Moment estimates and applications for SDEs driven by fractional Brownian motion with irregular drifts

Xi-Liang Fan[†], Shao-Qin Zhang^{‡1}

[†]School of Mathematics and Statistics, Anhui Normal University, Wuhu 241002, China [‡]School of Statistics and Mathematics, Central University of Finance and Economics, Beijing 100081, China

Abstract. In this paper, high-order moment, even exponential moment, estimates are established for the Hölder norm of solutions to stochastic differential equations driven by fractional Brownian motion whose drifts are measurable and have linear growth. As applications, we first study the weak uniqueness of solutions to fractional stochastic differential equations. Moreover, combining our estimates and the Fourier transform, we establish the existence of density of solutions to equations with irregular drifts.

AMS subject Classification: 60H10; 60H07

Key words and phrases: Fractional Brownian motion; stochastic differential equation; exponential moment estimate; density; irregular drift

1 Introduction

The fractional Brownian motion appears naturally in the modeling of many complex phenomena in applications when systems are subject to the rough external forcing. The properties that the fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter $H \neq 1/2$ is neither a Markov process nor a (weak) semimartingale complicate the study of stochastic differential equations (SDEs in short) driven by fractional Brownian motion, and then new techniques beyond the classical Markovian framework are needed to construct such equations. Based on the techniques of fractional calculus developed by Zähle in [33], Nualart and Răşcanu [25] proved the existence and uniqueness result with H > 1/2. Coutin and Qian [7] also derived the existence and uniqueness result for $H \in (1/4, 1/2)$ through the rough-type arguments introduced in [18]. For other results on the existence and uniqueness, the reader may consult e.g. [4, 10, 13, 17, 20, 24] and the references therein.

SDEs with irregular coefficients are intensively studied recently, see e.g. [2, 16, 34] and references therein. In this paper, we shall investigate SDEs driven by fractional Brownian motion on \mathbb{R}^d of the form:

$$dX(t) = b(X(t))dt + \sigma(X(t))dB_t^H, \quad X(0) = x, \quad t \in [0, T],$$
(1.1) equ_3_1

¹E-mail address: fanxiliang0515@163.com (X. Fan), zhangsq@cufe.edu.cn(S. Zhang).

with $H \in (1/2, 1)$ under the hypothesis on

$$b: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d, \qquad \sigma: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$$

as follows:

Hypothesis (A):

- (i) b is measurable and has linear growth;
- (ii) σ is Hölder continuous of order $\gamma \in (1/H 1, 1]$.

Moment estimates of solutions play basic roles in studies of stochastic equations, and the exponential integrability of solutons is also of great use, see e.g. [31]. In [9], the authors established some moment estimates to the solutions of (1.1) under the assumptions that b, σ are bounded and σ is Lipschitz. [12] investigated moment estimates and the exponential integrability of solutions to equations without drift term. However, only the uniform norm of solutions was considered in [12, 9]. Moment estimates and the exponential integrability of solutions to (1.1), especially for the associated Hölder norm, under the mild condition (A) is also not known. Our first result is to establish moments estimates and the exponential integrability of solutions to fractional SDE (1.1), see Theorem 3.1. As applications, we investigate the Girsanov transform associated with (1.1) and obtain the uniqueness in law of the solutions to (1.1).

The second main result of the present research is to establish the existence of the density for the SDE driven by fractional Brownian motion satisfying the hypothesis (A). For studying the existence and the smoothness of densities of solutions to SDEs, Malliavin calculus is a powerful tool. In [5, 6, 13, 22, 26], by rough path techniques or Malliavin calculus the authors handled the existence of densities of the solutions. However, one usually requires regularity on the coefficients of the associated SDEs when using the Malliavin calculus. There are some attempts to deal with irregularities in the coefficients of SDEs without using Malliavin calculus. For instance, in [14, 15] the authors obtained regularity properties and upper and lower bounds for the densities of functionals of SDEs whose drifts are bounded and measurable, through the Girsanov transform combined with an Itô-Taylor expansion of the change of measure. On the other hand, Fournier and Printems introduced a method in [11] to investigate the existence of densities of some one-dimensional processes, which do not have Malliavin derivatives including e.g. SDEs with Hölder coefficients and SDEs with random coefficients driven by usual Brownian motion. They used the characteristic function of random variable (i.e. the Fourier transform) and the one-step Euler approximation of the underlying processes. This is developed and improved by Romito [27], which can be applied to multidimensional stochastic equations with rough coefficients. However, Romito commented that this method might rule out processes driven by fractional Brownian motion, see the comments at the beginning of [27, Section 7]. In this paper, we generalize the method used in [11, 27] to equations with fractional Brownian motion as the noise by characterizing the conditionally characteristic function of the one-step Euler scheme and related estimates. It is nontrivial. For more details, see Lemma 4.2 and the proof of Theorem 4.1 below.

This paper is structured as follows. Section 2 is devoted to recall some useful facts on fractional calculus and fractional Brownian motion B^H . In Section 3, we shall present

our results on the moments estimates and exponential integrability of solutions to (1.1). Some direct consequences, such as weak uniqueness of solutions, will be given there. In Section 4 we show that the law of X(t) admits a density in some Besov space for every t > 0 under the hypothesis (A).

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Fractional calculus

In this part, we shall give a brief account on fractional operators, which can be found in [28].

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with a < b. For $\alpha > 0$ and $f \in L^1(a, b)$, the left-sided (resp. right-sided) fractional Riemann-Liouville integral of f of order α on [a, b] is defined as

$$I_{a+}^{\alpha}f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{a}^{x} \frac{f(y)}{(x-y)^{1-\alpha}} \mathrm{d}y, \quad \left(\text{resp. } I_{b-}^{\alpha}f(x) = \frac{(-1)^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{x}^{b} \frac{f(y)}{(y-x)^{1-\alpha}} \mathrm{d}y\right),$$

where $x \in (a, b)$ a.e., $(-1)^{-\alpha} = e^{-i\alpha\pi}$, Γ stands for the Euler function. In particular, when $\alpha = n \in \mathbb{N}$, they reduced to the usual *n*-order iterated integrals.

Let $p \ge 1$. If $f \in I_{a+}^{\alpha}(L^p)$ (resp. $I_{b-}^{\alpha}(L^p)$) and $0 < \alpha < 1$, then the Weyl derivatives read as follow

$$D_{a+}^{\alpha}f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \left(\frac{f(x)}{(x-a)^{\alpha}} + \alpha \int_{a}^{x} \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{(x-y)^{\alpha+1}} \mathrm{d}y \right)$$
(2.1)

and

$$D_{b-}^{\alpha}f(x) = \frac{(-1)^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \left(\frac{f(x)}{(b-x)^{\alpha}} + \alpha \int_{x}^{b} \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{(y-x)^{\alpha+1}} \mathrm{d}y\right),$$
(2.2)

where the convergence of the integrals at the singularity y = x holds pointwise for almost all x if p = 1 and in L^p -sense if 1 .

For any $\alpha \in (0,1)$, let $C^{\alpha}(a,b)$ be the space of α -Hölder continuous functions f on the interval [a,b] and set

$$||f||_{a,b,\alpha} = \sup_{a \le s < t \le b} \frac{|f(t) - f(s)|}{|t - s|^{\alpha}}.$$

Besides, for any given continuous function $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$, put

$$||f||_{a,b,\infty} = \sup_{a \le s \le b} |f(s)|.$$

Suppose that $f \in C^{\lambda}(a, b)$ and $g \in C^{\mu}(a, b)$ with $\lambda + \mu > 1$. By [32], the Riemann-Stieltjes integral $\int_{a}^{b} f dg$ exists. In [33], Zähle provides an explicit expression for the integral $\int_{a}^{b} f dg$ in terms of fractional derivative. Let $\lambda > \alpha$ and $\mu > 1 - \alpha$. Then the Riemann-Stieltjes integral can be expressed as

$$\int_{a}^{b} f dg = (-1)^{\alpha} \int_{a}^{b} D_{a+}^{\alpha} f(t) D_{b-}^{1-\alpha} g_{b-}(t) dt, \qquad (2.3)$$

where $g_{b-}(t) = g(t) - g(b)$.

The relation (2.3) can be regarded as fractional integration by parts formula.

2.2 Fractional Brownian motion

For later use, we will recall some basic facts about fractional Brownian motion. For a deeper discussion, we refer the reader to [3, 8, 19, 23].

Let $B^H = \{B_t^H, t \in [0, T]\}$ be a fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter $H \in (1/2, 1)$ defined on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, that is, B^H is a centered Gaussian process with the covariance function

$$\mathbb{E}\left(B_{t}^{H}B_{s}^{H}\right) = R_{H}(t,s) = \frac{1}{2}\left(t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t-s|^{2H}\right)$$

Furthermore, one can show that $\mathbb{E}|B_t^H - B_s^H|^p = C(p)|t - s|^{pH}$, $\forall p \ge 1$. Consequently, by the Kolmogorov continuity criterion B^H have $(H - \epsilon)$ -order Hölder continuous paths for all $\epsilon > 0$. For each $t \in [0,T]$, let \mathcal{F}_t be the σ -algebra generated by the random variables $\{B_s^H : s \in [0,t]\}$ and the sets of probability zero.

Denote \mathscr{E} by the set of step functions on [0, T]. Let \mathcal{H} be the Hilbert space defined as the closure of \mathscr{E} with respect to the scalar product

$$\langle I_{[0,t]}, I_{[0,s]} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = R_H(t,s).$$

By B.L.T. theorem, the mapping $I_{[0,t]} \mapsto B_t^H$ can be extended to an isometry between \mathcal{H} and the Gaussian space \mathcal{H}_1 associated with B^H . Denote this isometry by $\phi \mapsto B^H(\phi)$.

On the other hand, by [8] we know that the covariance kernel $R_H(t,s)$ can be written as

$$R_H(t,s) = \int_0^{t \wedge s} K_H(t,r) K_H(s,r) \mathrm{d}r, \qquad (2.4)$$

where K_H is a square integrable kernel given by

$$K_{H}(t,s) = \frac{s^{1/2-H}}{\Gamma(H-1/2)} \int_{s}^{t} r^{H-1/2} (r-s)^{H-3/2} dr \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}(s)$$

= $\Gamma \left(H + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{-1} (t-s)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} F \left(H - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - H, H + \frac{1}{2}, 1 - \frac{t}{s}\right),$ (2.5) equ-KH

in which $F(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ is the Gauss hypergeometric function (for details see [8] or [21]).

