

LOCALLY EXPANDING ACTIONS: MARKOV PARTITION AND THERMODYNAMIC OF THE INDUCED SKEW PRODUCT

J. NAZARIAN SARKOOH, A. EHSANI, A. FAKHARI, AND F. H. GHANE*

ABSTRACT. For topologically mixing locally expanding semigroup actions generated by a finite collection of $C^{1+\alpha}$ conformal local diffeomorphisms, we provide a countable Markov partition satisfying the finite images and the finite cycle properties. We show that they admit inducing schemes and describe the tower constructions associated with them. An important feature of these towers is that their induced maps are equivalent to a subshift of countable type. Through the investigating the ergodic properties of induced map, we prove the existence of liftable measures and establish a thermodynamic formalism of the induced skew product with respect to them.

,

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Setting	3
2.1. Locally expanding semigroup actions	4
2.2. Countable Markov partitions	5
2.3. Inducing scheme of hyperbolic type for semigroups	6
3. Countable Markov partitions and ergodicity of induced map	8
3.1. Countable Markov partitions associated to locally expanding actions	8
3.2. Bounded distortion property and ergodicity of induced maps	12
4. Markov towers and Thermodynamics of two-sided countable shifts	15
4.1. Markov towers for locally expanding semigroup actions	15
4.2. Thermodynamics of two-sided countable shifts	17
5. Thermodynamic formalism of the induced skew product	21
5.1. Inducing scheme and liftable measures	21
5.2. Random Markov chains and liftable measures	23
5.3. Thermodynamic formalism with respect to liftable measures	26
Acknowledgments	29
References	30

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37C85; 37B05; 37A25; 37A30; 37A50; 37C40.

Key words and phrases. locally expanding semigroup; inducing scheme; countable Markov partition; liftable stationary measure Gibbs measure; equilibrium state.

*Corresponding author.

1. INTRODUCTION

The inducing schemes and the corresponding tower constructions are classical objects in ergodic theory and were considered in works of Kakutani [18], Rokhlin [25], Pesin and Senti [23] and others. In this article, we mainly describe an abstract inducing scheme for a locally expanding semigroup action generated by a finite collection of $C^{1+\alpha}$ (local) diffeomorphisms on a compact Riemannian manifold which parameterized by random walks. This scheme provides a symbolic representation over (W, F, τ) , where F is the induced map acting on the inducing domain W and τ is the inducing time. This created symbolic dynamic allows to apply standard techniques from symbolic dynamics as well. This means that these actions can be partially described, in a symbolic way, as a subshift on a countable set of states. In particular, some basic facts about the original action can be achieved through the identifying the induced symbolic maps. This is a standard way of understanding partial facts about the initial dynamic. For instance, in the uniformly hyperbolic case, Bowen in [6] used a Markov partition as a tool to present a fairly complete description of ergodic properties of the dynamic.

In [7], Bowen and Series described Markov partitions related to the action of certain groups of hyperbolic isometries on the boundary space. In this very special case of Fuchsian groups, not only a Markov map associated to the group was constructed, but also the induced map and the group were orbit equivalent. After Bowen, the scenario has been developed to the context of non-uniformly hyperbolic systems, see for instance [8, 20, 22, 23]. In the non-uniformly hyperbolic setting, we cannot expect to have finite Markov partitions. However, in many cases it is possible to use the symbolic approach by finding a countable Markov partition, or a more general inducing scheme [23, 38]. The same strategy applied by Hofbauer [17]. He used a countable-state Markov model to study equilibrium states for piecewise monotonic interval maps. After that Buzzi [10] generalized the connected Markov diagrams for nonlinear multi-dimensional dynamical systems by using the Hofbauer's method. The connected Markov diagrams composed of pairwise disjoint connected subsets which satisfy the Markov property and they can be used to construct ergodic invariant measures with maximal entropy [10] and equilibrium states for piecewise expanding maps [11]. Yuri introduced in [38] countable to one Markov systems with finite range structure which gives a nice countable states symbolic dynamics. For countable to one transitive Markov systems, he established thermodynamic formalism for non-Hölder potentials in non-hyperbolic situations.

Inducing schemes also use the tower approach to model the system by a countable-state Markov shift [23, 24]. Every inducing scheme generates a symbolic representation by a tower which is well adapted to constructing absolutely continuous measures and equilibrium measures for a certain class of potential functions using the formalism of countable state Markov shifts. The projection of these measures from the tower are natural candidates for equilibrium measures for the original system. Apart from the advantage of returning to the initial dynamic, studying the formalism of the induced map, as a typical example of countable Markov map, can also be interesting on its own.

Thermodynamic formalism provides some methods for constructing invariant measures with strong statistical properties such as Gibbs measures, physical measures or SRB measures. It was brought from statistical mechanics to dynamical systems by the classical works of Ruelle [27, 28], Sinai [34, 35], and Bowen [4, 5, 6]. Sarig in series of papers, [29, 30, 31, 32, 33], has made many fundamental contributions to thermodynamics of countable Markov chains. He adapted transience, null recurrence, and positive recurrence for non-zero potential functions.

For continuous \mathbb{Z}^d actions on compact spaces, Ruelle [28] obtained a variational principle for the topological pressure to constructing equilibrium states as the class of pressure maximizing invariant probability measures. However, an extension of the variational principle for more general semigroup actions face some nontrivial challenges. Carvalho, Rodrigues and Varandas [26] established a variational principle for finitely generated semigroup actions with finite topological entropy. The topological aspects of the thermodynamical formalism for finitely generated semigroup actions were described in [26]. Thermodynamic formalism of semigroup actions were also investigated by [1, 2, 3, 9, 19].

One of the aim in this paper is to develop a thermodynamic formalism for a certain class of locally expanding semigroups. However, a unified approach to the thermodynamic formalism for semigroup actions in the absence of probability measures invariant under all elements of the semigroup is still incomplete. Meanwhile, we show how the choice of the random walk in the semigroup settles the ergodic properties of the action. We construct a special countable Markov partition for such actions which is achieved primarily through a finite cover and satisfies the finite cycle property. We generalize the notion of an inducing scheme to this kind of semigroup actions for generating a symbolic representation. Then, we use the symbolic approach to study equilibrium states of an appropriate class of real-valued potential functions. We describe the thermodynamic formalism of the induced map through studying its Gibbs and equilibrium states.

For maps with inducing schemes for some classes of potential functions, Pesin and Senti introduced some methods in [23] to prove the existence of unique equilibrium measures within the class of all liftable measures. In [24], Pesin, Senti and Zhang solved the liftability problem for towers of hyperbolic type and developed a method to study the liftability problem for this kind of inducing schemes. Here, using the tower construction of locally expanding semigroup actions, we define an inducing scheme for skew products induced by the semigroup actions. We introduce liftable measures and describe those measures that give positive weight to the base of the tower and can be lifted. Then, using the symbolic representation by the tower, we establish a thermodynamic formalism for liftable measures.

2. SETTING

In this section, we introduce the concepts and the notations which are basic in this paper. Throughout the paper M is a compact connected smooth Riemannian manifold and λ denotes the normalized Lebesgue measure.

2.1. Locally expanding semigroup actions. Given a finite set of continuous maps $g_i : M \rightarrow M$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, $N > 1$, and the finitely generated semigroup Γ (under function composition) with the finite set of generators $\Pi_1 = \{id, g_1, \dots, g_N\}$, we will write

$$\Gamma = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Pi_n,$$

where $\Pi_0 = \{id\}$ and $g \in \Pi_n$ if and only if $g = g_{i_n} \circ \dots \circ g_{i_1}$, with $g_{i_j} \in \Pi_1$. We will assume that the generator set Π_1 is minimal, meaning that no function g_j , for $j = 1, \dots, N$, can be expressed as a composition from the remaining generators. Observe that each element g of Π_n may be seen as a word that originates from the concatenation of n elements in $\Pi^* = \{g_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, N\}$. If g is the concatenation of n elements of Π^* , we will write $|g| = n$.

We say that a subset A is (*forward*) *invariant* for the semigroup Γ if $h(A) \subset A$ for all $h \in \Gamma$. For $x \in M$ the *total forward orbit* of x is defined by

$$\mathcal{O}_{\Pi}^+(x) = \{h(x) : h \in \Gamma\}.$$

Here, we assume that g_i , $i = 1, \dots, N$, are $C^{1+\alpha}$ diffeomorphisms or local diffeomorphisms on M . Notice that a map $g : M \rightarrow M$ is a *local diffeomorphism*, if for each point x in M , there exists an open set U containing x , such that $g(U)$ is open in M and $g|_U : U \rightarrow g(U)$ is a diffeomorphism. A smooth map g is a *conformal* map if there exists a function $\alpha : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $x \in M$ we have that $Dg(x) = \alpha(x)\text{Isom}(x)$, where $\text{Isom}(x)$ denotes an isometry of $T_x M$. Clearly, $\alpha(x) = \|Dg(x)\| = m(Dg(x))$, for all $x \in M$, where $m(A) = \|A^{-1}\|^{-1}$ denotes the co-norm of a linear transformation A . Notice that every diffeomorphism or local diffeomorphism in dimension one is a conformal map.

Definition 2.1 (Conformal locally expanding semigroup). *We say that the semigroup Γ is a conformal locally expanding semigroup if it satisfies the following conditions:*

- (I1) *There are a finite family of mappings $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \Gamma$, a finite collection of open balls V_1, \dots, V_k in M and constants $\sigma < 1$ and $r > 0$ such that $M = V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_k$, the restriction $f_i|_{B_r(V_i)}$ is a diffeomorphism onto its image and*

$$\|Df_i(x)^{-1}\| < \sigma \text{ for every } x \in B_r(V_i) \text{ and } i = 1, \dots, k,$$

where $B_r(V_i)$ stands for the r -neighborhood of the set V_i .

- (I2) *$f_i|_{B_r(V_i)}$ is a conformal map.*

For a locally expanding semigroup Γ , take $\eta > 0$ the Lebesgue number of the covering $\{V_1, \dots, V_k\}$. In what follows we fix $\eta < \frac{r}{2}$ as a Lebesgue number of this covering. A finite word $w = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ with $i_j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ is an *admissible word* for x if $x \in V_{i_1}$ and $f_{i_j} \circ \dots \circ f_{i_1}(x) \in V_{i_{j+1}}$, for each $j = 1, \dots, n-1$. For a given pair of points $x, y \in M$, we say that y is *accessible by x* , if there exists an admissible word $w = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ for x such that $f_w^n(x) = y$, where $f_w^n := f_{i_n} \circ \dots \circ f_{i_1}$, denoting by $x \equiv_w y$. Given a ball B of radius ε and center y , with $\varepsilon < \eta$, if y is accessible by a point $x \in M$, along an admissible word $w = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$, then the subset $B_{i_1, \dots, i_n} := f_w^{-n}(B)$ is called an *admissible n -cylinder* around x , where $f_w^{-n}(B) = (f_w^n)^{-1}(B)$. A locally expanding semigroup Γ is *topologically*

mixing if for each two small open sets U and V of M with $\text{diam}(U)$ and $\text{diam}(V)$ are less than $\eta/2$, there exist an admissible word $w = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ and an open ball B with radius ε , such that $B \supset V$ and the n -cylinder $B_{i_1, \dots, i_n} = f_w^{-n}(B)$ is contained in U ; hence $f_w^n(B_{i_1, \dots, i_n}) \supset V$ which implies that $f_w^n(U) \supset V$.

For the semigroup Γ with the locally expanding property, the semigroup action may be understood either as a random walk. Random walks have their deterministic twins, namely, the step skew product dynamical systems over topological Markov chains (subshifts of finite type).

Let Γ be a locally expanding semigroup. Take the finite matrix $\mathbb{A} = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^k$ defined by

$$(1) \quad a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } f_i(V_i) \cap V_j \neq \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We may assume that $\max\{\text{diam}(V_i) : i = 1, \dots, k\} < r$. Write N_k for the finite set of symbols $\{1, \dots, k\}$. Then, $\mathbb{A} = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^k$ is a matrix of zeroes and ones. Since $\{V_1, \dots, V_k\}$ is a covering of M , the matrix \mathbb{A} has no zero rows which ensures that it is a transition matrix. In particular, if Γ is topologically mixing then \mathbb{A} has no zero columns. Take

$$(2) \quad \Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}^+ := \{\mathbf{j} = (j_0, j_1, \dots) : j_\ell \in N_k, \forall \ell \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \text{ and } a_{j_\ell j_{\ell+1}} = 1\}$$

and

$$(3) \quad \Sigma_{\mathbb{A}} := \{\mathbf{j} = (\dots, j_{-1}, j_0, j_1, \dots) : j_\ell \in N_k, \forall \ell \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ and } a_{j_\ell j_{\ell+1}} = 1\}$$

the corresponding *one-sided and two-sided finite topological Markov chains*, respectively, and $\sigma_{\mathbb{A}}^+ : \Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}^+ \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}^+$ and $\sigma_{\mathbb{A}} : \Sigma_{\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}$ the *left shift maps*. We equip $\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}^+$ and $\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}$ with the topology generated by the base of cylinder sets

$$C_{[a_k, \dots, a_m]} := \{\mathbf{i} \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}^+ : i_j = a_j \text{ for } j = k, \dots, m\}, \text{ where } k, m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \text{ and } k \leq m$$

and

$$C_{[a_k, \dots, a_m]} := \{\mathbf{i} \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{A}} : i_j = a_j \text{ for } j = k, \dots, m\}, \text{ where } k, m \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } k \leq m.$$

The Markov chain $(\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}, \sigma_{\mathbb{A}})$ induces a skew product of the form

$$(4) \quad F_{\mathbb{A}} : \Sigma_{\mathbb{A}} \times M \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathbb{A}} \times M, \quad F_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbf{i}, x) = (\sigma_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbf{i}, f_{i_0}(x)),$$

where i_0 is the digit at the zero position of $\mathbf{i} = (\dots, i_{-1}, i_0, i_1, \dots) \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}$.

