

HÖRMANDER CONDITION FOR PSEUDO-MULTIPLIERS ASSOCIATED TO THE HARMONIC OSCILLATOR

DUVÁN CARDONA AND MICHAEL RUZHANSKY

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove Hörmander-Mihlin multiplier theorems for pseudo-multipliers associated to the harmonic oscillator (also called the Hermite operator). Our approach can be extended to also obtain the L^p -boundedness results for multilinear pseudo-multipliers. By using the Littlewood-Paley theorem associated to the harmonic oscillator we also give L^p -boundedness and L^p -compactness properties for multipliers. (L^p, L^q) -estimates for spectral pseudo-multipliers also are investigated.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Boundedness of pseudo-multipliers associated to the harmonic oscillator, Hörmander condition	6
2.1. Hermite functions in L^p spaces	7
2.2. Hörmander condition for pseudo-multipliers on L^p spaces	9
2.3. (L^p, L^q) -boundedness of spectral pseudo-multipliers	16
2.4. Lower bounds for the operator norm of multipliers on L^p spaces	20
3. Compactness of pseudo-multipliers	21
3.1. L^2 -compactness of multipliers	21
3.2. L^p -compactness and L^p -boundedness for multipliers via Littlewood-Paley theory	22
4. L^p -boundedness for multilinear pseudo-multipliers	24
References	32

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we are interested in the L^p -boundedness of pseudo-multipliers associated to the harmonic oscillator (also called Hermite pseudo-multipliers) on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -spaces. The harmonic oscillator is the fundamental operator of quantum mechanics defined by

$$H\psi := (-\Delta_x + |x|^2)\psi, \quad (1.1)$$

Date: October 3, 2018.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 81Q10; Secondary 42C10, 35J10, 33C45.

Key words and phrases. Pseudo-multiplier; Harmonic oscillator; Hermite functions; Hörmander condition; Multilinear operator; Fourier multipliers.

The second author was supported in parts by the FWO Odysseus Project, by the EPSRC Grant EP/R003025/1 and by the Leverhulme Research Grant RPG-2017-151.

with $|x|^2 := \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$. The harmonic oscillator extends to an unbounded self-adjoint operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and its spectrum consists of the discrete set $\lambda_\nu := 2|\nu| + n$, $\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, with a set of *real eigenfunctions* ϕ_ν , $\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ (called Hermite functions) which provide an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Each Hermite function ϕ_ν on \mathbb{R}^n has the form

$$\phi_\nu := \prod_{j=1}^n \phi_{\nu_j}, \quad \phi_{\nu_j}(x_j) := (2^{\nu_j} \nu_j! \sqrt{\pi})^{-\frac{1}{2}} H_{\nu_j}(x_j) e^{-\frac{1}{2}x_j^2}, \quad (1.2)$$

where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, and

$$H_{\nu_j}(x_j) := (-1)^{\nu_j} e^{x_j^2} \frac{d^{\nu_j}}{dx_j^{\nu_j}} (e^{-x_j^2})$$

denotes the Hermite polynomial of order ν_j . By the spectral theorem, for every $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have

$$Hf(x) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \lambda_\nu \widehat{f}(\phi_\nu) \phi_\nu(x), \quad (1.3)$$

where $\widehat{f}(\phi_\nu)$ is the Fourier-Hermite transform of f at ν defined by

$$(\mathcal{F}_H f)(\nu) \equiv \widehat{f}(\phi_\nu) = (f, \phi_\nu)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \phi_\nu(x) dx. \quad (1.4)$$

A multiplier associated to the harmonic oscillator (or Hermite multiplier) is a linear operator T_m of the form

$$T_m f(x) := \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} m(\nu) \widehat{f}(\phi_\nu) \phi_\nu(x), \quad (1.5)$$

for every function $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The discrete function m is called the symbol of the operator T_m . In particular, if m is a measurable function, the symbol of the spectral multiplier $m(H)$ (defined by the functional calculus) is given by $m(\nu) := m(\lambda_\nu)$, so that the spectral multipliers are natural examples of multipliers associated to the harmonic oscillator. We can refer to e.g. Prugovečki [19] for the quantum mechanical aspects of the harmonic oscillators.

Now, we present some historical results on the analysis of multipliers. If we denote by P_ℓ the orthogonal projection to the subspace generated by the set $\{\phi_\nu : |\nu| = \ell\}$, and m is a radial function in the sense that $m(\nu) = m(\nu')$ when $|\nu| = |\nu'|$, then the multiplier T_m can be written as

$$T_m \equiv T_\mu f(x) := \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \mu(\ell) (P_\ell f)(x), \quad (1.6)$$

where $\mu(|\nu|) = m(\nu)$. An earlier result by G. Mauceri [16] (by using methods of Bonami-Clerc [2] and R. Strichartz [23]) states that the condition

$$\sup_j 2^{j(k-1)} \sum_{2^j \leq N \leq 2^{j+1}} |\Delta_N^k \mu(N)| < \infty, \quad (1.7)$$

where $0 \leq k \leq n+1$, implies the boundedness of T_μ for all $1 < p < \infty$. As it was pointed out in [29], the number of discrete derivatives k above can be taken in

the range $0 \leq k \leq [\frac{3n-2}{6}] + 2$. A remarkable result proved by S. Thangavelu (see [30]) states that if m satisfies the discrete Marcienkiewicz condition

$$|\Delta_\nu^\alpha m(\nu)| \leq C_\alpha (1 + |\nu|)^{-|\alpha|}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, |\alpha| \leq [\frac{n}{2}] + 1, \quad (1.8)$$

where Δ_ν is the usual difference operator, then the corresponding multiplier $T_m : L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ extends to a bounded operator for all $1 < p < \infty$. This result is a discrete analogue of the result proved by Mihlin [17] for Fourier multipliers of the form

$$T_a f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a(\xi) \mathcal{F} f(\xi) e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} d\xi, \quad (1.9)$$

where \mathcal{F} is the Fourier transform on \mathbb{R}^n . The Mihlin condition states that if a is a function on \mathbb{R}^n satisfying

$$|\partial_\xi^\alpha a(\xi)| \leq C_\alpha |\xi|^{-|\alpha|}, \quad \xi \neq 0, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, |\alpha| \leq [\frac{n}{2}] + 1, \quad (1.10)$$

then $T_a : L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ extends to a bounded operator for all $1 < p < \infty$. In [14] Hörmander generalised the Mihlin condition (1.10) to the condition of the form

$$\|a\|_{l.u.H^s} := \sup_{r>0} \|a(r \cdot) \eta(\cdot)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup_{r>0} r^{s-\frac{n}{2}} \|a(\cdot) \eta(r^{-1} \cdot)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty, \quad (1.11)$$

where $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(0, \infty)$ and $s > \frac{n}{2}$, in order to guarantee the boundedness of a Fourier multiplier T_a on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $1 < p < \infty$.

As it was pointed out in [3], the situation for multipliers associated to the harmonic oscillator is quite different. In fact, for all s and $\varepsilon > 0$ with

$$\frac{n}{2} < s \leq \frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{6} - \varepsilon \quad (1.12)$$

we can not guarantee the L^p -boundedness of Riesz means operators satisfying (1.11), for all $1 < p < \infty$. However, it was proved in [3] that there exists $p_0 \in [1, 2]$ such that a general operator $a(H)$ satisfying so-called Plancherel estimates can be extended to a bounded operator on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $p_0 < p < p'_0$, provided that a satisfies (1.11) for $s > \frac{n+1}{2}$. Hörmander conditions for Hermite operators were established in [24], see also [5, Theorem III.9].

An extension of Fourier multipliers is given by so-called pseudo-multipliers (see [1]). If m is a bounded function on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{N}_0^n$ the associated pseudo-multiplier T_m is the operator defined by

$$T_m f(x) := \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} m(x, \nu) \widehat{f}(\phi_\nu) \phi_\nu(x) \quad (1.13)$$

for every function $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We refer to the function m as the *symbol* of the operator T_m . If $m(\nu) = \mu(|\nu|)$ (as in the Maceuri result mentioned previously), it was proved among other things by S. Bagchi and S. Thangavelu [1] (see also J. Epperson [10]), that for $n \geq 2$, the condition

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\Delta^j \mu(x, k)| \leq C_j (2k + n)^{-j}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq n + 1, \quad (1.14)$$

implies that the pseudo-multiplier T_μ is of weak type (1,1) and bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ provided that T_μ is bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The reference [1] provides several conditions for the boundedness of pseudo-multipliers including continuity in L^p -spaces with weights.

From the point of view of the theory of pseudo-differential operators, pseudo-multipliers would be the special case of the symbolic calculus developed in the works of the second author and N. Tokmagambetov [20, 21].

The main result of this paper is the Hörmander type condition for pseudo-multiplier operators (1.13) and for their multilinear versions. In order to classify the order of regularity in our Hörmander conditions, we use the following norms,

$$\|m\|_{l.u., H^s} := \sup_{k>0, y \in \mathbb{R}^n} 2^{k(s-\frac{n}{2})} \|\langle x \rangle^s \mathcal{F}[m(y, \cdot) \psi(2^{-k}|\cdot|)](x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n)} < \infty, \quad (1.15)$$

$$\|m\|_{l.u., \mathcal{H}^s} := \sup_{k>0} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} 2^{k(s-\frac{n}{2})} \|\langle x \rangle^s \mathcal{F}_H^{-1}[m(y, \cdot) \psi(2^{-k}|\cdot|)](x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n)} < \infty, \quad (1.16)$$

defined by the Fourier transform and the Fourier-Hermite transform, respectively, with $\langle x \rangle := (1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. In (1.15) we consider functions m on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$, but to these functions we associate a pseudo-multiplier with symbol $\{m(x, \nu)\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^n}$. Our main results for pseudo-multipliers can be summarised in the following two theorems.

Theorem 1.1. *Let us assume that $2 \leq p < \infty$. If T_m is a pseudo-multiplier with symbol m satisfying (1.15), then under one of the following conditions,*

- $n \geq 2$, $2 \leq p < \frac{2(n+3)}{n+1}$, and $s > s_{n,p} := \frac{3n}{2} + \frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})$,
- $n \geq 2$, $p = \frac{2(n+3)}{n+1}$, and $s > s_{n,p} := \frac{3n}{2} + \frac{n-1}{2(n+3)}$,
- $n \geq 2$, $\frac{2(n+3)}{n+1} < p \leq \frac{2n}{n-2}$, and $s > s_{n,p} := \frac{3n}{2} - \frac{1}{6} + \frac{2n}{3}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})$,
- $n \geq 2$, $\frac{2n}{n-2} \leq p < \infty$, and $s > s_{n,p} := \frac{3n-1}{2} + n(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})$,
- $n = 1$, $2 \leq p < 4$, $s > s_{1,p} := \frac{3}{2}$,
- $n = 1$, $p = 4$, $s > s_{1,4} := 2$,
- $n = 1$, $4 < p < \infty$, $s > s_{1,p} := \frac{4}{3} + \frac{2}{3}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})$,

the operator T_m extends to a bounded operator on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For $1 < p \leq 2$, under one of the following conditions

- $n \geq 2$, $\frac{2(n+3)}{n+5} \leq p \leq 2$, and $s > s_{n,p} := \frac{3n}{2} + \frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})$,
- $n \geq 2$, $\frac{2n}{n+2} \leq p \leq \frac{2(n+3)}{n+5}$, and $s > s_{n,p} := \frac{3n}{2} - \frac{1}{6} + \frac{2n}{3}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})$,
- $n \geq 2$, $1 < p \leq \frac{2n}{n+2}$, and $s > s_{n,p} := \frac{3n-1}{2} + n(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})$,
- $n = 1$, $\frac{4}{3} \leq p < 2$, $s > s_{1,p} := \frac{3}{2}$,
- $n = 1$, $1 < p < \frac{4}{3}$, $s > s_{1,p} := \frac{4}{3} + \frac{2}{3}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})$,

the operator T_m extends to a bounded operator on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. However, in general:

- for every $\frac{4}{3} < p < 4$ and every n , the condition $s > \frac{3n}{2}$ implies the L^p -boundedness of T_m .

If the symbol m of the pseudo-multiplier T_m satisfies the Hörmander condition (1.16), in order to guarantee the L^p -boundedness of T_m , in every case above we

can take $s > s_{n,p} - \frac{1}{12}$. Moreover, the condition $s > \frac{3n}{2} - \frac{1}{12}$ implies the L^p -boundedness of T_m for all $\frac{4}{3} < p < 4$.

Now we discuss some important facts concerning the results of this paper.

- It is usual to assume the L^2 -boundedness of a pseudo-multiplier T_m in order to provide its L^p -boundedness (see [1] and [10]). Indeed, as it was pointed out in [1], the problem of finding satisfactory conditions for the L^2 -boundedness of pseudo-multipliers remains open. However, in our main theorem we solve such problem by considering symbols $m(x, \nu)$ satisfying the Hörmander condition (1.15) of order $s > \frac{3n}{2}$, uniformly in $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, or the condition (1.16) for $s > \frac{3n}{2} - \frac{1}{12}$, uniformly in $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
- A function m belongs to the Kohn-Nirenberg class $S^{0,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ if it satisfies the symbol inequalities

$$|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} m(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha}(1 + |\xi|)^{-|\alpha|}, \quad |\alpha| \leq \rho, \quad (1.17)$$

uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Symbols in the class $S^{0,2n+1}$ are functions satisfying (1.15) and they provide bounded pseudo-multipliers in L^p -spaces for all $1 < p < \infty$. In particular symbols in the class $S^{0, [\frac{3n}{2}] + 1}$ provide bounded pseudo-multipliers in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. These facts will be proved in Proposition 2.11. Moreover, (see Corollary 2.12) if we assume the condition,

$$|\Delta_{\nu}^{\alpha} m(x, \nu)| \leq C_{\alpha}(1 + |\nu|)^{-|\alpha|}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, \quad |\alpha| \leq \rho, \quad (1.18)$$

for $\rho = [3n/2] + 1$, then T_m extends to a bounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and for $\rho = 2n + 1$ we have the $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -boundedness of T_m for all $1 < p < \infty$.