Now, define the linear operator $K_H^* : \mathscr{E} \to L^2([0,T])$ by

$$(K_H^*\phi)(s) = K_H(T,s)\phi(s) + \int_s^T (\phi(r) - \phi(s))\frac{\partial K_H}{\partial r}(r,s)\mathrm{d}r$$

By integration by parts, it is easy to see that this can be rewritten as

$$(K_H^*\phi)(s) = \int_s^T \phi(r) \frac{\partial K_H}{\partial r}(r,s) \mathrm{d}r.$$

Due to [1], for all $\phi, \psi \in \mathscr{E}$, there holds $\langle K_H^* \phi, K_H^* \psi \rangle_{L^2([0,T])} = \langle \phi, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ and then K_H^* can be extended to an isometry between \mathcal{H} and $L^2([0,T])$. Hence, according to [1] again, the process $\{W_t = B^H((K_H^*)^{-1}\mathbf{I}_{[0,t]}), t \in [0,T]\}$ is a Wiener process, and B^H has the following integral representation

$$B_t^H = \int_0^t K_H(t,s) \mathrm{d}W_s.$$
(2.6)

Finally, consider the operator $K_H : L^2([0,T]) \to I_{0+}^{H+1/2}(L^2([0,T]))$ associated with the integrable kernel $K_H(\cdot, \cdot)$

$$(K_H f)(t) = \int_0^t K_H(t,s)f(s) \mathrm{d}s$$

It can be proved (see [8]) that K_H is an isomorphism and moreover, for each $f \in L^2([0,T])$,

$$(K_H f)(s) = I_{0+}^1 s^{H-1/2} I_{0+}^{H-1/2} s^{1/2-H} f.$$

Consequently, for each $h \in I_{0+}^{H+1/2}(L^2([0,T]))$, the inverse operator K_H^{-1} is of the form

$$(K_H^{-1}h)(s) = s^{H-1/2} D_{0+}^{H-1/2} s^{1/2-H} h'.$$
(2.7)

3 Moment estimates of solutions to fractional SDE

Fix any T > 0. In this section, we shall give some higher-order moment estimates of solutions to (1.1) on [0,T] and present some interesting results induced by these estimates. By [9, Theorem 4] and (A), it follows that there exists a solution X to (1.1) which has β -Hölder continuous trajectories for any $\beta < H$. Generally, the condition (ii) ensures that the stochastic integral $\int_0^{\cdot} \sigma(X(t)) dB_t^H$ makes sense as a pathwise Riemann-Stieltjes integral. For a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$, we denote by |A| the matrix norm of A, i.e.

$$|A| := \sup_{|x| \le 1, x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |Ax|.$$

In this and the following section, we shall denote by $||f||_{\beta}$ and $||f||_{\infty}$ the β -Hölder seminorm $||f||_{0,T,\beta}$ and the supreme norm $||f||_{0,T,\infty}$ for simplicity. Then our main result in this section reads as follows.

- **Theorem 2.1** Theorem 3.1 Assume (A). Then $\mathbb{E}||X||_{\beta}^{p} < \infty$ for all $p \ge 1$ and $0 < \beta < H$. Furthermore, if σ is bounded, then for any c > 0, $0 < \delta < 2H$ and $0 < \beta < H$, it holds that $\mathbb{E} \exp[c||X||_{\beta}^{\delta}] < \infty$.
 - Add Remark **Remark 3.2** Let us compare with the relevant results proved in [12, Theorem 4.1]. They considered a SDE of the form:

$$X(t) = X(0) + \int_0^t \sigma(X(s)) dB_s^H, \ t \in [0, T],$$

and proved the following integrability properties of X: (i) If $\nabla \sigma$ is bounded and Hölder continuous of order larger than $\frac{1}{H} - 1$, then $\mathbb{E} \|X\|_{\infty}^{p} < \infty$ for all $p \geq 2$; (ii) If furthermore σ is bounded, then $\mathbb{E} \exp[c\|X\|_{\infty}^{\delta}] < \infty$ holds for any c > 0 and $0 < \delta < 2H$.

It is clear to see that our results apply to more general SDEs since we allow to have the drift term. Moreover, we are able to present Hölder norm estimates under (A) which requires only the Hölder continuity of σ .

Before we prove this theorem, some interesting results induced by these estimates will be presented. As a direct consequence, we shall give some estimates on R_t defined as follows

$$R_t := \exp\left(\int_0^t \left\langle K_H^{-1}\left(\int_0^\cdot h(X(r))\mathrm{d}r\right)(s), \mathrm{d}W_s \right\rangle - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \left|K_H^{-1}\left(\int_0^\cdot h(X(r))\mathrm{d}r\right)\right|^2(s)\mathrm{d}s\right)$$

where h is a \mathbb{R}^d -valued function on \mathbb{R}^d . These estimates may contribute to the study of the exponential martingale of fractional Brownian motion.

Cor2.1 Corollary 3.3 Assume (A).

(i) If σh has linear growth and

$$|h(x) - h(y)| \le K_1 |x - y|^{\lambda} (1 + |x|^p + |y|^p), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$
(3.1) h-Holder

with some constants $K_1 > 0$, p > 0 and $\lambda \in (1 - \frac{1}{2H}, 1]$. Then $\{R_t\}_{t \in [0,T]}$ is a uniformly integrable martingale with

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\mathbb{E}R_t\log R_t<\infty.$$

Consequently, $\left\{\tilde{B}_t^H\right\}_{t\in[0,T]} := \left\{B_t^H - \int_0^t h(X(s)) \mathrm{d}s\right\}_{t\in[0,T]}$ is a fractional Brownian motion under $R_T \mathbb{P}$.

(ii) If σ is bounded, and h satisfies

$$|h(x) - h(y)| \le K_2 \left(|x - y|^{\lambda} \wedge 1 \right) \left(1 + |x|^q + |y|^q \right), \ x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$$
(3.2) add-n-h

with some constants $K_2 > 0$, $\lambda \in (1 - \frac{1}{2H}, 1]$ and $q \in [0, H + \frac{1}{2H} - 1)$, then for any c > 0,

$$\mathbb{E}\exp\left(c\int_0^T \left|K_H^{-1}\left(\int_0^\cdot h(X(r))\mathrm{d}r\right)\right|^2(s)\mathrm{d}s\right) < \infty.$$

As a direct consequence of Corollary 3.3, we can obtain the uniqueness in law for weak solutions to equation (1.1). Recall that by a weak solution to (1.1) we mean a couple of adapted continuous processes (B^H, X) on a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, \{\mathcal{F}_t, t \in \mathcal{F}_t\})$ [0,T] such that

- (i) B^H is an \mathcal{F}_t -fractional Brownian motion under \mathbb{P} ,
- (ii) (B^H, X) satisfy (1.1).

Corollary 3.4 Assume (A). Suppose that σ is invertible, bounded, continuously differen-Cor_3_2 tiable and $\nabla \sigma$ is bounded and locally Hölder continuous of order $\gamma' > \frac{1}{H} - 1$ and

$$|\sigma^{-1}b(x) - \sigma^{-1}b(y)| \le L|x - y|^{\lambda}(1 + |x|^p + |y|^p), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$
(3.3) h-Holder2

with some constants L > 0, p > 0 and $\lambda \in (1 - \frac{1}{2H}, 1]$. Then any two weak solutions to (1.1) have the same distribution.

Indeed, let $h = -\sigma^{-1}b$, then the conditions of Corollary 3.4 imply that of Corollary 3.3. Thus, we derive that $\{R_t\}_{t \in [0,T]}$ is an exponential martingale. So, the remaining part of the proof of Corollary 3.4 can be treated thanks to the same kind of arguments of [9, Theorem 8], and we omit the proof here.

In order to prove Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.3, we begin with the following lemma which play a key role in the proofs of their second assertions.

BasIne_1

Lemma 3.5 Let p > 0, p' > 1 with p - p' + 1 > 0. Then there exists a constant C(p, p') such that

$$\int_0^x \frac{u^{p-p'}}{1+ku^p} \mathrm{d}u \le C(p,p') \frac{x^{p-p'+1}}{1+x^{p-p'+1}k^{\frac{p-p'+1}{p}}}, \quad k > 0, x > 0.$$

Consequently, it holds

$$\int_0^x \frac{ku^{p-p'}}{1+ku^p} \mathrm{d}u \le C(p,p')k^{\frac{p'-1}{p}}, \quad k > 0, x > 0.$$

Proof. For x > 0, we have

$$\begin{split} \int_0^x \frac{u^{p-p'}}{1+u^p} \mathrm{d}u &\leq \frac{x^{p-p'+1}}{p-p'+1} \mathbb{1}_{\{0,1\}}(x) + \left\{ \frac{1}{p-p'+1} + \frac{1}{p'-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{x^{p'-1}} \right) \right\} \mathbb{1}_{\{1,\infty\}}(x) \\ &\leq \frac{p}{(p-p'+1)(p'-1)} \left(x^{p-p'+1} \wedge 1 \right) \\ &\leq C(p,p') \frac{x^{p-p'+1}}{1+x^{p-p'+1}}, \end{split}$$

where in the last inequality we used the following inequality

$$a \wedge 1 \le \frac{2a}{a+1}, \quad a \ge 0,$$
 (3.4) BasIne

and C(p, p') is a constant depending only on p and p'. Then, it follows that

$$\begin{split} \int_0^x \frac{u^{p-p'}}{1+ku^p} \mathrm{d}u &= k^{-\frac{p-p'+1}{p}} \int_0^{xk^{\frac{1}{p}}} \frac{v^{p-p'}}{1+v^p} \mathrm{d}v \\ &\leq C(p,p')k^{-\frac{p-p'+1}{p}} \frac{x^{p-p'+1}k^{\frac{p-p'+1}{p}}}{1+x^{p-p'+1}k^{\frac{p-p'+1}{p}}} \\ &= C(p,p') \frac{x^{p-p'+1}}{1+x^{p-p'+1}k^{\frac{p-p'+1}{p}}}. \end{split}$$

Consequently, we have

$$\int_0^x \frac{ku^{p-p'}}{1+ku^p} du \le C(p,p') \frac{kx^{p-p'+1}}{1+x^{p-p'+1}k^{\frac{p-p'+1}{p}}} = C(p,p') \frac{k^{\frac{p-p'+1}{p}}x^{p-p'+1}}{1+x^{p-p'+1}k^{\frac{p-p'+1}{p}}} k^{\frac{p'-1}{p}} \le C(p,p')k^{\frac{p'-1}{p}}$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1. (1) We shall prove the first assertion. By (1.1), we deduce that, for any $0 \le s < t \le T$,

$$X(t) - X(s) = \int_{s}^{t} b(X(r)) dr + \int_{s}^{t} \sigma(X(r)) dB_{r}^{H}.$$
(3.5)

Since $\gamma \in (\frac{1}{H} - 1, 1]$, $\frac{1}{1+\gamma} < H$. Taking $H > \beta > \frac{1}{1+\gamma}$, then $\gamma\beta > 1 - \beta$. Using the fractional by parts formula (2.3) with $\alpha \in (1 - \beta, \beta\gamma)$, we get

$$\int_{s}^{t} \sigma(X(r)) \mathrm{d}B_{r}^{H} = (-1)^{\alpha} \int_{s}^{t} D_{s+}^{\alpha} \sigma(X(\cdot))(r) D_{t-}^{1-\alpha} B_{t-}^{H}(r) \mathrm{d}r.$$
(3.6)

It follows from (2.1) and (2.2) that

$$\begin{split} \left| D_{s+}^{\alpha} \sigma(X_{\cdot})(r) \right| &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \left| \frac{\sigma(X(r))}{(r-s)^{\alpha}} + \alpha \int_{s}^{r} \frac{\sigma(X(r)) - \sigma(X(u))}{(r-u)^{\alpha+1}} \mathrm{d}u \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \left(|\sigma(X(r))|(r-s)^{-\alpha} + \alpha \|\sigma\|_{\gamma} \int_{s}^{r} \|X\|_{u,r,\beta}^{\gamma}(r-u)^{\beta\gamma-\alpha-1} \mathrm{d}u \right) \\ &\leq C \left(|\sigma(X(r))|(r-s)^{-\alpha} + \|\sigma\|_{\gamma} \|X\|_{s,r,\beta}^{\gamma}(r-s)^{\beta\gamma-\alpha} \right) \end{split}$$
(3.7) Lemma 3.2-2

