# ComDMFT: a Massively Parallel Computer Package for the Electronic Structure of Correlated-Electron Systems

Sangkook Choi<sup>a,\*</sup>, Patrick Semon<sup>a</sup>, Byungkyun Kang<sup>a</sup>, Andrey Kutepov<sup>a</sup>, Gabriel Kotliar<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Condensed Matter Physics and Materials Science Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973, USA

<sup>b</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, Rutgers University, New Jersey 08854, USA

# Abstract

ComDMFT is a massively parallel computational package to study the electronic structure of correlated-electron systems (CES). Our approach is a parameterfree method based on *ab initio* linearized quasiparticle self-consistent GW (LQSGW) and dynamical mean field theory (DMFT). The non-local part of the electronic self-energy is treated within ab initio LQSGW and the local strong correlation is treated within DMFT. In addition to *ab initio* LQSGW+DMFT, charge selfconsistent LDA+DMFT methodology is also implemented, enabling multiple methods in one open-source platform for the electronic structure of CES. This package can be extended for future developments to implement other methodologies to treat CES.

*Keywords:* correlated electron system; first principles; dynamical mean-field theory; electronic structure

# PROGRAM SUMMARY/NEW VERSION PROGRAM SUMMARY

Delete as appropriate. Program Title: ComDMFT Licensing provisions(please choose one): GPLv3 Programming language: fortran90, C++, and Python

Nature of problem (approx. 50-250 words):

There is no open-source code based on *ab initio* GW+EDMFT methodology to support its theoretical advancement for the electronic structure of correlated electron systems.

Solution method(approx. 50-250 words):

We implemented *ab initio* LQSGW+DMFT methodology, as a simplification of *ab* initio GW+EDMFT, for the electronic structure of correlated electron systems. In addition, charge self-consistent LDA+DMFT methodology is also implemented, en-

Preprint submitted to Computer Physics Communications

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author.

sangkookchoi@gmail.com S.Choi

abling the comparison of multiple methods for the electronic structure of correlated electron systems in one platform.

# 1. Introduction

One of the most challenging but intriguing questions in the field of materials science is how to understand and calculate the physical properties of materials, starting from *first principles*. This is a particularly difficult question in the context of systems with partially-filled d- and f- subshells, so-called correlated-electron systems (CES). Typically, CES shows large enhancements of the effective electron mass [1] relative to Kohn-Sham bands structure calculated in the local density approximation (LDA) and related physical quantities such as the Sommerfeld coefficient and the coefficient of the quadratic term in the temperature dependence of the resistivity [2, 3, 4]. For reviews of CES, please see these references [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. Microscopically, electrons in open d- and f- subshells tend to be localized on a short timescale but itinerant on a long timescale. This coexistence of localized and itinerant character results in competition among different forms of long-range order, making CES extremely sensitive to small changes in their control parameters resulting in large responses. This makes theoretical understanding of these materials challenging, though it opens up exciting potential applications such as oxide electronics, high-temperature superconductors, and spintronic devices.

Conventional band-picture-based *ab initio* approaches are not particularly useful in the CES context. There are many success stories in the prediction, based on density functional theory (DFT) [12, 13] and *ab initio* quasiparticle GW [14, 15], of the ground and excited state properties of normal metals and semiconductors. These successes are supported by software package developments since the 1990s including Quantum espresso[16], Paratec[17], Abinit[18], BerkeleyGW[19], Vasp[20], Siesta[21], OpenMX[22], Ecalj[23], Questaal[24], Elk[25], Wien2k[26], Fleur[27], RSPt[28], and FlapwMBPT [29]. However, such treatments do not sufficiently capture strongly correlated phenomena. In the band picture on the conceptual basis of Landau's Fermi-liquid theory [30], the singleparticle spectrum can be approximated by bands composed of itinerant dressed electrons as there is a one-to-one correspondence between bare particles and dressed particles with renormalized mass and finite lifetime. By contrast, electrons in open d- and f- subshells are neither localized nor itinerant. In addition to the low-energy coherent (itinerant) excitation spectra, the high-energy spectra of CES are dominated by incoherent (localized) excitation. The spectral weights associated with incoherent excitations (Hubbard bands) are not negligible and sometimes overwhelming as demonstrated by paramagnetic Mott insulators [31].

In an effort to understand the various interesting physics in CES, numerous theoretical frameworks have been pursued. Among these approaches, one of the most successful approaches is the dynamical mean-field theory (DMFT)[32,

33, 5]. DMFT establishes a mapping from a quantum many-body problem on a lattice onto a multi-orbital quantum impurity problem in an effective electron bath. Calculated objects associated with the impurity (d- and f- subshell) orbitals are embedded into the lattice to obtain single-particle Greens function as well as susceptibilities. In combination with density functional theory [34, 35, 36, 8], it has described various phenomena peculiar to CES such as paramagnetic Mott transitions[37] and volume collapse transitions[38]. Now, there are many recent achievements within DFT+DMFT including so-called exact double-counting[39], free energy [40] and forces[41].

All these theoretical advancements and their successes are supported by very recent software developments since the 2010s in various directions. Reusable physics libraries including TRIQS[42] and ALPS [43] and standalone impurity solvers such as iQIST[44] and W2dynamics [45] have been developed. Several LDA+DMFT packages have been developed: i) EDMFTF[46, 47] integrated with Wien2K, ii) TRIQS/DFTTools [48] built on top of TRIQS and Wien2k, iii) VASP+DMFT [49] integrated with VASP [20] and EDMFTF impurity solver [50, 46, 47] iv) D-Core [51] built on top of TRIQS, ALPS, Quantum Espresso [16] and OpenMX[22, 52], v) AMULET [53] integrated with Quantum Espresso [16] and Elk [25]. LDA+DMFT functionality has been added to existing *ab initio* codes: Abinit[18] and RSPt[28, 54].

The theoretical success of DFT+DMFT in various CES has spiked the interest in realizing a diagrammatically controlled *ab initio* approach to the quantum many-body problem in solids. The advantage of this approach is that the nonlocal part of electronic self-energy can be restored by adding the first non-trivial non-local diagrammatic correction to DMFT self-energy. In addition, the value of the parameters (Coulomb interaction tensor and double counting energy) can be determined accordingly, leaving only the choice the correlated orbitals to be made.

There are now intense activities in this area with many recent studies on how to combine GW with EDMFT in a simplified way [55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64]. However, in contrast to the LDA+DMFT case, there are only a few closed packages of any simplified form of GW+EDMFT to support its theoretical advancement: Questaal [24] supported by EDMFTF impurity solver [50, 46, 47] and VASP[20, 64] supported by W2dynamics [45].

Recently, our group proposed an efficient way of combining *ab initio* linearized quasiparticle self-consistent GW (LQSGW) and DMFT [63]: we perform *ab initio* LQSGW calculation [65, 66] and then correct the local part of GW self-energy using DMFT. For the impurity orbital in the DMFT step, we choose a very localized orbital spanning a large energy window, which contains most strongly hybridized bands along with upper and lower Hubbard bands. Having chosen the shape of the correlated orbitals, all the other parameters are determined accordingly: double-counting energy within local GW approximation and Coulomb interaction tensor within constrained random phase approximation (cRPA)[67]. This effort extends the open-source diagrammatic platform FlapwMBPT [29] with DMFT approaches. This method enabled the *first-principles* study of Mott insulators in both their paramagnetic and antifer-

$$\begin{split} \Sigma(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) &= -\int_0^\beta d\tau \sum_{\mathbf{R}} G(\mathbf{R}, \tau) \cdot W(\mathbf{R}, \tau) e^{i(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}-\omega_n\tau)} + f_{\mathbf{k}} \left( \widetilde{\Sigma}_{imp}(i\omega_n) - \widetilde{\Sigma}_{DC}(i\omega_n) \right) f_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \\ P(\mathbf{k}, i\nu_n) &= \int_0^\beta d\tau \sum_{\mathbf{R}} G(\mathbf{R}, \tau) \cdot G(-\mathbf{R}, -\tau) e^{i(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}-\nu_n\tau)} + b_{\mathbf{k}} \left( \widetilde{P}_{imp}(i\nu_n) - \widetilde{P}_{DC}(i\nu_n) \right) b_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \\ \widetilde{\Sigma}_{imp} &= \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{-1} - \widetilde{G}_{imp}^{-1} \\ \widetilde{W}_{imp} &= \widetilde{\mathcal{U}} - \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}\widetilde{\chi}_{imp}\widetilde{\mathcal{U}} \\ \widetilde{P}_{imp} &= \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}^{-1} - \widetilde{W}_{imp}^{-1} \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{-1} &= \widetilde{G}_{loc}^{-1} + \widetilde{\Sigma}_{imp} \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}^{-1} &= \widetilde{W}_{loc}^{-1} + \widetilde{P}_{imp} \end{split}$$

Figure 1: (color online) general theoretical framework for *ab initio* GW+EDMFT. For the meaning of each symbol, please see table 1. Starting from GW self-energy and polarizability, impurity self-energy ( $\tilde{\Sigma}_{imp}$ ) and impurity polarizability ( $\tilde{P}_{imp}$ ) are embedded with proper double-counting terms ( $\tilde{\Sigma}_{DC}$  and  $\tilde{P}_{DC}$ ) by using fermionic ( $f_{\mathbf{k}}$ ) and bosonic ( $b_{\mathbf{k}}$ ) projection operators to the correlated subspace, respectively. Next, single-particle Greens function (G) and fully screened Coulomb interaction (W) are obtained and their projections to the correlated subspace ( $\tilde{G}_{loc}$  and  $\tilde{W}_{loc}$ ) are calculated. By using impurity self-energy ( $\tilde{\Sigma}_{imp}$ ) and impurity polarizability ( $\tilde{P}_{imp}$ ) from the previous iteration, fermionic ( $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ ) and bosonic ( $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ ) Weiss fields are calculated. With the inputs  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ , impurity Greens function ( $\tilde{G}_{imp}$ ) and impurity susceptibility ( $\tilde{\chi}_{imp}$ ) are calculated and then new  $\tilde{\Sigma}_{imp}$  and  $\tilde{P}_{imp}$  are extracted. The self-consistent loop is continued until  $\tilde{G}_{imp} = \tilde{G}_{loc}$  and  $\tilde{W}_{inp} = \tilde{W}_{loc}$ .

romagnetic phases without any adjustable parameters.