- For $n = 1$ and by assuming the L^2 -boundedness of a pseudo-multiplier T_m , it was proved by Epperson [10] that (1.18) is a sufficient condition for the L^p -boundedness of T_m provided that $\rho = 5$. In contrast, we only require derivatives up to order $\rho = 3$. For spectral pseudo-multipliers $m(x, H)$ and $n \geq 2$, and newly by assuming the L^2 -boundedness, Bagchi and Thangavelu proved the L^p -boundedness provided that (1.18) holds true for $\rho = n + 1$. Although we impose for $n \geq 2$, $\rho = [3n/2] + 1$, we do not assume the L^2 -boundedness for these operators. We also include general pseudo-multipliers and particularly spectral pseudo-multipliers.
- The (L^p, L^q) -boundedness of pseudo-multipliers will be investigated in Theorem 2.13 and Theorem 2.14.
- By using the Littlewood-Paley theorem associated to the harmonic oscillator, we give a L^p -multiplier theorem and a L^p -compactness theorem for multipliers (see Theorem 3.3), the sufficient condition imposed is however, different from the Hörmander condition. The L^2 -compactness of multipliers will be characterised in Theorem 3.1.

In this paper we introduce the notion of multilinear pseudo-multipliers, which, in analogy with the definition of multilinear Fourier multipliers, are operators of the form

$$T_m(f_1, \dots, f_{\varkappa}) := \sum_{\nu := (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{\varkappa}) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{\varkappa n}} m(x, \nu) \widehat{f}_1(\phi_{\nu_1}) \cdots \widehat{f}_{\varkappa}(\phi_{\nu_{\varkappa}}) \phi_{\nu_1} \cdots \phi_{\nu_{\varkappa}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (1.19)$$

for all $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_\varkappa \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In this setting, by imposing discrete multilinear Hörmander conditions on the symbol m , of the type

$$\|m\|_{l.u., \mathcal{H}^s} := \sup_{k>0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n} 2^{k(s-\frac{n\varkappa}{2})} \|\langle z \rangle^s \mathcal{F}_H^{-1}[m(x, \cdot) \psi(2^{-k}|\cdot|)](z)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_z^{n\varkappa})} < \infty, \quad (1.20)$$

$$\|m\|_{l.u., H^s} := \sup_{k>0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n} 2^{k(s-\frac{n\varkappa}{2})} \|\langle z \rangle^s \mathcal{F}[m(x, \cdot) \psi(2^{-k}|\cdot|)](z)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_z^{n\varkappa})} < \infty, \quad (1.21)$$

we want to guarantee the boundedness of T_m . Thus, we establish the following multilinear result.

Theorem 1.2. *Let us consider a multilinear pseudo-multiplier T_m defined on $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)^\varkappa$ with symbol satisfying (1.20) or (1.21) for*

$$s > s_{n, \varkappa, p} := \max\left\{\frac{3n\varkappa}{2} + (\varkappa - 1)\gamma_\infty, \frac{3n\varkappa}{2} + \frac{(\varkappa - 1)n}{4}\right\},$$

with γ_∞ , defined as in (2.11). Then the operator

$$T_m : L^{p_1} \times L^{p_2} \times \dots \times L^{p_{\varkappa-1}} \times L^{p_\varkappa} \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (1.22)$$

extends to a bounded multilinear operator provided that $1 \leq p_j \leq \infty$, $1 \leq p \leq 2$, and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_\varkappa}$. If m satisfies the condition (1.20) or (1.21) for

$$s > \max\left\{\frac{3n\varkappa}{2} + \frac{(\varkappa - 1)n}{4}, \frac{3n\varkappa}{2} + \frac{(n-1)(\varkappa-1)}{2} + \gamma_p\right\},$$

with γ_p defined as in (2.11), then (1.22) holds true for all $2 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_\varkappa}$.

Let us note that $\frac{3n\varkappa}{2} + \frac{(\varkappa-1)n}{4}$ and $\frac{3n\varkappa}{2} + \frac{(n-1)(\varkappa-1)}{2} + \gamma_p$ cannot be compared immediately because the sign of γ_p depends on the values of p .

This multilinear theorem for pseudo-multipliers is analogous to ones obtained in the framework of multilinear multipliers. Although the literature for the multilinear analysis is extensive, we refer the reader to [11, 12, 13] and to the seminal work of R. Coifman and Y. Meyer where the multilinear harmonic analysis was originated.

This paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we present the proof of our main theorem. In Section 3 we discuss the compactness properties. Finally, in Section 4 we prove the result mentioned above for multilinear pseudo-multipliers.

2. BOUNDEDNESS OF PSEUDO-MULTIPLIERS ASSOCIATED TO THE HARMONIC OSCILLATOR, HÖRMANDER CONDITION

Throughout this paper the function $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(0, \infty)$ will be supported in $[\frac{1}{2}, 4]$ with $\psi \equiv 1$ on $[1, 2]$. In this section we will use functions in a (locally uniformly) Sobolev space of order $s > 0$, which consists of all functions m on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying

$$\|m\|_{l.u., H^s} := \sup_{k>0, y \in \mathbb{R}^n} 2^{k(s-\frac{n}{2})} \|\langle x \rangle^s \mathcal{F}[m(y, \cdot) \psi(2^{-k}|\cdot|)](x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n)} < \infty, \quad (2.1)$$

in order to establish the L^p -boundedness of Hermite pseudo-multipliers. We have denoted by \mathcal{F} the Fourier transform on \mathbb{R}^n defined by

$$(\mathcal{F}f)(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} f(x) dx. \quad (2.2)$$

Another option that we can use in order to define (local) discrete Sobolev spaces come from the norm

$$\|m\|_{l.u., \mathcal{H}^s} := \sup_{k>0} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} 2^{k(s-\frac{n}{2})} \|\langle x \rangle^s \mathcal{F}_H^{-1}[m(y, \cdot) \psi(2^{-k}|\cdot|)](x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty. \quad (2.3)$$

We recall that the Fourier-Hermite transform \mathcal{F}_H is defined for every $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by the formula

$$(\mathcal{F}_H f)(\nu) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \psi_\nu(x) dx, \quad \nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^n. \quad (2.4)$$

If we denote the inverse Fourier-Hermite transform by \mathcal{F}_H^{-1} which is defined by

$$(\mathcal{F}_H^{-1}u)(x) := \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} u(\nu) \phi_\nu(x), \quad (2.5)$$

where u is a function with compact support on \mathbb{N}_0^n , then the Fourier-Hermite inversion formula is given by

$$f(x) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} (\mathcal{F}_H f)(\nu) \phi_\nu(x). \quad (2.6)$$

Now, a pseudo-multiplier T_m with symbol m has, in terms of the transformation \mathcal{F}_H , the alternative representation

$$T_m f(x) = \mathcal{F}_H^{-1}[m(x, \nu) (\mathcal{F}_H f)](x). \quad (2.7)$$

For properties and basics of the Fourier-Hermite transform and Hermite expansions we refer the reader to Thangavelu [30].

2.1. Hermite functions in L^p spaces. The main tool in the formulation of our results will be estimates of the L^p -norms of Hermite functions. Our starting point is the following lemma for one-dimensional Hermite functions (see Lemma 4.5.2 of Thangavelu [30]).

Lemma 2.1. *Let us denote by ϕ_ν , $\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the Hermite functions. As $\nu \rightarrow \infty$, these functions satisfy the estimates*

- $\|\phi_\nu\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \asymp \nu^{\frac{1}{2p}-\frac{1}{4}}$, $1 \leq p < 4$.
- $\|\phi_\nu\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R})} \asymp \nu^{-\frac{1}{8}} \ln(\nu)$.
- $\|\phi_\nu\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \asymp \nu^{-\frac{1}{6p}-\frac{1}{12}}$, $4 < p \leq \infty$.

Now, we present a lemma on the behaviour of $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ - norms of Hermite functions on \mathbb{R}^n for all $1 \leq p \leq 2$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let ϕ_ν , $\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, be Hermite functions on \mathbb{R}^n . Then for $1 \leq p \leq 2$ we have*

$$\|\phi_\nu\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim |\nu|^{\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2})}. \quad (2.8)$$

Proof. We will use the first equivalence in Lemma 2.1. Every Hermite function on \mathbb{R}^n has the form $\phi_\nu = \phi_{\nu_1} \times \cdots \times \phi_{\nu_n}$ and as a consequence we have

$$\|\phi_\nu\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \prod_j \|\phi_{\nu_j}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}. \quad (2.9)$$

Now, if $1 \leq p \leq 2$ then $\frac{1}{2p} - \frac{1}{4} \geq 0$ and

$$\|\phi_\nu\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \asymp \left(\prod_j |\nu_j| \right)^{\frac{1}{2p} - \frac{1}{4}} \leq \left(\frac{\sum_j |\nu_j|}{n} \right)^{n(\frac{1}{2p} - \frac{1}{4})} \lesssim |\nu|^{\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})}, \quad (2.10)$$

where we have used the inequality $x_1 \times \cdots \times x_n \leq \left(\frac{x_1 + \cdots + x_n}{n}\right)^n$ for $x_i > 0$. \square

We now recall the following sharp lemma on the L^p -norms of Hermite functions for $2 \leq p \leq \infty$ (see H. Koch and D. Tataru [15]).

Lemma 2.3. *Let us consider a Hermite function $\phi = \phi_\nu$ on \mathbb{R}^n which, as an eigenfunction of the harmonic oscillator on \mathbb{R}^n , has the associated eigenvalue $\lambda^2 = (2|\nu| + n)$. Then for $n \geq 2$ we have,*

- if $2 \leq p < \frac{2(n+3)}{n+1}$, then $\|\phi_\nu\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim (2|\nu| + n)^{\frac{1}{2p} - \frac{1}{4}}$,
- if $\frac{2(n+3)}{n+1} < p \leq \frac{2n}{n-2}$, then $\|\phi_\nu\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim (2|\nu| + n)^{-\frac{1}{6} + \frac{n}{6}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})}$,
- if $\frac{2n}{n-2} \leq p \leq \infty$, then $\|\phi_\nu\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim (2|\nu| + n)^{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})}$,

and for $n = 1$,

- if $2 \leq p < 4$, $\|\phi_\nu\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim (2\nu + n)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})}$,
- if $4 < p \leq \infty$, $\|\phi_\nu\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim (2\nu + n)^{-\frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})}$.

It is important to mention that in the previous lemma we denote $\frac{2n}{n-2} = \infty$, when $n = 2$. We adopt this convention in the whole paper. Let us mention that, curiously, the proof of the lemma above is a consequence of some dispersive and Strichartz estimates for the corresponding Schrödinger equation for the harmonic oscillator. In our further analysis, we will need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *Let us assume that $2 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $n \geq 2$. Then, the Hermite functions satisfy the following estimates as $|\nu| \rightarrow \infty$:*

- if $2 \leq p < \frac{2(n+3)}{n+1}$, then

$$\|\phi_\nu\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\phi_\nu\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim |\nu|^{\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})},$$
- if $\frac{2(n+3)}{n+1} < p \leq \frac{2n}{n-2}$, then

$$\|\phi_\nu\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\phi_\nu\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim |\nu|^{-\frac{1}{6} + \frac{2n}{3}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})},$$
- if $\frac{2n}{n-2} \leq p \leq \infty$, then

$$\|\phi_\nu\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\phi_\nu\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim |\nu|^{-\frac{1}{2} + n(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})}.$$

Let us recall that we have denoted $\frac{2n}{n-2} = \infty$ when $n = 2$. For $n = 1$ we have

- if $2 \leq p < 4$,

$$\|\phi_\nu\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \|\phi_\nu\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim 1,$$

- if $4 < p \leq \infty$,

$$\|\phi_\nu\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}\|\phi_\nu\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \nu^{-\frac{1}{6} + \frac{2}{3}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})}.$$

In general:

- for every $\frac{4}{3} < p < 4$ and every n , $\|\phi_\nu\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\phi_\nu\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = O(1)$.

Proof. Except for the last item, the proof is a straightforward computation by replacing p in Lemma 2.2 and the estimates in Lemma 2.3. The last item can be proved by using that $p, p' \in (\frac{4}{3}, 4)$ and the first estimate in Lemma 2.1, in fact

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_\nu\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\phi_\nu\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \prod_j \|\phi_{\nu_j}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}\|\phi_{\nu_j}\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\asymp \prod_j \nu_j^{\frac{1}{2p} - \frac{1}{4}} \nu_j^{\frac{1}{2p'} - \frac{1}{4}} = \prod_j \nu_j^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'}) - \frac{1}{2}} = \prod_j 1 = 1, \end{aligned}$$

completing the proof. \square

Remark 2.5. Because $\|\phi_{\nu_j}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim |\nu_j|^{-\frac{1}{12}}$ when $\nu_j \rightarrow \infty$, we can estimate $\|\phi_\nu\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim |\nu|^{-\frac{1}{12}}$. Indeed, when $|\nu| \rightarrow \infty$, then $\nu_i := \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \nu_j \rightarrow \infty$, and from the inequality $|\nu| \leq n\nu_i$ we obtain $\nu_i^{-\frac{1}{12}} \leq n^{\frac{1}{12}}|\nu|^{-\frac{1}{12}}$ which implies the desired estimate.