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| D_{t-}^{1-\alpha} B_{t-}^{H}(r) \right| &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left| \frac{B_{r}^{H} - B_{t}^{H}}{(t-r)^{1-\alpha}} + (1-\alpha) \int_{r}^{t} \frac{B_{r}^{H} - B_{u}^{H}}{(u-r)^{2-\alpha}} \mathrm{d}u \right| \\ &\leq C \|B^{H}\|_{\beta} (t-r)^{\alpha+\beta-1}, \end{aligned}$$
(3.8)

where and in what follows C denotes a generic constant. Then plugging (3.7) and (3.8) into (3.6) yields

$$\left| \int_{s}^{t} \sigma(X(r)) \mathrm{d}B_{r}^{H} \right| \leq C \|B^{H}\|_{\beta} \left(\int_{s}^{t} |\sigma(X(r))|(r-s)^{-\alpha}(t-r)^{\alpha+\beta-1} \mathrm{d}r + \|\sigma\|_{\gamma} \int_{s}^{t} \|X\|_{s,r,\beta}^{\gamma}(r-s)^{\beta\gamma-\alpha}(t-r)^{\alpha+\beta-1} \mathrm{d}r \right).$$
(3.9)

For the drift term, using the linear growth of b we clearly get

$$\left| \int_{s}^{t} b(X(r)) \mathrm{d}r \right| \le C \int_{s}^{t} (1 + |X(r)|) \,\mathrm{d}r.$$
(3.10)

Then it follows from (3.5), (3.9) and (3.10) that

$$\frac{|X(t) - X(s)|}{(t-s)^{\beta}} \leq C(t-s)^{1-\beta} + \frac{C}{(t-s)^{\beta}} \int_{s}^{t} |X(r)| dr + \frac{C||B^{H}||_{\beta}}{(t-s)^{\beta}} \int_{s}^{t} |\sigma(X(r))| (r-s)^{-\alpha} (t-r)^{\alpha+\beta-1} dr$$

$$\begin{split} &+ \frac{C \|\sigma\|_{\gamma} \|B^{H}\|_{\beta}}{(t-s)^{\beta}} \int_{s}^{t} \|X\|_{s,r,\beta}^{\gamma} (r-s)^{\gamma\beta-\alpha} (t-r)^{\alpha+\beta-1} \mathrm{d}r \\ \leq & C(t-s)^{1-\beta} (1+\|X\|_{s,t,\infty}) \\ &+ \frac{C \|B^{H}\|_{\beta}}{(t-s)^{\beta}} \int_{s}^{t} |\sigma(X(s))| (r-s)^{-\alpha} (t-r)^{\alpha+\beta-1} \mathrm{d}r \\ &+ \frac{C \|B^{H}\|_{\beta}}{(t-s)^{\beta}} \int_{s}^{t} |\sigma(X(r)) - \sigma(X(s))| (r-s)^{-\alpha} (t-r)^{\alpha+\beta-1} \mathrm{d}r \\ &+ \frac{C \|\sigma\|_{\gamma} \|B^{H}\|_{\beta}}{(t-s)^{\beta}} \int_{s}^{t} \|X\|_{s,r,\beta}^{\gamma} (r-s)^{\gamma\beta-\alpha} (t-r)^{\alpha+\beta-1} \mathrm{d}r \\ \leq & C(t-s)^{1-\beta} (1+\|X\|_{s,t,\infty}) + C \|B^{H}\|_{\beta} |\sigma(X(s))| \\ &+ \frac{C \|\sigma\|_{\gamma} \|B^{H}\|_{\beta}}{(t-s)^{\beta}} \int_{s}^{t} \|X\|_{s,r,\beta}^{\gamma} (r-s)^{\gamma\beta-\alpha} (t-r)^{\alpha+\beta-1} \mathrm{d}r \\ \leq & C(t-s)^{1-\beta} (1+\|X\|_{s,t,\infty}) + C \|B^{H}\|_{\beta} (1+|X(s)|^{\gamma}) \\ &+ \frac{C \|\sigma\|_{\gamma} \|B^{H}\|_{\beta}}{(t-s)^{\beta}} \int_{s}^{t} \|X\|_{s,r,\beta}^{\gamma} (r-s)^{\gamma\beta-\alpha} (t-r)^{\alpha+\beta-1} \mathrm{d}r \\ \leq & C \left((t-s)^{1-\beta} + \|B^{H}\|_{\beta}\right) (1+\|X\|_{s,t,\infty}) \\ &+ \frac{C \|\sigma\|_{\gamma} \|B^{H}\|_{\beta}}{(t-s)^{\beta}} \int_{s}^{t} \|X\|_{s,r,\beta}^{\gamma} (r-s)^{\gamma\beta-\alpha} (t-r)^{\alpha+\beta-1} \mathrm{d}r. \end{split}$$
(3.11) fanadd-1

Next, we shall focus on the upper bound of the right hand side in (3.11).

Since $\beta > \frac{1}{1+\gamma}$ and $\gamma \in (\frac{1}{H} - 1, 1]$, we get $\beta > 1 - \frac{1}{2\gamma}$. Then, there is $\theta \in (0, 1)$ such that $\frac{1}{2\gamma} > \theta > 1 - \beta$, i.e.

$$\theta + \beta > 1, \qquad \frac{1}{1 - \gamma \theta} < 2.$$

By the Young inequality and the Hölder inequality and $\gamma\beta - \alpha > 0$, $\alpha + \beta - 1 > 0$, we have

$$\begin{split} & \frac{C\|\sigma\|_{\gamma}\|B^{H}\|_{\beta}}{(t-s)^{\beta}} \int_{s}^{t} \|X\|_{s,r,\beta}^{\gamma}(r-s)^{\gamma\beta-\alpha}(t-r)^{\alpha+\beta-1} \mathrm{d}r \\ \leq & \|X\|_{s,t,\beta}^{\gamma\theta} \frac{C\|\sigma\|_{\gamma}\|B^{H}\|_{\beta}}{(t-s)^{\beta}} \int_{s}^{t} \|X\|_{s,r,\beta}^{\gamma(1-\theta)}(t-r)^{\alpha+\beta-1}(r-s)^{\beta\gamma-\alpha} \mathrm{d}r \\ \leq & \frac{1}{2}\|X\|_{s,t,\beta} + C\left(\frac{\|B^{H}\|_{\beta}}{(t-s)^{\beta}} \int_{s}^{t} \|X\|_{s,r,\beta}^{\gamma(1-\theta)}(t-r)^{\alpha+\beta-1}(r-s)^{\beta\gamma-\alpha} \mathrm{d}r\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\gamma\theta}} \\ \leq & \frac{1}{2}\|X\|_{s,t,\beta} + C\|B^{H}\|_{\beta}^{\frac{1}{1-\gamma\theta}}(t-s)^{\frac{\gamma\beta-1}{1-\gamma\theta}} \left(\int_{s}^{t} \|X\|_{s,r,\beta}^{\gamma(1-\theta)} \mathrm{d}r\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\gamma\theta}} \\ \leq & \frac{1}{2}\|X\|_{s,t,\beta} + C\|B^{H}\|_{\beta}^{\frac{1}{1-\gamma\theta}}(t-s)^{\frac{\gamma(\beta+\theta-1)}{1-\gamma\theta}} \left(\int_{s}^{t} \|X\|_{s,r,\beta} \mathrm{d}r\right)^{\frac{\gamma(1-\theta)}{1-\gamma\theta}} \\ \leq & \frac{1}{2}\|X\|_{s,t,\beta} + C\|B^{H}\|_{\beta}^{\frac{1}{1-\gamma\theta}}(t-s)^{\frac{\gamma(\beta+\theta-1)}{1-\gamma\theta}} \left(1+\int_{s}^{t} \|X\|_{s,r,\beta} \mathrm{d}r\right). \end{split}$$

Hence, substituting this into (3.11) leads to

$$\frac{|X(t) - X(s)|}{(t-s)^{\beta}} \le C\left((t-s)^{1-\beta} + \|B^H\|_{\beta}\right)(1+\|X\|_{s,t,\infty})$$

$$+ C \|B^{H}\|_{\beta}^{\frac{1}{1-\gamma\theta}} (t-s)^{\frac{\gamma(\beta+\theta-1)}{1-\gamma\theta}} \\ + C \|B^{H}\|_{\beta}^{\frac{1}{1-\gamma\theta}} (t-s)^{\frac{\gamma(\beta+\theta-1)}{1-\gamma\theta}} \int_{s}^{t} \|X\|_{s,r,\beta} \mathrm{d}r.$$
(3.12) Xbeta

As for the estimate of the term $||X||_{s,t,\infty}$ in the right hand of (3.12), by (3.5), (3.9) and (3.10) again we first obtain that

where in the last inequality, we used the inequalities $\gamma\beta - \alpha > 0$ and $\alpha + \beta - 1 > 0$. Since this inequality holds with X(t) replaced by X(t') for any $s \le t' \le t$, we arrive at

$$\begin{split} \|X\|_{s,t,\infty} &\leq |X(s)| + C(t-s)(1+|X(s)|) \\ &+ C\|B^H\|_{\beta}(1+|X(s)|^{\gamma})(t-s)^{\beta} + C\|B^H\|_{\beta}(t-s)^{(1+\gamma)\beta} \\ &+ C\left((t-s)^{\beta} + (t-s)^{(1+\gamma)\beta-1}\|B^H\|_{\beta}\right) \int_{s}^{t} \|X\|_{s,r,\beta} \mathrm{d}r \\ &\leq C(t-s) + C\left(1 + (t-s) + (t-s)^{\beta}\|B^H\|_{\beta}\right) |X(s)| \\ &+ C\left((t-s)^{\beta} + (t-s)^{(1+\gamma)\beta}\right) \|B^H\|_{\beta} \end{split}$$

+
$$C\left((t-s)^{\beta} + (t-s)^{(1+\gamma)\beta-1} \|B^{H}\|_{\beta}\right) \int_{s}^{t} \|X\|_{s,r,\beta} \mathrm{d}r.$$
 (3.13) Xinfty

