and S. Banerjee, Quantumness of noisy quantum walks: A comparison between measurement-induced disturbance and quantum discord. *Phys. Rev. A* **83**, 064302 (2011)
- [53] P. L. Knight, E. Roldn, and J. E. Sipe, Quantum walk on the line as an interference phenomenon. *Phys. Rev. A* **68**, 020301(R) (2003).
- [54] C. Benedetti, F. Buscemi, and P. Bordone, Quantum correlations in continuous-time quantum walks of two indistinguishable particles. *Phys. Rev. A* **85**, 042314 (2012).
- [55] G. Abal, R. Siri, A. Romanelli, and R. Donangelo, Quantum walk on the line: Entanglement and nonlocal initial conditions. *Phys. Rev. A* **73**, 042302 (2006)
- [56] I. Carneiro, M. Loo, X. Xu, M. Girerd, V. Kendon, and P. L. Knight, Entanglement in coined quantum walks on regular graphs. *New J.Phys.* **7** 156 (2005).
- [57] S. Chakravarty, B. I. Halperin, and D. R. Nelson, Two-dimensional quantum Heisenberg antiferromagnet at low temperatures. *Phys. Rev. B* **39**, 2344 (1989)
- [58] C. M. Chandrashekar and Th. Busch, Quantum walk on distinguishable non-interacting many-particles and indistinguishable two-particle. *Quantum Information Processing*, Volume 11, Issue 5, Pages 1287-1299 (2012).
- [59] L. Amico, R. Fazio, A. Osterloh, and V. Vedral, Entanglement in many-body systems. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **80**, 517 (2008).
- [60] S. D. Berry and J. B. Wang, Two-particle quantum walks: Entanglement and graph isomorphism testing. *Phys. Rev. A* **83**, 042317 (2011).