

plsRglm: Partial least squares linear and
generalized linear regression for processing
incomplete datasets by cross-validation and
bootstrap techniques with R.

Frédéric Bertrand ^{1,2,*} and Myriam Maumy-Bertrand ^{1,2}
¹Labex IRMIA, Strasbourg, France.

²IRMA - CNRS - UMR7501 - Université de Strasbourg
67084 Strasbourg Cedex, France.

October 3, 2018

Abstract

Summary:

The aim of the `plsRglm` package is to deal with complete and incomplete datasets through several new techniques or, at least, some which were not yet implemented in **R**. Indeed, not only does it make available the extension of the PLS regression to the generalized linear regression models, but also bootstrap techniques, leave-one-out and repeated k -fold cross-validation. In addition, graphical displays help the user to assess the significance of the predictors when using bootstrap techniques. Biplots (Fig. 4) can be used to delve into the relationship between individuals and variables.

Availability:

`plsRglm` is freely available from the **R** archive CRAN. The package is distributed under the GNU General Public License (version 3 or later) and includes vignettes, example files and datasets.

Contact:

fbertran@math.unistra.fr

Supplementary information:

Vignette and manual of the package.

*to whom correspondence should be addressed

1 Motivation

Extracting knowledge from datasets featuring a large number of variables is more and more a common task, especially in medicine or in biology. However, some known issues, for instance, a strong collinearity between the predictors not to mention landscape datasets -more predictors than subjects- or missing data, usually lead us to use other statistical estimation methods than the usual least squares to fit a linear regression model. One of these methods is the Partial Least Square (PLS) Regression (PLSR) which was first introduced by Wold et al. [1983] and Wold et al. [1984]. This estimation method was already implemented in several R or Bioconductor packages. Despite recent progresses on degrees of freedom correction (DOFc) made by Kraemer and Sugiyama [2011] (R package `plsdo`), all the other packages only provide cross-validation based criteria for selecting the number of PLSR components. Moreover, all the packages lack missing data support, either issuing an error or abruptly removing rows with NA values. They also lack bootstrap techniques even for complete datasets.

As a consequence, the key features of the `plsRglm` package are to deal either with complete or incomplete datasets, to support both PLSR -regular or weighted- and its extension to generalized linear regression models (PLSGLR) by Bastien et al. [2005] and provide bootstrap techniques [Lazraq et al., 2003, Bastien et al., 2005] to assess the significance of the original predictors -graphical outputs were designed to help to proceed this step- in all of these settings. In addition, various criteria, either cross-validation based (including *PRESS*, Q^2 , Q^2_{cum} , $PRE\chi^2$, χ^2 , $Q^2\chi^2$ or misclassified) or not (*AIC*, *BIC*, significance tests, all three with DOFc when available), make it easier to pick out a relevant number of components, thus filling several gaps and providing R users with state-of-the-art tools to process real complex datasets.

We deal in the vignette with 7 example datasets, including a study on the quality of some Bordeaux wines [Tenenhaus, 1998] (ordinal logistic PLSR), the Cornell dataset [Kettaneh-Wold, 1992] (regular PLSR) or an allelotyping dataset on which we applied a binomial logistic PLS regression model with success in Meyer et al. [2010].

2 Application

2.1 A binary incomplete dataset

To illustrate the package features, we processed a colon cancer allelotyping study [Meyer et al., 2010]. This data set, called `aze`, was collected on patients suffering from a colon adenocarcinoma. It features 104 observations of 33 binary qualitative explanatory variables (the microsatellites), and one binary response, y (a binary cancer stage derived from the Astler-Coller classification Astler and Collier [1954]). Due to unavoidable technical limitations, about one third of the data are missing.

2.2 Model selection and cross-validation

The response being a two-level factor, we decided to apply a binary logistic PLS regression model with a *logit* link-function. First step, we used cross validation to choose a relevant number of components for the PLS model: a 100 repeated

Nb components	0	1	2	3
AIC	145.83	119.06	105.96	100.28
BIC	148.47	124.35	113.89	110.86
Miss Classed	49	30	20	18
Significant pred.		1	3	0
Miss Classed (8-CV)		58	62	56
$Q^2\chi^2$ (8-CV)		-5.29	-8.54	-34.87
χ^2 Pearson	104.00	101.71	110.98	102.52
Nb components	4	5	6	7
AIC	96.2	94.17	93	94.11
BIC	109.42	110.04	111.51	115.26
Miss Classed	20	18	16	17
Significant pred.	0	0	0	0
Miss Classed (8-CV)	55	56	63	64
$Q^2\chi^2$ (8-CV)	$-2.38 * 10^2$	$-2.43 * 10^3$	$-1.41 * 10^6$	$-9.26 * 10^9$
χ^2 Pearson	122.84	148.72	141.1	149.1

Table 1: Results of cross-validation, k=8

8-fold cross validation (random groups of 13 observations) was applied to the data set. For the first split, results are displayed in Table 1.