Now, plugging (3.13) into (3.12) implies

$$\begin{split} \|X\|_{s,t,\beta} \leq & C \left[(t-s)^{1-\beta} + \|B^{H}\|_{\beta} + \|B^{H}\|_{\beta}^{\frac{1}{1-\gamma\theta}}(t-s)^{\frac{\gamma(\beta+\theta-1)}{1-\gamma\theta}} \right] \\ &+ C \left((t-s)^{1-\beta} + \|B^{H}\|_{\beta} \right) \left\{ (t-s) + \left(1 + (t-s) + (t-s)^{\beta}\|B^{H}\|_{\beta} \right) |X(s)| \\ &+ \left((t-s)^{\beta} + (t-s)^{(1+\gamma)\beta} \right) \|B^{H}\|_{\beta} \\ &+ \left((t-s)^{\beta} + (t-s)^{(1+\gamma)\beta-1}\|B^{H}\|_{\beta} \right) \int_{s}^{t} \|X\|_{s,r,\beta} dr \\ &+ C \|B^{H}\|_{\beta}^{\frac{1}{1-\theta\gamma}}(t-s)^{\frac{\gamma(\beta+\theta-1)}{1-\gamma\theta}} \int_{s}^{t} \|X\|_{s,r,\beta} dr \\ \leq & C \left(1 + (t-s)^{2-\beta} \right) \left(1 + \|B^{H}\|_{\beta} \right)^{2} |X(s)| + C \left(1 + (t-s)^{1+\gamma\beta} \right) \left(1 + \|B^{H}\|_{\beta} \right)^{2} \\ &+ C \Big[(t-s) + (t-s)^{\frac{\gamma(\beta+\theta-1)}{1-\gamma\theta}} \|B^{H}\|_{\beta}^{\frac{1}{1-\gamma\theta}} + (t-s)^{(1+\gamma)\beta-1} \|B^{H}\|_{\beta}^{2} \\ &+ \|B^{H}\|_{\beta} \left((t-s)^{\beta} + (t-s)^{\gamma\beta} \right) \Big] \int_{s}^{t} \|X\|_{s,r,\beta} dr \\ \leq & C \left(1 + (t-s)^{1+\gamma\beta} \right) \left(1 + \|B^{H}\|_{\beta} \right)^{2} \left(1 + |X(s)| \right) \\ &+ C \Big[(t-s) + (t-s)^{\frac{\gamma(\beta+\theta-1)}{1-\gamma\theta}} \|B^{H}\|_{\beta}^{\frac{1}{1-\gamma\theta}} + (t-s)^{(1+\gamma)\beta-1} \|B^{H}\|_{\beta}^{2} \\ &+ \|B^{H}\|_{\beta} \left((t-s)^{\beta} + (t-s)^{\gamma\beta} \right) \Big] \int_{s}^{t} \|X\|_{s,r,\beta} dr, \end{split}$$

where in the last two inequalities we have used that $1 + \gamma\beta > 2 - \beta$. Recalling that $\theta + \beta > 1$ and $\frac{1}{1-\gamma\theta} < 2$, by the Gronwall inequality we conclude that

$$\begin{split} \|X\|_{s,t,\beta} &\leq C\left(1 + (t-s)^{1+\gamma\beta}\right) \left(1 + \|B^H\|_{\beta}\right)^2 \left(1 + |X(s)|\right) \exp\left(C(t-s)^{1+\delta} (\|B^H\|_{\beta}^2 + 1)\right) \\ \text{with } \delta &= \min\left\{(1+\gamma)\beta - 1, \frac{\gamma(\beta+\theta-1)}{1-\gamma\theta}\right\} > 0. \\ \text{Let } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } k_0 = t-s. \text{ Then, we get} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \|X\|_{(n-1)k_{0},nk_{0},\beta} \\ \leq C \left(1+k_{0}^{1+\gamma\beta}\right) \left(1+\|B^{H}\|_{\beta}\right)^{2} \exp\left(Ck_{0}^{1+\delta}(\|B^{H}\|_{\beta}^{2}+1)\right) \left(|X((n-1)k_{0})|+1\right) \\ \leq Ck_{0}^{\beta} \left(1+k_{0}^{1+\gamma\beta}\right) \left(1+\|B^{H}\|_{\beta}\right)^{2} \exp\left(Ck_{0}^{1+\delta}(\|B^{H}\|_{\beta}^{2}+1)\right) \|X\|_{(n-2)k_{0},(n-1)k_{0},\beta} \\ + C \left(1+k_{0}^{1+\gamma\beta}\right) \left(1+\|B^{H}\|_{\beta}\right)^{2} \exp\left(Ck_{0}^{1+\delta}(\|B^{H}\|_{\beta}^{2}+1)\right) \left(|X((n-2)k_{0})|+1\right) \\ \leq C \left\{k_{0}^{\beta} \left(1+k_{0}^{1+\gamma\beta}\right)^{2} \left(1+\|B^{H}\|_{\beta}\right)^{4} \exp\left(2Ck_{0}^{1+\delta}(\|B^{H}\|_{\beta}^{2}+1)\right) \\ + \left(1+k_{0}^{1+\gamma\beta}\right) \left(1+\|B^{H}\|_{\beta}\right)^{2} \exp\left(Ck_{0}^{1+\delta}(\|B^{H}\|_{\beta}^{2}+1)\right) \right\} \left(|X((n-2)k_{0})|+1\right) \\ \leq C (k_{0}^{\beta}+1) \left\{ \left(1+k_{0}^{1+\gamma\beta}\right) \left(1+\|B^{H}\|_{\beta}\right)^{2} \exp\left(Ck_{0}^{1+\delta}(\|B^{H}\|_{\beta}^{2}+1)\right) \right\}^{2} \left(|X((n-2)k_{0})|+1\right). \end{split}$$

We iterate to find the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|X\|_{(n-1)k_0,nk_0,\beta} \\ \leq C_n (k_0^{\beta}+1)^{n-1} \Big\{ \left(1+k_0^{1+\gamma\beta}\right) \left(1+\|B^H\|_{\beta}\right)^2 \exp\left(Ck_0^{1+\delta}(\|B^H\|_{\beta}^2+1)\right) \Big\}^n \Big(|X(0)|+1\Big) \\ (3.14) \quad \boxed{\mathsf{Fan-add9}} \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant C_n .

By the Fernique theorem we know that there is $\eta > 0$ such that $\mathbb{E} \exp[\eta \|B^H\|_{\beta}^2] < \infty$. Thus for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we let

$$k_0 = \left(\frac{\eta}{2Cnm}\right)^{\frac{1}{1+\delta}},$$

which, together with (3.14), leads to $\mathbb{E} \|X\|_{(n-1)k_0, nk_0, \beta}^m < \infty$ and then $\mathbb{E} \|X\|_{0, nk_0, \beta}^m < \infty$. Since

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} nk_0 = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{\eta}{2Cm}\right)^{\frac{1}{1+\delta}} n^{\frac{\delta}{1+\delta}} = \infty,$$

we obtain that

 $\mathbb{E}\|X\|_{\beta}^{m} < \infty, \qquad m \in \mathbb{N}$

with $\beta > \frac{1}{1+\gamma}$ (then for all $\beta < H$), which also implies that $\mathbb{E}||X||_{\infty}^{m} < \infty$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

(2) Suppose that σ is bounded in addition. Let $H > \beta > \frac{1}{1+\gamma}$. Then there is α such that $1 - \beta < \alpha < \gamma\beta$. In view of (3.5)-(3.8) and the boundedness of σ , we derive

$$\begin{split} |X(t) - X(s)| &- \int_{s}^{t} |b(X(r))| dr \leq \left| \int_{s}^{t} \sigma(X(r)) dB_{r}^{H} \right| \\ \leq C \|B^{H}\|_{\beta} \left(\int_{s}^{t} |\sigma(X(r))|(r-s)^{-\alpha}(t-r)^{\alpha+\beta-1} dr + \int_{s}^{t} \int_{s}^{r} \frac{|\sigma(X(r)) - \sigma(X(u))|}{(r-u)^{\alpha+1}} du(t-r)^{\alpha+\beta-1} dr \right) \\ \leq C \|B^{H}\|_{\beta} \left(\|\sigma\|_{\infty}(t-s)^{\beta} + (t-s)^{\alpha+\beta-1} \int_{s}^{t} \int_{s}^{r} \frac{(\|\sigma\|_{\gamma}\|X\|_{u,r,\beta}^{\gamma}(r-u)^{\gamma\beta}) \wedge (2\|\sigma\|_{\infty})}{(r-u)^{\alpha+1}} dudr \right) \\ \leq C \|B^{H}\|_{\beta} \left((t-s)^{\beta} + (t-s)^{\alpha+\beta-1} \int_{s}^{t} \int_{s}^{r} \frac{(\|X\|_{u,r,\beta}^{\gamma}(r-u)^{\gamma\beta}) \wedge 1}{(1+(r-u))^{\gamma\beta}} dudr \right) \\ \leq C \|B^{H}\|_{\beta} \left((t-s)^{\beta} + (t-s)^{\alpha+\beta-1} \int_{s}^{t} \int_{s}^{r} \frac{\|X\|_{u,r,\beta}^{\gamma}(r-u)^{\gamma\beta-\alpha-1}}{1+(r-u)^{\gamma\beta}} dudr \right) \\ \leq C \|B^{H}\|_{\beta} \left((t-s)^{\beta} + (t-s)^{\alpha+\beta-1} \int_{s}^{t} \int_{s}^{r} \frac{\|X\|_{s,r,\beta}^{\gamma}(r-u)^{\gamma\beta-\alpha-1}}{1+(r-u)^{\gamma\beta}} dudr \right) . \tag{3.15}$$

Here, in the last two inequalities, we resorted to (3.4) and the monotonicity of the function $\frac{x}{1+\tilde{c}x}$ with a constant \tilde{c} , respectively. By Lemma 3.5, we get

$$\int_{s}^{r} \frac{\|X\|_{s,r,\beta}^{\gamma}(r-u)^{\gamma\beta-\alpha-1}}{1+(r-u)^{\gamma\beta}\|X\|_{s,r,\beta}^{\gamma}} \mathrm{d}u \leq C \left(\|X\|_{s,r,\beta}^{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\gamma\beta}} = C\|X\|_{s,r,\beta}^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}.$$

Substituting this into (3.15), and taking into account of the condition (i) of (A), we have

$$\begin{split} |X(t) - X(s)| &\leq C \|B^{H}\|_{\beta} \left((t-s)^{\beta} + (t-s)^{\alpha+\beta} \|X\|_{s,t,\beta}^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} \right) + C \int_{s}^{t} (1+|X(r)|) \mathrm{d}r \\ &\leq C \|B^{H}\|_{\beta} \left((t-s)^{\beta} + (t-s)^{\alpha+\beta} \|X\|_{s,t,\beta}^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} \right) \\ &+ C(t-s)(1+|X(s)|) + C(t-s)^{\beta} \int_{s}^{t} \|X\|_{s,r,\beta} \mathrm{d}r. \end{split}$$

Then, the Gronwall inequality and the Young inequality imply

$$\|X\|_{s,t,\beta} \le Ce^{C(t-s)} \left[\|B^H\|_{\beta} \left(1 + (t-s)^{\beta} \|X\|_{s,t,\beta} \right) + (t-s)^{1-\beta} (1 + |X(s)|) \right].$$
(3.16) 3.1-add_1

Let $\Delta > 0$ such that

$$1 - Ce^{CT} \Delta^{\beta} \|B^H\|_{\beta} = \frac{1}{2}.$$
(3.17) ad-De

Then, by taking $t = s + \Delta$ and (3.16) we get

$$\|X\|_{s,s+\Delta,\beta} \le \frac{Ce^{CT} \left[\|B^{H}\|_{\beta} + \Delta^{1-\beta} (1+|X(s)|) \right]}{1 - Ce^{CT} \Delta^{\beta} \|B^{H}\|_{\beta}} = 2Ce^{CT} \left(\|B^{H}\|_{\beta} + \Delta^{1-\beta} (1+|X(s)|) \right).$$
(3.18) ad-n-Xbe

 Set

$$\Lambda_k = \|X\|_{k\Delta,(k+1)\Delta,\beta}\Delta^{\beta}, \quad \Gamma_k = |X(k\Delta)|, \quad \theta = 2Ce^{CT}\Delta, \ k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then by (3.18) and (3.17), we obtain

$$\begin{split} \Lambda_k &\leq 2Ce^{CT} \left(\|B^H\|_\beta \Delta^\beta + \Delta (1 + |X(k\Delta)|) \right) \\ &\leq 1 + \theta + \Gamma_k \theta. \end{split} \tag{3.19} \quad \fbox{Fan-add1}$$