2.2. Hörmander condition for pseudo-multipliers on L^p spaces. Now, we analyse the boundedness of pseudo-multipliers with symbols in (locally uniform) Sobolev spaces. We denote by γ_p the exponent that according to Lemma 2.4 satisfies

$$\|\phi_\nu\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\phi_\nu\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim |\nu|^{\gamma_p}. \quad (2.11)$$

Remark 2.6. Since

- $n \geq 2$, $2 \leq p < \frac{2(n+3)}{n+1}$, implies $0 \leq \gamma_p := \frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}) < \frac{n-1}{2(n+3)}$,
- $n \geq 2$, $\frac{2(n+3)}{n+1} < p \leq \frac{2n}{n-2}$, implies $-\frac{1}{6} + \frac{2n}{3(n+3)} \leq \gamma_p := -\frac{1}{6} + \frac{2n}{3}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}) < \frac{1}{2}$,
- $n \geq 2$, $\frac{2n}{n-2} \leq p \leq \infty$, implies $\frac{1}{2} \leq \gamma_p := -\frac{1}{2} + n(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}) \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$,
- $n = 1$, $2 \leq p < 4$, implies $\gamma_p = 0$,
- $n = 1$, $4 < p \leq \infty$, implies $\frac{1}{4} < \gamma_p := \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p} \leq \frac{1}{2}$,

we have that $\gamma_p \geq 0$, for all $2 \leq p \leq \infty$. This lower bound will be useful in our further analysis.

Proposition 2.7. *Let us consider $1 < p < \infty$ and $s > \frac{3n}{2} + \gamma_p - \frac{1}{12}$. If T_m is a pseudo-multiplier with symbol m satisfying*

$$\|m\|_{L.u.\mathcal{H}^s} = \sup_{k>0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n} 2^{k(s-\frac{n}{2})} \|\langle \cdot \rangle^s \mathcal{F}_H^{-1}[m(x, \cdot)\psi(2^{-k}|\cdot|)]\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty, \quad (2.12)$$

then T_m extends to a bounded operator on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover,

$$\|T_m\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C(\|m\|_{L.u.\mathcal{H}^s} + \|m(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}). \quad (2.13)$$

Proof. In order to prove Proposition 2.7 we will decompose the symbol m as

$$m(x, \nu) = m(x, 0) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_k(x, \nu), \quad m_k(x, \nu) := m(x, \nu) \cdot 1_{\{2^k \leq |\nu| < 2^{k+1}\}}. \quad (2.14)$$

Let us denote by $T_{m(j)}$ the pseudo-multiplier associated to m_j , for $j \geq 0$, and by T_0 the operator with symbol $\sigma \equiv m(x, 0)\delta_{\nu,0}$. Then we want to show that the operator series

$$T_0 + \sum_k T_{m(k)} \quad (2.15)$$

converges to T_m in the strong topology on $\mathcal{B}(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and

$$\|T_m\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq \|T_0\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))} + \sum_k \|T_{m(k)}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))}. \quad (2.16)$$

So, we want to estimate every norm $\|T_{m(j)}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))}$. For this, we will use the fact that for $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|T_{m(j)}f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup\{(T_{m(j)}f, g)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} : \|g\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1\}. \quad (2.17)$$

In fact, for f and g as above we have

$$\begin{aligned} (T_{m(k)}f, \bar{g})_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} T_{m(k)}f(x)g(x)dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{2^k \leq |\nu| < 2^{k+1}} m(x, \nu) \widehat{f}(\phi_\nu) \phi_\nu(x) g(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{2^k \leq |\nu| < 2^{k+1}} m(x, \nu) f(y) \phi_\nu(y) \phi_\nu(x) g(x) dy dx. \end{aligned}$$

For every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ let us denote the inverse Fourier-Hermite transform of the sequence $\{m(x, \nu)\psi(2^{-k}|\nu|)\}_\nu$ by $\mathcal{F}_H^{-1}[m(x, \cdot)\psi(2^{-k}|\cdot|)]$. So, we have

$$m_k(x, \nu) = \mathcal{F}_H(\mathcal{F}_H^{-1}[m(x, \cdot)\psi(2^{-k}|\cdot|)])(\nu) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{F}_H^{-1}[m(x, \cdot)\psi(2^{-k}|\cdot|)](z) \phi_\nu(z) dz. \quad (2.18)$$

Consequently, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} (T_{m(k)}f, \bar{g})_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{2^k \leq |\nu| < 2^{k+1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{F}_H^{-1}[m(x, \cdot)\psi(2^{-k}|\cdot|)](z) \phi_\nu(z) dz \\ &\quad \times f(y) \phi_\nu(y) \phi_\nu(x) g(x) dy dx. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |(T_{m(k)}f, \bar{g})_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}| \\
& \leq \sum_{2^k \leq |\nu| < 2^{k+1}} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{F}_H^{-1}[m(x, \cdot)\psi(2^{-k}|\cdot|)](z)| |\phi_\nu(z)| dz \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad \times \|f\|_{L^p} \|g\|_{L^{p'}} \|\phi_\nu\|_{L^p} \|\phi_\nu\|_{L^{p'}} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{2^k \leq |\nu| < 2^{k+1}} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{F}_H^{-1}[m(x, \cdot)\psi(2^{-k}|\cdot|)](z)| |\phi_\nu(z)| dz \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad \times \|f\|_{L^p} \|g\|_{L^{p'}} |\nu|^{\gamma_p}.
\end{aligned}$$

So, we can estimate the operator norm of $T_{m(k)}$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|T_{m(k)}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p)} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{2^k \leq |\nu| < 2^{k+1}} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{F}_H^{-1}[m(x, \cdot)\psi(2^{-k}|\cdot|)](z)| |\phi_\nu(z)| dz |\nu|^{\gamma_p} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{2^k \leq |\nu| < 2^{k+1}} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle z \rangle^{2s} |\mathcal{F}_H^{-1}[m(x, \cdot)\psi(2^{-k}|\cdot|)](z)|^2 dz \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\phi_\nu(\cdot)\langle \cdot \rangle^{-s}\|_{L^2} |\nu|^{\gamma_p}.
\end{aligned}$$

If we denote by θ_∞ some real number satisfying $\|\phi_\nu\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim |\nu|^{\theta_\infty}$, we can estimate

$$\|\phi_\nu(\cdot)\langle \cdot \rangle^{-s}\|_{L^2} \leq \|\phi_\nu\|_{L^\infty} \|\langle \cdot \rangle^{-s}\|_{L^2} \lesssim |\nu|^{\theta_\infty},$$

if we require $s > \frac{n}{2}$. By Remark 2.6, the condition $s > \frac{n}{2}$ holds true because $s > \frac{3n}{2} + \gamma_p - \frac{1}{12} \geq \frac{3n}{2} - \frac{1}{12} > \frac{n}{2}$. Now, if additionally we consider the hypothesis

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle z \rangle^{2s} |\mathcal{F}_H^{-1}[m(x, \cdot)\psi(2^{-k}|\cdot|)](z)|^2 dz \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \|m\|_{l.u.\mathcal{H}^s} \cdot 2^{-k(s-\frac{n}{2})}, \quad (2.19)$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T_{m(k)}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p)} & \lesssim \sum_{2^k \leq |\nu| < 2^{k+1}} \|m\|_{l.u.\mathcal{H}^s} \cdot 2^{-k(s-\frac{n}{2})} |\nu|^{\gamma_p + \theta_\infty} \\
& \lesssim 2^{kn-k(s-\frac{n}{2})+k\gamma_p+k\theta_\infty} \|m\|_{l.u.\mathcal{H}^s} = 2^{-k(s-\frac{3n}{2}-\gamma_p-\theta_\infty)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking into account that

$$\|T_0 f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|m(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

we obtain the boundedness of T_0 on L^p . It is clear that if we want to end the proof, we need to estimate $I := \sum_{k \geq 0} \|T_{m(k)}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))}$. As a consequence we obtain

$$0 \leq I \lesssim \|T_0\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k(s-\frac{3n}{2}-\gamma_p-\theta_\infty)} \|m\|_{l.u.\mathcal{H}^s} < \infty,$$

for $s > \frac{3n}{2} + \gamma_p + \theta_\infty$. So, we have

$$\|T_m\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p)} \leq C(\|m\|_{l.u.\mathcal{H}^s} + \|m(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}).$$

From Remark 2.5 we end the proof because we can take $\theta_\infty = -\frac{1}{12}$. \square

Proposition 2.8. *Let us consider $1 < p < \infty$ and $s > \frac{3n}{2} + \gamma_p$. If $m : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a function, and T_m is a pseudo-multiplier with symbol $\{m(x, \nu)\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^n}$ satisfying*

$$\|m\|_{l.u.H^s} = \sup_{k>0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n} 2^{k(s-\frac{n}{2})} \|\langle \cdot \rangle^s \mathcal{F}[m(x, \cdot) \psi(2^{-k}|\cdot|)]\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty, \quad (2.20)$$

then T_m extends to a bounded operator on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover,

$$\|T_m\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p)} \leq C(\|m\|_{l.u.H^s} + \|m(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}). \quad (2.21)$$

Proof. By following the notation in the proof of Proposition 2.7 we have

$$(T_{m^{(k)}}f, \bar{g})_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{2^k \leq |\nu| < 2^{k+1}} m(x, \nu) f(y) \phi_\nu(y) \phi_\nu(x) g(x) dy dx.$$

For every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ let us write

$$m_k(x, \nu) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}[m(x, \cdot) \psi(2^{-k}|\cdot|)])(\nu) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{F}[m(x, \cdot) \psi(2^{-k}|\cdot|)](z) e^{2\pi i \nu \cdot z} dz. \quad (2.22)$$

So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |(T_{m^{(k)}}f, \bar{g})_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}| \\ & \leq \sum_{2^k \leq |\nu| < 2^{k+1}} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{F}[m(x, \cdot) \psi(2^{-k}|\cdot|)](z)| dz \\ & \quad \times \|f\|_{L^p} \|g\|_{L^{p'}} \|\phi_\nu\|_{L^p} \|\phi_\nu\|_{L^{p'}} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{2^k \leq |\nu| < 2^{k+1}} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{F}[m(x, \cdot) \psi(2^{-k}|\cdot|)](z)| dz \\ & \quad \times \|f\|_{L^p} \|g\|_{L^{p'}} |\nu|^{\gamma_p}. \end{aligned}$$

So, we can estimate the operator norm of $T_{m^{(k)}}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T_{m^{(k)}}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p)} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{2^k \leq |\nu| < 2^{k+1}} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{F}[m(x, \cdot) \psi(2^{-k}|\cdot|)](z)| dz |\nu|^{\gamma_p} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{2^k \leq |\nu| < 2^{k+1}} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle z \rangle^{2s} |\mathcal{F}[m(x, \cdot) \psi(2^{-k}|\cdot|)](z)|^2 dz \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\langle \cdot \rangle^{-s}\|_{L^2} |\nu|^{\gamma_p}. \end{aligned}$$

By Remark 2.6 we have $s > \frac{n}{2}$, together with the estimate $\|\langle \cdot \rangle^{-s}\|_{L^2} < \infty$, and by the hypothesis

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle z \rangle^{2s} |\mathcal{F}[m(x, \cdot) \psi(2^{-k}|\cdot|)](z)|^2 dz \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \|m\|_{l.u.H^s} \cdot 2^{-k(s-\frac{n}{2})}, \quad (2.23)$$

we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{m(k)}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p)} &\lesssim \sum_{2^k \leq |\nu| < 2^{k+1}} \|m\|_{l.u.H^s} \cdot 2^{-k(s-\frac{n}{2})} |\nu|^{\gamma_p} \\ &\asymp 2^{kn-k(s-\frac{n}{2})+k\gamma_p} = 2^{-k(s-\frac{3n}{2}-\gamma_p)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\|T_0 f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|m(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

we have the boundedness of T_0 on L^p . It is clear that if we want to end the proof, we need to estimate $I := \sum_{k \geq 0} \|T_{m(k)}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))}$. As a consequence we obtain

$$0 < I \lesssim \|T_0\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k(s-\frac{3n}{2}-\gamma_p)} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|m\|_{l.u., H^s} < \infty,$$

for $s > \frac{3n}{2} + \gamma_p$. So, we have

$$\|T_m\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p)} \leq C(\|m(x, \cdot)\|_{l.u., H^s} + \|m(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}).$$

The proof is complete. \square

Now, we record explicitly the degree of regularity s considered in the propositions above.