ComDMFT is the first open-source massively parallel computer package that implements any simplified form of GW+EDMFT. Among them, our choice is LQSGW+DMFT methodology. In addition, ComDMFT enables multiple methods for the electronic structure of CES in one platform. Charge self-consistent LDA+DMFT methodology is implemented with the same linearized-augmented planewave (LAPW) basis set under the full potential from nuclei and the same way of choosing correlated orbitals from Wannier functions. It will be extended for rotationally-invariant-slave-boson methods (RISB) in combination with LDA. This package is intended for use on top of FlapwMBPT code [29] for *ab initio* LQSGW and LDA calculations and composed of several programs: ComWann, ComCoulomb, ComDC, ComLowH, and ComCTQMC which are written in FORTRAN90, C++, and python. The algorithms and codes were devised and developed at Rutgers University, University of Sherbrooke, and Brookhaven National Lab. Table 1: list of symbols

k	crystal momentum vector
$\mathbf{R}$	lattice vector
$\omega_n$	fermionic Matsubara frequency
$ u_n$	bosonic Matsubara frequency
au	imaginary time
$N_{\mathbf{k}}$	the number of crystal momentum vectors in the first Brillouin zone
G	Green's function
$\Sigma$	electronic self-energy
W	screened Coulomb interaction
$W_r$	partially screened Coulomb interaction
P	polarizability
$\chi$	susceptibility
$f_{\mathbf{k}}$	fermionic projection operator
$b_{\mathbf{k}}$	bosonic projection operator
$\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$	fermionic Weiss field
$\widetilde{G}_{imp}$	impurity Green's function
$\widetilde{G}_{loc}$	local Green's function
$\widetilde{\Sigma}_{imp}$	impurity self-energy
$\widetilde{\Sigma}_{DC}$	double-counted self-energy
$\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}$	bosonic Weiss field
$\widetilde{W}_{imp}$	impurity screened Coulomb interaction
$\widetilde{W}_{loc}$	local screened Coulomb interaction
$\widetilde{P}_{imp}$	impurity polarizability
$\widetilde{P}_{DC}$	double-counted polarizability
$\widetilde{\chi}_{imp}$	impurity susceptibility
$G_{MF}$	mean-field Green's function
$H_{MF}$	mean-field Hamiltonian
$G_{QP}$	Green's function from a quasiparticle Hamiltonian
$P_{QP}$	polarizability from a quasiparticle Green's function
$P_{QP}^{low}$	polarizability from correlated bands
$P_{OP}^{high}$	$P_{QP} - P_{QP}^{low}$
$E_{n\mathbf{k}}$	quasiparticle energy with a band index $n$ and a crystal momentum vector $\mathbf{k}$
$ n\mathbf{k}\rangle$	quasiparticle wave function $(\psi_{n\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = \langle \mathbf{r}   n\mathbf{k} \rangle)$
$ \alpha \mathbf{R}\rangle$	Wannier function labeled by lattice vector $\mathbf{R}$ and an orbital index $\alpha$ .
$ lpha \mathbf{k} \rangle$	Bloch sum of Wannier functions $ \alpha \mathbf{R}\rangle$
$U_{n\alpha}(\mathbf{k})$	basis rotation matrix $\langle n \mathbf{k}   \alpha \mathbf{k} \rangle$
$F^k$	Slater's integral of partially screened Coulomb interaction

# 2. General Theoretical Framework

After constructing a Baym-Kadanoff functional of the single-particle Green's function (G) and the screened Coulomb interaction (W)[68, 69], various approximations to the so-called  $\Psi$  functional can be made: the first order approximation in W corresponds to the GW approximation  $(\Psi^{GW}(G, W) = -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} GWG)[68]$ , whereas the local approximation applied to the d- or f- subshell orbitals gives rise to an extended DMFT (EDMFT) approach [70, 71, 72]( $\Psi(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{W})$ ). By combining these two diagrammatic approaches [73, 74, 62], we can derive the  $\Psi$  functional of GW+EDMFT ( $\Psi^{GW+EDMFT}(G, W)$ ) after subtracting the double-counted local GW diagram ( $\Psi^{local-GW}(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{W}) = -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \widetilde{GW}\widetilde{G}$ ).

$$\Psi^{GW+EDMFT}(G,W) = -\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr} GWG + \Psi(\widetilde{G},\widetilde{W}) + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr} \widetilde{G}\widetilde{W}\widetilde{G}.$$
 (1)

Here  $\widetilde{A}$  represents the projection of the quantity A to correlated subspace.

Within the fully self-consistent GW+EDMFT, the electronic structure can be calculated by the self-consistent loop in Fig. 1. Starting from irreducible self-energy  $(\Sigma)$  and polarizability (P) within GW approximation, we embed impurity self-energy  $(\Sigma_{imp})$  and impurity polarizability  $(P_{imp})$  with proper doublecounting terms  $(\Sigma_{DC} \text{ and } P_{DC})$  by using fermionic  $(f_{\mathbf{k}})$  and bosonic  $(b_{\mathbf{k}})$  projection operators [74] to the correlated subspace. If it is the first iteration, we may set impurity quantities the same as the double-counting quantities. Then, single-particle Greens function (G) and fully screened Coulomb interaction (W)can be obtained using Dyson's equation. After projecting G and W to the correlated subspace using  $f_{\mathbf{k}}$  and  $b_{\mathbf{k}}$ , local Green's function  $(G_{loc})$  and local screened Coulomb interaction  $(\widetilde{W}_{loc})$  are obtained. By using impurity self-energy  $(\widetilde{\Sigma}_{imp})$ and impurity polarizability  $(\widetilde{P}_{imp})$  from the previous iteration, fermionic  $(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}})$ and bosonic  $(\tilde{\mathcal{U}})$  Weiss fields are calculated. With the inputs  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ , impurity Greens function  $(G_{imp})$  and impurity susceptibility  $(\tilde{\chi}_{imp})$  are calculated and then new  $\tilde{\Sigma}_{imp}$  and  $\tilde{P}_{imp}$  are extracted. We solve this self-consistent equation until  $G_{imp} = G_{loc}$  and  $W_{imp} = W_{loc}$ .

# 3. Ab initio LQSGW+DMFT as a simplified version of GW+EDMFT

Although this is a well-known route, its implementation has been realized [62] only in the low-energy Hilbert space for GW+EDMFT. Furthermore, as is often the case in quantum many-body theory, approximations which are not fully diagrammatic or not fully self-consistent often work better for some quantities than the fully diagrammatic treatments. For example, fully self-consistent GW is worse than partially self-consistent GW for the spectra of three-dimensional electron gas [75]. So far various partial self-consistency schemes have been tried [76, 55, 56, 57, 58, 61, 60, 62, 63]. In the most cases, GW+EDMFT self-consistency for the bosonic quantities has been neglected and the bosonic Weiss field is obtained from cRPA and its various extensions. In addition, Green's

Figure 2: (color online) ab initio LQSGW+DMFT self-consistent loop, implemented in ComDMFT, as a simplified version of ab initio GW+EDMFT depicted in Fig 1. For the meaning of each symbol, please see table 1. With a fixed mean-field Green's function  $(G_{MF})$  within ab initio non-local LQSGW, a fixed bosonic Weiss field  $(\tilde{\mathcal{U}})$  from a constrained random phase approximation, and a choice of correlated orbitals  $(f_{\mathbf{k}})$ , we solve dynamical mean-field theory self-consistent equation. Onto  $G_{MF}$  in which double-counting self-energy is compensated, we embed  $\tilde{\Sigma}_{imp}$  using  $f_{\mathbf{k}}$ . After projecting G to the correlated subspace using  $f_{\mathbf{k}}$ , local Green's function  $(\tilde{G}_{loc})$  is obtained. By using impurity self-energy  $(\tilde{\Sigma}_{imp})$  from the previous iteration,  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  is calculated. With the inputs of  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ ,  $\tilde{G}_{imp}$  is calculated and subsequently a new  $\tilde{\Sigma}_{imp}$  is obtained. We solve this self-consistent equation until  $\tilde{G}_{imp} = \tilde{G}_{loc}$ .

functions are assumed to be  $G^{-1}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) = G_{MF}^{-1}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) - f_{\mathbf{k}} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{imp}(i\omega_n) f_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}$ , where  $G_{MF}$  is a free Green's function from a mean-field Hamiltonian  $(H_{MF})$ such that  $G_{MF}^{-1}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) = i\omega_n - H_{MF}(\mathbf{k})$ .  $G_{MF}$  is fixed during the self-consistent loop implying that mean-field self-energy is corrected by one-shot DMFT.

For the construction of  $H_{MF}$ , various static mean-field approaches have been suggested and tested, ranging from one-shot GW [57, 58], screened exchange [61], LQSGW[63] and QSGW[77]. In addition, the idea of the non-local version of QSGW and LQSGW was proposed[60]. Among them, our choice of  $H_{MF}$  is *ab initio* non-local LQSGW. LQSGW is known to fix inaccurate spectra of fully self-consistent GW [75] and, at the same time, the starting point dependence of one-shot GW [78]. By using non-local LQSGW Hamiltonian, the effect of double-counting self-energy is compensated up to linear order in frequency [60].

Starting from  $G_{MF}$  within *ab initio* non-local LQSGW, the bosonic Weiss field from cRPA and the choice of correlated orbitals, we solve DMFT selfconsistent equation as shown in Fig. 2. Onto  $G_{MF}$ , we embed  $\tilde{\Sigma}_{imp}$  using  $f_{\mathbf{k}}$ . If it is the first iteration, we may set impurity quantities the same as the double-counting quantities. After projecting G to the correlated subspace using  $f_k$ , local Green's function ( $\tilde{G}_{loc}$ ) is obtained. By using impurity self-energy  $(\tilde{\Sigma}_{imp})$  from the previous iteration,  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  is calculated. With the inputs of  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}, \tilde{G}_{imp}$  is calculated and subsequently a new  $\tilde{\Sigma}_{imp}$  is obtained. We solve this self-consistent equation until  $\tilde{G}_{imp} = \tilde{G}_{loc}$ .



Figure 3: (color online) ab initio LQSGW+DMFT flowchart and data exchange between programs (FlapwMBPT, ComWann, ComCoulomb, ComDC, ComLowH and ComCTQMC) in ComDMFT. For the meaning of each symbol, please see table 1. First, a quasiparticle Hamiltonian  $(H_{QP})$  is constructed within ab initio linearized quasiparticle self-consistent GW (LQSGW) approximation by using FlapwMBPT [29]. Within LQSGW, GW self-energy and polarizability within random phase approximation are constructed by using LQSGW Green's function  $(G_{QP})$ . With LQSGW quasiparticle energies and wavefunctions, ComWann calculates initial trial rotation matrix  $(A_{n\alpha}(\mathbf{k}))$  and overlap matrix  $(M_{mn}^{\mathbf{kb}})$  to construct Wannier functions and their rotation matrix  $(U_{n\alpha}(\mathbf{k}))$  by using Wannier90 package [79]. ComCoulomb reads LQSGW bandstructure as well as  $U_{n\alpha}(\mathbf{k})$  to calculate Slater's integrals  $(F^k)$  of partially screened Coulomb interaction  $(W_r)$  within a constrained random phase approximation. Then ComDC calculates self-energy within local GW approximation with inputs of  $F^k$  and LQSGW local Green's function ( $\tilde{G}_{loc}$ ). Finally, we solve DMFT self-consistent loop by using ComLowH and ComCTQMC. ComLowH Wannier-interpolates LQSGW bandstructure and construct Lattice Green's function (G) and fermionic Weiss field  $(\mathcal{G})$  by compensating double-counting self-energy up to linear order in frequency and embedding impurity selfenergy  $(\widetilde{\Sigma}_{imp})$ . ComCTQMC calculates impurity self-energy with inputs of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$  and  $F^k$ 

# 4. Computational Layout for ab initio LQSGW+DMFT

The *ab initio* LQSGW+DMFT approach is an approximation of *ab initio* GW+EDMFT. Input parameters are the crystal structure and the chosen correlated orbitals. The LQSGW+DMFT flowchart is provided in Fig. 3. *Ab initio* LQSGW+DMFT calculations can be broken down into five steps: i) the construction of quasi-particle Hamiltonian within *ab initio* LQSGW by Flap-wMBPT [29] ii) the construction of the atom-centered local basis set spanning the low-energy Hilbert space by ComWann utilizing Wannier90 package [79] iii) the calculation of the bosonic Weiss field within cRPA and evaluation of Slater's integral associated with the impurity orbitals by ComCoulomb iv) the calculation of the double-counted self-energy associated with the impurity orbitals within local GW approximation by ComDC, v) Wannier interpolation of the mean-field Hamiltonian and solving the DMFT self-consistent equation by ComLowH and ComCTQMC.

#### 4.1. ComWann

In the one-particle picture, the electronic structure of a periodic system can be represented by extended states. Among the possible choices is quasiparticle wavefunctions  $(|n\mathbf{k}\rangle)$ , which are eigenvectors of an effective one-particle Hamiltonian.  $|n\mathbf{k}\rangle$  are characterized by two good quantum numbers: band index nand crystal momentum vector  $\mathbf{k}$ . Alternative basis sets composed of localized states are also available and this choice is useful in representing the low-energy Hilbert space. One of the typical choices of localized states is Wannier functions  $(|\alpha \mathbf{R}\rangle)[80]$ , as labeled by a lattice vector  $\mathbf{R}$  and a composite index  $\alpha$  for the centered atom and approximate angular momentum quantum numbers of the wave function.  $|\alpha \mathbf{R}\rangle$  can be constructed in the following way.

$$|\alpha \mathbf{R}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{\mathbf{k}}}} \sum_{\mathbf{k},n} U_{n\alpha}^{*}(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}} |n\mathbf{k}\rangle, \qquad (2)$$

where  $N_{\mathbf{k}}$  is the number of crystal momentum vector in the first Brillouin zone. We also use  $|\alpha \mathbf{k}\rangle = \sum_{n} U_{n\alpha}^*(\mathbf{k}) |n\mathbf{k}\rangle$  in the following. One way to construct orthonormal basis set  $|\alpha \mathbf{k}\rangle$  from  $|n\mathbf{k}\rangle$ , or to determine  $U_{n\alpha}(\mathbf{k})$ , is by minimizing total spreads ( $\Omega$ ) defined by

$$\Omega = \sum_{\alpha \mathbf{R}} \langle \mathbf{r}^2 - \langle \mathbf{r} \rangle_{\alpha \mathbf{R}}^2 \rangle_{\alpha \mathbf{R}},\tag{3}$$

under the constraint that eigenvalues  $E_{n\mathbf{k}}$  in an energy window (so-called frozen energy window) is preserved. Here  $\langle A \rangle_{\alpha \mathbf{R}} = \langle \mathbf{R} \alpha | A | \mathbf{R} \alpha \rangle$  and  $\mathbf{r}$  is the position vector in a global coordinate.