According to the number of significant predictors within each component (Significant pred., Bastien et al. [2005]), we should retain 2 components, whereas using the BIC this number raises to 4 and using the AIC to 6. For this study, we decided to use the cross validated number of misclassified observations criteria. The complete results of the 100 repeated cross-validation are displayed on Figure 1: it suggests to retain 4 components, in agreement with the BIC criteria. Denoting by t_h the h^{th} PLS component, c_h its coefficient and μ the intercept, the PLS binary logistic model is:

$$\mathbb{P}(y = 1) = \left(e^{\mu + \sum_{h=1}^4 c_h t_h} \right) / \left(1 + e^{\mu + \sum_{h=1}^4 c_h t_h} \right). \quad (1)$$

The estimates of the coefficients of this PLSGLR are $\hat{c}_1 = 1.4274$, $\hat{c}_2 = 0.5096$, $\hat{c}_3 = 0.6903$, $\hat{c}_4 = 0.7930$ and $\hat{\mu} = -0.2968$. One can then convert these estimates to those of the coefficients of the original predictors.

2.3 Significance of predictors and bootstrap

The next issue to be tackled is to pick significant predictors \mathbf{x}_j , $1 \leq j \leq 33$ and thus estimate the coefficients β_j of the predictors using the four component model (see Figs 5 to 8). Two resampling schemes for bootstrap are implemented in the package: (Y, X) [Lazraq et al., 2003] or (Y, T) [Bastien et al., 2005]. For this study, we chose the latter and performed 1000 resamplings: boxplots of the bootstrap distribution can easily be plotted using the package (Fig. 5) as well as BC_a confidence intervals (CI) for each of the predictors (Fig. 2). We focused on BC_a CI, since these are usually recommended and even though the package can derive CI with percentile, normal or basic bootstrap (Fig. 6). Only 9 predictors did not differ significantly from 0 at the 5% level. It is worth noting, as reported on Figure 3, that some of the predictors feature a stability property as they are




Figure 1: Nb components, 8-CV, n=100

significant in all the models with 1 to 8 components for BC_a CI based on 1000 (Y, T) resamplings.

Moreover, as to the significance of predictors, there are few differences between the models with 3 and 4 components as only 1 predictor, significant in former model, becomes non-significant in the latter model, the converse being also true. This should be stressed if one recalls cross-validation results: the 4 components model (40%) was only slightly ahead of the 3 components one (35%). Computing the empirically weighted, with respect to the CV distribution of components, proportion of the models for which the predictors are significant, yields a robust significance index π_e (reported on Figure 3) with respect to a number of components misspecification.

One must know that, even though the (Y, T) bootstrap technique is clearly faster and more stable than the (Y, X) one, especially in a GLR context, these two techniques lead to dramatically different results (Fig. 7 and 8).

3 Conclusion

The scope of application of the `plsRglm` package is steadily widening as the complexity of the datasets has been increasing for several years. Among many




Figure 2: BC_a Bootstrap CI of regressor's coefficients.




Figure 3: Significant predictors through the models with 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 components and robust significance index π_e .

others, medicine, biology and chemistry are domains where it is likely to have to deal with issues like strongly correlated predictors or even rectangular datasets featuring more predictor than observations in a linear or a generalized linear regression. For instance, technological breakthroughs, such as next-gen sequencing, favors the use of generalized linear regression models such as Poisson, quasi-Poisson or negative binomial ones, with rectangular datasets. PLS extensions of all these three models are available in the `plsRglm` package. Similar issues are unavoidable with mixture modeling, spectrum analysis or other omics data analysis.

In a word, we view this package as a key additional toolbox for the **R** language.

References

- V.B. Astler and F.A. Collier. The prognostic significance of direct extension of carcinoma of the colon and rectum. *Ann Surg.*, 6:846–851, 1954.
- Philippe Bastien, Vincenzo Esposito Vinzi, and Michel Tenenhaus. Pls generalised linear regression. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, 48(1): 17–46, 2005.
- Nouna Kettaneh-Wold. Analysis of mixture data with partial least squares. *Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems*, 14(1):57–69, 1992.
- Nicole Kraemer and Masashi Sugiyama. The degrees of freedom of partial least squares regression. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 106(494): 697–705, 2011.
- Aziz Lazraq, Robert Cleroux, and Jean-Pierre Gauchi. Selecting both latent and explanatory variables in the pls1 regression model. *Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems*, 66(2):117–126, 2003.
- N. Meyer, M. Maumy-Bertrand, and F. Bertrand. Comparaison de variantes de régressions logistiques pls et de régression pls sur variables qualitatives: application aux données d’allélotypage. *Journal de la Société Française de Statistique*, 151(2):1–18, 2010.
- M. Tenenhaus. *La régression PLS, Théorie et pratique*. Editions Technip, 1998.
- S. Wold, H. Martens, and H. Wold. The multivariate calibration problem in chemistry solved by the PLS method. In A. Ruhe and B. Kåstrøm, editors, *Proc. Conf. Matrix Pencils*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, pages 286–293, Heidelberg, 1983. Springer Verlag.
- S. Wold, A. Ruhe, H. Wold, and W. J. Dunn, III. The collinearity problem in linear regression. the partial least squares (PLS) approach to generalized inverses. *j-SIAM-J-SCI-STAT-COMP*, 5(3):735–743, September 1984. ISSN 0196-5204.




Figure 4: Biplot of individuals and variables (first two components).




Figure 5: Boxplots of the (Y, T) bootstrap distribution of the predictors (4 components model and 1000 resamplings).




Figure 6: Four types of (Y, T) Bootstrap CI of regressor's coefficients (4 components model and 1000 resamplings).




Figure 7: Boxplots of the (Y, X) bootstrap distribution of the predictors (4 components model and 1000 resamplings).




Figure 8: $BC_a(Y, X)$ Bootstrap CI of regressor's coefficients (4 components model and 1000 resamplings).