2.2. Countable Markov partitions. Let Γ be a semigroup of diffeomorphisms or local diffeomorphisms defined on a compact smooth manifold M . A countable collection $\{M_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ composed of closed subsets of M together with a collection $\{h_i \in \Gamma : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is called a *countable Markov partition* for Γ if the following statements hold:

- $\lambda(M \setminus \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} M_i) = 0$, and $M_i = \text{Cl}(\text{int}(M_i))$.
- $\text{int}(M_i) \cap \text{int}(M_j) = \emptyset$ whenever $i \neq j$.

- If $\text{int}(M_i) \cap h_i^{-1}(\text{int}(M_j)) \neq \emptyset$, then $h_i(M_i) \supset M_j$.

We say that a countable Markov partition $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{H}) = (M_i, h_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ has

- the *finite images property (FIP)* if $\mathcal{B} = \{h_i(\text{int}(M_i)) : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ consists of finitely many open subsets B_1, \dots, B_N of M for some fixed $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and \mathcal{B} is an open cover of M ;
- the *finite cycle property (FCP)* if there exists $n_1, \dots, n_N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $i, j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ such that $h_{n_i}(M_{n_i}) \supset M_\ell$ and $h_\ell(M_\ell) \supset M_{n_j}$.

The countable Markov partition $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{H})$ admits a Markov chain as follows. Consider a matrix $\mathcal{A} = (t_{ij})_{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ defined by

$$(5) \quad t_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \text{int}(M_i) \cap h_i^{-1}(\text{int}(M_j)) \neq \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is obvious that $\mathcal{A} = (t_{ij})_{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ is a matrix of zeroes and ones and, by Markovian property, it has no zero rows. Thus, \mathcal{A} is a transition matrix. Take

$$(6) \quad \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^+ := \{\mathbf{i} = (i_0, i_1, \dots) \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} : \forall j \geq 0, \quad t_{i_j i_{j+1}} = 1\}$$

and

$$(7) \quad \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}} := \{\mathbf{i} = (\dots, i_{-1}, i_0, i_1, \dots) \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{Z}} : \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad t_{i_j i_{j+1}} = 1\}$$

the corresponding *one-sided and two-sided topological Markov chains* on the countable alphabet \mathbb{N} and $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}^+} : \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^+ \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^+$ and $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}} : \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ the *left shift maps*, $(\sigma_{\mathcal{A}^+} \mathbf{i})_j = i_{j+1}$ and $(\sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbf{i})_j = i_{j+1}$. We equip $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^+$ and $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ with the topology generated by the base of cylinder sets

$$C_{[a_k, \dots, a_m]} := \{\mathbf{i} \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^+ : i_j = a_j \text{ for } j = k, \dots, m\}, \text{ where } k, m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \text{ and } k \leq m$$

and

$$C_{[a_k, \dots, a_m]} := \{\mathbf{i} \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}} : i_j = a_j \text{ for } j = k, \dots, m\}, \text{ where } k, m \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } k \leq m.$$

2.3. Inducing scheme of hyperbolic type for semigroups. Pesin et al. [24] introduced an inducing scheme of hyperbolic type for a continuous map of a compact topological space and described the associated tower construction to establish a thermodynamic formalism. Here, we generalize this notion to semigroup actions and describe inducing schemes of hyperbolic type for finitely generated semigroups of (local) diffeomorphisms (parameterized by random walks).

Let Γ be a finitely generated semigroup of (local) diffeomorphisms on a compact connected manifold M . Assume Γ admits a Markov partition $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{H}) = \{(M_i, h_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ with the finite images property. Thus, for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $h_i(\text{int}(M_i)) \in \mathcal{B} = \{B_1, \dots, B_N\}$, where B_j is an open ball of M , for each $j = 1, \dots, N$. Put $\text{int}(\mathcal{M}) := \{\text{int}(M_i)\}$. Let $|h_i|$ be the length of the admissible finite word w_i , $i \in \mathbb{N}$, so that $h_i = f_{w_i}^{|h_i|}$. Take the subset $W := \bigcup_{M_i \in \mathcal{M}} \text{int}(M_i)$ which is called the *inducing domain*.

Define the *inducing time* $\tau : M \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by

$$\tau(x) := \begin{cases} |h_i| & \text{if } x \in \text{int}(M_i) \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin W, \end{cases}$$

We say that Γ admits an *inducing scheme of hyperbolic type* $\{\mathcal{M}, \tau\}$, with inducing domain W and inducing time τ provided the two following conditions hold:

(H1) For any $M_i \in \mathcal{M}$, $h_i|_{\text{int}(M_i)}$ can be extended to a homeomorphism of a neighborhood of M_i .

Define the *induced map* $F : W \rightarrow M$ by

$$(8) \quad F(x) = h_i(x), \text{ if } x \in \text{int}(M_i).$$

(H2) For every bi-infinite sequence $\mathbf{i} = (\dots, i_{-1}, i_0, i_1, \dots) \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ there exists a unique sequence $\underline{x} = \underline{x}(\mathbf{i}) = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ such that

- (a) $x_n \in M_{i_n}$ and $h_{i_n}(x_n) = x_{n+1}$;
- (b) if for some sequences $\underline{x} = \underline{x}(\mathbf{i})$ and $\underline{y} = \underline{y}(\mathbf{j})$, one has $x_n = y_n$ for all $n \leq 0$ then $(\dots, i_{-1}, i_0) = (\dots, j_{-1}, j_0)$.

For each $\mathbf{i} \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$, consider the sequence $\underline{x}(\mathbf{i})$ given by condition (H2) and define the *coding map* $\pi : \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow M$ by

$$(9) \quad \pi(\mathbf{i}) = x_0.$$

Let

$$(10) \quad \check{\Sigma} = \{\mathbf{i} = (\dots, i_{-1}, i_0, i_1, \dots) \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}} : x_n(\mathbf{i}) \in \text{int}(M_{i_n}) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

We require the following two conditions on the inducing scheme $\{\mathcal{M}, \tau\}$. Using these conditions we prove the existence and uniqueness of equilibrium measures.

(H3) If μ is an ergodic $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ -invariant measure which gives positive weight to any open subset then $\mu(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \setminus \check{\Sigma}) = 0$.

(H4) The induced map F has at least one periodic point in W .

Using condition (H3) we show that every Gibbs measure is supported on $\check{\Sigma}$ and its projection by π is thus supported on W and is F -invariant. The projected measure is a natural candidate for the equilibrium measure for F . Using condition (H4) we prove that the pressure function is finite (see Proposition 5.10).

Organization. Let us give an overview of the content of the paper. In Subsection 3.1, we construct a special countable Markov partition for topologically mixing conformal locally expanding semigroup actions which is achieved primarily through a finite cover and satisfies the finite cycle property (Theorem 3.5). We will obtain a bounded distortion formulation for the induced map F in Subsection 3.2. As a consequence, we investigate the existence of a F -invariant absolutely continuous probability measure. In Subsection 4.1, we show that each locally expanding topologically

mixing semigroup of $C^{1+\alpha}$ conformal (local) diffeomorphisms on a compact connected manifold M exhibits an inducing scheme of hyperbolic type satisfying conditions (H1)–(H4). Our goal in Subsection 4.2 is to carry out the thermodynamic formalism for countable subshift $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ on the space $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ of two-sided infinite sequences. Note that for locally expanding semigroups, the semigroup action may be understood either as a random walk. It ensures to provide a link between the dynamics of the semigroup action and the induced skew product $F_{\mathbb{A}}$ given by (4). The tower construction of locally expanding semigroup actions allows us to define an inducing scheme for their induced skew products. Then, we apply the notion of random Markov fibred system introduced in [13] to construct a suitable Markov measure in Section 5.1. This yields the set of liftable measures of induced skew products is nonempty. In Section 5.3, we solve the liftability problem for towers associated with inducing schemes of induced skew products. By Zweimüller [39], a measure is liftable to the tower if it has integrable inducing time. Finally, using the Zweimüller’s result [39] and by Abramov’s and Kac’s formula, we provide a crucial relation between the thermodynamic formalism of original and induced systems. Theorem 5.12 is the main result of Section 5.3.

3. COUNTABLE MARKOV PARTITIONS AND ERGODICITY OF INDUCED MAP

Dynamical systems that admit Markov partitions with finite or countable number of partition elements allow symbolic representations by topological Markov shifts with finite or countable alphabets. As a result these systems exhibit high level of chaotic behaviors of trajectories. In this section we will construct a countable Markov partition with the finite images and the finite cycle properties for locally expanding semigroups. Moreover, we use the countable Markov partition to acquire a bounded distortion formulation for the induced map F given by (8).

3.1. Countable Markov partitions associated to locally expanding actions. Our aim here is to construct a countable Markov partition for locally expanding semigroups which satisfies FIP and FCP. Let us fix a conformal locally expanding semigroup Γ generated by a finite family f_1, \dots, f_k of $C^{1+\alpha}$ diffeomorphisms or local diffeomorphisms on a compact manifold M satisfying conditions (I1) and (I2) in Definition 2.1.

Take $\eta > 0$ the Lebesgue number of the covering $\{V_1, \dots, V_k\}$. Assume η is small enough so that $\eta < \frac{r}{2}$. Suppose that w is an admissible word for x of the length n . For any $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{\eta}{2}$ the *dynamical n -ball* $B(x, n, w, \varepsilon)$ defined as follows

$$(11) \quad B(x, n, w, \varepsilon) := \{y \in M : d(f_w^j(x), f_w^j(y)) < \varepsilon, \text{ for every } 0 \leq j \leq n\}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *Take a dynamical n -ball of the form (11). Then $f_w^n(B(x, n, w, \varepsilon)) = B(f_w^n(x), \varepsilon)$, for any admissible n -word of x .*

Proof. Obviously, by definition of a dynamical ball and due to condition (I1), we get

$$(12) \quad d(f_w^j \circ f_w^{-n}(z), f_w^j \circ f_w^{-n}(u)) \leq \sigma^{n-j} d(z, u)$$

for every $z, u \in B(f_w^n(x), \varepsilon)$ and every $0 \leq j \leq n$, where $f_w^{-n} = (f_w^n)^{-1}$. The inclusion $f_w^n(B(x, n, w, \varepsilon)) \subseteq B(f_w^n(x), \varepsilon)$ is an immediate consequence of definition of a dynamical ball. To prove the converse, consider the inverse map $f_w^{-n} : B(f_w^n(x), \varepsilon) \rightarrow B(x, \varepsilon)$. Given any $y \in B(f_w^n(x), \varepsilon)$, let $z = f_w^{-n}(y)$. Then, $f_w^n(z) = y$ and, by (12),

$$d(f_w^j(z), f_w^j(x)) \leq \sigma^{n-j} d(f_w^n(z), f_w^n(x)) \leq d(y, f_w^n(x)) < \varepsilon$$

for every $0 \leq j \leq n$. This shows that $z \in B(x, n, w, \varepsilon)$. \square

For a given pair of points $x, y \in M$, let y be accessible by x along an admissible n -word w of x , i.e. $f_w^n(x) = y$. Given a ball B of radius ε and center y , by Lemma 3.1, one gets that $f_w^{-n}(B) = B(x, n, w, \varepsilon)$. In this case, we say that B is *accessible* from the n -ball $B(x, n, w, \varepsilon)$.

Note that, here, the ball of radius r is meant with respect to the Riemannian distance $d(x, y)$ on M . Given any path-connected domain $D \subseteq M$, we define the inner distance $d_D(x, y)$ between two points x and y in D to be the infimum of the lengths of all curves joining x to y inside D . For any curve γ inside D , we denote by $d_D(\gamma)$ the length of γ . For a ball B , the notation $\mathcal{D}(B)$ stands for the set of all diameters of B . We recall that a diameter of B is a geodesic curve γ inside B which connects two points x and y from the boundary ∂B . We need the following auxiliary lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *There is $K > 0$ such that for any open ball $B = B(y, \varepsilon)$, with $\varepsilon \leq \frac{\eta}{2}$, any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any admissible n -word $w = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ such that B is accessible by an n -ball $B_n = B(x, n, w, \varepsilon)$ around the point x , one has that*

$$\min_{\gamma \in \mathcal{D}(B)} d_{B_n}(\gamma_n) \geq K \max_{\gamma \in \mathcal{D}(B)} d_{B_n}(\gamma_n),$$

where, for each curve $\gamma \in \mathcal{D}(B)$, γ_n is the lifting of γ inside $B(x, n, w, \varepsilon)$, i.e. $f_w^n(\gamma_n) = \gamma$.