Theorem 2.9. *Let us assume $2 \leq p < \infty$. If T_m is a pseudo-multiplier with symbol m satisfying (2.20), then under one of the following conditions,*

- $n \geq 2$, $2 \leq p < \frac{2(n+3)}{n+1}$, and $s > s_{n,p} := \frac{3n}{2} + \frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})$,
- $n \geq 2$, $p = \frac{2(n+3)}{n+1}$, and $s > s_{n,p} := \frac{3n}{2} + \frac{n-1}{2(n+3)}$,
- $n \geq 2$, $\frac{2(n+3)}{n+1} < p \leq \frac{2n}{n-2}$, and $s > s_{n,p} := \frac{3n}{2} - \frac{1}{6} + \frac{2n}{3}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})$,
- $n \geq 2$, $\frac{2n}{n-2} \leq p < \infty$, and $s > s_{n,p} := \frac{3n-1}{2} + n(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})$,
- $n = 1$, $2 \leq p < 4$, $s > s_{1,p} := \frac{3}{2}$,
- $n = 1$, $p = 4$, $s > s_{1,4} := 2$,
- $n = 1$, $4 < p < \infty$, $s > s_{1,p} := \frac{4}{3} + \frac{2}{3}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})$,

the operator T_m extends to a bounded operator on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For $1 < p \leq 2$, under one of the following conditions

- $n \geq 2$, $\frac{2(n+3)}{n+5} \leq p \leq 2$, and $s > s_{n,p} := \frac{3n}{2} + \frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})$,
- $n \geq 2$, $\frac{2n}{n+2} \leq p \leq \frac{2(n+3)}{n+5}$, and $s > s_{n,p} := \frac{3n}{2} - \frac{1}{6} + \frac{2n}{3}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})$,
- $n \geq 2$, $1 < p \leq \frac{2n}{n+2}$, and $s > s_{n,p} := \frac{3n-1}{2} + n(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})$,
- $n = 1$, $\frac{4}{3} \leq p < 2$, $s > s_{1,p} := \frac{3}{2}$,
- $n = 1$, $1 < p < \frac{4}{3}$, $s > s_{1,p} := \frac{4}{3} + \frac{2}{3}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})$,

the operator T_m is L^p -bounded. Moreover, in general:

- for every $\frac{4}{3} < p < 4$ and every n , the condition $s > \frac{3n}{2}$ implies the L^p -boundedness of T_m .

If the symbol m of the pseudo-multiplier T_m satisfies the Hörmander condition (2.12), in order to guarantee the L^p -boundedness of T_m , in every case above we can take $s > s_{n,p} - \frac{1}{12}$. However, $s > \frac{3n}{2} - \frac{1}{12}$ implies the L^p -boundedness of T_m for all $\frac{4}{3} < p < 4$.

Proof. In view of the Propositions 2.7 and 2.8 and considering the following values for γ_p : (according to Lemma 2.4),

- $\gamma_p = \frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})$, if $n \geq 2$, $2 \leq p < \frac{2(n+3)}{n+1}$,
- $\gamma_p = -\frac{1}{6} + \frac{2n}{3}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})$, if $n \geq 2$, $\frac{2(n+3)}{n+1} < p \leq \frac{2n}{n-2}$,
- $\gamma_p = -\frac{1}{2} + n(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})$, if $n \geq 2$, $\frac{2n}{n-2} \leq p < \infty$,
- $\gamma_p = 0$, if $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\frac{4}{3} < p < 4$,
- $\gamma_p = -\frac{1}{6} + \frac{2}{3}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})$, if $n = 1$, $4 < p < \infty$,

the proof ends if we take into account that $\gamma_p = \gamma_{p'}$ and

- $n \geq 2$, $\frac{2(n+3)}{n+5} \leq p \leq 2 \Rightarrow 2 \leq p' < \frac{2(n+3)}{n+1}$,
- $n \geq 2$, $\frac{2(n+3)}{n+1} < p \leq \frac{2n}{n-2} \Rightarrow \frac{2n}{n+2} \leq p' \leq \frac{2(n+3)}{n+5}$,
- $n \geq 2$, $\frac{2n}{n-2} \leq p < \infty \Rightarrow 1 < p' \leq \frac{2n}{n+2}$,
- $\frac{4}{3} \leq p \leq 2 \Rightarrow 2 \leq p' \leq 4$,
- $1 < p < \frac{4}{3} \Rightarrow 4 < p' < \infty$,
- $\frac{4}{3} < p < 4 \Leftrightarrow \frac{4}{3} < p' < 4$.

The proof is complete. \square

Remark 2.10. Let us note that for $n \geq 2$ and $p = \frac{2(n+3)}{n+1}$, the condition $s > s_{n,p} = \frac{3n}{2} + \frac{n-1}{2(n+3)}$, implies the boundedness of a pseudo-multiplier T_m on $L^{\frac{2(n+3)}{n+1}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ provided that m satisfies (2.20). Indeed, from Remark 2.6, if $2 \leq r < \frac{2(n+3)}{n+1} < \omega \leq \frac{2n}{n-2}$, then

$$\lim_{r \nearrow \frac{2(n+3)}{n+1}} \gamma_r = \lim_{\omega \searrow \frac{2(n+3)}{n+1}} \gamma_\omega = \frac{n-1}{2(n+3)}. \quad (2.24)$$

From the real interpolation we obtain $\gamma_{\frac{2(n+3)}{n+1}} = \frac{n-1}{2(n+3)}$. So, by Proposition 2.8 the condition $s > s_{n,p} = \frac{3n}{2} + \frac{n-1}{2(n+3)}$ implies the $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -boundedness of T_m when $p = \frac{2(n+3)}{n+1}$. Now, if $n = 1$, a similar analysis shows that $\gamma_4 < \frac{1}{2}$ and the condition $s > s_{1,4} = 2$ implies the boundedness of T_m on $L^4(\mathbb{R}^n)$. So, this remark and Theorem 2.9 proves Theorem 1.1.

In the following proposition we exhibit a class of symbols providing L^p -pseudo-multipliers.

Proposition 2.11. *Let us consider a complex-valued function m on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and a pseudo-multiplier T_m with symbol $\{m(x, \nu)\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^n}$. If m satisfies the symbol inequalities*

$$|\partial_\xi^\alpha m(x, \xi)| \leq C_\alpha (1 + |\xi|)^{-|\alpha|}, \quad |\alpha| \leq \rho, \quad (2.25)$$

for $\rho = [3n/2] + 1$, then T_m extends to a bounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, for $\rho = 2n + 1$ we have the $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -boundedness of T_m for all $1 < p < \infty$.

Proof. For the proof, we will use that the Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ defined by those functions g satisfying $\|g\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \|\langle z \rangle^s (\mathcal{F}g)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty$, has the equivalent norm

$$\|g\|'_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \sum_{|\beta| \leq s} \|\partial_\xi^\beta g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad (2.26)$$

when s is an integer (see, e.g. [9], p. 163). We will show that

$$\sup_{k>0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n} 2^{k(\rho - \frac{n}{2})} \|m(x, \cdot) \psi(2^{-k}|\cdot|)\|_{H^\rho} = \sup_{k>0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|m(x, 2^k \cdot) \psi(|\cdot|)\|_{H^\rho} < \infty, \quad (2.27)$$

provided that ρ is an integer. From the estimate

$$\|m(x, 2^k \cdot) \psi(|\cdot|)\|_{H^\rho} \asymp \|m(x, 2^k \cdot) \psi(|\cdot|)\|'_{H^\rho} = \sum_{|\beta| \leq \rho} \|\partial_\xi^\beta (m(x, 2^k \cdot) \psi(|\cdot|))\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad (2.28)$$

we will estimate the L^2 -norms of the derivatives $\partial_\xi^\beta (m(x, 2^k \cdot) \psi(|\cdot|))(\xi)$. Because the function ψ is supported in some closed interval not containing the origin, the function $\psi(|\cdot|)$ is smooth. By the Leibniz rule we have

$$\partial_\xi^\beta (m(x, 2^k \xi) \psi(|\xi|)) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq |\beta|} 2^{k|\alpha|} (\partial_\xi^\alpha m)(x, 2^k \xi) \partial_\xi^{\beta-\alpha} \psi(|\xi|).$$

So, we obtain

$$\|\partial_\xi^\beta (m(x, 2^k \cdot) \psi(|\cdot|))\|_{L^2} \leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \rho} C_\alpha \|\partial_\xi^{\beta-\alpha} \psi(|\cdot|)\|_{L^2}, \quad (2.29)$$

where we have used that (2.25) implies the estimate $|2^{k|\alpha|} (\partial_\xi^\alpha m)(x, 2^k \cdot)| \leq C_\alpha$, for k large enough. Now, (2.27) follows by summing both sides of (2.29) over $|\beta| \leq \rho$. We finish the proof by observing that every $s_{n,p}$ defined in Theorem 2.9, satisfies the upper bound $s_{n,p} \leq 2n$, and we can obtain the L^p -boundedness of T_m by taking $\rho > s_{n,p}$ with $\rho = 2n + 1$. A similar analysis shows that $\rho = [3n/2] + 1$ implies the L^2 -boundedness of T_m . \square

Corollary 2.12. *Let us consider a complex-valued function m on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n$ and a pseudo-multiplier T_m with symbol $\{m(x, \nu)\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^n}$. If m satisfies the discrete difference conditions*

$$|\Delta_\nu^\alpha m(x, \nu)| \leq C_\alpha (1 + |\nu|)^{-|\alpha|}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, |\alpha| \leq \rho, \quad (2.30)$$

for $\rho = [3n/2] + 1$, then T_m extends to a bounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, for $\rho = 2n + 1$ we have the $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -boundedness of T_m for all $1 < p < \infty$.

Proof. Let us define for every $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the function m_{z_0} given by $m_{z_0}(\nu) = m(z_0, \nu)$. Then we have the estimates

$$|\Delta_\nu^\alpha m_{z_0}(\nu)| \leq C_\alpha (1 + |\nu|)^{-|\alpha|}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, |\alpha| \leq \rho. \quad (2.31)$$

From Corollary 4.5.7 of [22], there exists a suitable function \tilde{m}_{z_0} defined on \mathbb{R}^n such that $\tilde{m}_{z_0}|_{\mathbb{Z}^n} = m_{z_0}$ and additionally satisfying the conditions,

$$|\partial_\xi^\alpha \tilde{m}_{z_0}(\xi)| \leq C_\alpha (1 + |\xi|)^{-|\alpha|}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, |\alpha| \leq \rho. \quad (2.32)$$

The function \tilde{m} defined by $\tilde{m}(z_0, \xi) := \tilde{m}_{z_0}(\xi)$ satisfies (2.25), and by Proposition 2.11 we obtain the L^2 -boundedness of the pseudo-multiplier $T_{\tilde{m}}$ with symbol $\{\tilde{m}(x, \nu)\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^n}$, if $\rho = [3n/2] + 1$ (or the L^p -boundedness, for all $1 < p < \infty$ if $\rho = 2n + 1$). We finish the proof by observing that $T_{\tilde{m}} = T_m$ in view of the equality sets $\{\tilde{m}(x, \nu)\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} = \{m(x, \nu)\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^n}$. \square

2.3. (L^p, L^q)-boundedness of spectral pseudo-multipliers. Let us assume $n \in \mathbb{N}$, arbitrary but fixed. Let us define the set $2\mathbb{N}_0 + n := \{2m + n : m \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$. We will consider continuous functions $m(x, \xi)$ defined on $\mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_\xi^n$ and we will denote by $m(x, \ell)$ the restriction of $m(x, \xi)$ to the set $\mathbb{R}^n \times (2\mathbb{N}_0 + n)$, so that $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\ell \in 2\mathbb{N}_0 + n$. If we set $\mathcal{F} : L^2(-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow L^2(-\infty, \infty)$ for the one-dimensional Fourier transform, we will consider symbols $m(x, \ell) := m(x, \xi)|_{\mathbb{R}^n \times (2\mathbb{N}_0 + n)}$ satisfying the, so called, Hörmander condition of order $s > 0$,

$$\|m\|_{l.u., h^s} := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, k > 0} 2^{k(s - \frac{n}{2})} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle t \rangle^{2s} |\mathcal{F}[m(x, \cdot) \psi(2^{-k}|\cdot|)](t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty, \quad (2.33)$$

where the function $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(0, \infty)$ satisfies $\psi(t) = 1$ for all $t \in [1, 2]$. With the previous notation we want to investigate the Hörmander condition for pseudo-multipliers of the form

$$m(x, H)f(x) := \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} m(x, \ell) P_\ell f(x), \quad (2.34)$$

where we have denoted by P_ℓ the orthogonal projection to the subspace generated by the set $\{\phi_\nu : |\nu| = \ell\}$. For symbols $m(x, \ell) = m(\ell)$ depending only on the ℓ variables we have used in (1.6) the term *radial symbols*. If it depends on x we can talk about them as spectral pseudo-multipliers. In the next theorem, we prove that for symbols satisfying the Hörmander condition of order s , for s suitable, the corresponding spectral pseudo-multipliers are bounded operators from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ when $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p} = 1$. We will denote $\delta(p) := n|\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}| - \frac{1}{2}$ and $q = p'$.