In ComDMFT,  $|\alpha \mathbf{R}\rangle$  is constructed in the following way. i) ComWann sets the initial  $U_{n\alpha}(\mathbf{k})$  or pick out initial trial orbitals  $|\mathbf{R}\alpha\rangle_i$  for  $\Omega$  minimization. A radial function of an initial trial orbital with an angular momentum character can be any linear combination of muffin-tin orbitals in the LAPW basis set with the angular momentum character. ComWann takes the linear combination which maximize  $\sum_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{E_{froz}^{min} < E_{n,\mathbf{k}} < E_{froz}^{max}} |\langle n\mathbf{k} | \mathbf{k} \alpha \rangle_i|^2$ , where  $E_{froz}^{min}$  is the lower bound of the frozen energy window and  $E_{froz}^{max}$  is the upper bound of the frozen energy window. Among these muffin-tin orbitals with the choice of radial function, ComWann picks out one of which  $\sum_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{E_{froz}^{min} < E_{n,\mathbf{k}} < E_{froz}^{max}} |\langle n\mathbf{k} | \mathbf{k} \alpha \rangle_i|^2 > 0.15$  and set them initial trial orbitals. ii) Then ComWann calculates the overlap matrix  $M_{mn}^{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{b}} = \langle u_{m,\mathbf{k}} | u_{n,\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{b}} \rangle$ . Here  $| u_{n,\mathbf{k}} \rangle$  is the periodic part of the  $| n\mathbf{k} \rangle$  and **b** is a vector connecting a **k** point to its nearest neighbors.  $M_{mn}^{\mathbf{kb}}$  enables the calculation of the total spread in the crystal momentum space [80]. iii)  $\Omega$  minimization is carried out by using Wannier90 package in its library mode [79] by providing so-called A matrix  $A_{n\alpha}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{N_{\mathbf{k}}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \langle n\mathbf{k} | \mathbf{k}\alpha \rangle_i$  and  $M_{mn}^{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{b}}$ . iv) Once  $U_{n\alpha}(\mathbf{k})$  is determined,  $f_{\mathbf{k}}$  is given by

$$f_{\mathbf{k}} = \langle \mathbf{r} | \alpha \mathbf{k} \rangle = \sum_{n} U_{n\alpha}^{*}(\mathbf{k}) \langle \mathbf{r} | n \mathbf{k} \rangle \tag{4}$$

In ComWann, the default choice of the approximate angular momentum character of Wannier functions depends on the presence of spin-orbit coupling. For a system without spin-orbit coupling, the angular part is approximately the cubic-harmonics  $Y_{lm}$ , which is defined as

$$Y_{lm} = \begin{cases} \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \left( Y_l^{-|m|} - (-1)^m Y_l^{|m|} \right), & m < 0\\ Y_l^0, & m = 0\\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( Y_l^{-|m|} + (-1)^m Y_l^{|m|} \right), & m > 0 \end{cases}$$
(5)

Here,  $Y_l^m$  is the spherical harmonics. Note that subscript *m* denotes cubic harmonics and superscript m denotes spherical harmonics. For the system with spin-orbit coupling, the angular part is approximately the spin-angular function  $(\Omega_{l,i,m})$  which is defined as

$$\Omega_{l,i=\pm\frac{1}{2},m} = \sum_{s\pm 1/2} C_{i,s}^{l,m} Y_l^{m-s}(\hat{r}) u_s.$$
(6)

Here,  $u_s$  is a spinor and  $C_{i,s}^{l,m} = \langle l, m-s, \frac{1}{2}, s|l+i, m \rangle$ . Parallelization of ComWann is characterized by the calculation of  $A_{n\alpha}(\mathbf{k})$ , the calculation of  $M_{mn}^{\mathbf{kb}}$  and total spread minimization. For the computation of  $A_{n\alpha}(\mathbf{k})$  and  $M_{mn}^{\mathbf{kb}}$ , the code is parallelized with nearly linear scaling up to  $N_{\mathbf{k}}$ processors. For the minimization of the total spread, ComWann calls Wannier90 package in its library mode [79], which utilize only a single processor.

# 4.2. ComCoulomb

ComCoulomb calculates the bosonic Weiss field  $\mathcal{U}$  associated with the correlated orbitals within cRPA[67, 81] and its Slater's integrals. Here we stress that the bosonic Weiss field  $\mathcal{U}$  from cRPA is a way to evaluate  $\mathcal{U}$  and not identical to  $\mathcal{U}$  from "ideal" fully self-consistent GW+EDMFT. Within cRPA, the bosonic Weiss field  $\mathcal{U}$  is obtained by separating out the RPA polarizability  $(P_{QP}^{low})$  from the correlated states. Various ideas to identify  $P_{QP}^{low}$  have been suggested ranging from the usage of energy window [81, 82], the disentanglement of correlated bands from itinerant bands [83], weighting the polarizability by correlated orbital projections [84, 85], selecting correlated bands [81, 82, 86], to local polarizability [87]. In ComCoulomb,  $P_{QP}^{low}$  is defined by identifying correlated bands [81, 82, 86]: bands having strong correlated orbitals character are chosen as correlated bands at each **k** point and the number of correlated bands is set to be the number of correlated orbitals. Then,  $P_{QP}^{low}$  is defined in the following way.

$$P_{QP}^{low}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \mathbf{k}, i\nu_n) = -N_s \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} \sum_{n}^{\text{unoccupied occupied bands correlated bands}} \sum_{m} \sum_{m}^{(7)} \psi_{n\mathbf{k}'}(\mathbf{r}) \psi_{n\mathbf{k}'+\mathbf{k}}^*(\mathbf{r}') \frac{2(E_{n\mathbf{k}'} - E_{m\mathbf{k}'+\mathbf{k}})}{\nu_n^2 - (E_{n\mathbf{k}'} - E_{m\mathbf{k}'+\mathbf{k}})^2},$$

where  $\psi_{n\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = \langle \mathbf{r} | n\mathbf{k} \rangle$  and  $N_s$  is 2 for the system without spin-orbit coupling and 1 for the system with strong spin-orbit coupling. Using  $P_{QP}^{high} = P_{QP} - P_{QP}^{low}$ where  $P_{QP}$  is RPA polarizability, the partially-screened Coulomb interaction  $(W_r)$  is calculated by

$$W_r^{-1}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \mathbf{k}, i\nu_n) = V^{-1}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \mathbf{k}) - P_{QP}^{high}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \mathbf{k}, i\nu_n).$$
(8)

Next, Slater's integrals  $(F^k)$  are calculated using  $W_r(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \mathbf{R} = 0, i\nu_n)$  and Wannier functions for the correlated orbitals. For the system without spin-orbit coupling,

$$F^{k}(i\nu_{n}) = \frac{1}{C_{k}^{l}} \frac{4\pi}{2k+1} \sum_{m_{1},m_{2},m_{3},m_{4}} \sum_{m_{1}',m_{2}',m_{3}',m_{4}'} \sum_{\{Y_{l}^{m_{1}}|Y_{k}^{m_{1}-m_{4}}Y_{l}^{m_{4}}\rangle\langle Y_{l}^{m_{2}}Y_{k}^{m_{2}-m_{3}}|Y_{l}^{m_{3}}\rangle$$

$$S_{m_{1}m_{1}'}S_{m_{2}m_{2}'}S_{m_{3}m_{3}'}^{-1}S_{m_{4}m_{4}'}^{-1}\int d\mathbf{r}d\mathbf{r}'W_{r}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',R=0,i\nu_{n})$$

$$W_{R=0,m_{1}'}^{*}(\mathbf{r})W_{R=0,m_{2}'}^{*}(\mathbf{r}')W_{R=0,m_{3}'}(\mathbf{r}')W_{R=0,m_{4}'}(\mathbf{r}),$$
(9)

where  $C_k^l = \frac{(2l+1)^4}{2k+1} \begin{bmatrix} l & k & l \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} l & k & l \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is the Racah-Wigner 3j-symbol,  $S_{m_1m'_1} = \langle Y_{lm_1} | Y_l^{m'_1} \rangle$  and  $W_{\mathbf{R},m}(\mathbf{r}) = \langle \mathbf{r} | m \mathbf{R} \rangle$  is a Wannier function with angular part of cubic-harmonics  $Y_{lm}$ . For the system with strong spin-orbit coupling, we use:

$$F^{k}(i\nu_{n}) = \frac{1}{4C_{k}^{l}} \frac{4\pi}{2k+1} \sum_{m_{1},m_{2},m_{3},m_{4}} \sum_{s,s'=\pm\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m_{1}',m_{2}',m_{3}',m_{4}'} \sum_{i_{1},i_{2},i_{3},i_{4}=\pm\frac{1}{2}} \langle Y_{l}^{m_{1}}|Y_{k}^{m_{1}-m_{4}}Y_{l}^{m_{4}}\rangle \langle Y_{l}^{m_{2}}Y_{k}^{m_{2}-m_{3}}|Y_{l}^{m_{3}}\rangle S_{m_{1}s,m_{1}'i_{1}}S_{m_{2}s',m_{2}'i_{2}}S_{m_{3}s',m_{3}'i_{3}}^{-1}S_{m_{4}s,m_{4}'i_{4}}$$
$$\int d\mathbf{r}d\mathbf{r}'W_{r}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',R=0,i\nu_{n})W_{R=0,m_{1}',i_{1}}^{*}(\mathbf{r})W_{R=0,m_{2}',i_{2}}^{*}(\mathbf{r}')W_{R=0,m_{3}'i_{3}}(\mathbf{r}')W_{R=0,m_{4}',i_{4}}(\mathbf{r})$$

(10)

Parallelization of ComCoulomb is characterized by the construction of  $P_{QP}^{low}$ ,  $P_{QP}$ ,  $W_r$ , and  $F^k$ . The parallelization of the part to calculate  $P_{QP}^{low}$  and  $P_{QP}$  follows the parallelization to calculate  $P_{QP}$  in FlapwMBPT code. The part for  $W_r$  follows the parallelization to calculate W in FlapwMBPT code. Details can be found in Ref. [29]. For the computation of  $F^k$ , the code is parallelized with nearly linear scaling up to  $N_{\nu}$  processors, where  $N_{\nu}$  is the number of the bosonic frequency points treated numerically.