Proof. For any $z \in B$, take the points z_j , $1 \leq j \leq n$, such that $z_n = z$, $z_{j-1} = f_{i_j}^{-1}(z_j)$ and let $a_{i_j}(z_j) := m(Df_{i_j}^{-1}(z_j)) = \|Df_{i_j}^{-1}(z_j)\|$. By conformality of the mappings $f_j|_{B_r(V_j)}$, $j = 1, \dots, k$, one has that $m(Df_w^{-n}(z)) = \|Df_w^{-n}(z)\| = \prod_{j=1}^n a_{i_j}(z_j)$. Take $a_{(i_1, \dots, i_n)}(z) := \prod_{j=1}^n a_{i_j}(z_j)$, we conclude that

$$\frac{\max_{\gamma \in \mathcal{D}(B)} d_{B_{n+1}}(f_w^{-n}\gamma)}{\min_{\gamma \in \mathcal{D}(B)} d_{B_{n+1}}(f_w^{-n}\gamma)} \leq \frac{\sup\{a_{(i_1, \dots, i_n)}(z) : z \in B\}}{\inf\{a_{(i_1, \dots, i_n)}(z) : z \in B\}}.$$

Let $F_j(x) := \log(a_j(x))$, for $x \in f_j(B_r(V_j))$ and $j = 1, \dots, k$, where $a_j(x) = m(Df_j^{-1}(x))$. Since f_j is $C^{1+\alpha}$, the mapping F_j is Hölder continuous, hence there exist constants $C \geq 1$ and $\alpha > 0$ such that for any $x, y \in f_j(B_r(V_j))$, $j = 1, \dots, k$, one has that $|F_j(x) - F_j(y)| \leq Cd(x, y)^\alpha$. Hence, for every $z, u \in B$, taking the points z_j and u_j with $z_n = z$, $u_n = u$, $z_{j-1} = f_{i_j}^{-1}(z_j)$ and $u_{j-1} = f_{i_j}^{-1}(u_j)$,

$j = 1, \dots, n$, one has that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\log a_{(i_1, \dots, i_n)}(z) - \log a_{(i_1, \dots, i_n)}(u)| &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \log a_{i_j}(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^n \log a_{i_j}(u_j) \right| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n |\log a_{i_j}(z_j) - \log a_{i_j}(u_j)| \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n |F_{i_j}(z_j) - F_{i_j}(u_j)| \\
&\leq C \sum_{j=1}^n d(z_j, u_j)^\alpha \\
&\leq C(2\rho)^\alpha \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma^{(n-j)\alpha} \\
&\leq \frac{C(2\rho)^\alpha}{1 - \sigma^\alpha},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\rho = \max\{\text{diam}(f_j(B_r(V_j))) : j = 1, \dots, k\}$. It is enough to take $K := \exp\left(\frac{C(2\rho)^\alpha}{1 - \sigma^\alpha}\right)$. \square

Let us take a finite covering $\mathcal{B} = \{B_1, \dots, B_N\}$ of open balls of M with centers p_j , $j = 1, \dots, N$ and radius ε with $0 < \varepsilon \leq \frac{\eta}{6}$, where $\eta < \frac{r}{2}$ is the Lebesgue number of the covering $\{V_1, \dots, V_k\}$. If the point p_j is accessible by a point $x \in M$ along an admissible word $w = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ of x then by Lemma 3.1, $f_w^{-n}(B_j) = B(x, n, w, \varepsilon)$, where $B(x, n, w, \varepsilon)$ is a dynamical n -ball around x . Put $M_{n,j,w}(x) := \text{Cl}(f_w^{-n}(B_j))$. If there is no confusion, we will abbreviate $M_{n,j,w}(x)$ by $M_{n,j,w}$. Briefly, we say that $M_{n,j,w}$ is a closed dynamical ball which is *achieved through* the collection \mathcal{B} and denote it by $M_{n,j,w} \vDash B_j$. Let us take

$$(13) \quad AD := \{w : w \text{ is an admissible finite word}\}.$$

Proposition 3.3. *The set*

$$\mathcal{V} := \{M_{n,j,w} : n \in \mathbb{N}, j \in \{1, \dots, N\}, w \in AD \text{ with } M_{n,j,w} \vDash B_j\}$$

of all closed dynamical balls achievable through the collection \mathcal{B} satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) \mathcal{V} is a covering of M ;
- (2) for every $x \in M$ and $\delta > 0$, there is an element $M_{n,j,w} \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $x \in M_{n,j,w}$ and $\text{diam}(M_{n,j,w}) < \delta$;
- (3) there is a constant $L_1 > 0$, does not depend on the indices n, j and the word w , such that for any $M_{n,j,w} \in \mathcal{V}$, $\text{diam}(M_{n,j,w})^d \leq L_1 \lambda(M_{n,j,w})$, where $d = \dim(M)$ and λ denotes the normalized Lebesgue measure on M .

Each covering \mathcal{V} exhibiting all conditions (1), (2) and (3) of Proposition 3.3 is called a *Vitali covering* of M .

Proof. We first claim that for each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, the collection

$$(14) \quad \mathcal{V}_\ell := \{M_{n,j,w} \in \mathcal{V} : n \geq \ell, j = 1, \dots, N\}$$

is a covering of M . Indeed, for each $x \in M$ and for any $n \geq \ell$, there exists an admissible word $w \in AD$ of the length n . Since \mathcal{B} is a covering of M there is $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, $f_w^n(x) \in B_j$ and hence, $x \in f_w^{-n}(B_j)$, that is x is contained in $M_{n,j,w}$. Now, since $\mathcal{V} = \bigcup_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{V}_\ell$, so the first condition holds. Condition (2) is an immediate consequence of inequality (12) given in Lemma 3.1. Finally, the last condition is a consequence of Lemma 3.2. \square

By the classical Vitali Theorem [14] if \mathcal{V} is a Vitali covering for a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, then there is in \mathcal{V} a sequence of pairwise disjoint elements whose union exhausts all of A but a Lebesgue null set. The next result is a reformulation of Vitali Covering Theorem in our context.

Proposition 3.4. *Under the above assumptions, for any open set U of M , there exists a finite or countably infinite disjoint subcollection $\{M_j\} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ such that $\lambda(U \Delta \bigcup_j M_j) = 0$.*




FIGURE 1. A countable Markov partition with the finite images and the finite cycle properties.

Now we show that the semigroup Γ admits a countable Markov partition with the finite images and finite cycle properties if it is topologically mixing.

Theorem 3.5. *Every topologically mixing conformal locally expanding semigroup Γ satisfying conditions (I1) and (I2) admits a countable Markov partition with the finite images (FIP) and the finite cycle (FCP) properties.*

Proof. The collections \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{V}_ℓ , provided by Proposition 3.3, are Vitali coverings of M . Take ℓ large enough so that $\text{diam}(\mathcal{V}_\ell) < \beta$, where β is the Lebesgue number of the covering \mathcal{B} . Since Γ is topologically mixing, hence for each $j = 1, \dots, N$, there is a closed dynamical ball $M_{n_j, i_j, w_j} \in \mathcal{V}_\ell$, with $n_j \in \mathbb{N}$, $i_j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ and $w_j \in AD$, such that

$$(15) \quad M_{n_j, i_j, w_j} \subset B_j, \quad f_{w_j}^{n_j}(\text{int}(M_{n_j, i_j, w_j})) = B_{j+1}, \quad \text{and} \quad f_{w_N}^{n_N}(\text{int}(M_{n_N, i_N, w_N})) = B_1.$$

Put $U = M \setminus \bigcup_{B_i \in \mathcal{B}} \partial B_i$ and take $\tilde{U} = U \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^N M_{n_j, i_j, w_j}$ which are both open subsets of M . Applying Proposition 3.4 to \tilde{U} , one can obtain a countably infinite subfamily $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} = \{M_i : i \in I\} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_\ell$, for some countable index set I , of disjoint closed dynamical balls such that $\lambda(\tilde{U} \Delta \bigcup_{i \in I} M_i) = 0$. Moreover,

the elements of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ are contained in \widetilde{U} . In particular, for each $i \in I$, $\text{int}(M_i) \cap \partial B_i = \emptyset$ and $\text{int}(M_i) \cap M_{n_j, i_j, w_j} = \emptyset$. Note that for each $M_i \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$, there exists some $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $j_i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ and $w_i \in AD$ in such a way that $M_i = M_{n_i, j_i, w_i}$ and $f_{w_i}^{n_i}(\text{int}(M_i)) = B_{j_i}$. For simplicity, put $h_i = f_{w_i}^{n_i}$. Take $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}} := \{h_i : i \in I\} \subset \Gamma$ corresponding to $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$. Clearly, $h_i(\text{int}(M_i)) \in \mathcal{B}$. It is easily seen that the collection $\mathcal{M} = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \cup \{M_{n_j, i_j, w_j} : j = 1, \dots, N\}$ together with $\mathcal{H} = \widetilde{\mathcal{H}} \cup \{f_{w_j}^{n_j} : j = 1, \dots, N\}$ is a Markov partition for Γ with the finite images and finite cycle properties, where M_{n_j, i_j, w_j} and $f_{w_j}^{n_j}$ given by (15). Indeed, suppose that $h_j(\text{int}(M_j)) \cap \text{int}(M_i) \neq \emptyset$. Since $h_j(\text{int}(M_j)) \in \mathcal{B}$ and M_i doesn't intersect $\cup_{B_i \in \mathcal{B}} \partial B_i$, one has that $M_i \subset h_j(M_j)$, that is $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{H})$ satisfies the Markovian property. Moreover, for each i , since \mathcal{B} is a cover of M and $\text{diam}(M_i)$ is less than the Lebesgue number of \mathcal{B} , hence there exists $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ with $M_i \subset B_{j+1}$, furthermore, by (15), $f_{w_j}^{n_j}(\text{int}(M_{n_j, i_j, w_j})) = B_{j+1}$ and hence $f_{w_j}^{n_j}(M_{n_j, i_j, w_j}) \supset M_i$. Also, $h_i(\text{int}(M_i)) = B_j$, for some $B_j \in \mathcal{B}$ and therefore, $h_i(M_i) \supset M_{n_j, i_j, w_j}$. Thus the Markov partition $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{H})$ satisfies the finite images and the finite cycle properties. Since \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{H} are countable, they can be reindexed with the positive integers, giving us the positive integers b_j , $j = 1, \dots, N$, for which $M_{b_j} := M_{n_j, i_j, w_j} \in \mathcal{M}$, $h_{b_j} := f_{w_j}^{n_j} \in \mathcal{H}$ and $h_{b_j}(\text{int}M_{b_j}) = B_{j+1}$, for $j = 1, \dots, N-1$ and $h_{b_N}(\text{int}M_{b_N}) = B_1$. Now the proof is finished. \square

3.2. Bounded distortion property and ergodicity of induced maps. Fix any locally expanding topologically mixing semigroup Γ of $C^{1+\alpha}$ conformal (local) diffeomorphisms on a compact connected manifold M . By Theorem 3.5, the semigroup Γ admits a countable Markov partition $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{H}) = \{(M_i, h_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ with the finite images and the finite cycle properties. In particular, $h_i(\text{int}(M_i)) \in \mathcal{B} = \{B_1, \dots, B_N\}$. In the rest, we fix elements $M_{b_j} \in \mathcal{M}$ with the corresponding maps h_{b_j} , $j = 1, \dots, N$, such that $h_{b_j}(\text{int}M_{b_j}) \in \mathcal{B}$ and they satisfy the finite cycle property. This means that for indices $b_j \in \mathbb{N}$, $j = 1, \dots, N$, we have

$$h_{b_j}(\text{int}M_{b_j}) = B_{j+1}, \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, N-1 \text{ and } h_{b_N}(\text{int}M_{b_N}) = B_1.$$

Let us take the induced map $F : W \rightarrow M$ given by (8). We will obtain a bounded distortion formulation for the induced map F .

Remark 3.6. *The following two facts hold.*

(i) *By the finite images and the finite cycle properties, for each $i = 1, \dots, N$, one has that*

$$F^N(\text{int}(M_{b_i})) \supseteq W = \bigcup_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \text{int}(M_{\ell}).$$

Notice that the subset $\cup_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \text{int}(M_{\ell})$ is an open and dense subset of M with the full Lebesgue measure.

(ii) *Each $M_j \in \mathcal{M}$ is a closed dynamical n -ball, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Define inductively closed dynamical $(n + n_{i_1} + \dots + n_{i_{\ell}})$ -balls for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ as follows:*

$M_{i_0} := M_j$, $h_{i_0} := h_j$, and for any $\ell \geq 1$, let

$$(16) \quad M_{i_0, \dots, i_{\ell}} := h_{i_{\ell}}^{-1}(M_{i_0, \dots, i_{\ell-1}}) \text{ with } M_{i_0, \dots, i_{\ell-1}} \subset h_{i_{\ell}}(M_{i_{\ell}}),$$

where n_{i_j} is the length of h_{i_j} . Hence, we have the following chain of expanding maps:

$$M_{i_0, \dots, i_{\ell}} \xrightarrow{h_{i_{\ell}}} M_{i_0, \dots, i_{\ell-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{h_{i_0}} h_{i_0}(M_{i_0})$$

with $h_{i_0}(\text{int}(M_{i_0})) \in \mathcal{B}$. In particular,

$$(17) \quad \text{diam}(M_{i_0, \dots, i_\ell}) \leq \sigma^{n_{i_\ell}} \text{diam}(M_{i_0, \dots, i_{\ell-1}}).$$

By property (i) of Remark 3.6 and the finite cycle property, we get the next result.

Lemma 3.7. *The induced map F is topologically mixing.*

The following formulation of the bounded distortion property is satisfied.