Theorem 2.13. *Let us consider a function m satisfying (2.33). Let $m(x, H)$ be a spectral pseudo-multiplier with symbol $\{m(x, \ell)\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ell \in 2\mathbb{N}_0 + n}$. Under one of the following conditions*

- $n \geq 2$, $1 \leq p \leq \frac{2n}{n+2}$ and $s > \frac{n+1}{2} + \delta(p)$,
- $n \geq 2$, $\frac{2n}{n+2} < p \leq 2$ and $s > \frac{3n}{2}$,
- $n = 1$, $\frac{4}{3} < p \leq 2$, $s > 2 - \frac{1}{p}$,
- $n = 1$, $p = \frac{4}{3}$, $s > \frac{3}{2}$,
- $n = 1$, $1 < p < \frac{4}{3}$, $s > 1 + \frac{1}{3p}$,

the operator $m(x, H)$ extends to a bounded operator from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. In order to prove Theorem 2.13 we will split the symbol m as

$$m(x, \ell) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_k(x, \ell), \quad m_k(x, \ell) := m(x, \ell) \cdot 1_{\{2^k \leq \ell < 2^{k+1}\}}. \quad (2.35)$$

Let us denote by $T_{m(j)}$ the pseudo-multiplier associated to m_j , for $j \geq 0$. Then the operator series

$$T_0 + \sum_k T_{m(k)} \quad (2.36)$$

converges to T_m in the strong topology on $\mathcal{B}(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and

$$\|m(x, H)\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq \sum_k \|T_{m(k)}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n))}. \quad (2.37)$$

So, we want to estimate every norm $\|T_{m(j)}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n))}$. For this, we will use the fact that for $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|T_{m(j)}f\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup\{(T_{m(j)}f, g)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} : \|g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1\}. \quad (2.38)$$

In fact, for f and g as above we have

$$\begin{aligned} (T_{m(k)}f, \bar{g})_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} T_{m(k)}f(x)g(x)dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{2^k \leq \ell < 2^{k+1}} m(x, \ell) P_\ell f(x)g(x)dx \\ &= \sum_{2^k \leq \ell < 2^{k+1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} m(x, \ell) P_\ell f(x)g(x)dx. \end{aligned}$$

For every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ let us denote the one-dimensional Fourier transform of $m(x, \cdot)\psi(2^{-k}|\cdot|)$ by $\mathcal{F}[m(x, \cdot)\psi(2^{-k}|\cdot|)]$. So, we have

$$m_k(x, \ell) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}[m(x, \cdot)\psi(2^{-k}|\cdot|)])(\ell) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}[m(x, \cdot)\psi(2^{-k}|\cdot|)](t)e^{i2\pi\ell t} dt. \quad (2.39)$$

Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|(T_{m(k)}f, \bar{g})_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}| \\ &\leq \sum_{2^k \leq \ell < 2^{k+1}} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathcal{F}[m(x, \cdot)\psi(2^{-k}|\cdot|)](t)| dt \\ &\quad \times \|P_\ell f\|_{L^{p'}} \|g\|_{L^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let us fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$. By taking into account the Karadzhov's estimate (see Thangavelu [31], p. 268)

$$\|P_\ell f\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_p \ell^{\delta(p) - \frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{L^p}, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \frac{2n}{n+2}, \quad n \geq 2, \quad (2.40)$$

we can estimate the operator norm of $T_{m(k)}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T_{m(k)}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p, L^{p'})} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{2^k \leq \ell < 2^{k+1}} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathcal{F}[m(x, \cdot)\psi(2^{-k}|\cdot|)](t)| dt \ell^{\delta(p)-\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{2^k \leq \ell < 2^{k+1}} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle t \rangle^{2s} |\mathcal{F}[m(x, \cdot)\psi(2^{-k}|\cdot|)](t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\langle \cdot \rangle^{-s}\|_{L^2(-\infty, \infty)} \ell^{\delta(p)-\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

if we impose $s > \frac{1}{2}$. If additionally we consider the hypothesis (2.33), that is,

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle t \rangle^{2s} |\mathcal{F}[m(x, \cdot)\psi(2^{-k}|\cdot|)](t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \|m\|_{l.u., h^s} \cdot 2^{-k(s-\frac{n}{2})}, \quad (2.41)$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{m(k)}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p, L^{p'})} & \lesssim \sum_{2^k \leq \ell < 2^{k+1}} \|m\|_{l.u., h^s} \cdot 2^{-k(s-\frac{n}{2})+k(\delta(p)-\frac{1}{2})} \\ & \asymp 2^{k-k(s-\frac{n}{2})+k(\delta(p)-\frac{1}{2})} \|m\|_{l.u., h^s} = 2^{-k(s-\frac{n+1}{2}-\delta(p))} \|m\|_{l.u., h^s}. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence we obtain

$$0 \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|T_{m(k)}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p, L^{p'})} \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k(s-\frac{n+1}{2}-\delta(p))} \|m\|_{l.u., h^s} < \infty,$$

for $s > \frac{n+1}{2} + \delta(p)$. So, we have

$$\|m(x, H)\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p, L^{p'})} \leq C \|m\|_{l.u., h^s}, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \frac{2n}{n+2}.$$

Let us note that $\delta(\frac{2n}{n+2}) = \frac{1}{2}$, and consequently $T_m : L^{\frac{2n}{n+2}} \rightarrow L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}$ extends to a bounded operator provided that $s > \frac{n+2}{2}$. If we assume $s > \frac{3n}{2}$ then from Theorem 2.9 we obtain the L^2 -boundedness of $m(x, H)$. Thus, by the real interpolation we obtain the boundedness of $m(x, H)$ from L^p into $L^{p'}$ for $\frac{2n}{n+2} \leq p \leq 2$ provided that $s > \frac{3n}{2}$.

Now, if $n = 1$, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we have for every $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the estimate,

$$\|P_\ell f\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R})} = \|(f, \phi_\ell)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \phi_\ell\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R})} \leq \|\phi_\ell\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R})}^2 \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}. \quad (2.42)$$

By Thangavelu's Lemma 2.1, we can estimate the $L^{p'}(\mathbb{R})$ -norm of the function $P_\ell f$ as follows:

$$\|P_\ell f\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \ell^{2(\frac{1}{2p'}-\frac{1}{4})} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} = \ell^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}, \quad \frac{4}{3} < p \leq 2, \quad (2.43)$$

$$\|P_\ell f\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \ell^{2(-\frac{1}{6p'}-\frac{1}{12})} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} = \ell^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3p}} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}, \quad 1 < p < \frac{4}{3}. \quad (2.44)$$

Recalling that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned} & |(T_{m(k)}f, \bar{g})_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}| \\ & \leq \sum_{2^k \leq \ell < 2^{k+1}} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathcal{F}[m(x, \cdot)\psi(2^{-k}|\cdot|)](t)| dt \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \times \|P_\ell f\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain, with $n = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{m(k)}f\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} & \leq \sum_{2^k \leq \ell < 2^{k+1}} 2^{-k(s-\frac{n}{2})} \|m\|_{l.u., h^s} \|P_\ell f\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \lesssim 2^{-k(-1+s-\frac{n}{2})} \|m\|_{l.u., h^s} \|P_\ell f\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (2.43) and the estimate above we have,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \|m(x, H)\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p, L^{p'})} & \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|T_{m(k)}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p, L^{p'})} \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k(-1+s-\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{p})} \|m\|_{l.u., h^s} \\ & = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k(s-2+\frac{1}{p})} \|m\|_{l.u., h^s} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

for $s > 2 - \frac{1}{p}$, when $\frac{4}{3} < p \leq 2$, and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \|m(x, H)\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p, L^{p'})} & \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|T_{m(k)}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p, L^{p'})} \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k(-1+s-\frac{n}{2}+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{3p})} \|m\|_{l.u., h^s} \\ & = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k(s-1-\frac{1}{3p})} \|m\|_{l.u., h^s} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

for $s > 1 + \frac{1}{3p}$, and $1 < p < \frac{4}{3}$, in view of (2.44). The $(L^{\frac{4}{3}}, L^4)$ -boundedness of $m(x, H)$ now follows by the real interpolation for $s > \frac{3}{2}$. In fact, if we fix $s > \frac{3}{2}$ there exists $p_1 > \frac{4}{3}$ satisfying $s > 2 - \frac{1}{p_1} > \frac{3}{2}$. We also have the existence of $p_0 > 0$, $1 < p_0 < \frac{4}{3}$ such that $s > \frac{3}{2} > 1 + \frac{1}{3p_0}$. Thus, $m(x, H)$ admits bounded extensions from $L^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $L^{p'_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and from $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $L^{p'_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ respectively. By the inequality $p_0 < \frac{4}{3} < p_1$ and the real interpolation we deduce that $m(x, H)$ has a bounded extension from $L^{\frac{4}{3}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $L^4(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus, we have completed the proof. \square

Now, by the real interpolation we give the following general (L^p, L^q) boundedness theorem.

Theorem 2.14. *Let us consider a function $m = m(x, \ell)$ satisfying (2.33). Let $m(x, H)$ be a spectral pseudo-multiplier with symbol $\{m(x, \ell)\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ell \in 2\mathbb{N}_0 + n}$. Under one of the following conditions*

- $n \geq 2$, $1 < p \leq \frac{2n}{n+2}$ and $s > \frac{3n-1}{2} + n(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})$,
- $n \geq 2$, $\frac{2n}{n+2} < p \leq 2$ and $s > \frac{3n}{2}$,
- $n = 1$, $\frac{4}{3} \leq p < 2$, $s > \frac{3}{2}$,

- $n = 1, 1 < p < \frac{4}{3}, s > 1 + \frac{1}{3p},$

the operator $m(x, H)$ extends to a bounded operator from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for all $p \leq q \leq p'$.

Proof. Let us observe that $\delta(\frac{2n}{n+2}) = \frac{1}{2} \leq \delta(p) \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$ for $1 \leq p \leq \frac{2n}{n+2}$ and that $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p} \leq 0$ for $1 < p \leq 2$. With these inequalities in mind, from Theorems 2.9 and 2.13, under one of the following conditions

- $n \geq 2, 1 < p \leq \frac{2n}{n+2},$

$$s > \frac{3n-1}{2} + n\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right) = \max\left\{\frac{n+1}{2} + \delta(p), \frac{3n-1}{2} + n\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right)\right\},$$

- $n \geq 2, \frac{2(n+3)}{n+5} \leq p \leq 2,$

$$s > \frac{3n}{2} = \max\left\{\frac{3n}{2}, \frac{3n}{2} + \frac{n-1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right)\right\},$$

- $n \geq 2, \frac{2n}{n+2} \leq p \leq \frac{2(n+3)}{n+5},$

$$s > \frac{3n}{2} = \max\left\{\frac{3n}{2}, \frac{3n}{2} - \frac{1}{6} + \frac{2n}{3}\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right)\right\},$$

- $n = 1, \frac{4}{3} \leq p < 2,$

$$s > \frac{3}{2} = \max\left\{\frac{3}{2}, 2 - \frac{1}{p}\right\},$$

- $n = 1, 1 < p < \frac{4}{3},$

$$s > 1 + \frac{1}{3p} = \max\left\{1 + \frac{1}{3p}, \frac{4}{3} + \frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right)\right\},$$

the spectral pseudo-multiplier $m(x, H)$ extends to a bounded operator from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and also we have its boundedness from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. So, by the Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem we deduce the boundedness of $m(x, H)$ from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $p \leq q \leq p'$. So, we finish the proof. \square

2.4. Lower bounds for the operator norm of multipliers on L^p spaces.

Now, we estimate from below the operator norm of multipliers associated to the harmonic oscillator.

Theorem 2.15. *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Let us assume that T_m is a multiplier associated to the harmonic oscillator. If T_m is a bounded operator on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then we have the following lower bound for the L^p -operator norm of T_m ,*

$$\|T_m\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))} \geq \sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}^n} |m(\nu)|.$$

Proof. For the proof we can take advantage of the orthogonality properties of the Hermite functions $\phi_\nu, \nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$. By definition we have

$$T_m(\phi_\nu) = m(\nu)\phi_\nu. \tag{2.45}$$

As consequence we obtain

$$\|T_m\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))} \geq \|T_m(\frac{\phi_\nu}{\|\phi_\nu\|_{L^p}})\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = |m(\nu)|.$$

Thus, we end the proof. \square

3. COMPACTNESS OF PSEUDO-MULTIPLIERS

3.1. L^2 -compactness of multipliers. Now, we use the Fourier analysis produced by the harmonic oscillator in order to characterise the L^2 -compactness of multipliers. The following is an analogue of a criterion very well known in other settings.

Theorem 3.1. *Let us assume that T_m is a bounded multiplier on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, T_m is a compact operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if $\lim_{|\nu| \rightarrow \infty} m(\nu) = 0$.*

Proof. In order to prove the theorem, let us first assume that T_m is an L^2 -compact operator. If $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, by the Plancherel theorem we have

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} |(f, \phi_\nu)_{L^2}|^2. \quad (3.1)$$

Consequently, we have $(f, \phi_\nu) \rightarrow 0$ as $|\nu| \rightarrow \infty$. So, we conclude that in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the sequence $\{\phi_\nu\}_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^n}$ converges weakly to zero. By the compactness of T_m the sequence $\{T(\phi_\nu)\}_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^n}$ converges to zero in the L^2 -norm. So,

$$\lim_{|\nu| \rightarrow \infty} \|T_m \phi_\nu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \lim_{|\nu| \rightarrow \infty} |m(\nu)| = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

For the proof of the converse assertion, let us assume that the sequence $\{m(\nu)\}_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^n}$ tends to zero as $|\nu| \rightarrow \infty$. In order to show that T_m is compact, we will approximate it with operators of finite rank. So, let us define the sequence of finite rank operators $T_{m(k)}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, by

$$T_{m(k)} f := \sum_{|\nu| \leq k} m(\nu) \widehat{f}(\phi_\nu) \phi_\nu. \quad (3.3)$$

By the orthogonality of the Hermite functions, we have

$$\|T_{m(k)} f - T_m f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 = \sum_{|\nu| \geq k} |m(\nu)|^2 |(f, \phi_\nu)_{L^2}|^2 \leq \sup_{|\nu| \geq k} |m(\nu)|^2 \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2. \quad (3.4)$$

So, we obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|T_{m(k)} - T_m\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2)} \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|\nu| \geq k} |m(\nu)| = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

With the last line we finish the proof. \square

3.2. L^p -compactness and L^p -boundedness for multipliers via Littlewood-Paley theory. In the preceding subsection we have characterised the compactness on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of multipliers with the Plancherel theorem as a fundamental tool. In order to investigate the L^p -compactness of multipliers for $1 < p < \infty$, but $p \neq 2$, we will use the Littlewood-Paley theorem (which is a partial substitute of the Plancherel theorem on L^p -spaces) associated to dyadic decompositions of the spectrum of the harmonic oscillator. The main notion in the Littlewood-Paley theory is the concept of a dyadic decomposition. Here, the sequence $\{\psi_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is a dyadic decomposition, defined as follows: we choose a function $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(0, \infty)$ supported in $[1/2, 1]$, $\psi = 1$ on $[2/3, 4/5]$. Denote by ψ_l the function $\psi_l(t) = \psi(2^{-l}t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. For some smooth compactly supported function ψ_0 we have

$$\sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0} \psi_l(\lambda) = 1, \quad \text{for every } \lambda > 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Now we present the Littlewood-Paley Theorem in the form of the following result (see Theorems 7.1 and 7.3 of [4] and Proposition 5 of [18]).