# 4.3. ComDC

ComDC calculates the electron self-energy included in both *ab initio* LQSGW and DMFT: the local Hartree term and the local GW term. Electron self-energy from local Hartree and local GW diagrams is as follows.

$$\widetilde{\Sigma}_{DC,i,j}(i\omega_n) = \sum_{k,l=m'_l} \widetilde{G}_{l,k}(\tau=0^-) \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_{iklj}(i\nu=0) - \sum_{k,l} \int d\tau \widetilde{G}_{l,k}(\tau) \widetilde{W}_{ikjl}(\tau) e^{i\omega_n \tau}.$$
(11)

where i, j, k and l are composite indices for both orbital and spin (spin-angular function index) in the system without (with) spin-orbit coupling.  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$  is constructed by using Slater's integrals in eq. (9) and eq. (10) as well as Wannier functions associated with correlated orbitals.

$$U_{i,j,k,l}(i\nu_n) = \sum_{\substack{m'_1m'_2,m'_3m'_4\\s_1=\pm\frac{1}{2}s_2=\pm\frac{1}{2}}} S_{i,m'_1s_1}S_{j,m'_2s_2}S_{k,m'_3s_2}^{-1}S_{l,m'_4s_1}^{-1}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2l,even} \frac{4\pi}{2k+1} \langle Y_l^{m'_1} | Y_k^q Y_l^{m'_4} \rangle \langle Y_l^{m'_2} Y_k^q | Y_l^{m'_3} \rangle F^k(i\nu_n).$$
(12)

Here,  $S_{i,m's}$  is the matrix for transformation from the spherical harmonics / spinor basis to the cubic spherical harmonics / spinor basis (the spin angular function basis) for the system without spin-orbit coupling (for the system with strong spin-orbit coupling). Meanwhile,  $\tilde{G}$  is the local Green's function and  $\tilde{W}$  is the local screened Coulomb interaction given by

$$\widetilde{W}_{ikjl}(i\nu_n) = \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_{ikjl}(i\nu_n) + \sum_{mnpq} \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_{imnl}(i\nu_n) \widetilde{P}_{mpqn}(i\nu_n) \widetilde{W}_{pkjq}(i\nu_n), \quad (13)$$

where  $\widetilde{P}$  is the local polarizability and it is calculated as

$$\widetilde{P}_{mpqn}(i\nu_n) = \int d\tau \widetilde{G}_{n,p}(\tau) \widetilde{G}_{q,m}(-\tau) e^{i\nu_n \tau}.$$
(14)

Parallelization of ComDC is characterized by the construction of  $\widetilde{G}(\tau)$ ,  $\widetilde{P}(i\nu_n)$ ,  $\widetilde{W}(i\nu_n)$ ,  $\widetilde{W}(\tau)$ ,  $\widetilde{\Sigma}(\tau)$ , and  $\widetilde{\Sigma}(i\omega_n)$ . For the part to calculate  $\widetilde{G}(\tau)$ ,  $\widetilde{W}(\tau)$  and  $\widetilde{\Sigma}(\tau)$ , the code is parallelized with nearly linear scaling up to  $N_{\tau}$  processors where  $N_{\tau}$  is the number of  $\tau$  mesh. For the part to calculate  $\widetilde{P}(i\nu)$  and  $\widetilde{W}(i\nu)$ , the code is parallelized with nearly linear scaling up to  $N_{\nu}$  processors where  $N_{\nu}$ is the number of  $\nu$  mesh. For the part to calculate  $\widetilde{\Sigma}(i\omega_n)$ , the code is parallelized with nearly linear scaling up to  $N_{\omega}$  processors where  $N_{\omega}$  is the number of  $\omega$  mesh. Note that  $N_{\nu}$ ,  $N_{\omega}$ ,  $N_{\tau}$  are linearly increasing functions of the inverse temperature and their values at 300K is typically ~1,000, ~4,000, and ~2,000, This code scales well up to ~10,000 CPU cores.

# 4.4. ComLowH

ComLowH constructs non-local LQSGW Hamiltonian $(H_{QP}^{nl})$  and lattice Green's function (G) in a fine-**k** grid using Wannier interpolation, in addition to the fermionic Weiss field  $(\tilde{\mathcal{G}})$ . After Wannier-interpolating  $H_{QP}$  and  $f_{\mathbf{k}}$ , non-local LQSGW Hamiltonian [60] is constructed in the following way.

$$H_{QP}^{nl}(\mathbf{k}) = \sqrt{Z_{DC}^{-1}(\mathbf{k})} H_{QP} \sqrt{Z_{DC}^{-1}(\mathbf{k})} - f_{\mathbf{k}} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{DC}(\omega = 0) f_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}, \qquad (15)$$

where  $Z_{DC}^{-1}(\mathbf{k}) = f_{\mathbf{k}} \left( 1 - \partial \widetilde{\Sigma}_{DC}(\omega = 0) / \partial i \omega_n \right) f_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}$ . Then the lattice Green's function (G) is given by

$$G^{-1}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) = i\omega_n - H^{nl}_{QP}(\mathbf{k}) - f_{\mathbf{k}}\widetilde{\Sigma}_{imp}(i\omega_n)f^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}}$$
(16)

and the fermionic Weiss field is

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{G}} = \left( \left( \frac{1}{N_{\mathbf{k}}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} f_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} G(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) f_{\mathbf{k}} \right)^{-1} + \widetilde{\Sigma}_{imp} \right)^{-1}$$
(17)

With an input of self-energy on a real frequency axis, ComLowH calculates projected DOS  $(D_{\alpha})$  of

$$D_{\alpha}(\omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi N_k} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} Im G_{\alpha,\alpha}(\mathbf{k},\omega), \qquad (18)$$

where  $G_{\alpha,\alpha}(\mathbf{k},\omega)$  is the lattice Green's function spanned by Wannier functions on a real frequency axis. Total DOS (D) is

$$D(\omega) = \sum_{\alpha} D_{\alpha}(\omega).$$
(19)

Momentum resolved spectral function (A) is

$$A(\mathbf{k},\omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\alpha} Im G_{\alpha,\alpha}(\mathbf{k},\omega).$$
<sup>(20)</sup>

Parallelization of ComLowH is characterized by the construction of the  $G^{-1}$  in eq. (16). For the computation of  $G^{-1}$ , the code is parallelized with nearly linear scaling up to  $N_{\mathbf{k}}$  processors. Note that  $N_{\mathbf{k}}$  for the Wannier interpolation is typically ~1,000 and this code scale well up to ~1,000 CPU cores.

# 4.5. ComCTQMC

Continuous-time quantum Monte Carlo (CTQMC) is a stochastic approach to obtain numerically exact solutions of an impurity model. An impurity model consists of a small interacting system, the impurity, immersed in a bath of noninteracting electrons. The action of the impurity model relevant for GW+DMFT reads

$$S = -\iint_{0}^{\beta} \sum_{ij} c_{i}^{\dagger}(\tau) \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_{ij}^{-1}(\tau - \tau') c_{i}(\tau') d\tau d\tau' + \frac{1}{2} \iint_{0}^{\beta} \sum_{ijkl} c_{i}^{\dagger}(\tau) c_{j}^{\dagger}(\tau') \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_{ijkl}(\tau - \tau') c_{k}(\tau') c_{l}(\tau) d\tau d\tau',$$

$$(21)$$

where  $c_i^{\dagger}$  creates an electron in the generalized orbital *i* (which includes both spin and orbital degrees of freedom),  $\beta$  is the inverse temperature,  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{ij}$  is the Weiss field and  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{ijkl}$  is the frequency dependent interaction.

We assume that the frequency dependent interaction is of the form

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_{ijkl}(i\nu_n) = \widetilde{U}_{ijkl} + F^0(i\nu_n)\delta_{il}\delta_{jk}, \qquad (22)$$

that is, only the dynamical screening of the Slater-Condon parameter  $F^0$  is taken into account. The other Slater-Condon parameters, which define  $\tilde{U}_{ijkl}$ , are frequency independent and approximated by their value at  $i\nu_n = 0$ . The Weiss field can be split as

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_{ij}^{-1}(i\omega_n) = (i\omega_n + \mu)\delta_{ij} - \widetilde{t}_{ij} - \widetilde{\Delta}_{ij}(i\omega_n),$$
(23)

where  $\mu$  is the chemical potential,  $\tilde{t}_{ij}$  are impurity onsite energies and  $\tilde{\Delta}_{ij}$  is the hybridization function.

Solving the impurity model Eq. 21 with CTQMC starts by expanding the

partition function of the impurity model in powers of the hybridization as

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}c^{\dagger}\mathcal{D}ce^{-S}$$

$$= \sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{i_{1}\cdots i_{k}} \sum_{j_{1}\cdots j_{k}} \iint_{0}^{\beta} d\tau_{1}d\tau_{1}'\cdots \iint_{0}^{\beta} d\tau_{k}d\tau_{k}'$$

$$\times \operatorname{Tr}[e^{-\beta\hat{H}_{\mathrm{loc}}} T_{\tau}\hat{c}_{j_{1}}(\tau_{1}')\hat{c}_{i_{1}}^{\dagger}(\tau_{1})\cdots\hat{c}_{j_{k}}(\tau_{k}')\hat{c}_{i_{k}}^{\dagger}(\tau_{k})]$$

$$\times e^{\frac{1}{2}\sum_{1\leq n,m\leq k} K(\tau_{n}-\tau_{m})-K(\tau_{n}'-\tau_{m})-K(\tau_{n}-\tau_{m}')+K(\tau_{n}'-\tau_{m}')}$$

$$\times \underbrace{\operatorname{Det}}_{1\leq n,m\leq k} \widetilde{\Delta}_{i_{n}j_{m}}(\tau_{n}-\tau_{m}').$$
(24)

Here we defined

$$K(\tau) = \frac{F^{0,\text{ret}}(i\nu_n = 0)}{2\beta} |\tau|(|\tau| - \beta) + \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{F^{0,\text{ret}}(i\nu_n)}{\beta(i\nu_n)^2} e^{-i\nu_n\tau}$$
(25)

and

$$\hat{H}_{\rm loc} = \sum_{ij} \hat{c}_i^{\dagger} (\tilde{t}_{ij} - \mu^{\rm scr} \delta_{ij}) \hat{c}_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ijkl} \hat{c}_i^{\dagger} \hat{c}_j^{\dagger} \widetilde{U}_{ijkl}^{\rm scr} \hat{c}_k \hat{c}_l, \qquad (26)$$

where  $F^{0,\text{ret}}(i\nu_n) = F^0(i\nu_n) - F^0(i\nu_n = \infty)$ ,  $\mu^{\text{scr}} = \mu - \frac{1}{2}F^{0,\text{ret}}(i\nu_n = 0)$ and  $\tilde{U}_{ijkl}^{\text{scr}} = \tilde{U}_{ijkl} + F^0(i\nu_n = 0)\delta_{il}\delta_{jk}$ . Sampling the expansion Eq. 24 with a Metropolis-Hasting Markov-Chain algorithm then yields estimates of observables, see Refs. [50, 88, 89] for a detailed description.

The most important observable within DMFT is the Green function on the impurity

$$\widetilde{G}_{ij}(\tau) = -Z^{-1} \int \mathcal{D}c^{\dagger} \mathcal{D}c e^{-S} c_i(\tau) c_j^{\dagger}, \qquad (27)$$

from which the self-energy

$$\widetilde{\Sigma}_{ij}(i\omega_n) = \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_{ij}^{-1}(i\omega_n) - \widetilde{G}_{ij}^{-1}(i\omega_n)$$
(28)

can be extracted.

In ComCTQMC, each MPI processes is assigned to one Markov chain, realizing ideal parallel performance.

# 5. Computational Layout for charge self-consistent LDA+DMFT

In ComDMFT, charge self-consistent LDA+DMFT methodology is implemented, enabling multiple methods for the electronic structure of CES in one platform. In addition to the crystal structure and the choice of correlated orbitals,  $\tilde{U}$  parameterized by Slater's integrals as well as electron occupation associated with the correlated orbitals (for the nominal double counting energy



Figure 4: (color online) charge self-consistent LDA+DMFT flowchart and data exchange between programs (FlapwMBPT, ComWann, ComLowH, and ComCTQMC) in ComDMFT. For the meaning of each symbol, please see table 1. First, a LDA Hamiltonian is constructed by using FlapwMBPT [29]. In ComWann, with LDA energies and wavefunctions, initial trial rotation matrix  $(A_{n\alpha}(\mathbf{k}))$  and overlap matrix  $(M_{mn}^{\mathbf{kb}})$  are calculated to construct Wannier functions and their rotation matrices  $(U_{n\alpha}(\mathbf{k}))$  by using Wannier90 package [79]. We solve DMFT self-consistent loop by using ComLowH and ComCTQMC. ComLowH Wannier-interpolates LDA bandstructure and construct Lattice Green's function (G) and fermionic Weiss field  $(\tilde{\mathcal{G}})$ by compensating double-counting self-energy and embedding impurity self-energy  $(\tilde{\Sigma}_{imp})$ . ComCTQMC calculates impurity self-energy. Finally, a density matrix  $(\rho^{DMFT}(\mathbf{k}))$  in the low-energy Hilbert space are embedded into the full density matrix to update electron density. Slater's integrals  $(F^k)$  and electron occupation associated with the correlated orbitals (for the nominal double counting energy scheme [46, 90]) are supposed to be provided by a user

scheme [46, 90]) are supposed to be provided by a user for the charge selfconsistent LDA+DMFT. Charge self-consistent LDA+DMFT calculations can be broken down into four steps as shown in Fig. 4: i) construction of a LDA Hamiltonian by FlapwMBPT [29], ii) construction of the atom-centered local basis set spanning the low-energy Hilbert space by ComWann utilizing Wannier90 package [79], iii) Wannier interpolation of the LDA Hamiltonian and solving the DMFT self-consistent equation by ComLowH and ComCTQMC, and iv) updating electron density.