Lemma 3.8. *There exists $K_1 > 1$ such that for any dynamical ball M_{i_0, \dots, i_ℓ} given by (16) in Remark 3.6 and for each $y, z \in \text{int}(M_{i_0, \dots, i_\ell})$ one has*

$$K_1^{-1} \leq \frac{|\det(DF^j(y))|}{|\det(DF^j(z))|} \leq K_1, \quad j = 0, \dots, \ell + 1.$$

Proof. By property (ii) of Remark 3.6, for each dynamical ball M_{i_0, \dots, i_ℓ} we have

$$h_{i_0} \circ h_{i_1} \circ \dots \circ h_{i_\ell}(\text{int}(M_{i_0, \dots, i_\ell})) = B_m \in \mathcal{B}, \quad \text{for some } m \in \{1, \dots, N\}$$

and hence $F^{\ell+1}(\text{int}(M_{i_0, \dots, i_\ell})) = B_m$. In particular,

$$\text{int}(M_{i_0, \dots, i_\ell}) = h_{i_\ell}^{-1} \circ \dots \circ h_{i_0}^{-1}(B_m).$$

Moreover, for each $x \in \text{int}(M_{i_0, \dots, i_\ell})$ and all $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ one has that

$$h_{i_{\ell-j}} \circ \dots \circ h_{i_\ell}(x) \in h_{i_{\ell-j-1}}^{-1} \circ \dots \circ h_{i_0}^{-1}(B_m).$$

Also, by construction and the proof of Proposition 3.3, for each $0 \leq j \leq \ell$ there exists an admissible finite word w_j of the alphabets $\{1, \dots, k\}$ with the length n_j such that $h_{i_j} = f_{w_j}^{n_j}$. Take $n = n_0 + \dots + n_\ell$. Then there is an admissible finite word $w = (j_1, \dots, j_n)$ of the alphabets $\{1, \dots, k\}$ with the length n such that $h_{i_0} \circ h_{i_1} \circ \dots \circ h_{i_\ell} = f_w^n$, where $f_w^n = f_{j_1} \circ \dots \circ f_{j_n}$. Finally, by construction, for each $1 \leq t \leq n$, one has that

$$\text{diam}(f_{j_{n-t}}^{-1} \circ \dots \circ f_{j_1}^{-1}(B_m)) \leq \sigma \text{diam}(f_{j_{n-t-1}}^{-1} \circ \dots \circ f_{j_1}^{-1}(B_m)),$$

where $0 < \sigma < 1$ is given by condition (I1).

By assumption, the mapping $g_i := \log |\det Df_i|_{V_i}|$, for all $1 \leq i \leq k$, is α -Hölder and thus for all $z, y \in V_i$, it holds that

$$|g_i(z) - g_i(y)| \leq C_0 d(z, y)^\alpha,$$

for some constants $C_0 > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$ (independent of i).

By these facts, it is easy to see that for each $z, y \in \text{int}(M_{i_0, \dots, i_\ell})$ the following holds:

$$d(f_w^t(z), f_w^t(y)) \leq \sigma^{n-t} \text{diam}(\mathcal{B}) \leq 2\varepsilon \sigma^{n-t},$$

where $f_w^t = f_{j_1} \circ \dots \circ f_{j_t}$, $1 \leq t \leq n$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \log \frac{|\det Df_w^t(z)|}{|\det Df_w^t(y)|} &= \sum_{k=1}^t |g_{j_{t-k+1}}(f_w^{k-1}(z)) - g_{j_{t-k+1}}(f_w^{k-1}(y))| \leq \sum_{k=1}^t C_0 d(f_w^{k-1}(z), f_w^{k-1}(y))^\alpha \\ &\leq C_0 (2\varepsilon)^\alpha \sum_{k=1}^t (\sigma^{\alpha(n-t)}) \\ &\leq C_0 (2\varepsilon)^\alpha \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma^{k\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Take $K_1 = \exp(C_0(2\varepsilon)^\alpha \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma^{k\alpha})$. Then by this choice of K_1 , the inequality of the lemma holds. \square

Definition 3.9. Let $h_j(\text{int}(M_j)) = B_i$, for some $B_i \in \mathcal{B}$. If $\text{int}(M_k) \subset B_i$ then $h_j^{-1}(\text{int}(M_k)) \subset \text{int}(M_j)$. We say that $h_j^{-1}|_{\text{int}(M_k)} : \text{int}(M_k) \rightarrow h_j^{-1}(\text{int}(M_k)) \subset \text{int}(M_j)$ is an inverse branch of F . More generally, for any $n \geq 1$, we call an inverse branch of F^n a composition

$$h_{i_n}^{-1} \circ \dots \circ h_{i_1}^{-1}|_{\text{int}(M_k)} : \text{int}(M_k) \rightarrow h_{i_n}^{-1} \circ \dots \circ h_{i_1}^{-1}(\text{int}(M_k)) \subset \text{int}(M_{i_n}),$$

where (i_1, \dots, i_n) is an admissible word, $M_k \in \mathcal{M}$ with $h_{i_1}^{-1}(\text{int}(M_k)) \subset \text{int}(M_{i_1})$ and $h_{i_j}^{-1} \circ \dots \circ h_{i_1}^{-1}(\text{int}(M_k)) \subset \text{int}(M_{i_j})$, for $1 \leq j \leq n$.

Proposition 3.10. There are $C_0 > 0$ and a F -invariant absolutely continuous probability measure $\mu_F = \rho_F \lambda$ with $C_0^{-1} \leq \rho_F \leq C_0$, where λ denotes the normalized Lebesgue measure. In particular, μ_F is ergodic.

Proof. For each $x \in W$, take $\mathcal{J}_F(x) = |\det DF(x)|$. By Lemma 3.8, we get the following inequality: for each $y, z \in \text{int}(M_{i_0, \dots, i_{\ell-1}})$,

$$(18) \quad K_1^{-1} \leq \frac{\mathcal{J}_{F^\ell}(y)}{\mathcal{J}_{F^\ell}(z)} \leq K_1.$$

By (18) and the change of variable formula, there is a constant $K_2 > 0$ such that for any dynamical ball $M_{i_0, \dots, i_{\ell-1}}$ given by (16), with $F^\ell(\text{int}(M_{i_0, \dots, i_{\ell-1}})) = B_m$, for some $B_m \in \mathcal{B}$, if $A_1, A_2 \subset B_m$ are two measurable subsets then

$$(19) \quad K_2^{-1} \frac{\lambda(A_1)}{\lambda(A_2)} \leq \frac{\lambda(F^{-\ell}(A_1))}{\lambda(F^{-\ell}(A_2))} \leq K_2 \frac{\lambda(A_1)}{\lambda(A_2)},$$

where $F^{-\ell}$ is the corresponding inverse branch defined by Definition 3.9. Indeed, fixing $x \in \text{int}(M_{i_0, \dots, i_{\ell-1}})$, it comes out that

$$\frac{\int_{F^{-\ell}(A_1)} \mathcal{J}_{F^\ell} d\lambda}{\int_{F^{-\ell}(A_2)} \mathcal{J}_{F^\ell} d\lambda} \leq K_1^2 \frac{\mathcal{J}_{F^\ell}(x) \lambda(F^{-\ell}(A_1))}{\mathcal{J}_{F^\ell}(x) \lambda(F^{-\ell}(A_2))},$$

and with the same argument we prove the other inequality of (19) with $K_1^2 = K_2$.

For each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the collection \mathcal{W}_ℓ composed of all dynamical balls $M_{i_0, \dots, i_{\ell-1}}$ given by (16). Clearly \mathcal{W}_ℓ is a countable partition of W .

We claim that there exists $C_0 > 0$ such that for each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and any measurable subset A of W one has that

$$(20) \quad C_0^{-1}\lambda(A) \leq \lambda(F^{-\ell}(A)) \leq C_0\lambda(A).$$

In fact, for each inverse branch as above, we set $A_2 = B_m$ and $A_1 = A \cap M_k$, for each $M_k \subset B_m$. Then $\lambda(F^{-\ell}(A))$ is the sum of the terms $\lambda(F^{-\ell}(A \cap M_k))$, with $M_k \subset B_m$ and $B_m \in \mathcal{B}$, over all inverse branches of F^ℓ , see Remark 3.6 and Definition 3.9. It follows from these observations and (19) that the claim holds.

Using (20) we will see that every accumulation point μ_F of the sequence

$$\mu_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} F_*^i \lambda$$

is a F -invariant probability absolutely continuous with respect to λ , with density ρ_F bounded from zero and from infinity. Indeed, by (20), for every n , the density $\rho_n = d\mu_n/d\lambda$ satisfies $C_0^{-1} \leq \rho_n \leq C_0$ and the same holds for the density of the accumulation point μ_F (see Theorem 1.3 in [21])

Additionally, by statement (i) of Remark 3.6 and absolute continuity of μ_F , we observe that the measure μ_F is ergodic, see the proof of Theorem 1 in [37] for more details. \square

4. MARKOV TOWERS AND THERMODYNAMICS OF TWO-SIDED COUNTABLE SHIFTS

This section is devoted to exhibiting an inducing scheme of hyperbolic type satisfying conditions (H1) - (H4) for each locally expanding topologically mixing semigroup of $C^{1+\alpha}$ conformal (local) diffeomorphisms on a compact connected manifold M . By utilization of the inducing scheme we model semigroup actions by towers over the subshift of countable type on the space of two-sided infinite sequences. Moreover we establish a thermodynamic formalism for this kind of countable subshifts.

4.1. Markov towers for locally expanding semigroup actions. Let Γ be a locally expanding topologically mixing semigroup of $C^{1+\alpha}$ conformal (local) diffeomorphisms on a compact connected manifold M and take the Markov partition $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{H}) = \{(M_i, h_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ with the finite images and the finite cycle properties given by Theorem 3.5. Let $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^+$ and $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ be one-sided and two-sided topological Markov chains defined by (6) and (7), respectively, with the left shift maps, $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}^+}$ and $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$.

The aim is to show that Γ exhibits an inducing scheme of hyperbolic type satisfying conditions (H1)-(H4) of Subsection 2.3. The next proposition verifies conditions (H1) and (H2).

Proposition 4.1. *The following statements hold:*

- (1) For each $M_i \in \mathcal{M}$, $h_i|_{\text{int}(M_i)}$ can be extended to a homeomorphism of a neighborhood of M_i .
- (2) For every bi-infinite sequence $\mathbf{i} = (\dots, i_{-1}, i_0, i_1, \dots) \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ there exists a unique sequence $\underline{x} = \underline{x}(\mathbf{i}) = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ such that

- (a) $x_n \in M_{i_n}$ and $h_{i_n}(x_n) = x_{n+1}$;
- (b) if for some sequences $\underline{x} = \underline{x}(\mathbf{i})$ and $\underline{y} = \underline{y}(\mathbf{j})$, one has $x_n = y_n$ for all $n \leq 0$ then $(\dots, i_{-1}, i_0) = (\dots, j_{-1}, j_0)$.

The sequence $\mathbf{i} = (\dots, i_{-1}, i_0, i_1, \dots)$ is called the *itinerary* of x .

Proof. The proof follows directly from Theorem 3.5. Indeed, let $|h_i|$ be the length of the admissible finite word w_i , $i \in \mathbb{N}$, so that $h_i = f_{w_i}^{|h_i|}$. By definition, it is clear that $h_i|_{\text{int}(M_i)}$ can be extended to a homeomorphism of a neighborhood of M_i and statement (1) is verified.

Let $\mathbf{i} = (\dots, i_{-n}, \dots, i_{-1}, i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n, \dots) \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ and take the natural projections

$$(21) \quad q_n(\mathbf{i}) = q_n(\dots, i_{-n}, \dots, i_{-1}, i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n, \dots) = (i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n), \quad n \geq 0.$$

For the admissible word $q_n(\mathbf{i}) = (i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n)$, take the cylinder set

$$(22) \quad C_{i_0, \dots, i_n} = M_{i_0} \cap h_{i_0}^{-1}(M_{i_1}) \cap \dots \cap h_{i_0}^{-1} \circ h_{i_1}^{-1} \circ \dots \circ h_{i_{n-1}}^{-1}(M_{i_n}).$$

Then, by construction, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $C_{i_0, \dots, i_n} \subset C_{i_0, \dots, i_{n-1}}$. Also,

$$(23) \quad \text{diam}(C_{i_0, \dots, i_n}) \leq \sigma^{|h_{i_n}|} \text{diam}(C_{i_0, \dots, i_{n-1}}).$$

Thus, the intersection $\bigcap_{n \geq 0} C_{i_0, \dots, i_n}$ is a single point, we denote it by x_0 . In particular, $x_0 \in M_{i_0}$, $h_{i_0}(x_0) \in M_{i_1}$, and $h_{i_{n-1}} \circ \dots \circ h_{i_0}(x_0) \in M_{i_n}$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We take $x_1 = h_{i_0}(x_0)$ and $x_n = h_{i_{n-1}} \circ \dots \circ h_{i_0}(x_0)$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $x_{-1} = h_{i_{-1}}^{-1}(x_0)$ and inductively, we set $x_{-n} = h_{i_{-n}}^{-1}(x_{-n+1})$. Then, for every bi-infinite sequence $\mathbf{i} = (\dots, i_{-1}, i_0, i_1, \dots) \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ we can assign a unique sequence $\underline{x} = \underline{x}(\mathbf{i}) = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ as above. By construction this sequence satisfies property (a) of statement (2). Property (b) is an immediate consequence of our construction. \square

Proposition 4.2. *The map π given by (9) has the following properties:*

- (1) π is well defined, continuous and for all $\mathbf{i} \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ one has

$$\pi \circ \sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{i}) = h_{i_0} \circ \pi(\mathbf{i}),$$

where i_0 is the zeroth digit in \mathbf{i} ;

- (2) π is one-to-one on $\check{\Sigma}$ and $\pi(\check{\Sigma}) \subset W$, where $\check{\Sigma}$ is defined by (10) and $W = \bigcup_{M_i \in \mathcal{M}} \text{int}(M_i)$;
- (3) if $\pi(\mathbf{i}) = \pi(\mathbf{j})$ for some $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in \check{\Sigma}$ then $i_n = j_n$ for all $n \geq 0$.