Theorem 3.2. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and for every $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let us consider the multipliers T_{ψ_l} given by*

$$T_{\psi_l} f(x) := \sum_{2^l \leq \langle \nu \rangle < 2^{l+1}} \psi_l(\langle \nu \rangle) \phi_\nu(x) \widehat{f}(\phi_\nu), \quad \langle \nu \rangle := (1 + |\nu|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.7)$$

Then there exist constants $0 < c_p, C_p < \infty$ depending only on p such that

$$c_p \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \left\| \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} |T_{\psi_l} f(x)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_p \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad (3.8)$$

holds for all $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The following L^p multiplier theorem provides sufficient conditions for the L^p -boundedness of multipliers (different from the Hörmander-Mihlin condition) and their L^p -compactness.

Theorem 3.3. *Let us assume that T_m is a multiplier and let $1 < p < \infty$. Let us assume that there exists a sequence $\{\nu_l\}$ satisfying: $2^l \leq |\nu_l| < 2^{l+1}$, $m(\nu_l) \neq 0$ for every $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and*

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(\nu'_l)}{m(\nu_l)} = K \neq 0, \quad (3.9)$$

for every sequence $\{\nu'_l\}$ where $2^l \leq |\nu'_l| < 2^{l+1}$ (the constant K depends on the sequences ν_l and ν'_l). Then,

- *if $\|m\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{N}_0^n)} < \infty$, then the operator T_m extends to a bounded operator on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and*

$$\|T_m\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C \|m\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{N}_0^n)}. \quad (3.10)$$

- *if $|m(\nu)| \rightarrow 0$ as $|\nu| \rightarrow \infty$, then the operator T_m extends to a compact operator on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof. Let us assume that $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 < p < \infty$. By the Littlewood-Paley Theorem (see Theorem 3.2 above) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_m f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\lesssim \left\| \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} |T_{\psi_l} T_m f(x)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &= \left\| \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{2^l \leq \langle \nu \rangle < 2^{l+1}} \psi_l(\langle \nu \rangle) m(\nu) \phi_\nu(x) \widehat{f}(\phi_\nu) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account both, that m is bounded and the condition (3.9) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{2^l \leq \langle \nu \rangle < 2^{l+1}} \psi_l(\langle \nu \rangle) m(\nu) \phi_\nu(x) \widehat{f}(\phi_\nu) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &= \left\| \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} |m(\nu_l)|^2 \left| \sum_{2^l \leq \langle \nu \rangle < 2^{l+1}} \psi_l(\langle \nu \rangle) \frac{m(\nu)}{m(\nu_l)} \phi_\nu(x) \widehat{f}(\phi_\nu) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq \|m\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{N}_0^n)} \left\| \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{2^l \leq \langle \nu \rangle < 2^{l+1}} \psi_l(\langle \nu \rangle) \frac{m(\nu)}{m(\nu_l)} \phi_\nu(x) \widehat{f}(\phi_\nu) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\asymp \|m\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{N}_0^n)} \left\| \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{2^l \leq \langle \nu \rangle < 2^{l+1}} \psi_l(\langle \nu \rangle) \phi_\nu(x) \widehat{f}(\phi_\nu) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim \|m\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{N}_0^n)} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we have used the Littlewood-Paley Theorem 3.2 again. So, we have proved the first part of the theorem. Now, if in addition $|m(\nu)| \rightarrow 0$ as $|\nu| \rightarrow \infty$, we will prove that T_m can be approximated by rank finite operators and consequently we obtain the compactness of T_m . Let us define for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the operator,

$$T_{m(k)} f := \sum_{\langle \nu \rangle \leq k} m(\nu) \widehat{f}(\phi_\nu) \phi_\nu. \quad (3.11)$$

A similar argument as in the proof of the first assertion shows us that the estimate

$$\|T_m f - T_{m(k)} f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \sup_{\langle \nu \rangle \geq 2^k} |m(\nu)| \|f\|_{L^p}, \quad (3.12)$$

holds true. Consequently we have the norm estimates

$$\|T_m - T_{m(k)}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))} \lesssim \sup_{\langle \nu \rangle \geq 2^k} |m(\nu)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |\nu| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.13)$$

So, we finish the proof. \square

Remark 3.4. Let us note that $m(\nu) := (1 + |\nu|)^{i\tau}$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, satisfies (3.9) and clearly it is a bounded symbol. By the preceding theorem we conclude that T_m extends to a bounded operator on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 < p < \infty$. Also, is easy to see that $m_\kappa(\nu) := (1 + |\nu|)^{-\kappa}$ for $\kappa > 0$ satisfies (3.9) and $|m_\kappa(\nu)| \rightarrow 0$ as $|\nu| \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently every operator T_{m_κ} extends to a compact operator on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $1 < p < \infty$.

4. L^p -BOUNDEDNESS FOR MULTILINEAR PSEUDO-MULTIPLIERS

In this section we analyse the boundedness of multilinear pseudo-multipliers on Lebesgue spaces which are operators defined by

$$T_m(f_1, \dots, f_\varkappa)(x) := \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n\varkappa}} m(x, \nu) \widehat{f}_1(\phi_{\nu_1}) \cdots \widehat{f}_\varkappa(\phi_{\nu_\varkappa}) \phi_{\nu_1}(x) \cdots \phi_{\nu_\varkappa}(x), \quad (4.1)$$

for all $(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_\varkappa) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)^\varkappa$, where $\nu := (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_\varkappa)$, $\nu_i \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. In order to prove a general theorem on the boundedness of these operators, we establish the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. *Let us consider a pseudo-multiplier T_m defined on $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)^\varkappa$ and let $m : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{N}_0^{n\varkappa} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be its symbol. Let us assume that for $s > 0$, m satisfies the condition*

$$\|m\|_{l.u., \mathcal{H}^s} := \sup_{k>0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n} 2^{k(s - \frac{n\varkappa}{2})} \|\langle z \rangle^s \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_H^{-1}[m(x, \cdot) \psi(2^{-k}|\cdot|)](z)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_z^{n\varkappa})} < \infty, \quad (4.2)$$

and that $\varkappa \geq 2$. Then

1. If $s > \frac{3n\varkappa}{2} + (\varkappa - 1)\gamma_\infty$, the operator T_m extends to a bounded multilinear operator from $L^1 \times L^\infty \times \cdots \times L^\infty \times L^\infty$ into $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and

$$\|T_m\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^1 \times (L^\infty)^{\varkappa-1}, L^1)} \leq C(\|m\|_{l.u., \mathcal{H}^s} + \|m(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}). \quad (4.3)$$

2. If $s > \frac{3n\varkappa}{2} + \frac{(\varkappa-1)n}{4} - \frac{1}{12}$, the operator T_m extends to a bounded multilinear operator from $L^2 \times L^\infty \times \cdots \times L^\infty \times L^\infty$ into $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and

$$\|T_m\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2 \times (L^\infty)^{\varkappa-1}, L^2)} \leq C(\|m\|_{l.u., \mathcal{H}^s} + \|m(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}). \quad (4.4)$$

3. If $s > \frac{3n\varkappa}{2} + \frac{(n-1)(\varkappa-1)}{2} + \gamma_p$, γ_p defined as in (2.11), the operator T_m extends to a bounded multilinear operator from $L^p \times L^\infty \times \cdots \times L^\infty \times L^\infty$ into $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and

$$\|T_m\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p \times (L^\infty)^{\varkappa-1}, L^p)} \leq C(\|m\|_{l.u., \mathcal{H}^s} + \|m(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}), \quad (4.5)$$

for all $2 < p \leq \infty$.

Proof. We proceed with the proof of the first statement. Since

$$\|T_m f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup_{\|g\|_{L^\infty} = 1} |(T_m f, \bar{g})|, \quad (4.6)$$

similar to the previous section we will estimate $|(T_m f, \bar{g})|$ for $\|g\|_{L^\infty} = 1$. Now, for $f := (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_\varkappa) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)^\varkappa$ we have

$$|(T_m f, \bar{g})| \leq |(T_0 f, \bar{g})| + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |(T_{m(k)} f, \bar{g})|, \quad (4.7)$$

where $T_{m(k)}$ is the pseudo-multiplier associated to the symbol

$$m_k(x, \nu) = m(x, \nu) \cdot 1_{[2^k, 2^{k+1})}(|\nu|),$$

and T_0 is the operator with symbol $m(x, 0)\delta_{\nu,0}$. For $z_j \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_\varkappa) \in \mathbb{R}^{n\varkappa}$, and $\phi_\nu(z) = \phi_{\nu_1}(z_1) \cdots \phi_{\nu_\varkappa}(z_\varkappa)$, the inversion formula for the Fourier-Hermite transform gives

$$\begin{aligned} |(T_{m(k)}f, \bar{g})| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} T_{m(k)}f(x)g(x)dx \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{2^k \leq |\nu| < 2^{k+1}} m_k(x, \nu) \widehat{f}_1(\phi_{\nu_1}) \cdots \widehat{f}_\varkappa(\phi_{\nu_\varkappa}) \phi_{\nu_1}(x) \cdots \phi_{\nu_\varkappa}(x) g(x) dx \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{2^k \leq |\nu| < 2^{k+1}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} m_k(x, \nu) \widehat{f}_1(\phi_{\nu_1}) \cdots \widehat{f}_\varkappa(\phi_{\nu_\varkappa}) \phi_{\nu_1}(x) \cdots \phi_{\nu_\varkappa}(x) g(x) dx \right| \\ &= \sum_{2^k \leq |\nu| < 2^{k+1}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n\varkappa}} \phi_\nu(z) \mathcal{F}_H^{-1}[m_k(x, \cdot)](z) dz \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \widehat{f}_1(\phi_{\nu_1}) \cdots \widehat{f}_\varkappa(\phi_{\nu_\varkappa}) \phi_{\nu_1}(x) \cdots \phi_{\nu_\varkappa}(x) g(x) dx \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{2^k \leq |\nu| < 2^{k+1}} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n\varkappa}} |\phi_\nu(z)| |\mathcal{F}_H^{-1}[m_k(x, \cdot)](z)| dz \\ &\quad \times \|f_1\|_{L^1} \|\phi_{\nu_1}\|_{L^\infty} \prod_{j=2}^{\varkappa} \|f_j\|_{L^\infty} \|\phi_{\nu_j}\|_{L^1} \cdot \|g\|_{L^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\phi_{\nu_1}(x) \cdots \phi_{\nu_\varkappa}(x)| dx. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account that $\varkappa \geq 2$ we write,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\phi_{\nu_1}(x) \cdots \phi_{\nu_\varkappa}(x)| dx \leq \|\phi_{\nu_1}\|_{L^2} \|\phi_{\nu_2}\|_{L^2} \prod_{j \neq 1, 2}^{\varkappa} \|\phi_{\nu_j}\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim 1, \quad (4.8)$$

where we have used Remark 2.5 for the terms in the products. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} |(T_{m(k)}f, \bar{g})| &\leq \sum_{2^k \leq |\nu| < 2^{k+1}} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n\varkappa}} |\phi_\nu(z)| |\mathcal{F}_H^{-1}[m_k(x, \cdot)](z)| dz \\ &\quad \times \|f_1\|_{L^1} \|\phi_{\nu_1}\|_{L^\infty} \prod_{j=2}^{\varkappa} \|f_j\|_{L^\infty} \|\phi_{\nu_j}\|_{L^1} \cdot \|g\|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have the following estimate for the norm of $T_{m(k)}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|T_{m(k)}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^1 \times (L^\infty)^{\varkappa-1}, L^1)} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{2^k \leq |\nu| < 2^{k+1}} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n\varkappa}} |\phi_\nu(z)| |\mathcal{F}_H^{-1}[m_k(x, \cdot)]|(z) dz \times \|\phi_{\nu_1}\|_{L^\infty} \prod_{j=2}^{\varkappa} \|\phi_{\nu_j}\|_{L^1} \\
& \leq \sum_{2^k \leq |\nu| < 2^{k+1}} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|\langle z \rangle^s \mathcal{F}_H^{-1}[m_k(x, \cdot)]\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n\varkappa})} \\
& \quad \times \|\langle z \rangle^{-s}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n\varkappa})} \|\phi_\nu\|_{L^\infty} \times \|\phi_{\nu_1}\|_{L^\infty} \prod_{j=2}^{\varkappa} \|\phi_{\nu_j}\|_{L^1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\|\phi_\nu\|_{L^\infty} \times \|\phi_{\nu_1}\|_{L^\infty} \prod_{j=2}^{\varkappa} \|\phi_{\nu_j}\|_{L^1} \leq \|\phi_{\nu_1}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \prod_{j=2}^{\varkappa} \|\phi_{\nu_j}\|_{L^1} \|\phi_{\nu_j}\|_{L^\infty} \quad (4.9)$$

and by using the following estimate in Lemma 2.4 for $p = \infty$,

$$\|\phi_{\nu_j}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\phi_{\nu_j}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim |\nu_j|^{\gamma_\infty}, \quad 2 \leq j \leq \varkappa, \quad (4.10)$$