The electron density is updated in the following way. The local density is defined as

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{N_{\mathbf{k}}} \sum_{\mathbf{k},n,n'} \langle \mathbf{r} | n \mathbf{k} \rangle \rho_{n,n'}(\mathbf{k}) \langle n' \mathbf{k} | \mathbf{r} \rangle$$
(29)

where  $\rho_{n,n'}(\mathbf{k})$  is a density matrix spanned by band eigenstates. To update charge density, low-energy density matrix from DMFT self-consistent equation solution is embedded to the density matrix in eq. (29)

$$\rho_{n,n'}(\mathbf{k}) = \rho_n^{LDA} \delta_{n,n'} + \sum_{\alpha,\alpha'} \langle n\mathbf{k} | \alpha \mathbf{k} \rangle \left( \rho_{\alpha,\alpha'}^{DMFT}(\mathbf{k}) - \sum_l \langle \alpha \mathbf{k} | l\mathbf{k} \rangle \rho_l^{LDA} \langle l\mathbf{k} | \alpha' \mathbf{k} \rangle \right) \langle \alpha' \mathbf{k} | n' \mathbf{k} \rangle$$
(30)

where  $\rho_n^{LDA}(\mathbf{k})$  is the density matrix within density functional theory and  $\rho_{\alpha,\alpha'}^{DMFT}(\mathbf{k})$  is the density matrix from DMFT self-consistent equation solution in the low-energy Hilbert space.

## 6. Results

To benchmark the performance of ComDMFT, we calculate the electronic structure of three prototypical CES of MnO, NiO, and FeSe at T=300K within *ab initio* LQSGW+DMFT and compare them with experiments. MnO and NiO are paramagnetic Mott insulators with rocksalt crystal structure. FeSe is a paramagnetic Hund's metal which shows nematic order and unconventional superconductivity at low temperature. Charge self-consistent LDA+DMFT results obtained by using ComDMFT are also shown for comparison. In addition to the density of states and crystal momentum resolved spectral function of three CES, some of the important physical quantities for MnO are shown for the demonstration of ComDMFT.

#### 6.1. Wannier functions and interpolated Wannier bandstructure of MnO

Figure 5 (a) shows the Wannier-interpolated electronic bandstructure of MnO within non spin-polarized LDA and LQSGW. As demonstrated in this figure, conventional electronic structure methods based on one-particle picture, in their non spin-polarized versions, fail to reproduce an insulating gap



Figure 5: (color online) (a) Wannier interpolated MnO bandstructures within non spinpolarized LDA and LQSGW. (b) Mn- $d_{xy}$  and (c) Mn- $d_{z^2}$  Wannier functions in a FCC conventional unitcell. White and black spheres represent O and Mn atoms, respectively

in MnO. Although non spin-polarized LQSGW shows bandwidth narrowing for the five bands near the Fermi level, it still predicts that MnO is a metal. To define five Mn-*d* orbitals as correlated orbitals, Wannier functions for Mn-*s*, Mn-*p* Mn-*d*, and O-*p* orbitals are constructed in a frozen energy window of  $-15eV < E - E_f < 7eV$ . Figure 5 (b) and (c) show Mn- $d_{xy}$  and Mn- $d_{z^2}$  Wannier functions in a conventional FCC unit cell, respectively. They are centered exactly at a Mn atom and show expected angular distributions of the wavefunctions. The square root of the spread of the Mn- $t_{2g}$  and Mn- $e_g$  orbitals are  $\sqrt{\langle \mathbf{r}^2 - \langle \mathbf{r} \rangle_{\mathbf{R}=0,Mn-t_{2g}}^2 \rangle_{\mathbf{R}=0,Mn-t_{2g}}} = 0.69 \text{Å}$  and  $\sqrt{\langle \mathbf{r}^2 - \langle \mathbf{r} \rangle_{\mathbf{R}=0,Mn-e_g}^2 \rangle_{\mathbf{R}=0,Mn-e_g}} = 0.62 \text{Å}$ , respectively. These spreadings are much smaller than the interatomic distance between Mn and O of 2.22 Å. Spin-integrated electron occupations in Mn-*d* orbitals within LQSGW are  $\langle n_{Mn-d_{xy}} \rangle = \langle n_{Mn-d_{yz}} \rangle = \langle n_{Mn-d_{zz}} \rangle = 1.4$ and  $\langle n_{Mn-d_{z^2}} \rangle = \langle n_{Mn-d_{x^2-y^2}} \rangle = \langle n_{Mn-zx} \rangle = 0.4$ , where  $n_{\alpha}$  is the density operator in an orbital  $\alpha$ . These values are far from the value at half-filling and hinder a paramagnetic Mott gap opening.

# 6.2. Coulomb interaction tensor and Slater's integrals associated with Mn-d orbitals in MnO

Figure 6 shows Slater's integrals of partially-screened Coulomb interactions in eq. (9). Slater's integrals of bare Coulomb interaction and fully-screened Coulomb interaction are shown for comparison. These quantities are obtained



Figure 6: (color online) Slater's integrals associated with Mn-*d* orbitals: (a)  $F^0$ , (b)  $F^2$ , and (c)  $F^4$ . Red and blue colored lines correspond to the Slater's integrals of partially screened Coulomb interaction  $W_r$  and fully screened Coulomb interaction W, respectively. Black dashed lines are for bare Coulomb interaction

by replacing  $W_r$  with V and W in in eq. (9), respectively. By excluding polarizability between five correlated bands, dielectric screening are suppressed and Slater's integrals of partially screened Coulomb interaction are larger than those of fully-screened Coulomb interactions at low-energy. In addition, monopole integral ( $F^0$ ) shows much stronger frequency dependence than  $F^2$  and  $F^4$ . To illustrate,  $F^0$  of partially-screened Coulomb interaction increases from 5.6eV to 21.5eV but  $F^4$  from 6.9eV to 7.3eV.

#### 6.3. Impurity self-energies associated with Mn-d orbitals

Figure 7 (a) and (b) show double-counted electronic self-energies within local-GW approximation associated with five Mn-d orbitals on the imaginary frequency axis. Red and blue lines are for  $Mn-t_{2q}$  and  $Mn-e_q$  orbitals, respectively. Both real and imaginary parts of self-energies do not show divergent behaviors and their imaginary parts are even linear in imaginary frequency near the Fermi level. However, if all Feynman diagrams associated with five Mn-d orbitals are summed, self-energies show qualitatively different behaviors. Figure 7 (c) and (d) show impurity self-energies from ComCTQMC on the imaginary frequency axis. In contrast to electronic self-energies within local GW approximation, both real and imaginary parts of DMFT self-energy on the imaginary frequency axis show divergent behaviors near the Fermi level. In addition, spin-integrated electron occupations in Mn-d orbitals within DMFT are  $\langle n_{Mn-d_{xy}} \rangle = \langle n_{Mn-d_{yz}} \rangle = \langle n_{Mn-d_{zx}} \rangle = 1.0$  and  $\langle n_{Mn-d_{z^2}} \rangle = \langle n_{Mn-d_{x^2-y^2}} \rangle = \langle n_{Mn-d_{x^2}} \rangle = 1.04$ , which are much closer to the value at half-filling than those within LQSGW approximation. Analytical continuation by using maximum entropy method [91] implemented in EDMFTF package [47] results in divergent electronic self-energies more clearly as shown in Fig. 7 (e) and (f). Electronic self-energy for both  $Mn-t_{2g}$  and  $Mn-e_g$  has a pole near the Fermi level, inducing a paramagnetic Mott gap in MnO.

# 6.4. Hybridization functions associated with Mn-d orbitals

Figure 8 shows hybridization functions associated with five Mn-*d* orbitals on the imaginary frequency axis. Red and blue lines are for Mn- $t_{2g}$  and Mn- $e_g$ 



Figure 7: (color online) (a) real and (b) imaginary parts of local-GW self-energies associated with  $Mn-t_{2g}$  (red) and  $Mn-e_g$  (blue) orbitals on the imaginary frequency axis. Dotted lines show their high-frequency limit. (c) real and (d) imaginary part of DMFT self-energies associated with  $Mn-t_{2g}$  (red) and  $e_g$  (blue) orbitals on the imaginary frequency axis. (e) real and (f) imaginary parts of impurity self-energies associated with  $Mn-t_{2g}$  (red) and  $e_g$  (blue) orbitals on the real frequency axis.



Figure 8: (color online) (a) real and (b) imaginary parts of hybridization functions associated with  $Mn-t_{2q}$  (red) and  $Mn-e_q$  (blue) orbitals on the imaginary frequency axis.

orbitals, respectively. A  $Mn-t_{2g}$  orbital experiences less hybridization with the rest of the electrons than a  $Mn-e_g$  orbital. Imaginary part of the hybridization function approaches to zero at the Fermi level, implying the opening of an energy gap in MnO.

# 6.5. The density of states and spectral functions of MnO

In this subsection, total DOS, projected DOS and crystal momentum resolved spectral function within *ab initio* LQSGW+DMFT are presented. For comparison, charge self-consistent LDA+DMFT calculation is performed by using ComDMFT. For the LDA+DMFT, five Mn-*d* orbitals are considered as correlated orbitals.  $F^0 = 9.0eV$ ,  $F^2 = 9.8eV$  and  $F^4 = 6.1eV$  are chosen to construct Coulomb interaction tensor associated Mn-*d* orbitals, corresponding to U = 9.0eV and J = 1.14eV. To define five Mn-*d* orbitals, Wannier functions for Mn-*s*, Mn-*p* Mn-*d*, and O-*p* orbitals are constructed in a frozen energy window of  $-10eV < E - E_f < 10eV$  at every charge self-consistency loop. For the double-counting energy, nominal double-counting scheme [46, 90] is used with the *d* orbital occupancy of 5.0.

Figure 9 (a) show MnO density of states within charge self-consistent LDA+DMFT and *ab initio* LQSGW+DMFT. For comparison, photoemission spectroscopy and bremsstrahlung isochromat spectroscopy results [92, 93] are reproduced. Both charge self-consistent LDA+DMFT and *ab initio* LQSGW+DMFT open an insulating gap, which can be clearly seen in a zoom-in view in Figure 9 (b). They reproduce experimentally observed four peak structure at 5eV, -2eV, -4eV and -7eV from the Fermi level reasonably well. The projected density of state calculation within LQSGW+DMFT shown in Fig. 9 (c) attributes each peak to Mn- $t_{2g}$ , Mn- $e_g$ , Mn- $t_{2g}$ , and O-p orbitals, respectively. In contrast, all peaks below the Fermi level are dominated by O-p orbitals within charge selfconsistent LDA+DMFT, as shown in Fig. 9 (d). Substantial contribution of O-p orbitals to the top of the valence band shows strong-hybridization between



Figure 9: (color online) (a) MnO density of states and (b) its zoom-in view. Red and blue lines show the total density of states within *ab initio* LQSGW+DMFT and charge self-consistent LDA+DMFT. Gray dashed lines are from photoemission spectroscopy and Bremsstrahlung Isochromat Spectroscopy [92, 93]. (c) the projected density of states to O-*p*, Mn-*s*, Mn- $t_{2g}$ , and Mn- $e_g$  orbitals within *ab initio* LQSGW+DMFT, marked by green, cyan, purple, and brown colors, respectively. (d) the projected density of states to O-*p*, Ni-*s*, Ni- $t_{2g}$ , and Ni- $e_g$  orbitals within charge self-consistent LDA+DMFT, marked by green, cyan, purple, and brown colors, respectively. (e) spectral function along a high symmetry line in the first Brillouin zone within *ab initio* LQSGW+DMFT. (f) spectral function along a high symmetry line in the first Brillouin zone within charge self-consistent LDA+DMFT

O-p and Mn- $e_g$ , consistent with Zhang-Rice multiplet picture. This strong hybridization gives rise to sharp spectral features at the top of the valence bands in the crystal Momentum resolved spectral function. Fig. 9 (e) and (f) show momentum resolved spectral functions within *ab initio* LQSGW+DMFT and charge self-consistent LDA+DMFT. Above the Fermi level, band structure and the position of the Hubbard bands are qualitatively similar. However, below the Fermi level, they show differences. Although Zhang-Rice multiplet peaks at the top of the valence bands are sharp and become weaker near the  $\Gamma$  point from both methods, O-p bands (bands at  $E_F$ -5eV at  $\Gamma$  point) are at a lower energy within LQSGW+DMFT than charge self-consistent LDA+DMFT.