Proof. By definition, π is well defined and for all $\mathbf{i} \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ it satisfies the equation $\pi \circ \sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{i}) = h_{i_0} \circ \pi(\mathbf{i})$. Also, by (23) it is continuous. Thus, property (1) holds.

By the definitions of π and $\check{\Sigma}$, π is one-to-one on $\check{\Sigma}$. Take

$$(24) \quad \Delta := \pi^{-1}(\partial \mathcal{M}), \quad \text{where} \quad \partial \mathcal{M} := \bigcup_{M_i \in \mathcal{M}} M_i \setminus \text{int}(M_i).$$

By definition of $\check{\Sigma}$, for any $\mathbf{i} \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \setminus \check{\Sigma}$ there exist $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\pi \circ \sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^k(\mathbf{i}) \in M_{i_k} \setminus \text{int}(M_{i_k})$. Hence, $\check{\Sigma} = \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \setminus \bigcup_{k \geq 0} \sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^{-k}(\Delta)$. By this fact and property (1), we get $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(\check{\Sigma}) \subset \check{\Sigma}$ and $\pi(\check{\Sigma}) \subset W$.

So, property (2) is verified. Property (3) follows immediately from the definitions of π and $\check{\Sigma}$ and Proposition 4.1. \square

We set

$$(25) \quad \widetilde{W} := \pi(\check{\Sigma}).$$

Condition (H3) can be followed by the next result.

Proposition 4.3. *Let μ be an ergodic $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ -invariant measure which gives positive weight to any open subset. Then $\mu(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \setminus \check{\Sigma}) = 0$ and the map π given by (9) is a continuous bi-measurable bijection map from $\check{\Sigma}$ to a (Lebesgue) full subset of M .*

Proof. Let μ be an ergodic $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ -invariant measure which gives positive weight to any open subset and consider the map π given by (9). Take Δ given by (24). By the proof of Proposition 4.2, $\check{\Sigma} = \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \setminus \bigcup_{k \geq 0} \sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^{-k}(\Delta)$. Thus, $\pi : \check{\Sigma} \rightarrow \widetilde{W}$ is bi-measurable, injective and surjective. By definition, \widetilde{W} has full Lebesgue measure. Also, Proposition 4.2 ensures that $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(\Delta) \subset \Delta$, i.e. Δ is forward invariant. Since the measure μ is $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ -invariant, $\mu(\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^n(\Delta)) = \mu(\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(\Delta))$, hence one has

$$\mu\left(\bigcap_{n \geq 0} \sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^n(\Delta)\right) = \mu(\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(\Delta)).$$

The set $\bigcap_{n \geq 0} \sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^n(\Delta)$ has measure 0 or 1 as μ is $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ -invariant and ergodic. Since its complement is a nonempty open set and has positive measure by the fact that μ gives positive weight to any open subset, one gets $\mu(\Delta) = 0$. This completes the proof. \square

By Lemma 3.7, the induced map F is topologically mixing, thus we get the next result. It verifies condition (H4).

Proposition 4.4. *The induced map F has at least one periodic point in W .*

By Propositions 4.1, 4.3 and 4.4, Γ admits an inducing scheme of hyperbolic type.

Remark 4.5. *Proposition 4.3 ensures that every Gibbs measure of $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ is supported on $\check{\Sigma}$ and its projection by π is thus supported on $\widetilde{W} \subset W$ and is F -invariant. Proposition 4.4 is used to ensure the finiteness of the pressure function.*

4.2. Thermodynamics of two-sided countable shifts. Locally expanding semigroup actions with inducing schemes are modeled by towers over the subshift of countable type on the space of two-sided infinite sequences. This motivate us to establish a thermodynamic formalism for this kind of countable subshifts. Thermodynamic formalism for subshifts on the space of one-sided infinite sequences over a countable alphabet provided by Sarig [29, 30]. In this section, we extend this result to countable subshifts on the space of two-sided infinite sequences. The main result in this section is Theorem 4.7 which provides conditions on the potential functions that guarantee existence and uniqueness of equilibrium measures and describes their ergodic properties.

We use these results in Subsections 5.1 and 5.3 to establish the thermodynamic formalism for locally expanding semigroup actions with inducing schemes of hyperbolic type. Given a locally expanding semigroup Γ , take the Markov partition $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{H}) = \{(M_i, h_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ having the finite images and the finite cycle properties. Consider the elements $M_{b_j} \in \mathcal{M}$ with the corresponding maps h_{b_j} , $j = 1, \dots, N$, such that $h_{b_j}(\text{int}M_{b_j}) \in \mathcal{B}$ and they satisfy the finite cycle property. That is for indices $b_j \in \mathbb{N}$, $j = 1, \dots, N$, we have

$$h_{b_j}(\text{int}M_{b_j}) = B_{j+1}, \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, N-1 \text{ and } h_{b_N}(\text{int}M_{b_N}) = B_1.$$

Let us take the induced map $F : W \rightarrow M$ given by (8) and the two sided Markov chain $(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}, \sigma_{\mathcal{A}})$ as defined in (7).

Now we recall preliminaries of thermodynamic formalism for countable subshifts. For a potential $\varphi : \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we denote by

$$\text{Var}_n(\varphi) := \sup\{|\varphi(\mathbf{i}) - \varphi(\mathbf{j})| : \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}, i_\ell = j_\ell, -n+1 \leq \ell \leq n-1\}$$

the n -th variation of φ . We say that

- φ has *summable variations* if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Var}_n(\varphi) < \infty$;
- φ has *strongly summable variations* if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \text{Var}_n(\varphi) < \infty$;
- φ is *locally Hölder continuous* if there exist $C > 0$ and $0 < \theta < 1$ such that for all $n \geq 1$, $\text{Var}_n(\varphi) \leq C\theta^n$.

Remark 4.6. *By definition, it is easily seen that if a potential φ is locally Hölder continuous then it has summable variations.*

For $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$Z_n(\varphi, \ell) := \sum_{\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^n(\mathbf{i}) = \mathbf{i}, i_0 = \ell} \exp(\varphi_n(\mathbf{i})),$$

where $\varphi_n(\mathbf{i}) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varphi \circ \sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^k(\mathbf{i})$. The Gurevic-Sarig pressure of φ is the number

$$(26) \quad \mathcal{P}(\varphi) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log Z_n(\varphi, \ell).$$

This notion introduced by Gurevic in [15, 16] which is a generalization of the notion of topological entropy $h_G(\sigma_{\mathcal{A}})$ for countable Markov chains, so that $\mathcal{P}(0) = h_G(\sigma_{\mathcal{A}})$. Since the Gurevich pressure only depends on the positive side of the sequences, $\mathcal{P}(\varphi)$ exists whenever $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \text{Var}_n(\varphi) < \infty$ and it is independent of $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ by Theorem 1 of [29].

A *Gibbs measure* for φ is an invariant probability measure μ_{φ} such that for some constant B and every cylinder $[a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}]$,

$$\frac{1}{B} \leq \frac{\mu_{\varphi}([a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}])}{e^{\varphi_n(\mathbf{i}) - n\mathcal{P}(\varphi)}} \leq B,$$

for all $\mathbf{i} \in [a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}]$, where $\varphi_n(\mathbf{i}) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varphi \circ \sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^k(\mathbf{i})$.

Let $\mathcal{M}(\sigma_{\mathcal{A}})$ denote the set of $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ -invariant Borel probabilities on $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ and

$$\mathcal{M}_{\varphi}(\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}) := \{\nu \in \mathcal{M}(\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}) : \int_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}} \varphi d\nu > -\infty\}.$$

An *equilibrium measure* is a $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ -invariant Borel probability measure ν_{φ} for which the following holds:

$$h_{\nu_{\varphi}}(\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}) + \int \varphi d\nu_{\varphi} = \sup_{\nu \in \mathcal{M}_{\varphi}(\sigma_{\mathcal{A}})} \{h_{\nu}(\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}) + \int \varphi d\nu\} < \infty.$$

In the following, the thermodynamic formalism for countable shift $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ on the space $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ of two-sided infinite sequences is established.

Theorem 4.7. *Assume $\sup_{i \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}} \varphi(i) < \infty$ and φ has strongly summable variations. Then:*

(1) *The variational principle for φ holds, i.e.,*

$$\mathcal{P}(\varphi) = \sup_{\nu \in \mathcal{M}_{\varphi}(\sigma_{\mathcal{A}})} \{h_{\nu}(\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}) + \int \varphi d\nu\}.$$

(2) *If $\mathcal{P}(\varphi) < \infty$ then there exists a unique $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ -invariant ergodic Gibbs measure ν_{φ} for φ .*

(3) *If, furthermore, $h_{\nu_{\varphi}}(\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}) < \infty$, then $\nu_{\varphi} \in \mathcal{M}_{\varphi}(\sigma_{\mathcal{A}})$ and it is the unique equilibrium measure for φ .*

The proof of the theorem is based on the technique used in [24] and will require the lemmas stated below.

The one-sided topological Markov chain $(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^+, \sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^+)$ has the *big images and preimages (BIP) property* if

$$(27) \quad \exists b_1, \dots, b_N \text{ such that } \forall a \in \mathbb{N} \exists i, j \text{ such that } t_{b_i a} t_{a b_j} = 1.$$

For induced map F given by (8), we say that φ is a *piecewise Hölder continuous potential* of F if the restriction of φ to the interior of any element of the partition \mathcal{M} is Hölder continuous, i.e. for all x, y in the interior of the same element of \mathcal{M} ,

$$|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \leq K d(x, y)^{\alpha}$$

for some $\alpha > 0$, $K < \infty$.

Proposition 4.8. *The one-sided topological Markov chain $(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^+, \sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^+)$ has the BIP property.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.5 the semigroup Γ exhibits a Markov partition $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{H})$ with the finite images and the finite cycle properties and let $\mathcal{A} = (t_{ij})_{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ be the corresponding transition matrix given by (5) such that $t_{ij} = 1$ if $\text{int}(M_i) \cap h_i^{-1}(\text{int}(M_j)) \neq \emptyset$. Since $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{H})$ has the finite cycle property, hence $\mathcal{B} = \{h_i(\text{int}(M_i)) : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ consists of finitely many open subsets $\{B_1, \dots, B_N\}$ of M . By the finite cycle property, there exist integer numbers b_{ℓ} , $\ell = 1, \dots, N-1$, so that $M_{b_{\ell}} \subset B_{\ell}$, $h_{b_{\ell}}(\text{int}(M_{b_{\ell}})) = B_{\ell+1}$, $M_{b_N} \subset B_N$ and $h_{b_N}(\text{int}(M_{b_N})) = B_1$. Given $a \in \mathbb{N}$, since $\mathcal{B} = \{B_1, \dots, B_N\}$ is an open cover of M , there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ such that $M_a \subset B_i$. Hence, $h_{b_{i-1}}(M_{b_{i-1}}) \supset B_i \supset M_a$ which ensures that $t_{b_{i-1} a} = 1$. Also, $h_a(\text{int}(M_a)) = B_j$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. Then by the finite cycle property, $h_a(M_a) \supset M_{b_j}$, and hence $t_{a b_j} = 1$. \square

It is not hard to see that there exists a one to one correspondence between the cylinder sets C_{i_0, \dots, i_ℓ} given by (22) and the cylinder sets of $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^+$. Hence, according to statement (i) of Remark 3.6, we get the next result.

Proposition 4.9. *One-sided topological Markov shift $(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^+, \sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^+)$ is topologically mixing.*

Consider a potential $\varphi^* : \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and define its n -variations $V_n(\varphi^*)$ and its Gurevich pressure $\mathcal{P}(\varphi^*)$ and as well as Gibbs and equilibrium measures as above but using one-sided sequences.

By Propositions 4.9 and 4.8, the one-sided topological Markov shift $(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^+, \sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^+)$ is topologically mixing and satisfies the BIP property. Hence, one can get the next result which is a restating of the main results in [29, 30] in our context.

Lemma 4.10. *Assume $\sup_{\mathbf{i} \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^+} \varphi^*(\mathbf{i}) < \infty$ and $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \text{Var}_n(\varphi^*) < \infty$. Then*

(1) *The variational principle for φ^* holds, i.e.,*

$$\mathcal{P}(\varphi^*) = \sup_{\nu \in \mathcal{M}_{\varphi^*}(\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^+)} \{h_{\nu}(\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^+) + \int \varphi^* d\nu\}.$$

(2) *If $\mathcal{P}(\varphi^*) < \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Var}_n(\varphi^*) < \infty$, then there exists a unique $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^+$ -invariant ergodic Gibbs measure ν_{φ^*} for φ^* .*

(3) *If, furthermore, $h_{\nu_{\varphi^*}}(\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^+) < \infty$, then $\nu_{\varphi^*} \in \mathcal{M}_{\varphi^*}(\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^+)$ and is the unique equilibrium measure for φ^* .*

We extend these results to the subshift of countable type on the space of two-sided sequences.

Take the natural projection $\pi_+ : \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^+$ defined by

$$(28) \quad \pi_+(\dots, i_{-1}, i_0, i_1, \dots) = (i_0, i_1, \dots).$$

Lemma 4.11. *Assume $\varphi : \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has strongly summable variations. Then there exists a bounded real-valued function $u : \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that the function defined by*

$$\psi(\mathbf{i}) := \varphi(\mathbf{i}) + u(\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{i})) - u(\mathbf{i})$$

satisfies:

- (1) $\psi(\mathbf{i}) = \psi(\mathbf{j})$ whenever $i_n = j_n$ for all $n \geq 0$;
- (2) ψ has summable variations, i.e., $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Var}_n(\psi) < \infty$;
- (3) if φ is locally Hölder continuous, then so is ψ ;
- (4) $\mathcal{P}(\psi) = \mathcal{P}(\varphi)$.