Noticing that

$$\Gamma_k \le |X(k\Delta) - X((k-1)\Delta)| + |X((k-1)\Delta)|$$

$$\le \Lambda_{k-1} + \Gamma_{k-1} \le 1 + \theta + \Gamma_{k-1}(1+\theta),$$

we have

$$\Gamma_k \le (1+\theta)^k \Gamma_0 + \sum_{j=1}^k (1+\theta)^j = (1+\theta)^k |X(0)| + \frac{(1+\theta)^{k+1} - (1+\theta)}{\theta}.$$

Hence, combining this with (3.19) yields

$$\Lambda_k \le (1+\theta)^{k+1} + \theta (1+\theta)^k |X(0)| \le (1+\theta)^{k+1} (1+\theta |X(0)|).$$

Then, we derive that

$$||X||_{\infty} \le |X(0)| + \sum_{j=0}^{\left[\frac{T}{\Delta}\right]} ||X||_{j\Delta,(j+1)\Delta,\beta} \Delta^{\beta} = |X(0)| + \sum_{j=0}^{\left[\frac{T}{\Delta}\right]} \Lambda_j$$

$$\leq |X(0)| + \frac{(1+\theta)^{\left[\frac{T}{\Delta}\right]+2} - (1+\theta)}{\theta} (1+\theta|X(0)|)$$

$$\leq (1+\theta)^{\frac{T}{\Delta}+2} |X(0)| + (1+\theta)^{\frac{T}{\Delta}+2} \theta^{-1}$$

$$= (1+\theta)^{\frac{T}{\Delta}+2} \left(|X(0)| + \theta^{-1}\right).$$
 (3.20) Fan-add2

It follows from (3.17) that $\Delta < 1$, which implies

$$(1+\theta)^{\frac{T}{\Delta}+2} \le \exp\left\{\frac{T+2}{\Delta}\log(1+\theta)\right\}$$
$$\le \exp\left\{\frac{2(T+2)Ce^{CT}}{\theta}\log(1+\theta)\right\}$$
$$\le \exp\left\{2(T+2)Ce^{CT}\right\}.$$
(3.21) Fan-add3

By (3.17) again, we get

$$\theta^{-1} = \frac{\left(2Ce^{CT} \|B^H\|_{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}{2Ce^{CT}} \le \left(2Ce^{CT}\right)^{\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}} \|B^H\|_{\beta}^{\frac{1}{\beta}}.$$
(3.22) Fan-add4

Hence, substituting (3.21) and (3.22) into (3.20), we deduce that there exists a constant C > 0 such that

$$\|X\|_{\infty} \le C\left(1 + |X(0)| + \|B^H\|_{\beta}^{\frac{1}{\beta}}\right),$$
 (3.23) ad-supn

which together with the Fernique theorem yields that for any $\delta < 2H, \ c > 0$

$$\mathbb{E}e^{c\|X\|_{\infty}^{\delta}} < \infty.$$

Finally, we investigate the exponential integrability of $||X||_{\beta}$.

Let $0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_n = T$. we first prove that

$$\|f\|_{\beta} \le n^{1-\beta} \max_{0 \le k \le n-1} \{\|f\|_{t_k, t_{k+1}, \beta}\}, \ f \in C^{\beta}([0, T]), \ \beta \in (0, 1].$$
(3.24) ad-addi

In fact, for any $0 \le s < t \le T$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|f(t) - f(s)|}{|t - s|^{\beta}} &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{|f((t_{k+1} \wedge t) \vee s) - f((t_k \wedge t) \vee s)|}{|t - s|^{\beta}} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{|(t_{k+1} \wedge t) \vee s - (t_k \wedge t) \vee s|^{\beta}}{|t - s|^{\beta}} \|f\|_{(t_k \wedge t) \vee s, (t_{k+1} \wedge t) \vee s, \beta} \\ &\leq \max_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} \{\|f\|_{t_k, t_{k+1}, \beta}\} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{|(t_{k+1} \wedge t) \vee s - (t_k \wedge t) \vee s|^{\beta}}{|t - s|^{\beta}} \\ &= \max_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} \{\|f\|_{t_k, t_{k+1}, \beta}\} \frac{n}{|t - s|^{\beta}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{|(t_{k+1} \wedge t) \vee s - (t_k \wedge t) \vee s|^{\beta}}{n} \\ &\leq \max_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} \{\|f\|_{t_k, t_{k+1}, \beta}\} \frac{n}{|t - s|^{\beta}} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(t_{k+1} \wedge t) \vee s - (t_k \wedge t) \vee s|^{\beta}}{n}\right)^{\beta} \end{aligned}$$

$$= n^{1-\beta} \max_{0 \le k \le n-1} \{ \|f\|_{t_k, t_{k+1}, \beta} \},\$$

where the Jenssen inequality is used in the last second inequality.

Applying (3.24) to X(t) and taking into account (3.18) and (3.23), we have

$$\begin{split} \|X\|_{\beta} &\leq \left(1 + \left[\frac{T}{\Delta}\right]\right)^{1-\beta} \max_{0 \leq k \leq \left[\frac{T}{\Delta}\right]} \|X\|_{k\Delta,(k+1)\Delta,\beta} \\ &\leq \left(1 + \frac{T}{\Delta}\right)^{1-\beta} 2Ce^{CT} \max_{0 \leq k \leq \left[\frac{T}{\Delta}\right]} \left(\|B^{H}\|_{\beta} + \Delta^{1-\beta}(1 + |X(k\Delta)|)\right) \\ &\leq C\left(1 + \frac{T}{\Delta}\right)^{1-\beta} \left(\|B^{H}\|_{\beta} + \Delta^{1-\beta}\left(1 + |X(0)| + \|B^{H}\|_{\beta}^{\frac{1}{\beta}}\right)\right) \\ &\leq C(1+T)^{1-\beta} \left(\|B^{H}\|_{\beta}\Delta^{\beta-1} + 1 + |X(0)| + \|B^{H}\|_{\beta}^{\frac{1}{\beta}}\right). \end{split}$$
(3.25) ad-1be

By (3.17), it is clear that

$$\Delta^{\beta-1} = \left(2Ce^{CT} \|B^H\|_{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}},$$

which yields

$$\Delta^{\beta-1} \|B^{H}\|_{\beta} \le \left(2Ce^{CT}\right)^{-\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}} \|B^{H}\|_{\beta}^{\frac{1}{\beta}}.$$

Putting this into (3.25), we obtain that

$$||X||_{\beta} \le C\left(1 + |X(0)| + ||B^{H}||_{\beta}^{\frac{1}{\beta}}\right).$$

Therefore, for any $\delta < 2H$ and c > 0, we have $\mathbb{E} \exp[c \|X\|_{\beta}^{\delta}] < \infty$.

Proof of Corollary 3.3. By (2.7) we first obtain

$$K_{H}^{-1}\left(\int_{0}^{\cdot}h(X(r))\mathrm{d}r\right)(s) = s^{H-\frac{1}{2}}D_{0+}^{H-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\cdot^{\frac{1}{2}-H}h(X(\cdot))\right)(s)$$

$$= \frac{H-\frac{1}{2}}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2}-H)}\left[\frac{1}{H-\frac{1}{2}}s^{\frac{1}{2}-H}h(X(s)) + s^{H-\frac{1}{2}}h(X(s))\int_{0}^{s}\frac{s^{\frac{1}{2}-H}-r^{\frac{1}{2}-H}}{(s-r)^{\frac{1}{2}+H}}\mathrm{d}r\right]$$

$$+s^{H-\frac{1}{2}}\int_{0}^{s}\frac{h(X(s))-h(X(r))}{(s-r)^{\frac{1}{2}+H}}r^{\frac{1}{2}-H}\mathrm{d}r\right] =:\frac{H-\frac{1}{2}}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2}-H)}(I_{1}(s)+I_{2}(s)+I_{3}(s)).$$

Observe that

$$\int_0^s \frac{s^{\frac{1}{2}-H} - r^{\frac{1}{2}-H}}{(s-r)^{\frac{1}{2}+H}} \mathrm{d}r = \int_0^1 \frac{u^{\frac{1}{2}-H} - 1}{(1-u)^{\frac{1}{2}+H}} \mathrm{d}u \cdot s^{1-2H} =: C_0 s^{1-2H},$$

where we make the change of variable $u = r/s, C_0$ is some constant.

(i) If h satisfies (3.1), then we obtain

$$|I_1(s) + I_2(s)| \le Cs^{\frac{1}{2} - H} \left(1 + |X(s)|^{p+\lambda} \right),$$

$$\int_{0}^{t} |I_{1}(s) + I_{2}(s)|^{2} \mathrm{d}s \le Ct^{2(1-H)} \left(1 + ||X||_{0,t,\infty}^{2(p+\lambda)}\right).$$
(3.26) I1_I2

For $I_3(s)$, let $\beta < H$ such that $\lambda \beta + \frac{1}{2} - H > 0$. Then, we get

$$\int_{0}^{s} \frac{|h(X(s)) - h(X(r))|}{(s-r)^{\frac{1}{2}+H}} r^{\frac{1}{2}-H} dr \leq K_{1} \int_{0}^{s} \frac{\|X\|_{r,s,\beta}^{\lambda}(s-r)^{\lambda\beta} (1+|X(s)|^{p}+|X(r)|^{p})}{(s-r)^{\frac{1}{2}+H} r^{H-\frac{1}{2}}} dr$$
$$\leq K_{1} s^{\lambda\beta+1-2H} \|X\|_{0,s,\beta}^{\lambda} \left(1+\|X\|_{0,s,\infty}^{p}\right).$$

Thus, it follows that

$$|I_3(s)| \le K_1 s^{\lambda\beta + \frac{1}{2} - H} \|X\|_{0,s,\beta}^{\lambda} \left(1 + \|X\|_{0,s,\infty}^p\right).$$
(3.27) 3_13

Let

$$\tau_n = \inf\left\{t > 0 \mid \int_0^t \left|K_H^{-1}\left(\int_0^\cdot h(X(r))\mathrm{d}r\right)(s)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}s \ge n\right\}, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It is clear that under $R_{T \wedge \tau_n} \mathbb{P}$,

$$\tilde{W}_{n,t} := W_t - \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_n} K_H^{-1}\left(\int_0^{\cdot} h(X(r)) \mathrm{d}r\right)(s) \mathrm{d}s, \ t \ge 0$$

is a Brownian motion. Then

$$\tilde{B}_{n,t}^H := B_t^H - \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_n} h(X(r)) \mathrm{d}r, \ t \ge 0$$

is a fractional Brownian motion under $R_{T \wedge \tau_n} \mathbb{P}$. Moreover, the process X satisfies, for any $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$,

$$X(t) = X(s) + \int_s^t b(X(r)) \mathrm{d}r + \int_s^t \sigma(X(r)) \mathrm{d}\tilde{B}_{n,r}^H + \int_{s \wedge \tau_n}^{t \wedge \tau_n} \sigma(X(r)) h(X(r)) \mathrm{d}r.$$

Since σh has linear growth and $\tilde{B}_{n,\cdot}^H$ under $R_{T \wedge \tau_n} \mathbb{P}$ has the same distribution as B_{\cdot}^H under \mathbb{P} , with a slight modification of the proof of Theorem 3.1 we arrive at

$$\sup_{n} \mathbb{E}R_{T \wedge \tau_n} \left(\|X\|_{\infty}^q + \|X\|_{\beta}^q \right) < \infty, \qquad q > 0, \beta < H$$

Combining this with (3.26) and (3.27), we have

$$\sup_{n} \mathbb{E}R_{T \wedge \tau_{n}} \log R_{T \wedge \tau_{n}} \leq C \sup_{n} \mathbb{E}R_{T \wedge \tau_{n}} \left(1 + \|X\|_{\infty}^{2(p+\lambda)} + \|X\|_{\beta}^{2\lambda} + \|X\|_{\beta}^{2\lambda} \|X\|_{\infty}^{2p} \right) < \infty.$$

Then by the Fatou lemma and the martingale convergence theorem, $\{R_t\}_{t\in[0,T]}$ is a uniformly integrable martingale and

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \mathbb{E}R_t \log R_t < \infty.$$

As a consequence, by the Girsanov theorem we obtain that under $R_T \mathbb{P}$, the process \tilde{B}^H is a fractional Brownian motion.