# 6.6. The density of states and spectral functions of NiO

In this subsection, total DOS, projected DOS and crystal momentum resolved spectral function of NiO are presented. For the choice of correlated orbitals, five Ni-d orbitals are chosen and Wannier functions for Ni-s, Ni-p Nid, and O-p orbitals are constructed in a frozen energy window of  $-10eV < E-E_f < 10eV$ . For comparison, charge self-consistent LDA+DMFT calculation is performed by using ComDMFT. For the LDA+DMFT, five Ni-d orbitals are considered as correlated orbitals. To define five Ni-d orbitals, Wannier functions for Ni-s, Ni-p Ni-d, and O-p orbitals are constructed in a frozen energy window of  $-10eV < E-E_f < 10eV$  at every charge self-consistency loop.  $F^0 = 10.0eV$ ,  $F^2 = 7.8eV$  and  $F^4 = 4.8eV$  are chosen to construct Coulomb interaction tensor associated Ni-d orbitals, corresponding to U = 10.0eV and J = 0.9eV. For the double-counting energy, nominal double-counting scheme [46, 90] is used with d orbital occupancy of 8.0.

Figure 10 (a) shows NiO total DOS within ab initio LQSGW+DMFT. For comparison, photoemission spectroscopy and bremsstrahlung isochromat spectroscopy results [94] are reproduced and marked by gray dashed lines. Total DOS within charge self-consistent LDA+DMFT are marked by blue lines. Both charge self-consistent LDA+DMFT and ab initio LQSGW+DMFT open an insulating gap, which can be clearly seen in a zoom-in view in Figure 10 (b). They reproduce experimentally observed two peak structure at -2eV, and 4eV from the Fermi level reasonably well. LQSGW+DMFT projected density of state shown in Fig. 10 (c) attributes each peak to  $Ni-t_{2g}$  and  $Ni-e_g$  orbitals, respectively in agreement with charge self-consistent LDA+DMFT shown in Fig. 10 (d). Although the main peak below the Fermi level is dominated by Ni- $t_{2q}$ , the very top of the valence bands is dominated by Ni- $e_q$ . The subpeak at  $E_F$ -4eV is hardly reproduced within LQSGW+DMFT, although LDA+DMFT give rise to a strong O-p peak at slightly lower energy. There is small enhancement of the Ni- $e_g$  weight around  $E_F$ -3eV within LQSGW+DMFT but it is too small to give rise to a subpeak. There are other LDA+DMFT results that peaks at  $E_F-2eV$  and  $E_F-4eV$  are originated from Ni-d orbitals [96, 97, 98], but the understanding the nature of the subpeak at  $E_F$ -4eV is a puzzle to be solved [99].

Fig. 10 (e) and (f) show momentum resolved spectral functions within LQSGW+DMFT as well as charge self-consistent LDA+DMFT. For compar-



Figure 10: (color online) (a) NiO density of states and (b) its zoom-in view. Red and blue lines show the total density of states within *ab initio* LQSGW+DMFT and charge self-consistent LDA+DMFT. Gray dashed lines are from photoemission spectroscopy and Bremsstrahlung Isochromat Spectroscopy [94]. (c) the projected density of states to O-*p*, Ni-*s*, Ni- $t_{2g}$ , and Ni- $e_g$  orbitals within *ab initio* LQSGW+DMFT, marked by green, cyan, purple, and brown colors, respectively. (d) the projected density of states to O-*p*, Ni-*s*, Ni- $t_{2g}$ , and Ni- $e_g$  orbitals within charge self-consistent LDA+DMFT, marked by green, cyan, purple, and brown colors, respectively. (e) spectral function along  $\Gamma$ -*X* in the first Brillouin zone within *ab initio* LQSGW+DMFT. (f) spectral function along  $\Gamma$ -*X* in the first Brillouin zone within charge self-consistent LDA+DMFT. Red plus symbols in (e) and (f) are from angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy data[95].

ison, data from angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy is reproduced and marked by red plus symbols[95]. Above the Fermi level, Ni-s bands from two different methods are qualitatively similar, but upper Hubbard bands are much sharper within LQSGW+DMFT than LDA+DMFT. Below the Fermi level, there are noticeable differences. Although the top of the valence bands is well reproduced within LQSGW+DMFT, this band is too low in energy along  $\Gamma$ -X line within charge self-consistent LDA+DMFT. Here we note that there is also a report claiming a good agreement between LDA+DMFT and spectral function along  $\Gamma$ -X line [97]. This discrepancy between different LDA+DMFT calculations might be due to a different choice of double counting scheme, the level of charge self-consistency and no fine adjustment on U and J values in our case. The influence of all these factors can be explored building on this platform.

#### 6.7. The density of states and spectral functions of FeSe

In this subsection, total DOS, projected DOS and crystal momentum resolved spectral function of FeSe are presented. For the simulation, the experimentallydetermined crystal structure in P4/nmm space group [100] is used. Five Fe-*d* orbitals are considered as correlated orbitals and Wannier functions for Fe-*s*, Fe-*p* Fe-*d*, Se-*p* and Se-*d* orbitals are constructed in a frozen energy window of  $-10eV < E \cdot E_F < 10eV$ . For comparison, charge self-consistent LDA+DMFT calculation is performed by using ComDMFT. For the LDA+DMFT, five Fe*d* orbitals are considered as correlated orbitals. To define five Fe-*d* orbitals, Wannier functions for Fe-*s*, Fe-*p* Fe-*d*, Se-*p* and Se-*d* orbitals are constructed in a frozen energy window of  $-10eV < E - E_f < 10eV$  at every charge selfconsistency loop.  $F^0 = 5.0eV$ ,  $F^2 = 6.9eV$  and  $F^4 = 4.3eV$  are chosen to construct Coulomb interaction tensor associated Fe-*d* orbitals, corresponding to U = 5.0eV and J = 0.8eV [101]. For the double-counting energy, nominal double-counting scheme [46, 90] is used with *d* orbital occupancy of 6.0. Spin-orbit coupling is neglected in all methods.

Figure 11 (a) and (b) show FeSe DOS within *ab initio* LQSGW+DMFT and charge self-consistent LDA+DMFT, respectively. They show qualitatively similar line shapes, although *ab initio* LQSGW+DMFT lineshape is more extended in energy than charge self-consistent LDA+DMFT lineshape. Figure 11 (c) and (d) show quasiparticle bandstructure along M- $\Gamma$ -M line and  $\Gamma$ -M- $\Gamma$  line within four different theories: LDA (red lines), LQSGW (green lines), LDA+DMFT (blue lines), and LQSGW+DMFT (black lines). For the construction of the quasiparticle bands within LDA+DMFT and LQSGW+DMFT, we linearized impurity self-energy around the Fermi level and constructed quasiparticle Hamiltonian in the following way.

$$H_{QP}(\mathbf{k}) = \sqrt{Z_{imp}(\mathbf{k})} \left( H_{MF}(\mathbf{k}) + f_{\mathbf{k}} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{imp}(\omega = 0) f_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \right) \sqrt{Z_{imp}(\mathbf{k})}, \qquad (31)$$

where  $Z_{imp}^{-1}(\mathbf{k}) = f_{\mathbf{k}} \left( 1 - \partial \widetilde{\Sigma}_{imp} / \partial i \omega_n |_{\omega=0} \right) f_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}$ .  $H_{MF}$  is  $H_{QP}^{nl}$  in eq. (15) for LQSGW+DMFT and double-counting-term-corrected Kohm-Sham Hamil-



Figure 11: (color online) the total density of states and the projected density of states within (a) *ab initio* LQSGW+DMFT and (b) charge self-consistent LDA+DMFT. FeSe band-structure within LDA (red lines), LQSGW (green lines), LDA+DMFT (blue lines), and LQSGW+DMFT (black lines) along (c) M- $\Gamma$ -M line and (d)  $\Gamma$ -M- $\Gamma$  lines at T=300K. Angle-resolved photoemission data at high-temperature phase (T=120K) are marked by gray plus symbols [102, 103]

tonian  $(H_{DFT}(\mathbf{k}) - f_{\mathbf{k}} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{DC} f_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger})$  for charge self-consistent LDA+DMFT, respectively. For comparison, quasiparticle bands from angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy are reproduced [102, 103]. Near  $\Gamma$  point, in its high-temperature phase, a single hole packet has been observed experimentally and it is attributed to Fe- $d_{xz}$  and Fe- $d_{uz}$  orbitals [104]. Due to spin-orbit coupling, the degeneracy at  $\Gamma$  is lifted and another band below the Fermi level has been observed. Among four different ab initio methods, LQSGW+DMFT shows the best agreement with the experiments in terms of the effective mass for Fe- $d_{xz}$  and Fe- $d_{yz}$  bands and the position of the bands at the  $\Gamma$ . Here, we note that all four different methods predict that there is another hole pocket from Fe- $d_{xy}$  orbitals at  $\Gamma$ points which has not seen from ARPES experiments. Near M point, it is expected to see two electron pockets: one from  $\text{Fe-}d_{xz}$  and  $\text{Fe-}d_{yz}$  orbitals and the other from Fe- $d_{xy}$ . From experiments, only a single electron pocket has been observed yet. Among four different *ab initio* methods, LQSGW+DMFT results in the best agreement with the experiments in terms of the effective mass for the electron bands and the position of the bands at the M. Here we note that a better choice of Slater's integrals and double-counting energy for charge self-consistent LDA+DMFT may result in a better agreement between LDA+DMFT results and experiments.

# 7. Summary

We presented the implementation of *ab initio* LQSGW+DMFT and charge self-consistent LDA+DMFT for the electronic structure of CES in ComDMFT. The rationale of this development is to provide multiple methods in one opensource GPL license platform in order to investigate the consequences of the coexistence of localized and itinerant characters of correlated-electrons and to potentiate a diagrammatically motivated *ab initio* approaches for CES. This code will serve as a starting point for other *ab initio* approaches on CES.

# 8. Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the U.S Department of Energy, Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences as a part of the Computational Materials Science Program.