Proof. The proof follows directly from [12] and [24, Lemma 3.3]. Take a sequence $(r_k)_{k=-\infty}^{-1}$, with $r_k \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $t_{r_k r_{k+1}} = 1$ for each $k \leq -2$. By the (BIP) property, for each $\mathbf{i}^+ = (i_0, i_1, \dots) \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^+$, there exists a finite admissible word w such that the concatenation $(\dots, r_{-2}, r_{-1}, w, i_0, i_1, \dots)$ belongs to

$\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$. So, we define $r : \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ by $r(\mathbf{i}) = (\dots, r_{-2}, r_{-1}, w, i_0, i_1, \dots)$, where $(i_0, i_1, \dots) = \pi_+(\mathbf{i})$ as defined in (28). Note that the finite word w only depends on r_{-1} and i_0 . Let

$$u(\mathbf{i}) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi(\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^j(\mathbf{i})) - \varphi(\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^j(r(\mathbf{i}))).$$

Since

$$|\varphi(\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^j(\mathbf{i})) - \varphi(\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^j(r(\mathbf{i})))| \leq V_{j+1}(\varphi),$$

the function u is well defined and uniformly bounded.

By the strong summability of φ and using the estimation applied in [24, Lemma 3.3], we get

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} V_n(u) < \infty.$$

To prove the first statement, note that

$$\psi(\mathbf{i}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi(\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^j(r(\mathbf{i}))) - \varphi(\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^j(r(\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{i}))))$$

only depends on i_j with $j \geq 0$. The other statements follow directly from [24, Lem. 3.3]. \square

Now, using Lemmas 4.10 and 4.11 and the approach used in [24, Thm. 3.1], we conclude Theorem 4.7.

5. THERMODYNAMIC FORMALISM OF THE INDUCED SKEW PRODUCT

We consider locally expanding semigroup actions and explore the relation between ergodic properties of semigroup actions and their induced skew products. Locally expanding semigroups exhibit inducing schemes of hyperbolic type. The tower construction of these semigroup actions allows us to define an inducing scheme for their induced skew products. We introduce liftable measures and describe those measures that give positive weight to the base of the tower and can be lifted. We use the symbolic representation by the tower and apply the results in Section 4.2 to establish a thermodynamic formalism for liftable measures.

5.1. Inducing scheme and liftable measures. The locally expanding property of the semigroup action may be described by a random walk. This allows us to provide a link between the dynamics of the semigroup action and the induced skew product $F_{\mathbb{A}}$ given by (4). Then, using the inducing scheme (\mathcal{M}, τ) of Γ , we define a scheme for the skew product map $F_{\mathbb{A}}$. We recall the induced time τ of inducing scheme (\mathcal{M}, τ) obtained for Γ in Section 4.1:

$$\tau(x) := \begin{cases} |h_i| & \text{if } x \in \text{int}(M_i) \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin W, \end{cases}$$

where $|h_i|$ is the length of the admissible finite word w_i , $i \in \mathbb{N}$, so that $h_i = f_{w_i}^{|h_i|}$.

For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the finite word $w_j = (i_j^1, \dots, i_j^{s_j})$, with $s_j = \tau(\text{int}(M_j))$ such that $h_j = f_{i_j^{s_j}} \circ \dots \circ f_{i_j^1}$. Then, we define an injective map $\rho : \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}$ such that it takes each branch $(\dots, i_{-1}, i_0, i_1, \dots) \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ to the concatenation of associated finite words:

$$(29) \quad \rho : \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}, \quad \rho(\dots, i_{-1}, i_0, i_1, \dots) = (\dots, i_{-1}^1, \dots, i_{-1}^{s_{-1}}, i_0^1, \dots, i_0^{s_0}, \dots).$$

Let us take $C(i) \subset \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ the cylinder set induced by the letter $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and let

$$(30) \quad \mathbb{W} := \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \rho(C(i)) \times M_i \subset \Sigma_{\mathbb{A}} \times M.$$

We now define measurable maps $\psi : \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ by

$$(31) \quad \psi(\mathbf{i}, x) := \begin{cases} \tau(x) & \text{if } x \in \text{int}(M_{i_0}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and $F_{\mathbb{A}}^{\psi} : \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$ by

$$(32) \quad F_{\mathbb{A}}^{\psi}(\mathbf{i}, x) := \begin{cases} (\sigma_{\mathbb{A}}^{\tau(x)}(\mathbf{i}), f_{\mathbf{i}}^{\tau(x)}(x)) & \text{if } x \in \text{int}(M_{i_0}) \\ (\mathbf{i}, x) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where i_0 is the zeroth digit of $\rho^{-1}(\mathbf{i})$. They are called *inducing time* and *induced map*, respectively. We denote by $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{A}}$ the Borel σ -algebra on $\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}} \times M$.

In what follows, we solve the liftability problem for $F_{\mathbb{A}}^{\psi}$ and describe those measures that give positive weight to the base of the tower and can be lifted. To do that, we use the results of [24, 39] and investigate the existence of lifted $F_{\mathbb{A}}$ -invariant ergodic probability measures.

Let

$$\mathbb{Y} := \{F_{\mathbb{A}}^k(\mathbf{i}, x) : (\mathbf{i}, x) \in \mathbb{W} \text{ and } 0 \leq k \leq \psi(\mathbf{i}, x) - 1\},$$

where ψ is the inducing time given by (31). Note that \mathbb{Y} is forward invariant under $F_{\mathbb{A}}$. Set $\mathcal{S} := \{\rho(C(i)) \times M_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Define the induced map $\mathbb{F} : \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$ by $\mathbb{F}|_{\rho(C(i)) \times M_i} := F_{\mathbb{A}}^{\psi}|_{\rho(C(i)) \times M_i}$, for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then (\mathcal{S}, ψ) is an inducing scheme for $F_{\mathbb{A}}$.

Remark 5.1. *It is easy to see that $\mathbf{i} \in \tilde{\Sigma}$ is a periodic orbit for the shift $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ if and only if $(\rho(\mathbf{i}), \pi(\mathbf{i}))$ is a periodic orbit for \mathbb{F} , where the subset $\tilde{\Sigma} \subset \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ defined by (10).*

The inducing schemes of hyperbolic type for continuous maps on a compact topological space introduced in [24]. They provide a symbolic representation by a Young tower.

Denote the set of $F_{\mathbb{A}}$ -invariant ergodic Borel probability measures on \mathbb{Y} by $\mathcal{M}(F_{\mathbb{A}}, \mathbb{Y})$ and the set of \mathbb{F} -invariant ergodic Borel probability measures on \mathbb{W} by $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{W})$. For any $m \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{W})$, let

$$(33) \quad Q_m := \int_{\mathbb{W}} \psi dm.$$

If $Q_m < \infty$ the *lifted measure* $\mathcal{L}(m)$ is defined as follows: for any $E \subset \mathbb{Y}$

$$(34) \quad \mathcal{L}(m)(E) := \frac{1}{Q_m} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k=0}^{\psi(\rho(C(i)) \times M_i) - 1} m(F_{\mathbb{A}}^{-k}(E) \cap \rho(C(i)) \times M_i).$$

Proposition 5.2. [24, Proposition 4.1] *If $m \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{W})$ and $Q_m < \infty$ then $\mathcal{L}(m) \in \mathcal{M}(F_{\mathbb{A}}, \mathbb{Y})$, $\mathcal{L}(m)(\mathbb{W}) = \frac{1}{Q_m}$.*

Consider the class of measures

$$\mathcal{M}_L(F_{\mathbb{A}}, \mathbb{Y}) := \{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(F_{\mathbb{A}}, \mathbb{Y}) : \text{there is } m := \mathcal{L}^{-1}\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{W}) \text{ with } \mathcal{L}(m) = \mu\}.$$

A measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_L(F_{\mathbb{A}}, \mathbb{Y})$ is called *liftable* and $\mathcal{L}^{-1}\mu$ is an *induced measure* for μ .

Remark 5.3. *Note that by [39, Theorem 1.1]) if the inducing time $\psi \in L^1(\mathbb{Y}, \mu)$, then $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_L(F_{\mathbb{A}}, \mathbb{Y})$.*

5.2. Random Markov chains and liftable measures. Here, we show that the subset of liftable measures of induced skew products is nonempty. To do that we will establish a bridge between topological Markov chains and locally expanding semigroup actions. We use the notion of random Markov fibred system introduced in [13] and define a random Markov chain in our setting. It allows us to obtain a convenient Markov measure. The rest of our argument is based on the link between the dynamics of the semigroup action and the induced skew product.

Given a countable index set I with the discrete topology, let $I^{\mathbb{N}}$ be the space of sequences in I . Assume, \mathcal{C} is the Borel σ -algebra with respect to the product topology and consider $\sigma_I : I^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow I^{\mathbb{N}}$, $(i_1, i_2, \dots) \mapsto (i_2, i_3, \dots)$ the left shift. Assume $(\Theta, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ is a standard probability space with a probability-preserving invertible transformation $\theta : \Theta \rightarrow \Theta$ and let

$$S : I^{\mathbb{N}} \times \Theta \rightarrow I^{\mathbb{N}} \times \Theta, \quad (\mathbf{i}, x) \mapsto (\sigma_I(\mathbf{i}), \theta(x)).$$

A system of random Markov measures is defined as follows. Let \mathcal{P} be the set of probability vectors and take \mathcal{A} the set of transition matrices, that is

$$\mathcal{P} := \left\{ (p_i)_{i \in I} \in [0, 1]^I : \sum_{i \in I} p_i = 1 \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{A} := \left\{ (\pi_{ij})_{i, j \in I} \in [0, 1]^{I \times I} : \sum_{j \in I} \pi_{ij} = 1 \text{ for all } i \in I \right\}.$$

Note that each pair $((p_i), (\pi_{ij})) \in \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{A}$ defines a Markov measure $m_{((p_i), (\pi_{ij}))}$ on $(I^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathcal{C})$ as follows. For $a = (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in I^n$ and $[a] := \{((j_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, x) \in I^{\mathbb{N}} \times \Theta : j_k = i_k \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, n\}$, let

$$m_{((p_i), (\pi_{ij}))}([a]) := p_{i_1} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \pi_{i_k i_{k+1}}.$$

Given a measurable map $\Theta \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{A}$, $x \mapsto ((p_i^x), (\pi_{ij}^x))$, a family of Markov measures $\{m^x : x \in \Theta\}$ is defined by

$$m_{((p_i^x), (\pi_{ij}^x))}^x([a]) := p_{i_1}^x \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \pi_{i_k i_{k+1}}^{\theta^{k-1}(x)},$$

where $a = (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in I^n$. If S_x is non-singular with respect to m^x for a.e. $x \in \Theta$, we call the system $(I^{\mathbb{N}} \times \Theta, \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{F}, ((p_i^x), (\pi_{ij}^x)), S)$ a *random Markov chain*.

The next result ensures the existence of a S -invariant measure.

Proposition 5.4. [13, Proposition 5.1] *Let $(I^{\mathbb{N}} \times \Theta, \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{F}, ((p_i^x), (\pi_{ij}^x)), S)$ be a random Markov chain with the following properties:*

- (a) *there exists a family $\{C_x\}_{x \in \Theta}$, $C_x > 1$, such that for μ -a.e. $x \in \Theta$ and $i, j, k \in I$ with $\pi_{ij}^x, \pi_{ik}^x, p_j^{\theta(x)}, p_k^{\theta(x)} > 0$,*

$$C_{\theta(x)}^{-1} < \frac{\pi_{ij}^x p_k^{\theta(x)}}{\pi_{ik}^x p_j^{\theta(x)}} < C_{\theta(x)};$$

- (b) *there exists a family $\{\eta_x\}_{x \in \Theta}$, $\eta_x > 0$, such that for μ -a.e. $x \in \Theta$ and $i \in I$ with $p_i^x > 0$,*

$$\sum_{j: \pi_{ij}^x > 0} p_j^{\theta(x)} > \eta_{\theta(x)}.$$

Then there exists a measurable map $x \mapsto (\mathbb{P}_i^x) \in \mathcal{P}$ such that the measure $d\mathbb{P}^x d\mu$ on $(I^{\mathbb{N}} \times \Theta, \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{F})$ defined by $\mathbb{P}^x = m_{((\mathbb{P}_i^x), (\pi_{ij}^x))}^x$ is S -invariant.

For the locally expanding group Γ with inducing scheme (\mathcal{M}, τ) , we will define a random Markov chain as the following and show that it satisfies conditions (a) and (b) of the previous proposition. So, we provide a suitable Markov measure. Take the countable Markov partition $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{H}) = \{(M_i, h_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ with the finite images and the finite cycle properties. Let π be the coding map given by (9), $\tilde{\Sigma} \subset \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ defined by (10) and take \widetilde{W} from (25) with $\widetilde{W} = \pi(\tilde{\Sigma})$. Note that, by Proposition 4.2, π is one to one on $\tilde{\Sigma}$ and F is one to one on \widetilde{W} . Take the projection $\pi_+ : \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}^+}$ given by (28).