(ii) By (3.2), we get

$$|I_1(s) + I_2(s)| \le Cs^{\frac{1}{2} - H} \left(1 + ||X||_{0,s,\infty}^q \right).$$
(3.28)

Thus, it follows from Theorem 3.1 and q < H that

$$\mathbb{E}\exp\left(\int_0^T (I_1(s) + I_2(s))^2 \mathrm{d}s\right) \le \mathbb{E}e^{C\left(1 + \|X\|_{\infty}^{2q}\right)} < \infty.$$
(3.29)

Now we aim to estimate the term $I_3(s)$.

Since $H > \frac{1}{2}$ and $\lambda > \frac{2H-1}{2H}$, we can choose $\beta < H$ such that $\lambda > \frac{2H-1}{2\beta}$. By (3.2) and (3.4), we derive

$$\int_{0}^{s} \frac{|h(X(s)) - h(X(r))|}{(s-r)^{\frac{1}{2}+H}} r^{\frac{1}{2}-H} dr
\leq K_{2} \int_{0}^{s} \frac{\left[\left(\|X\|_{r,s,\beta}^{\lambda}(s-r)^{\lambda\beta} \right) \wedge 1 \right] (1+|X(s)|^{q} + |X(r)|^{q})}{(s-r)^{\frac{1}{2}+H} r^{H-\frac{1}{2}}} dr
\leq C \left(1+\|X\|_{0,s,\infty}^{q} \right) \int_{0}^{s} \frac{\left(\|X\|_{0,s,\beta}^{\lambda}(s-r)^{\lambda\beta} \right) \wedge 1}{(s-r)^{\frac{1}{2}+H} r^{H-\frac{1}{2}}} dr
\leq C \left(1+\|X\|_{0,s,\infty}^{q} \right) \int_{0}^{s} \frac{\|X\|_{0,s,\beta}^{\lambda}(s-r)^{\lambda\beta-\frac{1}{2}-H} r^{\frac{1}{2}-H}}{1+\|X\|_{0,s,\beta}^{\lambda}(s-r)^{\lambda\beta}} dr.$$
(3.30) h

For the integral in the right hand of (3.30), by $\lambda > \frac{2H-1}{2\beta}$ and Lemma 3.5 we have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{s} \frac{\|X\|_{0,s,\beta}^{\lambda}(s-r)^{\lambda\beta-\frac{1}{2}-H}r^{\frac{1}{2}-H}}{1+\|X\|_{0,s,\beta}^{\lambda}(s-r)^{\lambda\beta}} \mathrm{d}r \\ &= \int_{0}^{\frac{s}{2}} \frac{\|X\|_{0,s,\beta}^{\lambda}(s-r)^{\lambda\beta-\frac{1}{2}-H}r^{\frac{1}{2}-H}}{1+\|X\|_{0,s,\beta}^{\lambda}(s-r)^{\lambda\beta}} \mathrm{d}r + \int_{\frac{s}{2}}^{s} \frac{\|X\|_{0,s,\beta}^{\lambda}(s-r)^{\lambda\beta-\frac{1}{2}-H}r^{\frac{1}{2}-H}}{1+\|X\|_{0,s,\beta}^{\lambda}(s-r)^{\lambda\beta}} \mathrm{d}r \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{0}^{\frac{s}{2}} \frac{\|X\|_{0,s,\beta}^{\lambda}s^{\lambda\beta-\frac{1}{2}-H}r^{\frac{1}{2}-H}}{1+\|X\|_{0,s,\beta}^{\lambda}s^{\lambda\beta}} \mathrm{d}r + s^{\frac{1}{2}-H} \int_{\frac{s}{2}}^{s} \frac{\|X\|_{0,s,\beta}^{\lambda}(s-r)^{\lambda\beta-\frac{1}{2}-H}}{1+\|X\|_{0,s,\beta}^{\lambda}(s-r)^{\lambda\beta}} \mathrm{d}r \right) \\ &\leq C \left(s^{-\frac{1}{2}-H} \int_{0}^{\frac{s}{2}} r^{\frac{1}{2}-H} \mathrm{d}r + s^{\frac{1}{2}-H} \int_{0}^{\frac{s}{2}} \frac{\|X\|_{0,s,\beta}^{\lambda}u^{\lambda\beta-\frac{1}{2}-H}}{1+\|X\|_{0,s,\beta}^{\lambda}u^{\lambda\beta}} \mathrm{d}u \right) \\ &\leq C \left(s^{1-2H} + s^{\frac{1}{2}-H} \|X\|_{0,s,\beta}^{\frac{H-\frac{1}{2}}{\beta}} \right). \end{split}$$

$$(3.31) \quad \underbrace{\mathsf{est_int_1}}$$

Consequently, we have

$$|I_{3}(s)| \leq C\left(s^{\frac{1}{2}-H} + \|X\|_{0,s,\beta}^{\frac{H-\frac{1}{2}}{\beta}}\right)\left(1 + \|X\|_{0,s,\infty}^{q}\right)$$
$$= C\left(s^{\frac{1}{2}-H} + s^{\frac{1}{2}-H}\|X\|_{0,s,\infty}^{q} + \|X\|_{0,s,\beta}^{\frac{H-\frac{1}{2}}{\beta}} + \|X\|_{0,s,\beta}^{\frac{H-\frac{1}{2}}{\beta}}\|X\|_{0,s,\infty}^{q}\right), \quad (3.32)$$

which yields

$$\int_0^T |I_3(s)|^2 \mathrm{d}s \le C \left(1 + \|X\|_{\infty}^{2q} + \|X\|_{\beta}^{\frac{2H-1}{\beta}} + \|X\|_{\beta}^{\frac{2H-1}{\beta}} \|X\|_{\infty}^{2q} \right).$$

Since

$$||X||_{\infty} \le T^{\beta} ||X||_{\beta} + |X(0)|,$$

we derive by the Young inequality that

$$\int_{0}^{T} |I_{3}(s)|^{2} \mathrm{d}s \le C \left(1 + \|X\|_{\beta}^{\frac{2H-1}{\beta} + 2q} \right).$$
(3.33) add-n-I3

Note that, by $q < H + \frac{1}{2H} - 1$ we get

$$\frac{2H-1}{2(H-q)} < \frac{2H-1}{2-\frac{1}{H}} = H,$$

which allows to choose β such that

$$H > \beta > \frac{2H-1}{2(H-q)} \vee \frac{2H-1}{2\lambda}.$$

Then we have

$$\frac{2H-1}{\beta} + 2q < 2H.$$

Consequently, combining this with (3.33) and applying Theorem 3.1 we arrive at

$$\mathbb{E}\exp\left(\int_0^T |I_3(s)|^2 \mathrm{d}s\right) \le \mathbb{E}\exp\left[C\left(1 + \|X\|_{\beta}^{\frac{2H-1}{\beta}+2q}\right)\right] < \infty.$$

Therefore, the assertion follows from this and (3.29).

4 Absolute continuity for fractional SDEs

In this part, we aim to study the existence of density of the solution to (1.1) with irregular drift. It is noticeable that we do not also impose nondegeneracy conditions on the coefficient σ . In this context, we will invoke a method for densities, first introduced in [11] and then extended in [27], which yields an easy way to prove the existence of a density. Here, the estimates obtained in Theorem 3.1 will play an important role.

Let us then first recall the definition of the Besov space used in this section, which is given in terms of difference. For any $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$, let Δ_h be the difference operator w.r.t. h and Δ_h^m the *m*th order difference operator:

$$\Delta_h f(x) = f(x+h) - f(x), \qquad \Delta_h^m f(x) = \Delta_h \left(\Delta_h^{m-1} f \right)(x).$$

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < \alpha < m$, Let $\mathscr{C}^{\alpha}_{b}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$ be the Zygmund space of order α defined as the closure of bounded smooth functions w.r.t. the norm:

$$\|f\|_{\mathscr{C}^{\alpha}_{b}} = \|f\|_{\infty} + \sup_{|h| \le 1} \frac{\|\Delta_{h}^{m} f\|_{\infty}}{|h|^{\alpha}},$$

and $\mathcal{B}_{1,\infty}^{\alpha}$ the Besov space of order $(1,\infty,\alpha)$:

$$\mathcal{B}_{1,\infty}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \left\{ f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \mid \|f\|_{L^1} + \sup_{|h| \le 1} \frac{\|\Delta_h^m f\|_{L^1}}{|h|^{\alpha}} < \infty \right\}.$$

The definitions above are independent of m, and we can, in greater generality, define Besov space $\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$. In particular, there holds $\mathscr{C}_b^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mathcal{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For more details, one can refer to [27, Appendix A] and [29, 30].

Since we do not assume that σ is non-degenerate, we will show that the distribution of X(T) admits a density on $D_{\sigma} := \{z \in \mathbb{R}^d : \sigma(z) \text{ is invertible}\}$ which is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^d due to the continuity of σ , and then the density belongs to some function spaces. To this end, we denote by $W^{s,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (resp. $W^{s,1}_{loc}(D_{\sigma})$) the (resp. local) Sobolev space with *s*-order fractional derivative on \mathbb{R}^d (resp. D_{σ}), and set

$$\mathcal{B}^{\alpha}_{1,\infty,loc}(D_{\sigma}) = \left\{ f \mid \text{for any open set } \mathcal{O} \text{ with its closure } \bar{\mathcal{O}} \subset D_{\sigma}, \\ \text{there exists a } g \in \mathcal{B}^{\alpha}_{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ such that } g|_{\mathcal{O}} = f \right\}.$$

Our main result reads as follow.

Theorem 3.1 Theorem 4.1 Assume (A).

(1) Then for any T > 0, the law of X(T) admits a density on the set D_{σ} . Moreover, the density belongs to $\mathcal{B}^{s}_{1,\infty,loc}(D_{\sigma})$ for all $s < \frac{1-H}{H}$, and then is in $W^{s,1}_{loc}(D_{\sigma})$ and $L^{p}_{loc}(D_{\sigma})$ for any $s < \frac{1-H}{H}$ and $1 \le p < \frac{Hd}{H(d+1)-1}$.