# References

- G. R. Stewart, Non-Fermi-liquid behavior in \$d\$- and \$f\$-electron metals 73 (4) 797-855. doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.73.797. URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/RevModPhys.73.797
- M. J. Rice, Electron-Electron Scattering in Transition Metals 20 (25) 1439-1441. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.20.1439. URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.20.1439

- K. Kadowaki, S. B. Woods, Universal relationship of the resistivity and specific heat in heavy-Fermion co 58 (8) 507-509. doi:10.1016/0038-1098(86)90785-4. URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0038109886907854
- [4] A. C. Jacko, J. O. Fjrestad, B. J. Powell, A unified explanation of the KadowakiWoods ratio in strongly correlated metals 5 (6) 422-425. doi:10.1038/nphys1249. URL http://www.nature.com/articles/nphys1249
- [5] A. Georges, G. Kotliar, W. Krauth, M. J. Rozenberg, Dynamical mean-field theory of strongly correlated fermion systems and the limit of infinite dimensions 68 (1) 13-125. doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.68.13. URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/RevModPhys.68.13
- [6] A. C. Hewson, The Kondo Problem to Heavy Fermions, Cambridge University Press. URL http://books.google.com/books?id=fPzgHneNFDAC
- [7] G. Kotliar, D. Vollhardt, Strongly correlated materials: Insights from dynamical mean-field theory 57 (3) 53-59. doi:10.1063/1.1712502.
   URL http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/magazine/physicstoday/article/57/3/10.1063/1.
- [8] G. Kotliar, S. Υ. Savrasov, Κ. Haule, V. S. Oudovenko, О. Parcollet. С. Marianetti, Α. Electronic structure calculations with dynamical mean-field theory 78 (3) 865-951. doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.78.865. URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/RevModPhys.78.865
- [9] M. Imada, T. Miyake, Electronic Structure Calculation by First Principles for Strongly Correlated Elect 79 (11) 112001. doi:10.1143/JPSJ.79.112001. URL http://journals.jps.jp/doi/abs/10.1143/JPSJ.79.112001
- [10] V. Anisimov, Y. Izyumov, Electronic Structure of Strongly Correlated Materials, Springer.
- [11] A. Georges, L. de' Medici, J. Mravlje, Strong Correlations from Hunds Coupling 4 (1) 137-178. doi:10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-020911-125045. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-020911-125045
- [12] P. Hohenberg, W. Kohn, Inhomogeneous Electron Gas 136 B864-B871. doi:10.1103/PhysRev.136.B864. URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.136.B864
- W. Kohn, L. J. Sham, Self-Consistent Equations Including Exchange and Correlation Effects 140 A1133-A1138. doi:10.1103/PhysRev.140.A1133.
   URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.140.A1133

- [14] L. Hedin, New Method for Calculating the One-Particle Green's Function with Application to the Electr 139 A796-A823. doi:10.1103/PhysRev.139.A796.
   URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.139.A796
- [15] M. S. Hybertsen, S. G. Louie, Electron correlation in semiconductors and insulators: Band gaps and quas 34 (8) 5390-5413. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.34.5390.
   URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.34.5390
- [16] P. Giannozzi, S. Baroni, N. Bonini, M. Calandra, R. Car, C. Cavazzoni, Davide Ceresoli, G. L. Chiarotti, M. Cococcioni, I. Dabo, A. D. Corso, S. de Gironcoli, S. Fabris, G. Fratesi, R. Gebauer, U. Gerstmann, C. Gougoussis, Anton Kokalj, M. Lazzeri, L. Martin-Samos, N. Marzari, F. Mauri, R. Mazzarello, Stefano Paolini, A. Pasquarello, L. Paulatto, C. Sbraccia, S. Scandolo, G. Sclauzero, A. P. Seitsonen, A. Smogunov, P. Umari, R. M. Wentzcovitch, QUANTUM ESPRESSO: A modular and open-source software project for quantum simulations of mate 21 (39) 395502. doi:10.1088/0953-8984/21/39/395502. URL http://stacks.iop.org/0953-8984/21/i=39/a=395502
- [17] B. G. Pfrommer, J. Demmel, H. Simon, Unconstrained Energy Functionals for Electronic Structure Calculations 150 (1) 287-298. doi:10.1006/jcph.1998.6181. URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0021999198961812
- [18] X. Gonze, F. Jollet, F. Abreu Araujo, D. Adams, B. Amadon, T. Applencourt, C. Audouze, J. M. Beuken, J. Bieder, A. Bokhanchuk, E. Bousquet, F. Bruneval, D. Caliste, M. Ct, F. Dahm, F. Da Pieve, M. Delaveau, M. Di Gennaro, B. Dorado, C. Espejo, G. Geneste, L. Genovese, A. Gerossier, M. Giantomassi, Y. Gillet, D. R. Hamann, L. He, G. Jomard, J. Laflamme Janssen, S. Le Roux, A. Levitt, A. Lherbier, F. Liu, I. Lukaevi, A. Martin, C. Martins, M. J. T. Oliveira, S. Ponc, Y. Pouillon, T. Rangel, G. M. Rignanese, A. H. Romero, B. Rousseau, O. Rubel, A. A. Shukri, M. Stankovski, M. Torrent, M. J. Van Setten, B. Van Troeye, M. J. Verstraete, D. Waroquiers, J. Wiktor, B. Xu, A. Zhou, J. W. Zwanziger, Recent developments in the ABINIT software package 205 106-131. doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2016.04.003. URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0010465516300923
- [19] J. Deslippe, G. Samsonidze, D. Α. Strubbe, L. М. Jain, М. Cohen, S. G. Louie, BerkeleyGW: A Massively Parallel Computer Package for the Calculation of the Quasiparticle and Opti 1269 - 1289.arXiv:1111.4429. 183(6)doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2011.12.006. URL http://arxiv.org/abs/1111.4429
- [20] G. Kresse, J. Furthmller, Efficiency of ab-initio total energy calculations for metals and semiconductors 6 (1) 15-50. doi:10.1016/0927-0256(96)00008-0.
   URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0927025696000080

- [21] The SIESTA method for ab initio order-N materials simulation IOPscience. URL http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/0953-8984/14/11/302/meta
- [22] OpenMX website. URL http://www.openmx-square.org/
- [23] T. Kotani, The quasiparticle self-consistent GW method in the PMT method (LAPW+LMTO+Lo). See URL https://github.com/tkotani/ecalj
- [24] Questaal Home. URL https://www.questaal.org/
- [25] The Elk Code. URL http://elk.sourceforge.net/
- [26] P. Blaha, K. Schwarz, G. K. H. Madsen, D. Kvasnicka, J. Luitz, WIEN2K, An Augmented Plane Wave + Local Orbitals Program for Calculating Crystal Properties, Karlheinz Schwarz, Techn. Universitt Wien, Austria.
- [27] The Juelich FLEUR project. URL http://www.flapw.de/pm/index.php?n=Main.AboutFleur
- [28] J. M. Wills, M. Alouani, P. Andersson, A. Delin, O. Eriksson, O. Grechnyev, The Full-Potential Electronic Structure Problem and RSPt, in: Full-Potential Electronic Structure Method, Springer Series in Solid-State Sciences, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 47-73. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-15144-6\_6. URL https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-642-15144-6\_6
- [29] A. Kutepov, V. Oudovenko, G. Kotliar, Linearized self-consistent quasiparticle GW method: Application to semiconductors and simple metals 219 407-414. doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2017.06.012. URL https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0010465517301947
- [30] D. Pines, P. Nozires, Theory of Quantum Liquids, Volume I: Normal Fermi Liquids, Westview Press.
- [31] N. F. Mott, R. Peierls, Discussion of the paper by de Boer and Verwey 49 72. doi:10.1088/0959-5309/49/4S/308. URL http://stacks.iop.org/0959-5309/49/i=4S/a=308
- [32] W. Metzner, D. Vollhardt, Correlated Lattice Fermions in \$d=\ensuremath{\infty}\$ Dimensions 62 (3) 324-327. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.62.324.
   URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.62.324
- [33] A. Georges, G. Kotliar, Hubbard model in infinite dimensions 45 (12) 6479-6483. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.45.6479.
   URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.45.6479

- [34] V. I. Anisimov, A. I. Poteryaev, M. A. Korotin, A. O. Anokhin, G. Kotliar, First-principles calculations of the electronic structure and spectra of strongly correlated systems: Dyna 9 (35) 7359. doi:10.1088/0953-8984/9/35/010. URL http://stacks.iop.org/0953-8984/9/i=35/a=010
- [35] A. I. Lichtenstein, M. I. Katsnelson, Ab initio calculations of quasiparticle band structure in correlated systems: LDA++ approach 57 (12) 6884-6895. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.57.6884. URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.57.6884
- [36] A. I. Lichtenstein, M. I. Katsnelson, G. Kotliar, Finite-Temperature Magnetism of Transition Metals: An ab initio Dynamical Mean-Field Theory 87 (6) 067205. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.067205. URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.067205
- [37] K. Held, G. Keller, V. Eyert, D. Vollhardt, V. I. Anisimov, Mott-Hubbard Metal-Insulator Transition in Paramagnetic \${V}\_{2}{O}\_{3}\$: An \$LDA+DMFT(\ma 86 (23) 5345-5348. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.5345. URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.5345
- [38] S. Y. Savrasov, G. Kotliar, E. Abrahams, Correlated electrons in -plutonium within a dynamical mean-field picture 410 (6830) 793-795. doi:10.1038/35071035. URL http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v410/n6830/full/410793a0.html
- [39] K. Haule, Exact double-counting in combining the Dynamical Mean Field Theory and the Density Func URL http://arxiv.org/abs/1501.03438
- [40] K. Haule, T. Birol, Free Energy from Stationary Implementation of the \$\mathrm{DFT}+\mathrm{DN 115 (25) 256402. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.256402. URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.256402
- [41] K. Haule, G. L. Pascut, Forces for structural optimizations in correlated materials within a DFT+embed 94 (19) 195146. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.94.195146.
   URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.195146
- [42] O. Parcollet, M. Ferrero, T. Ayral, H. Hafermann, I. Krivenko, L. Messio,
   P. Seth, TRIQS: A toolbox for research on interacting quantum systems 196 398-415. doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2015.04.023.
   URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0010465515001666
- [43] A. Gaenko, A. E. Antipov, G. Carcassi, T. Chen, X. Chen, Q. Dong, L. Gamper, J. Gukelberger, R. Igarashi, S. Iskakov, M. Knz, J. P. F. LeBlanc, R. Levy, P. N. Ma, J. E. Paki, H. Shinaoka, S. Todo, M. Troyer, E. Gull, Updated core libraries of the ALPS project 213 235-251. doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2016.12.009. URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0010465516303885

- [44] L. Huang, Y. Wang, Z. Y. Meng, L. Du, P. Werner, X. Dai, iQIST: An open source continuous-time quantum Monte Carlo impurity solver toolkit 195 140-160. doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2015.04.020. URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0010465515001629
- [45] M. Hausoel, Ρ. Gunacker, Kowal-Wallerberger, А. А. F. Goth, Κ. Held, Sangiovanni, ski, Ν. Parragh, G. W2dynamics: Local one- and two-particle quantities from dynamical mean field theoryarXiv:1801.102 URL http://arxiv.org/abs/1801.10209
- [46] K. Haule, C.-H. Yee, K. Kim, Dynamical mean-field theory within the full-potential methods: Electronic 81 (19) 195107. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.81.195107.
   URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.195107
- [47] Embedded DMFT Functional Tutorials. URL http://hauleweb.rutgers.edu/tutorials/index.html
- [48] M. Aichhorn, L. Pourovskii, P. Seth, V. Vildosola, M. Zingl, O. E. Peil, X. Deng, J. Mravlje, G. J. Kraberger, C. Martins, M. Ferrero, O. Parcollet, TRIQS/DFTTools: A TRIQS application for ab initio calculations of correlated materials 204 200-208. doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2016.03.014. URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0010465516300728
- [49] H. Park, A. J. Millis, C. A. Marianetti, Computing total energies in complex materials using charge self-consistent DFT + DMFT 90 (23) 235103. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.90.235103. URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.235103
- [50] K. Haule, Quantum Monte Carlo impurity solver for cluster dynamical mean-field theory and electronic 75 (15) 155113. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.75.155113. URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.75.155113
- [51] DCore DCore 1.0.0 documentation. URL https://issp-center-dev.github.io/DCore/
- T. Ozaki, Variationally optimized atomic orbitals for large-scale electronic structures 67 (15) 155108. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.67.155108.
   URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.67.155108
- [53] Amulet. URL http://www.amulet-code.org/
- [54] O. Grns, I. Di Marco, Ρ. Thunstrm, L. Nord-О. Eriksson, Τ. Bjrkman, М. Wills, strm, J. Charge self-consistent dynamical mean-field theory based on the full-potential linear muffin-tin orbital n 55 295-302. doi:10.1016/j.commatsci.2011.11.032. URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S092702561100646X