Now, for each $x \in \widetilde{W}$, let $(p_i^x)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a non-negative Borel measurable partition of unity defined by

$$(35) \quad p_i^x = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \text{int}(M_i) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and let $(\pi_{ij}^x)_{i, j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a right stochastic matrix (i.e. $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \pi_{ij}^x = 1$) defined by

$$(36) \quad \pi_{ij}^x = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \text{int}(M_i) \text{ and } h_i(x) \in \text{int}(M_j) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For each finite word $\zeta = (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, any $x \in \widetilde{W}$ and the associated cylinder set $[\zeta^x] = \{((j_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, x) : j_k \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ and } j_k = i_k, 1 \leq k \leq n\}$, we define a family of probability measures $\{P^x; x \in \widetilde{W}\}$ by

$$P^x([\zeta^x]) := p_{i_1}^x \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \pi_{i_k i_{k+1}}^{F^{k-1}(x)}.$$

Denote the set of all F -invariant ergodic Borel probability measures by $\mathcal{M}(F)$. Note that Proposition 3.10 guarantees the existence of an F -invariant absolutely continuous probability measure equivalent to Lebesgue. Let $\mathcal{B}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_+}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\widetilde{W}}$ be the Borel σ -algebras on $\tilde{\Sigma}_+$ and \widetilde{W} , respectively. Given any measure $\mu_F \in \mathcal{M}(F)$ take the measure

$$(37) \quad dP^x d\mu_F$$

on $\check{\Sigma}_+ \times \widetilde{W}$. We take $Y^+ := \check{\Sigma}_+ \times \widetilde{W}$ and define a mapping $T^+ : Y^+ \rightarrow Y^+$ by

$$T^+(\mathbf{i}, x) = (\sigma_{\mathcal{A}^+}(\mathbf{i}), F(x)).$$

By definitions, $\check{\Sigma}_+$ is $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}^+}$ invariant and \widetilde{W} is F -invariant, hence, $T^+(Y^+) \subset Y^+$. Note that the mapping $F : \widetilde{W} \rightarrow \widetilde{W}$ is an invertible, bi-measurable and probability-preserving transformation and T^+ is non-singular. Also, we take the σ -algebra $\mathcal{C}^+ = \mathcal{B}_{\check{\Sigma}_+} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\widetilde{W}}$ on Y^+ . Then, the system $(Y^+, \mathcal{C}^+, ((p_i^x), (\pi_{ij}^x)), T^+)$ is a random Markov chain.

Proposition 5.5. *There exists a measurable map $x \mapsto (\mathbb{P}_i^x)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that the measure $d\mathbb{P}^x d\mu_F$ on (Y^+, \mathcal{C}^+) given by*

$$\mathbb{P}^x([\zeta^x]) := \mathbb{P}_{i_1}^x \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \pi_{i_k i_{k+1}}^{F^{k-1}(x)}$$

is T^+ -invariant, where $\zeta = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ is a finite word of alphabets \mathbb{N} and $(\mathbb{P}_i^x)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a probability vector, that is $\mathbb{P}_i^x \in [0, 1]$ and $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}_i^x = 1$.

Proof. To prove, we verify conditions (a) and (b) of Proposition 5.4 for the random Markov chain $(Y^+, \mathcal{C}^+, ((p_i^x), (\pi_{ij}^x)), T^+)$ as defined above. By (35) and (36), if for $x \in \widetilde{W}$ and $i, j, k \in \mathbb{N}$ one has $\pi_{ij}^x > 0$, $\pi_{ik}^x > 0$, $p_j^{F(x)} > 0$ and $p_k^{F(x)} > 0$, then $j = k$ and $\pi_{ij}^x = \pi_{ik}^x = p_j^{F(x)} = p_k^{F(x)} = 1$. Thus, if we take $C_x := 2$, for each $x \in \widetilde{W}$, we have

$$C_{F(x)}^{-1} < \frac{\pi_{ij}^x \cdot p_k^{F(x)}}{\pi_{ik}^x \cdot p_j^{F(x)}} < C_{F(x)}.$$

Thus condition (a) is satisfied. Moreover, if we take $\eta_x := 1/2$ then

$$\sum_{j: \pi_{ij}^x > 0} p_j^{F(x)} > \eta_{F(x)}$$

which ensures condition (b). Now, the proof can be followed by Proposition 5.4. \square

We now consider an extension (Y, \mathcal{C}, T) of $(Y^+, \mathcal{C}^+, T^+)$ as follows. Take $Y := \check{\Sigma} \times \widetilde{W}$ and define the extension map $T : Y \rightarrow Y$ by

$$(38) \quad T(\mathbf{i}, x) = (\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{i}), F(x)).$$

Consider the Borel σ -algebra \mathcal{C} on Y . By Kolmogorov's extension theorem (Y, \mathcal{C}, T) admits an invariant measure ν inherited from the invariant measure $d\mathbb{P}^x d\mu_F$ on $(Y^+, \mathcal{C}^+, T^+)$ given by the previous proposition. Furthermore, by Proposition 4.8, the one-sided topological Markov shift $(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^+, \sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^+)$ is topologically mixing. Also, by Lemma 3.7, the induced map F is topologically mixing. These observations imply that the measure $d\mathbb{P}^x d\mu_F$ and hence the measure ν are ergodic. Thus, we get the next result.

Corollary 5.6. *Consider the above extension (Y, \mathcal{C}, T) of $(Y^+, \mathcal{C}^+, T^+)$. Then (Y, \mathcal{C}, T) admits an ergodic invariant measure ν inherited from the invariant measure $d\mathbb{P}^x d\mu_F$ given by Proposition 5.4.*

Take

$$Z := Y \cap \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} C(i) \times M_i \quad \text{and} \quad H := \rho \times id|_Z,$$

where ρ is the injective map defined by (29). Then, $H \circ T|_Z = F_{\mathbb{A}}^\psi \circ H$ which means that H is a conjugacy between $T|_Z$ and $F_{\mathbb{A}}^\psi$.

Consider the measure

$$(39) \quad m = H_* \nu.$$

Then the support of m is contained in the subset \mathbb{W} and it can be extended to a probability measure on the whole space $\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}} \times M$ by taking $m(A) = 0$ for each measurable set $A \subset \Sigma_{\mathbb{A}} \times M \setminus \mathbb{W}$. In particular, m is an $F_{\mathbb{A}}^\psi$ -invariant probability measure. Moreover, by Corollary 5.6, it is also ergodic. Hence, we get the next result.

Proposition 5.7. *The measure m given by (39) is an $F_{\mathbb{A}}^\psi$ -invariant ergodic probability measure. Furthermore, the support of m is contained in \mathbb{W} .*

The next result shows that the measure m given by (39) can be lifted to an $F_{\mathbb{A}}$ -invariant measure. As a consequence, the subset $\mathcal{M}_L(F_{\mathbb{A}}, \mathbb{Y})$ of liftable measures is nonempty.

Proposition 5.8. *Consider the measure m given by (39). Then $Q_m < \infty$ and $\mathcal{L}(m) \in \mathcal{M}(F_{\mathbb{A}}, \mathbb{Y})$.*

Proof. Let us take the constant $0 < \sigma < 1$ given by condition (I1) of Definition 2.1. Then, by definition of ψ , we get

$$Q_m = \int_{\mathbb{W}} \psi dm = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \tau(\text{int}(M_i)) \mu_F(\text{int}(M_i)) \leq \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \tau(\text{int}(M_i)) \sigma^{\tau(\text{int}(M_i))} < \infty.$$

This fact and Proposition 5.2 complete the proof. \square

5.3. Thermodynamic formalism with respect to liftable measures. Following the approach used in [24], for the induced skew product map $F_{\mathbb{A}}$, we will establish a thermodynamic formalism for liftable measures. In particular, we present some conditions on potentials which guarantee existence and uniqueness of the associated equilibrium measures. These measures minimize the free energy among the liftable measures. We start with some preparatory results.

Let $\phi : \Sigma_{\mathbb{A}} \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Borel function. We assume that ϕ is well-defined and is finite at every point $(\mathbf{i}, x) \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{A}} \times M$ and we call ϕ a *potential*.

For a potential ϕ , a measure μ_ϕ , in the space $\mathcal{M}_L(F_{\mathbb{A}}, \mathbb{Y})$ of liftable measures, will be called an *equilibrium measure* for ϕ if

$$P_L(\phi) := \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_L(F_{\mathbb{A}}, \mathbb{Y})} \{h_\mu(F_{\mathbb{A}}) + \int_{\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}} \times M} \phi d\mu\} = h_{\mu_\phi}(F_{\mathbb{A}}) + \int_{\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}} \times M} \phi d\mu_\phi.$$

This definition of equilibrium measures only considers liftable measures and it differs from the standard one.

We define the induced potential $\bar{\phi} : \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$(40) \quad \bar{\phi}(\mathbf{i}, x) := \sum_{\ell=0}^{\psi(\rho(C(i)) \times M_i) - 1} \phi(F_{\mathbb{A}}^{\ell}(\mathbf{i}, x)), \quad (\mathbf{i}, x) \in \rho(C(i)) \times M_i.$$

By using the next result, we provide a relation between the thermodynamic formalism of original and induced systems. It is a reformulation of Abramov's and Kac's formula in our setting.

Proposition 5.9. *Let $m \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{W})$ with $Q_m < \infty$, where Q_m is given by (33). Then*

$$h_m(\mathbb{F}) = Q_m \cdot h_{\mathcal{L}(m)}(F_{\mathbb{A}}) < \infty.$$

Let ϕ be a potential and $\bar{\phi}$ the corresponding induced potential. If $\int_{\mathbb{W}} \bar{\phi} dm$ is finite then

$$-\infty < \int_{\mathbb{W}} \bar{\phi} dm = Q_m \int_{\mathbb{Y}} \phi d\mathcal{L}(m) < \infty.$$

Proof. For the proof of Abramov's formula we refer to [39] (note that we require the topological entropy of $F_{\mathbb{A}}$ to be finite). To prove Kac's formula, using the definition of $\mathcal{L}(m)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{W}} \bar{\phi} dm &= \int_{\mathbb{W}} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\psi(\mathbf{i}, x) - 1} \phi(F_{\mathbb{A}}^{\ell}(\mathbf{i}, x)) dm(\mathbf{i}, x) \\ &= \sum_{\rho(C(i)) \times M_i} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\psi(\rho(C(i)) \times M_i) - 1} \int_{\rho(C(i)) \times M_i} \phi(F_{\mathbb{A}}^{\ell}(\mathbf{i}, x)) dm|_{(\rho(C(i)) \times M_i)}(\mathbf{i}, x) \\ &= \sum_{\rho(C(i)) \times M_i} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\psi(\rho(C(i)) \times M_i) - 1} \int_{\mathbb{Y}} \phi(\mathbf{j}, y) dm(F_{\mathbb{A}}^{-\ell}(\mathbf{j}, y) \cap \rho(C(i)) \times M_i) \\ &= Q_m \int_{\mathbb{Y}} \phi d\mathcal{L}(m). \end{aligned}$$

□

In the previous subsection, we obtained an inducing scheme for $F_{\mathbb{A}}$. This causes that $F_{\mathbb{A}}$ can be modeled by towers over the subshift on the space of two-sided infinite sequences with a countable alphabet. Thermodynamic formalism of this kind of subshifts studied in Section 4.2. In the rest, we use the results of Section 4.2 to establish a thermodynamic formalism for $F_{\mathbb{A}}$ over the liftable measures.

For the induced potential $\bar{\phi}$ given by (40), we take

$$(41) \quad \Phi : \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \Phi(\mathbf{i}) = \bar{\phi}(\rho(\mathbf{i}), \pi(\mathbf{i})),$$

where π is the coding map given by (9). Clearly, Φ is well-defined on $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$. We call a measure $\nu_{\bar{\phi}}$ on \mathbb{W} a Gibbs measure for $\bar{\phi}$ if the measure $(\rho \times \pi)_*^{-1} \nu_{\bar{\phi}}$ is a Gibbs measure for the function Φ . We call $\nu_{\bar{\phi}}$ an equilibrium measure for $\bar{\phi}$ if $-\int_{\mathbb{W}} \bar{\phi} d\nu_{\bar{\phi}} < \infty$ and

$$(42) \quad h_{\nu_{\bar{\phi}}}(\mathbb{F}) + \int_{\mathbb{W}} \bar{\phi} d\nu_{\bar{\phi}} = \sup_{\nu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{W}): -\int_{\mathbb{W}} \bar{\phi} d\nu < \infty} \{h_{\nu}(\mathbb{F}) + \int_{\mathbb{W}} \bar{\phi} d\nu\}.$$

Following [24], the potential $\bar{\phi}$

(a) has *summable variations* if the function Φ has summable variations, i.e.,

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} V_n(\bar{\phi} \circ (\rho \times \pi)) = \sum_{n \geq 1} V_n(\Phi) < \infty;$$

(b) has *finite Gurevich pressure* if $P_G(\bar{\phi} \circ (\rho \times \pi)) = P_G(\Phi) < \infty$.

Proposition 5.10. *Assume that the function $\bar{\phi}$ has summable variations and finite Gurevich pressure. Then*

$$-\infty < P_L(\phi) < \infty.$$

Proof. By Remark 5.1, there is a periodic orbit for \mathbb{F} in the set \mathbb{W} . For the Dirac measure on that orbit, we have $\int_{\mathbb{Y}} \phi d\mu > -\infty$. Then, since $h_\mu(F_{\mathbb{A}}) \geq 0$, we conclude that $P_L(\phi) > -\infty$.