(2) If there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that $\sigma(x)\sigma^*(x) \ge \lambda$ holds for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, then the law of X(T) admits a density on \mathbb{R}^d which is in $\mathcal{B}^s_{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for any $s < \frac{1-H}{H}$. Furthermore, the density is in $W^{s,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for any $s < \frac{1-H}{H}$ and $1 \le p < \frac{Hd}{H(d+1)-1}$.

In order to verify this theorem, some preliminary estimates are necessary. For $\epsilon \in (0, T)$, set

$$Y(\epsilon) = X(T-\epsilon) + \int_{T-\epsilon}^{T} \sigma(X(T-\epsilon)) dB_t^H$$

= $X(T-\epsilon) + \sigma(X(T-\epsilon))(B_T^H - B_{T-\epsilon}^H).$

Lemma 3.1

1 Lemma 4.2 For all $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$, there holds

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\mathrm{e}^{i\langle u,Y(\epsilon)\rangle}|\mathcal{F}_{T-\epsilon}\right) = \exp\left\{i\langle u,x\rangle - \frac{1}{2}|\sigma^*(y)u|^2\int_{T-\epsilon}^T K_H^2(T,s)\mathrm{d}s\right\}\Big|_{x=\xi,\ y=\eta}$$

where

$$\xi = X(T-\epsilon) + \sigma(X(T-\epsilon)) \int_0^{T-\epsilon} (K_H(T,s) - K_H(T-\epsilon,s)) \, \mathrm{d}W_s, \quad \eta = X(T-\epsilon)$$

This implies that under $\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathcal{F}_{T-\epsilon}), Y(\epsilon)$ is a Gaussian random variable with the mean ξ and the covariance matrix

$$\mathbf{Cov}_{\epsilon}(\eta) \equiv \left(\int_{T-\epsilon}^{T} K_{H}^{2}(T,s) \mathrm{d}s\right) \sigma(\eta) \sigma^{*}(\eta).$$
(4.1) [cov]

That is, for any $B \in \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, there exists

$$\mathbb{P}\left(Y(\epsilon) \in B\right) = \mathbb{E}\left(\mu_{\xi, \mathbf{Cov}_{\epsilon}(\eta)}(B)\right),\tag{4.2}$$

where for \mathbb{P} -a.s. $\omega \in \Omega$, the measure $\mu_{\xi(\omega), \mathbf{Cov}_{\epsilon}(\eta(\omega))}$ is a Gaussian measure with the mean $\xi(\omega)$ and the covariance matrix $\mathbf{Cov}_{\epsilon}(\eta(\omega))$.

Proof. By the integral representation of B^H_{\cdot} , we get

$$B_T^H - B_{T-\epsilon}^H = \int_0^{T-\epsilon} \left(K_H(T,s) - K_H(T-\epsilon,s) \right) \mathrm{d}W_s + \int_{T-\epsilon}^T K_H(T,s) \mathrm{d}W_s$$

Consequently, we have

$$Y(\epsilon) = \xi + \sigma(X(T-\epsilon)) \int_{T-\epsilon}^{T} K_H(T,s) dW_s, \qquad (4.3)$$

where

$$\xi = X(T-\epsilon) + \sigma(X(T-\epsilon)) \int_0^{T-\epsilon} \left(K_H(T,s) - K_H(T-\epsilon,s) \right) dW_s$$

is $\mathcal{F}_{T-\epsilon}$ -measurable. Observing that $\int_{T-\epsilon}^{T} K_H(T,s) dW_s$ is a Gaussian random variable independent of $\mathcal{F}_{T-\epsilon}$ whose covariance matrix is $\left(\int_{T-\epsilon}^{T} K_{H}^{2}(T,s) \mathrm{d}s\right) I$, in which I is the identity matrix on \mathbb{R}^{d} , we obtain, for each $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\exp\left\{i\langle u,\sigma(X(T-\epsilon))\int_{T-\epsilon}^{T}K_{H}(T,s)\mathrm{d}W_{s}\rangle\right\}\Big|\mathcal{F}_{T-\epsilon}\right)$$

= $\mathbb{E}\left(\exp\left\{i\langle u,\sigma(y)\int_{T-\epsilon}^{T}K_{H}(T,s)\mathrm{d}W_{s}\rangle\right\}\right)\Big|_{y=\eta}$
= $\exp\left\{-\frac{|\sigma^{*}(\eta)u|^{2}\int_{T-\epsilon}^{T}K_{H}^{2}(T,s)\mathrm{d}s}{2}\right\}.$

Then, combining this with (4.3) yields

$$\mathbb{E}\left(e^{i\langle u,Y(\epsilon)\rangle}|\mathcal{F}_{T-\epsilon}\right) \\
= \exp\left\{i\langle u,\xi\rangle\right\} \mathbb{E}\left(\exp\left\{i\langle u,\sigma(X(T-\epsilon))\int_{T-\epsilon}^{T}K_{H}(T,s)\mathrm{d}W_{s}\rangle\right\}|\mathcal{F}_{T-\epsilon}\right) \\
= \exp\left\{i\langle u,\xi\rangle - \frac{|\sigma^{*}(\eta)u|^{2}\int_{T-\epsilon}^{T}K_{H}^{2}(T,s)\mathrm{d}s}{2}\right\}.$$
(4.4)

Finally, it is easy to see that by (4.4) the other assertions hold true.

Lemma 4.3 Assume (A). Then there holds with $\beta \in [\frac{1}{1+\gamma}, H)$ Lemma 3.2

$$\mathbb{E}|X(T) - Y(\epsilon)| \le C\left(\epsilon^{(\gamma+1)\beta} + \epsilon\right).$$

Proof. Similar to (3.9) and (3.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |X(T) - Y(\epsilon)| &= \left| \int_{T-\epsilon}^{T} \left(\sigma(X(r)) - \sigma(X(T-\epsilon)) \right) \mathrm{d}B_{r}^{H} + \int_{T-\epsilon}^{T} b(X(r)) \mathrm{d}r \right| \\ &\leq C \|B^{H}\|_{\beta} \left(\int_{T-\epsilon}^{T} |\sigma(X(r)) - \sigma(X(T-\epsilon))| (T-r)^{\alpha+\beta-1} (r-(T-\epsilon))^{-\alpha} \mathrm{d}r \right. \\ &+ \|\sigma\|_{\gamma} \|X\|_{T-\epsilon,T,\beta}^{\gamma} \epsilon^{(\gamma+1)\beta} \right) + C(1+\|X\|_{\infty}) \epsilon \\ &\leq C \|B^{H}\|_{\beta} \|\sigma\|_{\gamma} \|X\|_{\beta}^{\gamma} \epsilon^{(\gamma+1)\beta} + C(1+\|X\|_{\infty}) \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

which, together with Theorem 3.1, leads to the desired assertion.

Besides, we shall recall a result due to [27], in which the sufficient conditions for a finite measure admitting a density are established.

Lemma 3.3 Lemma 4.4 Let μ be a finite measure on \mathbb{R}^d . If there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $s > 0, \alpha > 0$ with $\alpha < s < m$, and a constant K > 0 such that for each $\phi \in \mathscr{C}^{\alpha}_{b}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $|h| \leq 1$,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta_h^m \phi(x) \mu(\mathrm{d}x) \right| \le K |h|^s \|\phi\|_{\mathscr{C}_b^\alpha}, \tag{4.5}$$
 smooth-lem

then μ has a density w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^d . Moreover, $\frac{d\mu}{dx} \in \mathcal{B}^{s-\alpha}_{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

The following criterion allows us to prove the absolute continuity of a probability measure by the localization argument. The proof is identical to the one proposed in [11, Lemma 1.2] and so we omit it here.

Lemma 3.4 Lemma 4.5 For a continuous function $\varrho : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}_+$, let $D_{\delta} = \{x \mid \varrho(x) \leq \delta\}$ and

$$h_{\delta}(x) = (\inf\{|x - z| \mid z \in D_{\delta}\}) \land \delta,$$

where if $D_{\delta} = \emptyset$, we assume $\inf\{|x - z| \mid z \in D_{\delta}\} = \infty$. Then $h_{\delta} : \mathbb{R}^d \to [0, \delta]$ is a function vanishing on D_{δ} , positive on $\mathbb{R}^d - D_{\delta}$ and globally Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant 1. For a probability measure μ on \mathbb{R}^d , if for each $\delta > 0$, the measure $\mu_{\delta}(dz) = h_{\delta}(z)\mu(dz)$ has a density, then μ has a density on $\{z \in \mathbb{R}^d : \varrho(z) > 0\}$.

Remark 3.x Remark 4.6 Compared with [11, Lemma 1.2], the Lipschitz continuity of the density $h_{\delta} = \frac{d\mu_{\delta}}{d\mu}$ in Lemma 4.5 is independent of the function ϱ .

Now, we can go back to the proof of Theorem 4.1.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Without loss of generality, we only prove the result holds true for X(T). Take $\rho(x)$ to be the minimal eigenvalue of $\sqrt{\sigma(x)\sigma^*(x)}$ in Lemma 4.5, and let $\mu_{\delta}(dz) = h_{\delta}(z)\mathbb{P}_{X(T)}(dz)$. To prove $\mathbb{P}_{X(T)}(dz)$ has a density on the set $\{z \in \mathbb{R}^d : \sigma(z) \text{ is invertible}\}$, by Lemma 4.5 it suffices to show that $\mu_{\delta}(dz)$ admits a density for all $\delta > 0$, which will be fulfilled via Lemma 4.4.

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \in (0, m) - \mathbb{N}$, $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with |h| < 1, and $\phi \in \mathscr{C}^{\alpha}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$, using Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 4.2 along with Lemma 4.3 we obtain that

$$\left|\mathbb{E}h_{\delta}(X(T))\Delta_{h}^{m}\phi(X(T))\right| \leq \left|\mathbb{E}\left(h_{\delta}(X(T)) - h_{\delta}(X(T-\epsilon))\right)\Delta_{h}^{m}\phi(X(T))\right|$$

$$+ \left| \mathbb{E}h_{\delta}(X(T-\epsilon)) \left(\Delta_{h}^{m} \phi(X(T)) - \Delta_{h}^{m} \phi(Y(\epsilon)) \right) \right|$$

$$+ \left| \mathbb{E}h_{\delta}(X(T-\epsilon)) \Delta_{h}^{m} \phi(Y(\epsilon)) \right|$$

$$\leq C_{\delta} \|\phi\|_{\mathscr{C}_{b}^{\alpha}} |h|^{\alpha} \mathbb{E}|X(T) - X(T-\epsilon)|$$

$$+ C_{m} \|\phi\|_{\mathscr{C}_{b}^{\alpha}} |h|^{\lfloor \alpha \rfloor} \mathbb{E}|X(T) - Y(\epsilon)|^{\alpha - \lfloor \alpha \rfloor}$$

$$+ \left| \mathbb{E}h_{\delta}(X(T-\epsilon)) \mathbb{E} \left(\Delta_{h}^{m} \phi(Y(\epsilon)) \right) \right| \mathcal{F}_{T-\epsilon}) \right|$$

$$\leq C \|\phi\|_{\mathscr{C}_{b}^{\alpha}} \left(\left| h \right|^{\alpha} \epsilon^{\beta} + \left| h \right|^{\lfloor \alpha \rfloor} \left(\epsilon^{(\gamma+1)\beta} + \epsilon \right)^{\alpha - \lfloor \alpha \rfloor} \right)$$

$$+ \left| \mathbb{E}h_{\delta}(\eta) \mu_{\xi, \mathbf{Cov}_{\epsilon}(\eta)} \left(\Delta_{h}^{m} \phi \right) \right|, \qquad (4.6) \quad \underline{\mathsf{new-in-0}}$$

where ξ, η are given in Lemma 4.2.