($\gamma_\infty = \frac{n-1}{2}$ for $n \geq 2$ and for $n = 1$, $\gamma_\infty = 1/6$), we obtain

$$\|\phi_\nu\|_{L^\infty} \times \|\phi_{\nu_1}\|_{L^\infty} \prod_{j=2}^{\varkappa} \|\phi_{\nu_j}\|_{L^1} \lesssim \|\phi_{\nu_1}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \prod_{j=2}^{\varkappa} |\nu_j|^{\gamma_\infty} \lesssim |\nu|^{\gamma_\infty(\varkappa-1)}. \quad (4.11)$$

Let us note that in the last estimates we have used that Remark 2.5 implies $\|\phi_{\nu_1}\|_{L^\infty} = O(1)$. Consequently we have for $s > \frac{n\varkappa}{2}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|T_{m(k)}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^1 \times (L^\infty)^{\varkappa-1}, L^1)} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{2^k \leq |\nu| < 2^{k+1}} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|\langle z \rangle^s \mathcal{F}_H^{-1}[m_k(x, \cdot)]\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n\varkappa})} \|\langle z \rangle^{-s}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n\varkappa})} |\nu|^{(\varkappa-1)\gamma_\infty} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{2^k \leq |\nu| < 2^{k+1}} 2^{-k(s - \frac{n\varkappa}{2})} 2^{k(\varkappa-1)\gamma_\infty(\varkappa-1)} \|m\|_{l.u.\mathcal{H}^s} \asymp 2^{-k(s - \frac{n\varkappa}{2}) + k(\varkappa-1)\gamma_\infty + kn\varkappa} \|m\|_{l.u.\mathcal{H}^s} \\
& = 2^{-k(s - \frac{3n\varkappa}{2} - (\varkappa-1)\gamma_\infty)} \|m\|_{l.u.\mathcal{H}^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

So, we obtain the following upper bound for the series

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|T_{m(k)}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^1 \times (L^\infty)^{\varkappa-1}, L^1)} \leq \|m\|_{l.u.\mathcal{H}^s} \times \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k(s - \frac{3n\varkappa}{2} - (\varkappa-1)\gamma_\infty)}$$

which converges provided that $s > \frac{3n\varkappa}{2} + (\varkappa-1)\gamma_\infty$. Now, it is easy to see that

$$\|T_0\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^1 \times (L^\infty)^{\varkappa-1}, L^1)} \lesssim \|m(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

As a consequence we get

$$\|T_m\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^1 \times (L^\infty)^{\varkappa-1}, L^1)} \leq C(\|m\|_{l.u.\mathcal{H}^s} + \|m(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}).$$

So, we finish the proof of the first statement. For the proof of the second statement, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
& |(T_{m(k)}f, \bar{g})| \\
& \leq \sum_{2^k \leq |\nu| < 2^{k+1}} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n \times \infty}} |\phi_\nu(z)| |\mathcal{F}_H^{-1}[m_k(x, \cdot)]|(z) dz \\
& \quad \times \|f_1\|_{L^2} \|\phi_{\nu_1}\|_{L^2} \prod_{j=2}^{\infty} \|f_j\|_{L^\infty} \|\phi_{\nu_j}\|_{L^1} \cdot \|g\|_{L^2} \cdot \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\phi_{\nu_1}(x) \cdots \phi_{\nu_\infty}(x)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \\
& \lesssim \sum_{2^k \leq |\nu| < 2^{k+1}} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n \times \infty}} |\phi_\nu(z)| |\mathcal{F}_H^{-1}[m_k(x, \cdot)]|(z) dz \\
& \quad \times \|f_1\|_{L^2} \prod_{j=2}^{\infty} \|f_j\|_{L^\infty} \|\phi_{\nu_j}\|_{L^1} \cdot \|g\|_{L^2} |\nu|^{-\frac{1}{12}},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have estimated $(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\phi_{\nu_1}(x) \cdots \phi_{\nu_\infty}(x)|^2 dx)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim |\nu|^{-\frac{1}{12}}$. This estimate can be obtained as follows. If $|\nu_i| := \max_{1 \leq j \leq \infty} |\nu_j|$, similar to Remark 2.5 we have

$$\|\phi_{\nu_i}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n \times \infty})} \lesssim |\nu_i|^{-\frac{1}{12}} \lesssim |\nu|^{-\frac{1}{12}},$$

when $|\nu|$ is large enough. On the other hand, if $k \neq i$, it follows that

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\phi_{\nu_1}(x) \cdots \phi_{\nu_\infty}(x)| dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \|\phi_{\nu_k}\|_{L^2} \|\phi_{\nu_i}\|_{L^\infty} \prod_{j \neq i, k}^{\infty} \|\phi_{\nu_j}\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim |\nu|^{-\frac{1}{12}}, \quad (4.12)$$

where we have used the crude estimate $\|\phi_{\nu_j}\|_{L^\infty} = O(1)$ for $j \neq k, i$ and that the L^2 -norm of the function ϕ_{ν_k} is normalised. By using this and Lemma 2.2 for $p = 1$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|T_{m(k)}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2 \times (L^\infty)^{\infty-1}, L^2)} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{2^k \leq |\nu| < 2^{k+1}} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n \times \infty}} |\phi_\nu(z)| |\mathcal{F}_H^{-1}[m_k(x, \cdot)]|(z) dz \prod_{j=2}^{\infty} \|\phi_{\nu_j}\|_{L^1} |\nu|^{-\frac{1}{12}} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{2^k \leq |\nu| < 2^{k+1}} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|\langle z \rangle^s \mathcal{F}_H^{-1}[m_k(x, \cdot)]\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n \times \infty})} \|\langle z \rangle^{-s}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n \times \infty})} \prod_{j=2}^{\infty} |\nu_j|^{\frac{n}{4}} |\nu|^{-\frac{1}{12}} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{2^k \leq |\nu| < 2^{k+1}} 2^{-k(s - \frac{n \times \infty}{2})} \|m\|_{l.u., \mathcal{H}^s} |\nu|^{\frac{n}{4}(\infty-1)} |\nu|^{-\frac{1}{12}} \asymp 2^{-k(s - \frac{3n \times \infty}{2} - \frac{n}{4}(\infty-1) + \frac{1}{12})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we only need to proceed as in the first part, in order to obtain the estimate

$$\|T_m\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2 \times (L^\infty)^{\infty-1}, L^2)} \leq C(\|m\|_{l.u., \mathcal{H}^s} + \|m(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}), \quad (4.13)$$

for $s > \frac{3n\kappa}{2} + \frac{n}{4}(\kappa - 1) - \frac{1}{12}$. The last statement can be proved by observing that

$$\begin{aligned}
|(T_{m(k)}f, \bar{g})| &\leq \sum_{2^k \leq |\nu| < 2^{k+1}} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n\kappa}} |\phi_\nu(z)| |\mathcal{F}_H^{-1}[m_k(x, \cdot)]|(z) dz \\
&\times \|f_1\|_{L^p} \|\phi_{\nu_1}\|_{L^{p'}} \prod_{j=2}^{\kappa} \|f_j\|_{L^\infty} \|\phi_{\nu_j}\|_{L^1} \|g\|_{L^{p'}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\phi_{\nu_1}(x) \cdots \phi_{\nu_\kappa}(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{2^k \leq |\nu| < 2^{k+1}} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n\kappa}} |\phi_\nu(z)| |\mathcal{F}_H^{-1}[m_k(x, \cdot)]|(z) dz \\
&\times \|f_1\|_{L^p} \|\phi_{\nu_1}\|_{L^{p'}} \|\phi_{\nu_1}\|_{L^p} \prod_{j=2}^{\kappa} \|f_j\|_{L^\infty} \|\phi_{\nu_j}\|_{L^1} \|g\|_{L^{p'}},
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we have used again that the L^∞ -norm of Hermite functions is $O(1)$. Now, if we denote by γ_p the exponent that according to Lemma 2.4 satisfies

$$\|\phi_{\nu_1}\|_{L^p} \|\phi_{\nu_1}\|_{L^{p'}} \lesssim |\nu_1|^{\gamma_p}, \quad (4.14)$$

and we assume that $|\nu_1| := \max_{1 \leq j \leq \kappa} |\nu_j|$ (which can be obtained by a simple permutation of the ν_j 's) we obtain $|\nu_1| \asymp |\nu|$, and the estimate

$$\|\phi_{\nu_1}\|_{L^p} \|\phi_{\nu_1}\|_{L^{p'}} \prod_{j=2}^{\kappa} \|\phi_{\nu_j}\|_{L^1} \|\phi_{\nu_j}\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim |\nu|^{\gamma_p + \frac{(\kappa-1)(n-1)}{2}}.$$

In the last line according to Lemma 2.4 we have used the estimate $\|\phi_{\nu_j}\|_{L^1} \|\phi_{\nu_j}\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim |\nu|^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$. Now, if we repeat the argument of the first part we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T_{m(k)}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p \times (L^\infty)^{\kappa-1}, L^p)} &\lesssim \sum_{2^k \leq |\nu| < 2^{k+1}} 2^{-k(s - \frac{n\kappa}{2})} \|m\|_{l.u.\mathcal{H}^s} 2^{k \frac{(n-1)(\kappa-1)}{2} + k\gamma_p} \\
&\asymp 2^{-k(s - \frac{3n\kappa}{2} - \frac{(n-1)(\kappa-1)}{2} - \gamma_p)} \|m\|_{l.u.\mathcal{H}^s},
\end{aligned}$$

and consequently the estimate

$$\|T_m\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p \times (L^\infty)^{\kappa-1}, L^p)} \leq C(\|m\|_{l.u.\mathcal{H}^s} + \|m(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}),$$

for $s > \frac{3n\kappa}{2} + \frac{(n-1)(\kappa-1)}{2} + \gamma_p$. Thus we conclude the proof. \square

Remark 4.2. The different regularity orders s imposed to obtain the boundedness of multilinear pseudo-multipliers in Proposition 4.1 for $p = 1, 2$ or other values of p , lie in the slight variations that we use for the proof of every specific case. To be more precise, these differences appear as consequence of the conclusions (4.8) for $p = 1$, (4.12) for $p = 2$, (where we have used strongly that the L^2 -norm of every Hermite functions is normalised) and the estimate (4.14) when $2 < p < \infty$. Let us mention that our main strategy in the proof of Proposition 4.5 will be to use the real interpolation for p between $p_0 = 1$ and $p_1 = 2$ or p between $p_1 = 2$ and arbitrary p with $2 < p < \infty$, together with the different regularity orders imposed in Proposition 4.1.

With a similar proof, as in the previous result, we present the following proposition.

Proposition 4.3. *Let us consider a pseudo-multiplier T_m defined on $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)^\varkappa$ with symbol $m = \{m(x, \nu)\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n \varkappa}}$ where $m : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n \varkappa} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies the condition*

$$\|m\|_{l.u., H^s} := \sup_{k>0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n} 2^{k(s - \frac{n\varkappa}{2})} \|\langle z \rangle^s \mathcal{F}[m(x, \cdot) \psi(2^{-k}|\cdot|)](z)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_z^{n\varkappa})} < \infty. \quad (4.15)$$

Then

1. If $s > \frac{3n\varkappa}{2} + (\varkappa - 1)\gamma_\infty$, the operator T_m extends to a bounded multilinear operator from $L^1 \times L^\infty \times \cdots \times L^\infty \times L^\infty$ into $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and

$$\|T_m\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^1 \times (L^\infty)^{\varkappa-1}, L^1)} \leq C(\|m\|_{l.u., \mathcal{H}^s} + \|m(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}). \quad (4.16)$$

2. If $s > \frac{3n\varkappa}{2} + \frac{(\varkappa-1)n}{4}$, the operator T_m extends to a bounded multilinear operator from $L^2 \times L^\infty \times \cdots \times L^\infty \times L^\infty$ into $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and

$$\|T_m\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2 \times (L^\infty)^{\varkappa-1}, L^2)} \leq C(\|m\|_{l.u., \mathcal{H}^s} + \|m(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}). \quad (4.17)$$

3. If $s > \frac{3n\varkappa}{2} + \frac{(n-1)(\varkappa-1)}{2} + \gamma_p$, γ_p defined as in (2.11), the operator T_m extends to a bounded multilinear operator from $L^p \times L^\infty \times \cdots \times L^\infty \times L^\infty$ into $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and

$$\|T_m\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p \times (L^\infty)^{\varkappa-1}, L^p)} \leq C(\|m\|_{l.u., \mathcal{H}^s} + \|m(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}), \quad (4.18)$$

for all $2 < p \leq \infty$.

Let us note that the second assertion of Proposition 4.1 requires symbols with regularity order $s > \frac{3n\varkappa}{2} + \frac{(\varkappa-1)n}{4}$, instead of its analogue condition in (4.3) where we only need $s > \frac{3n\varkappa}{2} + \frac{(\varkappa-1)n}{4} - \frac{1}{12}$. This difference is consequence of the different Fourier transforms that we use to classify the regularity of symbols.

In order to present our multilinear result, we will need the following interpolation theorem which is valid for general measure spaces, but for simplicity we record it on \mathbb{R}^n .