For every $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_L(F_{\mathbb{A}}, \mathbb{Y})$ there exists a measure $m \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{W})$ with $\mathcal{L}(m) = \mu$, $Q_m < \infty$, and by Proposition 5.9,

$$0 \leq h_m(\mathbb{F}) = Q_m \cdot h_{\mathcal{L}(m)}(F_{\mathbb{A}}) < \infty.$$

Take $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_L(F_{\mathbb{A}}, \mathbb{Y})$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{W}} \bar{\phi} dm > -\infty$, where $\mathcal{L}(m) = \mu$. Since $\bar{\phi}$ has summable variations and finite Gurevich pressure, one can show that it is bounded from above. Hence $-\infty < \int_{\mathbb{W}} \bar{\phi} dm < \infty$, and by Proposition 5.9,

$$-\infty < \int_{\mathbb{W}} \bar{\phi} dm = Q_m \int_{\mathbb{Y}} \phi d\mu < \infty.$$

If $P_L(\phi)$ is nonpositive the upper bound is immediate. If $P_L(\phi)$ is positive, using the fact that $1 \leq Q_m < \infty$, we closely follow [23, Theorem 4.2] to get $P_L(\phi) < \infty$ and omit the details. \square

Remark 5.11. *Notice that the existence and uniqueness of equilibrium measures for the induced system (\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{W}) is obtained by conjugating the induced system to the restriction of two-sided subshift $(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}, \sigma_{\mathcal{A}})$ to the subset $\check{\Sigma} \subset \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ defined by (10) by the conjugacy map $G := \rho^{-1} \circ \pi_1$, where $\pi_1 : \Sigma \times M \rightarrow \Sigma$ is the natural projection to the first factor and $\Sigma = \rho(\check{\Sigma})$.*

Let us take

$$\phi^+ := \overline{\phi - P_L(\phi)} = \bar{\phi} - P_L(\phi)\psi$$

and let $\Phi^+ := \phi^+ \circ (\rho \times \pi)$ with $\rho \times \pi(\mathbf{i}) = (\rho(\mathbf{i}), \pi(\mathbf{i}))$, $\mathbf{i} \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$, where π is the coding map given by (9).

Also, we take

$$(43) \quad \tilde{\mathbb{W}} := \{\tilde{X} = (X_n)_{n \leq 0} : \mathbb{F}(X_n) = X_{n+1}\},$$

where $X_n = (\mathbf{i}_n, x_n) \in \mathbb{W}$ and define the extension map $\tilde{\mathbb{F}}$ by $(\tilde{\mathbb{F}}(\tilde{X}))_n = X_{n+1}$.

Theorem 5.12. *Assume that Φ has strongly summable variations and $P_G(\Phi) < \infty$. Assume also that $P_G(\Phi^+) < \infty$ and $\sup_{\mathbf{i} \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}} \Phi^+(\mathbf{i}) < \infty$. Then*

(a) *there exists a $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ -invariant ergodic Gibbs measure ν_{Φ^+} for Φ^+ ;*

- (b) if $h_{\nu_{\Phi^+}}(\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}) < \infty$, then ν_{Φ^+} is the unique equilibrium measure for Φ^+ ;
- (c) if $h_{\nu_{\Phi^+}}(\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}) < \infty$ then the measure $\nu_{\phi^+} := (\rho \times \pi)_* \nu_{\Phi^+}$ is a unique \mathbb{F} -invariant ergodic equilibrium measure for ϕ^+ ;
- (d) if $P_G(\Phi^+) = 0$ and $Q_{\nu_{\Phi^+}} < \infty$, then $\mu_{\phi} = \mathcal{L}(\nu_{\Phi^+})$ is the unique ergodic equilibrium measure in the class $\mathcal{M}_L(F_{\mathbb{A}}, \mathbb{Y})$ of liftable measures.

The proof follows ideas from Section 4 of [24].

Proof. By Proposition 5.10, $P_L(\phi)$ is finite and that $V_n(\Phi) = V_n(\Phi^+)$. By these facts and Theorem 4.7, statements (a) and (b) can be followed.

To prove statement (c), consider the function $\varphi : \widetilde{\mathbb{W}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\varphi((X_n)_{n \leq 0}) := \bar{\phi}(X_0)$, and let $\Phi = \varphi \circ \pi^+ \circ G^{-1}$ and $\Phi^+ = \varphi^+ \circ \pi^+ \circ G^{-1}$, where G is the conjugacy map given by Remark 5.11, $\varphi^+ = \varphi - P_L(\phi)\psi$, π^+ is the projection defined by $\pi^+((X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}) = (X_n)_{n \leq 0}$ for $X_n \in \mathbb{W}$ with $\mathbb{F}(X_n) = X_{n+1}$ and ψ is the inducing time given by (31).

By Proposition 4.3, the set $\check{\Sigma}$ has full measure by ν_{Φ^+} . Thus, by Proposition 4.2, the measure $\tilde{\nu}_{\varphi^+} := (\pi^+ \circ G^{-1})_* \nu_{\Phi^+}$ is the unique $\check{\mathbb{F}}$ -invariant ergodic equilibrium measure for φ^+ , i.e.,

$$h_{\tilde{\nu}_{\varphi^+}}(\check{\mathbb{F}}) + \int \varphi^+ d\tilde{\nu}_{\varphi^+} = \sup_{\nu \in \mathcal{M}(\check{\mathbb{F}}, \check{\mathbb{W}})} \{h_{\nu}(\check{\mathbb{F}}) + \int \varphi^+ d\nu\},$$

where $\mathcal{M}(\check{\mathbb{F}}, \check{\mathbb{W}})$ denotes the set of ergodic $\check{\mathbb{F}}$ -invariant Borel probabilities on $\check{\mathbb{W}}$. It is known that for given $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{W})$ and $\tilde{\nu} \in \mathcal{M}(\check{\mathbb{F}}, \check{\mathbb{W}})$ the lift of ν , $h_{\nu}(\mathbb{F}) = h_{\tilde{\nu}}(\check{\mathbb{F}})$. Also, for any $\bar{\phi} \in L^1(\nu)$ the function φ on $\check{\mathbb{W}}$ satisfies $\int \bar{\phi} d\nu = \int \varphi d\tilde{\nu}$. Since ν_{ϕ^+} is the image of $\tilde{\nu}_{\varphi^+}$ under this correspondence, (c) is verified.

Also, $Q_{\nu_{\Phi^+}} < \infty$ and Proposition 5.10 imply $h_{\nu_{\Phi^+}}(\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}) < \infty$. Hence, (c) is satisfied. Finally, statement (c) and Proposition 5.10 imply

$$0 = h_{\phi^+}(\mathbb{F}) + \int \phi^+ d\nu_{\phi^+} = \frac{1}{Q_{\nu_{\phi^+}}} (h_{\mu_{\phi}}(F_{\mathbb{A}}) + \int \phi d\mu_{\phi} - P_L(\phi))$$

hence

$$h_{\mu_{\phi}}(F_{\mathbb{A}}) + \int \phi d\mu_{\phi} = P_L(\phi).$$

□

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We wish to thank M. Viana for valuable suggestions and for useful conversations. A. Fakhari benefits from IPM and ICTP support.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bis, Partial variational principle for finitely generated groups of polynomial growth and some foliated spaces, *Colloq. Math.* 110(2), 431-449, (2008).
- [2] A. Bis, An analogue of the variational principle for group and pseudogroup actions, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 63(3), 839-863, (2013).
- [3] A. Bis and M. Urbanski, Some remarks on topological entropy of a semigroup of continuous maps, *Cubo* 8(2), 63-71, (2006).
- [4] R. Bowen, Markov partitions for Axiom A diffeomorphisms, *Amer. J. Math.* 92, 725-747, (1970).
- [5] ———, Some systems with unique equilibrium states, *Math. Systems Theory* 8, 3, 193-202, (1974-75).
- [6] ———, *Equilibrium states and the ergodic theory of Anosov diffeomorphisms*, reviseded, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 470, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (2008).
- [7] R. Bowen, C. Series, Markov maps associated with Fuchsian groups, *Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math.*, 50, 153-170, (1979).
- [8] H. Bruin and G. Keller, Equilibrium states for S-unimodal maps, *Ergod. Th. and Dyn. Syst.*, 18, 65-789, (1998).
- [9] A. Bufetov, Topological entropy of free semigroup actions and skew-product transformations, *J. Dyn. Control Syst.*, 5(1), (1999), 137-143.
- [10] J. Buzzi, Markov extensions for multidimensional dynamical systems, *Israel J. Math.* 112, 357-380, (1999).
- [11] J. Buzzi, O. Sarig, Uniqueness of equilibrium measures for countable Markov shifts and multidimensional piecewise expanding maps, *Ergod. Th. and Dyn. Syst.*, 23, 1383-1400, (2003).
- [12] Y. Daon, Bernoullicity of equilibrium measures on countable Markov shifts, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 33, 9, 4003-4015, (2013).
- [13] M. Denker, Y. Kifer and M. Stadlbauer, Conservativity of random Markov fibred systems, *Ergod. Th. and Dyn. Syst.*, 28, 67-85, (2008).
- [14] L. C. Evans, R. F. Garipey, Measure Theory and Fine Properties of Functions, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, pp. viii+268, (1992).
- [15] B. M. Gurevic, Topological entropy for denumerable Markov chains, *Dokl. Acad. Nauk SSSR*, 187 (1969); English translation: *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 10, 911-915, (1969).
- [16] B. M. Gurevic, Shift entropy and Markov measures in the path space of a denumerable graph, *Dokl. Acad. Nauk SSSR*, 192 (1970); English translation: *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 11, 744-747, (1970).
- [17] F. Hofbauer, On intrinsic ergodicity of piecewise monotonic transformations with positive entropy, I, *Israel J. Math.*, 34 (1979), 213-237; II, 38, 107-115, (1981).
- [18] S. Kakutani, Induced Measure Preserving Transformations, *Proc. Imp. Acad.*, 19, 10, 635-641, (1943).
- [19] D. Ma, and M. Wu, Topological pressure and topological entropy of a semigroup of maps, *Disc. Cont. Dyn. Syst.*, 31(2), 545-557, (2011).
- [20] N. Makarov and S. Smirnov, On thermodynamics of rational maps. I. Negative spectrum, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 211, 3, 705-743, (2000).
- [21] R. Mané, Ergodic theory and differentiable dynamics, Springer Verlag, (1983).
- [22] K. Oliveira, Equilibrium states for non-uniformly expanding maps, *Ergod. Th. and Dyn. Syst.*, 23, 6, 1891-1905, (2003).
- [23] Y. Pesin and S. Senti, Equilibrium measures for maps with inducing schemes, *J. Mod. Dyn.* 2 , 3, 397-430, (2008).
- [24] Y. Pesin, S. Senti, and K. Zhang, Thermodynamics of towers of hyperbolic type, To appear in *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, (2016).
- [25] V. A. Rokhlin, Exact endomorphism of a Lebesgue space, *Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR Ser. Math.* 25 (1961), 499-530. *Amer. Math. Soc. Trans.*, (2), 39, 1-36, (1964).
- [26] F. B. Rodrigues, and P. Varandas, Specification and thermodynamical properties of semigroup actions, *J. Math. Phys.*, 57, 1-27, (2016).

- [27] D. Ruelle, Statistical mechanics on a compact set with Z' action satisfying expansiveness and specification, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 78, 988-991, (1972).
- [28] D. Ruelle, Thermodynamic formalism, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 5, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass., 1978, The mathematical structures of classical equilibrium statistical mechanics, With a foreword by Giovanni Gallavotti and Gian-Carlo Rota.
- [29] O. Sarig, Thermodynamic formalism for countable Markov shifts, *Ergod. Th. and Dyn. Syst.*, 19 , 1565-1593, (1999).
- [30] ———, Thermodynamic formalism for null recurrent potentials, *Israel J. Math.* 121, 285-311, (2001).
- [31] ———, Phase transitions for countable Markov shifts, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 217, 3, 555-577, (2001)
- [32] ———, Characterization of the existence of Gibbs measures for countable Markov shifts, *Proc of AMS*, 131:6 , 1751-1758, (2003).
- [33] ———, Thermodynamic formalism for countable Markov shifts, Hyperbolic dynamics, fluctuations and large deviations, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 89, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 81-117, (2015).
- [34] J. G. Sinai, Markov partitions and U-diffeomorphisms, *Akademiya Nauk SSSR*, 2, (1), 64-89, (1968).
- [35] G. Sinai, Gibbs measures in ergodic theory, *Uspehi Mat. Nauk*, 27, 4, (166), 21-64, (1972).
- [36] Ja. G. Sinai, Gibbs measures in ergodic theory (Russian), *Uspehi Mat. Nauk*, 27, 4, (166), 21-64, (1972).
- [37] L. S. Young, Recurrence times and rates of mixing, *Israel J. Math.*, 110 (1999), 153-188.
- [38] M. Yuri, Thermodynamic formalism for countable to one Markov systems, *Trans. AMS*, (355), (7), 2949-2971, (2003).
- [39] R. Zweimuller, Invariant measures for general(ized) induced transformations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 133 , 8, 2283-2295, (2005).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FERDOWSI UNIVERSITY OF MASHHAD,
MASHHAD, IRAN.

Email address: javad.nazariansarkooh@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FERDOWSI UNIVERSITY OF MASHHAD,
MASHHAD, IRAN.

Email address: aza_ehsani@yahoo.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
SHAHID BEHESHTI UNIVERSITY, TEHRAN 19839, IRAN

Email address: a_fakhari@sbu.ac.ir

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FERDOWSI UNIVERSITY OF MASHHAD,
MASHHAD, IRAN.

Email address: ghane@math.um.ac.ir