Let \mathbf{p}_y be the density of the Gaussian distribution $N(0, \mathbf{Cov}_{\epsilon}(y))$. Then for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $y \in \{y \mid \rho(y) \ge \delta\}$, we have

$$\mu_{x,\mathbf{Cov}_{\epsilon}(y)}\left(\Delta_{h}^{m}\phi\right)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \Delta_{h}^{m}\phi(x+z)\mathbf{p}_{y}(z)\mathrm{d}z,$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \phi(x+z)\Delta_{-h}^{m}\mathbf{p}_{y}(z)\mathrm{d}z.$$
(4.7) gauss-delta

•

It is clear that

$$\sup_{\rho(y)\geq\delta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla^k \mathbf{p}_y(z)| dz \leq C_k \sup_{\rho(y)\geq\delta} \| (\mathbf{Cov}_{\epsilon}(y))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|^k$$
$$\leq C_k \left(\int_{T-\epsilon}^T K_H^2(T,s) ds \right)^{-\frac{k}{2}} \sup_{\rho(y)\geq\delta} \rho^{-k}(y)$$
$$\leq C_k \delta^{-k} \left(\int_{T-\epsilon}^T K_H^2(T,s) ds \right)^{-\frac{k}{2}}, \ k \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(4.8) grad-gauss

Notice that, by (2.5) we have

$$\int_{T-\epsilon}^{T} K_{H}^{2}(T,s) ds = \frac{1}{\Gamma^{2}(H-1/2)} \int_{T-\epsilon}^{T} \left(s^{1/2-H} \int_{s}^{T} r^{H-1/2}(r-s)^{H-3/2} dr \right)^{2} ds$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{\Gamma^{2}(H-1/2)} \int_{T-\epsilon}^{T} \left(\int_{s}^{T} (r-s)^{H-3/2} dr \right)^{2} ds$$

$$= C_{H} \epsilon^{2H},$$
(4.9)

where $C_H = \frac{1}{2H[(H-1/2)\Gamma(H-1/2)]^2}$. Hence, it follows from (4.7)-(4.9) that

$$\left|\mu_{x,\mathbf{Cov}_{\epsilon}(y)}\left(\Delta_{h}^{m}\phi\right)\right)\right| \leq C_{H}C_{k}\delta^{-k}\|\phi\|_{\infty}\epsilon^{-mH}|h|^{m}.$$

Substituting this into (4.6) and choosing β such that $(1 + \gamma)\beta > 1$, we have

$$\left|\mathbb{E}h_{\delta}(X(T))\Delta_{h}^{m}\phi(X(T))\right| \leq C \|\phi\|_{\mathscr{C}_{b}^{\alpha}} \left(|h|^{\alpha}\epsilon^{\beta} + |h|^{[\alpha]}\epsilon^{(\alpha-[\alpha])} + \left(\frac{|h|}{\epsilon^{H}}\right)^{m}\right)$$

Let
$$m > \frac{\alpha}{1-H}$$
 and $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}T|h|^{\frac{m}{\alpha-[\alpha]+Hm}}$. Then $\alpha < \frac{(m-[\alpha])(\alpha-[\alpha])}{\alpha-[\alpha]+Hm} + [\alpha] < m$ and
 $|\mathbb{E}h_{\delta}(X(T))\Delta_{h}^{m}\phi(X(T))| \le C \|\phi\|_{\mathscr{C}_{b}^{\alpha}} \left(|h|^{\alpha+\frac{(m-[\alpha])\beta}{\alpha-[\alpha]+Hm}} + |h|^{\frac{(m-[\alpha])(\alpha-[\alpha])}{\alpha-[\alpha]+Hm} + [\alpha]}\right)$

Therefore, (4.5) holds for μ_{δ} . As a consequence, Lemma 4.4 implies that μ_{δ} admits a density on \mathbb{R}^d with $\frac{d\mu_{\delta}}{dx} \in \mathcal{B}_{1,\infty}^{s(m)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where

$$s(m) = \frac{(m - [al])\beta}{\alpha - [\alpha] + Hm} \wedge \frac{((1 - H)m - [\alpha])(\alpha - [\alpha])}{\alpha - [\alpha] + Hm}.$$

Because of

1

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} s(m) = \frac{\beta}{H} \wedge \frac{(\alpha - [\alpha])(1 - H)}{H}, \ \beta < H, \alpha > 0,$$

for any $s < \frac{1-H}{H}$, we can choose m, α, β such that s(m) = s. Then $\frac{d\mu_{\delta}}{dx} \in \mathcal{B}_{1,\infty}^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$ for all $s < \frac{1-H}{H}$, which implies that the density of the distribution of μ on D_{σ} is in $\mathcal{B}_{1,\infty,loc}^{s}(D_{\sigma})$ for any $s < \frac{1-H}{H}$. By [29, 2.2.2/Remark 3] and Sobolev embedding theorem, there hold $\mathcal{B}_{1,\infty}^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \hookrightarrow W^{s,1}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$ and $W^{s,1}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \hookrightarrow L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$ for $1 \leq p \leq \frac{d}{d-s}$. Hence, we conclude that $\frac{d\mu_{\delta}}{dx} \in W^{s,1}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$ and $\frac{d\mu_{\delta}}{dx} \in L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$ for all $0 < s < \frac{1-H}{H}$ and $1 \leq p < \frac{Hd}{H(d+1)-1}$. Consequently, the density of the distribution of μ on D_{σ} belongs to $W_{loc}^{s,1}(D_{\sigma})$ and $L_{loc}^{p}(D_{\sigma})$ for $0 < s < \frac{1-H}{H}$ and $1 \leq p < \frac{Hd}{H(d+1)-1}$.

If there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that $\sigma(x)\sigma^*(x) \ge \lambda$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, then $\rho(x) \ge \sqrt{\lambda}$. Let $\delta < \sqrt{\lambda}$. Then $\rho_{\delta} \equiv \delta$. It is clear that our claim holds true for this case.

Acknowledgement The research of X. Fan was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11501009, 11871076). The research of the second author was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11771326, 11901604).

References

- E. Alòs, O. Mazet and D. Nualart, Stochastic calculus with respect to Gaussian processes, Ann. Probab. 29(2001), 766–801.
- [2] L. Bai and J. Ma, Stochastic differential equations driven by fractional Brownian motion and Poisson point process, Bernoulli 21(2015), 303–334.
- [3] F. Biagini, Y. Hu, B. Øksendal and T. Zhang, Stochastic Calculus for Fractional Brownian Motion and Applications, Springer-Verlag, London, 2008.
- [4] B. Boufoussi and S. Hajji, Functional differential equations driven by a fractional Brownian motion, Comput. Math. Appl. 62(2011), 746-754.
- [5] T. Cass and P. Friz, Densities for rough differential equations under Hörmander's condition, Ann. of Math. 171(2010), 2115–2141.
- [6] T. Cass, P. Friz and N. Victoir, Non-degeneracy of Wiener functionals arising from rough differential equations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 361(2009), 3359–3371.
- [7] L. Coutin and Z. Qian, Stochastic analysis, rough path analysis and fractional Brownian motions, Probab. Theory Related Fields 122(2002), 108–140.
- [8] L. Decreusefond and A. S. Üstünel, Stochastic analysis of the fractional Brownian motion, Potential Anal. 10(1998), 177–214.

- [9] T. Duncan and D. Nualart, Existence of strong solutions and uniqueness in law for stochastic differential equations driven by fractional Brownian motion, Stoch. Dyn. 9(2009), 423–435.
- [10] M. Ferrante and C. Rovira, Stochastic delay differential equations driven by fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter H > 1/2, Bernoulli 12(2006), 85–100.
- [11] N. Fournier and J. Printems, Absolute continuity for some one-dimensional processes, Bernoulli 16(2010), 343–360.
- [12] Y. Hu and D. Nualart, Differential equations driven by Hölder continuous functions of order greater than 1/2, In Stochastic Analysis and Applications. Abel Symp. 2(2007), 399–413. Springer, Berlin.
- [13] Y. Hu, D. Nualart and X. Song, A singular stochastic differential equations driven by fractional Brownian motion, Statist. Probab. Lett. 78(2007), 2075–2085.
- [14] A. Kohatsu-Higa and A. Makhlouf, Estimates for the density of functionals of SDEs with irregular drift, Stochastic Process. Appl. 123(2013), 1716–1728.
- [15] A. Kohatsu-Higa and A. Tanaka, A Malliavin calculus method to study densities of additive functionals of SDE's with irregular drifts, Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré-Probabilités et Statistiques 48(2012), 871–883.
- [16] N. V. Krylov, M. Röckner, Strong solutions of stochastic equations with singular time dependent drift, Probab. Theory Related Fields 131(2005), 154–196.
- [17] J. Liu and L. Yan, On a nonlinear stochastic pseudo-differential equation driven by fractional noise, Stoch. Dyn. 18(2018), 1850002.
- [18] T. Lyons, Differential equations driven by rough signals, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 14(1998), 215–310.
- [19] Y. Mishura, Stochastic Calculus for Fractional Brownian Motion and Related Processes, Springer, Berlin, 2008.
- [20] A. Neuenkirch, I. Nourdin and S. Tindel, *Delay equations driven by rough paths*, Electron. J. Probab. 13(2008), 2031–2068.
- [21] A. F. Nikiforov and V. B. Uvarov, Special Functions of Mathematical Physics, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1988.
- [22] I. Nourdin and T. Simon, On the absolute continuity of one-dimensional SDEs driven by a fractional Brownian motion, Statist. Probab. Lett. 76(2006), 907–912.
- [23] D. Nualart, The Malliavin Calculus and Related Topics, Second edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.
- [24] D. Nualart and Y. Ouknine, Regularization of differential equations by fractional noise, Stochastic Process. Appl. 102(2002), 103–116.
- [25] D. Nualart and A. Răşcanu, Differential equations driven by fractional Brownian motion, Collect. Math. 53(2002), 55–81.

- [26] D. Nualart and B. Saussereau, Malliavin calculus for stochastic differential equations driven by a fractional Brownian motion, Stochastic Process. Appl. 119(2009), 391–409.
- [27] M. Romito, A simple method for the existence of a density for stochastic evolutions with rough coefficients, Electron. J. Probab. 23(2018), 1–43.
- [28] S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas and O. I. Marichev, Fractional Integrals and Derivatives, Theory and Applications, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Yvendon, 1993.
- [29] H. Triebel, *Theory of function spaces*, Monographs in Mathematics, vol. 78, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1983.
- [30] H. Triebel, *Theory of function spaces. II*, Monographs in Mathematics, vol. 84, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1992.
- [31] F. Y. Wang, Integrability conditions for SDEs and semilinear SPDEs, Ann. Probab. 45(2017), 3223–3265.
- [32] L. C. Young, An inequality of the Hölder type connected with Stieltjes integration, Acta Math. 67(1936), 251–282.
- [33] M. Zähle, Integration with respect to fractal functions and stochastic calculus I, Probab. Theory Related Fields 111(1998), 333–374.
- [34] X. Zhang, Stochastic differential equations with Sobolev diffusion and singular drift and applications, Ann. Appl. Probab. 26(2016), 2697–2732.