Proposition 4.4 (Riesz-Thorin Interpolation). *Let us assume that a linear operator T can be extended to a bounded operator $T : L^{p_i}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^{q_i}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $i \in \{0, 1\}$. If $0 < \theta < 1$ and p, q are defined by*

$$1/p = (1 - \theta)/p_0 + \theta/p_1, \quad 1/q = (1 - \theta)/q_0 + \theta/q_1, \quad (4.19)$$

the operator T can be extended to a bounded operator $T : L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with operator norm estimated by

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p, L^q)} \leq \|T\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^{p_0}, L^{q_0})}^{1-\theta} \|T\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^{p_1}, L^{q_1})}^\theta. \quad (4.20)$$

Although the Riesz-Thorin Interpolation Theorem is a well known result, we will use strongly the control on the norms given in (4.20). In the following result we will consider multilinear symbols satisfying Hörmander conditions of order

$$s > s_{n, \varkappa, p} := \max\left\{\frac{3n\varkappa}{2} + \frac{(\varkappa-1)n}{4}, \frac{3n\varkappa}{2} + \frac{(n-1)(\varkappa-1)}{2} + \gamma_p\right\},$$

with γ_p defined as in (2.11). Let us note that $\frac{3n\varkappa}{2} + \frac{(\varkappa-1)n}{4}$ and $\frac{3n\varkappa}{2} + \frac{(n-1)(\varkappa-1)}{2} + \gamma_p$ can be not compared immediately because the sign of γ_p depends on the values of p .

Proposition 4.5. *Let $2 \leq \varkappa < \infty$, $\varkappa \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Let us consider a multilinear pseudo-multiplier T_m defined on $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)^\varkappa$ with symbol satisfying (4.2) or (4.15) for*

$$s > s_{n,\varkappa,p} := \max\left\{\frac{3n\varkappa}{2} + (\varkappa - 1)\gamma_\infty, \frac{3n\varkappa}{2} + \frac{(\varkappa - 1)n}{4}\right\}, \quad 1 \leq p \leq 2,$$

with γ_∞ , defined as in (2.11). Then the operator

$$T_m : L^{p_1} \times L^{p_2} \times \cdots \times L^{p_{\varkappa-1}} \times L^{p_\varkappa} \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (4.21)$$

extends to a bounded multilinear operator provided that $1 \leq p_j \leq \infty$, $1 \leq p \leq 2$, and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p_\varkappa}$. If m satisfies the condition (4.2) or (4.15) for

$$s > s_{n,\varkappa,p} := \max\left\{\frac{3n\varkappa}{2} + \frac{(\varkappa - 1)n}{4}, \frac{3n\varkappa}{2} + \frac{(n-1)(\varkappa - 1)}{2} + \gamma_p\right\},$$

with γ_p defined as in (2.11), then (4.21) holds true for all $2 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p_\varkappa}$.

Proof. In order to prove the statement we will use real interpolation together with induction on \varkappa . Let us define the set

$$M := \{\varkappa \in \mathbb{N} : \varkappa \geq 2, \text{ and from (4.2) or (4.15) we deduce (4.21) for } s > s_{n,\varkappa,p}\}. \quad (4.22)$$

First, we will prove that $\varkappa = 2 \in M$. Then, let us assume that a bilinear operator T_m satisfies (4.2) or (4.15). By Proposition 4.1 we have that $T_m \in \mathcal{B}(L^r \times L^\infty, L^r)$ for $r = 1, 2$, provided that

$$s > s_{n,\varkappa,p} := \max\left\{\frac{3n\varkappa}{2} + (\varkappa - 1)\gamma_\infty, \frac{3n\varkappa}{2} + \frac{(\varkappa - 1)n}{4}\right\}.$$

Now, if we fix $g_0 \in L^\infty$ and we consider the operator

$$T_{m,0} := T_m(\cdot, g_0),$$

then $T_{m,0} \in \mathcal{B}(L^r)$ for $r = 1, 2$, and by real interpolation for all $1 \leq r \leq 2$. Moreover, if r is given by

$$\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1-\theta}{1} + \frac{\theta}{2},$$

for some $0 < \theta < 1$, and we taking into account the norm estimates

$$\|T_{m,0}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^1)} \leq \|T_m\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^1 \times L^\infty, L^1)} \|g_0\|_{L^\infty}, \quad \|T_{m,0}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2)} \leq \|T_m\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2 \times L^\infty, L^2)} \|g_0\|_{L^\infty}, \quad (4.23)$$

by application of (4.20) we have

$$\|T_{m,0}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^r)} \leq \|T_m\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^1 \times L^\infty, L^1)}^{1-\theta} \|T_m\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2 \times L^\infty, L^2)}^\theta \|g_0\|_{L^\infty}. \quad (4.24)$$

Consequently we deduce the boundedness of T_m from $L^p \times L^\infty$ into L^p , $1 \leq p \leq 2$. Similarly we obtain the boundedness of T_m from $L^\infty \times L^p$ into L^p . Now if we repeat the argument for every entry of T_m , i.e., first fixing the first argument and later fix the second argument, by interpolation we have the boundedness of T_m from $L^{p_1} \times L^{p_2}$ into L^p , $1 \leq p \leq 2$, with p_1 and p_2 satisfying the relation

$$\frac{1}{p_1} = \frac{\theta}{p} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{p_2} = \frac{1-\theta}{p} \quad (4.25)$$

for some $\theta \in [0, 1]$. Clearly, $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} = 1$. Now, we will assume that every integer number s less than \varkappa belongs to M . So, let us assume now that we have a multilinear operator T_m on $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^m)^\varkappa$. By Proposition 4.1 the operator T_m extends to a bounded multilinear operator from $L^r \times L^\infty \times \cdots \times L^\infty \times L^\infty$ into $L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for $r = 1, 2$. If we consider $g_0 \in L^\infty$ and similarly, as in the bilinear case, we define

$$T_{m,0} := T_m(\cdot, \cdot, \dots, \cdot, g_0),$$

fixing the last argument of T_m we obtain a multilinear operator on $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^m)^{\varkappa-1}$, and by considering that $\varkappa - 1 \in M$, we have that

$$T_{m,0} : L^{p_1} \times L^{p_2} \times \cdots \times L^{p_{\varkappa-1}} \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (4.26)$$

extends to a bounded multilinear operator provided that $1 \leq p_j \leq \infty$, $1 \leq p \leq 2$ and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p_{\varkappa-1}}$. As a consequence we obtain that

$$T_m : L^{p_1} \times L^{p_2} \times \cdots \times L^{p_{\varkappa-1}} \times L^\infty \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad (4.27)$$

is bounded. Because

$$T_m : L^\infty \times L^\infty \times \cdots \times L^\infty \times L^p \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (4.28)$$

is bounded for all $1 \leq p \leq 2$, we can fix every argument of T_m and apply the real interpolation in order to provide the boundedness of T_m from $L^{\dot{p}_1} \times L^{\dot{p}_2} \times \cdots \times L^{\dot{p}_\varkappa}$ $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where

$$\frac{\theta}{p_i} = \frac{1}{\dot{p}_i} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq \varkappa - 1, \text{ and } \frac{1 - \theta}{p} = \frac{1}{\dot{p}_\varkappa},$$

for some $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$. Now, we finish the proof of induction by observing that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\varkappa} \frac{1}{\dot{p}_i} = \frac{\theta}{p} + \frac{1 - \theta}{p} = \frac{1}{p}, \text{ and } \varkappa \in M, \quad (4.29)$$

so, we have proved that $M = \{\varkappa \in \mathbb{N} : \varkappa \geq 2\}$. Now, in a similar way we can use statements 2 and 3 of Proposition 4.1 and the real interpolation (by repetition of the arguments above) in order to provide the boundedness of T_m for the case when $2 \leq p < \infty$. \square

Remark 4.6. Taking into account that $\gamma_\infty = \frac{n-1}{2}$ for $n \geq 2$ and for $n = 1$, $\gamma_\infty = 1/6$, we can compute explicitly the regularity order $s_{n,\varkappa,p}$, $1 \leq p \leq 2$, defined in the previous proposition. Indeed, if $n \geq 2$,

$$s_{n,\varkappa,p} := \max\left\{\frac{3n\varkappa}{2} + (\varkappa - 1)\gamma_\infty, \frac{3n\varkappa}{2} + \frac{(\varkappa - 1)n}{4}\right\} = \frac{3n\varkappa}{2} + \frac{(\varkappa - 1)(n - 1)}{2},$$

and

$$s_{n,\varkappa,p} := \max\left\{\frac{3n\varkappa}{2} + (\varkappa - 1)\gamma_\infty, \frac{3n\varkappa}{2} + \frac{(\varkappa - 1)n}{4}\right\} = \frac{3n\varkappa}{2} + \frac{(\varkappa - 1)n}{4} = \frac{3\varkappa}{2} + \frac{\varkappa - 1}{4},$$

for $n = 1$. Let us note that these regularity orders cannot be applied to $\varkappa = 1$, in order to recover those regularity orders given in the linear case, because our Proposition 4.5 is a consequence of Proposition 4.1 whose proof uses strongly that $\varkappa \geq 2$.

REFERENCES

1. Bagchi, S. Thangavelu, S. On Hermite pseudo-multipliers. *J. Funct. Anal.* 268 (1) (2015), 140–170.
2. Bonami, A., Clerc, J.L. Somes de Cesaro et multiplicateurs des développements en harmoniques sphériques. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 183 (1973), 223–263.
3. Blunck, S. A Hörmander-type spectral multiplier theorem for operators without heat kernel. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)* 2 (2003), no. 3, 449–459.
4. Bui, T. A., Duong, X. T. Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces associated to Hermite operators. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* 21 (2015), no. 2, 405–448.
5. Chen, P., Ouhabaz, E. M., Sikora, A., Yan, L. Restriction estimates, sharp spectral multipliers and endpoint estimates for Bochner-Riesz means. *J. Anal. Math.* 129 (2016), 219–283.
6. Coifman, R., Meyer, Y. On commutators of singular integrals and bilinear singular integrals, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 212 (1975), 315–331.
7. Coifman, R., Meyer, Y. Au delà des opérateurs pseudo-différentiels, *Astérisque* 57 (1978), 1–185.
8. Coifman, R., Meyer, Y. Commutateurs d'intégrales singulières et opérateurs multilinéaires, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 28 (1978), 177–202.
9. Duoandikoetxea, J. *Fourier Analysis*, Amer. Math. Soc. (2001)
10. Epperson, J. Hermite multipliers and pseudo-multipliers, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 124 (1996), no. 7, 2061–2068.
11. Grafakos, L., Miyachi, A., Tomita, N. On multilinear Fourier multipliers of limited smoothness. *Canad. J. Math.* 65 (2013), no. 2, 299–330.
12. Grafakos, L., Nguyen, H. V. Multilinear Fourier multipliers with minimal Sobolev regularity, I. *Colloq. Math.* 144 (2016), no. 1, 1–30.
13. Grafakos, L., Miyachi, A., Nguyen, H. V., Tomita, N. Multilinear Fourier multipliers with minimal Sobolev regularity, II. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 69 (2017), no. 2, 529–562.
14. Hörmander, L. (1960) Estimates for translation invariant operators in L^p spaces. *Acta Math.*, 104, 93–140.
15. Koch, H., Tataru, D. L^p -eigenfunction bounds for the Hermite operator. *Duke Math. J.* 128 (2005), 369–392.
16. Mauceri, G. The Weyl transform and bounded operators on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. *J. Funct. Anal.* 39(3), (1980), 408–429.
17. Mihlin, S.G. On the multipliers of Fourier integrals. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (N. S.)*, 109 (1956), 701–703 (Russian).
18. Petrushev, P., Xu, Y. Decomposition of spaces of distributions induced by Hermite expansions. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* 14 (2008), no. 3, 372–414.
19. Prugovečki, E. *Quantum mechanics in Hilbert space*. Second edition. Pure and Applied Mathematics, 92. Academic Press, Inc, New York-London, 1981.
20. Ruzhansky M., Tokmagambetov N., Nonharmonic analysis of boundary value problems, *Int. Math. Res. Notices*, (2016) 2016 (12), 3548–3615.
21. Ruzhansky M., Tokmagambetov N., Nonharmonic analysis of boundary value problems without WZ condition, *Math. Model. Nat. Phenom.*, 12 (2017), 115–140.
22. Ruzhansky, M., Turunen, V., *Pseudo-differential Operators and Symmetries: Background Analysis and Advanced Topics*. Birkhäuser-Verlag, Basel, (2010).
23. Strichartz, R. Multipliers for spherical harmonics expansions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 167 (1972), 115–124.
24. Sikora, A., Yan, L., Yao, X. Sharp spectral multipliers for operators satisfying generalized Gaussian estimates. *J. Funct. Anal.* 266 (2014), no. 1, 368–409.
25. Simon, B. Distributions and their Hermite expansions. *J. Math. Phys.* 12 (1971), 140–148.
26. Stempak, K. Multipliers for eigenfunction expansions of some Schrödinger operators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 93 (1985), 477–482.

27. Stempak, K., Torre, J.L. On g -functions for Hermite function expansions, Acta Math. Hung. 109 , 99–125.
28. Stempak, K., Torre, J.L. BMO results for operators associated to Hermite expansions, Illinois J. Math. 49 (2005), 1111–1132.
29. Thangavelu, S. Multipliers for Hermite expansions, Revist. Mat. Ibero. 3 (1987), 1–24.
30. Thangavelu, S. Lectures on Hermite and Laguerre Expansions, Math. Notes, vol. 42, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1993.
31. Thangavelu, S. Hermite and special Hermite expansions revisited, Duke Math. J., 94(2) (1998), 257–278.

DUVÁN CARDONA:

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

PONTIFICIA UNIVERSIDAD JAVERIANA

BOGOTÁ

COLOMBIA

E-mail address duvanc306@gmail.com; cardonaduvan@javeriana.edu.co

MICHAEL RUZHANSKY:

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

GHENT UNIVERSITY, BELGIUM

AND

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS

QUEEN MARY UNIVERSITY OF LONDON

UNITED KINGDOM

E-mail address ruzhansky@gmail.com