- [55] J. M. Tomczak, M. Casula, T. Miyake, F. Aryasetiawan, S. Biermann, Combined GW and dynamical mean-field theory: Dynamical screening effects in transition metal oxides 100 (6) 67001. doi:10.1209/0295-5075/100/67001. URL http://iopscience.iop.org/0295-5075/100/6/67001
- [56] R. Sakuma, P. Werner, F. Aryasetiawan, Electronic structure of SrVO3 within GW+DMFT 88 (23) 235110. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.88.235110. URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.235110
- [57] C. Taranto, М. Kaltak, Ν. Parragh, G. San-Toschi, Κ. Held. giovanni, G. Kresse, Α. Comparing quasiparticle \$GW\$+DMFT and LDA+DMFT for the test bed material SrVO\${}-{3}\$ 88 (16) 165119. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.88.165119. URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.165119
- [58] J. M. Tomczak, M. Casula, T. Miyake, S. Biermann, Asymmetry in band widening and quasiparticle lifetimes in SrVO3: Competition between screened exch 90 (16) 165138. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.90.165138. URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.165138
- [59] P. Werner, M. Casula, Dynamical screening in correlated electron systems from lattice models to realistic 28 (38) 383001. doi:10.1088/0953-8984/28/38/383001.
   URL http://stacks.iop.org/0953-8984/28/i=38/a=383001?key=crossref.647a63c714e3450e3794
- [60] J. M. Tomczak, QSGW +DMFT: An electronic structure scheme for the iron pnictides and beyond 592 (1) 012055. doi:10.1088/1742-6596/592/1/012055. URL http://stacks.iop.org/1742-6596/592/i=1/a=012055
- [61] A. van Roekeghem, S. Biermann, Screened exchange dynamical mean-field theory and its relation to den 108 (5) 57003. doi:10.1209/0295-5075/108/57003.
   URL http://iopscience.iop.org/0295-5075/108/5/57003
- [62] F. Nilsson, L. Boehnke, P. Werner, F. Aryasetiawan, Multitier self-consistent \$GW+\text{EDMFT}\$ 1 (4) 043803. doi:10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.1.043803. URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.1.043803
- [63] S. Choi, A. Kutepov, K. Haule, M. van Schilfgaarde, G. Kotliar, First-principles treatment of Mott insulators: Linearized QSGW+DMFT approach 1 16001. doi:10.1038/npjquantmats.2016.1. URL http://www.nature.com/articles/npjquantmats20161
- [64] J. M. Tomczak, P. Liu, A. Toschi, G. Kresse, K. Held, Merging GW with DMFT and non-local correlations beyond 226 (11) 2565-2590. doi:10.1140/epjst/e2017-70053-1. URL https://link.springer.com/article/10.1140/epjst/e2017-70053-1

- [65] A. Kutepov, K. Haule, S. Y. Savrasov, G. Kotliar, Electronic structure of Pu and Am metals by self-consistent relativistic GW method 85 (15) 155129. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.85.155129. URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.155129
- [66] A. Kutepov, S. Y. Savrasov, G. Kotliar, Ground-state properties of simple elements from GW calculations 80 (4) 041103. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.80.041103. URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.80.041103
- [67] F. Aryasetiawan, М. Imada, Α. Georges, Kotliar, Biermann, G. S. Lichtenstein, Α. I. Frequency-dependent local interactions and low-energy effective models from electronic structure calculation 70 (19) 195104. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.70.195104. URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.70.195104
- [68] C.-O. Almbladh, U. V. Barth, R. V. Leeuwen, Variational total energies from - and - derivable theories 13 535-541. doi:10.1142/S0217979299000436. URL http://www.worldscientific.com/doi/abs/10.1142/S0217979299000436
- [69] R. Chitra, G. Kotliar, Effective-action approach to strongly correlated fermion systems 63 (11) 115110. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.63.115110.
   URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.63.115110
- [70] Q. Si, J. L. Smith, Kosterlitz-Thouless Transition and Short Range Spatial Correlations in an Extended 77 (16) 3391-3394. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.3391. URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.3391
- [71] A. M. Sengupta, A. Georges, Non-Fermi-liquid behavior near a T=0 spin-glass transition 52 (14) 10295-10302. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.52.10295.
   URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.52.10295
- [72] Henrik Kajueter, Interpolating perturbation scheme for correlated electron systems.
- [73] P. Sun, G. Kotliar, Extended dynamical mean-field theory and GW method 66 (8) 085120. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.66.085120.
   URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.66.085120
- S. Biermann, F. Aryasetiawan, A. Georges,
   First-Principles Approach to the Electronic Structure of Strongly Correlated Systems: Combining the C
   90 (8) 086402. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.086402.
   URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.086402
- B. Holm, U. von Barth, Fully self-consistent \$\mathrm{GW}\$ self-energy of the electron gas 57 (4) 2108-2117. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.57.2108.
   URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.57.2108

- [76] P. Sun, G. Kotliar, Many-Body Approximation Scheme beyond GW 92 (19) 196402. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.196402.
   URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.196402
- [77] L. Sponza, Р. Pisanti, А. Vishina, D. Pashov, J. Vidal, С. Weber, G. Kotliar, М. van Schilfgaarde, Non-local self-energies in metals and itinerant magnets: A focus on Fe and NiarXiv:1603.05521. URL http://arxiv.org/abs/1603.05521
- [78] W. Chen, A. Pasquarello, Band-edge positions in \$GW\$: Effects of starting point and self-consistency 90 (16) 165133. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.90.165133. URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.165133
- [79] A. A. Mostofi, J. R. Yates, Y.-S. Lee, I. Souza, D. Vanderbilt, N. Marzari, Wannier90: A tool for obtaining maximally-localised Wannier functions 178 (9) 685-699. doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2007.11.016. URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0010465507004936
- [80] N. Marzari, A. A. Mostofi, J. R. Yates, I. Souza, D. Vanderbilt, Maximally localized Wannier functions: Theory and applications 84 (4) 1419-1475. doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.84.1419. URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/RevModPhys.84.1419
- [81] F. Aryasetiawan, K. Karlsson, O. Jepsen, U. Schnberger, Calculations of Hubbard \$U\$ from first-principles 74 (12) 125106. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.74.125106. URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.74.125106
- [82] T. Miyake, F. Aryasetiawan, Screened Coulomb interaction in the maximally localized Wannier basis 77 (8) 085122. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.77.085122. URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.085122
- [83] T. Miyake, F. Aryasetiawan, M. Imada, Ab initio procedure for constructing effective models of correlated materials with entangled band struct 80 (15) 155134. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.80.155134. URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.80.155134
- [84] E. aolu, C. Friedrich, S. Blgel, Effective Coulomb interaction in transition metals from constrained rando 83 (12) 121101. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.83.121101. URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.83.121101
- [85] B.-C. Shih, Y. Zhang, W. Zhang, P. Zhang, Screened Coulomb interaction of localized electrons in solids from first principles 85 (4) 045132. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.85.045132. URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.045132
- [86] P. Werner, M. Casula, T. Miyake, F. Aryasetiawan, A. J. Millis, S. Biermann, Satellites and large doping and temperature dependence of electronic properties in hole-doped Ba 8 (4) 331-337. doi:10.1038/nphys2250. URL http://www.nature.com/nphys/journal/v8/n4/abs/nphys2250.html

- [87] Y. Nomura, M. Kaltak, K. Nakamura, C. Taranto, S. Sakai, K. Held, Toschi, R. Arita, G. Kresse, М. Imada, А. Effective on-site interaction for dynamical mean-field theory 86 (8)085117. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.86.085117. URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.085117
- [88] E. Gull, J. Millis, Α. I. Α. Lichten-Α. Ν. Rubtsov. М. Ρ. stein. Trover. Werner. Continuous-time Monte Carlo methods for quantum impurity models 83 (2) 349-404. doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.83.349. URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/RevModPhys.83.349
- [89] P. Werner, A. J. Millis, Dynamical Screening in Correlated Electron Materials 104 (14) 146401. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.146401. URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.146401
- [90] K. Haule, T. Birol, G. Kotliar, Covalency in transition-metal oxides within all-electron dynamical mean-f 90 (7) 075136. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.90.075136.
   URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.075136
- [91] M. Jarrell, J. E. Gubernatis, Bayesian inference and the analytic continuation of imaginary-time quantum 269 (3) 133-195. doi:10.1016/0370-1573(95)00074-7. URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0370157395000747
- [92] E. Z. Kurmaev, R. G. Wilks. Α. Moewes. D. S. Ν. J. L. Finkelstein, Shamin, Kune, Oxygen x-ray emission and absorption spectra as a probe of the electronic structure of strongly correlat 77 (16). doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.77.165127. URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.165127
- [93] J. van Elp, R. H. Potze, H. Eskes, R. Berger, G. A. Sawatzky, Electronic structure of MnO 44 (4) 1530–1537. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.44.1530. URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.44.1530
- [94] G. A. Sawatzky, J. W. Allen, Magnitude and Origin of the Band Gap in NiO 53 (24) 2339-2342. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.53.2339.
   URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.53.2339
- [95] Z.-X. Shen, R. S. List, D. S. Dessau, B. O. Wells, O. Jepsen, A. J. Arko, R. Barttlet, C. K. Shih, F. Parmigiani, J. C. Huang, P. A. P. Lindberg, Electronic structure of NiO: Correlation and band effects 44 (8) 3604– 3626. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.44.3604. URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.44.3604
- [96] X. Ren, I. Leonov, G. Keller, M. Kollar, I. Nekrasov, D. Vollhardt, \$\mathrm{LDA}+\mathrm{DMFT}\$ computation of the electronic spectrum of NiO 74 (19) 195114. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.74.195114. URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.74.195114

- [97] J. Kune, V. I. Anisimov, S. L. Skornyakov, A. V. Lukoyanov, D. Vollhardt, NiO: Correlated Band Structure of a Charge-Transfer Insulator 99 (15). doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.156404. URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.156404
- [98] J. Kune, V. I. Anisimov, A. V. Lukoyanov, D. Vollhardt, Local correlations and hole doping in NiO: A dynamical mean-field study 75 (16). doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.75.165115. URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.75.165115
- [99] C. Y. Kuo, Τ. Haupricht, J. Weinen, Η. Wu, Κ. D. Tsuei, М. W. Haverkort, Α. Tanaka, L. H. Tjeng, (11)Challenges from experiment: Electronic structure of NiO 2262445-2456. doi:10.1140/epjst/e2017-70061-7. URL http://link.springer.com/10.1140/epjst/e2017-70061-7
- [100] D. Phelan, J. N. Millican, E. L. Thomas, J. B. Leo, Y. Qiu, R. Paul, Neutron scattering measurements of the phonon density of states of FeSe 1 x superconductors 79 (1). doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.79.014519. URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.014519
- [101] A. Kutepov, K. Haule, S. Y. Savrasov, G. Kotliar, Self-consistent GW determination of the interaction strength: Application to the iron arsenide supercor 82 (4) 045105. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.82.045105. URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.045105
- M. D. Watson, T. K. Kim, A. A. Haghighirad, N. R. Davies, A. McCollam, A. Narayanan, S. F. Blake, Y. L. Chen, S. Ghannadzadeh, A. J. Schofield, M. Hoesch, C. Meingast, T. Wolf, A. I. Coldea, Emergence of the nematic electronic state in FeSe 91 (15). doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.91.155106. URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.91.155106
- [103] P. Zhang, T. Qian, P. Richard, X. P. Wang, H. Miao, B. Q. Lv, B. B. Fu, T. Wolf, C. Meingast, X. X. Wu, Z. Q. Wang, J. P. Hu, H. Ding, Observation of two distinct d x z / d y z band splittings in FeSe 91 (21). doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.91.214503. URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.91.214503
- [104] A. I. Coldea, M. D. Watson, The Key Ingredients of the Electronic Structure of FeSe 9 (1) 125-146. doi:10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-033117-054137. URL http://www.annualreviews.org/doi/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-033117-054137